

ON FERMAT'S LAST THEOREM FOR ODD PRIME EXPONENTS

ZENON B. BATANG

ABSTRACT. We show that the Fermat equation $x^p + y^p = z^p$ has no solutions in coprime positive integers x, y, z for any odd prime p .

For positive integers x, y, z, n , where $0 < x < y < z$, Fermat's Last Theorem (FLT) states that

$$(1) \quad x^n + y^n = z^n$$

has no positive integer solutions (x, y, z) for $n > 2$. For over 350 years, FLT has been shown to hold for specific exponents [1] until Andrew Wiles [2] gave a celebrated proof in 1995 using the machinery of modern mathematics. When Pierre de Fermat posed the problem in 1637, he noted in a page margin of Diophantus's *Arithmetica* that he possessed "a truly remarkable proof, but the margin is too narrow to contain it." While Fermat himself proved the FLT for $n = 4$ using his technique of infinite descent [3], it remains the subject of debate if he indeed had a proof for the general case.

Consider the identity

$$(2) \quad z^n - y^n = (z - y)(z^{n-1} + y^{n-1}) + zy(z^{n-2} - y^{n-2})$$

and let

$$(3) \quad z - y = a, \quad z - x = b.$$

It is trivial to find infinitely many solutions to (1) for $n = 1$. For $n = 2$, one can generate infinitely many Dickson's Pythagorean triples (x, y, z) [4] with

$$(4) \quad x = a + \sqrt{2ab}, \quad y = b + \sqrt{2ab}, \quad z = a + b + \sqrt{2ab}.$$

To show this, combine (1) and (2) such that

$$x^2 = (z - y)(z + y) = a(2x + 2b - a)$$

$$x^2 - 2ax + a^2 = 2ab$$

$$(x - a)^2 = 2ab,$$

from which (4) follows easily after substitutions into (3). A visual demonstration of Dickson's Pythagorean triples is found in [6]. Apparently, the resulting triple is primitive, i.e. $\gcd(x, y, z) = 1$, if a and b are coprime and yield exact integer values for $\sqrt{2ab}$. For $n > 2$, we will demonstrate the claim of FLT that (1) is unsolvable in positive integers by elementary number theoretic arguments.

Date: April 1, 2023.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11D41; Secondary 11A07.

Key words and phrases. Diophantine equation, Fermat's Last Theorem, Barlow-Abel relations, Dickson's Pythagorean triple, elementary proof, odd prime exponents, divisibility, congruences.

Let

$$(5) \quad \phi_n(z, y) = \frac{z^n - y^n}{z - y} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} z^{n-i-1} y^i,$$

such that

$$(6) \quad x^n = z^n - y^n = (z - y)\phi_n(z, y).$$

Henceforth, we only consider the Fermat equation for odd prime p

$$(7) \quad x^p + y^p = z^p,$$

since FLT holds for $n = 4$ and for any positive integer m we have that

$$(x^m)^p + (y^m)^p = (z^m)^p, \quad \forall n = mp.$$

Put $k = (p - 1)/2$ for a simple manipulation of (5) into

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_p(z, y) &= (zy)^k + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (zy)^i (z^{2(k-i)} + y^{2(k-i)}) \\ &= p(zy)^k + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (zy)^i (z^{k-i} - y^{k-i})^2. \end{aligned}$$

For brevity, denote by

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} A_p(z, y) &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (zy)^i (z^{2(k-i)} + y^{2(k-i)}), \\ D_p(z, y) &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (zy)^i (z^{k-i} - y^{k-i})^2 \end{aligned}$$

to restate (8) as

$$(10) \quad \phi_p(z, y) = \frac{z^p - y^p}{z - y} = A_p(z, y) + (zy)^k = D_p(z, y) + p(zy)^k.$$

Note that (8)-(10) apply analogously to $\phi_p(z, x)$, $A_p(z, x)$ and $D_p(z, x)$. Since p is odd, we also have that

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_p(x, -y) &= \frac{x^p + y^p}{x + y} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} x^{p-i-1} (-y)^i \\ &= A_p(x, -y) + (-1)^k (xy)^k = D_p(x, -y) + (xy)^k, \end{aligned}$$

where we similarly obtain

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} A_p(x, -y) &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^i (xy)^i (x^{2(k-i)} + y^{2(k-i)}), \\ D_p(x, -y) &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^i (xy)^i (x^{k-i} - y^{k-i})^2. \end{aligned}$$

