

Character Expansion Methods for $\mathrm{USp}(2N)$, $\mathrm{SO}(n)$, and $\mathrm{O}(n)$ using the Characters of the Symmetric Group

Akihiro Sei*

Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan

Abstract

In theories with supersymmetry, we can calculate a special partition function, known as the superconformal index. In particular, for a gauge group of $\mathrm{U}(N)$ and particles belonging to the adjoint representation, there is a fast method known as the character expansion method, which uses the characters of the symmetric group. In this paper, we extend this method to theories of particles belonging to specific representations of the gauge groups: $\mathrm{USp}(2N)$, $\mathrm{SO}(n)$, and $\mathrm{O}(n)$. Furthermore, we propose a formula which gives the large N limit without using the characters.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	$\mathrm{U}(N)$ case	2
2	$\mathrm{USp}(2N)$ case	2
3	Orthogonal groups	5
3.1	$\mathrm{SO}(n)$ case	5
3.2	$\mathrm{O}(n)$ case	7
4	Large N limit	8
5	Conclusion and Discussion	9
A	Examples	10
A.1	The Schur index of 4 dimension $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $\mathrm{USp}(2)$ SYM theory	10

*E-mail: a.sei@th.phys.titech.ac.jp

1 Introduction

In theories with supersymmetry, we can define a special partition function, known as the superconformal index [1]. This function was calculated for supersymmetric theories in different space-time dimensions. Such calculation is possible because the index is independent of the coupling constants and infinite dimensional path integral reduces to finite dimensional matrix integral.

Let us consider a theory of particles belonging to a representation R of a gauge group G . The partition function is expressed as

$$I_R^G(x) = \int [dU]_G \exp \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} f(x^m) \chi_R^G(U^m) \right], \quad (1.1)$$

where $[dU]_G$ is the Haar measure of G , normalized by $\int [dU]_G = 1$, $\chi_R^G(U)$ is the character of the representation R of G , $f(x)$ is the single-particle partition function, defined by the trace over the single-particle states. x denotes a collection of fugacities. If $x = (t, y, v, w)$ then $f(x^m) = f(t^m, y^m, v^m, w^m)$. $\int [dU]_G$ is operation to extract only physical states, which belong to the trivial representation. m is the number of particles in the states.

One way to calculate (1.1) is as follows. Firstly, we diagonalize U , express the integrand as a function of the eigenvalues of U , Taylor expand the integrand, and integrate it over the eigenvalues. However, this simple method is time-consuming, and to obtain the result up to high order of expansion we need efficient methods.

Let us rewrite the integrand as

$$\exp \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} f(x^m) \chi_R^G(U^m) \right] = \sum_{\lambda} \left[\frac{f_{\lambda}(x)}{z_{\lambda}} \prod_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} \chi_R^G(U^{\lambda_i}) \right], \quad (1.2)$$

where λ is an integer partition $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$, $(\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{l(\lambda)} > 0)$ and $l(\lambda)$ is the length of λ . \sum_{λ} is the summation over all partitions. We defined notations:

$$f_{\lambda} \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} f(x^{\lambda_i}), \quad (1.3)$$

$$z_{\lambda} \equiv \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} k_m! m^{k_m}, \quad (1.4)$$

where k_m is the number of m in λ . For example,

$$\lambda = \{3, 2, 1, 1\} (= \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & & \\ \hline \square & & & \\ \hline \square & & & \\ \hline \end{array}) \implies l(\lambda) = 4, k_1 = 2, k_2 = 1, k_3 = 1. \quad (1.5)$$

In the case of $G = U(N)$ and R being the adjoint representation, we can calculate easily the integral $I_{\text{adj}}^{U(N)}$ by using the method “the character expansion” [2, 3]. We first review the method [2, 3] for $G = U(N)$ (and R being the adjoint representation) theory in Section 1.1. Next, in Section 2, 3.1 and 3.2, we will extend the methods to $USp(2N)$, $SO(2N + 1)$ and $SO(2N)$, and $O(2N + 1)$ and $O(2N)$, respectively. In addition, in Section 4, we will conjecture a formula for large N . Finally, we give an example in Appendix A.

1.1 $U(N)$ case

Let us consider the case of $G = U(N)$.

If R is the fundamental representation, $I_{\text{fund}}^{U(N)} = 1$ because all (single) particle states have the positive $U(1)$ charge and no states are neutral except the vacuum state.

