

ON TOPOLOGICAL OBSTRUCTIONS TO THE EXISTENCE OF NON-PERIODIC WANNIER BASES

YU. KORDYUKOV, V. MANUILOV

ABSTRACT. Recently, M. Ludewig and G. C. Thiang introduced a notion of a uniformly localized Wannier basis with localization centers in an arbitrary uniformly discrete subset D in a complete Riemannian manifold X . They show that, under certain geometric conditions on X , the class of the orthogonal projection onto the span of such a Wannier basis in the K -theory of the Roe algebra $C^*(X)$ is trivial. In this short note, we clarify the geometric conditions on X , which guarantee triviality of the K -theory class of any Wannier projection. We show that this property is equivalent to triviality of the unit of the uniform Roe algebra of D in the K -theory of its Roe algebra, and provide a geometric criterion for that. As a consequence, we prove triviality of the K -theory class of any Wannier projection on a connected proper measure space X of bounded geometry with a uniformly discrete set of localization centers, coarsely equivalent to X .

1. INTRODUCTION

Wannier functions, introduced by G. Wannier in 1937, have become a fundamental tool in theoretical and computational solid-state physics. Given a Schrödinger operator \mathcal{H} with periodic potential acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the Wannier functions form an orthonormal basis of a spectral subspace of \mathcal{H} constructed from a finite set of functions along with their translations in \mathbb{Z}^d . In [7], M. Ludewig and G. C. Thiang initiated the study of Wannier bases for (magnetic) Schrödinger operators on general Riemannian manifolds, invariant under non-abelian discrete groups of isometries. Furthermore, in [8], they introduced the general notion of a uniformly localized Wannier basis with localization centers to come from an arbitrary uniformly discrete subset D in a complete Riemannian manifold X . They asked the following question:

Question 1. Does a given subspace $H \subset L^2(X)$ admit a uniformly localized Wannier basis, whose localization centers come from some uniformly discrete set $D \subset X$? If not, what is the obstruction?

In [8], it is proved that if $H \subset L^2(X)$ admits a uniformly localized Wannier basis with localization centers in some uniformly discrete set $D \subset X$, then the orthogonal projection p_H onto H (which will be called the Wannier projection in this case) lies in the Roe C^* -algebra $C^*(X)$. The main result of [8], Theorem 3.6, states that, under certain geometric conditions on X , the class $[p_H] \in K_0(C^*(X))$ of any Wannier projection p_H in the K -theory of the Roe algebra $C^*(X)$ is trivial. In other words, if $[p_H]$ is non-trivial, then H does not admit a uniformly localized Wannier basis with localization centers $D \subset X$, for any choice of uniformly discrete set D . We refer to the papers [3, 9] and references therein for various approaches to the analysis of Wannier bases for non-periodic systems.

In this short note we clarify the geometric conditions on X , which guarantee triviality of the K -theory class of any Wannier projection. We consider a more general setting of metric measure spaces. We show that triviality of the K -theory class of a Wannier projection with localization centers in D is equivalent to triviality of the unit of the uniform Roe

The results of Section 2 were obtained jointly by both authors, the results of Section 3 were obtained by V. Manuilov and supported by the RSF grant 23-21-00068.

algebra in the K_0 group of the Roe algebra of D (Theorem 3). We also provide a geometric criterion for the latter property (Theorems 5 and 6). As a consequence, we prove triviality of the K -theory class of any Wannier projection on a connected proper measure space X of bounded geometry with a uniformly discrete set of localization centers, coarsely equivalent to X (Corollary 11).

Let X be a proper metric measure space, that is, X is a set, which is equipped with a metric d and a measure m defined on the Borel σ -algebra defined by the topology on X induced by the metric, and all balls are compact. Let $D \subset X$ be a discrete subspace such that the inclusion $D \subset X$ is a coarse equivalence. The latter means that there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $x \in X$ there exists $y \in D$ with $d(x, y) < C$. We assume that D is uniformly discrete (i.e. $\inf_{g, h \in D, g \neq h} d(g, h) > 0$) and has bounded geometry (i.e. for any $R > 0$ the number of points in each ball of radius R is uniformly bounded). We may consider D as a measure space with the measure of any point equal to one. It is natural to think of D as a discretization of X .

