

**BANACH ALGEBRAS ASSOCIATED TO TWISTED ÉTALE GROUPOIDS:
INVERSE SEMIGROUP DISINTEGRATION
AND REPRESENTATIONS ON L^p -SPACES**

KRZYSZTOF BARDADYN, BARTOSZ KWAŚNIEWSKI, AND ANDREW MCKEE

ABSTRACT. We introduce Banach algebras associated to a twisted étale groupoid $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ and to twisted inverse semigroup actions. This provides a unifying framework for numerous recent papers on L^p -operator algebras and the theory of groupoid C^* -algebras. We prove a disintegration theorem that allows us to study Banach algebras associated to $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ as universal Banach algebras generated by $C_0(X)$ and a twisted inverse semigroup S of partial isometries subject to some relations. Our theory works best when the groupoid is ample or when the target of a representation is a dual Banach algebra B , so for instance $B = B(E)$ where E is a reflexive Banach space. In particular, it implies that in the constructions of L^p -analogues of Cuntz or graph algebras, by Phillips and Cortiñas–Rodríguez, the use of *spatial partial isometries* is not an assumption, in fact it is forced by the relations.

We establish fundamental norm estimates and hierarchy for full and reduced L^p -operator algebras for $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$, whose special cases have been studied recently by Gardella–Lupini, Choi–Gardella–Thiel and Hetland–Ortega. We also introduce tight inverse semigroup Banach algebras that cover ample groupoid Banach algebras, and we discuss Banach algebras associated to twisted partial group actions, twisted Renault–Deaconu groupoids and directed graphs. Our Banach Cuntz algebras are related to the construction of Daws–Horváth.

We aim for a high degree of generality throughout: our results cover non-Hausdorff étale groupoids and both real and complex algebras. Some of our results seem to be absent from the literature even for C^* -algebras.

1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of Banach algebras generated by groups is a classical part of harmonic analysis. In the realm of C^* -algebras it was extended with huge success to transformation groups, groupoids and even more general structures and actions. This inspired a number of attempts to generalize this theory to Banach algebras or at least to operator algebras acting on L^p -spaces. A series of initial preprints about Banach algebra crossed products and L^p -operator algebras appeared in the 2010s, see [26], [59], [61], [60]. Phillips’s program to create an analogue of the C^* -theory for L^p -operator algebras has noticeably sparked in recent years, see the survey paper [35] and/or [42], [36], [22], [19], [39], [5], [43]. A number of significant C^* -algebraic constructions and results have been carried over to the L^p -setting. For $p \neq 2$ the proofs usually require different techniques, and also some new phenomena occur. An initial motivation behind the present paper was to solve [36, Problem 8.2] which asks whether a standard simplicity criterion for groupoid C^* -algebras works for L^p -groupoid algebras. Working on this, we realized we can develop a neat theory of Banach algebras associated to twisted étale groupoids that not only allows us to prove strong results, such as simplicity and pure infiniteness, see [6], [7], but also gives a deep insight into representation theory that allows

Date: January 29, 2024.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47L10, 46H15, 22A22.

us to define and study interesting Banach algebras in terms of generators and relations. This yields a general theoretical background that covers L^p -analogs of Cuntz algebras [61], graph L^p -algebras [22], Cuntz-like Banach algebras [25], (twisted) transformation group Banach algebras [26], [60], AF-algebras, ℓ^p -uniform Roe algebras [76], [20], groupoid L^p -operator algebras [36], [19], or reduced twisted groupoid Banach algebras [5], [43], which have so far often been studied using ad hoc methods. This theory has a potential to be applied to numerous other constructions. In fact, in the present paper we introduce Banach algebras associated to inverse semigroups, directed graphs, twisted partial local homeomorphisms, and twisted partial group actions. Also, since all classifiable simple C^* -algebras are modeled by twisted étale groupoids [54], it is reasonable to expect such models to play a crucial role in other contexts. On top of that we consider general Banach algebras over the field $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ of real or complex numbers, so in particular our results apply to real C^* -algebras, which has recently have been experiencing a renaissance due to numerous important applications, see [65], [10] and references therein. Real crossed products and real Cuntz C^* -algebras were already considered, see [67], [65].

One of the fundamental ideas, that stands behind the success of the theory of C^* -algebras associated to étale groupoid C^* -algebras, and Cartan C^* -subalgebras in particular, is that algebras associated to such groupoids can be viewed as crossed products by actions of (discrete) inverse semigroups, cf. [63], [57], [70], [46], [31], [15], [16], [51]. We make this idea a starting point and the main tool of our analysis. In particular, we generalize the construction of crossed products by twisted inverse semigroup actions for C^* -algebras [69], [15], to the realm of Banach algebras. Since the category of (real or complex) C^* -algebras with $*$ -homomorphisms as morphisms is a full subcategory of Banach algebras with contractive homomorphisms as morphisms, we decided to work in this Banach algebra category. Thus by a *representation of a Banach algebra A* in a Banach algebra B we mean a contractive homomorphism $\pi : A \rightarrow B$. For an inverse semigroup S a representation is a semigroup homomorphisms $v : S \rightarrow B_1 \subseteq B$ into contractive elements of B . Then the operators $\{v_t\}_{t \in S} \subseteq B$ are *partial isometries* in the sense of Mbekhta [55] (which are the usual partial isometries when B is a C^* -algebra). For a *twisted action* (α, u) , à la Buss–Exel [15], of the inverse semigroup S on a Banach algebra A we define the crossed product $A \rtimes_{(\alpha, u)} S$ as a Banach algebra universal for *covariant representations* (π, v) of (α, u) , where π is a representation of A in B and v is a twisted representation of S in B satisfying natural relations similar to those in [69], [15]. To get uniqueness of *disintegration* of representations of $A \rtimes_{(\alpha, u)} S$ we need to impose a *normalization* condition on covariant representations. In different situations this could mean different things. When the domains of the action are not unital this requires weak limits and so normalization works well for instance when (B, B_*) is a dual Banach algebra in the sense of Runde, see [66], [24]. Reducing to covariant representations on Banach spaces E is even more delicate. We discuss these issues in detail in Section 3.

For a twisted étale groupoid $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$, with a locally compact Hausdorff unit space X , the Connes $*$ -algebra $\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ of quasi-continuous compactly supported sections has plenty of natural Banach algebra completions. We decided to work only with norms that coincide with the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ for sections with supports in open bisections (apparently all norms considered so far in the literature have this property). For any inverse semigroup S of open bisections covering \mathcal{G} we define the *twisted groupoid Banach algebra* $F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ of $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. If S is wide, we have an inverse semigroup action (α, u) of S on $C_0(X)$ that can be used to recover $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ (this is implicit in [15], [16], and we make it explicit in subsection 4.3). One of our

main results (see Theorem 4.27) shows that then we have an isometric isomorphism

$$F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \cong C_0(X) \rtimes_{(\alpha, u)} S$$

that establishes a bijective correspondence between representations of $F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ and normalized covariant representations of (α, u) . This result can be applied to Banach algebras $F_{\mathcal{R}}^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ which are universal for representations of $F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ for a fixed class \mathcal{R} of representations. For $p \in [1, \infty]$ we define the *universal twisted groupoid L^p -operator algebra* $F^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ as $F_{\mathcal{R}}^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$, where \mathcal{R} is the class of all representations on spaces $L^p(\mu)$ whose restriction to $C_0(X)$ acts by multiplication operators. Here the main structural result (Theorem 5.10) is that this definition does not depend on the choice of S , and in the complex case $F^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is universal for representations of $F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ in all L^p -operator algebras. Moreover, denoting by $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$ the norm in $F^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$, for all $p, q \in [1, \infty]$ with $1/p + 1/q = 1$, we have the following estimates

$$\|f\|_{L^p} \leq \|f\|_{L^1}^{1/p} \|f\|_{L^\infty}^{1/q} \leq \|f\|_I, \quad f \in \mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$$

where

$$\|f\|_{L^1} := \max_{x \in X} \sum_{d(\gamma)=x} |f(\gamma)|, \quad \|f\|_{L^\infty} := \max_{x \in X} \sum_{r(\gamma)=x} |f(\gamma)|$$

and $\|f\|_I := \max\{\|f\|_{L^1}, \|f\|_{L^\infty}\}$ is Hahn's *I-norm*. These non-trivial estimates solve in particular the problem formulated below [19, Proposition 6.5]. The algebra $F^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is a universal model for the *reduced twisted groupoid L^p -operator algebra* $F_r^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ given by a concrete representation which was recently studied by Hetland–Ortega [43], and by Choi–Gardella–Thiel [19]. This also covers untwisted groupoid L^p -operator algebra $F^p(\mathcal{G})$ introduced by Gardella–Lupini [36] (in the complex, separable, finite p case).

The hierarchy of the discussed algebras is summarized in Figure 1, where all algebras are completions of $\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$, in particular, $F_I(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) := \overline{C_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})}^{\|\cdot\|_I}$, and $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ and $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ are standard C^* -algebras associated to $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. The algebras in the middle column are Banach $*$ -algebras, the horizontal anti-isomorphisms are given by the involution in $\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$, and the downward arrows are representations extending identities on $\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$:




FIGURE 1. Hierarchy of twisted groupoid Banach algebras

We also give a geometric description of representations of $F^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ on spaces $L^p(\mu)$, where μ is a localizable measure. Following Phillips [59] and Gardella [35] we define *spatial partial isometries* as certain *weighted composition operators* on $L^p(\mu)$. They form a natural inverse semigroup $\text{SPIso}(L^p(\mu))$ of partial isometries in $B(L^p(\mu))$. In fact, using the well established

notion of an L^p -projection, see [3], [8], we define L^p -partial isometries on an arbitrary Banach space E . For $p \neq 2$, they form an inverse semigroup, and when $E = L^p(\mu)$ they coincide with spatial partial isometries by the (generalized) Lamperti Theorem (Theorem 2.27). Our third main result (Theorem 5.15) says that for every $p \in [1, \infty]$, the algebra $F^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is universal for *spatial covariant representations*, i.e. covariant representations (π, v) where $v : S \rightarrow \text{SPIso}(L^p(\mu))$ takes values in spatial partial isometries and $\pi : C_0(X) \rightarrow B(L^p(\mu))$ acts by multiplication operators (by functions from $L^\infty(\mu)$). This in particular gives a natural bijective correspondence between spatial representations of $F^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ and $F^{p'}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ for any $p, p' \in (1, \infty)$, which is quite surprising as in many cases there is no non-zero continuous homomorphism from $F^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ to $F^{p'}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. In the complex case, for $p \in (1, \infty) \setminus \{2\}$, every non-degenerate representation of $F^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ on $L^p(\mu)$ is spatial.

As an illustration, and having future applications in mind, we introduce the universal and the *tight Banach algebra of an inverse semigroup* S . The new paradigm that appears here is that for general representations $v : S \rightarrow B$ into a Banach algebra B we need to impose a condition that certain associated projections are *jointly \mathbb{F} -contractive* (see Definitions 6.4 and 6.6), which is related to a number of similar conditions studied by various authors, cf. [3], [9], [45], [72]. This condition is automatic when B is a C^* -algebra or when $v : S \rightarrow \text{SPIso}(L^p(\mu))$ takes values in spatial partial isometries, and therefore has not been visible in previous works. The tight algebras are modeled by the tight groupoid of Exel [31]. Based on the algebraic setting of Steinberg–Szakács [74] and our results, we characterise when representations of such algebras are isometric on the unit subalgebra, which leads to convenient improvements even for the already existing theory of tight C^* -algebras.

We define the *Banach algebra $F(Q)$ of a directed graph Q* as the universal algebra for a Banach Q -family of partial isometries and projections. It is naturally a groupoid Banach algebra and a tight inverse semigroup Banach algebra. Our results imply that non-degenerate representations of the complex Banach algebra $F(Q)$ on L^p -spaces, for $p \in (1, \infty) \setminus \{2\}$, are necessarily given by Q -families with values in spatial partial isometries $\text{SPIso}(L^p(\mu))$. Thus the use of spatial partial isometries in the definition of the graph L^p -operator algebras $F^p(Q)$ in [22] and [59] is not an assumption — it is forced by the groupoid model. When Q has only one vertex, $F(Q)$ is a Banach Cuntz algebra which seems to be more tractable than the associated Cuntz-like Banach $*$ -algebra of Daws–Horváth [25] (cf. Remark 7.24).

In addition we describe representations of Banach algebras associated with a *twisted partial action of a discrete group* and with a *twisted Deaconu–Reanult groupoid*. In the presented generality the results are new even for C^* -algebras.

The paper is organized as follows. After preliminaries on L^p -operator algebras, groupoids and partial isometries in Banach algebras, in Section 2, we define crossed products for twisted inverse semigroup actions on Banach algebras in Section 3. Section 4 introduces the title concept — twisted groupoid Banach algebras, and presents the general inverse semigroup disintegration theorem. In Section 5 we analyze L^p -operator algebras associated to $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. Section 6 is devoted to inverse semigroup algebras and tight groupoids. Finally, in Section 7 we discuss partial crossed products, Deaconu–Reanult groupoids and graph algebras.

Acknowledgements. The first two named authors were supported by the National Science Center (NCN) grant no. 2019/35/B/ST1/02684. The second named author would like to thank Chris Phillips, Eusebio Gardella and Hannes Thiel for sharing their expert knowledge so generously, during various meetings and via e-mail. We also thank Jan Gundelach for the careful reading of the first draft of the paper and his comments.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Most of the facts presented here are probably known to experts, so we included only sketches of, or coordinates leading to, the arguments when we were not able to find a reference in appropriate generality. We discuss representations of $C_0(X)$ on L^p -spaces with $p \in [1, \infty]$, and the key result here is Theorem 2.12. For an introduction to L^p -operator algebras we refer to [35]. We generalize spatial partial isometries of Phillips and Gardella by defining L^p -partial isometries on an arbitrary Banach space E . The link between the two is provided by a version of Banach-Lamperti theorem (Theorem 2.27). Also we recall the fundamental relationship between actions of inverse semigroups on topological spaces and étale groupoids.

2.1. Spatial isometries on L^p -spaces. Throughout we fix $p \in [1, \infty]$ and the field $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ of real or complex numbers. If (Ω, Σ, μ) is a measure space we write $L^p(\mu)$ for the corresponding Lebesgue space viewed as a Banach space over \mathbb{F} . Occasionally, to emphasize which field is used, we will write $L^p(\mu, \mathbb{F})$. Recall that the Banach space $L^p(\mu)$ can be recovered from $\mu : \Sigma \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$, or in fact from the measure $[\mu] : [\Sigma] \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ defined on the Boolean algebra $[\Sigma]$ obtained from Σ by identifying sets of measure zero (the presentation in [35], [39] uses this ‘point-free’ approach to L^p -spaces, but we stay with the old-fashioned approach). Also if $p < \infty$, then $L^p(\mu) \cong L^p(\mu_f)$ where $\mu_f(A) = \sup\{\mu(B) : A \supseteq B \in \Sigma, \mu(B) < \infty\}$ is the *semi-finite* part of μ , and the isomorphism is identity on integrable simple functions. Recall that a measure μ is called *localizable* if it is semi-finite and $[\Sigma]$ is Dedekind complete. In general, if μ is semi-finite, then $[\mu]$ extends uniquely to the Dedekind completion of $[\Sigma]$ giving a localizable measure on the completion [34]. Using the Loomis–Sikorski Theorem we may represent this abstract measure by a concrete localizable measure space $(\bar{\Omega}, \bar{\Sigma}, \bar{\mu})$ (see [34], [11]) and again $L^p(\mu) \cong L^p(\bar{\mu})$ by the isometric isomorphism sending characteristic functions to characteristic functions. Thus when considering L^p -spaces with $p < \infty$ we may always assume the measure is localizable, or even decomposable (strictly localizable), see [52, Corollary on page 136] or [11, Theorem 7.17]). For $p = \infty$ the assumption that μ is localizable is also natural. For instance, μ is localizable if and only if $L^\infty(\mu)$ is canonically isomorphic to the dual of $L^1(\mu)$ if and only if $L^\infty(\mu)$ is canonically a von Neumann algebra acting on $L^2(\mu)$ (and every abelian W^* -algebra is of this form), see [11] and references therein.

Definition 2.1. A *set isomorphism* from a measure space $(\Omega_\mu, \Sigma_\mu, \mu)$ onto a measure space $(\Omega_\nu, \Sigma_\nu, \nu)$ is a map $\Phi : \Sigma_\nu \rightarrow \Sigma_\mu$ that descends to an isomorphism of Boolean algebras $[\Phi] : [\Sigma_\nu] \rightarrow [\Sigma_\mu]$. Thus, since the Boolean structure is determined by its preorder, it suffices to assume

- (1) $\nu(C \setminus D) = 0$ if and only if $\mu(\Phi(C) \setminus \Phi(D)) = 0$ for all $C, D \in \Sigma_\nu$;
- (2) for each $C \in \Sigma_\mu$ there is $\Phi^*(C) \in \Sigma_\nu$ such that $\Phi(\Phi^*(C)) = C$ up to a μ -null set.

Condition (2) gives a map $\Phi^* : \Sigma_\mu \rightarrow \Sigma_\nu$, which is a set isomorphism that descends to an isomorphism $[\Phi^*] : [\Sigma_\mu] \rightarrow [\Sigma_\nu]$ inverse to $[\Phi] : [\Sigma_\nu] \rightarrow [\Sigma_\mu]$.

We denote by $L_0(\mu)$ the algebra of \mathbb{F} -valued measurable functions identified up to equality μ -almost everywhere. A set isomorphism $\Phi : \Sigma_\nu \rightarrow \Sigma_\mu$ uniquely defines a linear operator $T_\Phi : L_0(\nu) \rightarrow L_0(\mu)$ such that

$$T_\Phi 1_C = 1_{\Phi(C)}, \quad C \in \Sigma_\nu,$$

and T_Φ preserves (monotone) limits, cf. [59, Proposition 5.6]. This is an algebra isomorphism $L_0(\nu) \cong L_0(\mu)$ whose inverse is T_{Φ^*} . We call T_Φ a (generalized) *composition operator*. It preserves the range of functions and in particular it restricts to an isometric isomorphism

$L^\infty(\nu) \cong L^\infty(\mu)$ [11, Proposition 7.16]. However, unless Φ preserves measures, it does not preserve the L^p -spaces for $p < \infty$. To force this, we will consider *weighted composition operators* $aT_\Phi : L_0(\nu) \rightarrow L_0(\mu)$ where $a \in L_0(\mu)$ and $[aT_\Phi]\xi(x) := a(x)T_\Phi(\xi)(x)$. Note that $\mu \circ \Phi$ is a measure equivalent to ν . Thus if ν is localizable then the Radon–Nikodym derivative $\frac{\mu \circ \Phi}{\nu}$ exists, and is strictly positive ν -almost everywhere, see [39, Theorem 2.7], [11, Theorem 4.4]. Similar comments apply to the measures $\nu \circ \Phi^*$ and μ .

Lemma 2.2. *Consider localizable measures μ and ν and $p \in [1, \infty]$. For any set isomorphism $\Phi : \Sigma_\nu \rightarrow \Sigma_\mu$ the weighted composition operator*

$$U_\Phi := \left(\frac{d\nu \circ \Phi^*}{d\mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} T_\Phi$$

is an invertible isometry $U_\Phi : L^p(\nu) \rightarrow L^p(\mu)$. Moreover, the group $UL^\infty(\mu)$ of measurable modulus one functions $\omega \in L_0(\mu)$ embeds into the group of invertible isometries of $L^p(\mu)$ as multiplication operators. Thus for any $\omega \in UL^\infty(\mu)$ the weighted composition operator $\omega U_\Phi : L^p(\nu) \rightarrow L^p(\mu)$ is an invertible isometry.

Proof. This is straightforward and known, see, for instance, [39, Lemmas 3.2, 3.3]. □

Definition 2.3 ([59]). We call the invertible isometries ωU_Φ described in Lemma 2.2 *spatial*.

Proposition 2.4. *We have $(\omega U_\Phi)^{-1} = T_{\Phi^*}(\overline{\omega})U_{\Phi^*}$ and $\omega U_\Phi \circ vT_\Psi = \omega T_\Phi(v)U_{\Phi \circ \Psi}$ for all localizable measures μ, ν, η , all $p \in [1, \infty]$, set isomorphisms $\Phi : \Sigma_\nu \rightarrow \Sigma_\mu, \Psi : \Sigma_\nu \rightarrow \Sigma_\eta$ and functions $\omega \in UL^\infty(\mu), v \in UL^\infty(\nu)$.*

In particular, invertible spatial isometries on $L^p(\mu)$, for localizable μ and $p \in [1, \infty]$, form a group which is isomorphic to the semi-direct product $UL^\infty(\mu) \rtimes \text{Aut}([\Sigma_\mu])$ where $[\Phi]\omega = T_\Phi(\omega)$ for $[\Phi] \in \text{Aut}([\Sigma_\mu])$ and $\omega \in UL^\infty(\mu)$.

Proof. The first part follows from (the calculations in the proof of) [39, Lemmas 3.3, 3.4]. It readily implies the second part of the assertion, see also [39, Theorem 3.7] or [35, Proposition 2.10]. □

Proposition 2.5 (Banach–Lamperti theorem). *Let $p \in [1, \infty] \setminus \{2\}$ and μ, ν be localizable measures. Then every invertible isometry from $L^p(\mu)$ to $L^p(\nu)$ is spatial.*

Proof. For $p \in (1, \infty) \setminus \{2\}$, $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, and $\mu = \nu$ this is proved in [39, Theorem 3.7], see also [35, Theorem 2.12], but the proof works for two localizable measures μ and ν , and also for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ and $p = 1$. In fact since $L^\infty(\mu) \cong L^1(\mu)'$ the case $p = 1$ can be deduced from the case $p = \infty$. The assertion for $p = \infty$ follows from the Banach–Stone Theorem combined with the Gelfand Theorem. Indeed, we have $L^\infty(\mu) \cong C(X)$ and $L^\infty(\nu) \cong C(Y)$ where X, Y are compact Hausdorff (hyperstonean) spaces, and every invertible isometry $C(Y) \rightarrow C(X)$ is of the form aT where $a \in C(X)$, $|a| = 1$, and $T : C(Y) \rightarrow C(X)$ is an isometric algebra isomorphism. The Boolean algebras of idempotent elements in $C(Y)$ and $C(X)$ are canonically isomorphic to $[\Sigma_\nu]$ and $[\Sigma_\mu]$, respectively. Thus T induces a set isomorphism $\Phi : \Sigma_\nu \rightarrow \Sigma_\mu$ such that the action of $T_\Phi : L^\infty(\nu) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mu)$ on idempotents in $L^\infty(\nu)$ agrees with the action of T on the corresponding idempotents in $C(Y)$. As the idempotents are linearly dense in the considered spaces we conclude that the isometry $L^\infty(\nu) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mu)$ corresponding to aT is ωT_Φ where $\omega \in L^\infty(\mu)$ is the function corresponding to $a \in C(X) \cong L^\infty(\mu)$. □

2.2. *L^p -operator algebras and representations of $C_0(X)$.* Throughout this paper A and B will be Banach algebras, and $B(E)$ will denote the Banach algebra of bounded operators on a Banach space E .

Definition 2.6. A *representation of A in B* is a contractive algebra homomorphism $\pi : A \rightarrow B$. If $B = B(E)$ for some Banach space E , we call $\pi : A \rightarrow B(E)$ a *representation on the space E* , and we say π is *non-degenerate* if $\overline{\pi(A)E} = E$.

Definition 2.7 ([60]). Let $p \in [1, \infty]$. A Banach algebra A is an *L^p -operator algebra* if there is an isometric representation $\pi : A \rightarrow B(L^p(\mu))$ for some measure μ .

By an *approximate unit* in a Banach algebra we will always mean a contractive two-sided approximate unit. If $p \in (1, \infty)$, every L^p -operator algebra A with an approximate unit has an isometric non-degenerate representation $\pi : A \rightarrow B(L^p(\mu))$, [38, Theorem 4.3]. Unlike for C^* -algebras there is still no axiomatic definition of an L^p -operator algebra.

Definition 2.8. A *C^* -algebra* is a Banach $*$ -algebra A such that $\|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2$, $a \in A$, and if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ we also require $\|a^*a\| \leq \|a^*a + b^*b\|$ for all $a, b \in A$. We call a norm with these properties a *C^* -norm*.

Remark 2.9. It is well known that a Banach $*$ -algebra A (complex or real) is a C^* -algebra if and only if it is isometrically $*$ -isomorphic to a $*$ -subalgebra of bounded operators on some L^2 -space (complex or real). Also a real Banach algebra A is a real C^* -algebra if and only if its complexification $A_{\mathbb{C}} := A + iA$ admits a (necessarily unique) C^* -norm that extends that of A , see [53]. A homomorphism $A \rightarrow B$ between two C^* -algebras is contractive if and only if it is $*$ -preserving (these well known facts for complex C^* -algebras [12, A.5.8] also hold in the real case [53, Proposition 5.4.1 and Theorem 5.6.4]).

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space. The algebra $C_0(X)$ of continuous functions on X which vanish at infinity, equipped with the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$, is an L^p -operator algebra for any $p \in [1, \infty]$. By [38, Theorem 5.3] a complex C^* -algebra A is an L^p -operator algebra for $p \in [1, \infty] \setminus \{2\}$ if and only if it is commutative and hence of the form $C_0(X)$. A real commutative Banach algebra A is isometrically isomorphic to $C_0(X)$ for some locally compact Hausdorff space if and only if $\|a\|^2 = \|a^2\| \leq \|a^2 + b^2\|$ for all $a, b \in A$, see [4].

Proposition 2.10 (Kaplansky–Bonsall). *Let A be a C^* -algebra (real or complex). The C^* -norm on A is minimal among all submultiplicative norms on A . Thus every injective representation $\pi : A \rightarrow B$ in a Banach algebra B is automatically isometric. More generally, every representation $\pi : A \rightarrow B$ descends to an isometric representation $\pi : A/\ker(\pi) \rightarrow B$.*

Proof. The first part of the assertion in the complex case is [14, Theorems 10], but the proof also works in the real case by applying [53, Lemma 5.1.8]. The second part is equivalent to the first. For the last part it suffices to note that the quotient $A/\ker(\pi)$ is again a C^* -algebra, which is well known when $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, but also holds when $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$, see [53, Proposition 5.4.1]. \square

We now slightly extend [19, Proposition 2.7], see also [13, Proposition 2.12] and references therein, which describes hermitian operators acting on complex L^p -spaces. This forces the representations of the complex algebra $C_0(X)$ on L^p and C_0 -spaces to act by multiplication operators.

Proposition 2.11. *Assume $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ and let $E = L^p(\mu)$ for a localizable μ and $p \in [1, \infty] \setminus \{2\}$, or $E = C_0(\Omega)$ for a locally compact Hausdorff space Ω . An element $a \in B(E)$ is hermitian*

(i.e. $\|e^{ita}\| = 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$) if and only if a is a multiplication operator by a bounded real-valued function (from $L^\infty(\mu, \mathbb{R})$ if $E = L^p(\mu)$ and from $C_b(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ if $E = C_0(\Omega)$).

Proof. When $E = L^p(\mu)$ and $p < \infty$ this is proved in [19, Proposition 2.7]. The proof relies on the Banach–Lamperti Theorem and it also works for $p = \infty$, with the Banach–Lamperti theorem extended as in Proposition 2.5. We show it also works for $E = C_0(\Omega)$ with the Banach–Lamperti theorem replaced by the Banach–Stone theorem. We recall the argument for the ‘only if’ part (the ‘if’ part is straightforward). Let $a \in B(C_0(\Omega))$ be hermitian. We may assume that $\|a\| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$. The elements $u_t := e^{ita}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, form a continuous group of invertible isometries. By the Banach–Stone theorem for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ there is $\omega_t \in C_b(\Omega)$ with $|\omega_t| = 1$ and a homeomorphism $\varphi_t : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ such that $[u_t \xi](x) = \omega_t(x)\xi(\varphi_t(x))$. Using this, a simple calculation, for $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, gives

$$\|u_t - u_s\| = \max \{ \|\omega_t - \omega_s\|_\infty, 2(1 - \delta_{\varphi_t, \varphi_s}) \}.$$

Since $t \mapsto u_t$ is norm continuous and $u_0 = 1$ is the identity operator, we get that $\varphi_t = \text{id}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence each u_t is the operator of multiplication by ω_t . Since $\|a\| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ the spectrum of $u_1 = e^{ia}$ is contained in the half circle $\{e^{it} : t \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2]\}$ where the holomorphic inverse log of exp is defined. Applying analytic functional calculus to u_1 we get $ia = \log(u_1)$. Since $m : C_b(\Omega) \rightarrow B(C_0(\Omega))$; $m(h)\xi(x) := h(x)\xi(x)$ is a unital homomorphism, we obtain $a = -i \log(u_1) = -i \log(m(\omega_1)) = m(-i \log(\omega_1))$. Thus a is a multiplication operator by $-i \log(\omega_1)$, and since a is bounded and hermitian we must have $-i \log(\omega_1) \in C_b(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$. \square

Recall that L^p -spaces and C_0 -spaces are naturally Banach lattices with the lattice structure given by positive (non-negative) functions. Operators preserving positive functions (and therefore the lattice structure) are called *positive*.

Theorem 2.12. *Let $\pi : C_0(X) \rightarrow B(E)$ be a non-degenerate representation where $E = L^p(\mu)$ for a localizable μ and $p \in [1, \infty] \setminus \{2\}$, or $E = C_0(\Omega)$ for a locally compact Hausdorff space Ω . If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ then π is positive in the sense that it maps positive functions to positive operators. If π is positive, then it is given by multiplication operators: there is a representation $\pi_0 : C_0(X) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mu)$ if $E = L^p(\mu)$, or $\pi_0 : C_0(X) \rightarrow C_b(\Omega)$ if $E = C_0(\Omega)$, such that*

$$(2.1) \quad [\pi(a)\xi](x) = \pi_0(a)(x)\xi(x), \quad a \in C_0(X), \xi \in E.$$

Proof. If X is not compact we may extend $\pi : C_0(X) \rightarrow B(E)$ to a unital representation $\pi : C(X^+) \rightarrow B(E)$, where X^+ is the one-point compactification of X , see [38, Theorem 4.1]. Then π maps hermitian elements to hermitian ones by [19, Lemma 2.4]. Hermitian elements in $C(X^+)$ are real valued functions $C(X^+, \mathbb{R})$. Hence $\pi(C(X^+, \mathbb{R}))$ consists of multiplication operators by Proposition 2.11. Since $C(X^+) = C(X^+, \mathbb{R}) + iC(X^+, \mathbb{R})$, we conclude that there is $\pi_0 : C(X^+) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mu)$ (or $\pi_0 : C(X^+) \rightarrow C_b(X)$) satisfying (2.1). Since π is a representation it follows that π_0 is a representation and therefore a $*$ -homomorphism between two C^* -algebras. Hence both π_0 and π are positive.

