

Bethe/Gauge Correspondence for ABCDEFGG-type 3d Gauge Theories

Xiang-Mao Ding and Tinglyer Zhang ¹

*Institute of Applied Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science,
Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China*

E-mail: xmding@amss.ac.cn, zhangting@amss.ac.cn

ABSTRACT: In this paper, we give a new effective superpotential that makes clear Bethe/Gauge correspondence between 2d (and 3d) SO/Sp gauge theories and open XXX (and XXZ) spin chains with diagonal boundary conditions, and also works in the case of 2d (and 3d) BC_N -type gauge theories which is not previously discussed in the literature. Especially, for exceptional Lie algebras F_4 , G_2 , we give the effective superpotential and vacuum equations. For $E_{6,7,8}$, we only give their effective superpotential for convenience.

KEYWORDS: Bethe Ansatz, Supersymmetric Gauge Theory, Supersymmetry and Duality, Lattice Integrable Models

¹Corresponding author.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Spin chains and Bethe ansatz	4
3	The gauge theory	8
3.1	3d $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory	9
3.2	Refined representation: the new superpotential	11
4	Bethe/Gauge correspondence	14
4.1	B_N -type gauge theory	14
4.2	C_N -type gauge theory	16
4.3	D_N -type gauge theory	18
4.4	BC_N -type gauge theory	19
4.5	2d limits	21
5	Exceptional Lie algebras	24
5.1	F_4 -type	25
5.2	G_2 -type	26
5.3	E_8 -type	28
6	Conclusions and future prospects	29

1 Introduction

The dynamics of gauge theories is a long and fascinating subject, while the supersymmetric version is a newer one. Since the groundbreaking work of Seiberg and Witten [SW94a, SW94b], the integrable nature of supersymmetric gauge theories with eight super charges has gathered widespread interests. In recent years, it has been recognized that there exists an intimate connection between the vacua of the supersymmetric gauge theories and the quantum integrable systems [NS09a, MNS00, GS08a, GS08b]. The effective twisted superpotential of a gauge theory corresponds to the Yang-Yang function of a quantum integrable system. In this regard, the space of supersymmetric vacua is equivalent to the state space of a quantum integrable system, whose Hamiltonian is the generator of the (twisted) chiral ring. That is to say, the spectrum of the quantum Hamiltonians coincides with the spectrum of the

(twisted) chiral ring. Then the relationship was known as Bethe/Gauge correspondence, which opens a new door for the quantum integrable system and the gauge theories.

Quantum integrable systems and supersymmetric gauge field theories can be determined mutually. The low energy effective action of the gauge theory can be written if the corresponding classical integrable system is determined. Such as, the pure $SU(2)$ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ super-Yang-Mills corresponds to the periodic A_{N-1} Toda chain [GKMMM95]. At the same time, the effective twisted superpotential $W_{\text{eff}}(\sigma, m)$ (and the prepotential $\mathcal{F}(a)$) can be carried out using the gauge theory methods. For 4d gauge theory with the parameters $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon_2 = 0$ of the Ω -background, the prepotential $\mathcal{F}(a)$ is played by the 2d twisted superpotential $W(a, \varepsilon)$, which is identified with the Yang-Yang function governing the spectrum of the quantum system. So the gauge theory can help to learn about the quantization of a classical integrable system [NS09c]. What is more, there should be many applications of the correspondence [NS09a, NS09b, MNS00, GS08a, GS08b]: the gauge theory applications, the study of quantum cohomology, (infinite dimensional) representation theory, harmonic analysis, the many-body quantum mechanics.

The Bethe/Gauge correspondence is a subject of research spanning over a decade [NS09a, MNS00, GS08a, GS08b, NW10, NRS11] and even longer in the context of topological gauge theories [Wit89, GN95, GN94a, GN94b]. This relationship became a subject of intense development after the seminal work where the instanton partition functions of the \mathcal{S} -class $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theories in the Ω -background [Nek04] were conjectured to be a Liouville (and, more generally, ADE type Toda theory) conformal blocks. In recent years, Bethe/Gauge correspondence used the techniques developed in the four dimensional instanton counting, which appeared in BPS/CFT correspondence [Nek16, Nek17a, Nek18, Nek19, Nek17b], to calculate a quantum mechanical wave-function of a many-body system, or a spin chain [NN21]. The Bethe/gauge correspondence can also be viewed as a correspondence between the supersymmetric gauge theories and representation theory of infinite dimensional algebras, especially a typical for the two-dimensional conformal field theories or integrable deformations thereof [NVS18].

The correspondence between A-type gauge theories and closed XXX spin chains has been carried out clearly in [NS09a, NS09b]. The vacuum equations of 2d, 3d and 4d gauge theories correspond to rational, trigonometric and elliptic Bethe ansatz equations, respectively. For 2d, 3d BCD-type gauge groups, the correspondence has been proved partly in [KZ21]. The correspondence worked perfectly for 2d gauge theories, but not as well in 3d case. To Excavate more the meaning of this duality, we will now give the explicit expression of the quantities we need to compare: the vacuum equation (in the Gauge side) and the Bethe ansatz equation (in the integrability side) for B and D type and clarify the problem for C type. All the statements will also be clarified in the following sections. We can get the vacuum equation from the effective

potential:

$$\exp\left(\beta_2 i \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} W_{\text{eff}}^{3d}(\sigma, m)\right) = 1 \quad (1.1)$$

The following are the vacuum equation of 3d B-type gauge theory and the Bethe ansatz equation of open XXZ spin chain with diagonal boundary condition [KZ21]. The vacuum equation reads

$$\frac{\sin(\sigma_j - \beta_2 \tilde{c})}{\sin(\sigma_j + \beta_2 \tilde{c})} \prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{\sin(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - \beta_2 \tilde{c})}{\sin(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - \beta_2 \tilde{c})} \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m_a - \beta_2 \tilde{c})}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m_a - \beta_2 \tilde{c})} = 1 \quad (1.2)$$

where σ_i are the eigenvalues of the complex scalar in the vector multiplet, β_2 is the $U(1)$ charge fugacity, \tilde{c} is the rescaling R-charge of the scalar in the chiral multiplet and m is the mass parameters, respectively. The Bethe ansatz equation is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sin[\pi(u_i - \frac{\eta}{2} + \xi_+)] \sin[\pi(u_i - \frac{\eta}{2} + \xi_-)]}{\sin[\pi(u_i + \frac{\eta}{2} - \xi_+)] \sin[\pi(u_i + \frac{\eta}{2} - \xi_-)]} \\ & \times \prod_{a=1}^L \frac{\sin[\pi(u_i + \frac{\eta}{2} + \eta s_a - \vartheta_a)] \sin[\pi(-u_i + \frac{\eta}{2} - \eta s_a - \vartheta_a)]}{\sin[\pi(-u_i + \frac{\eta}{2} + \eta s_a - \vartheta_a)] \sin[\pi(u_i + \frac{\eta}{2} - \eta s_a - \vartheta_a)]} \\ & \times \prod_{j \neq i, j=1}^M \frac{\sin[\pi(u_j + u_i - \eta)] \sin[\pi(u_j - u_i - \eta)]}{\sin[\pi(u_j - u_i + \eta)] \sin[\pi(u_j + u_i + \eta)]} = 1 \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where u_i are spectral parameters, ϑ_a are inhomogeneous parameters, η is the crossing parameter and ξ_{\pm} are boundary parameters. L is the length of the spin chain and M is the number of excited states. The vacuum equation (1.2) can be mapped to (1.3) with the boundary condition chosen as

$$\xi_+ = \frac{\eta}{2}, \quad \xi_- = -\frac{\eta}{2} \quad (1.4)$$

We see that $N_f = 2L$, $M \longleftrightarrow N$ and the mass parameters $\{m_a\}$ have to be paired as

$$\begin{aligned} \pi u & \longleftrightarrow \sigma, \quad \pi \eta \longleftrightarrow \beta_2 \tilde{c} \\ \{-\pi \eta s_a - \frac{\pi \eta}{2} + \pi \vartheta_a, -\pi \eta s_a + \frac{\pi \eta}{2} - \pi \vartheta_a\} & \longleftrightarrow m_a + \beta_2 \tilde{c} \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

to make sure the duality. For D-type gauge theory, the vacuum equation is

$$\prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{\sin(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - \beta_2 \tilde{c})}{\sin(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - \beta_2 \tilde{c})} \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m_a - \beta_2 \tilde{c})}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m_a - \beta_2 \tilde{c})} = 1 \quad (1.6)$$

And it can be mapped to (1.3) with boundary condition $\xi_+ = \xi_- = i\infty$ with the dictionary (1.5). The dictionary to map the vacuum equation to the Bethe equation is the same as the B-type above. For C-type gauge theory, the vacuum equation is

$$\frac{\sin^2(2\sigma_j - \beta_2 \tilde{c})}{\sin^2(2\sigma_j + \beta_2 \tilde{c})} \prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{\sin(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - \beta_2 \tilde{c})}{\sin(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - \beta_2 \tilde{c})} \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m_a - \beta_2 \tilde{c})}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m_a - \beta_2 \tilde{c})} = 1 \quad (1.7)$$

We can see that ξ has no suitable value to make the equations (1.3) and (1.7) dual. The barrier for type C is the number 2 of 2σ in $\sin^2(2\sigma_i \pm \beta_2\tilde{c})$. In this paper, we propose a solution to this problem: we change the representation of the gauge group and find a new expression of the superpotential that can reproduce the results already found in [NS09a, KZ21]. Furthermore, our expression is more general since it also works for the above C-type gauge theory, not discussed in the literature.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give a brief review on the integrability of the closed and open XXZ spin chain. In section 3, we express the 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric gauge theory on $D^2 \times S^1$, and write down the new effective potential of different representations of Lie algebras. By using the new effective potential, we reproduce the well-known Bethe/Gauge correspondence between the vacuum equation of the A-type gauge theories and the Bethe ansatz equation of the closed XXZ spin chains. In section 4, we give a new representation and define its corresponding superpotential. Then we extend the duality to the case of 3d (and 2d) BCD-type gauge theories and the open XXZ (and XXX) spin chains with diagonal boundary condition, and 3d (and 2d) BC_N -type gauge theory and the open XXZ (and XXX) spin chain with diagonal boundary condition. In section 5, we discuss the exceptional Lie algebras, in particular for F_4 and G_2 we gave the superpotential and the vacuum equations and for $E_{6,7,8}$ we gave the superpotential for convenience. Finally, we give some meaning of our results and discuss some future directions in section 6.

2 Spin chains and Bethe ansatz

In this section, we give a swift review of the integrable spin chains at the example of the XXZ spin chain for $SU(2)$. The integrability of a spin chain is characterized by an R -matrix, $R(u) : V \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes V$, satisfying the Yang-Baxter equation,

$$R_{12}(u-v)R_{13}(u)R_{23}(v) = R_{23}(v)R_{13}(u)R_{12}(u-v) \quad (2.1)$$

where u, v are called the spectral parameters. The object R_{ij} are linear operators in the tensor product of the three linear space $V \otimes V \otimes V$ with $R_{12} = R(u) \otimes I$, $R_{23} = I \otimes R(u)$, etc. The most general R -matrix for a solvable XXZ spin chain model can be expressed as [Bax07]

$$R^{\text{XXZ}}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} [u + \eta] & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & [u] & [\eta] & 0 \\ 0 & [\eta] & [u] & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & [u + \eta] \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$[x] := \frac{\sin(\pi x)}{\sin(\pi \eta)} \quad (2.3)$$

where η is the crossing parameter. It is easy to show that the above R -matrix satisfies the following relation [WYC15]: $R(0) = P$, where P is the permutation operator that acts as $P(x \otimes y) = y \otimes x$, $\forall x, y \in V$.

