

Gauge Invariance and Anomalies in Condensed Matter Physics

Jürg Fröhlich*
 Institute for Theoretical Physics
 ETH Zurich
 8093 Zurich, Switzerland

March 28, 2023

Abstract

This paper begins with a summary of a powerful formalism for the study of electronic states in condensed matter physics called “Gauge Theory of States/Phases of Matter.” The chiral anomaly, which plays quite a prominent role in that formalism, is recalled. I then sketch an application of the chiral anomaly in $1 + 1$ dimensions to quantum wires. Subsequently, some elements of the quantum Hall effect in two-dimensional (2D) gapped (“incompressible”) electron liquids are reviewed. In particular, I discuss the role of anomalous chiral edge currents and of anomaly inflow in 2D gapped electron liquids with explicitly or spontaneously broken time reversal, i.e., in Hall- and Chern insulators. The topological Chern-Simons action yielding the transport equations valid in the bulk of such systems and the associated anomalous edge action are derived. The results of a general classification of “abelian” Hall insulators are outlined. After some remarks on induced Chern-Simons actions, I sketch results on certain 2D chiral photonic wave guides. I then continue with an analysis of chiral edge spin-currents and the bulk response equations in time-reversal invariant 2D topological insulators of electron gases with spin-orbit interactions. The “chiral magnetic effect” in 3D systems and axion-electrodynamics are reviewed next. This prepares the ground for an outline of a general theory of 3D topological insulators, including “axionic insulators”. Some remarks on Weyl semi-metals, which exhibit the chiral magnetic effect, and on Mott transitions in 3D systems with dynamical axion-like degrees of freedom conclude this review.

1 Gauge Theory of States of Matter

The purpose of this paper is to review some of the work on the subject of “topological states of matter” carried out by my collaborators and myself during the period from the late nineteen-eighties until the late nineties. My presentation is based on results published in [1] – [10] and references given there. Our work has led to a general formalism, dubbed “*Gauge Theory of States/Phases of Matter*,” that has turned out to be very useful in characterizing certain states of condensed matter, among them “*topological insulators*.” This formalism complements the *Landau theory* of phases

One of the insights at the root of our initial efforts was the realization that the *chiral anomaly*, discovered by particle theorists (see [11]), can be used to predict the existence of chiral edge currents in two-dimensional interacting electron gases exhibiting the quantum Hall effect, and that these edge currents can be described using results from the theory of current (Kac-Moody) algebras; see

*Email: juerg@phys.ethz.ch

[2]. For the integer Hall effect observed in two-dimensional systems of non-interacting electrons in an external magnetic field, the existence of chiral edge currents had earlier been predicted by B. Halperin in a celebrated paper [12].¹ Using the chiral anomaly in a general analysis of chiral edge currents in two-dimensional electron gases exhibiting the quantum Hall effect, including interacting gases, was proposed by X.-G. Wen in [14] independently of our simultaneous efforts. Further comments placing ideas presented in the following into a wider context, as well as plenty of references can be found in subsequent sections (see also [10]). The subject covered in this paper owes its advancement and its successes to the efforts of many colleagues. Some of their work will be referred to or is quoted in papers referred to in the text that follows.²

The analysis presented in this review is not meant to be mathematically rigorous, although the arguments described in the text or in the papers on which it is based are quite carefully constructed and, I think, convincing. It is hoped that the paper may help its readers to understand general features of the physics of topologically protected states (or phases) of condensed matter at very low temperatures. The following picture is a metaphor for topologically non-trivial matter.

An example of topologically non-trivial matter: a tree with the topology of the torus



Rigiblick – Zurich, Switzerland

Here is a brief summary of the goals and of the contents of this paper.

- I will begin this review by describing concepts and results from gauge theory, current algebra and general relativity, with the purpose to outline the essence of the *Gauge Theory of States/Phases of (Condensed) Matter*. This theory takes the place of *Landau Theory* when the latter is not applicable, e.g., because there are no local order parameters available to characterize physical states of a system of condensed matter of interest. The *Gauge Theory of States of Matter* reviewed in the following yields information on current Green functions, whence on transport coefficients (conductivities), which, thanks to *Kubo formulae*, can be expressed as integrals over certain current Green functions

Remarks. (i) A system of condensed-matter physics with a “*local order parameter*” is one whose phase diagram can be described with the help of an order-parameter field, $\varphi(x)$, where

¹See also [13] for some mathematical aspects of the theory of edge currents in non-interacting electron liquids.

²I offer my apologies to all those colleagues whose contributions may not be properly highlighted in my paper, due to my lack of knowledge of their work or oversights, or because they are too recent to be included in this paper; (I am not familiar with very recent results on topological states of matter). Results concerning topologically protected states of systems of *non-interacting* quantum particles are neither reviewed, nor referred to in the following; but see [15, 16]. They will surely be discussed extensively in other contributions to this volume.

x is a point in physical space. This field is most often a scalar or pseudo-scalar field with $N = 1, 2, \dots$ components, whose expectation value, $\langle \varphi(x) \rangle_\xi$, in a ground-state or equilibrium state $\langle (\cdot) \rangle_\xi$ corresponding to a pure phase labelled by ξ *uniquely* identifies this phase, and whose correlators (Green functions) in such states enable one to study physically interesting fluctuations in the system at large distances and low energies. The systems studied in this paper do *not* have local order parameters (in this sense of the expression). We will attempt to describe their ground-states and equilibrium states with the help of certain gauge field theories. Special attention will be paid to “*topological insulators*”, which – it will turn out – can be described by *topological* gauge field theories, such as Chern-Simons theories and generalizations thereof. Some authors (see, e.g., [14]) then speak of “topological order,” a somewhat fuzzy notion.

(ii) I should mention that a “change of perspective” has recently been initiated in [17] and reviewed in [18] (and references given there) based on developing the idea of “higher-differential-form symmetry,” which enables one to assign conserved charges to certain extended objects. With such generalizations of the concept of symmetry it appears to become possible to characterize most known equilibrium phases of matter by using an extended version of Landau theory derived from such generalized symmetries. – I am not sufficiently knowledgeable to say much about the attempts alluded to here. But I tend to think that, when compared to the formalism described in this paper, the new perspective amounts mostly to a change of language.

- I will review the following key ingredients of the *Gauge Theory of States of Matter*:
 1. *Effective Actions* = generating functionals of connected current Green functions \leftrightarrow formulae for transport coefficients, in particular *conductivities*.
 2. Implications of *gauge invariance* (\leftrightarrow current conservation, Ward identities), *locality*, and *power counting* (i.e., arranging different terms in an action functional according to their scaling dimensions) on the form of *Effective Actions*.
 3. *Gauge anomalies* and their cancellations (anomaly inflow) \leftrightarrow cooperation between bulk- and edge/surface degrees of freedom \leftrightarrow “holography”.
- General features of the *Gauge Theory of States of Matter* are described later in this section. I will then outline various applications of this theory to the characterization and classification of “topologically protected” correlated *bulk- and surface states* of *interacting* systems of condensed matter, in particular of electron gases/liquids, which do not have local order parameters. Among such applications I will consider the following ones:³
 1. Conductance quantization in ideal quantum wires
 2. Theory of the Fractional Quantum Hall Effect
 3. Theory of chiral states of light in certain planar wave guides
 4. Time-reversal invariant planar “topological” insulators and their chiral edge spin currents
- Subsequently I will proceed to review higher-dimensional cousins of the Quantum Hall Effect,⁴

³A fairly extensive list of references to relevant work will be given later in the text.

⁴See [9, 19, 20]; they were later applied to studies in cold-atom physics – see [21] and references given there.

3D topological insulators, and so-called *Weyl semi-metals*, exhibiting the *chiral magnetic effect*.⁵ I will outline various consequences of this effect.

- Applications of related ideas and methods in other areas of physics, in particular in cosmology, should also, yet will not be discussed in this paper; but see [8, 9, 19, 20].

In the remainder of this section, I introduce *effective actions*, explain how their general form can be determined (under suitable assumptions) and elucidate their properties and uses. My discussion follows the one in [6].

We consider a quantum-mechanical system whose matter degrees of freedom are described by fields $\bar{\psi}, \psi, \dots$ over a space-time region, Λ , equipped with a metric, $g_{\mu\nu}$, of signature $(-1, 1, 1, 1)$. The dynamics of the system is assumed to be derivable from an action functional

$$S(\bar{\psi}, \psi, \dots; g_{\mu\nu}, A_\mu), \quad (1)$$

where $A = (A_\mu)$ is the electromagnetic vector potential describing an external electromagnetic field (or some other gauge potential describing an external gauge field) acting on the system. We assume that there is a conserved vector current (density) J^μ , with $\partial_\mu J^\mu = 0$. If the current J^μ is charged, i.e., is carried by electrically charged degrees of freedom, then these degrees of freedom interact with the electromagnetic field. In the action functional (1) of the system, the charged fields are coupled to the electromagnetic field by “minimal substitution,” i.e., by replacing derivatives by covariant derivatives.

The *Effective Action* of the system at zero temperature on a space-time region Λ with metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ in an external electromagnetic field with vector potential A_μ can then be constructed by, for example, functional integral methods.⁶ We consider the formal functional integral

$$S_{eff}(g_{\mu\nu}, A_\mu) := -i\hbar \ln \left(\int \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \mathcal{D}\psi \exp\left[\frac{i}{\hbar} S(\bar{\psi}, \psi, \dots; g_{\mu\nu}, A_\mu)\right] \right) + (\text{divergent}) \text{ const.} \quad (2)$$

A precise definition of the right side in (2) involves specifying initial and final field configurations; e.g., ones corresponding to ground-states of the system (and renormalizing divergences when short-distance regularizations are removed). Here I will not present any technical details concerning the precise definition of S_{eff} and the choice of boundary conditions in (2).

Next, I review some well known, important **properties of effective actions**.

1. The variational derivatives of S_{eff} with respect to A_μ are given by connected current Green functions:

$$\frac{\delta S_{eff}(g_{\mu\nu}, A_\mu)}{\delta A_\mu(x)} = \langle J^\mu(x) \rangle_{g,A}, \quad (3)$$

and

$$\frac{\delta^2 S_{eff}(g_{\mu\nu}, A_\mu)}{\delta A_\mu(x) \delta A_\nu(y)} = \langle J^\mu(x) J^\nu(y) \rangle_{g,A}^c, \quad \text{for } x \neq y, \quad (4)$$

where $\langle (\cdot) \rangle_{g,A}$ denotes a time-ordered expectation in the presence of external fields $g_{\mu\nu}$ and A_μ . Formally this follows from Eq. (2).

⁵An effect discovered in a preliminary form in [24] and studied systematically in [8].

⁶Functional- or path integral methods were originally introduced by Dirac in [26]. An operator formalism to derive expressions for effective actions has been sketched elsewhere; see, e.g., [2, 6], and references given there.

2. We consider the effect of a gauge transformation, $A_\mu \mapsto A_\mu + \partial_\mu \chi$, where χ is an arbitrary smooth function on Λ , on the effective action, S_{eff} . Using (3) one finds that

$$\frac{\delta S_{eff}(g_{\mu\nu}, A_\mu + \partial_\mu \chi)}{\delta \chi(x)} = \partial_\mu \langle J^\mu(x) \rangle_{g,A} = 0 \quad (5)$$

vanishes, because J^μ is conserved. Thus, S_{eff} is *invariant under gauge transformations*.

3. We may also vary S_{eff} with respect to the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$:

$$\frac{\delta S_{eff}(g_{\mu\nu}, A_\mu)}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}(x)} = \langle T^{\mu\nu}(x) \rangle_{g,A},$$

where $T^{\mu\nu}$ is the energy-momentum tensor of the system. Using local energy-momentum conservation, i.e., $\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$, we find that $S_{eff}(g_{\mu\nu}, A_\mu)$ is invariant under space-time coordinate transformations.

A general (possibly curved) metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ can be used to describe certain defects (disclinations) in a condensed-matter system.

Invariance of S_{eff} under Weyl rescalings of the metric implies that $\langle T^\mu_\mu(x) \rangle_{g,A} \equiv 0 \leftrightarrow$ *scale-invariance* (criticality) of the system.

4. In our analysis of ground-state properties of insulators it will be assumed that the external fields $g_{\mu\nu}$ and A_μ vary in space and time very slowly, so that an adiabatic theorem can be invoked in the description of the time-dependence of the state of the system under consideration, and that the Hamiltonians exhibit a spectral (or mobility) gap above the ground-state energy at all times. One may then argue that the zero-temperature connected current Green functions of such a system have good decay properties in space and time. In the *scaling limit*, i.e., in the limit of very large distances and very low frequencies its effective action can then be expected to approach a functional that is a space-time integral of *local, gauge-invariant polynomials* in A_μ and derivatives of A_μ , as well as curvature quantities only depending on the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. These terms can be organized according to their scaling dimensions (power counting – I recall that a gauge potential and a space-time derivative scale like an inverse length). Among these terms there may be *topological* (metric-independent) action functionals that can be indicative of “topological protection” (or “topological order”) of the states in question.
5. *A generalization:* It is sometimes useful to consider more general effective actions involving *non-abelian gauge fields* and to analyze the associated currents, which are only covariantly conserved. Non-abelian gauge fields may represent physical external fields; but they may also represent “virtual” or “emergent” ones merely serving to develop the response theory needed to determine transport coefficients, such as conductivities, associated with the currents corresponding to those gauge fields.

As an example of the relevance of non-abelian gauge fields in the study of condensed matter physics, I recall that, in non-relativistic quantum theory, electron spin couples to $SU(2)$ -gauge fields describing Zeeman interactions⁷, spin-orbit interactions and exchange interactions described by a *Weiss exchange field* (see [25]). The non-relativistic quantum theory of electron gases/liquids turns out to be perfectly $U(1)_{em} \times SU(2)_{spin}$ -gauge invariant (see [27, 4, 6]).

⁷caused, e.g., by an external magnetic field or by the vorticity of a velocity field that generates the motion of an ionic background

This observation is not only conceptually interesting; it can be used to, for example, analyze states of *time-reversal invariant planar topological insulators* (see [10] and Section 6) and chiral spin liquids (see, e.g., [6]).

It turns out that properties 1 through 5 enable us to determine the general form of (bulk-) effective actions, S_{eff} , of insulators in the scaling limit and hence to derive formulae for transport coefficients, in particular certain conductivities.

Example. We consider an insulator with broken parity (P) and time-reversal (T) symmetries confined to a region, Ω , of a flat 2D surface (e.g., an interface between an insulator and a semiconductor) imbedded in physical space. The space-time of this system is defined to be $\Lambda = \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$. The effective action of the system can then be argued to tend to

$$S_{eff}(A) = \frac{\sigma_H}{2} \int_{\Lambda} A \wedge dA + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda} d^2x dt [\underline{E}(x) \cdot \varepsilon \underline{E}(x) - \mu^{-1} B(x)^2] + \dots,$$

as the scaling limit is approached, where σ_H is the Hall conductivity, ε is the tensor of dielectric constants, and μ is the magnetic permeability. (In the first term on the right side, A is viewed as a differential 1-form, d denotes exterior differentiation of differential forms, and \wedge is the exterior product of differential forms.) We note that the first term on the right side, i.e., the Chern-Simons action, is *not* gauge-invariant if the space-time region Λ has a non-empty boundary, $\partial\Lambda = \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$. This deficiency is cured by a boundary action. The cooperation of the Chern-Simons action and the boundary action in preserving gauge invariance may be viewed as an example of “*holography*” (see Section 4).

The formalism sketched here can be generalized to be applicable to equilibrium states at positive temperature. (For further details concerning the material reviewed here, see [4, 6, 10].)

2 The chiral anomaly

An excellent general reference for this section is [11]. We consider a system composed of relativistic, massless charged fermions in a (flat) space-time region, Λ , of dimension $2n, n = 1, 2, \dots$. The (operator-valued, quantum-mechanical) vector current of this system is denoted by J^μ and the axial-vector current by J_5^μ . The vector current is conserved, i.e.,

$$\partial_\mu J^\mu = 0 \leftrightarrow \text{gauge invariance of theory.}$$

But the axial-vector current tends to be *anomalous*. In two space-time dimensions, for massless fermions,

$$\partial_\mu J_5^\mu = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} E, \quad \alpha := \frac{e^2}{\hbar}, \quad [J_5^0(\vec{y}, t), J^0(\vec{x}, t)] = -i \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \delta'(\vec{x} - \vec{y}), \quad (6)$$

where α is the finestructure constant, and E is the external electric field.⁸

In four dimensions, for massless fermions,

$$\partial_\mu J_5^\mu = \frac{\alpha}{4\pi^2} \varepsilon^{\nu\lambda\kappa\rho} F_{\nu\lambda} F_{\kappa\rho} = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi^2} \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B}, \quad (7)$$

and

$$[J_5^0(\vec{y}, t), J^0(\vec{x}, t)] = i \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} (\vec{B}(\vec{y}, t) \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{\vec{y}}) \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y}), \quad (8)$$

⁸These formulae can be traced back to Tomonaga’s work on 1D electron liquids.

where \vec{E} is the electric field and \vec{B} is the magnetic induction. For massive fermions, there are terms proportional to fermion masses contributing to $\partial_\mu J_5^\mu$. The term $\vec{E} \cdot \vec{B}$ on the right side of (7), the “instanton density,” is dual to $\frac{1}{2}F \wedge F$ (where F is interpreted as a 2-form), and $F \wedge F$ is the exterior derivative of the Chern-Simons 3-form, which expresses the *helicity* of the electromagnetic field.

