

# Apparent pathologies in stochastic entropy production in the thermalisation of an open two-level quantum system

Jonathan Dexter and Ian J. Ford

*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, U.K.*

We investigate the entropic consequences of the relaxation of an open two-level quantum system towards a thermalised statistical state, using a framework of quantum state diffusion with a minimal set of raising and lowering Lindblad operators. We demonstrate that thermalisation starting from a general state is accompanied by a persistent non-zero mean rate of change of the environmental component of stochastic entropy production. This thermodynamic signature can be associated with the purification of the reduced density matrix  $\rho$  of the randomly evolving state, to be contrasted with the impurity of the more often considered ensemble average of  $\rho$ . The system adopts stationary statistics, with zero stochastic entropy production, once purity has been achieved. However, we show that apparent pathological mathematical difficulties in the computation of stochastic entropy production emerge if  $\rho$  is represented using a certain set of coordinates, though these can be removed by choosing a different set. We conclude that frameworks for modelling open quantum systems must be carefully selected to provide satisfactory thermodynamic as well as dynamic behaviour.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The second law of thermodynamics emerges when the world is described at a coarse grained level, but governed by underlying equations of motion with a sufficient degree of deterministic chaos [1]. Entropy increase corresponds to a growth in subjective uncertainty regarding the adopted configuration of the world in such a situation, and this applies both to classical and to quantum systems [2, 3]. To illustrate the latter, we study the evolution of an open two-level quantum system using a minimal framework of stochastic dynamics that represents the effects of coupling to an underspecified environment. We treat the reduced density matrix of the system as a set of physical coordinates that undergo Brownian motion, and concern ourselves with the mechanical and thermodynamic approach to a thermalised state. The stochastic entropy production that accompanies the evolution is a measure of its thermodynamic irreversibility and identifies an arrow of time [4].

We employ a framework of quantum state diffusion [5] where the system dynamics are modelled using Itô processes: Markovian stochastic differential equations (SDEs) with continuous solutions. The associated stochastic entropy production can also be described using an SDE [6, 7]. We find several apparently pathological features in the stochastic entropy production and resolve them. In Section II we encounter persistent stochastic entropy production accompanying thermalisation of the system by the environment, suggesting that a stationary statistical state is reached only in infinite time. We link this behaviour with asymptotic purification under the chosen dynamics. Furthermore, relaxation of a pure system towards the thermalised state can appear to be burdened with mathematical difficulties in the form of singularities in stochastic entropy production. We investigate and resolve such peculiarities in Section III. Our conclusions are given in Section IV.

Appendix A provides a discussion of the basis for com-

puting stochastic entropy production, and Appendix B is a summary of how to do so in situations characterised by restricted diffusion [8]. Appendix C explores some unexpected mathematical features that arise in the averaging of SDEs, and how they can lead to additional terms in the mean system component of stochastic entropy production.

## II. THERMALISING A TWO-LEVEL OPEN QUANTUM SYSTEM

### A. System dynamics

A stochastic Lindblad equation for the evolution of the reduced density matrix  $\rho$  of an open system can be constructed using Lindblad operators that describe the pseudorandom influence of the environment on the system [2, 3, 9]. Such an approach allows us to model the evolution of  $\rho$  given an underspecified state of the environment: a concept familiar in classical statistical mechanics but less so in quantum mechanics. No projective measurement is imposed. Environmental interactions drive quantum state diffusion such that  $\rho$  follows a continuous Brownian trajectory.

We consider a two-level quantum system with environmental couplings characterised by the raising and lowering Lindblad operators given by

$$c_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad c_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

expressed in a basis of eigenstates of the  $\sigma_z$  Pauli matrix. The stochastic Lindblad equation is

$$\begin{aligned}
d\rho = & \left( c_+ \rho c_+^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \rho c_+^\dagger c_+ - \frac{1}{2} c_+^\dagger c_+ \rho \right) dt \\
& + \left( \rho c_+^\dagger + c_+ \rho - \text{Tr} \left[ (c_+ + c_+^\dagger) \rho \right] \rho \right) dW_1 \\
& + \left( c_- \rho c_-^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \rho c_-^\dagger c_- - \frac{1}{2} c_-^\dagger c_- \rho \right) dt \\
& + \left( \rho c_-^\dagger + c_- \rho - \text{Tr} \left[ (c_- + c_-^\dagger) \rho \right] \rho \right) dW_2,
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where  $dW_{1,2}$  are independent Wiener increments [2, 3, 8]. Expressing  $\rho$  in terms of components of the coherence or Bloch vector  $\mathbf{r} = (r_x, r_y, r_z) \equiv (x, y, z)$ , we write

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1+z & x-iy \\ x+iy & 1-z \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3}$$

namely  $\rho = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{I} + \mathbf{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})$  in terms of Pauli matrices  $\sigma_i$ . After some manipulation we obtain three SDEs describing the dynamics of the system:

$$\begin{pmatrix} dx \\ dy \\ dz \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -x \\ -y \\ -2z \end{pmatrix} dt + \begin{pmatrix} 1-x^2-z & 1-x^2+z \\ -xy & -xy \\ x(1-z) & -x(1+z) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} dW_1 \\ dW_2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4}$$

which take the form

$$dr_i = A_i(\mathbf{r}, t) dt + \sum_j B_{ij}(\mathbf{r}, t) dW_j, \tag{5}$$

in terms of a vector  $\mathbf{A}$  and matrix  $\mathbf{B}$ .