For a closed spin chain with periodic boundary condition, the monodromy matrix is

$$T_0(u) = R_{0L}(u - \vartheta_L) \cdots R_{01}(u - \vartheta_1) := \begin{pmatrix} A(u) & B(u) \\ C(u) & D(u) \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.4)$$

where $T(u) \in \text{End}(V^{(0)} \otimes V^{\otimes L})$, $V^{(0)}$ is an auxiliary space, $A(u), B(u), C(u), D(u) \in \text{End}(V^{\otimes L})$, and $\{\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_L\}$ are called inhomogeneous parameters. The monodromy matrix satisfies the RTT-relation,

$$R_{12}(u - v)T_1(u)T_2(v) = T_2(v)T_1(u)R_{12}(u - v) \quad (2.5)$$

which can be proven by substituting the definition of $T_0(u)$ in (2.4) and using the Yang-Baxter equation (2.1). The transfer matrix is given by

$$t(u) = \text{tr}_0 T_0(u) = A(u) + D(u) \quad (2.6)$$

From the RTT-relation (2.5), the transfer matrices corresponding to different spectral parameters commute [Fad96]:

$$[t(u), t(v)] = 0 \quad (2.7)$$

which ensures the integrability of this system. It is known that in the periodic spin chain case the Hamiltonian is given by the expression

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{n=1}^{L-1} H_{n,n+1} \quad (2.8)$$

where $H_{n,n+1} = P_{n,n+1} \frac{d}{du} R_{n,n+1}(u)|_{u=0}$ and $H_{L,L+1} = H_{L,1}$. The corresponding Hamiltonian for the closed XXZ- $\frac{1}{2}$ spin chain constructed from (2.2) (with all inhomogeneous parameters turned off) is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^L (\sigma_x^{(n)} \sigma_x^{(n+1)} + \sigma_y^{(n)} \sigma_y^{(n+1)} + \cos \pi \eta \sigma_z^{(n)} \sigma_z^{(n+1)}) \quad (2.9)$$

where $\sigma_{x,y,z}^{(n)}$ are Pauli matrices assigned to the site n . We can assume the limit $\eta \rightarrow 0$ with the spectral parameter rescaled by $u \rightarrow u\eta$ to get the XXX limit, where $[\eta] = \frac{\sin(\pi\eta)}{\sin(\pi\eta)} \rightarrow 1$, $[u] = \frac{\sin(\pi u\eta)}{\sin(\pi\eta)} \rightarrow u$ and $[u + \eta] = \frac{\sin(\pi u\eta + \pi\eta)}{\sin(\pi\eta)} \rightarrow u + 1$. The twist is a transformation that preserves integrability (preserves the YBE and the RTT or RLL relation). In order to preserve the integrability, we have freedom to multiply the monodromy matrix $T(u)$ by a matrix U such that $[U \otimes U, R] = 0$. For the case of XXX spin chain, due to the symmetry, any arbitrary matrix U works, but this is not true for XXZ. In the case of XXZ spin chain, if we use the notation

$$\iota(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\theta} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.10)$$

then we can confirm that $\iota(\theta) \otimes \iota(\theta)$ commutes with the R -matrix,

$$[\iota(\theta) \otimes \iota(\theta), R^{\text{XXZ}}(u)] = 0 \quad (2.11)$$

The transfer matrix with twisted periodic boundary condition

$$\sigma_{x,y,z}^{L+1} = e^{\frac{i}{2}\theta\sigma_z} \sigma_{x,y,z}^1 e^{-\frac{i}{2}\theta\sigma_z}$$

is given by

$$t(u; \theta) := \text{tr}_0 \iota_0(\theta) T_0(u) = A(u) + e^{i\theta} D(u) \quad (2.12)$$

It can be checked that the state $\Omega = |\uparrow, \dots, \uparrow\rangle$ with all spin up is the ground state of the system and it satisfies

$$A(u)\Omega = \delta_+(u)\Omega, \quad D(u)\Omega = \delta_-(u)\Omega, \quad C(u)\Omega = 0 \quad (2.13)$$

where

$$\delta_+(u) = \prod_{a=1}^L \left[u + \frac{\eta}{2} + \eta s_a - \vartheta_a \right], \quad \delta_-(u) = \prod_{a=1}^L \left[u + \frac{\eta}{2} - \eta s_a - \vartheta_a \right] \quad (2.14)$$

For a given ground state Ω of the system, the fact that

$$\prod_{i=1}^M B(u_i)\Omega \quad (2.15)$$

is the Bethe ansatz state, which is motivated by the commutation relations of $[B, B] = 0$ from the RTT equation, with M is the number of magnons in our spin chain and u_i is the Bethe roots solution of the Bethe equation. Using the transfer matrix (2.12) to act on the Bethe ansatz state (2.15), and the commutation relation between $A(u)$, $D(u)$ and $B(u)$ from the RTT relation, we get the Bethe ansatz equation with twisted periodic boundary condition

$$\prod_{a=1}^L \frac{[u_i + \frac{\eta}{2} + \eta s_a - \vartheta_a]}{[u_i + \frac{\eta}{2} - \eta s_a - \vartheta_a]} = e^{i\theta} \prod_{j \neq i, j=1}^M \frac{[u_i - u_j + \eta]}{[u_i - u_j - \eta]} \quad (2.16)$$

where we take spin s_a representation at the a -th site.

Next, we review the derivation of the Bethe ansatz equation of XXZ spin chain with open boundary condition briefly. The Bethe ansatz equations have been derived in detail in [KZ21]. In this paper, we focus on the open XXZ spin chains with diagonal boundary operator K -matrix [IK94]

$$K^{\text{XXZ}}(u, \xi) = \begin{pmatrix} [u + \xi] & 0 \\ 0 & -[u - \xi] \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.17)$$

The Hamiltonian of the open boundary can be found similarly as in (2.8). The Hamiltonian of the open XXZ spin chain with diagonal boundary conditions is [Sk188]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} = & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} (\sigma_x^{(i)} \sigma_x^{(i+1)} + \sigma_y^{(i)} \sigma_y^{(i+1)} + \cos(\pi\eta) \sigma_z^{(i)} \sigma_z^{(i+1)}) \\ & + \frac{\pi}{2} \cot(\pi\xi_-) \sigma_z^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2} \tan(\pi\eta) \cot(\pi\xi_+) \sigma_z^{(L)} \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

where ξ_- and ξ_+ are the related left and right boundary parameter. The transfer matrix of an open chain is given [WYC15]

$$t(u) = \text{Tr}_0 K_+(u) T_0(u) K_-(u) T_0^{-1}(-u) \quad (2.19)$$

where $T(u)$ is usually taken to be the same one in closed spin chain, $K_-(u) \in \text{End}(V^{(0)})$ and $K_+(u) \in \text{End}(V^{(0)})$ are the boundary operators, which satisfy the Reflection Equation (RE) and dual RE, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{12}(u-v) K_-^1(u) R_{21}(u+v) K_-^2(v) \\ = K_-^2(v) R_{21}(u+v) K_-^1(u) R_{12}(u-v) \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R_{12}(-u+v) K_+^1(u) R_{21}(-u-v-2\eta) K_+^2(v) \\ = K_+^2(v) R_{21}(-u-v-2\eta) K_+^1(u) R_{12}(-u+v) \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

where $K_-^1(u) := K_-(u) \otimes id_{V_2}$ and $K_-^2(u) := id_{V_1} \otimes K_-(u)$. Rather than the one-row monodromy matrix, we need to introduce the double-row monodromy matrix

$$U_-(u) = T(u) K(u - \frac{\eta}{2}, \xi_-) \sigma_y T^t(-u) \sigma_y := \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}(u) & \mathcal{B}(u) \\ \mathcal{C}(u) & \mathcal{D}(u) \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.22)$$

The equivalent of the RTT relation in case of open spin chain is the RURU relation.

$$\begin{aligned} R_{12}(u-v) U_-^1(u) R_{12}(u+v-\eta) U_-^2(v) \\ = U_-^2(v) R_{12}(u+v-\eta) U_-^1(u) R_{12}(u-v) \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

Just like the closed spin chain, the ground state Ω is also the ground state of an open chain with diagonal boundary condition. The Bethe ansatz state is

$$\prod_{i=1}^M \mathcal{B}(u_i) \Omega \quad (2.24)$$

Define $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}(u) = [2u]\mathcal{D}(u) - [\eta]\mathcal{A}(u)$. The transfer matrix $t(u)$ of the model with open boundary conditions is constructed by the double-row monodromy matrix as

$$\begin{aligned} t(u) &= \text{Tr} (K_+(u+\eta, \xi_+) U_-(u)) \\ &= \frac{[2u+\eta][u-\frac{\eta}{2}+\xi_+]}{[2u]} \mathcal{A}(u) - \frac{[u+\frac{\eta}{2}-\xi_+]}{[2u]} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}(u) \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

where

$$K_+(u, \xi_+) = K(u + \frac{\eta}{2}, \xi_+) := \begin{pmatrix} [u + \frac{\eta}{2} + \xi_+] & 0 \\ 0 & -[u + \frac{\eta}{2} - \xi_+] \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.26)$$

For the excited Bethe states $\mathcal{B}(u_1) \cdots \mathcal{B}(u_M)\Omega$, we consider

$$t(u) \prod_{i=1}^M \mathcal{B}(u_i)\Omega = (X_1 + Y_1) \prod_{i=1}^M \mathcal{B}(u_i)\Omega + (X_2 + Y_2)\mathcal{B}(u) \prod_{j \neq i} \mathcal{B}(u_j)\Omega \quad (2.27)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= [2u + \eta][u - \frac{\eta}{2} + \xi_+][u - \frac{\eta}{2} + \xi_-] \prod_{i=1}^M \frac{[u - u_i - \eta][u + u_i - \eta]}{[u - u_i][u + u_i]} \delta_+(u) \delta_-(-u) \\ Y_1 &= [2u - \eta][u + \frac{\eta}{2} - \xi_-][u + \frac{\eta}{2} - \xi_+] \prod_{i=1}^M \frac{[u - u_i + \eta][u + u_i + \eta]}{[u - u_i][u + u_i]} \delta_+(-u) \delta_-(u) \\ X_2 &= \sum_{i=1}^M \frac{[2u + \eta][\eta][2u_i - \eta][2u][u_i - \frac{\eta}{2} + \xi_+][u_i - \frac{\eta}{2} + \xi_-]}{[u_i - u][2u_i][u_i + u]} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{[u_i + u_j - \eta][u_i - u_j - \eta]}{[u_i - u_j][u_i + u_j]} \delta_+(u_i) \delta_-(-u_i) \\ Y_2 &= - \sum_{i=1}^M \frac{[2u + \eta][\eta][2u_i - \eta][2u][u_i + \frac{\eta}{2} - \xi_+][u_i + \frac{\eta}{2} - \xi_-]}{[u_i - u][2u_i][u_i + u]} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{[u_i + u_j - \eta][u_i - u_j - \eta]}{[u_i - u_j][u_i + u_j]} \delta_+(-u_i) \delta_-(u_i) \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

If we want $X_1 + Y_1$ to be the eigenvalue term and $\prod_{i=1}^M \mathcal{B}(u_i)\Omega$ be the eigenstate, it is needed to put the unwanted term $X_2 + Y_2 = 0$. From this last equality, we obtain the Bethe equations:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\sin[\pi(u_i - \frac{\eta}{2} + \xi_+)] \sin[\pi(u_i - \frac{\eta}{2} + \xi_-)]}{\sin[\pi(u_i + \frac{\eta}{2} - \xi_+)] \sin[\pi(u_i + \frac{\eta}{2} - \xi_-)]} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{a=1}^L \frac{\sin[\pi(u_i + \frac{\eta}{2} + \eta s_a - \vartheta_a)] \sin[\pi(-u_i + \frac{\eta}{2} - \eta s_a - \vartheta_a)]}{\sin[\pi(-u_i + \frac{\eta}{2} + \eta s_a - \vartheta_a)] \sin[\pi(u_i + \frac{\eta}{2} - \eta s_a - \vartheta_a)]} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j \neq i, j=1}^M \frac{\sin[\pi(u_j + u_i - \eta)] \sin[\pi(u_j - u_i - \eta)]}{\sin[\pi(u_j - u_i + \eta)] \sin[\pi(u_j + u_i + \eta)]} = 1 \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

3 The gauge theory

In [OR11], Orlando and Reffert argue that the mathematical foundation of the Bethe/gauge correspondence is the geometric representation theory. Here we focus on a specific example of 2d $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ $U(N)$ gauge theory and sl_2 XXX $_{\frac{1}{2}}$ closed

spin chains without inhomogeneity. On the gauge side, the low energy limit of the effective superpotential W_{eff}^{2d} is the non-linear sigma model on $T^*Gr(N, L)$. Let V be the fundamental representation of sl_2 and $V^{\otimes L} = \bigoplus_{N=0}^L V_{L-2N}$ be its L times tensor product, where V_{L-2N} is $L - 2N$ weight space. The Hilbert space of the spin chain is $V^{\otimes L}$. Via the geometric representation theory, $V^{\otimes L}$ can be identified with $H_*[T^*(Gr(L))]$, which is the ground states of the non-linear sigma models on all the $T^*Gr(N, L)$ for $N = 1, \dots, L$. Thus, we identify the two space which stem from the two theories. The spectrum of the N magnon sector is V_{L-2N} is identified with $H_*[T^*(Gr(N, L))]$. Maybe geometric representation theory can helps us understand the underlying origins for Bethe/Gauge correspondence.

