

Effect of dispersive optical phonons on the properties of Bond Su-Schrieffer-Heeger polaron

Chao Zhang^{1, *}

¹*Department of Modern Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China*

We use a newly developed Quantum Monte Carlo method based on the path-integral formulation of the particle sector and the real-space diagrammatic technique of the phonon sector to investigate the impact of a finite dispersion of the optical phonon mode on the properties of the bond Su-Schrieffer-Heeger polaron in two dimensions. Our study focuses on the effect of positive bandwidth on the bond polaron's effective mass, ground state energy, and Z factor, comparing these properties with those of the dispersionless case. At the same electron-phonon coupling strength, in the absence of phonon dispersion, we observe that the effective mass increases as the phonon frequency decreases, indicating a heavier polaron in the deep adiabatic regime. While in the dispersive case, we find that the effective mass increases as the phonon bandwidth increases. Furthermore, we observe a crossover from a light polaron state to a heavy polaron state in the deep adiabatic regime for both the dispersionless and dispersive case.

I. INTRODUCTION

Polaron problem keeps attracting attention in decants and it asks what happens when a particle coupled to an environment and what is the property of the resulting object, which is called a polaron. Depending on the properties of the particle, the environment, and their coupling, different types of polarons can arise, such as electron-phonon polarons¹⁻⁷, spin-polarons⁸⁻¹⁰, Fermi-polarons¹¹⁻¹⁴, protons in neutron rich matter¹⁵. Among these, the electron-phonon polaron is particularly important because it is associated with the mechanism of high-temperature superconductivity in the dilute-density regime. In the low-density limit, the electron-phonon interaction can combine two polarons into a single bipolaron, forming a Bose-Einstein condensate-like superconductor. However, for such a superconductor to occur, a bipolaron with a light effective mass and a strong phonon-mediated pairing potential is required.

Previous studies have shown that in the Holstein model where the electron-phonon coupling affects on the density of the electrons, the effective mass of polaron and bipolaron increase exponentially at strong electron-phonon coupling strengths¹⁶⁻¹⁹. However, when the electron-phonon coupling affects the hopping of electrons, which is known as the bond Su-Schrieffer-Heeger polaron (SSH), the situation becomes different^{20,21}. Recently, there has been a surge of interest in studying bond SSH polarons and bipolarons, since in the absence of phonon dispersion, the effective mass is not exponentially large at strong coupling regimes, resulting in a light polaron^{17,22,23}. Moreover, when two polarons form a bound state, the effective mass of the resulting bipolaron is still light enough¹⁷ and the size of the bipolaron is small, which leads to the possibility of high-temperature superconductivity^{24,25}. In all of these cases, only phonons with the Einstein mode are considered. One way to extend the bond SSH model is to introduce dispersion among localized (Einstein) phonons. However, there are very few attempts in the literature to study polaron/bipolaron models with dispersive phonons

due to the scarcity of numerical techniques suitable for this type of analysis even in the most-well known Holstein model.

In the dilute density limit, in the Holstein model, the phonon degrees of freedom dress the electrons, giving rise to polaron and bipolaron formation. Previous studies^{26,27} have investigated the influence of the dispersion among optical phonons on the polaron's effective mass in one dimension. However, Ref²⁶ is limited to one dimensional which is not physical, and the adiabatic regime $\omega_0/t < 1$ has not been explored due to the computational hard. At higher densities, the phonons mediate collective superconducting and charge-density wave phases. Recently, a study has demonstrated the significant influence of phonon dispersion on the formation of charge-density-wave order in a system with finite-electron density using the quantum Monte Carlo technique²⁸. However, the effect of finite dispersion of the optical phonon mode on the properties of the bond SSH model, particularly the effective mass, is still unknown.

In this paper, we investigate the effects of a finite dispersion of the optical phonon mode on the properties of the bond SSH polaron in two dimensions using a newly developed Quantum Monte Carlo method. Our approach is based on the path-integral formulation of the particle sector combined with real space diagrammatic techniques for the phonon sector²⁹. We consider only the positive phonon bandwidth due to the 'sign' problem that arises with negative phonon bandwidth in this method. To the best of our knowledge, our theory is the first quantitative effect to (i) demonstrate, using an unbiased approach, the properties of bond polaron with the dispersive phonons in two dimensions with phonon bandwidth W smaller, equal, and larger than the phonon frequency ω_0 and (ii) study the properties in the adiabatic regime as low as $\omega_0/t = 0.3$. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we present the Hamiltonian of the bond SSH polaron. In Sec. III, we introduce how to extract the properties from the Green's function. In Sec. IV, we discuss the results and Sec. V concludes the paper.