The number of coordinates (three) is larger than the number of noise terms (two), suggesting that a reduced description exists involving only two coordinates. Indeed an example of the evolution of  $\mathbf{r}$  in its phase space in Fig. 1 suggests the motion is constrained to lie on the surface of an ellipsoid lying inside the Bloch sphere defined by  $|\mathbf{r}| = r = 1$ . The purity of the system  $P = \text{Tr} \rho^2 = \frac{1}{2} (1 + r^2)$  evolves according to

$$dP = 4(1-P)(1-x^2) dt + 4x(1-P)(dW_1 + dW_2), \tag{6}$$

suggesting that purification  $P \rightarrow 1$  takes place as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . This is demonstrated in Fig. 2.

## B. Stochastic entropy production

The incremental stochastic entropy production associated with the stochastic dynamics, which measures the irreversibility of the evolution, is usually separated into system and environmental components as follows:

$$d\Delta s_{\text{tot}} = d\Delta s_{\text{sys}} + d\Delta s_{\text{env}}, \tag{7}$$

with  $d\Delta s_{\text{sys}} = -d \ln p(\mathbf{r}, t)$  where  $p(\mathbf{r}, t)$  is the probability density function (pdf) characterising the stochastic




Figure 1. Example evolution of the coherence vector  $\mathbf{r} = (x, y, z)$  governed by Eq. (4). The trajectory was based on 10000 steps with timestep  $dt = 10^{-3}$  and initiated at the point  $x = y = z = 0.5$ . The coherence vector appears to be confined to an ellipsoid and to be driven towards a circle of unit radius in the  $x$ - $z$  plane, corresponding to evolution towards purity.




Figure 2. Evolution of the magnitude of the coherence vector  $\mathbf{r}$  under the dynamics described by Eq. (4). We see that  $|\mathbf{r}|^2$  is driven towards unity, which is synonymous with evolution towards purity,  $P = 1$ . The trajectory was initiated at the point  $x = y = z = 0.5$  and constructed using a timestep of  $dt = 10^{-5}$ .

dynamical variables, governed by an appropriate Fokker-Planck equation. The average of the system component  $d\Delta s_{\text{sys}}$  over all possible trajectories is related to the incremental change in Gibbs entropy of the system:  $d\langle \Delta s_{\text{sys}} \rangle = dS_G$ , to which boundary terms should be added in certain circumstances [2], as shown in Appendix C. We shall encounter a case where boundary contributions are important in Section III.

An SDE for the evolution of the environmental component  $d\Delta s_{\text{env}}$  of stochastic entropy production [6] is given in Appendix A. A significant problem in computing  $d\Delta s_{\text{env}}$  using this SDE is that the determinant of the diffusion matrix in the Fokker-Planck equation can be zero, making it impossible to define an inverse matrix needed in Eq. (A4). This pathology, however, can be overcome, as we now demonstrate using procedures summarised in

## Appendix B.

### C. Environmental stochastic entropy production in $x, z$ coordinates

The confinement of the trajectory to an ellipsoid in Fig. 1 is a consequence of the existence of a constant function of the motion

$$f(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{y^2} (1 - x^2 - z^2), \quad (8)$$

which evolves by Itô's lemma according to

$$df = \sum_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial r_i} dr_i + \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial r_i \partial r_j} D_{ij} dt, \quad (9)$$

where elements of the diffusion matrix are  $D_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k B_{ik} B_{jk}$  and the matrix  $\mathbf{B}$  is defined in Eq. (5). Using Eq. (4) we obtain

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{pmatrix} x^4 - 2x^2 + z^2 + 1 & xy(x^2 - 1) & xz(x^2 - 2) \\ xy(x^2 - 1) & x^2 y^2 & x^2 yz \\ xz(x^2 - 2) & x^2 yz & x^2(z^2 + 1) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (10)$$

and by insertion into Eq. (9) we find that  $df = 0$ , demonstrating  $f$  to be a constant of the motion.

