

On correlation functions as higher-spin invariants

Adrien SCALEA^a

^a *Service de Physique de l'Univers, Champs et Gravitation,
Université de Mons, 20 place du Parc, 7000 Mons, Belgium*

Abstract

(Chern–Simons) vector models exhibit an infinite-dimensional symmetry, the (slightly-broken) higher-spin symmetry. This symmetry can be understood as a certain strong homotopy algebra to which the higher-spin algebra is the first approximation. In this note we compute the n -point correlation functions of the higher-spin currents as higher-spin invariants directly on the CFT side, which complements earlier results that have a holographic perspective.

1 Introduction

(Chern–Simons) vector models is a rich class of three-dimensional conformal field theories that can be of interest for a number of reasons. Firstly, these CFT’s describe critical behaviour of many physical systems, e.g. the famous Ising model, which can be realised as the $O(N)$ -vector model, describes the critical behaviour of the $O(N)$ -magnetic at the Curie point. Secondly, a hypothetical bulk AdS/CFT dual of these CFT’s [1–4] gives a class of higher-spin gravities, the latter can, at least formally, be defined by inverting the correlation functions [5–8]. Thirdly, Chern–Simons vector models were conjectured to exhibit a number of remarkable dualities [9–14], of which we concentrate on the three-dimensional bosonization duality.

The simplest gauge-invariant operators in Chern-Simons vector models are higher-spin currents that are operators of type $J_s = \bar{\phi}D\dots D\phi + \dots$ or $J_s = \bar{\psi}\gamma D\dots D\psi + \dots$, depending on whether the model’s matter are bosons ϕ or fermions ψ .¹ They also turn out to be single-trace operators from the holographic perspective and are dual to massless higher-spin fields in AdS_4 . To prove the $3d$ bosonisation duality it is sufficient to show that all n -point correlation functions of the dual theories are the same provided we relate the free parameters appropriately. Therefore, we can concentrate on the higher-spin currents and ignore all other local gauge invariant operators.

In the very large- N limit the higher-spin currents are conserved. Via the Noether theorem they lead to an infinite-dimensional extension of the conformal symmetry $so(3, 2)$ with the spin-two current, the stress-tensor, manifesting $so(3, 2)$. The resulting algebra is usually called the higher-spin algebra and it is also the symmetry algebra of the free boson’s and free fermion’s equations of motion, see e.g. [15–20]. Historically, it was identified [15] as the even subalgebra of the Weyl algebra A_2 , which is the algebra of observables of the $2d$ harmonic oscillator.

The (unbroken) higher-spin symmetry is the usual symmetry, i.e. there is a Lie algebra acting on the physical spectrum of operators. Interestingly enough the free matter fields and the multiplet of the higher-spin currents are the simplest representation of the higher-spin algebra [15, 21, 22]. The algebra admits an invariant trace $\text{tr}[a \star b - b \star a] = 0$ and the series of invariants

$$\langle J\dots J \rangle = \text{tr}[\Psi \star \dots \star \Psi]$$

¹The term ‘higher-spin current’ is a jargon. The higher-spin currents are not conserved unless we deal with a free or very large- N vector model and even in this case they are conserved tensors for $s > 1$ rather than currents (to get a current one needs to contract it with a conformal Killing tensor). Also $s = 0$ and $s = 1$, whenever present, are included into the multiplet of higher-spin currents. We will, of course, stick to this unfortunate terminology.

computes the correlation functions provided the wave-functions Ψ are chosen wisely. Calculations of this kind were done in [23–26] where Ψ was taken to represent a multiplet of massless higher-spin fields in AdS_4 . It is important that the unbroken higher-spin symmetry is a signature of the free CFT’s behaviour in $d \geq 3$ [27–30].² Uniqueness of this type of invariants can also be shown [31].

When interactions are turned on, either directly or by departing from the very large- N limit the higher-spin currents cease to be conserved, except for the stress-tensor, $s = 2$, and for the global symmetry current, $s = 1$. As a simple consequence of the smallness of operators’ spectrum of vector models, the non-conservation operator has a very restricted form of a composite operator built of J ’s themselves [9, 10]. As a result, the non-conservation is still very useful to impose on correlation functions [10] and this type of symmetry breaking was dubbed slightly-broken higher-spin symmetry in [10]. Mathematically, the slightly-broken higher-spin symmetry is not a symmetry. It is not realised as an action of some Lie algebra on a multiplet of operators. However, it can be understood as a strong homotopy algebra that deforms the action of the higher-spin algebra [32–34].

While Chern–Simons vector models have simple actions the (slightly-broken) higher-spin symmetry is by far the most efficient way to compute correlation functions of higher-spin currents in vector models, see e.g. [35–42]. For free or very large- N vector models this calculation was done in [23–26, 43]³ with an additional proviso of identifying the correlation functions with the invariants of the higher-spin algebra. The correlators can also be computed via the textbook Wick contractions [46] of free fields.

The main point of the present note is to exclude the holographic aspect present in [23–26, 43]. The wave-functions of [23–26, 43] represent a multiplet of higher-spin fields that are duals of higher-spin currents. Due to the fact that the bulk dual of Chern-Simons vector models is not known and is unlikely to exist as a reasonably local field theory, one cannot just extract the Chern–Simons vector models’ correlators from holography. Fortunately, the key features of the higher-spin symmetry (such as mixing spins and derivatives) that generically invalidate the field theory approach are harmless on the CFT side.⁴ In this note we adopt a purely CFT view on the higher-spin symmetry. We hope that this is a useful first step in the programme

²The results of [27] require finite N , but the higher-spin currents are conserved at $N = \infty$ as well. Importantly, they are also conserved at $N = \infty$ in the interacting vector models.

³Note that the calculation of [43] applied a regularisation that effectively replaced the ill-defined vertices [44, 45] with the higher-spin invariant.

⁴It should be mentioned that the dual theory has a closed local subsector [47–51], which is an AdS_4 -deformation of the chiral higher-spin gravity in flat space [52–59]. It would be interesting to compute holographic correlation functions in this model, but we believe it can be done more efficiently on the CFT side.

of computing correlation functions of higher-spin currents in Chern–Simons vector models as invariants of the slightly-broken higher-spin symmetry.

The note is organised as follows. In section 2 we recall the results of [60] on the general structure of $3d$ conformal correlators. In section 3 we define the wave functions that represent a generating function of higher-spin currents and compute the higher-spin invariants. Two appendices collect some useful identities and definitions.

2 Structure of correlation functions in three dimensions

In three dimensions one has the isomorphism $so(2, 1) \simeq sl(2, \mathbb{R})$ implying that a traceless rank- s Lorentz tensor can be represented by a rank- $2s$ spin-tensor. In appendix A, we detail notations and conventions, but in brief, we denote that a 3-vector \mathbf{x}^m ($m = 0, 1, 2$) can be mapped into a symmetric bi-spinor $X^{\alpha\beta}$ ($\alpha, \beta = 1, 2$).