Given $xyz \neq 0$, $\gcd(x, y, z) = 1$ and $\gcd(p, xyz) = 1$ in (7), it is known [5] that

$$(13) \quad \gcd(z - y, \phi_p(z, y)) = 1.$$

To prove this, assume for contradiction that there exists a prime q dividing both $(z - y)$ and $\phi_p(z, y)$. Substituting $z \equiv y \pmod{q}$ into (8) using $A_p(z, y)$ or $D_p(z, y)$

yields $\phi_p(z, y) \equiv py^{p-1} \pmod{q}$. As $q|\phi_p(z, y)$, we have either $q = p$ or $q|y$. If $q = p$, then $p|(z - y)$ by assumption and, hence, $p|x$, which contradicts $\gcd(p, xyz) = 1$. If $q|y$, then q , different from p , is a common factor of y and z , thus also contradicting $\gcd(x, y, z) = 1$. Therefore, both cases imply that (13) must hold.

We also claim that

$$(14) \quad z - y \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \implies \phi_p(z, y) \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

since if $p|(z - y)$, then $p|D_p(z, y)$ as $(z - y)$ is a common factor of all summands in $D_p(z, y)$. With p being a factor of $p(zy)^k$, then $p|\phi_p(z, y)$, as claimed. It follows that if $p|(z - y)$ or $p|(z - x)$, then by (14) it is assured that $p^2|x^p$ or $p^2|y^p$, respectively. Moreover, given (13), it is apparent that $\gcd(z - y, \phi_p(z, y)) = 1$ or p .

Following the approach of Sophie Germain [7], we split FLT into two cases, where the Fermat equation has no nontrivial integer solutions for which $p \nmid xyz$ (FLT1) or $p|xyz$ (FLT2). By Fermat's Little Theorem, any positive integer N that is coprime to p satisfies

$$N^p \equiv N \pmod{p} \implies N^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

If FLT1 fails, such that Fermat equation has a solution for p under FLT1 conditions, i.e. $\gcd(x, y, z) = 1$ and $p \nmid xyz$, then

$$(15) \quad z^p - y^p \equiv x^p \pmod{p} \implies z - y \equiv x \pmod{p}.$$

We know from (13) that $(z - y)$ and $\phi_p(z, y)$ are coprime, such that for some positive integers r, r_1 , where $p \nmid rr_1$, and analogously for some positive integers s, s_1 and t, t_1 , where $p \nmid ss_1$ and $p \nmid tt_1$, one can write the Barlow-Abel relations [1] as

$$\begin{aligned} z - y &= r^p, & \phi_p(z, y) &= r_1^p, & x &= rr_1, \\ z - x &= s^p, & \phi_p(z, x) &= s_1^p, & y &= ss_1, \\ x + y &= t^p, & \phi_p(x, -y) &= t_1^p, & z &= tt_1. \end{aligned}$$

If along with (3) we let $x + y = c$, then a, b, c are all nonzero p -th power integers if Fermat equation holds for p under FLT1 conditions.

Now we extend Dickson's method for $n > 1$ with the formula

$$(16) \quad (x - a)^n = f_n(x, b, a) + g_n(b, a),$$

with

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} f_n(x, b, a) &= - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{i} b^i \left((x - a)^{n-i} - (x^{n-i} + (-a)^{n-i}) \right), \\ g_n(b, a) &= -(b - a)^n + b^n + (-a)^n = - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{i} b^{n-i} a^i, \end{aligned}$$

where $\binom{n}{i}$ denotes the binomial coefficient and a, b are as defined in (3). Note that the binomial coefficients appear in all resulting terms of $f_n(x, b, a)$ and $g_n(b, a)$. For some integers u, v , where $\gcd(p, uv) = 1$, it always holds that

$$u^p \pm v^p \equiv (u \pm v)^p \pmod{p},$$

since, by the Binomial Theorem, we have the expansion

$$(u \pm v)^p = u^p \pm \binom{p}{1} u^{p-1} v + \binom{p}{2} u^{p-2} v^2 \pm \dots + \binom{p}{p-1} u v^{p-1} \pm v^p,$$

where only the first and last terms are not divisible by p . Hence, we can claim that

$$(18) \quad (x - a)^p \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \implies x \equiv a \pmod{p},$$

which coincides with (15) if FLT1 fails, where $a = z - y$. From (3), we obtain

$$(19) \quad x - a = x + y - z, \quad 2x + b - a = x + y, \quad y = x + b - a,$$

such that any inferences on x from (16) apply analogously to y and z since

$$(20) \quad x - a = y - b = z - (a + b) = x + y - z.$$

Therefore, we can express

$$(21) \quad (x - a)^p = (y - b)^p = (z - (a + b))^p = pabK_p,$$

where

$$(22) \quad K_p = - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{i} \binom{p-1}{i-1} b^{i-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{p-i-1} (-1)^j \binom{p-i}{j} x^{p-i-j} a^{j-1} \right) \\ - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^i}{i} \binom{p-1}{i-1} b^{p-i-1} a^{i-1}.$$