The constancy of  $f$  creates a situation characterised by restricted diffusion [8]. The dynamics in Eq. (4) express evolution in an  $N = 3$  dimensional phase space driven by  $M = 2$  noise terms. Following the arguments summarised in Appendix B, we identify the single ( $N - M = 1$ ) eigenvector of  $\mathbf{D}$  with an eigenvalue equal to zero, finding this to be  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \left( \frac{x}{z}, \frac{1-x^2-z^2}{yz}, 1 \right)^T$ . The existence of a 'null' eigenvector allows us to remove one of the SDEs from the dynamics. If we eliminate the evolution of coordinate  $y$  the dynamics are then expressed according to

$$\begin{pmatrix} dx \\ dz \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -x \\ -2z \end{pmatrix} dt + \begin{pmatrix} 1 - x^2 - z & 1 - x^2 + z \\ x(1 - z) & -x(1 + z) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} dW_1 \\ dW_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

and the resulting reduced diffusion matrix is given by

$$\mathbf{D}_{\text{red}} = \begin{pmatrix} x^4 - 2x^2 + z^2 + 1 & xz(x^2 - 2) \\ xz(x^2 - 2) & x^2(z^2 + 1) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

which is non-singular. We can now compute trajectories for  $d\Delta s_{\text{env}}$  using Eq. (A4) with Eq. (11) and the reduced diffusion matrix (12). Figure 3 illustrates 50 realisations of the dynamics together with the average evolution.

The increase in  $d\Delta s_{\text{env}}$  with time, on average, seems to be a pathology: we might expect entropy production to cease as the system becomes thermalised. However, the dynamics are incapable of achieving thermalisation in finite time. The purity approaches unity only as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,




Figure 3. Environmental component of stochastic entropy production computed using Eq. (A4) for 50 realisations of the dynamics described by Eq. (11). The black line represents the ensemble mean. Each trajectory was initiated at the point  $x = z = 0.5$  and was evaluated using a timestep of  $dt = 10^{-5}$ .

according to Eq. (6), and as this evolution continues, stochastic entropy production will persist. The situation is analogous to behaviour found in a two-level system undergoing measurement [3]. Thermalisation is only achieved asymptotically, and the production of stochastic entropy continues to measure progress in this direction. This resolves the issue, but in the next Section we turn to a more puzzling matter.

### III. PATHOLOGIES IN STOCHASTIC ENTROPY PRODUCTION FOR A PURE STATE

Consider the situation where purity has been established such that  $y \rightarrow 0$  and  $x^2 \rightarrow 1 - z^2$ . The coherence vector then evolves randomly on a circle of unit radius in the  $x$ - $z$  plane and the stochastic dynamics and stochastic entropy production for the further relaxation of the pure state involve the evolution of a single coordinate  $z$ . We give this situation our attention in order to resolve an apparent pathology in the stochastic entropy production.

Inserting the limits for  $x$  and  $y$  into Eq. (4) leads to an SDE

$$dz = -2zdt + (2(1 - z^4))^{1/2} dW, \quad (13)$$

describing the thermalisation of a pure state of the two-level system through the Lindblads  $c_{\pm}$ . Note that in the absence of a system Hamiltonian the thermal state will be characterised by equal occupational probabilities of the two levels, each represented by coherence vectors at the north and south poles of the Bloch sphere, or  $z = \pm 1$ . The mean value of  $z$  will tend asymptotically to zero as a consequence. Note that if the Lindblad operators were unequally weighted, for example using  $(1 - \gamma/2)^{1/2} c_+$  and  $(1 + \gamma/2)^{1/2} c_-$  where  $\gamma$  is a constant, the SDE would read

$$dz = -(\gamma + 2z) dt + (2(1 - z^2)(1 + \gamma z + z^2))^{1/2} dW, \quad (14)$$

which has a stationary state with  $\langle z \rangle = -\gamma/2$ .




Figure 4. Histogram illustrating the stationary pdf of  $z$  generated from a solution to Eq. (4) using  $10^7$  timesteps of size  $dt = 10^{-3}$ . The trajectory was initiated at the point  $x = y = z = 0.5$  and the bin size is  $10^{-4}$ .

However, there are difficulties in computing the stochastic entropy production associated with the dynamics of Eq. (13), which we now identify.

### A. Environmental component

Referring to Eq. (13) and Appendix A, the environmental component of stochastic entropy production takes the form

$$d\Delta s_{\text{env}} = \frac{A^{\text{irr}}}{D} dz + \frac{\partial A^{\text{irr}}}{\partial z} dt - \frac{1}{D} \frac{\partial D}{\partial z} dz - \frac{A^{\text{irr}}}{D} \frac{\partial D}{\partial z} dt - \frac{\partial^2 D}{\partial z^2} dt + \frac{1}{D} \left( \frac{\partial D}{\partial z} \right)^2 dt, \quad (15)$$

with  $A^{\text{irr}} = -2z$  and  $D = 1 - z^4$ . Inserting  $dD/dz = -4z^3$  and  $d^2D/dz^2 = -12z^2$ , we obtain

$$d\Delta s_{\text{env}} = 2z \frac{(2z^2 - 1)}{(1 - z^4)^{1/2}} 2^{1/2} dW + \frac{2}{1 - z^4} (-1 - 7z^4 + 8z^2 + 2z^6) dt, \quad (16)$$

which has singularities at  $z = \pm 1$ , potentially creating difficulties in evaluating  $d\Delta s_{\text{env}}$  numerically.

