

MINIMAL SELF-ADJOINT COMPACT OPERATORS, MOMENT OF A SUBSPACE AND JOINT NUMERICAL RANGE

TAMARA BOTTAZZI^{1,2} AND ALEJANDRO VARELA^{3,4}

ABSTRACT. We define the (convex) joint numerical range for an infinite family of compact operators in a Hilbert space H . We use this set to determine whether a self-adjoint compact operator A with $\pm\|A\|$ in its spectrum is minimal respect to the set of diagonals in a fixed basis E of H in the operator norm, that is $\|A\| \leq \|A + D\|$, for all diagonal D . We also describe the moment set $m_S = \text{conv} \{|v|^2 : v \in S \text{ and } \|v\| = 1\}$ of a subspace $S \subset H$ in terms of joint numerical ranges and obtain equivalences between the intersection of moments of two subspaces and of its two related joint numerical ranges. Moreover, we relate the condition of minimality of A or the intersection of the moments of the eigenspaces of $\pm\|A\|$ to the intersection of the joint numerical ranges of two finite families of certain finite hermitian matrices. We also study geometric properties of the set m_S such as extremal curves related with the basis E . All these conditions are directly related with the description of minimal self-adjoint compact operators.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Given a Hilbert space H , we call $A \in B(H)$ a minimal operator if $\|A\| \leq \|A + D\|$, for all D diagonal in a fixed orthonormal basis $E = \{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ of H and $\|\cdot\|$ the operator norm. Note that in the case A is a compact operator we can suppose that H is separable since there is only a numerable set $\{e_{i_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $A(e_{i_k}) \neq 0$. As mentioned in the literature, these operators allow the concrete description of geodesics in homogeneous spaces obtained as orbits of unitaries under a natural Finsler metric (see [4]).

In [7] we studied minimal self-adjoint compact operators where it was stated that in general neither existence nor uniqueness of compact minimizing diagonals was granted. Some of these results were recently generalized to more general subalgebras of $K(H)$ and to C^* -algebras in [14, 15].

Given a subspace $S \subset H$ we call the moment set of S to

$$m_S = \text{Diag}\{Y \in \mathcal{B}_1(H) : Y \geq 0, P_S Y = Y, \text{tr}(Y) = 1\}$$

for $\mathcal{B}_1(H)$ the ideal of trace class operators. Equivalently $m_S = \text{convex hull} \{|v|^2 : v \in S \text{ and } \|v\| = 1\}$, where $|v|^2 = (|v_1|^2, |v_2|^2, \dots)$ for $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots)$ the coordinates of v in the E basis (see Proposition 1). These sets are fundamental in the detection and parametrization of minimal self-adjoint compact operators. More specifically, for $S \perp V$ finite dimensional subspaces, R a self-adjoint operator such that $\text{ran}(R) \perp S, V$ and $\|R\| \leq 1$, follows that $m_S \cap m_V \neq \{0\}$ if and only if $A = P_S - P_V + R$ is a minimal compact self-adjoint operator. And every compact minimal self-adjoint operator A of norm 1 can be described in this way (see [7]).

In finite dimensions, recent results obtained in [9] describe properties of minimal $n \times n$ hermitian matrices $M \in M_n^h(\mathbb{C})$ relating them to certain joint numerical ranges. These are the (convex) joint numerical ranges of $\{E_i P_+ E_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{E_i P_- E_i\}_{i=1}^n$ where P_+ and P_- are the orthogonal projectors onto the eigenspaces of $\|M\|$ and $-\|M\|$ and $E_i = e_i \otimes e_i$ are the rank one projections onto $\text{span}\{e_i\}$, for $e_i \in E$. Our interest in these relation is because there are many properties already studied to describe

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 15A60, 47A12, 47B15. Secondary: 47A05, 47A30, 51M15.

Key words and phrases. moment of subspace, self-adjoint compact operators, minimality, joint numerical range.

Partially supported by Grants CONICET (PIP 0525), ANPCyT (PICT 2015-1505 and 2017-0019) and UNRN (PI 40-B-906).

joint numerical ranges that can be applied to determine when the condition $m_S \cap m_V \neq \emptyset$ holds (see Theorem 4).

In the present work we will generalize the main results of [9] to the context of compact operators. For this purpose we need to define the (convex) joint numerical range of an infinite family of compact operators (see Definition 1). In Section 2 we present the joint numerical range $W(\mathbf{A})$, joint numerical radius $w(\mathbf{A})$ and moment set m_S in this context. We study some of their general properties and the close relation of $W(\mathbf{A})$, for the particular family $A = \{P_S E_i P_S\}_{i=1}^\infty$, with m_S (see Proposition 3).

Section 3 includes the description of some extremal points and curves of m_S related with the principal angles of S with the canonical subspaces generated by the elements e_i of the fixed basis E , $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

In Section 4 we relate the real space of hermitian operators defined on a subspace $S \subset H$ of $\dim S = r < \infty$ with the real subspace $M_r^h(\mathbb{C})$ of hermitian $r \times r$ matrices. This is done using an explicit (real) isometric isomorphism U between $M_r^h(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{B}_S^h = P_S B^h(H) P_S$ (see Proposition 10) constructed by a Gell-Mann generalized basis. Moreover, U and its inverse preserve joint numerical ranges. These results allow the description of the condition of non empty intersection of moments of two finite dimensional orthogonal subspaces $S, V \subset H$ using a finite family of $\dim S \times \dim S$ and $\dim V \times \dim V$ matrices. This can be done verifying the equivalent condition of not null intersection of certain joint numerical ranges. These conditions allow the construction of all minimal compact operators.

As a consequence we can prove our main result that relates moments of orthogonal finite dimensional subspaces of H , joint numerical ranges, supports of a pair of subspaces and minimal hermitian compact operators (see Theorem 4 in Section 5).

2. JOINT NUMERICAL RANGE FOR A SEQUENCE OF OPERATORS IN $\mathcal{K}(H)$

Let $\mathcal{K}(H)$ be the ideal of compact operators in a separable Hilbert space H , where we denote with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ its inner product and $\|\cdot\|$ the induced norm. In the algebra of bounded operators $B(H)$ we will also use $\|\cdot\|$ to indicate the operator norm.

The dual space of the compact operators $(\mathcal{K}(H))^* = \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ is the ideal of trace-class operators $T \in \mathcal{K}(H)$ such that $\text{tr}|T| < \infty$ (where tr denotes the usual trace).

Definition 1. Consider a sequence $\mathbf{A} = \{A_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \in \mathcal{K}(H)^\mathbb{N}$ of self-adjoint compact operators A_j with bounded norm ($\|A_j\| \leq c$, for all j). We define the joint numerical range of \mathbf{A} by

$$(2.1) \quad W(\mathbf{A}) = \left\{ \left\{ \text{tr}(\rho A_j) \right\}_{j=1}^\infty : \rho \in \mathcal{B}_1(H) \wedge \text{tr}(\rho) = 1 \wedge \rho \geq 0 \right\}.$$

Note that $|\text{tr}(\rho A_j)| \leq \|A_j\| \text{tr}(\rho) \leq c$ which implies $\{\text{tr}(\rho A_j)\}_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and therefore $W(\mathbf{A}) \subset \ell^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. By the linearity of the trace and the convexity of the set $\mathcal{D} = \{\rho \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)^h : \text{tr}(\rho) = 1 \wedge \rho \geq 0\}$ it is evident that $W(\mathbf{A})$ is a convex set.

Definition 2. We also define for the family $\mathbf{A} = \{A_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \in \mathcal{K}(H)^\mathbb{N}$ with $\|A_j\| \leq c$, for all j , the p -joint numerical radius as

$$(2.2) \quad w_p(\mathbf{A}) = \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |\text{tr}(\rho A_j)|^p \right)^{1/p} : \rho \in \mathcal{B}_1(H) \wedge \text{tr}(\rho) = 1 \wedge \rho \geq 0 \right\}, \text{ for every } p \in [1, +\infty).$$

Clearly, $w_p(\mathbf{A})$ may be $+\infty$ depending on the family \mathbf{A} .

Given a subspace S of H we will consider the set of its density operators

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{D}_S = \{Y \in \mathcal{B}_1(H) : P_S Y = Y \geq 0, \text{tr}(Y) = 1\}$$

(note that $P_S Y = Y P_S = P_S Y P_S$ for $Y \in \mathcal{D}_S$). If $\dim S < \infty$ the affine hull of \mathcal{D}_S is also finite dimensional.

The next result is a generalization of Lemma 6.1 in [9].

Lemma 1. *Let S be a subspace of H and \mathcal{D}_S as in (2.3), then*

$$\mathcal{D}_S = \{\rho \in \mathcal{B}_1(H) : \rho \geq 0, \operatorname{tr}(\rho) = \operatorname{tr}(P_S \rho P_S)\}.$$

Proof. Let $Y \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$ be such that $P_S Y = Y \geq 0$. Then, $\operatorname{tr}(P_S Y P_S) = \operatorname{tr}(Y P_S) = \operatorname{tr}(Y) = 1$, which implies that $Y \in \{\rho \in \mathcal{B}_1(H) : \rho \geq 0, \operatorname{tr}(\rho) = \operatorname{tr}(P_S \rho P_S)\}$. The reverse inclusion follows the same ideas in [9, Lemma 6.1]. \square

Now, motivated by the finite dimensional case of the *moment of a subspace* S studied in [9] and [11], we define

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} m_S &= \operatorname{Diag}(\mathcal{D}_S) \\ &= \{\operatorname{Diag}(Y) : Y \in \mathcal{D}_S\} \subset \left\{ x \in \ell^1(\mathbb{R}) : x_j \geq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j = 1 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where $\operatorname{Diag}(K)$ indicates the diagonal compact operator with the same diagonal than $K \in \mathcal{K}(H)$ with respect to a standard (fixed) basis $E = \{e_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of H . We will also identify the diagonal matrices of $\operatorname{Diag}(\mathcal{D}_S)$ with the corresponding sequences in $\ell^1(\mathbb{R})$.

Remark 1. *In infinite dimensions the set m_S was used in the proof of (3) \Rightarrow (2) of [7, Theorem 7], where S is the eigenspace of $\|A\|$ or $-\|A\|$ for A a minimal self-adjoint compact operator (that is $\|A\| = \operatorname{dist}(A, \operatorname{Diag}(\mathcal{K}(H)))$). In this case $\dim(\operatorname{Ran}(P_S)) < \infty$, and then every $Y \in \mathcal{D}_S$ can be considered a self-adjoint operator between finite fixed dimensional spaces. Then, all norms restricted to those spaces are equivalent and $m_S = \operatorname{Diag}(\mathcal{D}_S)$ is a compact and convex set for every norm.*

Moreover, if $\{-\|A\|, \|A\|\} \subset \sigma(A)$, the non empty intersection between the corresponding moments related to the eigenspaces of $\|A\|$ and $-\|A\|$ implies that such a compact hermitian operator A is minimal (see [7, Corollary 10] and Proposition 1).