II. HAMILTONIAN

We consider a bond SSH electron-phonon coupling on a simple two-dimensional square lattice. In this model, the electronic hopping between two sites is modulated by a single oscillator centered on the bond connecting the two sites. The model is described by the Hamiltonian^{30–33}:

$$H_1 = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle, \sigma} (c_{j,\sigma}^\dagger c_{i,\sigma} + h.c.) + \omega_0 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \left(b_{i,j}^\dagger b_{i,j} + \frac{1}{2} \right) + g \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle, \sigma} \left(c_{j,\sigma}^\dagger c_{i,\sigma} + h.c. \right) \left(b_{i,j}^\dagger + b_{i,j} \right), \quad (1)$$

where $\langle i, j \rangle$ denotes the nearest-neighbor sites. b_i is the optical phonon annihilation operator on site i and $c_{i,\sigma}$ is the annihilation operator for electron on site i with spin $\sigma \in \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}$, t is the electron hopping amplitude between the nearest-neighbor sites (we use it as the unit of energy), and g is the strength of the electron-phonon interaction (EPI) of the hopping-displacement type. ω_0 is the local phonon frequency.

We generalize Eq.(1) to $H = H_1 + H_2$, to include a coupling strength t_{ph} between nearest-neighbor bonds for the phonons, with

$$H_2 = -t_{ph} \sum_{\langle\langle i,j \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle i',j' \rangle\rangle} \left(b_{i,j}^\dagger b_{i',j'} + h.c. \right). \quad (2)$$

Here $\langle\langle i, j \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle i', j' \rangle\rangle$ denotes nearest-neighbor bonds. t_{ph} is the hopping amplitude for phonons between nearest-neighbor bonds, and it can be positive or negative. Due to the ‘sign’ problem cause by the negative phonon hopping, we only consider $t_{ph} > 0$. The inclusion of the nearest-neighbor hopping of the phonons $t_{ph} > 0$ leads to a finite phonon bandwidth $W = 8t_{ph}$. When considering the dispersive case, some of the phonons are softer and the phonon frequency is lowered to $\omega_L = \omega_0 - W/2$.

The properties of the bond SSH polaron is controlled by two dimensionless parameters: (i) the effective coupling

$$\lambda = \frac{g^2}{2t\omega}, \quad (3)$$

here, ω is defined as $\omega = \omega_0$ with ω_0 the bare phonon frequency for the dispersionless case, and $\omega = \omega_L$ for the dispersive case. (ii) The adiabaticity ratio ω_0/t . Here, in this paper, we work on the adiabatic regime $\omega_0/t \leq 1.0$ where the phonon degree of freedom is considered comparable or slow with respect to the electron motion.

III. GREEN’S FUNCTION

In the following, we study the effect of the positive phonon bandwidth W at a certain phonon frequency ω_0

on the properties of the bond polaron: the ground state energy, the effective mass as well as the Z factor. The polaron energy $E(\mathbf{k})$, and $Z(\mathbf{k})$ -factor at momentum \mathbf{k} can be extracted from the Green’s function dependence on imaginary time τ . In the asymptotic limit $\tau \rightarrow \infty$, this dependence is governed by the ground state in the corresponding momentum sector, as follows from the spectral Lehman representation. For the stable (non-decaying) quasiparticle state, we have

$$G(\mathbf{k}, \tau \rightarrow \infty) \rightarrow Z(\mathbf{k}) e^{-[E(\mathbf{k}) - \mu]\tau}. \quad (4)$$

The Z factor $Z(\mathbf{k}) = |\langle \mathbf{k} | \tilde{\mathbf{k}} \rangle|^2$ is given by the overlap between the polaron eigenstate $|\tilde{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$ and the free-electron state $|\mathbf{k}\rangle = c_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger |0\rangle$. The effective polaron mass are obtained from $m^*/m_0 = 2t/\frac{\partial^2 E(\mathbf{k})}{\partial \mathbf{k}^2}$, where the bare electron mass is $m_0 = 1/2a^2t$, with the lattice spacing $a = 1$.

