

HOMOTOPICAL FOUNDATIONS OF PARAMETRIZED QUANTUM SPIN SYSTEMS

AGNÈS BEAUDRY¹, MICHAEL HERMELE^{2,3}, JUAN MORENO¹,
MARKUS J. PFLAUM^{1,3}, MARVIN QI^{2,3}, AND DANIEL D. SPIEGEL^{4,5}

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we present a homotopical framework for studying invertible gapped phases of matter from the point of view of infinite spin lattice systems, using the framework of algebraic quantum mechanics. We define the notion of *quantum state types*. These are certain lax-monoidal functors from the category of finite dimensional Hilbert spaces to the category of topological spaces. The universal example takes a finite dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} to the pure state space of the quasi-local algebra of the quantum spin system with Hilbert space \mathcal{H} at each site of a specified lattice. The lax-monoidal structure encodes the tensor product of states, which corresponds to stacking for quantum systems. We then explain how to formally extract parametrized phases of matter from quantum state types, and how they naturally give rise to \mathcal{L}_∞ -spaces for an operad we call the “multiplicative” linear isometry operad. We define the notion of invertible quantum state types and explain how the passage to phases for these is related to group completion. We also explain how invertible quantum state types give rise to loop-spectra. Our motivation is to provide a framework for constructing Kitaev’s loop-spectrum of bosonic invertible gapped phases of matter. Finally, as a first step towards understanding the homotopy types of the loop-spectra associated to invertible quantum state types, we prove that the pure state space of any UHF algebra is simply connected.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
Acknowledgements	14
2. Functorial properties of quasi-local algebras and their states	15
2.1. Quasi-local algebras	15
2.2. States and pure states	20

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 81Q35, 46N50, 46L30, 55P48.

Key words and phrases. quantum spin system, quasi-local algebra, gapped invertible phases, Kitaev’s conjecture, loop-spectra, topological group completion, operads, pure state spaces, quantum state type.

¹Department of Mathematics, University of Colorado Boulder.

²Department of Physics, University of Colorado Boulder.

³Center for Theory of Quantum Matter, University of Colorado Boulder.

⁴Department of Mathematics, University of California, Davis.

⁵Center for Quantum Mathematics and Physics, University of California, Davis.

2.3. Quantum state types	26
3. Formal properties of quantum state types	35
3.1. Cellularizing quantum state types	35
3.2. The classifying spaces for quantum systems and phases	38
3.3. Stacking structure	39
3.4. Parametrized phases and group completion	46
3.5. Loop-spectra and May's Recognition Principle	53
3.6. Berry phase and free fermions	55
4. The fundamental group of the pure state space	60
4.1. Action of \mathfrak{A} on its state space	61
4.2. \mathfrak{A} -homotopies and the fundamental group	62
4.3. Finite dimensional density matrices and rectification of paths	65
4.4. Triviality of the fundamental group for UHF algebras	71
Appendix A. Homotopical background	73
A.1. Topological monoids and H -spaces	73
A.2. Operads and \mathcal{E}_∞ -spaces	75
A.3. Simplicial sets and geometric realization	78
References	80

1. INTRODUCTION

Gapped invertible quantum phases of matter are a topic of major interest in condensed matter physics. Selected key examples and partial classifications of such phases were introduced in the following works: [Lau81, Hal83b, Hal83a, AKLT87, RG00, KM05, MB07, Roy09, FKM07, Kit09, SRFL09, GW09, PTBO10, FK11, TPB11, CGW11, SPGC11, CGLW13, LG12].

In recent years, there has been significant work done specifically towards better understanding Kitaev's conjecture that gapped invertible phases form a loop-spectrum in the sense of homotopy theory [Kit13, Kit15, Kit19, GJF19]. A loop-spectrum \mathbf{Y} is a sequence of pointed topological spaces Y_0, Y_1, Y_2, \dots together with weak equivalences (see Definition 3.2)

$$Y_d \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega Y_{d+1}$$

where ΩY_{d+1} is the space of based loops in Y_{d+1} . The space Y_d is to be interpreted as the *classifying space* for phases in spacetime dimension $d+1$, so that its path components $\pi_0 Y_d$ is the set of phases. Furthermore, phases in spacetime dimension $d+1$ should be in one-to-one correspondence with homotopy classes of loops in the classifying space for phases one dimension higher.

One successful approach aimed at describing the loop-spectrum that classifies phases has been to use topological quantum field theories (TQFTs);

in particular, cobordism invariants play a key role [Kap14]. Work of Freed–Hopkins followed by many others settles the question in the TQFT framework. They identify representing loop-spectra depending on the choice of bosonic versus fermionic phases and on the symmetry group of interest [FH21, FH20]. This has led to many computations and predictions, for example, in work of Wan–Wang [WW19, WW20].

However, while it is widely accepted that invertible gapped phases are classified by TQFTs, there are still many mysteries associated to this correspondence. For instance, there is a need for a better understanding of Kitaev’s insight directly from lattice spin systems. Progress has been made in this direction in several recent papers, especially by Kapustin, Sopenko, Spodyneiko and Yang [KS20a, KS20b, KSY21, Sop21, KS22]. Work of Xiong [Xio19] also gives a framework for Kitaev’s conjecture, including many details on the connections between homotopy theory and condensed matter theory. We also note recent work of Marcolli [Mar19], which explores the connections between Segal’s theory of Gamma-spaces and quantum information theory.¹

In this article, we do not settle the main question of identifying Kitaev’s loop-spectrum. Our goal is to introduce a homotopical framework that we think is well-suited to the problem. This framework is not new to homotopy theory, but its application to lattice spin systems we think is new.

To explain the framework, it is helpful to think of the *generalized cohomology theory* associated with the loop-spectrum of phases. We say what we mean here.

Given a loop-spectrum $\mathbf{Y} = \{Y_0, Y_1, \dots\}$ and a topological space X , one defines

$$\mathbf{Y}^d(X) := [X, Y_d]$$

where the right-hand side is the homotopy classes of maps. The sets $\mathbf{Y}^d(X)$ are in fact abelian groups. This is a consequence of the fact that Y_d is an infinite loop space

$$Y_d \simeq \Omega Y_{d+1} \simeq \Omega^2 Y_{d+2} \simeq \Omega^3 Y_{d+3} \simeq \dots$$

and, already, $\Omega^2 Y_{d+2}$ is a homotopy associative and commutative H -space, and so homotopy classes of maps into it form an abelian group.²

In the context of invertible gapped phases, there should be a spectrum \mathcal{GP} of gapped phases with spaces $\mathcal{GP}_0, \mathcal{GP}_1, \dots$, and then $\mathcal{GP}^d(X)$ is to be thought of as the group of phases of *quantum systems parametrized* by the

¹In this paper, we use \mathcal{E}_∞ -spaces and operads to produce loop-spectra. Gamma-spaces are a different (but related) framework which can also be used to construct loop-spectra. Background on operads and \mathcal{E}_∞ -spaces is given in Appendix A.2.

²An H -space is a based topological space Y with a multiplication $Y \times Y \rightarrow Y$ and such that the base point of Y is a unit up to homotopy. Properties of H -spaces such as associativity and commutativity are always only required to hold up to homotopy. Background on this is given in Appendix A.1.

space X . When $X = \text{pt}$, a single point, we recover the non-parametrized gapped phases.

In practice, when trying to understand phases in spacetime dimension $d + 1$, one often starts with a space \mathcal{Q} of *quantum systems* as opposed to phases. This space could be model dependent. For example, one might choose to work with Hamiltonians on certain lattices, or to already restrict to spaces of states with special properties. Furthermore, the space \mathcal{Q} may depend on the symmetry group of interest, or whether or not one is studying fermions or bosons.

For a given dimension, and a space \mathcal{Q} of systems, a quantum system parametrized by X is a continuous function $f: X \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$. Given two such systems f_1 and f_2 , one must be able to stack them to obtain a new system $f_1 \oplus f_2: X \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$. For example, for systems modeled by states, the stacking operation takes two states ω_1 and ω_2 and forms their tensor product $\omega_1 \otimes \omega_2$. For systems modeled by Hamiltonians H_1 and H_2 , stacking corresponds to forming the operator $H_1 \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes H_2$.

Some quantum systems are considered trivial. For example, in a “states” model, trivial systems will often be completely factorized states. In this paper, we will say a parametrized system $f: X \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ is trivial if f is constant with value a completely factorized state.

Steps 1.1. To pass from quantum systems to phases, one should perform the following identifications, where $f, g: X \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ are quantum systems:

- (0) (Isomorphism) If f and g differ by a change of presentation, such as a change of basis, they are in the same phase;
- (1) (Deformation) If f and g are homotopic, they represent the same phase;
- (2) (Stacking stabilization) If f and g become the equal after stacking with trivial systems, then they represent the same phase.

Often, the first type of equivalence, that of isomorphism, is subsumed by the second in the sense that isomorphisms of systems are realized via homotopies. This will be assumed to be the case in our framework, so we will not discuss the notion of isomorphism further.

Example 1.2. Anyone who has worked with topological K -theory will feel a sense of *déjà-vu*. We review this connection in Section 3.6.2 and discuss the relationship of the framework with Kitaev’s classification of free fermion phases.

Example 1.3. The classification of bosonic systems without symmetry in spacetime dimension 0+1 is well understood. There are no non-trivial phases if one does not allow for varying parameters. That is, phases over a point are all trivial. The parametrized phases over more interesting topological spaces, however, are not all trivial. Indeed, over the sphere S^2 , there is a non-trivial phase modeled by the quantum system

$$H(w_1, w_2, w_3) = w_1\sigma^1 + w_2\sigma^2 + w_3\sigma^3$$

where the σ^i are the Pauli matrices and $w = (w_1, w_2, w_3) \in S^2$. The ground states of this system form a non-trivial line bundle over S^2 and this is the only phase invariant. It is the line bundle associated with the Berry curvature form.

For any parameter space, the line bundle of ground states is always a complete phase invariant in spacetime dimension $0 + 1$. Since $BGL(1)$ classifies complex line bundles, there is an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{GP}^0(X) \cong [X, BGL(1)]$$

and, for bosonic systems with no-symmetry, we have $\mathcal{GP}_0 \simeq BGL(1)$. We review this more in Section 3.6.1.

Example 1.4 ([WQB⁺23]). Consider an infinite chain of spin-1/2 particles in spacetime dimension $1 + 1$. This is modeled by taking a lattice $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ and, on each lattice site $v \in \mathbb{Z}$, placing a copy of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)$. Denote the Pauli operators on site v by $\sigma_v^1, \sigma_v^2, \sigma_v^3$. Model S^3 as pairs (w, t) in the quotient of $S^2 \times [-1, 1]$ which identifies all the points $(w, 1)$ to one point, and similarly for the points $(w, -1)$. Consider a Hamiltonian which is a sum of three terms

$$H(w, t) = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}} H_v^1(w, t) + \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z} | v \text{ even}} H_{v, v+1}^{2+}(t) + \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z} | v \text{ odd}} H_{v, v+1}^{2-}(t).$$

For the first term,

$$H_v^1(w, t) = (-1)^v \sqrt{1 - t^2} H(w)$$

where $H(w)$ is the Hamiltonian for the $0 + 1$ dimensional family in Example 1.3. For the other two terms, we let $g_+(t) = t - 1/2$ for $t \in [1, 1/2)$, and $g_-(t) = -t - 1/2$ for $t \in (-1/2, -1]$. Both functions are zero otherwise. Then

$$H_{v, v+1}^{2\pm} = g_{\pm}(t) (\sigma_v^1 \sigma_{v+1}^1 + \sigma_v^2 \sigma_{v+1}^2 + \sigma_v^3 \sigma_{v+1}^3).$$

At each value of (w, t) the system decomposes as a tensor product of decoupled $0 + 1$ dimensional quantum systems, which are either single or pairs of spins, making the ground state and spectrum of $H(w, t)$ easy to analyze. In particular, $H(w, t)$ is always gapped with a unique ground state $\omega(w, t)$. The pairing of the spins is not fixed and two different pairings occur as one varies t , with a switch between them as we go from $t = 1$ to -1 .

Note that we get a function

$$\omega: S^3 \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{C}^2)$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ is the pure state space of the C^* -algebra $\bigotimes_{v \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ (as defined in Section 2). This is a parametrized family of states over S^3 , and it is continuous if we give $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ the weak* topology (but not with the norm topology).

In both Examples 1.3 and 1.4, the specific Hamiltonian representing the system was not important. Modeling systems using Hamiltonians was a choice of presentation, and there are many such choices. In fact, Kitaev

has argued that in the context of local gapped invertible phases with unique ground states on an infinite lattice, one should only study the states themselves, as the map which sends a Hamiltonian to its ground state will be a weak equivalence. While the arguments, as described in [Xio19, §2.1.1], are quite compelling, to our knowledge, a mathematically rigorous treatment of local gapped Hamiltonians on an infinite lattice, and of this weak equivalence, still has not appeared in the literature.

Example 1.5. In spacetime dimension $0 + 1$, suppose we have a finite dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ be its pure state space. Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H})$ be the space of Hermitian operators on \mathcal{H} with one-dimensional ground state. The map

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$$

which sends H to its ground state is a homotopy equivalence. Therefore, there is no loss in only studying the pure state space instead of Hamiltonians.

In this paper, we therefore focus on pure state spaces. In spacetime dimension $d + 1 > 0 + 1$, the state spaces come from infinite dimensional objects. So there is a question of topology that needs to be resolved. In Example 1.4, we noted that the family of states parametrized by S^3 is not norm continuous, but rather weak* continuous. We expect that more general parametrized Hamiltonians should also give rise to weak* continuous families of ground states; for example this is true for the families of states considered in [BMNS12, KS22]. Furthermore, it is physically reasonable to require expectation values of local observables to be continuous. The weak* topology is the minimal topology with respect to this property. We therefore consider the weak* topology on our spaces of states \mathcal{Q} and will henceforth restrict our attention to this topology.

We now get more specific about the content of our paper. Throughout, we work in the category of *compactly generated topological spaces* cgTop . We define this category in Convention 1.12.

We let Hilb_{fin} be the category whose objects are the *non-zero* finite-dimensional complex Hilbert spaces and morphisms are linear isometric embeddings. This is a symmetric monoidal category with respect to the tensor product. When considering the monoidal structure, we denote the category by $\text{Hilb}_{\text{fin}}^{\otimes}$. This is a topologically enriched category where the set of linear isometries, which we denote by $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$, is given the subspace topology with respect to all linear maps.

Now, let Γ be a lattice. In spacetime dimension $d + 1$, we will usually use $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^d$ but this is not important for the general framework. For each \mathcal{H} in $\text{Hilb}_{\text{fin}}^{\otimes}$, we consider the C^* -algebra $\mathfrak{A}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H})$, where the quantum degrees of freedom on each lattice site of Γ are described by \mathcal{H} . So,

$$\mathfrak{A}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}) = \bigotimes_{v \in \Gamma} \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$$

where the tensor product is understood as a UHF algebra. We let $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ be the pure state space of $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ with the weak* topology. Note that $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathbb{C})$ is a space with a single point, which we denote by pt. This is all reviewed in detail in Section 2.

Given states $\omega_1 \in \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_1)$ and $\omega_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_2)$, we can define their tensor product $\omega_1 \otimes \omega_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$. We note that this is the operation on ground states that corresponds to stacking gapped Hamiltonians with a unique ground state.

In Section 2, we show the following result:

Theorem (2.13). *There is a topologically enriched functor*

$$\mathcal{P}_\Gamma: \text{Hilb}_{\text{fin}}^\otimes \longrightarrow \text{cgTop}$$

which maps \mathcal{H} to $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ and with the property that for a linear isometric embedding $f: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$,

$$\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f): \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K})$$

is a closed embedding. Furthermore, the map which takes states to their tensor product gives a natural transformation

$$\eta^{\mathcal{P}_\Gamma}: \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_1) \times \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2),$$

making \mathcal{P}_Γ into a lax monoidal functor.

We construct \mathcal{P}_Γ by first constructing a strong monoidal contravariant functor $(\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma, \eta^{\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma}): \text{Hilb}_{\text{fin}}^\otimes \rightarrow C^*\text{-Alg}_{1,+}^\otimes$ which takes \mathcal{H} to $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$, viewed as an object in the category $C^*\text{-Alg}_{1,+}^\otimes$ of nuclear unital C^* -algebras and unital completely positive linear maps (which are automatically bounded), equipped with their unique tensor product. This is Proposition 2.6. By composing \mathfrak{A}_Γ with the functor that assigns the state space, we obtain a pair $(\mathcal{S}_\Gamma, \eta^{\mathcal{S}_\Gamma})$ consisting of a functor $\mathcal{S}_\Gamma: \text{Hilb}_{\text{fin}}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{cgTop}$ and a natural transformation $\eta^{\mathcal{S}_\Gamma}$ just as above, but here $\mathcal{S}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ is the space of all states (not just pure ones) in the weak* topology. This is Theorem 2.10. Then \mathcal{P}_Γ is obtained by restricting \mathcal{S}_Γ to the pure state space. Of course, there are many details to check to ensure this works, and Section 2 is dedicated to that.

As we will see in the construction, for a linear isometric embedding $f: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f)(\omega)$ is obtained via pre-composition of ω with a completely positive map $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f)$. The map $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f)$ is the colimit of maps $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda(f) = \text{Ad}(\bigotimes_{v \in \Lambda} f^*)$ defined for finite subsets $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$. That is, one might write $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f)$ suggestively as $\text{Ad}(\bigotimes_{v \in \Gamma} f^*)$.

Example 1.6. If $d = 0$ so that $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^0$ is a single point, then

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}^0}(\mathcal{H}) \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}^0}(f): \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{K})$$

is simply the map which takes the one dimensional subspace ψ of \mathcal{H} to the subspace $f(\psi)$ of \mathcal{K} . On the other hand $\eta^{\mathcal{P}_{z^0}}(\psi, \phi) = \psi \otimes \phi$, the tensor product of the one-dimensional subspaces.

We construct and study \mathcal{P}_Γ in Section 2. Once we have constructed \mathcal{P}_Γ , we can introduce the main structure we study in the rest of the paper, that of a *quantum state type*. We make this definition with bosonic systems with no symmetry in mind, in the hope that it can be adapted to more general settings.

Definition (2.14). A *quantum state type* on a lattice Γ is a topologically enriched functor

$$\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma: \text{Hilb}_{\text{fin}}^{\otimes} \longrightarrow \text{cgTop}$$

such that the following hold:

- (a) For each object \mathcal{H} , $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ containing all completely factorized states.
- (b) For each morphism $f: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(f)$ is the restriction of $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f)$ and is a closed embedding.
- (c) The natural transformation $\eta^{\mathcal{P}_\Gamma}$ restricts to a natural transformation

$$\eta^{\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma}: \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_1) \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2).$$

The quantum state type \mathcal{P}_Γ is called the *universal quantum state type*.

This setup will allow us to deduce many formal results about quantum state types. In this paper, we will focus on the universal quantum state type \mathcal{P}_Γ . While example of physical interest on infinite lattices will take $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ to be a proper subspace of $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$, the universal quantum state type \mathcal{P}_Γ gives us a toy example for developing the theory and the results we prove about \mathcal{P}_Γ are of independent interest for non-commutative geometry. We discuss proposals for more physically relevant quantum state types on infinite lattices in Example 1.10 below.

Assume we have fixed a quantum state type \mathcal{Q}_Γ . We let \mathcal{H} be an object of $\text{Hilb}_{\text{fin}}^{\otimes}$ of dimension at least two. We choose a distinguished vacuum state ψ of \mathcal{H} . We let $f_i: \mathcal{H}^{\otimes i} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\otimes(i+1)}$ be the map obtained by tensoring on the right with a fixed choice of unit vector in ψ . This is a linear isometry, so the maps $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(f_i)$ are closed embeddings. The completely factorized state $\bigotimes_{\Gamma} \psi$ is in $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ by assumption. By an abuse of notation, we simply call this state ψ . We then have

$$\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(f_i)(\omega) = \eta^{\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma}(\omega, \psi) = \omega \otimes \psi.$$

We introduce two spaces that play a key role in our study of phases.³ First, we let

$$\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H}) := \coprod_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes i}).$$

Also let $\psi_i = \psi^{\otimes i} \in \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes i})$. The natural transformations $\eta^{\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma}$ give $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})$ the structure of a strictly associative topological monoid with unit ψ_0 . It is homotopy commutative, but not strictly commutative. See Proposition 3.11.

The space $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})$ is our classifying space for quantum systems. Henceforth, a *parameter space* will always be assumed to be a compact Hausdorff space X which is homotopy equivalent to a CW complex. Then, a continuous function

$$\omega: X \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})$$

is a *quantum system parametrized by X* . See Definition 3.9. We say it is *trivial* if it is constant with value the completely factorized state ψ_i for some $i \geq 0$. We continue our abuse of notation and call this constant function ψ_i .

Now that we have defined quantum systems, we also want to define *quantum phases*. To this end, define

$$\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}) := \operatorname{colim}_{i, \otimes \psi} \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes i}).$$

We give $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$ the base point corresponding to the image of ψ_0 , which, by design, is equal to the image of every ψ_i . Physically, we can roughly think of elements of $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$ as states in $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})$, stacked with an infinite number of copies of the trivial state ψ . Upon passing to $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$, we no longer need to explicitly consider stacking stabilization, and all information about phases is encoded in the homotopy type of $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$. We emphasize that $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$ is not a subspace of pure states with $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}$ as the single-site vector space.

With this space in hand, we can define our notion of phases. Namely, the *quantum phases of state type \mathcal{Q}_Γ parametrized by X* is the set of homotopy classes

$$[X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})].$$

We will justify this definition, but first we discuss the structure on the space $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$. We prove it is a homotopy associative and commutative H -space. This means that $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$ has a product that is associative and commutative up to homotopy, with an element that also satisfies the conditions of being a unit up to homotopy. In fact, we prove more, we show that it is an \mathcal{E}_∞ -space, a concept which is reviewed in Appendix A.2. In particular, $\pi_0 \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$, the space of path components, is a monoid. However, it may not be a group. The cases when it *is* a group are particularly interesting.

³At this point, we apply a functorial CW-replacement to our quantum state type. This preserves the weak homotopy type but puts us in a context better suited for homotopy theory. The theorems are to be understood up to this functorial replacement. This is explained carefully in Section 3.1. Since we are interested in the homotopy theory of quantum state types, we don't lose anything through this replacement.

We will say that a quantum state type \mathcal{Q}_Γ is *invertible* if $\pi_0\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes\infty})$ is a group (see Definition 3.14). We summarize this in the following theorem which is proved in this paper.

Theorem (3.12). *The space $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes\infty})$ is an \mathcal{E}_∞ -space. As such, it is a homotopy commutative and associative H -space. If \mathcal{Q}_Γ is invertible, then $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes\infty})$ is an H -group.*

The map

$$j = \coprod j_i: \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^{\sqcup}(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes\infty})$$

obtained from the inclusions $j_i: \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes i}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes\infty})$ is a morphism of H -spaces.

The reason $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes\infty})$ is particularly interesting to us is the following result.

Theorem (3.20). *For a parameter space X , the map j induces a map of monoids*

$$\pi_0 \text{Map}(X, j): \text{Map}(X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^{\sqcup}(\mathcal{H})) \longrightarrow [X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes\infty})],$$

which identifies $[X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes\infty})]$ with the quotient of the space of quantum systems

$$\text{Map}(X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^{\sqcup}(\mathcal{H}))$$

by the relation $\omega_1 \approx \omega_2$ if and only if there are $i, j \geq 0$ such that

$$\omega_1 \otimes \psi_i \simeq \omega_2 \otimes \psi_j.$$

Therefore, $[X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes\infty})]$ is precisely the result of passing from quantum systems to quantum phases by imposing the equivalences of deformation (1) and of stacking stabilization (2). As noted before, we expect that equivalences corresponding to isomorphisms (0) will be realized by deformations.⁴

For invertible quantum state types, we can do even better and describe the passage from quantum systems to phases as a group completion process.

Theorem (3.34). *If \mathcal{Q}_Γ is an invertible quantum state type, then the monoid of phases $[X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes\infty})]$ is an abelian group, and there is an isomorphism*

$$K_0([X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^{\sqcup}(\mathcal{H})]) \cong [X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes\infty})] \times [X, \mathbb{Z}]$$

where K_0 is the Grothendieck group completion functor.

The factor of $[X, \mathbb{Z}]$ appears because, on any path component X_0 of X , a quantum system f on X must satisfy $f(X_0) \subset \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes i})$ for some specific $i \geq 0$, and the group completion of the non-negative integers is \mathbb{Z} .

⁴For example, because \mathcal{Q}_Γ is a topologically enriched functor and the unitary group of \mathcal{H} is path connected, any isomorphism between systems obtained by conjugating each site in $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ by a fixed unitary $U \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ (the same on each site) is realized through a homotopy.

Remark 1.7. The definition of invertibility only depended on $\pi_0 \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$. Since this formally implies that $[X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})]$ is an abelian group, this means that a quantum system parametrized by X is invertible if and only if it is invertible at each point of X . See Remark 3.32 for more discussion on this point.

Remark 1.8. We can actually do more than this and relate the spaces $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\perp(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$ to Quillen's group completion $\Omega B \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\perp(\mathcal{H})$. This is a step-up in theoretical technicality so we do not discuss it further in the introduction, but the results are in Theorem 3.35 and further discussion in Remark 3.36.

At this point, we want to return to the story with loop-spectra. As a direct consequence of the fact that $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$ is an \mathcal{E}_∞ -space, we have the following result, which is discussed in Section 3.5.

Theorem (3.44). *If \mathcal{Q}_Γ is an invertible quantum state type, then $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$ is an infinite loop space, i.e., the zero space of a loop-spectrum.*

Now that we have established our framework, we reconnect with the questions of computing parametrized phases. For an invertible quantum state type \mathcal{Q}_Γ , the group of phases always corresponds to $\pi_0 \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$. Furthermore, we have shown that $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$ is an \mathcal{E}_∞ -space.

May's Recognition Principle [May72] gives a correspondence between infinite loop spaces whose monoid of path components is a group and *connective* loop-spectra. These are loop-spectra \mathbf{Y} whose homotopy groups vanish in all negative degrees. That is, $\pi_d \mathbf{Y} = \pi_0 Y_{-d} = 0$ for all $d < 0$. This feels very promising. Homotopy groups of spectra and other terminology about loop-spectra are reviewed in Section 3.5.

We return to the physical context, fixing a space-time dimension $d+1$ and letting Γ be \mathbb{Z}^d . The hope is that with clever choices of invertible quantum state types $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}$, the space $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$ will give a first approximation for the classification of gapped invertible phases in spacetime dimension $d+1$ and that the \mathcal{E}_∞ -space structure will be related to Kitaev's loop-spectrum. However, even with a reasonable choice of $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}$, there will still be important open questions to resolve:

- (1) We have not established a connection between $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}^{d+1}}$. So, all we have is a collection of loop-spectra that have not been shown to interact with one another. Establishing this connection will necessarily be non-formal input depending on the choice of invertible quantum state type $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}$.
- (2) We have not imposed any spatial homogeneity on quantum systems, as would be required for instance by translation symmetry. It is possible that pathological behavior may arise from highly inhomogeneous infinite systems, which could result in a classification that does not model physical expectations.

- (3) The spectra \mathcal{GP} believed to classify invertible gapped phases (of various flavors) will not be connective! Indeed, $\pi_0\mathcal{GP}_d$ is meant to classify gapped invertible phases in space-time dimension $d + 1$ and these are known to be non-trivial. However, the loop-spectrum corresponding to $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ will be a shift of a “Whitehead cover” of \mathcal{GP} . In particular, in the range $0 \leq i \leq d$, we do recover the phases since

$$\pi_0\mathcal{GP}_i \cong \pi_i\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes\infty})$$

and, in fact, if one is careful, one can also recover any Postnikov k -invariant of \mathcal{GP} from $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}^{d+N}}(\otimes\mathcal{H}^\infty)$ for N large enough with respect to the degree of the Postnikov k -invariant.

Example 1.9. For gapped invertible bosonic phases with no symmetry, the loop-spectrum \mathcal{GP} is believed to be

$$\Sigma^2 I_{\mathbb{Z}} MSO.$$

This spectrum is almost the *opposite* of connective since its homotopy groups are trivial for $d > 2$ and non-trivial for infinitely many values of $d \leq 2$.

In spacetime 0+1, the universal quantum state type is physically relevant, and we can consider $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}^0}((\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes\infty})$. It is not hard to show that the loop-spectrum we obtained from this \mathcal{E}_∞ -space is

$$\Sigma^2 H\mathbb{Z} \simeq \Sigma^2 I_{\mathbb{Z}} MSO_{\tau \geq 0},$$

where $H\mathbb{Z}$ is (up to weak equivalence) a spectrum

$$(K(\mathbb{Z}, 0), K(\mathbb{Z}, 1), K(\mathbb{Z}, 2), \dots)$$

with choices of weak equivalences $K(\mathbb{Z}, n) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega K(\mathbb{Z}, n + 1)$ for each n .

Example 1.10. To capture physically meaningful states, we can start by considering the quantum state type $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}$, which assigns to \mathcal{H} the subspace $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(\mathcal{H})$ consisting of ground states of gapped local Hamiltonians. Not all such states are invertible, so we must restrict this space further. Note that all factorized states will be in the same path component of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(\mathcal{H})$, and we define

$$\text{Pic}\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(\mathcal{H})$$

to be the subspace of those states $\omega \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(\mathcal{H})$ such that there exists $\tau \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(\mathcal{K})$ with $\omega \otimes \tau$ in the path component of the factorized states.

Another concrete proposal for modeling a subset of invertible local gapped quantum systems via a quantum state type would be to take Kapustin–Sopenko–Yang [KSY21] subspaces

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}^{LGA}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(\mathcal{H})$$

of states that are LGA-equivalent to a factorized state. Physically, these can be thought of as those ground states of gapped local Hamiltonians for which the Hamiltonian is joined to that for a factorized ground state through a path of gapped Hamiltonians. Some invertible states do not have this property

(e.g. the E_8 state in $d = 2$; see Remark 1.11), so $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}^{LGA}$ would not model all invertible states.

Given a quantum state type \mathcal{Q}_Γ , the first step to understanding the cohomology theory it represents is to compute the values of the reduced theory on spheres, called the *coefficients* of the cohomology theory. This is equivalent to the problem of computing the homotopy groups of $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes\infty})$. Clearly, the answer depends on your choice of \mathcal{Q}_Γ (although not on the choice of (\mathcal{H}, ψ)). The last section of this paper is dedicated to studying this question for the universal quantum state type \mathcal{P}_Γ . We do not compute all of the homotopy groups, but we do make a step in this direction by computing the fundamental group. In fact, Section 4 is dedicated to proving the following result.

