arXiv:2303.04454v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 8 Mar 2023

Quantum anomalous Hall insulator in ionic Rashba lattice of correlated electrons

Marcin M. Wysokiński^{1,*} and Wojciech Brzezicki^{1,2,†}

¹International Research Centre MaqTop, Institute of Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences,

²Institute of Theoretical Physics, Jagiellonian University,

Prof. Stanisława Łojasiewicza 11, PL-30348 Kraków, Poland

In this work, we propose an exactly solvable two-dimensional lattice model of strongly correlated electrons that realizes a quantum anomalous Hall insulator with Chern number C = 1. First, we show that the interplay of ionic potential, Rashba spin-orbit coupling and Zeeman splitting leads to the appearance of quantum anomalous Hall effect. Next, we calculate in an exact manner Chern number for the correlated system where electron-electron interactions are introduced in the spirit of Hatsugai-Kohmoto model using two complementary methods, one relying on the properties of many-body groundstate and the other utilizing single-particle Green's function, and subsequently we determine stability regions. By leveraging the presence of inversion symmetry we find boundaries between topological and trivial phases on the analytical ground. Notably, we show that in the presence of correlations onset of topological phase is no longer signalled by a spectral gap closing. We provide a clear understanding of this inherently many-body feature by pinpointing that the lowest energy excited states in the correlated system are no longer of the single-particle nature and thus are not captured by a spectral function.

I. INTRODUCTION

Research on the intersection of topological phases and strongly correlated systems has attracted significant attention in recent years [1]. This is mostly because the description of topological properties of the correlated matter remains an open, though intensively studied [2, 3], problem, as most available classifications concern noninteracting systems [4–8].

Apart from rare examples [9–11], usually correlations are taken into account together with non-trivial topology by mapping effects of many-body interactions into the effective single-particle picture possibly encompassing symmetry breaking phases. In that manner the resulting effective Hamiltonian is suitable for topological analysis within the known classifications. Illustrative examples not involving symmetry broken states are for instance topological Kondo insulators where the effect of strong correlations is mostly accounted for by renormalization of hybridization gap and up-shift of the atomic level of f-electrons [12, 13]. On the other hand, a wellknown example involving symmetry broken states is the one proposed by Raghu *et al* [14] where appearance of topological state, and also opening of the charge gap is linked to the onset of interaction driven charge density wave.

In the present work we address the problem of interplay between correlations and topology from a different angle [15], which recently gained some attention [16, 17]. Namely, we aim on analyzing effect of correlations on a Chern insulator by taking into account only part of the full Hubbard type of interaction in the Hatsugai-Kohmoto spirit (HK) [18], i.e. interaction term local in momentum space, that, when strong enough, leads to the opening of the charge Mott gap that can be analyzed with the exact calculations. In the past such a form of interaction has been used for description of so-called statistical spin-liquid [19, 20] and its instability towards superconductivity [21]. Moreover, more recently, the same interaction was advocated to be essential when it comes to the understanding of discrete symmetry breaking on a Mott metal to insulator transition [22] as well as properties of high T_c cuprate superconductors [23].

In terms of a platform realizing Chern insulator, here we analyze a model system that, up to the best of our knowledge, was not yet reported to host topologically non-trivial states. Namely, we show that electrons on the square lattice in the presence of ionic potential, Rashba spin-orbit interaction and Zeeman splitting in a rather wide range of parameters realize a quantum anomalous Hall state. We note that experimental realization of the proposed model system in the uncorrelated scenario seems to be feasible either in a synthetic version in the optical lattice with engineered spin-orbit coupling [24, 25] or in thin layers of ionic insulators doped with magnetic ions.

Our exact analysis of the topological properties of the proposed model performed with two complementary techniques, based on exact many-body groundstate as well as single particle Green's function [26, 27] unveiled that (i) the quantum anomalous Hall state, though in narrower range of parameters than in uncorrelated case, survives despite high but finite values of interactions and (ii) the spectral gap is not closing at the topological phase transition even for small values of interactions. The latter finding, being a clear manifestation of the inherently many-body nature of the considered system, is addressed in detail in the present work.