In the deep adiabatic regime, the effective mass as a function of electron-phonon coupling strength g/t changes its slope, which indicating there exists a crossover (see the discussion later). The nature of the crossover could be a transformation of one polaron state or a hybridization between two polaron states: light and heavy. In order to know the natural of the crossover, we include the correction part in the Green’s function. The corresponding correction is included in fitting the data at large enough times:

$$G(\mathbf{k}, \tau \gg \omega_{ph}^{-1}) \rightarrow Z(\mathbf{k}) e^{-[E(\mathbf{k}) - \mu]\tau} [1 + C e^{-K\tau}]. \quad (5)$$

If $K = \omega_0$, this means the polaron is in a stable quasiparticle state. As approaching the crossover, if K changes value which is significantly smaller than ω_0 , it means there exists a crossover from a light polaron state to a heavy polaron state.

The newly developed Quantum Monte Carlo method based on the path-integral formulation of the particle sector in combination with the real space diagrammatic of the phonon sector is used here to study the effects of a finite dispersion of the optical phonon mode on the properties of the bond polaron²⁹, especially the effective mass. We explore a large adiabatic regime with ω_0/t as low as 0.3 and phonon bandwidth as large as $W = 1.5\omega_0$.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, a comprehensive study of the bond polaron’s properties as a function of electron-phonon coupling g/t for different phonon frequencies ω_0/t in the deep adiabatic regime and different phonon bandwidth W/t (smaller, equal, and larger than the phonon frequency) are provided. Although the properties of the bond polaron at $\omega_0/t = 0.5$ was investigated in Ref²² using the Diagrammatic Monte Carlo method in the momentum space, we also put the results here for self-consistency and to set the stage of the discussion of the results with




FIG. 1. The properties of the bond polaron: ground state energy E_{GS} (a), effective mass m^*/m_0 (b), and Z factor (c) as a function of electron-phonon coupling strength g/t for dispersionless phonon frequency $\omega_0/t = 0.2$ (black circles), 0.25 (red up triangles), 0.3 (blue down triangles), 0.375 (green rectangles), 0.5 (orange diamonds), 0.75 (cyan squares), and 1.0 (pink stars). If not visible, error bars are within symbol size.

positive phonon bandwidth. We verify the correctness of our results by recalculating the phonon properties at $\omega_0/t = 0.5$ using the newly developed Quantum Monte Carlo method²⁹.

Dispersionless case: Figure 1 shows the ground state energy E_{GS} (a), the effective mass m^*/m_0 (b), and the Z factor (c) of the dispersionless bond polaron as a function of electron-phonon coupling g/t with different phonon frequencies varies from $\omega_0/t = 1.0, 0.75, 0.5, 0.375, 0.3, 0.25$ to 0.2 (in deep adiabatic regime). The $E_{GS}(g/t)$ curves for all the phonon frequencies indicate that the ground state evolves smoothly with g/t . At the same electron-phonon coupling g/t , the ground state energy decreases fast as the system goes into the deep adiabatic

regime. The effective mass increases as the phonon frequency decrease for the same electron-phonon coupling g/t . At $\omega_0/t = 1.0$, the effective mass increases linearly at weak and medium $g/t \sim 2.0$, then tends to change the slope. This trend becomes more clear as lowering the phonon frequency ω_0/t . When $\omega_0/t = 0.2$, the effective mass increases until coupling g/t around 0.4 and becomes exponential. This indicates there exists a crossover and the nature of the crossover is the transformation from a light polaron state to a heavy polaron state (see the discussion later) in the deep adiabatic regime. The quasi-particle residue Z decreases smoothly as a function of g/t but tends to drop to zero quickly for smaller ω_0/t . The effect of lowering the phonon frequency ω_0/t resulting a much heavy polaron in the lower coupling strength g/t .