For later purposes we also introduce the left- and right chiral currents

$$J_\ell^\mu := \frac{1}{2}[J^\mu + J_5^\mu], \quad J_r^\mu := \frac{1}{2}[J^\mu - J_5^\mu]. \quad (9)$$

The commutation relations in (6) and (8) then imply that

$$\begin{aligned} [J_{\ell/r}^0(\vec{y}, t), J^0(\vec{x}, t)] &= \pm i \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \delta'(\vec{x} - \vec{y}), & \text{in } d = 2, \\ [J_{\ell/r}^0(\vec{y}, t), J^0(\vec{x}, t)] &= \pm i \frac{\alpha}{8\pi} (\vec{B}(\vec{y}, t) \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{\vec{y}}) \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y}), & \text{in } d = 4. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Remark. It is sometimes of interest to study systems of matter in a non-inertially moving background – e.g., electrons in a rotating metallic cylinder, a Bose gas in a rotating trap, or the Earth’s atmosphere or oceans. Suppose the motion of the background is generated by a (possibly time-dependent) velocity field, \vec{V} , on physical space. Studying the matter currents of such a system, one finds that there is an anomalous axial vector current satisfying an inhomogeneous continuity equation analogous to (7) and exhibiting anomalous commutators analogous to (8), but with $M\vec{V}$ playing the role of $e\vec{A}$, hence $M\vec{\omega}$ playing the role of $e\vec{B}$, where M is a mass scale and $\vec{\omega} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{V}$ is the vorticity of the velocity field \vec{V} .⁹ Effects observed in systems imbedded in a moving background have been described in [4, 6]. Some of them will be mentioned in Sections 4 and 7.

As a service to the reader we derive the formulae in (6), (setting $\hbar = 1$). We consider a system of massless fermions on 2D Minkowski space, Λ . Let ι be the 1-form dual to the vector current density J^μ . Then

$$\partial_\mu J^\mu = 0 \Leftrightarrow d\iota = 0.$$

Poincaré’s lemma then implies that

$$\iota = \frac{Q}{2\pi} d\varphi, \quad \text{where } \varphi \text{ is a scalar field,}$$

and Q is a quantity with the dimension of charge. Thus

$$J^\mu = \frac{Q}{2\pi} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \varphi \quad (11)$$

In two space-time dimensions (using the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ to raise and lower indices), the axial-vector current of a system of massless Dirac fermions is given by

$$J_5^\mu = \varepsilon^{\mu\nu} J_\nu \stackrel{(11)}{=} \frac{Q}{2\pi} \partial^\mu \varphi, \quad (12)$$

see [28].

It is a general fact that, in two dimensions, the Hodge dual of the one-form ι is a one-form dual to an axial-vector current (and conversely). The following considerations apply to any system

⁹In classical physics, the role of $e\vec{E}$ is played by $M\dot{\vec{V}} + \frac{M}{2}\vec{\nabla}|\vec{V}|^2$.

in two space-time dimensions with a conserved vector current J^μ and an axial-vector current J_5^μ related to J^μ by Hodge duality. Then

$$\partial_\mu J_5^\mu = \frac{Q}{2\pi} \square \varphi. \quad (13)$$

Unitarity of the quantum theory of the field φ suggests that it satisfies a field equation of the form

$$\square \varphi = U'(\varphi, \partial_\mu \varphi, E),$$

where U' is a the variational derivative with respect to φ of some functional U of $\varphi, \partial_\mu \varphi$ and the external electric field E (with U bounded below).

In the following I consider systems in two space-time dimensions with the property that

$$U'(\varphi, \partial_\mu \varphi, E \equiv 0) = 0.$$

The field φ then describes non-interacting massless modes. It follows that J_5^μ is conserved as long as the external electric field vanishes. An example where this remark applies is a Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid in the approximation where the dispersion law of the quasi-particles is linearized at the Fermi points. – To summarize these remarks, we have that

$$\partial_\mu J_5^\mu = 0 \stackrel{(12),(13)}{\Leftrightarrow} \square \varphi = 0, \quad (14)$$

provided that $E = 0$; i.e., φ is a *massless free field*, which can be described by a Lagrangian quantum field theory with an action functional given by

$$S(\varphi) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_\Lambda d^2x \sqrt{-g(x)} \partial_\mu \varphi(x) \partial^\mu \varphi(x), \quad \text{with } x \equiv (t, \underline{x}) \in \Lambda. \quad (15)$$

Assuming for simplicity that Λ is flat, the ‘‘momentum,’’ ϖ , canonically conjugate to φ is given by

$$\varpi(x) = \frac{\delta S(\varphi)}{\delta(\partial_0 \varphi(x))} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\partial \varphi(x)}{\partial t} = -Q^{-1} J^1(x).$$

By Eq. (12),

$$J_5^0 = Q\varpi, \quad J_5^1 = \frac{Q}{2\pi} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \underline{x}}.$$

The usual equal-time canonical commutation relations on Fock space,

$$[\varphi(t, \underline{x}), \varpi(t, \underline{y})] = i \delta(\underline{x} - \underline{y}),$$

then imply an ‘‘anomalous current commutator’’

$$[J^0(t, \underline{x}), J_5^0(t, \underline{y})] = i \frac{Q^2}{2\pi} \delta'(\underline{x} - \underline{y}), \quad (16)$$

see (6), with $Q^2 = \alpha$. It is sometimes convenient to consider the *chiral currents* $J_{\ell/r}^\mu = \frac{1}{2} [J^\mu \pm J_5^\mu]$. They can be used to express *chiral Fermi fields* in terms of the bosonic fields φ and ϖ . We define fields (so-called ‘‘vertex operators’’) $\psi_{\ell/r}^{(q)}(x)$ by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\ell/r}^{(q)}(x) &= : \exp \left\{ \pm i4\pi \frac{q}{Q} \int_{\underline{x}}^{\infty} \iota_{\ell/r}(x^0, \underline{y}) \right\} : \\ &= : \exp i q \left[\pm \varphi(x) + 2\pi \int_{\underline{x}}^{\infty} \varpi(x^0, \underline{y}) d\underline{y} \right] : , \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where the double colons indicate Wick ordering with respect to the free scalar field with a *positive* mass; (changing the mass used in the definition of Wick ordering just changes the fields $\psi_{\ell/r}^{(q)}(x)$ by a constant factor). When applied to a state in the Hilbert space of the system, the fields $\psi_{\ell/r}^{(q)}$ change the electric charge of the state by an amount $Q \cdot q$. The quantum statistics of the fields $\psi_{\ell/r}^{(q)}$ is determined by the phase factor $e^{\pm i\pi q^2}$ appearing in the Weyl relations of the vertex operator on the right side of (17). Thus, if $q = 1$ then $\psi_{\ell/r}^{(q)}$ is a Fermi field.

I hasten to add that the fields creating or annihilating *electrons* in an interacting Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid [22, 23] are *not* the ones given in (17); rather, they are composed of left-chiral and right-chiral operators in a way determined by the strength of two-body interactions in the electron liquid (and by the requirements that they satisfy Fermi-Dirac statistics and create or annihilate an electric charge given by the elementary electric charge e).

To take into account the presence of an external electric field, E , the action functional $S(\varphi)$ in (15) must be replaced by

$$\begin{aligned} S(\varphi; A) &:= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Lambda} \partial_{\mu} \varphi \partial^{\mu} \varphi d^2 x + \int_{\Lambda} J^{\mu} A_{\mu} d^2 x \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Lambda} \{ \partial_{\mu} \varphi \partial^{\mu} \varphi + 2Q \varepsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\nu} \varphi A_{\mu} \} d^2 x \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Lambda} \{ \partial_{\mu} \varphi \partial^{\mu} \varphi + 2Q \varphi E \} d^2 x, \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where $E(x) = \varepsilon^{\mu\nu} (\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu})(x)$. This formula can be derived from the theory of massless free Dirac fermions coupled to a vector potential A by convergent perturbation theory in the term $\int_{\Lambda} J^{\mu} A_{\mu} d^2 x$ (see, e.g., [28]). From expression (18) one easily derives the field equation for φ , which reads $\square \varphi(x) = Q E(x)$. Hence

$$\partial_{\mu} J_5^{\mu} = \frac{Q^2}{2\pi} E(x), \tag{19}$$

which is the chiral anomaly in two space-time dimensions (interpreting Q^2 as the feinstructure constant α).

Remarks on the chiral anomaly in four space-time dimensions will follow in Section 7.

3 Conduction quantization in quantum wires

The material presented in this section is taken from [29] and [8]. We consider a physical system consisting of a very long wire that contains a 1D interacting electron gas described by a Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid (setting $Q = -e$ in (11)) connected to electron reservoirs on the left end and the right end of the wire. We assume that there are no back-scattering processes converting left-moving quasi-particles (charge-density fluctuations) into right-moving ones, or conversely. Very close to the Fermi-points of the electron gas, the electron dispersion law can be linearized, and quasi-particles can be described as massless relativistic chiral (charge-density) fluctuations. This system has a conserved vector current, $J^{\mu} = \frac{e}{2\pi} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\nu} \varphi$, and, in the “relativistic” approximation and for a vanishing external electric field, a conserved axial-vector current, J_5^{μ} , as discussed in Section 2. In the presence of a non-vanishing external electric field, E , with vector potential A_{μ} the currents

$$\hat{J}_{\ell/r}^{\mu} := J_{\ell/r}^{\mu} \mp \frac{Q}{2\pi} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu} A_{\nu}$$

are conserved, $(\partial_\mu \widehat{J}_{\ell/r}^\mu = 0)$, but *not* gauge-invariant. However, the chiral charges

$$N_{\ell/r} := \int \widehat{J}_{\ell/r}^0(t, \underline{x}) d\underline{x} \quad (20)$$

are *not only conserved*, but also *gauge-invariant*. It is important to observe (see [8]) that if expressed in terms of the scalar field φ introduced in (11) and (12), these charges are *independent* of the parameters describing the interactions in the Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid [22, 23].

Let H denote the Hamiltonian of the electron gas. The equilibrium state of this system at inverse temperature β is given by the density matrix

$$P_{\mu_\ell, \mu_r} := \Xi_{\beta, \mu_\ell, \mu_r}^{-1} \exp(-\beta H_{\mu_\ell, \mu_r}), \quad (21)$$

where $\Xi_{\beta, \mu_\ell, \mu_r}$ is the grand-canonical partition function, μ_ℓ and μ_r denote the chemical potentials of the electron reservoirs on the right end of the wire (injecting left-moving electrons into the wire) and on the left end of the wire, respectively, and

$$H_{\mu_\ell, \mu_r} := H - \mu_\ell N_\ell - \mu_r N_r.$$

Expectations with respect to P_{μ_ℓ, μ_r} are denoted by $\langle (\cdot) \rangle_{\mu_\ell, \mu_r}$. The electric current, I , flowing through the wire in the state $\langle (\cdot) \rangle_{\mu_\ell, \mu_r}$ is given by

$$I := \langle J^1(x) \rangle_{\mu_\ell, \mu_r} = -\frac{e}{2\pi} \left\langle \frac{\partial \varphi(x)}{\partial t} \right\rangle_{\mu_\ell, \mu_r} = -i \frac{e}{2\pi} \langle [H, \varphi(x)] \rangle_{\mu_\ell, \mu_r}, \quad (22)$$

where the last equation expresses the *Heisenberg equation of motion*. Formally, one has that

$$\langle [H, \varphi(x)] \rangle_{\mu_\ell, \mu_r} = \langle H \varphi(x) - \varphi(x) H \rangle_{\mu_\ell, \mu_r}. \quad (23)$$

Since the charges N_ℓ and N_r are conserved, one is tempted to conclude that the right side of this equation vanishes and, hence, that $I = 0$. This conclusion turns out to be *wrong*, because the expression on the right of (23) is meaningless, due to non-trivial zero-modes of the field φ that can be present because φ is massless. In order to arrive at expressions that are meaningful, we replace the massless scalar field φ used in the bosonization of the electron gas in the wire by a scalar field with a small but *positive* mass that, at the end of our calculations, we will let approach 0. As long as this regularizing mass does not vanish, the Hamiltonian H does *not* commute with the charges N_ℓ and N_r , and hence (23) need *not* vanish. To find the correct expression for the current I passing through the wire, we use that

$$\begin{aligned} I &\stackrel{(23)}{=} -i \frac{e}{2\pi} \langle [H, \varphi(x)] \rangle_{\mu_\ell, \mu_r} \\ &= -i \frac{e}{2\pi} \langle [H_{\mu_\ell, \mu_r}, \varphi(x)] + [\mu_\ell N_\ell + \mu_r N_r, \varphi(x)] \rangle_{\mu_\ell, \mu_r} \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

As long as the mass of φ is positive we have that

$$\langle [H_{\mu_\ell, \mu_r}, \varphi(x)] \rangle_{\mu_\ell, \mu_r} = \langle H_{\mu_\ell, \mu_r} \varphi(x) - \varphi(x) H_{\mu_\ell, \mu_r} \rangle_{\mu_\ell, \mu_r},$$

and the right side of this identity is meaningful and *does* vanish, as follows from (21) and the cyclicity of the trace. Using Eq. (20) and the anomalous commutator (16), we find that the remaining terms on the right side of expression (24) for the current I add up to

$$\begin{aligned} I &= -\frac{ie^2}{2\pi} (\mu_\ell - \mu_r) \int \langle [\varpi(t, \underline{y}), \varphi(t, \underline{x})] \rangle_{\mu_\ell, \mu_r} d\underline{y} \\ &= -\frac{e^2}{2\pi} (\mu_\ell - \mu_r), \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

as follows from the canonical commutation relations between ϖ and φ . Equation (25) remains true, as the regularizing mass of φ tends to 0.

Notice that $-(\mu_\ell - \mu_r) =: \Delta V$ is the voltage drop through the wire. Re-installing Planck's constant \hbar , we find that

$$I = \sigma \Delta V, \quad \text{with } \sigma = \frac{e^2}{2\pi\hbar}.$$

Since electrons have spin $\frac{1}{2}$, there are actually *two* species of charged particles (electrons with “spin-up” and “spin-down”) per non-empty band in the wire. Thus,

$$I = 2n \frac{e^2}{h} \Delta V, \quad \text{for a wire with } n \text{ non-empty bands.}$$

This equation implies that the conductance σ in a quantum wire is quantized in even multiples of e^2/h .

For more general results on transport in 1D systems exhibiting conformal symmetry see [31] and references given there.

4 The 2D quantum Hall effect

In this section we outline some important elements of the *theory of the quantum Hall effect* (QHE) (see [30] and references given there). We consider the idealized set-up, sketched in Figure 1, below, for measurements of the Hall conductivity (= Hall conductance) and the longitudinal resistance (or conductance) of a two-dimensional electron gas formed at the interface of a semi-conductor and an insulator when a gate voltage perpendicular to the interface is turned on. The two-dimensional electron gas is assumed to be confined to a compact region, Ω , contained in the xy -plane and is subjected to a magnetic field $\vec{B}_0 \perp \Omega$ (parallel to the z -axis). To observe the quantization of the Hall conductivity, σ_H , the filling factor $\nu := n_e/(eB_0/h)$ of the electron gas (where n_e is the density of the gas, and $B_0 := |\vec{B}_0|$) must be chosen in such a way that the longitudinal resistance, R_L , of the electron gas vanishes. (If the Hall (transverse) conductivity, σ_H , does not vanish then $R_L = 0$ is equivalent to a vanishing longitudinal conductance.) To show, mathematically, that, for an *interacting* two-dimensional electron gas in a uniform external magnetic field, one can choose the filling factor ν in such a way that $R_L = 0$ appears to be a very hard problem of quantum many-body theory that has not been solved under realistic assumptions about the electron gas but has been extensively studied numerically (see, e.g., [32]). In the following, we attempt to solve a much easier problem: *Assuming* that $R_L = 0$, what can one say about the properties of the electron gas and, in particular, about the possible values of the Hall conductivity σ_H (under the condition that the diameter of Ω is much larger than the magnetic length)? This question has a very elegant, general answer (see [4, 6, 7, 33]). In order to find this answer, we have to study the response of a 2D electron gas confined to Ω to a small perturbing electromagnetic field (\vec{E}, \vec{B}) , with $\vec{E} \parallel \Omega$ and $\vec{B} \perp \Omega$. We set

$$\vec{B}^{tot} := \vec{B}_0 + \vec{B}, \quad B := |\vec{B}|, \quad \underline{E} := (E_1, E_2).$$

It is helpful to review the electrodynamics of 2D “incompressible” ($R_L = 0$) electron gases. The electromagnetic field tensor, $F = (F_{\mu\nu}) = (\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu)$, $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2$, in 2 + 1 space-time dimensions is given by

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_1 & E_2 \\ -E_1 & 0 & -B \\ -E_2 & B & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The electric current density, j^μ , in the gas is given by

$$j^\mu(x) := \langle J^\mu(x) \rangle_A, \quad \mu = 0, 1, 2,$$

where $\langle (\cdot) \rangle_A$ is the (time-dependent) state of the gas in a very slowly varying external electromagnetic field with vector potential $A = (A_\mu)$.




Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the experimental setup and of experimental results on the QHE.

Observations: $R_L = 0 \leftrightarrow (\nu, \sigma_H) \in \text{plateau}$; plateau heights $\in \frac{e^2}{h} \mathbb{Q}$; the cleaner the sample, the more numerous are the observed plateaus and the narrower they are; if $\frac{h}{e^2} \sigma_H \notin \mathbb{Z}$ there appear to exist fractional electric charges.

Note: Figures 1 through 3 have previously appeared in [10], figure 3 also in [6].

4.1 The electrodynamics of 2D incompressible electron liquids – anomalous chiral edge currents

The basic equations of the (2 + 1)-dimensional electrodynamics of 2D incompressible electron gases (in units where the speed of light $c = 1$) are as follows.

- (i) **Hall's Law** – (a phenomenological law)

$$j^k(x) = \sigma_H \varepsilon^{k\ell} E_\ell(x), \quad k, \ell = 1, 2, \quad \text{assuming that } R_L = 0, \quad (26)$$

where $\varepsilon^{12} = -\varepsilon^{21} = 1, \varepsilon^{ii} = 0$, which shows that if $\sigma_H \neq 0$ parity (P) and time reversal (T) are broken. (Of course these symmetries are broken by the presence of an external magnetic field.)

- (ii) **Charge conservation** – (a fundamental law)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho(x) + \nabla \cdot \underline{j}(x) = 0. \quad (27)$$

(iii) **Faraday's induction law** – (a fundamental law)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} B_3^{\text{tot}}(x) + \nabla \wedge \underline{E}(x) = 0. \quad (28)$$

Combining Eqs. (i) through (iii), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho \stackrel{(ii)}{=} -\nabla \cdot \underline{j} \stackrel{(i)}{=} -\sigma_H \nabla \wedge \underline{E} \stackrel{(iii)}{=} \sigma_H \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B. \quad (29)$$

(iv) **Chern-Simons Gauss law** – (useful to determine the Hall conductivity)

Integrating (29) in time t , with integration constants chosen as follows

$$j^0(x) := \rho(x) + e \cdot n_e, \quad B(x) = B_3^{\text{tot}}(x) - B_0,$$

we find the so-called *Chern-Simons Gauss law* (see eqs. (32) and (39), below)

$$j^0(x) = \sigma_H B(x). \quad (30)$$

This equation is also known under the name of *Streda formula*; see [34]. Integrating both sides of Eq. (29) in time from $t = t_i$ to $t = t_f$ and over sample space Ω , we conclude that

$$\Delta Q = \sigma_H \Delta \Phi, \quad (31)$$

where ΔQ is the change of the electric charge stored in the sample and $\Delta \Phi$ is the change of the magnetic flux through the sample during the time interval $[t_i, t_f]$.

Remark. For 2D incompressible electron liquids of *non-interacting* electrons moving in a disordered potential landscape, the Chern-Simons Gauss law (31) has been invoked in calculations of the Hall conductivity, σ_H , with the purpose to exhibit its topological character (see [35, 15]). But this law, as well as Hall's law (26) can equally well be used to calculate the Hall conductivity for incompressible *interacting* 2D electron liquids and to exhibit the topological nature of σ_H . For example, one may consider an electron liquid confined to a 2D torus, \mathcal{T} , and thread a magnetic flux tube through the interior of \mathcal{T} that does not cross/intersect \mathcal{T} and is parallel to a non-contractible cycle, γ , of \mathcal{T} .¹⁰ One may then ask how much electric charge, $\Delta Q_{\tilde{\gamma}}$, crosses a cycle, $\tilde{\gamma}$, on \mathcal{T} conjugate to γ (i.e., γ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ intersecting each other in a single point of \mathcal{T}) when the magnetic flux in the interior of the torus (parallel to γ) changes by an amount $\Delta \Phi$. A combination of Eq. (26) with Faraday's induction law (28) shows that the quotient $\Delta Q_{\tilde{\gamma}}/\Delta \Phi$ is given by σ_H . Assuming that the electric charge of all charge carriers in the system is an integer multiple of the elementary electric charge e , and assuming that the longitudinal conductance vanishes, one can invoke the theory of the Aharonov-Bohm effect to conclude that the state of this system is unchanged if the magnetic flux in the interior of \mathcal{T} is slowly increased by an integer multiple of the flux quantum h/e . This implies that, in the process of increasing $\Delta \Phi$ by h/e , an integer number of charge carriers with a total electric charge Ne , $N \in \mathbb{Z}$, must cross the cycle $\tilde{\gamma}$. Hence

$$\Delta Q_{\tilde{\gamma}} = Ne = \sigma_H (h/e) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sigma_H = N \frac{e^2}{h}, \quad N \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

¹⁰Instead, we could consider a very large Corbino disk and study charge transport from the inner to the outer boundary circle when the magnetic flux threaded through its hole changes.

These considerations do not explain why the ‘‘Hall fraction,’’ $\frac{h}{e^2}\sigma_H$, is often observed *not* to be an integer, but rather a *rational number* (most often with an odd denominator). It is plausible to imagine that if the Hall fraction is not an integer then there must exist *fractionally charged quasi-particles*, as originally suggested by Tsui and Laughlin (see Subsection 4.1).

The sign of σ_H is determined by the nature of the quasi-particles carrying the Hall current, namely whether these quasi-particles are electrons or holes. (Historically, this fact led to the discovery of holes in a nearly full conduction band of semi-conductors.)

Hall’s law (26) and the Chern-Simons Gauss law (30) yield the equation

$$j^\mu(x) = \sigma_H \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} F_{\nu\lambda}(x), \quad (32)$$

which is a *generally covariant* relation between the current density j^μ of the electron gas and the electromagnetc field tensor $F_{\nu\lambda}$.

Equation (32) evokes to the following puzzle.

$$0 \stackrel{(ii)}{=} \partial_\mu j^\mu \stackrel{(iii),(32)}{=} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} (\partial_\mu \sigma_H) F_{\nu\lambda}. \quad (33)$$

We observe that, while the left side of this equation vanishes by charge conservation, the right side does *not* vanish wherever the value of σ_H jumps, as, for example, at the boundary, $\partial\Omega$, of the sample region Ω . So, what is going on?

Solution of Puzzle: In equation (32) j^μ is the *bulk* current density, j_{bulk}^μ , which is apparently **not** conserved! The *conserved total electric current density*, j_{tot}^μ , can be decomposed into

$$j_{tot}^\mu = j_{bulk}^\mu + j_{edge}^\mu, \quad (34)$$

with

$$\partial_\mu j_{tot}^\mu = 0, \quad \text{but} \quad \partial_\mu j_{bulk}^\mu \stackrel{(33)}{\neq} 0.$$

We note that the support of the edge current density j_{edge}^μ coincides with the support of $\nabla\sigma_H$,

$$\text{supp } j_{edge}^\mu = \text{supp}\{\nabla\sigma_H\} \supseteq \partial\Omega, \quad \text{with} \quad \underline{j}_{edge} \perp \nabla\sigma_H.$$

Combining (33) (setting $j^\mu = j_{bulk}^\mu$!) with (34), we find that

$$\partial_\mu j_{edge}^\mu \stackrel{(34)}{=} -\partial_\mu j_{bulk}^\mu|_{\text{supp}\{\nabla\sigma_H\}} \stackrel{(32)}{=} -\varepsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \sigma_H E_\nu.$$

When restricted to the support of $\nabla\sigma_H$ this equation becomes

$$\partial_\mu j_{edge}^\mu = -(\Delta\sigma_H) E_{\parallel}|_{\text{supp}\{\nabla\sigma_H\}}, \quad (35)$$

where $\Delta\sigma_H$ is the jump of the Hall conductivity across the edge in question. In the following, we assume that σ_H is constant throughout the interior of Ω and vanishes outside Ω (so that $\Delta\sigma_H = \sigma_H$ in (35)). This is not a realistic assumption; but it simplifies our discussion without introducing any misleading reasoning. Equation (35) shows that j_{edge}^μ is an anomalous (chiral) current density in 1 + 1 dimensions. This equation can be viewed as a simple manifestation of ‘‘holography’’: The edge displays as much information about the Hall conductivity of the system as the bulk.

Here is a classical-physics argument determining the chirality of j_{edge}^μ : At the edge of the sample

the Lorentz force acting on electrons must be cancelled by the force confining them to the interior of the sample. Thus,

$$\frac{e}{c} B^{\text{tot}} v_{\parallel}^k = \varepsilon^{kl} \frac{\partial V_{\text{edge}}}{\partial x^l},$$

where V_{edge} is the potential of the force confining electrons to the interior of the sample region Ω . This enables us to find the equation for the chiral motion of degrees of freedom localized at the boundary, $\partial\Omega$, of the system; (“skipping orbits”).




Fig. 2. Skipping orbits of electrons moving along the boundary/edge of a 2D electron gas confined to a disk — electrons near $\partial\Omega$ perform a chiral motion.

From the theory of the chiral anomaly in 1 + 1 dimensions one may infer that, at the boundary $\partial\Omega$,

$$\partial_{\mu} j_{\text{edge}}^{\mu} = -\frac{e^2}{h} \left(\sum_{\text{species } \alpha} Q_{\alpha}^2 \right) E_{\parallel} \stackrel{\text{with (35)}}{\Rightarrow} \sigma_H = \frac{e^2}{h} \sum_{\text{species } \alpha} Q_{\alpha}^2 \quad (36)$$

where eQ_{α} is the “charge” (see (11)) of the edge current, j_{α}^{μ} , corresponding to species α of clockwise-chiral edge modes; (similar contributions will come from counter-clockwise chiral modes, but with *reversed* sign).

Apparently, we have re-discovered *Halperin’s* chiral edge currents [12], but only using very general arguments that are valid even for interacting electron gases. We observe that if $\sigma_H \notin \frac{e^2}{h} \mathbb{Z}$ then there exist chiral currents associated with *fractionally charged* modes propagating along the edges.

A phenomenon in classical physics analogous to the anomalous edge currents of 2D electron gases is found in the physics of hurricanes:

$$\vec{B} \rightarrow \vec{\omega}_{\text{earth}}, \text{ Lorentz force} \rightarrow \text{Coriolis force}, V_{\text{edge}} \rightarrow \text{air pressure} .$$

This shows (among other things) that hurricanes on the northern hemisphere always turn anti-clockwise. Related phenomena are the equatorial winds and chiral coastal water waves, called *Kelvin waves* (after Lord Kelvin, who discovered them). The take-home message of this observation is that anomalies, anomaly inflow and anomaly cancellation are effects that already appear in classical physics, in particular in the aerodynamics of the Earth’s atmosphere and in the dynamics of fluids in non-inertially moving containers, such as the Earth’s oceans.

Next, we determine the (bulk- and edge) effective action of 2D incompressible electron gases exhibiting the quantum Hall effect. As above, we consider a 2D electron gas in a neutralizing ionic background subject to a constant transversal magnetic field \vec{B}_0 . The electrons are confined to a region Ω in the xy -plane. The space-time of the system is given by $\Lambda = \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ (with \mathbb{R} identified with the time axis). We suppose that the electrons are coupled to an external electromagnetic field with vector potential $A = A_0 dt + A_1 dx + A_2 dy$ describing a small, slowly varying perturbing

electromagnetic field (\underline{E}, B) .

We assume that the 2D electron gas is an insulator, i.e., that the longitudinal conductance vanishes. It is then easy to determine the form of the effective action, $S_{eff}(A)$, of this system as a functional of the external vector potential A in the limiting regime of very large distances and very low frequencies, i.e., in the scaling limit; as alluded to in Section 1 (see [4, 6] for details):

$$S_{eff}(A) = \frac{\sigma_H}{2} \int_{\Lambda} A \wedge [dA + K] + \text{boundary action} \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda} d^3x \{ \underline{E}(x) \cdot \varepsilon \underline{E}(x) - \mu^{-1} B^2(x) \} + \dots, \quad (37)$$

where the first term on the right side is the topological Chern-Simons action (which is “marginal” in the infrared), and its coefficient, σ_H , turns out to be the *Hall conductivity*, K is the Gauss curvature 2-form on the sample space Ω , which gives rise to the famous “shift”; ε = tensor of dielectric constants, μ = magnetic permeability (and the last term on the right side of (37) is “irrelevant” in the infrared).

The presence of the Chern-Simons action on the right side of (37) can also be inferred from Eq. (32). Omitting curvature terms ($K = 0$),

$$j_{bulk}^{\mu} = \langle J^{\mu}(x) \rangle_A \equiv \frac{\delta S_{\Lambda}(A)}{\delta A_{\mu}(x)} \\ \stackrel{(32)}{=} \sigma_H \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} F_{\nu\lambda}(x), \quad \text{for } x \notin \partial\Lambda. \quad (38)$$

Integrating Eq. (38), we find that the effective action $S_{eff}(A) \equiv S_{\Lambda}(A)$ is given by

$$S_{\Lambda}(A) = \frac{\sigma_H}{2} \int_{\Lambda} A \wedge dA + \text{boundary action}. \quad (39)$$

That there *must* be a boundary action, denoted in the following by $\Gamma_{edge}(a)$, is a consequence of the fact that the Chern-Simons bulk action is *not* gauge-invariant on a space-time Λ with a non-empty boundary $\partial\Lambda$: Under a gauge transformation $A_{\mu} \rightarrow A_{\mu} + \partial_{\mu}\chi$, the Chern-Simons action changes by a boundary term

$$\frac{\sigma_H}{2} \int_{\partial\Lambda} [\chi dA] \quad (40)$$

This anomaly must be cancelled by the anomaly of a boundary action.

Returning to Eqs. (35) and (36), we guess that the boundary action must be the generating functional of the connected Green functions of the (quantum-mechanical) anomalous chiral edge current density

$$j_{edge}^{\mu} = \sum_{\alpha} j_{\alpha}^{\mu}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where α labels the different species of charged chiral edge modes. The charge of the chiral edge modes corresponding to j_{α}^{μ} has been denoted by eQ_{α} . Let v_{α} denote the propagation speed of the chiral modes that give rise to the edge current density j_{α}^{μ} . This propagation speed (which in general depends on the species α) plays the role of the “speed of light” in 2D current algebra. We introduce “light-cone coordinates”, u^+, u^- , on $\partial\Lambda$. Let $a \equiv a_+ du^+ + a_- du^- := A_{\parallel}$ denote the electromagnetic vector potential (which is a 1-form) restricted to the 1 + 1-dimensional boundary,

$\partial\Lambda$, of the $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional space-time.

The effective action of the chiral edge current density j_α^μ is given by

$$\frac{(eQ_\alpha)^2}{h} \Gamma_{\partial\Lambda}^{(\alpha)}(a), \text{ with } \Gamma_{\partial\Lambda}^{(\cdot)}(a) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Lambda} [a_+ a_- - a_\pm \frac{\partial_\mp^2}{\square} a_\pm] du^+ du^-, \quad (41)$$

where $\square := \partial_+ \partial_-$, and the choice of subscripts “+” and “−” in the last term on the right side of (41) depends on the chirality of the modes giving rise to the edge current j_α^μ ; (the dependence of $\Gamma_{\partial\Lambda}^{(\alpha)}(a)$ on α is determined by the chirality of the mode α and its propagation speed v_α).

The reader is invited to verify that the anomaly (40) of the bulk effective action, namely the term $\frac{\sigma_H}{2} \int_{\partial\Lambda} [\chi dA]|_{\partial\Lambda}$, is cancelled by the anomaly of the edge effective action,

$$\Gamma_{edge}(a) := - \sum_{\text{species } \alpha} \frac{(eQ_\alpha)^2}{h} \Gamma_{\partial\Lambda}^{(\alpha)}(a), \quad (42)$$

under a gauge transformation $a \rightarrow a + d\chi|_{\partial\Lambda}$ if and only if

$$\hat{\partial}_\mu (\delta \Gamma_{edge}(a) / \delta a_\mu(x)) = \hat{\partial}_\mu j_{edge}^\mu(x) \equiv -\sigma_H^{edge} E_\parallel \stackrel{!}{=} -\sigma_H E_\parallel, \quad (43)$$

see (35); whence

$$\sigma_H^{edge} \stackrel{(42)}{=} \frac{e^2}{h} \sum_\alpha Q_\alpha^2 = \sigma_H. \quad (44)$$

This shows that edge- and bulk Hall conductivities must *coincide*, as already emphasized in [4, 6]. Merely for simplicity, we have assumed here (and will continue to assume in the following) that all edge modes have the *same* chirality; otherwise, appropriate signs have to be introduced in these formulae.