The disk partition function of 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory on $D^2 \times S^1$ and 2d $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ theory on D^2 have been given in [YS20]. The authors in [KZ21] gave the effective twisted superpotential and vacuum equations of the gauge theories. In this section, different from the representation and the effective superpotential given in [NS09a, KZ21], we define a new effective superpotentials with giving a new representation. And we will describe these results in detail in subsection (3.2).

3.1 3d $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory

For a gauge group G , we consider some linear representations \mathcal{R} of G . For 2d $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ gauge theory, $G = U(N)$, if we considered the representation [NS09a]

$$\mathcal{R} = V \otimes V^* \otimes \mathcal{L} \oplus V \otimes \mathcal{F} \oplus V^* \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \quad (3.1)$$

which corresponds to the $H^{\text{max}} = U(L) \times U(L) \times U(1)$ global symmetry group. Here $V = \mathbf{C}^N$ is the N -dimensional fundamental representation, $\mathcal{F} \approx \mathbf{C}^L$, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \approx \mathbf{C}^L$ are the L -dimensional fundamental representations of the first and second $U(L)$ factors in the flavour group, and \mathcal{L} is the standard one-dimensional representation of the global group $U(1)$. Let Euclidean space \mathbf{E} to be the $(N - 1)$ -dimensional subspace of R^N orthogonal to $\sigma_1 + \dots + \sigma_N$, the root system be the set of all vectors $\alpha \in \mathbf{E}$ for which $(\alpha, \alpha) = 2$. It is obvious that the root system consists of all $\{\sigma_i - \sigma_j\}$, $i \neq j$ [Hum72]. The corresponding effective twisted superpotential is

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\text{eff}}^{2d}(\sigma) = & \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{a=1}^L \left[(\sigma_j + m_a^f)(\log(\sigma_j + m_a^f) - 1) + (-\sigma_j + m_a^{\bar{f}})(\log(-\sigma_j + m_a^{\bar{f}}) - 1) \right] \\ & + \sum_{j,k=1}^N (\sigma_j - \sigma_k + m_{\text{adj}})(\log(\sigma_j - \sigma_k + m_{\text{adj}}) - 1) \\ & - 2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^N (t + j - \frac{1}{2}(N + 1))\sigma_j \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where σ_j are the eigenvalues of the complex scalar in the vector multiplet, m is the twisted mass parameters, t is a complex coupling number and the last term is the

Fayet-Illiopoulos term, respectively. Actually, the vacuum equations for the two, three, and four dimensional A -type gauge theory can be summarized as:

$$\exp\left(\frac{\partial W_{\text{eff}}(\sigma)}{\partial \sigma_i}\right) = 1 \quad (3.3)$$

The vacuum equations of A -type 2d gauge theory are

$$\prod_{a=1}^L \frac{\sigma_j + m_a^f}{\sigma_j - m_a^f} = -e^{2\pi i t} \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{\sigma_j - \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}}}{\sigma_j - \sigma_k + m_{\text{adj}}}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N \quad (3.4)$$

In [KZ21], the effective twisted superpotential with zero FI-term of 3d $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory on $D^2 \times S^1$ has been calculated. We give a brief explanation about the computational progress. The partition function of 3d $\mathcal{N}=2$ theory on $D^2 \times S^1$ has been carried out in [YS20], where the geometry of $D^2 \times S^1$ is parameterized as

$$ds^2 = l^2(d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) + d\tau^2, \quad (3.5)$$

where the S^1 circle has a periodicity βl . The index on $D^2 \times S^1$ is given by the following integral,

$$\mathcal{I} = \frac{1}{|W_G|} \int \frac{d^N}{(2\pi)^N} e^{-S_{\text{cl}}} Z_{\text{vec}} Z_{\text{chi}} Z_{\text{bd}}, \quad (3.6)$$

where W_G is the Weyl group of G . The key formula is the one-loop determinant of the vector multiplet

$$Z_{\text{vec}} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} e^{\frac{1}{8\beta_2}(\alpha \cdot \sigma)^2} (e^{i\alpha \cdot \sigma}; q^2)_{\infty} \quad (3.7)$$

with the set of the roots of G denoted by Δ , and the one-loop determinant of the chiral multiplet with Neumann boundary condition

$$Z_{\text{chi}}^{\text{Neu}} = \prod_{w \in \mathcal{R}} e^{\mathcal{E}(iw \cdot \sigma + r\beta_2 + im)} (e^{-iw \cdot \sigma - im} q^r; q^2)_{\infty}^{-1}, \quad q = e^{-\beta_2} \quad (3.8)$$

here the weight's set of the corresponding representation denoted by \mathcal{R} , the R -charge of the scalar in the chiral multiplet r , and

$$\mathcal{E}(x) = \frac{1}{8\beta_2} x^2 - \frac{1}{4} x + \frac{\beta_2}{12} \quad (3.9)$$

β_1 is the fugacity of the rotation along S^1 , β_2 is the $U(1)$ charge fugacity, $\beta l = (\beta_1 + \beta_2)l$ is the circumference of S^1 . The one-loop contribution of chiral multiplet with Dirichlet boundary condition is

$$Z_{\text{chi}}^{\text{Dir}} = \prod_{w \in \mathcal{R}} e^{\mathcal{E}(-iw \cdot \sigma + (2-r)\beta_2 - im)} (e^{iw \cdot \sigma + im} q^{2-r}; q^2)_{\infty}, \quad q = e^{-\beta_2} \quad (3.10)$$

The relation between the partition function and the effective potential is

$$\mathcal{I} \sim \exp\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} W_{\text{eff}}(\sigma, m)\right) \quad (3.11)$$

In fact, we only need the two one-loop determinants Z_{vec} and Z_{chi} in \mathcal{I} to get W_{eff}^{3d} . With the rescaling $\beta_2 \rightarrow \beta_2 \epsilon / 2$ and $r \rightarrow 1 + \frac{2i}{\epsilon} \tilde{c}$, the effective potential is obtained by taking limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\text{eff}}^{3d}(\sigma, m) = & \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{w \in \mathcal{R}} \sum_{a=1}^{N_f} \text{Li}_2(e^{-iw \cdot \sigma - im_a - i\beta_2 \tilde{c}}) - \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{w \in \mathcal{R}} \sum_{a=1}^{N_f} (w \cdot \sigma + m_a + \beta_2 \tilde{c})^2 \\ & - \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \text{Li}_2(e^{i\alpha \cdot \sigma}) + \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} (\alpha \cdot \sigma)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where all the chiral multiplets are put to satisfy the Neumann boundary condition. The $\text{Li}_2(z)$ is called the dilogarithm,

$$\text{Li}_2(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k^2} \quad (3.13)$$

The correspondence between 2d (or 3d) gauge theories with SO/Sp gauge groups and XXX (or XXZ) spin chains with open boundary conditions are studied in [KZ21], and the Bethe/Gauge correspondence have been proved for SO-type gauge theory. For C-type gauge theory, only the Bethe/Gauge correspondence between 2d $\mathcal{N} = (2, 2)$ gauge theory and XXX open spin chain is obtained. The corresponding vacuum equations of different gauge groups are dual to different open boundary conditions of the XXZ spin chain. And they also modified the vacuum equation (3.3) as in [KZ21]

$$\exp\left(\beta_2 i \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} W_{\text{eff}}^{3d}(\sigma, m)\right) = 1 \quad (3.14)$$

An important identity is given by

$$\exp\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \text{Li}_2(e^{\pm x})\right) = (1 - e^{\pm x})^{\mp} \quad (3.15)$$

3.2 Refined representation: the new superpotential

Nekrasov and Shatashvili use matter field which is in chiral multiplets and gauge field which is in vector multiplets in [NS09a] to compute effective twisted superpotential $W_{\text{eff}}(\sigma, m)$. We see that in the above 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theory, the effective potential just includes one vector multiplet and one chiral multiplet. Simply, we can regard that the above representations just include one adjoint representation and one fundamental or anti-fundamental representation. In fact, the representation \mathcal{R} of BCD-type gauge group in [KZ21] can be written as

$$\mathcal{R} = V \otimes V^* \oplus V \otimes \mathcal{F} \quad (3.16)$$

where V is the fundamental representation and \mathcal{F} is the N_f dimensional representation. If we change the representation \mathcal{R} to a new representation \mathcal{R}' liking (3.1):

$$\mathcal{R}' = V \otimes V^* \oplus V \otimes \mathcal{F} \oplus V \otimes \mathcal{F}' \quad (3.17)$$

the corresponding effective potential of 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theory with a zero FI-term is given by

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\text{eff}}^{3d}(\sigma, m) &= \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{w \in \mathcal{R}} \sum_{a=1}^{N_f} \text{Li}_2(e^{-iw \cdot \sigma - im_a - i\beta_2 \tilde{c}}) - \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{w \in \mathcal{R}} \sum_{a=1}^{N_f} (w \cdot \sigma + m_a + \beta_2 \tilde{c})^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{w \in \mathcal{R}} \sum_{a=1}^{N'_f} \text{Li}_2(e^{iw \cdot \sigma - im'_a - i\beta_2 \tilde{c}}) - \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{w \in \mathcal{R}} \sum_{a=1}^{N'_f} (w \cdot \sigma - m'_a - \beta_2 \tilde{c})^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \text{Li}_2(e^{i\alpha \cdot \sigma}) + \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} (\alpha \cdot \sigma)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

And the new vacuum equation is the same to (1.1). In this paper, for a specific supersymmetry gauge theory and known Lie group, we change the above effective superpotential (3.12) to

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\text{eff}}^{3d}(\sigma, m) &= \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{w \in \mathcal{R}} \sum_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{4}{\alpha_i^2} \text{Li}_2(e^{-iw \cdot \sigma - im_a - i\beta_2 \tilde{c}}) - \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{w \in \mathcal{R}} \sum_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{4}{\alpha_i^2} (w \cdot \sigma + m_a + \beta_2 \tilde{c})^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \frac{4}{\alpha_i^2} \text{Li}_2(e^{i\alpha \cdot \sigma}) + \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \frac{4}{\alpha_i^2} (\alpha \cdot \sigma)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

where α_i is the length of the roots of the Lie algebra of the Lie groups. We can rewrite the formula (3.19) as

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\text{eff}}^{3d}(\sigma, m) &= \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{w \in \mathcal{R}} \sum_{a=1}^{N_f} \text{Li}_2(e^{-iw \cdot \sigma - im_a - i\beta_2 \tilde{c}}) - \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{w \in \mathcal{R}} \sum_{a=1}^{N_f} (w \cdot \sigma + m_a + \beta_2 \tilde{c})^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{w \in \mathcal{R}} \sum_{a=1}^{N'_f} \text{Li}_2(e^{iw \cdot \sigma - im'_a - i\beta_2 \tilde{c}}) - \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{w \in \mathcal{R}} \sum_{a=1}^{N'_f} (w \cdot \sigma - m'_a + \beta_2 \tilde{c})^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \frac{4}{\alpha_i^2} \text{Li}_2(e^{i\alpha \cdot \sigma}) + \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \frac{4}{\alpha_i^2} (\alpha \cdot \sigma)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

The two formulas (3.19) and (3.20) are the same in terms of calculation. They change the one adjoint chiral multiplet to $\frac{4}{\alpha_i^2}$ times adjoint chiral multiplets and keep $N_f = N'_f$. When we consider different gauge group, the effective superpotential is invariable. The only difference is the root system of different Lie algebra. The factor $\frac{4}{\alpha_i^2}$ in effective superpotential W_{eff}^{3d} (3.19) expands the range of application of (3.12).