Dispersive case: Figure 2 shows the ground state energy E_{GS} (a), the effective mass (b), and the Z factor (c) for phonon bandwidth $W/t = 0.5, 1.0, \text{ and } 1.5$ (representing the bandwidth smaller, equal, and larger compared to the phonon frequency) in the adiabatic regime $\omega_0/t = 1.0$. In comparison to the dispersionless case with a phonon frequency of $\omega_0/t = 1.0$, the ground state energy E_{GS} decreases smoothly as the coupling strength increases for all three bandwidths. Furthermore, at the same electron-phonon coupling strength, the ground state energy is lower for a larger phonon bandwidth.

The effective mass m^*/m_0 increases as a function of coupling strength g/t and changes its slope for larger coupling strength for these three phonon bandwidths W/t . However, due to the phonon dispersion, the phonon frequency is lowered as $\omega_L = \omega_0 - W/2$. For instance, when comparing the phonon bandwidth $W/t = 1.5$, the phonon frequency is lowered to $\omega_L/t = 0.25$. At a coupling strength of around $g/t \sim 0.75$, the effective mass m^*/m_0 is approximately 2.6. In contrast, for the dispersionless case $\omega_0/t = 0.25$ (red upper triangular in Fig. 1(b)), the effective mass increases exponentially around $g/t \sim 0.6$ and is approximately 26.0 for $g/t \sim 0.75$. Compared to the dispersionless case, the effective mass with dispersive phonons is lighter. When comparing with the lower frequency $\omega_0 = \omega_L$, with the positive phonon dispersion, we tend to have a light polaron at strong coupling strength. The effective mass increases linearly at a weak coupling strength and becomes exponential at a strong coupling strength for a large phonon bandwidth $W/t = 1.5$. This indicates that there exists a crossover from a light polaron to a heavy polaron state (see the discussion later).

The quasiparticle residue decreases smoothly as a function of g/t for all three bandwidths. At the same electron-phonon coupling g/t , the Z factor drops quickly to zero as the bandwidth W/t increases.

The trend of the polaron properties is the same as the system goes into deep adiabatic regime $\omega_0/t = 0.5$ for phonon bandwidth $W/t = 0.25, 0.5, \text{ and } 0.75$ (shown in Fig. 3) and $\omega/t = 0.3$ for phonon bandwidth $W/t = 0.125, 0.25, \text{ and } 0.5$ (shown in Fig. 4). However, the change of the effective mass becomes more abrupt. The




FIG. 2. The properties of the bond polaron with dispersive phonons: ground state energy E_{GS} (a), effective mass m^*/m_0 (b), and Z factor (c) as a function of the coupling strength g/t for dispersionless phonon frequency $\omega_0/t = 1.0$ (black circles) and dispersive case with $\omega_0/t = 1.0$ and phonon bandwidth $W/t = 0.5$ (red up triangles), $W/t = 1.0$ (blue down triangles), and $W/t = 1.5$ (green rectangles). If not visible, error bars are within the symbol size.

phonon frequency of $\omega_0/t = 0.5$ and bandwidth $W/t = 0.5$ is lower to $\omega_L/t = 0.25$. The effective mass starts to grow exponentially around $g/t = 0.5$. Compare this to the dispersionless case with $\omega_0/t = 0.25$ (red up triangular in Fig. 1) where the exponential growth of effective mass starts around $g/t \sim 0.55$ and $m^*/m_0 \sim 26.0$ at $g/t \sim 0.75$, the effective mass is around 4.6 at $g/t \sim 0.75$ which is much lighter in the dispersion case. For $\omega_0/t = 0.3$ with bandwidth $W/t = 0.25$, the phonon frequency is lowered to $\omega_L/t = 0.05$. The effective mass starts to increase exponentially around $g/t \sim 0.22$. Although we could not obtain the value of the effective mass for $\omega_0/t = 0.05$ in the dispersionless case since it is numerically hard, the effective mass should be much heavier




FIG. 3. The properties of the bond polaron with dispersive phonons: ground state energy E_{GS} (a), effective mass m^*/m_0 (b), and Z factor (c) as a function of the coupling strength g/t for dispersionless phonon frequency $\omega_0/t = 0.5$ (black circles) and dispersive case with $\omega_0/t = 0.5$ and phonon bandwidth $W/t = 0.25$ (red up triangles), $W/t = 0.5$ (blue down triangles), and $W/t = 0.75$ (green rectangles). If not visible, error bars are within the symbol size.

compared to the dispersive case due to the trend shown in Fig. 1 (b). At the same phonon frequency ω_0/t and electron-phonon coupling strength g/t , the effective mass increases rapidly as the bandwidth increases. This can be explained as follows: in the dispersive case, phonons are more mobile, resulting in a more extended phonon cloud. This extended phonon cloud can effectively increase the mass of the electron by creating more obstacles for it to overcome as it moves through the lattice.