Higher-spin currents are symmetric and traceless tensors $J_{a_1 \dots a_s}(X)$. In addition they are conserved $\partial^b J_{ba_2 \dots a_s} = 0$, so that they are primary fields. Thanks to the isomorphism, they are mapped to $J^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{2s}}(X)$ and one can pack them into a generating function $j(X, \eta) = J^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{2s}}(X) \eta_{\alpha_1} \dots \eta_{\alpha_{2s}}$, where η_α is an auxiliary polarisation spinor. The conservation implies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial X^{\alpha\beta}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta_\alpha \partial \eta_\beta} j(X, \eta) = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

It turns out that conformally invariant correlation functions of tensor operators can depend on very few atomic conformally invariant structures [60]. There are two parity-even atomic structures

$$P_{ij} = \eta_i^\alpha \eta_j^\beta (X_{ij}^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}, \quad Q_{j,k}^i = \eta_i^\alpha \eta_j^\beta (X_{ji}^{-1} - X_{ki}^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $X_{ij}^{-1} \equiv (X_{ij})^{-1} \equiv (X_i - X_j)^{-1}$. We have $P_{ij} = -P_{ji}$ and $Q_{j,k}^i = -Q_{k,j}^i$. Defining the inversion map as

$$R\eta_\alpha^i = -\frac{X_{i\alpha}^\beta \eta_\beta^i}{|X_i|} = (X_i^{-1})_\alpha^\beta \eta_\beta^i, \quad (2.3)$$

we observe $RP_{ij} = P_{ij}$ and $RQ_{j,k}^i = Q_{j,k}^i$, which proves the structures be parity-even. There is also one parity-odd invariant structure

$$S_{jk}^i = \frac{\eta_k^\alpha (X_{ik} X_{ij})_{\alpha\beta} \eta_j^\beta}{x_{ij} x_{ik} x_{jk}}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $x_{ij} \equiv |\mathbf{x}_{ij}| = \sqrt{-|X_{ij}|}$. Conformally-invariant correlation functions depend on the

cross-ratios and are polynomials in P 's, Q 's and S 's. The exponents of P 's, Q 's and S 's are constrained by the spin of the operators in an obvious way. For example, the simplest two- and three-point correlators

$$\langle j_s(X_1, \eta_1) j_s(X_2, \eta_2) \rangle \sim \frac{1}{|X_{12}|} (P_{12})^{2s}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\langle j_{s_1}(X_1, \eta_1) j_0(X_2) j_0(X_3) \rangle \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{|X_{12}| |X_{23}| |X_{31}|}} (Q_1)^{s_1}, \quad (2.6)$$

where j_s is a conserved higher-spin current and j_0 is a scalar operator of dimension 1.

3 Correlation functions as higher-spin invariants

In this section we first recall the definition of the relevant higher-spin algebra together with the star-product as a convenient tool to work with it. We also introduce a conformally friendly basis for the generators. Next, we fix the form of the wave-functions Ψ and compute the correlation functions.

3.1 Higher-spin algebra

The isomorphism $so(3, 2) \simeq sp(4, \mathbb{R})$, allows us to use the $sp(4)$ generators $T_{AB} = T_{BA}$, $A, B = 1, \dots, 4$ such that

$$[T_{AB}, T_{CD}] = T_{AC} \epsilon_{BD} + 3 \text{ terms}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\epsilon_{AB} = -\epsilon_{BA}$ and $\epsilon_{AB} \epsilon^{AC} = \delta_B^C$. With the four operators Y_A satisfying the canonical commutation relations $[Y_A, Y_B] = 2i\epsilon_{AB}$, one can realise the above commutation relations as $T_{AB} = \frac{-i}{4} \{Y_A, Y_B\}$, which is the standard oscillator realisation. The associative algebra of functions $f(Y)$ in Y^A is the Weyl algebra A_2 (the subscript 2 is the number of canonical pairs). Its even subalgebra A_2^e of functions $f(Y) = f(-Y)$ is the higher-spin algebra we need. We can also split $Y_A = (y_\alpha, \bar{y}_\alpha)$ and $\epsilon_{AB} = \text{diag}(\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}, \epsilon_{\alpha\beta})$ with $\epsilon_{12} = 1$.

More abstractly, a higher-spin algebra can be defined for any irreducible representation of the conformal algebra as the quotient of the universal enveloping algebra by a two-sided ideal that is the annihilator of this representation or, in the field theory language, as the symmetry algebra of the corresponding conformally-invariant field equation [19]. An important fact is that for the free fermion and free boson representations this ideal gets resolved by the oscillator realisation. Another important fact for the $3d$ bosonization duality to take place is that the

higher-spin algebras of the free fermion and the free boson are isomorphic to the same A_2^e , which is explicit already in [15].

Higher-spin algebras turn out to be infinite-dimensional associative algebras that contain the conformal algebra as a Lie subalgebra (any associative algebra leads to a Lie algebra where the Lie bracket is defined as the commutator). Therefore, any higher-spin algebra can be viewed as an infinite-dimensional extension of the conformal symmetry.

Star-product. Instead of working with an algebra of operators, it is convenient to use the algebra of functions in commuting variables Y_A (symbols) with the (associative) Moyal-Weyl star product. It admits an integral form and, a more standard, differential form (simple $(2\pi)^{-4}$ prefactor is omitted or included into the definition of \int below)

$$f(Y) \star g(Y) = \int d^4U d^4V f(Y+U)g(Y+V) e^{iV^A U_A} = f(Y) \exp \left[i \overleftarrow{\partial}_A \epsilon^{AB} \overrightarrow{\partial}_B \right] g(Y). \quad (3.2)$$

We will also have to go outside of the space of polynomial functions, e.g. admitting delta function $\delta^2(y) = \int d^2s e^{is^\alpha y_\alpha}$. With the star-product we have $[Y_A, Y_B]_\star := Y_A \star Y_B - Y_B \star Y_A = 2i\epsilon_{AB}$ and the unit element is 1, i.e. $f \star 1 = 1 \star f = f$. We also find useful relations

$$Y_A \star f(Y) = Y_A f + i \frac{\partial f}{\partial Y^A}, \quad f(Y) \star Y_A = Y_A f - i \frac{\partial f}{\partial Y^A}. \quad (3.3)$$

The even subalgebra of the Weyl algebra admits an invariant trace operation, which in terms of symbols $f(Y)$ reads

$$\text{tr}(f(Y)) = f(0) \quad (3.4)$$

such that $\text{tr}(f \star g) = \text{tr}(g \star f)$.

Conformally-adapted basis. In view of the CFT nature of the problem it is convenient to split T_{AB} in such a way as to make the standard basis of conformal generators explicit, see e.g. [16, 61]. We define $y_\alpha^- = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{y}_\alpha - iy_\alpha)$ and $y^{+\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}(y^\alpha - i\bar{y}^\alpha)$ that obey $[y_\alpha^-, y^{+\beta}]_\star = \delta_\alpha^\beta$, which implies that y_α^\pm are the standard creation/annihilation operators. Indeed, the conformal generators read [61]

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\alpha\beta} &= iy_\alpha^- y_\beta^-, & K^{\alpha\beta} &= -iy^{+\alpha} y^{+\beta}, \\ D &= \frac{1}{2} y^{+\alpha} y_\alpha^-, & L^\alpha_\beta &= y^{+\alpha} y_\beta^- - \frac{1}{2} \delta_\beta^\alpha y^{+\gamma} y_\gamma^-. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

With the reality conditions $(y_\alpha^-)^\dagger = y^{+\alpha}$, one has $D^\dagger = D$, $(L^\alpha_\beta)^\dagger = L_\beta^\alpha$ and $P^\dagger_{\alpha\beta} = K^{\alpha\beta}$. The mass-shell condition is manifest since $P^2 = 0$. The basic star-product relations (3.3) in terms of y^\pm read

$$y_\alpha^\pm \star f(y^+, y^-) = y_\alpha^\pm + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\alpha^\mp f, \quad f(y^+, y^-) \star y_\alpha^\pm = y_\alpha^\pm - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\alpha^\mp f. \quad (3.6)$$

As a result, $[y_\alpha^\pm, f]_\star = \partial_\alpha^\mp f$ and

$$[y_\alpha^a y_\beta^b, f]_\star = y_\alpha^a \partial_\beta^{\bar{b}} f + y_\beta^b \partial_\alpha^{\bar{a}} f, \quad a, b \in \{+, -\}, \quad \bar{a} \equiv -a. \quad (3.7)$$

The action of the conformal generators (3.5) reads

$$\begin{aligned} [P_{\alpha\beta}, f]_\star &= +i(y_\alpha^- \partial_\beta^+ + y_\beta^- \partial_\alpha^+) f, \\ [K_{\alpha\beta}, f]_\star &= -i(y_\alpha^+ \partial_\beta^- + y_\beta^+ \partial_\alpha^-) f, \\ [D, f]_\star &= \frac{1}{2}(y^{+\alpha} \partial_\alpha^+ - y^{-\alpha} \partial_\alpha^-) f, \\ [L_{\alpha\beta}, f]_\star &= \left(y_\alpha^+ \partial_\beta^+ + y_\beta^- \partial_\alpha^- + y_\beta^+ \partial_\alpha^+ + y_\alpha^- \partial_\beta^- \right) f. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

We observe that D counts the number of y^+ minus the number of y^- .