Whatever has been said here about Hall insulators also applies to so-called *Chern insulators*, which break reflection- and time-reversal invariance *even* in the absence of an external magnetic field; e.g., because the bulk of the material is doped by magnetic impurities; (as in the Haldane model [36]; see also [2]).

4.2 Classification of “abelian” Hall fluids

In this subsection I sketch a general classification of 2D insulators with broken parity and time reversal invariance (Hall- or Chern insulators) exhibiting quasi-particles with *abelian braid statistics*.¹¹ I will describe these systems in the limit of very large distance scales (i.e., I consider very large samples in units of the magnetic length) and of very low frequencies; i.e., in the infrared *scaling limit*. Units will be used such that $\frac{e^2}{h} = 1$. Let J denote the total operator-valued electric current density (bulk- plus edge current density), which is conserved: $\hat{\partial}_\mu J^\mu = 0$.

Ansatz:

$$J = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N Q_\alpha J_\alpha, \quad (45)$$

¹¹States exhibiting quasi-particles with *non-abelian braid statistics* are discussed in my work [33] with B. Pedrini, Chr. Schweigert and J. Walcher.

where the currents J_α are assumed to be canonically normalized and conserved, with charges $Q_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Comment. This ansatz has been criticized, a common objection being that there is no reason why there should be more than *one* conserved current density, namely the total electric current density. To counter this objection, I first consider a gas of electrons confined to a planar region Ω with the topology of a disk and a smooth boundary; a uniform external magnetic field transversal to Ω is turned on, and it is assumed that there are no electron-electron interactions. This system can be understood by studying the one-electron Hamiltonian: its eigenvalues form Landau levels. The eigenvalues corresponding to eigenfunctions localized close to the boundary, $\partial\Omega$, of Ω , are shifted upwards to rather high energies by the boundary potential that confines the electrons to the interior of Ω (a fact that can be verified in perturbation theory); i.e., the Landau levels end in bands of energies corresponding to chiral modes with eigenfunctions localized near $\partial\Omega$ and extended along $\partial\Omega$. The *ground-state* of the electron gas is obtained by filling *all* the eigenstates corresponding to energy levels below the Fermi energy, E_F . Assuming that E_F is such that a certain number, n , of Landau levels are partially filled then there are n energy bands corresponding to chiral boundary modes that are partially filled up to an energy $\leq E_F$. The occupied bulk and boundary states then carry n currents that, at very low frequencies, are *separately conserved*.

If the electrons move in a disordered potential landscape the energy eigenvalues of the Landau levels are spread out in “Landau bands.” Eigenvalues close to the Landau-band edges correspond to localized eigenfunctions. But in the middle of each Landau band there are eigenvalues corresponding to *extended* states. The eigenvalues corresponding to eigenfunctions located near $\partial\Omega$ end in an energy band of chiral boundary modes that is close to the band found when the disorder potential vanishes (as follows from arguments similar to Halperin’s [12]). By dilating the region Ω one may approach the *scaling limit*. In this limit, the extended states in the middle of each filled Landau band, together with the corresponding states of chiral boundary modes with energies $\leq E_F$, then carry a current that, at the very low frequencies that survive in the scaling limit, is *conserved*.

Thus, for non-interacting electron liquids, our ansatz appears to be justified in the scaling limit. (For finitely extended samples, there are corrections possibly describing some mixing of the currents, which, however, vanish in the scaling limit. I realize that mathematical rigor would require a more thorough analysis.)

For interacting electron liquids, the success of the **Ansatz**, which will be demonstrated below, appears to justify it.

A topological field theory of conserved currents emerging in the scaling limit: On a 3D space-time $\Lambda = \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$, a conserved current J can be derived from a vector potential, B . If ι denotes the 2-form dual to J then the continuity equation $\partial_\mu J^\mu = 0$ is equivalent to $d\iota = 0$. By Poincaré’s lemma,

$$\iota = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dB,$$

where the vector potential B is a 1-form, which is determined by ι up to the gradient of a scalar function, β : B and $B + d\beta$ yield the *same* 2-form ι .

For a gapped 2D insulator, the effective field theory of the currents $(J_\alpha)_{\alpha=1}^N$ must be *topological* in the scaling limit. If the symmetries of reflection in lines and time reversal are broken the “most relevant” term in the action functional of the potentials, $\underline{B} := (B_\alpha)_{\alpha=1}^N$, of the currents J_α is the

Chern-Simons action

$$S_\Lambda(\underline{B}, A) := \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \int_\Lambda \left\{ \frac{1}{2} B_\alpha \wedge dB_\alpha + A \wedge \frac{Q_\alpha}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dB_\alpha \right\} + \text{boundary terms} + \dots, \quad (46)$$

where A is the electromagnetic vector potential, and the boundary terms must be added to cancel the anomalies of the bulk action under the ‘‘gauge transformations’’

$$B_\alpha \rightarrow B_\alpha + d\beta_\alpha, \quad A \rightarrow A + d\chi.$$

Carrying out the oscillatory Gaussian integrals over the potentials B_α , we find

$$e^{iS_\Lambda(A)} \equiv \mathcal{Z}_\Lambda(A) := \int \exp(iS_\Lambda(\underline{B}, A)) \prod_{\alpha=1}^N \mathcal{D}B_\alpha = \exp\left(i\frac{\sigma_H}{2} \int_\Lambda A \wedge dA + \Gamma_{\text{edge}}(A_\parallel)\right), \quad (47)$$

where

$$\sigma_H = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\alpha=1}^N Q_\alpha^2,$$

by (46); compare to (36).

Physical states of the Chern-Simons theory with action as in (46) can be constructed from ‘‘Wilson networks’’, W , of Wilson lines and -flux tubes contained in the half space Λ_- , where $\Lambda_{+/-} := \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_{+/-} = \Omega \times \{t \mid t \geq 0 / t \leq 0\}$, whose open lines/flux tubes end in $\Omega_0 \equiv \Omega \times \{t = 0\}$. Given such a network W , let $|W\rangle$ denote the physical state corresponding to W . Let $\Theta(W)$ denote the network contained in Λ_+ arising from W by reflection in Ω_0 , followed by complex conjugation. If W_1 and W_2 are two such networks with the property that their intersections with Ω_0 , more precisely their *fluxes* through Ω_0 , coincide we may consider the *gauge-invariant* network, $W_1 \circ \Theta(W_2)$, arising by multiplying W_1 with $\Theta(W_2)$; (graphically this amounts to concatenation at coinciding points/flux patches in Ω_0). Then the scalar product of the state $|W_1\rangle$ with the state $|W_2\rangle$ is given by

$$\langle W_2 \| W_1 \rangle := \mathcal{Z}_\Lambda(A)^{-1} \int (W_1 \circ \Theta(W_2))(\underline{B}) \exp(iS_\Lambda(\underline{B}, A)) \prod_{\alpha=1}^N \mathcal{D}B_\alpha. \quad (48)$$

Fact: The Hamiltonian of a Hall insulator described by (46) through (48) vanishes. Thus, in the scaling limit, all excitations are ‘‘static’’.

The operator, $\mathcal{Q}_\mathcal{O}$, measuring the electric charge stored in states corresponding to Wilson networks whose supports intersect the sample space Ω_0 in a region \mathcal{O} , can be constructed from the Wilson loops

$$\exp(i\varepsilon \mathcal{Q}_\mathcal{O}) := \exp(i\varepsilon \int_\mathcal{O} J^0 d^2x) = \exp\left(i \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \varepsilon \frac{Q_\alpha}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\partial\mathcal{O}} B_\alpha\right), \quad \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Because the ground-state energy of a Hall insulator is separated from the rest of the energy spectrum by a strictly *positive (mobility) gap*, *electric charge* is a good quantum number to label its physical states at zero temperature. In other words, the charge operators

$$\mathcal{Q}_\mathcal{O} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Q} := \lim_{\mathcal{O} \nearrow \Omega} \mathcal{Q}_\mathcal{O}$$

are well defined on (zero-temperature) physical states.¹²

The electric charges, $q_{\mathcal{O},1}$ and $q_{\mathcal{O},2}$, contained in a region $\mathcal{O} \subset \Omega_0$ of two states $|W_1\rangle, |W_2\rangle$ with the property that $W_1 \circ \Theta(W_2)$ is gauge-invariant must be *identical*, i.e., $q_{\mathcal{O},1} = q_{\mathcal{O},2} \equiv q_{\mathcal{O}}$. Their electric charge contained in \mathcal{O} , i.e., the number $q_{\mathcal{O}}$, is given by

$$\exp(i\varepsilon q_{\mathcal{O}})\langle W_2||W_1\rangle = \mathcal{Z}_{\Lambda}(A)^{-1} \int (W_1 \circ \Theta(W_2))(\underline{B}) \exp(i\varepsilon \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{O}}) \exp(iS_{\Lambda}(\underline{B}, A)) \prod_{\alpha=1}^N \mathcal{D}B_{\alpha}, \quad (49)$$

with $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ arbitrary. If a Wilson network W creates a physical state $|W\rangle$ describing n electrons or holes located inside a region $\mathcal{O} \subset \Omega_0$ when applied to the ground-state of a Hall insulator then the charge, $q_{\mathcal{O}} \equiv q_{\mathcal{O}}(W)$, contained in \mathcal{O} is given by $q_{\mathcal{O}}(W) = -n + 2k$, where k is the number of holes in \mathcal{O} . If $-n + 2k$ is odd, i.e., if n is *odd*, then the excitation created by W inside \mathcal{O} must have Fermi-Dirac statistics, and if n is *even* it must have Bose-Einstein statistics; i.e., there is a *connection between electric charge and quantum statistics* of excitations.

Digression: Let W and W' be two Wilson networks both creating excitations describing $n - k$ electrons and k holes ($k = 0, 1, \dots, n$) located at *disjoint* points inside some region $\mathcal{O} \subset \Omega_0$, with $q_{\mathcal{O}}(W) = q_{\mathcal{O}}(W')$. Let \widetilde{W} be an *arbitrary* Wilson network with the property that the networks $(W \cdot W') \circ \Theta(\widetilde{W})$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{O}}(W \cdot W') \circ \Theta(\widetilde{W})$ are *gauge-invariant*, where $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{O}}(W \cdot W')$ arises from $W \cdot W'$ by braiding all lines of the two networks with endpoints inside \mathcal{O} , and *only* those, in such a way that the endpoints of all lines of W ending inside \mathcal{O} are exchanged with the endpoints of all lines of W' ending in \mathcal{O} , but *without any lines twisted around or crossing each other*. Then (46) and (48) imply that

$$\langle \widetilde{W}||\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{O}}(W \cdot W')\rangle = \exp(i\pi n^2)\langle \widetilde{W}||W \cdot W'\rangle = (-1)^{q_{\mathcal{O}}}\langle \widetilde{W}||W \cdot W'\rangle.$$

This is the standard *connection between electric charge and statistics* of excitations in a 2D incompressible electron gas. \square

Consider a Wilson network W with support in Λ_- that has just a single line, γ_p , ending in a point p contained in a region $\mathcal{O} \subset \Omega_0$, and let $q := (q^{\alpha})_{\alpha=1}^N$ denote the quantum numbers (fluxes) dual to the potentials B_{α} attached to the line γ_p . Then W is given by the Wilson line

$$\exp\left(i \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \sqrt{2\pi} q^{\alpha} \int_{\gamma_p} B_{\alpha}\right)$$

multiplied by lines or flux tubes contained in Λ_- that do *not* intersect Ω_0 in the region \mathcal{O} . It follows from Eq. (49) that

$$q_{\mathcal{O}}(W) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N Q_{\alpha} q^{\alpha} = Q \cdot q. \quad (50)$$

It is easy to argue that *quantum numbers*, $q = (q^{\alpha})_{\alpha=1}^N$, corresponding to multi-electron/hole excitations, form a module, Γ , over \mathbb{Z} of rank N , i.e., an *integral lattice of rank N* , which we call a “Hall lattice”; (to see this, consider the additivity of the electric charge and other “pseudo-charge” quantum numbers under composition of excitations). By (50), the “vector” $Q = (Q_1, \dots, Q_N)$ must be an integer-valued, \mathbb{Z} -linear functional on Γ , i.e., an element of the dual lattice, Γ^* .

¹²The same conclusion is reached by noticing that all Wilson loop expectations have perimeter decay and then invoking “’tHooft duality”.

The lattice Γ is equipped with an odd-integral quadratic form,

$$\langle q^{(1)}, q^{(2)} \rangle := \sum_{\alpha} q^{(1)\alpha} \cdot q^{(2)\alpha}, \quad q^{(1)}, q^{(2)} \in \Gamma.$$

That this defines an odd-integral quadratic form is shown as follows: Braiding two Wilson lines with quantum numbers $q^{(1)} = q^{(2)} = q \in \Gamma$ ending in Ω_0 yields a phase factor $\exp(i\pi\langle q, q \rangle)$, which must be $= 1$ if $Q \cdot q$ is even, and $= -1$ if $Q \cdot q$ is odd (connection between electric charge and quantum statistics).

If q is the vector of quantum numbers corresponding to a single electron/hole then

$$Q \cdot q = \mp 1, \text{ and } \exp(i\pi\langle q, q \rangle) = -1. \quad (51)$$

It follows that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is odd-integral, and Q is a “visible” vector in Γ^* . Since $Q \in \Gamma^*$ and Γ is an (odd-) integral lattice, we conclude that (re-instating e^2/h)

$$\frac{h}{e^2} \sigma_H = Q \cdot Q \equiv \sum_{\alpha=1}^N Q_{\alpha}^2 \text{ is a } \textit{rational} \text{ number.} \quad (52)$$




Fig. 3. Observed Hall fractions $\sigma_H = n_H/d_H$ in the interval $0 < \sigma_H \leq 1$ and their experimental status in single-layer 2D electron gases exhibiting the quantum Hall effect.

Our task is then to classify the pairs $(\Gamma, Q \in \Gamma^*)$, using *invariants* of these data that encode physical properties of the corresponding incompressible 2D electron liquid. This (quite non-trivial) task has

been carried out in collaboration with U. M. Studer and E. Thiran, during the period from 1992 till 1994; see [5, 6, 7]). I will not go into any details here. Among the results of our efforts we have predicted the table of Hall fractions in the interval $(0, 1]$ shown in Figure 3; fractions indicated by a bullet (full dot) have been experimentally observed in incompressible electron liquids. In Figure 3, the Hall conductivity, $\sigma_H = \frac{e^2}{h} \cdot \frac{n_H}{d_H}$, is plotted horizontally (n_H and d_H are co-prime integers), and d_H is plotted vertically; units are chosen such that $e^2/h = 1$.

Comments. The Hall lattices Γ corresponding to fractions observed in the intervals $\Sigma_\ell^\pm, \ell = 2, 3, \dots$, (see Figure 3) are related to those corresponding to fractions in the intervals Σ_1^\pm by the so-called *shift map*; see [5, 7]. The pattern of Hall fractions in Σ_ℓ^- is much richer than the pattern in Σ_ℓ^+ . The Hall lattices Γ corresponding to the Hall fractions $\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{7}, \dots$ contain the A root lattices as their Witt sublattices¹³ and are *unique*. Among the Witt sublattices of the Hall lattices corresponding to Hall fractions in Σ_1^- one also finds the D - and the E - root lattices. Some of the fractions (e.g., $\frac{2}{3}$) correspond to two or more *distinct* pairs (Γ, Q) . The experimental manifestation of this ambiguity is that, at a fixed value of the filling factor, transitions between *distinct* Hall insulator states with the *same* value of the Hall conductivity are observed when the external magnetic field is tilted (which affects the orientation of the spins of the electrons).

The story just told can also be presented from the point of view of edge degrees of freedom. The chiral anomaly (36) and expression (44) imply that there must exist several (N) species of gapless chiral quasi-particles propagating along the edge. They are described by N chiral scalar Bose fields $\{\varphi^\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^N$ with propagation speeds $\{v_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^N$, with the properties that

1. the chiral electric edge current operator and the Hall conductivity are given by the formulae

$$j_{edge}^\mu = e \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \frac{Q_\alpha}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \partial^\mu \varphi^\alpha, \quad \sigma_H^{edge} = \frac{e^2}{h} Q \cdot Q^T \stackrel{!}{=} \sigma_H, \quad \text{with } Q := (Q_1, \dots, Q_N);$$

and

2. multi-electron/hole states localized at an edge are created by the vertex operators

$$: \exp i \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^N \sqrt{2\pi} q_\alpha \varphi^\alpha \right) : \quad \text{where } q = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ \vdots \\ q_N \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma. \quad (53)$$

The connection between electric charge and (quantum) statistics valid in 1D chiral ‘‘Luttinger liquids’’ implies that Γ must be an odd-integral lattice of rank N (which is seen by arguments identical to the ones described above for the bulk of the electron liquid). If the Witt sublattice of a Hall lattice Γ contains the root lattice of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} then \mathfrak{g} must belong to the A -, D - or E series; and one finds that the chiral Luttinger liquid describing the edge degrees of freedom gives rise to a Kac-Moody algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{k=1}$ at level 1.

A large family of Hall insulators can be classified by the data encoded into an odd-integral lattice Γ and a visible vector Q in the dual lattice Γ^* . As already mentioned, this implies that the Hall conductivity of such systems has the property that $\frac{h}{e^2} \sigma_H \in \mathbb{Q}$.