Theorem (4.21). *The pure state space of a UHF algebra in the weak* topology is simply connected. In particular, for any lattice Γ and any state type \mathcal{H} , $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes\infty})$ are simply connected spaces.*

The idea of the proof is to perform a homotopy of an arbitrary loop in $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ such that as the homotopy progresses we disentangle more and more sites from the rest of the lattice. We are inspired by the work of [KOS03], in which it is shown that for any two pure states $\psi, \omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{A})$ of a unital separable simple C^* -algebra \mathfrak{A} , there exists an automorphism $\alpha: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ and a continuous family of unitaries $U: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{A})$ such that $\omega = \psi \circ \alpha$ and

$$\alpha = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad}(U_t),$$

where the limit is taken in the strong topology of $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{A})$. In particular, there is a weak* continuous path from ψ to ω implemented by a norm-continuous family of unitaries at every point except the last endpoint. Our proof that $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ is simply connected takes on a similar flavor.

Remark 1.11. Although the universal quantum state type \mathcal{P}_Γ has simply connected spaces $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes\infty})$, we do not expect this to be true in general for the quantum state types that arise in condensed matter theory.

For $\mathcal{Pic}\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ as above, motivated by results in the physics literature [Tho83, Kit13, Kit15, Xio19, KS20b, Shi22, WQB⁺23], we expect that the fundamental groups $\pi_1(\mathcal{Pic}\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes\infty}))$ are not generally trivial. For example, gapped invertible phases of bosonic systems in $2 + 1$ spacetime dimensions with no symmetry are believed to be classified by $\pi_0(\mathcal{Pic}\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}^2}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes\infty})) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, with the generator of the \mathbb{Z} referred to as the E_8 state [Kit11]. In $3 + 1$ dimensions, we thus have an “ E_8 pump,” which is a non-trivial system over S^1 that can be obtained from the E_8 state via a suspension construction [WQB⁺23]. Therefore, we expect that $\pi_1(\mathcal{Pic}\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}^3}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes\infty})) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ for $\mathcal{Pic}\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}^3}$ the quantum state type for gapped invertible ground states in $3 + 1$ dimensions. In other words, we should have

$$\pi_0(\mathcal{Pic}\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}^2}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes\infty})) \cong \pi_0\Omega(\mathcal{Pic}\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}^3}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes\infty})) \cong \pi_1(\mathcal{Pic}\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}^3}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes\infty})) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

However, the fundamental groups are generally expected to be abelian. In fact, this expectation is already present when we assume that invertible phases are classified by an infinite loop space since all homotopy groups of an infinite loop space are abelian groups. For invertible quantum state types in the sense of this paper, we deduce this formally in Lemma 3.30.

Convention 1.12. In this paper, we work in the category cgTop of *compactly generated* topological spaces in the sense of [Lew78, Appendix A]. These are also called *weak-Hausdorff k -spaces*. There is a k -ification functor from weak-Hausdorff spaces to k -spaces. Products and mapping space objects in cgTop are defined to be the k -ification of the usual constructions. In particular, if cgTop is given the symmetric monoidal structure given by the k -ification of the cartesian product and mapping spaces are understood as the k -ification of the usual mapping space with the compact-open topology, then cgTop is a closed symmetric category in the sense that

$$\text{Map}(X \times Y, Z) \cong \text{Map}(X, \text{Map}(Y, Z))$$

in cgTop .

We let cgTop_* be the category of based compactly generated topological spaces and based maps, with the convention that the base point of a space is non-degenerate (i.e., the inclusion of the base point is a closed embedding which is a neighborhood deformation retract). In this case, we get an adjunction

$$\text{Map}_*(X \wedge Y, Z) \cong \text{Map}_*(X, \text{Map}_*(Y, Z))$$

in cgTop_* , where Map_* denotes the k -ification of the space of based map topologized as a subspace of the space of all maps with the compact-open topology.

Acknowledgements. This paper was the result of many conversations spanning over the last few years. We would like to particularly thank the following people for useful such conversations: Mike Hill, Mike Hopkins, Tyler Lawson, Thomas Nikolaus, Victor Nistor, Angélica Osorno, Dylan Wilson, Inna Zakharevich.

We would like to particularly thank J. Peter May for listening to our various proposals for the framework and helping us determine a good set-up for our quantum state types. His help has been invaluable for this project.

A special thanks also goes to one of our collaborators on closely related physics projects, Xueda Wen, who has spent many hours explaining various concepts to the mathematician co-authors of this paper.

The material in this paper is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF) under Award No. DMS 2055501. D. D. Spiegel also acknowledges support in part by the NSF under Award No. DMS 2303063. Finally, we thank the anonymous referee for useful comments.

2. FUNCTORIAL PROPERTIES OF QUASI-LOCAL ALGEBRAS AND THEIR STATES

The goal of this section is to construct the universal quantum state type functor \mathcal{P}_Γ of Theorem 2.13 and to define our notion of *quantum state types*.

2.1. Quasi-local algebras. In this section, we construct a strong monoidal functor

$$\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma : \text{Hilb}_{\text{fin}}^\otimes \longrightarrow C^*\text{-Alg}_{1,+}^\otimes$$

from the category $\text{Hilb}_{\text{fin}}^\otimes$ of finite dimensional non-zero complex inner product spaces with linear isometries as morphisms to the category $C^*\text{-Alg}_{1,+}^\otimes$ of nuclear unital C^* -algebras and unital completely positive linear maps (which are automatically bounded). Both categories are made symmetric monoidal using the tensor product, as the notation indicates, and we explain in detail how that works for the codomain. In case we consider these categories without their monoidal structures we denote them by Hilb_{fin} and $C^*\text{-Alg}_{1,+}$, respectively. Let us emphasize that the morphisms in Hilb_{fin} are always embeddings, a simple observation which will be crucial for the following.

We begin by reviewing definitions about quasi-local algebras and their states.

In C^* -algebraic language, a *quantum lattice system* consists of a countable set Γ called the *lattice* and, for each *lattice site* $v \in \Gamma$, a finite-dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_v which encodes the quantum mechanical states of a particle or collection of particles at the site v . The space \mathcal{H}_v is often referred to as the *single-site Hilbert space* associated with site v . The lattice Γ is endowed with the discrete topology. For simplicity, we assume that all sites are described by the same Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

We first define the *quasi-local algebra* of a quantum lattice system. This is a C^* -algebra whose self-adjoint elements are regarded as the observables of the system. Later we will consider states on the quasi-local algebra.

Let us write $\Lambda \Subset \Gamma$ to indicate that Λ is a nonempty finite subset of Γ . Then for any $\Lambda \Subset \Gamma$, we define

$$\mathcal{H}_\Lambda = \bigotimes_{v \in \Lambda} \mathcal{H} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{A}_\Lambda(\mathcal{H}) = \bigotimes_{v \in \Lambda} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \cong \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\Lambda).$$

The (self-adjoint) elements of the C^* -algebra $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda(\mathcal{H})$ can be understood as the observables of the quantum lattice system localized at Λ .

When $\Lambda_1 \subset \Lambda_2 \Subset \Gamma$, there exists a canonical map

$$\iota_{\Lambda_2 \Lambda_1}^{\mathcal{H}} : \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_1}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_2}(\mathcal{H})$$

defined by tensoring identity operators $\mathbb{1}$ on lattice sites in $\Lambda_2 \setminus \Lambda_1$. The C^* -algebras $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda(\mathcal{H})$ together with these inclusions then form a directed system

in the category of C^* -algebras and $*$ -homomorphisms. We define the *quasi-local algebra* of the quantum lattice system as the colimit

$$\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}) = \operatorname{colim}_{\Lambda \in \Gamma} \mathfrak{A}_\Lambda(\mathcal{H})$$

together with the canonical maps $\iota_\Lambda^{\mathcal{H}}: \mathfrak{A}_\Lambda(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$. Note that the inclusions $\iota_{\Lambda_2 \Lambda_1}^{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\iota_\Lambda^{\mathcal{H}}$ are all unital and injective. The quasi-local algebra has a dense $*$ -subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{A}_{\Gamma, \text{loc}}(\mathcal{H}) = \bigcup_{\Lambda} \iota_\Lambda^{\mathcal{H}}(\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda(\mathcal{H}))$$

called the local algebra.

Given finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 , one can form their (completed) tensor product $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$. Physically, the tensor product corresponds to the composite of the quantum mechanical systems described by \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 at a given lattice site. The C^* -algebra $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$ is then interpreted as the quasi-local algebra of the quantum lattice system obtained by *internally stacking* the systems $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda(\mathcal{H}_1)$ and $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda(\mathcal{H}_2)$. But one can also form the (completed) tensor product $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_1) \otimes \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_2)$ and regard it as the quasi-local algebra obtained by *externally stacking* the systems $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda(\mathcal{H}_1)$ and $\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda(\mathcal{H}_2)$. Note that by nuclearity of the C^* -algebras $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, the completed tensor product $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_1) \otimes \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_2)$ is uniquely determined. That the two quasi-local algebras obtained by internally and externally stacking are isomorphic is the first result we state. Although we could not find a reference in the literature, this is most certainly folklore.

Proposition 2.1. *For any two finite dimensional Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 , there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\eta_{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2}^{\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma}: \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_1) \otimes \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_2) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2).$$

Proof. Note first that by the universal property of the tensor product there is a canonical embedding $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$ which is an isomorphism since \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 are finite dimensional. For any $\Lambda \in \Gamma$, one therefore obtains a natural $*$ -isomorphism as the composite

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2}^\Lambda: \mathfrak{A}_\Lambda(\mathcal{H}_1) \otimes \mathfrak{A}_\Lambda(\mathcal{H}_2) &= \left(\bigotimes_{v \in \Lambda} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1) \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{v \in \Lambda} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2) \right) \\ &\xrightarrow{\cong} \bigotimes_{v \in \Lambda} (\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_2)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \bigotimes_{v \in \Lambda} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2) = \mathfrak{A}_\Lambda(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2) \end{aligned}$$

where the first isomorphism is the obvious one. For $\Lambda_1 \subset \Lambda_2 \in \Gamma$, these isomorphisms form a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_2}(\mathcal{H}_1) \otimes \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_2}(\mathcal{H}_2) & \xrightarrow{\eta_{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2}^{\Lambda_2}} & \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_2}(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_1}(\mathcal{H}_1) \otimes \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_1}(\mathcal{H}_2) & \xrightarrow{\eta_{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2}^{\Lambda_1}} & \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_1}(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2) \end{array}$$

By nuclearity of the C^* -algebras $\mathfrak{A}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}_i)$ and since the colimit of a countable strict inductive system of nuclear C^* -algebras is nuclear [Tak64, Thm. 5], forming the colimits on both sides gives the desired isomorphism $\eta_{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2}^{\mathfrak{A}_{\Gamma}}$. \square

Next we want to show that associating to every finite dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} the quasi-local algebra $\mathfrak{A}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H})$ over the lattice Γ can be turned into a functor. The domain of that functor is the category Hilb_{fin} of non-zero finite dimensional Hilbert spaces and linear isometries. We therefore need to define what we mean by $\mathfrak{A}_{\Gamma}(f)$ where $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is a linear isometry between Hilbert spaces. To this end we put for $\Lambda \in \Gamma$ and $P \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda} = \bigotimes_{v \in \Lambda} \mathcal{H}, \quad \mathcal{K}_{\Lambda} = \bigotimes_{v \in \Lambda} \mathcal{K}, \quad f_{\Lambda} = \bigotimes_{v \in \Lambda} f, \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\Lambda} = \bigotimes_{v \in \Lambda} P.$$

We implicitly use the identification $\mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda}(\mathcal{H}) \cong \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda})$. Furthermore, we let $\text{Ad}(A)$ denote the adjoint action

$$\text{Ad}(A)(B) = ABA^*$$

whenever A is a bounded linear operator between Hilbert spaces or when A is an element of a C^* -algebra.

Remark 2.2. Note that $f^*f = \mathbb{1}$ and $ff^* = P$, where $P \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{K})$ is the orthogonal projection onto the image of f . Since $f_{\Lambda}^*f_{\Lambda} = \mathbb{1}$ and $f_{\Lambda}f_{\Lambda}^* = P_{\Lambda}$, we have

$$\text{Ad}(f_{\Lambda}^*) \circ \text{Ad}(f_{\Lambda}) = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda}(\mathcal{H})} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ad}(f_{\Lambda}) \circ \text{Ad}(f_{\Lambda}^*) = \text{Ad}(P_{\Lambda}).$$

The following results establish the functoriality of \mathfrak{A}_{Γ} on Hilb_{fin} .

Lemma 2.3. *There exists a unique bounded linear map*

$$\mathfrak{A}_{\Gamma}(f) : \mathfrak{A}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H})$$

such that, for all $\Lambda \in \Gamma$, the diagram

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{A}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{K}) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{A}_{\Gamma}(f)} & \mathfrak{A}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}) \\ \iota_{\Lambda}^{\mathcal{K}} \uparrow & & \uparrow \iota_{\Lambda}^{\mathcal{H}} \\ \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda}(\mathcal{K}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}(f_{\Lambda}^*)} & \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda}(\mathcal{H}) \end{array}$$

commutes. Furthermore, $\mathfrak{A}_{\Gamma}(f)$ is completely positive and unital.

Proof. Observe that $\text{Ad}(f_\Lambda^*)$ is linear and positive, as well as unital by the fact that $f_\Lambda^* f_\Lambda = \mathbb{1}$. Again from the fact that $f^* f = \mathbb{1}$, it follows that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_2}(\mathcal{K}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}(f_{\Lambda_2}^*)} & \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_2}(\mathcal{H}) \\ \uparrow \iota_{\Lambda_2 \Lambda_1}^{\mathcal{K}} & & \uparrow \iota_{\Lambda_2 \Lambda_1}^{\mathcal{H}} \\ \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_1}(\mathcal{K}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}(f_{\Lambda_1}^*)} & \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_1}(\mathcal{H}) \end{array}$$

commutes for all finite subsets $\Lambda_1 \subset \Lambda_2 \in \Gamma$. Therefore, by construction of $\mathfrak{A}_{\Gamma, \text{loc}}(\mathcal{K})$, there exists a unique function

$$\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f): \mathfrak{A}_{\Gamma, \text{loc}}(\mathcal{K}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$$

such that (2.1) commutes when $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K})$ is replaced by $\mathfrak{A}_{\Gamma, \text{loc}}(\mathcal{K})$.

Linearity and boundedness of $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f)$ follow from linearity and boundedness of the maps $\text{Ad}(f_\Lambda^*)$ and from the structure of $\mathfrak{A}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{K})$. Therefore $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f)$ has a unique extension to a bounded linear map

$$\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f): \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}).$$

From the fact that any $\text{Ad}(f_\Lambda^*)$ is unital we see that $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f)$ is unital. From the fact that each $\text{Ad}(f_\Lambda^*)$ is completely positive by [Stø13, Lem. 1.2.2] we see that $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f)$ is completely positive on $\mathfrak{A}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{K})$. One concludes that $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f)$ is completely positive on $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K})$ as follows.

If A is a positive element of the matrix algebra $M_n(\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K})) \cong \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K}) \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})$, then there exist a $B \in M_n(\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K}))$ such that $A = B^* B$ and a sequence $(B_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $M_n(\mathfrak{A}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{K}))$ converging to B . By positivity of $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f) \otimes \text{id}_{M_n(\mathbb{C})}$ we know that each $(\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f) \otimes \text{id}_{M_n(\mathbb{C})})(B_k^* B_k)$ is positive. On the other hand, the sequence $((\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f) \otimes \text{id}_{M_n(\mathbb{C})})(B_k^* B_k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $(\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f) \otimes \text{id}_{M_n(\mathbb{C})})(A)$. Since the limit of a sequence of positive elements is again positive, $(\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f) \otimes \text{id}_{M_n(\mathbb{C})})(A)$ is a positive element of $M_n(\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}))$ and the claim is proved. \square

Proposition 2.4. *There is a contravariant functor*

$$\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma: \text{Hilb}_{\text{fin}} \longrightarrow C^* \text{-Alg}_{1,+}.$$

On objects and morphisms it is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &\mapsto \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}) \\ (f: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}) &\mapsto (\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f): \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, there is a canonical isomorphism $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. If $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K}$ and $f = \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}}$, then it is clear from uniqueness in Lemma 2.3 that $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f) = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})}$. If we have two isometries f and g such that $g \circ f$ is defined, then it is again clear from uniqueness in Lemma 2.3 that

$$\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(g \circ f) = \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f) \circ \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(g).$$

The isomorphism $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}$ is the unique unital $*$ -homomorphism between these C^* -algebras. \square

Remark 2.5. If we equip the category $C^*\text{-Alg}_{1,+}$ of nuclear unital C^* -algebras and completely positive maps with the C^* -tensor product it in fact becomes a symmetric monoidal category.

To verify this recall first that both the minimal and the maximal C^* -tensor products are associative by [KR97, 11.1 & 11.3] and the universal property of the maximal C^* -tensor product. This implies in particular that the C^* -tensor product of two nuclear C^* -algebras is again nuclear; see also [Bla06, IV.3.1.1.]. Moreover, the algebraic tensor product of two completely positive linear maps between nuclear C^* -algebras extends uniquely to a completely positive map between the C^* -tensor product algebras by e.g. [BO08, Prop. 3.5.3]. Therefore, the C^* -tensor product \otimes is a bifunctor on the category $C^*\text{-Alg}_{1,+}$. By associativity of the minimal (or maximal) C^* -tensor product one has a natural associator map $\alpha_{\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B},\mathfrak{C}} : \mathfrak{A} \otimes (\mathfrak{B} \otimes \mathfrak{C}) \cong (\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B}) \otimes \mathfrak{C}$ for nuclear C^* -algebras $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{C}$. The monoidal unit is obviously given by \mathbb{C} . The coherence conditions for the algebraic tensor product naturally extend to the C^* -tensor product for nuclear C^* -algebras, and $C^*\text{-Alg}_{1,+}$ endowed with \otimes becomes a monoidal category as claimed.

It is in fact symmetric since the swap map on the algebraic tensor product of \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} is continuous in the maximal (or minimal) C^* -tensor product norm, hence extends to an isomorphism $\mathfrak{A} \otimes \mathfrak{B} \cong \mathfrak{B} \otimes \mathfrak{A}$.

We denote the symmetric monoidal category of nuclear unital C^* -algebras and completely positive maps by $C^*\text{-Alg}_{1,+}^\otimes$.

Recall that $\text{Hilb}_{\text{fin}}^\otimes$ denotes the category Hilb_{fin} of non-zero finite dimensional Hilbert spaces and linear isometric embeddings, equipped with the \mathbb{C} -linear tensor product as its symmetric monoidal structure. The unit is again \mathbb{C} .

Proposition 2.6. *The functor*

$$\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma : \text{Hilb}_{\text{fin}}^\otimes \longrightarrow C^*\text{-Alg}_{1,+}^\otimes$$

together with the natural isomorphism

$$\eta^{\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma} : \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(-) \otimes \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(-) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(- \otimes -) .$$

of Proposition 2.1 is a strong monoidal functor.

Proof. We have to verify that the isomorphisms $\eta_{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2}^{\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma}$ given in Proposition 2.1 for finite dimensional Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H}_i , $i = 1, 2$, are actually the components of a natural transformation. In other words we have to prove that for any pair of linear isometries

$$f_i : \mathcal{H}_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_i, \quad i = 1, 2 ,$$

between non-zero finite dimensional Hilbert spaces the diagram

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_1) \otimes \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_2) & \xrightarrow{\eta_{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2}^{\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma}} & \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2) \\ f_1^* \otimes f_2^* \uparrow & & \uparrow (f_1 \otimes f_2)^* \\ \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K}_1) \otimes \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K}_2) & \xrightarrow{\eta_{\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2}^{\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma}} & \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K}_1 \otimes \mathcal{K}_2) \end{array}$$

commutes, where for brevity of notation we have written f^* instead of $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f)$ for any linear isometry $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$. To this end we further abbreviate and write f^* for the maps $\text{Ad}(f_\Lambda^*) : \mathfrak{A}_\Lambda(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_\Lambda(\mathcal{H})$ with $\Lambda \in \Gamma$ which were introduced in Lemma 2.3. By construction of the completely positive maps $f_i^* = \text{Ad}(f_{i, \Lambda}^*)$, $i = 1, 2$, and $(f_1 \otimes f_2)^* = \text{Ad}((f_1 \otimes f_2)_\Lambda^*)$, the cube below commutes for $\Lambda_1 \subset \Lambda_2 \in \Gamma$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_2}(\mathcal{H}_1) \otimes \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_2}(\mathcal{H}_2) & \xrightarrow{\eta_{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2}^{\Lambda_2}} & \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_2}(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2) \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_2}(\mathcal{K}_1) \otimes \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_2}(\mathcal{K}_2) & \xrightarrow{\eta_{\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2}^{\Lambda_2}} & \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_2}(\mathcal{K}_1 \otimes \mathcal{K}_2) \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_1}(\mathcal{H}_1) \otimes \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_1}(\mathcal{H}_2) & \xrightarrow{\eta_{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2}^{\Lambda_1}} & \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_1}(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2) \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_1}(\mathcal{K}_1) \otimes \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_1}(\mathcal{K}_2) & \xrightarrow{\eta_{\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2}^{\Lambda_1}} & \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_1}(\mathcal{K}_1 \otimes \mathcal{K}_2) \end{array}$$

Passing to the four vertical colimits results in the four corners of Diagram (2.2). By the universal property of the colimit, commutativity of all cubes with $\Lambda_1 \subset \Lambda_2 \in \Gamma$ and by construction of the $\eta_{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2}^{\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma}$ in Lemma 2.3, the corners may be filled with the maps between them making (2.2) commute. Naturality of $\eta^{\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma}$ follows. \square

2.2. States and pure states. Mathematically, the states of a quantum mechanical system described by a C^* -algebra \mathfrak{A} consist of the positive normalized linear functionals $\omega : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Given a quantum lattice system over the lattice Γ and with site Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , we consider the sets

$$\mathcal{S}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}) := \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}) := \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}))$$

of states and pure states on $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$, respectively, equipped with the weak* topology.

There is an abundance of literature on state spaces of C^* -algebras, see e.g. [Kad62, Dix77, AHOS80, BR87, Eil99, AS01, AS03, KOS03, SMQ⁺22] and further references therein. We discussed in [SMQ⁺22, Thms. 1.20 & 1.21] properties of $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}))$. For instance, it is a path connected and locally path connected topological space [Eil99]. It is also a Polish space, meaning that it is separable and completely metrizable [Ped18]. Since a first countable Hausdorff space is compactly generated, the pure state space $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}))$ is a compactly generated topological space as in Convention 1.12. It is thus an object in our category cgTop .

Remark 2.7 ([Tak55] and [SMQ⁺22, Thm. 5.2]). We will use the following useful fact about states on directed colimits of C^* -algebras.

Consider a directed system of unital C^* -algebras $(\mathfrak{A}_i)_{i \in I}$ and injective unital $*$ -homomorphisms $\iota_{ji}: \mathfrak{A}_i \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_j$ defined whenever $i \leq j$. Let \mathfrak{A} and the $*$ -homomorphisms $\iota_i: \mathfrak{A}_i \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ form a directed colimit of this system. If $\omega \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$, then $\omega_i := \omega \circ \iota_i \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A}_i)$ for all $i \in I$, and $\omega_j \circ \iota_{ji} = \omega_i$ whenever $i \leq j$. Conversely, given states $\omega_i \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A}_i)$ for all i satisfying $\omega_j \circ \iota_{ji} = \omega_i$ whenever $i \leq j$, there exists a unique state $\omega \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ such that $\omega_i = \omega \circ \iota_i$ for all i . Furthermore, if all of the states ω_i are pure, then so is ω .

We caution, however, that purity of ω does not imply purity of the states ω_i . By the Banach-Alaoglu theorem, the state space of a unital C^* -algebra is compact in the weak* topology. Hence the state space $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ of the colimit \mathfrak{A} of a directed system of unital C^* -algebras $(\mathfrak{A}_i)_{i \in I}$ and injective unital $*$ -homomorphisms $\iota_{ji}: \mathfrak{A}_i \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_j$ can be identified with the limit of the inverse system of topological spaces $(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A}_i))_{i \in I}$ and continuous maps $\iota_{ji}^*: \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A}_j) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A}_i)$. Note that the maps ι_{ji}^* are surjective by e.g. [Ped18, Prop. 3.1.6].

As a consequence of this remark we obtain the next auxiliary result.

Proposition 2.8. *Let \mathcal{H} be a finite-dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and*

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{S}_\Lambda(\mathcal{H}) := \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda(\mathcal{H}))$$

for all $\Lambda \in \Gamma$. The state space $\mathcal{S}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ of the quasi-local algebra $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ is the directed colimit of the state spaces $(\mathcal{S}_\Lambda(\mathcal{H}))_{\Lambda \in \Gamma}$ and the natural continuous surjections

$$(\iota_{\Lambda_2 \Lambda_1}^{\mathcal{H}})^*: \mathcal{S}_{\Lambda_2}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\Lambda_1}(\mathcal{H}) \quad \Lambda_1 \subset \Lambda_2 \in \Gamma .$$

We want to extend \mathcal{S}_Γ to a functor from the category Hilb_{fin} to compact topological spaces. So suppose \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} are non-zero finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces and $f: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is a linear isometry (which need not be surjective). We now define

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(f): \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K}), \quad \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(f)(\psi) = \psi \circ \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f).$$

Since $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f)$ is positive and unital by Lemma 2.3, this is well-defined. From the characteristic mapping property of the weak* topology we see that $\mathcal{S}_\Gamma(f)$ is continuous when both the domain and codomain are given the weak* topology.

Proposition 2.9. *The map $\mathcal{S}_\Gamma(f)$ is injective and its image is given by*

$$(2.5) \quad \text{Im } \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(f) = \{ \omega \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K})) : \omega(\iota_\Lambda^\mathcal{K}(P_\Lambda)) = 1 \text{ for all } \Lambda \in \Gamma \} ,$$

where as before $P_\Lambda = f_\Lambda f_\Lambda^*$ is projection onto the image of f_Λ . Thus, $\mathcal{S}_\Gamma(f)$ is a closed embedding.

Proof. If $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ and $\psi_1 \circ \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f) = \psi_2 \circ \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f)$, then restricting to any $\Lambda \in \Gamma$ yields

$$\psi_1 \circ \iota_\Lambda^\mathcal{H} \circ \text{Ad}(f_\Lambda^*) = \psi_1 \circ \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f) \circ \iota_\Lambda^\mathcal{K} = \psi_2 \circ \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f) \circ \iota_\Lambda^\mathcal{K} = \psi_2 \circ \iota_\Lambda^\mathcal{H} \circ \text{Ad}(f_\Lambda^*) .$$

Composing with $\text{Ad}(f_\Lambda)$ on both sides yields $\psi_1 \circ \iota_\Lambda^\mathcal{H} = \psi_2 \circ \iota_\Lambda^\mathcal{H}$. Since this holds for all Λ we conclude that $\psi_1 = \psi_2$, so $\mathcal{S}_\Gamma(f)$ is injective. Since $\mathcal{S}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{S}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K})$ are compact Hausdorff, we know that $\mathcal{S}_\Gamma(f)$ is an embedding. If we can show (2.5), then it will follow that $\mathcal{S}_\Gamma(f)$ is a closed embedding, since the right-hand side of (2.5) is manifestly weak* closed.

We now prove (2.5). Since $f_\Lambda f_\Lambda^* = P_\Lambda$ and $f_\Lambda^* f_\Lambda = \mathbb{1}$, we have

$$\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f)(\iota_\Lambda^\mathcal{K}(P_\Lambda)) = \iota_\Lambda^\mathcal{H}(\text{Ad}(f_\Lambda^*)(f_\Lambda f_\Lambda^*)) = \mathbb{1} .$$

Thus, for any $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$, we have $\mathcal{S}_\Gamma(f)(\psi)(\iota_\Lambda^\mathcal{K}(P_\Lambda)) = \psi(\mathbb{1}) = 1$.

Now suppose $\omega \in \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K})$ and $\omega(\iota_\Lambda^\mathcal{K}(P_\Lambda)) = 1$ for all $\Lambda \in \Gamma$. Let $\omega_\Lambda = \omega \circ \iota_\Lambda^\mathcal{K}$. The fact that $\omega_\Lambda(P_\Lambda) = 1$ implies both that $\omega_\Lambda = \omega_\Lambda \circ \text{Ad}(P_\Lambda)$ and that $\omega_\Lambda \circ \text{Ad}(f_\Lambda) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A}_\Lambda(\mathcal{H}))$. Given $\Lambda_1 \subset \Lambda_2 \in \Gamma$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\Lambda_2} \circ \text{Ad}(f_{\Lambda_2}) \circ \iota_{\Lambda_2 \Lambda_1}^\mathcal{H} &= \omega_{\Lambda_2} \circ \text{Ad}(f_{\Lambda_2}) \circ \iota_{\Lambda_2 \Lambda_1}^\mathcal{H} \circ \text{Ad}(f_{\Lambda_1}^*) \circ \text{Ad}(f_{\Lambda_1}) \\ &= \omega_{\Lambda_2} \circ \text{Ad}(f_{\Lambda_2}) \circ \text{Ad}(f_{\Lambda_2}^*) \circ \iota_{\Lambda_2 \Lambda_1}^\mathcal{K} \circ \text{Ad}(f_{\Lambda_1}) \\ &= \omega_{\Lambda_2} \circ \iota_{\Lambda_2 \Lambda_1}^\mathcal{K} \circ \text{Ad}(f_{\Lambda_1}) \\ &= \omega_{\Lambda_1} \circ \text{Ad}(f_{\Lambda_1}) . \end{aligned}$$

Thus, there exists a unique $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\psi \circ \iota_\Lambda^\mathcal{H} = \omega_\Lambda \circ \text{Ad}(f_\Lambda)$ for all $\Lambda \in \Gamma$.

We claim that $\mathcal{S}_\Gamma(f)(\psi) = \omega$. Indeed, observe that for any $\Lambda \in \Gamma$,

$$\psi \circ \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f) \circ \iota_\Lambda^\mathcal{K} = \omega_\Lambda \circ \text{Ad}(f_\Lambda) \circ \text{Ad}(f_\Lambda^*) = \omega_\Lambda = \omega \circ \iota_\Lambda^\mathcal{K}$$

This proves the claim, and completes the proof of (2.5). \square

Since \mathfrak{A}_Γ is a contravariant functor, the construction of \mathcal{S}_Γ by Equations (2.3) and (2.4) entails that \mathcal{S}_Γ can be understood as a functor from the category Hilb_{fin} to the category cgTop of compactly generated topological spaces. But there is more structure. The categories Hilb_{fin} and cgTop are both *topologically enriched*. Such an enrichment is a choice of compactly generated topology on the morphism sets which makes the composition pairings continuous. The topological enrichment on Hilb_{fin} is obtained by noting that the set of linear isometric maps, which we denote by $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$, is naturally a subspace of the space of bounded linear maps. The set $\text{Map}(X, Y)$ of continuous maps between two compactly generated spaces X and Y is endowed with the k -ification of the compact-open topology as explained in Convention 1.12. Recall that for every topological space Z the k -ification kZ can

be understood as the coarsest topology on the set Z such that kZ is compactly generated and such that the identity map $kZ \rightarrow Z$ is continuous. Note that one has $kZ = Z$ for metrizable Z . This implies that if X is compact and Y is metrizable, then the compact-open topology on $\text{Map}(X, Y)$ is metrizable hence already compactly generated. So k -ification does not change the topology in this case. We will silently make use of these observations. By endowing morphism sets $\text{Map}(X, Y)$ with the k -ification of the compact-open topology, cgTop becomes a topologically enriched category as well.