### B. System component

Further problems emerge when we consider the system component of stochastic entropy production. This is apparent from the histogram of values of  $z$  in Fig. 4, obtained from a single trajectory generated using the set of SDEs in Eq. (4). The dynamics clearly allow  $z$  to take values  $\pm 1$ , suggesting that the singularities in the environmental component of stochastic entropy production identified in Eq. (16) might be encountered.

We can derive the stationary pdf analytically to illustrate this further. The Fokker-Planck equation associ-

ated with Eq. (13) is

$$\frac{\partial p(z, t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial J}{\partial z}, \quad (17)$$

where the current is

$$J = -2zp - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( (1 - z^4) p \right). \quad (18)$$

The stationary pdf in  $-1 \leq z \leq 1$  is given by

$$p_{\text{st}}(z) \propto \frac{1}{(1 - z^4)^{1/2}} \frac{1}{1 + z^2}, \quad (19)$$

which possesses singularities at  $z = \pm 1$ , consistent with the numerical results in Fig. 4.

Note that the stationary expectation value  $\int_{-1}^1 zp_{\text{st}}(z) dz = 0$  is compatible with the asymptotic solution to the evolution  $d\langle z \rangle = -2\langle z \rangle dt$  obtained by averaging the SDE (13) over the noise and employing  $\langle dW \rangle = 0$ , where angled brackets denote stochastic averages. In Appendix C we show, however, that this result need not hold if the product of diffusion coefficient and pdf at the boundaries does not vanish: an extra term contributes such that

$$d\langle z \rangle = -2\langle z \rangle dt - [Dp]_{z=-1}^{z=1} dt. \quad (20)$$

In this case we have  $Dp_{\text{st}} \propto (1 - z^4)^{1/2}/(1 + z^2)$ , which vanishes at  $z = \pm 1$ . Assuming, not unreasonably, that this feature is preserved when the system is nonstationary, the usual outcome of the stochastic averaging of Eq. (13) emerges.

Boundary terms also appear in the evolution of the mean system component of stochastic entropy production. According to Eq. (C16), the relationship between this quantity and the change in Gibbs entropy  $S_G$  is:

$$d\langle \Delta s_{\text{sys}} \rangle = \frac{dS_G(t)}{dt} dt - [J \ln p]_{z=-1}^{z=1} dt - \left[ D \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} \right]_{z=-1}^{z=1} dt - \left[ D \left( \frac{\partial \ln p}{\partial z} \right)^2 P(\Delta s_{\text{sys}}, t) \right]_{\Delta s_{\text{sys}}^{\text{min}}}^{\Delta s_{\text{sys}}^{\text{max}}} dt. \quad (21)$$

The first additional term vanishes since  $J = 0$  at the boundaries and the third can be simplified using  $P(\Delta s_{\text{sys}}, t) d\Delta s_{\text{sys}} = p dz$ . In the stationary state both the second and third terms depend on the expression

$$D \frac{dp_{\text{st}}}{dz} \propto (1 - z^4) \left( 2z^3 (1 - z^4)^{-3/2} (1 + z^2)^{-1} - 2z (1 - z^4)^{-1/2} (1 + z^2)^{-2} \right), \quad (22)$$

which is singular at  $z = \pm 1$ . We therefore expect difficulties to arise in computing the system stochastic entropy production, in addition to those already encountered in the evaluation of  $\Delta s_{\text{env}}$ . But are these genuine problems?

### C. Removal of pathological behaviour

The pathologies may be removed by a simple change of coordinate frame. Defining  $\theta = \cos^{-1} z$  and using Itô's lemma, we find that the dynamics of the pure state may also be described by the SDE

$$d\theta = \frac{1}{2} \sin 2\theta dt + (2(1 + \cos^2 \theta))^{1/2} dW, \quad (23)$$

instead of Eq. (13) for  $z$ . The diffusion coefficient is  $D = 1 + \cos^2 \theta$ . The stationary pdf in  $\theta$  is

$$p_{\text{st}}(\theta) \propto (1 + \cos^2 \theta)^{-3/2}, \quad (24)$$

and so  $Dp_{\text{st}} \propto (1 + \cos^2 \theta)^{-1/2}$  such that the boundary term  $[Dp_{\text{st}}]_{\theta=0}^{\theta=\pi}$  vanishes. As a consequence, the averaging of Eq. (23) proceeds along usual lines, giving  $d\langle\theta\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\langle\sin 2\theta\rangle dt$ .

Furthermore, we have

$$D \frac{dp_{\text{st}}}{d\theta} \propto \frac{\sin 2\theta}{1 + \cos^2 \theta}, \quad (25)$$

which is zero at  $\theta = 0$  and  $\pi$ . As a consequence, the boundary terms in the evolution of mean system stochastic entropy production in the stationary state vanish. It is not unreasonable to expect that even in a nonstationary situation the evolution should satisfy

$$d\langle\Delta s_{\text{sys}}\rangle = \frac{dS_G(t)}{dt} dt, \quad (26)$$

again in line with what is typically assumed.