Suppose now that $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$. We may pass to complexifications $C_0(X, \mathbb{C})$ and $L^p(\mu, \mathbb{C})$ of $C_0(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $L^p(\mu, \mathbb{R})$ respectively. Namely, we put

$$(\pi_{\mathbb{C}}(a + ib))(\xi + i\eta) := \pi(a)\xi - \pi(b)\eta + i(\pi(a)\eta + \pi(b)\xi),$$

for $\xi, \eta \in L^p(\mu, \mathbb{R})$, $a, b \in C_0(X, \mathbb{R})$. This defines a non-degenerate algebra homomorphism $\pi_{\mathbb{C}} : C_0(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow B(L^p(\mu, \mathbb{C}))$, and for $a \in C_0(X, \mathbb{R})$ we have $\|\pi_{\mathbb{C}}(a)\| = \|\pi(a)\|$, cf. [1, Corollary 3.23]. Thus $\|\pi_{\mathbb{C}}(a + ib)\| \leq \|\pi(a)\| + \|\pi(b)\| \leq \|\pi(a)\|_\infty + \|\pi(b)\|_\infty \leq 2\|a + ib\|_\infty$, and so $\|\pi_{\mathbb{C}}\| \leq 2$. However, in general $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}$ is not contractive, cf. Example 2.13 below. If π is positive, then π

and $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}$ take values in the lattice of regular operators, see [1, Section 3.2], and we clearly have $|\pi_{\mathbb{C}}(a + ib)| \leq \pi_{\mathbb{C}}(|a + ib|)$. Also by the Riesz–Kantorovich formula [1, Corollary 3.25], $|\pi_{\mathbb{C}}(a + ib)\xi| \leq \pi_{\mathbb{C}}(|a + ib|)|\xi|$ for all $\xi \in E_{\mathbb{C}}$. This implies that $\|\pi_{\mathbb{C}}(a + ib)\| \leq \|\pi_{\mathbb{C}}(|a + ib|)\| = \|\pi(|a + ib|)\| \leq \| |a + ib| \|_{\infty} = \|a + ib\|_{\infty}$. Hence $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}$ is contractive and therefore it acts by multiplication operators by the first part of the proof. \square

Positivity of the representation in Theorem 2.12 in the real case is not automatic.

Example 2.13 (Representations from involutive isometries). Let $U \in B(E)$ be an involutive isometry on a Banach space E , i.e. $\|U\| = 1$ and $U^2 = 1$. Then $P := \frac{1+U}{2}$ and $1 - P := \frac{1-U}{2}$ are contractive projections, so P is a *bicontractive projection* (cf. Remark 6.5 below). If E is an L^p -space or a predual of an L^1 -space, then every bicontractive projection is of this form, see [9]. The formula

$$\pi(a) = a(1)P + a(2)(1 - P) = \frac{a(1) + a(2)}{2}1 + \frac{a(1) - a(2)}{2}U$$

defines a homomorphism $\pi : C(\{1, 2\}) \rightarrow B(E)$ satisfying $\|\pi(a)\| \leq \frac{|a(1)+a(2)|}{2} + \frac{|a(1)-a(2)|}{2}$. If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$, then $\frac{|a(1)+a(2)|}{2} + \frac{|a(1)-a(2)|}{2} = \max\{|a(1)|, |a(2)|\} = \|a\|_{\infty}$ and thus π is contractive. For $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ this is not true. In particular, let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$, $E := \ell^p(\{1, 2\}) \cong \mathbb{R}^2$, $p \in [1, \infty]$ and $U\xi := (\xi(2), \xi(1))$. Then

$$\pi(a)\xi = \left(\frac{a(1) + a(2)}{2}\xi(1) + \frac{a(1) - a(2)}{2}\xi(2), \frac{a(1) - a(2)}{2}\xi(1) + \frac{a(1) + a(2)}{2}\xi(2) \right)$$

is an isometric unital representation $\pi : C(\{1, 2\}) \rightarrow B(\ell^p(\{1, 2\}))$ of the real algebra $C(\{1, 2\})$ that does not act by multiplication operators. For $p \neq 2$, π is not even isometrically conjugate to a representation given by multiplication operators (there are only four isometries on $\ell^p(\{1, 2\})$ by Proposition 2.5). For $p = 2$, see Lemma 2.16 below.

Corollary 2.14. *The complex Banach algebra $C_0(X)$ admits an isometric non-degenerate representation on $L^{\infty}(\mu)$ for localizable μ if and only if X is compact.*

Proof. If X is not compact and $\pi : C_0(X) \rightarrow B(L^{\infty}(\mu))$ is isometric and non-degenerate then, in the notation of Theorem 2.12, $\pi_0(C_0(X))$ is a non-unital subalgebra of $L^{\infty}(\mu)$. Hence $\overline{\pi(C_0(X))L^{\infty}(\mu)} = \overline{\pi_0(C_0(X))L^{\infty}(\mu)}$ is a non-trivial (non-unital) ideal in $L^{\infty}(\mu)$, which contradicts the non-degeneracy of π . If X is compact, then the embedding $\pi_0 : C(X) \rightarrow \ell^{\infty}(X)$ gives an isometric unital representation of $C(X)$ on $\ell^{\infty}(X)$. \square

Remark 2.15. Corollary 2.14 is perhaps one of the reasons why Phillips [60, Page 3] suggests that instead of L^{∞} -algebras “it may well be more appropriate to consider” algebras isometrically represented on C_0 -spaces, i.e. spaces $C_0(\Omega)$ for a locally compact Hausdorff space Ω . In fact, it is also natural to consider pre-duals of L^1 -spaces, i.e. *Lindenstrauss spaces*, cf. Corollary 4.18 below.

For $p = 2$, Theorem 2.12 holds up to a unitary conjugacy.

Lemma 2.16. *For any representation $\pi : C_0(X) \rightarrow B(H)$ on a Hilbert space H , there is a localizable measure μ and a unitary $U : H \rightarrow L^2(\mu)$ such that $U\pi(\cdot)U^* : C_0(X) \rightarrow B(L^2(\mu))$ is given by multiplication operators, i.e. there is a representation $\pi_0 : C_0(X) \rightarrow L^{\infty}(\mu)$ such that $U\pi(a)U^*\xi = \pi_0(a) \cdot \xi$.*

Proof. The algebra $\pi(C_0(X))$ acts on the orthogonal complement of $\overline{\pi(C_0(X))H}$ as zero, and we have $\overline{\pi(C_0(X))H} \cong \ell^2(I)$ for some set I . The restriction of π to $\overline{\pi(C_0(X))H}$ decomposes into a direct sum of cyclic representations $\{\pi_i\}_{i \in I}$. By the GNS construction and the Markov–Riesz Theorem each cyclic part $\pi_i : C_0(X) \rightarrow B(H_i)$ is equivalent to a representation of $C_0(X)$ given by multiplication on $L^2(\mu_i)$, where μ_i is a regular (and hence localizable) measure on X . Taking the direct sum of all the arising measure spaces we get a space $L^2(\mu)$ with localizable μ and a unitary U with desired properties. \square

2.3. Inverse semigroups and étale groupoids. Let S be a semigroup. An element $t \in S$ is called *partially invertible* if there is an element $t^* \in S$ such that $t = tt^*t$ and $t^* = t^*tt^*$. Then t^*t and tt^* are idempotents, and we call t^* a *generalized inverse* for t . The semigroup S is called an *inverse semigroup* if every element in S has a unique generalized inverse. Assume this. Then the map $t \mapsto t^*$ is an anti-multiplicative involution, and any semigroup homomorphism between two inverse semigroups is automatically $*$ -preserving. The set of idempotents

$$E(S) := \{e \in S : e^2 = e\} = \{tt^* : t \in S\} = \{t^*t : t \in S\}$$

is an abelian semigroup (see for instance [57, Proposition 2.1.1]). A partial order on S is defined by $s \leq t$ if and only if $s = ts^*s$, if and only if there is $e \in E(S)$ with $s = te$, $s, t \in S$, see [57]. If S has a unit 1 then $e \in E(S)$ if and only if $e \leq 1$.

A *partial bijection* on a set X is a bijection $h : U \rightarrow h(U)$ between subsets $U, h(U)$ of X . The set $\text{PBij}(X)$ of all partial bijections on X form an inverse semigroup with composition of $h : U \rightarrow h(U)$ and $f : V \rightarrow f(V)$ defined as the bijection $h \circ f : f^{-1}(U \cap f(V)) \rightarrow h(U \cap f(V))$. Then $h^* = h^{-1} : h(U) \rightarrow U$, $h \in E(\text{PBij}(X))$ if and only if $h = \text{id}|_U$ for some $U \subseteq X$, and $h \leq f$ if and only if f is an extension of h . Every inverse semigroup S can be viewed as a subsemigroup of $\text{PBij}(S)$, where $h_t : S_{t^*} \rightarrow S_t$ is given by $h_t(s) := ts$ and $S_t := \{s \in S : ss^* \leq t^*t\}$ for all $t \in S$, see [57, Proposition 2.1.3]. A *partial homeomorphism* on a topological space X is a homeomorphism $h : U \rightarrow h(U)$ between two open subsets U and $h(U)$ of X . Partial homeomorphisms form an inverse subsemigroup of $\text{PBij}(X)$ that we denote by $\text{PHomeo}(X)$.

Definition 2.17. An *action of an inverse semigroup S on a topological space X* is a non-degenerate semigroup homomorphism $h : S \rightarrow \text{PHomeo}(X)$: a family of partial homeomorphisms $h_t : X_{t^*} \rightarrow X_t$ such that $h_t \circ h_s = h_{ts}$ for all $s, t \in S$, and $\bigcup_{t \in S} X_t = X$.

A groupoid is a small category \mathcal{G} in which every arrow is invertible. If \mathcal{G} (identified with the set of arrows) is equipped with a topology that makes the range, domain, composition, and inverse of arrows continuous, we call \mathcal{G} a *topological groupoid*. We denote by $X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ the *unit space* of \mathcal{G} . Let \mathcal{G} be an *étale groupoid* with unit space X , i.e. \mathcal{G} is a topological groupoid where the range and domain maps $r, d : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ are local homeomorphisms. In particular, X is an open subset of \mathcal{G} . We will assume that X is a locally compact Hausdorff space, in which case \mathcal{G} is necessarily locally compact and locally Hausdorff, but \mathcal{G} is Hausdorff if and only if X is closed in \mathcal{G} , see for instance [70, Lemma 2.3.2]. A *bisection* of a groupoid \mathcal{G} is an open set $U \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ such that the restrictions $r|_U$ and $d|_U$ are homeomorphisms onto open sets of \mathcal{G} . So a topological groupoid is étale if and only if it can be covered by bisections.

Example 2.18 (Inverse semigroup actions from étale groupoids). The set of bisections $\text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ of an étale groupoid \mathcal{G} forms a unital inverse semigroup with multiplication and involution given by

$$U \cdot V := \{\gamma\eta : \gamma \in U, \eta \in V \text{ are composable}\}, \quad U^* = U^{-1} := \{\gamma^{-1} : \gamma \in U\}.$$

Then X is a unit of $\text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$. For each $U \in \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ we have a homeomorphism

$$h_U := r \circ d|_U^{-1} : d(U) \rightarrow r(U),$$

and these homeomorphisms define a *canonical action* $h : \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{PHomeo}(X)$ on the unit space X [31, Proposition 5.3]. In fact we may view this action as a restriction of a partial action $\tilde{h} : \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{PHomeo}(\mathcal{G})$ on the groupoid \mathcal{G} , where $\tilde{h}_U : r^{-1}(d(U)) \rightarrow r^{-1}(r(U))$ is given by $\tilde{h}_U(\gamma) = d|_U^{-1}(r(\gamma))\gamma$. In other words, $\tilde{h}_U(\gamma) = \eta\gamma$, where η is the unique element in U that can be composed with γ . Using this interpretation one sees that \tilde{h} is indeed an inverse semigroup action. It restricts to the canonical action h in the sense that $h = r \circ \tilde{h}|_X$.

Example 2.19 (Étale groupoids from inverse semigroup actions). For any inverse semigroup action $h : S \rightarrow \text{PHomeo}(X)$ the *transformation groupoid* $S \times_h X$ is defined as follows (see [57, p. 140] or [31, Section 4]). The arrows of $S \times_h X$ are equivalence classes of pairs (t, x) for $x \in X_{t^*} \subseteq X$; two pairs (t, x) and (t', x') are equivalent if $x = x'$ and there is $v \in S$ with $v \leq t, t'$ and $x \in X_{v^*}$. The range and domain maps $r, d : S \times_h X \rightrightarrows X$ and the multiplication are defined by $r([t, x]) := h_t(x)$, $d([t, x]) := x$, and $[s, h_t(x)] \cdot [t, x] = [s \cdot t, x]$ for $x \in X_{(st)^*}$. We give $S \times_h X$ the unique topology such that the sets $U_t := \{[t, x] : x \in X_{t^*}\}$ are open bisections of $S \times_h X$. Then $S \times_h X$ is an étale groupoid and the map $S \ni t \mapsto U_t \in \text{Bis}(S \times_h X)$ is a semigroup homomorphism. Composing it with the canonical homomorphism $\text{Bis}(S \times_h X) \rightarrow \text{PHomeo}(X)$ we recover the action h . Composing it with the canonical homomorphism $\text{Bis}(S \times_h X) \rightarrow \text{PHomeo}(S \times_h X)$ we get an extension \tilde{h} of h where $\tilde{h}_t : \{[s, x] : x \in h_s^{-1}(X_{t^*})\} \rightarrow \{[s, x] : x \in h_s^{-1}(X_t)\}$ is given by $\tilde{h}_t([s, x]) := [ts, x]$. In this way every inverse semigroup action can be viewed as coming from an étale groupoid, and then it can be extended to an action on this groupoid. Conversely, for any étale groupoid \mathcal{G} and any inverse subsemigroup $S \subseteq \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$, acting canonically on X , we have a continuous open groupoid homomorphism $S \times_h X \ni [U, x] \mapsto (d|_U)^{-1}(x) \in \mathcal{G}$. This is an isomorphism $S \times_h X \cong \mathcal{G}$ if and only if S is *wide* in the sense that S covers \mathcal{G} and $U \cap V$ is a union of bisections in S for all $U, V \in S$, see [31, Proposition 5.4], [51, Proposition 2.2]. In particular, every étale groupoid is a transformation groupoid.

2.4. L^p -partial isometries on Banach spaces and spatial partial isometries. Partial isometries acting on arbitrary Banach spaces were defined by Mbekhta [55, Definition 4.1], see also [19, 8.1], and in Banach algebras the definition can be phrased as follows.

Definition 2.20. A *partial isometry in a Banach algebra* B is a partially invertible element t in the semigroup B_1 of contractive elements, so $t \in B_1$ and there exists $t^* \in B_1$ such that $t = tt^*t$ and $t^* = t^*tt^*$. If B is unital and complex we say that $t \in B$ is an *MP-partial isometry* if t is contractive and admits a contractive Moore–Penrose generalized inverse $t^* \in B$, i.e. t^* is a generalised inverse of t and the idempotents tt^* and t^*t are hermitian.

If B is a C^* -algebra (real or complex) then a contractive generalized inverse to a partial isometry $t \in B$ is necessarily the adjoint element $t^* \in B$, see [55, 3.1, 3.3] and Remark 2.9, so in this case both notions in Definition 2.20 coincide with the usual notion of partial isometry in a C^* -algebra. However, for many Banach spaces E , including $L^1(\mu)$, c_0 , ℓ^∞ , a partial isometry $t \in B(E)$ may have many contractive generalized inverses. On the other hand, if the Moore–Penrose generalized inverse exists it is necessarily unique. So the *MP-partial isometries* have uniquely determined generalized inverse *MP-partial isometries*. Partial isometries usually do not form a semigroup (the product of two partial isometries $s, t \in B$ in a C^* -algebra B is a partial isometry if and only if the projections s^*s and tt^* commute, see [41]). For

$p \in [1, \infty] \setminus \{2\}$ and any Banach space E we introduce a natural inverse semigroup of partial isometries in $B(E)$. Recall, see [8], [3], that an L^p -projection on E is an idempotent $P \in B(E)$ such that for all $\xi \in E$ we have

$$\|\xi\|^p = \|P\xi\|^p + \|(1 - P)\xi\|^p$$

when $p < \infty$ and $\|\xi\| = \max\{\|P\xi\|, \|(1 - P)\xi\|\}$ when $p = \infty$. The L^2 -projections on a Hilbert space are simply the orthogonal projections. If $p \neq 2$, then all L^p -projections on E commute and they form a Boolean algebra $\mathbb{P}_p(E) \subseteq B(E)$. The Banach algebra $C_p(E) := \overline{\text{span}} \mathbb{P}_p(E)$ generated by $\mathbb{P}_p(E)$ is called the p -Cunningham algebra of E , and we have $C_p(E) \cong C_0(X)$ where X is a totally disconnected space (the Stone dual to $\mathbb{P}_p(E)$), see [8] for details. We extend the notion of L^p -projection to partial isometries as follows:

Definition 2.21. An L^p -partial isometry on a Banach space E , $p \in [1, \infty]$, is a contraction $T \in B(E)$ that has a contractive generalized inverse $T^* \in B(E)$ such that TT^* and T^*T are L^p -projections. We denote by $L^p\text{-PIso}(E)$ the set of all L^p -partial isometries on E .

Proposition 2.22. For $p \in [1, \infty] \setminus \{2\}$ the set $L^p\text{-PIso}(E)$ is an inverse semigroup whose idempotents are $\mathbb{P}_p(E)$.

Proof. Let $S, T \in L^p\text{-PIso}(E)$ and choose the corresponding generalized inverses $S^*, T^* \in L^p\text{-PIso}(E)$, so that the corresponding idempotents TT^*, T^*T, SS^*, S^*S are in $\mathbb{P}_p(E)$. By commutativity of these idempotents, S^*T^* is a generalized inverse of TS . Using the L^p -projection property of SS^*, T^*T, TT^* and that T^* is an isometry on the range of T (and vice versa), for any $x \in E$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|TSS^*T^*x\|^p &= \|T^*TSS^*T^*x\|^p = \|SS^*(T^*T)T^*x\|^p = \|SS^*T^*x\|^p \\ &= \|T^*x\|^p - \|(1 - SS^*)T^*x\|^p = \|TT^*x\|^p - \|T^*x - SS^*(T^*T)T^*x\|^p \\ &= \|x\|^p - \|(1 - TT^*)x\|^p - \|TT^*x - TSS^*T^*x\|^p \\ &= \|x\|^p - \|(1 - TSS^*T^*)x\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $TSS^*T^* \in \mathbb{P}_p(E)$. By symmetry we also get $S^*T^*TS \in \mathbb{P}_p(E)$. Hence $TS \in L^p\text{-PIso}(E)$, and so $L^p\text{-PIso}(E)$ is a semigroup. Assume in addition that T is the generalized inverse of S . Using that S^*S is an L^p -projection, on one hand we have

$$\|TSx\|^p = \|S^*STSx\|^p + \|(1 - S^*S)TSx\|^p = \|S^*Sx\|^p + \|TSx - S^*Sx\|^p.$$

On the other hand, $\|TSx\| = \|STSx\| = \|Sx\| = \|S^*Sx\|$. Hence $\|TSx - S^*Sx\|^p = 0$ and so $TS = S^*S$. Similarly one shows that $ST = SS^*$. This implies that $T = S^*$, (cf. [49, Proposition 2.4]), and proves the uniqueness of the generalized inverse in $L^p\text{-PIso}(E)$. \square

If $E = L^p(\mu)$ for a localizable measure μ and $p \in [1, \infty] \setminus \{2\}$, then $P \in B(L^p(\mu))$ is an L^p -projection if and only if P is an operator of multiplication by a characteristic function, see [8, Proposition 4.9] (when $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ this can be inferred from Proposition 2.11). In particular, we have an isomorphism of Boolean algebras $\mathbb{P}_p(L^p(\mu)) \cong [\Sigma_\mu]$. We now discuss the relationship between $L^p\text{-PIso}(L^p(\mu))$ and spatial partial isometries, originally introduced (for σ -finite measures) by Phillips [59], [60].

Definition 2.23. A subspace of a measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) is $(D, \Sigma_D, \mu|_D)$ where $D \in \Sigma$, $\Sigma_D := \{C \cap D : C \in \Sigma\}$ and $\mu|_D := \mu|_{\Sigma_D}$. A partial set automorphism of (Ω, Σ, μ) is a set isomorphism between two subspaces of (Ω, Σ, μ) . So a partial set automorphism is a map $\Phi : \Sigma_{D_{\Phi^*}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{D_\Phi}$ ($D_\Phi, D_{\Phi^*} \in \Sigma$) that descends to a Boolean isomorphism $[\Phi] : [\Sigma_{D_{\Phi^*}}] \rightarrow$

$[\Sigma_{D_\Phi}]$. We define $\text{PAut}([\Sigma_\mu]) := \{[\Phi] : \Phi \text{ is a partial set automorphism}\}$ for the set of partial automorphisms of the Boolean algebra $[\Sigma_\mu]$.

By definition $\text{PAut}([\Sigma_\mu])$ is the set of isomorphisms between ideals in the Boolean algebra $[\Sigma_\mu]$. It forms an inverse semigroup that can be identified with an inverse semigroup of operators on $L_0(\mu)$. Indeed, a partial automorphism Φ defines the composition operator isomorphism $T_\Phi : L_0(\mu|_{D_{\Phi^*}}) \rightarrow L_0(\mu|_{D_\Phi})$ whose inverse is given by T_{Φ^*} . Identifying $L_0(\mu|_{D_{\Phi^*}})$ and $L_0(\mu|_{D_\Phi})$ with subspaces of $L_0(\mu)$ we see that Φ determines uniquely a linear operator $T_\Phi : L_0(\mu) \rightarrow L_0(\mu)$ such that T_Φ preserves (monotone) limits and

$$T_\Phi 1_C = 1_{\Phi(C \cap D_{\Phi^*})}, \quad C \in \Sigma.$$

We still call $T_\Phi : L_0(\mu) \rightarrow L_0(\mu)$ a (generalized) *composition operator*. For any two partial automorphisms $\Phi : \Sigma_{D_{\Phi^*}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{D_\Phi}$ and $\Psi : \Sigma_{D_{\Psi^*}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{D_\Psi}$ we have $T_\Phi \circ T_\Psi = T_{\Phi \circ \Psi}$, where $\Phi \circ \Psi : \Sigma_{\Psi^*(D_{\Phi^*} \cap D_\Psi)} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\Phi(D_{\Phi^*} \cap D_\Psi)}$ is a well defined partial automorphism of (Ω, Σ, μ) . Also T_{Φ^*} is the unique generalized inverse for T_Φ among the composition operators. In particular, composition operators on $L_0(\mu)$ form an inverse semigroup naturally isomorphic to $\text{PAut}([\Sigma_\mu])$. By the very definition of the partial automorphism $\Phi : \Sigma_{D_{\Phi^*}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{D_\Phi}$, the map $\mu \circ \Phi : \Sigma_{D_{\Phi^*}} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is a measure on D_{Φ^*} equivalent to $\mu|_{D_{\Phi^*}}$. Thus we may consider the Radon–Nikodym derivative $\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi}{d\mu|_{D_{\Phi^*}}}$ as a function on Ω which is zero outside D_{Φ^*} . Similar remarks apply to the inverse partial automorphism $\Phi^* : \Sigma_{D_\Phi} \rightarrow \Sigma_{D_{\Phi^*}}$.

Proposition 2.24. *Let $p \in [1, \infty]$ and μ be localizable. For any $[\Phi] \in \text{PAut}([\Sigma_\mu])$ the weighted composition operator $U_\Phi := \left(\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi^*}{d\mu|_{D_\Phi}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} T_\Phi$ is a well defined partial isometry on $L^p(\mu)$, and the map $\text{PAut}([\Sigma_\mu]) \ni [\Phi] \mapsto U_\Phi \in B(L^p(\mu))$ is a semigroup embedding. The semigroup generated by $U_\Phi \in B(L^p(\mu))$, $[\Phi] \in \text{PAut}([\Sigma_\mu])$, and $UL^\infty(\mu) \subseteq B(L^p(\mu))$ is an inverse semigroup of partial isometries of the form*

$$(2.2) \quad \omega U_\Phi := \omega \left(\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi^*}{d\mu|_{D_\Phi}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} T_\Phi$$

where $\Phi : \Sigma_{D_{\Phi^*}} \rightarrow \Sigma_{D_\Phi}$ is a partial set automorphism and $\omega : D_\Phi \rightarrow \{z \in \mathbb{F} : |z| = 1\}$ is measurable. The operator ωU_Φ determines Φ and ω uniquely up to sets of measure zero. Moreover,

$$(2.3) \quad (\omega U_\Phi)^* = T_{\Phi^*}(\bar{\omega})U_{\Phi^*}, \quad (\omega U_\Phi) \circ (v U_\Psi) = \omega T_\Phi(v)U_{\Phi \circ \Psi}.$$

Proof. This follows from [59, Lemma 6.12, 6.17] where σ -finite measures were considered, but the arguments work for localizable measures. \square

Definition 2.25. We denote by $\text{SPIso}(L^p(\mu))$ the inverse subsemigroup of operators (2.2) and call them *spatial partial isometries* on $L^p(\mu)$ [59, Definition 6.4], [35, Definition 6.2].

Remark 2.26. The semilattice of idempotents in $\text{SPIso}(L^p(\mu))$ is isomorphic to $[\Sigma_\mu]$, as it consists of multiplication operators of idempotent elements in $L^\infty(\mu)$. The group of invertible elements in $\text{SPIso}(L^p(\mu))$ is isomorphic to $UL^\infty(\mu) \rtimes \text{Aut}([\Sigma_\mu])$, see Proposition 2.4.

Theorem 2.27 (Banach–Lamperti theorem for partial isometries). *Let $p \in [1, \infty] \setminus \{2\}$. A contraction $T \in B(L^p(\mu))$ is a spatial partial isometry if and only if it has a contractive generalized inverse $T^* \in B(L^p(\mu))$ such that both TT^* and T^*T are multiplication operators on $L^p(\mu)$. Thus spatial partial isometries coincide with L^p -partial isometries on $L^p(\mu)$:*

$$\text{SPIso}(L^p(\mu)) = L^p\text{-PIso}(L^p(\mu)),$$

and in the complex case also with *MP*-partial isometries in $B(L^p(\mu))$.

Proof. Using (2.3) we see that

$$(\omega U_\Phi)^* \omega U_\Phi = T_{\Phi^*}(\overline{\omega}) U_{\Phi^*} \circ \omega U_\Phi = U_{\Phi^* \circ \Phi} = U_{\text{id}_{\Sigma_{D_{\Phi^*}}}} = 1_{D_{\Phi^*}}$$

is the operator of multiplication by the characteristic function of the domain D_{Φ^*} of Φ . Conversely, if $T \in B(L^p(\mu))$ is a partial isometry with a contractive generalized inverse $T^* \in B(L^p(\mu))$ such that both T^*T and TT^* are multiplication operators on $L^p(\mu)$, say by functions 1_{D^*} and 1_D respectively, then T restricts to an invertible isometry $T : L^p(\mu|_{D^*}) \rightarrow L^p(\mu|_D)$. Hence T is of the form (2.2) by Proposition 2.5. In particular, $\text{SPIso}(L^p(\mu)) = L^p\text{-PIso}(L^p(\mu))$. If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ and $T \in B(L^p(\mu))$ is an *MP*-partial isometry and T^* is its Moore–Penrose generalized inverse, then the idempotents T^*T and TT^* are operators of multiplication by characteristic functions of some measurable sets, by Proposition 2.11. \square

3. TWISTED INVERSE SEMIGROUP ACTIONS AND THEIR CROSSED PRODUCTS

We introduce Banach algebra crossed products by twisted inverse semigroup actions, that generalize C^* -algebraic constructions introduced by Buss and Exel in [15].

3.1. The crossed product. By an *ideal* in a Banach algebra we always mean a closed two-sided ideal. A *partial automorphism* on a Banach algebra A is an isometric isomorphism $\alpha : I \rightarrow J$ between two ideals I, J of A . The partial automorphisms on A form an inverse subsemigroup of $\text{PBij}(A)$ that we denote by $\text{PAut}(A)$.

Definition 3.1. An *action of a unital inverse semigroup S on a Banach algebra A* is a unital semigroup homomorphism $\alpha : S \rightarrow \text{PAut}(A)$. Thus an action of S on A consists of (closed, two-sided) ideals I_t of A and isometric isomorphisms $\alpha_t : I_{t^*} \rightarrow I_t$ for all $t \in S$, such that $\alpha_1 = \text{id}_A$ and $\alpha_s \circ \alpha_t = \alpha_{st}$ for all $s, t \in S$.

Remark 3.2. If A is a C^* -algebra then all ideals I_t are closed under involution, and all isometric isomorphisms $\alpha_t : I_{t^*} \rightarrow I_t$ are necessarily $*$ -preserving. Hence Definition 3.1 is consistent with the well established C^* -algebraic version [68].

Example 3.3. If $A = C_0(X)$ for a locally compact Hausdorff space X then $\text{PAut}(A) \cong \text{PHomeo}(X)$. Indeed, every ideal I in A is of the form $I = C_0(U)$ for an open set $U \subseteq X$ (we treat elements in $C_0(U)$ as functions in $C_0(X)$ that vanish outside U), and any partial automorphism $\alpha : I \rightarrow J$ is given by the composition $\alpha(a) = a \circ h^{-1}$ with a partial homeomorphism $h : U \rightarrow V$, where $I = C_0(U)$ and $J = C_0(V)$. Thus inverse semigroup actions on the Banach algebra $C_0(X)$ are equivalent to inverse semigroup actions on the topological space X .

Twisted inverse semigroup actions on C^* -algebras were first introduced by Sieben [69], but a more general definition given by Buss and Exel in [15] is needed for a number of problems. To generalize this to Banach algebras it seems reasonable to assume that all ideals involved in an action have (*contractive, two-sided*) *approximate units*. This in particular guarantees that the corresponding multiplier algebras have good properties and various approaches to multipliers coincide. We refer to [23], see also [24] and references therein, for more details. Following [24, Section 2], for a Banach algebra A we define the *multiplier algebra* as

$$\mathcal{M}(A) := \{(L, R) : L, R : A \rightarrow A \text{ satisfy } aL(b) = R(a)b \text{ for all } a, b \in A\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{M}(A)$ is automatically a unital closed subalgebra of the ℓ^∞ -direct sum $B(A) \oplus B(A)^{\text{op}}$, so in particular it is a Banach algebra with the norm $\|(L, R)\| = \max\{\|L\|, \|R\|\}$. Also $\mathcal{M}(A)$ is complete in the strict topology, which is defined by the seminorms $(L, R) \mapsto \|L(a)\| + \|R(a)\|$, $a \in A$. Every $a \in A$ yields a multiplier (L_a, R_a) where $L_a(b) := ab$, $R_a(b) := ba$, and if A has a contractive approximate unit the resulting map is isometric and allows us to treat A as an ideal of $\mathcal{M}(A)$. Then any isometric automorphism $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ extends uniquely to an isometric automorphism $\bar{\alpha} : \mathcal{M}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A)$. We denote by

$$\mathcal{UM}(A) := \{u \in \mathcal{M}(A) : u \text{ is invertible and } \|u\| = \|u^{-1}\| = 1\},$$

the group of invertible isometries in $\mathcal{M}(A)$.

Definition 3.4 (cf. [15, Definition 4.1]). A *twisted action* of an inverse semigroup S on a Banach algebra A is a pair (α, u) , where $\alpha = \{\alpha_t\}_{t \in S}$ is a family of partial automorphisms $\alpha_t : I_t^* \rightarrow I_t$ of A , such that each ideal I_t contains an approximate unit and their union is linearly dense in A , and $u = \{u(s, t)\}_{s, t \in S}$ is a family of unitary multipliers $u(s, t) \in \mathcal{UM}(I_{st})$, $t, s \in S$, such that the following holds for all $r, s, t \in S$ and $e, f \in E(S)$:

- (A1) $\alpha_s \circ \alpha_t = \text{Ad}_{u(s, t)} \alpha_{st}$;
- (A2) $\alpha_r(au(s, t))u(r, st) = \alpha_r(a)u(r, s)u(rs, t)$ for $a \in I_r^* \cap I_{st}$;
- (A3) $u(e, f) = 1_{ef}$ and $u(t, t^*t) = u(tt^*, t) = 1_t$, where 1_t is the unit of $\mathcal{M}(I_t)$;
- (A4) $u(t^*, e)u(t^*e, t)a = u(t^*, t)a$ for all $a \in I_{t^*et}$.