Let us consider the case that R is the adjoint representation [2, 3]. $\chi_{\text{adj}}^{U(N)}(U) = (\text{tr}U)(\text{tr}U^\dagger)$ holds and the integral (1.1) becomes

$$I_{\text{adj}}^{U(N)}(x) = \sum_{\lambda} \left[\frac{f_{\lambda}(x)}{z_{\lambda}} \int [dU]_{U(N)} t_{\lambda}(U) (t_{\lambda}(U))^* \right], \quad (1.6)$$

where $t_{\lambda}(U) \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} \text{tr}(U^{\lambda_i})$. We can expand $t_{\lambda}(U)$ into characters $\chi_{\mu}^{U(N)}(U)$ by using the Frobenius formula

$$t_{\lambda}(U) \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} \text{tr}(U^{\lambda_i}) = \sum_{\mu \vdash |\lambda|, l(\mu) \leq N} \chi_{\mu}^S(\lambda) \chi_{\mu}^{U(N)}(U), \quad (1.7)$$

where $\chi_{\mu}^S(\lambda)$ is the character of symmetric group $S_{|\lambda|}$. Here, we use partitions μ and λ to specify an irreducible representation and a conjugacy class of the symmetric group $S_{|\lambda|}$, respectively. More details on this can be found in [7]. By using the orthonormality of characters

$$\int [dU]_{U(N)} \chi_{\mu}^{U(N)}(U) (\chi_{\nu}^{U(N)}(U))^* = \delta_{\mu\nu}, \quad (1.8)$$

we obtain

$$I_{\text{adj}}^{U(N)}(x) = \sum_{\lambda} \left[\frac{f_{\lambda}(x)}{z_{\lambda}} \sum_{\mu \vdash |\lambda|, l(\mu) \leq N} (\chi_{\mu}^S(\lambda))^2 \right]. \quad (1.9)$$

This is the character expansion formula for $I_{\text{adj}}^{U(N)}$. This formula enables us to calculate the integral (1.1) efficiently. In the following sections, we generalize this formula for $G = \text{USp}(2N)$, $\text{SO}(2N+1)$, $\text{SO}(2N)$, $\text{O}(2N+1)$, and $\text{O}(2N)$.

2 $\text{USp}(2N)$ case

Let us consider the integral of $G = \text{USp}(2N)$. We firstly calculate $I_{\text{fund}}^{\text{USp}(2N)}$ using the generalized method of [2, 3].

We start from (1.2), which holds for an arbitrary G . Let U be a $2N \times 2N$ matrix representation of $\text{USp}(2N)$. Its trace is the character of the fundamental representation \square :

$$\text{tr}(U) = \chi_{\square}^{\text{USp}(2N)}(U). \quad (2.1)$$

The integral $I_{\text{fund}}^{\text{USp}(2N)}$ is written as

$$I_{\text{fund}}^{\text{USp}(2N)}(x) = \sum_{\lambda} \left[\frac{f_{\lambda}(x)}{z_{\lambda}} \int [dU]_{\text{USp}(2N)} \prod_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} \text{tr} U^{\lambda_i} \right]. \quad (2.2)$$

Now, from the Frobenius formula (1.7) the integrand in equation (2.2) is

$$\prod_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} \text{tr } U^{\lambda_i} = t_\lambda(U) = \sum_{\mu \vdash |\lambda|: l(\mu) \leq 2N} \chi_\mu^S(\lambda) \chi_\mu^{U(2N)}(U). \quad (2.3)$$

Here, note that the character appearing on the right-hand side is not $\chi_\mu^{\text{USp}(2N)}(U)$ but $\chi_\mu^{U(2N)}(U)$. As a result, the integral in (2.2) is

$$\int [dU]_{\text{USp}(2N)} \prod_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} \text{tr } U^{\lambda_i} = \sum_{\mu \vdash |\lambda|: l(\mu) \leq 2N} \chi_\mu^S(\lambda) \int [dU]_{\text{USp}(2N)} \chi_\mu^{U(2N)}(U). \quad (2.4)$$

According to [4], $\chi_\mu^{U(2N)}(U)$ can be represented using $\chi_\lambda^{\text{USp}(2N)}(U)$ as

$$\chi_\mu^{U(2N)}(U) = \sum_\lambda c'_{\mu\lambda} \chi_\lambda^{\text{USp}(2N)}(U). \quad (2.5)$$

where $c'_{\mu\lambda} \equiv \sum_\kappa \text{LR}_{t(2\kappa)\lambda}^\mu$. $\text{LR}_{\nu\lambda}^\mu$ are non-negative integers, called the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients. ${}^t(2\kappa)$ is the transposition of $(2\kappa) = (2\kappa_1, 2\kappa_2, \dots)$, and ${}^t(2\kappa)$ corresponds to a tensor made from the $\text{USp}(2N)$ invariant antisymmetric tensor ω_{ij} .