¹³See, e.g., [37] for information about integral lattices and their invariants.

Remarks.

- I. It is expected that vectors q^* belonging to the dual lattice $\Gamma^*(\supset \Gamma)$ are the quantum numbers of quasi-particles with *fractional charge* and *fractional statistics*.
- II. The electric charges of quasi-particles propagating along the edges/boundaries of a 2D incompressible electron liquid can and have been measured by using Mach-Zehnder interferometry (see [38], and [39, 40] for theory).

Further details can be found in [5, 6, 7]. For a classification of “*non-abelian*” Hall insulators, see [33]. Such insulators are expected to exhibit quasi-particles with *non-abelian braid statistics* and may therefore be of interest for purposes of topological quantum computing.

5 Induced Chern-Simons actions, dualities, and 2D chiral photonic wave guides

We consider a model of 3D quantum electrodynamics (QED_3), namely a relativistic quantum field theory of an odd number of Dirac fermions of electric charge e described by 2-component spinor fields, ψ_α , of masses $M_\alpha \neq 0$, $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, 2n + 1$, propagating in a three-dimensional space-time, $\Lambda (= \Omega \times \mathbb{R})$, and minimally coupled to an electromagnetic vector potential A . This theory breaks the symmetries of time reversal and reflection in lines. Integrating over the degrees of freedom of the Dirac fermions, we find that the effective action of the vector potential A is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_\Lambda(A) &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2n+1} \ell n[\det_{ren}((\partial_\mu - eA_\mu)\gamma^\mu + M_\alpha)] \\
 &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2n+1} Tr \ell n(\mathbf{1} - G_{M_\alpha} eA_\mu \gamma^\mu),
 \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

where the matrices γ^μ , $\mu = 0, 1, 2$, are 2×2 Dirac matrices, and G_M is the propagator of a free 2-component Dirac spinor with mass $M \neq 0$ propagating in Λ ; (constants are ignored on the right side of (54)). One may expand the logarithm on the right side of (54) in powers of A . For a large value of the mass M , the leading term in $Tr \ell n(\mathbf{1} + G_M A_\mu \gamma^\mu)$ is the one quadratic in A , which can be calculated explicitly without much difficulty.¹⁴ It is given by

$$sgn(M) \frac{e^2}{8\pi\hbar} \int_\Lambda A \wedge dA + \text{boundary term}, \tag{55}$$

i.e., by a Chern-Simons term corresponding to a Hall conductivity $\sigma_H = \pm \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{e^2}{h}$. Terms of higher order in A tend to 0, as $M \rightarrow \infty$. I will not reproduce the calculations leading to (55); but see [41, 42].

If the electromagnetic field is treated as a dynamical quantum field one must add a Maxwell term to the induced Chern-Simons action appearing on the right side of (54). Thus the total

¹⁴This has been done in unpublished work on QED_3 , by J. Magnen, the late R. Sénéor and myself, in 1976. Explicit expressions were first published by S. Deser, R. Jackiw and S. Templeton in [41]; see also [42].

effective action functional of QED_3 is given by

$$S_\Lambda(A) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2n+1} \text{sgn}(M_\alpha) \left\{ \frac{e^2}{8\pi\hbar} \int_\Lambda A \wedge dA + \Gamma_{\partial\Lambda}(A_\parallel) \right\} + \int_\Lambda [\varepsilon \underline{E}^2 - \mu^{-1} B^2] d^3x + \text{less relevant terms}. \quad (56)$$

Neglecting terms of higher than quadratic order in the electromagnetic vector potential A on the right side of (56), $S_\Lambda(A)$ is a quadratic form in the vector potential A . If Ω is a cube and periodic boundary conditions are imposed at $\partial\Omega$ (i.e., Ω is a torus) then this quadratic form can be diagonalized by Fourier transformation (exercise). This yields an explicit (momentum-space) expression for the 2-point functions of the electromagnetic field tensor; general n -point functions can be expressed as sums of products of 2-point functions by appealing to Wick's theorem. The denominators of the imaginary-time (euclidian) 2-point functions of the components, $F_{\mu\nu}$, of the electromagnetic field tensor in momentum space are given by $[k^2 + \text{const.}e^2]$, where k is the momentum variable. This shows that, in this model of QED_3 , *photons* have a *strictly positive mass* proportional to e .

If space-time Λ has a boundary then the effective action of the electromagnetic field has a boundary term given by the anomalous chiral action $\Gamma_{\partial\Lambda}(A_\parallel)$ cancelling the anomaly of the Chern-Simons term in (56), as discussed in (41) and (47).

It is argued that, in certain planar systems of condensed matter, there exist quasi-particles with low-energy properties mimicking those of 2-component Dirac fermions. An example is “doped” graphene (see, e.g., [43], and references given there). The low-energy properties of such systems can be described by a theory approaching the model of QED_3 introduced above in the infrared domain.

In planar systems (i.e., systems in three space-time dimensions), the electromagnetic vector potential A and the vector potential, B , of the conserved electric current density, $J = \nabla \wedge B$, play *dual roles*: Under the replacements

$$A \mapsto B, \quad B \mapsto A,$$

conventional time-reversal invariant 2D insulators are mapped to *2D superconductors*, and *electronic Hall- or Chern insulators* are mapped to *gapped photonic wave guides* exhibiting extended chiral electromagnetic surface waves; and *conversely* (see [6]).

As an example we consider the duality between certain Hall- or Chern insulators and gapped photonic wave guides. We define

$$\tilde{S}_\Lambda(B) := \frac{1}{2\sigma_H} \int_\Lambda B \wedge dB + \text{boundary action} + \text{less relevant terms}, \quad \sigma_H := \frac{e^2}{4\pi\hbar}. \quad (57)$$

Then we have the duality, valid in the infrared, between QED_3 with induced Chern-Simons action and the quantum theory of currents in some Hall insulator. This is elucidated by functional Fourier transformation:

$$e^{iS_\Lambda(A)} = \mathcal{N}^{-1} \int e^{i\tilde{S}_\Lambda(B)} e^{i\int_\Lambda A \wedge dB} \mathcal{D}B, \quad (58)$$

where \mathcal{N} is a normalization factor, and conversely. We may view the current driven through a wave guide with broken time-reversal invariance as a “classical control variable”, while the electromagnetic field is treated as quantized *dynamical* degrees of freedom. The response equations of such a

wave guide are given by

$$\langle F_{\mu\nu}(x) \rangle_B = \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} \frac{\delta \tilde{S}_\Lambda(B)}{\delta B_\lambda(x)} = \sigma_H^{-1} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} j^\lambda(x). \quad (59)$$

The boundary action on the right side of Eq. (57) is given by $\frac{1}{\sigma_H} \Gamma_{\partial\Lambda}^{(\pm)}(B|_{\partial\Lambda})$, with

$$\Gamma_{\partial\Lambda}^{(\pm)}(b) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Lambda} [b_+ b_- - 2b_\pm \frac{\partial_\pm^2}{\square} b_\pm] du^+ du^-$$

in light-cone coordinates (u^+, u^-) on $\partial\Lambda$, with $B|_{\partial\Lambda} = b_+ du^+ + b_- du^-$. The sign of σ_H and the choice of \pm in $\Gamma_{\partial\Lambda}^{(\pm)}$ depend on the chirality of the electromagnetic edge waves. The boundary action $\Gamma_{\partial\Lambda}^{(\pm)}(b)$ is the generating functional of Green functions of *gapless edge modes of the quantized electromagnetic field* propagating chirally around the boundary of the wave guide. In the bulk of such wave guides, the electromagnetic field is gapped, as remarked above.

It would be tempting to continue with a discussion of various further topics, such as the *theory of rotating Bose gases* in two dimensions and their Hall effects. This topic started with my work with U. M. Studer and E. Thiran (see [6]). Much further work on 2D Bose gases was carried out in [44] (and references given there). The role of *gravitational anomalies* in an analysis of heat transport in 2D systems of condensed matter physics has been elucidated in [45].

Five-dimensional QED, a close cousin of the theory introduced in (54) through (56), will make a brief appearance in Section 8 and might be of interest, for example, in cosmology.

6 Chiral Spin Currents in Planar Topological Insulators

So far, we have ignored electron spin, in spite of the fact that there are 2D gapped electron liquids exhibiting the fractional quantum Hall effect where electron spin plays an important role, as mentioned in subsection 4.2. (We won't study these systems any further; but see [4, 5, 6], and references given there.)

In this section we consider **time-reversal invariant 2D topological insulators** (2D TI) exhibiting chiral spin currents.¹⁵ – We start from the *Pauli equation* for the wave function, Ψ_t , of a spinning electron

$$i\hbar D_0 \Psi_t = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} g^{-1/2} D_k (g^{1/2} g^{kl} D_l \Psi_t), \quad (60)$$

where m is the effective mass of an electron, (g_{kl}) is the Riemannian metric on sample space, Ω , an orthonormal frame bundle is introduced on space-time $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ enabling one to define 2-component *Pauli spinors*, Ψ , and, in particular, to introduce notions of spin-up, \uparrow , and spin-down, \downarrow :

$$\Psi_t(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_t^\uparrow(x) \\ \psi_t^\downarrow(x) \end{pmatrix} \in L^2(\Omega, d\text{vol.}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2.$$

Furthermore, the symbols D_μ , $\mu = 0, \dots, d$, $d = 2$ or 3 , are covariant derivatives

$$i\hbar D_0 = i\hbar \partial_t + e\varphi - \vec{W}_0 \cdot \vec{\sigma}, \quad \vec{W}_0 = \mu c^2 \vec{B} + \dots, \quad (61)$$

¹⁵A general reference where the role of electron spin and spin currents and the spin Hall effect are analyzed is [46].

where φ is the electrostatic potential acting on the electrons, $\vec{W}_0 \cdot \vec{\sigma}$ is the Zeeman coupling of the spin of electrons to the zero-component, \vec{W}_0 , of an $SU(2)$ -gauge field; \vec{W}_0 describes an external magnetic field and/or a Weiss exchange field [25]. Furthermore,

$$\frac{\hbar}{i} D_k = \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla_k + eA_k - \vec{W}_k \cdot \vec{\sigma} + \dots, \quad (62)$$

where \vec{A} is the electromagnetic vector potential, and the dots stand for further terms present in a moving background (which we ignore in the following, but see [6]); moreover, \vec{W}_k is the k -component of an $SU(2)$ -gauge field, which, for the systems considered in this section, describes spin-orbit interactions, hence is given by

$$\vec{W}_k \cdot \vec{\sigma} := [(-\tilde{\mu}\vec{E} + \dots) \wedge \vec{\sigma}]_k, \quad (63)$$

where $\tilde{\mu} = \mu + \frac{e\hbar}{4mc^2}$; (the term $\frac{e\hbar}{4mc^2}$ is due to Thomas precession).

We observe that the Pauli equation (60) displays perfect $U(1)_{em} \times SU(2)_{spin}$ -gauge invariance, $A = A_0 dt + A_1 dx^1 + \dots + A_d dx^d$ is the $U(1)_{em}$ -connection, while $\vec{W} = \vec{W}_0 dt + \vec{W}_1 dx^1 + \dots + \vec{W}_d dx^d$ is the $SU(2)_{spin}$ -connection, with $x = (t \equiv x^0, x^1, \dots, x^d)$, $d = 2$ or 3 , the space-time coordinates on $\Lambda = \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$.¹⁶

In the following, we consider an interacting electron gas confined to a planar region Ω , setting $d = 2$ and $x^1 \equiv x, x^2 \equiv y$. We assume that $\vec{B} \perp \Omega$ and $\vec{E} \parallel \Omega$. Then the $SU(2)_{spin}$ -connection, \vec{W}_μ , is given by

$$W_\mu^3 \cdot \sigma_3, \quad \text{with } W_\mu^K \equiv 0, \text{ for } K = 1, 2, \quad (64)$$

with W_0^3 given by (61) and $W_k^3, k = 1, 2$, given by (63). From (64) we conclude that, for the systems considered here, parallel transport of Pauli spinors splits into parallel transport for the spin-up (\uparrow) and the spin-down (\downarrow) component. The spin-up component, ψ^\uparrow , of a Pauli spinor Ψ couples to the *abelian* connection $a + w$, while ψ^\downarrow couples to $a - w$, where

$$a_\mu = -eA_\mu, \quad \text{and } w_\mu = W_\mu^3, \quad (65)$$

see (61) and (63). Under time reversal, T ,

$$a_0 \rightarrow a_0, a_k \rightarrow -a_k, \quad \text{but } w_0 \rightarrow -w_0, w_k \rightarrow w_k, \quad (66)$$

as is well known. The dominant term in the effective action of a 2D time-reversal invariant topological insulator, with \vec{W} as in (64), is given by a combination of Chern-Simons terms. If either $w \equiv 0$ or $a \equiv 0$ a Chern-Simons term in a or in w *alone* would *not* be time-reversal invariant. Hence, assuming time-reversal invariance and $w \equiv 0$, the dominant term would be given by

$$S_\Lambda(A) = \int_\Lambda dt d^2x \{ \varepsilon \underline{E}^2 - \mu^{-1} B^2 \}, \quad (67)$$

which is the effective action of a *conventional insulator*.

But, in the presence of both connections, a and w , a combination of *two* Chern-Simons actions **is** time-reversal invariant. In this case the leading (“most relevant”) contribution to the (bulk) effective action is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_\Lambda(a, w) &= \frac{\sigma}{2} \int_\Lambda \{ (a + w) \wedge d(a + w) - (a - w) \wedge d(a - w) \} \\ &= \sigma \int_\Lambda \{ a \wedge dw + w \wedge da \}, \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

¹⁶See [4, 6] for a more detailed discussion.

where we have neglected the boundary (edge) actions.¹⁷ The gauge fields a and w transform *independently* under gauge transformations preserving condition (64), and the action in (68) is *anomalous* under these gauge transformations, for a 2D sample space Ω with non-empty boundary. We have learned in Section 4 that the anomalous boundary action,

$$\sigma[\Gamma_{\partial\Omega\times\mathbb{R}}^+((a+w)_\parallel) - \Gamma_{\partial\Omega\times\mathbb{R}}^-((a-w)_\parallel)], \quad (69)$$

cancels the anomalies of the bulk action; see Eqs. (40) and (41). This boundary action is the generating functional of connected Green functions of *two counter-propagating anomalous chiral edge currents*. One of the two counter-propagating edge currents is carried by electrons with spin up (\uparrow), i.e., polarization in the $+3$ -direction $\perp \Omega$, the other one is carried by electrons with spin down (\downarrow). These edge currents are exchanged by time reversal. Thus, a net *chiral spin current*, s_{edge}^3 , can be excited to propagate along the edge of such a system. The bulk response equations (analogous to the Hall-Chern-Simons law (32)) are given by

$$j^k(x) = 2\sigma\varepsilon^{k\ell}\partial_\ell B(x), \quad s_3^\mu(x) = \frac{\delta S_\Lambda(a, w)}{\delta w_\mu(x)} = 2\sigma\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}F_{\nu\lambda}(x), \quad (70)$$

where we have expressed the $SU(2)$ -gauge field \vec{W} in terms of the magnetic induction $\vec{B} = (0, 0, B_3)$ and the electric field $\vec{E} = (E_1, E_2, 0)$. As in Section 4, Eqs. (33), (34) and (35), these equations could again be used to deduce the existence of the edge spin-currents discovered above.

We should ask what kinds of quasi-particles in the bulk of planar materials could produce the bulk Chern-Simons terms in (68). Given our findings in Section 5, it is tempting to argue that a 2D time-reversal invariant topological insulator with a bulk effective action as given in (68) must exhibit two species of charged quasi-particles in the bulk, with one species (spin-up, \uparrow) related to the other one (spin-down, \downarrow) by time-reversal, and each species has two degenerate states per wave vector mimicking a two-component Dirac fermion at small energies, leading to the quantization of σ .

Materials of this kind have been produced and studied in the laboratory of L. Molenkamp in Würzburg (see [47]). The experimental data are not very clean, the likely reason being that, due to magnetic impurities in the material and/or electric fields in the direction $\perp \Omega$, the condition in (64) is violated, i.e., the $SU(2)$ -gauge field \vec{W}_μ has non-vanishing components W_μ^K and is genuinely *non-abelian*. In this situation, the spin current is *not* conserved, anymore, (but continues to be covariantly conserved, see [4]), and time reversal invariance is broken.

The approach to 2D time-reversal invariant topological insulators sketched in this section can be generalized as follows. We consider a state of matter exhibiting a bulk-spectrum of two species of quasi-particles related to one another by time-reversal. In order to analyze the transport properties of such a state, one should study its response when one species is coupled to a (real or virtual, abelian or *non-abelian*) external gauge field¹⁸ W^+ and the other one to a gauge field W^- related to each other by time-reversal according to

$$(W_0^+)^T = W_0^-, \quad (W_k^+)^T = -W_k^-.$$

If the leading term in the effective action for the gauge fields W^+ and W^- is given by the sum of two identical Chern-Simons terms, but with *opposite* signs, time-reversal invariance is manifestly

¹⁷Note that if \vec{W} is expressed in terms of \vec{B} and \vec{E} , as in (61), (63), (64), one recovers expression (67). The effective action in (68) first appeared in a paper with U. M. Studer [4] in 1993!