From $\omega_0/t = 1.0, 0.5$ and 0.3 results for the dispersive phonons, we can get a relatively light polaron in the dispersive case with lowered phonon frequency $\omega_L = \omega_0 - W/2$ compared to the dispersionless case with




FIG. 4. The properties of the bond polaron with dispersive phonons: ground state energy E_{GS} (a), effective mass m^*/m_0 (b), and Z factor (c) as a function of the coupling strength g/t for dispersionless phonon frequency $\omega_0/t = 0.3$ (black circles) and dispersive case with $\omega_0/t = 0.3$ and phonon bandwidth $W/t = 0.125$ (red up triangles), $W/t = 0.25$ (blue down triangles), and $W/t = 0.5$ (green rectangles). If not visible, error bars are within the symbol size.

bare phonon frequency $\omega_0 = \omega_L$.

As we mentioned before, there exists a crossover from a light polaron state to a heavy polaron state in the deep adiabatic regime for both the dispersionless and dispersion case. The nature of the crossover is further investigated as shown in Fig. 5, where we study the effective mass as a function of coupling strength λ for (a) dispersionless case with $\omega_0/t = 0.25$ and (b) dispersive case with $\omega_0/t = 0.3$ and phonon bandwidth $W/t = 0.25$, in this case the phonon frequency is lowered to $\omega_L/t = 0.175$. The black dotted line is a linear fit and the red dotted line is an exponential fit for the effective mass as a function of coupling strength λ defined in Eq. 3. There exists a crossover from a light polaron state to an exponentially increasing heavy polaron state




FIG. 5. (a) The effective mass m^*/m_0 as a function of the coupling strength $\lambda = g^2/2\omega_0 t$ at $\omega_0/t = 0.25$. (b) The effective mass as a function of the coupling strength $\lambda = g^2/2\omega_L t$ at $\omega_0/t = 0.3$ and phonon bandwidth $W/t = 0.25$. The black dotted line is a linear fit and the red dotted line is an exponential fit for the effective mass as a function of coupling strength λ . There exists a crossover from a light bond polaron to an exponentially increasing heavy polaron as the electron-phonon coupling g/t increases.

at $\lambda \sim 0.75$ for the dispersionless case $\omega_0/t = 0.25$ and $\lambda \sim 0.62$ for the dispersive case with $\omega_L/t = 0.175$. The nature of the crossover is distinguished by analyzing the Green's function as discussed in Section III.

V. CONCLUSION

We have employed a recently developed Quantum Monte Carlo method, which utilizes the path-integral formulation of the particle sector along with the real-space diagrammatic approach of the phonon sector, to investigate the impact of finite dispersion of the optical phonon mode on the properties of the bond polaron. Specifically, we have analyzed the ground state energy, effective mass, and Z factor of the bond polaron, considering different phonon frequencies as low as $\omega_0/t = 0.3$, which lies in the deeply adiabatic regime, as well as the effect of positive phonon bandwidth on the bond polaron properties. Our findings reveal that in the absence of dispersion, effective mass increases as the phonon frequency decreases, provided the electron-phonon coupling is kept constant. Compared to the dispersionless case with $\omega_0 = \omega_L$, the dispersive case exhibits lighter effective mass, especially at a strong electron-phonon coupling strength. Furthermore, our investigations demonstrate that in the deeply adiabatic regime, there is a transition from a linear increase in effective mass to an exponential increase in effective mass for both the dispersionless and dispersive cases. This transition corresponds to the transformation from a light polaron state to a heavy polaron state. The lighter effective mass for the dispersive case at strong coupling suggests the possibility of achieving a compact and light bi-polaron in the strong coupling limit, thereby

increasing T_c for the bond model.

