arXiv:2303.13602v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 23 Mar 2023

Electron-phonon coupling and competing Kekulé orders in twisted bilayer graphene

Yves H. Kwan,¹ Glenn Wagner,² Nick Bultinck,^{3,4} Steven H. Simon,³ Erez Berg,⁵ and S.A. Parameswaran³

¹Princeton Center for Theoretical Science, Princeton University, Princeton NJ 08544, USA

²Department of Physics, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland

³Rudolf Peierls Centre for Theoretical Physics, Parks Road, Oxford, OX1 3PU, UK

⁴Department of Physics, Ghent University, Krijgslaan 281, 9000 Gent, Belgium

⁵Department of Condensed Matter Physics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, 76100, Israel

Recent scanning tunneling microscopy experiments [K.P. Nuckolls *et al.*, arXiv:2303.00024] have revealed the ubiquity of Kekulé charge-density wave order in magic-angle twisted bilayer graphene. Most samples are moderately strained and show 'incommensurate Kekulé spiral' (IKS) order involving a graphene-scale charge density distortion uniaxially modulated on the scale of the moiré superlattice, in accord with theoretical predictions. However, ultra-low strain samples instead show graphene-scale Kekulé charge order that is *uniform* on the moiré scale. This order, especially prominent near filling factor $\nu = -2$, is unanticipated by theory which predicts a time-reversal breaking Kekulé *current* order at low strain. We show that including the coupling of moiré electrons to graphene-scale optical zone-corner (ZC) phonons stabilizes a uniform Kekulé charge ordered state at $|\nu| = 2$ with a quantized topological (spin or anomalous Hall) response. Our work clarifies how this phonon-driven selection of electronic order emerges in the strong-coupling regime of moiré graphene.

Introduction. — The interplay of strong electron correlations, gate-tunable superconductivity, and band topology in 'magic-angle' twisted bilaver graphene (MA-TBG) has been the subject of extensive experimental [1-36]and theoretical investigation [37-70]. Although aspects of the phenomenology superficially resemble that of the cuprate high-temperature (high- T_c) superconductors, the nontrivial topology of the eight bands straddling charge neutrality, and the existence of Stoner-like transitions indicative of the formation of flavour-polarized broken-symmetry states, challenge the applicability of the Hubbard-type models familiar from high- T_c to the narrow bands in MA-TBG. This has stimulated a distinct perspective [40, 50] rooted instead in the physics of quantum Hall ferromagnets (QHFM), best motivated by the approximation of initially ignoring the single-particle dispersion and working in the 'chiral limit' [71] of vanishing interlayer same-sublattice tunneling. In the resulting strong-coupling problem, flavor-polarized insulators minimize the interaction energy (owing to Pauli exclusion) at densities of $|\nu| = 0, 1, 2, 3$ electrons per moiré unit cell, corresponding to filling $\nu + 4$ of the eight central bands. Single-particle terms and realistic interlayer tunneling perturbatively lift the large degeneracy of the resulting manifold of broken-symmetry states, selecting specific correlated insulators at integer ν [40, 45, 50].

Strong-coupling approaches predict gapped insulators at charge neutrality ($\nu = 0$) and time-reversal breaking quantized anomalous Hall (QAH) behaviour or stripe order at odd ν [46, 72]. This is in contradiction to many experiments, that often find semimetallic behaviour $\nu =$ 0 [1–3, 5–13], little transport evidence for gaps at $\nu = \pm 1$, and no QAH response at $\nu = \pm 3$ unless aligned with a hexagonal boron nitride substrate [14, 15]. Spurred by this mismatch of theory and experiment, Ref. [54] proposed a new type of broken-symmetry order, dubbed the incommensurate Kekulé spiral (IKS), as the ground state for MA-TBG at intermediate coupling. For modest uniaxial heterostrains [32–35] (where layers are strained relative to each other) sufficient to stabilize a semimetal at neutrality [65], IKS is the unique Hartree-Fock ground state for all nonzero integer $|\nu| < 4$. It exhibits a clear gap and vanishing QAH response for $|\nu| = 2, 3$, and is gapless for $|\nu| = 1$, consistent with most transport experiments. IKS order also persists to finite doping away from integer ν , seeding Fermi surfaces [73] consistent with Landau fans observed in magnetotransport [1–9, 14–18]. Most strikingly, IKS involves a specific graphene-scale Kekulé charge density order that triples the graphene unit cell but is slowly modulated on the moiré scale. This multiscale spatial symmetry-breaking is a sharp signature of IKS order, recently used to diagnose its presence in MA-TBG via scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) [19].

These experiments find robust IKS order in samples with modest strain, with a period of approximately three moiré unit cells, in excellent agreement with theoretical predictions. However, at $\nu = -2$ where the data are most extensive, ultra-low-strain samples show Kekulé charge order that also triples the graphene unit cell, but is at q = 0, i.e. unmodulated on the moiré scale, in sharp contrast to IKS. This contradicts the near-unanimous theoretical prediction in this limit of a q = 0 Kekulé *cur*rent order, dubbed the "Kramers-Intervalley Coherent" (KIVC) state, whose STM signature vanishes by symmetry [74, 75]. Instead, the observed order resembles the so-called TIVC state ('T' denotes a spinless implementation of time-reversal), which can be viewed roughly as a charge counterpart of KIVC. However, it is unclear why TIVC becomes a competitive ground state at low strain.



FIG. 1. Competing orders at even integer filling ν . Color shows the lattice distortion energy $E_{\rm ph}$; Kekulé charge density order is present in the electronic sector for $E_{\rm ph} \neq 0$. White lines show approximate phase boundaries. All phase diagrams computed in the graphene scheme. a,d) Phase diagram of electron-phonon coupling g vs twist angle θ for $|\nu| = 0, 2$ respectively. $w_{\rm AA} = 60 \text{ meV}, w_{\rm AB} = 110 \text{ meV}$. b) Dependence on sublattice mass $\Delta \sigma_z$ applied to the bottom layer. $\theta = 1.10^\circ, w_{\rm AA} = 50 \text{ meV}$. c) Dependence on heterostrain with strength ϵ , with strain axis along \hat{x} . $\theta = 1.12^\circ, w_{\rm AA} = 77 \text{ meV}$. [QAH: quantized anomalous Hall, KIVC: Kramers intervalley coherent, TIVC: time-reversal IVC, VP: valley polarized, QSH: quantum spin Hall]

Here, we show that electron-phonon coupling (EPC) provides a natural explanation for the emergence of lowstrain TIVC order. To wit, the zone-corner in-plane optical phonon modes — which link the microscopic valleys — couple strongly to the Kekulé density distortion, lowering the energy of TIVC relative to KIVC. The competition is particularly transparent at strong coupling, where EPC generates a new term in the anisotropic nonlinear sigma model (NLSM) that describes selection between distinct q = 0 insulators. This clarifies that while small relative to the bare Coulomb scale, EPC is comparable in strength to other perturbations that move away from strong coupling. We therefore perform numerical Hartree-Fock (HF) simulations (Fig. 1) to capture this competition in the regime of intermediate coupling that appears on leaving the chiral-flat limit by tuning interlayer tunneling, strain, and twist angle. Our work shows that the strong-coupling phase structure at low strain is more nuanced than previously thought, and identifies a key role for phonons in selecting between competing interaction-driven ordered states.

Model.— We study the Hamiltonian $\dot{H}_{tot} = \dot{H}_{BM} + \hat{H}_{int} + \hat{H}_{EPC} + \hat{H}_{A_1}$. Here, \hat{H}_{BM} is the standard singleparticle Bistritzer-MacDonald (BM) model [76] that depends on the twist angle θ and sublattice-dependent hopping matrix elements $w_{AB} = 110 \text{ meV}$ and w_{AA} , which we will tune starting from the chiral limit $w_{AA} = 0$. \hat{H}_{int} describes dual-gate screened Coulomb interactions $V(q) = \frac{e^2}{2\epsilon_0\epsilon_r q} \tanh qd$, with screening length d = 25 nmand permittivity $\epsilon_r = 10$. To avoid double-counting, we choose the zero of interactions to correspond to the density of two decoupled graphene layers (the so-called 'graphene' subtraction scheme), though we investigate alternatives in Ref. [77]. $\hat{H}_{ph} = \hbar \omega \sum_{l\alpha q} \hat{a}^{\dagger}_{l\alpha}(q) \hat{a}_{l\alpha}(q)$ describes graphene zone-corner (ZC) in-plane transverse optical phonons A_1, B_1 , which couple to continuum electrons in each layer via [69, 78–81]

$$\hat{H}_{\rm EPC} = \mathcal{F} \sum_{l\alpha} \int_{\boldsymbol{r}} \hat{\psi}_l^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{r}) \left[\hat{u}_{l\alpha}(\boldsymbol{r}) \Gamma_{\alpha} \right] \hat{\psi}_l(\boldsymbol{r})$$
(1)

with $\hat{u}_{l\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{D}\sum_{\mathbf{q}} e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \left[\hat{a}_{l\alpha}(\mathbf{q}) + \hat{a}_{l\alpha}^{\dagger}(-\mathbf{q}) \right]$. Here, $\hat{\psi}_{l}(\mathbf{r})$ is a spinor in spin (s), valley (τ) and sublattice (σ) space, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{D} absorb various phonon parameters, and we approximate the phonon dispersion $\hbar\omega \simeq 160 \text{ meV}$ as constant (since the optical mode is roughly flat within the BM model cutoff). Each layer *l* has two degenerate ZC modes $\alpha = a, b$ with intervalley coupling matrices $\Gamma_{a} = \tau_{x}\sigma_{x}, \Gamma_{b} = \tau_{y}\sigma_{x}$. We define a characteristic (dimensionful) coupling

$$g = A \frac{\mathcal{F}^2 \mathcal{D}^2}{\hbar \omega},\tag{2}$$

where A is the system area. Typical estimates put $g \simeq 70 \text{ meVnm}^2$ [78], but as these can vary widely [79], we will view it as a tuning parameter. \hat{H}_{tot} is invariant under spinless time-reversal $\hat{\mathcal{T}} = \tau_x \mathcal{K}$, $U(1)_V$ valley rotations, $SU(2)_s$ spin rotations, and D_6 point-group symmetry.