Remark 3.5. All properties in [15, Lemma 4.6] hold for the maps and ideals involved in a twisted action on a Banach algebra as defined above. In particular, for all $s, t \in S$ and $e \in E(S)$ we have

$$I_t = I_{tt^*}, \quad \bar{\alpha}_t(u(t^*, t)) = u(t, t^*), \quad \alpha_e = \text{id}|_{I_e}, \quad \alpha_s(I_{s^*} \cap I_t) = I_{st},$$

and $I_s \subseteq I_t$ whenever $s \leq t$. We will use these relations, often without warning.

The authors of [68], [69], [15] consider covariant representations on Hilbert spaces. We propose a more general definition of representations in Banach algebras. Our definition works best when the target algebra has a predual, which we explain in detail in Subsection 3.2 below. Therefore in general we will use the *double dual* B'' of a Banach algebra B (which has a predual B' by construction). Recall that B'' is again naturally a Banach algebra with either of the Arens products, in which B sits as a B' -weakly dense Banach algebra. We will consider B'' equipped with the *second Arens product*, which for $a, b \in B''$ is determined by the formula $a \cdot b := B' \text{-} \lim_\beta B' \text{-} \lim_\alpha a_\alpha b_\beta$ where $\{a_\alpha\}_\alpha, \{b_\beta\}_\beta \subseteq B$ are nets that are convergent to a and b respectively, in the weak* topology on B'' induced by B' . The first Arens product is given by $a \square b := B' \text{-} \lim_\alpha B' \text{-} \lim_\beta a_\alpha b_\beta$, and B is called *Arens regular* if the two products coincide, see [23] for more details. Our decision to use the second Arens product is arbitrary, and in any case we will be primarily interested in products $av \in B$ where $a \in B$ and $v \in B''$, in which case the first and second Arens products always agree. Also recall that every C^* -algebra (complex or real) is Arens regular.

For any representation $\pi : A \rightarrow B$ the double adjoint $\pi'' : A'' \rightarrow B''$ is a B' -weakly continuous representation that extends π , and π'' is isometric whenever π is. In particular, for every Banach subalgebra $A \subseteq B$ we may identify A'' with a subalgebra of B'' . Also any contractive approximate unit $\{\mu_i\}$ in A converges A' -weakly to a left identity 1_A in A'' (and a right identity for the first Arens product in A'') and the map $(L, R) \mapsto L''(1_A)$ is an isometric embedding allowing us to assume that $\mathcal{M}(A) \subseteq \{b \in A'' : ba, ab \in A \text{ for all } a \in A\} \subseteq A''$, see

[23, Proposition 2.9.16 and Theorem 2.9.49]. In particular, for each ideal I in A , which has an approximate unit, we will adopt the identifications $I \subseteq \mathcal{M}(I) \subseteq I'' \subseteq A''$.

Definition 3.6. Let (α, u) be a twisted action of S on A . A *covariant representation of (α, u) in a Banach algebra B* is a pair (π, v) , where $\pi : A \rightarrow B$ is a representation and $v : S \rightarrow (B'')_1$ is a map into contractive elements in B'' , such that:

- (CR1) $v_t \pi(a) = \pi(\alpha_t(a)) v_t \in B$ for all $a \in I_{t^*}$;
- (CR2) $\pi(a) v_s v_t = \pi(au(s, t)) v_{st}$ for all $a \in I_{st}$, $s, t \in S$;
- (CR3) $\pi(a) v_e = \pi(a)$ for all $a \in I_e$, $e \in E(S)$.

We call $B(\pi, v) := \overline{\text{span}}\{\pi(a_t) v_t : a_t \in I_t, t \in S\}$ the *range* of (π, v) . We say that (π, v) is injective, isometric, etc. if π has that property. We also say that (π, v) is *B' -normalized* if

- (CR4) $v_t = B' \text{-} \lim_i (\pi(\mu_i^t) v_t)$, for all $t \in S$, where $\{\mu_i^t\}_i$ is an approximate unit in $I_t = I_{tt^*}$.

Remark 3.7. Condition (CR1) is equivalent to $\pi(a) v_t = v_t \pi(\alpha_t^{-1}(a)) \in B$ for all $a \in I_t$, $t \in S$. It implies that each v_t can be viewed as a “partial multiplier” of B , and $B(\pi, v) \subseteq B$. Conditions (CR1) and (CR3) imply that $v_e \pi(a) = \pi(a)$ for all $a \in I_e$, $e \in E(S)$. Employing also (CR2) we get

$$(3.4) \quad v_t \pi(a) v_{t^*} = \pi(\alpha_t(a) u(t, t^*))$$

for all $a \in I_{t^*}$, $t \in S$. Also, (CR3) and (CR4) imply that $v_e = \pi''(1_e)$ for every $e \in E(S)$.

Notice that the elements v_t of Definition 3.6 are not required to be partial isometries. The definition of the generalised inverse v_t^* of v_t in the proof below involves both v_{t^*} and the twist u , so the covariance conditions (3.4) above and (1) below look different.

Proposition 3.8. *A pair (π, v) is a B' -normalized covariant representation of (α, u) if and only if $\pi : A \rightarrow B$ is a representation and $v : S \rightarrow (B'')_1$ takes values in partial isometries such that every v_t , $t \in S$, admits a contractive generalised inverse v_t^* , satisfying $\pi(a) v_t \in B$, for $a \in I_t$, $t \in S$, and*

- (1) $v_t \pi(a) v_t^* = \pi(\alpha_t(a))$ for all $a \in I_{t^*}$, $t \in S$;
- (2) $v_s v_t = \pi''(u(s, t)) v_{st}$ for all $s, t \in S$;
- (3) $v_t v_t^* = \pi''(1_t)$ and $v_t^* v_t = \pi''(1_{t^*})$ for all $t \in S$.

Moreover, for any covariant representation (π, v) of (α, u) in B there is a unique B' -normalized covariant representation (π, \tilde{v}) such that $\pi(a) v_t = \pi(a) \tilde{v}_t$ for all $a \in I_t$ and $t \in S$, namely $\tilde{v}_t = B' \text{-} \lim_i (\pi(\mu_i^t) v_t) = v_t \pi''(1_{t^*})$, $t \in S$.

Proof. Assume (π, v) is a pair with the properties described in the assertion. For every $t \in S$ and $a \in I_{t^*}$, using (3) and (1) we have

$$v_t \pi(a) = v_t \pi(a) \pi''(1_{t^*}) = v_t \pi(a) v_t^* v_t = \pi(\alpha_t(a)) v_t,$$

which is (CR1). Condition (2) immediately gives (CR2). By (3) we have $v_t = v_t v_t^* v_t = \pi''(1_t) v_t = v_t \pi''(1_{t^*})$. This implies (CR4) and that for $e \in E(S)$ we get $v_e v_e = \pi''(u(e, e)) v_e = \pi''(1_e) v_e = v_e$. Therefore $\pi''(1_e) = v_e^* v_e = v_e^* v_e v_e = \pi''(1_e) v_e = v_e$, and using this one gets (CR3). Hence (π, v) is a B' -normalized covariant representation of (α, u) .

For the converse let (π, v) be any B' -normalized covariant representation. For each $t \in S$ choose an approximate unit $\{\mu_i^t\}_i$ in $I_t = I_{tt^*}$. Using (CR1) and B' -continuity of multiplication in B'' in the second variable we get

$$B' \text{-} \lim_i (\pi(\mu_i^t) v_t) = B' \text{-} \lim_i (v_t \pi(\alpha_t^{-1}(\mu_i^t))) = v_t B' \text{-} \lim_i \pi(\alpha_t^{-1}(\mu_i^t)) = v_t \pi''(1_{t^*}),$$

because $\{\alpha_t^{-1}(\mu_i^t)\}_i$ is an approximate unit in I_{t^*} which is B' -convergent to 1_{t^*} . Thus $v_t = B' - \lim_i (\pi(\mu_i^t)v_t) = v_t\pi''(1_{t^*})$. Also (CR3) and (CR4) imply that $v_e = \pi''(1_e)$ for all $e \in E(S)$. We put $v_t^* := v_{t^*}\pi''(u(t, t^*)^{-1})$. Using (CR1), (A1) and (CR2), and being careful to use only B' -continuity of multiplication in B'' in the second variable, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
v_tv_t^* &= B' - \lim_i v_tv_{t^*}\pi(\mu_i^t u(t, t^*)^{-1}) \\
&= B' - \lim_i \pi(\alpha_t \circ \alpha_{t^*}(\mu_i^t u(t, t^*)^{-1}))v_tv_{t^*} \\
&= B' - \lim_i \pi(u(t, t^*)\mu_i^t u(t, t^*)^{-1}u(t, t^*)^{-1})v_tv_{t^*} \\
&= B' - \lim_i \pi(u(t, t^*)\mu_i^t u(t, t^*)^{-1}u(t, t^*)^{-1}u(t, t^*))v_{tt^*} \\
&= B' - \lim_i \pi''(u(t, t^*)\mu_i^t u(t, t^*)^{-1})v_{tt^*} = B' - \lim_i v_{tt^*}\pi''(u(t, t^*)\mu_i^t u(t, t^*)^{-1}) \\
&= v_{tt^*}\pi''(1_t) = \pi''(1_t).
\end{aligned}$$

By Remark 3.5 we have $\bar{\alpha}_{t^*}(u(t, t^*)^{-1}) = u(t^*, t)^{-1}$, so a similar calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned}
v_t^*v_t &= B' - \lim_i v_{t^*}\pi(u(t, t^*)^{-1}\mu_i^t)v_t = B' - \lim_i \pi(u(t^*, t)^{-1}\alpha_{t^*}(\mu_i^t))v_{t^*}v_t \\
&= B' - \lim_i \pi(u(t^*, t)^{-1}\alpha_{t^*}(\mu_i^t)u(t^*, t))v_{t^*}v_t = B' - \lim_i v_{t^*}v_t\pi(u(t^*, t)^{-1}\alpha_{t^*}(\mu_i^t)u(t^*, t)) \\
&= v_{t^*}v_t\pi''(1_{t^*}) = \pi''(1_{t^*}).
\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of (3) and shows that v_t and v_t^* are mutual generalized inverses. For $a \in I_{t^*}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
v_t\pi(a)v_t^* &= v_t\pi(a)v_{t^*}\pi''(u(t, t^*)^{-1}) = \pi(\alpha_t(a))v_tv_{t^*}\pi''(u(t, t^*)^{-1}) \\
&= \pi(\alpha_t(a))\pi''(u(t, t^*))v_{tt^*}\pi''(u(t, t^*)^{-1}) \\
&= \pi(\alpha_t(a))\pi''(u(t, t^*)u(t, t^*)^{-1}) = \pi(\alpha_t(a)),
\end{aligned}$$

which is (1). Furthermore, $\alpha_s''(1_{s^*}1_t) = 1_{st}$ because $\alpha_s(I_{s^*} \cap I_t) = I_{st}$ and therefore

$$v_sv_t = v_s\pi''(1_{s^*})\pi''(1_t)v_t = \pi''(1_{st})v_sv_t = \pi''(u(s, t))v_{st},$$

which proves (2). Hence (π, v) satisfies all properties in the assertion.

Now let (π, v) be any covariant representation of (α, u) in B . As above we see that the limit $\tilde{v}_t := B' - \lim_i (\pi(\mu_i^t)v_t) = v_t\pi''(1_{t^*})$ exists and does not depend on the choice of an approximate unit $\{\mu_i^t\}_i$ in I_t ($t \in S$). Clearly if (π, \tilde{v}) is a B' -normalized covariant representation satisfying $\pi(a)v_t = \pi(a)\tilde{v}_t$ for all $a \in I_t$ and $t \in S$, then each \tilde{v}_t must be given by such a limit, giving (CR4). For $a \in I_{t^*}$ we get

$$\tilde{v}_t\pi(a) = v_t\pi''(1_{t^*})\pi(a) = v_t\pi(a) = \pi(\alpha_t(a))v_t = \lim_i \pi(\alpha_t(a))\pi(\mu_i^t)v_t = \pi(\alpha_t(a))\tilde{v}_t.$$

This shows that (π, \tilde{v}) satisfies (CR1) and $\pi(a)v_t = \pi(a)\tilde{v}_t$ for all $a \in I_t$ and $t \in S$. Using this we get $\pi(a)\tilde{v}_e = \pi(a)v_e = \pi(a)$ for all $a \in I_e$, $e \in E(S)$, so (CR3) holds. Finally, for all $s, t \in S$ and $a \in I_{st}$ the calculation

$$\pi(a)\tilde{v}_s\tilde{v}_t = v_s\pi(\alpha_{s^*}(a))\tilde{v}_t = \pi(a)v_sv_t = \pi(au(s, t))v_{st} = \pi(au(s, t))\tilde{v}_{st}$$

gives (CR2). Thus (π, \tilde{v}) is a B' -normalized covariant representation of (α, u) . \square

Remark 3.9. If each I_t , $t \in S$, is unital then a covariant representation (π, v) is B' -normalized if and only if $v_t = \pi(1_t)v_t \in B$ for each $t \in S$, and Proposition 3.8 could be formulated without the use of the bidual algebra B'' and the extended representation π'' .

Lemma 3.10. *Let (π, v) be a covariant representation of α in a Banach algebra B . The range $B(\pi, v)$ is a Banach subalgebra of B . The spaces $A_t = \{\pi(a_t)v_t : a_t \in I_t\}$, $t \in S$, form a grading of $B(\pi, v)$ over the inverse semigroup S in the sense that*

$$B(\pi, v) = \overline{\text{span}}\{A_t : t \in S\}, \quad A_s A_t \subseteq A_{st}, \quad s \leq t \text{ implies } A_s \subseteq A_t,$$

for all $s, t \in S$. In fact, we have

- (1) $\pi(a_s)v_s \cdot \pi(a_t)v_t = \pi(\alpha_s(\alpha_s^{-1}(a_s)a_t)u(s, t))v_{st}$ and $\alpha_s(\alpha_s^{-1}(a_s)a_t) \in I_{st}$ for all $a_t \in I_t, a_s \in I_s, s, t \in S$;
- (2) $s \leq t$ implies $I_s \subseteq I_t$ and $\pi(a)v_s = \pi(au(ss^*, t)^{-1})v_t$ for any $a \in I_s$;

If in addition A and B are C^* -algebras then $(\pi(a_t)v_t)^* = \pi(\alpha_t^{-1}(a_t^*)u(t^*, t)^{-1})v_{t^*}$ for all $a_t \in I_t, t \in S$, so $A_t^* = A_{t^*}$, and $\{A_t\}_{t \in S}$ is a saturated grading of $B(\pi, v)$ over the inverse semigroup of S in the sense of [50, Definition 6.15], see also [31, Definition 7.1].

Proof. (1) For any $a_t \in I_t, a_s \in I_s, s, t \in S$, we have $\alpha_s^{-1}(a_s)a_t \in I_{s^*}I_t \subseteq I_{s^*} \cap I_t$ and so $\alpha_s(\alpha_s^{-1}(a_s)a_t) \in I_{st}$ because $\alpha_s(I_{s^*} \cap I_t) = I_{st}$, see Remark 3.5. Using (CR1) we get

$$\pi(a_s)v_s \cdot \pi(a_t)v_t = v_s \pi(\alpha_s^{-1}(a_s)) \cdot \pi(a_t)v_t = v_s \pi(\alpha_s^{-1}(a_s)a_t)v_t = \pi(\alpha_s(\alpha_s^{-1}(a_s)a_t)u(s, t))v_{st}.$$

(2) If $s \leq t$ then $I_s \subseteq I_t$ by Remark 3.5. Using (CR3) and (CR2), for any $a \in I_s$ we get $\pi(a)v_t = \pi(a)v_{ss^*}v_t = \pi(au(ss^*, t))v_{ss^*t} = \pi(au(ss^*, t))v_s$.

If B is a C^* -algebra then B'' is again a C^* -algebra – the enveloping W^* -algebra of B (this also holds in the real case, see [53, Theorem 5.5.3]). In particular, $\pi''(1_t)v_t = v_t\pi''(1_{t^*})$ is a partial isometry whose adjoint is $\pi''(u(t^*, t)^{-1})v_{t^*}$, see (the proof of) Proposition 3.8. Thus for $a_t \in I_t, t \in S$, we get $(\pi(a_t)v_t)^* = \left(v_t\pi''(1_{t^*})\pi(\alpha_t^{-1}(a_t))\right)^* = \pi(\alpha_t^{-1}(a_t))^* (\pi''(1_{t^*})v_t)^* = \pi(\alpha_t^{-1}(a_t))^* \pi''(u(t^*, t)^{-1})v_{t^*}$. If in addition A is a C^* -algebra then both π and α_t are necessarily $*$ -preserving, and thus $(\pi(a_t)v_t)^* = \pi(\alpha_t^{-1}(a_t^*)u(t^*, t)^{-1})v_{t^*}$. \square

For any twisted action (α, u) the subspace $\ell^1(\alpha, u) := \{f \in \ell^1(S, A) : f(t) \in I_t \text{ for all } t \in S\}$ of $\ell^1(S, A)$ is a Banach algebra with multiplication

$$(f * g)(r) := \sum_{st=r} \alpha_s(\alpha_{s^*}(f(s))g(t))u(s, t),$$

see (the proof of) [69, Proposition 3.1]. If A is a C^* -algebra then in fact $\ell^1(\alpha, u)$ is a Banach $*$ -algebra with involution given by $f^*(t) := \alpha_t^{-1}(f(t^*))u(t^*, t)^{-1}$, $t \in S$. Lemma 3.10 (see also [69, Proposition 3.7]) implies that every covariant representation (π, v) of α in a Banach algebra B ‘integrates’ to a representation $\pi \times v : \ell^1(\alpha, u) \rightarrow B$ given by

$$\pi \times v(f) := \sum_{t \in S} \pi(f(t))v_t, \quad f \in \ell^1(\alpha, u),$$

and $\overline{\pi \times v(\ell^1(\alpha, u))} = B(\pi, v)$ is a Banach algebra.

Definition 3.11. For a fixed class \mathcal{R} of covariant representations of (α, u) we define the \mathcal{R} -crossed product for (α, u) , denoted $A \rtimes_{(\alpha, u), \mathcal{R}} S$, as the Hausdorff completion of $\ell^1(\alpha, u)$ in the seminorm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{R}} := \sup\{\|\pi \times v(f)\| : (\pi, v) \in \mathcal{R}\}.$$

The universal Banach algebra crossed product $A \rtimes_{(\alpha, u)} S$ is the crossed product associated to the class of all covariant representations of (α, u) .

Remark 3.12. If $S = G$ is a group then we necessarily have $I_t = A$ for all $t \in G$, so $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$, and $A \rtimes_{(\alpha, u)} G = \ell^1(\alpha, u)$ is $\ell^1(G, A)$ as a Banach space. When $A = C_0(X)$ we study such crossed products in [6], and in Subsection 7.1 below we discuss their generalizations to partial actions. For general A , but when the twist $u \equiv 1$ is trivial, (A, G, α) is a Banach algebra dynamical system in the sense of [26], and the algebras $A \rtimes_{\alpha, \mathcal{R}} G$ are examples of crossed products considered in [26].

3.2. Covariant representations in dual Banach algebras and on Banach spaces. We fix a twisted action (α, u) of an inverse semigroup S on A . We will now discuss situations, beyond the one described in Remark 3.9, when in the study of algebras generated by covariant representations we do not need to pass through the bidual algebra B'' .

Definition 3.13. Let (π, v) be a covariant representation of (α, u) in a Banach algebra B . If B has a predual Banach space B_* , we say that (π, v) is B_* -normalized if, for every $t \in S$, we have $v_t = B_*\text{-}\lim_i (\pi(\mu_i^t)v_t)$, for an approximate unit $\{\mu_i^t\}_i$ in $I_t = I_{t*}$. Here $B_*\text{-}\lim$ denotes the limit in the weak* topology on B induced by B_* .

Lemma 3.14. *If $B'_* \cong B$ and (π, v) is a $B_*\text{-normalized}$ covariant representation in B , then $v : S \rightarrow B$ takes values in B (rather than in B'').*

Proof. The limit $v_t = B_*\text{-}\lim_i \pi(\mu_i^t)v_t$ is in B as $\{\pi(\mu_i^t)v_t\}_i \subseteq B_1$ and B_1 is $B_*\text{-compact}$. \square

A dual Banach algebra is a pair (B, B_*) where B is a Banach algebra, B_* is a predual of B , and in addition multiplication in B is separately $B_*\text{-continuous}$, see [66], [24]. Examples of dual Banach algebras include all W^* -algebras (complex or real) with their unique preduals, and all algebras $B(E)$ of all bounded operators on a reflexive Banach space E , equipped with the canonical predual Banach space $E' \hat{\otimes} E$. By [44, Theorem 5.6], every representation $\pi : A \rightarrow B$ into a dual Banach algebra (B, B_*) has a unique extension to a representation $\bar{\pi} : \mathcal{M}(A) \rightarrow B$ which is strictly- $B_*\text{-continuous}$; it is given by $\bar{\pi}(m) := B_*\text{-}\lim_i \pi(m\mu_i)$ for an approximate unit $\{\mu_i\}_i$ in A .

Proposition 3.15. *Assume (B, B_*) is a dual Banach algebra. For any covariant representation (π, v) of (α, u) in B there is a unique $B_*\text{-normalized}$ covariant representation (π, \tilde{v}) such that $\pi(a)v_t = \pi(a)\tilde{v}_t$ for all $a \in I_t$ and $t \in S$. A pair (π, v) is a $B_*\text{-normalized}$ covariant representation of (α, u) in B if and only if $\pi : A \rightarrow B$ is a representation and $v : S \rightarrow B_1$ is a map such that every $v_t, t \in S$, admits a contractive generalised inverse v_t^* , satisfying*

$$v_t \pi(a)v_t^* = \pi(\alpha_t(a)), \quad v_s v_t = \pi_{st}(u(s, t))v_{st}, \quad v_t v_t^* = \pi_t(1_t) \text{ and } v_t^* v_t = \pi_{t*}(1_{t*}),$$

where $a \in I_{t*}$, $s, t \in S$ and $\pi_t : \mathcal{M}(I_t) \rightarrow B$ is the unique strictly- $B_*\text{-continuous}$ extension of $\pi|_{I_t}$.

Proof. Follow the proof of Proposition 3.8 (some arguments can be simplified as the multiplication in B is $B_*\text{-continuous}$ in both variables). \square

Remark 3.16. For any Banach algebra B the pair (B'', B') is a dual Banach algebra if and only if B is Arens regular. Thus Proposition 3.8 cannot be deduced from Proposition 3.15. We were not able to find a nice common generalization of these two statements.

Remark 3.17. Proposition 3.15 implies that a $B_*\text{-normalized}$ representation of an untwisted action α (an action with a trivial twist) in a dual Banach algebra B is a pair (π, v) , where $\pi : A \rightarrow B$ is a representation and $v : S \rightarrow B_1$ is a semigroup homomorphism, such that $v_t \pi(a)v_{t*} = \pi(\alpha_t(a))$ and $v_e = \pi_e(1_e)$ for $a \in I_{t*}$, $s, t \in S$, $e \in E(S)$.

We now relate the above discussion to representations on Banach spaces. Note that if $\pi : A \rightarrow B(E)$ is a representation then, for each $t \in S$, π yields a non-degenerate representation $I_t \rightarrow B(\overline{\pi(I_t)E})$ which thus uniquely extends to a representation

$$\overline{\pi}_t : \mathcal{M}(I_t) \rightarrow B(\overline{\pi(I_t)E}); \overline{\pi}_t(m)(\pi(a)x) := \pi(ma)x, \quad m \in \mathcal{M}(I_t), a \in I_t, x \in E,$$

see for instance [38, Theorem 4.1].

Definition 3.18. A *covariant representation of (α, u) on a Banach space E* is a pair (π, v) where $\pi : A \rightarrow B(E)$ is a representation and $v : S \rightarrow B(E)_1$ has the property that every v_t , $t \in S$, admits a contractive generalised inverse v_t^* , satisfying:

$$(SCR1) \quad v_t \pi(a) v_t^* = \pi(\alpha_t(a)) \text{ for all } a \in I_{t^*}, t \in S;$$

$$(SCR2) \quad \text{the ranges of } v_t \text{ and } v_t^* \text{ are } \overline{\pi(I_t)E} \text{ and } \overline{\pi(I_{t^*})E} \text{ respectively, for all } t \in S;$$

$$(SCR3) \quad v_s v_t = \overline{\pi}_{st}(u(s, t)) v_{st} \text{ for all } s, t \in S.$$

Equality in (SCR3) holds pointwise and it makes sense due to (SCR2). We say that (π, v) is non-degenerate, injective, etc. if π has that property.

Remark 3.19. If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, $E = H$ is a Hilbert space and A is a C^* -algebra then Definition 3.18 is equivalent to [69, Definition 3.2] and [15, Definition 6.2].

Lemma 3.20. *Let (π, v) be a covariant representation of (α, u) on a Banach space E . Then (π, v) is a covariant representation in the Banach algebra $B(E)$ such that each $v_e \in B(E)$, $e \in E(S)$, is a strong limit of $\{\pi(\mu_i^e)\}_i$, where $\{\mu_i^e\}_i$ is a contractive approximate unit in I_e .*

Proof. The arguments in the beginning of the proof of Proposition 3.8 show that (π, v) is a covariant representation in the Banach algebra $B(E)$. Then each $v_e \in B(E)$, $e \in E(S)$, is a projection onto $\overline{\pi(I_e)E}$ which commutes with elements of $\pi(I_e)$, and thus v_e is a strong limit of $\{\pi(\mu_i^e)\}_i$. \square

Corollary 3.21. *If A is a complex C^* -algebra and (π, v) is a non-degenerate covariant representation of (α, u) on a complex Banach space E then each v_t , $t \in S$, is an MP-partial isometry on E .*

Proof. Since π is non-degenerate we may extend it to the unitization of A and so we may assume that A and π are in fact unital. Since A is a C^* -algebra, for each $e \in E(S)$ we may choose an approximate unit $\{\mu_i^e\}_i$ in I_e consisting of hermitian operators. Then $\{\pi(\mu_i^e)\}_i$ are hermitian operators by [19, Lemma 2.4]. As v_e is a strong limit of $\{\pi(\mu_i^e)\}_i$, this implies that v_e is also hermitian. Indeed, recall that $a \in B(E)$ is hermitian if and only if its numerical range $V(a) = \{f(ax) : x \in E, f \in E', \|f\| = \|x\| = 1 = f(x)\}$ is real. Hence for any $x \in E$, $f \in E'$ with $\|f\| = \|x\| = 1 = f(x)$ we get $f(v_e x) = f(\lim_i \pi(\mu_i^e)x) \in \mathbb{R}$, and so v_e is hermitian. As $v_t v_t^* = v_{tt^*}$ and $v_t^* v_t = v_{t^*t}$, where $tt^*, t^*t \in E(S)$, for all $t \in S$, we conclude that $\{v_t\}_{t \in S} \subseteq B(E)_1$ are MP-partial isometries. \square

Lemma 3.22. *Assume that E is a reflexive Banach space, and recall that the projective tensor product Banach space $B_* := E' \widehat{\otimes} E$ is naturally a predual of $B := B(E)$ making it a dual Banach algebra. A covariant representation (π, v) of (α, u) in $B(E)$ is B_* -normalized if and only if (π, v) is a covariant representation on the Banach space E .*

Proof. We identify $f \otimes \xi \in E' \widehat{\otimes} E = B_*$ with the functional map $B \ni b \mapsto f \otimes \xi(b) := f(b\xi) \in \mathbb{F}$. Assume (π, v) is B_* -normalized, and so $\{v_t\}_{t \in S} \subseteq B(E)$ are partial isometries with the associated generalized inverses $\{v_t^*\}_{t \in S} \subseteq B(E)$, satisfying the relations described in Proposition 3.15, which include (SCR1). For $e \in E(S)$ we have $v_e = \pi_e(1_e) = B_*\text{-}\lim \pi(\mu_i^e)$,

where $\{\mu_i^e\}_i$ is an approximate unit in I_e . Thus $f(v_e\xi) = \lim_i f(\pi(\mu_i^e)\xi)$ for all $(f, \xi) \in E' \times E$, which implies that for any $\xi \in E$ we have $v_e\xi \in \overline{\pi(I_e)E}^{E'}$. As the weak and norm closures of convex sets coincide this means that the range of v_e is $\overline{\pi(I_e)E}$. Thus, for each $t \in S$, we have $v_{tt^*} = \pi_t(1_t)$ is a projection onto the space $\overline{\pi(I_t)E} = \overline{\pi(I_{tt^*})E}$. This implies (SCR2) because $v_t = \pi_t(1_t)v_t$ and $v_t^* = \pi_t(1_{t^*})v_t^*$. We also have $\pi_t(m) = \overline{\pi}_t(m)\pi_t(1_t)$ for $m \in \mathcal{M}(I_t)$, which immediately gives (SCR3) from $v_s v_t = \pi_{st}(u(s, t))v_{st}$.

Conversely, assume (π, v) is a covariant representation in the sense of Definition 3.18. By Lemma 3.20 each v_{tt^*} is a strong limit of $\{\pi(\mu_i^t)\}_i$, where $\{\mu_i^t\}_i$ is an approximate unit in $I_t = I_{tt^*}$. Using this, one sees that $v_{tt^*} = \pi_t(1_t)$ and $\pi_t(m) = \overline{\pi}_t(m)\pi_t(1_t)$ for $m \in \mathcal{M}(I_t)$. Now one readily gets the relations in Proposition 3.15. \square

Corollary 3.23. *For any covariant representation (π, v) of (α, u) in $B(E)$, where E is a reflexive Banach space, there is a unique covariant representation (π, \tilde{v}) on E such that $\pi(a)v_t = \pi(a)\tilde{v}_t$ for all $a \in I_t$ and $t \in S$ (in fact (π, \tilde{v}) is $E' \hat{\otimes} E$ -normalized).*

Proof. Combine Lemma 3.22 and Proposition 3.15. \square

Corollary 3.24. *Let (α, u) be a twisted inverse semigroup action on a C^* -algebra A . Let \mathcal{R}_{C^*} be the class of all covariant representations of (α, u) in some C^* -algebra, and let \mathcal{R}_{Hil} be the class of all covariant representations of (α, u) on some Hilbert space. Then*

$$A \rtimes_{(\alpha, u), \mathcal{R}_{C^*}} S = A \rtimes_{(\alpha, u), \mathcal{R}_{\text{Hil}}} S,$$

and when $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ this coincides with the crossed product introduced in [15], [69].