by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grant No. 12204173.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Chao Zhang thanks Nikolay Prokof'ev and Boris Svistunov for helpful discussion. This work is supported

-
- * zhangchao1986sdu@gmail.com
- ¹ L. D. Landau, *Z. Sowjetunion* **3**, 664 (1933).
 - ² H. Fröhlich, H. Pelzer, and S. Zienau, *Philos. Mag.* **41**, 221 (1950).
 - ³ R. P. Feynman, *Phys. Rev.* **97**, 660 (1955).
 - ⁴ T. D. Schultz, *Phys. Rev.* **116**, 526 (1959).
 - ⁵ T. Holstein, *Ann. Phys.* **8**, 325 (1959).
 - ⁶ A. S. Alexandrov and P. E. Kornilovitch, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 807 (1999).
 - ⁷ T. Holstein, *Ann. Phys.* **281**, 725 (2000).
 - ⁸ W. F. Brinkman and T. M. Rice, *Phys. Rev. B* **2**, 1324 (1970).
 - ⁹ E. L. Nagaev, *phys. stat. sol. (b)* **65**, 11 (1974).
 - ¹⁰ N. F. Mott, *Adv. Phys.* **39**, 55 (2006).
 - ¹¹ A. Bulgac and M. M. Forbes, *Phys. Rev. A* **75**, 031605 (2007).
 - ¹² C. Lobo, A. Recati, S. Giorgini, and S. Stringari, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 200403 (2006).
 - ¹³ N. V. Prokof'ev and B. V. Svistunov, *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 125101 (2008).
 - ¹⁴ N. Prokof'ev and B. Svistunov, *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 020408 (2008).
 - ¹⁵ M. Kutschera and W. Wójcik, *Phys. Rev. C* **47**, 1077 (1993).
 - ¹⁶ P. E. Kornilovitch and E. R. Pike, *Phys. Rev. B* **55**, R8634 (1997).
 - ¹⁷ D. J. J. Marchand, G. De Filippis, V. Cataudella, M. Berciu, N. Nagaosa, N. V. Prokof'ev, A. S. Mishchenko, and P. C. E. Stamp, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 266605 (2010).
 - ¹⁸ J. Bonča, T. Katrasnik, and S. A. Trugman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 3153 (2000).
 - ¹⁹ A. Macridin, G. A. Sawatzky, and M. Jarrell, *Phys. Rev. B* **69**, 245111 (2004).
 - ²⁰ M. Capone, W. Stephan, and M. Grilli, *Phys. Rev. B* **56**, 4484 (1997).
 - ²¹ C. A. Perroni, E. Piegari, M. Capone, and V. Cataudella, *Phys. Rev. B* **69**, 174301 (2004).
 - ²² C. Zhang, N. V. Prokof'ev, and B. V. Svistunov, *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, 035143 (2021).
 - ²³ M. R. Carbone, A. J. Millis, D. R. Reichman, and J. Sous, *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, L140307 (2021).
 - ²⁴ C. Zhang, J. Sous, D. R. Reichman, M. Berciu, A. J. Millis, N. V. Prokof'ev, and B. V. Svistunov, *Phys. Rev. X* **13**, 011010 (2023).
 - ²⁵ J. Sous, C. Zhang, M. Berciu, D. Reichman, B. Svistunov, N. Prokof'ev, and A. Millis, arXiv:2210.14236 (2022).
 - ²⁶ D. J. J. Marchand and M. Berciu, *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 060301 (2013).
 - ²⁷ J. Bonča and S. A. Trugman, *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, 054304 (2021).
 - ²⁸ N. C. Costa, T. Blommel, W.-T. Chiu, G. Batrouni, and R. T. Scalettar, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 187003 (2018).
 - ²⁹ C. Zhang, N. V. Prokof'ev, and B. V. Svistunov, *Phys. Rev. B* **105**, L020501 (2022).
 - ³⁰ W. P. Su, J. R. Schrieffer, and A. J. Heeger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **42**, 1698 (1979).
 - ³¹ S. Barišić, J. Labbé, and J. Friedel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **25**, 919 (1970).
 - ³² S. Barišić, *Phys. Rev. B* **5**, 932 (1972).
 - ³³ S. Barišić, *Phys. Rev. B* **5**, 941 (1972).