As an example, we calculate A-type gauge theory. In 3d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $U(N)$ gauge theory, we choose a new representation

$$\mathcal{R} = V \otimes V^* \oplus V \otimes \mathcal{F} \oplus V \otimes \mathcal{F} \oplus V^* \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \oplus V^* \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \quad (3.21)$$

which corresponds to the theory with the $H^{\max} = U(L) \times U(L) \times U(L) \times U(L)$ global symmetry group. Similarly, $V = \mathbf{C}^N$ is the N -dimensional fundamental representation, $\mathcal{F} \approx \mathbf{C}^L$, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \approx \mathbf{C}^L$ are the L -dimensional fundamental representations. The effective superpotential for this theory is given by

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\text{eff}}^{3d} = & \frac{2}{\beta_2} \sum_{j \neq k}^N \text{Li}_2(e^{-i(\sigma_j - \sigma_k) - im_{\text{adj}}}) - \frac{1}{2\beta_2} \sum_{j \neq k}^N (\sigma_j - \sigma_k + m_{\text{adj}})^2 \\ & + \frac{2}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{a=1}^{N_f} \text{Li}_2(e^{-i\sigma_j - im_a}) - \frac{1}{2\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{a=1}^{N_f} (\sigma_j + m_a)^2 \\ & + \frac{2}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{a=1}^{N'_f} \text{Li}_2(e^{i\sigma_j - im'_a}) - \frac{1}{2\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{a=1}^{N'_f} (\sigma_j - m'_a)^2 \\ & - \frac{2}{\beta_2} \sum_{j \neq k}^N \text{Li}_2(e^{i(\sigma_j - \sigma_k)}) + \frac{1}{2\beta_2} \sum_{j \neq k}^N (\sigma_j - \sigma_k)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

where we have absorbed $\beta_2 \tilde{c}$ into the mass parameters. Then the vacuum equation is written by

$$\frac{\prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \sin^2(\sigma_j - m'_a)}{\prod_{a=1}^{N'_f} \sin^2(\sigma_j + m_a)} = \prod_{k \neq j}^N \frac{\sin^2(\sigma_j - \sigma_k + m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^2(\sigma_j - \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \quad (3.23)$$

According to the assumption $N_f = N'_f$, we can take the square root of the vacuum equation (3.23) to get two type vacuum equations equivalently

$$\frac{\prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \sin(\sigma_j - m'_a)}{\prod_{a=1}^{N'_f} \sin(\sigma_j + m_a)} = \prod_{k \neq j}^N \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - \sigma_k + m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin(\sigma_j - \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \quad (3.24)$$

and

$$\frac{\prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \sin(\sigma_j - m'_a)}{\prod_{a=1}^{N'_f} \sin(\sigma_j + m_a)} = - \prod_{k \neq j}^N \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - \sigma_k + m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin(\sigma_j - \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \quad (3.25)$$

We can regard the vacuum equations (3.24) and (3.25) as two independent connecting branches in the moduli space of vacuum of the correspondence gauge theory. In our construction, a vacuum equation of gauge theory will be dual to a pair of Bethe vacuum equations, they appear in the meantime. In our case, the Bethe/Gauge correspondence is just choosing one of them. The choice is the same for all Lie

algebra cases for our consideration in this paper, we will not mention this point repeatedly in the following. The dictionary is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi u &\longleftrightarrow \sigma, & \pi \eta &\longleftrightarrow m_{\text{adj}} \\
M &\longleftrightarrow N, & L &\longleftrightarrow N_f = N'_f \\
\{-\pi \eta s_a - \frac{\pi \eta}{2} + \pi \vartheta_a\} &\longleftrightarrow m'_a, & \{-\pi \eta s_a + \frac{\pi \eta}{2} - \pi \vartheta_a\} &\longleftrightarrow m_a
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

to match the Bethe ansatz equation (2.16) with vacuum equation (3.24). So the vacuum equation of A-type theory in [NS09a] is a special case of our vacuum equation exactly. The correspondence here certainly works in parallel after taking the 2d limit $\beta_2 \sim \beta_2 \rightarrow 0$ in the gauge theory and the XXX limit, $[u] \rightarrow u$, of the spin chain.

4 Bethe/Gauge correspondence

Let us recall the general effective potential expression (3.20)

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{\text{eff}}^{3d}(\sigma, m) &= -\frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \frac{4}{\alpha_i^2} \text{Li}_2(e^{i\alpha \cdot \sigma}) + \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \frac{4}{\alpha_i^2} (\alpha \cdot \sigma)^2 \\
&+ \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{w \in \mathcal{R}} \sum_{a=1}^{N_f} \text{Li}_2(e^{-iw \cdot \sigma - im_a - i\beta_2 \tilde{c}}) - \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{w \in \mathcal{R}} \sum_{a=1}^{N_f} (w \cdot \sigma + m_a + \beta_2 \tilde{c})^2 \\
&+ \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{w \in \mathcal{R}} \sum_{a=1}^{N'_f} \text{Li}_2(e^{iw \cdot \sigma - im'_a - i\beta_2 \tilde{c}}) - \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{w \in \mathcal{R}} \sum_{a=1}^{N'_f} (w \cdot \sigma - m'_a - \beta_2 \tilde{c})^2
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

We use the same process to calculate the effective potential of BCD-type gauge theories. Then we discuss the connection between the gauge theory results and the open spin chains models based on this expression.

4.1 B_N -type gauge theory

In the case of $SO(2N+1)$ gauge group, all the roots are given by $\{\pm\sigma_i \pm \sigma_j\}$ for all the possible combinations of $i < j$ and $\{\pm\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^N$. The effective superpotential is

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{\text{eff}}^{3d}(\sigma, m) &= -\frac{2}{\beta_2} \sum_{j < k}^N \text{Li}_2(e^{i(\pm\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k)}) + \frac{1}{2\beta_2} \sum_{j < k}^N (\pm\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k)^2 \\
&+ \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{j < k}^N \text{Li}_2(e^{-i(\pm\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k) - im_{\text{adj}}}) - \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{j < k}^N (\pm\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k + m_{\text{adj}})^2 \\
&+ \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{a=1}^{N_f} \text{Li}_2(e^{-(\pm\sigma_j + im_a)}) - \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{a=1}^{N_f} (\pm\sigma_j + m_a)^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{4}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N \text{Li}_2(e^{\pm i\sigma_j}) + \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N \sigma_j^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{j<k}^N \text{Li}_2(e^{-i(\pm\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k) - im_{\text{adj}}}) - \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{j<k}^N (\pm\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k + m_{\text{adj}})^2 \\
& + \frac{4}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N \text{Li}_2(e^{(\pm i\sigma_j - im_{\text{adj}})}) - \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N (\sigma_j \pm m_{\text{adj}})^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{a=1}^{N'_f} \text{Li}_2(e^{\pm i\sigma_j - im'_a}) - \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{a=1}^{N'_f} (\pm\sigma_j - m'_a)^2
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

and the vacuum equation is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\sin^4(\sigma_j - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^4(\sigma_j + m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{\sin(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m_a)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m_a)} \\
& \times \prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{\sin(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{a=1}^{N'_f} \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m'_a)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m'_a)} = 1
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

In the case $N_f = N'_f$, we can rewrite the vacuum equation (4.3) as

$$\left[\frac{\sin^2(\sigma_j - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^2(\sigma_j + m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{\sin(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m_a)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m_a)} \right]^2 = 1 \tag{4.4}$$

Then we get two type vacuum equations by taking the square root of (4.3)

$$\frac{\sin^2(\sigma_j - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^2(\sigma_j + m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{\sin(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m_a)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m_a)} = 1 \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$\frac{\sin^2(\sigma_j - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^2(\sigma_j + m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{\sin(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m_a)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m_a)} = -1 \tag{4.6}$$

Compared to the general Bethe ansatz equations (1.3) for the open spin chain with diagonal boundary conditions, the vacuum equation (4.5) can be mapped to the above Bethe ansatz equation (1.3) with the boundary conditions

$$\xi_+ = \xi_- = -\frac{\eta}{2} \tag{4.7}$$

We also see the fact that $N_f = N'_f = 2L$ is an even integer and the map is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \pi u \longleftrightarrow \sigma, \quad \pi \eta \longleftrightarrow m_{\text{adj}} \\
& M \longleftrightarrow N, \quad 2L \longleftrightarrow N_f \\
& \left\{ -\pi \eta s_a - \frac{\pi \eta}{2} + \pi \vartheta_a, -\pi \eta s_a + \frac{\pi \eta}{2} - \pi \vartheta_a \right\} \longleftrightarrow m_a
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

to sure the duality. If we choose $s_1 = s_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\vartheta_1 = \vartheta_2 = 2k$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\frac{\sin[\pi(u_i + \frac{\eta}{2} + \eta s_1 - \vartheta_1)]}{\sin[\pi(-u_i + \frac{\eta}{2} + \eta s_1 - \vartheta_1)]} = \frac{\sin[\pi(u_i + \pi\eta)]}{\sin[\pi(-u_i + \pi\eta)]}, \quad \frac{\sin[\pi(-u_i + \frac{\eta}{2} - \eta s_2 - \vartheta_2)]}{\sin[\pi(u_i + \frac{\eta}{2} - \eta s_2 - \vartheta_2)]} = -1 \quad (4.9)$$

The corresponding $m_1 \longleftrightarrow -\pi\eta + 2k\pi$, $m_2 \longleftrightarrow -2k\pi$ and

$$\frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m_1)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m_1)} = \frac{\sin(\sigma_j + \pi\eta)}{\sin(-\sigma_j + \pi\eta)}, \quad \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m_2)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m_2)} = -1 \quad (4.10)$$

In this way, the factor $\frac{\sin^2(\sigma_j - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^2(\sigma_j + m_{\text{adj}})}$ in (4.5) can be reduced as

$$\frac{\sin^2(\sigma_j - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^2(\sigma_j + m_{\text{adj}})} \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m_1)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m_1)} \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m_2)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m_2)} = \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin(\sigma_j + m_{\text{adj}})} \quad (4.11)$$

Then the vacuum equation (4.5) is the same as the vacuum equation (1.2) in [KZ21]. And the dictionary is

$$\begin{aligned} \pi u &\longleftrightarrow \sigma, & \pi\eta &\longleftrightarrow m_{\text{adj}} \\ M &\longleftrightarrow N, & 2L &\longleftrightarrow N_f - 2 \\ \{-\pi\eta s_a - \frac{\pi\eta}{2} + \pi\vartheta_a, -\pi\eta s_a + \frac{\pi\eta}{2} - \pi\vartheta_a\} &\longleftrightarrow m_a \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

We can see the vacuum equation (1.2) is a special case of the vacuum equation (4.5).