Finally, the evolution of environmental stochastic entropy production can be identified using the SDE for  $\theta$ :

$$d\Delta s_{\text{env}} = \frac{6 + 18 \cos 2\theta + 3 \sin^2 2\theta}{4(1 + \cos^2 \theta)} dt + \frac{3 \sin 2\theta}{\sqrt{2}(1 + \cos^2 \theta)} dW, \quad (27)$$

in which there are once again no singularities at the boundaries. We conclude that all the pathologies disappear when an appropriate coordinate system is used to describe the dynamics and thermodynamics.

## IV. CONCLUSIONS

The main purpose in presenting this study is to identify interpretational and mathematical issues concerning stochastic entropy production in a minimal model of the thermalisation of a two-level quantum system, and then to resolve them. The treatment of open system dynamics as a continuous Brownian motion of a physical density matrix, a quantum state diffusion, is perhaps unfamiliar and the consideration of stochastic entropy production as the outcome of an Itô process particularly so. The mean value of stochastic entropy production is a measure of

the change in subjective uncertainty in the adopted state of the world given the employment of a coarse grained model of its evolution. We need to address any apparent pathologies in the calculation of stochastic entropy production that might cast doubt on its use in this role.

Our conclusions are as follows. Thermalisation of a two-level system can be achieved through coupling to a coarse grained environment by way of raising and lowering operators. Such an interaction is not the same as quantum measurement, but nevertheless the minimal model we use purifies the reduced density matrix asymptotically in time, and this brings about a persistent production of stochastic entropy. This is not a pathology and indeed it seems very reasonable that thermal interactions should disentangle a system from its environment, creating greater uncertainty in the state of the world as the more classical, less correlated system behaviour emerges. Note that an impure or mixed reduced density matrix, such as the Gibbs state often used to describe a thermalised system, is to be interpreted in the framework of quantum state diffusion as the *average* state of an ensemble of pure reduced density matrices. The mixed reduced density matrix does not necessarily imply entanglement.

Furthermore, if the two-level system is thermalised starting from a disentangled or pure state, the stochastic entropy production can appear to be pathological in both the environmental and system components. It turns out, however, that such a conclusion is an artefact of a particular choice of coordinate system and a simple transformation of variables can remove the mathematical difficulties. Stochastic entropy production should not depend on choice of coordinates, but some are more suitable than others. We note as a corollary that the separate system and environmental components of stochastic entropy production *are* coordinate frame dependent. Gibbs entropy, for example, expresses uncertainty of adopted system state in a particular coordinate phase space.

In conclusion, we have presented arguments to support the use of stochastic entropy production in open quantum system dynamics in a manner similar to its employment in classical situations. Its role and the implications are just the same, and we suggest, just as profound.

### Appendix A: Stochastic entropy production

We consider a set of coordinates  $\mathbf{x} \equiv (x_1, x_2, \dots)$  that specify the configuration of a system, and model their evolution using Itô processes:

$$dx_i = A_i(\mathbf{x})dt + \sum_j B_{ij}(\mathbf{x})dW_j, \quad (A1)$$

where the  $dW_j$  are independent Wiener increments. The Fokker-Planck equation for the pdf  $p(\mathbf{x}, t)$  is

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = - \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (A_i p) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} (D_{ij} p), \quad (A2)$$

in terms of a diffusion matrix  $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x})^\top$ . The stochastic entropy production of the system, and its environment, associated with the stochastic dynamics is

given by

$$d\Delta s_{\text{tot}} = d\Delta s_{\text{sys}} + d\Delta s_{\text{env}}, \quad (\text{A3})$$

with  $d\Delta s_{\text{sys}} = -d \ln p(\mathbf{x}, t)$ .

If we define  $A_i^{\text{irr}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}[A_i(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon_i A_i(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\mathbf{x})]$  and  $A_i^{\text{rev}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}[A_i(\mathbf{x}) - \varepsilon_i A_i(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\mathbf{x})]$  [10] where  $\varepsilon_i = 1$  for variables  $x_i$  with even parity under time reversal symmetry (for example position) and  $\varepsilon_i = -1$  for variables with odd parity (for example velocity), with  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\mathbf{x}$  representing  $(\varepsilon_1 x_1, \varepsilon_2 x_2, \dots)$ , it may be shown that [6]