Together, these observations imply the following.

Theorem 2.10. *The assignment which maps a finite dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} to $\mathcal{S}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ and a linear isometry f to $\mathcal{S}_\Gamma(f)$ is a topologically enriched covariant functor*

$$\mathcal{S}_\Gamma: \text{Hilb}_{\text{fin}} \longrightarrow \text{cgTop}$$

with the property that, for each \mathcal{H} , $\mathcal{S}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ is a compact space and for each f , $\mathcal{S}_\Gamma(f)$ is a closed embedding.

Furthermore, there is a natural transformation

$$\eta^{\mathcal{S}_\Gamma}: \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(-) \times \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(-) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(- \otimes -)$$

which sends $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_1) \times \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_2)$ to $(\omega_1 \otimes \omega_2) \circ (\eta_{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2}^{\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma})^{-1}$.

Proof. The only parts that requires further justification are the topological enrichments and the construction and naturality of $\eta^{\mathcal{S}_\Gamma}$. Let us start with the construction of $\eta^{\mathcal{S}_\Gamma}$. Using the natural isomorphism $\eta_{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2}^{\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma}$ from Propositions 2.1 and 2.6 we put

$$\eta_{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2}^{\mathcal{S}_\Gamma}(\omega_1, \omega_2) = (\omega_1 \otimes \omega_2) \circ (\eta_{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2}^{\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma})^{-1}.$$

For $A_i \in \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, we then obtain

$$\eta_{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2}^{\mathcal{S}_\Gamma}(\omega_1, \omega_2) \left(\eta_{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2}^{\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma}(A_1 \otimes A_2) \right) = (\omega_1 \otimes \omega_2)(A_1 \otimes A_2) = \omega_1(A_1) \cdot \omega_2(A_2).$$

This equation entails continuity of $\eta_{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2}^{\mathcal{S}_\Gamma}(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ because the span of elements of the form $\eta_{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2}^{\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma}(A_1 \otimes A_2)$ is dense in $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$ and the equation shows that evaluating on these elements is jointly weak* continuous in ω_1 and ω_2 . Naturality of $\eta^{\mathcal{S}_\Gamma}$ follows from the naturality of $\eta^{\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma}$.

It remains to prove that the functor \mathcal{S}_Γ is enriched. We need to show that the map

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}) \longrightarrow \text{Map}(\mathcal{S}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K})), \quad f \mapsto \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(f)$$

is continuous. Since $\mathcal{S}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ is compact and $\mathcal{S}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K})$ is metrizable by separability of $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K})$, the compact-open topology on the set $\text{Map}(\mathcal{S}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K}))$ is metrizable and coincides with the topology of uniform convergence. The space $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ is a closed subspace of the Banach space $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ of all linear maps from \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{K} , hence $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ is a complete metric space. The strategy now is to construct an appropriate metric d on $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ inducing the weak*

topology and such that the map (2.6) becomes Lipschitz continuous when $\text{Map}(\mathcal{S}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K}))$ is endowed with the supremum metric

$$d_{\mathcal{S}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})}: \text{Map}(\mathcal{S}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K})) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, (F, G) \mapsto \sup_{\omega \in \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})} d(F(\omega), G(\omega)) .$$

By [DS88, V.5.1], a metric d recovering the weak* topology can be obtained by choosing a countable dense family $(A_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in the unit ball of $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K})$, a sequence of positive numbers $\lambda_k \geq 1$ and defining

$$d(\psi, \omega) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{2^{k+1} \lambda_k} |(\omega - \psi)(A_k)| \quad \text{for all } \psi, \omega \in \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K}) .$$

We can assume without loss of generality that the elements A_k are all contained in the local algebra $\mathfrak{A}_{\Gamma, \text{loc}}(\mathcal{K})$. For each k then choose a finite $\Lambda_k \Subset \Gamma$ such that $A_k \in \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda_k}(\mathcal{K})$ and put $\lambda_k := |\Lambda_k|$. Now compute for $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$:

$$\begin{aligned} d(\mathcal{S}_\Gamma(f)(\omega), \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(g)(\omega)) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{2^{k+1} |\Lambda_k|} |(\mathcal{S}_\Gamma(f) - \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(g))(\omega)(A_k)| \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{2^{k+1} |\Lambda_k|} |\omega \circ (\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f) - \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(g))(A_k)| \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{2^{k+1} |\Lambda_k|} |\omega \circ (\text{Ad}(f_{\Lambda_k}^*) - \text{Ad}(g_{\Lambda_k}^*))(A_k)| \\ (2.7) \quad &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{2^{k+1} |\Lambda_k|} |\omega(f_{\Lambda_k}^* A_k f_{\Lambda_k} - g_{\Lambda_k}^* A_k g_{\Lambda_k})| \\ &\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{2^{k+1} |\Lambda_k|} \left(\|(f_{\Lambda_k}^* - g_{\Lambda_k}^*) A_k f_{\Lambda_k}\| + \|g_{\Lambda_k}^* A_k (f_{\Lambda_k} - g_{\Lambda_k})\| \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{2^k |\Lambda_k|} \|f_{\Lambda_k} - g_{\Lambda_k}\| \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{2^k} \|f - g\| \leq 2 \|f - g\| . \end{aligned}$$

Hence $d_{\mathcal{S}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})}(\mathcal{S}_\Gamma(f), \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(g)) \leq 2 \|f - g\|$ and the map sending $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ to $\mathcal{S}_\Gamma(f)$ is Lipschitz continuous, so in particular continuous. \square

Remark 2.11. The natural isomorphism $\eta^{\mathfrak{A}}: \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(-) \otimes \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(-) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(- \otimes -)$ provides a canonical identification of the internally and externally stacked quantum lattice systems, so from a physical and categorical standpoint they are the same. Henceforth, we suppress from now on to distinguish them notationally. Under this agreement, the natural transformation $\eta^{\mathcal{S}_\Gamma}$ maps (ω_1, ω_2) to $\omega_1 \otimes \omega_2$.

We now restrict our attention to the pure states.

Proposition 2.12. *For every linear isometry $f: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}$ between finite dimensional Hilbert spaces the map $\mathcal{S}_\Gamma(f)$ maps pure states to pure states. The restriction $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f) := \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(f)|_{\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})}: \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K})$ has image*

$$(2.8) \quad \text{Im } \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f) = \{ \omega \in \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K}) : \omega(\iota_\Lambda^K(P_\Lambda)) = 1 \text{ for all } \Lambda \Subset \Gamma \}$$

and is a closed embedding.

Proof. Suppose $\psi \in \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ and suppose ϕ is a positive linear functional on $\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K})$ such that $\phi \leq \psi \circ \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f)$. Assuming $\phi \neq 0$, let $\widehat{\phi} = \phi/\|\phi\|$, so that $\widehat{\phi}$ is a state. Since $\psi(\mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f)(\iota_\Lambda^\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{1} - P_\Lambda))) = 0$ for all $\Lambda \in \Gamma$, we know $\phi(\iota_\Lambda^\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{1} - P_\Lambda)) = 0$ for all $\Lambda \in \Gamma$, hence $\widehat{\phi}(\iota_\Lambda^\mathcal{K}(P_\Lambda)) = 1$ for all Λ . By Lemma 2.9 there exists $\chi \in \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\widehat{\phi} = \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(f)(\chi)$.

We now observe that for any $\Lambda \in \Gamma$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi\| \cdot \chi \circ \iota_\Lambda^\mathcal{H} &= \|\phi\| \cdot \chi \circ \iota_\Lambda^\mathcal{H} \circ \text{Ad}(f_\Lambda^*) \circ \text{Ad}(f_\Lambda) \\ &= \|\phi\| \cdot \chi \circ \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f) \circ \iota_\Lambda^\mathcal{K} \circ \text{Ad}(f_\Lambda) \\ &= \phi \circ \iota_\Lambda^\mathcal{K} \circ \text{Ad}(f_\Lambda) \\ &\leq \psi \circ \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f) \circ \iota_\Lambda^\mathcal{K} \circ \text{Ad}(f_\Lambda) = \psi \circ \iota_\Lambda^\mathcal{H}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\|\phi\| \cdot \chi \leq \psi$. Since ψ is pure, we know there exists $t \in [0, 1]$ such that $\|\phi\| \cdot \chi = t \cdot \psi$. Applying $\mathbb{1}$ yields $t = \|\phi\|$. Thus we obtain $\chi = \psi$, hence $\phi = \|\phi\| \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(f)(\chi) = \|\phi\| \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(f)(\psi)$. This proves that $\mathcal{S}_\Gamma(f)(\psi)$ is pure.

Now suppose $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K})$ and $\omega(\iota_\Lambda^\mathcal{K}(P_\Lambda)) = 1$ for all $\Lambda \in \Gamma$. There exists $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\omega = \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(f)(\psi)$. Suppose χ is a positive linear functional on $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\chi \leq \psi$. Then $\chi \circ \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f) \leq \psi \circ \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f) = \omega$, so there exists $t \in [0, 1]$ such that $\chi \circ \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f) = t\omega$. Applying this functional to $\mathbb{1}$ yields $t = \|\chi\|$. Assuming $\|\chi\| \neq 0$, let $\widehat{\chi} = \chi/\|\chi\|$. Then on any $\Lambda \in \Gamma$ we have

$$\psi \circ \iota_\Lambda^\mathcal{H} \circ \text{Ad}(f_\Lambda^*) = \omega \circ \iota_\Lambda^\mathcal{K} = \widehat{\chi} \circ \mathfrak{A}_\Gamma(f) \circ \iota_\Lambda^\mathcal{K} = \widehat{\chi} \circ \iota_\Lambda^\mathcal{H} \circ \text{Ad}(f_\Lambda^*).$$

Applying $\text{Ad}(f_\Lambda)$ to both sides yields $\psi \circ \iota_\Lambda^\mathcal{H} = \widehat{\chi} \circ \iota_\Lambda^\mathcal{H}$. Since Λ was arbitrary, we conclude that $\psi = \widehat{\chi}$, hence $\chi = \|\chi\| \psi$. This proves that $\psi \in \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$, hence $\omega \in \mathcal{S}_\Gamma(f)(\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}))$. This proves (2.8).

Since $\mathcal{S}_\Gamma(f)$ is an embedding on the full state spaces, its restriction to the pure state spaces is an embedding. Its image is manifestly weak* closed in $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K})$, so $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f)$ is a closed embedding. \square

We finally put these results together and state the main result of this section, the construction of the *universal quantum state type*.

Theorem 2.13. *There is a topologically enriched covariant functor from the category of finite dimensional Hilbert spaces and isometric linear embeddings to the category of compactly generated topological spaces*

$$\mathcal{P}_\Gamma: \text{Hilb}_{\text{fin}} \longrightarrow \text{cgTop}$$

which, on objects and morphisms is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &\mapsto \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}), \\ (f: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}) &\mapsto (\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f): \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})). \end{aligned}$$

It has the property that, for any isometric linear embedding f , $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f)$ is a closed embedding.

Furthermore, there is a natural transformation

$$\eta^{\mathcal{P}_\Gamma}: \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(-) \times \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(-) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(- \otimes -)$$

which sends $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_1) \times \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_2)$ to $\omega_1 \otimes \omega_2$, so that \mathcal{P}_Γ is a lax monoidal functor

$$\mathcal{P}_\Gamma: \text{Hilb}_{\text{fin}}^\otimes \longrightarrow \text{cgTop}$$

where the monoidal product on cgTop is the cartesian product.

Proof. The functoriality of \mathcal{P}_Γ follows immediately by definition of \mathcal{P}_Γ as the restriction of \mathcal{S}_Γ to pure state spaces and by functoriality of \mathcal{S}_Γ . The claim on closed embeddings is Proposition 2.12.

Analogously one constructs $\eta^{\mathcal{S}_\Gamma}$ as the restriction of the natural transformation $\eta^{\mathcal{S}_\Gamma}$ to pure state spaces. Since

$$(2.9) \quad \eta^{\mathcal{S}_\Gamma}(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \omega_1 \otimes \omega_2 \quad \text{for all } (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_1) \times \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_2)$$

and since the tensor product of two pure states is pure, $\eta^{\mathcal{S}_\Gamma}$ is well-defined and a natural transformation indeed. Moreover, Eqn. (2.9) entails that \mathcal{P}_Γ is a lax monoidal functor.

It remains to discuss the topological enrichment. Since the weak* topology on $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K})$ is induced by a uniformity, more precisely by the weak* uniformity, the compact-open topology on $\text{Map}(\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K}))$ coincides with the topology of compact convergence or in other words with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets. The topology of uniform convergence is metrizable, so in particular compactly generated, and finer than the topology of uniform convergence on compacta. By the universal property of the k -ification, the topology of uniform convergence therefore is finer than the topology we assume $\text{Map}(\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K}))$ to be endowed with, namely the k -ification of the compact-open topology. Now if $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ converging to $f: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$, then Eqn. (2.7) in the proof of Theorem 2.10 shows that the image sequence $(\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly on $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ to $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f)$. Therefore, the map

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}) \longrightarrow \text{Map}(\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K})), \quad f \mapsto \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f)$$

is continuous, and \mathcal{P}_Γ is a topologically enriched functor. \square

2.3. Quantum state types. With the results of the preceding sections in hand, we are ready to define the more general notion of a quantum state type.

The following definition will play an important role in the rest of the paper. It provides minimal assumptions necessary to deduce some formal homotopical properties of spaces of quantum states.

Definition 2.14. A *quantum state type* on a lattice Γ is a functor

$$\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma: \text{Hilb}_{\text{fin}}^\otimes \longrightarrow \text{cgTop}$$

such that the following hold:

- (a) For each object \mathcal{H} , $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ containing all completely factorized states.
- (b) For each morphism $f: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(f)$ is the restriction of $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(f)$ and is a closed embedding.
- (c) The natural transformation $\eta^{\mathcal{P}_\Gamma}$ restricts to a natural transformation

$$\eta^{\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma}: \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_1) \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2).$$

The quantum state type \mathcal{P}_Γ is called the *universal quantum state type*.

We will show that a quantum state type \mathcal{Q}_Γ naturally extends to a larger class of complex inner product spaces. We start with the following definition, which is the source for our extension of \mathcal{Q}_Γ .

Definition 2.15. Let \mathcal{S}^\otimes be the category whose objects are non-zero complex vector spaces of countable algebraic dimension over \mathbb{C} , equipped with a Hermitian inner product. The infinite dimensional objects are topologized as the topological union of their finite dimensional subspaces. The morphisms are linear isometries $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ topologized as subsets of the mapping space in cgTop .

In the following, we will examine the topological vector space structure of the objects of \mathcal{S}^\otimes in some more detail. To this end we need a few additional notions from functional analysis and topological tensor products which we briefly recall. For further information on locally convex vector spaces we refer to [Köt69, Trè67] and for details on topological tensor products we recommend the seminal work by Grothendieck [Gro55] or the books [Pie72, Trè67].

Recall first that by a *Fréchet space* one understands a complete and metrizable locally convex topological vector space. A *Limit of Fréchet spaces* or briefly an *LF space* is a locally convex topological vector space E which can be written as the colimit in the category of locally convex topological vector spaces of a countable inductive system $(E_n, i_{n,m})$ of Fréchet spaces. If each of the maps $i_{n,m}: E_n \rightarrow E_m$ is an embedding of topological vector spaces, then the colimit $E = \text{colim}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$ is called a *strict LF space*. Note that in the older literature the terminology “direct limit” instead of “colimit” is used. In case all the topological vector spaces in the inductive system $(E_n, i_{n,m})$ are Banach spaces, one often calls the colimit $E = \text{colim}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$ an *LB space*. See [Köt69, §19. 5.] for more details on LB and LF spaces.

To describe the monoidal structure of the category \mathcal{S}^\otimes we need an appropriate choice of a topological tensor product. Unlike in the finite dimensional case or the case of Hilbert spaces there are in general several admissible locally convex topologies on the algebraic tensor product $E \otimes F$ of two locally convex vector spaces E and F . The finest locally convex topology ι on $E \otimes F$ such that the map $E \times F \rightarrow E \otimes F$ is separately continuous has been called the *inductive tensor product topology* by Grothendieck [Gro55, Def. 3 in I §3.I]. One writes $E \otimes_\iota F$ for the tensor product endowed with

the topology ι . The finest locally convex topology π on $E \otimes F$ such that the map $E \times F \rightarrow E \otimes F$ is (jointly) continuous is the *projective topology*. The corresponding projective topological tensor product is denoted by $E \otimes_\pi F$. The last topological tensor product which is of relevance here is the injective tensor product. It is somewhat more complicated to be defined. Given two convex zero neighborhoods $U \subset E$ and $V \subset F$ one obtains a semi-norm $\varepsilon_{U,V}$ on $E \otimes F$ by defining it for $t = \sum_{i=0}^n v_i \otimes w_i \in E \otimes F$ with $v_0, \dots, v_n \in U$, $w_0, \dots, w_n \in V$ by

$$\varepsilon_{U,V}(t) := \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda(v_i) \times \mu(w_i) \right| \mid \lambda \in U^\circ \ \& \ \mu \in V^\circ \right\},$$

where U° and V° denote the polar sets of U and V , respectively. Note that $\varepsilon_{U,V}(t)$ is independent of the particular representation of t as a sum of simple tensors. The semi-norms $\varepsilon_{U,V}$ generate a locally convex topology ε on $E \otimes F$ which is compatible with the tensor product in the sense that the canonical map $E \times F \rightarrow E \otimes_\varepsilon F$ is (jointly) continuous. In particular, ε is weaker than the projective tensor product topology. The topology ε is called the *injective tensor product topology*, the resulting topological tensor product $E \otimes_\varepsilon F$ the *injective tensor product* of E and F . Note that the three thus defined tensor product topologies can be completed to give the locally convex topological vector spaces $E \hat{\otimes}_\iota F$, $E \hat{\otimes}_\pi F$, and $E \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon F$ called the *completed inductive, projective and injective tensor product*, respectively.

By definition according to Grothendieck [Gro95, Def. 1], a *nuclear space* is a locally convex topological vector space E such that for each locally convex space F the natural continuous linear map $E \otimes_\pi F \rightarrow E \otimes_\varepsilon F$ is even a topological isomorphism. Note that if E and F are Fréchet spaces, then the natural map $E \otimes_\iota F \rightarrow E \otimes_\pi F$ is an isomorphism of topological vector spaces.

Now we can formulate and prove our result on the monoidal structure of the category \mathcal{S}^\otimes and the topological structure of its objects.

Proposition 2.16. *The objects of \mathcal{S}^\otimes are nuclear strict LB spaces, so in particular locally convex. For two objects \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} of \mathcal{S}^\otimes , the colimit topology on the tensor product $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}$ with respect to the inductive system of finite dimensional subspaces coincides with Grothendieck's inductive tensor product topology ι . Moreover, if $(\mathcal{V}_i)_{i \in I}$ and $(\mathcal{W}_j)_{j \in J}$ are two countable strict inductive systems in \mathcal{S}^\otimes with colimits $\mathcal{V} = \text{colim}_i \mathcal{V}_i$ and $\mathcal{W} = \text{colim}_j \mathcal{W}_j$, respectively, then the canonical maps induce isomorphisms*

$$(2.10) \quad \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W} \cong \text{colim}_j \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}_j \cong \text{colim}_i \mathcal{V}_i \otimes \mathcal{W} \cong \text{colim}_{(i,j)} \mathcal{V}_i \otimes \mathcal{W}_j.$$

That is, the tensor product in \mathcal{S}^\otimes commutes with colimits of strict inductive systems. Finally, the category \mathcal{S}^\otimes is a symmetric monoidal category with product given by the tensor product and unit the vector space \mathbb{C} .

Proof. Let \mathcal{V} be an object of \mathcal{S}^\otimes and $(\mathcal{V}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of finite dimensional subspaces $\mathcal{V}_i \subset \mathcal{V}$ such that $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{V}_i$. A subset $U \subset \mathcal{V}$ is then open if and

only if the intersection of U with any \mathcal{V}_i is open in \mathcal{V}_i . By local compactness of the \mathcal{V}_i one concludes that for any open $U \subset \mathcal{V}$ and any point $v \in U$ there is an open convex $V \subset U$ such that $v \in V$. Hence \mathcal{V} is the locally convex colimit of $(\mathcal{V}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, so in particular an LB space. By nuclearity of the \mathcal{V}_i , the colimit \mathcal{V} then is nuclear as well.

By [Gro55, Prop 14], (2.10) holds true when the tensor product $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}$ of two colimits $\mathcal{V} = \operatorname{colim}_i \mathcal{V}_i$ and $\mathcal{W} = \operatorname{colim}_j \mathcal{W}_j$ is endowed with the inductive tensor product topology. Moreover, (2.10) also shows that the inductive tensor product topology on $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}$ coincides with the locally convex colimit topology of the inductive system $(\mathcal{V}_i \otimes \mathcal{W}_j)_{(i,j) \in I \times J}$ when $(\mathcal{V}_i)_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{V}$ and $(\mathcal{W}_j)_{j \in J} \subset \mathcal{W}$ are countable families of finite dimensional subspaces whose union span \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} , respectively. In other words, the inductive tensor product topology on $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}$ coincides with topology constructed according to Definition 2.15. Since the projective tensor product is associative and since the inductive and the projective tensor product topologies coincide on any object \mathcal{V} of \mathcal{S}^\otimes by Remark 2.17 below, the category \mathcal{S}^\otimes is in fact symmetric monoidal. Obviously, \mathbb{C} is the unit in this monoidal category. \square

Remark 2.17. Let \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} be two complex vector spaces of countable infinite dimension endowed with the colimit topologies according to Definition 2.15. Then the inductive, injective and projective tensor product topologies on the algebraic tensor product $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}$ all coincide.

Equality of the injective and projective tensor product topologies follows from nuclearity of \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} [Gro95, Def. 1]. The tricky part is equality of the inductive and projective tensor product topologies which in general need not hold true for non-metrizable LF spaces. More precisely, one has to show that the canonical map $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \otimes_l \mathcal{W}$ from the cartesian product of \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} to the inductive tensor product of \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} is not only separately but even jointly continuous.

Because \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} are both colimits of countable strict inductive systems of finite dimensional vector spaces $(\mathcal{V}_i)_{i \in I}$ and $(\mathcal{W}_j)_{j \in J}$, respectively, one can apply [HSTH01, Thm. 4.1] to conclude that the product topology on $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}$ coincides with the colimit topology of the strict inductive system $(\mathcal{V}_i \times \mathcal{W}_j)_{(i,j) \in I \times J}$. Moreover, by local compactness of the \mathcal{V}_i and \mathcal{W}_j , the colimit topologies on \mathcal{V} , \mathcal{W} and $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}$ are all locally convex. Hence $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \otimes_l \mathcal{W}$ is jointly continuous since the restrictions to the finite dimensional vector spaces $\mathcal{V}_i \times \mathcal{W}_j$ are.

Remark 2.18. The compact-open topology on $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ coincides with the topology of uniform convergence on compacta since \mathcal{W} inherits a uniform structure from its locally convex topology. To check that a sequence in $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ converges, it suffices to verify that it converges uniformly on the closed unit balls $\overline{B}_i \subset \mathcal{H}_i$, where $(\mathcal{H}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a strict inductive system of finite dimensional subspaces $\mathcal{H}_i \subset \mathcal{V}$ whose union is \mathcal{V} . Moreover, if $\mathcal{W} = \operatorname{colim}_j \mathcal{K}_j$, where $(\mathcal{K}_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of finite dimensional subspaces whose

union is \mathcal{W} , then

$$(2.11) \quad \operatorname{colim}_j \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{K}_j) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_i, \operatorname{colim}_j \mathcal{K}_j) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{W}) .$$

This follows from the observation that $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{W})$ is a subspace of the space $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{W})$ of linear maps $\mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ endowed with the compact-open topology and the fact that the inductive tensor product commutes with colimits:

$$\operatorname{colim}_j \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{K}_j) \cong \operatorname{colim}_j \mathcal{H}'_i \otimes \mathcal{K}_j \cong \mathcal{H}'_i \otimes \operatorname{colim}_j \mathcal{K}_j \cong \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{W}) ,$$

where \mathcal{H}'_i is the dual vector space of \mathcal{H}_i .

Remark 2.19. The category $\operatorname{Hilb}_{\text{fin}}^{\otimes}$ embeds in \mathcal{S}^{\otimes} as the full-subcategory whose objects are finite dimensional. Any object \mathcal{V} of \mathcal{S}^{\otimes} is a colimit

$$\mathcal{V} = \operatorname{colim}_i \mathcal{H}_i$$

for $(\mathcal{H}_i)_{i \in I}$ a countable family of finite dimensional subspaces whose union is \mathcal{V} .

Before extending quantum state types to functors defined on the category \mathcal{S}^{\otimes} , we state the following auxiliary lemma. These kinds of result are typically left implicit or stated without proof by homotopy theorists working exclusively in cgTop , but we have decided to break with tradition and include a proof for clarity, especially due to the subtle nature of pure state spaces in the weak* topology.

Lemma 2.20. *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and let*

$$Y_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} Y_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} Y_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \dots$$

be a diagram in the category of topological spaces, where the f_i are closed embeddings and the Y_i are weak Hausdorff spaces. Let

$$j_i: Y_i \longrightarrow \operatorname{colim}_{i, f_i} Y_i =: Y$$

be the canonical maps to the colimit Y of the diagram in topological spaces. Suppose that the Y_i are weak Hausdorff. Then

- (a) *the colimit Y is weak Hausdorff.*
- (b) *if $g: X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous, then there exists i such that $g(X) \subset j_i(Y_i)$.*
- (c) *if the Y_i are compactly generated, then so is Y .*
- (d) *if the Y_i are compactly generated, then the canonical map*

$$\Phi: \operatorname{colim}_{i, \operatorname{Map}(X, f_i)} \operatorname{Map}(X, Y_i) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Map}(X, \operatorname{colim}_{i, f_i} Y_i)$$

is a homeomorphism.

Proof. Let $j_i(Y_i) \subset Y$. Then

$$Y \cong \bigcup_{i \geq 0} j_i(Y_i)$$

with the union topology, defined so that a subset $A \subset Y$ is closed if and only if $j_i(Y_i) \cap A$ is closed in $j_i(Y_i)$ for all $i \geq 0$. Furthermore, $j_i: Y_i \rightarrow j_i(Y_i)$ is a homeomorphism onto its image. So, we suppress the j_i and simply prove the claims for

$$Y \cong \bigcup_{i \geq 0} Y_i$$

with the union topology, under the assumption that

$$Y_0 \subset Y_1 \subset Y_2 \subset \dots$$

are the inclusions of closed subspaces.

We first show (a), that if the Y_i are weak Hausdorff, then Y is weak Hausdorff. Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and consider $g(X)$. We want to show that this is closed. This holds if and only if $g(X) \cap Y_i$ is closed for every i . But Y_i is closed in Y since the union is along closed embeddings, hence $g^{-1}(Y_i)$ is closed in X . But since X is compact, $g^{-1}(Y_i)$ is compact. So,

$$g(g^{-1}(Y_i)) = g(X) \cap Y_i$$

is closed since Y_i is weak Hausdorff. Hence, $g(X)$ is closed since its intersection with each Y_i is closed.

Now, we turn to (b). Since X is compact Hausdorff, by the definition of a weak Hausdorff space, $g(X)$ is closed in Y since it is the continuous image of a compact Hausdorff space. Suppose there is no i such that $g(X) \subset Y_i$. Then for every $i \geq 0$, there exists $x_i \in X$ such that $g(x_i) \notin Y_i$. Consider the sets

$$K_m = \{g(x_m), g(x_{m+1}), \dots\}.$$

Note that

$$K_0 \supset K_1 \supset K_2 \supset K_3 \supset \dots$$

so this is a nested family. Furthermore, for any i

$$K_m \cap Y_i = g(X_m)$$

for a finite subset $X_m \subset X$. Since X is Hausdorff, X_m is compact, so its image under g must be closed in the weak Hausdorff space Y_i . Therefore, K_m is closed for all $m \geq 0$. We thus have a nested sequence

$$g(X) \supset K_0 \supset K_1 \supset K_2 \supset K_3 \supset \dots$$

of closed subsets of a compact set. The sequence has the finite intersection property, but the intersection $\bigcap_{m \geq 0} K_m$ is empty. This is a contradiction. So, $g(X) \subset Y_i$ for some i .

Next we show (c). Let $A \subset Y$ be a compactly closed subset of Y . That is, A has the property that $g^{-1}(A)$ is closed in X for any continuous map $g: X \rightarrow Y$ for X a compact Hausdorff space. We need to show that $A \cap Y_i$ is closed for any i . Let $g: X \rightarrow Y_i$ be any continuous map from a compact Hausdorff space X . Then we can compose g with the inclusion to get a continuous map $g: X \rightarrow Y$. But,

$$g^{-1}(A \cap Y_i) = g^{-1}(A) \cap g^{-1}(Y_i).$$

Both these sets are closed, hence $g^{-1}(A \cap Y_i)$ is closed in X . Therefore, $A \cap Y_i$ is compactly closed. Since Y_i is compactly generated, $A \cap Y_i$ is closed. Hence, A is closed.