4.2 C_N -type gauge theory

For $\text{Sp}(N)$ gauge theory, all the roots are given by $\{\pm\sigma_i \pm \sigma_j\}$ for $i < j$ and $\{\pm 2\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^N$. The effective superpotential is

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\text{eff}}^{3d}(\sigma, m) &= -\frac{2}{\beta_2} \sum_{j < k}^N \text{Li}_2(e^{i(\pm\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k)}) + \frac{1}{2\beta_2} \sum_{j < k}^N (\pm\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k)^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{j < k}^N \text{Li}_2(e^{-i(\pm\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k) - im_{\text{adj}}}) - \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{j < k}^N (\pm\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k + m_{\text{adj}})^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{a=1}^{N_f} \text{Li}_2(e^{-(\pm i\sigma_j + im_a)}) - \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{a=1}^{N_f} (\pm\sigma_j + m_a)^2 \\ &- \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N \text{Li}_2(e^{\pm 2i\sigma_j}) + \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N \sigma_j^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N \text{Li}_2(e^{\pm 2i\sigma_j - im_{\text{adj}}}) - \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N (2\sigma_j \pm m_{\text{adj}})^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{j < k}^N \text{Li}_2(e^{-i(\pm\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k) - im_{\text{adj}}}) - \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{j < k}^N (\pm\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k + m_{\text{adj}})^2 \quad (4.13) \\
& + \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{a=1}^{N'_f} \text{Li}_2(e^{\pm i\sigma_j - im'_a}) - \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{a=1}^{N'_f} (\pm\sigma_j - m'_a)^2
\end{aligned}$$

and the vacuum equation is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\sin^2(2\sigma_j - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^2(2\sigma_j + m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{\sin(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{a=1}^{N'_f} \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m_a)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m_a)} \\
& \times \prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{\sin(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{a=1}^{N'_f} \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m'_a)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m'_a)} = 1 \quad (4.14)
\end{aligned}$$

Using the following formula

$$\frac{\sin^2(2\sigma_i - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^2(2\sigma_i + m_{\text{adj}})} = \frac{\sin^2(\sigma_i - \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2}) \cos^2(\sigma_i - \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})}{\sin^2(\sigma_i + \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2}) \cos^2(\sigma_i + \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})} \quad (4.15)$$

With $N_f = N'_f$, the vacuum equation can be written as two type vacuum equations after taking the square root of (4.14)

$$\frac{\sin(\sigma_j - \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2}) \cos(\sigma_j - \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})}{\sin(\sigma_j + \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2}) \cos(\sigma_j + \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})} \prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{\sin(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m_a)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m_a)} = 1 \quad (4.16)$$

and

$$\frac{\sin(\sigma_j - \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2}) \cos(\sigma_j - \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})}{\sin(\sigma_j + \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2}) \cos(\sigma_j + \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})} \prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{\sin(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m_a)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m_a)} = -1 \quad (4.17)$$

The same dictionary (4.8) maps the vacuum equation (4.17) to the Bethe ansatz equation (1.3) with the following boundary conditions:

- $\xi_+ = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \xi_- = 0$
- $\xi_+ = 0, \quad \xi_- = \frac{1}{2}$

Due to the periodicity of the trigonometric function \sin and \cos , there are infinite kinds of boundary parameters in the Bethe ansatz equations (1.3) to match the vacuum equations of the C_N -type gauge theory. We can get other boundary condition

by using ξ to add k with k integer. And we can choose one of them to get the Bethe/Gauge correspondence. If we regard the two boundary operator K_{\pm} as the same, there are one type of boundary condition, actually. Up to now, we can say that we solved the problem of the factor $\sin^2(2\sigma_j - \beta_2\tilde{c})$ in [KZ21].

4.3 D_N -type gauge theory

In the case of $SO(2N)$ gauge group, all the roots are given by $\{\pm\sigma_i \pm \sigma_j\}$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq N$. The effective superpotential is

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{\text{eff}}^{3d}(\sigma, m) = & -\frac{2}{\beta_2} \sum_{j < k}^N \text{Li}_2(e^{i(\pm\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k)}) + \frac{1}{2\beta_2} \sum_{j < k}^N (\pm\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k)^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{j < k}^N \text{Li}_2(e^{-i(\pm\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k) - im_{\text{adj}}}) - \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{j < k}^N (\pm\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k + m_{\text{adj}})^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{a=1}^{N_f} \text{Li}_2(e^{-(\pm i\sigma_j + im_a)}) - \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{a=1}^{N_f} (\pm\sigma_j + m_a)^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{j < k}^N \text{Li}_2(e^{-i(\pm\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k) - im_{\text{adj}}}) - \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{j < k}^N (\pm\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k + m_{\text{adj}})^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{a=1}^{N'_f} \text{Li}_2(e^{\pm i\sigma_j - im'_a}) - \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{a=1}^{N'_f} (\pm\sigma_j - m'_a)^2
\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

and the vacuum equation is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{\sin(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m_a)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m_a)} \\
& \times \prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{\sin(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{a=1}^{N'_f} \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m'_a)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m'_a)} = 1
\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

Using $N_f = N'_f$, we also can rewrite the vacuum equation as two type vacuum equations after taking the square root of (4.19)

$$\prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{\sin(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m_a)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m_a)} = 1 \tag{4.20}$$

and

$$\prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{\sin(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m_a)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m_a)} = -1 \tag{4.21}$$

With the same map (4.8), we can see that the vacuum equation (4.20) can be mapped to the Bethe ansatz equation (1.3) with the boundary condition chosen as

$$\xi_+ = \xi_- = i\infty \quad (4.22)$$

For D-type gauge theory, the correspondence is exactly the same as the results in [KZ21]. So the vacuum equation (1.6) is a special case of our vacuum equation.

4.4 BC_N -type gauge theory

Now we consider a new 3d BC_N gauge theory [Mac03]. All the roots are given by $\{\pm\sigma_i \pm \sigma_j\}$ for all the possible combinations of $1 \leq i < j \leq N$, $\{\pm\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^N$ and $\{\pm 2\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^N$. The effective superpotential is

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\text{eff}}^{3d}(\sigma, m) = & -\frac{2}{\beta_2} \sum_{j < k}^N \text{Li}_2(e^{i(\pm\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k)}) + \frac{1}{2\beta_2} \sum_{j < k}^N (\pm\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k)^2 \\ & + \frac{2}{\beta_2} \sum_{j < k}^N \text{Li}_2(e^{-i(\pm\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k) - im_{\text{adj}}}) - \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{j < k}^N (\pm\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k + m_{\text{adj}})^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{a=1}^{N_f} \text{Li}_2(e^{-(\pm i\sigma_j + im_a)}) - \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{a=1}^{N_f} (\pm\sigma_j + m_a)^2 \\ & - \frac{4}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N \text{Li}_2(e^{\pm i\sigma_j}) + \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N \sigma_j^2 \\ & + \frac{4}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N \text{Li}_2(e^{\pm i\sigma_j - im_{\text{adj}}}) - \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N (\sigma_j \pm m_{\text{adj}})^2 \\ & - \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{i=1}^N \text{Li}_2(e^{\pm 2i\sigma_j}) + \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N \sigma_j^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N \text{Li}_2(e^{\pm 2i\sigma_j - im_{\text{adj}}}) - \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N (2\sigma_j \pm m_{\text{adj}})^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{a=1}^{N'_f} \text{Li}_2(e^{\pm i\sigma_j - im'_a}) - \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{a=1}^{N'_f} (\pm\sigma_j - m'_a)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

and the vacuum equation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sin^4(\sigma_j - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^4(\sigma_j + m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{\sin(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m_a)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m_a)} \\ & \times \frac{\sin^2(2\sigma_j - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^2(2\sigma_j + m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{\sin(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{a=1}^{N'_f} \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m'_a)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m'_a)} = 1 \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

Noticing that $N_f = N'_f$, we also can rewrite the vacuum equation as two type vacuum equations after taking the square root (4.24)

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})\cos(\sigma_j - \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})}{\sin(\sigma_j + \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})\cos(\sigma_j + \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})} \prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{\sin(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \\ & \times \frac{\sin^2(\sigma_j - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^2(\sigma_j + m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m_a)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m_a)} = 1 \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})\cos(\sigma_j - \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})}{\sin(\sigma_j + \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})\cos(\sigma_j + \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})} \prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{\sin(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \\ & \times \frac{\sin^2(\sigma_j - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^2(\sigma_j + m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m_a)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m_a)} = -1 \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

If we choose $s_1 = s_2 = s_3 = s_4 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\vartheta_1 = \vartheta_2 = \vartheta_3 = \vartheta_4 = 2k$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then we get the corresponding $m_1 = m_3 \longleftrightarrow -\pi\eta + 2k\pi$, $m_2 = m_4 \longleftrightarrow -2k\pi$ and

$$\frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m_1)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m_1)} = \frac{\sin(\sigma_j + \pi\eta)}{\sin(-\sigma_j + \pi\eta)}, \quad \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m_2)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m_2)} = -1 \quad (4.27)$$

$$\frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m_3)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m_3)} = \frac{\sin(\sigma_j + \pi\eta)}{\sin(-\sigma_j + \pi\eta)}, \quad \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m_4)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m_4)} = -1 \quad (4.28)$$

The factor $\frac{\sin(\sigma_j - \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})\cos(\sigma_j - \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})}{\sin(\sigma_j + \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})\cos(\sigma_j + \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})} \frac{\sin^2(\sigma_j - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^2(\sigma_j + m_{\text{adj}})}$ of vacuum equation (4.26) can be changed as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})\cos(\sigma_j - \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})}{\sin(\sigma_j + \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})\cos(\sigma_j + \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})} \frac{\sin^2(\sigma_j - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^2(\sigma_j + m_{\text{adj}})} \\ & \times \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m_1)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m_1)} \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m_2)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m_2)} \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m_3)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m_3)} \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m_4)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m_4)} \\ & = \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})\cos(\sigma_j - \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})}{\sin(\sigma_j + \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})\cos(\sigma_j + \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})} \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

With this equation, the vacuum equation (4.26) can be mapped to the Bethe ansatz equation (1.3) with the boundary condition

- $\xi_+ = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \xi_- = 0$
- $\xi_+ = 0, \quad \xi_- = \frac{1}{2}$

And the dictionary is changed into

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi u \longleftrightarrow \sigma, \quad \pi\eta \longleftrightarrow m_{\text{adj}} \\ & M \longleftrightarrow N, \quad 2L \longleftrightarrow N_f - 4 \\ & \{-\pi\eta s_a - \frac{\pi\eta}{2} + \pi\vartheta_a, -\pi\eta s_a + \frac{\pi\eta}{2} - \pi\vartheta_a\} \longleftrightarrow m_a \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

Like the C-type gauge theory, there are infinite type of boundary condition on account of the trigonometric function sin and cos. The sites of fixed boundary parameters in open spin chain are arbitrary. As we know that, the Dynkin diagram of B-type and BC_N can be obtained from A-type with appropriate folding. From this point of view, the folding structure of B-type has two nodes, while the folding structure of BC_N has four nodes. There are irregular behaviours on the nodes. We see that, the nodes numbers are just coincide with the numbers of fixed boundary parameters. The coincidence is worthwhile to clarify further from the representation theory.