Aleja Lotników 32/46, PL-02668 Warsaw, Poland

^{*} wysokinski@magtop.ifpan.edu.pl

[†] brzezicki@magtop.ifpan.edu.pl

II. MODEL

Our starting point is a single-orbital ionic Rashba model in the presence of Zeeman splitting on the bipartite square lattice enriched by Hatsugai-Kohmoto interaction [18]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= -t \sum_{\langle \mathbf{ij} \rangle \sigma} c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{i\sigma}} c_{\mathbf{j\sigma}} + \sum_{\mathbf{i\sigma}} (V(-1)^{\mathbf{i}} + \sigma h - \mu) n_{\mathbf{i\sigma}} \\ &+ \sum_{\langle \mathbf{ij} \rangle} \left(i \alpha (c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{i\uparrow}}, c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{i\downarrow}}) (\mathbf{r_{ij}} \times \boldsymbol{\sigma})_z \begin{pmatrix} c_{\mathbf{j,\uparrow}} \\ c_{\mathbf{j,\downarrow}} \end{pmatrix} + H.c. \right) \\ &+ U \sum_k n_{\mathbf{k\uparrow}} n_{\mathbf{k\downarrow}} \end{aligned}$$
(1)

where t is the nearest-neighbour hopping, V is the strength of the ionic potential, $(-1)^{\mathbf{i}\in A} = 1$ and $(-1)^{\mathbf{i}\in B} = -1$, h Zeeman field, α amplitude of Rashba spin-orbit interaction, $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{ij}}$ measures the distance between sites \mathbf{i} and \mathbf{j} , $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \{\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z\}$ is vector of Pauli matrices, and U is amplitude of the many-body interactions. Throughout the whole paper we assume half-filling that is realized for chemical potential set as $\mu = U/2$. Moreover, we set t as an energy unit, i.e. t = 1. We note that HK interaction can be seen as a part of the Hubbard interaction,

$$U\sum_{i} n_{\mathbf{i}\uparrow} n_{\mathbf{i}\downarrow} = \frac{U}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q}} c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}\uparrow} c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{q}\downarrow} c_{\mathbf{p}\uparrow} c_{\mathbf{k}\downarrow}$$

for $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{q}$, that is responsible for splitting between Hubbard bands and eventually opening a Mott gap once the interactions are strong enough [18, 22, 23]. At the same time, we underline that the presence of the splitting between Hubbard bands by HK interaction for arbitrarily small amplitudes U has no analogy in the system with Hubbard interaction where Fermi-liquid behavior is expected.

Considered model is exactly solvable as it can be diagonalized after Fourier transformation to the reduced Brillouin zone (RBZ). Namely, the above model can be cast into the following form

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \text{RBZ}} \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \Big(\sigma_{z} \otimes [\Re(g_{\mathbf{k}})\sigma_{x} + \Im(g_{\mathbf{k}})\sigma_{y} + \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}\mathbb{1}] + V\sigma_{x} \otimes \mathbb{1} \\ + h\mathbb{1} \otimes \sigma_{z} - \frac{U}{2}\mathbb{1}_{4} \Big) \hat{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}} + U(n_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow}n_{\mathbf{k}\downarrow} + n_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}\uparrow}n_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}\downarrow}) \Big]$$
(2)

where $g_{\mathbf{k}} = 2\alpha \sin k_y - i2\alpha \sin k_x$, $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = -2t(\cos k_x + \cos k_y)$, $\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}} = \{c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k},\uparrow}, c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k},\downarrow}, c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q},\uparrow}, c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q},\downarrow}\}$ with $Q = \{\pi, \pi\}$.

III. TOPOLOGY OF MANY-BODY GROUNDSTATE

A. Chern number of the groundstate

Our Hamiltonian can be efficiently expressed as

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \text{RBZ}} \sum_{n} |\hat{\alpha}_{\mathbf{k}}^{n}\rangle \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{n} \langle \hat{\alpha}_{\mathbf{k}}^{n} |$$
(3)