Therefore, using a property of $\text{LR}_{\nu\lambda}^\mu$: $\text{LR}_{\nu\bullet}^\mu = \delta_\nu^\mu$, where \bullet denotes the trivial representation,

$$\begin{aligned} \int [dU]_{\text{USp}(2N)} \chi_\mu^{U(2N)}(U) &= \int [dU]_{\text{USp}(2N)} \sum_\lambda c'_{\mu\lambda} \chi_\lambda^{\text{USp}(2N)}(U) \\ &= c'_{\mu\bullet} = \sum_\kappa \delta_{t(2\kappa)}^\mu \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

holds. Thus, the integral on the right-hand side of (2.4) is one if and only if all k_m of μ are even, or in Young diagrams, if and only if every column has an even number of boxes, and it is 0 otherwise. In other words,

$$\begin{cases} \int [dU]_{\text{USp}(2N)} \chi_\mu^{U(2N)}(U) = 1 & \text{for } \mu \in R_{2N}^c(|\mu|) \\ \int [dU]_{\text{USp}(2N)} \chi_\mu^{U(2N)}(U) = 0 & \text{for } \mu \notin R_{2N}^c(|\mu|), \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$R_{2N}^c(|\lambda|) \equiv \{ \mu \vdash |\lambda| \mid l(\mu) \leq 2N \wedge \forall m (k_m \text{ of } \mu \text{ is even}) \}. \quad (2.8)$$

For example, for $N \geq 3$,

$$R_{2N}(6) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \square \\ \square \\ \square \\ \square \\ \square \\ \hline \end{array} \end{array} \right\}. \quad (2.9)$$

Therefore,

$$\int [dU]_{\text{USp}(2N)} \prod_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} \text{tr} U^{\lambda_i} = \sum_{\mu \in R_{2N}^c(|\lambda|)} \chi_{\mu}^S(\lambda), \quad (2.10)$$

holds.

By substituting (2.10) into (2.2), we obtain the character expansion formula for $I_{\text{fund}}^{\text{USp}(2N)}$

$$I_{\text{fund}}^{\text{USp}(2N)}(x) = \sum_{\lambda} \left[\frac{f_{\lambda}(x)}{z_{\lambda}} \sum_{\mu \in R_{2N}^c(|\lambda|)} \chi_{\mu}^S(\lambda) \right]. \quad (2.11)$$

In the sum over λ , we need to include only λ with even $|\lambda|$ since $R_{2N}^c(|\lambda|) = \emptyset$ when $|\lambda|$ is odd.

In fact, an analytic formula for $I_{\text{fund}}^{\text{USp}(2N)}$ is given in [5]. According to this,

$$\exp \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} f(x^m) \text{tr}(U^m) \right] = \sum_{\lambda: l(\lambda) \leq N} \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \left[\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} A_p (A_{p+|\lambda_j+i-j|} - A_{p+\lambda_j+2N+2-i-j}) \right] \chi_{\lambda}^{\text{USp}(2N)}(U) \quad (2.12)$$

holds, where

$$A_p = \sum_{\mu \vdash p} \left[\frac{f_{\mu}(x)}{z_{\mu}} \right]. \quad (2.13)$$

Thus, $I_{\text{fund}}^{\text{USp}(2N)}$ is also represented as

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\text{fund}}^{\text{USp}(2N)}(x) &= \int [dU]_{\text{USp}(2N)} \sum_{\lambda: l(\lambda) \leq N} \det \left[\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} A_p (A_{p+|\lambda_j+i-j|} - A_{p+\lambda_j+2N+2-i-j}) \right] \chi_{\lambda}^{\text{USp}(2N)}(U) \\ &= \det \left[\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} A_p (A_{p+|i-j|} - A_{p+2N+2-i-j}) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Secondly, let us consider $I_{\text{adj}}^{\text{USp}(2N)}$. The adjoint representation of $\text{USp}(2N)$ is the symmetric product $\square \square$ of the fundamental representation, and the character is

$$\chi_{\text{adj}}^{\text{USp}(2N)}(U) = \chi_{\square \square}^{\text{USp}(2N)}(U) = \frac{1}{2} ((\text{tr} U)^2 + \text{tr} U^2). \quad (2.15)$$

As the result of this equation, the integral $I_{\text{adj}}^{\text{USp}(2N)}$ is written as

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\text{adj}}^{\text{USp}(2N)}(x) &= \sum_{\lambda} \left[\frac{f_{\lambda}(x)}{z_{\lambda}} \int [dU]_{\text{USp}(2N)} \prod_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} \left[\frac{1}{2} ((\text{tr} U^{\lambda_i})^2 + \text{tr} U^{2\lambda_i}) \right] \right] \\ &= \sum_{\lambda} \left[\frac{f_{\lambda}(x)}{z_{\lambda}} \frac{1}{2^{l(\lambda)}} \int [dU]_{\text{USp}(2N)} \sum_{\tilde{\lambda} \in \text{Ev}(\lambda)} \prod_{i=1}^{l(\tilde{\lambda})} \text{tr} U^{\tilde{\lambda}_i} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Here, we defined $\text{Ev}(\lambda)$ as follows. $\text{Ev}(\lambda)$ is the set of partitions obtained by applying one of the following two operations on every part of a given partition λ .