Strong electron-electron interactions lead to closely competing candidate ground states. Treating $\hat{H}_{\rm EPC}$ at mean-field level, the phonons will experience a linear bias term ~ tr $\Gamma_{\alpha}P$, where P is the electron density matrix, and lower their energy by shifting their vacuum. The resulting energy gain from lattice distortion $E_{\rm ph} \sim g |\mathrm{tr} \Gamma_{\alpha}P|^2 \geq 0$ is quadratic in P, and, crucially, depends on the pattern of flavor symmetry-breaking. For the ZC phonons of interest here, this effect is only operative for certain forms of $U(1)_V$ -breaking intervalley coherence (IVC). Due to large gaps to the dispersive remote bands, the relevant electronic ordering is concentrated in the central bands. Hence in the following, we consider $\hat{H}_{\rm tot}$ projected to the flat bands. Expressions for $E_{\rm ph}$ in the projected theory are given in Ref. [77].



FIG. 2. Sigma model energy scales. Parameters of the strong-coupling sigma model (energies are per moiré unit cell) for $g = 70 \text{ meVnm}^2$ in the graphene scheme. $E_{\alpha} = \alpha A_{\rm UC}$ (dashed circles) measures twice the lattice distortion energy per unit cell for a single intervalley coherent Chern band. Note the different scale for E_J (solid triangles).

Strong-coupling limit and NLSM. — To understand the qualitative impact of EPC on ground state selection, we first consider a non-linear sigma model (NLSM) description [68, 82, 83]. In the chiral-flat limit with $\kappa =$ $\frac{w_{AA}}{w_{AB}} = 0$ and vanishing bandwidth, we can rotate to the Chern basis which is sublattice polarized and has Chern numbers $C = \tau_z \sigma_z$ (the polarization is imperfect for $\kappa \neq 0$). At integer $\nu \equiv \nu_+ + \nu_- - 4$, the exact ground states are Slater determinants constructed by filling ν_+ bands with C = 1 and ν_- bands with C = -1, allowing arbitrary rotations within each Chern sector. These 'generalized ferromagnets' spontaneously break the $U(4) \times U(4)$ symmetry of the chiral-flat limit to $U(\nu_+) \times U(4-\nu_+) \times U(\nu_-) \times U(4-\nu_-)$, which sets the NLSM target space. Deviations from the chiral-flat limit explicitly break $U(4) \times U(4)$, leading to anisotropies in the NLSM energy density

$$\mathcal{E}[Q] = \frac{J}{4} \operatorname{tr} (Q\gamma_x)^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4} \operatorname{tr} (Q\gamma_x \eta_z)^2 - \frac{\alpha}{8} \left[(\operatorname{tr} Q\eta_x)^2 + (\operatorname{tr} Q\eta_y)^2 \right],$$
(3)

where we have defined the Pauli triplets $\gamma_{x,y,z} = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y \tau_z, \sigma_z \tau_z), \quad \eta_{x,y,z} = (\sigma_x \tau_x, \sigma_x \tau_y, \tau_z). \quad Q = \text{diag}(Q^+, Q^-)$ is the 8×8 single-particle density matrix. Q is block-diagonal in Chern sectors $C = \pm$, which satisfies $Q^2 = 1$ and tr $Q = 2\nu$. J and λ are previously-computed [40, 83] terms arising from inter-Chern tunneling (superexchange) and finite κ respectively. The α term is new, and represents the phonon energy from coupling to the Kekulé charge density. We argue that its inclusion is necessary since its magnitude is comparable to the other anisotropies (Fig. 2).

In Tab. I, we list the candidate strong-coupling states at even integers focusing on uniform valley-unpolarized orders. Prior theory has consistently favored the KIVC at even ν on the grounds that it maximally satisfies both J and λ terms [40, 50]. However, despite its IVC, it does not benefit from EPC. This is due to its $\hat{\mathcal{T}}' = \tau_y \mathcal{K}$ symmetry, which forces the Kekulé charge density to vanish [74, 75]. The TIVC is usually ignored due to its energy penalty under J and λ , but it can gain from the α -term since the Kekulé densities of its bands interfere constructively. This could be anticipated from the phonon coupling matrices η_x , η_y in Eq. 3 which are precisely the order parameters of the TIVC. For finite chiral ratio, this effect decreases as the sublattice polarization of the Chern bands is reduced, but α remains appreciable (Fig. 2a).

For $|\nu| = 2$ which is of most relevance to Ref. [19], the case for TIVC is strongest, due to nontrivial interplay with spin physics. The prevailing theoretical expectation for the ground state is the spin-polarized KIVC (upto $SU_K(2) \times SU_{\bar{K}}(2)$ spin rotations). The J and λ terms are antagonistic towards the spin-polarized TIVC. However by flipping the spin in one Chern sector, we can construct instead a new state, the TIVC-QSH, which does not incur the energy cost J (Tab. I). This is because inter-Chern tunneling is no longer Pauli-blocked, allowing superexchange. Therefore, it suffices only that $\alpha > \lambda$ for this phase with Kekulé charge order to emerge; from Fig. 2 we see that these are indeed comparable. Note that the TIVC-OSH satisfies spinful time-reversal and is a quantum spin Hall insulator (hence the name). Applying spinless $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ on one spin species produces a degenerate IVC order with |C| = 2 quantized anomalous Hall (QAH) response, that we dub the IVC-QAH [81]. Both this and the TIVC-QSH exhibit quantized topological responses.

At neutrality, the superexchange mechanism that stabilizes TIVC-QSH is Pauli-blocked and KIVC dominates TIVC orders due to the large J, inevitably present in the graphene subtraction scheme. However, the choice of scheme influences the interaction-renormalized bandwidth, with a particularly strong effect on J. In the 'average' scheme [77], $J = \lambda = 0$ at the magic angle in the chiral-flat limit, ensuring Kekulé charge order for any $\alpha > 0$ [77]; away from this limit, J remains small, suggesting a qualitatively different $\nu = 0$ phase diagram.

Hartree-Fock Results.— To study the phase competition beyond the NLSM, we perform HF calculations for a realistic chiral ratio. Phonons are included selfconsistently by optimizing over products of electronic Slater determinants and phonon coherent states. We assume moiré translation symmetry and diagonal spin structure. Since our model has approximate particle-hole symmetry [84–86], we only show data for $\nu \leq 0$.

At $\nu = -2$, the ground state is the KIVC for small values of g (Fig. 1). As expected from its $\hat{\mathcal{T}}'$ symmetry, it has vanishing Kekulé charge density and does not couple linearly to the ZC phonons. For larger EPC, we find a first-order transition to a gapped phase with finite Kekulé charge order, which either satisfies spinful TRS (TIVC-

Phase	u	$ \psi angle$	E	Kekulé	\mathbf{C}	spin pol.
KIVC	0	$(KA\rangle + \bar{K}B\rangle)(KB\rangle - \bar{K}A\rangle)$	$-2J - 2\lambda$	current	0	0
TIVC	0	$(KA\rangle + \bar{K}B\rangle)(KB\rangle + \bar{K}A\rangle)$	$2J+2\lambda-8\alpha$	charge	0	0
VH	0	$\ket{KA}\ket{ar{K}A}$	$-2J+2\lambda$	×	0	0
KIVC	2	$(KA\uparrow\rangle + \bar{K}B\uparrow\rangle)(KB\uparrow\rangle - \bar{K}A\uparrow\rangle)$	-2λ	current	0	*
TIVC-SP	2	$\left(KA\uparrow\rangle+ \bar{K}B\uparrow\rangle\right)(KB\uparrow\rangle+ \bar{K}A\uparrow\rangle\right)$	$2J-2\alpha$	charge	0	2
TIVC-QSH	2	$(KA\uparrow\rangle + \bar{K}B\uparrow\rangle)(KB\downarrow\rangle + \bar{K}A\downarrow\rangle)$	-2α	charge	0	0
IVC-QAH	2	$(KA\uparrow\rangle + \bar{K}B\uparrow\rangle)(KA\downarrow\rangle + \bar{K}B\downarrow\rangle)$	-2α	charge	2	0
VH	2	$\ket{KA\uparrow}\ket{ar{K}A\uparrow}$	0	×	0	*

TABLE I. Valley-unpolarized strong-coupling states at even integer filling. All states are moiré translation symmetric. For neutrality, the state in the $|\psi\rangle$ column is repeated for both spin projections. E denotes energy in the non-linear sigma model. 'Current' in Kekulé column can refer to both charge and spin currents. * in spin polarization column indicates a degenerate manifold of states obtained by performing $SU_S(2)$ -rotation on a subset of the Hilbert space. The corresponding density matrices Q are shown in Ref. [77]. VH: valley Hall.