in the Fock space with distinguished not-mixing sectors with a given integer number of particles for a given \mathbf{k} in RBZ, $n \in \{0 - 4\}$ i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{\alpha}_{\mathbf{k}}^{0}\rangle &= \{ |0_{\mathbf{k}}; 0_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}}\rangle \\ |\hat{\alpha}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1}\rangle &= |\uparrow; 0\rangle, |\downarrow; 0\rangle, |0; \uparrow\rangle, |0; \downarrow\rangle \\ |\hat{\alpha}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}\rangle &= \{ |\uparrow\downarrow; 0\rangle, |0; \uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow; \downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow; \uparrow\rangle, |\uparrow; \uparrow\rangle, |\uparrow; \downarrow\rangle \} \qquad (4) \\ |\hat{\alpha}_{\mathbf{k}}^{3}\rangle &= |\uparrow\downarrow; \uparrow\rangle, |\uparrow\downarrow; \downarrow\rangle, |\uparrow; \uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow; \uparrow\downarrow\rangle, \\ |\hat{\alpha}_{\mathbf{k}}^{4}\rangle &= |\uparrow\downarrow; \uparrow\downarrow\rangle \end{aligned}$$

and with Hamiltonian sectors apart from trivial $\mathcal{H}^0_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathcal{H}^4_{\mathbf{k}} = 0$ defined as

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{1} = \sigma_{z} \otimes (\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbb{1}_{2} + \Re(g_{\mathbf{k}})\sigma_{x} + \Im(g_{\mathbf{k}})\sigma_{y}) + h\mathbb{1}_{2} \otimes \sigma_{z} \\
+ V\sigma_{x} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{2} - \frac{U}{2}\mathbb{1}_{4} \\
\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{3} = \sigma_{z} \otimes (\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}\mathbb{1}_{2} - \Re(g_{\mathbf{k}})\sigma_{x} - \Im(g_{\mathbf{k}})\sigma_{y}) + h\mathbb{1}_{2} \otimes \sigma_{z} \\
- V\sigma_{x} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{2} + \frac{U}{2}\mathbb{1}_{4}$$
(5)

and

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} & 0 & 0 & -V & 0 & V \\ 0 & -2\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} & 0 & -V & 0 & V \\ 0 & 0 & -2h - U & -g_{\mathbf{k}} & 0 & g_{\mathbf{k}} \\ -V & -V & -g_{\mathbf{k}}^{*} & -U & g_{\mathbf{k}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & g_{\mathbf{k}}^{*} & 2h - U - g_{\mathbf{k}}^{*} \\ V & V & g_{\mathbf{k}}^{*} & 0 & -g_{\mathbf{k}} & -U \end{pmatrix}.$$
(6)

For the reason that the chemical potential, $\mu = U/2$ sets the filling in our system to 2 not only on average but at each **k** in RBZ, the global many-body groundstate is the groundstate $|\psi_g(\mathbf{k})\rangle$ of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{k}}^2$. Therefore, here we calculate Chern number of the whole system as the one corresponding to $|\psi_g(\mathbf{k})\rangle$, i.e

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathrm{RBZ}} d^2 \mathbf{k} \left(\partial_{k_x} \langle \psi_g(\mathbf{k}) | \partial_{k_y} | \psi_g(\mathbf{k}) \rangle - \partial_{k_y} \langle \psi_g(\mathbf{k}) | \partial_{k_x} | \psi_g(k) \rangle \right)$$
(7)

In Fig.1 we show phase diagrams obtained by direct integration with the use of discretization of RBZ [28]. We note that the phase diagram is not affected by the strength of α , given that it is non-zero, and for all numerical calculations we set $\alpha = 0.5$. As it can be seen, even for extremely large values of interaction amplitude Chern insulator survives, though in a very restricted region that seems to asymptotically reduce to a line. In the following we shall analyze that behavior on the analytical ground.



FIG. 1. Topological phase diagrams ("C" on the plots denotes Chern number) on V - h plane for (left panel) uncorrelated system and (right panel) correlated systems characterized with selected values of interaction amplitude U.

B. Parity eigenvalues analysis

Analysis of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{k}}^2$ at time reversal invariant momenta (TRIM) \mathbf{k}_i^* , due to presence of inversion symmetry, can be useful in determination of borders between topological and trivial phases, provided that they differ by the parity of the Chern number. Here this seems sufficient as our numerical analysis unveils the presence of only one type of topological phase (cf. Fig. 1) with $\mathcal{C} = 1$.