For a Hilbert space H we write $\mathbb{B}(H)$ (resp., $\mathbb{K}(H)$) for the algebra of all bounded (resp., all compact) operators on H . Recall the definition of the Roe algebra of X [11]. Let H_X be a Hilbert space with an action of the algebra $C_0(X)$ of continuous functions on X vanishing at infinity (i.e. a $*$ -homomorphism $\psi : C_0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(H_X)$). We will assume that $\{\psi(f)\xi : f \in C_0(X), \xi \in H_X\}$ is dense in H_X and $\psi(f) \in \mathbb{K}(H_X)$ implies that $f = 0$. An operator $T \in \mathbb{B}(H_X)$ is *locally compact* if the operators $T\psi(f)$ and $\psi(f)T$ are compact for any $f \in C_0(X)$. It has *finite propagation* if there exists some $R > 0$ such that $\psi(f)T\psi(g) = 0$ whenever the distance between the supports of $f, g \in C_0(X)$ is greater than R . The *Roe algebra* $C^*(X, H_X)$ is the norm completion of the $*$ -algebra of locally compact, finite propagation operators on H_X .

Let $H_X = L^2(X) \otimes H$ for some Hilbert space H (possibly finite-dimensional). In this case there is a standard action of $C_0(X)$ on H_X by multiplication, and we shall skip ψ from the notation. The Roe algebra $C^*(X, H_X)$ in this case will be denoted by $C_H^*(X)$.

Often one may forget about H , namely one may take H one-dimensional. This happens when the operator of multiplication by any non-zero $f \in C_0(X)$ in $L^2(X)$ is not compact, i.e. when the measure on X has no atoms. In this case the algebras $C_H^*(X)$ and $C_{\mathbb{C}}^*(X)$ are isomorphic.

But for discrete space D this is not true: $C_H^*(D)$ is not isomorphic to $C_{\mathbb{C}}^*(D)$, so for discrete spaces we have two algebras: the *Roe algebra* $C_H^*(D)$ with an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space H , usually denoted by $C^*(D)$, and the *uniform Roe algebra* $C_{\mathbb{C}}^*(D)$, usually denoted by $C_u^*(D)$.

2. WANNIER PROJECTIONS AS THE IMAGE OF THE UNIT OF $C_{\mathbb{C}}^*(D)$

Let $\{\phi_x : x \in D\}$ be a set of functions in $L^2(X)$ such that $\text{supp } \phi_x \cap \text{supp } \phi_y = \emptyset$ when $x \neq y$, $x \in \text{supp } \phi_x$, the diameters of $\text{supp } \phi_x$, $x \in D$, are uniformly bounded, and $\|\phi_x\|_2 = 1$, $x \in D$. Let $H_\phi \subset L^2(X)$ be the closure of the linear span of the set $\{\phi_x : x \in D\}$, and let p_ϕ denote the orthogonal projection onto H_ϕ . The set of functions $\{\phi_x : x \in D\}$ is called a D -compactly supported *Wannier basis* for H_ϕ , and the projection p_ϕ a *Wannier projection*.

Further on we shall need a construction from [6, Section 4], which allows us to induce maps between Roe algebras from maps between spaces. Given two metric measure spaces, X and Y , and two Hilbert spaces, H_X and H_Y , with respective actions ψ_X and ψ_Y of $C_0(X)$ and $C_0(Y)$, respectively, a coarse map $F : X \rightarrow Y$ induces a $*$ -homomorphism $C^*(X, H_X) \rightarrow C^*(Y, H_Y)$, $T \mapsto VTV^*$, where $V : H_X \rightarrow H_Y$ is an isometry that covers F ,

which means that there exists $C > 0$ such that $\psi_X(f)V\psi_Y(g) = 0$ when $d(\text{supp } f, \text{supp}(g \circ F)) > C$, $f \in C_0(X)$, $g \in C_0(Y)$.