3.2 Wave functions

Given that the higher-spin algebra is an infinite-dimensional extension of the conformal algebra, it should not be surprising that correlation functions of the higher-spin currents can be computed as simple invariants of this algebra [23–26]. The invariants know nothing about correlation functions per se and must be fed with appropriate wave-functions Ψ that contain the information about operators' positions and spins. In [23–26] Ψ was defined to live in AdS_4 and it represents a collection of massless fields in AdS_4 . In addition, Ψ of [23–26] does not transform in the adjoint representation. Below we fix the form of Ψ on the CFT side, which is the main difference as compared to [23–26]. We will find that wave-function Ψ is simpler than its AdS_4 cousin.

Wave-functions. The main building block of correlation functions of higher-spin currents is

$$O_n = \text{tr}(\Psi_1 \star \cdots \star \Psi_n). \quad (3.9)$$

It is invariant under higher-spin transformations (hence, conformally-invariant as well) provided that $\Psi_i \equiv \Psi(X_i, \eta_i|Y)$ transforms in the adjoint representation of the higher-spin algebra, i.e. $\delta_\xi \Psi = [\Psi, \xi]_\star$. To relate this observable to higher-spin currents we also need to make sure that Ψ obeys conservation condition (2.1).

Concerning (3.9) it is worth noting that it has only the cyclic symmetry, which is exactly the symmetry of the correlation functions in vector models with (leftover) global symmetries that are not gauged via the Chern-Simons term. Indeed, if there is a global symmetry, say $U(M)$, the higher-spin currents have a pair of indices J_i^k . Correlation functions of such currents have only the cyclic symmetry. In some sense, (3.9) is the master higher-spin invariant and all the others can be obtained by projecting it (say on the bosonic currents since by default it contains the super-currents as well) and symmetrising over the external legs.

In [23–26], the authors used the AdS/CFT formalism where all physical information is encoded in the master field $B(X, z, \eta|Y)$ (z is the radial coordinate on AdS_4). This field transforms in a twisted-adjoint representation. However, one can build $\Psi = B \star \delta^2(y)$ that transforms in the adjoint one, which still lives in the bulk. The main building block of B was found to be

$$\Phi(F, \xi, \theta) = K \exp i(-yF\bar{y} + \xi y), \quad (3.10)$$

where K is the scalar boundary-to-bulk propagator and matrix F and spinor ξ depend on bulk and boundary coordinates. It does not have any obvious boundary limit.

In order to find the wave-function $\Psi(X, \eta|y^\pm)$ directly on the CFT side we will use the following physical conditions. (1) Ψ must be a generating function of quasi-primary operators at $X = 0$; (2) Ψ must satisfy the equation of motion — covariant constancy condition, which reconstructs X -dependence; (3) it has to be a generating function of conserved higher-spin currents. We should also take into account that there is no unique solution Ψ that satisfies (1-3) since we can always rescale any spin- s component by some numerical factor.

The first condition can be translated into a simple equation

$$[K_{\alpha\beta}, \Psi(0, \eta|y^\pm)]_\star = (y_\alpha^+ \partial_\beta^- + y_\beta^+ \partial_\alpha^-) \Psi = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Its obvious solution is $\Psi(0, \eta|y^\pm) = \Psi(0, \eta|y^+)$. There is a less obvious solution

$$\tilde{\Psi}(0|y^\pm) = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} y_\alpha^- \partial_\beta^+ \delta(y^+). \quad (3.12)$$

Note that in the case of one variable $x\delta'(x) = -\delta(x)$, but $(y_\alpha^+ \partial_\beta^+ + y_\beta^+ \partial_\alpha^+) \delta(y^+) = 0$ in the symplectic case. The latter identity needs to be used to check that $\tilde{\Psi}$ is a solution. This

solution corresponds to the $\Delta = 2$ operator $\bar{\psi}\psi$ that is present in the free fermion theory. It stands out of the main higher-spin current multiplet and we will not discuss it further, except for the two-point function.

Next, we need to recover the X -dependence in such a way that Ψ obeys

$$\partial_{\alpha\beta}^x \Psi + \frac{i}{2} [P_{\alpha\beta}, \Psi]_{\star} = 0. \quad (3.13)$$

Indeed, the flat space is realised with the help of gauge function $g = \exp \frac{i}{2} X^{\alpha\beta} P_{\alpha\beta}$. The corresponding connection $g^{-1} \star dg = \frac{i}{2} dX^{\alpha\beta} P_{\alpha\beta}$ is a flat connection of the conformal algebra. Since Ψ must be in the adjoint representation, the X -dependence is determined by

$$\Psi(X, \eta|y^{\pm}) = g^{-1}(X) \star \Psi(0, \eta|y^{\pm}) \star g(X).$$

This is a direct analog of $O(X) = \exp[X \cdot P]O(0) \exp[-X \cdot P]$ in the standard CFT language, the only difference being in that Ψ is a generating function of infinitely many quasi-primary operators. With the help of the oscillator realisation (3.5) we find

$$g^{-1} \star y_{\gamma}^{+} \star g = y_{\gamma}^{+} + X_{\gamma}^{\alpha} y_{\alpha}^{-}, \quad g^{-1} \star y_{\gamma}^{-} \star g = y_{\gamma}^{-}. \quad (3.14)$$

Therefore, the wave function is constrained now to be

$$\Psi(X, \eta|y^{\pm}) = \Psi(\eta|y_{\gamma}^{+} + X_{\gamma}^{\alpha} y_{\alpha}^{-}). \quad (3.15)$$

Lastly, we need to impose the conservation condition, which determines the η -dependence

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial X^{\alpha\beta}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta_{\alpha} \partial \eta_{\beta}} \Psi(X, \eta|y^{\pm}) = 0. \quad (3.16)$$

It is helpful to represent the wave-function as a Fourier integral

$$\Psi(X, \eta|y^{\pm}) = \int d^2 s f(s, \eta) \exp i s^{\gamma} [y_{\gamma}^{+} + X_{\gamma}^{\alpha} y_{\alpha}^{-}]. \quad (3.17)$$

Imposing the conservation condition we find

$$\int d^2 s (s_{\alpha} y_{\beta}^{-}) \partial_{\eta}^{\alpha} \partial_{\eta}^{\beta} f(s, \eta) \exp i s^{\gamma} [y_{\gamma}^{+} + X_{\gamma}^{\alpha} y_{\alpha}^{-}] = 0. \quad (3.18)$$

Since f cannot depend on y_{α}^{-} for it, otherwise, spoils the solution of other two conditions, we

have to take $f(s, \eta) = f(s^\gamma \eta_\gamma)$. This function of one variable is the expected ambiguity in normalisation of the higher-spin currents. We, of course, fix it to be $f = \exp i s^\gamma \eta_\gamma$. Finally, the wave-function is found to be

$$\Psi(X, \eta | y^\pm) = \int d^2 s \exp i s^\gamma [y_\gamma^+ + X_\gamma^\alpha y_\alpha^- + \eta_\gamma] = \delta^2(y_\gamma^+ + X_\gamma^\alpha y_\alpha^- + \eta_\gamma) \equiv \delta^2(\Gamma(X)). \quad (3.19)$$