4.5 2d limits

In the $2d$ limit, the XXZ spin chain is changed to XXX spin chain, where $[u] \rightarrow u$, it is very straightforward to get Bethe/Gauge correspondence. Firstly, the Bethe ansatz equations (1.3) degenerate to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(u_j - \frac{\eta}{2} + \xi_+)(u_j - \frac{\eta}{2} + \xi_-)}{(u_j + \frac{\eta}{2} - \xi_+)(u_j + \frac{\eta}{2} - \xi_-)} \prod_{a=1}^L \frac{(u_j + \frac{\eta}{2} + \eta s_a - \vartheta_a)(-u_j + \frac{\eta}{2} - \eta s_a - \vartheta_a)}{(-u_j + \frac{\eta}{2} + \eta s_a - \vartheta_a)(u_j + \frac{\eta}{2} - \eta s_a - \vartheta_a)} \\ & \times \prod_{k \neq j, k=1}^M \frac{(u_k - u_j - \eta)(u_k + u_j - \eta)}{(u_k - u_j + \eta)(u_k + u_j + \eta)} = 1 \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

The vacuum equation of 3d supersymmetry gauge theory also degenerate to vacuum equation of 2d supersymmetry gauge theory. For 2d D-type theory, the vacuum equation is given by

$$\prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{(\sigma_j - m_a)}{(-\sigma_j - m_a)} \prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{a=1}^{N'_f} \frac{(\sigma_j - m'_a)}{(-\sigma_j - m'_a)} = 1 \quad (4.32)$$

Taking the square root of vacuum equation (4.32), we get two type vacuum equation with $N_f = N'_f$

$$\prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{(\sigma_j - m_a)}{(-\sigma_j - m_a)} = 1 \quad (4.33)$$

and

$$\prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{(\sigma_j - m_a)}{(-\sigma_j - m_a)} = -1 \quad (4.34)$$

Comparing the Bethe ansatz equation (4.31) with the vacuum equation (4.33), we choose the boundary conditions

$$\xi_+ = \xi_- = i\infty \quad (4.35)$$

to get Bethe/Gauge correspondence. The precise relation reads as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
u &\longleftrightarrow \sigma, & \eta &\longleftrightarrow m_{\text{adj}} \\
M &\longleftrightarrow N, & 2L &\longleftrightarrow N_f \\
\{-\eta s_a - \frac{\eta}{2} + \vartheta_a, -\eta s_a + \frac{\eta}{2} - \vartheta_a\} &\longleftrightarrow m_a
\end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

In particular, the vacuum equation of 2d D-type supersymmetry gauge theory in [KZ21] is the same as (4.33). So we can see their result is a special case of ours.

For C-type theory, the vacuum equation is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{(2\sigma_j - m_{\text{adj}})^2}{(2\sigma_j + m_{\text{adj}})^2} \prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{(\sigma_j - m_a)}{(-\sigma_j - m_a)} \\
&\times \prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{a=1}^{N'_f} \frac{(\sigma_j - m'_a)}{(-\sigma_j - m'_a)} = 1
\end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

We can rewrite the vacuum equation as two type vacuum equation equivalently after taking the square root of (4.37)

$$\frac{(\sigma_j - \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})}{(\sigma_j + \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})} \prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{(\sigma_j - m_a)}{(-\sigma_j - m_a)} = 1 \tag{4.38}$$

and

$$\frac{(\sigma_j - \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})}{(\sigma_j + \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})} \prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{(\sigma_j - m_a)}{(-\sigma_j - m_a)} = -1 \tag{4.39}$$

With the same dictionary (4.36), we can choose one of the following boundary conditions

- $\xi_+ = \frac{\eta}{2}, \quad \xi_- = 0$
- $\xi_- = \frac{\eta}{2}, \quad \xi_+ = 0$

to obtain Bethe/Gauge correspondence. We can see the boundary condition parameters are different at the end of the spin chain in our correspondence. In [KZ21], the boundary condition of the Bethe ansatz equation (4.31) is chosen as $\xi_+ = \xi_- = 0$.

For B-type theory, the vacuum equation is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{(\sigma_j - m_{\text{adj}})^4}{(\sigma_j + m_{\text{adj}})^4} \prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{(\sigma_j - m_a)}{(-\sigma_j - m_a)} \\
&\times \prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{a=1}^{N'_f} \frac{(\sigma_j - m'_a)}{(-\sigma_j - m'_a)} = 1
\end{aligned} \tag{4.40}$$

We can rewrite the vacuum equation as two type vacuum equation equivalently after taking the square root of (4.40)

$$\frac{(\sigma_j - m_{\text{adj}})^2}{(\sigma_j + m_{\text{adj}})^2} \prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{(\sigma_j - m_a)}{(-\sigma_j - m_a)} = 1 \quad (4.41)$$

and

$$\frac{(\sigma_j - m_{\text{adj}})^2}{(\sigma_j + m_{\text{adj}})^2} \prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{a=1}^{N'_f} \frac{(\sigma_j - m'_a)}{(-\sigma_j - m'_a)} = 1 \quad (4.42)$$

The same dictionary (4.36) maps the vacuum equation (4.41) to the Bethe ansatz equation (4.31) with the boundary condition

$$\xi_+ = \xi_- = -\frac{\eta}{2} \quad (4.43)$$

which is different from the boundary condition parameters for $\text{SO}(2N+1)$ supersymmetry gauge theory in [KZ21]. The boundary parameters are the same at the two ends of the spin chain in our results. Just like the case in 3d, we choose $s_1 = s_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\vartheta_1 = \vartheta_2 = 0$, then we get the corresponding $m_1 \longleftrightarrow -\eta$, $m_2 \longleftrightarrow 0$ and

$$\frac{(\sigma_j - m_1)}{(-\sigma_j - m_1)} = \frac{(\sigma_j + \eta)}{(-\sigma_j + \eta)}, \quad \frac{(\sigma_j - m_2)}{(-\sigma_j - m_2)} = -1 \quad (4.44)$$

The factor $\frac{(\sigma_j - m_{\text{adj}})^2}{(\sigma_j + m_{\text{adj}})^2}$ is simplified to $\frac{(\sigma_j - m_{\text{adj}})}{(\sigma_j + m_{\text{adj}})}$. Then the vacuum equation (4.41) is the same as the vacuum equation of 2d B-type gauge theory in [KZ21]. And the dictionary is changed to

$$\begin{aligned} u &\longleftrightarrow \sigma, & \eta &\longleftrightarrow m_{\text{adj}} \\ M &\longleftrightarrow N, & 2L &\longleftrightarrow N_f - 2 \\ \{-\eta s_a - \frac{\eta}{2} + \vartheta_a, -\eta s_a + \frac{\eta}{2} - \vartheta_a\} &\longleftrightarrow m_a \end{aligned} \quad (4.45)$$

So the vacuum equation of 2d B-type supersymmetry gauge theory in [KZ21] is a special case of ours.

For BC_N -type gauge groups, the vacuum equations degenerate to

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{(\sigma_j - m_{\text{adj}})^4}{(\sigma_j + m_{\text{adj}})^4} \prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{(\sigma_j - m_a)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m_a)} \\ &\times \frac{(2\sigma_j - m_{\text{adj}})^2}{(2\sigma_j + m_{\text{adj}})^2} \prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{a=1}^{N'_f} \frac{(\sigma_j - m'_a)}{(-\sigma_j - m'_a)} = 1 \end{aligned} \quad (4.46)$$

Equivalently, the vacuum equation can be written as two type vacuum equation after taking the square root of (4.46)

$$\frac{(\sigma_j - \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})(\sigma_j - m_{\text{adj}})^2}{(\sigma_j + \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})(\sigma_j + m_{\text{adj}})^2} \prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{(\sigma_j - m_a)}{(-\sigma_j - m_a)} = 1 \quad (4.47)$$

and

$$\frac{(\sigma_j - \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})(\sigma_j - m_{\text{adj}})^2}{(\sigma_j + \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})(\sigma_j + m_{\text{adj}})^2} \prod_{j \neq k}^N \frac{(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{(\sigma_j - m_a)}{(-\sigma_j - m_a)} = -1 \quad (4.48)$$

If we choose $s_1 = s_2 = s_3 = s_4 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\vartheta_1 = \vartheta_2 = \vartheta_3 = \vartheta_4 = 0$, then we get the corresponding $m_1 = m_2 \longleftrightarrow -\eta$, $m_3 = m_4 \longleftrightarrow 0$ and

$$\frac{(\sigma_j - m_1)}{(-\sigma_j - m_1)} = \frac{(\sigma_j + \pi\eta)}{(-\sigma_j + \pi\eta)}, \quad \frac{(\sigma_j - m_2)}{(-\sigma_j - m_2)} = -1 \quad (4.49)$$

$$\frac{(\sigma_j - m_3)}{(-\sigma_j - m_3)} = \frac{(\sigma_j + \pi\eta)}{(-\sigma_j + \pi\eta)}, \quad \frac{(\sigma_j - m_4)}{(-\sigma_j - m_4)} = -1 \quad (4.50)$$

It is easy to prove that the factor $\frac{(\sigma_j - \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})(\sigma_j - m_{\text{adj}})^2}{(\sigma_j + \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})(\sigma_j + m_{\text{adj}})^2}$ is reduced to $\frac{(\sigma_j - \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})}{(\sigma_j + \frac{m_{\text{adj}}}{2})}$, and the vacuum equation (4.47) can be mapped to the Bethe ansatz equation (4.31) with the boundary condition

- $\xi_+ = \frac{\eta}{2}, \quad \xi_- = 0$
- $\xi_- = \frac{\eta}{2}, \quad \xi_+ = 0$

And the dictionary is changed into

$$\begin{aligned} u &\longleftrightarrow \sigma, & \eta &\longleftrightarrow m_{\text{adj}} \\ M &\longleftrightarrow N, & 2L &\longleftrightarrow N_f - 4 \\ \{-\eta s_a - \frac{\eta}{2} + \vartheta_a, -\eta s_a + \frac{\eta}{2} - \vartheta_a\} &\longleftrightarrow m_a \end{aligned} \quad (4.51)$$

Similar to 3d BC_N theory, we let some parameters take fixed values to get Bethe/Gauge correspondence.

5 Exceptional Lie algebras

Except for classical Lie algebra A_N, B_N, C_N, D_N , we also consider exceptional Lie algebras E_6, E_7, E_8, F_4 and G_2 . The process for calculating $W_{\text{eff}}^{3d}(\sigma, m)$ is the same to the classical Lie algebra. The effective potential is given by (3.20).

5.1 F₄-type

The root system of F₄ consists of all $\pm\sigma_i$, all $\pm(\sigma_i \pm \sigma_j)$, $i \neq j$, as well as all $\pm\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1 \pm \sigma_2 \pm \sigma_3 \pm \sigma_4)$, where the signs may be chosen independently [Hum72]. The effective superpotential is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{\text{eff}}^{3d}(\sigma, m) = & -\frac{2}{\beta_2} \sum_{j \neq k}^4 \text{Li}_2(e^{i(\pm\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k)}) + \frac{1}{2\beta_2} \sum_{j \neq k}^4 (\pm\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k)^2 \\
& + \frac{2}{\beta_2} \sum_{j \neq k}^4 \text{Li}_2(e^{-i(\pm\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k) - im_{\text{adj}}}) - \frac{1}{2\beta_2} \sum_{j \neq k}^4 (\pm\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k + m_{\text{adj}})^2 \\
& - \frac{4}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^4 \text{Li}_2(e^{\pm i\sigma_j}) + \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^4 (\sigma_j)^2 \\
& + \frac{4}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^4 \text{Li}_2(e^{\pm i\sigma_j - im_{\text{adj}}}) - \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^4 (\sigma_j \pm m_{\text{adj}})^2 \\
& - \frac{4}{\beta_2} \text{Li}_2(e^{i(\pm\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1 \pm \sigma_2 \pm \sigma_3 \pm \sigma_4))}) + \frac{1}{4\beta_2} (\sigma_1 \pm \sigma_2 \pm \sigma_3 \pm \sigma_4)^2 \\
& + \frac{4}{\beta_2} \text{Li}_2(e^{-i(\pm\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1 \pm \sigma_2 \pm \sigma_3 \pm \sigma_4) - im_{\text{adj}})}) - \frac{1}{4\beta_2} (\sigma_1 \pm \sigma_2 \pm \sigma_3 \pm \sigma_4 \pm m_{\text{adj}})^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^4 \sum_{a=1}^{N_f} \text{Li}_2(e^{-i(\pm\sigma_j + m_a)}) - \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^4 \sum_{a=1}^{N_f} (\pm\sigma_j + m_a)^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^4 \sum_{a=1}^{N'_f} \text{Li}_2(e^{i(\pm\sigma_j - m'_a)}) - \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^4 \sum_{a=1}^{N'_f} (\pm\sigma_j - m'_a)^2
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

By using the equation (1.1), we write the corresponding vacuum equations as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\sin^4(\sigma_j - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^4(\sigma_j + m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{k \neq j}^4 \frac{\sin^2(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^2(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \frac{\sin^2(\frac{1}{2}\sigma_j \pm \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k \neq j}^4 \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^2(-\frac{1}{2}\sigma_j \pm \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k \neq j}^4 \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \\
& \times \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m_a)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m_a)} \prod_{a=1}^{N'_f} \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m'_a)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m'_a)} = 1
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

where $j, k = 1, 2, 3, 4$. There are 4 vacuum equations for F₄ gauge theory totally. Considering $N_f = N'_f$, we rewrite the vacuum equation with the square root of (5.2)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\sin^2(\sigma_j - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^2(\sigma_j + m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{k \neq j}^4 \frac{\sin(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \frac{\sin(\frac{1}{2}\sigma_j \pm \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k \neq j}^4 \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin(-\frac{1}{2}\sigma_j \pm \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k \neq j}^4 \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \\
& \times \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m_a)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m_a)} = 1
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sin^2(\sigma_j - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^2(\sigma_j + m_{\text{adj}})} \prod_{k \neq j}^4 \frac{\sin(\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin(-\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \frac{\sin(\frac{1}{2}\sigma_j \pm \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k \neq j}^4 \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin(-\frac{1}{2}\sigma_j \pm \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k \neq j}^4 \sigma_k - m_{\text{adj}})} \\ & \times \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{\sin(\sigma_j - m_a)}{\sin(-\sigma_j - m_a)} = -1 \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Inspired by the results with classical Lie algebras, we may sure that, one must match the two types of vacuum equations with certain integrable system, maybe a spin chain with given representation. However, to our knowledge, there are no explicit Bethe equations in existing articles corresponding to these equations.