Notice that $pab \mid (x - a)^p$ but $(pab)^2 \nmid (x - a)^p$ for all p , since pab is the only common factor of the resulting terms after expansion of $f_p(x, b, a)$ and $g_p(b, a)$. Hence, it is apparent that

$$(23) \quad (x - a)^p = (y - b)^p = (z - (a + b))^p \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

and so

$$(24) \quad x \equiv a, \quad y \equiv b, \quad z \equiv a + b \pmod{p}.$$

For the Fermat equation to have a solution for p under FLT1 conditions, it must hold that $p \nmid a$ or $p \nmid b$ for, otherwise, we have $p \mid (z - y)$ or $p \mid (z - x)$, which contradicts our assumption that $p \nmid xyz$. From (21), we have that

$$(25) \quad x - a = y - b = z - (a + b) = \sqrt[p]{pabK_p} = rs \sqrt[p]{pK_p},$$

where r, s are as defined for the first Barlow-Abel relations above. This shows that the p -th root of pK_p cannot be an integer since $p \nmid K_p$. Observe that

$$g_p(b, a) = -pab \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^i}{i} \binom{p-1}{i-1} b^{p-i-1} a^{i-1},$$

such that

$$(x - a)^p = f_p(x, b, a) + g_p(b, a) \quad \text{and} \quad K_p = \frac{f_p(x, b, a)}{pab} + \frac{g_p(b, a)}{pab}.$$

Then substituting $x \equiv a \pmod{p}$ into (17) yields

$$(26) \quad K_p \equiv 2 \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{2i-1} \binom{2k}{2i-2} b^{2(i-1)} a^{2(k-i)+1} \\ - \sum_{j=1}^{2k} \frac{(-1)^j}{j} \binom{2k}{j-1} b^{2k-j} a^{j-1} \pmod{p},$$

where, as above, $k = (p - 1)/2$. As the exponents are zero when $i = 1$ and $j = 2k$, the right-hand side of (26) forms a polynomial with all terms consisting of different

powers of a , b , or ab . This implies $p \nmid K_p$ from (25), with the radical factor assuring that $(x - a)$ is not an integer, thus establishing the truth of FLT1 for all p .

On the other hand, suppose FLT2 fails, such that Fermat equation has a solution for p under FLT2 conditions, i.e. $\gcd(x, y, z) = 1$ and $p \mid xyz$. Since one of x, y, z is necessarily even, we consider two cases where p divides the even (Case I) or one of the odd (Case II) variables. Without loss of generality, assume that x is even and divisible by p , such that $2p \mid a$ or $2p \mid (z - y)$. Then we have that

$$(27) \quad z^p - y^p \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \implies \quad z \equiv y \pmod{p}.$$

From (14), it follows that $\phi_p(z, y) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Since $x^p = (z - y)\phi_p(z, y)$ and, from (8), $p \mid \phi_p(z, y)$ but $p^2 \nmid \phi_p(z, y)$, the Barlow-Abel relations [1] for Case I must be in the form

$$\begin{aligned} z - y &= 2^{pd} p^{pe-1} r'^p, & \phi_p(z, y) &= pr_1^p, & x &= 2^d p^e r' r_1, \\ z - x &= s^p, & \phi_p(z, x) &= s_1^p, & y &= ss_1, \\ x + y &= t^p, & \phi_p(x, -y) &= t_1^p, & z &= tt_1, \end{aligned}$$

for some positive integers d, e, r' , where $2p \nmid r'$. Similarly for Case II, assuming that p divides y instead of x , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} z - y &= 2^{pd} r_0^p, & \phi_p(z, y) &= r_1^p, & x &= 2^d r_0 r_1, \\ z - x &= p^{pe-1} s'^p, & \phi_p(z, x) &= ps_1^p, & y &= p^e s' s_1, \\ x + y &= t^p, & \phi_p(x, -y) &= t_1^p, & z &= tt_1, \end{aligned}$$

for some positive integers d, e, r_0, s' , where $2p \nmid r_0 s'$. One can see that $a = z - y$ and $b = z - x$ are not perfect p -th powers for Case I and Case II, respectively. Hence, FLT2 conditions also result in (21), but where

$$(28) \quad \sqrt[p]{pabK_p} = \begin{cases} 2^d p^e r' s \sqrt[p]{K_p}, & \text{for FLT2 Case I,} \\ 2^d p^e r_0 s' \sqrt[p]{K_p}, & \text{for FLT2 Case II.} \end{cases}$$