QSH) or is a |C| = 2 Chern insulator (IVC-QAH). These are degenerate at HF level, exhibit identical Kekulé patterns, and possess nearly perfect IVC across the moiré Brillouin zone (mBZ). The fact that $E_{\rm ph} \approx 2E_{\alpha}$ (Fig. 2a) strongly suggests that these states are *quantitatively* similar to those in the NLSM limit.

At $\nu = 0$, we find only KIVC order for the same parameter window, with no competing Kekulé charge orders.

We also study the phase diagrams for odd ν [77]. For a single Chern band, the anisotropy that selects valleypolarization over IVC is much smaller than the terms in Eq. 3 [50]. Therefore, the transition to states with Kekulé charge density occurs for weaker EPC.

Finally, we comment that in the average scheme [77] at $\nu = 0$, a first-order KIVC-TIVC transition with increasing g reappears, whereas the phase boundaries at $|\nu| \ge 2$ are largely unchanged. These observations are consistent with the NLSM discussion above.

Alignment of MA-TBG to the hBN substrate breaks \hat{C}_{2z} symmetry, and can be modeled via a sublattice mass $\Delta \sigma_z$ [63, 87, 88] (though there are likely additional complicated effects [20, 89–97]). As shown in Fig. 1b for $\nu = -2$, the sublattice bias competes with intervalley coherence, and both IVC orders give way to the valley Hall (VH) phase for modest values of Δ . This is a smooth crossover between states on the pseudospin Bloch sphere, driven by the sublattice potential.

In the EPC-heterostrain phase diagram at $\nu = -2$ (Fig. 1c), all three types of IVC ordering are present. The band gaps of the moiré translation invariant (q = 0)TIVC and KIVC are rapidly suppressed by strain [54, 65], yielding to IKS for small strains typical of most MA-TBG devices. Since the IKS possesses Kekulé bond order, it can directly couple to the ZC phonons, thereby explaining its relative stability against the TIVC for finite g. The IKS can be sharply distinguished from the TIVC by its non-zero q, trivial spin Chern number, and strongly inhomogeneous IVC in momentum space [54, 98].

Discussion. — While virtual phonons in MA-TBG have

previously been invoked to explain superconductivity [60, 61, 78, 99–102] and resolve spin degeneracies via Hund's coupling [23, 69, 103–105], the role played by zone-corner optical phonons here is special: by triggering a physical lattice distortion in response to electronic Kekulé charge order, phonons *directly* participate in ground state selection. This modifies the physics to the extent that the TIVC, usually considered the least likely strong-coupling order, can emerge as the ground state at even integer ν . This "valley Jahn-Teller effect" [80] has been previously studied using HF and projected resonating-valence bond (RVB) wavefunctions [81]. However, while Ref. 81 did find that KIVC becomes unstable to Kekulé charge order, it did not consider competition with translationalbreaking orders like IKS in the presence of strain or substrate alignment, nor, crucially, did it apply the lens of the strong coupling NLSM as we do here. Hence Ref. 81 identified the IVC-QAH state as the only possible alternative to KIVC at $\nu = -2$. In contrast, our NLSM analysis shows that TIVC-QSH and IVC-QAH are degenerate (certainly at HF level but possibly beyond). TIVC-QSH is more consistent with the bulk of experiments, that do not see OAH at $|\nu| = 2$, and we find that it is suppressed at moderate strain in favor of IKS, consistent with experiments [19]. On a more technical level, we note that Ref. 81 used an unusual subtraction scheme, wherein Kekulé charge order appears roughly equally stable at $|\nu| = 0, 2$, in contrast to our graphene-scheme results where it appears to be weaker at neutrality — again, in potential agreement with experiments [19].

Beyond offering a resolution to an immediate experimental puzzle [19], the emergence of TIVC has ramifications for other aspects of correlation physics in moiré graphene. It has been argued that experiments in MA-TBG and twisted trilayer graphene (TTG) indicate pairing between opposite spins and valleys in the superconducting dome commonly observed upon hole doping $\nu = -2$ [103]. Both TIVC-QSH and IKS preserve spinful TRS and accommodate such pairing (unlike KIVC or IVC-QAH); it would be interesting to explore this further, perhaps using similar techniques to Ref. 81. We note that the spin structure is already established at an energy above the weak Hund's coupling [23] whose sign, determined by a delicate balance between virtual phonons and intervalley Coulomb scattering, is theoretically difficult to compute [69].

The non-trivial topology of the TIVC also leads to phenomena distinct from the IKS. Topological spin/pseudospin textures carry electrical charge, and may pair if the energetics are favorable [68, 83, 106]. At $|\nu| = 2$, the TIVC-QSH exhibits a quantum spin Hall effect protected by S_z conservation. Crucially, the presence of rough edges does not gap the edge modes, unlike the KIVC where the protecting \hat{T}' -symmetry is broken by intervalley scattering at boundaries [40]. In addition, the TRS-violating IVC-QAH could explain [81] experiments which see time-reversal symmetry-breaking at $|\nu| = 2$ [24, 29], without an extrinsic substrate coupling.

The optical phonon distortion mechanism proposed here is likely also relevant to mirror-symmetric TTG, whose bands resemble MA-TBG except for extra dispersive Dirac cones. TTG is phenomenologically similar to MA-TBG, e.g. it also hosts superconducting domes proximate to correlated insulators [107–112]. TTG has been observed to form solitons and 'twistons' [113], suggesting the emergence of locally low-strain regions that are ideal for realizing this phonon-induced selection of electronic order. We speculate that intervalley phonons may also influence the phase structure of correlated moiré-less graphene multilayers, that exhibit multiple Fermi surface reconstructions and flavor symmetry-breaking transitions [114–120], though the intralayer intersublattice EPC is suppressed in Bernal-stacked structures [121].

We have focused on optical zone-corner phonons since they directly couple to IVC order. There is a plethora of other phonons not considered here, from optical graphene Γ modes to low-energy moiré acoustic phonons and phasons [80, 100, 121–131]. Incorporation of additional terms [132, 133] in the BM model would be useful to recover the particle-hole symmetry breaking seen in experiments. It may also be interesting to examine the role of ZC EPC in heavy fermion formulations of MA-TBG and TTG [134–136].

Acknowledgements.— We thank Steve Kivelson for useful discussions and A. Yazdani and the authors of Ref. [19] for sharing their results with us shortly before their publication. We acknowledge support from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme (Grant Agreement Nos. 804213-TMCS, 757867-PARATOP, and 817799-HQMAT) and from EPSRC grant EP/S020527/1.

- [1] Y. Cao, V. Fatemi, A. Demir, S. Fang, S. L. Tomarken, J. Y. Luo, J. D. Sanchez-Yamagishi, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, E. Kaxiras, R. C. Ashoori, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Correlated insulator behaviour at half-filling in magic-angle graphene superlattices, Nature 556, 80 (2018).
- [2] Y. Cao, V. Fatemi, S. Fang, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, E. Kaxiras, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Unconventional superconductivity in magic-angle graphene superlattices, Nature 556, 43 (2018).
- [3] M. Yankowitz, S. Chen, H. Polshyn, Y. Zhang, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, D. Graf, A. F. Young, and C. R. Dean, Tuning superconductivity in twisted bilayer graphene, Science **363**, 1059 (2019).
- [4] X. Lu, P. Stepanov, W. Yang, M. Xie, M. A. Aamir, I. Das, C. Urgell, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, G. Zhang, A. Bachtold, A. H. MacDonald, and D. K. Efetov, Superconductors, orbital magnets and correlated states in magic-angle bilayer graphene, Nature 574, 653 (2019).
- [5] J. M. Park, Y. Cao, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Flavour hund's coupling, chern gaps and charge diffusivity in moiré graphene, Nature 592, 43 (2021).
- [6] U. Zondiner, A. Rozen, D. Rodan-Legrain, Y. Cao, R. Queiroz, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, Y. Oreg, F. von Oppen, A. Stern, and et al., Cascade of phase transitions and dirac revivals in magic-angle graphene, Nature 582, 203 (2020).
- [7] A. Uri, S. Grover, Y. Cao, J. A. Crosse, K. Bagani, D. Rodan-Legrain, Y. Myasoedov, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, P. Moon, *et al.*, Mapping the twist-angle disorder and landau levels in magic-angle graphene, Nature **581**, 47 (2020).
- [8] Y. Saito, J. Ge, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, and A. F. Young, Independent superconductors and correlated insulators in twisted bilayer graphene, Nature Physics 16, 926 (2020).
- [9] Y. Saito, F. Yang, J. Ge, X. Liu, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, J. Li, E. Berg, and A. F. Young, Isospin pomeranchuk effect in twisted bilayer graphene, Nature 592, 220 (2021).
- [10] Y. Cao, D. Rodan-Legrain, J. M. Park, N. F. Q. Yuan, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, R. M. Fernandes, L. Fu, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Nematicity and competing orders in superconducting magic-angle graphene, Science **372**, 264 (2021).
- [11] X. Liu, Z. Wang, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, O. Vafek, and J. Li, Tuning electron correlation in magic-angle twisted bilayer graphene using coulomb screening, Science **371**, 1261 (2021).
- [12] I. Das, X. Lu, J. Herzog-Arbeitman, Z.-D. Song, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, B. A. Bernevig, and D. K. Efetov, Symmetry-broken chern insulators and rashba-like landau-level crossings in magic-angle bilayer graphene, Nature Physics 17, 710 (2021).
- [13] A. Rozen, J. M. Park, U. Zondiner, Y. Cao, D. Rodan-Legrain, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, Y. Oreg, A. Stern, E. Berg, *et al.*, Entropic evidence for a pomeranchuk effect in magic-angle graphene, Nature **592**, 214 (2021).
- [14] M. Serlin, C. L. Tschirhart, H. Polshyn, Y. Zhang, J. Zhu, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, L. Balents, and