- replace a part λ_i of the partition λ with $2\lambda_i$
- replace a part λ_i of the partition λ with two parts λ_i, λ_i

Because we have two options for each of $l(\lambda)$ parts, $\text{Ev}(\lambda)$ has $2^{l(\lambda)}$ parts. For example,

$$\text{Ev} \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = \left\{ \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & & & \\ \hline \square & & & \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \right\} \quad (2.17)$$

$$\text{Ev} \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = \left\{ \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \left(= \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \right), \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \right\}. \quad (2.18)$$

Note that there may be multiple identical diagrams, but they will not be removed.

By substituting (2.10) into (2.16), we obtain the character expansion formula of $I_{\text{adj}}^{\text{USp}(2N)}$

$$I_{\text{adj}}^{\text{USp}(2N)}(x) = \sum_{\lambda} \left[\frac{f_{\lambda}(x)}{z_{\lambda}} \frac{1}{2^{l(\lambda)}} \sum_{\tilde{\lambda} \in \text{Ev}(\lambda)} \sum_{\mu \in R_{2N}^c(2|\lambda|)} \chi_{\mu}^S(\tilde{\lambda}) \right]. \quad (2.19)$$

Unlike $G = \text{U}(N)$ case, if we want to calculate the contribution of λ , we need to sum over partitions μ in $R_{2N}^c(2|\lambda|)$, which has $2|\lambda|$ boxes.

3 Orthogonal groups

Let us consider the special orthogonal groups $G = \text{SO}(n)$ and the orthogonal groups $G = \text{O}(n)$. In both cases, we use the vector representation as “the fundamental representation” \square .

3.1 $\text{SO}(n)$ case

Let us firstly consider $I_{\text{fund}}^{\text{SO}(n)}$. We again start from (1.2). The integral $I_{\text{fund}}^{\text{SO}(n)}$ is written as

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\text{fund}}^{\text{SO}(n)}(x) &= \sum_{\lambda} \left[\frac{f_{\lambda}(x)}{z_{\lambda}} \int [dU]_{\text{SO}(n)} \prod_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} \text{tr} U^{\lambda_i} \right] \\ &= \sum_{\lambda} \left[\frac{f_{\lambda}(x)}{z_{\lambda}} \int [dU]_{\text{SO}(n)} t_{\lambda}(U) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Next, by the Frobenius formula (1.7),

$$\int [dU]_{\text{SO}(n)} t_{\lambda}(U) = \sum_{\mu \vdash |\lambda|: l(\mu) \leq n} \chi_{\mu}^S(\lambda) \int [dU]_{\text{SO}(n)} \chi_{\mu}^{\text{U}(n)}(U). \quad (3.2)$$

According to [4], $\chi_{\mu}^{\text{U}(n)}(U)$ can be also represented using $\chi_{\lambda}^{\text{O}(n)}(U)$ as

$$\chi_{\mu}^{\text{U}(n)}(U) = \sum_{\lambda} b'_{\mu\lambda} \chi_{\lambda}^{\text{O}(n)}(U). \quad (3.3)$$

where $b'_{\mu\lambda} \equiv \sum_{\kappa} \left(\text{LR}_{(2\kappa)\lambda}^{\mu} + \text{LR}_{(1^n+2\kappa)\lambda}^{\mu} \right)$ and (1^n) is the partition $(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_n)$. $(1^n + 2\kappa)$ means the partition $(1 + 2\kappa_1, \dots, 1 + 2\kappa_{l(\kappa)}, 1, \dots, 1)$. As well as the $\text{USp}(2N)$ case, (2κ) and (1^n) correspond to a tensor made from the $\text{SO}(n)$ invariant symmetric tensor d_{ij} and to the $\text{SO}(n)$ invariant antisymmetric tensor $\varepsilon_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}$: the Levi-Civita tensor¹, respectively. Therefore,

$$\int [dU]_{\text{SO}(n)} \chi_{\mu}^{\text{U}(n)}(U) = b'_{\mu\bullet} = \sum_{\kappa} \left(\delta_{(2\kappa)}^{\mu} + \delta_{(1^n+2\kappa)}^{\mu} \right) \quad (3.4)$$

holds. Thus, the integral on the right-hand side of (3.2) is one if and only if all μ_i are even, or $\mu_n \neq 0$ and all μ_i are odd. In other words,

$$\begin{cases} \int [dU]_{\text{SO}(n)} \chi_{\mu}^{\text{U}(n)}(U) = 1 & \text{for } \mu \in R_n^r(|\mu|) \cup W_n^r(|\mu|) \\ \int [dU]_{\text{SO}(n)} \chi_{\mu}^{\text{U}(n)}(U) = 0 & \text{for } \mu \notin R_n^r(|\mu|) \cup W_n^r(|\mu|) \end{cases}, \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$R_n^r(|\lambda|) \equiv \{ \mu \vdash |\lambda| \mid l(\mu) \leq n \wedge \forall i (\mu_i \text{ is even}) \} \quad (3.6)$$

$$W_n^r(|\lambda|) \equiv \{ \mu \vdash |\lambda| \mid l(\mu) = n \wedge \forall i (\mu_i \text{ is odd}) \}. \quad (3.7)$$