First, we find eigenstates $|n_{\mathbf{k}_{i}^{*}}\rangle$ and eigenvalues $E_{n\mathbf{k}_{i}^{*}}$ of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{k}_{i}^{*}}^{2}$, where TRIM in RBZ can be choosen as $\mathbf{k}_{i}^{*} \in \{\Gamma, X\}$. Two lowest energy states are $|1_{\mathbf{k}_{i}^{*}}\rangle \equiv |\downarrow,\downarrow\rangle$ with the eigenvalue $E_{1\mathbf{k}_{i}^{*}} = -U - 2h$ and $|2_{\mathbf{k}_{i}^{*}}\rangle$ with eigenvalue $E_{2\mathbf{k}_{i}^{*}}$; the latter being the lowest eigenvalue of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0 & \sqrt{2}\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}_{i}^{*}}u_{-} & \sqrt{2}\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}_{i}^{*}}u_{+} \\
\sqrt{2}\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}_{i}^{*}}u_{-} & \frac{-U-\sqrt{U^{2}+16V^{2}}}{2} & 0 \\
\sqrt{2}\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}_{i}^{*}}u_{+} & 0 & \frac{-U+\sqrt{U^{2}+16V^{2}}}{2}
\end{pmatrix}, \\
u_{\pm} = \sqrt{1 \pm \frac{U}{\sqrt{U^{2}+16V^{2}}}},$$
(8)

that is simply one of the blocks from block-diagonal form of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^2_{\mathbf{k}^*_i}$ obtained with a suitable unitary transformation and spanned by $\{|\uparrow\downarrow,0\rangle,|0,\uparrow\downarrow\rangle,\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow,\downarrow\rangle-|\downarrow,\uparrow\rangle)\}$ Fock states.

At TRIM states $|n_{\mathbf{k}_{i}^{*}}\rangle$ are also eigenstates with eigenvalues η_{n} of parity operator

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(9)

as $P\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{k}}^2 P = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{-\mathbf{k}}^2$ implies that $[P, \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{k}^*}^2] = 0$. At both TRIM we have that $\eta_1 = -1$ and $\eta_2 = 1$. Formally, by

defining

$$(-1)^{\nu} = \prod_{i \in \Gamma, X} \operatorname{sgn}[E_{1k_i^*} - E_{2k_i^*}].$$
(10)

 $\nu = 0$ signals trivial insulator and $\nu = 1$ topologically nontrivial state. In other words, phase transition between trivial and nontrivial topological states takes place when groundstate and first excited state, having opposite parity, interchange. Now we shall analyze specific phase boundaries displayed in Fig. 1.

First we examine conditions for transition from the trivial insulator to the Chern insulator with increasing Zeeman splitting h, i.e. from the "bottom" - cf. Fig. 1. At critical Zeeman splitting which we denote h_b two lowest energy levels at TRIM X cross. At this momenta these energy levels are found exactly: $E_{1X} = -U - 2h$ and $E_{2X} = -\frac{1}{2}(U + \sqrt{U^2 + 16V^2})$. Condition that $E_{1X} = E_{2X}$ sets the *bottom* topological phase transition as

$$h_b(V,U) = \frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{U^2 + 16V^2} - U).$$
(11)

On the other hand topological phase transition into the same Chern insulator phase but with decreasing h (from the "top" - cf. Fig. 1) takes place at critical $h = h_t$ when $E_{1\Gamma} = E_{2\Gamma}$, i.e. is associated with crossing between lowest lying energy levels at Γ TRIM. Here $E_{2\Gamma}$ can't be obtained exactly (apart from U = 0 case), therefore we find second order correction to $E_{2\Gamma}$ in the limit $\sqrt{2}\epsilon_{\Gamma}/U \ll 1$ (ensuring that $E_{2\Gamma}$ is well separated from the two other eigenvalues of (8)). In result we find that

$$E_{2\Gamma} \simeq E_{2X} - \frac{(\sqrt{U^2 + 16V^2} - U)(2\epsilon_{\Gamma})^2}{\sqrt{U^2 + 16V^2}(\sqrt{U^2 + 16V^2} + U)} \quad (12)$$

Consequently, approximate critical Zeeman splitting for the "top" topological phase transition reads

$$h_t(V, U \gg \sqrt{2}\epsilon_{\Gamma}) = h_b(V, U) + \frac{(\sqrt{U^2 + 16V^2} - U)2\epsilon_{\Gamma}^2}{\sqrt{U^2 + 16V^2}(\sqrt{U^2 + 16V^2} + U)}$$
(13)

We also note that in uncorrelated case $h_t(V, U = 0) = \sqrt{16 + V^2}$.