A. F. Young, Intrinsic quantized anomalous hall effect in a moiré heterostructure, Science **367**, 900 (2020).

- [15] A. L. Sharpe, E. J. Fox, A. W. Barnard, J. Finney, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, M. A. Kastner, and D. Goldhaber-Gordon, Emergent ferromagnetism near three-quarters filling in twisted bilayer graphene, Science **365**, 605 (2019).
- [16] P. Stepanov, I. Das, X. Lu, A. Fahimniya, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, F. H. L. Koppens, J. Lischner, L. Levitov, and D. K. Efetov, Untying the insulating and superconducting orders in magic-angle graphene, Nature 583, 375 (2020).
- [17] S. Wu, Z. Zhang, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, and E. Y. Andrei, Chern insulators, van hove singularities and topological flat bands in magic-angle twisted bilayer graphene, Nature Materials 20, 488 (2021).
- [18] Y. Saito, J. Ge, L. Rademaker, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, D. A. Abanin, and A. F. Young, Hofstadter subband ferromagnetism and symmetry-broken chern insulators in twisted bilayer graphene, Nature Physics 17, 478 (2021).
- [19] K. P. Nuckolls, R. L. Lee, M. Oh, D. Wong, T. Soejima, J. P. Hong, D. Călugăru, J. Herzog-Arbeitman, B. A. Bernevig, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, N. Regnault, M. P. Zaletel, and A. Yazdani, Quantum textures of the many-body wavefunctions in magic-angle graphene (2023), arXiv:2303.00024 [cond-mat.mes-hall].
- [20] S. Grover, M. Bocarsly, A. Uri, P. Stepanov, G. D. Battista, I. Roy, J. Xiao, A. Y. Meltzer, Y. Myasoe-dov, K. Pareek, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, B. Yan, A. Stern, E. Berg, D. K. Efetov, and E. Zeldov, Chern mosaic and berry-curvature magnetism in magic-angle graphene, Nature Physics 18, 885 (2022).
- [21] J. Yu, B. A. Foutty, Z. Han, M. E. Barber, Y. Schattner, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, P. Phillips, Z.-X. Shen, S. A. Kivelson, and B. E. Feldman, Correlated hofstadter spectrum and flavour phase diagram in magicangle twisted bilayer graphene, Nature Physics 18, 825 (2022).
- [22] J. Yu, B. A. Foutty, Y. H. Kwan, M. E. Barber, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, Z.-X. Shen, S. A. Parameswaran, and B. E. Feldman, Spin skyrmion gaps as signatures of intervalley-coherent insulators in magicangle twisted bilayer graphene (2022).
- [23] E. Morissette, J.-X. Lin, D. Sun, L. Zhang, S. Liu, D. Rhodes, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, J. Hone, J. Pollanen, M. S. Scheurer, M. Lilly, A. Mounce, and J. I. A. Li, Electron spin resonance and collective excitations in magic-angle twisted bilayer graphene (2022).
- [24] C.-C. Tseng, X. Ma, Z. Liu, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, J.-H. Chu, and M. Yankowitz, Anomalous hall effect at half filling in twisted bilayer graphene, Nature Physics 18, 1038 (2022).
- [25] Y. Choi, J. Kemmer, Y. Peng, A. Thomson, H. Arora, R. Polski, Y. Zhang, H. Ren, J. Alicea, G. Refael, *et al.*, Electronic correlations in twisted bilayer graphene near the magic angle, Nature Physics **15**, 1174 (2019).
- [26] M. Oh, K. P. Nuckolls, D. Wong, R. L. Lee, X. Liu, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, and A. Yazdani, Evidence for unconventional superconductivity in twisted bilayer graphene, Nature 600, 240 (2021).
- [27] K. P. Nuckolls, M. Oh, D. Wong, B. Lian, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, B. A. Bernevig, and A. Yazdani, Strongly correlated chern insulators in magic-angle twisted bi-

layer graphene, Nature 588, 610 (2020).

- [28] Y. Xie, A. T. Pierce, J. M. Park, D. E. Parker, E. Khalaf, P. Ledwith, Y. Cao, S. H. Lee, S. Chen, P. R. Forrester, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, A. Vishwanath, P. Jarillo-Herrero, and A. Yacoby, Fractional chern insulators in magic-angle twisted bilayer graphene, Nature 600, 439 (2021).
- [29] J. Diez-Merida, A. Diez-Carlon, S. Y. Yang, Y. M. Xie, X. J. Gao, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, X. Lu, K. T. Law, and D. K. Efetov, Magnetic josephson junctions and superconducting diodes in magic angle twisted bilayer graphene (2021).
- [30] Y. Jiang, X. Lai, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, K. Haule, J. Mao, and E. Y. Andrei, Charge order and broken rotational symmetry in magic-angle twisted bilayer graphene, Nature 573, 91 (2019).
- [31] H. S. Arora, R. Polski, Y. Zhang, A. Thomson, Y. Choi, H. Kim, Z. Lin, I. Z. Wilson, X. Xu, J.-H. Chu, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, J. Alicea, and S. Nadj-Perge, Superconductivity in metallic twisted bilayer graphene stabilized by WSe2, Nature 583, 379 (2020).
- [32] A. Kerelsky, L. J. McGilly, D. M. Kennes, L. Xian, M. Yankowitz, S. Chen, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, J. Hone, C. Dean, *et al.*, Maximized electron interactions at the magic angle in twisted bilayer graphene, Nature **572**, 95 (2019).
- [33] Y. Choi, H. Kim, Y. Peng, A. Thomson, C. Lewandowski, R. Polski, Y. Zhang, H. S. Arora, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, *et al.*, Correlation-driven topological phases in magic-angle twisted bilayer graphene, Nature **589**, 536 (2021).
- [34] Y. Xie, B. Lian, B. Jäck, X. Liu, C.-L. Chiu, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, B. A. Bernevig, and A. Yazdani, Spectroscopic signatures of many-body correlations in magic angle twisted bilayer graphene, Nature 572, 101 (2019).
- [35] D. Wong, K. P. Nuckolls, M. Oh, B. Lian, Y. Xie, S. Jeon, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, B. A. Bernevig, and A. Yazdani, Cascade of electronic transitions in magic-angle twisted bilayer graphene, Nature 582, 198 (2020).
- [36] A. T. Pierce, Y. Xie, J. M. Park, E. Khalaf, S. H. Lee, Y. Cao, D. E. Parker, P. R. Forrester, S. Chen, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, A. Vishwanath, P. Jarillo-Herrero, and A. Yacoby, Unconventional sequence of correlated chern insulators in magicangle twisted bilayer graphene (2021), arXiv:2101.04123 [cond-mat.mes-hall].
- [37] H. C. Po, L. Zou, A. Vishwanath, and T. Senthil, Origin of mott insulating behavior and superconductivity in twisted bilayer graphene, Phys. Rev. X 8, 031089 (2018).
- [38] M. Xie and A. H. MacDonald, Weak-field hall resistivity and spin/valley flavor symmetry breaking in matbg (2020), arXiv:2010.07928 [cond-mat.mes-hall].
- [39] M. Xie and A. H. MacDonald, Nature of the correlated insulator states in twisted bilayer graphene, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 097601 (2020).
- [40] N. Bultinck, E. Khalaf, S. Liu, S. Chatterjee, A. Vishwanath, and M. P. Zaletel, Ground State and Hidden Symmetry of Magic-Angle Graphene at Even Integer Filling, Phys. Rev. X 10, 031034 (2020), publisher: American Physical Society.
- [41] J. Liu and X. Dai, Theories for the correlated insulating

states and quantum anomalous hall effect phenomena in twisted bilayer graphene, Phys. Rev. B **103**, 035427 (2021).