For $E = \{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ we will denote with $e_j \otimes e_j = E_j$, the rank-one orthogonal projections onto the subspaces generated by $e_j \in E$, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. We will be particularly interested in the study of $W(\mathbf{A})$ in the case of $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{E}} = \{P_S E_j P_S\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ and S a finite dimensional subspace of H

$$(2.5) \quad W(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{E}}) = \left\{ \{\operatorname{tr}(P_S E_j P_S \rho)\}_{j=1}^{\infty} : \rho \in \mathcal{B}_1(H), \rho \geq 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{tr}(\rho) = 1 \right\}.$$

Observe that in this context

$$\operatorname{tr}(P_S E_j P_S \rho) = \operatorname{tr}(E_j P_S \rho P_S E_j) = \langle P_S \rho P_S e_j, e_j \rangle = (P_S \rho P_S)_{jj}$$

is the j, j diagonal E -coordinate of the positive semi-definite trace-class operator $P_S \rho P_S$. Therefore

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{tr}(E_j P_S \rho P_S) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (P_S \rho P_S)_{j,j} = \operatorname{tr}(P_S \rho P_S) \leq \|P_S\| \operatorname{tr}(\rho) = 1$$

which proves, in this case, that the sequences $\{\operatorname{tr}(P_S E_j P_S \rho)\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and hence

$$(2.7) \quad W(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{E}}) \subset \ell^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

Remark 2. *For the family $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{E}}$,*

(1) *the p -joint numerical radius*

$$w_p(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{E}}) = \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} (\operatorname{tr}(P_S E_j P_S \rho))^p \right)^{1/p} : \rho \in \mathcal{B}_1(H) \wedge \operatorname{tr}(\rho) = 1 \wedge \rho \geq 0 \right\}$$

is finite for every $p \in [1, \infty)$. This is a consequence of (2.7), since every sequence $\{\operatorname{tr}(P_S E_j P_S \rho)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^1(\mathbb{R})$.

(2) Moreover, $w_p(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{E}}) \leq 1$ for every $p \in [1, \infty)$, since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} (\operatorname{tr}(P_S E_j P_S \rho))^p &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} (P_S \rho P_S)_{jj}^p = \|\operatorname{Diag}(P_S \rho P_S)\|_p^p \\ &\leq \|P_S \rho P_S\|_p^p \leq \|P_S\|^p \|\rho P_S\|_p^p \leq \|P_S\|^{2p} \|\rho\|_p^p \leq \|\rho\|_1^p = 1, \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality is due to the pinching property for Schatten p -norms (Theorem 1.19 in [13]).

(3) By (2.6) and Lemma 1, it can be deduced that

$$w_1(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{E}}) = 1.$$

Note that (2.6), (2.7) and Remark 2 hold for S with $\dim(S) = \infty$.

The next result is a generalization from the finite dimensional case studied in Lemma 6.2 and Theorem 6.3 of [9].

Proposition 1. *The following are equivalent definitions of m_S , the moment of S with $\dim S = r$, $r < \infty$, related to a basis $E = \{e_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of H . Note the identification made between diagonal operators and sequences.*

- a) $m_S = \operatorname{Diag}(\mathcal{D}_S)$.
- b) $m_S = \operatorname{conv} \{|v|^2 : v \in S \text{ and } \|v\| = 1\}$.
- c) $m_S = \bigcup_{\{s^i\}_{i=1}^r \text{ o.n. set in } S} \operatorname{conv}\{|s^i|^2\}_{i=1}^r$.
- d) $m_S = \{(\operatorname{tr}(E_1 Y), \dots, \operatorname{tr}(E_n Y), \dots) \in \ell^1(\mathbb{R}) : Y \in \mathcal{D}_S\}$.
- e) $m_S = W(P_S E_1 P_S, \dots, P_S E_n P_S, \dots) \cap \{x \in \ell^1(\mathbb{R}) : x_i \geq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^\infty x_i = 1\}$, where P_S is the orthogonal projection onto S , and W is the joint numerical range from Definition 1.

Proof. Statement a) is Definition (2.4). Next we will consider some inclusions regarding the sets described in a), b) and c) to prove the equalities stated in those items. First observe that if $s \in S$ with $\|s\| = 1$ then $Y = s \otimes s \in \mathcal{D}_S$ because $\operatorname{tr}(s \otimes s) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty |s_i|^2 = 1$, $s \otimes s \geq 0$ and $P_S(s \otimes s) = s \otimes s$. Hence, since $\operatorname{Diag}(s \otimes s) = |s|^2$ and m_S is convex, follows that $\operatorname{conv}\{|v|^2 : v \in S \text{ and } \|v\| = 1\} \subset m_S = \operatorname{Diag}(\mathcal{D}_S)$.

Now if $\{s^i\}_{i=1}^r$ is an orthonormal set in S then it is apparent that

$$\operatorname{conv}\{|s^i|^2\}_{i=1}^r \subset \operatorname{conv}\{|v|^2 : v \in S \text{ and } \|v\| = 1\}.$$

This implies $\bigcup_{\{s^i\}_{i=1}^r \text{ o.n. set in } S} \operatorname{conv}\{|s^i|^2\}_{i=1}^r \subset \operatorname{conv}\{|v|^2 : v \in S \text{ and } \|v\| = 1\}$.

Now take $Y \in m_S = \operatorname{Diag}(\mathcal{D}_S)$. There exist an orthonormal basis $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^r$ of S such that $Y = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i (y_i \otimes y_i)$ with $\lambda_i \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i = 1$. Then $\operatorname{Diag}(Y) = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \operatorname{Diag}(y_i \otimes y_i) \simeq \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i |y_i|^2$ which is a convex combination of $\{|y_i|^2\}_{i=1}^r$ for the orthonormal set $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^r \subset S$. Then $\operatorname{Diag}(Y) \in \bigcup_{\{s^i\}_{i=1}^r \text{ o.n. set in } S} \operatorname{conv}\{|s^i|^2\}_{i=1}^r$. This proves that the sets described in the first three items are the same

(using the identification of sequences with diagonal matrices in some cases).

Now to prove statement d), take any $x = \operatorname{Diag}(Y) \in m_S$ with $Y \in \mathcal{D}_S$ and $Y_{j,j} = \operatorname{tr}(E_j P_S Y P_S E_j) = \operatorname{tr}(E_j Y E_j) = \operatorname{tr}(E_j Y)$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

In order to prove e) consider that using d) every $x \in m_S$ can be written as $x = \{\operatorname{tr}(E_j Y E_j)\}_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell^1(\mathbb{R})$, with $Y \in \mathcal{D}_S$. Then $x \in W(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{E}})$ and

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty x_j = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \operatorname{tr}(E_j Y E_j) = \operatorname{tr}(Y) = 1.$$

On the other hand, take $x \in W(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S},\mathbf{E}}) \cap \{x \in \ell^1(\mathbb{R}) : x_i \geq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i = 1\}$, then there exists $\rho_0 \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$, $\rho_0 \geq 0$, $\text{tr}(\rho_0) = 1$ such that

$$x = \{\text{tr}(P_S E_j P_S \rho_0)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \text{tr}(P_S E_j P_S \rho_0) = 1.$$

Therefore, $Y = P_S \rho_0 P_S$ fulfills that $Y \geq 0$ and

$$1 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \text{tr}(P_S E_j P_S \rho_0) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \text{tr}(E_j P_S \rho_0 P_S E_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (P_S \rho_0 P_S)_{jj} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} Y_{jj} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (P_S Y P_S)_{jj}.$$

Then, $Y \in \mathcal{D}_S$ and $x \in m_S$ by Lemma 1. \square

In the same context, we can define the classic joint numerical range

Definition 3. Consider a sequence $\mathbf{A} = \{A_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{K}(H)^{\mathbb{N}}$ of self-adjoint hermitian compact operators A_j with bounded norm ($\|A_j\| \leq c$, for all j). We define the classic joint numerical range of \mathbf{A} by

$$(2.8) \quad W_{class}(\mathbf{A}) = \{\{\langle A_j x, x \rangle\}_{j=1}^{\infty} : x \in H, \|x\| = 1\}.$$

Note that $|\langle A_j x, x \rangle| \leq \|A_j x\| \leq \|A_j\| \leq c$ which implies $\{\langle A_j x, x \rangle\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and therefore $W_{class}(\mathbf{A}) \subset \ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.

In the particular case when $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S},\mathbf{E}}$ then $W_{class}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S},\mathbf{E}}) \subset \ell^1(\mathbb{R})$. This follows because $\rho_x = x \otimes x \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\text{tr}(P_S E_i P_S \rho_x) = |(P_S x)_{i,i}|^2 = |\langle P_S x, e_j \rangle|^2$, which implies that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |(P_S x)_{i,i}|^2 = \|P_S x\|^2 \leq 1$ and

$$W_{class}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S},\mathbf{E}}) = \{\{|\langle P_S x, e_j \rangle|^2\}_{j=1}^{\infty} : x \in H, \|x\| = 1\} = \{|P_S x|^2 : x \in H, \|x\| = 1\}.$$

Definition 4. By extension, we define for $\mathbf{A} = \{A_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{K}(H)^{\mathbb{N}}$ with $\|A_j\| \leq c$, for all j , the classic p -joint numerical radius as

$$(2.9) \quad w_{class,p}(\mathbf{A}) = \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |\langle A_j x, x \rangle|^p \right)^{1/p} : x \in H, \|x\| = 1 \right\}, \text{ for } 1 \leq p \leq \infty$$

And, as it occurs with $w_p(\mathbf{A})$, $w_{class,p}(\mathbf{A})$ may be ∞ , and it depends on the family \mathbf{A} . Indeed, observe that if we consider a fixed unitary $x \in H$ and define \bar{x} such that $\bar{x}_j = \langle A_j x, x \rangle$, for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\|\bar{x}\|_p = \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |\langle A_j x, x \rangle|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \|\bar{x}\|_1,$$

for every $p \geq 1$ since $\bar{x} \in W_{class}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S},\mathbf{E}}) \subset \ell^1(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore, $w_{class,p}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S},\mathbf{E}})$ is a finite number for every $p \geq 1$.

Remark 3. Observe that W_{class} is not a convex set even for a finite family \mathbf{A} of cardinal greater than one (there are several examples in the literature, such as in [5], [10] and [12]).

Proposition 2. If $\dim S < \infty$ and $W_{class}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S},\mathbf{E}})$ is convex then

$$(2.10) \quad W_{class}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S},\mathbf{E}}) = W(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S},\mathbf{E}}).$$

Proof. Recall that $W_{class}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S},\mathbf{E}}) = \{(\text{tr}(P_S E_1 P_S (x \otimes x)), \dots, \text{tr}(P_S E_n P_S (x \otimes x)), \dots) : x \in H, \|x\| = 1\} = \{(\langle P_S E_1 P_S x, x \rangle, \dots, \langle P_S E_n P_S x, x \rangle, \dots) : x \in H, \|x\| = 1\}$. Then since

$|s|^2 = (\langle P_S E_1 P_S s, s \rangle, \dots, \langle P_S E_n P_S s, s \rangle, \dots)$ holds that $\{|s|^2 : s \in S, \|s\| = 1\} \subset W_{class}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S},\mathbf{E}})$. Now item b) of Proposition 1 and the assumed convexity of $W_{class}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S},\mathbf{E}})$ imply that

$$m_S = \text{conv}\{|s|^2 : s \in S, \|s\| = 1\} \subset W_{class}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S},\mathbf{E}})$$

The same arguments used to prove (2.12) give that $(0, \dots, 0, \dots) \in W_{\text{class}}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{E}})$ and hence the convexity of $W_{\text{class}}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{E}})$ imply that

$$\{tx : 0 \leq t \leq 1 \text{ and } x \in m_S\} \subset W_{\text{class}}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{E}})$$

Corollary 3 and the fact that the inclusion $W_{\text{class}}(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{E}}) \subset W(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{E}})$ always holds proves equality (2.10). \square

Proposition 3. *Following the notations of \mathcal{D}_S from (2.3), W of (2.1) from Definition 1 and $W(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{E}})$ from (2.5), the following equality holds*

$$(2.11) \quad W(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{E}}) = \{tx : 0 \leq t \leq 1 \text{ and } x \in m_S\} = \bigcup_{t \in [0,1]} \{t(\text{tr}(\mu P_S E_1 P_S), \text{tr}(\mu P_S E_2 P_S), \dots) : \mu \in \mathcal{D}_S\}$$

and hence

$$\text{cone}(W(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{E}})) = \text{cone}(m_S).$$

Proof. The first equality in (2.11) can be proved in a similar way as done in [9, Proposition 6.4] and the beginning of Section 7 of the same paper.