5.2 G_2 -type

Let Euclidean space \mathbf{E} be the 2-dimensional subspace of R^3 orthogonal to $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3$. It is a constraint condition on roots. Then the root system of G_2 consists of [Hum72]

$$\pm \{ \sigma_1 - \sigma_2, \sigma_2 - \sigma_3, \sigma_1 - \sigma_3, 2\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 - \sigma_3, 2\sigma_2 - \sigma_1 - \sigma_3, 2\sigma_3 - \sigma_1 - \sigma_2 \}$$

The effective superpotential is given by

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\text{eff}}^{3d}(\sigma, m) = & -\frac{2}{\beta_2} \sum_{j < k} \text{Li}_2(e^{\pm i(\sigma_j - \sigma_k)}) + \frac{2}{\beta_2} \sum_{j < k} (\sigma_j - \sigma_k)^2 \\ & + \frac{2}{\beta_2} \sum_{j < k} \text{Li}_2(e^{-\pm i(\sigma_j - \sigma_k) - im_{\text{adj}}}) - \frac{1}{2\beta_2} \sum_{j < k} (\pm\sigma_j - \sigma_k + m_{\text{adj}})^2 \\ & - \frac{2}{3\beta_2} \text{Li}_2(e^{\pm i(2\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 - \sigma_3)}) + \frac{1}{9\beta_2} (2\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 - \sigma_3)^2 \\ & + \frac{2}{3\beta_2} \text{Li}_2(e^{\pm i(2\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 - \sigma_3) - im_{\text{adj}}}) - \frac{1}{6\beta_2} (2\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 - \sigma_3 \pm m_{\text{adj}})^2 \\ & - \frac{2}{3\beta_2} \text{Li}_2(e^{\pm i(2\sigma_2 - \sigma_1 - \sigma_3)}) + \frac{1}{6\beta_2} (2\sigma_2 - \sigma_1 - \sigma_3)^2 \\ & + \frac{2}{3\beta_2} \text{Li}_2(e^{\pm i(2\sigma_2 - \sigma_1 - \sigma_3) - im_{\text{adj}}}) - \frac{1}{9\beta_2} (2\sigma_2 - \sigma_1 - \sigma_3 \pm m_{\text{adj}})^2 \\ & - \frac{2}{3\beta_2} \text{Li}_2(e^{\pm i(2\sigma_3 - \sigma_1 - \sigma_2)}) + \frac{1}{6\beta_2} (2\sigma_3 - \sigma_1 - \sigma_2)^2 \\ & + \frac{2}{3\beta_2} \text{Li}_2(e^{\pm i(2\sigma_3 - \sigma_1 - \sigma_2) - im_{\text{adj}}}) - \frac{1}{9\beta_2} (2\sigma_3 - \sigma_1 - \sigma_2 \pm m_{\text{adj}})^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{a=1}^{N_f} \text{Li}_2(e^{-i(\pm\sigma_j + m_a)}) - \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^4 \sum_{a=1}^{N_f} (\pm\sigma_j + m_a)^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{a=1}^{N'_f} \text{Li}_2(e^{i(\pm\sigma_j - m'_a)}) - \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^4 \sum_{a=1}^{N_f} (\pm\sigma_j - m'_a)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

By using the formula (1.1), we can get the following three vacuum equations. For σ_1 , the vacuum equation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\sin^2(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^2(-\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 - m_{\text{adj}})} \frac{\sin^2(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3 - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^2(-\sigma_1 - \sigma_3 - m_{\text{adj}})} \frac{\sin^{\frac{4}{3}}(2\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 - \sigma_3 - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^{\frac{4}{3}}(-2\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 - \sigma_3 - m_{\text{adj}})} \\
& \times \frac{\sin^{\frac{2}{3}}(\sigma_1 - 2\sigma_2 + \sigma_3 - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^{\frac{2}{3}}(-\sigma_1 - 2\sigma_2 + \sigma_3 - m_{\text{adj}})} \frac{\sin^{\frac{2}{3}}(\sigma_1 - 2\sigma_3 + \sigma_2 - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^{\frac{2}{3}}(-\sigma_1 - 2\sigma_3 + \sigma_2 - m_{\text{adj}})} \\
& \times \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{\sin(\sigma_1 - m_a)}{\sin(-\sigma_1 - m_a)} \prod_{a=1}^{N'_f} \frac{\sin(\sigma_1 - m'_a)}{\sin(-\sigma_1 - m'_a)} = 1
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

For σ_2 , the vacuum equation is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\sin^2(\sigma_2 - \sigma_1 - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^2(-\sigma_2 - \sigma_1 - m_{\text{adj}})} \frac{\sin^2(\sigma_2 - \sigma_3 - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^2(-\sigma_2 - \sigma_3 - m_{\text{adj}})} \frac{\sin^{\frac{4}{3}}(2\sigma_2 - \sigma_1 - \sigma_3 - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^{\frac{4}{3}}(-2\sigma_2 - \sigma_1 - \sigma_3 - m_{\text{adj}})} \\
& \times \frac{\sin^{\frac{2}{3}}(\sigma_2 - 2\sigma_1 + \sigma_3 - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^{\frac{2}{3}}(-\sigma_2 - 2\sigma_1 + \sigma_3 - m_{\text{adj}})} \frac{\sin^{\frac{2}{3}}(\sigma_2 - 2\sigma_3 + \sigma_1 - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^{\frac{2}{3}}(-\sigma_2 - 2\sigma_3 + \sigma_1 - m_{\text{adj}})} \\
& \times \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{\sin(\sigma_2 - m_a)}{\sin(-\sigma_2 - m_a)} \prod_{a=1}^{N'_f} \frac{\sin(\sigma_2 - m'_a)}{\sin(-\sigma_2 - m'_a)} = 1
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

For σ_3 , the vacuum equation reads

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\sin^2(\sigma_3 - \sigma_1 - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^2(-\sigma_3 - \sigma_1 - m_{\text{adj}})} \frac{\sin^2(\sigma_3 - \sigma_2 - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^2(-\sigma_3 - \sigma_2 - m_{\text{adj}})} \frac{\sin^{\frac{4}{3}}(2\sigma_3 - \sigma_1 - \sigma_2 - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^{\frac{4}{3}}(-2\sigma_3 - \sigma_1 - \sigma_2 - m_{\text{adj}})} \\
& \times \frac{\sin^{\frac{2}{3}}(\sigma_3 - 2\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^{\frac{2}{3}}(-\sigma_3 - 2\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - m_{\text{adj}})} \frac{\sin^{\frac{2}{3}}(\sigma_3 - 2\sigma_2 + \sigma_1 - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^{\frac{2}{3}}(-\sigma_3 - 2\sigma_2 + \sigma_1 - m_{\text{adj}})} \\
& \times \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{\sin(\sigma_3 - m_a)}{\sin(-\sigma_3 - m_a)} \prod_{a=1}^{N'_f} \frac{\sin(\sigma_3 - m'_a)}{\sin(-\sigma_3 - m'_a)} = 1
\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

For convenience, we only write the square rooted vacuum equation of (5.6)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\sin(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin(-\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 - m_{\text{adj}})} \frac{\sin(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3 - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin(-\sigma_1 - \sigma_3 - m_{\text{adj}})} \frac{\sin^{\frac{2}{3}}(2\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 - \sigma_3 - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^{\frac{2}{3}}(-2\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 - \sigma_3 - m_{\text{adj}})} \\
& \times \frac{\sin^{\frac{1}{3}}(\sigma_1 - 2\sigma_2 + \sigma_3 - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^{\frac{1}{3}}(-\sigma_1 - 2\sigma_2 + \sigma_3 - m_{\text{adj}})} \frac{\sin^{\frac{1}{3}}(\sigma_1 - 2\sigma_3 + \sigma_2 - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^{\frac{1}{3}}(-\sigma_1 - 2\sigma_3 + \sigma_2 - m_{\text{adj}})} \\
& \times \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{\sin(\sigma_1 - m_a)}{\sin(-\sigma_1 - m_a)} = 1
\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\sin(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin(-\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 - m_{\text{adj}})} \frac{\sin(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3 - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin(-\sigma_1 - \sigma_3 - m_{\text{adj}})} \frac{\sin^{\frac{2}{3}}(2\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 - \sigma_3 - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^{\frac{2}{3}}(-2\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 - \sigma_3 - m_{\text{adj}})} \\
& \times \frac{\sin^{\frac{1}{3}}(\sigma_1 - 2\sigma_2 + \sigma_3 - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^{\frac{1}{3}}(-\sigma_1 - 2\sigma_2 + \sigma_3 - m_{\text{adj}})} \frac{\sin^{\frac{1}{3}}(\sigma_1 - 2\sigma_3 + \sigma_2 - m_{\text{adj}})}{\sin^{\frac{1}{3}}(-\sigma_1 - 2\sigma_3 + \sigma_2 - m_{\text{adj}})} \\
& \times \prod_{a=1}^{N_f} \frac{\sin(\sigma_1 - m_a)}{\sin(-\sigma_1 - m_a)} = -1
\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

Also, the two types of vacuum equations can be corresponded to the Bethe equations of certain valued spin chain.