- [42] T. Cea and F. Guinea, Band structure and insulating states driven by coulomb interaction in twisted bilayer graphene, Phys. Rev. B 102, 045107 (2020).
- [43] Y. Zhang, K. Jiang, Z. Wang, and F. Zhang, Correlated insulating phases of twisted bilayer graphene at commensurate filling fractions: A hartree-fock study, Phys. Rev. B 102, 035136 (2020).
- [44] M. Ochi, M. Koshino, and K. Kuroki, Possible correlated insulating states in magic-angle twisted bilayer graphene under strongly competing interactions, Phys. Rev. B 98, 081102 (2018).
- [45] J. Kang and O. Vafek, Strong coupling phases of partially filled twisted bilayer graphene narrow bands, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 246401 (2019).
- [46] J. Kang and O. Vafek, Non-abelian dirac node braiding and near-degeneracy of correlated phases at odd integer filling in magic-angle twisted bilayer graphene, Phys. Rev. B 102, 035161 (2020).
- [47] O. Vafek and J. Kang, Renormalization group study of hidden symmetry in twisted bilayer graphene with coulomb interactions, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 257602 (2020).
- [48] S. Liu, E. Khalaf, J. Y. Lee, and A. Vishwanath, Nematic topological semimetal and insulator in magicangle bilayer graphene at charge neutrality, Phys. Rev. Research 3, 013033 (2021).
- [49] J. F. Dodaro, S. A. Kivelson, Y. Schattner, X. Q. Sun, and C. Wang, Phases of a phenomenological model of twisted bilayer graphene, Phys. Rev. B 98, 075154 (2018).
- [50] B. Lian, Z.-D. Song, N. Regnault, D. K. Efetov, A. Yazdani, and B. A. Bernevig, Twisted bilayer graphene. iv. exact insulator ground states and phase diagram, Phys. Rev. B 103, 205414 (2021).
- [51] B. A. Bernevig, B. Lian, A. Cowsik, F. Xie, N. Regnault, and Z.-D. Song, Twisted bilayer graphene. v. exact analytic many-body excitations in coulomb hamiltonians: Charge gap, goldstone modes, and absence of cooper pairing, Phys. Rev. B 103, 205415 (2021).
- [52] F. Xie, A. Cowsik, Z.-D. Song, B. Lian, B. A. Bernevig, and N. Regnault, Twisted bilayer graphene. vi. an exact diagonalization study at nonzero integer filling, Phys. Rev. B 103, 205416 (2021).
- [53] T. Soejima, D. E. Parker, N. Bultinck, J. Hauschild, and M. P. Zaletel, Efficient simulation of moiré materials using the density matrix renormalization group, Phys. Rev. B 102, 205111 (2020).
- [54] Y. H. Kwan, G. Wagner, T. Soejima, M. P. Zaletel, S. H. Simon, S. A. Parameswaran, and N. Bultinck, Kekulé spiral order at all nonzero integer fillings in twisted bilayer graphene, Phys. Rev. X 11, 041063 (2021).
- [55] P. Potasz, M. Xie, and A. H. MacDonald, Exact Diagonalization for Magic-Angle Twisted Bilayer Graphene, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2102.02256 (2021), arXiv:2102.02256 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [56] X. Zhang, G. Pan, Y. Zhang, J. Kang, and Z. Y. Meng, Momentum space quantum monte carlo on twisted bilayer graphene, Chinese Physics Letters 38, 077305 (2021).
- [57] L. Klebl, Z. A. H. Goodwin, A. A. Mostofi, D. M. Kennes, and J. Lischner, Importance of long-ranged

electron-electron interactions for the magnetic phase diagram of twisted bilayer graphene, Phys. Rev. B **103**, 195127 (2021).

- [58] G. Shavit, E. Berg, A. Stern, and Y. Oreg, Theory of correlated insulators and superconductivity in twisted bilayer graphene (2021), arXiv:2107.08486 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [59] F. Wu, A. H. MacDonald, and I. Martin, Theory of phonon-mediated superconductivity in twisted bilayer graphene, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 257001 (2018).
- [60] B. Lian, Z. Wang, and B. A. Bernevig, Twisted bilayer graphene: A phonon-driven superconductor, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 257002 (2019).
- [61] F. Wu, E. Hwang, and S. Das Sarma, Phonon-induced giant linear-in-t resistivity in magic angle twisted bilayer graphene: Ordinary strangeness and exotic superconductivity, Phys. Rev. B 99, 165112 (2019).
- [62] C. Lewandowski, D. Chowdhury, and J. Ruhman, Pairing in magic-angle twisted bilayer graphene: Role of phonon and plasmon umklapp, Phys. Rev. B 103, 235401 (2021).
- [63] N. Bultinck, S. Chatterjee, and M. P. Zaletel, Mechanism for anomalous hall ferromagnetism in twisted bilayer graphene, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 166601 (2020).
- [64] K. Hejazi, X. Chen, and L. Balents, Hybrid wannier chern bands in magic angle twisted bilayer graphene and the quantized anomalous hall effect, Phys. Rev. Research 3, 013242 (2021).
- [65] D. E. Parker, T. Soejima, J. Hauschild, M. P. Zaletel, and N. Bultinck, Strain-induced quantum phase transitions in magic-angle graphene, Phys. Rev. Lett. 127, 027601 (2021).
- [66] A. Thomson and J. Alicea, Recovery of massless dirac fermions at charge neutrality in strongly interacting twisted bilayer graphene with disorder, Phys. Rev. B 103, 125138 (2021).
- [67] M. Christos, S. Sachdev, and M. S. Scheurer, Superconductivity, correlated insulators, and wess-zumino-witten terms in twisted bilayer graphene, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 117, 29543 (2020).
- [68] E. Khalaf, S. Chatterjee, N. Bultinck, M. P. Zaletel, and A. Vishwanath, Charged skyrmions and topological origin of superconductivity in magic-angle graphene, Science advances 7, eabf5299 (2021).
- [69] S. Chatterjee, N. Bultinck, and M. P. Zaletel, Symmetry breaking and skyrmionic transport in twisted bilayer graphene, Physical Review B 101, 10.1103/physrevb.101.165141 (2020).
- [70] T. Cea, P. A. Pantaleón, N. R. Walet, and F. Guinea, Electrostatic interactions in twisted bilayer graphene (2021), arXiv:2109.06038 [cond-mat.mes-hall].
- [71] G. Tarnopolsky, A. J. Kruchkov, and A. Vishwanath, Origin of Magic Angles in Twisted Bilayer Graphene, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 106405 (2019), publisher: American Physical Society.
- [72] F. Xie, J. Kang, B. A. Bernevig, O. Vafek, and N. Regnault, Phase diagram of twisted bilayer graphene at filling factor $\nu = \pm 3$, Physical Review B **107**, 10.1103/physrevb.107.075156 (2023).
- [73] G. Wagner, Y. H. Kwan, N. Bultinck, S. H. Simon, and S. A. Parameswaran, Global phase diagram of the normal state of twisted bilayer graphene, Phys. Rev. Lett. 128, 156401 (2022).

- [74] D. Calugaru, N. Regnault, M. Oh, K. P. Nuckolls, D. Wong, R. L. Lee, A. Yazdani, O. Vafek, and B. A. Bernevig, Spectroscopy of twisted bilayer graphene correlated insulators, Phys. Rev. Lett. **129**, 117602 (2022).
- [75] J. P. Hong, T. Soejima, and M. P. Zaletel, Detecting symmetry breaking in magic angle graphene using scanning tunneling microscopy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 129, 147001 (2022).
- [76] R. Bistritzer and A. H. MacDonald, Moiré bands in twisted double-layer graphene, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 108, 12233 (2011), https://www.pnas.org/content/108/30/12233.full.pdf.
- [77] See Supplementary Material to this article for detailed expressions of the lattice distortion energy, additional numerical results in the average subtraction scheme, and a discussion of the degeneracy between TIVC-QSH and IVC-QAH states at $|\nu| = 2$.
- [78] F. Wu, A. MacDonald, and I. Martin, Theory of phonon-mediated superconductivity in twisted bilayer graphene, Physical Review Letters 121, 10.1103/physrevlett.121.257001 (2018).
- [79] D. M. Basko and I. L. Aleiner, Interplay of coulomb and electron-phonon interactions in graphene, Physical Review B 77, 10.1103/physrevb.77.041409 (2008).
- [80] M. Angeli, E. Tosatti, and M. Fabrizio, Valley jahnteller effect in twisted bilayer graphene, Physical Review X 9, 10.1103/physrevx.9.041010 (2019).
- [81] A. Blason and M. Fabrizio, Local kekulé distortion turns twisted bilayer graphene into topological mott insulators and superconductors, Phys. Rev. B 106, 235112 (2022).
- [82] E. Khalaf, N. Bultinck, A. Vishwanath, and M. P. Zaletel, Soft modes in magic angle twisted bilayer graphene (2020).
- [83] Y. H. Kwan, G. Wagner, N. Bultinck, S. H. Simon, and S. Parameswaran, Skyrmions in twisted bilayer graphene: Stability, pairing, and crystallization, Physical Review X 12, 10.1103/physrevx.12.031020 (2022).
- [84] L. Zou, H. C. Po, A. Vishwanath, and T. Senthil, Band structure of twisted bilayer graphene: Emergent symmetries, commensurate approximants, and wannier obstructions, Physical Review B 98, 10.1103/physrevb.98.085435 (2018).
- [85] Z. Song, Z. Wang, W. Shi, G. Li, C. Fang, and B. A. Bernevig, All magic angles in twisted bilayer graphene are topological, Physical Review Letters 123, 10.1103/physrevlett.123.036401 (2019).
- [86] K. Hejazi, C. Liu, H. Shapourian, X. Chen, and L. Balents, Multiple topological transitions in twisted bilayer graphene near the first magic angle, Physical Review B 99, 10.1103/physrevb.99.035111 (2019).
- [87] J. Jung, A. M. DaSilva, A. H. MacDonald, and S. Adam, Origin of band gaps in graphene on hexagonal boron nitride, Nature Communications 6, 10.1038/ncomms7308 (2015).
- [88] Y.-H. Zhang, D. Mao, and T. Senthil, Twisted bilayer graphene aligned with hexagonal boron nitride: Anomalous hall effect and a lattice model, Physical Review Research 1, 10.1103/physrevresearch.1.033126 (2019).
- [89] M. Long, P. A. Pantaleón, Z. Zhan, F. Guinea, J. Á. Silva-Guillén, and S. Yuan, An atomistic approach for the structural and electronic properties of twisted bilayer graphene-boron nitride heterostructures, npj

Computational Materials 8, 10.1038/s41524-022-00763-1 (2022).