Proof. Since $\mathcal{R}_{\text{Hil}} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{C^*}$ we get $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{R}_{\text{Hil}}} \leq \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{R}_{C^*}}$. This is equality, because for any covariant representation $(\pi, v) \in \mathcal{R}_{C^*}$ in a C^* -algebra B , we may assume that the enveloping W^* -algebra B'' is faithfully represented on a Hilbert space H . Then, by Corollary 3.23, the renormalized covariant representation (π, \tilde{v}) is a covariant representation of α on H , so $(\pi, \tilde{v}) \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{Hil}}$. The claim follows because Corollary 3.23 implies $\pi \times v(f) = \pi \times \tilde{v}(f)$ for all $f \in \ell^1(\alpha, u)$. \square

4. GROUPOID BANACH ALGEBRAS AND INVERSE SEMIGROUP DISINTEGRATION

Let \mathcal{G} be an étale groupoid with locally compact Hausdorff unit space X . By a twist over \mathcal{G} we mean a Fell line bundle [47]. This is equivalent to twists introduced by Kumjian in [46], see [47, 2.5.iv]. More specifically, let \mathcal{L} be a line bundle over \mathcal{G} . Equivalently, $\mathcal{L} = \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}} L_\gamma$ is a topological space such that the canonical projection $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is continuous and open, each fiber $L_\gamma \cong \mathbb{F}$ is a one dimensional Banach space with a structure consistent with the topology of \mathcal{L} , in the sense that the maps

$$\bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}} L_\gamma \times L_\gamma \ni (z, w) \mapsto z + w \in \mathcal{L}, \quad \mathbb{F} \times \mathcal{L} \ni (\lambda, z) \mapsto \lambda z \in \mathcal{L}, \quad \mathcal{L} \ni z \mapsto |z| \in \mathbb{R}$$

are continuous. Then \mathcal{L} is necessarily locally trivial. For any open $U \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ we write $C(U, \mathcal{L})$ for the linear space of continuous sections in the restricted bundle $\mathcal{L}|_U := \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in U} L_\gamma$ (i.e. continuous maps $f : U \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ with $f(\gamma) \in L_\gamma$ for $\gamma \in U$). Similarly we denote by $C_c(U, \mathcal{L})$, $C_0(U, \mathcal{L})$, $C_b(U, \mathcal{L})$, $C_u(U, \mathcal{L})$ the spaces of continuous sections with compact support, vanishing at infinity, bounded and unitary, respectively. Then $C_0(U, \mathcal{L})$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|f\|_\infty := \max_{\gamma \in U} |f(\gamma)|$. Since \mathcal{L} is locally trivial, every point in \mathcal{G} has an open neighbourhood U and unitary section $f \in C(U, \mathcal{L})$, i.e. $|f(\gamma)| = 1$, for all $\gamma \in U$. This section induces an

isometric isomorphism $C_0(U, \mathcal{L}) \cong C_0(U)$, as for every $g \in C_0(U, \mathcal{L})$ there is a unique element $g/f \in C_0(U)$ such that $g(\gamma) = (g/f)(\gamma)f(\gamma)$, $\gamma \in U$.

Definition 4.1. A *twist over the groupoid* \mathcal{G} is a line bundle $\mathcal{L} = \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}} L_\gamma$ over \mathcal{G} together with a continuous *multiplication* $\cdot : \bigsqcup_{d(\gamma)=r(\eta) \in \mathcal{G}} L_\gamma \times L_\eta \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, which is associative (whenever the product makes sense), makes the modulus multiplicative, and induces bilinear maps $L_\gamma \times L_\eta \rightarrow L_{\gamma\eta}$; and a continuous *involution* $*$: $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ that restricts to conjugate linear maps $L_\gamma \rightarrow L_{\gamma^{-1}}$, preserves the modulus (norm), is anti-multiplicative and $z \cdot z^* = |z|^2 \in L_{r(\gamma)} \cong \mathbb{F}$ for every $z \in L_\gamma$, $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$. See [47] for more details.

Lemma 4.2. Let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ be a twisted groupoid. The set $S(\mathcal{L})$ of bisections U for which the restricted line bundle $\mathcal{L}|_U$ is trivial forms a unital wide inverse subsemigroup $S(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$.

Proof. The restricted bundle $\mathcal{L}|_U$ is trivial if and only if there is a continuous unitary section $c_U \in C_u(U, \mathcal{L})$. If $\mathcal{L}|_U$ and $\mathcal{L}|_V$ are trivial, and $c_U \in C_u(U, \mathcal{L})$, $c_V \in C_u(V, \mathcal{L})$, then $\mathcal{L}|_{UV}$ and $\mathcal{L}|_{U^{-1}}$ are trivial because the convolution product $c_U * c_V \in C_u(UV, \mathcal{L})$ and $c_U^* \in C_u(U^{-1}, \mathcal{L})$ (in a Fell line bundle the norm $|\cdot|$ is multiplicative, rather than just submultiplicative). Thus $S(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ is an inverse semigroup. Since \mathcal{L} is locally trivial, $S(\mathcal{L})$ is wide. Moreover, \mathcal{L} is trivial over X as each fiber $L_x \cong \mathbb{F}$ is a one-dimensional algebra with unit 1_x , and the unit section defined by $1(x) := 1_x$ is unitary and continuous. \square

According to Lemma 4.2 we may and we shall always assume that

$$\mathcal{L}|_X = X \times \mathbb{F} \text{ is trivial.}$$

Example 4.3 (Twist by a cocycle). The trivial bundle $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{G} \times \mathbb{F}$ with pointwise operations is a *trivial twist*. More generally, if σ is a *continuous normalised 2-cocycle* on \mathcal{G} , that is a continuous map $\sigma : \mathcal{G}^{(2)} \rightarrow \{z \in \mathbb{F} : |z| = 1\}$ satisfying

$$\sigma(r(\gamma), \gamma) = 1 = \sigma(\gamma, d(\gamma)), \quad \sigma(\alpha, \beta)\sigma(\alpha\beta, \gamma) = \sigma(\beta, \gamma)\sigma(\alpha, \beta\gamma)$$

for every composable triple $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathcal{G}$, then we may consider a twist \mathcal{L}_σ given by the trivial bundle $\mathcal{G} \times \mathbb{F}$ with operations given by

$$(\alpha, w) \cdot (\beta, z) := (\alpha\beta, \sigma(\alpha, \beta)wz), \quad (\alpha, w)^* := (\alpha^{-1}, \overline{\sigma(\alpha^{-1}, \alpha)w}).$$

Every twist based on a trivial line bundle is of this form.

4.1. The algebras. Let us fix a twisted groupoid $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. Recall our convention that \mathcal{G} is locally compact and only locally Hausdorff. Thus the associated $*$ -algebra will be defined on the set of quasi-continuous compactly supported sections:

$$\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) := \text{span}\{f \in C_c(U, \mathcal{L}) : U \in \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})\},$$

where we treat sections of $\mathcal{L}|_U$ as sections of \mathcal{L} that vanish outside U . Note that $\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) = \text{span}\{f \in C_c(U, \mathcal{L}) : U \in \mathcal{C}\}$ for any cover $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ of \mathcal{G} , cf. [31, Proposition 3.10]. In particular, we may always take $\mathcal{C} = S(\mathcal{L})$. If the groupoid \mathcal{G} is Hausdorff, then $\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) = C_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is the usual space of continuous compactly supported sections. We define a $*$ -algebra structure on $\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ by

$$(4.5) \quad (f * g)(\gamma) := \sum_{r(\eta)=r(\gamma)} f(\eta) \cdot g(\eta^{-1}\gamma), \quad (f^*)(\gamma) := f(\gamma^{-1})^*,$$

where $f, g \in \mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$, cf. [31, Proposition 3.11]. Since we assume that $\mathcal{L}|_X$ is trivial, we get that $C_c(X) = C_c(X, \mathcal{L})$ is a $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$.

The domain and range maps $d, r : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow X$ induce the following three submultiplicative norms on $\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G})$:

$$\|f\|_{d_*} := \max_{x \in X} \sum_{d(\gamma)=x} |f(\gamma)|, \quad \|f\|_{r_*} := \max_{x \in X} \sum_{r(\gamma)=x} |f(\gamma)|, \quad \|f\|_I := \max\{\|f\|_{d_*}, \|f\|_{r_*}\},$$

see [57, Section 2.2] or [63, II, 1.4]. The norm $\|\cdot\|_I$ is called the I -norm and was introduced by Hahn [40]. It has the advantage that it is preserved by the involution $*$, while for the remaining norms we only have $\|f^*\|_{d_*} = \|f\|_{r_*}$. Also it is well known (cf. [70, Lemma 3.2.3]) and we prove it in Theorem 5.5 below, that the largest C^* -norm $\|\cdot\|_{C^*\max}$ on $\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ exists and it does not exceed $\|\cdot\|_I$.

Definition 4.4. We write $F_I(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) := \overline{\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})}^{\|\cdot\|_I}$, $F_{d_*}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) := \overline{\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})}^{\|\cdot\|_{d_*}}$, $F_{r_*}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) := \overline{\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})}^{\|\cdot\|_{r_*}}$, and $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) := \overline{\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})}^{\|\cdot\|_{C^*\max}}$ for the completions in the corresponding norms.

The important feature of the above norms is that when restricted to any subspace $C_c(U, \mathcal{L}) \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$, where $U \in \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$, they coincide with the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. Hence each space $C_0(U, \mathcal{L})$ embeds naturally into the completions of $\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G})$ in each of these norms. We introduce yet another norm which is maximal with respect to this property. In fact we will associate norms to subsemigroups of $\text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $S \subseteq \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ be a semigroup covering \mathcal{G} . There is a submultiplicative norm on $\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ given by*

$$(4.6) \quad \|f\|_{\max}^S := \inf \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n \|f_k\|_\infty : f = \sum_{k=1}^n f_k, f_k \in C_c(U_k, \mathcal{L}), U_k \in S \right\}.$$

This is the largest norm on $\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ which agrees with $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ on each $C_c(U, \mathcal{L}) \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$, for $U \in S$. If S is an inverse semigroup, then involution is isometric in $\|\cdot\|_{\max}^S$.

Proof. Let $f, g \in \mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there are $f_k \in C_c(U_k, \mathcal{L})$ and $g_l \in C_c(V_l, \mathcal{L})$, where $U_k, V_l \in S$, such that $f = \sum_{k=1}^n f_k$, $g = \sum_{l=1}^m g_l$ and $\|f\|_{\max}^S + \varepsilon > \sum_{k=1}^n \|f_k\|_\infty$ and $\|g\|_{\max}^S + \varepsilon > \sum_{l=1}^m \|g_l\|_\infty$. Then $f * g = \sum_{k,l} f_k * g_l$, and $f_k * g_l \in C_c(U_k V_l, \mathcal{L})$, $U_k V_l \in S$. Therefore

$$\|f * g\|_{\max}^S \leq \sum_{k,l} \|f_k * g_l\|_\infty \leq \sum_{k,l} \|f_k\|_\infty \|g_l\|_\infty < (\|f\|_{\max}^S + \varepsilon)(\|g\|_{\max}^S + \varepsilon).$$

This shows that $\|\cdot\|_{\max}^S$ is submultiplicative. The proof of the triangle inequality is even simpler. If in addition $f \in C_c(U, \mathcal{L})$ for some $U \in S$, then there is $\gamma_0 \in U$ where $|f|$ attains its maximum, and then (retaining the above choice of f_k 's) $\|f\|_\infty = |f(\gamma_0)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n |f_k(\gamma_0)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \|f_k\|_\infty < \|f\|_{\max}^S + \varepsilon$, which shows that $\|f\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_{\max}^S$. The converse inequality $\|f\|_{\max}^S \leq \|f\|_\infty$ holds by (4.6). Hence $\|\cdot\|_{\max}^S$ coincides with $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ on $C_c(U, \mathcal{L})$ for $U \in S$. If $\|\cdot\|$ is any norm on $\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ that agrees with $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ on $C_c(U, \mathcal{L}) \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$, for $U \in S$, then for any $f = \sum_{k=1}^n f_k$, $f_k \in C_c(U_k, \mathcal{L})$, $U_k \in S$, we have $\|f\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \|f_k\| = \sum_{k=1}^n \|f_k\|_\infty$, which implies $\|f\| \leq \|f\|_{\max}^S$. If S is an inverse semigroup then $f^* = \sum_{k=1}^n f_k^*$, $f_k^* \in C_c(U_k^*, \mathcal{L})$, where $U_k^* \in S$. Thus $\|f^*\|_{\max}^S = \|f\|_{\max}^S$ by (4.6). \square

Definition 4.6. For any inverse semigroup $S \subseteq \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ covering \mathcal{G} , we denote by $F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) := \overline{\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})}^{\|\cdot\|_{\max}^S}$ the associated Banach $*$ -algebra. We also denote by $\|\cdot\|_{\max}$ the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\max}^{\text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})}$ and put $F(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) := F^{\text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$.

Remark 4.7. For any inverse semigroup $S \subseteq \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ covering \mathcal{G} , we have

$$\|\cdot\|_\infty \leq \|\cdot\|_{d_*}, \|\cdot\|_{C^*\max}, \|\cdot\|_{r_*} \leq \|\cdot\|_I \leq \|\cdot\|_{\max} \leq \|\cdot\|_{\max}^S$$

on $\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. In particular, $\|\cdot\|_{\max}$ is the minimal norm amongst all norms $\|\cdot\|_{\max}^S$ on $\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ ($S \subseteq T \subseteq \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G}) \implies \|\cdot\|_{\max}^T \leq \|\cdot\|_{\max}^S$). In general there is no maximal amongst the norms $\|\cdot\|_{\max}^S$ (unless \mathcal{G} is discrete and then this maximal norm is the ℓ^1 -norm), see Example 4.10 below. In this analysis we may restrict only to wide inverse semigroups as the ‘saturation’ $\tilde{S} := \{U : U \subseteq V \in S\}$ of S is a wide inverse subsemigroup of $\text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\max}^S = \|\cdot\|_{\max}^{\tilde{S}}$. Moreover $\|\cdot\|_{\max}^S$ is majorized by a norm coming from an inverse subsemigroup of $S(\mathcal{L})$ from Lemma 4.2. Namely, $\tilde{S} \cap S(\mathcal{L})$ is a wide inverse semigroup and

$$(4.7) \quad \|\cdot\|_{\max}^S \leq \|\cdot\|_{\max}^{\tilde{S} \cap S(\mathcal{L})}.$$

Example 4.8 (Discrete group). When $\mathcal{G} = G$ is a group, then $\text{Bis}(\mathcal{G}) = \{g\}_{g \in G} \cong G$ and $\mathcal{L} = G \times \mathbb{F}$, and so all the norms $\|\cdot\|_{d_*}, \|\cdot\|_{r_*}, \|\cdot\|_I, \|\cdot\|_{\max}^G$ coincide with the ℓ^1 -norm in $\ell^1(G)$.

Example 4.9 (Pair groupoid). Let $\mathcal{G} = X \times X$ be the pair groupoid over the finite set $X = \mathbb{Z}_n = \{0, \dots, n-1\}$. Then we may identify $C_c(\mathcal{G})$ with the $*$ -algebra $M_n(\mathbb{F})$ of $n \times n$ matrices. For any matrix $f = [f_{ij}]_{i,j \in X}$ we have $\|f\|_\infty = \max_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_n} |f_{ij}|$ and

$$\|f\|_{d_*} = \max_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_n} |f_{ij}|, \quad \|f\|_{r_*} = \max_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} |f_{ij}|, \quad \|f\|_I = \max_i \max_j \{ \sum_j |f_{i,j}|, \sum_j |f_{j,i}| \}.$$

Consider inverse semigroups S_1, S_2 and S_3 of bisections where S_1 consists of $\{(i, j) : i - j = k \pmod{n}\}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_n$; S_2 consists of $\{(i, j) : i - j = k\}$, $k = 0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm(n-1)$; and S_3 consists of singletons $\{(i, j)\}$, $(i, j) \in X \times X$. Then

$$\|f\|_{\max}^{S_1} = \max_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \sum_{i-j=k \pmod{n}} |f_{ij}|, \quad \|f\|_{\max}^{S_2} = \max_{k=0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm(n-1)} \sum_{i-j=k} |f_{ij}|$$

and $\|f\|_{\max}^{S_3} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n |f_{ij}|$. The gradings S_1 and S_2 are natural when viewing \mathcal{G} as the transformation groupoid associated to the permutation homeomorphism $h(i) = i - 1 \pmod{n}$ on \mathbb{Z}_n , or the partial homeomorphism $h|_{\{1, \dots, n-1\}}$ of \mathbb{Z}_n , respectively. The grading S_3 gives a maximum amongst the norms we consider.

Example 4.10. (Topological space) Let $\mathcal{G} = X := \{0\} \cup \{1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ with the usual topology. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $S_n := [0, 1/n] \cup \{1/k : k = 1, \dots, n-1\}$ is a wide inverse subsemigroup of $\text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ and we have $\|1\|_{\max}^{S_n} = n$, which tends to infinity as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We fix an inverse semigroup $S \subseteq \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ covering \mathcal{G} . By (4.7), in the following definition we may always assume that S is a wide inverse subsemigroup of $S(\mathcal{L})$.

Definition 4.11. For a class \mathcal{R} of representations of $F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ we denote by $F_{\mathcal{R}}^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) := \overline{\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})}^{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{R}}}$ the Hausdorff completion in the seminorm $\|f\|_{\mathcal{R}} := \sup\{\|\psi(f)\| : \psi \in \mathcal{R}\}$. If $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{R}} \leq \|\cdot\|_{\max}$, then we will write $F_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ for $F_{\mathcal{R}}^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$.

Remark 4.12. If $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{R}} \leq \|\cdot\|_{\max}$, then the algebra $F_{\mathcal{R}}^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ does not depend on the choice of S , as it can be viewed as a Hausdorff completion of $F(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. In this paper we will be mainly interested in the case when $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{R}} \leq \|\cdot\|_I$ (and hence all the more $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{R}} \leq \|\cdot\|_{\max}$).

All the aforementioned Banach algebras have approximate units.

Lemma 4.13. *Let $S \subseteq \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ be an inverse semigroup covering \mathcal{G} . An approximate unit $\{\mu_i\}_i$ in $C_0(X)$ is also an approximate unit in $F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$, and for any representation $\psi : F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow B$ the net $\{\psi(\mu_i)\}_i$ is an approximate unit in $\overline{\psi(F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}))}$. In particular, if X is compact then $F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ and $\overline{\psi(F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}))}$ are unital.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that $\{\mu_i * f\}_i$ converges to f in $\|\cdot\|_{\max}^S$ for $f \in C_c(U, \mathcal{L})$, $U \in S$. But then $\mu_i * f - f \in C_c(U, \mathcal{L})$ and therefore $\|\mu_i * f - f\|_{\max}^S = \|\mu_i * f - f\|_{\infty} = \max_{\gamma \in U} |\mu_i(r(\gamma))f(\gamma) - f(\gamma)|$, which obviously tends to zero. \square

We write $A \cong B$ to indicate that two Banach algebras A and B are isometrically isomorphic. We write $A \overset{\text{anti}}{\cong} B$ if there is an isometry from A onto B which is anti-linear and anti-multiplicative. We write $A \overset{\text{dense}}{\rightarrow} B$ if there is a representation of A in B with dense range. By Remark 4.7 the identity on $\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ extends to canonical representations

$$F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \overset{\text{dense}}{\rightarrow} F_I(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \overset{\text{dense}}{\rightarrow} F_{d_*}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}), C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}), F_{r_*}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}).$$

4.2. Opposite algebras and twists. We denote by A^{op} the *opposite algebra* to an algebra A , meaning that the linear structure of A^{op} is the same as that of A but the multiplication is performed in the reverse order. When A is a Banach algebra then A^{op} is a Banach algebra with the same norm. Fix a twisted groupoid $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ and an inverse semigroup $S \subseteq \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ covering \mathcal{G} . An *opposite twist* \mathcal{L}^{op} on \mathcal{G} is defined as follows (see [17]). The fibers are $L_{\gamma}^{\text{op}} := L_{\gamma^{-1}}$, $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$, and the topology is induced by the sections

$$(4.8) \quad (\check{f})(\gamma) := f(\gamma^{-1}),$$

where $f \in C_c(U, \mathcal{L})$, $U \in \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$. The involution on \mathcal{L}^{op} is given by the involution maps $L_{\gamma^{-1}} \rightarrow L_{\gamma}$ from \mathcal{L} , and the multiplication maps $L_{\gamma}^{\text{op}} \times L_{\eta}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow L_{\gamma\eta}^{\text{op}}$ are given by $(z, w) \mapsto w \cdot z$ where $z \in L_{\gamma}^{\text{op}} = L_{\gamma^{-1}}$, $w \in L_{\eta}^{\text{op}} = L_{\eta^{-1}}$ and $d(\gamma) = r(\eta)$. The map $f \mapsto \check{f}$ yields a $*$ -isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})^{\text{op}} \cong \mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}^{\text{op}}).$$

In particular, the untwisted algebra $\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G})^{\text{op}} \cong \mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G})$ is self-opposite. It follows from the formulas for the norms $\|\cdot\|_{d_*}, \|\cdot\|_{r_*}, \|\cdot\|_I, \|\cdot\|_{\max}^S$ that the above isomorphism extends to isometric isomorphisms

$$F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})^{\text{op}} \cong F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}^{\text{op}}), \quad F_I(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})^{\text{op}} \cong F_I(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}^{\text{op}}), \quad F_{d_*}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})^{\text{op}} \cong F_{r_*}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}^{\text{op}}).$$

Thus the algebras $F^S(\mathcal{G})$ and $F_I(\mathcal{G})$ are self-opposite, while for the remaining two algebras we have only $F_{d_*}(\mathcal{G})^{\text{op}} \cong F_{r_*}(\mathcal{G})$. Let us consider a slightly more general situation.

Definition 4.14. For a class \mathcal{E} of Banach spaces we write $F_{\mathcal{E}}^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) := F_{\mathcal{R}}^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{E}} := \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{R}}$ for \mathcal{R} consisting of all representations of $F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ on Banach spaces in \mathcal{E} .

If \mathcal{E} is a class of Banach spaces we denote by \mathcal{E}' the class of dual spaces to spaces in \mathcal{E} . For any other class \mathcal{F} of Banach spaces we write $\mathcal{E} \subseteq_{\text{iso}} \mathcal{F}$ if for every $E \in \mathcal{E}$ there is $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $E \cong F$.

Proposition 4.15. *If $\mathcal{E}' \subseteq_{\text{iso}} \mathcal{F}$ then the map $f \mapsto \check{f}$ induces a contractive homomorphism $F_{\mathcal{F}}^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})^{\text{op}} \overset{\text{dense}}{\rightarrow} F_{\mathcal{E}}^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}^{\text{op}})$. If $\mathcal{E}' \subseteq_{\text{iso}} \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}' \subseteq_{\text{iso}} \mathcal{E}$ then this homomorphism is an isometric isomorphism $F_{\mathcal{F}}^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})^{\text{op}} \cong F_{\mathcal{E}}^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}^{\text{op}})$.*

Proof. For any representation $\pi : F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow B(E)$ the formula $\pi'(f) := \pi(\check{f})'$, $f \in \mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}^{\text{op}})$, defines a representation $\pi' : F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}^{\text{op}}) \rightarrow B(E')$. If $\mathcal{E}' \subseteq_{\text{iso}} \mathcal{F}$ and $E \in \mathcal{E}$, then we may replace E' by $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $E' \cong F$, so that we get a representation $\pi' : F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}^{\text{op}}) \rightarrow B(F)$. This implies that $\|\check{f}\|_{\mathcal{E}}^S \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}}^S$ for $f \in \mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}^{\text{op}})$. Thus the isomorphism $\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})^{\text{op}} \cong \mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}^{\text{op}})$ extends to a representation $F_{\mathcal{F}}^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\text{dense}} F_{\mathcal{E}}^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}^{\text{op}})$. Similarly, $\mathcal{F}' \subseteq_{\text{iso}} \mathcal{E}$ implies $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{F}'}^S \leq \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{E}}^S$. Hence $F_{\mathcal{F}}^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})^{\text{op}} \cong F_{\mathcal{E}'}^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}^{\text{op}})$ if $\mathcal{E}' \subseteq_{\text{iso}} \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}' \subseteq_{\text{iso}} \mathcal{E}$. \square

Corollary 4.16. *If \mathcal{E} consists of reflexive Banach spaces, then $F_{\mathcal{E}}^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})^{\text{op}} \cong F_{\mathcal{E}'}^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}^{\text{op}})$.*

Proof. Take $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}'$ in Proposition 4.15. \square

Corollary 4.17. *We have $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})^{\text{op}} \cong C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}^{\text{op}})$ and $C^*(\mathcal{G})^{\text{op}} \cong C^*(\mathcal{G})$.*

Proof. Apply Corollary 4.16 to the case where \mathcal{E} consists of Hilbert spaces. \square

The untwisted version of Corollary 4.16 is known (see [37], [36]) and Corollary 4.17 is a special case of the main result in [17]. We can apply Proposition 4.15 beyond reflexive spaces.

Corollary 4.18. *Let \mathcal{E} be the class of L^1 -spaces, \mathcal{F}_1 the class of L^∞ -spaces, \mathcal{F}_2 the class of C_0 -spaces and \mathcal{F}_3 the class of Lindenstrauss spaces, cf. Remark 2.15. Then*

$$F_{\mathcal{F}_1}^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \cong F_{\mathcal{F}_2}^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \cong F_{\mathcal{F}_3}^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \cong F_{\mathcal{E}}^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}}.$$

Proof. We clearly have $\mathcal{E}' \subseteq_{\text{iso}} \mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq_{\text{iso}} \mathcal{F}_2$ and $\mathcal{F}'_3 \subseteq_{\text{iso}} \mathcal{E}$. Also $\mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_3$, which follows from the Riesz–Markov–Kakutani theorem and the abstract characterization of L^1 -spaces, see for instance [58, page 261]. Thus $\mathcal{F}'_2 \subseteq \mathcal{F}'_3 \subseteq_{\text{iso}} \mathcal{E}$ and the assertion follows from the second part of Proposition 4.15. \square

4.3. From twisted groupoids to twisted actions and back. We describe the correspondence between twisted groupoids and twisted actions of inverse semigroups on $C_0(X)$, which implicitly can be found in [15], [16]. Let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ be a twisted groupoid. Take any wide inverse subsemigroup $S \subseteq S(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ and let $h : S \rightarrow \text{PHomeo}(X)$ be the canonical action. Then we have the inverse semigroup action $\alpha : S \rightarrow \text{PAut}(C_0(X))$ given by $\alpha_U(a) := a \circ h_U^*$ for $a \in I_{d(U)} := C_0(d(U))$, $U \in S$. For each $U \in S(\mathcal{L})$ take any continuous unitary section $c_U \in C_u(U, \mathcal{L})$, but if $U \subseteq X$ let $c_U(x) := (x, 1) \in X \times \mathbb{F}$, $x \in U$. For any $U, V \in S(\mathcal{L})$ there is a unique unitary function $u(U, V) \in C_u(r(UV))$ satisfying $u(U, V)(r(\gamma))c_{UV}(\gamma\eta) = c_U(\gamma) \cdot c_V(\eta)$, $\gamma \in U$, $\eta \in V$, $d(\gamma) = r(\eta)$. In fact we have

$$(4.9) \quad \alpha_U(a) = c_U * a * c_U^*, \quad u(U, V) = c_U * c_V * c_{UV}^*, \quad a \in C_0(d(U)), \quad U, V \in S,$$

with operations given by (4.5).

Lemma 4.19. *For any twisted groupoid $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ the pair (α, u) defined above is a twisted action of S on $C_0(X)$.*

Proof. Since $C_0(X)$ is commutative (A1) is equivalent to α being an action. Axioms (A2), (A3), (A4) can be checked exactly as in the proof of [15, Proposition 3.6] using (4.9). \square

Example 4.20. If the twist $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_\sigma$ comes from a 2-cocycle σ then $S(\mathcal{L}_\sigma) = \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ and for any $\eta \in U, \gamma \in V$, we have $u(U, V)(\eta\gamma) = \sigma(\eta, \gamma)\overline{\sigma((\eta\gamma)^{-1}, \eta\gamma)}$.

Now let (α, u) be any twisted action of S on $C_0(X)$. Since $C_0(X)$ is commutative $\alpha : S \rightarrow \text{PAut}(C_0(X))$ is a semigroup homomorphism, and hence there is a semigroup homomorphism $h : S \rightarrow \text{PHomeo}(X)$ with $\alpha_t(a) = a \circ h_{t*}$ for $a \in I_{t*} = C_0(X_{t*})$, $t \in S$. Let $\mathcal{G} = S \rtimes_h X$ be the associated transformation groupoid. We define a bundle \mathcal{L} over \mathcal{G} whose elements are equivalence classes of triples (a, t, x) for $a \in C_0(X_t)$, $x \in X_{t*} \subseteq X$, $t \in S$, and two triples (a, t, x) and (a', t', x') are equivalent if $x = x'$ and there is $v \in S$ with $v \leq t, t'$, where $x \in X_{v*}$, and $(a \cdot u(vv^*, t))(h_v(x)) = (a' \cdot u(vv^*, t'))(h_v(x))$. In particular, we have a canonical surjection

$$\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}; [a, t, x] \mapsto [t, x],$$

and we denote by $L_{[t, x]}$ the corresponding fibers. This makes \mathcal{L} a line bundle with operations defined by

$$[a, t, x] + [b, t, x] := [a + b, t, x], \quad \lambda \cdot [a, t, x] := [\lambda a, t, x], \quad |[a, t, x]| := |a(h_t(x))|,$$

and the unique topology on \mathcal{L} such that the local sections $[t, x] \mapsto [a, t, x]$, for $x \in X_{t*}$, $a \in C_0(X_t)$, $t \in S$, are continuous. Define the partial multiplication and involution on \mathcal{L} by

$$\begin{aligned} [a, s, h_t(x)] \cdot [b, t, x] &:= [\alpha_s(\alpha_s^{-1}(a)b)u(s, t), st, x] = [a(b \circ h_{s*})u(s, t), st, x], \\ [b, t, x]^* &:= [\overline{b \circ h_t} \cdot \overline{u(t, t^*)}, t^*, h_t(x)] \end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in C_0(X_s)$, $b \in C_0(X_t)$, $x \in X_{(st)*}$, $s, t \in S$.

Proposition 4.21. *The pair $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ described above is a well-defined twisted groupoid.*

Proof. This follows from [16, Theorem 3.22], as the above construction is a special case of the construction in [16, Section 3] applied to a Fell bundle over S associated to (α, u) , as described in [15, p. 250]. \square

Definition 4.22. We say that a twisted inverse semigroup action (α, u) *models a twisted étale groupoid* $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ if $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is isomorphic to the twisted groupoid associated to (α, u) as in Proposition 4.21.

Lemma 4.23. *Let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ be a twisted étale groupoid. Let $S \subseteq S(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ be any wide inverse semigroup and (α, u) a twisted action of S as in Lemma 4.19, obtained from a choice of unitary sections $c_U \in C_u(U, \mathcal{L})$, $U \in S$. Let $(S \times X, \mathcal{L}_u)$ be the twisted groupoid associated to (α, u) , as in Proposition 4.21. Then*

$$[a, U, x] \mapsto a(h_U(x))c_U(d|_U^{-1}(x)), \quad a \in C_0(r(U)), \quad U \in S, \quad x \in d(U),$$

is an isomorphism of twisted groupoids $(S \times X, \mathcal{L}_u) \cong (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. Thus (α, u) models $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$.