In result, region on V - h plane where Chern insulator with $\mathcal{C} = 1$ is realized, lies between boundaries set by $h_b(U, V)$ and (if U is sufficiently large) $h_t(U, V)$, and only asymptotically vanish when $U \to \infty$, while for large but finite U forms a sharp edge (cf. Fig. 1).

IV. SINGLE PARTICLE GREEN'S FUNCTION PROPERTIES

A. Spectral function and absence of gap closing

In the previous section we have shown that the topological phase transition is signalled by crossing between ground state and first excited state, both many-body Fock states that takes place at one of the time-reversal invariant momenta. This usual and expected behavior, as we are going to analyze in this section, does not entail closure of the spectral gap.

We first define

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{k}} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{4} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{n} \quad \text{and} \quad |\hat{\alpha}_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{4} |\hat{\alpha}_{\mathbf{k}}^{n}\rangle.$$
(14)

Now, we can find unitary transformation $T_{\mathbf{k}}$, such that $T_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{k}} T_{\mathbf{k}} = \hat{E}_{\mathbf{k}}$ is diagonal. In result we can obtain spectral function as

$$A(\mathbf{k},\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} \Im \operatorname{Tr}[\hat{G}_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega - i\delta)]$$
(15)

where Matsubara Green's function reads

$$\hat{G}_{\mathbf{k}}(i\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} G_{\uparrow,\uparrow}^{1,1} & G_{\uparrow,\uparrow}^{1,1} & G_{\uparrow,\uparrow}^{1,2} & G_{\uparrow,\downarrow}^{1,2} \\ G_{\downarrow,\uparrow}^{1,1} & G_{\downarrow,\downarrow}^{1,1} & G_{\downarrow,\uparrow}^{1,2} & G_{\downarrow,\downarrow}^{1,2} \\ G_{\uparrow,\uparrow}^{2,\uparrow} & G_{\uparrow,\downarrow}^{2,\uparrow} & G_{\uparrow,\uparrow}^{2,2} & G_{\downarrow,\downarrow}^{2,2} \\ G_{\uparrow,\uparrow}^{2,\uparrow} & G_{\uparrow,\downarrow}^{2,\uparrow} & G_{\uparrow,\uparrow}^{2,2} & G_{\uparrow,\downarrow}^{2,2} \\ G_{\downarrow,\uparrow}^{2,\uparrow} & G_{\downarrow,\downarrow}^{2,\uparrow} & G_{\downarrow,\uparrow}^{2,2} & G_{\downarrow,\downarrow}^{2,2} \end{pmatrix}$$
(16)

with matrix elements obtained from Lehmann representation as

$$G_{\sigma,\sigma'}^{n,n'}(i\omega) = \sum_{m} \left[\frac{\langle 0|c_{n,\sigma}|m\rangle\langle m|c_{n',\sigma'}^{\dagger}|0\rangle}{i\omega - (E_m - E_0)} + \frac{\langle m|c_{n,\sigma}|0\rangle\langle 0|c_{n',\sigma'}^{\dagger}|m\rangle}{i\omega + (E_m - E_0)} \right].$$
(17)

In above $|m\rangle$ are the exact eigenvectors ($|0\rangle$ being groundstate) of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathbf{k}}$ with eigenvalues E_m and $c_{1\sigma} \equiv c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}$ and $c_{2\sigma} \equiv c_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{Q}\sigma}$.

In the Fig. 2 we show spectral function around the Fermi level for parameters providing "bottom" topological phase transition, i.e. for $h = h_b(V, U)$, for three selected cases: uncorrelated, U = 0 and correlated, U = 1and U = 2 ones. It is evident that the spectral gap closes at the topological phase transition only for uncorrelated



FIG. 2. Plot of the spectral function in the vicinity of the Fermi level along a high symmetry path (cf. inset) for values of $h = h_b$, i.e. at the topological phase transition. Note the lack of a gap closing in the spectral function for non-zero interaction strength. The spectral weight for bands visible in the plot is close to 1.

states. Although surprising, this situation can be simply explained.