- [90] T. Cea, P. A. Pantaleón, and F. Guinea, Band structure of twisted bilayer graphene on hexagonal boron nitride, Physical Review B 102, 10.1103/physrevb.102.155136 (2020).
- [91] D. Mao and T. Senthil, Quasiperiodicity, band topology, and moiré graphene, Physical Review B 103, 10.1103/physrevb.103.115110 (2021).
- [92] J. Shin, Y. Park, B. L. Chittari, J.-H. Sun, and J. Jung, Electron-hole asymmetry and band gaps of commensurate double moire patterns in twisted bilayer graphene on hexagonal boron nitride, Physical Review B 103, 10.1103/physrevb.103.075423 (2021).
- [93] J. Shi, J. Zhu, and A. H. MacDonald, Moiré commensurability and the quantum anomalous hall effect in twisted bilayer graphene on hexagonal boron nitride, Physical Review B 103, 10.1103/physrevb.103.075122 (2021).
- [94] X. Lin, K. Su, and J. Ni, Misalignment instability in magic-angle twisted bilayer graphene on hexagonal boron nitride, 2D Materials 8, 025025 (2021).
- [95] X. Lin and J. Ni, Symmetry breaking in the double moiré superlattices of relaxed twisted bilayer graphene on hexagonal boron nitride, Physical Review B 102, 10.1103/physrevb.102.035441 (2020).
- [96] Y. H. Kwan, G. Wagner, N. Chakraborty, S. H. Simon, and S. A. Parameswaran, Domain wall competition in the chern insulating regime of twisted bilayer graphene, Physical Review B 104, 10.1103/physrevb.104.115404 (2021).
- [97] D. Wong, K. P. Nuckolls, M. Oh, R. L. Lee, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, and A. Yazdani, Insulators at fractional fillings in twisted bilayer graphene partially aligned to hexagonal boron nitride (2023).
- [98] T. Wang, D. E. Parker, T. Soejima, J. Hauschild, S. Anand, N. Bultinck, and M. P. Zaletel, Kekulé spiral order in magic-angle graphene: a density matrix renormalization group study (2022), arXiv:2211.02693 [condmat.str-el].
- [99] T. Cea and F. Guinea, Coulomb interaction, phonons, and superconductivity in twisted bilayer graphene, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 118, 10.1073/pnas.2107874118 (2021).
- [100] Y. W. Choi and H. J. Choi, Strong electron-phonon coupling, electron-hole asymmetry, and nonadiabaticity in magic-angle twisted bilayer graphene, Physical Review B 98, 10.1103/physrevb.98.241412 (2018).
- [101] T. J. Peltonen, R. Ojajärvi, and T. T. Heikkilä, Mean-field theory for superconductivity in twisted bilayer graphene, Physical Review B 98, 10.1103/physrevb.98.220504 (2018).
- [102] C. Lewandowski, D. Chowdhury, and J. Ruhman, Pairing in magic-angle twisted bilayer graphene: Role of phonon and plasmon umklapp, Physical Review B 103, 10.1103/physrevb.103.235401 (2021).
- [103] E. Lake, A. S. Patri, and T. Senthil, Pairing symmetry of twisted bilayer graphene: A phenomenological synthesis, Physical Review B 106, 10.1103/physrevb.106.104506 (2022).
- [104] E. Lake and T. Senthil, Reentrant superconductivity through a quantum lifshitz transition in twisted trilayer graphene, Physical Review B 104, 10.1103/physrevb.104.174505 (2021).

- [105] E. Khalaf, P. Ledwith, and A. Vishwanath, Symmetry constraints on superconductivity in twisted bilayer graphene: Fractional vortices, 4e condensates, or nonunitary pairing, Physical Review B 105, 10.1103/physrevb.105.224508 (2022).
- [106] S. Chatterjee, M. Ippoliti, and M. P. Zaletel, Skyrmion superconductivity: DMRG evidence for a topological route to superconductivity, Physical Review B 106, 10.1103/physrevb.106.035421 (2022).
- [107] Y. Cao, J. M. Park, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Pauli-limit violation and re-entrant superconductivity in moiré graphene, Nature 595, 526 (2021).
- [108] J. M. Park, Y. Cao, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Tunable strongly coupled superconductivity in magic-angle twisted trilayer graphene, Nature **590**, 249 (2021).
- [109] Z. Hao, A. M. Zimmerman, P. Ledwith, E. Khalaf, D. H. Najafabadi, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, A. Vishwanath, and P. Kim, Electric field-tunable superconductivity in alternating-twist magic-angle trilayer graphene, Science **371**, 1133 (2021).
- [110] C. Shen, P. J. Ledwith, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, E. Khalaf, A. Vishwanath, and D. K. Efetov, Dirac spectroscopy of strongly correlated phases in twisted trilayer graphene, Nature Materials 22, 316 (2022).
- [111] X. Liu, N. J. Zhang, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, and J. I. A. Li, Isospin order in superconducting magicangle twisted trilayer graphene, Nature Physics 18, 522 (2022).
- [112] H. Kim, Y. Choi, C. Lewandowski, A. Thomson, Y. Zhang, R. Polski, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, J. Alicea, and S. Nadj-Perge, Spectroscopic signatures of strong correlations and unconventional superconductivity in twisted trilayer graphene (2021).
- [113] S. Turkel, J. Swann, Z. Zhu, M. Christos, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, S. Sachdev, M. S. Scheurer, E. Kaxiras, C. R. Dean, and A. N. Pasupathy, Orderly disorder $_{in}$ magic-angle twisted graphene, Science **376**. trilaver 193(2022).https://www.science.org/doi/pdf/10.1126/science.abk1895.
- [114] H. Zhou, L. Holleis, Y. Saito, L. Cohen, W. Huynh, C. L. Patterson, F. Yang, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, and A. F. Young, Isospin magnetism and spin-polarized superconductivity in bernal bilayer graphene, Science **375**, 774 (2022).
- [115] A. M. Seiler, F. R. Geisenhof, F. Winterer, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, T. Xu, F. Zhang, and R. T. Weitz, Quantum cascade of correlated phases in trigonally warped bilayer graphene, Nature **608**, 298 (2022).
- [116] S. C. de la Barrera, S. Aronson, Z. Zheng, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, Q. Ma, P. Jarillo-Herrero, and R. Ashoori, Cascade of isospin phase transitions in bernal-stacked bilayer graphene at zero magnetic field, Nature Physics 18, 771 (2022).
- [117] Y. Zhang, R. Polski, A. Thomson, E. Lantagne-Hurtubise, C. Lewandowski, H. Zhou, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, J. Alicea, and S. Nadj-Perge, Enhanced superconductivity in spin–orbit proximitized bilayer graphene, Nature **613**, 268 (2023).
- [118] L. Holleis, C. L. Patterson, Y. Zhang, H. M. Yoo, H. Zhou, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, S. Nadj-Perge, and A. F. Young, Ising superconductivity and nematic-

ity in bernal bilayer graphene with strong spin orbit coupling (2023).

- [119] H. Zhou, T. Xie, A. Ghazaryan, T. Holder, J. R. Ehrets, E. M. Spanton, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, E. Berg, M. Serbyn, and A. F. Young, Half- and quarter-metals in rhombohedral trilayer graphene, Nature **598**, 429 (2021).
- [120] H. Zhou, T. Xie, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, and A. F. Young, Superconductivity in rhombohedral trilayer graphene, Nature 598, 434 (2021).
- [121] Y. W. Choi and H. J. Choi, Dichotomy of electronphonon coupling in graphene moiré flat bands, Physical Review Letters 127, 10.1103/physrevlett.127.167001 (2021).
- [122] M. Koshino and Y.-W. Son, Moiré phonons in twisted bilayer graphene, Physical Review B 100, 10.1103/physrevb.100.075416 (2019).
- [123] H. Ochoa, Moiré-pattern fluctuations and electronphason coupling in twisted bilayer graphene, Physical Review B 100, 10.1103/physrevb.100.155426 (2019).
- [124] M. Koshino and N. N. T. Nam, Effective continuum model for relaxed twisted bilayer graphene and moiré electron-phonon interaction, Physical Review B 101, 10.1103/physrevb.101.195425 (2020).
- [125] M. Angeli and M. Fabrizio, Jahn-teller coupling to moiré phonons in the continuum model formalism for small-angle twisted bilayer graphene, The European Physical Journal Plus 135, 10.1140/epjp/s13360-020-00647-7 (2020).
- [126] H. Ochoa and R. M. Fernandes, Degradation of phonons in disordered moiré superlattices, Physical Review Letters 128, 10.1103/physrevlett.128.065901 (2022).
- [127] Q. Gao and E. Khalaf, Symmetry origin of lattice vibration modes in twisted multilayer graphene: Phasons versus moiré phonons, Physical Review B 106, 10.1103/physrevb.106.075420 (2022).
- [128] X. Liu, R. Peng, Z. Sun, and J. Liu, Moiré phonons in magic-angle twisted bilayer graphene, Nano Letters 22, 7791 (2022).
- [129] J. Z. Lu, Z. Zhu, M. Angeli, D. T. Larson, and E. Kaxiras, Low-energy moiré phonons in twisted bilayer van der waals heterostructures, Physical Review B 106, 10.1103/physrevb.106.144305 (2022).
- [130] W. Miao, C. Li, X. Han, D. Pan, and X. Dai, Truncated atomic plane wave method for subband structure calculations of moiré systems, Physical Review B 107, 10.1103/physrevb.107.125112 (2023).
- [131] E. Cappelluti, J. A. Silva-Guillén, H. Rostami, and F. Guinea, Flat-band optical phonons in twisted bilayer graphene (2023).
- [132] O. Vafek and J. Kang, Continuum effective hamiltonian for graphene bilayers for an arbitrary smooth lattice deformation from microscopic theories, Physical Review B 107, 10.1103/physrevb.107.075123 (2023).
- [133] J. Kang and O. Vafek, Pseudomagnetic fields, particlehole asymmetry, and microscopic effective continuum hamiltonians of twisted bilayer graphene, Physical Review B 107, 10.1103/physrevb.107.075408 (2023).
- [134] Z.-D. Song and B. A. Bernevig, Magic-angle twisted bilayer graphene as a topological heavy fermion problem, Physical Review Letters **129**, 10.1103/physrevlett.129.047601 (2022).
- [135] D. Călugăru, M. Borovkov, L. L. H. Lau, P. Coleman, Z.-D. Song, and B. A. Bernevig, Tbg as topologi-