Proof. The map $S \times X \ni [U, x] \mapsto d|_U^{-1}(x) \in \mathcal{G}$ is an isomorphism of topological groupoids. The sections $[U, x] \mapsto [a, U, x]$ and $\gamma \mapsto a(r(\gamma))c_U(\gamma)$, $\gamma \in U$, $x \in d(U)$, $a \in C_0(r(U))$, $U \in S$, determine the topology of \mathcal{L}_u and \mathcal{L} respectively. Thus the map described in the assertion is a homeomorphism. It is straightforward to check that it preserves the algebraic operations. \square

4.4. Integration and disintegration of representations. We fix a twisted action (α, u) of an inverse semigroup S on $C_0(X)$ and let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ be the associated twisted groupoid as described in the previous subsection. By Lemma 4.23 every twisted étale groupoid arises in this way. It follows from the construction that the sets $U_t = \{[t, x] : x \in X_t\}$, $t \in S$, form a wide inverse semigroup of bisections of \mathcal{G} , which by abuse of notation we will identify with S in the notation of $F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. For each $t \in S$ we have a linear isometric isomorphism

$$(4.10) \quad C_c(X_t) \ni a_t \mapsto a_t \delta_t \in C_c(U_t, \mathcal{L}), \quad a_t \delta_t [t, x] := [a_t, t, x], \quad x \in X_t,$$

and we view $(C_c(U_t, \mathcal{L}), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ as a subspace of $(\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}), \|\cdot\|_{\max})$.

Lemma 4.24. $\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is spanned by elements $a_t \delta_t$, $a_t \in C_c(X_t)$, $t \in S$, and

- (1) $a_s \delta_s \cdot a_t \delta_t = a_s(a_t \circ h_{s*})u(s, t) \delta_{st}$ and $\overline{(a_t \delta_t)^*} = \overline{a_t \circ h_t \cdot u(t, t^*)} \delta_{t^*}$;
- (2) $s \leq t$ implies $X_s \subseteq X_t$ and $a \delta_s = \overline{a u(ss^*, t)} \delta_t$ for any $a \in C_c(X_s)$.

Proof. We only explain (2) as the rest is straightforward. Let $s \leq t$. Then $X_s \subseteq X_t$ by the composition law (Remark 3.5) and so also $U_s \subseteq U_t$. For $a \in C_c(X_s)$ we have $\overline{a u(ss^*, t)} \in C_c(X_s) \subseteq C_c(X_t)$ and so $a \delta_s \in C_c(U_s, \mathcal{L})$ and $\overline{a u(ss^*, t)} \delta_t \in C_c(U_t, \mathcal{L})$. Take $x \in X_{t^*}$. If $x \in X_{s^*}$ then $[t, x] = [s, x]$ and

$$\overline{a u(ss^*, t)} \delta_t [t, x] = [\overline{a u(ss^*, t)}, t, x] = [a, s, x] = a \delta_s [t, x]$$

by the equivalence relations defining \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{L} . If $x \notin X_{s^*}$ then $a \delta_s [t, x] = 0$ by convention, and $\overline{a u(ss^*, t)} \delta_t [t, x] = [\overline{a u(ss^*, t)}, t, x] = [0, t, x] = 0$ because $a(h_t(x)) = 0$. Hence $a \delta_s = \overline{a u(ss^*, t)} \delta_t$. \square

Proposition 4.25. Every covariant representation (π, v) of (α, u) in a Banach algebra B extends uniquely to a representation $\pi \rtimes v : F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\pi \rtimes v(a_t \delta_t) = \pi(a_t) v_t, \quad a_t \in C_c(X_t), t \in S.$$

If B is a C^* -algebra then $\pi \rtimes v$ is $*$ -preserving. If (π, \tilde{v}) is a B' -normalization (or B_* -normalization) of (π, v) as in Proposition 3.8 (or Proposition 3.15) then $\pi \rtimes v = \pi \rtimes \tilde{v}$.

Proof. We claim that the formula $\pi \rtimes v(\sum_{t \in F} a_t \delta_t) = \sum_{t \in F} \pi(a_t) v_t$, where $F \subseteq S$ is finite, yields a well-defined map $\pi \rtimes v : \mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow B$, or equivalently that $\sum_{t \in F} a_t \delta_t = 0$ implies $\sum_{t \in F} \pi(a_t) v_t = 0$. This is proved in [31, Lemma 8.4] in the untwisted case, under the assumption that \mathcal{G} is second countable, using measure theoretical methods. We prove it in general using topological tools and induction on the cardinality of F . Suppose that $\sum_{t \in F} a_t \delta_t = 0$. If $|F| = 1$ then $\sum_{t \in F} \pi(a_t) v_t = 0$ (because $a_t \delta_t = 0$ if and only if $a_t = 0$). Now assume the claim is true for all sets with cardinality smaller than that of F . Pick any $t_0 \in F$ and put $F_0 = F \setminus \{t_0\}$. Then $\sum_{t \in F_0} a_t \delta_t = -a_{t_0} \delta_{t_0}$, so the closed support of $a_{t_0} \delta_{t_0}$, which we denote by K , is a compact set covered by $\{U_t \cap U_{t_0}\}_{t \in F_0}$. By construction $U_t \cap U_{t_0} = \bigcup_{s \leq t, t_0} U_s$. So for each $t \in F_0$ we may find $s_{1,t}, \dots, s_{n_t,t} \leq t, t_0$ such that $K \subseteq \bigcup_{t \in F_0} \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_t} U_{s_{i,t}}$. Let $\{\varrho_{s_{i,t}}\}_{i=1, \dots, n_t, t \in F_0}$ be a partition of unity on K subordinate to this open cover. Then by Lemma 4.24(2) and Lemma 3.10(2) (applied to each t , $2n_t$ -times) we get

$$a_{t_0} \delta_{t_0} = \sum_{t \in F_0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_t} \varrho_{s_{i,t}} a_{t_0} \frac{u(s_{i,t} s_{i,t}^*, t_0)}{u(s_{i,t} s_{i,t}^*, t)} \right) \delta_t, \quad \pi(a_{t_0}) v_{t_0} = \sum_{t \in F_0} \pi \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_t} \varrho_{s_{i,t}} a_{t_0} \frac{u(s_{i,t} s_{i,t}^*, t_0)}{u(s_{i,t} s_{i,t}^*, t)} \right) v_t.$$

Thus $\sum_{t \in F} a_t \delta_t = \sum_{t \in F_0} b_t \delta_t$ and $\sum_{t \in F} \pi(a_t) v_t = \sum_{t \in F_0} \pi(b_t) v_t$ for some $b_t \in C_c(X_t)$, $t \in F_0$. Hence the claim follows by the inductive hypothesis. Now Lemma 3.10 and Lemma 4.24 readily imply that $\pi \rtimes v : \mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow B$ is an algebra homomorphism, which is $*$ -preserving if B is a C^* -algebra. It is $\|\cdot\|_{\max}^S$ contractive because $\|\pi \rtimes v(a_t \delta_t)\| = \|\pi(a_t) v_t\| \leq \|a_t\|_\infty$. Hence it extends to a representation $\pi \rtimes v : F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow B$, which is $*$ -preserving if B is a C^* -algebra. The last statement is clear. \square

The following lemma will allow us to show the converse to Proposition 4.25.

Lemma 4.26. Let $\psi : A \rightarrow E$ be a contractive linear map from a C^* -algebra into a Banach space E which has a predual Banach space E_* . There is a contractive element $\psi(1_A) \in E$ such that for every approximate unit $\{\mu_i\}_i \subseteq A$ we have $\psi(1_A) = E_*\text{-}\lim_i \psi(\mu_i) \in E$.

Proof. For simplicity we assume $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ (the real case can be reduced to the complex case by complexification). We identify E_* with a subspace of E' . Let $f \in E_*$. Then $\psi'(f) = f \circ \psi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a bounded functional. Hence it decomposes to $\psi'(f) = \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k \tau_k$ where $\tau_k : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $k = 0, \dots, 3$, are positive functionals. Applying the GNS-construction to each τ_k , we get representations $\pi_k : A \rightarrow B(H_k)$ and cyclic vectors $\omega_k \in H_k$ such that $\psi'(f)(a) = \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k \langle \pi_k(a) \omega_k, \omega_k \rangle$. Since $\{\pi_k(\mu_i)\}_i$ is strongly convergent to the identity on H_k we conclude that $\{f(\psi(\mu_i))\}_i$ is convergent in \mathbb{C} to the number $c_{f,\psi} := \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k \|\omega_k\|^2 = \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k \|\tau_k\|$ that depends only on ψ and f (it does not depend on $\{\mu_i\}_i$). Now, since the net $\{\psi(\mu_i)\}_i$ is bounded in E , the Banach–Alaoglu Theorem says that there is a subnet $\{\psi(\mu_{i_j})\}_j$ with an E_* -limit $\psi(1_A) := E_*\text{-}\lim_j \psi(\mu_{i_j}) \in E$. So in particular $f(\psi(1_A)) = \lim_j f(\psi(\mu_{i_j})) = c_{f,\psi}$ for every $f \in E_*$. This implies that every net $\{\psi(\mu_i)\}_i$, where $\{\mu_i\}_i$ is an approximate unit, is E_* -convergent to $\psi(1_A)$. \square

Theorem 4.27 (Disintegration theorem). *Let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ be a twisted étale groupoid and let (α, u) be a twisted inverse semigroup action of S that models it. Then $(\pi, v) \leftrightarrow \pi \rtimes v$ establishes a bijective correspondence between representations $\psi : F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow B$ in a Banach algebra B and*

- (1) B' -normalized covariant representations (π, v) of (α, u) in B ;
- (2) B_* -normalized covariant representations (π, v) of (α, u) in B , if (B, B_*) is a dual Banach algebra;
- (3) covariant representations (π, v) of (α, u) on E , if $B = B(E)$ and E is a reflexive Banach space.

If each X_t , $t \in S$, is compact then the pairs (π, v) in (1)–(3) coincide with covariant representations (π, v) of (α, u) in B such that $v_t = \pi(1_{X_t})v_t \in B$ for all $t \in S$.

Proof. Let $\psi : F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow B$ be a representation. Put $\pi := \psi|_{C_0(X)}$. For every $t \in S$, the map $C_c(X_t) \ni a_t \mapsto \psi(a_t \delta_t) \in B$ extends to a linear contraction $C_0(X_t) \rightarrow B \subseteq B''$. Let $v_t \in B''$ be the element associated to this map in Lemma 4.26, where $E = B''$ and $E_* = B'$. Namely, for any approximate unit $\{\mu_i^t\}_i \subseteq C_0(X_t)$ we have $v_t = B'\text{-}\lim_i \psi(\mu_i^t \delta_t)$. Recall that we consider multiplication in B'' which is B' -continuous in the second variable. For $a \in C_c(X_t) = C_c(X_{tt^*})$ we have $(a \delta_{tt^*}) * (\mu_i^t \delta_t) \rightarrow a \delta_t$ in $C_0(U_t, \mathcal{L}) \subseteq F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. Thus

$$\pi(a)v_t = \psi(a \delta_{tt^*}) B'\text{-}\lim_i \psi(\mu_i^t \delta_t) = B'\text{-}\lim_i \psi(a \delta_{tt^*} * \mu_i^t \delta_t) = \psi(a \delta_t).$$

For $a \in C_c(X_{t^*})$ we have $(\mu_i^t \delta_t) * (a \delta_{t^*t}) = (\alpha_t(a) \mu_i^t) \delta_t \rightarrow \alpha_t(a) \delta_t$ in $C_0(U_{t^*}, \mathcal{L}) \subseteq F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. Multiplication in B'' is continuous in the first variable when the second variable is in B , so

$$v_t \pi(a) = B'\text{-}\lim_i \psi(\mu_i^t \delta_t * a \delta_{t^*t}) = \psi(\alpha_t(a) \delta_t) = \pi(\alpha_t(a))v_t.$$

If in addition $t = e \in E(S)$ then $v_e \pi(a) = \psi(\alpha_e(a) \delta_e) = \psi(a \delta_e) = \pi(a)$. If $a \in C_c(X_{st})$ for $s, t \in S$ then $\alpha_s^{-1}(a) = a \circ h_s \in C_c(X_{s^*} \cap X_t)$ and therefore $(a \delta_s) * (\mu_i^t \delta_t) = a(\mu_i^t \circ h_{s^*}) u(s, t) \delta_{st} = \alpha_s(\alpha_s^{-1}(a) \mu_i^t) u(s, t) \delta_{st} \rightarrow a u(s, t) \delta_t$ in $C_0(U_{st}, \mathcal{L}) \subseteq F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. Thus

$$\pi(a)v_s v_t = B'\text{-}\lim_i \psi(a \delta_s) \psi(\mu_i^t \delta_t) = \psi(a u(s, t) \delta_t) = \pi(a u(s, t))v_t.$$

By construction $v_t = B'\text{-}\lim_i \varphi(\mu_i^t v_t)$. Hence we see that (π, v) is a B' -normalized covariant representation of (α, u) with $\psi = \pi \rtimes v$.

This immediately gives (1) as a B' -normalized a covariant representation (π, v) of (α, u) with $\psi = \pi \rtimes v$ has to be the one we constructed above. Similarly, we get (2) and (3) by

passing to an appropriate normalization as described in Proposition 3.15 and Corollary 3.23. The last part of the assertion follows from Remark 3.9. \square

Corollary 4.28. *We have a natural isometric isomorphism $F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \cong C_0(X) \rtimes_{(\alpha, u)} S$. Moreover, if \mathcal{E} is a class of Banach spaces then denoting by \mathcal{E}_{alg} the class of all covariant representations of (α, u) in Banach algebras $B(E)$, where $E \in \mathcal{E}$, and by \mathcal{E}_{spa} the class of all covariant representations of (α, u) on Banach spaces $E \in \mathcal{E}$, then*

$$F_{\mathcal{E}}^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \cong C_0(X) \rtimes_{(\alpha, u), \mathcal{E}_{\text{alg}}} S \xrightarrow{\text{dense}} C_0(X) \rtimes_{(\alpha, u), \mathcal{E}_{\text{spa}}} S.$$

The last homomorphism is isometric, for instance, if all spaces in \mathcal{E} are reflexive, or if each X_t , $t \in S$, is compact.

Proof. Apply Theorem 4.27. \square

Corollary 4.29. *For any C^* -algebra B , a homomorphism $F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow B$ is contractive (i.e. is a representation) if and only if it is $*$ -preserving, and then it factors through a $*$ -homomorphism $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow B$.*

Proof. Any representation $\psi : F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow B$ is $*$ -preserving because any integrated representation is, by Proposition 4.25. Conversely, if ψ is a $*$ -homomorphism it is $\|\cdot\|_{C^* \text{max}}$ -contractive on $\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ and thus it defines a representation $\bar{\psi} : C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow B$. Since ψ is the composition of the canonical representation $F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ and $\bar{\psi}$, it is a representation itself. \square

5. REPRESENTATIONS ON L^p -SPACES

Fix $p \in [1, \infty]$ and let $q \in [1, \infty]$ be the number satisfying $1/p + 1/q = 1$, with the convention that $1/\infty = 0$. Take a twisted étale groupoid $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ with a locally compact Hausdorff unit space X . Regular representations of \mathcal{G} on ℓ^p -spaces (with $p < \infty$) were considered in [19], and of $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ (but using a different picture of the twist) in [43]. We spell out some details using our notation. We denote by $\ell^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ the Banach space of all sections of \mathcal{L} for which the norm $\|f\|_p = (\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}} |f(\gamma)|^p)^{1/p}$ when $p < \infty$ and $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}} |f(\gamma)|$ when $p = \infty$, is finite. We have an isometric isomorphism $\ell^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \cong \ell^p(\mathcal{G})$.

Proposition 5.1. *For each $p \in [1, \infty]$ the formula*

$$\Lambda_p(f)\xi(\gamma) := (f * \xi)(\gamma) = \sum_{r(\eta)=r(\gamma)} f(\eta) \cdot \xi(\eta^{-1}\gamma), \quad f \in \mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}), \quad \xi \in \ell^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}),$$

defines a representation $\Lambda_p : F(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow B(\ell^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}))$, which is injective on $\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$, and

- (1) for $p \in (1, \infty)$ we have $\|\Lambda_p(f)\| \leq \|f\|_{*d}^{1/p} \cdot \|f\|_{*r}^{1/q} \leq \|f\|_I$, while $\|\Lambda_1(f)\| = \|f\|_{*d}$ and $\|\Lambda_\infty(f)\| = \|f\|_{*r}$, for all $f \in \mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$;
- (2) putting $F_r^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) := \overline{\Lambda_p(\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}))}$, we have $F_r^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})^{\text{op}} \cong F_r^q(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}^{\text{op}})$, with the isometric isomorphism induced by the map $f \mapsto \check{f}$. In particular, $F_r^p(\mathcal{G})^{\text{op}} \cong F_r^q(\mathcal{G})$.

Proof. It is routine to check that the formula in the assertion gives a well defined homomorphism $\Lambda_p : \mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow B(\ell^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}))$, and $\|\Lambda_p(f)\| \leq \|f\|_\infty$ for any $f \in C_c(U, \mathcal{L})$, $U \in \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$. Hence Λ_p is $\|\cdot\|_{\text{max}}$ -contractive and thus it uniquely extends to a representation of $F(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$, see also Remark 5.2 below. For each $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$ choose a norm one element $1_\gamma \in L_\gamma$ and treat it

as a section of \mathcal{L} which is zero at $\eta \neq \gamma$. Then $\{1_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}}$ is a Schauder basis for $\ell^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ and for any $f \in \mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G})$ we have

$$\|\Lambda_p(f)1_\gamma\|_p = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{d(\eta)=d(\gamma)} |f(\eta\gamma^{-1})|^p \right)^{1/p}, & \text{if } p < \infty \\ \sup_{d(\eta)=d(\gamma)} |f(\eta\gamma^{-1})|, & \text{if } p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

This implies that Λ_p is injective on $\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. Also using this one sees that $\|\Lambda_1(f)\| = \|f\|_{*d}$ and $\|\Lambda_\infty(f)\| = \|f\|_{*r}$. Then the inequalities $\|\Lambda_p(f)\| \leq \|f\|_{*d}^{1/p} \cdot \|f\|_{*r}^{1/q} \leq \|f\|_I$ follow from the Riesz–Thorin interpolation theorem. This proves (1). To see (2) it suffices to note that under the standard isomorphism $\ell^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})' \cong \ell^q(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}^{\text{op}})$ given by the pairing $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle := \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}} \xi(\gamma)\eta(\gamma)$, for $\xi \in \ell^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})'$, $\eta \in \ell^q(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}^{\text{op}})$, we have $\Lambda^p(f)' = \Lambda^q(\check{f})$ for all $f \in \mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G})$ (note that $\xi(\gamma)\eta(\gamma) \in L_{r(\gamma)} = \mathbb{F}$ because the bundle \mathcal{L} is trivial over X). \square

Remark 5.2. Let $\tilde{h} : \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{PHomeo}(\mathcal{G})$ be the extension of the canonical action described in Example 2.18. The formulas

$$\pi(a)\xi(\gamma) := a(r(\gamma))\xi(\gamma), \quad v_U\xi(\gamma) := \begin{cases} \xi(\tilde{h}_U*(\gamma)), & \gamma \in r^{-1}(r(U)), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $a \in C_0(X)$, $\xi \in \ell^p(\mathcal{G})$, $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$, $U \in \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$, define a covariant representation (π, v) of the corresponding inverse semigroup action on $C_0(X)$ on $\ell^p(\mathcal{G})$, and $\Lambda_p = \pi \times v : F(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow B(\ell^p(\mathcal{G}))$. More generally, if (α, u) is a twisted inverse semigroup action of S on $C_0(X)$ and $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is the associated twisted groupoid, then the formulas

$$\pi(a)\xi[s, x] = a(h_s(x))\xi([s, x]), \quad v_t\xi[s, x] = \begin{cases} u(t, t^*s)(h_s(x))\xi([t^*s, x]), & x \in h_s^{-1}(X_t), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $a \in C_0(X)$, $\xi \in \ell^p(\mathcal{G})$, $x \in X_{s^*}$, $t, s \in S$, define a covariant representation of (α, u) such that $\pi \times v : F(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow B(\ell^p(\mathcal{G}))$ is equivalent to $\Lambda_p : F(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow B(\ell^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}))$.

Definition 5.3. We call $F_r^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ the *reduced L^p -operator algebra of $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$* .

Remark 5.4. This definition is consistent with those in [19], [36] and [43] (where it is assumed that $p < \infty$). Moreover, $F_r^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) = C_r^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is the reduced C^* -algebra of $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$, and we have $F_r^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \cong F_{d_*}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ and $F_r^\infty(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \cong F_{r_*}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ by Proposition 5.1(1).

We now use our main results to prove a general theorem for representations of groupoid Banach algebras in L^p -operator algebras.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $p, q \in [1, \infty]$ with $1/p + 1/q = 1$ and let $\psi : F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow B(L^p(\mu))$ be a representation for some measure μ and some inverse semigroup $S \subseteq \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ covering \mathcal{G} . If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, or $p = 2$, or if $\psi(C_0(X))$ consists of multiplication operators, then*

$$\|\psi(f)\| \leq \|f\|_{*d}^{1/p} \|f\|_{*r}^{1/q} \leq \|f\|_I, \quad f \in \mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}).$$

*If $p = \infty$, the estimate $\|\psi(f)\| \leq \|f\|_{*r}$ holds also when $L^\infty(\mu)$ is replaced by $C_0(\Omega)$ for a locally compact Hausdorff space Ω .*

Remark 5.6. We do not know if the above assertion holds for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ without any further restrictions. The reason is that representations as in Example 2.13 may occur.

We first note that when $p < \infty$ we may assume that ψ is non-degenerate.

Proposition 5.7. *Let $p \in [1, \infty)$. For any representation $\psi : F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow B(L^p(\mu))$ there is an isometric isomorphism $\Phi : \overline{\psi(F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}))L^p(\mu)} \rightarrow L^p(\bar{\mu})$, where $\bar{\mu}$ is localizable, and then the formula $\overline{\psi}(f) = \Phi(\psi(f)|_{\overline{\psi(F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}))L^p(\mu)}})$, $f \in F(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$, defines a non-degenerate representation $\overline{\psi} : F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow B(L^p(\bar{\mu}))$ such that $\|\overline{\psi}(f)\| = \|\psi(f)\|$ for all $f \in F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.13, $F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ and $C_0(X)$ have a common contractive approximate unit $\{\mu_i\}_i$. In particular, $\overline{\psi(F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}))L^p(\mu)} = \overline{\psi(C_0(X))L^p(\mu)}$ and as $L^p(\mu)$ does not contain an isomorphic copy of c_0 (see [38, 2.6]) there is a norm one projection $P : L^p(\mu) \rightarrow \overline{\psi(C_0(X))L^p(\mu)}$ by [38, Corollary 3.10]. Thus there is a measure $\bar{\mu}$, which can be chosen to be localizable, and an isometric isomorphism $\Phi : \overline{\psi(F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}))L^p(\mu)} \rightarrow L^p(\bar{\mu})$ by [75, Theorem 6]. Also for all $f \in F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ we have $\|\psi(f)\| = \|\psi(f)P\|$ because $\|\psi(f)\xi\| = \lim_i \|\psi(f)\psi(\mu_i)\xi\| = \lim_i \|\psi(f)P\psi(\mu_i)\xi\| \leq \|\psi(f)P\|\|\xi\|$. This implies the assertion. \square

Remark 5.8. Proposition 5.7 fails when $p = \infty$ and X is not compact, see Corollary 2.14. We do not know whether it extends to C_0 or Lindenstrauss spaces.

Proof of Theorem 5.5. We may assume that S is a wide inverse subsemigroup of $S(\mathcal{L})$. Indeed, by (4.7) we have a canonical representation $F^{\tilde{S} \cap S(\mathcal{L})}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\text{dense}} F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. Thus composing ψ with this representation, we may replace S with $\tilde{S} \cap S(\mathcal{L})$. Then there is a twisted action (α, u) of S that models $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$, see Lemma 4.23. Thus we have $\mathcal{G} = S \rtimes_h X$ where $h : S \rightarrow \text{PHomeo}(X)$ is an inverse semigroup action and $\alpha_t : C_0(X_{t^*}) \rightarrow C_0(X_t)$; $\alpha_t(a) = a \circ h_{t^*}$, for $a \in C_0(X_{t^*})$, $t \in S$. Also $F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \cong C_0(X) \rtimes_{(\alpha, u)} S$ and $\psi = \pi \rtimes v$ for a covariant representation (π, v) of (α, u) in $B(L^p(\mu))$ by Theorem 4.27. We consider the following three cases.

(1) Assume that $p < \infty$ and $\psi(C_0(X)) = \pi(C_0(X))$ consists of multiplication operators, i.e. we have a representation $\pi_0 : C_0(X) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mu)$ such that $\pi(a)\xi = \pi_0(a) \cdot \xi$ for all $\xi \in L^p(\mu)$, $a \in C_0(X)$. Let $f = \sum_{t \in F} f_t \delta_t \in \mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$, where $F \subseteq S$ is finite and $f_t \in C_c(X_t)$ (see equation (4.10)). Let $\xi \in L^p(\mu)$ and $\eta \in L^q(\mu)$. For any $a \in C_0(X_t)$ we have $\int |\pi(a)v_t \xi|^p d\mu = \int |v_t \pi(a \circ h_t) \xi|^p d\mu \leq \int |\pi(a \circ h_t) \xi|^p d\mu$ because v_t is contractive. Also for any $a \in C_0(X)$ and any continuous multiplicative real function φ , in particular $\varphi(x) = |x|^\alpha$, we have $\varphi \circ (\pi(a)\xi) = \pi(\varphi \circ a)(\varphi \circ \xi)$ because π can be identified with a representation $C_0(X) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mu)$, and the functional calculus applies (also in the real case [67, 1.1.5]). Thus $|\pi(a)\xi \cdot \eta| = |\pi(|a|^{1/p})\xi| |\pi(|a|^{1/q})\eta|$. Using this and applying Hölders inequality to the measure $\sum_{t \in F} \mu$, when $p > 1$ ($q < \infty$), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int (\pi \rtimes v(f)\xi)\eta d\mu \right| &\leq \int \sum_{t \in F} |\pi(|f_t|^{1/p})v_t \xi| |\pi(|f_t|^{1/q})\eta| d\mu \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{t \in F} \int |\pi(|f_t|^{1/p})v_t \xi|^p d\mu \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{t \in F} \int |\pi(|f_t|^{1/q})\eta|^q d\mu \right)^{1/q} \\
&\leq \left(\int \pi \left(\sum_{t \in F} |f_t| \circ h_t \right) |\xi|^p d\mu \right)^{1/p} \left(\int \pi \left(\sum_{t \in F} |f_t| \right) |\eta|^q d\mu \right)^{1/q} \\
&\leq \left\| \sum_{t \in F} |f_t| \circ h_t \right\|_\infty^{1/p} \|\xi\|_p \left\| \sum_{t \in F} |f_t| \right\|_\infty^{1/q} \|\eta\|_q \\
&= \|f\|_{*d}^{1/p} \|f\|_{*r}^{1/q} \|\xi\|_p \|\eta\|_q.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\|\pi \times v(f)\| \leq \|f\|_{*d}^{1/p} \|f\|_{*r}^{1/q}$. For $p = 1$ the proof is even simpler, as then

$$\left| \int \pi \times v(f) \xi \, d\mu \right| \leq \sum_{t \in F} \int |v_t \pi(|f_t \circ h_t|) \xi| \, d\mu \leq \sum_{t \in F} \int \pi(|f_t \circ h_t|) |\xi| \leq \left\| \sum_{t \in F} |f_t| \circ h_t \right\|_{\infty} \|\xi\|_1.$$

(2) Assume that $p = 2$. This case is reduced to the previous one by Lemma 2.16.

(3) Assume that $\psi : F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow B(C_0(\Omega))$ and $\psi(C_0(X)) = \pi(C_0(X))$ consists of multiplication operators. The dual space E' of $E := C_0(\Omega)$ is naturally isomorphic as a Banach lattice to an L^1 -space $L^1(\mu)$. Thus the formula $\tilde{\psi}(f) := \psi(f)'$, $f \in \mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}}$, defines a representation $\tilde{\psi} : F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow B(E')$ with $\tilde{\psi}|_{C_0(X)}$ positive. This representation reduces to a representation $\tilde{\psi}_r$ on the Banach sublattice $\tilde{\psi}(C_0(X)^+)L^1(\mu)$, which is an AL-space, and thus it is isomorphic to the Banach lattice $L^1(\tilde{\mu})$ for some localizable $\tilde{\mu}$. Thus $\tilde{\psi}_r : F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow B(L^1(\tilde{\mu}))$ becomes a non-degenerate representation with $\tilde{\psi}_r|_{C_0(X)}$ positive. Hence $\tilde{\psi}_r|_{C_0(X)}$ acts by multiplication operators, by Theorem 2.12. Also as in the proof of Proposition 5.7 one gets that $\|\tilde{\psi}(f)\| = \|\tilde{\psi}_r(f)\|$ for $f \in \mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}}$. In particular, $\|\psi(f)\| = \|\tilde{\psi}_r(\tilde{f})\|$ for $f \in \mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$. Therefore applying the case (1) to $\tilde{\psi}_r$ we get $\|\psi(f)\| = \|\tilde{\psi}_r(\tilde{f})\| \leq \|\tilde{f}\|_{*r} = \|f\|_{*d}$.

(4) Assume that $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$. By step (2) we may assume that $p \neq 2$. If $p < \infty$ then using Proposition 5.7 we may assume that ψ is non-degenerate, and then by Theorem 2.12, ψ necessarily acts by multiplication operators. Hence the assertion follows from step (1). The estimate $\|\psi(f)\| \leq \|f\|_{*r}$ for $p = \infty$ follows from the estimate $\|\psi(f)\| \leq \|f\|_{*d}$ for $p = 1$ and Corollary 4.18. \square

5.1. Full L^p -groupoid algebras and spatial covariant representations. The following definition is motivated by Theorem 5.5 and the desire to have a consistent theory in both complex and real case.

Definition 5.9. Let $p \in [1, +\infty]$. The *full L^p -operator algebra* is the completion $F^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) = \overline{\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})}^{\|\cdot\|_{L^p}}$ in the norm $\|f\|_{L^p} := \sup_{\psi \in \mathcal{R}} \|\psi(f)\|$, where \mathcal{R} consists of homomorphisms $\psi : \mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow B(E)$ such that:

- (R1) $E = L^p(\mu)$ for some measure μ ;
- (R2) ψ is $\|\cdot\|_{\max}^S$ -contractive for some inverse semigroup $S \subseteq \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ covering \mathcal{G} ;
- (R3) $\psi|_{C_0(X)}$ acts by multiplication operators.

Theorem 5.10. Let $p, q \in [1, \infty]$ with $1/p + 1/q = 1$.

- (1) If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ or $p = 2$, condition (R3) in Definition 5.9 can be dropped, so $F^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ is universal for all $\|\cdot\|_{\max}^S$ -contractive representations into L^p -operator algebras.
- (2) The norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^2} = \|\cdot\|_{C^*_{\max}}$ is the largest C^* -norm on $\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$, so $F^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) = C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$.
- (3) We have $F^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) = F_r^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) = F_{d_*}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ and $F^\infty(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) = F_r^\infty(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) = F_{r_*}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$.
- (4) Condition (R2) in Definition 5.9 can be replaced by $\|\cdot\|_I$ -contractiveness of ψ (which alone is stronger than (R2)) as we have $\|f\|_{L^p} \leq \|f\|_{L^1}^{1/p} \|f\|_{L^\infty}^{1/q} \leq \|f\|_I$ for $f \in \mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$.
- (5) If $p = \infty$ condition (R1) in Definition 5.9 can be replaced by “ $E = C_0(\Omega)$ for some locally compact Hausdorff space”, and if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ we can replace (R1) by “ E is a Lindenstrauss space” (and drop condition (R3)).
- (6) $F^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})^{\text{op}} \cong F^q(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}^{\text{op}})$ and $F^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \cong^{\text{anti}} F^q(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ where these isometric maps are induced by the involutions $\check{}$ and $*$ on $\mathfrak{C}_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$, respectively.