$$\begin{aligned} d\Delta s_{\text{env}} = & - \sum_i \frac{\partial A_i^{\text{rev}}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_i} dt + \sum_{i,j} \left\{ \frac{D_{ij}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})}{2} (A_i^{\text{irr}}(\mathbf{x}) dx_j + A_j^{\text{irr}}(\mathbf{x}) dx_i) \right. \\ & - \frac{D_{ij}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})}{2} \left( \left( \sum_n \frac{\partial D_{jn}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_n} \right) dx_i + \left( \sum_m \frac{\partial D_{im}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_m} \right) dx_j \right) - \frac{D_{ij}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})}{2} (A_i^{\text{rev}}(\mathbf{x}) A_j^{\text{irr}}(\mathbf{x}) + A_j^{\text{rev}}(\mathbf{x}) A_i^{\text{irr}}(\mathbf{x})) dt \\ & + \frac{D_{ij}^{-1}(\mathbf{x})}{2} \left( A_j^{\text{rev}}(\mathbf{x}) \left( \sum_m \frac{\partial D_{im}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_m} \right) + A_i^{\text{rev}}(\mathbf{x}) \left( \sum_n \frac{\partial D_{jn}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_n} \right) \right) dt \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \left[ D_{ik}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (D_{ij}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) A_j^{\text{irr}}(\mathbf{x})) + D_{jk}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (D_{ij}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) A_i^{\text{irr}}(\mathbf{x})) \right. \\ & \left. - D_{ik}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left( D_{ij}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) \left( \sum_n \frac{\partial D_{jn}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_n} \right) \right) - D_{jk}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left( D_{ij}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) \left( \sum_m \frac{\partial D_{im}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_m} \right) \right) \right] dt \left. \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A4})$$

## Appendix B: Dimensional reduction of a singular diffusion matrix

Consider a system evolving stochastically in an  $N$  dimensional phase space under the influence of  $M$  noise terms such that the  $\mathbf{B}$  matrix in Eq. (A1) is  $N \times M$  and the diffusion matrix  $\mathbf{D}$  in the Fokker-Planck equation (A2) is  $N \times N$ . The phase space is described by a set of  $N$  coordinates  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$ , and we conjecture that there exist  $L = N - M$  functions of these coordinates that are invariant under the dynamics, or more generally, evolve without noise. These allow us to remove  $L$  coordinates from the  $N$  dimensional phase space and consider evolution in a reduced  $M$  dimensional phase space. We call the  $M$  coordinates ( $\{x_m\}$ ) of the reduced phase space *dynamical variables* and the remaining  $L$  coordinates ( $\{x_l\}$ ) *spectator variables*. The  $L$  constraints allow us to write the spectator variables as functions of the dynamical variables:  $\{x_l(\{x_m\})\}$ . The diffusion matrix in the reduced phase space will be  $M \times M$  and non-singular allowing us to use Eq. (A4) to compute the stochastic entropy production associated with the evolution [8].

If  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is a constant of the motion, we can regard  $\nabla f$  as a ‘null’ eigenvector of  $\mathbf{D}$  with zero eigenvalue. Furthermore, since the infinitesimal displacement  $d\mathbf{x}$  lies on the hypersurface of  $f$  to which the evolution is confined, we also have  $\nabla f \cdot d\mathbf{x} = 0$ . Combining these we write

$$\sum_{m=1}^M \alpha_{km} dx_m + \sum_{l=1}^L \alpha_{kl} dx_l = 0, \quad (\text{B1})$$

where  $\alpha_{km}$  and  $\alpha_{kl}$  are the dynamical and spectator components of the  $k$ th null eigenvector of  $\mathbf{D}$ . The  $\alpha_{km}$  are elements of a rectangular  $L \times M$  matrix  $Q_{km}$  and the  $\alpha_{kl}$  are elements of a square  $L \times L$  matrix  $P_{kl}$  so that  $P_{kl} dx_l = -Q_{km} dx_m$  and

$$dx_l = -P_{lk}^{-1} Q_{km} dx_m = R_{lm} dx_m, \quad (\text{B2})$$

where summations over repeated indices are implied and  $R_{lm}$  is a component of the  $L \times M$  matrix  $\mathbf{R}$ . Next we write

$$dD_{ij} = \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{\partial D_{ij}}{\partial x_m} dx_m + \sum_{l=1}^L \frac{\partial D_{ij}}{\partial x_l} dx_l, \quad (\text{B3})$$

and substituting from Eq. (B2) we obtain the derivative of  $D_{ij}$  with respect to the dynamical coordinate  $x_m$  in the form

$$\frac{dD_{ij}}{dx_m} = \frac{\partial D_{ij}}{\partial x_m} + \sum_l \frac{\partial D_{ij}}{\partial x_l} R_{lm}. \quad (\text{B4})$$

Equation (A4) can now be used to compute stochastic entropy production based on the dynamics of the  $M$  dynamical variables with derivatives modified according to

Eq. (B4). Additional discussion of the approach is given elsewhere [8].

### Appendix C: System component of mean stochastic entropy production

It is typically assumed that the average of the  $d\Delta s_{\text{sys}} = -d \ln p(\mathbf{x}, t)$  term in the expression for the incremental stochastic entropy production corresponds to the change in system Gibbs entropy, but this is not necessarily the case, as we now show.