cal heavy fermion: Ii. analytical approximations of the

[136] J. Yu, M. Xie, B. A. Bernevig, and S. D. Sarma, Magic-angle twisted symmetric trilayer graphene as topological heavy fermion problem (2023).

— Supplementary Material — Electron-phonon coupling and competing Kekulé orders in twisted bilayer graphene

Yves H. Kwan, Glenn Wagner, Nick Bultinck, Steven H. Simon, Erez Berg, S.A. Parameswaran

Electron-phonon coupling in the projected theory

Following Ref. [78], the electron-phonon coupling (EPC) to optical in-plane graphene zone-corner modes can be written

$$\hat{H}_{\rm EPC} = \mathcal{F} \int_{\boldsymbol{r}} \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{sl\sigma\sigma'} \hat{u}_{l\alpha}(\boldsymbol{r}) \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{K,s,l\sigma}(\boldsymbol{r}) \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\sigma,\sigma'} \hat{\psi}_{\bar{K},s,l\sigma'}(\boldsymbol{r}) + \text{h.c.}$$
(4)

$$\hat{u}_{l\alpha}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \mathcal{D}\sum_{\boldsymbol{q}} e^{i\boldsymbol{q}\boldsymbol{r}} \left[\hat{a}_{l\alpha}(\boldsymbol{q}) + \hat{a}^{\dagger}_{l\alpha}(-\boldsymbol{q}) \right]$$
(5)

$$\mathcal{D} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2N_g M_C \omega_\alpha}} \tag{6}$$

where N_g is the number of graphene unit cells in one layer, M_C is the carbon mass, ω is the phonon frequency, and we have two types of phonon modes $\alpha = a, b$ per layer, which are degenerate. The integral is over the entire system. The coupling matrices are $\Gamma^a = \sigma^x$, $\Gamma^b = -i\sigma^x$ (note that we have explicitly written the valley indices in \hat{H}_{EPC}). The h.c. captures processes where K electrons are scattered to \bar{K} .

We now project the electron operators in the EPC to the central bands. Recall the central-band projected position operators

$$\hat{\psi}_{\tau,s,I}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{k},n} \phi_{\boldsymbol{k}\tau nI}^{*}(\boldsymbol{r}) \hat{d}_{\tau,s,n}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{k})$$
(7)

$$\phi_{\boldsymbol{k}\tau nI}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} e^{i\boldsymbol{k}\boldsymbol{r}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{G}} e^{i\boldsymbol{G}\boldsymbol{r}} u_{\tau nI}(\boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{G}), \qquad (8)$$

where A is the total system area, \mathbf{k} runs over the mBZ in each valley, and I = (1A, 1B, 2A, 2B). Note that we have not included the fast vector which connects from graphene Γ to one of the moiré $\Gamma_{\rm M}$ points near valley K—this is because the phonon wavevector \mathbf{q} is slow on the graphene scale and the phonon operators \hat{a} have already captured the fast Kekulé mode. The Bloch functions ϕ are normalized to the entire system. The Bloch coefficients hence satisfy $\sum_{\mathbf{G}I} u_{\tau n'I}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{G}) u_{\tau n'I}^*(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{G}) = \delta_{nn'}$.

We now massage the $\bar{K} \to K$ part of the EPC for one in-plane mode (so we temporarily drop the in-plane mode index α)

$$\hat{h}_{l} = \frac{\mathcal{F}_{A_{1}}\mathcal{D}_{A_{1}}}{A} \int_{\boldsymbol{r}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{q}} e^{i\boldsymbol{q}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}} \left[\hat{a}_{l}(\boldsymbol{q}) + \hat{a}_{l}^{\dagger}(-\boldsymbol{q}) \right]$$

$$\tag{9}$$

$$\times \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}\boldsymbol{k}'nn's} e^{i(\boldsymbol{k}'-\boldsymbol{k})\boldsymbol{r}} d^{\dagger}_{Ksn}(\boldsymbol{k}) d_{\bar{K}sn'}(\boldsymbol{k}') \sum_{\boldsymbol{G}\boldsymbol{G}'\sigma\sigma'} e^{i(\boldsymbol{G}'-\boldsymbol{G})\boldsymbol{r}} u^{*}_{Knl\sigma}(\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{G}) \Gamma_{\sigma,\sigma'} u_{\bar{K}n'l\sigma'}(\boldsymbol{k}',\boldsymbol{G}').$$
(10)

We now take the expectation value $\langle d_{Ksn}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k})d_{\bar{K}sn'}(\mathbf{k'})\rangle = P_{Kn;\bar{K}n'}(\mathbf{k},s)\delta_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k'}}$. The integral over \mathbf{r} enforces $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{G}_p$, where \mathbf{G}_p is a moiré RLV, and fixes $\mathbf{G}' = \mathbf{G} - \mathbf{G}_p$

$$\hat{h}_{l} = \mathcal{F}_{A_{1}} \mathcal{D}_{A_{1}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{G}_{p}} \left[\hat{a}_{l}(\boldsymbol{G}_{p}) + \hat{a}_{l}^{\dagger}(-\boldsymbol{G}_{p}) \right] \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}nn's} P_{Kn;\bar{K}n'}(\boldsymbol{k},s) \Lambda_{\Gamma;n,n',l}(\boldsymbol{k},-\boldsymbol{G}_{p})$$
(11)

$$\Lambda_{\Gamma;n,n',l}(\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{G}_p) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{G},\sigma\sigma'} u_{Knl\sigma}^*(\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{G})\Gamma_{\sigma,\sigma'}u_{\bar{K}n'l\sigma'}(\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{G}+\boldsymbol{G}_p) = \Lambda_{\Gamma^{\dagger};n',n,l}^*(\boldsymbol{k},-\boldsymbol{G}_p).$$
(12)

So Λ above is a layer-resolved intervalley version of the usual form factor with sublattice contraction.

Consider the Hamiltonian for a single harmonic mode with linear terms

$$\hat{H} = \epsilon a^{\dagger} a + \gamma a + \gamma^* a^{\dagger}. \tag{13}$$

One can work with shifted canonical operators $b^{\dagger} = a^{\dagger} + \gamma/\epsilon$, leading to

$$\hat{H} = \epsilon b^{\dagger} b - \frac{|\gamma|^2}{\epsilon} \tag{14}$$

so that the shifted vacuum has energy $-|\gamma|^2/\epsilon$. For the case of the ZC EPC in MA-TBG, we will have a $\gamma_{\alpha}(\mathbf{G}_p)$ and $\epsilon_{\alpha}(\mathbf{G}_p) = \hbar\omega$ for every layer-mode $l\alpha$ and RLV (we neglect the dispersion of the phonon on the scale of the BM model cutoff). The linear coefficients are

$$\gamma_{la}(\boldsymbol{G}_p) = \mathcal{F}_{A_1} \mathcal{D}_{A_1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}nn's} \left[P_{Kn;\bar{K}n'}(\boldsymbol{k},s) \Lambda_{\sigma^x;n,n',l}(\boldsymbol{k},-\boldsymbol{G}_p) + P^*_{Kn;\bar{K}n'}(\boldsymbol{k},s) \Lambda^*_{\sigma^x;n,n',l}(\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{G}_p) \right]$$
(15)

$$\gamma_{lb}(\boldsymbol{G}_p) = \mathcal{F}_{A_1} \mathcal{D}_{A_1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}nn's} \left[-iP_{Kn;\bar{K}n'}(\boldsymbol{k},s)\Lambda_{\sigma^x;n,n',l}(\boldsymbol{k},-\boldsymbol{G}_p) + iP^*_{Kn;\bar{K}n'}(\boldsymbol{k},s)\Lambda^*_{\sigma^x;n,n',l}(\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{G}_p) \right].$$
(16)

The total lattice distortion energy is the sum of contributions over all layer-modes and RLVs.