Spectral function is a single particle property of the system and as such tells us how the injected electron behaves in our system. That means that spectral function holds the information about processes that involve excitation that change the number of particles in the system. On the other hand, as our analysis of the exact many-body groundstate unveils, the first excited state in the presence of interactions does not involve change of electron number. Therefore, spectral function is unable to capture crossing of the groundstate and first excited state.

In this light, there is a natural question whether single particle Green's function in a correlated state beyond Fermi liquid theory still contains enough information to calculate Chern number. In the next subsection we affirmatively answer this question.

B. Chern number from Green's function

Here we calculate the Chern number of our system, following the approach in Ref. 27. In general words, one can treat the inverse of Green's function for zero frequency as an effective low-energy single-particle Hamiltonian,

$$H^{eff} = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \text{RBZ}} H^{eff}_{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \text{RBZ}} G_{\mathbf{k}}(0)^{-1} \qquad (18)$$

In such a situation determination of the Chern number of the true groundstate reduces to analysis of H^{eff} (which naturally is Hermitian - cf. Eq. (16)).

We first find eigenvectors and eigenvalues of effective Hamiltonian

$$H_{\mathbf{k}}^{eff}|n(\mathbf{k})\rangle = \mu_n(\mathbf{k})|n(\mathbf{k})\rangle.$$
(19)



FIG. 3. Eigenenergies of effective low-energy single particle Hamiltonian around Fermi level for parameters providing "bottom" topological phase transition, i.e. $h = h_b$ (cf. Eq. (11)). Note absence of the gap closing.

Then the Chern number can be obtained as

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\text{RBZ}} d^2 k (\partial_{k_x} \mathcal{A}_y - \partial_{k_y} \mathcal{A}_x)$$
(20)

and where $\mathcal{A}_i = -i \sum_{n(\mu_n > 0)} \langle n(\mathbf{k}) | \partial_{k_i} | n(\mathbf{k}) \rangle$.

We numerically confirm that the above approach gives the same Chern numbers and phase borders as the approach utilizing true may-body groundstate presented in Sec III. We note that although here spectral function is unable to visualize inversion between groundstate and first excited state, single-particle Green's function naturally holds information of the underlying groundstate, and in principle its Chern number.

In the following we shall analyze more deeply properties of the low-energy effective Hamiltonian. We found that the topological phase transition is again not associated with the bulk gap closing, as it is demonstrated in Fig. 3, though clearly the system develops some kind of singularity at X TRIM. To understand it in more details in Fig 4 we plot bands around TRIM X just before and after transition what confirms expectation that the phase transition takes place through the singularity developed by bands that is characterized by discontinuous jump of eigen-energies at X.

This brings us to a following question: if topological and trivial phases are not separated by gap closing, is the topological phase inside the bulk separated from the trivial "outside"? To resolve this issue, in Fig. 5 we plot bands provided by H^{eff} but with the open boundaries along y-direction and we obtain a rather standard scenario. Namely, that edge states are not gaped and clearly connect bands from below the gap with these from above and their number per one edge agrees with the Chern number.



FIG. 4. Bands provided by H^{eff} around TRIM X i.e. for $k_x = 0$ (top panel) for h providing trivial state just before transition and (bottom panel) for h realizing topological state just after the transition. At the transition the system develops a singularity in bands providing discontinuous jump at TRIM X.



FIG. 5. Bands provided by H^{eff} with open boundary conditions in *y*-direction and for parameters providing topological phase ($h_b(V = 0.5, U = 0.5) + 0.1$). Edge states are clearly visible.



FIG. 6. Evolution of eigenenergies of $H_{\mathbf{k}}^{eff}$ at TRIM X across the topological phase transition with increasing h. Color denotes different parities of the eigenstates associated with plotted eigenvalues. The topological phase transition takes place through the singularity instead of energy level crossing. Parameters for the plot are U = V = 0.5.