Let $V : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow H$ be an isometry, i.e. an inclusion of \mathbb{C} onto a one-dimensional subspace of H , and let $V_X = \text{id} \otimes V : L^2(X) = L^2(X) \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow L^2(X) \otimes H$. Clearly, V_X covers the identity map of X , and we get the maps

$$i_X : C_{\mathbb{C}}^*(X) \rightarrow C_H^*(X) \quad \text{and} \quad i_D : C_{\mathbb{C}}^*(D) \rightarrow C_H^*(D)$$

given by $i_X(T) = V_X T V_X^*$ and $i_D(T) = V_D T V_D^*$ respectively. These two maps induce maps in K -theory

$$(i_X)_* : K_0(C_{\mathbb{C}}^*(X)) \rightarrow K_0(C_H^*(X)) \quad \text{and} \quad (i_D)_* : K_0(C_{\mathbb{C}}^*(D)) \rightarrow K_0(C_H^*(D)),$$

where the first map is an isomorphism when the measure on X has no atoms. These maps are independent of the choice of V .

Given a Wannier basis $\{\phi_x\}_{x \in D}$, let $U : l^2(D) \rightarrow L^2(X)$ be the isometry defined by $U(\delta_x) = \phi_x$, so that the range of U is the subspace $H_\phi \subset L^2(X)$. We shall use also the isometry $U_H = U \otimes 1_H : l^2(D) \otimes H \rightarrow L^2(X) \otimes H$. Clearly, U covers the inclusion map $D \subset X$, so it gives the map

$$j_{\mathbb{C}} : C_{\mathbb{C}}^*(D) \rightarrow C_{\mathbb{C}}^*(X), \quad j_{\mathbb{C}}(T) = U T U^*, \quad T \in C_{\mathbb{C}}^*(D).$$

Similarly, using U_H instead of U , we get the map

$$j_H : C_H^*(D) \rightarrow C_H^*(X), \quad j_H(T) = U_H T U_H^*, \quad T \in C_H^*(D).$$

Note that $C_{\mathbb{C}}^*(D)$ is unital, and $j_{\mathbb{C}}(1) = p_\phi$ is the Wannier projection. Set $q = i_D(1) \in C_H^*(D)$.

The above maps can be organized into the commutative diagram of C^* -algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_{\mathbb{C}}^*(D) & \xrightarrow{j_{\mathbb{C}}} & C_{\mathbb{C}}^*(X) \\ \downarrow i_D & & \downarrow i_X \\ C_H^*(D) & \xrightarrow{j_H} & C_H^*(X), \end{array}$$

and of their K_0 groups

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(C_{\mathbb{C}}^*(D)) & \xrightarrow{(j_{\mathbb{C}})_*} & K_0(C_{\mathbb{C}}^*(X)) \\ \downarrow (i_D)_* & & \downarrow (i_X)_* \\ K_0(C_H^*(D)) & \xrightarrow{(j_H)_*} & K_0(C_H^*(X)). \end{array} \tag{1}$$

Lemma 2. *One has $j_{\mathbb{C}}(1) \otimes 1_H = p_\phi \otimes 1_H = j_H(q)$.*

Proof. As $j_H(q) = p_\phi \otimes 1_H$, the conclusion follows from commutativity of the diagram (1). \square

Theorem 3. *Let p_ϕ be a Wannier projection with a uniformly discrete set D of localization centers, coarse equivalent to X . The following are equivalent:*

- $[p_\phi] = 0$ in $K_0(C_{\mathbb{C}}^*(X))$;
- $[i_D(1)] = 0$ in $K_0(C_H^*(D))$.

Proof. The map $(i_X)_*$ is an isomorphism, and $i_X(p_\phi) = p_\phi \otimes 1_H$. Coarse equivalence of D and X implies that $(j_H)_*$ is an isomorphism as well. Thus, all entries in (1), except the upper left one, are isomorphic. \square

Thus triviality of the K -theory class $[p_\phi]$ of p_ϕ is not related to X , but only to D .