It remains to prove (d). Since the f_i are closed embeddings, so are the maps $f_{i*} = \text{Map}(X, f_i)$. It follows that $\text{colim}_i \text{Map}(X, Y_i)$ is in cgTop . The canonical map Φ is induced by the map of diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}(X, Y_i) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}(X, Y_{i+1}) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow \text{Map}(X, \iota_i) & & \downarrow \text{Map}(X, \iota_{i+1}) & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}(X, \text{colim}_i Y_i) & \xrightarrow{=} & \text{Map}(X, \text{colim}_i Y_i) & \xrightarrow{=} & \cdots \end{array}$$

and so is continuous. We show that it is also a closed map. Note that a subset $A \subset Z$ of a compactly generated space Z is closed if and only if $G^{-1}(A)$ is closed for every continuous map $G: K \rightarrow Z$ where K is compact Hausdorff. Let A be closed in $\text{colim}_{i, \text{Map}(X, f_i)} \text{Map}(X, Y_i)$ and consider $\Phi(A) \subset \text{Map}(X, \text{colim}_{i, f_i} Y_i)$. Let $G: K \rightarrow \text{Map}(X, \text{colim}_{i, f_i} Y_i)$ be a map from a compact Hausdorff space K . Since

$$\text{Map}(K, \text{Map}(X, \text{colim}_{i, f_i} Y_i)) \cong \text{Map}(X \times K, \text{colim}_{i, f_i} Y_i)$$

and $X \times K$ is compact, the adjoint $g: X \times K \rightarrow \text{colim}_{i, f_i} Y_i$ of G has image in Y_{i_0} for some i_0 by (b). That means

$$g(x, k) = G(k)(x) \in Y_{i_0} \quad \text{for all } (x, k) \in X \times K .$$

Therefore, we get a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{G} & \text{Map}(X, \text{colim}_{i, f_i} Y_i) \\ G_0 \downarrow & \nearrow \text{Map}(X, \iota_{i_0}) & \\ \text{Map}(X, Y_{i_0}) & & \end{array}$$

Hence,

$$G^{-1}(\Phi(A)) = G_0^{-1} \text{Map}(X, \iota_{i_0})^{-1}(A)$$

Since A is closed in the colimit if and only if each $\text{Map}(X, \iota_i)^{-1}(A) \subset \text{Map}(X, Y_i)$ is closed, then $\text{Map}(X, \iota_{i_0})^{-1}(A) \subset \text{Map}(X, Y_{i_0})$ is closed. Since $\text{Map}(X, Y_{i_0})$ is in cgTop , this implies that $G_0^{-1}(\text{Map}(X, \iota_{i_0})^{-1}(A))$ is closed in K . The claim follows. \square

We extend the quantum state type to \mathcal{S}^\otimes as follows. First, we extend the functor \mathcal{Q}_Γ to \mathcal{S}^\otimes by defining it on an infinite dimensional $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{S}^\otimes$ as

$$\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V}) := \text{colim}_i \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_i) ,$$

where the colimit is taken over all finite dimensional subspaces $\mathcal{H}_i \subset \mathcal{V}$. Denote by

$$j_i^\mathcal{V}: \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_i) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$$

the inclusions in the colimit. For $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ with $\mathcal{V} = \operatorname{colim}_i \mathcal{H}_i$, $\mathcal{W} = \operatorname{colim}_j \mathcal{K}_j$ and $\mathcal{H}_i \subset \mathcal{V}$, $\mathcal{K}_j \subset \mathcal{W}$ finite dimensional, we can choose for every i an index $j(i)$ so that $f(\mathcal{H}_i) \subset \mathcal{K}_{j(i)}$. Then f restricts to a linear isometry

$$f_i: \mathcal{H}_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{j(i)} .$$

We now let $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(f): \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{W})$ be the unique continuous map such that

$$\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(f) \circ J_i^\mathcal{V} = J_{j(i)}^\mathcal{W} \circ \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(f_i) \quad \text{for all } i .$$

Next, we extend

$$\eta^{\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma}: \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V}) \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{W}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W})$$

as follows. Note that

$$\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W} \cong \operatorname{colim}_{i,j} \mathcal{H}_i \otimes \mathcal{K}_j .$$

Therefore, up to a canonical homeomorphism,

$$\operatorname{colim}_{i,j} \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_i \otimes \mathcal{K}_j) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W})$$

Since $\eta^{\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma}$ is a natural transformation, we also have a map

$$\operatorname{colim}_{i,j} \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_i) \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K}_j) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{colim}_{i,j} \eta^{\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma}} \operatorname{colim}_{i,j} \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_i \otimes \mathcal{K}_j)$$

Since the natural map

$$\operatorname{colim}_{i,j} \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_i) \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K}_j) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{colim}_i \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_i) \times \operatorname{colim}_j \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K}_j)$$

is a homeomorphism, composing its inverse with the previous maps gives the extension of $\eta^{\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma}$.

Remark 2.21. Here, it is important that we are working in compactly generated topological spaces. For any compactly generated X , the functor $- \times X$ is left adjoint to $\operatorname{Map}(X, -)$ and so commutes with colimits. These unions are directed colimits along maps with closed images. In the category of compactly generated spaces, such a colimit is again a compactly generated space as we saw in Lemma 2.20. We can then use

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V}) \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{W}) &\cong \operatorname{colim}_i (\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_i) \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{W})) \\ &\cong \operatorname{colim}_i (\operatorname{colim}_j (\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_i) \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K}_j))) . \end{aligned}$$

We claim that the extended functor $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma: \mathcal{S}^\otimes \longrightarrow \operatorname{cgTop}$ we just constructed is topologically enriched. To this end, we need to show that for all objects \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} in \mathcal{S}^\otimes the map

$$(2.12) \quad \Phi_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}: \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}) \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{W}), \quad (f, \omega) \mapsto \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(f)(\omega)$$

is continuous. We show this by a number of reductions.

Lemma 2.22. *Write $\mathcal{V} = \operatorname{colim}_i \mathcal{H}_i$ with \mathcal{H}_i finite dimensional. The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}) \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_i) & \xrightarrow{(- \circ j_i^\mathcal{V}) \times \operatorname{id}} & \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{W}) \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_i) \\ \operatorname{id} \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(j_i^\mathcal{V}) \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi_{\mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{W}} \\ \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}) \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V}) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}} & \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{W}) \end{array}$$

then commutes for every i . Furthermore, $\Phi_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}$ is continuous if $\Phi_{\mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{W}}$ is so for all i .

Proof. That the diagram commutes is obvious. First we show that $\Phi_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}$ is continuous if and only if $\Phi_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}} \circ (\operatorname{id} \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(j_i^\mathcal{V}))$ is continuous for all i . If $\Phi_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}$ is continuous, then since $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(j_i^\mathcal{V})$ is continuous, so is $\Phi_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}} \circ (\operatorname{id} \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(j_i^\mathcal{V}))$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{colim}_i (\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}) \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_i)) &\xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}) \times \operatorname{colim}_i \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_i) \\ &= \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}) \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V}). \end{aligned}$$

But a map out of $\operatorname{colim}_i (\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}) \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_i))$ is continuous if and only if it is continuous when restricted to each of the $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}) \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_i)$. Therefore, $\Phi_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}}$ is continuous if and only if $\Phi_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}} \circ (\operatorname{id} \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(j_i^\mathcal{V}))$ is continuous. But

$$(- \circ j_i^\mathcal{V}): \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{W})$$

is continuous, so provided that $\Phi_{\mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{W}}$ is continuous, then so is

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{W}} \circ ((- \circ j_i^\mathcal{V}) \times \operatorname{id}) = \Phi_{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}} \circ (\operatorname{id} \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(j_i^\mathcal{V})).$$

This proves the claims. \square

So, we have reduced the problem to proving that

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{W}}: \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_i, \mathcal{W}) \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_i) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{W})$$

is continuous.

Lemma 2.23. *Write $\mathcal{W} = \operatorname{colim}_j \mathcal{K}_j$ with \mathcal{K}_j finite dimensional. Then for every finite dimensional \mathcal{H} the map $\Phi_{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{W}}$ is continuous provided that, for each j , $\Phi_{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_j}$ is continuous.*

Proof. By (2.11), we have a natural isomorphism

$$\operatorname{colim}_j \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_j) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}, \operatorname{colim}_j \mathcal{K}_j) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{W}).$$

Therefore, we have isomorphisms

$$\operatorname{colim}_j (\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_j) \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\operatorname{colim}_j \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_j)) \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{W}) \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}).$$

But a map out of the colimit is continuous if and only if each of its restrictions is continuous, so we need to know that the maps

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_j) \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{W})$$

given by

$$(f, \omega) \mapsto \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(j_j^{\mathcal{W}} \circ f)(\omega) = \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(j_j^{\mathcal{W}}) \circ \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(f)(\omega) = \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(j_j^{\mathcal{W}}) \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_j}(f, \omega)$$

are continuous. Since the maps $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(j_j^{\mathcal{W}})$ and $\Phi_{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_j}$ are continuous, the claim follows. \square

Altogether, we have thus proved the following main result of this section.

Proposition 2.24. *Given any quantum state type \mathcal{Q}_Γ , the above construction gives a topologically enriched extension*

$$\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma: \mathcal{I}^\otimes \longrightarrow \text{cgTop}$$

and an extended natural transformation $\eta^{\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma}$

$$\eta^{\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma}: \times \circ \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma \circ \otimes$$

between functors

$$\times \circ \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma \circ \otimes: (\mathcal{I}^\otimes)^2 \longrightarrow \text{cgTop}.$$

3. FORMAL PROPERTIES OF QUANTUM STATE TYPES

In this section, we deduce various formal properties of a quantum state type $(\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma, \eta^{\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma})$. First, we define our space of “probes” as follows:

Definition 3.1. A *parameter space* is a compact Hausdorff space X which is homotopy equivalent to a CW complex. A pair consisting of a parameter space X and a closed embedding $x_0: \text{pt} \longrightarrow X$ whose image is a neighborhood deformation retract is called a *based parameter space*.

Our goal will be to relate

$$[X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})]$$

for certain infinite dimensional objects $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{I}^\otimes$ with phases of quantum systems of type \mathcal{Q}_Γ parametrized by X .

3.1. Cellularizing quantum state types. We want to study quantum state types from a homotopical perspectives. To this end, it useful to put ourselves in a slightly more friendly setting for homotopy theory by performing a CW-replacement. The reader who is happy to just accept that we can implicitly replace a quantum state type so that $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ are CW-complexes and $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(f)$ are inclusions of subcomplexes can skip this section.

Let cwTop be the full subcategory of cgTop whose objects are compactly generated spaces that are homotopy equivalent to CW-complexes. We consider the functor

$$R: \text{cgTop} \longrightarrow \text{cwTop} \subset \text{cgTop}$$

obtained as the composite

$$R(X) = |\text{Sing}_\bullet(X)|$$

where

$$|-| : \mathbf{sSets} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{cgTop} : \mathbf{Sing}_\bullet$$

for \mathbf{sSets} the category of simplicial sets, \mathbf{Sing}_\bullet the singular simplicial complex functor, and $|-|$ the geometric realization functor. See, for example, [May92] for a thorough treatment on simplicial sets. We have also provided some minimal background in Appendix A.3.

The pair of functors $(|-|, \mathbf{Sing}_\bullet)$ form an adjunction with $|-|$ the left adjoint, so that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{cgTop}}(|X_\bullet|, Y) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{sSets}}(X_\bullet, \mathbf{Sing}_\bullet(Y)).$$

Furthermore, the co-unit of the adjunction

$$\varepsilon_X : RX \longrightarrow X$$

is a *weak equivalence* in the following sense.

Definition 3.2. A map $f : Y \longrightarrow Z$ is called a *weak equivalence* if it induces a bijection on path components, and an isomorphism on all homotopy groups with respect to any choice of base point in Y . We say that two spaces are weakly equivalent if there is a zig-zag of weak equivalences connecting them.

Remark 3.3. By the Whitehead Theorem, if X has the homotopy type of a CW-complex, and $Y \longrightarrow Z$ is a weak equivalence, then f induces an isomorphism

$$[X, f] : [X, Y] \xrightarrow{\cong} [X, Z].$$

The functor \mathbf{Sing}_\bullet preserves all limits since it is a right adjoint and $|-|$ preserves finite limits (this is a non-formal property of that functor). Therefore, for any Y and Z , there is a natural isomorphism

$$\gamma_{X,Y} : R(Y \times Z) \xrightarrow{\cong} RY \times RZ.$$

On the other hand, the functor $|-|$ preserves all colimits (since it is a left adjoint). The functor \mathbf{Sing}_\bullet is defined using $\mathrm{Map}(\Delta_n, -)$ where Δ_n is the standard n -simplex. Since this is a compact Hausdorff and connected space, it follows that \mathbf{Sing}_\bullet preserves coproducts, as well as colimits over diagrams in \mathbf{cgTop} which have a countable cofinal subset, and whose maps are closed embeddings. See Lemma 2.20. Again, these are not formal properties, but rather follow from the definition of \mathbf{Sing}_\bullet .

In particular, for any collection of compactly generated spaces Y_i , there is a natural isomorphism

$$\coprod_i RY_i \xrightarrow{\cong} R \left(\coprod_i Y_i \right),$$

and for any diagram $\mathrm{colim}_{i,f_i} Y_i$ in \mathbf{cgTop} indexed on the non-negative integers for which the maps f_i are closed embeddings, a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{colim}_{i,Rf_i} RY_i \xrightarrow{\cong} R \left(\mathrm{colim}_{i,f_i} Y_i \right).$$

Therefore, we have the following comparison result.

Lemma 3.4. *If X is a parameter space, and $\operatorname{colim}_{i,f_i} Y_i$ in cgTop is a colimit over a diagram indexed on the non-negative integers for which the maps f_i are closed embeddings, then there are isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{colim}_{i,Rf_i} [X, RY_i] &\xrightarrow{\cong} [X, \operatorname{colim}_{i,Rf_i} RY_i] \\ &\xrightarrow{\cong} [X, R \operatorname{colim}_{i,f_i} Y_i] \\ &\xrightarrow{\cong} [X, \operatorname{colim}_{i,f_i} Y_i] \xleftarrow{\cong} \operatorname{colim}_{i,f_i} [X, Y_i] \end{aligned}$$

which are natural in X .

We can use the functor R to replace any quantum state type \mathcal{Q}_Γ with a CW-valued topologically enriched functor

$$R \circ \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma: \mathcal{S}^\otimes \longrightarrow \operatorname{cwTop}$$

and natural transformations

$$R(\eta_{\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K}}^{\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma}) \circ \gamma_{\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}),\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K})}^{-1}: R\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}) \times R\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K}) \longrightarrow R\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}).$$

The replacement comes equipped with weak equivalences

$$R\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$$

for all $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{S}^\otimes$, and satisfies the property that, if

$$\mathcal{V} = \operatorname{colim}_{i,f_i} \mathcal{H}_i \in \mathcal{S}^\otimes$$

where the \mathcal{H}_i are finite dimensional and the f_i are linear isometries, then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{colim}_{i,R\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(f_i)} [X, R\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_i)] &\xrightarrow{\cong} [X, \operatorname{colim}_{i,R\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(f_i)} R\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_i)] \\ &\xrightarrow{\cong} [X, R\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})] \\ &\xrightarrow{\cong} [X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})] \xleftarrow{\cong} \operatorname{colim}_{i,\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(f_i)} [X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}_i)]. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.5. If \mathcal{Q}_Γ is such that $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ is a CW-complex for all $\mathcal{H} \in \operatorname{Hilb}_{\text{fin}}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(f): \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{K})$ is the inclusion of a sub-complex for all morphism $f: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}$ in $\operatorname{Hilb}_{\text{fin}}$, the replacement is not necessary and in fact, in that case,

$$\varepsilon_{\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})}: R\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$$

is a homotopy equivalence by the Whitehead Theorem. We do not know if this holds for the universal quantum state type \mathcal{P}_Γ in the case when Γ is infinite.

Convention 3.6. For the remainder of Section 3, we implicitly replace all quantum state types with $R\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma$, but we suppress R from the notation.

3.2. The classifying spaces for quantum systems and phases. In this section, we introduce our classifying spaces.

We choose an object \mathcal{H} of $\text{Hilb}_{\text{fin}}^{\otimes}$ of dimension at least two and a pure state ψ of \mathcal{H} . We (temporarily) choose a unit vector $u \in \psi$. We let

$$\Phi_i: \mathcal{H}^{\otimes i} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\otimes(i+1)}$$

be defined by

$$\Phi_i(x) = x \otimes u.$$

This is a linear isometric embedding, and so we get maps

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\Phi_i): \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes i}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes(i+1)}).$$

If we also denote by ψ the factorized state uniquely determined by

$$\psi(A) = \prod_{v \in \Lambda} \langle \psi, A_v \psi \rangle \quad \text{for} \quad A = \bigotimes_{v \in \Lambda} A_v \in \mathfrak{A}_{\Lambda}(\mathcal{H})$$

then

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\Phi_i)(\omega) = \omega \otimes \psi$$

and so does not depend on the choice of vector u we used to define Φ_i .

Definition 3.7. For $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes i}$, Φ_i as above and \mathcal{Q}_{Γ} a quantum state type, let

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}^{\sqcup}(\mathcal{H}) := \coprod_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes i})$$

and

$$\mu_i: \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes i}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}^{\sqcup}(\mathcal{H})$$

be the inclusions. We base the space $\mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}^{\sqcup}(\mathcal{H})$ at μ_0 .⁵ Let

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}) := \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\text{colim}_{i, \Phi_i} \mathcal{H}^{\otimes i}) \cong \text{colim}_{i, \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\Phi_i)} \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes i})$$

and

$$j_i: \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes i}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$$

be the inclusion of $\mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes i})$ in the colimit. We base $\mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$ at j_0 . Finally, let

$$j = \coprod j_i: \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}^{\sqcup}(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}).$$

Remark 3.8. In the colimit defining $\mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$, the base point is identified with each

$$\psi_i := \bigotimes_{v \in \Gamma} \psi^{\otimes i} \in \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes i}).$$

The spaces $\mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}^{\sqcup}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$ depend on the choice of vacuum ψ but we have decided not to include that dependence in our notation.

⁵Since we implicitly replaced \mathcal{Q}_{Γ} by $R\mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}$, this base point is the inclusion of a zero-cell and so is non-degenerate. This holds for all our choices of based points in this section.

Both spaces $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes\infty})$ are going to have additional structure. That is, we will show that they are homotopy commutative and associative H -spaces. That is, they have a product which is unital, associative and commutative up to homotopy. Furthermore, the map j will be a map of H -spaces in the sense that it will preserve the product and units up to homotopy. Background on these concepts is given in Appendix A.1.

Before jumping into the work we need to show these claims, we make the definition that motivates our study of these spaces.

Definition 3.9. For a parameter space X , we define:

- (a) A *parametrized system* of quantum state type \mathcal{Q}_Γ modeled on (\mathcal{H}, ψ) is a continuous function

$$\omega: X \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H}).$$

The space of parametrized systems is $\text{Map}(X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H}))$ equipped with the k -ification of the compact-open topology.

- (b) The parametrized system is called *trivial* if it is constant with value the completely factorized state ψ_i for some $i \geq 0$. We abuse notation and call this constant function ψ_i .
- (c) A *quantum phase parametrized by X* of state type \mathcal{Q}_Γ modeled on (\mathcal{H}, ψ) is the homotopy class of a map

$$\varphi: X \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes\infty}).$$

The set of parametrized quantum phases is $[X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes\infty})]$, the set of homotopy classes maps.

Remark 3.10. In Definition 3.9, X is not assumed to be based and the maps and homotopies are not based.

3.3. Stacking structure. The goal for this section is to define the H -space structures for $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes\infty})$ coming from stacking (or tensor product in this case) and to discuss the relationship between the two spaces. Background on topological monoids and H -spaces is given in Appendix A.1.

We start with $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})$.

Proposition 3.11. *The natural transformation $\eta^{\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma}$ endows $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})$ with the structure of a strictly associative topological monoid through the pairing*

$$\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H}) \cong \coprod_{i,j \geq 0} \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes i}) \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes j}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})$$

given by

$$(\omega_i, \omega_j) \mapsto \eta^{\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma}(\omega_i, \omega_j) \in \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes(i+j)}).$$

The unit is the state

$$\psi_0 \in \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathbb{C}).$$

The topological monoid $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})$ is homotopy commutative, but not strictly commutative.

Proof. Everything except the last statement is straightforward. For homotopy commutativity, we note that for any $\mathcal{K} \in \text{Hilb}_{\text{fin}}^{\otimes}$, the unitary group on \mathcal{K} is a path connected space. So, we can choose a path

$$\alpha_{i,j}: I \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes(i+j)}, \mathcal{H}^{\otimes(i+j)})$$

from the identity to the map $\sigma_{i,j}$ which switches the factors in $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes(i+j)} \cong \mathcal{H}^{\otimes i} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\otimes j}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} h_{i,j}: I \times \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes i}) \times \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes j}) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes(i+j)}) \\ (t, x, y) &\mapsto \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\alpha_{i,j}(t))(x \otimes y). \end{aligned}$$

gives a path from the two compositions in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes i}) \times \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes j}) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes j}) \times \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes i}) \\ & \searrow \otimes & \swarrow \otimes \\ & \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes(i+j)}) & \end{array}$$

Defining the top horizontal arrow in

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I \times \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}^{\sqcup}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}^{\sqcup}(\mathcal{H}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}^{\sqcup}(\mathcal{H}) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \uparrow \cong \\ \coprod_{i,j} I \times \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes i}) \times \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes j}) & \xrightarrow{\coprod h_{i,j}} & \coprod_k \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes k}) \end{array}$$

so that the diagram commutes gives the required homotopy. \square

To discuss the higher structure of $\mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$, we will need to introduce more theory. The reader who is not familiar with the notion of \mathcal{E}_{∞} -spaces and of operads may be satisfied with knowing that the result implies that $\mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$ has a multiplication that is unital, associative and commutative up to homotopy. That is, $\mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$ is a homotopy commutative and associative H -space. In particular, this implies that $\pi_0 \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$ is a monoid. Furthermore, if $\pi_0 \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$ happens to also be a group, then $\mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$ has inverses up to homotopy and so is an H -group. Such quantum state types are called *invertible*. With this in mind, such a reader can skip ahead to Section 3.4. Alternatively, we have provided some background on \mathcal{E}_{∞} -spaces in Appendix A.2.

In the remainder of this section, we will show the following result.

Theorem 3.12. *The space $\mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$ is an \mathcal{E}_{∞} -space. As such, it is a homotopy commutative and associative H -space. If \mathcal{Q}_{Γ} is invertible, then $\mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$ is an H -group.*

For any quantum state type modeled on (\mathcal{H}, ψ) , the map $j: \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}^{\sqcup}(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$ is a morphism of H -spaces.

The key for proving Theorem 3.12 will be to show the following much stronger result.

Theorem 3.13. *For any infinite dimensional object \mathcal{V} of \mathcal{S}^\otimes and linear isometry $u: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$, the space $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$ based at*

$$\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(u): \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$$

is an \mathcal{E}_∞ -space. In particular, any choice of $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V}^{\otimes 2}, \mathcal{V})$ with the property that $\varphi(u \otimes u) = u$ specifies the structure of homotopy commutative and associative H -space on $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$.

If $\pi_0 \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$ is a group, then this H -space is an H -group (i.e., it has homotopy inverses), and there is an equivalence of H -groups

$$\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})_0 \times \pi_0 \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$$

where $\pi_0 \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$ is given the discrete topology and $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})_0$ is the path component of $\psi_0 = \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(u)(\text{pt})$.

For any choice of $(u_1 \in \mathcal{V}_1)$ and $(u_2 \in \mathcal{V}_2)$ where \mathcal{V}_1 and \mathcal{V}_2 are infinite dimensional gives isomorphic \mathcal{E}_∞ -spaces $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V}_1)$ and $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V}_2)$.

Definition 3.14. A quantum state type \mathcal{Q}_Γ is *invertible* if, given any choice of infinite dimensional object \mathcal{V} and unit vector $u \in \mathcal{V}$, for the H -space structure of Theorem 3.13, $\pi_0 \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$ is a group. Equivalently, \mathcal{Q}_Γ is invertible if $\pi_0 \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$ is a group for *some* choice of (\mathcal{V}, u) .

To prove Theorem 3.13, we will introduce an operad which is a multiplicative analogue of the linear isometry operad, so could be called the *multiplicative linear isometry operad*. The necessary background on operads can be found in [May72, §1] although, here, we do not require the zero space of an operad to be a single point. We use the terminology introduced in [MZZ20] and call operads whose zero space is a point *reduced*.

Definition 3.15. Let \mathcal{V} be an infinite dimensional object in \mathcal{S}^\otimes . Let

$$\mathcal{L}^\otimes(\mathcal{V})(j) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V}^{\otimes j}, \mathcal{V})$$

and $\mathbb{1} \in \mathcal{L}^\otimes(\mathcal{V})(1)$ be the identity. For $\sum j_i = j$, let

$$\gamma: \mathcal{L}^\otimes(\mathcal{V})(k) \times \mathcal{L}^\otimes(\mathcal{V})(j_1) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{L}^\otimes(\mathcal{V})(j_k) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^\otimes(\mathcal{V})(j)$$

be given by

$$\gamma(g; f_1, \dots, f_k) = g \circ (f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_k).$$

For Σ_j the symmetric group on j letters, define a right action by letting $\sigma \in \Sigma_j$ act on $\mathcal{L}^\otimes(\mathcal{V})(j)$ by

$$f\sigma := f \circ \sigma$$

for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V}^{\otimes j}, \mathcal{V})$, where σ acts on $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes j}$ through the linear action determined by

$$\sigma(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_j) = u_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{\sigma^{-1}(j)}.$$

For any choice of $u \in \mathcal{V}$, let

$$\mathcal{L}_u^\otimes(\mathcal{V})(j) = \mathcal{S}_u(\mathcal{V}^{\otimes j}, \mathcal{V}) = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V}^{\otimes j}, \mathcal{V}) : \varphi(u^{\otimes j}) = u\},$$

topologized as a subspace of $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{V}^{\otimes j}, \mathcal{V})$, with structure maps the restrictions of the γ s.

Proposition 3.16. *Let \mathcal{V} be an infinite dimensional object in \mathcal{I}^{\otimes} . Then $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{V})$ is an unreduced Σ -free operad in cgTop with the property that the spaces $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{V})(j)$ are contractible for all $j \geq 0$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{L}_u^{\otimes}(\mathcal{V})$ is a reduced \mathcal{E}_{∞} -operad.*

Proof. Checking that these are operads is quite formal as the structure maps of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{V})$ are closely related to those of the endomorphism operads ([May72, Def. 1.2]), so we do not give further details on that point. For the Σ -free property, note that if $f = f\sigma$ where $f \in \mathcal{L}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{V})(j)$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma_j$, then for any simple tensor,

$$f(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_j) = f(u_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{\sigma^{-1}(j)}).$$

But f is injective, hence this implies that any simple tensor is fixed by σ . This happens only if σ is the identity (since the dimension of \mathcal{V} is greater than one). For the last claim, since \mathcal{V} is infinite dimensional, then $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{V})$ is contractible for any \mathcal{W} . See [May77, Lemma 1.3].

For $\mathcal{L}_u^{\otimes}(\mathcal{V})$ we simply note that $\mathcal{L}_u^{\otimes}(\mathcal{V})(j)$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{V})(j)$ and the structure maps are simply restrictions. Thus $\mathcal{L}_u^{\otimes}(\mathcal{V})$ is an operad and it is reduced since the condition $\varphi(1) = u$ completely determines a linear map $\varphi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$. Furthermore, since the restriction map

$$\mathcal{L}_u^{\otimes}(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{I}_u(\mathcal{V}^{\otimes j}, \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{V}^{\otimes j} - \mathbb{C}u^{\otimes j}, \mathcal{V} - \mathbb{C}u)$$

to the space of linear embeddings on the orthogonal complements is a homeomorphism, and the target is contractible, we have that $\mathcal{L}_u^{\otimes}(\mathcal{V})$ is indeed an \mathcal{E}_{∞} -operad. \square

Definition 3.17. Let $(\mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}, \eta^{\mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}})$ be a quantum state type and $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{I}^{\otimes}$ be infinite dimensional. Let

$$\theta_j : \mathcal{L}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{V})(j) \times \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{V})^j \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{V})$$

be given by

$$\theta_j(f; \omega_1, \dots, \omega_j) = \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(f)(\eta^{\mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_j)) = \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(f)(\omega_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_j)$$

for $f \in \mathcal{L}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{V})(j) = \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{V}^{\otimes j}, \mathcal{V})$ and $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_j \in \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{V})$.

For a choice of $u \in \mathcal{V}$, give $\mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{V})$ the base point

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(u) : \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{V})$$

where we write $u : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ for the linear map which sends 1 to u . Let

$$\theta_j^u : \mathcal{L}_u^{\otimes}(\mathcal{V})(j) \times \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{V})^j \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\Gamma}(\mathcal{V})$$

be the restrictions of θ_j along the inclusion $\mathcal{L}_u^{\otimes}(\mathcal{V})(j) \subset \mathcal{L}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{V})(j)$.

The proof of the following result is as in [May77, §1] for the linear isometry operad so we do not repeat the arguments here.

Proposition 3.18. *The space $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$ together with the structure maps of Definition 3.17 is an $\mathcal{L}^\otimes(\mathcal{V})$ -space. For $u \in \mathcal{V}$, $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(u): \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$ is a based $\mathcal{L}_u^\otimes(\mathcal{V})$ -space.*

Proof of Theorem 3.13. The first claim follows from Proposition 3.18 and the fact that $\mathcal{L}_u^\otimes(\mathcal{V})$ are \mathcal{E}_∞ -operads.

We give a bit more information on how the structure maps of Definition 3.17 allow us to define the H -space structure. This is very standard so we do not give all the details.

Let \mathcal{V} be an infinite dimensional object in \mathcal{S}^\otimes and $u: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ our choice of linear isometric embedding. Any element

$$\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_u^\otimes(\mathcal{V})(2) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V})$$

determines a binary operation

$$\theta := \theta_2(\varphi; -): \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$$

which is the multiplication for the H -space structure. Concretely,

$$\theta(\omega, \tau) = \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\varphi)(\omega \otimes \tau),$$

so φ is used to *internalize* the tensor product. The key is that, because the space $\mathcal{S}_u(\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V})$ is contractible, all choices give homotopic products, and the homotopies between those homotopies are homotopic, and so on. So, the choice was “unique” up to all higher homotopies,

The unit for the H -space structure is the base point $\psi_0 := \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(u)(1)$ of $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$. The properties of an $\mathcal{L}_u^\otimes(\mathcal{V})$ -space, and the fact that $\mathcal{L}_u^\otimes(\mathcal{V})(j)$ are all contractible, then imply that $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$ is an H -space. See, for example, [May72, Lemma 1.9] for a detailed proof of homotopy associativity.

If $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$ is group-like, i.e., $\pi_0\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$ is a group, then since $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$ is a homotopy commutative and associative H -space, it is in fact an H -group.⁶ In fact, all of the path components of $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$ are homotopy equivalent to the path component $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})_0$ of ψ_0 . This is an H -group in the sense that there is a map

$$i: \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$$

so that

$$\theta(-, i(-)) \simeq \text{id} \simeq \theta(i(-), -).$$

Furthermore, there is an equivalence of H -spaces

$$\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})_0 \times \pi_0\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$$

where $\pi_0\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$ is given the discrete topology. These things are shown in [MP12, Lemmas 9.2.2 & 9.2.3].

⁶Here, we use the fact that we have implicitly replaced $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$ by the CW-complex $R\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$.

Next, consider two choices $(u_1 \in \mathcal{V}_1)$ and $(u_2 \in \mathcal{V}_2)$ where \mathcal{V}_1 and \mathcal{V}_2 are infinite dimensional. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2)$ be an isomorphism so that $f(u_1) = u_2$. Then $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(f)$ is a homeomorphism of based spaces

$$\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(f): (\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V}_1), \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(u_1)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V}_2), \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(u_2))$$

meaning the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathbb{C}) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathbb{C}) \\ \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(u_1) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(u_2) \\ \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V}_1) & \xrightarrow[\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(f)]{\cong} & \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V}_2) \end{array}$$

commutes. Furthermore, for any j , we get a commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L}_{u_1}^\otimes(\mathcal{V}_1)(j) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{L}_{u_2}^\otimes(\mathcal{V}_2)(j) \\ \subset \downarrow & & \downarrow \subset \\ \mathcal{L}^\otimes(\mathcal{V}_1)(j) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{L}^\otimes(\mathcal{V}_2)(j) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal isomorphisms are given by

$$\varphi \mapsto f\varphi(f^{-1})^{\otimes j}.$$

One can check that these isomorphisms commute with the structure maps so that we have an isomorphism of operads. From this, it is straightforward to check that we have an isomorphism of \mathcal{E}_∞ -spaces. \square

It remains to prove Theorem 3.12.