For example in the case of $\text{SO}(4)$,

$$R_4^r(6) = \left\{ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \right\} \quad (3.8)$$

$$W_4^r(6) = \left\{ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array} \right\}. \quad (3.9)$$

Hence, we obtain the character expansion formula of $I_{\text{fund}}^{\text{SO}(n)}$

$$I_{\text{fund}}^{\text{SO}(n)}(x) = \sum_{\lambda} \left[\frac{f_{\lambda}(x)}{z_{\lambda}} \sum_{\mu \in R_n^r(|\lambda|) \cup W_n^r(|\lambda|)} \chi_{\mu}^S(\lambda) \right]. \quad (3.10)$$

As well as $I_{\text{fund}}^{\text{USp}(2N)}$, an analytic formula for $I_{\text{fund}}^{\text{SO}(2N+1)}$ and $I_{\text{fund}}^{\text{SO}(2N)}$ are given in [5]. Using $A_p = \sum_{\mu \vdash p} \left[\frac{f_{\mu}(x)}{z_{\mu}} \right]$, these results are respectively

$$I_{\text{fund}}^{\text{SO}(2N+1)}(x) = \sum_{\lambda} \left[\frac{f_{\lambda}(x)}{z_{\lambda}} \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \left[\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} A_p (A_{p+|i-j|} - A_{p+2N+1-i-j}) \right] \right], \quad (3.11)$$

$$I_{\text{fund}}^{\text{SO}(2N)}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \left[\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} A_p (A_{p+|i-j|} + A_{p+2N-i-j}) \right]. \quad (3.12)$$

¹In the $\text{USp}(2N)$ case, there is also $\varepsilon_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{2N}}$ as invariant tensor. However, we do not have to take account of it because $\varepsilon_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{2N}}$ can be represented by ω_{ij} .

Secondly, let us consider $I_{\text{adj}}^{\text{SO}(n)}$. The adjoint representation of $\text{SO}(n)$ is the antisymmetric product \square of the vector representation \square . The character $\chi_{\text{adj}}^{\text{SO}(n)}$ is given by

$$\chi_{\text{adj}}^{\text{SO}(n)}(U) = \chi_{\square}^{\text{SO}(n)}(U) = \frac{1}{2} ((\text{tr } U)^2 - \text{tr } U^2). \quad (3.13)$$

From (1.2), the integral $I_{\text{adj}}^{\text{SO}(n)}$ is written as

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\text{adj}}^{\text{SO}(n)}(x) &= \sum_{\lambda} \left[\frac{f_{\lambda}(x)}{z_{\lambda}} \int [dU]_{\text{SO}(2N+1)} \prod_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left((\text{tr } U^{\lambda_i})^2 - \text{tr } U^{2\lambda_i} \right) \right] \right] \\ &= \sum_{\lambda} \left[\frac{f_{\lambda}(x)}{z_{\lambda}} \frac{1}{2^{l(\lambda)}} \int [dU]_{\text{SO}(2N+1)} \sum_{\tilde{\lambda} \in \text{Ev}(\lambda)} (-1)^{l(\tilde{\lambda})} \prod_{i=1}^{l(\tilde{\lambda})} \text{tr } U^{\tilde{\lambda}_i} \right] \\ &= \sum_{\lambda} \left[\frac{f_{\lambda}(x)}{z_{\lambda}} \frac{1}{2^{l(\lambda)}} \int [dU]_{\text{SO}(2N+1)} \sum_{\tilde{\lambda} \in \text{Ev}(\lambda)} (-1)^{l(\tilde{\lambda})} t_{\tilde{\lambda}}(U) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

As well as $I_{\text{fund}}^{\text{SO}(n)}$, using (3.2) and (3.5), we obtain the character expansion formula of $I_{\text{adj}}^{\text{SO}(n)}$

$$I_{\text{adj}}^{\text{SO}(n)}(x) = \sum_{\lambda} \left[\frac{f_{\lambda}(x)}{z_{\lambda}} \frac{1}{2^{l(\lambda)}} \sum_{\tilde{\lambda} \in \text{Ev}(\lambda)} (-1)^{l(\tilde{\lambda})} \sum_{\mu \in R_n^r(2|\lambda) \cup W_n^r(2|\lambda)} \chi_{\mu}^S(\tilde{\lambda}) \right]. \quad (3.15)$$