Remark 1. Recall that a *partial translation* is a bijection $f : A \rightarrow B$ between subsets $A, B \subset D$ such that $\sup_{x \in A} d(x, f(x)) < \infty$. The space D is *paradoxical* if there exist a decomposition $D = D_+ \sqcup D_-$ and partial translations $f_{\pm} : D \rightarrow D_{\pm}$. Theorem 4.9 in [1] shows that if D is paradoxical then [1] is zero already in $K_0(C_{\mathbb{C}}^*(D))$.

Remark 2. Theorem 3 is still true if we weaken the condition $\phi_x \phi_y = 0$ for $x, y \in D$, $x \neq y$. Let $V : l^2(D) \rightarrow L^2(X)$ be defined by $V(\delta_x) = \phi_x$, $x \in D$, as before. Instead of requiring it to be an isometry we may require only that V^*V is invertible. In this case $\{\phi_x\}_{x \in D}$ is not a basis for H_{ϕ} , but only a frame. Let $V = WH$ be the polar decomposition. Then the range of W is still H_{ϕ} . The isometry W does not cover the inclusion $D \subset X$, nevertheless the map $T \mapsto WTW^*$ still maps $C_{\mathbb{C}}^*(D)$ to $C_{\mathbb{C}}^*(X)$, and Lemma 2 and Theorem 3 hold.

3. GEOMETRIC CRITERION FOR TRIVIALITY OF $[p_{\phi}]$

Definition 4. We say that a discrete metric space D has a *ray structure* if there exists a family $\{D_i\}_{i \in I}$, of subsets of D such that $D = \sqcup_{i \in I} D_i$, and a family of bijective uniformly Lipschitz maps $\beta_i : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow D_i$, $i \in I$.

In this case we call the subsets D_i , $i \in I$, *rays*.

Let $C_b(D)$ denote the commutative C^* -algebra of bounded functions on D . The inclusion $C_b(D) \subset C_{\mathbb{C}}^*(D)$ induces a map $\gamma : K_0(C_b(D)) \rightarrow K_0(C_{\mathbb{C}}^*(D))$.

Theorem 5. *Let D be a uniformly discrete space of bounded geometry. If D has a ray structure then $(i_D)_*(p) = 0$ for any $p \in \gamma(K_0(C_b(D)))$. In particular, $(i_D)_*([1]) = 0$, hence $[p_{\phi}] = 0$ for any Wannier basis on X with localization centers in D .*

Proof. Fix a basis in H , and let $p_k \in \mathbb{K}(H)$ be the projection onto the first k vectors of the fixed basis of H . Note that $K_0(C_b(D))$ is the group of bounded \mathbb{Z} -valued functions on D , and it suffices to prove the claim for the case when p is a bounded \mathbb{N} -valued function $x \mapsto k_x$, $x \in D$, i.e. $p = [f]$, where $f(x) = p_{k_x}$.

Endow $Y = \mathbb{N} \times I$ with the wedge metric, i.e. $d_Y((k, i), (l, i)) = |k - l|$ and $d_Y((k, i), (l, j)) = k + l + 1$ for $i \neq j$, where $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, $i, j \in I$. Then the family $(\beta_i)_{i \in I}$ determines a bijective map $\beta : Y \rightarrow D$, $\beta((k, i)) = \beta_i(k)$. As

$$d(\beta(k, i), \beta(l, i)) < |k - l|C = Cd_Y((k, i), (l, i)),$$

we have $d_Y(y_1, y_2) \geq \frac{1}{C}d(\beta(y_1), \beta(y_2))$ for any $y_1, y_2 \in Y$. Therefore, the bijection β defines inclusions $C_{\mathbb{C}}^*(Y) \subset C_{\mathbb{C}}^*(D)$ and $C_H^*(Y) \subset C_H^*(D)$, the first of which is unital. If we show that the class of the projection $(i_D)_*(p)$ is zero in $K_0(C_H^*(Y))$ then it should be zero in $K_0(C_H^*(D))$ too. Making the C^* -algebra smaller once again, we can pass from $C_H^*(Y)$ to $\prod_{i \in I} C_H^*(\mathbb{N}) \subset C_H^*(Y)$, and then we have to show that the class of the projection $(i_D)_*(p)$ is zero in $K_0(\prod_{i \in I} C_H^*(\mathbb{N}))$.