Since $p \mid a$ in Case I or $p \mid b$ in Case II, we can rewrite (26) as

$$(29) \quad K_p \equiv h_p(b, a) + a^{p-2} + b^{p-2} \pmod{p},$$

where it can be checked that $h_p(b, a) = 0$ for $p = 3$ and $a \mid h_p(b, a)$ for $p > 3$ and so

$$(30) \quad K_p \equiv \begin{cases} b & \text{for FLT2 Case I,} \\ a & \text{for FLT2 Case II,} \end{cases} \pmod{p},$$

which implies that $p \nmid K_p$. We argue that K_p is never a perfect p -th power, except for the special case when $K_p = 1$ for $p = 2$ or also known as Pythagorean Theorem.

We can show from the Barlow-Abel relations above that

$$(31) \quad 2x = c - b + a, \quad 2y = c + b - a, \quad 2z = c + b + a,$$

which also lead to (20). Obviously, it is guaranteed that

$$x - a = \sqrt[p]{pabK_p}$$

holds when $K_p = 1$ for $p = 2$, thus making the Pythagorean Theorem an exception to FLT. This is indeed a special case, as only Case I of FLT2 is valid to apply for $p = 2$. From (16), we obtain $f_2(x, b, a) = 0$ and easily recover

$$(32) \quad (x - a)^2 = -(b - a)^2 + b^2 + a^2 = 2ab,$$

which leads to Dickson's Pythagorean triple in (4), since from (20) we also have that $(y-b)^2 = 2ab$ and $(z-(a+b))^2 = 2ab$. Then, from (21), we get $(x-a)^2 = 2abK_2$, where $K_2 = 1$. The Barlow-Abel relations for Case I of FLT2 must take $a = 2^{2e-1}r'^2$ and $b = s^2$, where $2 \nmid r's$, such that from (28) we obtain

$$x - a = \sqrt{2abK_2} = 2^e r' s,$$

whence Dickson's Pythagorean triple follows accordingly. This applies analogously if one assumes that y , instead of x , is even.

On the assumption that Fermat equation holds for odd p , it is claimed that

$$(33) \quad x^p + y^p = z^p \quad \implies \quad (x-a)^p - pabK_p = 0.$$

Hence, (16) is just a reformulation of Fermat equation with the premise that

$$(34) \quad (x+y-z)^p - pabK_p = x^p + y^p - z^p = 0.$$

In other words, $pabK_p$ is the summation of all multivariate terms after expansion of $(x+y-z)^p$ for odd p , with the necessary condition that $pabK_p$ is a perfect p -th power for the Fermat equation to be true. However, FLT asserts that $x^p + y^p - z^p \neq 0$ in positive integers for odd p and the Barlow-Abel relations above were, in fact, based on a contrary assumption that FLT fails. With the eventual proof of FLT by Wiles [2], it thus hints at rethinking the admissibility of the Barlow-Abel relations above due to a false assumption, which we illustrate in our argument below.

For $p = 3$, we find that $f_3(x, b, a) = 6abx$ and $g_3(b, a) = -3ab^2 + 3a^2b$, such that

$$(35) \quad (x-a)^3 = 6abx + 3ab^2 - 3a^2b = 3ab(2x + b - a).$$

One can check from (22) that $K_3 = 2x + b - a$ and so, given (24), we get $K_3 \equiv a + b \pmod{3}$ and, hence, $3 \nmid K_3$ since $3 \nmid ab$ under FLT1 conditions. It should hold that $3^3 \mid (x-a)^3$ and, by unique factorization, $3^2 \mid ab(x+y)$ where $K_3 = x+y$ from (19). Given that $3 \nmid ab$, with a and b being perfect cubes by the Barlow-Abel relations, it follows that $3^2 \mid (x+y)$. But then this implies that $z \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, which is contrary to our assumption that $3 \nmid xyz$. Hence, this tells us that FLT1 must hold for $p = 3$, as there are no integer solutions to

$$x - a = rs \sqrt[3]{3K_3}.$$

On the other hand, both cases of the Barlow-Abel relations under FLT2 conditions lead to

$$x - a = y - b = z - (a + b) = \sqrt[3]{3abK_3},$$

where, from (28), we have that

$$(36) \quad \sqrt[3]{3abK_3} = \begin{cases} 2^d 3^e r' s \sqrt[3]{K_3}, & \text{for FLT2 Case I,} \\ 2^d 3^e r_0 s' \sqrt[3]{K_3}, & \text{for FLT2 Case II.} \end{cases}$$