Proof. We get (2) by Lemma 2.16 and Corollary 4.29, which also implies (1) for $p = 2$. For $p \in [1, \infty) \setminus \{2\}$ and $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ we get (1) by Proposition 5.7 and Theorem 2.12. Then (1) for $p = \infty$ follows from case $p = 1$ and Corollary 4.18. (3) and (4) follow from Theorem 5.5 combined with Proposition 5.1(1). (5) follows from Theorem 5.5, and for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ from Corollary 4.29. The isomorphisms in (6) for $p = 1, \infty$ and $q = \infty, 1$ follow from (3) and Proposition 5.1(2). Assuming $p \in (1, \infty)$, $F^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})^{\text{op}} \cong F^q(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}^{\text{op}})$ holds by Corollary 4.16. In fact, if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ we need to modify the proof of Proposition 4.15 by considering only representations under where $\psi(C_0(X))$ act by multiplication operators, but under the standard isomorphism $L^p(\mu)' \cong L^q(\mu)$, an operator $a \in B(L^p(\mu))$ is a multiplication operator if and only if its dual $a' \in B(L^q(\mu))$ is a multiplication operator, and so the proof works. The isomorphism $F^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})^{\text{op}} \cong F^q(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}^{\text{op}})$ translates to anti-isomorphism $F^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \stackrel{\text{anti}}{\cong} F^q(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$, see [17, Remark 3.2]. \square

We may view representations of $F^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ as representations of $F^S(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ for any inverse semigroup $S \subseteq \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ covering \mathcal{G} . In particular, we may use our disintegration theorem to treat them as covariant representations for an inverse semigroup action. To this end, until the end of this section

we fix a twisted action (α, u) that models $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$

as in Lemma 4.23. Thus we have $\mathcal{G} = S \times_h X$ where $h : S \rightarrow \text{PHomeo}(X)$ is an inverse semigroup action and $\alpha_t : C_0(X_{t*}) \rightarrow C_0(X_{t*})$; $\alpha_t(a) = a \circ h_{t*}$, for $a \in C_0(X_t)$, $t \in S$. We also fix for a while a localizable measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) and discuss covariant representations of α that are given by data coming from the space (Ω, Σ, μ) .

Definition 5.11. A covariant representation of (α, u) on $L^p(\mu)$, $p \in [1, \infty]$, as in Definition 3.18, is called *spatial* if $\pi : C_0(X) \rightarrow B(L^p(\mu))$ acts by multiplication operators on $L^p(\mu)$ and $v : S \rightarrow \text{SPIso}(L^p(\mu))$ takes values in the inverse semigroup of spatial partial isometries (Definition 2.25).

Proposition 5.12. *If $p \in (1, \infty)$ and (π, v) is a covariant representation of (α, u) on $L^p(\mu)$ where π is given by multiplication operators, then (π, v) is spatial. If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ and $p \in (1, \infty) \setminus \{2\}$ then every non-degenerate covariant representation (π, v) of (α, u) on $L^p(\mu)$ is spatial.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.22, for $e \in E(S)$ we have $v_e = B_*\text{-}\lim \pi(\mu_i^e)$, where $\{\mu_i^e\}_i$ is an approximate unit in $C_0(X_e)$ and $B_* := L^q(\mu) \hat{\otimes} L^p(\mu)$. This means that $\int \eta(v_e \xi) d\mu = \lim_i \int \eta \pi(\mu_i^e) \xi d\mu$ for all $(\eta, \xi) \in L^q(\mu) \times L^p(\mu)$. Using that $\pi(\mu_i^e)$ are operators of multiplication, the latter condition readily implies that v_e commutes with projections given by characteristic functions. Thus by [8, Proposition 4.9], v_e is an L^p -projection. Hence by Theorem 2.27, the map v takes values in $\text{SPIso}(L^p(\mu))$, and so (π, v) is spatial. The second part now follows from Theorem 2.12. \square

In what follows we use the notation of Subsection 2.4.

Definition 5.13. A *spatial covariant triple* (π_0, Φ, ω) for (α, u) and μ consists of a representation $\pi_0 : C_0(X) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mu)$, an inverse semigroup $\Phi = \{[\Phi_s]\}_{s \in S} \subseteq \text{PAut}([\Sigma])$ of partial set automorphisms $\Phi_s : \Sigma_{D_s} \rightarrow \Sigma_{D_{s*}}$, $D_s, D_{s*} \in \Sigma$, $s \in S$, satisfying $[\Phi_s] \circ [\Phi_t] = [\Phi_{st}]$ for $s, t \in S$, and a family (cocycle) $\omega = \{\omega_s\}_{s \in S}$ of partial unimodal maps $\omega_s \in UL^\infty(\mu|_{D_{s*}})$, $s \in S$. These must satisfy:

- (SCT1) $T_{\Phi_t}(\pi_0(a)) = \pi_0(\alpha_t(a))$ for all $a \in C_0(X_{t*})$, $t \in S$;
- (SCT2) $\pi_0(\mu_i^e) 1_D \nearrow 1_D$, for every measurable $D \subseteq D_e$ with $\mu(D) < \infty$, every positive approximate unit $\{\mu_i^e\}_i \subseteq C_0(X_e)$ and all $e \in E(S)$;

(SCT3) $\pi_0(a)\omega_s T_{\Phi_s}(\omega_t) = \pi_0(au(s,t))\omega_{st}$ μ -almost everywhere, for $s, t \in S$, $a \in C_0(X_{st})$.

We say that (π_0, Φ, ω) is *non-degenerate* if $\pi_0(\mu_i)1_D \nearrow 1_D$, for every measurable D with $\mu(D) < \infty$ and a positive contractive approximate unit $\{\mu_i\}_i \subseteq C_0(X)$.

Proposition 5.14. *For each $p \in [1, \infty)$ we have a bijective correspondence between spatial covariant representations (π, v) of (α, u) on $L^p(\mu)$ and spatial covariant triples (π_0, Φ, ω) for (α, u) and μ . This correspondence is given by*

$$(\pi(a)\xi)(\omega) = \pi_0(a)(\omega)\xi(\omega), \quad v_t := \omega_t \left(\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi_{t*}}{d\mu|_{D_{\Phi_{t*}}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} T_{\Phi_t}, \quad a \in C_0(X), \quad \xi \in L^p(\mu), \quad t \in S.$$

The representation (π, v) is non-degenerate if and only if the corresponding triple (π_0, Φ, ω) is non-degenerate.

Proof. A representation $\pi : C_0(X) \rightarrow B(L^p(\mu))$ acting by multiplication operators is equivalent to a homomorphism $\pi_0 : C_0(X) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mu)$. By Proposition 2.24, every map $v : S \rightarrow \text{SPIso}(L^p(\mu))$ is given by $v_t = \omega_t \left(\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi_{t*}}{d\mu|_{D_{\Phi_{t*}}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} T_{\Phi_t}$, $t \in S$, where $\Phi = \{[\Phi_s]\}_{s \in S} \subseteq \text{PAut}([\Sigma])$ and $\omega_t \in UL^\infty(\mu|_{D_{t*}})$, $t \in S$. The final statement of Proposition 2.24 (and uniqueness of the presentation in the Banach–Lamperti theorem, see Proposition 2.4) tells us that $\pi(a)v_s v_t = \pi(au(s,t))v_{st}$ holds for all $s, t \in S$, $a \in C_0(X_{st})$ if and only if (SCT3) in Definition 5.13 holds and $\Phi = \{[\Phi_s]\}_{s \in S} \subseteq \text{PAut}([\Sigma])$ is an inverse semigroup. Condition (SCT2) in Definition 5.13 is equivalent to the equality $\overline{\pi(C_0(X_e))L^p(\mu)} = L^p(\mu|_{D_e})$ for all $e \in E(S)$. Assuming this, condition (SCT1) in Definition 5.13 is equivalent to $v_t \pi(a) v_{t*} = \pi(\alpha_t(a))$ for all $a \in C_0(X_{t*})$, $t \in S$. This gives the assertion. \square

Theorem 5.15. *Let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ be a twisted groupoid and let (α, u) be any twisted inverse semigroup action that models $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$.*

- (1) *For each $p \in [1, \infty]$ there is an isometric representation $\pi \rtimes v : F^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow B(L^p(\mu))$ where (π, v) is a spatial covariant representation of α on $L^p(\mu)$, for some localizable measure μ . If $p < \infty$ or when X is compact, then π can be chosen to be non-degenerate.*
- (2) *For $p \in (1, \infty)$ every representation of $F^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ on $L^p(\mu)$ where $C_0(X)$ acts by multiplication operators, and μ is localizable, is of the form $\pi \rtimes v$ for a spatial covariant representation (π, v) of (α, u) . This gives a bijective correspondence between such representations of $F^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ on $L^p(\mu)$ and spatial covariant triples for (α, u) and μ .*
- (3) *For any $p, p' \in (1, \infty)$ we have a bijective correspondence between representations of $F^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ and $F^{p'}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ on L^p and $L^{p'}$ -spaces such that $C_0(X)$ acts by multiplication operators. This correspondence matches $\pi_p : F^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow B(L^p(\mu))$ and $\pi_{p'} : F^{p'}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow B(L^{p'}(\mu))$, given by*

$$\pi_p(a_t \delta_t) = \pi_0(a_t) \omega_t \left(\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi_{t*}}{d\mu|_{D_{\Phi_{t*}}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} T_{\Phi_t}, \quad \pi_{p'}(a_t \delta_t) = \pi_0(a_t) \omega_t \left(\frac{d\mu \circ \Phi_{t*}}{d\mu|_{D_{\Phi_{t*}}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} T_{\Phi_t},$$

where $a_t \in C_c(X_t)$, $t \in S$, and (π_0, Φ, ω) is a non-degenerate spatial covariant triple for (α, u) and a localizable μ .

If all domains X_t , $t \in S$, are compact, then statements (2) and (3) hold for all $p, p' \in [1, \infty]$.

Proof. (1). For $p \in \{1, \infty\}$, the assertion follows from Theorem 5.10(3). For $p \in (1, \infty)$ one gets the assertion by taking the ℓ^p -direct sum over a class of representations $\{\psi_{a,k}\}_{a \in F^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}), k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such

that $\psi_{a,k} : F^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow B(L^p(\mu))$ where μ is localizable, $\psi_{a,k}(C_0(X))$ consists of multiplication operators, and $\|a\|_{F^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})} \leq \|\psi_{a,k}(a)\| + 1/k$.

(2). If $p \in (1, \infty)$, Theorem 4.27(3) implies that every representation of $F^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ on $L^p(\mu)$ is of the form $\pi \rtimes v$ for a covariant representation (π, v) on the space $L^p(\mu)$. If all X_t , $t \in S$, are compact, the same holds for any $p \in [1, \infty]$ by the last part of Theorem 4.27. Assume μ is localizable. Then (π, v) is necessarily spatial by Proposition 5.12, and so it is given by a spatial covariant triple by Proposition 5.14. This proves (2). Item (3) now follows readily. \square

Remark 5.16. If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, then Theorem 5.15 combined with Theorem 2.12 gives a description of all non-degenerate representations of $F^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ for $p \in (1, \infty) \setminus \{2\}$, and a bijective correspondence between non-degenerate representations of $F^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ and $F^{p'}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ for all $p, p' \in (1, \infty) \setminus \{2\}$. This is all the more striking, as usually there are no non-zero continuous homomorphisms between $F^p(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ and $F^{p'}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{L})$ for $p \neq p'$.

6. BANACH ALGEBRAS ASSOCIATED TO INVERSE SEMIGROUPS

Let S be an inverse semigroup. The algebra $\mathbb{F}S$ of formal finite \mathbb{F} -linear combinations of elements in S and multiplication induced from S is the universal algebra for semigroup homomorphisms of S . Since S is more than just a semigroup, we will consider here completions of $\mathbb{F}S$ such that the commutative subalgebra $\mathbb{F}E$, generated by the idempotents $E := E(S)$, is a uniform Banach algebra. This boils down to an assumption of *joint contractiveness* that we introduce in Definition 6.4 below. When applied to tight representations this will give us a useful description of Banach algebras associated to ample groupoids. Some of our results here are already new and might be interesting for C^* -algebraists.

We start by recalling the groupoid models for $\mathbb{F}S$ and $\mathbb{F}E$ from [73]. Recall that the semigroup E is a semilattice: the ‘meet’ and ‘preorder’ are defined by $e \wedge f := ef$ and $e \leq f$ if and only if $ef = e$. We write $e < f$ if $e \leq f$ and $e \neq f$. If E has a zero, we say e and f are orthogonal if $ef = 0$. The set $\widehat{E} \subseteq \{0, 1\}^E$ of all non-zero homomorphisms $\phi : E \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is a totally disconnected locally compact Hausdorff space in the product topology of the Cantor space $\{0, 1\}^E$ (which is the topology of pointwise convergence). In fact, putting $Z(e) := \{\phi \in \widehat{E} : \phi(e) = 1\}$, the sets $Z(e) \setminus \bigcup_{f \in F} Z(f)$, where $e \in E$ and $F \subseteq eE$ is finite, form a basis of compact-open sets for \widehat{E} . We call \widehat{E} the *spectrum* of E . A map $\phi : E \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is in \widehat{E} if and only if its support $\text{supp}(\phi) := \{e \in E : \phi(e) = 1\}$ is a *filter* of E , i.e. a non-empty, upward-closed and downward directed and subset of E . There is a natural action $h : S \rightarrow \text{PHomeo}(\widehat{E})$ given by

$$h_t : Z(t^*t) \rightarrow Z(tt^*); h_t(\phi)(e) := \phi(t^*et), \quad t \in S, e \in E, \phi \in \widehat{E}$$

(see [31, Proposition 10.3]). The corresponding transformation groupoid $\mathcal{G}(S) := S \rtimes_h \widehat{E}$ is ample. It is covered by compact open bisections $U_t = \{[t, \phi] : \phi \in Z(t^*t)\}$, $t \in S$. The associated Steinberg algebra is

$$A(\mathcal{G}(S)) := \text{span}\{1_U : U \in \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G}(S)) \text{ compact open}\} = \text{span}\{1_{U_t} : t \in S\} \subseteq C_c(\mathcal{G}(S)).$$

By a homomorphism from the semigroup S to an algebra B we mean a semigroup homomorphism $v : S \rightarrow B$ into the multiplicative semigroup of B .

Proposition 6.1 ([73, Theorem 5.1]). *The map $t \mapsto 1_{U_t}$ determines an isomorphism $\mathbb{F}S \cong A(\mathcal{G}(S))$. Thus for any \mathbb{F} -algebra B , the relation $\psi(1_{U_t}) = v_t$, $t \in S$, establishes a bijective*

correspondence between algebra homomorphisms $\psi : A(\mathcal{G}(S)) \rightarrow B$ and homomorphisms $v : S \rightarrow B$.

We have the following commutative subalgebra of $A(\mathcal{G}(S))$:

$$A(\widehat{E}) := \text{span}\{1_U : U \subseteq \widehat{E} \text{ compact open}\} = \text{span}\{1_{Z(e)} : e \in E\} \subseteq C_c(\widehat{E}) \subseteq C_c(\mathcal{G}(S)).$$

Proposition 6.1 applied to E gives a bijective correspondence between algebra homomorphisms $\pi : A(\widehat{E}) \rightarrow B$ and homomorphisms $v : E \rightarrow B$. We now aim to characterise injectivity of π in terms of v . For finite $F \subseteq E$ we write $\bigwedge F := \bigwedge_{e \in F} e = \prod_{e \in F} e$.

Lemma 6.2 (Inclusion-exclusion principle). *Let $v : E \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism into an algebra B . For any finite $F \subseteq E$ and $F_0 \subseteq F$ put*

$$(6.11) \quad P_{F_0}^F := \prod_{f \in F \setminus F_0} (v \wedge_{F_0} - v \wedge_{F_0 \wedge f}) = \sum_{F_0 \subseteq G \subseteq F} (-1)^{|G \setminus F_0|} v \wedge_G,$$

with the convention $P_F^F = v \wedge_F$ and $P_\emptyset^F = 0$. Then $\{P_{F_0}^F\}_{F_0 \subseteq F}$ are mutually orthogonal idempotents such that $v_e = \sum_{e \in F_0 \subseteq F} P_{F_0}^F$, $e \in F$.

Proof. This can be proved by induction on $|F|$. If $|F| = 1$ the assertion is trivial. Suppose the assertion holds whenever $|F| < n$, and fix F with $|F| = n$. Fix also $e_0 \in F$ and let $H = F \setminus \{e_0\}$. For any $F_0 \subseteq F$ we then have

$$P_{F_0}^F = \begin{cases} P_{F_0}^H - P_{F_0}^H v_{e_0}, & e_0 \notin F_0, \\ P_{F_0 \setminus \{e_0\}}^H v_{e_0}, & e_0 \in F_0, F_0 \neq \{e_0\}, \\ v_{e_0} - \sum_{H_0 \subseteq H} P_{H_0}^H, & F_0 \neq \{e_0\}. \end{cases}$$

By the inductive hypothesis $\{P_{H_0}^H\}_{H_0 \subseteq H}$ are mutually orthogonal and $v_e = \sum_{e \in H_0 \subseteq H} P_{H_0}^H$, $e \in H$. Using this and the above relations it is readily checked that $\{P_{F_0}^F\}_{F_0 \subseteq F}$ are also mutually orthogonal, and $v_e = \sum_{e \in F_0 \subseteq F} P_{F_0}^F$, $e \in F$. \square

Corollary 6.3. *Let $\pi : A(\widehat{E}) \rightarrow B$ be an algebra homomorphism and let $v : E \rightarrow B$ be the corresponding semigroup homomorphism. Then π is injective if and only if $\prod_{f \in F} (v_e - v_f) \neq 0$ for every $e \in E \setminus \{0\}$ and finite $F \subseteq eE \setminus \{e\}$.*

Proof. Idempotents (6.11) corresponding to idempotents $\{1_{Z(f)}\}_{f \in F} \subseteq A(E)$ are characteristic functions of basic cylinder sets $Z(\bigwedge F_0) \setminus \bigcup_{f \in F \setminus F_0} Z(\bigwedge F_0 \wedge f)$. Hence, by Lemma 6.2, every element in $A(E)$ is a finite linear combination of mutually orthogonal idempotents of the form $1_{Z(e) \setminus \bigcup_{f \in F} Z(f)}$, where $e \in E \setminus \{0\}$ and $F \subseteq eE \setminus \{e\}$, which are mapped by π to mutually orthogonal idempotents of the form $\prod_{f \in F} (v_e - v_f)$. Moreover, $1_{Z(e) \setminus \bigcup_{f \in F} Z(f)} \neq 0$ because $\text{supp } \phi := \{f \in E : f \geq e\}$ is a filter that defines ϕ that is in $Z(e) \setminus \bigcup_{f \in F} Z(f)$. Hence π is injective if and only if it is non-zero on elements of the form $1_{Z(e) \setminus \bigcup_{f \in F} Z(f)}$. \square

For representations in Banach algebras we need an analytic condition.

Definition 6.4. Let B be a Banach algebra over \mathbb{F} and let $M \subseteq \mathbb{F}$ be a set. We say that a collection of mutually orthogonal idempotents $\{P_i\}_{i \in F} \subseteq B$ is *jointly M -contractive* if

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in F} a_i P_i \right\| \leq \max_{i \in F} |a_i|, \quad a_i \in M, \quad i \in F.$$

Remark 6.5. The above concept embraces a number of other notions existing in the literature. For instance, if B is a unital Banach algebra then $\{P, 1-P\}$ are jointly $\{0, 1\}$ -contractive if and only if $\{P, 1-P\} \subseteq B_1$, that is P is bicontractive [18], [9]. The projection in Example 2.13 is bicontractive but not an L^p -projection. If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$, then $\{P, 1-P\}$ are jointly \mathbb{T} -contractive if and only if $zP + w(1-P)$ are isometries (contractive invertible elements with contractive inverses), for all $z, w \in \mathbb{T}$, which by definition means that P is *bicircular*, see [72]. Every bicircular projection is bicontractive but not conversely, see [72]. In fact, a projection P is bicircular if and only if it is hermitian [45], and so bicontractive projections in Example 2.13 are not bicircular by Theorem 2.27. For any $p \in [1, \infty]$ and any Banach space E , mutually orthogonal L^p -projections $\{P_i\}_{i \in F} \subseteq B(E)$ are jointly \mathbb{F} -contractive (the Banach algebra they generate embeds isometrically into $c_0(F)$).

Definition 6.6. A representation of the inverse semigroup S in a Banach algebra B is a semigroup homomorphism $v : S \rightarrow B_1$ such that for every finite $F \subseteq E$ the idempotents $\{P_{F_0}^F\}_{F_0 \subseteq F}$ given by (6.11) are jointly \mathbb{F} -contractive.

Lemma 6.7. Let $v : S \rightarrow B_1$ be a semigroup homomorphism into the contractive elements in a Banach algebra B , and let $A := \overline{\text{span}}\{v_e : e \in E\} \subseteq B$. The following are equivalent:

- (1) v is a representation of S in B ;
- (2) the map $1_{Z(e)} \mapsto v_e$ extends to a representation $\pi : C_0(\widehat{E}) \rightarrow A \subseteq B$;
- (3) $A \cong C_0(X)$ for a closed subset $X \subseteq \widehat{E}$;
- (4) A embeds isometrically into a C^* -algebra.

The above equivalent conditions always hold when $B = B(K)$ and $v|_E$ takes values in the L^p -projections on a Banach space K , for some fixed $p \in [1, \infty] \setminus \{2\}$. In particular, any homomorphism $v : S \rightarrow \text{SPIso}(L^p(\mu)) \subseteq B(L^p(\mu))$, where $p \in [1, \infty]$, is a representation of S , and any $*$ -homomorphism $v : S \rightarrow B_1$ where B is a C^* -algebra is a representation of S .

Proof. (1) \implies (2). By Proposition 6.1, the map $1_{Z(e)} \mapsto v_e$ extends to an algebra homomorphism $\pi : A(\widehat{E}) \rightarrow A \subseteq B$ given by $\pi(\sum_{e \in F} a_e 1_{Z(e)}) = \sum_{e \in F} a_e P_e$, for finite $F \subseteq E$ and $a_e \in \mathbb{F}$, $e \in F$. Let $\{P_{F_0}^F\}_{F_0 \subseteq F}$ be the orthogonal idempotents associated to F in Lemma 6.2. The corresponding idempotents associated to $e \mapsto 1_{Z(e)}$ are $\{1_{Z(\wedge F_0) \setminus \cup_{f \in F \setminus F_0} Z(\wedge F_0 \wedge f)}\}_{F_0 \subseteq F}$. So there are $b_{F_0} \in \mathbb{F}$, $F_0 \subseteq F$, such that

$$\sum_{e \in F} a_e 1_{Z(e)} = \sum_{F_0 \subseteq F} b_{F_0} 1_{Z(\wedge F_0) \setminus \cup_{f \in F \setminus F_0} Z(\wedge F_0 \wedge f)} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{e \in F} a_e P_e = \sum_{F_0 \subseteq F} b_{F_0} P_{F_0}^F.$$

The joint \mathbb{F} -contractiveness of $\{P_{F_0}^F\}_{F_0 \subseteq F}$ implies

$$\left\| \sum_{e \in F} a_e P_e \right\| \leq \max_{P_{F_0}^F \neq 0} |b_{F_0}| \leq \max_{Z(\wedge F_0) \setminus \cup_{f \in F \setminus F_0} Z(\wedge F_0 \wedge f) \neq \emptyset} |b_{F_0}| = \left\| \sum_{e \in F} a_e 1_{Z(e)} \right\|_\infty.$$

Hence the map $\pi : A(\widehat{E}) \rightarrow A \subseteq B$ is contractive and so it extends (uniquely) to a representation $\pi : C_0(\widehat{E}) \rightarrow A \subseteq B$, because $A(\widehat{E})$ is dense in $C_0(\widehat{E})$.

Implication (2) \implies (3) follows from Proposition 2.10, and (3) \implies (4) is trivial. Condition (4) allows us to assume that $A \subseteq B(H)$ for a Hilbert space H . Then v_e , $e \in E$, are orthogonal projections on H (as they are contractive idempotents), so the idempotents (6.11) are orthogonal projections (as they are self-adjoint idempotents). In particular, idempotents (6.11) are mutually orthogonal L^2 -projections, and so they are jointly \mathbb{F} -contractive. This shows (4) \implies (1).

When $v|_E$ takes values in the L^p -projections on a Banach space K , for $p \in [1, \infty] \setminus \{2\}$, then $v|_E$ takes values in the p -Cunningham algebra $C_p(E) := \overline{\text{span}} \mathbb{P}_p(E)$ which is isometrically isomorphic to $C_0(X)$, see [8], and hence the equivalent conditions hold. \square

Definition 6.8. We define the *universal inverse semigroup Banach algebra* $F(S)$ as the completion of $\mathbb{F}S$ in the norm

$$\|\sum_{t \in F} a_t t\|_{F(S)} := \sup\{\|\sum_{t \in F} a_t v_t\| : v : S \rightarrow B \text{ is a representation in a Banach algebra } B\}.$$

For $p \in [1, \infty]$ we define the L^p -operator algebra $F^p(S)$ in a similar way, as the completion of $\mathbb{F}S$ in the maximal norm for homomorphisms into spatial partial isometries on L^p -spaces.

Theorem 6.9. *Let $\mathcal{G}(S) := S \rtimes_h \widehat{E}$ be the associated universal groupoid for an inverse semigroup S and identify $t \in S$ with $U_t \subseteq \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$. We have an isometric isomorphism $F(S) \cong F^S(\mathcal{G}(S))$. In particular, the relations*

$$(6.12) \quad \psi(1_{U_t}) = v_t, \quad t \in S,$$

establish a bijective correspondence between representations $\psi : F^S(\mathcal{G}(S)) \rightarrow B$ and $v : S \rightarrow B_1$ in a Banach algebra B . Moreover, $\pi := \psi|_{C_0(\widehat{E})}$ is isometric if and only if for every $e \in E$ and every finite $F \subseteq eE \setminus \{e\}$ we have $\prod_{f \in F} (v_e - v_f) \neq 0$.

Proof. By the last part of Theorem 4.27 every representation of $F^S(\mathcal{G}(S))$ in B is of the form $\pi \rtimes v$ where $\pi : C_0(\widehat{E}) \rightarrow B$ is a representation and $v : S \rightarrow B_1$ is a semigroup homomorphism such that $\pi(1_{Z(e)}) = v_e$, $e \in E$, and $v_t \pi(a) v_{t^*} = \pi(a \circ h_{t^*})$ for all $a \in C(Z(t^*t))$, $t \in S$. In particular, Lemma 6.7 implies that $v : S \rightarrow B_1$ is a representation of the inverse semigroup S . Conversely, if $v : S \rightarrow B_1$ is a representation of the inverse semigroup S , then by Proposition 6.1 there is a unique algebra homomorphism $\psi : A(\mathcal{G}(S)) \rightarrow B$ such that $\psi(1_{U_t}) = v_t$, $t \in S$. Putting $\pi := \psi|_{A(\widehat{E})}$, we have $v_t \pi(a) v_{t^*} = \pi(a \circ h_{t^*})$ for all $a \in 1_{Z(t^*t)} A(\widehat{E})$, $t \in S$. By Lemma 6.7, $\pi : A(\widehat{E}) \rightarrow B$ extends to a representation $\pi : C_0(\widehat{E}) \rightarrow B$ and hence the latter condition holds for all $a \in C(Z(t^*t)) = 1_{Z(t^*t)} A(\widehat{E})$. Thus ψ extends to a representation $\psi = \pi \rtimes v : F^S(\mathcal{G}(S)) \rightarrow B$. This gives the first part of the assertion.

For the second part recall that basic non-empty open sets are of the form $Z(e) \setminus \bigcup_{f \in F} Z(f)$ for $e \in E$ and finite $F \subseteq eE \setminus \{e\}$. Moreover, for the corresponding representations we have $\prod_{f \in F} (v_e - v_f) = \pi(1_{Z(e) \setminus \bigcup_{f \in F} Z(f)})$. Thus if π is injective then $\prod_{f \in F} (P_e - P_f) \neq 0$, see also Corollary 6.3. If π is not isometric then $\ker \pi = C_0(U)$ for a non-empty open set $U \subseteq \widehat{E}$. Hence π vanishes on a non-empty set of the form $1_{Z(e) \setminus \bigcup_{f \in F} Z(f)}$, which implies that $\prod_{f \in F} (P_e - P_f) = 0$. \square

Corollary 6.10. *Let $p \in [1, \infty]$. The isomorphism from Theorem 6.9 descends to an isometric isomorphism $F^p(S) \cong F^p(\mathcal{G}(S))$. If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ then $F^p(S)$ is universal for all representations of S in L^p -operator algebras, and if $p \neq 2$, then every non-degenerate representation $\psi : F^p(S) \rightarrow B(L^p(\mu))$, where μ is localizable, is given by a semigroup homomorphism $V : S \rightarrow \text{SPISO}(L^p(\mu))$ into spatial partial isometries.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.15, $F^p(\mathcal{G}(S))$ is universal for spatial representations of $F^S(\mathcal{G}(S))$ on $L^p(\mu)$, which via Theorem 6.9 correspond to homomorphisms $v : S \rightarrow \text{SPISO}(L^p(\mu)) \subseteq B(L^p(\mu))$, cf. the last part of Lemma 6.7. This gives $F^p(S) \cong F^p(\mathcal{G}(S))$. The remaining part follows from Theorems 5.10(1) and 2.12 \square

Remark 6.11. When S has a zero it is natural to consider zero-preserving homomorphisms. The corresponding *contracted algebras* $\mathbb{F}_0 S$, $F_0^p(S)$, $p \in [1, \infty]$, and $F_0(S)$, could be defined as quotients of $\mathbb{F}S$, $F^p(S)$, $p \in [1, \infty]$, and $F(S)$ respectively by the one-dimensional space generated by $0 \in S$. The *contracted groupoid* $\mathcal{G}_0(S)$ modeling these algebras is the transformation groupoid for the S -action restricted to the closed S -invariant set $\widehat{E} \setminus \{1\}$.

We now pass to representations of S that exploit the Boolean ring structure of the range of the semilattice E . Here by a *Boolean ring* (a *generalized Boolean algebra* in the nomenclature of [73]) we mean a possibly non-unital structure, so it is a quintuple $(R, 0, \vee, \wedge, \setminus)$ where \setminus is a binary operation (relative complement). We generalize here Exel's theory of tight representations [31], or rather its 'non-unital version' [27], to the Banach algebra context. We also characterise injectivity of the relevant representations, which seems to be a new results even in the C^* -algebraic setting.

To this end, we fix again a semilattice E of idempotents in an inverse semigroup S .

Definition 6.12. A *cover* of $e \in E$ is a finite set $F \subseteq eE$ such that for every nonzero $z \leq e$ we have $zf \neq 0$ for some $f \in F$. We say that a map $v : E \rightarrow R$ into a Boolean ring R is *tight* (or *cover-to-join*) if the element $v_e - \bigvee_{f \in F} v_f = \bigwedge_{f \in F} (v_e \setminus v_f)$ is zero whenever F covers $e \in E$. A semigroup homomorphism $v : S \rightarrow B$ into an \mathbb{F} -algebra B is *tight* if $\prod_{f \in F} (v_e - v_f) = 0$ for every cover F of $e \in E$ (this is equivalent to saying that $v|_E$ is tight as a map into a Boolean ring of idempotents in $\text{span}\{v_e : e \in E\} \subseteq B$).