Consider $\rho_x = x \otimes x$ with $x \in S^\perp$, $\|x\| = 1$. Then

$$(2.12) \quad (\text{tr}(P_S E_1 P_S \rho_x), \dots, \text{tr}(P_S E_n P_S \rho_x), \dots) = (0, \dots, 0, \dots) \in W(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{E}}).$$

Next observe that item e) of Proposition 1 implies $m_S \subset W(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{E}})$, and then (2.12) and the convexity of $W(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{E}})$ prove that $\{tx : 0 \leq t \leq 1 \text{ and } x \in m_S\} \subset W(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{E}})$.

Now consider a non-zero $w = (\text{tr}(P_S E_1 P_S \rho), \dots, \text{tr}(P_S E_n P_S \rho), \dots) \in W(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{E}})$. Then $w = tx$ for $t = \text{tr}(P_S \rho P_S) \leq 1$ (see Equation (2.6)) and $x = \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P_S \rho P_S)} w \in m_S$ since $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i = 1$ (item e) of Proposition 1). Hence $w = tx \in \{tx : 0 \leq t \leq 1 \text{ and } x \in m_S\}$ and the inclusion

$$W(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{E}}) \subset \{tx : 0 \leq t \leq 1 \text{ and } x \in m_S\}$$

holds.

For the second equality in (2.11), consider $\rho \in \mathcal{D}$ and $(\text{tr}(\rho P_S E_1 P_S), \text{tr}(\rho P_S E_2 P_S), \dots) \in W(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{E}})$. We separate in two different cases:

- If $\text{tr}(P_S \rho P_S) \neq 0$, then, there exist $t \in (0, 1]$ (for example $t = \text{tr}(P_S \rho P_S)$) and $\mu \in \mathcal{D}_S$ such that $P_S \rho P_S = t\mu$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{tr}(\rho P_S E_1 P_S), \text{tr}(\rho P_S E_2 P_S), \dots) = (\text{tr}(P_S \rho P_S E_1 P_S), \text{tr}(P_S \rho P_S E_2 P_S), \dots) \\ &= \text{tr}(P_S \rho P_S) \left(\frac{1}{\text{tr}(P_S \rho P_S)} \text{tr}(P_S \rho P_S E_1 P_S), \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P_S \rho P_S)} \text{tr}(P_S \rho P_S E_2 P_S), \dots \right) \\ &= t \left(\frac{1}{\text{tr}(P_S \rho P_S)} \text{tr}(P_S \rho P_S E_1 P_S), \frac{1}{\text{tr}(P_S \rho P_S)} \text{tr}(P_S \rho P_S E_2 P_S), \dots \right) \\ &= t (\text{tr}(\mu P_S E_1 P_S), \text{tr}(\mu P_S E_2 P_S), \dots), \end{aligned}$$

with $t \in (0, 1]$.

- If $\text{tr}(P_S \rho P_S) = 0$ and since $P_S \rho P_S \geq 0$, then $P_S \rho P_S = 0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{tr}(\rho P_S E_1 P_S), \text{tr}(\rho P_S E_2 P_S), \dots) = (\text{tr}(P_S \rho P_S E_1 P_S), \text{tr}(P_S \rho P_S E_2 P_S), \dots) \\ &= (0, 0, \dots) \\ &= 0 (\text{tr}(\mu P_S E_1 P_S), \text{tr}(\mu P_S E_2 P_S), \dots), \end{aligned}$$

\square

Remark 4. Analogously as in Proposition 3, it can be proved that for any family $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S},\mathbf{T}} = \{P_S T_n P_S\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, with $\{T_n\} \subset \mathcal{K}(H)^h$,

$$W(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{S},\mathbf{T}}) = \bigcup_{t \in [0,1]} \{t(\operatorname{tr}(\mu P_S T_1 P_S), \operatorname{tr}(\mu P_S T_2 P_S), \dots) : \mu \in \mathcal{D}_S\}$$

holds.

We obtain the next upper bound for the Hausdorff distance between two moments, equipped with $\|z\|_\infty = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |z_i|$, for $z \in \ell^1(\mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 2. Let S and V subspaces of H . Then, $\operatorname{dist}_H(m_S, m_V) \leq 2$. Moreover, if $S \perp V$, then

$$\operatorname{dist}_H(m_S, m_V) \leq 1.$$

Proof. Let S, T subspaces of H , $x \in m_S$ and $y \in m_V$. Then, $x = \{\operatorname{tr}(E_i Y)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $Y \in \mathcal{D}_S$, $y = \{\operatorname{tr}(E_i Z)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $Z \in \mathcal{D}_V$ and

$$\|x - y\|_\infty = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |\operatorname{tr}(E_i Y) - \operatorname{tr}(E_i Z)| = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |\operatorname{tr}(E_i Y E_i) - \operatorname{tr}(E_i Z E_i)| = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |Y_{i,i} - Z_{i,i}| \leq 2,$$

since $\|Y\|_1 = \|Z\|_1 = 1$.

In the case $S \perp V$, observe that $Y, Z \geq 0$ and $YZ = Y P_S P_V Z = 0$ (disjoint support). Then, by Proposition 3 in [6]

$$\|Y - tZ\| = \|Y + tZ\| = \max\{\|Y\|; \|Z\|\} \leq 1, \forall t \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Therefore,

$$\operatorname{dist}_H(m_S, m_V) = \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in m_S} d(x, m_V); \sup_{y \in m_V} d(y, m_S) \right\} \leq 1$$

if $S \perp V$ (for any S and T , $\operatorname{dist}_H(m_S, m_V) \leq 2$). \square

The following lines are inspired in Remark 5 of [9]. Let S be a finite dimensional subspace of H . The element of m_S defined by

$$(2.13) \quad c(m_S) = \frac{1}{\dim S} \sum_{i=1}^{\dim S} |s^i|^2 = \frac{1}{\dim S} \operatorname{Diag}(P_S)$$

for any orthonormal basis $\{s^1, s^2, \dots, s^r\}$ of S fulfills some interesting symmetric properties in the moment set m_S .

Let $\operatorname{aff}(X)$ denote the affine hull of $X \subset B(H)$. Since \mathcal{D}_S can be characterized as a subset of $M_n^h(\mathbb{C})$, then $\dim(\operatorname{aff}(\mathcal{D}_S)) < \infty$ and $\dim(\operatorname{aff}(\operatorname{Diag}(\mathcal{D}_S))) < \infty$. Hence the following result follows with almost the same proof of its finite dimensional counterpart in [9, Proposition 3.4] by an application of the Hahn-Banach hyperplane separation theorem.

Proposition 4. Let $S \subset H$ be a subspace of $\dim(S) \geq 2$. Then $\dim(\operatorname{aff}(\mathcal{D}_S)) < \infty$, $\dim(\operatorname{aff}(m_S)) < \infty$ and $c(m_{S,E})$ is an interior point of m_S relative to the affine hull of m_S .

Proof. The finiteness of the dimensions of $\operatorname{aff}(\mathcal{D}_S)$ and $\operatorname{aff}(m_S)$ was discussed in the previous paragraph.

Now suppose that $c = c(m_{S,E})$ is not an interior point relative to the affine hull $\operatorname{aff}(m_S)$ of m_S with $\dim(\operatorname{aff}(m_S)) = d$. Then the compactness and convexity of m_S (see Remark 1) imply that c belongs to its boundary. Now consider $\operatorname{aff}(m_S) = c + T \subset \ell^1(\mathbb{R})$ for a real subspace T , $\dim T = d$. With these assumptions there exists a functional $f : \ell^1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(c) = k$ and $f(x) \leq k, \forall x \in m_S$. Let us suppose that there exists $v \in S$, $\|v\| = 1$ such that $|v|^2 \in m_S$ and $f(|v|^2) < k$. Now extend the vector

$v = s^1$ to an orthonormal basis $\{s^i\}_{i=1}^r$ of S (with $r = \dim S$). Then from the definition of c in (2.13), it follows that $c = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r |s^i|^2$, and therefore, using the linearity of f

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} k &= f(c) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r f(|s^i|^2) \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow k = f(c) = \frac{1}{r} f(|s^1|^2) + \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=2}^r f(|s^i|^2) < \frac{k}{r} + \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=2}^r f(|s^i|^2) \leq \frac{k}{r} + \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=2}^r k = k, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Using the characterization of m_S from Proposition 1 b) it must be $f(|x|^2) = k$ for every $x \in S$, with $\|x\| = 1$. But this implies that $\text{aff}(m_S)$ has at least one dimension less than d . Then c cannot be a boundary point of m_S in $\text{aff}(m_S)$. \square

Remark 5. Note that the real affine hull of m_S is $\text{aff}(m_S) = \text{Diag}(\mathcal{B}_S^h)$ where $\mathcal{B}_S^h = \{X \in B(H) : P_S X = X P_S \text{ and } X^* = X\}$.

Proposition 5. Let S be a non-trivial finite dimensional subspace of H with $\dim S = r$, and E be a fixed basis of H and $c(m_S)$ defined as in (2.13). Then $c(m_S)$ satisfies the following properties.

- (1) $c(m_S) \in m_S$.
- (2) $c(m_S)$ coincides with the barycenter or centroid of the simplex generated by $\{|w^1|^2, |w^2|^2, \dots, |w^r|^2\} \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^N$ obtained from any orthonormal basis $\{w^1, w^2, \dots, w^r\}$ of S .
- (3) Let V another subspace of H with $\dim V = k$, such that $S \perp V$. Then,

$$(2.15) \quad c(m_{S \perp V}) = \frac{1}{r+k} (r c(m_S) + k c(m_V)).$$

This can be generalized to any number of mutually orthogonal subspaces.

- (4) Given a subspace $D \subset S$, with $\dim D = d < \dim S = r$, then $c(m_{S \ominus D}) = c(m_{S \cap D^\perp}) = \frac{1}{r-d} (r c(m_S) - d c(m_D))$.
- (5) Let S and V be two subspaces of \mathbb{C}^n with dimensions r and k respectively, and $D = S \cap V$ of dimension d such that $(S \cap D^\perp) \perp (V \cap D^\perp)$ holds. Then $c(m_{S+V}) = \frac{1}{r+k-d} (r c(m_S) + k c(m_V) - d c(m_D))$.

The proof follows the same ideas of the corresponding ones in [9, Proposition 3.5].

Remark 6. Note the similarity of the equation (2.15) with the one used to calculate the geometric centroid or barycenter of m disjoint sets A_j with $j = 1, \dots, m$ using $c(\cup_{j=1}^m A_j) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m c(A_j) \mu(A_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^m \mu(A_j)}$, where μ is the corresponding measure.

As it was done in [11] in finite dimensions, we define analogously the notion of a pair subspaces of H that form a support (see [11, Theorem 3] for some equivalent definitions of a support).