Given that $z = x + b$, observe that

$$z = K_3 - (x - a),$$

which is only valid if $(x-a)$ is an integer, for which K_3 must be a perfect cube. We argue that the claim in the Barlow-Abel relations, where $x+y = t^p$, is inadmissible if one makes the stated assumptions for $a = z - y$ and $b = z - x$. Indeed, it can be shown that

$$(x+y-z)^3 = 3(z-y)(z-x)(x+y),$$

but a simple algebraic manipulation leads us to deduce that

$$\frac{(x+y-z)^3}{3(z-y)(z-x)} \neq t^3.$$

This suggests that $K_3 = x+y$ is not a cube, such that $(x-a)$ cannot be an integer if one assumes the Fermat equation. Hence, FLT2 must hold for $p = 3$.

For $p = 5$, we find that

$$(37) \quad \begin{aligned} f_5(x, b, a) &= 5ab(4x^3 - 6ax^2 + 4a^2x + 6bx^2 + 4b^2x - 6abx), \\ g_5(b, a) &= 5ab(b^3 - 2ab^2 + 2a^2b - a^3), \end{aligned}$$

from which we can formulate

$$(38) \quad K_5 = 4x^3 + 6(b-a)x^2 + 2(2b^2 - ab + 2a^2)x - 2abc + b^3 - a^3,$$

with all terms being even, except for b^3 , and thus K_5 is odd. By substituting $x \equiv a \pmod{5}$ into $f_5(x, b, a)$, we obtain

$$(39) \quad K_5 \equiv h_5(b, a) + b^3 + a^3 \pmod{5},$$

where one can check that $h_5(b, a) = 2ab^2 + 2a^2b$. This implies that $5 \nmid K_5$ and FLT1 must hold for $p = 5$ since there are no integer solutions to

$$x - a = y - b = z - (a + b) = \sqrt[5]{5abK_5} = rs\sqrt[5]{5K_5}.$$

Under FLT2 conditions, suppose K_p is a perfect p -th power, such that there exists an integer R satisfying $R^p = pabK_p$. Then $x^p = (a + R)^p$ and $a^p = (z - y)^p$ lead to

$$(40) \quad x^p + y^p - z^p = R^p + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{i} \left((z-y)^{p-i} R^i + (-z)^{p-i} y^i \right),$$

which is clearly nonzero and, hence, in contradiction to (34). Therefore, FLT2 must also hold for $p = 5$ since it is impossible to find integer solutions to

$$\sqrt[5]{5abK_5} = \begin{cases} 2^d 5^e r' s \sqrt[5]{K_5}, & \text{for FLT2 Case I,} \\ 2^d 5^e r_0 s' \sqrt[5]{K_5}, & \text{for FLT2 Case II.} \end{cases}$$

By induction on K_p using (40), we thus conclude that FLT is true for all odd p .

REFERENCES

1. Ribenboim, P., *13 Lectures on Fermat's Last theorem*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin (1979).
2. Wiles, A., *Modular elliptic curves and Fermat's Last Theorem*. Annals of Mathematics (1995) 141: 443-551.
3. Bussey, W.H., *Fermat's method of infinite descent*. American Mathematical Monthly (1918) 25(8): 333-337.
4. Dickson, L.E., *Lowest integers representing sides of a right triangle*. American Mathematical Monthly (1894) 1(1): 6-11.
5. Birkhoff, G.D., Vandiver, H.S., *On the integral divisors of $a^n - b^n$* . Annals of Mathematics (1904) 5(4): 173-180.
6. Rucavicka, J., Vandiver, H.S., *Dickson's method for generating Pythagorean triples*. European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics (2013) 6(3): 363-364.
7. Laubenbacher, R., Pengelley, D., "Voici ce que j'ai trouvé:" *Sophie Germain's grand plan to prove Fermat's Last Theorem*. Historia Mathematica (2010) 37: 641-692.

COASTAL AND MARINE RESOURCES CORE LAB, KING ABDULLAH UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, THUWAL 23955, SAUDI ARABIA
 Email address: zenon.batang@kaust.edu.sa