¹⁸often dubbed ‘‘Berry connection’’

preserved, and one concludes that there are *two counter-propagating chiral edge currents* generating current (Kac-Moody) algebras¹⁹ based on a Lie group given by the gauge group of the gauge fields W^\pm . For non-interacting electrons, this group can be determined from band theory.

If one gives up the requirement of time-reversal invariance one arrives at a general theory of *chiral states* in 2D (planar) systems of condensed matter physics. If \vec{W} is an $SU(2)$ -gauge field coupling to the spin of electrons, as in (61) and (63), one obtains a framework well suited to describe *chiral spin liquids* (see [6] and references given there).

7 Anomalous current commutators and the chiral magnetic effect

I recall the definition of left-handed and right-handed currents and the anomalous current commutators described in Section 2 for theories in four space-time dimensions, namely

$$\begin{aligned} J_\ell^\mu &:= \frac{1}{2}[J^\mu + J_5^\mu], & J_r^\mu &:= \frac{1}{2}[J^\mu - J_5^\mu] \\ [J_{\ell/r}^0(\vec{y}, t), J^0(\vec{x}, t)] &= \pm i \frac{\alpha}{8\pi^2} (\vec{B}(\vec{y}, t) \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{\vec{y}}) \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y}); \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

see [11] and references given there, and [51] for a derivation using functional integrals.

I proceed to recall the *chiral magnetic effect* and its derivation from the anomalous commutator stated in (71). For this purpose I consider a theory of charged, massless Dirac fermions in four space-time dimensions. This theory has a conserved vector current, J^μ , satisfying the continuity equation

$$\partial_\mu J^\mu = 0.$$

This equation implies that there exist two vector fields, $\vec{\varphi}(x)$ and $\vec{\chi}(x)$, such that

$$J^0(x) = \frac{e}{2\pi} \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\varphi}(x), \quad \vec{J}(x) = -\frac{e}{2\pi} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\varphi}(x) + \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\chi}(x) \right\}, \quad (72)$$

with e the electric charge of the fermions. If H denotes the Hamiltonian of the system then the Heisenberg equations formally imply that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\varphi}(x) = \frac{i}{\hbar} [H, \vec{\varphi}(x)]. \quad (73)$$

We define chiral charges

$$N_{\ell/r} := \int d^3x \hat{J}_{\ell/r}^0(t, \vec{x}), \quad (74)$$

where

$$\hat{J}_{\ell/r}^\mu := J_{\ell/r}^\mu \mp \frac{e^2}{16\pi^2} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} A_\nu F_{\rho\lambda}.$$

The second term on the right side of this equation is dual to the Chern-Simons 3-form, $A \wedge dA$, that we are already familiar with. Since the fermions are assumed to be massless, these charges are *conserved*, as follows from Equation (7) in Section 2, and *gauge-invariant*.

Henceforth we suppose that all external fields, in particular the electromagnetic field, are *time-independent*. We may then consider an equilibrium state, $\langle(\cdot)\rangle_{\beta, \underline{\mu}}$, of the system with non-vanishing *chemical potentials*, $\underline{\mu} := (\mu_\ell, \mu_r)$, conjugate to the charges N_ℓ and N_r , respectively. Our aim is to

¹⁹at level 1, for non-interacting electrons

calculate $\vec{j}(x) = \langle \vec{J}(x) \rangle_{\beta, \underline{\mu}}$, using arguments reminiscent of those in Section 3. By equations (72) and (73),

$$\vec{j}(x) = -\frac{ie}{h} \langle [H, \vec{\varphi}(x)] \rangle_{\beta, \underline{\mu}} - \frac{e}{2\pi} \vec{\nabla} \times \langle \vec{\chi}(x) \rangle_{\beta, \underline{\mu}} \quad (75)$$

Formally, the term proportional to $\langle [H, \vec{\varphi}(x)] \rangle_{\beta, \underline{\mu}}$ vanishes, because the equilibrium state is time-translation invariant. However, the field $\vec{\varphi}$ turns out to have ill-defined zero-modes, so that we cannot use the identity $[H, \vec{\varphi}(x)] = H\vec{\varphi}(x) - \vec{\varphi}(x)H$. We must regularize the right side of (75) by giving the field $\vec{\varphi}$ a small mass and then use that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{\varphi}(x) = \frac{i}{h} [H - \mu_\ell N_\ell - \mu_r N_r, \vec{\varphi}(x)] + \frac{i}{h} [\mu_\ell N_\ell + \mu_r N_r, \vec{\varphi}(x)] \quad (76)$$

and that $\langle [H - \mu_\ell N_\ell - \mu_r N_r, \vec{\varphi}(x)] \rangle_{\beta, \underline{\mu}} = 0$. Combining this identity with (75) and (76), we find the “*current sum rule*”

$$\vec{j}(x) = -\frac{ie}{h} \langle [\mu_\ell N_\ell + \mu_r N_r, \vec{\varphi}(x)] \rangle_{\beta, \underline{\mu}} - \frac{e}{2\pi} \vec{\nabla} \times \langle \vec{\chi}(x) \rangle_{\beta, \underline{\mu}}. \quad (77)$$

Recalling formula (71) for the anomalous current commutators and (72), we conclude that

$$[\hat{J}_{\ell/r}^0(\vec{y}, t), \vec{\varphi}(\vec{x}, t)] = \mp i \frac{e}{4\pi} \vec{B}(\vec{y}, t) \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) + \vec{\nabla} \wedge \vec{\Pi}_{\ell/r}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}; t) \quad (78)$$

Using (74), (77) and (78), we find that the current density \vec{j} is given by the equation

$$\vec{j}(x) = \frac{e^2}{4\pi h} (\mu_\ell - \mu_r) \vec{B}(x) + \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\mathfrak{B}}(x), \quad (79)$$

where the second term, proportional to $\vec{\mathfrak{B}}$, on the right side describes a persistent current not related to the magnetic induction \vec{B} , which we will not consider any further. Equation (79) describes the *chiral magnetic effect* first considered in [24] (see [8] for a derivation along the lines of the arguments presented above). A more conceptual treatment of this effect is given in Section 8.

An effect related to the chiral magnetic effect might be observed in systems of spinning particles propagating in a *rotating (non-inertially moving) background*, such as leptons in a rapidly rotating star.

7.1 A possible manifestation of the chiral magnetic effect in cosmology

In this subsection we sketch ideas concerning the evolution of magnetic fields in an expanding Universe, i.e., with a Hubble constant $H \geq 0$, filled with a plasma of charged particles, assuming that there exists an asymmetry between right-handed and left-handed fermions, so that the chiral chemical potential $\mu_5 := \mu_\ell - \mu_r$ does not vanish. In the following we use units such that the speed of light $c = 1$.

Faraday’s induction law and the absence of magnetic monopoles are encoded in the homogeneous Maxwell equations

$$\vec{\nabla} \wedge \vec{E} = -\dot{\vec{B}} - H\vec{B}, \quad \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0.$$

The Hubble constant H is assumed to be slowly varying in time. Coulomb’s law and the Ampère-Maxwell law are encoded in the inhomogeneous Maxwell equations

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = j^0, \quad \vec{\nabla} \wedge \vec{B} = \dot{\vec{E}} + H\vec{E} + \vec{j},$$

where $j = (j^0, \vec{j})$ is the vector current density of charged matter. We assume that the leading contribution to \vec{j} is due to the *chiral magnetic effect*, besides a conventional contribution described by *Ohm's law*, i.e.,

$$\vec{j} = \frac{\alpha}{8\pi^2} \mu_5 \vec{B} + \sigma \vec{E}, \quad \alpha = \frac{e^2}{\hbar}, \quad (80)$$

where σ is the *Ohmic conductivity* of the plasma. Taking the *curl* of the Ampère-Maxwell law, with \vec{j} as in (80), and using Faraday's induction law we find that

$$\square \vec{B} + \frac{\alpha}{8\pi^2} \mu_5 \vec{\nabla} \wedge \vec{B} + (2H + \sigma) \dot{\vec{B}} + \mathcal{O}(\dot{H}, \sigma H, H^2) = 0, \quad (81)$$

where \square is the d'Alembert operator. From here on, the terms $\mathcal{O}(\dot{H}, \sigma H, H^2)$ in this equation are neglected. We solve Equation (81) by Fourier transformation, using the ansatz

$$\vec{B}(\vec{x}, t) = \vec{b} e^{i(kz - \omega t)}, \quad \text{with } \vec{b} \perp z\text{-axis},$$

as required by the equation $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0$. Setting $\frac{\alpha}{8\pi^2} \mu_5 =: \tilde{\mu}$, the frequency $\omega(k)$ is found to be given by

$$\omega(k) = -i\left(H + \frac{\sigma}{2}\right) \pm \sqrt{-\left(H + \frac{\sigma}{2}\right)^2 + k^2 \pm \tilde{\mu}|k|}.$$

We observe that the assumptions that $H \geq 0$ (expansion of the Universe) and $\sigma > 0$ (matter is a conducting plasma) lead to an *exponential damping* of \vec{B} in time, *provided* that $|k| > \tilde{\mu}$. But if $|k| < \tilde{\mu}$ there exists a solution for which ω has a *positive* imaginary part, and the solution of (81) *grows exponentially*. This instability might be related to the growth of spatially very slowly varying primordial magnetic fields in the early Universe. Of course, the value of μ_5 is time-dependent, and it is expected that μ_5 tends to 0, as time t tends to ∞ . The instability described here will therefore come to an end, and the magnetic induction \vec{B} will cease to grow.

A thorough analysis of this mechanism can be found in [9, 19, 20], and references given there.

8 A five-dimensional cousin of the Hall effect and axion electrodynamics

We consider a system of charged matter at zero temperature coupled to an external electromagnetic field. In our derivation of the chiral magnetic effect (see (76) - (79)) we have assumed that the external electromagnetic field is *time-independent*. This is usually not the case, and in applications to cosmology and condensed-matter physics, it is unrealistic to assume that $\mu_5 := \mu_\ell - \mu_r$ is independent of space and time. It turns out that a *dynamical analogue* of μ_5 is known in particle physics under the name of “*axion*”. A very natural way of introducing axions is to study a cousin of the *quantum Hall effect* in a five-dimensional (space-time) slab, $\Lambda = \Omega \times [0, L]$, with two four-dimensional “boundary branes,” $\partial_\pm \Lambda \simeq \Omega$ parallel to the (x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3) -plane in five-dimensional Minkowski space (where x^0 is the time coordinate). The slab Λ is assumed to be filled with *very heavy four-component Dirac fermions* coupled to the 5D electromagnetic vector potential, \hat{A} , (viewed as a 1-form on Λ). Integration over the fermion degrees of freedom yields the effective action for \hat{A} , which has the form

$$\begin{aligned} S_\Lambda(\hat{A}) = & \frac{1}{4L\alpha} \int_\Lambda d^5x \hat{F}_{MN}(x) \hat{F}^{MN}(x) + CS_\Lambda(\hat{A}) \\ & + \Gamma_\ell(\hat{A}|_{\partial_+ \Lambda}) + \Gamma_r(\hat{A}|_{\partial_- \Lambda}) + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

where α is dimensionless, L is the width of the 5D slab Λ , and

$$CS_\Lambda(\hat{A}) := \frac{\kappa_H}{24\pi^2} \int_\Lambda \hat{A} \wedge \hat{F} \wedge \hat{F} \quad (83)$$

is the 5D Chern-Simons action.²⁰ This action predicts an analogue of the Hall current given by

$$j^M = \frac{\kappa_H}{8\pi^2} \varepsilon^{MNJKL} F_{NJ} F_{KL}, \quad (84)$$

where the dimensionless constant κ_H plays the role of the Hall fraction $\frac{h}{e^2}\sigma_H$. The constant κ_H , related to the number of species of massive four-component Dirac fermions in the bulk, must be an integer for the functional integral to be well defined (assuming that all fermionic degrees of freedom have electric charges that are integer multiples of the elementary electric charge e). In the following I will set $\kappa_H = 1$. Equation (84) should be compared to equation (32) of Section 4.

The action functional in equations (82) and (83) describes the electrodynamics of a five-dimensional analogue of the quantum Hall effect (5D QHE). Apparently, the 5D QHE can be observed in certain systems of condensed-matter physics with virtual dimensions that play the role of space dimensions (see [21] and references given there).

In (82), the functionals $\Gamma_{\ell/r}$ are the anomalous actions of left-handed/right-handed Dirac-Weyl fermions located on the boundary branes, $\partial_\pm\Lambda$. The action $\Gamma_\ell + \Gamma_r$ on the right side of (82) cancels the anomaly of the Chern-Simons action $CS_\Lambda(\hat{A})$; see [11].

It is interesting to consider the *dimensional reduction* of the 5D theory with effective action given in (82) and (83) to a four-dimensional space-time, assuming that there is a gauge with the property that \hat{A}_M is independent of x^4 , for all $M = 0, 1, 2, 3$. We define a field φ of scaling dimension 0, henceforth called *axion* field, by setting

$$\varphi(x) := \int_{\gamma_x} \hat{A},$$

where γ_x is a path parallel to the x^4 -axis connecting $\partial_-\Lambda$ to $\partial_+\Lambda$ at constant values of $x = (x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3)$. Then the action functional in (82) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} S_\Omega(A; \varphi) &= \frac{1}{2\alpha} \int_\Omega d^4x [F_{\mu\nu}(x)F^{\mu\nu}(x) + \frac{1}{L^2} \partial_\mu \varphi(x) \partial^\mu \varphi(x)] \\ &+ \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_\Omega \varphi (F \wedge F) + \Gamma_\Omega(A) + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

Under the present assumptions on the vector potential \hat{A} the term $\Gamma_\Omega(A) = \Gamma_\ell(A) + \Gamma_r(A)$ is *not* anomalous and is ignored in the following.

Expression (85) shows that the pseudo-scalar field φ can be interpreted as an *axion* field, whence its name. One can add a self-interaction term, $U(\varphi)$, to the Lagrangian density in (85), requiring that $U(\varphi)$ be periodic in φ . From (85) we derive the equations of motion for $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ and φ .

$$\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\alpha}{4\pi^2} \partial_\mu (\varphi \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}), \quad L^{-2} \square \varphi = \frac{\alpha}{8\pi^2} F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\delta U(\varphi)}{\delta \varphi}, \quad (86)$$

²⁰In this section we use units such that $\hbar = 1$

where $\widetilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$ is the dual field tensor. In terms of the electric and magnetic fields, these equations take the form

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} &= 0, & \vec{\nabla} \wedge \vec{E} + \dot{\vec{B}} &= 0, \\ \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} &= \frac{\alpha}{4\pi^2} (\vec{\nabla} \varphi) \cdot \vec{B}, \\ \vec{\nabla} \wedge \vec{B} &= \dot{\vec{E}} - \frac{\alpha}{4\pi^2} \{\dot{\varphi} \vec{B} + \vec{\nabla} \varphi \wedge \vec{E}\},\end{aligned}\tag{87}$$

and

$$L^{-2} \square \varphi = \frac{\alpha}{4\pi^2} \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} - \frac{\delta U(\varphi)}{\delta \varphi}.\tag{88}$$

8.1 A generalized chiral magnetic effect

If the axion field φ only depends on time then $\vec{\nabla} \varphi = 0$, and, comparing the right side of (87) with (79) and reinstating $\frac{e^2}{h}$, we find that

$$\dot{\varphi} = \mu_\ell - \mu_r \equiv \mu_5,\tag{89}$$

and (87) reproduces the equation describing the chiral magnetic effect; see [52, 9].

In condensed-matter theory, the equation of motion for $\dot{\varphi} \equiv \mu_5$ can take the form of a *diffusion equation*, including a term $\tau^{-1} \mu_5$, where τ is a relaxation time associated with the *dissipation* of the asymmetry between left- and right-handed degrees of freedom. Thus

$$\dot{\mu}_5 + \tau^{-1} \mu_5 - D \Delta \mu_5 = L^2 \frac{e^2}{2\pi h} \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B},\tag{90}$$

where D is a *diffusion constant*, and it is assumed here that $U(\varphi) \equiv 0$. Equation (90) implies that μ_5 approaches

$$\mu_5 \simeq \frac{\tau(Le)^2}{2\pi h} \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B},\tag{91}$$

as time t tends to ∞ . This expression for μ_5 can be plugged into equation (79) for the current density \vec{j} , which then yields an expression for a conductivity tensor, $\sigma = (\sigma_{k\ell})$, in the presence of an external magnetic field given by

$$\sigma_{k\ell} = \frac{\tau(L\alpha)^2}{4\pi^2} B_k B_\ell\tag{92}$$

This expression can be used in the study of transport properties of *Weyl semi-metals*, to mention one example, which are considered in the next section.