Definition 5. Let S and T subspaces of H such that $\dim S = p$ and $\dim T = q$. We say that the pair (S, T) forms a support if $m_S \cap m_T \neq \emptyset$, or equivalently, if there exists orthonormal sets $\{v^i\}_{i=1}^p \subset S$ and $\{w^j\}_{j=1}^q \subset T$ such that

$$(2.16) \quad \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i |v^i|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^q \beta_j |w^j|^2,$$

with $\alpha_i, \beta_j \geq 0$, and $\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i = \sum_{j=1}^q \beta_j = 1$.

Observe that Definition 5 can be stated also for infinite dimensional subspaces S and T of H if there exist finite collections of orthogonal sets $\{v^i\}_{i=1}^p \subset S$ and $\{w^j\}_{j=1}^q \subset T$ that fulfill (2.16).

Remark 7. According to definition and [7, Corollary 10], given $C \in \mathcal{K}(H)^h$ with $\pm\|C\| \in \sigma(C)$, then C is a minimal operator if and only if the pair (S_+, S_-) is a support, where S_+ and S_- are the corresponding eigenspaces of $\pm\|C\|$.

3. PRINCIPAL VECTORS AND CURVES OF EXTREMAL POINTS IN m_S

In this section we generalize the definition of principal (standard) vectors given in Definition 4.2 of [9] to obtain the description of curves of extreme points in the moment set m_S . We include results that are a natural generalization of the ones contained in Sections 4 and 5 of [9].

3.1. Principal standard vectors.

Definition 6. We call a subspace $S \subset H$ a generic subspace with respect to the basis $E = \{e_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ if there exists $x \in S$ such that $\langle x, e_j \rangle \neq 0$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$. This definition is equivalent to any of the statements

- S is not included in the subspace $\text{span}\{e_j\}^\perp$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $(P_S(e_j))_j = \langle P_S e_j, e_j \rangle = \langle P_S e_j, P_S e_j \rangle = \|P_S e_j\|^2 \neq 0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Note that S can be infinite dimensional in this definition. Also observe that if S is not generic, we can work in another Hilbert space $\hat{H} \subset H$ where S can be embedded isometrically and such that S is generic in \hat{H} . Hence, in what follows we will suppose we are working with generic subspaces S of H .

Definition 7. Given a generic subspace S of H , we denote by

$$(3.1) \quad v^j = \frac{P_S e_j}{\|P_S e_j\|}$$

the unique principal (unitary) vectors related to the standard basis E that satisfy $(v^j)_j = v_j^j = \langle v^j, e_j \rangle = \|P_S e_j\| > 0$ and minimize the angle between S and $\text{span}\{e_j\}$, that is

$$\langle v^j, e_j \rangle = \max_{s \in S, \|s\|=1} |\langle s, e_j \rangle| = \|P_S e_j\| \leq 1$$

The uniqueness can be proved observing that if there exists $w \in S$ such that $\|w\| = 1$ and $\langle w, e_j \rangle = \langle v^j, e_j \rangle$, then

$$\|v^j - w\|^2 = \|v^j\|^2 + \|w\|^2 - 2\text{Re}(\langle v^j, w \rangle) = 0,$$

$$\text{since } \langle v^j, w \rangle = \frac{\langle e_j, w \rangle}{\|P_S e_j\|} = 1.$$

Lemma 3. The orthogonal projection P_S can be written matrixially and its infinite associated matrix related to the basis E has the following properties:

- (1) $(P_S)_{ij} = \langle P_S e_i, e_j \rangle = \|P_S e_i\| v_j^i$, for every $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (2) $(P_S)_{jj} = \langle P_S e_j, e_j \rangle = \|P_S e_j\|^2 = (v_j^j)^2$.
- (3) Since $P_S = P_S^*$, $\|P_S e_i\| v_j^i = \|P_S e_j\| \overline{v_i^j}$ and

$$\frac{\overline{v_i^j}}{v_j^i} = \begin{cases} \frac{\|P_S e_i\|}{\|P_S e_j\|} \neq 0 & \text{if } v_i^j, v_j^i \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } v_i^j = v_j^i = 0 \end{cases}$$

- (4) For each $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, $v_i^j = \langle v^j, e_i \rangle = \langle v^j, P_S e_i \rangle = \|P_S e_i\| \langle v^j, v^i \rangle$. Therefore, $v_i^j > 0$ and

$$0 = v_i^j \Leftrightarrow v^i \perp v^j.$$

Proposition 6. Let $\{v^j\}_{j=1}^\infty$, be the principal vectors defined in (3.1). Then the following statements hold.

(1) Given $w \in S$, with $\|w\| = 1$. Then, for every j ,

$$w_j = \|P_S e_j\| \langle w, v^j \rangle$$

and $|w_j| \leq v_j^j = |v_j^j|$.

(2) $v_j^j = |w_j|$ if and only if $w = e^{i \arg(w_j)} v^j$.

(3) In particular, $v_j^j = |v_j^k|$ if and only if $v^k = e^{i \arg(v_j^k)} v^j$. This is also equivalent to $|v_i^j| = |v_i^k|$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

(4) As a consequence, $\{v^j, v^k\}$ is linearly independent if and only if

$$v_j^j \neq |v_j^k| \Leftrightarrow v_k^k \neq |v_k^j|$$

Proof. Let $w \in S$ with $\|w\| = 1$, then there exists $v \in H$ such that $w = P_S v$ and by Lemma 3

$$w_j = \langle w, e_j \rangle = \langle P_S v, e_j \rangle = \langle w, P_S e_j \rangle = \|P_S e_j\| \langle w, v^j \rangle.$$

On the other hand, observe that $v_j^j = |w_j|$ yields to

$$v_j^j = \|P_S e_j\| |\langle w, v^j \rangle|,$$

or equivalently

$$\|w\| \|v\| = 1 = \langle v^j, v^j \rangle = |\langle w, v^j \rangle|.$$

Then, equality of Cauchy-Schwarz is attained if and only if w and v^j are multiples, that is $w = \lambda v^j$ with $|\lambda| = 1$.

Item (3) can be proved replacing $w = v^k$ in item (2). \square

The following result can be proved as a consequence of Proposition 6, using the same arguments that in Proposition 4.4 in [9].

Proposition 7. *Let S be a generic subspace of H . Then, $|v^j|^2 = (|v_1^j|^2, |v_2^j|^2, \dots, |v_n^j|^2, \dots)$ is an extreme point in m_S . Moreover, if $|v^j|^2$ is a convex combination of $|y|^2$ and $|z|^2$ with $y, z \in S$, then y and z must be multiples of v^j .*

3.2. Curves of extreme points in m_S .

Definition 8. *Let S be a generic subspace of H and v^j, v^k two linear independent principal standard vectors of S . We define the curve, $v^{j \rightarrow k} : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow S$*

$$(3.2) \quad v^{j \rightarrow k}(t) = \cos(t)v^j + \sin(t)e^{i \arg(v_k^j)} \frac{(v^k - \langle v^k, v^j \rangle v^j)}{\|v^k - \langle v^k, v^j \rangle v^j\|},$$

Next, we establish some properties of these curves in analogy with [9]. They can be proved using standard techniques.

Proposition 8. *Let S be a generic subspace of H with $\{v^j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ the collection of principal unitary vectors related to the standard basis E and S . The following properties hold:*

(1) *The vectors v^j and $\frac{(v^k - \langle v^k, v^j \rangle v^j)}{\|v^k - \langle v^k, v^j \rangle v^j\|}$ are unitary and orthogonal. Then $\|v^{j \rightarrow k}(t)\| = 1$ for every t , $v^{j \rightarrow k}(0) = v^j$ and*

$$\left\langle v^{j \rightarrow k}(t), e^{i \arg(v_k^j)} v^k \right\rangle \geq 0, \text{ for every } t \in [0, \pi/2].$$

(2) *By Lemma 3 the j and k coordinates of $v^{j \rightarrow k}(t)$ are*

$$(3.3) \quad v_j^{j \rightarrow k}(t) = \cos(t)v_j^j \quad \text{and} \quad v_k^{j \rightarrow k}(t) = \cos(t)v_k^j + \sin(t)e^{i \arg(v_k^j)} \sqrt{(v_k^k)^2 - |v_k^j|^2},$$

respectively.

(3) The restriction $v^{j \rightarrow k}(t) : [0, \frac{\pi}{2}] \rightarrow \text{Im}(v^{j \rightarrow k})$ is bijective.

(4) If $\beta^{j \rightarrow k}(t) = \cos(t) \frac{e_j}{\|P_S e_j\|} + \sin(t) e^{i \arg(v_k^j)} \frac{\left(\frac{e_k}{\|P_S e_k\|} - \langle v^k, v^j \rangle \frac{e_j}{\|P_S e_j\|} \right)}{\|v^k - \langle v^k, v^j \rangle v^j\|}$, then

$$v^{j \rightarrow k}(t) = P_S(\beta^{j \rightarrow k}(t)).$$

(5) If $e^{j \rightarrow k}(t) = \frac{\beta^{j \rightarrow k}(t)}{\|\beta^{j \rightarrow k}(t)\|}$, then

$$\langle v^{j \rightarrow k}(t), e^{j \rightarrow k}(t) \rangle = \max_{s \in S, \|s\|=1} |\langle s, e^{j \rightarrow k}(t) \rangle| = \|P_S(e^{j \rightarrow k}(t))\|$$

$$\text{and } v^{j \rightarrow k}(t) = \frac{e^{j \rightarrow k}(t)}{\|e^{j \rightarrow k}(t)\|}.$$

(6) If $w \in S$ with $\|w\| = 1$,

$$|\langle v^{j \rightarrow k}(t), e^{j \rightarrow k}(t) \rangle| = \langle v^{j \rightarrow k}(t), e^{j \rightarrow k}(t) \rangle \geq |\langle w, e^{j \rightarrow k}(t) \rangle|,$$

for all $t \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$. Moreover,

$$(3.4) \quad \langle v^{j \rightarrow k}(t), e^{j \rightarrow k}(t) \rangle = |\langle w, e^{j \rightarrow k}(t) \rangle| \Leftrightarrow w = e^{i \arg(\langle w, e^{j \rightarrow k}(t) \rangle)} v^{j \rightarrow k}(t).$$

(7) In particular,

$$\langle v^{j \rightarrow k}(t), e^{j \rightarrow k}(t) \rangle = |\langle v^{j \rightarrow k}(t_0), e^{j \rightarrow k}(t) \rangle|, \text{ for } t_0 \in [0, \pi/2]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow |\langle v^{j \rightarrow k}(t), e^{j \rightarrow k}(t) \rangle| = |\langle v^{j \rightarrow k}(t_0), e^{j \rightarrow k}(u) \rangle|, \forall u \in [0, \pi/2].$$

(8) As a consequence, the set $\{v^{j \rightarrow k}(t), v^{j \rightarrow k}(s)\}$ is linearly independent if and only if

$$|\langle v^{j \rightarrow k}(t), e^{j \rightarrow k}(t) \rangle| \neq |\langle v^{j \rightarrow k}(s), e^{j \rightarrow k}(t) \rangle|$$

Theorem 1. If $v^{j \rightarrow k}(t)$ is the curve defined in (3.2), with $t \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, and $x \in S$ with $\|x\| = 1$. Then, there exists a unique $t_x \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ such that

$$(3.5) \quad |x_j| = |v_j^{j \rightarrow k}(t_x)| \quad \text{and} \quad |x_k| \leq |v_k^{j \rightarrow k}(t_x)|.$$

Moreover, if

$$(3.6) \quad w^{jk} = e^{i \arg(v_k^j)} \frac{(v^k - \langle v^k, v^j \rangle v^j)}{\|v^k - \langle v^k, v^j \rangle v^j\|}$$

and $x = av^j + bw^{jk} + cy$ with $y \in S$ and y is orthogonal to v^j and w^{jk} , then $t_x = \arccos(|a|)$.