For completeness let us note that the Lorentz generators act canonically

$$[L_{\alpha\beta}, \Psi]_\star |_{X=0} = \left(y_\alpha^+ \partial_\beta^+ + y_\beta^+ \partial_\alpha^+ \right) \delta^2(y^+ + \eta). \quad (3.20)$$

Also, the dilation operator relates the conformal weight to the spin

$$[D, \Psi]_\star |_{X=0} = \frac{1}{2} y^{+\alpha} \partial_\alpha^+ \delta^2(y^+ + \eta). \quad (3.21)$$

The full higher-spin symmetry can also be seen to act. Its parameters are contained in a covariantly constant generating function of Killing tensors ξ that obeys

$$\partial_{\alpha\beta}^x \xi + \frac{i}{2} [P_{\alpha\beta}, \xi]_\star = 0. \quad (3.22)$$

The conformal and genuine higher-spin symmetries act as $\delta_\xi \Psi = [\Psi, \xi]_\star$.

3.3 Correlation functions

As stated before, the main building block of correlation functions of higher-spin currents is

$$O_n = \text{tr}(\Psi_1 \star \cdots \star \Psi_n). \quad (3.23)$$

In order to explicitly compute it, we begin with O_2 .

Two-point correlators. It is useful to first check the two-point functions. Here, we return to the variables $Y^A = (y^\alpha, \bar{y}^\alpha)$ to compute the star-product in what follows. The solution (3.19) is rewritten as

$$\Psi(X, \eta | Y) = K \delta^2(\Gamma(X)), \quad \text{with } \Gamma = A(X) \bar{y} + B(X) y + C, \quad (3.24)$$

with $C_\alpha = c\eta_\alpha$,

$$\begin{aligned} A(X) &= aX - ia\epsilon, \\ B(X) &= -iaX + a\epsilon \equiv -iA^T(X) \equiv iA(-X), \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

where we keep a, c arbitrary for time being. Denoting $\Psi_i \equiv \Psi(X_i, \eta_i|Y)$, one can show that

$$\Psi_1 \star \Psi_2 = \frac{-K^2}{|M_{12}|} \exp i \left[(\bar{y}A_2^T + yB_2^T - C_2) (M_{12})^{-1} (A_1\bar{y} + B_1y + C_1) \right], \quad (3.26)$$

with $M_{12} := A_1A_2^T + B_1B_2^T$. With (3.25), we have $M_{12} = 2ia^2 X_{12}$ so that tracing gives

$$\text{tr} \Psi_1 \star \Psi_2 = \frac{-K^2}{4a^4 \mathbf{x}_{12}^2} \exp i \left[\frac{-i}{2a^2} C_{1\alpha} (X_{12}^{-1})^{\alpha\beta} C_{2\beta} \right]. \quad (3.27)$$

In order to match with the two-point function $O_2 = \frac{1}{\mathbf{x}_{12}^2} \exp iP_{12}$ [24], we need

$$\frac{-K^2}{4a^4} = 1, \quad (3.28)$$

$$\frac{-i}{2a^2} C_{1\alpha} (X_{12}^{-1})^{\alpha\beta} C_{2\beta} = \eta_{1\alpha} (X_{12}^{-1})^{\alpha\beta} \eta_{2\beta}. \quad (3.29)$$

With the choice $C_i = c\eta_i$, the second equation leads to $\frac{ic^2}{2a^2} = -1$. In particular, our wavefunction Ψ leads to the correct two-point function. Let us note that

$$\Psi_{12} := \Psi_1 \star \Psi_2 = \frac{1}{\mathbf{x}_{12}^2} \exp i \left[\frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{12}^{AB} Y_A Y_B + \xi_{12}^A Y_A + P_{12} \right] \quad (3.30)$$

with

$$\Sigma_{12}^{AB} = \frac{i}{a^2} \begin{pmatrix} B_2^T X_{12}^{-1} B_1 & B_2^T X_{12}^{-1} A_1 \\ A_2^T X_{12}^{-1} B_1 & B_2^T X_{12}^{-1} A_1 \end{pmatrix}^{(AB)}, \quad (3.31)$$

$$\xi_{12}^A = \frac{i}{2a^2} \begin{pmatrix} c\eta_1 & c\eta_2 \end{pmatrix}^B \begin{pmatrix} X_{12}^{-1} B_2 & X_{12}^{-1} A_2 \\ X_{12}^{-1} B_1 & X_{12}^{-1} A_1 \end{pmatrix}_B^A \equiv \eta_{12}^B \rho_{12B}^A. \quad (3.32)$$

We will denote by $\Phi(\Sigma_{12}, \xi_{12}, \theta_{12})$ the gaussian (3.30). Crucial properties to compute higher order correlators are $(\Sigma_{12}^2)^{AB} = \epsilon^{AB}$ and $\Sigma_{12} = -\Sigma_{21}$.

Let us also check that the second solution, (3.12), leads to the correct two-point function.

The solution can be rewritten as

$$\tilde{\Psi}(X|y^\pm) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi} \delta^2(y_\alpha^+ + X_\alpha^\beta y_\beta^- + \chi y_\alpha^-) \Big|_{\chi=0}. \quad (3.33)$$

In terms of $(y_\alpha, \bar{y}_\alpha)$, we write the argument of the delta function as $\tilde{\Gamma}(X) = \tilde{A}(X)\bar{y} + \tilde{B}(X)y$ with

$$\tilde{A}(X) = bX + b(\chi - i)\epsilon, \quad \tilde{B}(X) = -ibX + b(1 - i\chi)\epsilon, \quad (3.34)$$

where we introduced an arbitrary constant b . With those notations we can use the previous result (3.26) with $C_i \rightarrow 0$, $K \rightarrow \tilde{K}$ and $A, B \rightarrow \tilde{A}, \tilde{B}$ to get

$$\tilde{\Psi}(X_1|Y) \star \tilde{\Psi}(X_2|Y) = \tilde{K}^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \chi_1 \partial \chi_2} \left[\frac{1}{|\tilde{M}_{12}|} \exp i \left[\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\Sigma}_{12}^{AB} Y_A Y_B \right] \right] \Big|_{\chi_1 = \chi_2 = 0}, \quad (3.35)$$

with $\tilde{M}_{12} = 2ib^2 X_{12} + (\chi_1 + \chi_2)\epsilon$ and

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_{12}^{AB} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{B}_2^T \tilde{M}_{12}^{-1} \tilde{B}_1 & \tilde{B}_2^T \tilde{M}_{12}^{-1} \tilde{A}_1 \\ \tilde{A}_2^T \tilde{M}_{12}^{-1} \tilde{B}_1 & \tilde{B}_2^T \tilde{M}_{12}^{-1} \tilde{A}_1 \end{pmatrix}^{(AB)}. \quad (3.36)$$

Tracing gives

$$\text{tr} \tilde{\Psi}_1 \star \tilde{\Psi}_2 = \frac{\tilde{K}^2}{2b^4} \frac{1}{\mathbf{x}_{12}^4}, \quad (3.37)$$

which is the two-point function of $\Delta = 2$ operator, which in our case is $\bar{\psi}\psi$.