Axion electrodynamics may have interesting applications not only in condensed-matter physics, but also in the theory of heavy-ion collisions, in astrophysics, and in cosmology, where it may explain the growth of tiny, but highly uniform cosmic magnetic fields extending over intergalactic distances, as mentioned in Subsection 7.1; see [9, 19, 20] and references given there.

For some purposes, it is of interest to assume that one boundary brane, e.g., $\partial_- \Lambda$ (located at $x^4 = 0$), does not carry any dynamical degrees of freedom, and that $\widehat{A}|_{\partial_- \Lambda} = 0$, while $\widehat{A}|_{\partial_+ \Lambda} =: A$ is arbitrary. We then set

$$\widehat{A}_M(x, x^4) := \frac{x^4}{L} A(x)_\mu, \quad M \equiv \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3, \quad \widehat{A}_4(x, x^4) =: \frac{1}{L} \varphi(x).$$

The axion field φ then transforms under an electromagnetic gauge transformations like an angle. From the action functional (82) of 5D Chern-Simons electrodynamics we derive the expression for the *gauge-invariant* action functional on four-dimensional space-time $\Omega (= \partial_+ \Lambda)$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_\Omega(A, \varphi) := & \frac{1}{4\alpha} \int_\Omega d^4x \left[\frac{1}{3} F_{\mu\nu}(x) F^{\mu\nu}(x) + \right. \\
& \left. + L^{-2} (\partial_\mu \varphi(x) - A_\mu(x)) \cdot (\partial^\mu \varphi(x) - A^\mu(x)) \right] \\
& + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_\Omega \varphi (F \wedge F) + \Gamma_\ell(A) + \text{“irrelevant” terms}.
\end{aligned} \tag{93}$$

This is the effective action of an anomaly-free 4D theory of chiral fermions coupled to electromagnetism and to an axion-like field φ that is *not* gauge-invariant.

9 3D Topological insulators and “axions”

In this last section, we study 3D topological insulators and Weyl semi-metals on a sample space-time $\Lambda := \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$, with a non-empty boundary $\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$. We are interested in the general form of the effective action describing the response of such systems to turning on an external electromagnetic field. Until the mid-nineties, the effective action of a 3D insulator was thought to be given by

$$S_\Lambda(A) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Lambda dt d^3x \{ \vec{E} \cdot \varepsilon \vec{E} - \vec{B} \cdot \mu^{-1} \vec{B} \} + \text{“irrelevant” terms}, \tag{94}$$

where ε is the tensor of dielectric constants and μ is the magnetic permeability tensor. The action defined in (94) is dimensionless. In the seventies, particle theorists taught us that one could add another dimensionless term, namely

$$S_\Lambda(A) \rightarrow S_\Lambda^{(\theta)}(A) := S_\Lambda(A) + \theta I_\Lambda(A), \tag{95}$$

where I_Λ is a “*topological*” term given by

$$\begin{aligned}
I_\Lambda(A) &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_\Lambda dt d^3x \vec{E}(\vec{x}, t) \cdot \vec{B}(\vec{x}, t) = \\
&= \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_\Lambda F \wedge F \stackrel{Stokes}{=} \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{\partial\Lambda} A \wedge dA.
\end{aligned} \tag{96}$$

In particle physics, the parameter θ is called “vacuum angle”. It is expected that the effective action of an insulator with broken parity and time reversal symmetries, after integration over all matter degrees of freedom, is given by the functional $S_\Lambda^{(\theta)}(A)$ introduced in (95), (96). In the thermodynamic limit, $\Omega \nearrow \mathbb{R}^3$, $\exp[iS_\Lambda^{(\theta)}(A)]$ is periodic in θ with period 2π and invariant under time reversal iff $\theta = 0, \pi$. If $\theta = \pi$, on a space-time Λ with a non-empty boundary $\partial\Lambda$, the effective action $S_\Lambda^{(\theta)}(A)$ contains a contribution only depending on $a := A|_{\partial\Lambda}$ given by the Chern-Simons term

$$\Gamma_{\partial\Lambda}^{CS}(a) = \pm \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{\partial\Lambda} a \wedge da, \tag{97}$$

which breaks time reversal invariance. This term must be cancelled by surface degrees of freedom²¹ on $\partial\Lambda$ exhibiting a Hall conductivity of

$$\sigma_H = \mp \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{e^2}{h}. \tag{98}$$

²¹I am indebted to H.-G. Zirnstein for instructive discussions of this point

We have encountered the action of Eq. (97) in Section 5 (see formulae (55), (56)). Up to further, “less relevant” and time-reversal invariant terms, it is the effective action of one species of massless 2-component Dirac fermions coupled to $a = A|_{\partial\Lambda}$. *Gapless quasi-particles with spin $\frac{1}{2}$* propagating along $\partial\Lambda$ could mimick such Dirac fermions and cancel (97). It would be interesting to design 3D materials with surface degrees of freedom of this kind.

The vacuum angle θ might be the ground-state expectation of a dynamical field, φ , an “*axion*.” The topological term $\theta I_\Lambda(A)$ would then be replaced by

$$I_\Lambda(A, \varphi) := \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_\Lambda \varphi (F \wedge F) + S_0(\varphi), \quad (99)$$

where $S_0(\varphi)$ is invariant under shifts $\varphi \mapsto \varphi + 2n\pi$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We then enter the realm of *axion-electrodynamics*, as reviewed in Section 8. Recalling the field equations (87), we find *Halperin’s “3D quantum Hall effect”*: From (87) we infer a formula for the current \vec{j} generated in an electromagnetic field, namely

$$\vec{j} = -\frac{e^2}{4\pi h} \left(\dot{\varphi} \cdot \vec{B} + \vec{\nabla}\varphi \times \vec{E} \right) \quad (100)$$

Consider a 3D *spatially periodic* system of matter (with crystal lattice \mathfrak{L}) exhibiting an effective axion φ . We suppose that φ is time-independent, i.e., $\mu_5 = 0$. Taking into account the periodicity of $\exp(iI_\Lambda(A, \varphi))$ under shifts, $\varphi \mapsto \varphi + 2n\pi$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, invariance under lattice translations implies that

$$\varphi(\vec{x}) = 2\pi (\vec{K} \cdot \vec{x}) + \phi(\vec{x}), \quad (101)$$

where \vec{K} belongs to the *dual lattice* \mathfrak{L}^* , and ϕ is invariant under lattice translations. Neglecting ϕ , we find that $\vec{\nabla}\varphi = 2\pi\vec{K}$ is “quantized,” as \vec{K} belongs to the *dual* of the crystal lattice \mathfrak{L} . With (101) this implies that

$$\vec{j} = \frac{e^2}{2h} \vec{K} \times \vec{E}, \quad \vec{K} \in \mathfrak{L}^*.$$

This is Halperin’s 3D (*quantum*) *Hall effect* [53].²²

Next, I describe “axionic” effects in topological insulators with an effective action given by (see (94), (95) and (99))

$$S_\Lambda(A, \varphi) = S_\Lambda(A) + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_\Lambda \varphi F \wedge F + S_0(\varphi), \quad (102)$$

where $S_0(\varphi)$ is now assumed to be invariant under shifts $\varphi \mapsto \varphi + n\pi$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is compatible with time-reversal invariance that $S_0(\varphi)$ has (well developed) minima at $\varphi = n\pi$. Then the material described by (102) is *not* an ordinary insulator. It may exhibit a *Mott transition*: At a positive temperature, the bulk of such a material contains *domain walls* across which the value of the axion field φ jumps by an integer multiple of π . Recalling the insight described after (97) and (98), we predict that such domain walls may carry gapless two-component Dirac-type fermions. At sufficiently high temperatures, some of these domain walls can be expected to become macroscopic, and this would then give rise to a *non-vanishing conductivity*; see [55].

A curious effect related to the one described in Subsection 7.1 (see also [9]) that could possibly be observed in materials with an effective axion has been pointed in [56]: A time-independent external *electric field* \vec{E} applied to an axionic magnetic material is *screened* once its strength exceeds a certain critical value, the excess energy being fed into the growth of a *magnetic field*.

²²I am indebted to Greg Moore for a very instructive discussion of this effect.

9.1 Weyl semi-metals

It may be argued that dynamical axions could emerge as effective degrees of freedom in

- certain *3D topological insulators* with anti-ferromagnetic short-range order, (magnetic fluctuations playing the role of a dynamical axion);²³ and in
- crystalline *3D Weyl semi-metals*,

i.e., in systems with two energy bands exhibiting two (or, more generally, an even number²⁴ of) double-cones in quasi-momentum (frequency) space corresponding to *chiral* quasi-particle states, assuming that the Fermi energy is close to the apices of those double-cones; see [58, 59]. At low frequencies, namely near the apices of those double-cones, the quasi-particle states of such systems are left- or right-handed Weyl fermions, respectively. In such systems, the time derivative, $\dot{\varphi} \equiv \mu_5$, of the “axion field” φ really has the meaning of a time-dependent *difference of chemical potentials* of left-handed and right-handed quasi-particles. It satisfies an equation of motion of the kind described in (90); i.e.,

$$\dot{\mu}_5 + \tau^{-1}\mu_5 - D \triangle \mu_5 = L^2 \frac{e^2}{2\pi h} \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B}. \quad (103)$$

A non-vanishing initial value of the chemical potential μ_5 may be triggered by strain applied to the system, leading to a left-right asymmetric population of the Fermi sea. Due to “*inter-valley*” scattering processes, a non-vanishing μ_5 will then relax towards 0, with a relaxation time corresponding to the parameter τ in Equation (103). However, when applying a small external electric field \vec{E} and a magnetic induction \vec{B} to the system, with the property that $\vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} \neq 0$, one finds from (103) that the potential μ_5 relaxes towards $\mu_5 \simeq \frac{\tau(Le)^2}{2\pi h} \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B}$. Thus, the conductivity tensor, $\sigma = (\sigma_{k\ell})_{k,\ell=1,2,3}$, is given by

$$\sigma_{k\ell} = \sigma_{k\ell}^{(0)} + \frac{\tau(L\alpha)^2}{4\pi^2} B_k B_\ell,$$

where the first term on the right side is the standard Ohmic conductivity (due to phonon- and impurity scattering), and the second term is a manifestation of the *chiral magnetic effect*.

10 Conclusions and acknowledgements

I conclude this paper with a few general remarks, including ones about what is missing in this review and some more personal ones.

1. I hope that this review shows that the physics of systems of condensed matter in two and three dimensions, and in particular of (topological) insulators, is very rich. It has a lot of potential to find promising technological applications. Mathematical techniques ranging from abstract algebra over the topology of fiber bundles all the way to hard analysis have interesting and often surprising applications in the study of these fascinating states of matter. Electron liquids, magnetic materials, Bose gases, etc. offer many challenges to experimentalists and theorists. General principles and interesting features of quantum theory, such as braid statistics [63], fractional spin and fractional electric charges (see, e.g., [1] and references given

²³a conjecture proposed by S.-C. Zhang and coworkers [54], inspired by the work in [9]

²⁴This follows from the celebrated Nielsen-Ninomiya theorem; see [57] and references given there

there), anomalies and their cancellation, current algebra and holography have appearances in the arena of condensed matter physics. Exotic species of quasi-particles, in particular, quasi-particles with braid statistics, two-component Dirac-like fermions and Majorana fermions are encountered in the physics of various two- and three-dimensional systems of condensed matter explored in recent years.

2. My main goal in this paper has been to review some of the results in condensed-matter physics obtained by my collaborators and myself that are *not* limited to non-interacting electron gases, but apply to correlated systems exhibiting interaction effects. I hope this review shows that, apparently, concepts and methods from quantum field theory pioneered in particle physics can be used to one's advantage to study general features of *interacting* systems in condensed-matter physics. In particular, these methods are very useful to characterize and classify plenty of examples of “*topological states of matter*,” in particular ones that do not have any local order parameters so that Landau theory is not applicable. (Likely the best examples are 2D incompressible electron liquids exhibiting the fractional quantum Hall effect; see [30, 5, 6] and references given there.) In this paper this is illustrated by showing how concepts from *quantum gauge theory*, such as effective actions, power counting, gauge invariance, the chiral anomaly and some of its consequences, such as the chiral magnetic effect, θ - ground-states, axion electrodynamics, quasi-particles with braid statistics, etc. can be used to come up with non-trivial and often quite surprising insights into properties of states of systems of condensed matter that have attracted much interest in recent years. The general formalism reviewed in this paper can thus rightly be called *Gauge Theory of States/Phases of Matter*. The ambitious goals of our efforts may explain why mathematical rigor has not been the main concern in our efforts – the mathematical complexity of quantum-mechanical many-body theory of interacting/correlated systems is well known to be awesome. A certain lack of mathematical rigor inherent in many of our results may be a reason why they have not become widely appreciated among mathematical physicists. And the inspiration we have drawn from particle physics and relativistic quantum field theory may explain why our results have been largely ignored among condensed-matter theorists.²⁵ – It is hoped that this paper may help to change the situation.
3. What is clearly and most regrettably missing in this review is an account of the *bare-hands analysis* of spectral properties of many-body Hamiltonians describing “topological states of matter” at energies quite close to the ground-state energy and to derive properties of quasi-particles and of transport, using a variety of powerful analytical tools, such as multi-scale analysis²⁶ and renormalization group methods. Colleagues who have devoted serious efforts extending over many years towards reaching results in this direction are T. Balaban, F. Dyson, J. Feldman, G. Gallavotti, A. Giuliani, H. Knörrer, E. H. Lieb, J. Magnen, V. Mastropietro, W. Pedra, A. Pizzo, M. Porta, V. Rivasseau, M. Salmhofer, B. Schlein, R. Seiringer, E.

²⁵To read, to mention but one example (see [62]), that it is *only during the past decade* that the chiral anomaly has been used in the study of transport phenomena in condensed matter physics makes me wonder whether communication channels within the scientific community are congested (to put it politely). Of course, there are also examples of this congestion in the mathematical physics community. – In all modesty I claim some credit for my collaborators and myself for having developed a useful formalism, emphasizing connections to particle physics and quantum field theory including gauge theory and the chiral anomaly, for the study of “topological states of matter,” at least thirty years ago; see [1] - [10] and [63].

²⁶a technique that owes some of its early momentum to a paper [64] containing the first mathematically rigorous proof of existence of the Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless transition

Trubowitz, J. Yngvason, and others. References to early work by these colleagues can be found in my Les Houches lectures [60] of 1994; among a variety of recent contributions towards understanding analytical aspects of the quantum Hall effect are the papers quoted in [61]. Further references to many important results in mathematical quantum many-body theory, due to colleagues mentioned in this paper and numerous other researchers, can easily be found on ‘arXiv’ and ‘google scholar.’ I strongly recommend their papers to the attention of the reader. The purpose of this paper and of the bulk of the references given in the text is, however, to draw the readers’ attention to work of my collaborators and myself, rather than to provide a comprehensive survey of the field featured in it. – Obviously plenty of fascinating open problems remain to be tackled.

Acknowledgements. I am immensely grateful to my many collaborators who have joined me on our sometimes arduous journey through some provinces of condensed-matter physics, including the following colleagues: A. Alekseev, S. Bieri, A. Boyarsky, V. Cheianov, T. Chen, R. Götschmann, G.-M. Graf, T. Kerler, I. Levkivskiy, P.-A. Marchetti, B. Pedrini, A. Pizzo, O. Ruchayskiy, Chr. Schweigert, T. Spencer, U. M. Studer, E. Sukhorukov, E. Thiran, J. Walcher, Ph. Werner, and A. Zee. I am very grateful to the late R. Morf for having introduced me to the miracles of the quantum Hall effect, for many discussions and for his criticism, to P. Wiegmann for very helpful discussions and encouragement, and, last but not least, to M. Zirnbauer for his kind interest, extending over many years, in my efforts, and for having invited me to a workshop at the Schrödinger Institute in Vienna and for a series of lectures at Bad Honnef, on which this review is based. I have profited from what colleagues at ETH Zurich and elsewhere – too many to be listed here – have taught me in numerous discussions.

AIPP-Data Availability Statement: Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study.

References

- [1] J. Fröhlich and P.-A. Marchetti, *Quantum Field Theory of Anyons*, Letters in Math. Phys. **16**, 347-358 (1988)
- [2] J. Fröhlich and T. Kerler, *Universality in quantum Hall systems*, Nucl. Phys. B **354**, 369-417 (1991)
- [3] J. Fröhlich and A. Zee, *Large-scale physics of the quantum Hall fluids*, Nucl. Phys. B **364**, 517-540 (1991)
- [4] J. Fröhlich and U. M. Studer, *Gauge invariance and current algebra in non-relativistic many-body theory*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **65** 733-802 (1993)
- [5] J. Fröhlich and E. Thiran, *Integral quadratic forms, Kac–Moody algebras, and fractional quantum Hall effect. An ADE – \mathcal{O} classification*, J. Stat. Phys. **76**, 209–283 (1994)
- [6] J. Fröhlich, U. M. Studer and E. Thiran, *Quantum theory of large systems of non-relativistic matter* (Course 8, Part I), in: *Géométries fluctuantes en mécanique statistique et en théorie des champs* (Les Houches, 1994), F. David, P. Ginsparg and J. Zinn-Justin (eds.) Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 1996, pp. 771–912.