Restricting f to one copy of D_i , $i \in I$, and identifying D_i with \mathbb{N} , we get the function $f_i : D_i \rightarrow \mathbb{K}(H)$, $f_i(n) = p_{k_n}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N} \cong D_i$. Set $l_n = \sum_{j=1}^n k_j$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $h_i : D_i \rightarrow \mathbb{K}(H)$ be the function defined by $h_i(n) = p_{l_n}$. Summing up operators of multiplication by h_i over I , we get an operator of multiplication by h on D . Set $q = \gamma(h)$. We claim that $p + q = q$ in $K_0(C_H^*(\mathbb{N}))$. Clearly, $p + q$ is the class of the operator of multiplication by h' such that $h'_i(n) = p_{l_{(n+1)}}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $T^{(i)}i \in C^*(D_i, H)$ by $T_{nm}^{(i)} = \begin{cases} p_{l(n)} & \text{if } m = n + 1; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ Then $T^{(i)}(T^{(i)})^* = h_i$, $(T^{(i)})^*T^{(i)} = h'_i$. Setting $T = \bigoplus_{i \in I} T^{(i)}$, we obtain Murray–von Neumann equivalence between the projections $p \oplus q$ and q . Thus $(i_D)_*(p) = 0$.

Finally, note that $[1] \in \gamma(K_0(C_b(D)))$.

□

For $\alpha > 0$ let $D(\alpha)$ be the graph whose vertices are points of D , and two vertices, $x, y \in D$ are connected by an edge whenever $d(x, y) \leq \alpha$.

Theorem 6. *Let D be a uniformly discrete metric space of bounded geometry. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that the graph $D(\alpha)$ has no finite connected components;*
- (2) *there exists a discrete metric space D' with a ray structure and an isometric inclusion $D \subset D'$ which is a coarse equivalence.*

Proof. Bounded geometry of D implies existence of some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that each vertex in $D(\alpha)$ has no more than N neighbors. By the Nash-Williams Theorem [10], D_α is the union of not more than N subforests. Moreover, in each connected component one can connect all trees of a subforest into a single tree.

Consider first the case when $D(\alpha) = T$ is a tree. The simplest case is when T is an infinite tree without no dead ends, i.e. when each finite path from the root vertex to any vertex can be extended infinitely, i.e. when each vertex (with possible exception of the root) is the end-point of at least two edges. Choose an infinite ray starting at the root. They give, and denote it by T_1 . Then the graph $T \setminus T_1$ is a forest. It contains finitely many trees with roots at the minimal distance from the root of T . Choose infinite rays starting at these new roots. They give rays T_2, \dots, T_{m_1} . Going further from the root of T , we obtain, by induction, a decomposition $T = \sqcup_{j \in J} T_j$, where each T_j is coarsely equivalent to \mathbb{N} .

Now consider an infinite tree with dead ends. Suppose that the tree T has the form $T = R \cup T_f$, where R is an infinite ray with vertices $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots$, T_f is a finite tree with the root $y_0 = x_n = R \cap T_f$. As the number of neighbors of any vertex does not exceed N , there is a path λ in T_f that starts and ends at y_0 and passes through each vertex of T_f not more than $2N$ times. Let n_y be the number of times that the path λ passes through the vertex y . Clone all vertices of T_f , i.e. replace each vertex $y \in T_f$ by n_y vertices. Similarly, clone the edges so that the path λ passes each edge only once. Denote the resulting finite graph by T'_f . Then the path λ runs through all vertices of T'_f , $\lambda = (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_m)$. In particular, the vertex y_0 becomes two vertices, z_0 and z_m . Set $T' = R \cup T'_f$, and let the map $\beta : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow T'$ be given by the sequence $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, z_0, z_1, \dots, z_m, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots)$. The map β clearly satisfies Definition 5. It is also clear that T' is coarsely equivalent to T .