Higher point procedure. Having the building block, we can now describe the procedure to get O_n . We begin with O_{2n} ($n \in \mathbb{N}_0$). Since the star-product is associative, we can compute it recursively as

$$O_{2n} = \text{tr} \left((\Psi_{1,2} \star \Psi_{3,4} \star \cdots \star \Psi_{2n-3,2n-2}) \star \Psi_{2n-1,2n} \right). \quad (3.38)$$

Since we know that $\Psi_{i,j}$ is a gaussian in Y^A , we would have to compute the star-product of gaussians. This will be done below, but we already note that the star-product of gaussians is a gaussian. For the $(2n+1)$ -point correlator, we have

$$O_{2n+1} = \text{tr} \left((\Psi_{1,2} \star \Psi_{3,4} \star \cdots \star \Psi_{2n-1,2n}) \star \Psi_{2n+1} \right). \quad (3.39)$$

Since gaussians form a closed subalgebra under the star-product, the term in the small parentheses is a gaussian of the form $r(\mathbf{x}) \Phi(\Sigma, \xi, \theta)$ and one can show that

$$\Phi(\Sigma, \xi, \theta) \star \Psi_k = \frac{iK \Phi(\Sigma, \xi, \theta)}{\sqrt{|-\gamma_k \Sigma \gamma_k^T|}} \exp \frac{i}{2} b (\gamma_k \Sigma \gamma_k^T)^{-1} b \quad (3.40)$$

with

$$\gamma_k^{\alpha B} = \begin{pmatrix} B_k^{\alpha\beta} & A_k^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad b^A = (\gamma_k Y - \gamma_k \Sigma Y - \gamma_k \xi + C_k)^A. \quad (3.41)$$

We also note that $(\gamma_k \Sigma \gamma_k^T)^{-1} = -(\gamma_k \Sigma \gamma_k^T) / |\gamma_k \Sigma \gamma_k^T|$. Taking $Y = 0$ the argument of the exponential for (3.39) reads⁵

$$\theta_{[2n+1]} = \theta_{[2n]} - \frac{1}{2} (\xi_{[2n]} \gamma_{2n+1}^T + \eta_{2n+1}) \frac{\gamma_{2n+1} \Sigma_{1,2n} \gamma_{2n+1}^T}{|\gamma_{2n+1} \Sigma_{1,2n} \gamma_{2n+1}^T|} (-\gamma_{2n+1} \xi_{[2n]} + \eta_{2n+1}). \quad (3.42)$$

This suggests that we first need to compute the $2n$ -point correlators. Let us see how the star-product of gaussians works.

Star-product of gaussians. Let us be more general and consider a gaussian

$$\Phi(f, \xi, \theta) = \exp i \left[\frac{1}{2} f_{AB} Y^A Y^B + \xi^A Y_A + \theta \right], \quad A, B = 1, \dots, 2N, \quad (3.43)$$

with f_{AB} a symmetric matrix, ξ^A a commuting spinor and θ a constant. One can show that [24, 62]⁶

$$\Phi(f, \xi, 0) \star \Phi(g, \eta, 0) = \frac{(-1)^N}{\sqrt{|f| |g + f^{-1}|}} \Phi(f \circ g, \xi \circ \eta, q) \quad (3.44)$$

⁵We denote

$$\Psi_{1,2} \star \dots \star \Psi_{n-1,n} \sim \exp i \left[\frac{1}{2} (\Sigma_{[n]})_{AB} Y^A Y^B + \xi_{[n]}^A Y_A + \theta_{[n]} \right].$$

⁶As in [23–26] we do not keep track of prefactors that may result from the careful analytical continuation of the oscillatory integrals. This is justified since O_n of (3.9) are defined only up to a constant, which does not have any physical meaning and can be excluded with the help of OPE's.

where (the matrix 1 in (3.45) should be understood as ϵ_{AB})

$$\begin{aligned}
(f \circ g)_{AB} &= \frac{1}{1+gf}(1+g) - \frac{1}{1+fg}(1-f), \\
(\xi \circ \eta)^A &= \xi^B \left[\frac{1}{1+gf}(1+g) \right]_B^A + \eta^B \left[\frac{1}{1+fg}(1-f) \right]_B^A, \\
q &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{1+gf} g \right)_{AB} \xi^A \xi^B + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{1+fg} f \right)_{AB} \eta^A \eta^B \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{1}{1+gf} \right)_{AB} \xi^A \eta^B.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.45}$$

The proof follows from gaussian integration. In our $\Psi_{i,j}$, (3.30), we have $f^2 = \epsilon = g^2$. In that case, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
(f \circ g)_{AB} &= \frac{1}{f+g}(2+g-f), \\
(\xi \circ \eta)^A &= \frac{1}{2} \xi^B (1+f \circ g)_{B^A} + \frac{1}{2} \eta^B (1-f \circ g)_{B^A}, \\
q &= \frac{1}{8} \{f, g\}_{\circ AB} (\xi^A \xi^B + \eta^A \eta^B) - \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} [f, g]_{\circ} \right)_{AB} \xi^A \eta^B,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.46}$$

and $|f||g+f^{-1}| = |f+g|$. We note that $(f \circ g)_{AB}$ is symmetric.

Higher point correlators. Now that we have simple formulas, we can proceed to compute (3.38) whose building block is $\Psi_{i,j} \star \Psi_{k,l}$. A crucial property of our Σ matrices is that

$$\Sigma_{ij} \circ \Sigma_{kl} = \Sigma_{il}. \tag{3.47}$$

This tells us that the only matrices f , g and $f \circ g$ that appears in (3.46) are our Σ . Therefore it is useful to use the projectors $\pi_{ij}^{\pm} := \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon \pm \Sigma_{ij})$ that satisfy the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\pi_{ij}^{\pm})^T &= -\pi_{ij}^{\mp}, & \pi_{ji}^{\pm} &= \pi_{ij}^{\mp}, \\
\pi_{ij}^{\pm} \pi_{ij}^{\mp} &= 0, & \pi_{ij}^{\pm} \pi_{ij}^{\pm} &= \pi_{ij}^{\pm}, \\
\pi_{ij}^{-} \pi_{ik}^{+} &= 0, & \pi_{ij}^{+} \pi_{ik}^{+} &= \pi_{ik}^{+}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.48}$$

Now we proceed recursively. For the 2-point correlator, we had (3.30). For the 4-point one,

writing (3.46) in terms of projectors, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{[4]} &:= \Sigma_{12} \circ \Sigma_{34} \equiv \Sigma_{14}, \\
\xi_{[4]} &:= \xi_{12} \circ \xi_{34} = \xi_{12}^B (\pi_{14}^+)_B{}^A + \xi_{34}^B (\pi_{14}^-)_B{}^A, \\
\theta_{[4]} &= P_{12} + P_{34} + \frac{1}{4} (\pi_{14}^+ - \pi_{23}^+)_{AB} (\xi_{12}^A \xi_{12}^B + \xi_{34}^A \xi_{34}^B) - \frac{1}{2} (\pi_{14}^+ + \pi_{23}^+)_{AB} \xi_{12}^A \xi_{34}^B.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.49}$$

Thanks to structures listed in the Appendix B, one gets

$$\theta_{[4]} = \frac{1}{2} [P_{12} + P_{23} + P_{34} - P_{41}] + \frac{1}{4} [Q_{24}^1 + Q_{31}^2 + Q_{42}^3 + Q_{13}^4]. \tag{3.50}$$

The properties of the projectors (3.48) help us to easily generalise

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{[2n]} &= \Sigma_{1,2n}, \\
\xi_{[2n]}^A &= \xi_{12}^B (\pi_{1,2n}^+)_B{}^A + \xi_{2n-1,2n}^B (\pi_{1,2n}^-)_B{}^A \\
&= -\eta_{12}^B (\rho_{12} \pi_{1,2n}^+)_B{}^A - \eta_{2n-1,2n}^B (\rho_{2n-1,2n} \pi_{1,2n}^-)_B{}^A, \\
\theta_{[2n]} &= \theta_{[2n-2]} + \frac{1}{4} (\pi_{1,2n}^+ - \pi_{2n-2,2n-1}^+)_{AB} (\xi_{[2n-2]}^A \xi_{[2n-2]}^B + \xi_{2n-1,2n}^A \xi_{2n-1,2n}^B) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} (\pi_{1,2n}^+ + \pi_{2n-2,2n-1}^+)_{AB} \xi_{[2n-2]}^A \xi_{2n-1,2n}^B + P_{2n-1,2n}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.51}$$

One finds

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_{[2n]} &= \theta_{[2n-2]} + \frac{1}{4} [Q_{2n-2,2n}^1 + Q_{2n-1,1}^{2n-2} + Q_{2n,2n-2}^{2n-1} + Q_{1,2n-1}^{2n}] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} [P_{1,2n} - P_{1,2n-2} + P_{2n-2,2n-1} + P_{2n-1,2n}],
\end{aligned} \tag{3.52}$$

which reduces to

$$\theta_{[2n]} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} Q_{i+1,i-1}^i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} (-)^{\delta_{i,2n}} P_{i,i+1}, \tag{3.53}$$

where the sum is understood mod $2n$.