- [7] J. Fröhlich, *The Fractional Quantum Hall Effect, Chern-Simons Theory, and Integral Lattices*, in: Proc. of ICM'94, S.D. Chatterji (ed.), Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, Boston, Berlin, 1995, pp. 75-105
- [8] A. Alekseev, V. Cheianov and J. Fröhlich, *Universality of transport properties in equilibrium, the Goldstone theorem, and chiral anomaly*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 3503–3506 (1998)
- [9] J. Fröhlich and B. Pedrini, *New applications of the chiral anomaly*, in: *Mathematical Physics 2000*, A. Fokas, A. Grigoryan, T. Kibble and B. Zegarlinski eds., Imperial College Press, London and Singapore, 2000, pp. 9–47
- [10] J. Fröhlich, *Chiral Anomaly, Topological Field Theory, and Novel States of Matter*, in: “Ludwig Faddeev Memorial Volume: A Life in Mathematical Physics,” Molin Ge, Anti Niemi, Kok Khoo Phua, and Leon A. Takhtajan (eds.), World Scientific, Singapore, 2018
- [11] R. Jackiw, D. Gross and S. B. Treiman, *Current Algebra and Anomalies*, Princeton University Press, Princeton NJ, 1986 (and references to the original papers given there)
- [12] B. Halperin, *Quantized Hall conductance, current-carrying edge states, and the existence of extended states in a two-dimensional disordered potential*, Phys. Rev. B **25**, 2185–2190 (1982)
- [13] J. Fröhlich, G. M. Graf and J. Walcher, *On the extended nature of edge states of quantum Hall Hamiltonians*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **1**, 405–442 (2000)
- [14] X.-G. Wen, *Chiral Luttinger liquid and the edge excitations in the fractional quantum Hall states*, Phys. Rev. B **41**, 12838–12844 (1990); B. Blok and X. G. Wen, *Effective theories of the fractional quantum Hall effect at generic filling fractions*, Phys. Rev. B **42**, 8133–8144 (1990)
- [15] J. Avron, R. Seiler and B. Simon, *Quantum Hall effect and the relative index for projections*, Phys. Rev. Letters **65**, 2185 - 2188 (1990); see also: J. Avron, R. Seiler and B. Simon, *The Index of a Pair of Projections*, J. Funct. Analysis textbf120, 220-237 (1994)
- [16] C. L. Kane and E. J. Mele, *Z_2 topological order and the quantum spin Hall effect*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 146802 (2005); L. Fu and C. L. Kane, *Topological insulators with inversion symmetry*, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 045302 (2007); A. Kitaev, **Periodic table for topological insulators and superconductors**, in: Adv. Theor. Physics, “Landau Memorial Conference”, AIP Conf. Proc., Vol. **1134** (American Institute of Physics, 2009), pp. 22–30; M. Z. Hasan and C. L. Kane, *Topological insulators*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 3045–3067 (2010); G. M. Graf and M. Porta, *Bulk-edge correspondence for two-dimensional topological insulators*, Comm. Math. Phys. **324**, 851–895 (2013); K. Gawedzki, *Bundle gerbes for topological insulators*, arXiv:1512.01028 [math-ph] 3 Dec. 2015; H. Schulz-Baldes, *Topological insulators from the perspective of non-commutative geometry and index theory*, Jahresbericht der Deutschen Mathematiker-Vereinigung **118**, 247-273 (2016); R. Kennedy and M. R. Zirnbauer, *Bott periodicity for Z_2 symmetric ground states of gapped free-fermion systems*, Comm. Math. Phys. **342**, 909-963 (2016)

- [17] D. Gaiotto, A. Kapustin, N. Seiberg and B. Willet, *Generalized Global Symmetries*, JHEP **02**, 172-232 (2015)
- [18] J. McGreevy, *Generalized symmetries in condensed matter*, arXiv:2204.03045v2 [cond.mat.str-el]
- [19] A. Boyarski, J. Fröhlich and O. Ruchayskiy, *Self-consistent evolution of magnetic fields and chiral asymmetry in the early Universe*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 031301 (2012)
- [20] A. Brandenburg, J. Schober, I. Rogachevskii, T. Kahniashvili, A. Boyarsky, J. Fröhlich, O. Ruchayskiy and N. Kleeorin, *The Turbulent Chiral Magnetic Cascade in the Early Universe*, The Astrophysical Journal Letters, **845**: L21-L27 (2017); J. Schober, I. Rogachevskii, A. Brandenburg, A. Boyarsky, J. Fröhlich, O. Ruchayskiy and N. Kleeorin, *Laminar and turbulent dynamos in chiral magnetohydrodynamics. II. Simulations*, The Astrophysical Journal, **858** 124.147 (2018)
- [21] H. M. Price, O. Zilberberg, T. Ozawa, I. Carusotto and N. Goldman, *Four- dimensional quantum Hall effect with ultracold atoms*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 195303 (2015); M. Lohse, C. Schweizer, H. M. Price, O. Zilberberg and I. Bloch *Exploring 4D quantum Hall physics with a 2D topological charge pump*, Nature **553** 55–58 (2018)
- [22] S. Tomonaga, *Remarks on Blochs method of sound waves applied to many-fermion problems*, Prog. Theor. Phys. **5**, 544 (1950).
- [23] J. M. Luttinger, *An exactly soluble model of a many-fermion system*, J. Math. Phys. **4**, 1154 (1963).
- [24] A. Vilenkin, *Equilibrium parity violating current in a magnetic field*, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 3080–3084 (1980); see also: K. Fukushima, *Views of the Chiral Magnetic Effect*, in: *Strongly Interacting Matter in Magnetic Fields*, D. Kharzeev, K. Landsteiner, A. Schmitt, Ho-Ung Ye (eds.), Lecture Notes in Physics vol. **871**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013, pp. 241-260, and refs. given there
- [25] C. Albert, L. Ferrari, J. Fröhlich and B. Schlein, *Magnetism and the Weiss Exchange Field - A Theoretical Analysis Motivated by Recent Experiments*, J. Stat. Phys. **125**, 77–124 (2006)
- [26] P. A. M. Dirac, *The Lagrangian in Quantum Mechanics*, Physikalische Zeitschrift der Sowjetunion, Band **3**, Heft 1, 64–72 (1933)
- [27] J. Anandan, in: Proceedings of the 3rd International Symposium on the Foundations of Quantum Mechanics, Tokyo, 1989, Phys. Soc. Jpn., Tokyo, p. 98.
- [28] J. Schwinger, *Gauge Invariance and Mass. II*, Phys. Rev. **128**, 2425-2429 (1962); see also Chr. Gattringer and E. Seiler, *Functional Integral Approach to the N-Flavor Schwinger Model*, Annals of Physics **233**, 97-124 (1994) and refs. given there
- [29] A. Alekseev, V. Cheianov and J. Fröhlich, *Comparing Conductance Quantization in Quantum Wires and Quantum Hall Systems*, Phys. Rev. B **54**, R17320–R17322 (1997)
- [30] R. E. Prange and S. M. Girvin (eds.), *The Quantum Hall Effect*, 2nd edn., “Graduate Texts in Contemporary Physics,” Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990

- [31] K. Gawedzki and C. Tauber, *Nonequilibrium transport through quantum-wire junctions and boundary defects for free massless bosonic fields*, Nucl. Phys. B **896**, 138-199 (2015); K. Gawedzki, E. Langmann and P. Moosavi, *Finite-Time Universality in Nonequilibrium CFT*, J. Stat. Phys. **172**, 353-378 (2018); P. Moosavi, *Inhomogeneous Conformal Field Theory Out of Equilibrium*, Ann. Henri Poincaré, publ. online, 31 December 2021
- [32] R. H. Morf, *Model Calculations for the Fractional Quantum Hall Effect*, in: *High Magnetic Fields in Semiconductor Physics III — Quantum Hall Effect, Transport and Optics*, G. Landwehr (ed.), Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences, Vol. **101**, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1992, pp. 207-216
- [33] J. Fröhlich, B. Pedrini, Chr. Schweigert and J. Walcher, *Universality in Quantum Hall Systems: Coset Construction of Incompressible States*, J. Stat. Phys., **103**, 527–567 (2001)
- [34] P. Streda, *Theory of quantised Hall conductivity in two dimensions*, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. **15**, L717 (1982)
- [35] R. B. Laughlin, *Quantized Hall conductivity in two dimensions*, Phys. Rev. B **23**, 5632-5633 (1981); for the fractional effect see also: R. B. Laughlin, *Quantized motion of three two-dimensional electrons in a strong magnetic field*, Phys. Rev. B **27**, 3383-3389 (1983)
- [36] F. D. M. Haldane, *Model for a quantum Hall effect without Landau levels: Condensed-matter realization of the “parity anomaly”*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 2015–2018 (1988)
- [37] J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane, *Sphere Packings, Lattices and Groups*, 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993
- [38] D. Chr. Glattli, *Tunneling Experiments in the Fractional Quantum Hall Effect Regime*, in: *The Quantum Hall Effect*, Poincaré Seminar 2004, B. Douçot, B. Duplantier, V. Pasquier and V. Rivasseau (eds.), Progress in Mathematical Physics, vol. **45**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, Boston, Berlin, 2005, pp. 163-197; and references given there
- [39] J. Fröhlich, I. P. Levkivskyi and E. V. Sukhorukov, *Theory of fractional quantum Hall interferometers*, Phys. Rev. B **86**, 245105 (2010)
- [40] S. Bieri and J. Fröhlich, *Effective field theory and tunnelling currents in the fractional quantum Hall effect*, Annals Phys. **327**, 959-993 (2012)
- [41] S. Deser, J. Jackiw and S. Templeton, *Topologically Massive Gauge Theories*, Annals Phys. **140** 372-411 (1982), Annals Phys. **185** 406 (1988) 406 (erratum); S. Deser, J. Jackiw and S. Templeton, Annals Phys. **281** 409 - 449 (2000)
- [42] A. N. Redlich, *Parity violation and gauge noninvariance of the effective gauge field action in three dimensions*, Phys. Rev. D **29**, 2366 - 2374 (1984)
- [43] G. W. Semenoff, *Condensed-Matter Simulation of a Three-Dimensional Anomaly*, Phys. Rev. Letters **53**, 2449 - 2452 (1984); A. Giuliani, V. Mastropietro and M. Porta,

- Universality of Conductivity in Interacting Graphene*, Commun. Math. Phys. **311**, 317–355 (2012)
- [44] N. R. Cooper, *Rapidly rotating atomic gases*, Adv. Physics **57**, 539 - 616 (2008); N. Rougerie, S. Serfaty and J. Yngvason, *Quantum Hall Phases and Plasma Analogy in Rotating Trapped Bose Gases*, J. Stat. Phys. **154**, 2 - 50 (2014)
- [45] S. Klevtsov and P. Wiegmann, *Geometric adiabatic transport in Quantum Hall states*, Phys. Rev. Letters **115**, 086801-1 - 6 (2015); S. Klevtsov, X. Ma, G. Marinescu and P. Wiegmann, *Quantum Hall Effect and Quillen Metric*, Commun. Math. Phys. **349**, 819 - 855 (2017)
- [46] M. Dyakonov, *Spin Physics in Semiconductors*, Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences. Vol. **157** Springer-Verlag 2008 (doi:10.1007/978-3-540-78820-1).
- [47] M. Franz and L. Molenkamp, *Topological Insulators*, Volume **6** of “Contemporary Concepts of Condensed Matter Science,” E. Burstein, A. H. MacDonald and P. J. Stiles (eds.), Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2013, pp. 125-143, and references given there
- [48] S. L. Adler, *Axial-vector vertex in spinor electrodynamics*, Phys. Rev. **177**, 2426–2438 (1969)
- [49] J. S. Bell and R. Jackiw, *A PCAC puzzle: $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ in the σ -model*, Nuovo Cimento A **60**, 47–61 (1969)
- [50] K. Fujikawa, *Path-Integral Measure for Gauge-Invariant Fermion Theories*, Phys. Rev. Letters **42**, 1195-1198 (1979); *Path integral for gauge theories with fermions*, Phys. Rev. D **21**, 2848-2858 (1980)
- [51] L. D. Faddeev, *Operator anomaly for the Gauss law*, Phys. Lett. B **145**, 81–84 (1984); C. Fosco and R.C. Trinchero, *Anomalous commutators and functional integration*, Phys. Rev. D **41**, 1216-1226 (1990), and references given there
- [52] F.W. Hehl and Yu. N. Obukhov, *Foundations of Classical Electrodynamics: Charge, Flux, and Metric*, Birkhäuser, Boston MA, 2003.
- [53] B. I. Halperin, *Possible States for a Three-Dimensional Electron Gas in a Strong Magnetic Field*, Japanese. J. Appl. Phys. Suppl. **26**, 1913-1919 (1987); M. Kohmoto, B. I. Halperin and Y.-S. Wu, *Diophantine equation for the three-dimensional quantum Hall effect*, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 13 488-13 493 (1992)
- [54] R. Li, J. Wang, X.-L. Qi and S.-C. Zhang, *Dynamical axion field in topological magnetic insulators*, Nature Physics **6**, 284-288 (2010)
- [55] J. Fröhlich and Ph. Werner, *Gauge theory of topological phases of matter*, Europhys. Lett. **101**, 47007 p1-p6 (2013)
- [56] H. Ooguri and Oshikawa, *Instability in Magnetic Materials with a Dynamical Axion Field*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 161803 p1-p5 (2012).
- [57] D. Friedan, *A Proof of the Nielsen-Ninomiya Theorem*, Commun. Math. Phys. **85**, 481-490 (1982)

- [58] W. C. Herring, *Accidental Degeneracy in the Energy Bands of Crystals*, Phys. Rev. **52** (4), 365–373 (1937)
- [59] S.-Y. Xu; I. Belopolski, I., N. Alidoust, M. Neupane, G. Bian, C. Zhang, R. Sankar, G. Chang, Z. Yuan, C. C. Lee, S.-M. Huang, H. Zheng, J. Ma, D. S. Sanchez, B. K. Wang, A. Bansil, F.-C. Chou, P. P. Shibayev, H. Lin, S. Jia, M. Z. Hasan, *Discovery of a Weyl Fermion semimetal and topological Fermi arcs*, Science **349** (6248), 613–617 (2015)
- [60] T. Chen, J. Fröhlich and M. Seifert, *Renormalization Group Methods: Landau-Fermi Liquid and BCS Superconductor*, in: Proceedings of Les Houches **LXII**: “Fluctuating Geometries in Statistical Mechanics and Field Theory,” F. David, P. Ginsparg and J. Zinn-Justin (eds.), Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, 1995
- [61] A. Giuliani, V. Mastropietro and M. Porta, *Universality of Conductivity in Interacting Graphene*, Commun. Math. Phys. **311**, 317-355 (2012); A. Giuliani, V. Mastropietro and M. Porta, *Universality of the Hall Conductivity in Interacting Electron Systems*, Commun. Math. Phys. **349**, 1107-1161 (2017); V. Mastropietro and M. Porta, *Multi-Channel Luttinger Liquids at the Edge of Quantum Hall Systems*, Commun. Math. Phys. **395**, 1097-1173 (2022), and references given there
- [62] K. Landsteiner, *Quantum Anomalies (in) Matter*, EPJ Web of Conferences **258**, 10003 p1-p8 (2022)
- [63] R. F. Streater and I. F. Wilde, *Fermion States of a Boson Field*, Nuclear Phys. B **24**, 561–575 (1970); J. Fröhlich, *New super-selection sectors (“soliton-states”) in two dimensional Bose quantum field models*, Commun. Math. Phys. **47**, 269-310 (1976); J. M. Leinaas and J. Myrheim, *On the Theory of Identical Particles*, Nuovo Cimento **37**, 1 - 23 (1977); G. A. Goldin, R. Menikoff, and D. H. Sharp, *Particle statistics from induced representations of a local current group*, J. Math. Phys. **21**, 650-664 (1980); G. A. Goldin, R. Menikoff, and D. H. Sharp, *Representations of a local current algebra in nonsimply connected space and the Aharonov–Bohm effect*, J. Math. Phys. **22**, 1664-1668 (1981); F. Wilczek, *Quantum Mechanics of Fractional-Spin Particles*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **49**, 957-959 (1982); J. Fröhlich and P.-A. Marchetti, ref. [1]; J. Fröhlich, *Statistics of Fields, the Yang-Baxter Equation, and the Theory of Knots and Links*, in: “Non-perturbative Quantum Field Theory,” G. ‘t Hooft et al. (eds.), Plenum Press, New York, 1988; K. Fredenhagen, K. H. Rehren and B. Schroer, *Superselection Sectors with Braid Group Statistics and Exchange Algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. **125**, 201-226 (1989); J. Fröhlich and F. Gabbiani, *Braid Statistics in Local Quantum Theory*, Rev. Math. Phys. **2**, 251-353 (1990)
- [64] J. Fröhlich and T. Spencer, *The Kosterlitz-Thouless Transition in Two-Dimensional Abelian Spin Systems and the Coulomb Gas*, Commun. Math. Phys. **81**, 527 - 602 (1981)