Proof of Theorem 3.12. Letting \mathcal{V} be $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}$, then Theorem 3.12 implies the first statement of Theorem 3.12. It remains to prove that j is a map of H -spaces.

The H -space structure is independent of the choice of

$$\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}, \mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}),$$

so for convenience, we choose φ defined as follows. Write $v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \cdots$ with $v_i = v$ for $i > m$ to mean the equivalence class of a simple $v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_m$ in $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}$. Let

$$\varphi((v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \cdots) \otimes (w_1 \otimes w_2 \otimes \cdots)) = v_1 \otimes w_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes w_2 \otimes \cdots$$

We need to prove that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H}) & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H}) \\ j \times j \downarrow & & \downarrow j \\ \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}) \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}) & \xrightarrow[\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\varphi) \circ \eta_{\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma}]{} & \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}) \end{array}$$

commutes up to homotopy. It suffices to find, for each $m, n \geq 0$, a homotopy between the two composites of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes m}) \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}) & \xrightarrow{\eta^{\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma}} & \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes(m+n)}) \\ \downarrow j_m \times j_n & & \downarrow j_{m+n} \\ \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}) \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\varphi) \circ \eta^{\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma}} & \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}). \end{array}$$

First note that $j_m = \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(i_m)$, where

$$i_m : \mathcal{H}^{\otimes m} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}$$

is the inclusion map. The diagram above can be expanded into

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes m}) \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}) & \xrightarrow{\eta^{\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma}} & \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}) & \xrightarrow{j_{m+n}} & \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}) \\ \downarrow j_m \times j_n & & \downarrow \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(i_m \otimes i_n) & \nearrow \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\varphi) & \\ \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}) \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}) & \xrightarrow{\eta^{\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma}} & \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}) & & \end{array}$$

where, by naturality of $\eta^{\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma}$, the lefthand square commutes. It therefore, suffices to find a homotopy

$$\gamma_{m,n} : \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\varphi) \circ \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(i_m \otimes i_n) \simeq j_{m+n}.$$

To do this, choose a path

$$\alpha_{m,n} : I \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}, \mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$$

from φ to

$$\varphi_{m,n}((v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \cdots) \otimes (w_1 \otimes w_2 \otimes \cdots)) = v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_m \otimes w_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes w_n \otimes v_{m+1} \otimes w_{n+1} \otimes \cdots.$$

Since $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma : \mathcal{I}^{\otimes} \longrightarrow \text{cgTop}$ is a topologically enriched functor, the map

$$\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma : \mathcal{I}((\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})^{\otimes 2}, \mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}) \longrightarrow \text{Map}(\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma((\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})^{\otimes 2}), \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}))$$

which sends φ to $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\varphi)$ is continuous. Hence, so is the restriction along $\alpha_{m,n}$. This is the map

$$\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma \circ \alpha_{m,n} : I \longrightarrow \text{Map}(\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}), \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}))$$

which sends t to $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\alpha_{m,n}(t))$. Since cgTop has an exponential law, the adjoint

$$\beta_{m,n} : I \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$$

given by

$$\beta_{m,n}(t, \omega) = \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\alpha_{m,n}(t))(\omega)$$

is also continuous. The homotopy is then

$$\gamma_{m,n} = \beta_{m,n} \circ (\text{id}_I \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(i_m \otimes i_n)) : I \times \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}). \quad \square$$

3.4. Parametrized phases and group completion. In this section, we fix a quantum state type \mathcal{Q}_Γ and a pair (\mathcal{H}, ψ) consisting of an object \mathcal{H} of Hilb_{fin} and a pure state ψ of \mathcal{H} . We also let X denote a parameter space in the sense of Definition 3.1.

Since $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ is a strictly associative and unital topological monoid in cgTop , then so is $\text{Map}(X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}))$ with respect to the monoidal product

$$(f \otimes g)(x) = f(x) \otimes g(x).$$

Similarly, the space $\text{Map}(X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}))$ is an \mathcal{E}_∞ -space since $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$ has that structure. Furthermore, post-composition with j gives a map of H -spaces

$$\text{Map}(X, j): \text{Map}(X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})) \longrightarrow \text{Map}(X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})).$$

In this section, we will study this map and its relationship with group completion.

First, note that applying π_0 to an H -space produces a monoid. Furthermore, for any space Y ,

$$[X, Y] = \pi_0 \text{Map}(X, Y).$$

Hence, we get a morphism of commutative monoids

$$[X, j]: [X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})] \longrightarrow [X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})].$$

Remark 3.19. Applying π_0 to $\text{Map}(X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}))$ is the step of taking deformation classes in the passage from quantum systems to phases, as described in Steps 1.1 (1). We next explain how $[X, j]$ is precisely the quotient by stacking stabilization, as described in Steps 1.1 (2). This justifies our terminology of calling $[X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})]$ the monoid of quantum phases parametrized by X .

Theorem 3.20. *For a parameter space X , the map j induces a map of monoids*

$$\pi_0 \text{Map}(X, j): \text{Map}(X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^{\perp}(\mathcal{H})) \longrightarrow [X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})],$$

which identifies $[X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})]$ with the quotient of the space of quantum systems

$$\text{Map}(X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^{\perp}(\mathcal{H}))$$

by the relation $\omega_1 \approx \omega_2$ if and only if there are $i, j \geq 0$ such that

$$\omega_1 \otimes \psi_i \simeq \omega_2 \otimes \psi_j.$$

Proof. That \approx is an equivalence relation that preserves the monoidal operation is straightforward to verify.

Since X is compact the canonical map

$$\text{colim}_{i, \text{Map}(X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\Phi_i))} \text{Map}(X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes i})) \longrightarrow \text{Map}(X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}))$$

is a homeomorphism. But π_0 also commutes with directed colimits along closed embeddings since both pt and I are compact, so applying π_0 to both sides gives an isomorphism

$$\text{colim}_{i, [X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\Phi_i)]} [X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes i})] \xrightarrow{\cong} [X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})].$$

The morphism

$$[X, j]: [X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})] \longrightarrow \text{colim}_{i, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\Phi_i)} [X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes i})] \cong [X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})]$$

is clearly surjective. So, it remains to show that $j(f) = j(g)$ if and only if $f \approx g$.

First observe that, since a parameter space X is compact, it has a finite number of path components X_α . For $f: X \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})$, let f_α be the restriction of f to X_α . Then $f \approx g$ implies that $f_\alpha \approx g_\alpha$ for each α . But the converse also holds. Indeed, if $f_\alpha \otimes \psi_{n_\alpha} \simeq g_\alpha \otimes \psi_{m_\alpha}$, then $f \otimes \psi_n \simeq g \otimes \psi_m$ for $n = \sum n_\alpha$ and $m = \sum m_\alpha$.

Therefore, it suffices to justify the claim when X is connected. In this case,

$$[X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})] \cong \prod_{i \geq 0} [X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes i})].$$

Any element in the colimit is equal to $j_k f$ for some $f: X \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes k})$. Furthermore, for $g: X \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \ell})$, $j_k f \simeq j_\ell g$ if and only if there are n and m so that $f \otimes \psi_n \simeq g \otimes \psi_m$. This is because

$$\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\Phi_{i+j-1}) \cdots \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\Phi_{i+1}) \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\Phi_i)(f) = f \otimes \psi_j.$$

Hence, for X connected, the claim holds. \square

The remainder of this section focuses on relating the passage from quantum systems to phases, to the process of Grothendieck group completion (a.k.a., K -theory). This material is not necessary for the rest of the paper, so the reader who is not interested in this relationship can skip to Section 3.5.

To relate our framework to group completion, we will need to introduce another space.

Definition 3.21. Let \mathcal{Q}_Γ be a quantum state type. Let \mathcal{H} be an object of $\text{Hilb}_{\text{fin}}^\otimes$ of dimension at least two and ψ be a pure state of \mathcal{H} . Let

$$\psi^{-1} \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H}) = \text{colim}_{j, \prod \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\Phi_i)} \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H}),$$

where $\prod \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\Phi_i)(\omega) = \omega \otimes \psi$. That is, $\psi^{-1} \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})$ is the colimit of the diagram

$$\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\prod \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\Phi_i)} \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\prod \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\Phi_i)} \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\prod \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\Phi_i)} \cdots$$

Let

$$\iota_k: \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \psi^{-1} \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})$$

be the inclusion in the colimit through the k th term of the diagram. We base $\psi^{-1}\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})$ at $\iota_0 \circ \mu_0$.

For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$\kappa_k: \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}) \longrightarrow \psi^{-1}\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})$$

be the unique map satisfying

$$\kappa_k \circ J_{k+l} = \iota_\ell \circ \mu_{k+l}.$$

Remark 3.22. If $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})_\ell$ denotes the ℓ th copy of $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})$ in the colimit diagram defining $\psi^{-1}\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})$, then κ_k is the map on colimits induced by the map of diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes(k+\ell)}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\Phi_{k+\ell})} & \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes(k+\ell+1)}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\Phi_{k+\ell+1})} & \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes(k+\ell+2)}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\Phi_{k+\ell+2})} & \dots \\ \mu_{k+\ell} \downarrow \subset & & \mu_{k+\ell+1} \downarrow \subset & & \mu_{k+\ell+2} \downarrow \subset & & \\ \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})_\ell & \xrightarrow{\coprod \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\Phi_i)} & \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})_{\ell+1} & \xrightarrow{\coprod \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\Phi_i)} & \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})_{\ell+2} & \xrightarrow{\coprod \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\Phi_i)} & \dots \end{array}$$

where it is understood that we only begin the diagram when $k + \ell, k, \ell \geq 0$.

Example 3.23. The construction of $\psi^{-1}\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})$ is a generalization of the following standard algebraic construction. Let M be a commutative monoid (in the algebraic rather than topological sense) and choose an element $m \in M$. Define

$$m^{-1}M := \operatorname{colim} \left(M \xrightarrow{m} M \xrightarrow{m} M \xrightarrow{m} \dots \right)$$

and let

$$\iota_0: M \longrightarrow m^{-1}M$$

be the map coming from the inclusion of the first factor of M in the colimit diagram. Then ι_0 has the following universal property. For any morphism of monoids $\phi: M \longrightarrow N$ such that $\phi(m)$ is invertible in N , there is a factorization in the category of monoids:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\phi} & N \\ \iota_0 \searrow & & \nearrow m^{-1}\phi \\ & m^{-1}M & \end{array}$$

For example, for \mathbb{N}_0 the non-negative integers under addition, the inclusion $\mathbb{N}_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ induces an isomorphism

$$1^{-1}\mathbb{N}_0 = \operatorname{colim} \left(\mathbb{N}_0 \xrightarrow{+1} \mathbb{N}_0 \xrightarrow{+1} \dots \right) \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

Lemma 3.24. For any parameter space X ,

$$[X, \iota_0]: [X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})] \longrightarrow [X, \psi^{-1}\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})]$$

is the localization of the monoid $[X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})]$ at the constant map at ψ .

Proof. Based on Example 3.23, it suffices to show that

$$[X, \psi^{-1} \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})] \cong \operatorname{colim}_{i, \psi} [X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})] = \psi^{-1}[X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})].$$

As in the proof of Theorem 3.20, this holds because X is compact. \square

Remark 3.25. Since the localization of a monoid is again a monoid, we get a monoid structure on $[X, \psi^{-1} \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})]$. Henceforth, this is the structure we mean when discussing algebraic properties of this set.

Definition 3.26. Let \mathcal{Q}_Γ be a quantum state type. Let

$$\psi^{-1}j: \psi^{-1} \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$$

be the map induced by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})_0 & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})_1 & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})_2 & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \dots \\ \downarrow j & & \downarrow j & & \downarrow j & & \\ \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}) & \xrightarrow{=} & \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}) & \xrightarrow{=} & \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}) & \xrightarrow{=} & \dots \end{array}$$

That is, $\psi^{-1}j$ is the unique map so that for $k, \ell \geq 0$,

$$\psi^{-1}j \circ \iota_\ell \circ \mu_k = j_k.$$

Let \mathbb{N}_0 have the discrete topology. Let

$$c: \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$$

be the map of topological monoids which takes $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes i})$ to i . Let

$$\psi^{-1}c: \psi^{-1} \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup \longrightarrow c(\psi)^{-1} \mathbb{N}_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

be the induced map on localizations, where \mathbb{Z} has the discrete topology. This is the map induced by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})_0 & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})_1 & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})_2 & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ \downarrow c & & \downarrow c & & \downarrow c & & \\ \mathbb{N}_0 & \xrightarrow{+1} & \mathbb{N}_0 & \xrightarrow{+1} & \mathbb{N}_0 & \xrightarrow{+1} & \dots \end{array}$$

That is, $\psi^{-1}c$ is the unique map so that for $k, \ell \geq 0$,

$$\psi^{-1}c \circ \iota_\ell \circ \mu_k = k - \ell.$$

Lemma 3.27. Let \mathcal{Q}_Γ be a quantum state type. Then

$$\psi^{-1}j \times \psi^{-1}c: \psi^{-1} \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}) \times \mathbb{Z}$$

is a homeomorphism.

Proof. Let κ denote the map

$$\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}) \times \mathbb{Z} \cong \coprod_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}) \xrightarrow{\coprod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \kappa_k} \psi^{-1} \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H}).$$

Both κ and $\psi^{-1}j \times \psi^{-1}c$ are continuous by construction. We will show that they are inverses.

Take $(j_j(\omega), k) \in \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}) \times \mathbb{Z}$ for some $\omega \in \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes j})$. We can and will choose j so that $i = j - k \geq 0$. Now

$$\kappa(j_j(\omega), k) = \kappa_k \circ j_j(\omega) = \kappa_k \circ j_{i+k}(\omega) = \iota_i \circ \mu_{i+k}(\omega),$$

and

$$(\psi^{-1}j \times \psi^{-1}c)(\iota_i \circ \mu_{i+k}(\omega)) = (j_{i+k}(\omega), k).$$

Now take $\iota_i \circ \mu_j(\omega) \in \psi^{-1}\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\perp(\mathcal{H})$, for some $\omega \in \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes j})$. Then

$$\psi^{-1}j \times \psi^{-1}c(\iota_i \circ \mu_j(\omega)) = (j_j(\omega), j - i),$$

and

$$\kappa(j_j(\omega), j - i) = \kappa_{j-i} \circ j_j(\omega) = \iota_i \circ \mu_j(\omega). \quad \square$$

Remark 3.28. The image of $\kappa_k: \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}) \rightarrow \psi^{-1}\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\perp(\mathcal{H})$ as in Definition 3.7, consists of the elements that can be expressed as $\iota_j(\mu_{k+j}(\omega))$ for $\omega \in \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes(k+j)})$.

Proposition 3.29. *Let X be a parameter space. For any quantum state type \mathcal{Q}_Γ , there is an isomorphism of monoids*

$$[X, \psi^{-1}j] \times [X, \psi^{-1}c] : [X, \psi^{-1}\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\perp(\mathcal{H})] \xrightarrow{\cong} [X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})] \times [X, \mathbb{Z}].$$

Proof. This is a bijection by Lemma 3.27. We just need to justify why these are maps of monoids. But c and j are maps of commutative and associative H -spaces, so this follows from the fact that

$$[X, \psi^{-1}c] = \psi^{-1}[X, c], \quad [X, \psi^{-1}j] = \psi^{-1}[X, j]. \quad \square$$

We are almost ready to state our group completion results. This result only applies to invertible quantum state types.

Lemma 3.30. *Let \mathcal{Q}_Γ be an invertible quantum state type. Let \mathcal{V} be an infinite dimensional object in \mathcal{S}^\otimes . Let $u \in \mathcal{V}$, and give $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$ the base point $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(u)$.*

- (1) *For any space X , the monoid $[X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})]$ obtained from the H -space structure of $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$ is an abelian group.*
- (2) *The fundamental group of $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$ at any choice of base point is abelian.*

Proof. The first statement is an easy consequence of the fact, for any choice of $u \in \mathcal{V}$, an invertible quantum state type gives rise to homotopy commutative H -group $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$ with unit $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(u)$. The second follows from the fact that $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V}) \simeq \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})_0 \times \pi_0\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$. Since $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})_0$ is an H -space, its fundamental group is abelian by the Eckmann–Hilton argument. \square

Invertibility implies that for any $\omega \in \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes i})$, there exists a state $\tau \in \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes j})$ with a path from $\omega \otimes \tau$ to ψ_{i+j} . But we have established the following stronger result, which we rephrase for clarity.

Proposition 3.31. *Let X be a parameter space. If \mathcal{Q}_Γ is an invertible quantum state type, then for any $f \in [X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes i})]$, there exists $g \in [X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes j})]$ such that $f \otimes g$ is homotopic to the constant map at $\psi_{i+j} \in [X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes i+j})]$. In particular, pointwise invertibility implies parametrized invertibility.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.30, $[X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})]$ is an abelian group. So $j_i(f)$ has an inverse, say $j_\ell(h)$. That is,

$$j_i(f) \otimes j_\ell(h) \simeq j_0(\psi_0).$$

But j is a map of H -spaces, hence

$$j_i(f) \otimes j_\ell(h) \simeq j_{i+\ell}(f \otimes g).$$

It follows that for some k ,

$$f \otimes h \otimes \psi_k \simeq \psi_{i+\ell+k}.$$

So, we take $g = h \otimes \psi_k$ and $j = \ell + k$. \square

Remark 3.32. In [WQB⁺23, §III], we studied a gapped Hamiltonian H parametrized by X . We pointed out that while an invertible parametrized system H over X has the property that for each point of x , $H(x)$ is an invertible system, the converse is not clear. Proposition 3.31 gives an answer to this question for invertible quantum state types. As explained in the introduction, assuming the weak equivalence between a gapped Hamiltonian model and a state model for invertible gapped quantum systems, Proposition 3.31 should also settle this question for Hamiltonians.

We are finally ready to compare the passage from quantum systems to phases with the process of group completion. We first recall the definition of Grothendieck group completion.

Definition 3.33. The *Grothendieck group completion* of a commutative monoid M consists of an abelian group $K_0(M)$ together with a morphism of monoids

$$g: M \longrightarrow K_0(M)$$

(where we can always think of an abelian group as a monoid by forgetting the inverses) satisfying the following universal property. If A is an abelian group and $f: M \longrightarrow A$ is a morphism of monoids, then there is a unique group homomorphism $K_0(\phi): K_0(M) \longrightarrow A$ making the following diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{f} & A \\ g \downarrow & \nearrow K_0(f) & \\ K_0(M) & & \end{array}$$

In other words, $K_0(-)$ is the left adjoint to the forgetful functor from abelian groups to commutative monoids.

Theorem 3.34. *Let X be a parameter space and \mathcal{Q}_Γ be an invertible quantum state type. Then $[X, \psi^{-1}\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})]$ is an abelian group and*

$$[X, \iota_0]: [X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})] \longrightarrow [X, \psi^{-1}\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})]$$

is the Grothendieck group completion. Therefore, the maps of Proposition 3.29 induce an isomorphism

$$K_0([X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})]) \cong [X, \psi^{-1}\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})] \xrightarrow{\cong} [X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes\infty})] \times [X, \mathbb{Z}].$$

Proof. That $[X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})]$ is an abelian group follows from the isomorphism of monoids of Proposition 3.29 and the fact that $[X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes\infty})]$ is a group when \mathcal{Q}_Γ is invertible (Lemma 3.30). Since ψ maps to an invertible element in the Grothendieck group $K_0[X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})]$, the group completion factors as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})] & \xrightarrow{g} & K_0[X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})] \\ [X, \iota_0] \downarrow & \nearrow \psi^{-1}g & \\ [X, \psi^{-1}\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})] & & \end{array}$$

But, g is the initial group homomorphism from $[X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})]$, hence $\psi^{-1}g$ is an isomorphism. \square

We actually have the following a stronger result which concerns the *topological* group completion of $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})$, and we finish this section by stating it. It will not be used later.

Theorem 3.35. *Let \mathcal{Q}_Γ be an invertible quantum state type. The localization $\psi^{-1}\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})$ is weakly equivalent to Quillen's topological group completion $\Omega B\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes\infty})$ is weakly equivalent to the identity path component of this loop space.*

Proof. This follows from [MS76] using the fact $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})$ is a homotopy commutative monoids, that $\pi_0\psi^{-1}\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})$ is already a group, and $\pi_1\psi^{-1}\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})$ is abelian for any choice of base point. \square

Remark 3.36. We think that, for invertible quantum state types, Theorem 3.34 should be explained by a more topological statement. In fact, it seems likely that the canonical map

$$\text{Map}(X, \psi^{-1}\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})) \longrightarrow \Omega B\text{Map}(X, \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H}))$$

is a weak equivalence, although we were not able to prove that. If $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})$ was an \mathcal{E}_∞ -space, then $\text{Map}(X, \psi^{-1}\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H}))$ would be a grouplike \mathcal{E}_∞ -space and so would already group complete. However, $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma^\sqcup(\mathcal{H})$ is not an \mathcal{E}_∞ -space and we were not able to settle this question.

Remark 3.37. There is a very nice history of the results on topological group completion given in [Ada78, Ch. 3]. Early versions of topological group completion are due to Quillen in unpublished private communications among the key players, Barratt [Bar61], Barratt-Priddy [BP72], May

[May74, May75], McDuff–Segal [MS76] and Segal [Seg74]. More recent treatments include Randal-Williams [RW13] and Nikolaus [Nik17].

3.5. Loop-spectra and May’s Recognition Principle. Because of the importance of loop-spectra in this story, we dedicate this section to reviewing some key definitions. We also give more details about May’s Recognition Principle.

We start with recalling the definition of a loop-spectrum.⁷

Definition 3.38. A *loop-spectrum* \mathbf{Y} is a sequence of based spaces in cgTop_*

$$Y_0, Y_1, Y_2, \dots$$

together with weak equivalences

$$\omega_d: Y_d \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega Y_{d+1}.$$

A map of loop-spectra $\mathbf{f}: \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ is a sequence of based continuous maps $f_d: Y_d \rightarrow Z_d$ so that $\omega_d \circ f_d = \Omega f_{d+1} \circ \omega_d$. Loop-spectra form a category which we denote by ΩSp .

Definition 3.39. For any integer d , the d th homotopy group of a loop-spectrum \mathbf{Y} is defined to be

$$\pi_d \mathbf{Y} := \begin{cases} \pi_d Y_0 & d \geq 0 \\ \pi_0 Y_{-d} & d < 0. \end{cases}$$

A loop-spectrum \mathbf{Y} is *connective* if $\pi_d \mathbf{Y} = 0$ for all $d < 0$.

Definition 3.40. A map $\mathbf{f}: \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ is a *weak equivalence* of loop-spectra if $\pi_d \mathbf{f}$ is an isomorphism for all $d \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For any spectrum \mathbf{Y} and $n \geq 0$, let $\Sigma^n \mathbf{Y}$ be the spectrum

$$(\Sigma^n \mathbf{Y})_d = Y_{d+n}$$

with the same structure maps as \mathbf{Y}_d . Let $\Sigma^{-n} \mathbf{Y}$ be the spectrum

$$(\Sigma^{-n} \mathbf{Y})_d = \Omega^n Y_d,$$

with structure maps $\Omega^n \omega_d$. Then

$$(\Sigma^{-n} \circ \Sigma^n)(\mathbf{Y}) = (\Sigma^n \circ \Sigma^{-n})(\mathbf{Y}) = (\Omega^n Y_n, \Omega^n Y_{n+1}, \Omega^n Y_{n+2}, \dots)$$

and so the maps $\omega_{d+n-1} \circ \dots \circ \omega_d$ assemble to give a natural weak equivalence

$$\mathbf{Y} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega^n \Sigma^n \mathbf{Y}.$$

It follows that, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\pi_d \mathbf{Y} \cong \pi_{d+n} \Sigma^n \mathbf{Y}.$$

For any spectrum \mathbf{Y} and any integer n , we can construct a *Postnikov stage* $\mathbf{Y}_{\tau < n}$ and a *Whitehead cover* $\mathbf{Y}_{\tau \geq n}$. For a detailed review of these

⁷In the homotopy theory literature, these are often called Ω -spectra. However, the name “loop-spectrum” is the more popular term in condensed matter theory.

constructions see [Rud98, §4]. But, roughly, these are loop-spectra equipped with maps

$$\mathbf{Y}_{\tau \geq n} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Y} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Y}_{\tau < n}$$

so that

- $\mathbf{Y}_{\tau \geq n} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ induces an isomorphism on π_d for $d \geq n$, and
- $\mathbf{Y} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Y}_{\tau < n}$ induces an isomorphism on π_d for $d < n$.

The loop-spectrum $\mathbf{Y}_{\tau \geq 0}$ is called the *connective cover* \mathbf{Y} . We also note that, for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a weak equivalence

$$\Sigma^m(\mathbf{Y}_{\tau \geq n}) \simeq (\Sigma^m \mathbf{Y})_{\tau \geq n+m},$$

and a similar identity holds for Postnikov stages.

Definition 3.41. If \mathbf{Y} is a loop-spectrum, we let

$$\Omega^\infty \mathbf{Y} = Y_0,$$

the zeroth space of the spectrum \mathbf{Y} . Given a map \mathbf{f} of spectra, we let $\Omega^\infty \mathbf{f} = f_0$. This defines a functor

$$\Omega^\infty: \Omega\text{Sp} \longrightarrow \text{cgTop}_*.$$

We say that a space is an *infinite loop space* if it is the image of Ω^∞ .

Finally, recall that a loop-spectrum determines a generalized cohomology theory. If X is a space, which we always assume has the homotopy type of a CW complex, then

$$\mathbf{Y}^d(X) = [X, Y_d].$$

If X is a based space, we also let $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}^d(X) = [X, Y_d]_*$. Then the structure maps ω_d can be used to construct an isomorphism

$$\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}^d(X) \cong \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}^{d+1}(\Sigma X).$$

This is also reviewed in [BC18, §2].

We are now ready to discuss May's Recognition Principle.

Remark 3.42. In general, an \mathcal{E}_∞ -space X is *grouplike* if $\pi_0 X$ is a group with respect to the induced H -space structure on X . So a quantum state type is invertible if the associated \mathcal{E}_∞ -spaces $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$ for (\mathcal{V}, u) is a grouplike \mathcal{E}_∞ -space.

Theorem 3.43 (May's Recognition Principle [May72, §14]). *The functor Ω^∞ refines to a functor*

$$\Omega^\infty: \Omega\text{Sp} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_\infty\text{-cgTop}_*,$$

where $\mathcal{E}_\infty\text{-cgTop}_*$ is the category of \mathcal{E}_∞ -spaces. As such, it has a left adjoint

$$B^\infty: \mathcal{E}_\infty\text{-cgTop}_* \longrightarrow \Omega\text{Sp}$$

which takes an \mathcal{E}_∞ -space X and produces a loop-spectrum

$$B^\infty X = (X, B^1 X, B^2 X, \dots).$$

The unit of the adjunction $X \rightarrow \Omega^\infty B^\infty X$ is weakly equivalent to the group completion $X \rightarrow \Omega BX$. This is a weak equivalence if and only if X is grouplike. The co-unit $B^\infty \Omega^\infty \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is weakly equivalent to a Whitehead cover $\mathbf{Y}_{\tau \geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$, which is a weak equivalence if and only if \mathbf{Y} is connective.

Combining this with what we have already established gives the following result.

Theorem 3.44. *Let \mathcal{Q}_Γ be a quantum state type, $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{I}^\otimes$ an infinite dimensional object, and choice of unit vector $u \in \mathcal{V}$. There is a loop-spectrum $B^\infty \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$ whose zero space is weakly equivalent to $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$ if and only if \mathcal{Q}_Γ is an invertible state type (i.e., $\pi_0 \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$ is a group). In particular, if \mathcal{Q}_Γ is invertible, then $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(\mathcal{V})$ is an infinite loop-space.*

Remark 3.45. Since we implicitly assume that we have replaced \mathcal{Q}_Γ by its cellular approximation $R\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma$, for any $u \in \mathcal{V}$, the replacement of $\mathcal{Q}_\Gamma(u)$ is the inclusion of a zero simplex so is a non-degenerate base point. Therefore, so long as we replace \mathcal{Q}_Γ , we can assume that we are working in cgTop_* .

3.6. Berry phase and free fermions. In the preceding sections we developed an abstract framework for modeling certain parametrized phases of matter. This framework was guided by some examples which we describe here.

3.6.1. Gapped Quantum Systems in spacetime dimension $0 + 1$. It is well understood that the unique phase invariant of a quantum system in spacetime dimension $0 + 1$ is the line bundle of ground states over X . We review this fact in the context of our framework.

Consider a $0 + 1$ dimensional quantum system described by a finite dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ be its pure state space, and $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H})$ be the space of Hermitian operators on \mathcal{H} with a unique ground state. Then $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ are homotopy equivalent. This means that any state in $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$ is the unique ground state of some Hamiltonian, and we can model gapped parametrized systems over X with a map

$$X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Remark 3.46. This approach fails to model gapped parametrized systems in spacetime dimensions greater than $0 + 1$ because there exist pure states which are not ground states of any gapped and local Hamiltonian. For example, it has been proven that for local Hamiltonians, a spectral gap above the ground state implies exponential decay of correlations in the ground state [Has04, NS06, HK06]. It follows that a state $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ (where $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^d$ for $d \geq 1$) which has a power-law decay of correlations is not the ground state of any local Hamiltonian.

Armed with a model for gapped parametrized systems over X in spacetime dimension $0 + 1$, the framework developed in the previous sections provides a prescription to pass to parametrized phases over X .

To connect with the results of the previous section, we can let Γ be the zero lattice. Then

$$\mathbb{P}: \text{Hilb}_{\text{fin}}^{\otimes} \longrightarrow \text{cgTop}$$

maps \mathcal{H} to $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$, which can be canonically identified with the space of pure states on the C^* -algebra $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$, i.e., with $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}))$.

Then

$$\eta^{\mathbb{P}}: \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{K}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K})$$

is the map which sends a pair of lines ψ and ϕ to their tensor product $\psi \otimes \phi$.

If we fix a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and a vacuum state $\psi \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})$, then

$$\mathbb{P}^{\sqcup}(\mathcal{H}) = \prod_{i \geq 0} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes i})$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}) = \text{colim} \left(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes 0}) \xrightarrow{\otimes \psi} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes 1}) \xrightarrow{\otimes \psi} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes 2}) \xrightarrow{\otimes \psi} \dots \right)$$

is an \mathcal{E}_{∞} -space. In fact, this gives the standard \mathcal{E}_{∞} -structure on infinite complex projective space coming from the tensor product of line bundles. Since $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$ is connected, this is an invertible state type. In fact, we have reproduced a space with many names,

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty}) \cong \mathbb{C}P^{\infty} \simeq K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \simeq BGL(1) \simeq BU(1).$$

Therefore, a $0 + 1$ dimensional phase of quantum systems parametrized by X is an element of

$$[X, \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})] \cong \text{Line}_{\mathbb{C}}(X) \cong H_{\text{sing}}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \check{H}^1(X, U(1)).$$

Here, the second group is the isomorphism classes of complex line bundles, the third is the singular cohomology group, and the fourth is Čech cohomology.