If $T = R \cup T_f^1 \cup T_f^2 \cup \dots$ with the roots of finite trees x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}, \dots then we apply the above procedure to each finite subtree one after one to obtain a ray $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n_1-1}, z_0^1, \dots, z_{m_1}^1, x_{n_1+1}, \dots, x_{n_2-2}, z_0^2, \dots, z_{m_2}^2, x_{n_2+1}, \dots$, where z_j^i , $j = 1, \dots, m_j$, is the list of vertices of the modified tree $(T_f^i)'$.

If a tree is finite then we can connect it to a ray from another (infinite) tree and proceed as above. Thus we can present each of (not more than) N trees in each connected component of $D(\alpha)$ as a disjoint union of rays, and we can pass to the general case. There is still a problem that the trees may share some common edges (Nash-Williams Theorem does not assert that the forests are disjoint). So, consider the case when several rays share some edges. Each such edge can belong to not more than N rays. Once again, we can clone these edges (and the corresponding vertices as well) so that each ray would pass through its own copy of these edges, and the resulting graph gives D' coarsely equivalent to D .

In the opposite direction, suppose that there exists D' as in (2), and (1) does not hold, i.e. for any $\alpha > 0$ there exists a finite component of $D(\alpha)$. Then there exists $C > 0$ such

that (a) for any $x \in D'$ there exists $y \in D$ with $d(x, y) < C$, and (b) $D' = \sqcup_{i \in I} D'_i$ and for each $i \in I$ there exists a bijective map $\beta : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow D'_i$ with $d(\beta(k+1), \beta(k)) < C$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Take $\alpha > 3C$, and let $F \subset D$ be a finite subset such that $d(F, D \setminus F) > 3C$. Then $d(F, D' \setminus N_C(F)) > 2C$, where $N_C(F)$ is the C -neighborhood of F . Let $x \in F$, and let $x \in D_i$ for some $i \in I$. The orbit D'_i of x under the action β is infinite, and this, together with finiteness of F , contradicts $d(\beta(k+1), \beta(k)) < C$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Corollary 7. *Let D be a uniformly discrete space of bounded geometry satisfying either of the conditions of Theorem 6. Then $(i_D)_*([1]) = 0$.*

Proof. Let D' be as in Theorem 6, and let $\iota : D \rightarrow D'$ be the corresponding inclusion. Then ι induces the maps $\iota_C : C_C^*(D) \rightarrow C_C^*(D')$ and $\iota_H : C_H^*(D) \rightarrow C_H^*(D')$. Set $s = \iota_C(1) \in C_C^*(D')$. As ι is a coarse equivalence, the lower horizontal map in the commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(C_C^*(D)) & \xrightarrow{(\iota_C)^*} & K_0(C_C^*(D')) \\ (i_D)_* \downarrow & & \downarrow (i_{D'})_* \\ K_0(C_H^*(D)) & \xrightarrow{(\iota_H)^*} & K_0(C_H^*(D')) \end{array}$$

is an isomorphism. By Theorem 5, $(i_{D'})_*(s) = 0$, hence $(i_D)_*([1]) = 0$. \square

Lemma 8. *Suppose that $D(\alpha)$ has finite components for any $\alpha > 0$. Then $(i_D)_*([1]) \neq 0$.*

Proof. By assumption, there exists a sequence $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of finite subsets of D such that $d(F_n, D \setminus F_n) > n$. Then $D = E \sqcup F$, where $F = \sqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$. There is a short exact sequence of C^* -algebras

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}(l^2(D) \otimes H) \longrightarrow C_H^*(D) \longrightarrow \frac{C_H^*(E)}{\mathbb{K}(l^2(E) \otimes H)} \oplus \frac{C_H^*(F)}{\mathbb{K}(l^2(F) \otimes H)} \longrightarrow 0.$$