5.3 E_8 -type

We know that E_6 , E_7 can be identified canonically with subsystems of E_8 , so it suffices to construct E_8 . The root system of E_8 consists of the obvious vectors $\pm(\sigma_i \pm \sigma_j)$, $i \neq j$, $i, j = 1, \dots, 8$, along with the less obvious ones $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^8 (-1)^{\kappa(i)} \sigma_i$ (where the $\kappa(i) = 0, 1$, add up to an even integer) [Hum72]. The effective superpotential is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{\text{eff}}^{3d}(\sigma, m) = & -\frac{2}{\beta_2} \sum_{j \neq k}^8 \text{Li}_2(e^{i(\pm\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k)}) + \frac{1}{2\beta_2} \sum_{j \neq k}^8 (\pm\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k)^2 \\
& + \frac{2}{\beta_2} \sum_{j \neq k}^8 \text{Li}_2(e^{-i(\pm\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k) - im_{\text{adj}}}) - \frac{1}{2\beta_2} \sum_{j \neq k}^8 (\pm\sigma_j \pm \sigma_k + m_{\text{adj}})^2 \\
& - \frac{2}{\beta_2} \text{Li}_2(e^{i(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^8 (-1)^{\kappa(j)} \sigma_j)}) + \frac{1}{2\beta_2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^8 (-1)^{\kappa(j)} \sigma_j \right)^2 \\
& + \frac{2}{\beta_2} \text{Li}_2(e^{-i(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^8 (-1)^{\kappa(j)} \sigma_j) - im_{\text{adj}}}) - \frac{1}{2\beta_2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^8 (-1)^{\kappa(j)} \sigma_j + m_{\text{adj}} \right)^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^8 \sum_{a=1}^{N_f} \text{Li}_2(e^{-i(\pm\sigma_j + m_a)}) - \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^8 \sum_{a=1}^{N_f} (\pm\sigma_j + m_a)^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^8 \sum_{a=1}^{N'_f} \text{Li}_2(e^{i(\pm\sigma_j - m'_a)}) - \frac{1}{4\beta_2} \sum_{j=1}^8 \sum_{a=1}^{N'_f} (\pm\sigma_j - m'_a)^2
\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

Different $\sum_{j=1}^8 (-1)^{\kappa(j)} \sigma_j$ corresponds to different vacuum equation. We are not going to write it all out in order to save space. The selection of root systems is not unique. We choose only one type here. One can use (1.1) to get the explicit vacuum equations. The root system E_8 has 240 roots, the root system E_7 has 126 roots and the root system E_6 has 72 roots.

Because of the difference between the root system of classical Lie algebra and the root system of exceptional Lie algebra, we can see that the vacuum equation of exceptional Lie algebra cannot correspond to the Bethe ansatz equation of XXZ spin chain with diagonal boundary condition with easy performances. We only give the effective superpotential and vacuum equation of exceptional Lie algebra here. For the corresponding integrable system, as far as we know, there are no results in the current literature yet.

6 Conclusions and future prospects

In this article, we find more correspondence between supersymmetry gauge theory and integrable system with respect to the results in [KZ21], as we changed the representation and consequently the expression of the superpotential. We generalized the Bethe/Gauge correspondences first proposed in [NS09b, NS09c] for A -type gauge theories to BCD-type gauge groups. We saw that the correspondence worked perfectly not only for 2d gauge theories but also for 3d. Furthermore, we found that we can always choose diagonal boundary conditions for open XXZ spin chain with boundary parameters $\xi = \pm\frac{\eta}{2}, 0, \infty$ or $\frac{2k+1}{2}$ to realize the vacuum equation of gauge theory from the Bethe ansatz equation. In addition to the classical Lie algebras, we also considered a new BC_N -type gauge theory. In the case of certain parameters with specific values, the vacuum equations of BC_N -type gauge theory can also be identified as the Bethe ansatz equations of open XXZ spin chain with diagonal boundary condition. We also gave the effective superpotential of the exceptional Lie algebra $E_{6,7,8}$, F_4 and G_2 with our new representation. Specially, we give the vacuum equations of F_4 and G_2 . However, the corresponding integrable system has not been found yet.

Another possible way to solve the problems of C-type gauge theory is to change the boundary conditions of the open spin chain with new Bethe equation. Then it can be checked out that, whether such Bethe equation works on the vacuum equation of C-type gauge theory such as in [KZ21]. The Bethe ansatz equation of $SU(3)$ spin chain with generic boundary condition has been calculated in [Sun18]. With two gauge nodes being different classical Lie groups, we also consider a higher level A_2 quiver gauge theory and higher rank sl_3 spin chain model in [DZ23]. And we desire the correspondence also materialize with our new methods. We have known the exact partition function of 4d $\mathcal{R} = 1$ theories on $D^2 \times T^2$ [LNP19], and the Bethe ansatz equation of open XYZ spin chains [YZ06, FHSY96]. So we can further calculate the effective superpotential and the vacuum equation of 4d $\mathcal{N} = 1$ BCD-type gauge theories on $D^2 \times T^2$. And we expect that the Bethe/Gauge correspondence can be uplifted to 4d $\mathcal{N} = 1$ gauge theories. If one considers the quiver gauge theories, one has to consider the 5d (or 6d) quiver gauge theories [NPS18, Nek04, NP12], and explored a particular formalism for the systematic try out of the universal part of

the superpotential $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m}; \mathfrak{q}, \epsilon)$ recently, without considering the choices of boundary conditions.

Acknowledgments

The financial support from the Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC, Grants 11775299) is gratefully acknowledged from one of the authors (Ding).

References

- [Bax07] R. Baxter, *Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics*, Dover books on physics, Dover Publications(2007).
<https://books.google.ie/books?id=G3owDULfBuEC>.
- [DZ23] X.-M. Ding and T. Zhang, *Bethe/Gauge Correspondence for ABCD quiver Gauge Theories and Spin Chains*, [arXiv:2303.04575].
- [Fad96] L. Faddeev, *How algebraic Bethe ansatz works for integrable model*, [arXiv:hep-th/9605187].
- [FHSY96] H. Fan, B.-Y. Hou, K.-J. Shi and Z.-X. Yang, *Algebraic Bethe ansatz for eight vertex model with general open boundary conditions*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **478** (1996) 723 [hep-th/9604016]. [INSPIRE].
- [GKMMM95] A. Gorsky, I. Krichever, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov, A. Morozov, *Integrability and Seiberg-Witten exact solution*, *Phys. Lett. B* **355** (1995) 466 [hep-th/9505035].
- [GN94a] A. Gorsky and N.A. Nekrasov, *Hamiltonian systems of Calogero type and two-dimensional Yang–Mills theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B.* **414** (1994) 213 [arXiv:hep-th/9304047].
- [GN94b] A. Gorsky and N.A. Nekrasov, *Elliptic Calogero–Moser system from two-dimensional current algebra*. [arXiv:hep-th/9401021].
- [GN95] A. Gorsky and N.A. Nekrasov, *Relativistic Calogero–Moser model as gauged WZW theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B.* **436** (1995) 582 [arXiv:hep-th/9401017].
- [GS08a] A. Gerasimov, S. Shatashvili, *Higgs Bundles, Gauge Theories and Quantum Groups*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **277** (2008) 323-367, [arXiv:hep-th/0609024].
- [GS08b] A. Gerasimov, S. Shatashvili, *Two-dimensional Gauge Theories and Quantum Integrable Systems*, In “From Hodge Theory to Integrability and TQFT: tt*-geometry”, pp. 239-262, R. Donagi and K. Wendland, Eds., Proc. of Symposia in Pure Mathematics Vol. 78, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, (2008) [arXiv:0711.1472].
- [Hum72] J.Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie Algebra and Representation Theory*, Springer Verlag, New York. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-6398-2>

- [IK94] T. Inami and H. Konno, *Integrable XXZ spin chain with boundaries*, *J. Phys. A* **27** (1994).
- [KZ21] T. Kimura and R.-D Zhu, *Bethe/gauge correspondence for SO/Sp gauge theories and open spin chains*, *JHEP* **03** (2021) 227 [arXiv:2012.14197].
- [LNP19] P. Longhi, F. Nieri and A. Pittelli, *Localization of 4d $\mathcal{N}=1$ theories on $D^2 \times T^2$* , *JHEP* **12** (2019) 147 [arXiv:1906.02051].
- [Mac03] L. Macdonald, *Affine Hecke algebras and orthogonal polynomials*, Cambridge University Press(2003).
- [MNS00] G. Moore, N. Nekrasov and S. Shatashvili, *Integration over the Higgs branches*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **209** (2000) 97-121, [arXiv:hep-th/9712241].
- [Nek04] N.A. Nekrasov, *Seiberg–Witten prepotential from instanton counting*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **7** (2004) 831 [arXiv:hep-th/0206161].
- [Nek16] N.A. Nekrasov, *BPS/CFT correspondence: non-perturbative Dyson-Schwinger equations and qq-characters*, *JHEP* **03** (2016) 181 [arXiv:1512.05388].
- [Nek17a] N.A. Nekrasov, *BPS/CFT correspondence II: instantons at crossroads, moduli and compactness theorem*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **21** (2017) 503 [arXiv:1608.07272].
- [Nek17b] N.A. Nekrasov, *BPS/CFT correspondence V: BPZ and KZ equations from qq-characters*, [arXiv:1711.11582].
- [Nek18] N.A. Nekrasov, *BPS/CFT Correspondence III: Gauge Origami Partition Function and qq-Characters*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **358** (2018) 863.
- [Nek19] N.A. Nekrasov, *BPS/CFT correspondence IV: sigma models and defects in gauge theory*, *Lett Math Phys.* **109** 2019 579.
- [NN21] Lee. Norton and Nekrasov. Nikita, *Quantum spin systems and supersymmetric gauge theories. Part I*, *JHEP* **03** (2021) 093 [arXiv:2009.11199].
- [NP12] N.A. Nekrasov and V. Pestun, *Seiberg–Witten geometry of $N = 2$ quiver gauge theories*. [arXiv:1211.2240].
- [NPS18] N.A. Nekrasov, V. Pestun and S.L. Shatashvili, *Quantum Geometry and Quiver Gauge Theories*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **357**, (2018) 519.
- [NRS11] N.A. Nekrasov, A. Rosly and S.L. Shatashvili, *Darboux coordinates, Yang–Yang functional, and gauge theory*, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **216** (2011) 69 [arXiv:1103.3919].
- [NS09a] N.A. Nekrasov and S.L. Shatashvili, *Supersymmetric vacua and Bethe ansatz*, *Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl.* **192-193** (2009) 91.
- [NS09b] N.A. Nekrasov and S.L. Shatashvili, *Quantum integrability and*

- supersymmetric vacua*, *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **177** (2009) 105 [arXiv:0901.4748] [INSPIRE].
- [NS09c] N.A. Nekrasov and S.L. Shatashvili, *Quantization of Integrable Systems and Four Dimensional Gauge Theories*, in *16th International Congress on Mathematical Physics*, (2009), DOI [arXiv:0908.4052] [INSPIRE].
- [NVS18] N.A. Nekrasov, V. Pestun and S.L. Shatashvili, *Quantum Geometry and Quiver Gauge Theories*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **357**, (2018) 519.
- [NW10] N.A. Nekrasov and E. Witten, *The omega deformation, branes, integrability, and Liouville theory*, *JHEP.* **09** (2010) 092 [arXiv:1002.0888].
- [OR11] D. Orlando and S. Reffert, *The Gauge-Bethe Correspondence and Geometric Representation Theory*, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, **98** (2011) 289 [arXiv:1011.6120].
- [Sk188] E.K. Sklyanin, *Boundary Conditions for Integrable Quantum Systems*, *J. Phys. A* **21** (1988) 2375 [INSPIRE].
- [Sun18] P. Sun et al., *Bethe states of the trigonometric $SU(3)$ spin chain with generic open boundaries*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **931** (2018) 342 [arXiv:1712.08525] [INSPIRE].
- [SW94a] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, *Electric-magnetic duality, monopole condensation, and confinement in $N = 2$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **426** (1994) 19 [Erratum *ibid.* **430** (1994) 485] [hep-th/9407087] [INSPIRE].
- [SW94b] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, *Monopoles, duality and chiral symmetry breaking in $N = 2$ supersymmetric QCD*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **431** (1994) 484 [hep-th/9408099] [INSPIRE].
- [Wit89] E. Witten, *Gauge theories and integrable lattice models*, *Nucl. Phys. B.* **322** (1989) 629.
- [WYC15] Y. Wang, W. L. Yang, J. Cho et al, *Off-diagonal Bethe Ansatz for exactly solvable models[M]*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg(2015).
- [YS20] Y. Yoshida and K. Sugiyama, *Localization of three-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetric theories on $S^1 \times D^2$* , *PTEP* **2020** (2020) 113B02 [arXiv:1409.6713] [INSPIRE].
- [YZ06] Wen-Li Yang and Yao-Zhong Zhang, *T-Q relation and exact solution for the XYZ chain with general non-diagonal boundary terms*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **744** (2006) 312.