Remark 3.47. One way to obtain the \mathcal{E}_{∞} -structure on $BU(1)$ is using the fact that $U(1)$ is an abelian group. Indeed, for any topological abelian group, we can iterate the bar construction and so

$$(BU(1), B^2U(1), B^3U(1) \dots)$$

is a de-looping of $BU(1)$. See, for example, Segal's Γ -space theory for generalizations for this construction. It could be that our construction of the infinite loop space structure on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$ using the operad $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes \infty})$ appears somewhere in the literature, but we did not find it.

3.6.2. K -theory and free fermions. Here, we review the classification of certain free-fermion phases following [Kit09] and explain how the classification is related to our framework. Although this classification is not via quantum state types, the framework for free fermions is intimately related to the one we presented above, as will become apparent in this section. Physically, the vector bundle discussed below gives the occupied single-particle states; the ground state of the system is obtained by filling these states with fermions.

The physical situation is the following. We wish to describe families of free fermion systems with particle number symmetry in spacetime dimension $0 + 1$. Such systems are characterized by a Hamiltonian of the form

$$H_A = \sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} A_{jk} a_j^\dagger a_k,$$

where A is a Hermitian matrix of size n equal to the size of the system. We consider only gapped systems, i.e. systems for which there exists $\Delta > 0$ with $\Delta < |\varepsilon|$ for each eigenvalue ε of A . The set of such matrices A defines a subspace of $M(\mathbb{C}) = \operatorname{colim}_n M_n(\mathbb{C})$ which we will call the space of *admissible matrices*. The colimit is taken with respect to the inclusions

$$M_n(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow M_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$$

given by

$$A \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The goal is then to study the homotopy type of this space.

First, let's make a reduction to a simpler space of the same homotopy type. The function

$$f_t(x) = \frac{x}{|x|^t}$$

can be applied using functional calculus to an admissible matrix A to obtain a matrix $\tilde{A} = f_1(A)$ with eigenvalues ± 1 . This defines a deformation retraction from the space of admissible matrices onto the space C of hermitian matrices with eigenvalues ± 1 . Note that the characteristic polynomial map

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{colim}_n M_n(\mathbb{C}) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[t] \\ A &\mapsto \det(A - tI) \end{aligned}$$

is continuous. The restriction of this map to C is then a continuous map into a discrete space giving a decomposition

$$C = \coprod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} C_k,$$

where C_k is the subspace of C consisting of matrices with (-1) -eigenspace of dimension k .

We next analyze C_k further. First note that $C_k = \operatorname{colim}_n C_k(n)$, where $C_k(n)$ is the subspace of C_k consisting of matrices of size n . We then have maps into grassmanians

$$g_{k,n} : C_k(n) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$$

sending a matrix to its (-1) -eigenspace. We view these as maps into

$$BGL(k) \simeq \operatorname{Gr}_k(\mathbb{C}^\infty) = \operatorname{colim}_n \operatorname{Gr}_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$$

by postcomposition with the inclusion into the colimit. The result is a map

$$g_k : C_k \longrightarrow BGL(k)$$

which by a straightforward analysis of the fiber can be shown to be a weak equivalence.

For the purposes of phase-classification we can now identify

$$\mathcal{F}^\sqcup := \coprod_{k \geq 0} BGL(k) \simeq C$$

as a model of the classifying space of $0 + 1d$ free fermion systems with particle number symmetry in the following sense. A family of such systems parametrized by a space X is the same as a map $A: X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^\sqcup$. The phase of this family of systems depends only on the deformation (a.k.a. homotopy) class in $[X, \mathcal{F}^\sqcup]$, which by this identification can be viewed as an element in

$$[X, \mathcal{F}^\sqcup] \cong \text{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}(X),$$

i.e. as an isomorphism class of a vector bundle over X . Explicitly, A defines a vector bundle over X whose fiber over $x \in X$ is the (-1) -eigenspace of $A(x)$. The deformation invariant is then the isomorphism class of this vector bundle.

However, the phase of a family of systems is not determined completely by its deformation class, but its deformation class after stacking with arbitrary trivial systems. We must, therefore, study the operation on \mathcal{F}^\sqcup corresponding to stacking of systems.

In the free fermion setup, stacking two Hamiltonians determined by admissible matrices A_0 and A_1 corresponds to taking the direct sum $A_0 \oplus A_1$ of the two matrices. Accordingly, the stacking operation is modeled on M by the map

$$\mathcal{F}^\sqcup \times \mathcal{F}^\sqcup \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^\sqcup$$

assembled from the maps

$$BGL(n) \times BGL(m) \rightarrow BGL(n + m)$$

coming from the group homomorphism

$$GL(n) \times GL(m) \rightarrow GL(n + m)$$

which is defined by the inclusion of (X, Y) as a block diagonal matrix with blocks X and Y . This operation is unital with respect to the point $BGL(0)$. So, \mathcal{F}^\sqcup is a topological monoid.

Finally, we can form a directed system

$$BGL(1) \rightarrow BGL(2) \rightarrow BGL(3) \rightarrow \dots$$

using the inclusions

$$BGL(n) \rightarrow BGL(n + 1)$$

given by

$$(3.1) \quad X \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$\mathcal{F}^\infty := \text{colim}_n BGL(n) = BGL.$$

This is the classifying space for *reduced* complex K -theory. On the other hand, the self map

$$\psi: \mathcal{F}^\sqcup \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^\sqcup$$

which does (3.1) on each point can be “inverted” by forming the colimit of

$$\psi^{-1} \mathcal{F}^\sqcup := \operatorname{colim} \left(\mathcal{F}^\sqcup \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathcal{F}^\sqcup \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathcal{F}^\sqcup \xrightarrow{\psi} \dots \right).$$

The resulting space is

$$\psi^{-1} \mathcal{F}^\sqcup \cong BGL \times \mathbb{Z}$$

the classifying space for topological complex K -theory.

Remark 3.48. The topological monoid \mathcal{F}^\sqcup is, famously, the monoid that gives rise to K -theory using Quillen’s topological group completion. It appears ubiquitously in the literature discussing these topics. See [Ada78, Ch. 2 & 3] for a very nice discussion and further references.

The connection with our framework is already apparent, but there are even more similarities than we have pointed out so far. In the remainder of this section, we want to make this clear. This will be more technical, as again we will discuss operads and \mathcal{E}_∞ -spaces.

What we do next was first introduced by Boardman–Vogt [BV68] in their introduction of “homotopy everything” spaces. It was framed into the context of operads by May [May77].

We need a category akin to \mathcal{S}^\otimes .

Definition 3.49 ([BV68]). Let \mathcal{S}^\oplus be the category whose objects are real inner product spaces of countable algebraic dimension over \mathbb{R} . The morphisms are real linear isometries $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$. This is a topologically enriched category. The finite dimensional objects have the standard metric topology. The infinite dimensional objects are topologized so that they are the topological union of their finite dimensional subspaces. Then $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ is given the compactly generated compact-open topology. The category \mathcal{S}^\oplus is a symmetric monoidal category with monoidal operation given by the direct sum.

Definition 3.50 ([May77]). Let \mathcal{V} be an infinite dimensional object in \mathcal{S}^\oplus . The operad $\mathcal{L}_\oplus(\mathcal{V})$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{L}_\oplus(\mathcal{V})(j) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V}^{\oplus j}, \mathcal{V})$$

with the identity as $1 \in \mathcal{L}_\oplus(\mathcal{V})(1)$ and structure map

$$\gamma: \mathcal{L}_\oplus(\mathcal{V})(k) \times \mathcal{L}_\oplus(\mathcal{V})(j_1) \times \dots \times \mathcal{L}_\oplus(\mathcal{V})(j_k) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_\oplus(\mathcal{V})(j)$$

given by

$$\gamma(g; f_1, \dots, f_k) = g \circ (f_1 \oplus \dots \oplus f_k).$$

The action of Σ_j is given by $(f\sigma)(x) = f(\sigma(x))$ where σ permutes the summands in $\mathcal{V}^{\oplus j}$.

The operad $\mathcal{L}_\oplus(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$ is called the *linear isometry operad*.

The operads $\mathcal{L}_\oplus(\mathcal{V})$ are \mathcal{E}_∞ -operads. This is shown in [May77, §1].

Definition 3.51 ([BV68]). An \mathcal{I}^\oplus -space (\mathcal{T}, η) consists of a topologically enriched functor

$$\mathcal{T}: \mathcal{I}^\oplus \longrightarrow \text{cgTop},$$

and a natural transformation $\eta: \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{V}) \times \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{W}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{W})$ such that, $\mathcal{T}(0)$ is a single point, and the η s are unital, associative and commutative (in an appropriate categorical sense) and the value of \mathcal{T} on infinite dimensional objects is the colimit of the value of \mathcal{T} its finite dimensional subspaces.

Theorem 3.52 ([BV68, May77]). *If (\mathcal{T}, η) is an \mathcal{I}^\oplus -space, and \mathcal{V} is infinite dimensional, then $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{V})$ is an $\mathcal{L}_\oplus(\mathcal{V})$ -space where*

$$\theta_j: \mathcal{L}_\oplus(\mathcal{V})(j) \times \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{V})^j \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{V})$$

is given by

$$\theta_j(f; x_1, \dots, x_j) = (Tf)(\eta(x_1, \dots, x_j)).$$

In particular, $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{V})$ is an \mathcal{E}_∞ -space.

Example 3.53. For each finite dimensional \mathcal{V} , let

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{V}) = BGL(\mathcal{V}_\mathbb{C}),$$

the classifying space of the general linear group of its complexification $\mathcal{V}_\mathbb{C}$. Let η be the map induced by the homomorphism

$$GL(\mathcal{V}_\mathbb{C}) \times GL(\mathcal{W}_\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow GL(\mathcal{V}_\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathcal{W}_\mathbb{C})$$

given by the inclusion of $GL(\mathcal{V}_\mathbb{C}) \oplus GL(\mathcal{W}_\mathbb{C})$ in $GL(\mathcal{V}_\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathcal{W}_\mathbb{C})$.

If \mathcal{V} is infinite dimensional, let $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{V}) = \text{colim}_i \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{V}_i)$ where \mathcal{V}_i runs over the finite dimensional subspaces of \mathcal{V} . We can also extend \mathcal{T} to morphisms, and extend η . We then have a \mathcal{I}^\oplus -space and

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{R}^\infty) = BGL,$$

the classifying for reduced K -theory. This equips BGL with an infinite loop space structure.

Remark 3.54. There are many ways to produce $BGL \times \mathbb{Z}$ as an infinite loop space. Producing this space seems like a right-of-passage for any theory. One is by showing that it is the classifying space of a permutative category [May74], another is using Segal's Γ -spaces [Seg74].

4. THE FUNDAMENTAL GROUP OF THE PURE STATE SPACE

Now that we have established the *universal quantum state type* \mathcal{P}_Γ as a basis for a theory of quantum state types, we turn to the question of better understanding this universal example. For example, we do not know the weak homotopy type of $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ for an infinite lattice Γ (and \mathcal{H} of dimension at least 2). In this section, we will compute its fundamental group.

As a matter of fact, all we need to know to do this computation is that it is the pure state space of a UHF algebra. So in the following we step back

from lattices and state types and prove that the pure state space of a UHF algebra is simply connected in the weak* topology.

We begin by recalling the natural action of a C^* -algebra on its state space and then show some crucial properties we later need. This is done in Section 4.1. Using this action we introduce in Section 4.2 a new notion of homotopy in the state space which essentially is a kind of homotopy *lifted* to the C^* -algebra. We then examine the space of density matrices of a matrix algebra in Section 4.3 and finally use the results obtained there to prove our main claim that the space of pure states of a UHF algebra is simply connected.

4.1. Action of \mathfrak{A} on its state space. In this section, we study an action of \mathfrak{A} on its state space.

Definition 4.1. Let \mathfrak{A} be a C^* -algebra, let $\omega \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$, and let

$$\mathfrak{N}_\omega = \{A \in \mathfrak{A} : \omega(A^*A) = 0\}$$

be the Gelfand ideal of ω . Recall that if $A \notin \mathfrak{N}_\omega$, then we may define

$$(4.1) \quad A \cdot \omega : \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (A \cdot \omega)(B) = \frac{\omega(A^*BA)}{\omega(A^*A)}.$$

This is a state of \mathfrak{A} . In the GNS representation $(\mathcal{H}_\omega, \pi_\omega, \Omega_\omega)$ of ω , it is represented by the unit vector $\pi_\omega(A)\Omega_\omega/\sqrt{\omega(A^*A)}$. Thus, if ω is pure, then so is $A \cdot \omega$. Following the definition, we see that given $A, B \in \mathfrak{A}$, we have $AB \notin \mathfrak{N}_\omega$ if and only if $B \notin \mathfrak{N}_\omega$ and $A \notin \mathfrak{N}_{B \cdot \omega}$, in which case $(AB) \cdot \omega = A \cdot (B \cdot \omega)$. Of course, if \mathfrak{A} is unital, then we have $\mathbb{1} \cdot \omega = \omega$.

Let us now prove a few elementary facts of this action.

Proposition 4.2. *Let \mathfrak{A} be a C^* -algebra and let $\omega \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$. If $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ is nonzero and satisfies $|\omega(A)| = \|A\|$, then $A \cdot \omega = \omega$.*

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{H}_\omega, \pi_\omega, \Omega_\omega)$ be the GNS representation of ω . By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$|\omega(A)| = |\langle \Omega_\omega, \pi_\omega(A)\Omega_\omega \rangle| \leq \|\Omega_\omega\| \|\pi_\omega(A)\Omega_\omega\| \leq \|A\|.$$

Since $|\omega(A)| = \|A\|$, we see that the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality is saturated, so $\pi_\omega(A)\Omega_\omega = \lambda\Omega_\omega$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Observe that $\lambda \neq 0$, otherwise we find that $|\omega(A)| = 0$, which contradicts the hypotheses. Note that $\sqrt{\omega(A^*A)} = |\lambda|$, so that $A \cdot \omega$ is represented by the vector $\lambda|\lambda|^{-1}\Omega_\omega$. Vectors that differ by a phase represent the same state, so we conclude that $A \cdot \omega = \omega$. \square

Proposition 4.3. *Let \mathfrak{A} be a C^* -algebra and let $\omega \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{A})$. Suppose $A, B \in \mathfrak{A} \setminus \mathfrak{N}_\omega$ and $A \cdot \omega = B \cdot \omega$. If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\alpha A + \beta B \notin \mathfrak{N}_\omega$, then $(\alpha A + \beta B) \cdot \omega = A \cdot \omega = B \cdot \omega$.*

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{H}_\omega, \pi_\omega, \Omega_\omega)$ be the GNS representation of ω . Since $A \cdot \omega = B \cdot \omega$ and ω is pure, we know $\pi_\omega(A)\Omega_\omega$ and $\pi_\omega(B)\Omega_\omega$ are linearly dependent, i.e.,

there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\pi_\omega(B)\Omega_\omega = \lambda\pi_\omega(A)\Omega_\omega$. Then $(\alpha A + \beta B) \cdot \omega$ is represented by

$$\frac{\pi_\omega(\alpha A + \beta B)\Omega_\omega}{\|\pi_\omega(\alpha A + \beta B)\Omega_\omega\|} = \frac{(\alpha + \lambda\beta) \cdot \pi_\omega(A)\Omega_\omega}{\|\pi_\omega(\alpha A + \beta B)\Omega_\omega\|}.$$

This differs from $\pi_\omega(A)\Omega_\omega/\sqrt{\omega(A^*A)}$ by a phase, so $(\alpha A + \beta B) \cdot \omega = A \cdot \omega$. \square

Next, let us examine the continuity properties of the action of \mathfrak{A} on $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let \mathfrak{A} be a C^* -algebra and equip $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ with the weak* topology. The map*

$$(4.2) \quad \mathfrak{A} \times \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (A, \omega) \mapsto \omega(A)$$

is continuous.

Proof. Fix $A_0 \in \mathfrak{A}$, $\omega_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$, and $\varepsilon > 0$. Given $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $\|A - A_0\| < \varepsilon/2$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ such that $|\omega(A_0) - \omega_0(A_0)| < \varepsilon/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega(A) - \omega_0(A_0)| &\leq |\omega(A) - \omega(A_0)| + |\omega(A_0) - \omega_0(A_0)| \\ &\leq \|A - A_0\| + |\omega(A_0) - \omega_0(A_0)| < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the map (4.2) is continuous at the arbitrary point (A_0, ω_0) . \square

Proposition 4.5. *Let \mathfrak{A} be a C^* -algebra and equip $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ with the weak* topology. The map*

$$(4.3) \quad \{(A, \omega) \in \mathfrak{A} \times \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A}) : A \notin \mathfrak{N}_\omega\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A}), \quad (A, \omega) \longrightarrow A \cdot \omega$$

is continuous.

Proof. Fix an arbitrary $B \in \mathfrak{A}$. Continuity of (4.3) will follow from continuity of $(A, \omega) \mapsto (A \cdot \omega)(B)$ since B was arbitrary. But since $A \mapsto A^*A$ and $A \mapsto A^*BA$ are continuous maps from \mathfrak{A} to itself, it is easy to see from (4.1) and Proposition 4.4 that $(A, \omega) \mapsto (A \cdot \omega)(B)$ is continuous. \square

4.2. \mathfrak{A} -homotopies and the fundamental group. A key idea in our computation of the fundamental group is to study homotopies that can be factored through a special kind of lift from the state space to the C^* -algebra. We define what we mean here.

Definition 4.6. Let \mathfrak{A} be a unital C^* -algebra, equip $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ with the weak* topology, and let X be a topological space. We then say that a continuous map $\psi: X \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ is \mathfrak{A} -homotopic to a continuous map $\omega: X \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ if there exists a continuous map $A: X \times I \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ such that

- (1) $A_{x,s} \notin \mathfrak{N}_{\psi_x}$ for all $(x, s) \in X \times I$,
- (2) $A_{x,0} = \mathbf{1}$ for all $x \in X$,
- (3) $A_{x,1} \cdot \psi_x = \omega_x$ for all $x \in X$.

In this case $(x, s) \mapsto A_{x,s} \cdot \psi_x$ is a homotopy from ψ to ω in the standard sense. We call the map $A: X \times I \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ fulfilling conditions (1) to (3) an \mathfrak{A} -homotopy from ψ to ω . It can be understood as a lift of the homotopy from ψ to ω . Let us write $\psi \simeq_{\mathfrak{A}} \omega$ if ψ is \mathfrak{A} -homotopic to ω .

When X is the unit interval I , then we say ψ is \mathfrak{A} -homotopic to ω relative endpoints if there exists an \mathfrak{A} -homotopy $A: I \times I \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ from ψ to ω such that

- (4) $A_{0,s} \cdot \psi_0 = \omega_0$ for all $s \in I$, and
- (5) $A_{1,s} \cdot \psi_1 = \omega_1$ for all $s \in I$.

An \mathfrak{A} -homotopy fulfilling these properties will be called an \mathfrak{A} -homotopy relative endpoints. Let us write $\psi \simeq_{\mathfrak{A},\text{rel}} \omega$ if ψ is \mathfrak{A} -homotopic to ω relative endpoints. In case both ψ and ω are loops we sometimes say that ψ is \mathfrak{A} -homotopic to ω relative the basepoint whenever $\psi \simeq_{\mathfrak{A},\text{rel}} \omega$.

Proposition 4.7. *The relations $\simeq_{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $\simeq_{\mathfrak{A},\text{rel}}$ are transitive.*

Proof. Suppose $\chi \simeq_{\mathfrak{A}} \psi$ and $\psi \simeq_{\mathfrak{A}} \omega$. Let $A: X \times I \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ and $B: X \times I \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ be respective \mathfrak{A} -homotopies. Define $C: X \times I \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ by

$$C_{x,s} = \begin{cases} A_{x,2s} & \text{for } s \in [0, 1/2] , \\ B_{x,2s-1}A_{x,1} & \text{for } s \in [1/2, 1] . \end{cases}$$

This is a well-defined continuous map since $B_{x,0} = \mathbb{1}$ for all $x \in X$. By construction, C then is an \mathfrak{A} -homotopy from χ to ω . If $X = I$ and both A and B are \mathfrak{A} -homotopies relative endpoints, then it is easy to see that C is also an \mathfrak{A} -homotopy relative endpoints. \square

We note that being \mathfrak{A} -homotopic is *not* a symmetric relation. For example, in what follows, we will act on non-pure states with projections to obtain pure states, but we cannot undo this operation by acting with an element of \mathfrak{A} because acting on a pure state with an element of \mathfrak{A} always returns a pure state.

In our applications, \mathfrak{A} will be unital (with unit denoted $\mathbb{1}$), we will have $X = I$, and the function $A: I \times I \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ will be of the form

$$A_{t,s} = s\tilde{A}_t + (1-s)\mathbb{1}$$

for some continuous map $\tilde{A}: I \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$. Given a state ψ and $A \in \mathfrak{A} \setminus \mathfrak{N}_\psi$, it is therefore important to examine when the linear interpolation $sA + (1-s)\mathbb{1}$ falls outside the Gelfand ideal of ψ for all s . We examine a few special cases of interest.

Proposition 4.8. *Let \mathfrak{A} be a unital C^* -algebra and let $\omega \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$. If $P \in \mathfrak{A}$ is a projection and $\omega(P) > 0$, then $sP + (1-s)\mathbb{1} \notin \mathfrak{N}_\omega$ for all $s \in I$.*

Proof. For ease of notation, let $P_s = sP + (1-s)\mathbb{1}$. Then

$$\omega(P_s^*P_s) = s^2\omega(P) + (1-s)^2 + 2s(1-s)\omega(P) > 0. \quad \square$$

Proposition 4.9. *Let \mathfrak{A} be a unital C^* -algebra and let $\omega \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$. If $U \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{A})$ and $s \in I$, then $sU + (1-s)\mathbb{1} \in \mathfrak{N}_\omega$ if and only if $\omega(U) = -1$ and $s = 1/2$.*

Proof. For ease of notation, let $U_s = sU + (1-s)\mathbf{1}$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(U_s^*U_s) &= s^2 + (1-s)^2 + 2s(1-s)\operatorname{Re}\omega(U) \\ &\geq s^2 + (1-s)^2 - 2s(1-s) = (1-2s)^2\end{aligned}$$

If $\omega(U) = -1$ and $s = 1/2$, then the inequality is an equality and we get $\omega(U_s^*U_s) = (1-2s)^2 = 0$. If $s \neq 1/2$, then we have $\omega(U_s^*U_s) \geq (1-2s)^2 > 0$. If $s = 1/2$ and $\omega(U) \neq -1$, then the inequality is strict, so $\omega(U_s^*U_s) > (1-2s)^2 = 0$. \square

Suppose we have a path $\omega: I \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ of states and a path of unitaries $U: I \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{A})$, and we want to perform a homotopy of ω via a linear interpolation $(t, s) \mapsto sU_t + (1-s)\mathbf{1}$. We are in trouble if there exists $t \in I$ such that $\omega_t(U_t) = -1$. As the next lemma implies, we can avoid this difficulty by multiplying the path U by a continuous phase $\lambda: I \rightarrow S^1$.

Lemma 4.10. *Let $\gamma: I \rightarrow D^2$ be a path in the closed unit disk $D^2 \subset \mathbb{C}$. There exists a continuous map $\lambda: I \rightarrow S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that for all $t \in I$ either $\lambda(t)\gamma(t) = 1$ or $|\lambda(t)\gamma(t)| < 1$.*

Intuitively, the lemma can be thought of as follows. Imagine γ is the path of an ant walking on a round table and you are seated at the table. Any $\lambda = e^{i\theta} \in S^1$ corresponds to a rotation of the table by an angle θ . The lemma says that as the ant is walking along the table, you can continuously rotate the table so that whenever the ant gets to the edge of the table, the ant will be directly in front of you.

Proof of Lemma 4.10. The proof more or less follows the standard path-lifting argument for fiber bundles, although we are not looking at a fiber bundle here.

Begin by covering D^2 with the open balls $B_1(0)$ and $B_1(z) \cap D^2$ for all $z \in S^1$. For any point $w_0 \in B_1(0)$ and any $\mu_0 \in S^1$, the constant function $\mu: B_1(0) \rightarrow S^1$ with value μ_0 satisfies $\mu(w_0) = \mu_0$ and $|w| < 1$ for all $w \in B_1(0)$.

Now consider any $z \in S^1$, any point $w_0 \in B_1(z) \cap D^2$, and any $\mu_0 \in S^1$ such that either $\mu_0 w_0 = 1$ or $|w_0| < 1$. If $\mu_0 w_0 = 1$, then the map

$$\mu: B_1(z) \cap D^2 \rightarrow S^1, \quad \mu(w) = \frac{|w|}{w}$$

satisfies $\mu(w_0) = \mu_0$ and for all $w \in B_1(z) \cap D^2$ either $\mu(w)w = 1$ or $|w| < 1$. On the other hand, suppose $|w_0| < 1$ and let $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ such that $e^{i\theta} = \mu_0 w_0 / |w_0|$. Then the function

$$\mu: B_1(z) \cap D^2 \rightarrow S^1, \quad \mu(w) = \mu_0 \cdot \frac{w_0}{|w_0|} \cdot \frac{|w|}{w} \cdot e^{-i\theta(|w|-|w_0|)/(1-|w_0|)}$$

satisfies $\mu(w_0) = \mu_0$ and for all $w \in B_1(z) \cap D^2$, either $\mu(w)w = 1$ or $|w| < 1$.

Now consider the path γ . Cover I by the preimages $\gamma^{-1}(B_1(0))$ and $\gamma^{-1}(B_1(z) \cap D^2)$ for all $z \in S^1$. By the Lebesgue number lemma, there exists

$N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k \in \{0, \dots, N-1\}$, the interval $[k/N, (k+1)/N]$ is contained in one of these preimages.

Assume that for some $k \in \{0, \dots, N-1\}$ we have constructed a path $\lambda: [0, k/N] \rightarrow S^1$ such that for all $t \in [0, k/N]$ either $\lambda(t)\gamma(t) = 1$ or $|\gamma(t)| < 1$. Choose one of the preimages above that contains $[k/N, (k+1)/N]$ and denote it $\gamma^{-1}(O)$. Setting $w_0 = \gamma(k/N)$ and $\mu_0 = \lambda(k/N)$, we may compose the restriction $\gamma: [k/N, (k+1)/N] \rightarrow O$ with the appropriate map $\mu: O \rightarrow S^1$ defined previously to obtain a map $\tilde{\lambda}: [k/N, (k+1)/N] \rightarrow S^1$ such that $\tilde{\lambda}(k/N) = \mu_0 = \lambda(k/N)$ and for all $t \in [k/N, (k+1)/N]$ either $\tilde{\lambda}(t)\gamma(t) = 1$ or $|\gamma(t)| < 1$. We may now glue λ to $\tilde{\lambda}$ to get a continuous map $\lambda: [0, (k+1)/N] \rightarrow S^1$ such that for all $t \in [0, (k+1)/N]$ either $\lambda(t)\gamma(t) = 1$ or $|\gamma(t)| < 1$. Continuing in this manner constructs the desired path $\lambda: I \rightarrow S^1$. \square

Corollary 4.11. *Let \mathfrak{A} be a unital C^* -algebra and let $\omega: I \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ be weak* continuous. Given a continuous map $U: I \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{A})$, there exists a continuous $\lambda: I \rightarrow S^1$ such that $s\lambda_t U_t + (1-s)\mathbf{1} \notin \mathfrak{N}_{\omega_t}$ for all $t, s \in I$.*

Proof. This is immediate from Proposition 4.9 and Lemma 4.10 upon setting $\gamma(t) = \omega_t(U_t)$. \square

4.3. Finite dimensional density matrices and rectification of paths.

Let us now fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the specific example $\mathfrak{A} = M_n(\mathbb{C})$. In several steps we will show in this section that every loop in the state space $\mathcal{S}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ is $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ -homotopic relative the basepoint to the constant loop. In particular this implies that the state space of a matrix algebra $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is simply connected. We start by identifying $\mathcal{S}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ with the set $DM_n(\mathbb{C})$ of $n \times n$ density matrices, topologized as a subspace of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Recall that if $\varrho \in DM_n(\mathbb{C})$, then $A \mapsto \text{tr}(\varrho A)$ is a state on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Proposition 4.12. *The map $DM_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ that associates to a density matrix the corresponding state is a homeomorphism.*

Proof. Suppose $\varrho_1, \varrho_2 \in DM_n(\mathbb{C})$ map to the same state. Then

$$\text{tr}((\varrho_1 - \varrho_2)A) = 0$$

for all $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Since $\varrho_1 - \varrho_2$ is self-adjoint, we can find an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors. Letting A be the projection onto any eigenspace, the equation $\text{tr}((\varrho_1 - \varrho_2)A) = 0$ implies that the corresponding eigenvalue is zero. Therefore $\varrho_1 - \varrho_2 = 0$.

We now show surjectivity. Since $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ has only one superselection sector, we know $\mathcal{P}(M_n(\mathbb{C})) \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, so $\mathcal{P}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ is compact. We know $\mathcal{S}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ is the closed convex hull of $\mathcal{P}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$, but since $\mathcal{P}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ is a compact subset of a finite-dimensional vector space, the convex hull of $\mathcal{P}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ is already closed, so $\mathcal{S}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ is just the convex hull of $\mathcal{P}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$. Any pure state can be written as $A \mapsto \text{tr}(PA)$ for some rank-one projection P , and any convex combination of rank-one projections is a density matrix. Thus, any state can be represented by a density matrix.

Since $DM_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{S}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ are compact Hausdorff, all that remains to do is show continuity. Letting $\varrho_1, \varrho_2 \in DM_n(\mathbb{C})$ and letting e_1, \dots, e_n be an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^n , we have

$$|\mathrm{tr}((\varrho_1 - \varrho_2)A)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |\langle e_i, (\varrho_1 - \varrho_2)Ae_i \rangle| \leq n \|\varrho_1 - \varrho_2\| \|A\|.$$

Continuity follows. \square

Note that if $\omega \in \mathcal{S}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ is represented by the density matrix ϱ and $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \mathfrak{N}_\omega$, then $A \cdot \omega$ is represented by the density matrix $A\varrho A^*/\mathrm{tr}(A\varrho A^*)$. We will use this correspondence between states and density matrices freely.