As K_1 for compact operators is zero, it suffices to show that the image of $(i_F)_*([1])$ in $K_0\left(\frac{C_H^*(F)}{\mathbb{K}(l^2(F) \otimes H)}\right)$ is non-zero. Note that $C_H^*(F)$ sits between $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_b(F_n) \otimes \mathbb{K}(H)$ and $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{K}(l^2(F_n) \otimes H)$. As $(i_F)_*([1])$ is non-zero both in $K_0\left(\frac{\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_b(F_n) \otimes \mathbb{K}(H)}{\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C_b(F_n) \otimes \mathbb{K}(H)}\right)$ and in $K_0\left(\frac{\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{K}(l^2(F_n) \otimes H)}{\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{K}(l^2(F_n) \otimes H)}\right)$, it is non-zero in $K_0\left(\frac{C_H^*(F)}{\mathbb{K}(l^2(F) \otimes H)}\right)$ as well. \square

Let Γ be a graph with the set of vertices Γ_0 and the set of edges Γ_1 . The group $C_k^{BM}(\Gamma)$ of k -dimensional Borel–Moore chains is the abelian group of all formal sums $\sum_{x \in \Gamma_k} \lambda_x \cdot x$, $k = 0, 1$, $\lambda_x \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the quotient $H_0^{BM}(\Gamma) = C_0^{BM}(\Gamma) / \partial C_1^{BM}(\Gamma)$ with respect to the standard boundary map is the 0-th Borel–Moore homology group of Γ . Details on Borel–Moore homology can be found in [2]. Let $c = \sum_{x \in \Gamma_0} x$ be the chain with all coefficients equal to 1. If Γ is infinite uniformly locally finite then $[c] = 0$ in $H_0^{BM}(\Gamma)$, while if Γ is finite then $[c] \neq 0$. As Borel–More homology is functorial, we have maps $H_0^{BM}(D(\alpha)) \rightarrow H_0^{BM}(D(\beta))$ when $\alpha < \beta$, and can pass to the direct limit. Thus we obtain the following result.

Corollary 9. *The following are equivalent:*

- (1) $(i_D)_*([1]) = 0$ in $K_0(C_H^*(D))$;
- (2) $[c] = 0$ in $\text{dir lim}_\alpha H_0^{BM}(D(\alpha)) = 0$.

It would be interesting to find a more direct proof of Corollary 9, avoiding graph theory. Note that the equivalent properties from Theorem 6 are coarsely invariant.

Lemma 10. *Let $D_1, D_2 \subset X$ be two uniformly discrete subsets of bounded geometry coarsely equivalent to X . If D_1 satisfies the property (1) of Theorem 6 then D_2 satisfies it too.*

Proof. Suppose that D_1 does not satisfy the property (1) of Theorem 6, and, for any $\beta > 0$, let $\Gamma_1(\beta)$ be a finite connected component of $D_1(\beta)$. Take an arbitrary $\alpha > 0$. Coarse equivalence between D_1 and D_2 means that there exists $C > 0$ such that for every $x \in D_1$ there exists $y \in D_2$ with $d(x, y) < C$ and vice versa. Let $Z = \{z \in D_2 : d(z, x) < C \text{ for some } x \in \Gamma_1(\alpha + 2C)\}$. If $z \in Z, y \in D_2 \setminus Z$ then $d(z, y) \geq \alpha$. As $\Gamma_1(\alpha)$ is finite for any α , and as D_1 is of bounded geometry, the set Z is finite. Consider Z as a subgraph of $D_2(\alpha)$. It is not connected with any point from $D_2(\alpha) \setminus Z$. Then any connected component of Z is a finite connected component of $D_2(\alpha)$. Thus, D_2 does not satisfy the property (1) of Theorem 6. □

Recall that a metric space X has *bounded geometry* if there exists $r > 0$ such that for any $R > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that any ball of radius R can be covered by not more than N balls of radius r (cf. [5], where it is discussed that this definition for manifolds can be derived from the traditional local definition via curvature).