This formula (3.15) holds for all non-negative integers n . However, when n is odd integer $2N+1$, this formula becomes simpler because $W_{2N+1}^r(2|\lambda)$ is the empty set. Hence, we also obtain the character expansion formula of $I_{\text{adj}}^{\text{SO}(2N+1)}$

$$I_{\text{adj}}^{\text{SO}(2N+1)}(x) = \sum_{\lambda} \left[\frac{f_{\lambda}(x)}{z_{\lambda}} \frac{1}{2^{l(\lambda)}} \sum_{\tilde{\lambda} \in \text{Ev}(\lambda)} (-1)^{l(\tilde{\lambda})} \sum_{\mu \in R_{2N+1}^r(2|\lambda)} \chi_{\mu}^S(\tilde{\lambda}) \right]. \quad (3.16)$$

As well as $W_{2N+1}^r(2|\lambda) = \emptyset$, for odd $|\lambda|$, $R_n^r(|\lambda|) = W_{2N}^r(|\lambda|) = \emptyset$ holds.

3.2 $\text{O}(n)$ case

An important difference between $\text{SO}(n)$ and $\text{O}(n)$ is that the Levi-Civita tensor is not an invariant tensor of $\text{O}(n)$ because

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{i_1 \dots i_{2N}} &\xrightarrow{R \in \text{O}(2N)} R_{i_1}^{j_1} \dots R_{i_{2N}}^{j_{2N}} \varepsilon_{j_1 \dots j_{2N}} \\ &= \det(R) \varepsilon_{i_1 \dots i_{2N}} \\ &= \pm \varepsilon_{i_1 \dots i_{2N}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Therefore, (3.5) is modified as

$$\begin{cases} \int [dU]_{\text{O}(n)} \chi_{\mu}^{\text{U}(n)} = 1 & \text{for } \mu \in R_n^r(|\mu|) \\ \int [dU]_{\text{O}(n)} \chi_{\mu}^{\text{U}(n)} = 0 & \text{for } \mu \notin R_n^r(|\mu|) \end{cases}. \quad (3.18)$$

Hence, we obtain the character expansion formula of $I_{\text{fund}}^{\text{O}(n)}$

$$I_{\text{fund}}^{\text{O}(n)}(x) = \sum_{\lambda} \left[\frac{f_{\lambda}(x)}{z_{\lambda}} \sum_{\mu \in R_n^r(|\lambda|)} \chi_{\mu}^S(\lambda) \right]. \quad (3.19)$$

and that of $I_{\text{adj}}^{\text{O}(n)}$

$$I_{\text{adj}}^{\text{O}(n)}(x) = \sum_{\lambda} \left[\frac{f_{\lambda}(x)}{z_{\lambda}} \frac{1}{2^{l(\lambda)}} \sum_{\tilde{\lambda} \in \text{Ev}(\lambda)} (-1)^{l(\tilde{\lambda})} \sum_{\mu \in R_n^r(2|\lambda|)} \chi_{\mu}^S(\tilde{\lambda}) \right]. \quad (3.20)$$

4 Large N limit

Let us consider the large N (or n) limit. In the character expansion formulas obtained in the previous sections, N (or n) appears only through $R_{2N}^c(|\tilde{\lambda}|)$, $R_n^r(|\tilde{\lambda}|)$, and $W_n^r(|\tilde{\lambda}|)$. The large N limit of $I_R^G(x)$ is obtained by replacing them with their large N limits. In this paper, we consider only I_{adj}^G .

$I_{\text{adj}}^{\text{SO}(n)}$ and $I_{\text{adj}}^{\text{O}(n)}$ are the same in the large N limit because the large N limit of $R_n^r(|\tilde{\lambda}|)$ becomes $R_{\infty}^r(|\tilde{\lambda}|) \equiv \left\{ \mu \vdash |\tilde{\lambda}| \mid \forall i (\mu_i \text{ is even}) \right\}$ and the large N limit of $W_n^r(|\tilde{\lambda}|)$ contains no partitions with finite size. Thus,

$$I_{\text{adj}}^{\text{SO}(\infty)}(x) = I_{\text{adj}}^{\text{O}(\infty)}(x) = \sum_{\lambda} \left[\frac{f_{\lambda}(x)}{z_{\lambda}} \frac{1}{2^{l(\lambda)}} \sum_{\tilde{\lambda} \in \text{Ev}(\lambda)} (-1)^{l(\tilde{\lambda})} \sum_{\mu \in R_{\infty}^r(2|\lambda|)} \chi_{\mu}^S(\tilde{\lambda}) \right]. \quad (4.1)$$