Consider the SDE for a function  $g(\mathbf{x})$  of the stochastic variables  $\mathbf{x}$ :

$$dg = \hat{A}(\mathbf{x})dt + \hat{B}(\mathbf{x})dW, \quad (\text{C1})$$

with pdf  $P(g, t)$  satisfying

$$\frac{\partial P(g, t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial \hat{J}}{\partial g}, \quad (\text{C2})$$

in terms of a probability current  $\hat{J}$  given by

$$\hat{J} = \hat{A}P - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial g} (\hat{B}^2 P). \quad (\text{C3})$$

Defining a stochastic average of  $g$  as  $\langle g \rangle_t = \int gP(g, t)dg$ , we consider the difference  $d\langle g \rangle$  between  $\langle g \rangle_{t+dt}$  and  $\langle g \rangle_t$ :

$$\begin{aligned} d\langle g \rangle &= \int g(P(g, t+dt) - P(g, t)) dg = \int g \frac{\partial P}{\partial t} dg dt \\ &= - \int g \frac{\partial \hat{J}}{\partial g} dg dt = - [g\hat{J}] dt + \int \hat{J} dg dt, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C4})$$

where the square brackets indicate boundary terms. Since  $\hat{J} = 0$  at the boundaries in the absence of probability current sources and sinks, the first term vanishes and so

$$\begin{aligned} d\langle g \rangle &= \int \left( \hat{A}P - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial g} (\hat{B}^2 P) \right) dg dt \\ &= \langle \hat{A} \rangle_t dt - \frac{1}{2} [\hat{B}^2 P] dt, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C5})$$

where another boundary term has appeared. Note that the average in the first term on the right hand side can be written in two forms:  $\langle \hat{A} \rangle_t = \int \hat{A}(\mathbf{x})P(g, t)dg = \int \hat{A}(\mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{x}, t)d\mathbf{x}$ . The second version involves the pdf  $p(\mathbf{x}, t)$  over the stochastic variables  $\mathbf{x}$ , as specified by the Fokker-Planck equation

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = - \sum_j \frac{\partial J_j}{\partial x_j}, \quad (\text{C6})$$

associated with the dynamics  $dx_i = A_i dt + \sum_j B_{ij} dW_j$ . The probability current is

$$J_j = A_j p - \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (D_{ij} p), \quad (\text{C7})$$

where  $D_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k B_{ik} B_{jk}$ .

The first term on the right hand side of Eq. (C5) would perhaps be expected to be the only contribution after averaging Eq. (C1), but it is augmented by a term that depends on the values of  $\hat{B}^2 P$  at the boundaries of the domain of  $g$ . This extra term could vanish but it is not guaranteed to do so.

Consider, for example, a single stochastic variable evolving as  $dx = a dt + b dW$ : the stochastic average satisfies  $d\langle x \rangle / dt = \langle a \rangle - [Dp]$  where  $D = b^2/2$ . In many cases, the pdf vanishes at the boundaries, or for periodic boundary conditions the contributions might cancel. It is only in certain cases that further attention is required. An explicit case involves  $a(x) = x$  and  $b(x) = x^2$  in the domain  $0 \leq x \leq \infty$ . The stationary pdf is  $p_{\text{st}}(x) = 4\pi^{-1/2} x^{-4} \exp(-1/x^2)$  and the diffusion coefficient is  $D = x^4/2$ . Hence  $Dp_{\text{st}}$  vanishes at  $x = 0$  but is equal to  $2/\pi^{1/2}$  at  $x = \infty$ . We should hence model the evolution of  $\langle x \rangle$  according to  $d\langle x \rangle / dt = \langle x \rangle - 2/\pi^{1/2}$ , which is consistent with a non-zero mean of  $x$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , as suggested by the stationary pdf. The boundary term is crucial here.

Let us now analyse the evolution of the system stochastic entropy production,  $g(\mathbf{x}) = \Delta s_{\text{sys}} = -\ln p(\mathbf{x}, t)$ . We write

$$\begin{aligned} d\Delta s_{\text{sys}} &= -\frac{\partial \ln p}{\partial t} dt - \sum_j \frac{\partial \ln p}{\partial x_j} dx_j - \sum_{ij} D_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 \ln p}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} dt \\ &= \hat{A} dt + \sum_{ij} \hat{B}_{ij} dW_j = \hat{A} dt + \hat{B} dW, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C8})$$

with

$$\hat{A} = -\frac{\partial \ln p}{\partial t} - \sum_j \frac{\partial \ln p}{\partial x_j} A_j - \sum_{ij} D_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 \ln p}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}, \quad (\text{C9})$$

and

$$\hat{B}_{ij} = -\frac{\partial \ln p}{\partial x_i} B_{ij}, \quad (\text{C10})$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{B} &= \left( \sum_{ijk} \hat{B}_{kj} \hat{B}_{ij} \right)^{1/2} = \left( \sum_{ijk} \frac{\partial \ln p}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial \ln p}{\partial x_i} B_{kj} B_{ij} \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left( 2 \sum_{ik} \frac{\partial \ln p}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial \ln p}{\partial x_i} D_{ki} \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C11})$$

The mean system stochastic entropy production therefore satisfies

$$d\langle \Delta s_{\text{sys}} \rangle = \langle \hat{A} \rangle_t dt - \frac{1}{2} [\hat{B}^2 P(\Delta s_{\text{sys}}, t)] dt, \quad (\text{C12})$$

where  $P$  is the pdf of  $\Delta s_{\text{sys}}$ .  $\hat{B}$  is a function of the stochastic variables  $\mathbf{x}$  and the second term is evaluated at the boundary values of  $\Delta s_{\text{sys}}$  using the appropriate values of  $\mathbf{x}$ .