C. Properties of H^{eff} at TRIM

In this section we shall again leverage inversion symmetry as a good tool for determining phase transition between trivial and topological phases [26] with odd Chern number. We start our analysis by finding the parity operator for the full Green's function,

$$\mathcal{P} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(21)

i.e. $\mathcal{P}\hat{G}_{\mathbf{k}}(i\omega_m)\mathcal{P} = \hat{G}_{-\mathbf{k}}(i\omega_m)$. The form of operator \mathcal{P} follows from the symmetry of the Rashba coupling in \mathcal{H} . Following approach presented in Refs. 26 and 27 we note that zero-frequency single-particle Green's function at TRIMs is Hermitian, commutes with the parity operator and specifically for our situation reduces to

$$\hat{G}_{\mathbf{k}_{i}^{*}}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} G_{\mathbf{k}_{i}^{*}\uparrow}^{1,1}(0) & 0 & G_{\mathbf{k}_{i}^{*}\uparrow}^{1,2}(0) & 0 \\ 0 & G_{\mathbf{k}_{i}^{*}\downarrow}^{1,1}(0) & 0 & G_{\mathbf{k}_{i}^{*}\downarrow}^{1,2}(0) \\ G_{\mathbf{k}_{i}^{*}\uparrow}^{2,1}(0) & 0 & G_{\mathbf{k}_{i}^{*}\uparrow}^{2,2}(0) & 0 \\ 0 & G_{\mathbf{k}_{i}^{*}\downarrow}^{2,1}(0) & 0 & G_{\mathbf{k}_{i}^{*}\downarrow}^{2,2}(0) \end{pmatrix}$$
(22)

Note that we can diagonalize above Green's function with a transformation that leaves the parity operator intact. Transformed and subsequently inversed Green's function defines effective Hamiltonian at TRIM

$$H_{\mathbf{k}_{i}^{*}}^{eff} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{\mathbf{k}_{i}^{*}\uparrow+} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \mu_{\mathbf{k}_{i}^{*}\downarrow+} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \mu_{\mathbf{k}_{i}^{*}\uparrow-} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mu_{\mathbf{k}_{i}^{*}\downarrow-} \end{pmatrix}$$
(23)

where

$$(\mu_{\mathbf{k}_{i}^{*}\sigma\pm})^{-1} = \frac{G_{\sigma\mathbf{k}^{*}}^{1,1} + G_{\sigma\mathbf{k}^{*}}^{2,2}}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{G_{\sigma\mathbf{k}^{*}}^{1,1} + G_{\sigma\mathbf{k}^{*}}^{2,2}}{2}\right)^{2} + |G_{\sigma\mathbf{k}^{*}}^{1,2}|^{2}}$$
(24)

that still commutes with a parity operator Eq. (21), and therefore both operators have common eigenvectors, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{\mathbf{k}^*}^{eff}|\sigma,\pm,\mathbf{k}^*\rangle &= \mu_{\sigma,\pm,\mathbf{k}^*}|\sigma,\pm,\mathbf{k}^*\rangle \\
\mathcal{P}|\sigma,\pm,\mathbf{k}^*\rangle &= \eta_{\sigma,\mathbf{k}^*}|\sigma,\pm,\mathbf{k}^*\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Because of the inversion symmetry [26] we can define invariant ν by

$$(-1)^{\nu} \equiv \prod_{\mathbf{k}^*} \prod_{\{\sigma,\pm\}\in \mathbf{R}-\text{zero}} \eta_{\sigma,\mathbf{k}^*}^{1/2}$$
(26)

where R – zero is defined by eigenstates $|\sigma, \pm\rangle$ providing positive eigenvalue $\mu_{\sigma,\pm} > 0$. In result we find that the topological phase transition is signalled when invariant ν changes between 0 and 1, i.e. when eigenvalues for states with different parities change sign at TRIM. In Fig. 6 we plot eigen-energies $\mu_{\sigma,\pm}$ for increasing field h across the topological phase transition at $h = h_b$ and we found, peculiar for the single particle picture, discontinuous jump of eigen energies at the topological phase transition, associated with a change of parities of states with positive energies. Such behavior can be understood on the following grounds. Although, at the topological phase transition, the change of the ground state is continuous in energy, single particle lowest excitations are characterized with vastly different energies which leads to a discontinuity visible in Fig. 6.