Proof. The proof is analogous to the finite dimensional case presented in [9, Theorem 5.5]. \square

Theorem 2. Let $S \subset H$ be a generic subspace, $\{v^j, v^k\}$ two linearly independent principal standard vectors, m_S the moment of S as in Proposition 1, and $\gamma_{j,k} : [0, \frac{\pi}{2}] \rightarrow m_S$, the curve defined by

$$(3.7) \quad \gamma_{j,k}(t) = |v^{j \rightarrow k}(t)|^2 = \left(|v_1^{j \rightarrow k}(t)|^2, |v_2^{j \rightarrow k}(t)|^2, \dots \right)$$

with $v^{j \rightarrow k}(t)$ as in (3.2). Then,

(1) $\left(|v_j^{j \rightarrow k}(t)|, |v_k^{j \rightarrow k}(t)| \right)$ is part of an ellipse in \mathbb{R}^2 centered at the origin.

(2) If v^j and v^k are orthogonal, then $\left(|v_j^{j \rightarrow k}(t)|^2, |v_k^{j \rightarrow k}(t)|^2 \right)$ parametrizes a segment that is in the boundary of the projection of m_S onto the plane spanned by e_j and e_k .

(3) If v^j and v^k are not orthogonal, then $\left(|v_j^{j \rightarrow k}(t)|^2, |v_k^{j \rightarrow k}(t)|^2\right)$ is an extreme point in the set $\{(x_j, x_k) : x \in m_S\}$ and $\gamma_{j,k}(t)$ is an extremal point of m_S for every $t \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$.

Proof. Using the coordinates of $v^{j \rightarrow k}(t)$ given in (3.3), it is evident that the pair $\left(|v_j^{j \rightarrow k}(t)|, |v_k^{j \rightarrow k}(t)|\right)$ is part of an ellipse centered at $(0, 0)$ for $t \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$.

On the other hand, observe that

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \left(|v_j^{j \rightarrow k}(t)|^2, |v_k^{j \rightarrow k}(t)|^2\right) &= \cos^2(t) \left((v_j^j)^2, |v_k^j|^2\right) + \sin^2(t) \left(0, (v_k^k)^2 - |v_k^j|^2\right) \\ &\quad + 2 \sin(t) \cos(t) \left(0, |v_k^j| \sqrt{(v_k^k)^2 - |v_k^j|^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\left(|v_j^{j \rightarrow k}(0)|^2, |v_k^{j \rightarrow k}(0)|^2\right) = \left((v_j^j)^2, |v_k^j|^2\right)$ and $\left(|v_j^{j \rightarrow k}(\frac{\pi}{2})|^2, |v_k^{j \rightarrow k}(\frac{\pi}{2})|^2\right) = \left(0, (v_k^k)^2 - |v_k^j|^2\right)$. So, there are different cases of this curve to explore:

a) The last term in (3.8) is 0 only if $t \in \{0, \pi/2\}$, $v_k^j = 0$ or $v_k^k = |v_k^j|$. This last condition cannot hold, since $\{v^j, v^k\}$ are linearly independent by hypothesis (see item (2) in Proposition 6). Then, (3.8) is a segment only when $v_k^j = 0$. In this case,

$$0 = v_k^j = \langle v^j, e^k \rangle = \|P_S e_k\| \langle v^j, v^k \rangle,$$

that is $v^j \perp v^k$.

b) Now, v^j and v^k are not orthogonal if and only if $v_k^j \neq 0$. Then the curve given by (3.8) can be viewed as the graph of a map $f : [0, (v_j^j)^2] \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ that is concave. Hence, using (3.5) it can be proved that $\left(|v_j^{j \rightarrow k}(t)|^2, |v_k^{j \rightarrow k}(t)|^2\right)$ is an extreme point in the set $\{(x_j, x_k) : x \in m_S\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Using this last fact and following the same steps than in [9, Theorem 5.6], it can be proved that $\gamma_{j,k}(t)$ is an extremal point of m_S for every $0 \leq t \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$. \square

Remark 8. As seen in Remark 5 the affine hull of m_S is finite dimensional if $\dim(S) < \infty$. Nevertheless, the extremal curves $\gamma_{j,k}$ mentioned in (3) of Theorem 2 might still be different for infinite pairs $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$. The following results give a more precise idea of these situation.

Theorem 3. Let $S \subset H$ be a generic subspace, $\{v^j, v^k\}$ two linearly independent principal standard vectors with $v^j \not\perp v^k$, and $\gamma_{j,k} : [0, \frac{\pi}{2}] \rightarrow m_S$ a curve defined as in (3.7). Then, if $\gamma_{m,n}$ is another curve of the form (3.7) with $\{v^m, v^n\}$ linearly independent satisfying $\gamma_{j,k}(t_0) = \gamma_{m,n}(t_1)$, then

$$\text{either } (v^j = v^m \wedge v^k = v^n) \text{ or } (v^j = v^n \wedge v^k = v^m).$$

Proof. The proof follows applying similar techniques as the ones used in [9, Theorem 5.6] in order to prove that the points $\gamma_{j,k}(t_0)$ are extremal. More precisely, if we suppose that $\gamma_{j,k}(t_0) = \gamma_{m,n}(t_1)$ it can be proved that $\gamma_{j,k}(t_0) = |v^m|^2 = |v^n|^2$ holds. Then using (3) of Proposition 6 this contradicts the supposition that v^m and v^n are linearly independent. \square

Corollary 1. Let $S \subset H$ be a generic subspace, $\{v^j, v^k\}$ and $\{v^m, v^n\}$ two pairs of linearly independent principal standard vectors with $v^j \not\perp v^k$. Then $\gamma_{j,k}$ and $\gamma_{m,n}$ do not intersect each other.

4. THE MOMENT m_S AND THE SPACE OF HERMITIAN TRACE ZERO $\dim S \times \dim S$ MATRICES

In this section we show that the subalgebra $\mathcal{B}_S = P_S B(H) P_S$ of $\mathcal{K}(H)$ is isometrically isomorphic with the space of $r \times r$ complex matrices.

Let $\{s^j\}_{j=1}^r$ be an orthonormal basis of S . Consider the standard basis in \mathbb{R}^r given by $R = \{(1, 0, \dots, 0), (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, (0, \dots, 0, 1)\}$ and denote these vectors with e_1, e_2, \dots, e_r as usual. Using this prefixed basis, we will denote by $e_i \otimes e_j$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq r$ the $r \times r$ rank one matrices defined by

$$e_i \otimes e_j = e_i \cdot (e_j)^t,$$

where e_k denotes the k^{th} element of R and $(e_k)^t$ its transpose.

We define the following sets

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_r^{h,0} &= \{M \in M_r(\mathbb{C}) : M = M^*, \text{tr}(M) = 0\} \\ \mathcal{V}_S^{h,0} &= \{A \in \mathcal{K}(H)^h : P_S A = A, \text{tr}(A) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

When the context is clear we will just denote them with \mathcal{M}_r and \mathcal{V}_S . It is evident that \mathcal{M}_r and \mathcal{V}_S are real subspaces of $M_r(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{K}(H)$, respectively. Observe that for \mathcal{D}_S as in (2.3)

$$m_S - \frac{1}{r} \text{Diag}(P_S) = \text{Diag} \left(\mathcal{D}_S - \frac{1}{r} P_S \right)$$

is a subset of \mathcal{V}_S since for every $Y \in \mathcal{D}_S$ holds that $Y - \frac{1}{r} P_S \in \mathcal{K}(H)^h$, $\text{tr}(Y - \frac{1}{r} P_S) = 0$ and

$$\text{aff}(m_S) - \frac{1}{r} \text{Diag}(P_S) \subseteq \text{Diag}(\mathcal{V}_S).$$

Proposition 9. *Let S be a finite dimensional subspace of H , \mathcal{D}_S as in (2.3) and \mathcal{V}_S as in (4.1). Then the following equality holds*

$$\text{aff}(\mathcal{D}_S) - \frac{1}{r} P_S = \mathcal{V}_S$$

and as a consequence $\text{Diag}(\text{aff}(\mathcal{D}_S) - \frac{1}{r} P_S) = \text{aff}(m_S) - \frac{1}{r} \text{Diag}(P_S) = \text{Diag}(\mathcal{V}_S)$.

Proof. Take first $X = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i Y_i \in \text{aff}(\mathcal{D}_S)$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $Y_i \in \mathcal{D}_S$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i = 1$. Then $\text{tr}(X - \frac{1}{r} P_S) = 1 - 1 = 0$, $X - \frac{1}{r} P_S$ is hermitian and $P_S(X - \frac{1}{r} P_S) = X - \frac{1}{r} P_S$ which proves that $\text{aff}(\mathcal{D}_S) - \frac{1}{r} P_S \subset \mathcal{V}_S$.

To prove the other inclusion let $Z \in \mathcal{V}_S$. Then $\text{tr}(Z) = 0$, $Z^* = Z$, and consider $Z = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i (v^i \otimes v^i)$ a spectral decomposition of Z with $\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i = 0$, $v^i \in S$, $\|v^i\| = 1$ and $v^i \perp v^j$ for $i \neq j$. Then since $P_S = \sum_{i=1}^r v^i \otimes v^i$

$$Z = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i (v^i \otimes v^i) + \frac{1}{r} P_S - \frac{1}{r} P_S = \sum_{i=1}^r \left(\lambda_i + \frac{1}{r} \right) (v^i \otimes v^i) - \frac{1}{r} P_S.$$

Observe that if $Y_i = v^i \otimes v^i$, for $i = 1, \dots, r$, then $\text{tr}(Y_i) = 1$, $0 \leq Y_i \in S$ and hence $Y_i = v^i \otimes v^i \in \mathcal{D}_S$. Moreover, $\sum_{i=1}^r (\lambda_i + \frac{1}{r}) = 0 + 1 = 1$ and hence $Z \in \text{aff}(\mathcal{D}_S) - \frac{1}{r} P_S$.

The equality $\text{Diag}(\text{aff}(\mathcal{D}_S) - \frac{1}{r} P_S) = \text{Diag}(\mathcal{V}_S)$ follows using the linearity of Diag and the fact that $\text{Diag}(\mathcal{D}_S) = m_S$. \square

Now define the following $r \times r$ hermitian matrices with zero trace of \mathcal{M}

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} W^{j,j} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+1/j}} \left(\left(\sum_{l=1}^j \frac{1}{j} e_l \otimes e_l \right) - e_{(j+1)} \otimes e_{(j+1)} \right), \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, r-1, \\ W^{k,j} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (e_k \otimes e_j + e_j \otimes e_k), \text{ for } k, j = 1, \dots, r \text{ and } k < j, \\ W^{k,j} &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (e_k \otimes e_j - e_j \otimes e_k), \text{ for } k, j = 1, \dots, r \text{ and } j < k \end{aligned}$$

and the trace zero self-adjoint operators of \mathcal{V} obtained using an orthonormal basis $\{s^l\}_{l=1}^r$ of S

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} V^{j,j} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+1/j}} \left(\left(\sum_{l=1}^j \frac{1}{j} s^l \otimes s^l \right) - s^{(j+1)} \otimes s^{(j+1)} \right), \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, r-1, \\ V^{k,j} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (s^k \otimes s^j + s^j \otimes s^k), \text{ for } k, j = 1, \dots, r \text{ and } k < j \\ V^{k,j} &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (s^k \otimes s^j - s^j \otimes s^k), \text{ for } k, j = 1, \dots, r \text{ and } j < k. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for the set $J = \{(k, j) : k = 1, \dots, r \wedge j = 1, \dots, r\} \setminus \{(r, r)\}$, easy calculations show that

$$\{W^{k,j}\}_{(k,j) \in J} \text{ and } \{V^{k,j}\}_{(k,j) \in J}$$

are real orthonormal basis for \mathcal{M}_r and \mathcal{V}_S respectively (taking the inner product given by the trace in both cases), and both subspaces have $\dim = r^2 - 1$. The set $\{W^{k,j}\}_{(k,j) \in J}$ without the normalization is known as the generalized Gell-Mann basis [2].