Let us consider the $G = \text{USp}(2N)$ case. The large N limit of $R_{2N}^c(|\tilde{\lambda}|)$ becomes $R_{\infty}^c(|\tilde{\lambda}|) \equiv \left\{ \mu \vdash |\tilde{\lambda}| \mid \forall m (k_m \text{ of } \mu \text{ is even}) \right\}$. Thus,

$$I_{\text{adj}}^{\text{USp}(\infty)}(x) = \sum_{\lambda} \left[\frac{f_{\lambda}(x)}{z_{\lambda}} \frac{1}{2^{l(\lambda)}} \sum_{\tilde{\lambda} \in \text{Ev}(\lambda)} \sum_{\mu \in R_{\infty}^c(2|\lambda|)} \chi_{\mu}^S(\tilde{\lambda}) \right]. \quad (4.2)$$

We can show that (4.1) and (4.2) are the same by using the property of the character of symmetric group: $\chi_{\mu}^S(\lambda) = (-1)^{|\lambda|+l(\lambda)} \chi_{t\mu}^S(\lambda)$ and $\mu \in R_{\infty}^c(|\tilde{\lambda}|) \iff t\mu \in R_{\infty}^r(|\tilde{\lambda}|)$. We use I_{adj}^{∞} to denote the common large N limit.

Next, for the sum over $R_{\infty}^c(|\tilde{\lambda}|)$ in (4.2), we claim that the following equation

$$\sum_{\mu \in R_{\infty}^c(|\tilde{\lambda}|)} \chi_{\mu}^S(\tilde{\lambda}) = (-1)^{l(\tilde{\lambda})} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{m, k_m} \quad (4.3)$$

holds, where k_m stands for k_m of $\tilde{\lambda}$: the number of parts with the value m in $\tilde{\lambda}$ and $a_{m,n}$ are defined as

$$\begin{cases} a_{m,n} = 0 & \text{if both } m \text{ and } n \text{ are odd} \\ a_{m,n} = m^{n/2}(n-1)!! & \text{if } m \text{ is odd and } n \text{ is even} \\ a_{m,n} = \left(\sqrt{1+2mx} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^n e^x \Big|_{x=0} & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \end{cases}. \quad (4.4)$$

Furthermore, $a_{m,n}$ are the solutions of the following recurrence equations

$$\begin{cases} a_{m,n} = m(n-1)a_{m,n-2}, & a_{m,0} = 1, a_{m,1} = 0 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \\ a_{m,n} = a_{m,n-1} + m(n-1)a_{m,n-2}, & a_{m,0} = a_{m,1} = 1 & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \end{cases}. \quad (4.5)$$

Although we have not proved (4.3), it has been numerically checked for $|\tilde{\lambda}| \leq 20$. Hence, from (4.2) and (4.3), the integral I_{adj}^∞ is written as

$$I_{\text{adj}}^\infty(x) = \sum_{\lambda} \left[\frac{f_{\lambda}(x)}{z_{\lambda}} \frac{1}{2^{l(\lambda)}} \sum_{\tilde{\lambda} \in \text{Ev}(\lambda)} (-1)^{l(\tilde{\lambda})} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{m,k_m} \right]. \quad (4.6)$$

The infinite product in (4.6) is well-defined because $a_{m,k_m} = 1$ for sufficiently large m .

5 Conclusion and Discussion

We gave the formulas for the integral (1.1) of $I_{\text{fund}}^{\text{USp}(2N)}$, $I_{\text{adj}}^{\text{USp}(2N)}$, $I_{\text{fund}}^{\text{SO}(n)}$, $I_{\text{adj}}^{\text{SO}(n)}$, $I_{\text{fund}}^{\text{O}(n)}$, and $I_{\text{adj}}^{\text{O}(n)}$. The results are (2.11), (2.19), (3.10), (3.15), (3.19), and (3.20), respectively. They enable us to efficiently calculate the integral (1.1) by using the characters of the symmetric group (for partitions with even boxes). Furthermore, we showed that their large N limits are same when R is the adjoint representation, and we proposed the formula (4.6), which give the large N limit without using the characters.

We can apply the formulas to various systems by setting $f(x)$ appropriately. See Appendix A for an example. Furthermore, in this paper, we only consider the integral (1.1) with the character of the fundamental representation $\chi_{\text{fund}}^G(U)$ and the character of the adjoint representation $\chi_{\text{adj}}^G(U)$. It would be nice if we can extend the formulas to the integral with the character of general representations R . For the extension, we need to express $\chi_R^G(U)$ using the representation matrix U of a specific representation.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my gratitude to Yosuke Imamura for the suggesting the topic of this research and careful reading of the manuscript.