V. SUMMARY

In the present work we have proposed the model in which interplay between ionic potential, Zeeman splitting and Rashba spin-orbit interaction realizes quantum anomalous Hall state. Moreover, we have studied the influence of the many-body interaction, introduced in the Hatsugai Kohmoto spirit [18], on the stability of the topological phase by two complementary techniques leveraging the closed form of the ground state and Green's function approach. Interestingly, we have shown that in the presence of correlations topological phase transition is not accompanied with a gap closing in the spectral function. To understand this feature, by considering the inverse of zero frequency single-particle Green's function as an effective low-energy Hamiltonian, we demonstrated that topological phase transition takes place through the singularity at time reversal invariant momenta. In result our work constitutes an exactly treatable example of a topological system where many-body interactions lead to the partial breakdown of behavior expected from single-particle theory.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work is supported by the Foundation for Polish Science through the International Research Agen-

- S. Rachel, Reports on Progress in Physics 81, 116501 (2018).
- [2] E. M. Stoudenmire, J. Alicea, O. A. Starykh, and M. P. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B 84, 014503 (2011).
- [3] A. Wieckowski, M. M. Maśka, and M. Mierzejewski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 040504 (2018).
- [4] A. Altland and M. R. Zirnbauer, Phys. Rev. B 55, 1142 (1997).
- [5] C.-K. Chiu, H. Yao, and S. Ryu, Phys. Rev. B 88, 075142 (2013).
- [6] C.-K. Chiu and A. P. Schnyder, Phys. Rev. B 90, 205136 (2014).
- [7] K. Shiozaki and M. Sato, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 165114 (2014).
- [8] K. Shiozaki, M. Sato, and K. Gomi, Phys. Rev. B 93, 195413 (2016).
- [9] A. Amaricci, J. C. Budich, M. Capone, B. Trauzettel, and G. Sangiovanni, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 185701 (2015).
- [10] A. Amaricci, J. C. Budich, M. Capone, B. Trauzettel, and G. Sangiovanni, Phys. Rev. B 93, 235112 (2016).
- [11] B. Roy, P. Goswami, and J. D. Sau, Phys. Rev. B 94, 041101 (2016).
- [12] M. Dzero, K. Sun, V. Galitski, and P. Coleman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 106408 (2010).
- [13] M. M. Wysokiński and M. Fabrizio, Phys. Rev. B 94, 121102 (2016).
- [14] S. Raghu, X.-L. Qi, C. Honerkamp, and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 156401 (2008).

das program co-financed by the European Union within the Smart Growth Operational Programme. W.B. acknowledges support by Narodowe Centrum Nauki (NCN, National Science Centre, Poland) Project No. 2019/34/E/ST3/00404.

- [15] T. Morimoto and N. Nagaosa, Scientific Reports 6, 19853 (2016).
- [16] P. Mai, B. E. Feldman, and P. W. Phillips, (2022), arXiv:2207.01638.
- [17] P. Mai, J. Zhao, B. E. Feldman, and P. W. Phillips, (2022), arXiv:2210.11486.
- [18] Y. Hatsugai and M. Kohmoto, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 61, 2056 (1992), https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.61.2056.
- [19] K. Byczuk and J. Spałek, Phys. Rev. B 50, 11403 (1994).
- [20] K. Byczuk and J. Spałek, Phys. Rev. B 51, 7934 (1995).
- [21] K. Byczuk and J. Spałek, Acta Physica Polonica A 85, 337 (1994).
- [22] E. W. Huang, G. L. Nave, and P. W. Phillips, Nature Physics 18, 511 (2022).
- [23] P. W. Phillips, L. Yeo, and E. W. Huang, Nature Physics 16, 1175 (2020).
- [24] Z. Meng, L. Huang, P. Peng, D. Li, L. Chen, Y. Xu, C. Zhang, P. Wang, and J. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 235304 (2016).
- [25] L. Huang, Z. Meng, P. Wang, P. Peng, S.-L. Zhang, L. Chen, D. Li, Q. Zhou, and J. Zhang, Nature Physics 12, 540 (2016).
- [26] Z. Wang, X.-L. Qi, and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B 85, 165126 (2012).
- [27] Z. Wang and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. X 2, 031008 (2012).
- [28] T. Fukui, Y. Hatsugai, and H. Suzuki, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 74, 1674 (2005), https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.74.1674.