Remark 6.13. If E has a zero, then \emptyset covers 0 and so $v_0 = 0$ for every tight map $v : E \rightarrow R$ into a Boolean ring R . If E is a Boolean ring, then a semigroup homomorphism $v : E \rightarrow R$ is a Boolean ring homomorphism if and only if v is tight.

By [31, Theorem 12.9], the set

$$\widehat{E}_{\text{tight}} := \{\phi : E \rightarrow \{0, 1\} \text{ is a tight homomorphism}\}$$

is a closed subset of \widehat{E} . In fact, $\widehat{E}_{\text{tight}}$ is the closure of the set of $\phi \in \widehat{E}$ whose support $\text{supp}(\phi) = \{e \in E : \phi(e) = 1\}$ is an ultrafilter. We call $\widehat{E}_{\text{tight}}$ the *tight spectrum* of E . When $E = E(S)$ is the semilattice of idempotents in an inverse semigroup S then $\widehat{E}_{\text{tight}}$ is invariant for the S -action on \widehat{E} , and hence the corresponding transformation groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{\text{tight}}(S) := S \times \widehat{E}_{\text{tight}}$, called the *tight groupoid of S* , is the restriction of $\mathcal{G}(S)$ to the set $\widehat{E}_{\text{tight}}$. By [74, Corollary 2.14] the isomorphism from Proposition 6.1 factors to the isomorphism

$$(6.13) \quad A(\mathcal{G}_{\text{tight}}(S)) \cong \mathbb{F}S / \left\langle \prod_{f \in F} e - f : F \text{ covers } e \in E \right\rangle,$$

and this algebra is universal for tight semigroup homomorphisms.

Definition 6.14. The *tight Banach algebra* $F_{\text{tight}}(S)$ is the completion of the algebra (6.13) in the maximal norm induced by tight representations $v : S \rightarrow B$ in Banach algebras B . Similarly, for $p \in [1, \infty]$, we define the *tight L^p -Banach algebra* $F_{\text{tight}}^p(S)$, using tight representations in spatial partial isometries on L^p -spaces.

Theorem 6.15. Let $\mathcal{G}_{\text{tight}}(S)$ be the tight groupoid for an inverse semigroup S and identify $t \in S$ with $U_t \subseteq \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$. The isomorphism (6.13) extends to an isometric isomorphism

$$F_{\text{tight}}(S) \cong F^S(\mathcal{G}_{\text{tight}}(S))$$

and (6.12) gives a bijective correspondence between representations $\psi : F^S(\mathcal{G}_{\text{tight}}(S)) \rightarrow B$ and tight representations $v : S \rightarrow B_1$ in a Banach algebra B . Moreover, ψ is isometric on $C_0(\widehat{E}_{\text{tight}})$ if and only if $\prod_{f \in F}(v_e - v_f) \neq 0$ for every $e \in E$ and finite $F \subseteq eE$ that does not cover e .

Proof. One may mimic the proof of Theorem 6.9, using that the algebras in (6.13) are universal for cover-to-join maps. In particular, for the second part note that basic non-empty open sets in $\widehat{E}_{\text{tight}}$ are of the form $Z(e) \setminus \bigcup_{f \in F} Z(f) \cap \widehat{E}_{\text{tight}}$ for $e \in E$ and finite $F \subseteq eE \setminus \{e\}$. Such a set is empty if and only if F is a cover of e . Indeed, if F is not a cover, then there is $0 \neq z \leq e$ with $zf = 0$ for all $f \in F$, and taking any ultrafilter containing z the corresponding $\phi \in \widehat{E}_{\text{tight}}$ is in $Z(e) \setminus \bigcup_{f \in F} Z(f)$. \square

Corollary 6.16. *Let $p \in [1, \infty]$. The isomorphism (6.13) extends to an isometric isomorphism $F_{\text{tight}}^p(S) \cong F^p(\mathcal{G}_{\text{tight}}(S))$. If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, then $F_{\text{tight}}^p(S)$ is a universal for all tight representations of S in L^p -operator algebras, and if $p \neq 2$, then every non-degenerate representation $\psi : F^p(S) \rightarrow B(L^p(\mu))$, where μ is localizable, is given by a tight homomorphism $V : S \rightarrow \text{SPiso}(L^p(\mu))$ into spatial partial isometries.*

Proof. Follow the proof of Corollary 6.10 using Theorem 6.15. \square

En passant we improve the main result of [32] by removing the second-countability assumption, and replacing the assumption that the semigroup forms a basis for the topology by the requirement that it is wide and separates the points (this slight generalization is useful in applications).

Corollary 6.17. *Let X be a totally disconnected locally compact Hausdorff space and let E be a semilattice consisting of compact open sets that separate the points of X and cover X . The pairing $\phi(e) = 1_e(x)$, for $x \in X$, $\phi \in \widehat{E}_{\text{tight}}$, $e \in E$, gives a homeomorphism $X \cong \widehat{E}_{\text{tight}}$.*

Proof. The map $E \ni e \mapsto 1_e \in C_0(X)$ is a tight representation, and so it gives rise to a representation $\pi : C_0(\widehat{E}_{\text{tight}}) \rightarrow C_0(X)$ which is surjective by the Stone–Weierstrass Theorem. To see that π is isometric note that $\pi(1_{Z(e) \setminus \bigcup_f Z(f)}|_{\widehat{E}_{\text{tight}}}) = \prod_{f \in F}(1_e - 1_f) = 1_{e \setminus \bigcup F}$ for every $e \in E$ and finite $F \subseteq eE$. So if $\prod_{f \in F}(1_e - 1_f) = 0$, then $e = \bigcup F$ which means that F is a cover of e . Hence π is isometric by Theorem 6.15. It is immediate that the homeomorphism dual to the isomorphism $C_0(\widehat{E}_{\text{tight}}) \cong C_0(X)$ is of the described form. \square

Corollary 6.18. *Let \mathcal{G} be an ample groupoid, and let $S \subseteq \text{Bis}(\mathcal{G})$ be a wide inverse semigroup of compact open bisections that separate points in X . We have a natural isomorphism $\mathcal{G} \cong \mathcal{G}_{\text{tight}}(S)$ of topological groupoids, and so we have a natural isomorphism $F_{\text{tight}}(S) \cong F^S(\mathcal{G})$.*

Proof. Recall that $\mathcal{G}_{\text{tight}}(S)$ is the transformation groupoid for the action \hat{h} where $\hat{h}_t : \hat{Z}(t^*t) \rightarrow \hat{Z}(tt^*)$, $\hat{Z}(t^*t) := \{\phi \in \widehat{E}_{\text{tight}} : \phi(t^*t) = 1\}$ and $\hat{h}_t(\phi)(e) := \phi(t^*et)$ for $t \in S$, $e \in E$, $\phi \in \hat{Z}(t^*t)$. Similarly \mathcal{G} is the transformation groupoid for the action

$$h : S \rightarrow \text{PHomeo}(X); h_t = r \circ d|_t^{-1} : t^*t \rightarrow tt^*, \quad t \in S.$$

Note that for $e \leq t^*t$ we have $h_t(e) = tet^*$. Let $\Psi : \widehat{E}_{\text{tight}} \rightarrow X$ be the homeomorphism from Corollary 6.17. For $\phi \in \widehat{E}_{\text{tight}}$, $\phi(t^*t) = 1$ if and only if $1_{t^*t}(\Psi(\phi)) = 1$. Thus $\Psi : \hat{Z}(t^*t) \rightarrow t^*t$. Moreover, for $\phi \in \hat{Z}(t^*t)$ and $e \in E$, we have

$$1_e(\Psi(\hat{h}_t(\phi))) = \hat{h}_t(\phi)(e) = \phi(t^*et) = \phi(h_t^{-1}(e)) = 1_{h_t^{-1}(e)}(\phi) = 1_e(\Psi(\phi)).$$

Thus Ψ intertwines the S -actions and so the transformation groupoids are isomorphic. \square

7. FURTHER EXAMPLES AND APPLICATIONS

7.1. Crossed products by twisted partial group actions. Twisted partial actions of a discrete group on C^* -algebras and their crossed products were gradually introduced in [28], [56], and [29], and the literature on that subject is now vast, see [33]. We will consider only partial actions on commutative Banach algebras $A = C_0(X)$, which are equivalent to partial actions on the space X .

Definition 7.1. A *partial action of a discrete group G* on a locally compact Hausdorff space X is a map $\theta : G \rightarrow \text{PHomeo}(X)$ such that $\theta_1 = \text{id}_X$, the partial homeomorphism θ_{ts} extends $\theta_t \circ \theta_s$, and $\theta_t^{-1} = \theta_{t^{-1}}$ for all $t, s \in G$.

We fix a partial action $\theta : G \rightarrow \text{PHomeo}(X)$ of a group G . Note that in general this is not the same as an action of the group G viewed as an inverse semigroup. Namely, for each $t \in G$ we have a homeomorphism $\theta_t : X_{t^{-1}} \rightarrow X_t$ between two open subsets of X but for all $s, t \in G$ we only require that $\theta_{t^{-1}}(X_{s^{-1}} \cap X_t) = X_{t^{-1}} \cap X_{(st)^{-1}} \subseteq X_{(st)^{-1}}$, so that the composition $\theta_s \circ \theta_t$ might be defined only on a subset of the domain of θ_{st} , rather than on all of $X_{(st)^{-1}}$.

Definition 7.2. A *twist of the partial action θ* , or of the associated algebraic partial action $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{PAut}(C_0(X))$, where $\alpha_t(a) := a \circ \theta_t^{-1}$, is a family of maps $u(s, t) : G \times G \rightarrow C_u(X_s \cap X_{st})$, $s, t \in G$, satisfying $u(1, t) = u(t, 1) = 1$ for $t \in G$, and

$$\alpha_r(au(s, t))u(r, st) = \alpha_r(a)u(r, s)u(rs, t), \quad a \in C_0(X_{r^{-1}} \cap X_s \cap X_{st}), \quad r, s, t \in G.$$

We fix a twist $u = \{u(s, t)\}_{s, t \in G}$ of θ . The space $\ell^1(\alpha, u) := \{f \in \ell^1(G, C_0(X)) : f(t) \in C_0(X_t), t \in G\}$ with operations

$$(f * g)(r) := \sum_{st=r} \alpha_s(\alpha_s^{-1}(f(s))g(t))u(s, t), \quad f^*(t) := \alpha_t(f(t^{-1})^*)u(t, t^{-1})^*,$$

for $f, g \in \ell^1(\alpha, u)$ and $s, t, r \in G$, is a Banach $*$ -algebra.

Definition 7.3. We denote the above Banach $*$ -algebra by $F(\theta, u)$ and we call it a *Banach algebra crossed product for (θ, u)* . For $p \in [1, \infty]$ we define the *L^p -crossed product for (θ, u)* as the completion $F^p(\theta, u) = \overline{F(\theta, u)}^{\|\cdot\|_{L^p}}$ where $\|f\|_{L^p}$ is the supremum of $\|\psi(f)\|$ over representations $\psi : F(\theta, u) \rightarrow B(L^p(\mu))$ such that $\psi|_{C_0(X)}$ acts by multiplication operators.

We now use our theory to describe representations of the above introduced algebras. To this end, we recall that θ gives rise to an action of the universal inverse semigroup $S(G)$ introduced in [30]. By definition $S(G)$ is the universal semigroup generated by elements $[t]$, where $t \in G$, subject to the relations $[s][t][t^{-1}] = [st][t^{-1}]$, $[s^{-1}][s][t] = [s^{-1}][st]$, $[t][1] = [t]$ and $[1][t] = [t]$ for all $s, t \in G$. Then $S(G)$ is a unital inverse semigroup, $G \ni t \mapsto [t] \in S(G)$ is a unital semigroup embedding, and $[t]^* = [t^{-1}]$ for all $t \in G$, see [30]. Moreover, putting $e_t := [t^{-1}][t]$ for $t \in G$ every $\bar{t} \in S(G)$ has a *standard form*:

$$(7.14) \quad \bar{t} = e_{t_1} \cdots e_{t_n}[t_0], \quad t_0, \dots, t_n \in G,$$

where the t_i are mutually distinct and different from 1 and t_0 for $1 \leq i < j \neq n \in \mathbb{N}$, and this form is unique up to the order of the e_{t_i} s. By [30, Theorem 4.2] we have a bijective correspondence between partial actions of G and actions of $S(G)$. Thus there is a unique

action $[\theta] : S(G) \rightarrow \text{PHomeo}(X)$ such that $[\theta]_{[t]} = \theta_t$ for $t \in G$. Namely, if \bar{t} is of the form (7.14) we put $X_{\bar{t}} := \bigcap_{k=0}^n X_{t_k}$, and then

$$(7.15) \quad [\theta]_{\bar{t}} = \theta_{t_0}|_{X_{\bar{t}}^*} : X_{\bar{t}}^* \rightarrow X_{\bar{t}}.$$

The algebraic action $[\alpha] : S(G) \rightarrow \text{PAut}(C_0(X))$ is associated to $[\theta] : S(G) \rightarrow \text{PHomeo}(X)$ is the unique action of $S(G)$ on $C_0(X)$ such that $[\alpha]_{[t]} = \alpha_t$. Moreover, by [69, Theorems 4.2, 4.3], there is a unique twist $[u]$ of $[\theta]$ (or $[\alpha]$) such that

$$[u]([s], [t]) = u(s, t), \quad [u]([s], e_t) = 1_{X_{s^*t}}, \quad [u](e_t, [s]) = 1_{X_t^*s} \quad \text{for } s, t \in G.$$

Namely, if $\bar{t} = e_{t_1} \cdots e_{t_n}[t_0]$ and $\bar{s} = e_{s_1} \cdots e_{s_m}[s_0]$ are in standard form, then $[u](\bar{s}, \bar{t})$ is the restriction of $u(s_0, t_0)$ to $X_{\bar{s}\bar{t}} \subseteq X_{s_0} \cap X_{s_0t_0}$.

Definition 7.4. The *transformation groupoid* for θ is $\mathcal{G}_\theta := \bigsqcup_{t \in G} \{t\} \times X_{t-1}$, equipped with the product topology inherited from $G \times X$ and the algebraic structure determined given by

$$(s, \theta_t(x)) \cdot (t, x) := (st, x), \quad s, t \in G, \quad x \in X_{t-1} \cap X_{t-1s^{-1}},$$

$r(t, x) := \theta_t(x)$, $d(t, x) := x$, for $x \in X_{t-1}$, $t \in G$. One checks that $\sigma_u((s, \theta_t(x)), (t, x)) := u(s, t)(\theta_{st}(x))$ for $x \in X_{t-1} \cap X_{t-1s^{-1}}$, $s, t \in G$, defines a 2-cocycle on \mathcal{G}_θ , cf. Example 4.3. Thus there is a *twist* $\mathcal{L}_u = \mathcal{G}_\theta \times \mathbb{F}$ associated to u with multiplication and involution given by

$$(s, \theta_t(x), a) \cdot (t, x, b) := (st, x, abu(s, t)(\theta_{st}(x))), \quad (t, x, b)^* := (t^{-1}, \theta_t(x), \bar{b} \cdot \overline{u(t, t^{-1})(x)}).$$

Lemma 7.5. *The twisted groupoids $(\mathcal{G}_\theta, \mathcal{L}_u)$ and $(S(G) \rtimes_{[\theta]} X, \mathcal{L}_{[u]})$ associated to (θ, u) and $([\theta], [u])$ are naturally isomorphic.*

Proof. Note that if $\bar{t} = e_{t_1} \cdots e_{t_n}[t_0]$ and $\bar{s} = e_{s_1} \cdots e_{s_m}[s_0]$ are in a standard form (7.14) then $\bar{s} \leq \bar{t}$ if and only if $t_0 = s_0$ and $\{t_1, \dots, t_n\} \subseteq \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$. Hence in view of (7.15) we have $[\bar{t}, x] = [[t_0], x]$ for any $x \in X_{\bar{t}-1}$. Using this we get that the map $\mathcal{G}_\theta \ni (t, x) \mapsto [[t], x] \in S(G) \rtimes_{[\theta]} X$ is bijective. Now it is straightforward to see that this is an isomorphism of topological groupoids, and that it extends to an isomorphism of twists $\mathcal{L}_u \cong \mathcal{L}_{[u]}$. \square

Definition 7.6. A *covariant representation of the twisted partial action (θ, u) in a Banach algebra B* is a pair (π, v) where $\pi : C_0(X) \rightarrow B$ is a representation and $v : G \rightarrow (B'')_1$ is a map sat satisfying

$$(7.16) \quad \pi(a)v_s v_t = \pi(au(s, t))v_{st}, \quad \text{for } a \in C_0(X_{st}), \quad s, t \in G.$$

and

$$v_t \pi(a \circ \theta_t) = \pi(a)v_t \in B \quad \text{and} \quad v_t v_{t-1} \pi(a) = \pi(a), \quad a \in C_0(X_t), \quad t \in G.$$

A *covariant representation of θ on a Banach space E* is a pair (π, v) where $\pi : A \rightarrow B(E)$ is a representation and $v : G \rightarrow B(E)_1$ satisfies (7.16) and

$$v_t \pi(a \circ \theta_t) v_{t-1} = \pi(a), \quad \text{and} \quad v_t v_{t-1} E = \overline{\pi(C_0(X_t))E}, \quad a \in C_0(X_t), \quad t \in G.$$

Remark 7.7. In the untwisted case ($u \equiv 1$), condition (7.16) may be replaced by the assumption that v is a *partial representation* of the group G , i.e. for all $s, t \in G$,

$$v_s v_t v_{t-1} = v_{st} v_{t-1}, \quad v_{s-1} v_s v_t = v_{s-1} v_{st}, \quad v_t v_1 = v_t, \quad v_1 v_t = v_t.$$

Then v induces a semigroup homomorphism $[v] : S(G) \rightarrow B''_1$, given by $[v]_{[t]} = v_t$. Thus each v_t is a partial isometry in B , and if B is a C^* -algebra, then $v_t^* = v_{t-1}$ for $t \in G$.

Lemma 7.8. *For every covariant representation (π, v) of (θ, u) in B there is a unique covariant representation $(\pi, [v])$ of $([\alpha], [u])$ in B , where $v_t = [v]_{[t]}$, $t \in G$. Every covariant representation of $([\alpha], [u])$ in B is of this form. This gives a bijective correspondence between covariant representations of (θ, u) and $([\alpha], [u])$ on a Banach space E .*

Proof. Let (π, v) be a covariant representation of θ in a Banach algebra B . For any \bar{t} in the form (7.14) we put $[v]_{\bar{t}} := v_{t_1^{-1}} v_{t_1} \cdots v_{t_n^{-1}} v_{t_n} v_{t_0}$. In view of the form of $[u]$ this is a unique map $[v] : S(G) \rightarrow B''$ satisfying $v_t = [v]_{[t]}$, for $t \in G$, and (CR2) for all elements in $S(G)$. Every idempotent in $S(G)$ is of the form $\bar{e} = e_{t_1} \cdots e_{t_n}$, and for $a \in C_0(X_{\bar{e}}) = C_0(\bigcap_{k=0}^n X_{t_k})$, using the covariance of (π, v) n -times, we get $[v]_{\bar{e}} \pi(a) = v_{t_1^{-1}} v_{t_1} \cdots v_{t_n^{-1}} v_{t_n} \pi(a) = \pi(a)$. This gives (CR3). Similarly, $\pi(a)[v]_{\bar{e}} = \pi(a)$. Thus for any $a \in C_0(X_{\bar{t}}) = C_0(\bigcap_{k=0}^n X_{t_k})$ we get

$$[v]_{\bar{t}} \pi(a \circ \theta_t) = [v]_{\bar{e}} v_{t_0} \pi(a \circ \theta_t) = [v]_{\bar{e}} \pi(a) v_{t_0} = \pi(a) v_{t_0} = \pi(a) [v]_{\bar{e}} v_{t_0} = \pi(a \circ \theta_t) [v]_{\bar{t}}.$$

This proves (CR1). Thus $(\pi, [v])$ is a covariant representation of $([\theta], [u])$. Also if $B = B(E)$ and (π, v) is a covariant representation on E , one readily sees that $(\pi, [v])$ is a covariant representation of $([\theta], [u])$ on E .

Conversely, for any covariant representation $(\pi, [v])$ of $([\theta], [u])$ in B the pair (π, v) , where $v_t := [v]_{[t]}$, $t \in G$, is clearly a covariant representation of (θ, u) . Also it follows from the above description of $(\pi, [v])$ in terms of (π, v) that if $B = B(E)$, then $(\pi, [v])$ is a covariant representation on a Banach space E if and only if (π, v) is a covariant representation on E . \square

Theorem 7.9. *Let (θ, u) be a twisted partial action of a group G on X . We have natural isometric isomorphisms*

$$F(\theta, u) \cong F^{S_\theta}(\mathcal{G}_\theta, \mathcal{L}_u) \cong F^{S_{[\theta]}}(S(G) \rtimes_{[\theta]} X, \mathcal{L}_{[u]}) \cong C_0(G) \rtimes_{[\alpha], [u]} S(G)$$

where S_θ is the inverse semigroup generated by bisections $\{t\} \times X_{t^{-1}} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_\theta$, $t \in G$, and $S_{[\theta]}$ consists of $\{\{\bar{t}, x\} : x \in X_{\bar{t}^*}\} \subseteq S(G) \rtimes_{[\theta]} X$, $\bar{t} \in S(G)$. Moreover,

(1) *Every representation of $F(\theta, u)$ in a Banach algebra B is given by*

$$(7.17) \quad \pi \rtimes v(a) = \sum_{t \in G} \pi(a(t)) v_t, \quad a \in F(\theta, u),$$

for a covariant representation (π, v) of (θ, u) in B . If B is a dual Banach algebra, then v can be chosen to take values in B .

- (2) *If E is a reflexive Banach space, then (7.17) gives a bijective correspondence between representations of $F(\theta, u)$ on E and covariant representations of (θ, u) on E .*
- (3) *If each X_t , $t \in G$, is compact, then (7.17) gives a bijective correspondence between representations of $F(\theta, u)$ in B and covariant representations of (θ, u) in B such that $v_t = \pi(1_{X_t}) v_t \in B$ for all $t \in G$.*
- (4) *For every $p \in (1, \infty)$ and localizable μ , (7.17) gives a bijective correspondence between representations $\psi : F^p(\theta, u) \rightarrow B(L^p(\mu))$ where $\psi|_{C_0(X)}$ acts by multiplication operators, and covariant representations (π, v) of (θ, u) on $L^p(\mu)$ where π acts by multiplication operators and $v : G \rightarrow \text{SPIso}(L^p(\mu))$ takes values in spatial partial isometries. For every $p \in [1, \infty]$, $F^p(\theta, u)$ admits an isometric such representation.*
- (5) *If $p \in [1, \infty]$ and $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, the algebra $F^p(\theta, u)$ is universal for representations of $F(\theta, u)$ in all L^p -operator algebras (every such representation factors to a representation of $F^p(\theta, u)$).*

Proof. The isometric isomorphisms $F^{S_\theta}(\mathcal{G}_\theta, \mathcal{L}_u) \cong F^{S_{[\theta]}}(S(G) \rtimes_{[\theta]} X, \mathcal{L}_{[u]}) \cong C_0(G) \rtimes_{[\alpha], [u]} S(G)$ follow from Lemma 7.5 and Corollary 4.28. It is straightforward to check that the map $C_c(\mathcal{G}_\theta, \mathcal{L}_u) \ni \hat{f} \mapsto f \in \ell^1(\theta, u)$ where $f(t)(x) := \hat{f}(t, \theta_{t^{-1}}(x))$ is an injective $\|\cdot\|_{\max}^{S_\theta}$ -contractive homomorphism. Thus it extends to a representation $\Psi : F^{S_\theta}(\mathcal{G}_\theta, \mathcal{L}_u) \xrightarrow{\text{dense}} F(\theta, u) = \ell^1(\theta, u)$. It is routine to check that every covariant representation of (π, v) of $([\theta], [u])$ defines a representation of $\ell^1(\theta, u)$ via $\pi \rtimes v : F(\theta, u) \rightarrow \sum_{t \in G} \pi(a(t))v_{[t]}$. Disintegrating the identity representation of $F^{S_\theta}(\mathcal{G}_\theta, \mathcal{L}_u)$ we get a covariant representation (π, v) of $([\theta], [u])$ such that the associated representation $\pi \rtimes v : F(\theta, u) \rightarrow F^{S_\theta}(\mathcal{G}_\theta, \mathcal{L}_u)$ is inverse to Ψ . Thus $F(\theta, u) \cong F^{S_\theta}(\mathcal{G}_\theta, \mathcal{L}_u)$.

Now items (1), (2), (3) follow from Lemma 7.8 and Theorem 4.27. Then items (4) and (5) follow from Theorem 5.15(1)(2) and Theorem 5.10(1). \square

Remark 7.10. Combining the above with Theorem 5.15(2) we see that $F^2(\theta, u)$ is equal to the C^* -algebra $C^*(\theta, u) := \overline{F(\theta, u)}^{\|\cdot\|_{C^* \max}}$ where $\|\cdot\|_{C^* \max}$ is the maximal C^* -norm on $F(\theta, u)$. In particular, Theorem 7.9 describes representations of the C^* -algebraic crossed product $C^*(\theta, u)$ in terms of covariant representations, which seems to be known only in the untwisted (and complex) case, see [62]. Twisted crossed products in [29] were defined and analyzed in terms of Fell bundles (without the use covariant representations), cf. a comment below [69, Theorem 4.3].

7.2. Banach algebras associated to twisted Deaconu–Renault groupoids. We fix a local homeomorphism $\varphi : \Delta \rightarrow X$ between open subsets $\Delta, \varphi(\Delta) \subseteq X$ of a locally compact Hausdorff space X . Thus (X, φ) is a singly generated dynamical system (SGDS) in the sense of [64]. Put $\Delta_0 = X$ and inductively define $\Delta_n := \varphi^{-1}(\Delta_{n-1})$, the natural domain of the partial local homeomorphism $\varphi^n, n \in \mathbb{N}$. The *Deaconu–Renault groupoid* associated to (X, φ) , see [64], is an étale, amenable, locally compact Hausdorff groupoid where

$$\mathcal{G}(X, \varphi) := \{(y, n - m, x) : n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0, x \in \Delta_n, y \in \Delta_m, \varphi^n(x) = \varphi^m(y)\},$$

and the groupoid structure is given by

$$(z, n, y)(y, m, x) := (z, n + m, x), \quad (y, n, x)^{-1} := (x, -n, y),$$

and the topology is inherited from $X \times \mathbb{Z} \times X$. The groupoid $\mathcal{G}(X, \varphi)$ is étale as it has a basis for the topology consisting of bisections of the form

$$(7.18) \quad Z(V, n - m, U) := \{(y, n - m, x) : (y, x) \in V \times U, \varphi^m(y) = \varphi^n(x)\},$$

where $U \subseteq \Delta_n$ and $V \subseteq \Delta_m$ are open sets such that $\varphi^n|_U$ and $\varphi^m|_V$ are injective and $\varphi^n(U) = \varphi^m(V)$. We identify the unit space $Z(X, 0, X) = \{(x, 0, x) \in X \times \mathbb{Z} \times X\}$ of $\mathcal{G}(X, \varphi)$ with X via the map $X \ni x \mapsto (x, 0, x) \in Z(X, 0, X)$. Thus the range and domain maps are given by $r(y, n, x) = y$ and $d(y, n, x) = x$.

Lemma 7.11. *The collection $S(X, \varphi)$ of bisections (7.18) forms a wide inverse semigroup of bisections of $\mathcal{G}(X, \varphi)$ whose canonical action is given by the formula*

$$Z(V, n - m, U) \mapsto h_{Z(V, n - m, U)} = (\varphi|_V^m)^{-1} \circ \varphi|_U^n.$$

In particular, we have a natural isomorphism $S(X, \varphi) \rtimes X \cong \mathcal{G}(X, \varphi)$. If X is totally disconnected, then the collection $S_c(X, \varphi)$ of bisections (7.18) with compact open U, V is also a wide inverse semigroup, and so $S_c(X, \varphi) \rtimes X \cong \mathcal{G}(X, \varphi)$.

Proof. Let $Z(V, N, U)$ and $Z(W, M, Y)$ be bisections of the form (7.18), so $N = n - m$, $M = k - l$ where $n, m, k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\varphi|_V^m, \varphi|_U^n, \varphi|_W^l, \varphi|_Y^k$ are injective and $\varphi^m(V) = \varphi^n(U)$, $\varphi^l(Y) = \varphi^k(W)$. Clearly, $Z(V, N, U)^{-1}Z(U, -N, V)$ and $h_{Z(V, N, U)} = (\varphi|_V^m)^{-1} \circ \varphi|_U^n : U \rightarrow V$ is a homeomorphism. Moreover,

$$Z(V, N, U) \cdot Z(W, M, Y) = Z(V', N + M, Y'),$$

where $Y' = (\varphi|_Y^k)^{-1} \circ \varphi^l(U \cap W)$ and $V' = (\varphi|_V^m)^{-1} \circ \varphi^n(U \cap W)$. More specifically, if $n \geq l$ then φ^{k+n-l} is injective on Y' and $h_{Z(V', N+M, Y')} = (\varphi|_{V'}^m)^{-1} \circ \varphi|_{Y'}^{k+n-l}$ maps Y' onto V' . If $n \leq l$, then φ^{m+l-n} is injective on V' and $h_{Z(V', N+M, Y')} = (\varphi|_{V'}^{m+l-n})^{-1} \circ \varphi|_{Y'}^k$, maps Y' onto V' . Thus $S(X, \varphi)$ is an inverse semigroup. It is wide because it forms a basis for the topology of $\mathcal{G}(X, \varphi)$. If the sets U, V, W, Y above are compact open, then also Y' and V' are compact open. Hence $S_c(X, \varphi)$ is an inverse semigroup. It forms a basis for the topology of $\mathcal{G}(X, \varphi)$ when X is totally disconnected. \square

Remark 7.12. Let $S(\varphi)$ be the inverse subsemigroup of $\text{PHomeo}(X)$ generated by restrictions $\varphi|_U \in \text{PHomeo}(X)$ to open sets $U \subseteq X$ where $\varphi|_U$ is injective. Lemma 7.11 implies that $S(\varphi)$ consists of partial homeomorphisms of the form $(\varphi|_V^m)^{-1} \circ \varphi|_U^n$, and we have the canonical semigroup epimorphism $h : S(X, \varphi) \rightarrow S(\varphi)$. The groupoid of germs $\text{Germ}(X, \varphi)$ defined in [64] is canonically isomorphic to the transformation groupoid $S(\varphi) \times X$. So we have a canonical groupoid epimorphism $\mathcal{G}(X, \varphi) \cong S(X, \varphi) \times X \rightarrow S(\varphi) \times X \cong \text{Germ}(X, \varphi)$. By [64, Proposition 2.3] this is an isomorphism if and only if $\mathcal{G}(X, \varphi)$ is topologically free, which holds if and only if φ is topologically free, i.e. for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $\{x \in \Delta_n : \varphi^n(x) = x\}$ has empty interior.