Our first goal is to show that any loop in $\mathcal{S}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ based at a pure state is $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ -homotopic to the constant map relative the basepoint (in the sense of Definition 4.6). Since all pure states on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ are unitarily equivalent, it suffices to take our loop to be based at the state represented by the first standard basis vector. Denote this state by ω_0^n . Explicitly, ω_0^n is the state

$$\omega_0^n: M_n(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad A \mapsto \langle e_0, Ae_0 \rangle,$$

where $e_0 = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. The following notation will also be convenient. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$, let

$$P_k^n = \mathrm{diag}(1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$$

where there are k zeros on the diagonal.

Lemma 4.13. *If $n > 1$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{S}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$, then $\omega(P_1^n) = 0$ if and only if ω is represented by the last standard basis vector, that is, if and only if $\omega(A) = \langle e_n, Ae_n \rangle$ for all $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, where $e_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{C}^n$.*

Proof. If ω is represented by the last standard basis vector, then it is clear that $\omega(P_1^n) = 0$. Suppose $\omega(P_1^n) = 0$. Let ϱ be the density matrix representing ω . Then $\mathrm{tr}(P_1^n \varrho P_1^n) = 0$, but $P_1^n \varrho P_1^n$ is positive, so this implies that $P_1^n \varrho P_1^n = 0$. Note that $P_1^n \varrho P_1^n$ is the matrix with the same entries as ϱ in the upper left $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ submatrix, and with zeros everywhere else. Since this $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ submatrix is zero, it follows from the fact that $\mathrm{tr}(\varrho) = 1$ that the bottom right entry of ϱ is 1. Acting ϱ on the last standard basis vector and using the fact that $\|\varrho\| \leq 1$, we see that all other entries in the last column of ϱ are zero. Since ϱ is self-adjoint, all other entries in the last row of ϱ are zero as well. Thus, the only nonzero entry of ϱ is a one in the bottom right corner, and this is the density matrix corresponding to the last standard basis vector. \square

Our next two lemmas follow the same train of thought as Lemma 4.10. In Lemma 4.10, the situation was analogous to an ant walking on a round table D^2 while an observer rotated the table by multiplying with elements of S^1 . In Lemma 4.14 and Lemma 4.15, our aim is to make a similar statement for paths in $\mathcal{S}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$, where we act on states with unitaries.

For example, when $n = 2$ the state space $\mathcal{S}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ is the Bloch ball and we can think of our unitaries acting on the Bloch ball by rotations. Analogously, if an ant is eating through an apple, I can rotate the apple continuously so that whenever the ant emerges, it is facing straight up.

Lemma 4.14. *For every $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$, there exists an open neighborhood*

$$\psi \in O \subset \mathcal{S}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$$

with the following property:

For every $\psi_0 \in O$ and $U_0 \in \mathbf{U}(n)$ such that either $U_0 \cdot \psi_0 = \omega_0^n$ or $U_0 \cdot \psi_0$ is not pure, there exists a continuous map $U: O \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(n)$ such that

(a) $U_{\psi_0} = U_0$, and

(b) for every $\phi \in O$, either $U_\phi \cdot \phi = \omega_0^n$ or $U_\phi \cdot \phi$ is not pure.

Proof. Suppose ψ is not pure. Then we may take

$$O = \mathcal{S}(M_n(\mathbb{C})) \setminus \mathcal{P}(M_n(\mathbb{C})).$$

Indeed, observe that for any $\psi_0 \in O$ and $U_0 \in \mathbf{U}(n)$, the constant map at U_0 satisfies the desired properties, since a unitary cannot take a non-pure state to a pure state.

Suppose ψ is pure, let Ψ be a representing vector for ψ , and let $P = |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|$. Let

$$O = \{\phi \in \mathcal{S}(M_n(\mathbb{C})) : \phi(P) > 7/8\}.$$

Suppose $\phi \in O$ and let $\varrho_\phi \in DM_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the density matrix representing ϕ . Write ϱ_ϕ using the spectral decomposition

$$\varrho_\phi = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i |\Phi_i\rangle\langle\Phi_i|,$$

where Φ_1, \dots, Φ_n is an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of ϱ_ϕ . Observe that

$$\frac{7}{8} < \phi(P) = \text{tr}(\varrho_\phi P) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i |\langle\Phi_i, \Psi\rangle|^2 \leq \left(\max_i t_i\right) \sum_{i=1}^n |\langle\Phi_i, \Psi\rangle|^2 = \max_i t_i.$$

Let $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ be such that $t_k = \max_i t_i$. We have just shown that $t_k > 7/8$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1$, we know that $t_k \leq 1$ and $t_i < 1/8$ for all $i \neq k$.

Next, observe that

$$\frac{7}{8} < \phi(P) = t_k |\langle\Phi_k, \Psi\rangle|^2 + \sum_{i \neq k} t_i |\langle\Phi_i, \Psi\rangle|^2 \leq |\langle\Phi_k, \Psi\rangle|^2 + \frac{1}{8}.$$

Thus, $|\langle\Phi_k, \Psi\rangle|^2 > 3/4$.

Consider the map $O \ni \phi \mapsto \omega_\phi \in \mathcal{P}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$, where ω_ϕ is represented by an eigenvector of ϱ_ϕ with maximum eigenvalue. This is a continuous map that leaves $O \cap \mathcal{P}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ invariant. With Φ_k representing a maximum eigenvector of ϕ as above, we observe that

$$\|\omega_\phi - \psi\|^2 = 4 - 4|\langle\Phi_k, \Psi\rangle|^2 < 4 - 4 \cdot \frac{3}{4} = 1.$$

Thus,

$$\|\omega_\phi - \omega_{\psi_0}\| \leq \|\omega_\phi - \psi\| + \|\psi - \omega_{\psi_0}\| < 2.$$

There exists a continuous map $U: B_2(\omega_{\psi_0}) \cap \mathcal{P}(M_n(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(n)$ such that $U_{\omega_{\psi_0}} = I$ and $U_\omega \cdot \omega = \omega_{\psi_0}$ for every $\omega \in B_2(\omega_{\psi_0}) \cap \mathcal{P}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$. If ψ_0 is pure, then $\psi_0 = \omega_{\psi_0}$, and the function

$$\phi \mapsto U_0 U_{\omega_\phi}$$

is the desired family of unitaries.

If ψ_0 is not pure, then choose a unitary V such that $V \cdot \omega_{\psi_0} = \omega_0^n$. Write $U_0 = e^{iA}$ and $V = e^{iB}$ where $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ are self-adjoint. Then the function

$$\phi \mapsto e^{iB[S(\varrho_{\psi_0}) - S(\varrho_\phi)]/S(\varrho_{\psi_0})} e^{iAS(\varrho_\phi)/S(\varrho_{\psi_0})} U_{\omega_\phi}$$

has the desired properties, where $S(\varrho)$ is the von Neumann entropy of ϱ . \square

Lemma 4.15. *Let $\omega: I \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ be a loop based at ω_0^n . There exists a continuous family of unitaries $U: I \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(n)$ such that*

$$U_0 \cdot \omega_0^n = U_1 \cdot \omega_0^n = \omega_0^n$$

and $(U_t \cdot \omega_t)(P_1^n) > 0$ for all $t \in I$.

Proof. For each $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$, let O_ψ be an open neighborhood of ψ as provided by Lemma 4.14. The neighborhoods O_ψ cover $\mathcal{S}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$, hence the neighborhoods $\omega^{-1}(O_\psi)$ cover I . Thus, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $k \in \{0, \dots, N-1\}$, the set $[k/N, (k+1)/N]$ lies in some $\omega^{-1}(O_\psi)$.

We now follow the standard path-lifting argument. Assume that for some $k \in \{0, \dots, N-1\}$ we have defined a continuous map $U: [0, k/N] \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(n)$ such that $U_0 = I$ and for all $t \in [0, k/N]$ either $U_t \cdot \omega_t = \omega_0^n$ or $U_t \cdot \omega_t$ is not pure. Choose $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ such that $[k/N, (k+1)/N] \subset \omega^{-1}(O_\psi)$. Composing $\omega|_{[k/N, (k+1)/N]}$ with such a continuous family of unitaries as provided by Lemma 4.14, we obtain a continuous map $V: [k/N, (k+1)/N] \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(n)$ such that $V_{k/N} = U_{k/N}$ and for every $t \in [k/N, (k+1)/N]$, either $V_t \cdot \omega_t = \omega_0^n$ or $V_t \cdot \omega_t$ is not pure. We may glue the maps U and V together to obtain a continuous map $W: [0, (k+1)/N] \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(n)$ such that $W_0 = I$ and for all t , either $W_t \cdot \omega_t = \omega_0^n$ or $W_t \cdot \omega_t$ is not pure.

Proceeding in this fashion, we define a continuous map $U: I \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(n)$ such that $U_0 \cdot \omega_0^n = U_1 \cdot \omega_0^n = \omega_0^n$ and for all t either $U_t \cdot \omega_t = \omega_0^n$ or $U_t \cdot \omega_t$ is not pure. The fact that $(U_t \cdot \omega_t)(P_1^n) > 0$ for all t follows from Lemma 4.13. \square

Lemma 4.16. *If $\omega: I \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ is a loop based at ω_0^n , then ω is $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ -homotopic relative the basepoint to a loop $\psi: I \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ such that $\psi_t(P_1^n) = 1$ for all $t \in I$.*

Proof. Using Lemma 4.15 we find a continuous family of unitaries $U: I \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(n)$ such that $U_0 \cdot \omega_0^n = U_1 \cdot \omega_0^n = \omega_0^n$ and $(U_t \cdot \omega_t)(P_1^n) > 0$ for all $t \in I$. Using Lemma 4.10 we find a continuous path $\lambda: I \rightarrow S^1$ such that $\lambda_t \omega_t(U_t) \neq -1$ for all $t \in I$. Then $(s, t) \mapsto s\lambda_t U_t + (1-s)\mathbb{1}$ is continuous, equals $\mathbb{1}$ when

$s = 0$, and satisfies $s\lambda_t U_t + (1-s)\mathbb{1} \notin \mathfrak{N}_{\omega_t}$ for all $t, s \in I$ by Proposition 4.9. Furthermore, for all $s \in I$, $s\lambda_0 U_0 + (1-s)\mathbb{1}$ and $s\lambda_1 U_1 + (1-s)\mathbb{1}$ leave ω_0^n invariant by Proposition 4.3. Therefore, ω is homotopic relative the base point to $\chi_t = \lambda_t U_t \cdot \omega_t = U_t \cdot \omega_t$.

Note that $\chi_t(P_1^n) > 0$ for all $t \in I$ and $\chi_0(P_1^n) = \chi_1(P_1^n) = 1$. Then by Proposition 4.8 we have the homotopy $(t, s) \mapsto [sP_1^n + (1-s)\mathbb{1}] \cdot \chi_t$. By Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 4.3, this is a homotopy relative the basepoint. Finally, we note that $(P_1^n \cdot \chi_t)(P_1^n) = 1$ for all $t \in I$. \square

We would now like to prove the result of Lemma 4.16 but with P_1^n replaced by P_k^n for arbitrary $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. We note that $M_{n-k}(\mathbb{C}) \cong P_k^n M_n(\mathbb{C}) P_k^n$, where the $*$ -isomorphism maps $A \in M_{n-k}(\mathbb{C})$ to the $n \times n$ matrix with A as the upper left $(n-k) \times (n-k)$ submatrix, and zeros everywhere else. If we have a state $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ such that $\psi(P_k^n) = 1$, then ψ is essentially a state on $M_{n-k}(\mathbb{C}) \cong P_k^n M_n(\mathbb{C}) P_k^n$.

At this point it is worth taking a step back and considering this last statement through a more abstract lens. Note that if \mathfrak{A} is a C^* -algebra and $P \in \mathfrak{A}$ is a projection, then $P\mathfrak{A}P$ is a unital C^* -algebra with unit P . In fact, it is a hereditary C^* -subalgebra. Proposition 4.2 has the following consequence for states on $P\mathfrak{A}P$.

Proposition 4.17. *Let \mathfrak{A} be a C^* -algebra and let $P \in \mathfrak{A}$ be a projection.*

- (1) *If $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$, then $\psi|_{P\mathfrak{A}P} \in \mathcal{S}(P\mathfrak{A}P)$ if and only if $\psi(P) = 1$.*
- (2) *Given a state $\omega \in \mathcal{S}(P\mathfrak{A}P)$ the function $\omega \circ \text{Ad}(P)$ is the unique extension of ω to a state on \mathfrak{A} .*
- (3) *The map*

$$\mathcal{S}(P\mathfrak{A}P) \ni \omega \mapsto \omega \circ \text{Ad}(P) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$$

is a closed embedding with respect to the weak topologies and has image $\{\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A}) : \psi(P) = 1\}$.*

Proof. (1) This is immediate from the fact that $\psi|_{P\mathfrak{A}P}$ is a positive linear functional and P is the unit of $P\mathfrak{A}P$.

(2) Since $P\mathfrak{A}P$ is a hereditary C^* -subalgebra of \mathfrak{A} with unit P , this is provided by [Mur90, Thm. 3.3.9].

(3) Using the characteristic mapping property of the weak* topology, we see that $\omega \mapsto \omega \circ \text{Ad}(P)$ is weak* continuous. It is clear that if $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathcal{S}(P\mathfrak{A}P)$ and $\omega_1 \circ \text{Ad}(P) = \omega_2 \circ \text{Ad}(P)$, then $\omega_1 = \omega_2$. Since $\mathcal{S}(P\mathfrak{A}P)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ are compact Hausdorff, this implies that $\omega \mapsto \omega \circ \text{Ad}(P)$ is an embedding. We observe that $[\omega \circ \text{Ad}(P)](P) = \omega(P) = 1$ for all $\omega \in \mathcal{S}(P\mathfrak{A}P)$. On the other hand, if $\psi(P) = 1$, then Proposition 4.2 implies that $P \cdot \psi = \psi$, so $\psi = \psi|_{P\mathfrak{A}P} \circ \text{Ad}(P)$ and $\psi|_{P\mathfrak{A}P} \in \mathcal{S}(P\mathfrak{A}P)$. Thus, the image of the map $\omega \mapsto \omega \circ \text{Ad}(P)$ is the set $\{\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A}) : \psi(P) = 1\}$, and this set is manifestly weak* closed. \square

Lemma 4.18. *Let \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} be unital C^* -algebras, let $P \in \mathfrak{B}$ be a projection, let $\pi: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow P\mathfrak{B}P$ be a $*$ -isomorphism, and let $\psi: I \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{B})$ be a weak**

continuous path such that $\psi_t(P) = 1$ for all $t \in I$. If $A: I \times I \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ is an \mathfrak{A} -homotopy relative endpoints from the path $t \mapsto \psi_t|_{P\mathfrak{B}P} \circ \pi$ to $\omega: I \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$, then $(t, s) \mapsto (\mathbb{1} - P) + \pi(A_{t,s})$ is an \mathfrak{A} -homotopy relative endpoints from ψ to the path $t \mapsto \omega_t \circ \pi^{-1} \circ \text{Ad}(P)$.

Proof. Note that $\pi(\mathbb{1}) = P$ since π is a $*$ -isomorphism. We check that $(\mathbb{1} - P) + \pi(A_{t,s})$ has the desired properties. If $\pi(A_{t,s}) + \mathbb{1} - P \in \mathfrak{N}_{\psi_t}$, then

$$0 = \psi_t\left[\left((\mathbb{1} - P) + \pi(A_{t,s}^*)\right)\left((\mathbb{1} - P) + \pi(A_{t,s})\right)\right] = \psi_t(\pi(A_{t,s}^*A_{t,s})),$$

which implies $A_{t,s} \in \mathfrak{N}_{\psi_t|_{P\mathfrak{B}P} \circ \pi}$, which contradicts the definition of A . Thus, $(\mathbb{1} - P) + \pi(A_{t,s}) \notin \mathfrak{N}_{\psi_t}$ for all t and s .

Next, observe that for all t , we have

$$(\mathbb{1} - P) + \pi(A_{t,0}) = (\mathbb{1} - P) + \pi(\mathbb{1}) = \mathbb{1} - P + P = \mathbb{1}.$$

For arbitrary t and s , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [(\mathbb{1} - P) + \pi(A_{t,s})] \cdot \psi_t(B) &= \frac{\psi_t(\pi(A_{t,s}^*)B\pi(A_{t,s}))}{\psi_t(\pi(A_{t,s}^*A_{t,s}))} \\ &= \frac{\psi_t(\pi(A_{t,s}^*\pi^{-1}(PBP)A_{t,s}))}{\psi_t(\pi(A_{t,s}^*A_{t,s}))} \\ &= [A_{t,s} \cdot (\psi_t|_{P\mathfrak{B}P} \circ \pi)](\pi^{-1}(PBP)) \end{aligned}$$

When $s = 1$, this yields

$$[(\mathbb{1} - P) + \pi(A_{t,s})] \cdot \psi_t(B) = \omega_t(\pi^{-1}(PBP)),$$

so $[(\mathbb{1} - P) + \pi(A_{t,s})] \cdot \psi_t = \omega_t \circ \pi^{-1} \circ \text{Ad}(P)$. When $t = 0$ or $t = 1$, this yields

$$[(\mathbb{1} - P) + \pi(A_{t,s})] \cdot \psi_t(B) = (\psi_t|_{P\mathfrak{B}P} \circ \pi)(\pi^{-1}(PBP)) = \psi_t(B),$$

so $[(\mathbb{1} - P) + \pi(A_{t,s})] \cdot \psi_t = \psi_t$ for all s when $t = 0$ and $t = 1$. \square

Theorem 4.19. Fix $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. If $\omega: I \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ is a loop based at ω_0^n , then ω is $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ -homotopic relative the basepoint to a loop $\psi: I \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ which satisfies $\psi_t(P_k^n) = 1$ for all $t \in I$.

Proof. We prove the theorem by induction on k . The base case is given by Lemma 4.16. Suppose the theorem is true for some $k < n-1$. Then ω is $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ -homotopic relative the basepoint to a loop $\psi: I \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ based at ω_0^n and which fulfills $\psi_t(P_k^n) = 1$ for all $t \in I$.

Now consider the $*$ -isomorphism $\pi: M_{n-k}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow P_k^n M_n(\mathbb{C}) P_k^n$ that maps $A \in M_{n-k}(\mathbb{C})$ to the matrix

$$\pi(A) = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have a loop $t \mapsto \psi_t|_{P_k^n M_n(\mathbb{C}) P_k^n} \circ \pi$ based at ω_0^{n-k} . By Lemma 4.16, this loop is $M_{n-k}(\mathbb{C})$ -homotopic relative the basepoint to a loop $\phi: I \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(M_{n-k}(\mathbb{C}))$ such that $\phi_t(P_1^{n-k}) = 1$ for all $t \in I$. By Lemma 4.18, ψ

is $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ -homotopic relative the basepoint to $t \mapsto \phi_t \circ \pi^{-1} \circ \text{Ad}(P_k^n)$. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_t \circ \pi^{-1} \circ \text{Ad}(P_k^n))(P_{k+1}^n) &= (\phi_t \circ \pi^{-1} \circ \text{Ad}(P_k^n))(\pi(P_1^{n-k})) \\ &= \phi_t(P_1^{n-k}) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in I$. The result now follows by induction. \square

We note that given $\omega \in \mathcal{S}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$, the statement $\omega(P_{n-1}^n) = 1$ implies that $\omega = \omega_0^n$. Thus, setting $k = n - 1$ in Theorem 4.19, the loop ψ is the constant map at ω_0^n .

4.4. Triviality of the fundamental group for UHF algebras. We finally come to our goal to show that $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{A})$ is simply connected for a UHF algebra \mathfrak{A} . So let us now consider the state space of a UHF algebra \mathfrak{A} .

It is well-known (see [KR97, §12.1]) that every UHF algebra is $*$ -isomorphic to the directed colimit of a directed system of tensor products

$$\mathfrak{A}_n = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n M_{k_i}(\mathbb{C})$$

where we have assigned a dimension $k_i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. For $m < n$, the embedding $\mathfrak{A}_m \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{A}_n$ of the directed system is defined by tensoring on identity matrices in the factors $M_{k_i}(\mathbb{C})$ for $i > m$. We may therefore assume \mathfrak{A} is of this form.

It is known that $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{A})$ is path-connected in the weak* topology [Eil99, SMQ⁺22], so it suffices to show that $\pi_1(\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{A}), \omega_0) = 0$ for some choice of ω_0 . For convenience, we will choose the basepoint ω_0 to be the product state represented by the first standard basis vector in each tensor factor.

The C^* -algebras $M_{k_i}(\mathbb{C})$ embed into any \mathfrak{A}_n for $n > i$, and then embed into \mathfrak{A} . Let \mathfrak{B}_i denote this copy of $M_{k_i}(\mathbb{C})$ inside \mathfrak{A} . Let $P_i \in \mathfrak{B}_i$ be the copy of the projection $P_{k_i-1}^{k_i}$ inside \mathfrak{B}_i . The following lemma will be useful.

Lemma 4.20 ([BO08, Lem. 3.4.2]). *Let \mathfrak{A} be a C^* -algebra and let \mathfrak{B} be a C^* -subalgebra of \mathfrak{A} . If $\omega \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ and $\omega|_{\mathfrak{B}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{B})$, then*

$$\omega(AB) = \omega(A)\omega(B)$$

for all $A \in \mathfrak{B}'$ and $B \in \mathfrak{B}$, where \mathfrak{B}' is the commutant of \mathfrak{B} .

Theorem 4.21. *Let \mathfrak{A} be a UHF algebra and let $\omega: I \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ be a weak* continuous loop based at the product state ω_0 . There exists a continuous map $A: I \times [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ and a homotopy $H: I \times I \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ from ω to the constant loop at ω_0 such that $H(t, s) = A_{t,s} \cdot \omega_t$ for all $s < 1$. It follows that $\pi_1(\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{A}), \omega_0) = 0$.*

The strategy is as follows. Beginning with the arbitrary loop ω , we first focus on its restriction to the first ‘‘lattice site’’ $M_{k_1}(\mathbb{C})$. Acting with unitaries and projections localized on ‘‘site one’’ (and their linear interpolations with the identity), we deform ω so that its restriction to site one becomes

constant and pure, as in Theorem 4.19. Lemma 4.20 implies that site one is now disentangled from the rest of the sites. We then proceed to do the same thing to site two, site three, and so on. We can fit this countable infinity of homotopies in the half-open interval $[0, 1)$, and then define the homotopy to be constant ω_0 when the homotopy parameter reaches $s = 1$. This turns out to be weak* continuous because for a fixed local operator A , the expectation values of A become independent of the homotopy parameter after the support of A has become disentangled from the rest of the sites.

Proof of Theorem 4.21. We will follow the notation in the discussion preceding the theorem statement. Moreover, for any C^* -subalgebra $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathfrak{A}$ we will say that an \mathfrak{A} -homotopy $B: I \times I \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ is *supported* in \mathfrak{B} if and only if it has image in \mathfrak{B} . As the last preparation we set $\psi^0 = \omega$ for convenience. Now we construct recursively for each positive integer i a loop $\psi^i: I \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ whose restriction to \mathfrak{B}_i is a constant loop and an \mathfrak{A} -homotopy B^i supported in \mathfrak{B}_i .

The restriction $t \mapsto \omega_t|_{\mathfrak{B}_1}$ of ω to the first tensor factor is a loop of states based at $\omega_0^{k_1}$, so it follows from Theorem 4.19 that $\omega|_{\mathfrak{B}_1}$ is \mathfrak{B}_1 -homotopic relative the basepoint to the constant loop at $\omega_0^{k_1}$. Thus, there exists an \mathfrak{A} -homotopy $B^1: I \times I \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ relative the basepoint and supported on \mathfrak{B}_1 from $\psi^0 = \omega$ to a loop ψ^1 such that $\psi_t^1(P_1) = 1$ for all $t \in I$.

Suppose that for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we have constructed loops $\psi^i: I \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ based at ω_0 and continuous maps $B^i: I \times I \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_i \subset \mathfrak{A}$ such that B^i is an \mathfrak{A} -homotopy relative basepoints from ψ^{i-1} to ψ^i and such that $\psi_t^i(P_j) = 1$ for all $j \leq i$ and $t \in I$. Restricting ψ^n to \mathfrak{B}_{n+1} and applying Theorem 4.19, we obtain an \mathfrak{A} -homotopy $B^{n+1}: I \times I \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_{n+1} \subset \mathfrak{A}$ relative the basepoint from ψ^n to a loop ψ^{n+1} satisfying $\psi_t^{n+1}(P_{n+1}) = 1$ for all $t \in I$. Note that the \mathfrak{A} -homotopy B^{n+1} is supported on \mathfrak{B}_{n+1} . We observe further that for $j < n + 1$, the restricted state $\psi_t^n|_{\mathfrak{B}_j}$ is pure (and independent of t) since $\psi_t^n(P_j) = 1$, so Lemma 4.20 implies

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_t^{n+1}(P_j) &= (B_{t,1}^{n+1} \cdot \psi_t^n)(P_j) \\ &= \frac{\psi_t^n((B_{t,1}^{n+1})^* P_j B_{t,1}^{n+1})}{\psi_t^n((B_{t,1}^{n+1})^* B_{t,1}^{n+1})} \\ &= \frac{\psi_t^n((B_{t,1}^{n+1})^* B_{t,1}^{n+1}) \cdot \psi_t^n(P_j)}{\psi_t^n((B_{t,1}^{n+1})^* B_{t,1}^{n+1})} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

By recursion we therefore obtain for all positive integers i a loop $\psi^i: I \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ based at ω_0 and an \mathfrak{A} -homotopy $B^i: I \times I \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_i \subset \mathfrak{A}$ from ψ^{i-1} to ψ^i such that $\psi_t^i(P_j) = 1$ for all $j \leq i$ and $t \in I$.

Now define $A: I \times [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ as follows. Given $i \in \mathbb{N}$, define A on $I \times [1 - 2^{-i+1}, 1 - 2^{-i}]$ as

$$A_{t,s} = B_{t,r}^i B_{t,1}^{i-1} B_{t,1}^{i-2} \cdots B_{t,1}^1, \quad \text{where } r = \frac{s - 1 + 2^{-i+1}}{2^{-i+1} - 2^{-i}}.$$

Since $B_{t,0}^i = \mathbb{1}$ for all t and i , we see that

$$A_{t,1-2^{-i+1}} = B_{t,1}^{i-1} B_{t,1}^{i-2} \cdots B_{t,1}^1.$$

Note also that

$$A_{t,1-2^{-i}} = B_{t,1}^i B_{t,1}^{i-1} \cdots B_{t,1}^1.$$

Thus, the functions $A: I \times [1 - 2^{-i+1}, 1 - 2^{-i}] \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ glue together to a continuous function $A: I \times [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$. Note that $A_{t,0} = \mathbb{1}$ for all $t \in I$.

Finally, we define $H: I \times I \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{A})$ as

$$H(t, s) = \begin{cases} A_{t,s} \cdot \omega_t & : s < 1 \\ \omega_0 & : s = 1 \end{cases}.$$

Since $A_{t,0} = \mathbb{1}$ for all t , we see that $H(t, 0) = \omega_t$. Since B^i fixes the endpoints of ψ^{i-1} , we see that $H(0, s) = H(1, s) = \omega_0$ for all $s \in I$. Clearly $H(t, 1)$ is constant at ω_0 . All that remains is to show weak* continuity of H .

It suffices to show continuity of $H(t, s)(C)$ for fixed but arbitrary $C \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{A}_n \subset \mathfrak{A}$. On $I \times [0, 1)$, we have $H(t, s)(C) = (A_{t,s} \cdot \omega_t)(C)$, which is continuous since A is continuous. Now, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $C \in \mathfrak{A}_n$. For $i > n + 1$ and $s \in [1 - 2^{-i+1}, 1 - 2^{-i}]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(t, s)(C) &= (A_{t,s} \cdot \omega_t)(C) \\ &= \left[\left(B_{t,r}^i B_{t,1}^{i-1} \cdots B_{t,1}^{n+1} \right) \cdot \left(B_{t,1}^n \cdots B_{t,1}^1 \right) \cdot \omega_t \right] (C) \\ &= \left[\left(B_{t,r}^i B_{t,1}^{i-1} \cdots B_{t,1}^{n+1} \right) \cdot \psi_t^n \right] (C) = \psi_t^n(C) = \omega_0(C). \end{aligned}$$

The fact that $\psi_t^n(C) = \omega_0(C)$ follows from the fact that $\psi_t^n(P_i) = 1$ for all $i \leq n$, which implies $\psi_t^n|_{\mathfrak{A}_n} = \omega_0|_{\mathfrak{A}_n}$. The second to last step follows from the fact that $\psi_t^n|_{\mathfrak{A}_n}$ is pure, the fact that $B_{t,r}^i B_{t,1}^{i-1} \cdots B_{t,1}^{n+1} \in \mathfrak{A}'_n$, and an application of Lemma 4.20. Thus, on $I \times [1 - 2^{-n-1}, 1]$, we see that $H(t, s)(C)$ is constant at $\omega_0(C)$. This proves that $H(t, s)$ is weak* continuous on all of $I \times I$, completing the proof. \square

APPENDIX A. HOMOTOPICAL BACKGROUND

In this appendix, we review some notions from homotopy theory that are used in the paper. We refer the reader to [AGP02, May72, May77, May99, GJ09] for further information. Throughout the appendix, by “topological spaces”, we mean compactly generated topological spaces and follow Convention 1.12.

A.1. Topological monoids and H -spaces. We start with a space M together with a binary operation

$$\otimes: M \times M \longrightarrow M.$$

and a map

$$\varepsilon_0: \text{pt} \longrightarrow M$$

selecting a base point in M . We have three diagrams which “categorify” common algebraic properties:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{(u) (unitality)} \quad M \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_0 \times \text{id}} M \times M \xleftarrow{\text{id} \times \varepsilon_0} M \\
 \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \otimes \quad \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \text{id} \\
 \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad M \\
 \\
 \text{(a) (associativity)} \quad M \times M \times M \xrightarrow{\otimes \times \text{id}} M \times M \\
 \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \text{id} \times \otimes \quad \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \otimes \\
 \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad M \times M \xrightarrow{\otimes} M \\
 \\
 \text{(c) (commutativity)} \quad M \times M \xrightarrow{s} M \times M \\
 \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \otimes \quad \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \otimes \\
 \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad M
 \end{array}$$

We say that a diagram commutes *up to homotopy* if there is a homotopy between any composite of arrows around the diagram which begin at the same object and have the same endpoint. To contrast this weaker condition, we say that the diagram commutes *strictly* if it is a commutative diagram, so that any composite of arrows around the diagram that start at the same place and end at the same place are equal arrows. Clearly, if a diagram commutes strictly, then it commutes up to homotopy.

Definition A.1. (see e.g. [AGP02, Sec. 2.7]) Let M be a based topological space, with base point ε_0 , and a continuous binary operation \otimes .

- (1) We say that M is an *H-space* if the diagram (u) commutes up to homotopy. It is called *homotopy associative* if (a) commutes up to homotopy and *homotopy commutative* if (c) commutes up to homotopy.
- (2) We say that M is a *topological monoid* if (u) and (a) commute strictly. We say that it is a *commutative topological monoid* if, in addition, (c) commutes strictly.
- (3) A *homotopy commutative topological monoid* is a topological monoid for which (c) commutes up to homotopy.