Remark 9. Let S be a finite dimensional subspace of H with a fixed orthonormal basis $\{s^j\}_{j=1}^r$. Observe that, with the notations presented in the previous discussion, the set $\{W^{k,j}\}_{(k,j) \in J} \cup \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} I \right\}$ is a real orthonormal basis of $M_r^h(\mathbb{C})$ and also a complex orthonormal basis of $M_r(\mathbb{C})$, that is

$$\text{span} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} I_r \right\} \oplus_{\mathbb{R}} \text{span} \{W^{k,j}\}_{(k,j) \in J} = M_r^h(\mathbb{C}),$$

and

$$\text{span} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} I_r \right\} \oplus_{\mathbb{C}} \text{span} \{W^{k,j}\}_{(k,j) \in J} = M_r(\mathbb{C}).$$

On the other hand, the subspace $\text{span} \{P_S\} \oplus_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{V}_S$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{K}(H)$, and it can be identified with $\mathcal{B}_S = P_S B(H) P_S = \text{span} \{P_S\} \oplus_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{V}_S$. In this context $\left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} P_S \right\} \cup \{V^{k,j}\}_{(k,j) \in J}$ is also an orthonormal basis (respect the trace inner product) of the real subspace \mathcal{B}_S^h of its hermitian operators.

Proposition 10. Using the previous notations we define the bijective linear operator $U : M_r(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_S$ on the orthonormal matrices defined (4.2) and $\frac{I_r}{r}$ in the following way

$$\begin{cases} U(W^{k,j}) &= V^{k,j} \text{ for every } (k, j) \in J \\ U(I_r) &= P_S, \end{cases}$$

where the operators $V^{k,j}$ are defined in (4.3).

Then, for every $A, B \in M_r(\mathbb{C})$

- (1) $\text{tr}(U(A)) = \text{tr}(A)$.
- (2) $(U(A))^* = U(A^*)$.
- (3) $(U(A))^* = U(A)$ if and only if $A = A^*$.
- (4) $U(AB) = U(A)U(B)$ and $U^{-1}(U(A)U(B)) = AB$.
- (5) If $A \in M_r(\mathbb{C})$ is invertible, then $U(A)$ is invertible in the algebra \mathcal{B}_S and $U(A^{-1})U(A) = P_S$.
- (6) $A \geq 0$ if and only if $U(A) \geq 0$.
- (7) $\langle U(A), U(B) \rangle_{\text{tr}} = \text{tr}(U(A)(U(B))^*) = \text{tr}(AB^*) = \langle A, B \rangle_{M_r(\mathbb{C})}$ (U is unitary).
- (8) $P \in M_r(\mathbb{C})$ is a projection if and only if $U(P)$ is a projection.
- (9) $U(\{R \in M_r^h(\mathbb{C}) : R \geq 0 \wedge \text{tr}(R) = 1\}) = \mathcal{D}_S$ (with \mathcal{D}_S as in (2.3)).

Proof. First observe that any $A \in M_r(\mathbb{C})$ can be written in terms of the orthonormal basis defined in Remark 9:

$$A = a_r I_r + \sum_{(k,j) \in J} a_{kj} W^{k,j}, \text{ with } a_r, a_{kj} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Then,

$$U(A) = U \left(a_r I_r + \sum_{(k,j) \in J} a_{kj} W^{k,j} \right) = a_r P_S + \sum_{(k,j) \in J} a_{kj} V^{k,j}$$

- (1) $\text{tr}(U(A)) = \text{tr} \left(a_r P_S + \sum_{(k,j) \in J} a_{kj} V^{k,j} \right) = a_r r + \sum_{(k,j) \in J} a_{kj} \text{tr}(V^{k,j}) = a_r r = \text{tr}(A)$.
- (2) The result is obvious since $(U(A))^* = \bar{a}_r P_S + \sum_{(k,j) \in J} \bar{a}_{kj} V^{k,j}$ and $A^* = \bar{a}_r I_r + \sum_{(k,j) \in J} \bar{a}_{kj} W^{k,j}$.
- (3) If $A = A^*$, it is a direct consequence from item (2) that $(U(A))^* = U(A)$. On the other hand, if $(U(A))^* = U(A)$, then $U(A) = U(A^*)$ and

$$a_r P_S + \sum_{(k,j) \in J} a_{kj} V^{k,j} = \bar{a}_r P_S + \sum_{(k,j) \in J} \bar{a}_{kj} V^{k,j}$$

which means that $a_r, a_{kj} \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, $A = A^*$.

- (4) According to (4) in [3] there exist complex coefficients α_r and $\alpha_{l,l'}$ such that every product of elements of $\{W^{k,j}\}_{(k,j) \in J}$ can be written as

$$W^{k,j} W^{k',j'} = \alpha_r I_r + \sum_{(l,l') \in J} \alpha_{k,j,k',j',l,l'} W^{l,l'},$$

and similarly

$$V^{k,j} V^{k',j'} = \alpha_r P_S + \sum_{(l,l') \in J} \alpha_{k,j,k',j',l,l'} V^{l,l'}$$

for $(l,l') \in J$, with the same coefficients $\alpha_{k,j,k',j',l,l'} \in \mathbb{C}$. This follows considering the definitions (4.2) and (4.3) and the orthonormality of the basis $\{e_l\}_{l=1}^r$ and $\{s\}_{l=1}^r$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} U \left(W^{k,j} W^{k',j'} \right) &= \alpha_r U(I_r) + \sum_{(l,l') \in J} \alpha_{k,j,k',j',l,l'} U \left(W^{l,l'} \right) = \alpha_r P_S + \sum_{(l,l') \in J} \alpha_{k,j,k',j',l,l'} V^{l,l'} \\ &= V^{k,j} V^{k',j'} = U \left(W^{k,j} \right) U \left(W^{k',j'} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, applying this property, the fact that $\{W^{k,j}\}_{(k,j) \in J} \cup \{\frac{I_r}{r}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $M_r(\mathbb{C})$ and the linearity of U imply that $U(AB) = U(A)U(B)$ for all $A, B \in M_r(\mathbb{C})$.

The equality $AB = U^{-1}(U(A)U(B))$ follows similarly.

- (5) Follows directly from item (4), since $U(A^{-1})U(A) = U(A^{-1}A) = U(I_r) = P_S$.
- (6) If $A \geq 0$ there exists $T \in M_r(\mathbb{C})$ such that $A = T^*T$. Then, using items 3 and 4

$$U(A) = U(T^*T) = (U(T))^* U(T) \geq 0.$$

On the other hand, if $U(A) \geq 0$, then there exists $K \in \mathcal{K}(H)$ such that $U(A) = K^*K$. Moreover, since \mathcal{B}_S is a subalgebra $K \in \mathcal{B}_S$. Then, $K = U(B)$ with $B \in M_r(\mathbb{C})$,

$$U(A) = U(B)^* U(B) = U(B^*B),$$

and $A = B^*B \geq 0$.

(7) Using that $\{W^{k,j}\}_{(k,j) \in J}$ and $\{V^{k,j}\}_{(k,j) \in J}$ are orthonormal sets of zero trace, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}(U(A)U(B)^*) &= \operatorname{tr} \left(U \left(a_r I_r + \sum_{(k,j) \in J} a_{k,j} W^{k,j} \right) U \left(\bar{b}_r I_r + \sum_{(k,j) \in J} \bar{b}_{k,j} W^{k,j} \right) \right) \\
&= \operatorname{tr} \left(\left(a_r P_S + \sum_{(k,j) \in J} a_{k,j} V^{k,j} \right) \left(\bar{b}_r P_S + \sum_{(k,j) \in J} \bar{b}_{k,j} V^{k,j} \right) \right) \\
&= \operatorname{tr} \left(a_r \bar{b}_r P_S + \sum_{(k,j) \in J} a_{k,j} \bar{b}_{k,j} V^{k,j} \right) \\
&= a_r \bar{b}_r = \operatorname{tr}(AB^*).
\end{aligned}$$

The items (8) and (9) can be proved easily using the previous items (1), (4), (6) and (7). \square

Remark 10. The restriction $U|_{M_r^h(\mathbb{C})}$ is a (real) isometric isomorphism between $M_r^h(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{B}_S^h = P_S B(H)^h P_S = \operatorname{span}\{P_S\} \oplus_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{V}_S$. Additionally, $U|_{\mathcal{M}_r}$ is an isometry between \mathcal{M}_r and \mathcal{V}_S .

Corollary 2. With the same notations of the previous paragraphs, the following two joint numerical ranges coincide

$$W(P_S E_1 P_S, \dots, P_S E_n P_S) = W(U^{-1}(P_S E_1 P_S), \dots, U^{-1}(P_S E_n P_S)), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. The proof follows directly from properties (1), (4), (6) and (9) of Proposition 10. \square

Remark 11. In the finite dimensional case, a similar result as the one in Corollary 2 can be obtained as mentioned in Remark 6.3 (3) of [9]. In that description the joint numerical ranges of a subspace $S \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ are related with joint numerical ranges of $\dim(S) \times \dim(S)$ matrices.

5. CONDITION OF MINIMALITY USING FINITE $n \times n$ MATRICES

Let S, V be orthogonal subspaces of H with $\dim(S) = r$ and $\dim(V) = t$. In this section we will use the operators $U_S : M_r(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_S$ and $U_V : M_t(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_V$ defined in Proposition 10 to relate some properties of S and V with the more manageable case of $r \times r$ and $t \times t$ hermitian matrices.

For every $q \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the real functionals $\varphi_q : M_r^h(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\varphi_q(M) = \langle U(M)e_q, e_q \rangle = (U(M)_{E,E})_{q,q}$$

(the q, q diagonal entry of $U(M)$ considering the standard basis E).

By the Dimension Theorem, $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\ker(\varphi_q)) = r^2 - 1$ and hence $\dim(\ker(\varphi_q)^\perp) = 1$. Therefore, φ_q can be written as

$$\varphi_q(M) = \langle M, Q_q \rangle_{\operatorname{tr}} = \operatorname{tr}(Q_q M),$$

with some $Q_q \in \ker(\varphi_q)^\perp \subset M_r^h(\mathbb{C})$ and $\|Q_q\|_2 = \sqrt{\operatorname{tr}((Q_q)^2)} = 1$.