Define the interaction strength parameter

$$g_{\alpha} = \frac{A}{N_g} \left(\frac{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}}{\hbar\omega_{\alpha}}\right)^2 \frac{\hbar^2}{2M_C} = A \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^2 \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^2}{\hbar\omega_{\alpha}}.$$
 (17)

Then the total negative semi-definite lattice distortion energy $E_{\rm ph}$ is (in the main text, we consider the negative of this)

$$\delta E_a = -\frac{g_{A_1}}{A} \sum_{\boldsymbol{G}_p l} \left| \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} n n's} P_{Kn; \bar{K}n'}(\boldsymbol{k}, s) \Lambda_{\sigma^x; n, n', l}(\boldsymbol{k}, -\boldsymbol{G}_p) + P^*_{Kn; \bar{K}n'}(\boldsymbol{k}, s) \Lambda^*_{\sigma^x; n, n', l}(\boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{G}_p) \right|^2$$
(18)

$$\delta E_b = -\frac{g_{A_1}}{A} \sum_{\boldsymbol{G}_p l} \left| \sum_{\boldsymbol{k} n n's} -i P_{Kn;\bar{K}n'}(\boldsymbol{k},s) \Lambda_{\sigma^x;n,n',l}(\boldsymbol{k},-\boldsymbol{G}_p) + i P^*_{Kn;\bar{K}n'}(\boldsymbol{k},s) \Lambda^*_{\sigma^x;n,n',l}(\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{G}_p) \right|^2$$
(19)

$$E_{\rm ph} = -2\frac{g_{A_1}}{A} \sum_{\boldsymbol{G}_p l} \left(\left| \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}nn's} P_{Kn;\bar{K}n'}(\boldsymbol{k},s) \Lambda_{\sigma^x;n,n',l}(\boldsymbol{k},-\boldsymbol{G}_p) \right|^2 + \left| \sum_{\boldsymbol{k}nn's} P^*_{Kn;\bar{K}n'}(\boldsymbol{k},s) \Lambda^*_{\sigma^x;n,n',l}(\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{G}_p) \right|^2 \right).$$
(20)

We now discuss how to incorporate the phonons self-consistently in mean-field theory, by augmenting the standard electronic Hartree-Fock procedure with an additional term. In effect, the variational manifold consists of products of an electronic Slater determinant and phonon coherent states. Consider a general EPC Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{\alpha} \omega_{\alpha} \hat{b}^{\dagger}_{\alpha} \hat{b}_{\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{ij} \hat{b}_{\alpha} \Gamma_{\alpha;ij} \hat{d}^{\dagger}_{i} \hat{d}_{j} + \text{h.c.}, \qquad (21)$$

where \hat{d}^{\dagger} are the electronic degrees of freedom. For a given electron projector $P_{ij} = \langle \hat{d}_i^{\dagger} \hat{d}_j \rangle$, the linear coupling experienced by the phonons is $\gamma_{\alpha} = \sum_{ij} \Gamma_{\alpha;ij} P_{ij}$. Since we neglect phonon-phonon interactions, the lattice distortion energy is exactly calculable as

$$\delta E[P] = -\sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{\omega_{\alpha}} \left| \sum_{ij} \Gamma_{\alpha;ij} P_{ij} \right|^2, \qquad (22)$$

corresponding to an electronic 'Hartree-Fock' Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}^{\rm HF}[P] = -\sum_{ij} \sum_{\alpha} \frac{2}{\omega_{\alpha}} \left(\sum_{kl} \Gamma^*_{\alpha;kl} P^*_{kl} \right) \Gamma_{\alpha;ij} \hat{d}^{\dagger}_i \hat{d}_j.$$
⁽²³⁾

Note the factor of 2 which is typical of terms (like the usual electron interactions) that are quadratic in projectors, and that there is no 'Fock' term. The lattice distortion energy is recovered with

$$\delta E[P] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} H_{ij}^{\rm HF}[P] P_{ij}.$$
(24)

Phase	u	$ \psi angle$	Q
KIVC	0	$(KA\rangle + \bar{K}B\rangle)(KB\rangle - \bar{K}A\rangle)$	$ au_x \sigma_y$
TIVC	0	$(KA\rangle + \bar{K}B\rangle)(KB\rangle + \bar{K}A\rangle)$	$ au_x\sigma_x$
VH	0	$\ket{KA}\ket{ar{K}A}$	σ_z
KIVC	2	$\left(KA\uparrow\rangle + \bar{K}B\uparrow\rangle\right)(KB\uparrow\rangle - \bar{K}A\uparrow\rangle\right)$	$P_{\uparrow} au_x \sigma_y - P_{\downarrow}$
TIVC-SP	2	$(KA\uparrow\rangle + \bar{K}B\uparrow\rangle)(KB\uparrow\rangle + \bar{K}A\uparrow\rangle)$	$P_{\uparrow} au_x \sigma_x - P_{\downarrow}$
TIVC-QSH	2	$(KA\uparrow\rangle + \bar{K}B\uparrow\rangle)(KB\downarrow\rangle + \bar{K}A\downarrow\rangle)$	$P_{\uparrow} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + P_{\downarrow} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$
IVC-QAH	2	$\left(KA\uparrow\rangle+ \bar{K}B\uparrow\rangle)(KA\downarrow\rangle+ \bar{K}B\downarrow\rangle\right)$	$s_0 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
VH	2	$ KA\uparrow\rangle \bar{K}A\uparrow\rangle$	$P_{\uparrow}\sigma_z - P_{\downarrow}$

TABLE II. Q-matrices for valley-unpolarized strong-coupling states at even integer filling. For neutrality, the state in the $|\psi\rangle$ column is repeated for both spin projections. We have used $U_V(1)$ to fix the IVC angle. $P_{\uparrow}(P_{\downarrow})$ is a projector onto spin up (down).

Additional results

Tab. II shows the Q-matrix representation for the strong-coupling states shown in Tab. I in the main text.

The g vs θ phase diagrams in the main text were computed using the 'graphene' subtraction scheme where the electron density for the (screened) Coulomb interactions is measured relative to the density of isolated graphene layers at neutrality. A subtraction scheme is necessary to prevent double-counting of the interactions (otherwise, e.g. the Hartree-renormalized bands at charge neutrality would be heavily particle-hole asymmetric). In this scheme, the renormalized bandwidth does not vanish, even at the magic angle — J is a monotonic function of angle. The phase diagrams for all integer $\nu \leq 0$ are shown in Fig. 3. Notably, the TIVC does not appear at neutrality for the parameters shown, which can be understood in the NLSM limit from the fact that the TIVC cannot avoid facing the J penalty. At $|\nu| = 1$, the ground state in the absence of EPC can be thought of as a KIVC for the (say) up spins, and a VP-QAH for the down spins. With finite g, the down spins transition to the IVC-QAH. However the up spins cannot easily transition to the TIVC because of the J penalty.

An alternative choice is the 'average' subtraction scheme (Fig. 4), where the electron density for the (screened) Coulomb interactions is measured relative to the infinite temperature density matrix of the central bands. One feature of the average scheme is that at the magic angle in the chiral limit, the interaction-renormalized bandwidth exactly vanishes, such that the superexchange scale $J \sim \frac{t^2}{U}$ is zero. Fig. 5a shows the corresponding scales J, λ, α , and Fig. 5b shows that the TIVC at $\nu = 0$ in the chiral-flat limit emerges as soon as g is finite. However, a realistic subtraction scheme is unlikely to be so fine-tuned. The corresponding phase diagrams are shown in Fig. 4. Note the difference with the graphene scheme for $|\nu| = 0, 1$. On the other hand, the phase diagrams at $|\nu| = 2$ and $|\nu| = 3$ are qualitatively unchanged since all phases satisfy the J-term.



FIG. 3. Phase diagrams at integer ν in the graphene subtraction scheme. Color shows the phonon distortion energy $E_{\rm ph}$; a non-zero value implies Kekulé charge density order. White lines show approximate phase boundaries. Phase diagrams are for $w_{\rm AA} = 60 \text{ meV}, w_{\rm AB} = 110 \text{ meV}$, and using the graphene subtraction scheme. [QAH: quantized anomalous Hall, KIVC: Kramers intervalley coherent, TIVC: time-reversal intervalley coherent, VP: valley polarized, QSH: quantum spin Hall]



FIG. 4. Phase diagrams at integer ν in the average subtraction scheme. Color shows the lattice distortion energy $E_{\rm ph}$; a non-zero value implies Kekulé charge density order. White lines show approximate phase boundaries. Phase diagrams are for $w_{\rm AA} = 60 \text{ meV}$, $w_{\rm AB} = 110 \text{ meV}$, and using the graphene subtraction scheme. [QAH: quantized anomalous Hall, KIVC: Kramers intervalley coherent, TIVC: time-reversal intervalley coherent, VP: valley polarized, QSH: quantum spin Hall]



FIG. 5. Strong-coupling limit (average scheme). a) Parameters of the strong-coupling sigma model (energies are per moiré unit cell) for $g = 70 \text{ meVnm}^2$. $E_{\alpha} = \alpha A_{\text{UC}}$ (dashed circles) measures twice the lattice distortion energy per unit cell for a single intervalley coherent Chern band. b) Phase diagrams showing lattice distortion energy at $\nu = 0$ in the average scheme, where $J = \lambda = 0$ in the chiral-flat limit $w_{AA} = 0, \theta \simeq 1.08^{\circ}$.