A morphism of *H-spaces* is a continuous function $\phi: M \rightarrow N$ so that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M \times M & \xrightarrow{\phi \times \phi} & N \times N \\
 \downarrow \otimes & & \downarrow \otimes \\
 M & \xrightarrow{\phi} & N
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{pt} & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_0} & M \\
 \searrow \varepsilon_0 & & \downarrow \phi \\
 & & N
 \end{array}$$

commute up to homotopy. For a morphism of topological monoids, the diagrams are required to commute strictly.

Example A.2. Here are a few examples:

- (1) Any topological group G is a topological monoid.

- (2) A loop space ΩX is a homotopy associative H -space and $\Omega^2 X$ is a homotopy associative and commutative H -space.
- (3) Any monoid M is a topological monoid with the discrete topology.

If M is a homotopy associative H -space or a topological monoid and X is a topological space, the homotopy classes of maps $[X, M]$ form a monoid (in the sense of algebra) where for $f, g \in [X, M]$,

$$(f \otimes g)(x) = f(x) \otimes g(x).$$

If M is homotopy commutative, this monoid is commutative.

Remark A.3. The path components of a space are the homotopy classes of maps

$$\pi_0 X = [\text{pt}, X]$$

from the one point space pt . Hence, if M is a homotopy associative H -space, then $\pi_0 M$ is a monoid. In fact, if $\pi_0 M$ is given the discrete topology, then so long as M is locally path connected,

$$M \xrightarrow{\pi_0} \pi_0 M$$

is a continuous map of H -spaces.

Definition A.4. If M is a homotopy associative H -space or a topological monoid, we say that M is *grouplike* if $\pi_0 M$ is a group. That is, if the monoid $\pi_0 M$ has inverses.

A.2. Operads and \mathcal{E}_∞ -spaces. One of the first appearances of \mathcal{E}_∞ -spaces is in Boardman-Vogt [BV68] under the guise of *homotopy everything spaces*. The intention was to capture the notion of an H -space which is commutative up to all higher homotopies. Roughly, this means that the commutativity diagram (c) commutes up to homotopy, and that given two homotopies, there is a homotopy between them, and so on. May in [May72] introduced the notion of an \mathcal{E}_∞ -operad. This allowed for a re-packaging of the structure present in a “homotopy everything space” into a mathematical object called an \mathcal{E}_∞ -space. The reformulation is discussed in [May77, §1].

An \mathcal{E}_∞ -operad parametrizes the higher homotopies that witness commutativity. We start with some definitions and give references for further details. In what follows, Σ_j denotes the symmetric group on j letters, and a Σ_j -space is a topological space with a continuous right action of Σ_j .

Definition A.5 ([May72, Def. 1.1]). An *operad* \mathcal{O} in topological spaces consists of the following data:

- (1) for each integer $j \geq 0$, a Σ_j -space $\mathcal{O}(j)$,
- (2) for each tuple $(k; j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k)$ of non-negative integers such that the

relation $\sum_{i=1}^k j_i = j$ holds continuous operations

$$\gamma: \mathcal{O}(k) \times \mathcal{O}(j_1) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{O}(j_k) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(j)$$

which satisfy

(a) the associativity formula

$$\gamma(\gamma(c; d_1, \dots, d_k); e_1, \dots, e_j) = \gamma(c; f_1, \dots, f_k)$$

for all $c \in \mathcal{O}(k)$, $d_s \in \mathcal{O}(j_s)$, and $e_t \in \mathcal{O}(i_t)$, where

$$f_s = \gamma(d_s; e_{j_1+\dots+j_{s-1}+1}, \dots, e_{j_1+\dots+j_s}) ,$$

and

(b) the equivariance conditions

$$\gamma(c\sigma; d_1, \dots, d_k) = \gamma(c; d_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, d_{\sigma^{-1}(k)})\sigma(j_1, \dots, j_k) ,$$

$$\gamma(c; d_1\tau_1, \dots, d_k\tau_k) = \gamma(c; d_1, \dots, d_k)(\tau_1 \times \dots \times \tau_k)$$

for all $c \in \mathcal{O}(k)$, $d_s \in \mathcal{O}(j_s)$, $\sigma \in \Sigma_k$, and $\tau_s \in \Sigma_{j_s}$, where $\sigma(j_1, \dots, j_k)$ denotes the permutation of j letters given by permutation of the k blocks via σ , and $\tau_1 \times \dots \times \tau_k$ is the image of (τ_1, \dots, τ_k) under the canonical embedding $\Sigma_{j_1} \times \dots \times \Sigma_{j_k} \hookrightarrow \Sigma_j$.

(3) a distinguished element $1 \in \mathcal{O}(1)$ which acts as a unit for the operations γ in the sense that

$$\gamma(1; -): \mathcal{O}(j) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(j) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\gamma(-; 1^k): \mathcal{O}(k) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(k)$$

are the identity morphisms.

If $\mathcal{O}(0)$ is a single point, the operad is called *reduced*. If the $\mathcal{O}(j)$ are free Σ_j -spaces, we say that \mathcal{O} is Σ -free.

Definition A.6. An \mathcal{E}_∞ -operad is an operad \mathcal{O} which is reduced, Σ -free and such that the spaces $\mathcal{O}(j)$ are contractible for all j .

Example A.7. The Barratt–Eccles operad \mathcal{E} is the operad given by

$$\mathcal{E}(j) = E\Sigma_j$$

where $E\Sigma_j$ is the universal free contractible Σ_j -space of the symmetric group on j elements. The maps γ are given by applying the functor $E(-)$ to the group homomorphism

$$\Sigma_k \times \Sigma_{j_1} \times \dots \times \Sigma_{j_k} \longrightarrow \Sigma_j$$

which sends $(\tau_k; \sigma_{j_1}, \dots, \sigma_{j_k})$ to the obvious block permutation.

Given any other \mathcal{E}_∞ -operad \mathcal{O} , then $\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{O}$ is again an \mathcal{E}_∞ -operad. Each projection from $\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{O}$ to \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{O} are maps of operads which are also weak equivalences on each space of the operad. This witnesses that fact that any \mathcal{E}_∞ -operad is weakly equivalent to the Barratt–Eccles operad. Later, we see another \mathcal{E}_∞ -operad, the linear isometry operad.

Example A.8 ([May72, Def. 1.2]). If X is a topological space, then X^j is a left Σ_j -space if we let a permutation σ act as

$$\sigma(x_1, \dots, x_j) = (x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma^{-1}(j)}).$$

Then for any space Y , the space $\text{Map}(X^j, Y)$ is made into a right Σ_j -space by letting $(f\sigma) = f \circ \sigma$. The *endomorphism operad* of X , denoted $\mathcal{E}(X)$ is then defined by $\mathcal{E}(X)(j) = \text{Map}(X^j, X)$. The unit element is the identity in $\mathcal{E}(X)(1)$. The structure maps are given by $\gamma(f; g_1, \dots, g_k) = f(g_1 \times \dots \times g_k)$ and the symmetric group acts as $f\sigma = f \circ \sigma$.

More generally, if \mathcal{C} is a topologically enriched symmetric monoidal category and A is an object of \mathcal{C} , the endomorphism operad $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$ is the operad in \mathcal{C} with $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)(j) = \mathcal{C}(A^{\otimes j}, A)$. The unit is again the identity morphism, and the structure maps γ satisfy the same formula where we replace cartesian product with the monoidal product \otimes .

Definition A.9. Let \mathcal{O} be an operad. An \mathcal{O} -space consists of a space X and continuous maps

$$\theta_j = \theta_j^X : \mathcal{O}(j) \times X^j \longrightarrow X$$

so that

- (1) $\theta_1(1; -)$ is the identity on X ,
- (2) $\theta_j(-\sigma; -) = \theta_j(-; \sigma-)$ for $\sigma \in \Sigma_j$, where Σ_j acts on X^j as in Example A.8.
- (3) the θ 's and γ 's are compatible in the sense that whenever $\sum_{i=1}^k j_i = j$, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}(k) \times \mathcal{O}(j_1) \times \dots \times \mathcal{O}(j_k) \times X^j & \xrightarrow{\gamma \times \text{id}} & \mathcal{O}(j) \times X^j \\ \text{id} \times \text{shuffle} \downarrow & & \searrow \theta_j \\ \mathcal{O}(k) \times \mathcal{O}(j_1) \times X^{j_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{O}(j_k) \times X^{j_k} & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \theta_{j_1} \times \dots \times \theta_{j_k}} & \mathcal{O}(k) \times X^k \\ & & \nearrow \theta_k \end{array}$$

A map of \mathcal{O} -spaces is a continuous map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}(j) \times X^j & \xrightarrow{\theta_j^X} & X \\ \text{id} \times f^j \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \mathcal{O}(j) \times Y^j & \xrightarrow{\theta_j^Y} & Y \end{array}$$

commute.

If \mathcal{O} is a reduced operad, then a *based \mathcal{O} -space* is a based space X which is an \mathcal{O} -space and such that θ_0 is the base point of X .

Remark A.10. One can define the notion of a morphism of operads $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$. These are continuous maps $\mathcal{O}(j) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(j)$ that respect all of the structure. Specifying an \mathcal{O} -space is then equivalent to giving a morphism of operads from \mathcal{O} to $\mathcal{E}(X)$.

Definition A.11. A space X is an \mathcal{E}_{∞} -space if it is a based \mathcal{O} -space for some \mathcal{E}_{∞} -operad \mathcal{O} .

An \mathcal{E}_∞ -space is automatically a homotopy commutative and associative H -space. Choose any element $c \in \mathcal{O}(2)$. We get a binary operation

$$\theta := \theta_2(c; -): X^2 \longrightarrow X$$

and

$$\varepsilon = \theta_0: \mathcal{O}(0) = \text{pt} \longrightarrow X.$$

The properties of an \mathcal{O} -space then imply that X is an H -space with these maps.

Lemma A.12. *The space X together with θ and ε as defined above is a homotopy commutative and associative H -space.*

Proof sketch. See [May72, Lemma 1.9] for the homotopy associativity. We will check unitality and leave commutativity as an exercise. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}(2) \times \mathcal{O}(0) \times \mathcal{O}(1) \times X & \xrightarrow{\gamma \times \text{id}} & \mathcal{O}(1) \times X \\ \downarrow & & \searrow \theta_1 \\ \mathcal{O}(2) \times \mathcal{O}(0) \times X^0 \times \mathcal{O}(1) \times X^1 & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \theta_0 \times \theta_1} & \mathcal{O}(2) \times X^2 \\ & & \nearrow \theta_2 \end{array} \quad X$$

commutes. It follows that, as maps $X \rightarrow X$,

$$\theta_1(\gamma(c; *, 1), x) = \theta_2(c; *, x) = \theta(*, x).$$

Since $\mathcal{O}(1)$ is connected, we can choose a path $\alpha: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1)$ from $\gamma(c; *, 1)$ to 1. Since θ_1 is continuous

$$h: X \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow X, \quad h(x, t) = \theta_1(\alpha(t), x)$$

is a homotopy from $\theta_1(\gamma(c; *, 1), x)$ to $\theta_1(1, x)$. But $\theta_1(1, x)$ is the identity on X , so $\theta(*, x)$ is homotopic to the identity. \square

We can fix an \mathcal{E}_∞ -operad and define the category of \mathcal{E}_∞ -spaces to be the category of \mathcal{O} -spaces and morphisms. As discussed in Example A.7, any choice of \mathcal{E}_∞ -operad \mathcal{O} gives an equivalent category of \mathcal{E}_∞ -spaces. For this reason, we allow ourselves to be imprecise about the operad and simply talk about the *category of \mathcal{E}_∞ -spaces*.

Definition A.13. We let $\mathcal{E}_\infty\text{-cgTop}_*$ denote the category of \mathcal{E}_∞ -spaces. We say that an \mathcal{E}_∞ -space X is *grouplike* if $\pi_0 X$ is a group with respect to the induced H -space structure on X .

A.3. Simplicial sets and geometric realization. We review the definition of a simplicial set and its geometric realization. References on this include [May92, GJ09].

Definition A.14. A *simplicial set* X_\bullet is a sequence of sets

$$X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots,$$

together with *face maps* $\partial_i: X_k \rightarrow X_{k-1}$ defined for $0 \leq i \leq k$ if $k \neq 0$ and *degeneracy maps* $s_j: X_k \rightarrow X_{k+1}$ defined for $0 \leq j \leq k$. These data must satisfy some coherency conditions called the *simplicial identities*:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_i \partial_j &= \partial_{j-1} \partial_i & \text{if } 0 \leq i < j \leq k, \\ s_i s_j &= s_{j+1} s_i & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq j \leq k, \\ \partial_i s_j &= s_{j-1} \partial_i & \text{if } 0 \leq i < j \leq k, \\ \partial_i s_j &= s_j \partial_{i-1} & \text{if } 1 \leq j+1 < i \leq k+1, \\ \partial_i s_j &= 1 & \text{if } i = j \text{ or } i = j+1. \end{aligned}$$

An element of the set X_j is called a *j-simplex*.

Simplicial sets form a category, denoted \mathbf{sSets} , where a morphism

$$f_\bullet: X_\bullet \longrightarrow Y_\bullet$$

is a sequence of functions $f_k: X_k \longrightarrow Y_k$ which commute with all face and degeneracy maps, i.e., $\partial_i f_k = f_{k-1} \partial_i$ and $s_i f_k = f_{k+1} s_i$ for all k and i with $0 \leq i \leq k$.

Example A.15. For Y a topological space, we associate a simplicial set $\text{Sing}_\bullet(Y)$ as follows. Let

$$\Delta_k = \left\{ (t_0, \dots, t_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \mid \sum_{i=0}^k t_i = 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq t_i \leq 1 \right\}$$

be the standard k -dimensional simplex. For $0 \leq i \leq k$, let $\delta_i: \Delta_{k-1} \rightarrow \Delta_k$ be the map which inserts a zero in the i th spot, and $\sigma_i: \Delta_{k+1} \rightarrow \Delta_k$ be the map that sums the i th and $(i+1)$ th coordinates.

To define $\text{Sing}_\bullet(Y)$, we let

$$\text{Sing}_k(Y) = \text{Map}(\Delta_k, Y) .$$

The face and degeneracy maps are the maps ∂_i and s_i obtained by pre-composition with δ_i and σ_i , respectively. The simplicial identities precisely reflect the relationship imposed by the relationships satisfied by δ_i and σ_i .

Definition A.16. The *geometric realization* of X_\bullet , denoted by $|X_\bullet|$, is the following topological space. The space underlying $|X_\bullet|$ is the quotient of

$$\prod_{k \geq 0} X_k \times \Delta_k,$$

by the equivalence relation generated by

$$(\partial_i x, t) \sim_\partial (x, \delta_i t) \quad \text{for } x \in X_k, t \in \Delta_{k-1}, \text{ and } 0 \leq i \leq k,$$

and

$$(s_i x, t) \sim_s (x, \sigma_i t) \quad \text{for } x \in X_k, t \in \Delta_{k+1}, \text{ and } 0 \leq i \leq k .$$

Roughly, the set X_k labels the “ k -cells” of a CW complex glued along the face maps ∂_i . This is the gluing recorded by the first relation \sim_∂ . However, not all elements of X_k truly give k -cells. Some are what we call “degenerate”. These are the simplices in the image of the s_i -maps. The second relation \sim_s is telling you to crush those k -cells, gluing them onto a lower dimensional skeleton. Therefore, we could have written the geometric realization by first throwing out all of the degenerate elements in X_k , keeping those simplices $X_k^{\text{non-degen}}$ not in the image of the degeneracy maps s , and then using only the first relation to take the quotient,

$$|X_\bullet| \cong \left(\prod_{k \geq 0} X_k^{\text{non-degen}} \times \Delta_k \right) / \sim_\partial .$$

The importance of these construction is captured in the following adjunction, which allows one to do homotopy theory in the nicer category of CW-complexes. Indeed, let cwTop be the full subcategory of cgTop whose objects are compactly generated spaces that are homotopy equivalent to CW-complexes. There is an adjunction

$$|-| : \text{sSets} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \text{cgTop} : \text{Sing}_\bullet$$

where Sing_\bullet is the right adjoint. The co-unit of the adjunction

$$\varepsilon_X : |\text{Sing}_\bullet(X)| \longrightarrow X$$

gives a functorial replacement for any topological space X by a weakly equivalent CW-complex $|\text{Sing}_\bullet(X)|$.

REFERENCES

- [Ada78] John Frank Adams, *Infinite loop spaces*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 90, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1978.
- [AGP02] Marcelo Aguilar, Samuel Gitler, and Carlos Prieto, *Algebraic topology from a homotopical viewpoint*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002, Translated from the Spanish by Stephen Bruce Sontz.
- [AHOS80] Erik M. Alfsen, Harald Hanche-Olsen, and Frederic W. Schultz, *State spaces of C^* -algebras*, Acta Math. **144** (1980), no. 3-4, 267–305, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02392126>.
- [AKLT87] Ian Affleck, Tom Kennedy, Elliott H. Lieb, and Hal Tasaki, *Rigorous results on valence-bond ground states in antiferromagnets*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59** (1987), 799–802.
- [AS01] Erik M. Alfsen and Frederic W. Shultz, *State spaces of operator algebras*, Mathematics: Theory & Applications, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2001.
- [AS03] ———, *Geometry of state spaces of operator algebras*, Mathematics: Theory & Applications, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2003.
- [Bar61] M. G. Barratt, *A note on the cohomology of semigroups*, J. London Math. Soc. **36** (1961), 496–498.

- [BC18] Agnès Beaudry and Jonathan A. Campbell, *A guide for computing stable homotopy groups*, Topology and Quantum Theory in Interaction, Contemp. Math., vol. 718, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2018, pp. 89–136.
- [Bla06] B. Blackadar, *Operator Algebras: Theory of C^* -Algebras and von Neumann Algebras*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 122, Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, III, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [BMNS12] S. Bachmann, S. Michalakis, B. Nachtergaele, and R. Sims, *Automorphic equivalence within gapped phases of quantum lattice systems*, Comm. Math. Phys. **309** (2012), no. 3, 835–871.
- [BO08] Nathaniel P. Brown and Narutaka Ozawa, *C^* -Algebras and Finite-Dimensional Approximations*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 88, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [BP72] Michael Barratt and Stewart Priddy, *On the homology of non-connected monoids and their associated groups*, Comment. Math. Helv. **47** (1972), 1–14.
- [BR87] Ola Bratteli and Derek W. Robinson, *Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics. 1*, second ed., Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.
- [BV68] J. M. Boardman and R. M. Vogt, *Homotopy-everything H -spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1968), 1117–1122.
- [CGLW13] Xie Chen, Zheng-Cheng Gu, Zheng-Xin Liu, and Xiao-Gang Wen, *Symmetry protected topological orders and the group cohomology of their symmetry group*, Phys. Rev. B **87** (2013), 155114.
- [CGW11] Xie Chen, Zheng-Cheng Gu, and Xiao-Gang Wen, *Classification of gapped symmetric phases in one-dimensional spin systems*, Phys. Rev. B **83** (2011), 035107.
- [Dix77] Jacques Dixmier, *C^* -Algebras*, North-Holland Mathematical Library, Vol. 15, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1977, Translated from the French by Francis Jellett.
- [DS88] Nelson Dunford and Jacob T. Schwartz, *Linear operators. Part I*, Wiley Classics Library, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1988, General theory, With the assistance of William G. Bade and Robert G. Bartle, Reprint of the 1958 original, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- [Eil99] Søren Eilers, *Connectivity and components for C^* -algebras*, Math. Scand. **84** (1999), no. 1, 119–136.
- [FH20] Daniel S. Freed and Michael J. Hopkins, *Invertible phases of matter with spatial symmetry*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **24** (2020), no. 7, 1773–1788. MR 4313235
- [FH21] ———, *Reflection positivity and invertible topological phases*, Geom. Topol. **25** (2021), no. 3, 1165–1330.
- [FK11] Lukasz Fidkowski and Alexei Kitaev, *Topological phases of fermions in one dimension*, Phys. Rev. B **83** (2011), 075103.
- [FKM07] Liang Fu, C. L. Kane, and E. J. Mele, *Topological insulators in three dimensions*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98** (2007), 106803.
- [GJ09] Paul G. Goerss and John F. Jardine, *Simplicial Homotopy Theory*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2009, Reprint of the 1999 edition.
- [GJF19] D. Gaiotto and T. Johnson-Freyd, *Symmetry protected topological phases and generalized cohomology*, J. of High Energy Physics **2019** (2019), no. 5, 7.
- [Gro55] A. Grothendieck, *Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (1955), 336 pp.
- [Gro95] ———, *Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires*, Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 2, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1995, Exp. No. 69, pp. 193–200.

- [GW09] Zheng-Cheng Gu and Xiao-Gang Wen, *Tensor-entanglement-filtering renormalization approach and symmetry-protected topological order*, Phys. Rev. B **80** (2009), 155131.
- [Hal83a] F. D. M. Haldane, *Nonlinear field theory of large-spin Heisenberg antiferromagnets: Semiclassically quantized solitons of the one-dimensional easy-axis néel state*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50** (1983), 1153–1156.
- [Hal83b] F.D.M. Haldane, *Continuum dynamics of the 1-d Heisenberg antiferromagnet: Identification with the $o(3)$ nonlinear sigma model*, Physics Letters A **93** (1983), no. 9, 464 – 468.
- [Has04] M. B. Hastings, *Locality in quantum and Markov dynamics on lattices and networks*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93** (2004), 140402.
- [HK06] Matthew B. Hastings and Tohru Koma, *Spectral gap and exponential decay of correlations*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **265** (2006), no. 3, 781–804.
- [HSTH01] Takeshi Hirai, Hiroaki Shimomura, Nobuhiko Tatsuuma, and Etsuko Hirai, *Inductive limits of topologies, their direct products, and problems related to algebraic structures*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **41** (2001), no. 3, 475–505.
- [Kad62] Richard V. Kadison, *States and representations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **103** (1962), 304–319.
- [Kap14] Anton Kapustin, *Symmetry Protected Topological Phases, Anomalies, and Cobordisms: Beyond Group Cohomology*, arXiv e-prints (2014), <https://arxiv.org/abs/1403.1467>.
- [Kit09] Alexei Kitaev, *Periodic table for topological insulators and superconductors*, AIP Conference Proceedings **1134** (2009), no. 1, 22–30.
- [Kit11] ———, *Toward topological classification of phases with short-range entanglement*, Talk at KITP, <https://online.kitp.ucsb.edu/online/topomat11/kitaev/>, 2011.
- [Kit13] ———, *On the classification of short-range entangled states*, Talk at Simons Center, <http://scgp.stonybrook.edu/archives/16180>, 2013.
- [Kit15] ———, *Homotopy-theoretic approach to spt phases in action: \mathbb{Z}_{16} classification of three-dimensional superconductors*, Talk at Simons Center, <http://www.ipam.ucla.edu/abstract/?tid=12389>, 2015.
- [Kit19] ———, *Topological quantum phases*, Talk at IAS, <https://video.ias.edu/special/2019/1125-AlexeiKitaev>, 2019.
- [KM05] C. L. Kane and E. J. Mele, *Quantum spin Hall effect in graphene*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95** (2005), 226801.
- [KOS03] Akitaka Kishimoto, Narutaka Ozawa, and Shôichirô Sakai, *Homogeneity of the pure state space of a separable C^* -algebra*, Canadian Mathematical Bulletin **46** (2003), no. 3, 365–372, <https://doi.org/10.4153/CMB-2003-038-3>.
- [Köt69] Gottfried Köthe, *Topological vector spaces. I*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. Band 159, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York, 1969, Translated from the German by D. J. H. Garling. MR 248498
- [KR97] Richard V. Kadison and John R. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras. Vol. II*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 16, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997, Advanced theory, Corrected reprint of the 1986 original.
- [KS20a] Anton Kapustin and Lev Spodyneiko, *Higher-dimensional generalizations of Berry curvature*, Phys. Rev. B **101** (2020), 235130.
- [KS20b] ———, *Higher-dimensional generalizations of the Thouless charge pump*, arXiv e-prints (2020), <https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.09519>.
- [KS22] Anton Kapustin and Nikita Sopenko, *Local Noether theorem for quantum lattice systems and topological invariants of gapped states*, Journal of Mathematical Physics **63** (2022), no. 9, 091903.

- [KSY21] Anton Kapustin, Nikita Sopenko, and Bowen Yang, *A classification of invertible phases of bosonic quantum lattice systems in one dimension*, Journal of Mathematical Physics **62** (2021), no. 8, 081901.
- [Lau81] R. B. Laughlin, *Quantized Hall conductivity in two dimensions*, Phys. Rev. B **23** (1981), 5632–5633.
- [Lew78] Lemoine Gaunce Jr Lewis, *The Stable Category and Generalized Thom Spectra*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1978, Thesis (Ph.D.)—The University of Chicago.
- [LG12] Michael Levin and Zheng-Cheng Gu, *Braiding statistics approach to symmetry-protected topological phases*, Phys. Rev. B **86** (2012), 115109.
- [Mar19] Matilde Marcolli, *Gamma spaces and information*, J. Geom. Phys. **140** (2019), 26–55.
- [May72] J. Peter May, *The geometry of iterated loop spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 271, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972.
- [May74] ———, *E_∞ spaces, group completions, and permutative categories*, New developments in topology (Proc. Sympos. Algebraic Topology, Oxford, 1972), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., No. 11, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1974, pp. 61–93.
- [May75] ———, *Classifying Spaces and Fibrations*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (1975), no. 1, 155, xiii+98.
- [May77] ———, *E_∞ ring spaces and E_∞ ring spectra*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 577, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977, With contributions by Frank Quinn, Nigel Ray, and Jørgen Tornehave.
- [May92] ———, *Simplicial objects in algebraic topology*, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1992, Reprint of the 1967 original.
- [May99] ———, *A concise course in algebraic topology*, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1999.
- [MB07] J. E. Moore and L. Balents, *Topological invariants of time-reversal-invariant band structures*, Phys. Rev. B **75** (2007), 121306.
- [MP12] J. Peter May and K. Ponto, *More concise algebraic topology*, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 2012.
- [MS76] D. McDuff and G. Segal, *Homology fibrations and the “group-completion” theorem*, Invent. Math. **31** (1975/76), no. 3, 279–284.
- [Mur90] Gerard J. Murphy, *C^* -algebras and operator theory*, Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1990.
- [MZZ20] J. Peter May, Ruoqi Zhang, and Foling Zou, *Operads, monoids, monads, and Bar constructions*, arXiv e-prints (2020), <https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.10934>.
- [Nik17] Thomas Nikolaus, *The group completion theorem via localizations of ring spectra*, https://www.uni-muenster.de/IW5WS/WebHop/user/nikolaus/Papers/Group_completion.pdf, 2017.
- [NS06] Bruno Nachtergaele and Robert Sims, *Lieb-Robinson bounds and the exponential clustering theorem*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **265** (2006), no. 1, 119–130.
- [Ped18] Gert Pedersen, *C^* -algebras and their automorphism groups*, second ed., Academic Press, 2018.
- [Pie72] Albrecht Pietsch, *Nuclear Locally Convex Spaces*, German ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, vol. 66, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1972.
- [PTBO10] Frank Pollmann, Ari M. Turner, Erez Berg, and Masaki Oshikawa, *Entanglement spectrum of a topological phase in one dimension*, Phys. Rev. B **81** (2010), 064439.

- [RG00] N. Read and Dmitry Green, *Paired states of fermions in two dimensions with breaking of parity and time-reversal symmetries and the fractional quantum Hall effect*, Phys. Rev. B **61** (2000), 10267–10297.
- [Roy09] Rahul Roy, *Topological phases and the quantum spin Hall effect in three dimensions*, Phys. Rev. B **79** (2009), 195322.
- [Rud98] Yuli B. Rudyak, *On Thom spectra, orientability, and cobordism*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998, With a foreword by Haynes Miller.
- [RW13] Oscar Randal-Williams, ‘*Group-completion, local coefficient systems and perfection*’, Q. J. Math. **64** (2013), no. 3, 795–803.
- [Seg74] Graeme Segal, *Categories and cohomology theories*, Topology **13** (1974), 293–312.
- [Shi22] Ken Shiozaki, *Adiabatic cycles of quantum spin systems*, Phys. Rev. B **106** (2022), 125108.
- [SMQ⁺22] Daniel Spiegel, Juan Moreno, Marvin Qi, Michael Hermele, Agnès Beaudry, and Markus J. Pflaum, *Continuous dependence on the initial data in the Kadison transitivity theorem and GNS construction*, Rev. Math. Phys. **34** (2022), no. 9, Paper No. 2250031, 84.
- [Sop21] Nikita Sopenko, *An index for two-dimensional spt states*, Journal of Mathematical Physics **62** (2021), no. 11, 111901.
- [SPGC11] Norbert Schuch, David Pérez-García, and Ignacio Cirac, *Classifying quantum phases using matrix product states and projected entangled pair states*, Phys. Rev. B **84** (2011), 165139.
- [SRFL09] Andreas P. Schnyder, Shinsei Ryu, Akira Furusaki, and Andreas W. W. Ludwig, *Classification of topological insulators and superconductors*, AIP Conference Proceedings **1134** (2009), no. 1, 10–21.
- [Stø13] Erling Størmer, *Positive linear maps of operator algebras*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.
- [Tak55] Ziro Takeda, *Inductive limit and infinite direct product of operator algebras*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **7** (1955), no. 1-2, 67–86.
- [Tak64] Masamichi Takesaki, *On the cross-norm of the direct product of C^* -algebras*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **16** (1964), 111–122.
- [Tho83] D. J. Thouless, *Quantization of particle transport*, Phys. Rev. B **27** (1983), 6083–6087.
- [TPB11] Ari M. Turner, Frank Pollmann, and Erez Berg, *Topological phases of one-dimensional fermions: An entanglement point of view*, Phys. Rev. B **83** (2011), 075102.
- [Trè67] François Trèves, *Topological Vector Spaces, Distributions and Kernels*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1967.
- [WQB⁺23] Xueda Wen, Marvin Qi, Agnès Beaudry, Juan Moreno, Markus J. Pflaum, Daniel Spiegel, Ashvin Vishwanath, and Michael Hermele, *Flow of higher Berry curvature and bulk-boundary correspondence in parametrized quantum systems*, Phys. Rev. B **108** (2023), 125147.
- [WW19] Zheyuan Wan and Juven Wang, *Higher anomalies, higher symmetries, and cobordisms I: classification of higher-symmetry-protected topological states and their boundary fermionic/bosonic anomalies via a generalized cobordism theory*, Annals of Mathematical Sciences and Applications **4** (2019), no. 2, 107–311.
- [WW20] ———, *Higher anomalies, higher symmetries, and cobordisms III: QCD matter phases anew*, Nuclear Physics B **957** (2020), 115016.
- [Xio19] Charles Zhaoxi Xiong, *Classification and Construction of Topological Phases of Quantum Matter*, arXiv e-prints (2019), <https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.02892>.