Now suppose $M \in M_r^h(\mathbb{C})$ is written as $M = a_{r,r} \frac{I_r}{\sqrt{r}} + \sum_{(k,j) \in J} a_{k,j} W^{k,j}$, where $a_{k,j} \in \mathbb{R}$ are its coordinates in the orthonormal basis of the real space $M_r^h(\mathbb{C})$ (see Remark 9). Then, for $q \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_q(M) &= \langle U(M)e_h, e_h \rangle_H = (U(M)_{E,E})_{h,h} = a_{r,r} \left(U \left(\frac{I_r}{\sqrt{r}} \right) \right)_{h,h} + \sum_{(k,j) \in J} a_{k,j} (U(W^{k,j})_{E,E})_{h,h} \\
(5.1) \quad &= a_{r,r} \left(\frac{P_S}{\sqrt{r}} \right)_{h,h} + \sum_{(k,j) \in J} a_{k,j} (V_{E,E}^{k,j})_{h,h} = \langle M, Q_q \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

for $Q_q = \left(\frac{P_S}{\sqrt{r}} \right)_{q,q} \frac{L_r^h}{\sqrt{r}} + \sum_{(k,j) \in J} \left(V_{E,E}^{k,j} \right)_{q,q} W^{k,j} \in M_r^h(\mathbb{C})$.

Note that the vector Q_q cannot be null since we are supposing that the subspace S is generic (otherwise the h, h coordinate in the E basis would be 0 for every operator in S). Therefore, for $e_q \in E$ (standard basis in $K(H)$)

$$(U(M)_{E,E})_{q,q} = \langle U(M)e_q, e_q \rangle = \text{tr}(Q_q M).$$

Then, we can define $\varphi : M_r^h(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Diag}(\mathcal{B}^h(S)) \subset \ell^1(\mathbb{R})$ as $\varphi(M) = \text{Diag}(U(M))$ and calculate it using

$$\varphi(M) = (\varphi_1(M), \varphi_2(M), \dots, \varphi_q(M), \dots) = (\text{tr}(Q_1 M), \text{tr}(Q_2 M), \dots, \text{tr}(Q_q M), \dots).$$

5.1. Intersection of joint numerical ranges in terms of families with a finite number of operators. Let S an r -dimensional subspace of H as before, and consider \mathcal{B}_S^h , with $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{B}_S^h) = r^2$. Then define $\phi : \mathcal{B}_S^h \rightarrow \text{Diag}(\mathcal{B}_S^h) \subset K^h(H)$ as $\phi(A) = \text{Diag}(A)$, where Diag is the diagonal in the standard E basis of H . Note that since $S \subset H$ is finite dimensional then we can consider $\text{Diag}(\mathcal{B}_S^h) \subset \ell^1(\mathbb{R})$.

In this context, since $\phi_n(A) = A_{n,n}$ (the n, n entry of $\text{Diag}(A)$) is a functional of the space \mathcal{B}_S^h , there exist operators $T_n \in \mathcal{B}_S^h$, with $\|T_n\|_2 = 1$, such that

$$(5.2) \quad \phi(A) = \text{Diag}(\{\text{tr}(AT_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = \text{Diag}(A).$$

Similarly, for another subspace V of H that is orthogonal to S , with $\dim(V) = t$ we can define $\psi : \mathcal{B}_V^h \rightarrow \text{Diag}(\mathcal{B}_V^h) \subset K^h(H)$ as $\psi(C) = \text{Diag}(C)$. And also in this case there exist operators $L_n \in \mathcal{B}_V^h$, with $\|L_n\|_2 = 1$, such that

$$(5.3) \quad \psi(C) = \text{Diag}(\{\text{tr}(CL_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = \text{Diag}(C).$$

Proposition 11. Let ϕ as in (5.2), ψ in (5.3) and define $\Delta : \mathcal{B}_S^h \oplus \mathcal{B}_V^h \rightarrow \text{Diag}(K^h(H))$ as

$$(5.4) \quad \Delta(A, C) = \phi(A) - \psi(C), \quad \text{for } A \in \mathcal{B}_S^h \text{ and } C \in \mathcal{B}_V^h.$$

Then there exists (after a suitable reordering of the basis E) a finite subset of $\{(T_n, L_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ that we will denote with $\{(T_n, L_n)\}_{i=1}^m$ such that

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} (A, C) \in \ker(\Delta) &\Leftrightarrow \text{Diag}(A) = \text{Diag}(C) \\ &\Leftrightarrow (A, -C) \perp (T_n, L_n), \forall n = 1, \dots, m \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first equivalence follows directly from the definition of Δ .

On the other hand we have that $(A, C) \in \ker(\Delta) \Leftrightarrow \text{Diag}(A) = \text{Diag}(C) \Leftrightarrow (A, -C) \perp (T_n, L_n), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore we only need to prove that (after reordering the basis E) there exist $\{(T_n, L_n)\}_{i=1}^m$ such that if $(A, -C) \perp (T_n, L_n), \forall n = 1, \dots, m$, then $(A, C) \in \ker(\Delta)$. For this purpose, recall that since S and V are finite dimensional subspaces of H , then also \mathcal{B}_S^h and \mathcal{B}_V^h are finite dimensional \mathbb{R} -subspaces of $B^h(H)$. Hence $\dim(\mathcal{B}_S^h \oplus \mathcal{B}_V^h) = r^2 + t^2 < \infty$, and then $\dim(\text{span}(\{(T_n, L_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})) \leq r^2 + t^2$. To alleviate the notation, we can reorder the diagonal entries by conjugation of unitary operators obtained after permutation of the corresponding rows and columns of the identity matrix in the E basis. After this we can suppose that $\{(T_n, L_n)\}_{n=1}^m$ is a finite basis of $\text{span}(\{(T_n, L_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$. Then, it is apparent that for $(A, C) \in \mathcal{B}_S^h \oplus \mathcal{B}_V^h$, $(A, C) \perp \text{span}(\{(T_n, L_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ if and only if $(X, Y) \perp \text{span}(\{(T_n, L_n)\}_{n=1}^m)$. \square

Remark 12. Observe that we can also describe Δ in terms of multiplication of matrices using the orthogonal basis \mathcal{V}_S and \mathcal{V}_V

$$\Delta(A, C) = \begin{pmatrix} [T_1]_{\mathcal{V}_S} & [L_1]_{\mathcal{V}_V} \\ [T_2]_{\mathcal{V}_S} & [L_2]_{\mathcal{V}_V} \\ \dots & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}_{\infty \times (r^2+t^2)} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} [A]_{\mathcal{V}_S} \\ -[C]_{\mathcal{V}_V} \end{pmatrix}_{(r^2+t^2) \times 1},$$

where we denoted with $[\]_{\mathcal{V}_S}$ and $[\]_{\mathcal{V}_V}$ the coordinates of the corresponding hermitian operators in the basis \mathcal{V}_S and \mathcal{V}_V respectively (see Remark 9).

Corollary 3. *Let $\{(T_n, L_n)\}_{n=1}^m$ be as in Proposition 11 (see (5.4) and (5.5)). Then, for $A \in B^h(S)$, $C \in B^h(V)$*

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Diag}(A) = \text{Diag}(C) &\Leftrightarrow (A, -C) \perp (T_n, L_n), \forall n = 1, \dots, m \\ &\Leftrightarrow A_{n,n} = C_{n,n}, \forall n = 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This follows after observing that if $(A, -C) \perp (T_n, L_n)$ then $0 = \text{tr}(AT_n) + \text{tr}(-CL_n) = A_{n,n} - C_{n,n}$ (see (5.2), (5.3), (5.4)). Hence $\text{Diag}(A) = \text{Diag}(C)$ if and only if $(A, -C) \perp (T_n, L_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ which in term is equivalent to $(A, -C) \perp (T_n, L_n)$ for $n = 1, \dots, m$ after using Proposition 11. \square

Corollary 4. *Let $\{(T_n, L_n)\}_{n=1}^m$ be as in Proposition 11 (see (5.4) and (5.5)). The following statements are equivalent*

- a) $\dim(\text{span}(\{(T_n, L_n)\}_{n=1}^m)) < \dim(\mathcal{B}_S^h \oplus \mathcal{B}_V^h)$
- b) \exists a not null pair $(A, C) \in \mathcal{B}_S^h \oplus \mathcal{B}_V^h$ such that $\text{Diag}(A) = \text{Diag}(C)$.

Proof. Recall that $\{(T_n, L_n)\}_{n=1}^m$ is a basis of $\ker(\Delta)^\perp = \{(A, C) \in \mathcal{B}_S^h \oplus \mathcal{B}_V^h : \text{Diag}(A) = \text{Diag}(C)\}$ (see (5.4)). Then note that the condition $m = \dim(\text{span}(\{(T_n, L_n)\}_{n=1}^m)) < \dim(\mathcal{B}_S^h \oplus \mathcal{B}_V^h) = r^2 + t^2$ is equivalent to the existence of a not null hermitian $(A, C) \in \mathcal{B}_S^h \oplus \mathcal{B}_V^h$ where A and C share the same diagonal. The implication b) \Rightarrow a) follows similarly. \square

Now we can state the following result.

Proposition 12. *With the notations of the previous paragraphs of this section the following statements are equivalent*

- (1) \exists a not null $(X, Y) \in \mathcal{B}_S^+ \oplus \mathcal{B}_V^+$ such that $\text{tr}(X) = \text{tr}(Y) = 1$ and $(X, Y) \in \ker(\Delta)$ (for Δ as in (5.4)).
- (2) \exists a not null $(X, Y) \in \mathcal{B}_S^+ \oplus \mathcal{B}_V^+$ such that $\text{tr}(X) = \text{tr}(Y) = 1$ and $(X, Y) \perp \{(T_n, L_n)\}_{n=1}^m$, where $\text{span}\{(T_n, L_n)\}_{n=1}^m = \ker(\Delta)^\perp$ (with (T_n, L_n) as in Proposition 11).
- (3) \exists a not null $(X, Y) \in \mathcal{B}_S^+ \oplus \mathcal{B}_V^+$ such that $\text{tr}(X) = \text{tr}(Y) = 1$ and $(X, Y) \perp \{(T_n, L_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ (see (5.2), (5.3)).
- (4) \exists a not null $(X, Y) \in \mathcal{B}_S^+ \oplus \mathcal{B}_V^+$ such that $\text{tr}(X) = \text{tr}(Y) = 1$ and $X_{n,n} = Y_{n,n}$ (n, n diagonal entries in the basis E), for $n = 1, \dots, m = \dim(\ker(\Delta)^\perp)$.
- (5) \exists a not null $(X, Y) \in \mathcal{B}_S^+ \oplus \mathcal{B}_V^+$ such that $\text{tr}(X) = \text{tr}(Y) = 1$ and $\text{Diag}(X) = \text{Diag}(Y)$
- (6) $m_S \cap m_V \neq \emptyset$
- (7) $W(P_S E_1 P_S, \dots, P_S E_i P_S, \dots) \cap W(P_V E_1 P_V, \dots, P_V E_j P_V, \dots) \neq \{0\}$
- (8) $W(P_S E_1 P_S, \dots, P_S E_m P_S) \cap W(P_V E_1 P_V, \dots, P_V E_m P_V) \neq \{0\}$

Proof. The equivalences of the first five items follow directly from the previous results Proposition 11 and Corollary 3. The equivalences involving (6) and (7) with the first four statements can be proved using Proposition 1. To prove that statement (4) is equivalent to (8), use that (4) implies (7) and that (7) apparently implies (8). The other implication can be obtained observing that if (8) holds then there exists $X \in B^+(S)$, $Y \in B^+(V)$ with $\text{tr}(X) = \text{tr}(Y) = 1$ such that $\text{tr}(X P_S E_n P_S) = \text{tr}(Y P_V E_n P_V)$, for $n = 1, \dots, m$, which in turn implies that $\text{tr}(X E_n) = \text{tr}(Y E_n)$ and hence $X_{n,n} = Y_{n,n}$ for $n = 1, \dots, m$ (which is (4)). \square

5.2. Minimal matrices, moment of subspaces and joint numerical ranges in terms of finite matrices. As before, we will consider two orthogonal finite dimensional subspaces S with $\dim(S) = r$

and V with $\dim(V) = t$ of H . We want to study relations between their moment sets and joint numerical ranges to similar sets but on the ambient of $M_r(\mathbb{C})$ and $M_t(\mathbb{C})$. For that purpose consider the map

$$(5.7) \quad Z : M_r(\mathbb{C}) \times M_t(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_S \oplus \mathcal{B}_V, \text{ such that } Z(M, N) = U_S(M) + U_V(N)$$

where U_S and U_V are the applications defined in Proposition 10 for the respective subspaces S and V . Here we are considering on $M_r(\mathbb{C}) \times M_t(\mathbb{C})$ the usual scalar product $\langle (M, N), (X, Y) \rangle = \text{tr}(MX^*) + \text{tr}(NY^*)$. Observe that Z is invertible with $Z^{-1}(C, D) = (U_S^{-1}(C), U_V^{-1}(D))$. Also note that using the properties of U_S and U_V (see Proposition 10) the map Z is an isometric isomorphism that preserves trace, inner products and positive definiteness in each entry (among many other properties).