We further develop the first term on the right hand side of Eq. (C12), writing

$$\langle \hat{A} \rangle_t = \int \hat{A}(\mathbf{x}(\Delta s_{\text{sys}})) P(\Delta s_{\text{sys}}, t) d\Delta s_{\text{sys}}, \quad (\text{C13})$$

and noting that for a given  $\Delta s_{\text{sys}}$  there are potentially several corresponding values of  $\mathbf{x}$ , each of which contributes to the integrand. It is better to cast the integral in terms of the  $\mathbf{x}$  instead of  $\Delta s_{\text{sys}}$ , namely  $\langle \hat{A} \rangle_t = \int \hat{A}(\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x}, t) d\mathbf{x}$  and employing integration limits for  $\mathbf{x}$  instead of  $\Delta s_{\text{sys}}$ . Continuing the development we find:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{A} \rangle_t &= \int \left( -\frac{\partial \ln p}{\partial t} - \sum_j \frac{\partial \ln p}{\partial x_j} A_j - \sum_{ij} D_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 \ln p}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right) p d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int \left( -\frac{\partial \ln p}{\partial t} p - \sum_j \left( A_j p - \frac{\partial (D_{ij} p)}{\partial x_i} \right) \frac{\partial \ln p}{\partial x_j} \right) d\mathbf{x} \\ &\quad - \sum_{ij} \left[ D_{ij} \frac{\partial \ln p}{\partial x_j} p \right] \\ &= \int \left( -\frac{\partial \ln p}{\partial t} p + \sum_j \frac{\partial J_j}{\partial x_j} \ln p \right) d\mathbf{x} \\ &\quad - \sum_j [J_j \ln p] - \sum_{ij} \left[ D_{ij} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C14})$$

reducing to

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{A} \rangle_t &= \int \left( -\frac{\partial \ln p}{\partial t} p - \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} \ln p \right) d\mathbf{x} \\ &\quad - \sum_j [J_j \ln p] - \sum_{ij} \left[ D_{ij} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j} \right] \\ &= -\frac{d}{dt} \int p \ln p d\mathbf{x} - \sum_j [J_j \ln p] - \sum_{ij} \left[ D_{ij} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C15})$$

Combining Eqs. (C12) and (C15), and inserting the Gibbs entropy  $S_G(t) = -\int p(\mathbf{x}, t) \ln p(\mathbf{x}, t) d\mathbf{x}$ , we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} d\langle \Delta s_{\text{sys}} \rangle &= \frac{dS_G(t)}{dt} dt - \sum_j [J_j \ln p] dt \\ &\quad - \sum_{ij} \left[ D_{ij} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j} \right] dt - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \hat{B}^2 P(\Delta s_{\text{sys}}, t) \right] dt. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C16})$$

The increment in mean system stochastic entropy production corresponds in part to the increment in Gibbs entropy  $dS_G = \frac{dS_G}{dt} dt$ , but three boundary terms also contribute, the first two involving the limits of the phase space of the  $\mathbf{x}$  coordinates, and the third the limits of the range of  $\Delta s_{\text{sys}}$ .

- 
- [1] I. J. Ford, *Statistical Physics: an Entropic Approach* (Wiley, 2013).
- [2] D. Matos, L. Kantorovich, and I. J. Ford, Stochastic entropy production for continuous measurements of an open quantum system, *Journal of Physics Communications* **6**, 125003 (2022).
- [3] C. L. Clarke and I. J. Ford, Stochastic entropy production associated with quantum measurement in a framework of Markovian quantum state diffusion, arXiv:2301.08197 [quant-ph] (2023).
- [4] U. Seifert, Stochastic thermodynamics: principles and perspectives, *The European Physical Journal B* **64**, 423 (2008).
- [5] I. Percival, *Quantum State Diffusion* (Cambridge University Press, 1998).
- [6] R. E. Spinney, *The use of stochastic methods to explore the thermal equilibrium distribution and define entropy production out of equilibrium*, Ph.D. thesis, UCL (University College London) (2012).
- [7] R. E. Spinney and I. J. Ford, Entropy production in full phase space for continuous stochastic dynamics, *Physical Review E* **85**, 051113 (2012).
- [8] J. Dexter and I. J. Ford, Stochastic entropy production for dynamical systems with restricted diffusion, arXiv:2302.01882 [cond-mat.stat-mech] (2023).
- [9] K. Jacobs, *Quantum Measurement Theory and its Applications* (Cambridge University Press, 2014).
- [10] R.E. Spinney and I.J. Ford, Entropy production in full phase space for continuous stochastic dynamics, *Phys. Rev. E* **85**, 051113 (2012).