A Examples

A.1 The Schur index of 4 dimension $\mathcal{N} = 4$ USp(2) SYM theory

As an example, we will consider the Schur index of 4 dimension USp(2) $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory. That is, $N = 1$, $x = q$, $f(x) = \frac{2q}{1+q}$, and we will calculate up to the second order of q .

Since the lowest order of $f_\lambda(x)$ is $|\lambda|$, the sum of λ should be taken for diagrams with box numbers up to 2. Therefore, we can calculate $I_{\text{USp}(2)}(q)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\text{USp}(2)}(q) &= 1 + \frac{f_{\square}(x)}{z_{\square}} \frac{1}{2^{l(\square)}} \sum_{\tilde{\lambda} \in \text{Ev}(\square)} \sum_{\mu \in R_2^s(2|\square)} \chi_{\mu}^S(\tilde{\lambda}) \\
&\quad + \frac{f_{\square\square}(x)}{z_{\square\square}} \frac{1}{2^{l(\square\square)}} \sum_{\tilde{\lambda} \in \text{Ev}(\square\square)} \sum_{\mu \in R_2^s(2|\square\square)} \chi_{\mu}^S(\tilde{\lambda}) \\
&\quad + \frac{f_{\boxplus}(x)}{z_{\boxplus}} \frac{1}{2^{l(\boxplus)}} \sum_{\tilde{\lambda} \in \text{Ev}(\boxplus)} \sum_{\mu \in R_2^s(2|\boxplus)} \chi_{\mu}^S(\tilde{\lambda}) \quad + O(q^3) \\
&= 1 + \frac{f(x)}{2} \sum_{\tilde{\lambda} \in \{\square, \square\square\}} \sum_{\mu \in \{\square\}} \chi_{\mu}^S(\tilde{\lambda}) \\
&\quad + \frac{f(x^2)}{4} \sum_{\tilde{\lambda} \in \{\boxplus, \square\square\square\}} \sum_{\mu \in \{\boxplus\}} \chi_{\mu}^S(\tilde{\lambda}) \\
&\quad + \frac{(f(x))^2}{8} \sum_{\tilde{\lambda} \in \{\boxplus, \boxplus, \boxplus, \boxplus\}} \sum_{\mu \in \{\boxplus\}} \chi_{\mu}^S(\tilde{\lambda}) \quad + O(q^3) \\
&= 1 + \frac{f(x)}{2}(1 - 1) + \frac{f(x^2)}{4}(2 + 0) + \frac{(f(x))^2}{8}(2 + 0 + 0 + 2) + O(q^3) \\
&= 1 + \frac{q^2}{1 + q^2} + 2 \frac{q^2}{(1 + q)^2} + O(q^3) \\
&= 1 + 3q^2 + O(q^3) \tag{A.1}
\end{aligned}$$

using

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_{\bullet}^S(\bullet) &= 1, \chi_{\square}^S(\square) = 1, \chi_{\square}^S(\square\square) = -1, \\
\chi_{\boxplus}^S(\boxplus) &= 2, \chi_{\boxplus}^S(\square\square\square) = 0, \chi_{\boxplus}^S(\boxplus) = 2, \chi_{\boxplus}^S(\boxplus) = 0. \tag{A.2}
\end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] J. Kinney, J. M. Maldacena, S. Minwalla and S. Raju, ‘‘An Index for 4 dimensional super conformal theories,’’ Commun. Math. Phys. **275**, 209-254 (2007) doi:10.1007/s00220-007-0258-7 [arXiv:hep-th/0510251 [hep-th]].

- [2] F. A. Dolan, “Counting BPS operators in N=4 SYM,” Nucl. Phys. B **790**, 432-464 (2008) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2007.07.026 [arXiv:0704.1038 [hep-th]].
- [3] S. Dutta and R. Gopakumar, “Free fermions and thermal AdS/CFT,” JHEP **03**, 011 (2008) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/03/011 [arXiv:0711.0133 [hep-th]].
- [4] K. Koike, I. Terada, “Young-diagrammatic methods for the representation theory of the classical groups of type Bn, Cn, Dn.” Journal of Algebra 107.2 (1987): 466-511 doi:10.1016/0021-8693(87)90099-8.
- [5] A. B. Balantekin and P. Cassak, “Character expansions for the orthogonal and symplectic groups,” J. Math. Phys. **43**, 604-620 (2002) doi:10.1063/1.1418014 [arXiv:hep-th/0108130 [hep-th]].
- [6] B-S, Skagerstam. ”On the largeN c limit of the SU (N c) colour quark-gluon partition function.” Zeitschrift für Physik C Particles and Fields 24.1 (1984): 97-101.
- [7] E. B. Sagan “The symmetric group: representations, combinatorial algorithms, and symmetric functions”. Vol. 203. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.