For prefactors, we have $|f + g|^{-1/2}$ from (3.44) but one should also take into account the prefactor of every $\Psi_{i,j}$, e.g. x_{12}^{-2} . Due to

$$|\Sigma_{ij} + \Sigma_{kl}| = 16 \frac{|X_{jk}| |X_{il}|}{|X_{ij}| |X_{kl}|}, \tag{3.54}$$

and requiring (3.28), one can find the prefactor for O_{2n}

$$(-1)^n \left[2^{2n-2} \prod_{i=1}^{2n} |X_{i,i+1}|^{1/2} \right]^{-1}. \quad (3.55)$$

($2n + 1$)-pt functions. We can now compute (3.39). With the help of (3.42) and thanks to the structures in Appendix B, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{[2n+1]} &= \theta_{[2n]} + \frac{1}{4} (Q_{2n,2n+1}^1 + Q_{2n+1,1}^{2n} + Q_{1,2n}^{2n+1}) + \frac{1}{2} (P_{1,2n+1} + P_{2n,2n+1} - P_{1,2n}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} Q_{i+1,i-1}^i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} (-)^{\delta_{i,2n+1}} P_{i,i+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.56)$$

From (3.40) and with $|\gamma_k \Sigma_{ij} \gamma_k^T| = -16a^4 |X_{ik}| |X_{jk}| / |X_{ij}|$, the prefactor is found to be⁷

$$(-1)^n (-i) \left[2^{2n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{2n+1} |X_{i,i+1}|^{1/2} \right]^{-1}. \quad (3.57)$$

Therefore, we can summarise the results for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ by

$$O_n = \frac{1}{2^{n-2} \prod_{i=1}^n |\mathbf{x}_{i,i+1}|} \exp i \left[\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n Q_{i+1,i-1}^i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (-)^{\delta_{i,n}} P_{i,i+1} \right], \quad (3.58)$$

where the sum and the product are understood mod n . This is exactly the conformally-invariant generating function of correlators found in [23, 24, 26]. Insertions of the $\Delta = 2$ wave-function $\tilde{\Psi}$, (3.12), will have to lead to the results of [25]. It should be noted that the wave-functions on the CFT side found in this paper are much simpler than those on AdS_4 of [23–26]. We hope that the CFT wave-functions provide a useful first step to compute the deformed invariants [33] of the slightly-broken higher-spin symmetry.

Acknowledgments

This work is a part of the Master's thesis defended at University of Mons (Belgium) in June 2022 under the supervision of E. Skvortsov. The Author is grateful to E. Skvortsov for many stimulating discussions.

⁷The sign of K has been taken to be -1 to get a positive function, see also footnote 6.

A Vector-spinor dictionary

In $3d$, the Lorentz algebra is $so(2, 1)$, isomorphic to $sl(2, \mathbb{R})$. From this fact, any Lorentz vector can be represented as a 2×2 symmetric matrix. Indeed, if $\{\mathbf{x}^m\}_{m=0,1,2}$ are the components of a 3-vector \mathbf{x} , with the following Pauli matrices

$$(\sigma_0)^{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\sigma_1)^{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\sigma_2)^{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

we can form the matrix X of components $X^{\alpha\beta} = \mathbf{x}^m (\sigma_m)^{\alpha\beta}$. A Lorentz transformation corresponds to an $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ matrix A_α^β acting as $X^{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow X^{\gamma\delta} A_\gamma^\alpha A_\delta^\beta$. For $\mathbf{x}^m = (t, x, y)$, we have

$$X^{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} t+x & y \\ y & t-x \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad (X^{-1})^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{-1}{|X|} X^{\alpha\beta}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where the determinant $|X|$ is $-|\mathbf{x}|^2 = -\eta_{mn} \mathbf{x}^m \mathbf{x}^n$ since we take η_{mn} the Minkowski metric of signature $(-++)$. We observe that X^{-1} is obtained from an inversion $R\mathbf{x}^m = \mathbf{x}^m/|\mathbf{x}|^2$, i.e. $RX^{\alpha\beta} = (X^{-1})^{\alpha\beta}$. We also note that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial X^{\alpha\beta}} X^{\gamma\delta} := \partial_{\alpha\beta} X^{\gamma\delta} = \frac{1}{2} (\delta_\alpha^\gamma \delta_\beta^\delta + \delta_\alpha^\delta \delta_\beta^\gamma). \quad (\text{A.3})$$

We introduce the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant tensor $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} = -\epsilon_{\beta\alpha}$ with $\epsilon_{12} = +1$ and its inverse such that $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \epsilon^{\gamma\beta} = \delta_\alpha^\gamma$, i.e. $\epsilon^{12} = +1$. With them, one can raise and lower spinorial indices. For a spinor ξ^α , we use Penrose's conventions:

$$\xi_\alpha = \xi^\beta \epsilon_{\beta\alpha}, \quad \xi^\alpha = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \xi_\beta. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

We also define $\partial_\alpha \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^\alpha}$ such that $\partial_\alpha \xi_\beta = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\partial^\alpha = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta$. The contraction between two spinors χ, ξ is defined as $\chi\xi \equiv \chi^\alpha \xi_\alpha = -\xi\chi$. Finally, we note that any bi-spinor $A_{\alpha\beta}$ can be written as

$$A_{\alpha\beta} = S_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2} A_\lambda{}^\lambda \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

with $S_{\alpha\beta} = S_{\beta\alpha}$ and $A_\lambda{}^\lambda = \epsilon^{\lambda\gamma} A_{\lambda\gamma}$ the symplectic trace of $A_{\alpha\beta}$. Also, we write the matrix multiplication between two matrices A and B as $(AB)_\alpha{}^\gamma \equiv A_\alpha{}^\beta B_\beta{}^\gamma$. Then, $\mathbf{x}^m = \frac{1}{2} (X\sigma^m)_\alpha{}^\alpha$.