It is shown in [8], Prop. 2.5, that if X is a complete Riemannian manifold admitting a decomposition $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ with closed X_1 and X_2 such that $K_0(C^*(X_1)) = K_0(C^*(X_2)) = 0$ then $[p_\phi] = 0$ for any Wannier projection p_ϕ with uniformly discrete set of localization centers. The next corollary shows that, under the bounded geometry condition, vanishing of $[p_\phi]$ is much more common. Importance of this condition is explained by the Greene's theorem: any smooth manifold admits a Riemannian metric of bounded geometry [4].

Corollary 11. *Let X be a connected proper measure space of bounded geometry. Then, for any Wannier projection p_ϕ with a uniformly discrete set D of localization centers, coarsely equivalent to X , we have $[p_\phi] = 0$.*

Proof. By Lemma 10, Corollary 7 and Theorem 3, it suffices to show that there exists a uniformly discrete set D of bounded geometry, coarsely equivalent to X , which satisfies the property (1) of Theorem 6. Since X has bounded geometry, there exists $r > 0$ such that any ball of radius R is covered by at most N balls of radius $r/2$. Given $c > 0$, we say that a subset $A \subset X$ is c -disjoint if $d(x, y) > c$ for any $x, y \in A, y \neq x$. By Zorn Lemma, there exists a maximal discrete r -disjoint subset $D \subset X$. It is clear that D is uniformly discrete. Maximality of D implies that for any $x \in X$ there exists $y \in D$ with $d(x, y) \leq r$, so D is coarsely equivalent to X . Since any ball of radius $r/2$ contains not more than one point of D , D has bounded geometry. We claim that the graph $D(3r)$ is connected and, therefore, satisfies the property (1) of Theorem 6. Indeed, suppose the contrary. If $D(3r)$ is not connected then we can write $D = A_1 \sqcup A_2$, where one has $d(x, y) \geq 3r$ if $x \in A_1, y \in A_2$. Set $X_i = \{x \in X : d(x, A_i) \leq r\}$, $i = 1, 2$. Then $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ and $X_1 \cap X_2 = \emptyset$, moreover, $d(X_1, X_2) \geq r$, which means that X is not connected. □

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Ara, K. Li, F. Lledó, J. Wu. *Amenability and uniform Roe algebras*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **459** (2018), 686–716.
- [2] A. Borel, J. C. Moore. *Homology theory for locally compact spaces*. Michigan Math. J. **7** (1960), 137–159.
- [3] C. Bourne, B., Mesland. *Localised module frames and Wannier bases from groupoid Morita equivalences*. J. Fourier. Anal. Appl. **27** (2021), no. 4, Paper No. 69, 39 pp.

- [4] R. E. Greene. *Complete metrics of bounded curvature on noncompact manifolds*. Arch. Math. **31** (1978), 89–95.
- [5] E. Guentner, R. Tessera, G. Yu. *A notion of geometric complexity and its application to topological rigidity*. Invent. Math. **189** (2012), 315–357.
- [6] N. Higson, J. Roe, G. Yu. *A coarse Mayer-Vietoris principle*. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **114** (1993), 85–97.
- [7] M. Ludewig, G. C. Thiang. *Good Wannier bases in Hilbert modules associated to topological insulators*. J. Math. Phys. **61** (2020), No. 061902.
- [8] M. Ludewig, G. C. Thiang. *Large-scale geometry obstructs localization*. J. Math. Phys. **63** (2022), No. 091902.
- [9] G. Marcelli, M. Moscolari, G. Panati. *Localization of generalized Wannier bases implies Chern triviality in non-periodic insulators*. Ann. Henri Poincaré **24** (2023), 895–930.
- [10] C. St. J. A. Nash-Williams. *Infinite graphs – a survey*. J. Combinatorial Theory **3** (1967), 286–301.
- [11] J. Roe. *Index theory, coarse geometry, and topology of manifolds*. CBMS Regional Conference Series in Math., **90**. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UFA FEDERAL RESEARCH CENTRE, RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, 112 CHERNYSHEVSKY STR., 450008 UFA, RUSSIA

MOSCOW CENTER FOR FUNDAMENTAL AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY, LENINSKIE GORY 1, MOSCOW, 119991, RUSSIA,

Email address: yurikor@matem.anrb.ru

Email address: manuilov@mech.math.msu.su