Suppose that there exists $(M, N) \in M_r^+(\mathbb{C}) \times M_t^+(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$(M, N) \perp \{(U_S^{-1}(T_n), U_V^{-1}(L_n))\}_{n=1}^m$$

for (T_n, L_n) as defined in (5.5) of Proposition 11. This holds if and only if $U_S(M) \in \mathcal{B}_S^+$ and $U_V(N) \in \mathcal{B}_V^+$ satisfy $(U_S(M), U_V(N)) \perp (T_n, L_n)$ for $n = 1, \dots, m$, which is equivalent to $\text{Diag}(U_S(M)) = \text{Diag}(U_V(N))$ and to the fact that $m_S \cap m_V \neq \emptyset$ (see Proposition 12).

Proposition 13. *Let S be a subspace of H , U_S defined as in Proposition 10, m_S as in (2.4), and $p_m : \ell^1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ the projection defined by $p_m(x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots) = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$. Then*

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in [0,1]} \alpha p_m(m_S) = W(\{P_S E_j P_S\}_{j=1}^m) = W\left(\{U_S^{-1}(P_S E_j P_S)\}_{j=1}^m\right).$$

Proof. The equality between the joint numerical range of operators $W(\{P_S E_j P_S\}_{j=1}^m)$ and the other $W\left(\{U_S^{-1}(P_S E_j P_S)\}_{j=1}^m\right)$ of matrices holds because U_S^{-1} preserves joint numerical ranges (see Corollary 2).

Now let $x \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in [0,1]} \alpha p_m(m_S)$. Then $x = \alpha(\text{tr}(\mu E_1), \dots, \text{tr}(\mu E_m))$, with $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{D}_S$ (see (2.3) and (2.4)). Now consider $\rho = \alpha \mu + (1 - \alpha) \frac{P_V}{\dim V}$, for $V \subset S^\perp$ and $0 < \dim(V) < +\infty$. Then it is apparent that $\tau(\rho) = 1$, $\rho \geq 0$ and $\text{tr}(P_S \rho P_S E_i) = \text{tr}(P_S \alpha \mu P_S E_i) = \alpha \text{tr}(\mu E_i)$, for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Hence $x = \alpha(\text{tr}(\mu E_1), \dots, \text{tr}(\mu E_m)) = (\text{tr}(P_S \rho P_S E_1), \dots, \text{tr}(P_S \rho P_S E_m)) \in W(\{P_S E_j P_S\}_{j=1}^m)$.

To prove the other inclusion observe that the case when $x = (0, \dots, 0)$ can be obtained with $\alpha = 0$. So let us suppose $x \in W(\{P_S E_j P_S\}_{j=1}^m)$ and x is not null. Then $x = (\text{tr}(P_S \rho P_S E_1), \dots, \text{tr}(P_S \rho P_S E_m)) \in W(\{P_S E_j P_S\}_{j=1}^m)$ with $\rho \in \mathcal{B}_1(H)$, $\text{tr}(\rho) = 1$, $\rho \geq 0$. Since $P_S \rho P_S \geq 0$ and x is not null, then $0 < \text{tr}(P_S \rho P_S) \leq 1$ in this case. We can define $\mu = \frac{P_S \rho P_S}{\text{tr}(P_S \rho P_S)} \in \mathcal{D}_S$ and then

$$x = \text{tr}(P_S \rho P_S) \left(\frac{P_S \rho P_S}{\text{tr}(P_S \rho P_S)} E_1, \dots, \frac{P_S \rho P_S}{\text{tr}(P_S \rho P_S)} E_m \right) = \alpha(\mu E_1, \dots, \mu E_m),$$

for $\alpha = \text{tr}(P_S \rho P_S) \in (0, 1]$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{D}_S$. This concludes the proof. \square

Theorem 4. *Let S and V be orthogonal subspaces of H , with $\dim(S) = r$, $\dim(V) = t$, $\{(T_n, L_n)\}_{n=1}^m$ a basis of $\ker(\Delta)$ (see (5.4) and (5.5)), U_S, U_V defined in (5.7) and in Proposition 10, and the projection $p_m : \ell^1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ defined by $p_m(x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots) = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$.*

Then the following statements are equivalent

- (1) $m_S \cap m_V \neq \emptyset$.
- (2) $p_m(m_S) \cap p_m(m_V) \neq \emptyset$.
- (3) $\exists (M, N) = (U_S^{-1}(X), U_V^{-1}(Y)) \in M_r^+(\mathbb{C}) \times M_t^+(\mathbb{C})$, for $X \in B^+(S), Y \in B^+(S)$ such that $X_{j,j} = Y_{j,j}$, for $j = 1, \dots, m$.
- (4) $W(\{P_S E_j P_S\}_{j=1}^m) \cap W(\{P_V E_j P_V\}_{j=1}^m) \neq \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$.
- (5) $W(\{U_S^{-1}(P_S E_j P_S)\}_{j=1}^m) \cap W(\{U_V^{-1}(P_V E_j P_V)\}_{j=1}^m) \neq \{(0, \dots, 0)\}$.
- (6) *The pair of subspaces (S, V) form a support (see Definition 5).*

(7) If $R \in (\mathcal{B}_S^h \oplus \mathcal{B}_V^h)^\perp \cap K^h(H)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $\|R\| \leq \lambda$ then the compact operator $\lambda(P_S - P_V) + R$ is minimal.

Proof. The equivalence between (1) and (2) is due to (5.6) of Corollary 3. The definition of $p_m(m_S)$ and of $\{(T_n, L_n)\}_{n=1}^m$ jointly with Proposition 13 gives (2) \Leftrightarrow (3). The equivalence (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) follows from the definition of a joint numerical range and the fact that U and U^{-1} preserve positive definiteness. Corollary 2 gives (4) \Leftrightarrow (5). Definition 5 is (1) \Leftrightarrow (6) and (1) \Leftrightarrow (7) can be found in Corollary 10 of [7] for example. \square

Remark 13. Note that the equivalence (5) of Theorem 4 involves joint numerical ranges of $r \times r$ and $t \times t$ matrices. This allows the application of many techniques obtained for finite dimensional matrices studied and cited in [9] to describe them.

REFERENCES

- [1] Andruchow, E., Laroitonda, G. The rectifiable distance in the unitary Fredholm group, *Studia Math.* 196 (2) (2010), p. 151-178. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4064/sm196-2-4>.
- [2] Bertlmann, R., Krammer, P. Bloch vectors for qudits *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, (2008), vol. 41, no 23, p. 235303. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/41/23/235303>.
- [3] Byrd, M., Khaneja, N. Characterization of the positivity of the density matrix in terms of the coherence vector representation. *Phys. Rev. A* (3) 68 (2003), no. 6, p. 062322. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.68.062322>.
- [4] Durán, C. E., Mata-Lorenzo, L. E. Recht, L. Metric geometry in homogeneous spaces of the unitary group of a C*-algebra: Part I-minimal curves. *Advances in Mathematics* 184(2), (2004), 342-366. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0001-8708\(03\)00148-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0001-8708(03)00148-8).
- [5] Gutkin, E., Jonckheere, E. A., Karow, M. Convexity of the joint numerical range: topological and differential geometric viewpoints, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 376 (2004), 143-171. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2003.06.011>.
- [6] Bottazzi, T., Conde, C. Sain, D. A study of orthogonality of bounded linear operators. *Banach J. Math. Anal.* 14 (2020), no. 3, 1001–1018. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s43037-019-00050-0>.
- [7] Bottazzi, T., Varela, A. Best approximation by diagonal compact operators. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 439.10 (2013) 3044-3056. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2013.08.025>.
- [8] Cho, M., Takaguchi, M. Boundary points of joint numerical ranges. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 95(1) 27-35 1981. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2140/pjm.1981.95.27>.
- [9] Klobouk, A.H., Varela, A. Moment of a subspace and joint numerical range. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* (2022), 1-34. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2022.2064967>.
- [10] Li, C.-K. and Poon, Y.-T. Convexity of the joint numerical range, *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, 21 (2000), 668-678. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/S0895479898343516>.
- [11] Mendoza, A., Recht, L., Varela, A. Supports for minimal hermitian matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 584 (2020), 458-482. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2019.09.018>.
- [12] Müller, V., Tomilov, Y. Joint numerical ranges: recent advances and applications minicourse by V. Müller and Y. Tomilov. With assistance from Nikolitsa Chatzigiannakidou. *Concr. Oper.* 7 (2020), no. 1, 133–154. <https://doi.org/10.1515/conop-2020-0102>.
- [13] Simon, B. *Trace Ideals And Their Applications*. AMS, 2nd ed. (2005). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/surv/120>.
- [14] Zhang, Y., Jiang, L. Minimal hermitian compact operators related to a C*-subalgebra of $K(H)$. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 506.2 (2022): 125649. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2021.125649>.
- [15] Zhang, Y., Jiang, L. Minimal elements related to a conditional expectation in a C*-algebra. *Ann. Funct. Anal.* 14, 28 (2023). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s43034-023-00252-6>.

¹ UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE RÍO NEGRO. CENTRO INTERDISCIPLINARIO DE TELECOMUNICACIONES, ELECTRÓNICA, COMPUTACIÓN Y CIENCIA APLICADA, SEDE ANDINA (8400) S.C. DE BARILOCHE, ARGENTINA.

² CONSEJO NACIONAL DE INVESTIGACIONES CIENTÍFICAS Y TÉCNICAS, (1425) BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA.

³INSTITUTO ARGENTINO DE MATEMÁTICA “ALBERTO P. CALDERÓN”, SAAVEDRA 15 3ER. PISO, (C1083ACA) BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA

⁴INSTITUTO DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE GRAL. SARMIENTO, J. M. GUTIERREZ 1150, (B1613GSX)
LOS POLVORINES, ARGENTINA

Email address: `tbottazzi@unrn.edu.ar`, `avarela@campus.ungs.edu.ar`