B Conformal structures

We first recall the notation we already introduced, (3.32),

$$\eta_{ij} = c \left(\eta_i^A \quad \eta_j \right)^A, \quad \rho_{ij}^{AB} = \frac{i}{2a^2} \begin{pmatrix} X_{12}^{-1} B_2 & X_{12}^{-1} A_2 \\ X_{12}^{-1} B_1 & X_{12}^{-1} A_1 \end{pmatrix}^{AB}. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

For $2n$ -pt functions, we needed the following structures⁸

$$\eta_{ij}^A \eta_{ij}^B [\rho_{ij} \pi_{ik}^+ \rho_{ij}^T]_{AB} = Q_{kj}^i + P_{ij}, \quad \eta_{ij}^A \eta_{ij}^B [\rho_{ij} \pi_{jk}^+ \rho_{ij}^T]_{AB} = Q_{ki}^j - P_{ij}, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

$$\eta_{ij}^A \eta_{ij}^B [\rho_{ij} \pi_{ik}^+ \pi_{il}^- \rho_{ij}^T]_{AB} = Q_{kl}^i, \quad \eta_{ij}^A \eta_{ij}^B [\rho_{ij} \pi_{jk}^+ \pi_{jl}^- \rho_{ij}^T]_{AB} = Q_{kl}^j, \quad (\text{B.3})$$

$$\eta_{ij}^A \eta_{kl}^B [\rho_{ij} \pi_{il}^+ \rho_{kl}^T]_{AB} = P_{il}, \quad \eta_{ij}^A \eta_{kl}^B [\rho_{ij} \pi_{jk}^+ \rho_{kl}^T]_{AB} = P_{jk}. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

For $(2n+1)$ -pt functions, with $f := (\gamma^T \gamma)_{2n+1} \Sigma_{1,2n} (\gamma^T \gamma)_{2n+1} / |\gamma \Sigma_{1,2n} \gamma|$,

$$\eta_{12}^A \eta_{12}^B [\rho_{12} \pi_{1,2n}^+ f \pi_{1,2n}^- \rho_{12}^T]_{AB} = \frac{1}{2} Q_{2n,2n+1}^1, \quad (\text{B.5})$$

$$\eta_{2n-1,2n}^A \eta_{2n-1,2n}^B [\rho_{2n-1,2n} \pi_{1,2n}^- f \pi_{1,2n}^+ \rho_{2n-1,2n}^T]_{AB} = -\frac{1}{2} Q_{1,2n+1}^{2n}, \quad (\text{B.6})$$

$$\eta_{12}^A \eta_{2n-1,2n}^B [\rho_{12} \pi_{1,2n}^+ f \pi_{1,2n}^+ \rho_{2n-1,2n}^T]_{AB} = -\frac{1}{2} P_{1,2n}, \quad (\text{B.7})$$

and, with $g := (\gamma^T \gamma)_{2n+1} \Sigma_{1,2n} \gamma_{2n+1}^T$,

$$\eta_{12}^A \eta_{2n+1}^\beta [\rho_{12} \pi_{1,2n}^+ g]_{A\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} P_{1,2n+1}, \quad (\text{B.8})$$

$$\eta_{2n-1,2n}^A \eta_{2n+1}^\beta [\rho_{2n-1,2n} \pi_{1,2n}^- g]_{A\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} P_{2n,2n+1}. \quad (\text{B.9})$$

Lastly,

$$\eta_{2n+1}^\alpha \eta_{2n+1}^\beta \left[\frac{\gamma_{2n+1} \Sigma_{1,2n} \gamma_{2n+1}^T}{|\gamma \Sigma \gamma|} \right]_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} Q_{1,2n}^{2n+1}. \quad (\text{B.10})$$

Bibliography

- [1] E. Sezgin and P. Sundell, ‘‘Massless higher spins and holography,’’ *Nucl.Phys.* **B644** (2002) 303–370, [arXiv:hep-th/0205131](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [2] I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, ‘‘AdS dual of the critical $O(N)$ vector model,’’ *Phys. Lett.* **B550** (2002) 213–219, [arXiv:hep-th/0210114](#).
- [3] E. Sezgin and P. Sundell, ‘‘Holography in 4D (super) higher spin theories and a test via cubic scalar couplings,’’ *JHEP* **0507** (2005) 044, [arXiv:hep-th/0305040](#) [[hep-th](#)].

⁸We always have a factor $\frac{-ic^2}{2a^2}$ but, due to (3.29), this factor is 1.

- [4] R. G. Leigh and A. C. Petkou, “Holography of the N=1 higher spin theory on AdS(4),” *JHEP* **0306** (2003) 011, [arXiv:hep-th/0304217](#) [hep-th].
- [5] S. R. Das and A. Jevicki, “Large N collective fields and holography,” *Phys. Rev. D* **68** (2003) 044011, [arXiv:hep-th/0304093](#).
- [6] X. Bekaert, J. Erdmenger, D. Ponomarev, and C. Sleight, “Quartic AdS Interactions in Higher-Spin Gravity from Conformal Field Theory,” *JHEP* **11** (2015) 149, [arXiv:1508.04292](#) [hep-th].
- [7] R. de Mello Koch, A. Jevicki, K. Suzuki, and J. Yoon, “AdS Maps and Diagrams of Bi-local Holography,” *JHEP* **03** (2019) 133, [arXiv:1810.02332](#) [hep-th].
- [8] O. Aharony, S. M. Chester, and E. Y. Urbach, “A Derivation of AdS/CFT for Vector Models,” [arXiv:2011.06328](#) [hep-th].
- [9] S. Giombi, S. Minwalla, S. Prakash, S. P. Trivedi, S. R. Wadia, and X. Yin, “Chern-Simons Theory with Vector Fermion Matter,” *Eur. Phys. J.* **C72** (2012) 2112, [arXiv:1110.4386](#) [hep-th].
- [10] J. Maldacena and A. Zhiboedov, “Constraining conformal field theories with a slightly broken higher spin symmetry,” [arXiv:1204.3882](#) [hep-th].
- [11] O. Aharony, G. Gur-Ari, and R. Yacoby, “Correlation Functions of Large N Chern-Simons-Matter Theories and Bosonization in Three Dimensions,” *JHEP* **12** (2012) 028, [arXiv:1207.4593](#) [hep-th].
- [12] O. Aharony, “Baryons, monopoles and dualities in Chern-Simons-matter theories,” *JHEP* **02** (2016) 093, [arXiv:1512.00161](#) [hep-th].
- [13] A. Karch and D. Tong, “Particle-Vortex Duality from 3d Bosonization,” *Phys. Rev.* **X6** no. 3, (2016) 031043, [arXiv:1606.01893](#) [hep-th].
- [14] N. Seiberg, T. Senthil, C. Wang, and E. Witten, “A Duality Web in 2+1 Dimensions and Condensed Matter Physics,” *Annals Phys.* **374** (2016) 395–433, [arXiv:1606.01989](#) [hep-th].
- [15] P. A. M. Dirac, “A Remarkable representation of the 3 + 2 de Sitter group,” *J. Math. Phys.* **4** (1963) 901–909.
- [16] M. Günaydin and C. Saclioglu, “Oscillator Like Unitary Representations of Noncompact Groups With a Jordan Structure and the Noncompact Groups of Supergravity,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **87** (1982) 159.
- [17] M. Günaydin, “Oscillator like unitary representations of noncompact groups and supergroups and extended supergravity theories,” in *Group Theoretical Methods in Physics. Proceedings, 11th International Colloquium, Istanbul, Turkey, August 23-28, 1982*, pp. 192–213. 1983.
- [18] M. A. Vasiliev, “Extended higher spin superalgebras and their realizations in terms of quantum operators,” *Fortsch. Phys.* **36** (1988) 33–62.
- [19] M. G. Eastwood, “Higher symmetries of the Laplacian,” *Annals Math.* **161** (2005) 1645–1665, [arXiv:hep-th/0206233](#) [hep-th].
- [20] E. Joung and K. Mkrtchyan, “Notes on higher-spin algebras: minimal representations and structure constants,” *JHEP* **05** (2014) 103, [arXiv:1401.7977](#) [hep-th].
- [21] M. Flato and C. Fronsdal, “One Massless Particle Equals Two Dirac Singletons: Elementary Particles in a Curved Space. 6.,” *Lett.Math.Phys.* **2** (1978) 421–426.
- [22] N. S. Craigie, V. K. Dobrev, and I. T. Todorov, “Conformally Covariant Composite Operators in Quantum Chromodynamics,” *Annals Phys.* **159** (1985) 411–444.