Twisted groupoids of the form $(\mathcal{G}(X, \varphi), \mathcal{L})$ model, for instance, C^* -algebras associated to twisted topological graphs, see [48]. It seems that L^p -operator algebras associated to $\mathcal{G}(X, \varphi)$ have not been considered yet. Moreover, even the C^* -algebraic versions of the following results does not seem to appear in the literature.

Proposition 7.13. *Let \mathcal{L} be any twist over $\mathcal{G}(X, \varphi)$. Let $S \subseteq S(X, \varphi) \cap S(\mathcal{L})$ be any wide inverse semigroup of bisections where the bundle \mathcal{L} can be trivialized (one may always take $S = S(X, \varphi) \cap S(\mathcal{L})$) and consider the action*

$$\alpha : S \rightarrow \text{PAut}(C_0(X)); \quad \alpha_{Z(V, n-m, U)}(a) := a \circ (\varphi|_U^n)^{-1} \circ \varphi|_V^m, \quad a \in C_0(U).$$

*For $t \in S$ pick any $c_t \in C_u(t, \mathcal{L})$, unless $t \subseteq X$, in which case put $c_t(x) := (x, 1) \subseteq X \times \mathbb{F}$. For $s, t \in S(\mathcal{L})$ put $u(s, t) := c_s * c_t * c_{st}^*$. Then (α, u) is a twisted action of S and we have a natural isomorphism*

$$F^S(\mathcal{G}(X, \varphi), \mathcal{L}) \cong C_0(X) \rtimes_{(\alpha, u)} S.$$

Thus every representation $\psi : F^S(\mathcal{G}(X, \varphi), \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow B$ is determined by the formula

$$(7.19) \quad \psi(a\delta_{Z(V, N, U)}) = \pi(a)v_{Z(V, N, U)}, \quad a \in C_c(V), \quad Z(V, N, U) \in S,$$

for a covariant representation (π, v) of (α, u) .

Proof. Both $S(X, \varphi)$ and $S(\mathcal{L})$ are inverse semigroups that form a basis for the topology of $\mathcal{G}(X, \varphi)$ which is downward directed by inclusion, see Lemma 4.2. Hence $S(X, \varphi) \cap S(\mathcal{L})$ has the same properties and therefore is a wide inverse semigroup. The corresponding pair (α, u) is an example of a twisted action from Lemma 4.19 which models $(\mathcal{G}(X, \varphi), \mathcal{L})$ by Lemma 4.23. Hence the assertion follows from Corollary 4.28. \square

Corollary 7.14. *Let $S \subseteq S(X, \varphi)$ be a wide inverse semigroup of bisections. If $p \in (1, \infty)$, then (7.19) establishes a bijective correspondence between representations $\psi : F^p(\mathcal{G}(X, \varphi)) \rightarrow B(L^p(\mu))$ where $\psi|_{C_0(X)}$ acts by multiplication operators, and pairs (π, v) where $\pi : C_0(X) \rightarrow B(L^p(\mu))$ is a representation acting by multiplication operators, and $v : S \rightarrow \text{SPIso}(L^p(\mu))$ is a semigroup homomorphism satisfying*

$$v_{Z(V, n-m, U)} \pi(a) = \pi(a \circ (\varphi|_U^n)^{-1} \circ \varphi|_V^m) v_{Z(V, n-m, U)}, \quad a \in C_0(U),$$

and $v_{Z(U, 0, U)}$ is a projection onto $\overline{\pi(C_0(U))L^p(\mu)}$ for any $U \in S$ with $U \subseteq X$. For every $p \in [1, \infty]$ there is a pair (π, v) as above such that (7.19) defines an isometric representation of $F^p(\mathcal{G}(X, \varphi))$.

Proof. Follows from Proposition 7.13 and Theorem 5.15(1)(2), modulo explicit description of spatial covariant representations of the action $\alpha : S \rightarrow \text{PAut}(C_0(X))$. \square

Corollary 7.15. *If X is totally disconnected and $S \subseteq S(X, \varphi)$ is a wide inverse semigroup consisting of compact open bisections that separate points of X , then*

$$F_{\text{tight}}(S) \cong F^S(\mathcal{G}_{\text{tight}}(S)) \cong F^S(\mathcal{G}(X, \varphi))$$

and so the relation $\psi(\delta_t) = v_t$, $t \in S$, yields a bijective correspondence between representations $\psi : F^S(\mathcal{G}(X, \varphi)) \rightarrow B$ and tight representations $v : S \rightarrow B$ of S in a Banach algebra B .

Proof. By Corollary 6.18, we have a canonical homeomorphism $\mathcal{G}_{\text{tight}}(S) \cong \mathcal{G}(X, \varphi)$ and so the assertion follows from Theorem 6.15. \square

7.3. Banach algebras associated to directed graphs. Let $Q = (Q^0, Q^1, r_Q, s_Q)$ be a *directed graph* (sometimes also called a *quiver*). So Q^0 is the set of vertices, Q^1 is the set of edges and $r_Q, s_Q : Q^1 \rightarrow Q^0$ are the range and source maps. The vertices in $Q_{\text{reg}} := \{v \in Q^0 : 1 < |r_Q^{-1}(v)| < \infty\}$ are called *regular* and the remaining $Q_{\text{sing}} := Q^0 \setminus Q_{\text{reg}}$ are called *singular*. We denote by Q^n , $n > 0$, the set of finite paths $\mu = \mu_1 \cdots \mu_n$, where $s_Q(\mu_i) = r_Q(\mu_{i+1})$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Then $|\mu| = n$ stands for the length of μ and $Q^* = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} Q^n$ is the set of all finite paths (vertices are treated as paths of length zero). We denote by Q^∞ the set of infinite paths and put $Q^{\leq \infty} := Q^* \cup Q^\infty$. The maps r_Q, s_Q extend naturally to Q^* and r_Q extends also to Q^∞ . We recall the definition of the Leavitt path algebra associated to Q , see [2].

Definition 7.16. A Q -family in an algebra B (over $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$) is a pair (P, T) where $P = \{P_v\}_{v \in Q^0} \subseteq B$ consists of pairwise orthogonal idempotents and $T = \{T_e, T_e^*\}_{e \in Q^1} \subseteq B$ consists of elements where T_e^* is a generalized inverse of T_e ($e \in Q^1$) satisfying

- (CK1) $T_e^* T_e = P_{s(e)}$ and $T_e T_e^* \leq P_{r(e)}$ for all $e \in Q^1$;
- (CK2) $P_v = \sum_{e \in r^{-1}(v)} T_e T_e^*$ for all $v \in Q_{\text{reg}}^0$.

Let (P, T) be a Q -family in B . For $\mu = \mu_1 \cdots \mu_n \in Q^*$ we put $T_\mu := T_{\mu_1} \cdots T_{\mu_n}$ and $T_\mu^* := T_{\mu_n}^* \cdots T_{\mu_1}^*$. Then the elements $T_\mu T_\nu^*$ form an inverse semigroup with multiplication from B and so $\text{span}\{T_\mu T_\nu^* : \mu, \nu \in Q^*\}$ is a subalgebra of B generated by $P \cup T$. In fact, it is a $*$ -algebra with the involution determined by $(T_\mu T_\nu^*)^* = T_\nu T_\mu^*$, $\mu, \nu \in Q^*$. By definition the *Leavitt path algebra* $\mathcal{L}(Q)$ is the algebra generated by a universal Q -family (p, t) . Thus

$$\mathcal{L}(Q) = \text{span}\{t_\mu t_\nu^* : \mu, \nu \in Q^*\},$$

and for any Q -family (P, T) in B there is a unique algebra homomorphism $\psi_{(P, T)} : \mathcal{L}(Q) \rightarrow B$ such that $\psi_{(P, T)}(p_v) = P_v$, $\psi_{(P, T)}(t_e) = T_e$ and $\psi_{(P, T)}(t_e^*) = T_e^*$, for $v \in Q^0$, $e \in Q^1$. We refer

to [2] for more details. The elements $\{t_\mu t_\nu^* : \mu, \nu \in Q^*\}$ form an inverse semigroup that can be described in terms of Q as follows. Put $S_Q := \{(\mu, \nu) \in Q^* \times Q^* : s_Q(\mu) = s_Q(\nu)\} \cup \{0\}$ and define multiplication in S_Q by the formula

$$(\mu, \nu)(\alpha, \beta) := \begin{cases} (\mu\alpha', \beta) & \text{if } \alpha = \nu\alpha', \\ (\mu, \beta\nu') & \text{if } \nu = \alpha\nu', \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the involution is given by $(\mu, \nu)^* = (\nu, \mu)$. It is known to experts (and not hard to see) that tight representations of S_Q are in bijective correspondence with Q -families. We deduce this from our results. The groupoid model for $\mathcal{L}(Q)$ (isomorphic to the tight groupoid $\mathcal{G}_T(S_Q)$) can be described as follows [21, Examples 2.1, 3.2]. We put $Q_{\text{sing}}^* := \{\mu \in Q^* : s_Q(\mu) \in Q_{\text{sing}}\}$. For any $\eta \in Q^* \setminus Q^0$ let $\eta Q^{\leq \infty} := \{\mu = \mu_1 \cdots \in Q^{\leq \infty} : \mu_1 \cdots \mu_{|\eta|} = \eta\}$ and for $v \in Q^0$ put $v Q^{\leq \infty} := \{\mu \in Q^{\leq \infty} : r_Q(\mu) = v\}$. The *boundary space* of Q [77, Section 2] is the set

$$\partial Q := Q^\infty \cup Q_{\text{sing}}^*$$

equipped with the topology generated by the ‘cylinders’ $Z(\mu) := \partial Q \cap \mu Q^{\leq \infty}$, $\eta \in Q^*$, and their complements. In fact, the sets $Z(\mu) \setminus \bigcup_{e \in F} Z(\mu e)$, where $\mu \in Q^*$ and $F \subseteq s(\mu)Q^1$ is a finite set of edges, form a basis of compact-open sets for the Hausdorff topology on ∂Q [77, Section 2]. The one-sided *topological Markov shift* associated to Q is the map $\sigma : \partial Q \setminus Q^0 \rightarrow \partial Q$ defined, for $\mu = \mu_1 \mu_2 \cdots \in \partial Q \setminus Q^0$, by the formulas

$$\sigma(\mu) := \mu_2 \mu_3 \cdots \quad \text{if } \mu \notin Q^1, \quad \sigma(\mu) := s(\mu_1) \quad \text{if } \mu = \mu_1 \in Q^1.$$

This is a partial local homeomorphism on ∂Q . By definition the *groupoid of the graph* Q is the Deaconu–Renault groupoid $\mathcal{G}_Q := \mathcal{G}(\partial Q, \sigma)$ of σ . Thus

$$\mathcal{G}_Q = \{(\mu x, |\mu| - |\eta|, \eta x) : \mu, \eta \in Q^*, x \in \partial Q, s_Q(\mu) = s_Q(\nu) = r_Q(x)\}$$

is an ample Hausdorff groupoid with the topology generated by the ‘cylinders’ $Z(\mu, \nu) := \{(\mu x, |\mu| - |\eta|, \eta x) \in \mathcal{G}_Q\}$, for $\mu, \eta \in Q^*$ with $s_Q(\mu) = s_Q(\nu)$, and their complements. In fact, the sets $Z(\mu, \nu) \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in F} Z(\mu\alpha)$, where $\mu, \eta \in Q^*$ with $s_Q(\mu) = s_Q(\nu)$ and $F \subseteq s(\mu)Q^*$ is finite, form a basis of compact open bisections for \mathcal{G}_Q . Moreover, every compact open set in \mathcal{G}_Q is a finite disjoint union of these basic sets. The associated Steinberg algebra is

$$A(\mathcal{G}_Q) := \text{span}\{1_{Z(\mu, \nu)} : \mu, \eta \in Q^*, s_Q(\mu) = s_Q(\nu)\}.$$

Note that $A(\mathcal{G}_Q) \subseteq C_c(\mathcal{G}_Q)$ is a dense *-subalgebra of $F(\mathcal{G}_Q)$, and $A(\partial Q) := \text{span}\{1_{Z(\mu)} : \mu \in Q^*\} \subseteq C_c(\partial Q)$ is a dense *-subalgebra in $C_0(\partial Q)$.

Proposition 7.17 ([21]). *There is an algebra isomorphism $\Psi : \mathcal{L}(Q) \rightarrow A(\mathcal{G}_Q)$ that maps $t_\mu t_\nu^*$ to $1_{Z(\mu, \nu)}$, $\mu, \nu \in Q^*$. It is uniquely determined by $\Psi(p_\nu) = 1_{Z(\nu)}$, $\Psi(t_e) = 1_{Z(e, s_Q(e))}$ and $\Psi(t_e^*) = 1_{Z(s_Q(e), e)}$, for $v \in Q^0$, $e \in Q^1$. In particular we have an isomorphism $A(\partial Q) \cong \text{span}\{t_\mu t_\mu^* : \mu \in Q^*\}$ where $1_{Z(\mu)} \mapsto t_\mu t_\mu^*$.*

Lemma 7.18. *For any Q -family (P, T) in an algebra B and any finite $F \subseteq Q^*$ the elements*

$$P_\mu^F := \prod_{\mu\mu' \in F \setminus \{\mu\}} T_\mu T_\mu^* - T_{\mu\mu'} T_{\mu\mu'}^*, \quad \mu \in F,$$

(with the convention $P_\mu^F := T_\mu T_\mu^*$ if the product is over the empty set) are mutually orthogonal idempotents such that $T_\mu T_\mu^* = \sum_{\mu\mu' \in F \setminus \{\mu\}} P_\mu^F$, so they span the algebra $\text{span}\{T_\mu T_\mu^* : \mu \in F\}$. If B is a Banach algebra, then the map $(A(\partial Q), \|\cdot\|_\infty) \rightarrow \text{span}\{T_\mu T_\mu^* : \mu \in Q^*\} \subseteq B$

$1_{Z(\mu)} \mapsto t_\mu t_\mu^*$ is contractive if and only if for every finite $F \subseteq E^*$ the idempotents $\{P_\mu^F\}_{\mu \in F}$ are jointly \mathbb{F} -contractive (Definition 6.4).

Proof. The first part follows from [71, Lemma 3.1], see also [77, Lemma 3.4]. This corresponds to the fact every element $f \in A(\partial Q)$ can be written in the form $f = \sum_{\mu \in F} a_\mu 1_{Z(\mu, F)}$ where $Z(\mu, F) := Z(\mu) \setminus \bigcup_{\mu' \in F \setminus \{\mu\}} Z(\mu\mu')$ are pairwise disjoint compact open sets for all $\mu \in F$ and a fixed finite set $F \subseteq Q^*$. Then $\|f\|_\infty = \max_{\mu \in F} |a_\mu|$, and the map in the second part of the assertion sends f to $\sum_{\mu \in F} a_\mu P_\mu^F$, so it is contractive if $\sum_{\mu \in F} a_\mu P_\mu^F \leq \max_{\mu \in F} |a_\mu|$ for all choices of F and a_μ . \square

Definition 7.19. A *Banach Q -family* is a Q -family (P, T) in a Banach algebra B where $P \cup T \subseteq B_1$ and for any finite $F \subseteq Q^*$ the idempotents $\{P_\mu^F\}_{\mu \in F}$ are jointly \mathbb{F} -contractive. A *spatial Q -family on $L^p(\mu)$* , where $p \in [1, \infty]$, is a Q -family (P, T) in the algebra $B(L^p(\mu))$ where $P \cup T \subseteq \text{SPIso}(L^p(\mu))$ are spatial partial isometries (then T_e^* is the adjoint of T_e in the sense of the inverse semigroup $\text{SPIso}(L^p(\mu))$). We define the *universal graph Banach algebra $F(Q)$* of Q to be the completion of $\mathcal{L}(Q)$ in

$$\|b\|_{F(Q)} := \sup\{\psi_{(P, T)}(b) : (P, T) \text{ is a } Q\text{-family in a Banach algebra}\}.$$

For $p \in [1, +\infty]$ we define the *graph L^p -operator algebra $F^p(Q)$* to be the completion of $\mathcal{L}(Q)$ in the norm $\|b\|_{L^p} = \sup\{\psi_{(P, T)}(b) : (P, T) \text{ is spatial } Q\text{-family on an } L^p\text{-space}\}$.

Remark 7.20. Lemma 6.7 implies that a spatial Q -family on $L^p(\mu)$ is a Banach Q -family in $B(L^p(\mu))$, and any Q -family (P, T) in a C^* -algebra B consisting of contractive elements is a Banach Q -family in B .

Theorem 7.21. *For any directed graph Q we have natural isometric isomorphisms*

$$(7.20) \quad F(Q) \cong F^{S_Q}(\mathcal{G}_Q) \cong F_{\text{tight}}(S_Q)$$

In particular, for any Banach algebra B the relations

$$(7.21) \quad \psi(p_v) = P_v = V_{(v, v)}, \quad \psi(t_e) = T_e = V_{(e, s(e))}, \quad \psi(t_e^*) = T_e^* = V_{(s(e), e)},$$

for $v \in Q^0$, $e \in Q^1$, establish bijective correspondences between representations $\psi : F(Q) \rightarrow B$, Banach Q -families (P, T) in B , and tight Banach algebra representations $V : S_Q \rightarrow B_1$.

Proof. The collection $S := \{Z(\mu, \nu) : \mu, \nu \in Q^*, s_Q(\mu) = s_Q(\nu)\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$ forms a wide inverse semigroup of compact open bisections in \mathcal{G}_Q that separates the points in \mathcal{G}_Q . Thus $\mathcal{G}_Q \cong \mathcal{G}_{\text{tight}}(S)$ is the tight groupoid of S by Corollary 6.18. We have natural semigroup isomorphisms $S \cong S_Q \cong \{t_\mu t_\nu^* : \mu, \nu \in Q^*\}$ from Proposition 7.17. Thus by Theorem 6.15 and Lemmas 6.7 and 7.18 we have isometric isomorphisms (7.20), and the relations in the assertion establish bijective correspondences between the corresponding objects. \square

Corollary 7.22. *For $p \in [1, +\infty]$ the isomorphisms (7.20) descend to $F^p(Q) \cong F^p(\mathcal{G}_Q) \cong F_{\text{tight}}^p(S_Q)$, and for any localizable μ , (7.21) establish bijective correspondences between spatial Q -families (P, T) on $L^p(\mu)$, tight homomorphisms $V : S_Q \rightarrow \text{SPIso}(L^p(\mu))$ and representations $\psi : F^p(Q) \rightarrow B(L^p(\mu))$ such that $\psi(t_\mu t_\mu^*)$, $\mu \in Q^*$, are operators of multiplication.*

If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, then $F^p(Q)$ is universal for Q -families in all L^p -operator algebras and for $p \neq 2$ every non-degenerate representation $\psi : F^p(Q) \rightarrow B(L^p(\mu))$ comes from a spatial Q -family (P, T) on $L^p(\mu)$.

Proof. Combine Theorem 7.21 and Corollary 6.16. \square

Remark 7.23. Originally L^p -Cuntz algebras [59] and graph L^p -operator algebras $F^p(Q)$ [22] were defined (for $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$) using spatial representations on standard measure spaces. As $F^p(Q)$ is separable, one can limit only to such measures using [60, Proposition 1.25]. The last part of Corollary 7.22 says that for $p \in [1, +\infty] \setminus \{2\}$ the use of spatial Q -families is inevitable. The tight semigroup picture for general graph C^* -algebras seems to be absent from the literature.

Remark 7.24 (Banach–Cuntz algebras). For any $n > 1$ consider the graph Q_n with a single vertex v and n edges e_1, \dots, e_n . Then our $F(Q_n)$ is a Banach algebra analogue of the Cuntz C^* -algebra F_n . Daws and Horváth [25] proposed a different construction: the *Daws–Horváth algebra* \mathcal{DH}_n is by definition the quotient of the Banach $*$ -algebra $\ell^1(S_{Q_n} \setminus \{0\})$ by an ideal J generated by the element $\delta_{(v,v)} - \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{(e_i, e_i)}$. Thus it is the universal Banach algebra generated by a contractive Q_n -family, or equivalently the completion of $\mathcal{L}(Q_n)$ in the largest submultiplicative norm $\|\cdot\|_{DH}$ such that $\|t_{e_i}\|_{DH} = \|t_{e_i}^*\|_{DH} = \|t_v\|_{DH} = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. As shown in [25], $\mathcal{DH}_n = \overline{\mathcal{L}(Q_n)}^{\|\cdot\|_{DH}}$ is naturally a simple purely infinite Banach $*$ -algebra.

Thus we have an injective $*$ -homomorphism $\mathcal{DH}_n \xrightarrow{\text{dense}} F(Q_n)$ which is the identity on $\mathcal{L}(Q_n)$. It seems unlikely that this homomorphism is surjective. To prove this one could try to show that there are no bounded traces on $F(Q_n)$, cf. [25, Section 4].

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. A. Abramovich and C. D. Aliprantis. *An invitation to operator theory*, volume 50 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [2] G. Abrams, P. Ara, and M. Siles Molina. *Leavitt path algebras*, volume 2191 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, London, 2017.
- [3] V. Agniel. L^p -projections on subspaces and quotients of Banach spaces. *Adv. Oper. Theory*, 6(2):Paper No. 38, 2021.
- [4] R. Arens. Representation of $*$ -algebras. *Duke Math. J.*, 14:269–282, 1947.
- [5] A. Austad and E. Ortega. Groupoids and Hermitian Banach $*$ -algebras. *Internat. J. Math.*, 33(14):Paper No. 2250090, 25, 2022.
- [6] K. Bardadyn and B. K. Kwaśniewski. Topologically free actions and ideals in twisted Banach algebra crossed products. preprint. arXiv: 2307.01685.
- [7] K. Bardadyn, B. K. Kwaśniewski, and A. McKee. Banach algebras associated to twisted étale groupoids II: simplicity and pure infiniteness. In preparation.
- [8] E. Behrends, R. Danckwerts, R. Evans, S. Göbel, P. Greim, K. Meyfarth, and W. Müller. *L^p -structure in real Banach spaces*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 613. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977.
- [9] S. J. Bernau and H. E. Lacey. Bicontractive projections and reordering of L_p -spaces. *Pacific J. Math.*, 69(2):291–302, 1977.
- [10] D. P. Blecher. Real operator spaces and operator algebras. Preprint. arXiv: 2303.17050, 2023.
- [11] D. P. Blecher, S. Goldstein, and L. E. Labuschagne. Abelian von Neumann algebras, measure algebras and L^∞ -spaces. *Expo. Math.*, 40(3):758–818, 2022.
- [12] D. P. Blecher and C. Le Merdy. *Operator algebras and their modules—an operator space approach*, volume 30 of *London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004. Oxford Science Publications.
- [13] D. P. Blecher and N. C. Phillips. L^p -operator algebras with approximate identities, I. *Pacific J. Math.*, 303(2):401–457, 2019.
- [14] F. F. Bonsall. A minimal property of the norm in some Banach algebras. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 29:156–164, 1954.
- [15] A. Buss and R. Exel. Twisted actions and regular Fell bundles over inverse semigroups. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 103(2):235–270, 2011.
- [16] A. Buss and R. Exel. Fell bundles over inverse semigroups and twisted étale groupoids. *J. Operator Theory*, 67(1):153–205, 2012.

- [17] A. Buss and A. Sims. Opposite algebras of groupoid C^* -algebras. *Israel J. Math.*, 244(2):759–774, 2021.
- [18] C. Byrne and F. Sullivan. Contractive projections with contractive complement in L_p -space. *J. Multivariate Anal.*, 2(1):1–13, 1972.
- [19] Y. Choi, E. Gardella, and H. Thiel. Rigidity results for L^p -operator algebras and applications. Preprint. arXiv: 1909.03612.
- [20] Y. Chung and K. Li. Rigidity of ℓ^p -Roe-type algebras. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 50(6):1056–1070, 2018.
- [21] L. O. Clark and A. Sims. Equivalent groupoids have Morita equivalent Steinberg algebras. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 219(6):2062–2075, 2015.
- [22] G. Cortiñas and M. E. Rodríguez. L^p -operator algebras associated with oriented graphs. *J. Operator Theory*, 81(1):225–254, 2019.
- [23] H. G. Dales. *Banach algebras and automatic continuity*, volume 24 of *London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000. Oxford Science Publications.
- [24] M. Daws. Multipliers, self-induced and dual Banach algebras. *Dissertationes Math.*, 470:62, 2010.
- [25] M. Daws and B. Horváth. A purely infinite Cuntz-like Banach $*$ -algebra with no purely infinite ultrapowers. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 283(1):Paper No. 109488, 36, 2022.
- [26] S. Dirksen, M. de Jeu, and M. Wortel. Crossed products of Banach algebras. I. Preprint. arXiv: 1104.5151.
- [27] A. P. Donsig and D. Milan. Joins and covers in inverse semigroups and tight C^* -algebras. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 90(1):121–133, 2014.
- [28] R. Exel. Circle actions on C^* -algebras, partial automorphisms, and a generalized Pimsner-Voiculescu exact sequence. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 122(2):361–401, 1994.
- [29] R. Exel. Twisted partial actions: a classification of regular C^* -algebraic bundles. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 74(2):417–443, 1997.
- [30] R. Exel. Partial actions of groups and actions of inverse semigroups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 126(12):3481–3494, 1998.
- [31] R. Exel. Inverse semigroups and combinatorial C^* -algebras. *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 39(2):191–313, 2008.
- [32] R. Exel. Reconstructing a totally disconnected groupoid from its ample semigroup. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 138(8):2991–3001, 2010.
- [33] R. Exel. *Partial dynamical systems, Fell bundles and applications*, volume 224 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017.
- [34] D. H. Fremlin. *Measure theory. Vol. 2*. Torres Fremlin, Colchester, 2003. Broad foundations, Corrected second printing of the 2001 original.
- [35] E. Gardella. A modern look at algebras of operators on L^p -spaces. *Expo. Math.*, 39:420–453, 2021.
- [36] E. Gardella and M. Lupini. Representations of étale groupoids on L^p -spaces. *Adv. Math.*, 318:233–278, 2017.
- [37] E. Gardella and H. Thiel. Group algebras acting on L^p -spaces. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 21(6):1310–1343, 2015.
- [38] E. Gardella and H. Thiel. Extending representations of Banach algebras to their biduals. *Math. Z.*, 294(3-4):1341–1354, 2020.
- [39] E. Gardella and H. Thiel. Isomorphisms of algebras of convolution operators. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 55(5):1433–1471, 2022.
- [40] P. Hahn. The regular representations of measure groupoids. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 242:35–72, 1978.
- [41] P. R. Halmos and L. J. Wallen. Powers of partial isometries. *J. Math. Mech.*, 19:657–663, 1969/1970.
- [42] S. Hejazian and S. Pooya. Simple reduced L^p -operator crossed products with unique trace. *J. Operator Theory*, 74(1):133–147, 2015.
- [43] E. V. Hetland and E. Ortega. Rigidity of twisted groupoid L^p -operator algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 285(6):Paper No. 110037, 45, 2023.
- [44] M. Ilie and R. Stokke. Weak*-continuous homomorphisms of Fourier–Stieltjes algebras. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 145(1):107–120, 2008.
- [45] J. E. Jamison. Bicircular projections on some Banach spaces. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 420(1):29–33, 2007.
- [46] A. Kumjian. On C^* -diagonals. *Canad. J. Math.*, 38(4):969–1008, 1986.
- [47] A. Kumjian. Fell bundles over groupoids. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 126(4):1115–1125, 1998.
- [48] A. Kumjian and H. Li. Twisted topological graph algebras are twisted groupoid C^* -algebras. *J. Operator Theory*, 78(1):201–225, 2017.

- [49] B. K. Kwaśniewski and A. Lebedev. Variational principles for spectral radius of weighted endomorphisms of $C(X, D)$. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 373(4):2659–2698, 2020.
- [50] B. K. Kwaśniewski and R. Meyer. Stone duality and quasi-orbit spaces for generalised C^* -inclusions. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 121(4):788–827, 2020.
- [51] B. K. Kwaśniewski and R. Meyer. Essential crossed products for inverse semigroup actions: simplicity and pure infiniteness. *Doc. Math.*, 26:271–335, 2021.
- [52] H. E. Lacey. *The isometric theory of classical Banach spaces*. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 208. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1974.
- [53] B. Li. *Real operator algebras*. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2003.
- [54] Xin Li. Every classifiable simple C^* -algebra has a Cartan subalgebra. *Invent. Math.*, 219(2):653–699, 2020.
- [55] M. Mbekhta. Partial isometries and generalized inverses. *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, 70(3-4):767–781, 2004.
- [56] K. McClanahan. K -theory for partial crossed products by discrete groups. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 130(1):77–117, 1995.
- [57] A. L. T. Paterson. *Groupoids, inverse semigroups, and their operator algebras*, volume 170 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1999.
- [58] A. Pelczyński and Cz. Bessaga. Some aspects of the present theory of Banach spaces. In *S. Banach, Oeuvres, volume II, Travaux sur l'analyse fonctionnelle*, pages 223–302. PWN – Editions Scientifiques de Pologne, Warsaw, 1979.
- [59] N. C. Phillips. Analogs of Cuntz algebras on L^p -spaces. Preprint. arXiv: 1201.4196.
- [60] N. C. Phillips. Crossed products of L^p -operator algebras and the K -theory of Cuntz algebras on L^p -spaces. Preprint. arXiv: 1309.6406.
- [61] N. C. Phillips. Simplicity of UHF and Cuntz algebras on L^p -spaces. Preprint. arXiv: 1309.0115.
- [62] J. Quigg and I. Raeburn. Characterisations of crossed products by partial actions. *J. Operator Theory*, 37(2):311–340, 1997.
- [63] J. Renault. *A groupoid approach to C^* -algebras*, volume 793 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [64] J. Renault. Cuntz-like algebras. In *Operator theoretical methods (Timișoara, 1998)*, pages 371–386. Theta Found., Bucharest, 2000.
- [65] J. Rosenberg. Structure and applications of real C^* -algebras. In *Operator algebras and their applications*, volume 671 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 235–258. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2016.
- [66] V. Runde. *Lectures on amenability*, volume 1774 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [67] H. Schröder. *K -theory for real C^* -algebras and applications*, volume 290 of *Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series*. Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow; copublished in the United States with John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1993.
- [68] N. Sieben. C^* -crossed products by partial actions and actions of inverse semigroups. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A*, 63(1):32–46, 1997.
- [69] N. Sieben. C^* -crossed products by twisted inverse semigroup actions. *J. Operator Theory*, 39(2):361–393, 1998.
- [70] A. Sims. Hausdorff étale groupoids and their C^* -algebras. In *Operator algebras and dynamics: groupoids, crossed products and Rokhlin dimension*. Birkhäuser, 2020.
- [71] A. Sims and S. B. G. Webster. A direct approach to co-universal algebras associated to directed graphs. *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2)*, 33(2):211–220, 2010.
- [72] L. L. Stachó and B. Zalar. Bicircular projections and characterization of Hilbert spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 132(10):3019–3025, 2004.
- [73] B. Steinberg. A groupoid approach to discrete inverse semigroup algebras. *Adv. Math.*, 223(2):689–727, 2010.
- [74] B. Steinberg and N. Szakács. Simplicity of inverse semigroup and étale groupoid algebras. *Adv. Math.*, 380:Paper No. 107611, 55, 2021.
- [75] L. Tzafriri. Remarks on contractive projections in L_p -spaces. *Israel J. Math.*, 7:9–15, 1969.
- [76] Ján Špakula and Rufus Willett. A metric approach to limit operators. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 369(1):263–308, 2017.
- [77] S. B. G. Webster. The path space of a directed graph. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 142(1):213–225, 2014.