- [23] N. Colombo and P. Sundell, “Higher Spin Gravity Amplitudes From Zero-form Charges,” [arXiv:1208.3880 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [24] V. Didenko and E. Skvortsov, “Exact higher-spin symmetry in CFT: all correlators in unbroken Vasiliev theory,” *JHEP* **1304** (2013) 158, [arXiv:1210.7963 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [25] V. E. Didenko, J. Mei, and E. D. Skvortsov, “Exact higher-spin symmetry in CFT: free fermion correlators from Vasiliev Theory,” *Phys. Rev.* **D88** (2013) 046011, [arXiv:1301.4166 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [26] R. Bonezzi, N. Boulanger, D. De Filippi, and P. Sundell, “Noncommutative Wilson lines in higher-spin theory and correlation functions of conserved currents for free conformal fields,” *J. Phys.* **A50** no. 47, (2017) 475401, [arXiv:1705.03928 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [27] J. Maldacena and A. Zhiboedov, “Constraining Conformal Field Theories with A Higher Spin Symmetry,” [arXiv:1112.1016 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [28] N. Boulanger, D. Ponomarev, E. D. Skvortsov, and M. Taronna, “On the uniqueness of higher-spin symmetries in AdS and CFT,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A28** (2013) 1350162, [arXiv:1305.5180 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [29] V. Alba and K. Diab, “Constraining conformal field theories with a higher spin symmetry in $d=4$,” [arXiv:1307.8092 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [30] V. Alba and K. Diab, “Constraining conformal field theories with a higher spin symmetry in $d > 3$ dimensions,” [arXiv:1510.02535 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [31] A. Sharapov and E. Skvortsov, “Characteristic Cohomology and Observables in Higher Spin Gravity,” *JHEP* **12** (2020) 190, [arXiv:2006.13986 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [32] A. Sharapov and E. Skvortsov, “ A_∞ algebras from slightly broken higher spin symmetries,” *JHEP* **09** (2019) 024, [arXiv:1809.10027 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [33] P. Gerasimenko, A. Sharapov, and E. Skvortsov, “Slightly broken higher spin symmetry: general structure of correlators,” *JHEP* **01** (2022) 097, [arXiv:2108.05441 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [34] E. Skvortsov and A. Sharapov, “Integrable models from non-commutative geometry, with applications to 3d dualities,” *PoS CORFU2021* (2022) 253, [arXiv:2204.08903 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [35] Z. Li, “Bootstrapping conformal four-point correlators with slightly broken higher spin symmetry and 3D bosonization,” *JHEP* **10** (2020) 007, [arXiv:1906.05834 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [36] R. R. Kalloor, “Four-point functions in large N Chern-Simons fermionic theories,” *JHEP* **10** (2020) 028, [arXiv:1910.14617 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [37] G. J. Turiaci and A. Zhiboedov, “Veneziano Amplitude of Vasiliev Theory,” *JHEP* **10** (2018) 034, [arXiv:1802.04390 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [38] S. Jain, R. R. John, and V. Malvimat, “Constraining momentum space correlators using slightly broken higher spin symmetry,” *JHEP* **04** (2021) 231, [arXiv:2008.08610 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [39] S. Jain, R. R. John, A. Mehta, A. A. Nizami, and A. Suresh, “Higher spin 3-point functions in 3d CFT using spinor-helicity variables,” *JHEP* **09** (2021) 041, [arXiv:2106.00016 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [40] S. Jain and R. R. John, “Relation between parity-even and parity-odd CFT correlation functions in three dimensions,” [arXiv:2107.00695 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [41] S. Jain, R. R. John, A. Mehta, and D. K. S, “Constraining momentum space CFT correlators with

- consistent position space OPE limit and the collider bound,” *JHEP* **02** (2022) 084, [arXiv:2111.08024 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [42] J. A. Silva, “Four point functions in CFT’s with slightly broken higher spin symmetry,” *JHEP* **05** (2021) 097, [arXiv:2103.00275 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [43] S. Giombi and X. Yin, “Higher Spins in AdS and Twistorial Holography,” *JHEP* **1104** (2011) 086, [arXiv:1004.3736 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [44] N. Boulanger, P. Kessel, E. D. Skvortsov, and M. Taronna, “Higher spin interactions in four-dimensions: Vasiliev versus Fronsdal,” *J. Phys.* **A49** no. 9, (2016) 095402, [arXiv:1508.04139 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [45] E. D. Skvortsov and M. Taronna, “On Locality, Holography and Unfolding,” *JHEP* **11** (2015) 044, [arXiv:1508.04764 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [46] O. A. Gelfond and M. A. Vasiliev, “Operator algebra of free conformal currents via twistors,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B876** (2013) 871–917, [arXiv:1301.3123 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [47] R. R. Metsaev, “Light-cone gauge cubic interaction vertices for massless fields in AdS(4),” *Nucl. Phys.* **B936** (2018) 320–351, [arXiv:1807.07542 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [48] E. Skvortsov, “Light-Front Bootstrap for Chern-Simons Matter Theories,” *JHEP* **06** (2019) 058, [arXiv:1811.12333 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [49] A. Sharapov and E. Skvortsov, “Chiral Higher Spin Gravity in (A)dS₄ and secrets of Chern–Simons Matter Theories,” [arXiv:2205.15293 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [50] A. Sharapov, E. Skvortsov, and R. Van Dongen, “Chiral Higher Spin Gravity and Convex Geometry,” [arXiv:2209.01796 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [51] A. Sharapov, E. Skvortsov, A. Sukhanov, and R. Van Dongen, “More on Chiral Higher Spin Gravity and Convex Geometry,” [arXiv:2209.15441 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [52] R. R. Metsaev, “Poincare invariant dynamics of massless higher spins: Fourth order analysis on mass shell,” *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A6** (1991) 359–367.
- [53] R. R. Metsaev, “S matrix approach to massless higher spins theory. 2: The Case of internal symmetry,” *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A6** (1991) 2411–2421.
- [54] D. Ponomarev and E. D. Skvortsov, “Light-Front Higher-Spin Theories in Flat Space,” *J. Phys.* **A50** no. 9, (2017) 095401, [arXiv:1609.04655 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [55] D. Ponomarev, “Chiral Higher Spin Theories and Self-Duality,” *JHEP* **12** (2017) 141, [arXiv:1710.00270 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [56] E. D. Skvortsov, T. Tran, and M. Tsulaia, “Quantum Chiral Higher Spin Gravity,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121** no. 3, (2018) 031601, [arXiv:1805.00048 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [57] E. Skvortsov, T. Tran, and M. Tsulaia, “More on Quantum Chiral Higher Spin Gravity,” *Phys. Rev.* **D101** no. 10, (2020) 106001, [arXiv:2002.08487 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [58] E. Skvortsov and R. Van Dongen, “Minimal models of field theories: Chiral Higher Spin Gravity,” [arXiv:2204.10285 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [59] A. Sharapov, E. Skvortsov, A. Sukhanov, and R. Van Dongen, “Minimal model of Chiral Higher Spin Gravity,” [arXiv:2205.07794 \[hep-th\]](#).

- [60] S. Giombi, S. Prakash, and X. Yin, “A Note on CFT Correlators in Three Dimensions,”
[arXiv:1104.4317 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [61] M. A. Vasiliev, “Holography, Unfolding and Higher-Spin Theory,” *J.Phys.* **A46** (2013) 214013,
[arXiv:1203.5554 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [62] V. E. Didenko and M. A. Vasiliev, “Free field dynamics in the generalized AdS (super)space,”
J. Math. Phys. **45** (2004) 197–215, [arXiv:hep-th/0301054](#).