

# BRST-BV approach for interacting higher spin fields

A.A. Reshetnyak<sup>(a,b,c)1</sup>

<sup>(a)</sup>*Center of Theoretical Physics,  
Tomsk State Pedagogical University,  
634061 Tomsk, Russia*

<sup>(b)</sup>*National Research Tomsk State University,  
634050 Tomsk, Russia*

<sup>(c)</sup>*National Research Tomsk Polytechnic University,  
634050 Tomsk, Russia*

## Abstract

We develop the BRST-BV approach to construct the general off-shell Lorentz covariant cubic, quartic,  $e$ -tic interaction vertices for irreducible higher spin fields on  $d$ -dimensional Minkowski space. We consider two different cases for interacting integer higher spin fields both with massless and with massive fields. The deformation procedure to find minimal (determined with help of generalized Hilbert space) BRST-BV action for interacting higher spin fields is based on the preservation of master equation validity in each power of coupling constant  $g$  starting from the Lagrangian formulation for free gauge theory. As examples we consider the construction of local cubic vertices for  $k$  irreducible massless fields of integer helicities, and  $k - 1$  massless with one massive fields of spins  $s_1, \dots, s_{k-1}, s_k$ . For triple of two massless scalars and tensor field of integer spin the BRST-BV action with cubic interaction is explicitly found. Unlike the previous results on cubic vertices we follow our result for BRST approach [18] for massless fields, but for the unique BRST-BV action instead of classical action with reducible gauge transformations. The procedure is based on the complete BRST operator, including the trace constraints that is used to formulate an irreducible representation with definite integer spin.

## 1 Introduction

The assumption that the higher spin field theory can open possibilities for new physics beyond the Standard Model and contribute to the formulation of quantum gravity due to matter particles and interaction carriers with higher spins is one of the most attractive in modern high-energy theoretical physics for constructing interactions. The rapt attention for higher spin fields is because of its close relation with (Super)string Field Theory (see for a review, e.g. [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7] and the references therein).

The structure of cubic and quartic vertices for different higher spin fields have been investigated by many authors with use of different methods (see, e.g., the recent papers with the references therein for the cubic [8], [9], [10], [11], [12] [13], [14], [15], [17], [18], [19], [20],

---

<sup>1</sup>E-mail: reshet@tspu.edu.ru

[21], [22] and for quartic [23], [24], [25]). We stress, the results on the structure of cubic vertices obtained in terms of physical degrees of freedom in a concise form in the light-cone formalism in [16], [17]. In the covariant metric-like form the list of cubic vertices for reducible representations of Poincare group with discrete spins (being consistent with [16]) are contained in [13], where the cubic vertices were derived using the constrained BRST approach, but without imposing on the vertex the algebraic constraints. Also, we point out the constructions of cubic vertices within the BRST approach without use of constraints responsible for trace conditions in the BRST operator. i.e. for reducible higher spin fields (see e.g. [15] and the references therein).

At the same time the formulation of the BRST-BV approach to construct minimal BV (Batalin-Vilkovisky) action [26], [27], [28] which encodes gauge algebra for the gauge model with (ir)reducible higher spin fields on constant curvature spaces in question with complete BRST operator has not been yet suggested, in spite of the results for constrained BRST-BV approach for the fields with higher integer spin: [29], [30], [13]; with half-integer spins [31] and with integer continuous spin [32]. The construction of BRST-BV minimal actions may be used both for deformation procedure of finding the interacting vertices within string-inspired oscillator formalism and to construct quantum gauge-fixed BRST-BV action and path integral, firstly, suggested for totally symmetric constrained higher integer spin fields on the flat space-times in [33].

In this paper, we formulate BRST-BV approach for irreducible massless and massive higher integer spin fields in  $d$ -dimensional Minkowski space with complete BRST operator, suggest the deformation procedure to find deformed gauge algebra for  $k$ -samples of free higher integer spin fields, which includes the interacting vertices for the action (starting from cubic), generators and structure functions for the gauge transformations (starting from linear and zeroth approximations in fields). Then we explicitly derive the cubic vertices for irreducible massless and massive higher spin fields focusing on the manifest Poincare covariance. Research is realized with partial using the BRST approach with complete BRST operator, which (following the method [18], [19], [20] see as well the results for the massless fields with two-component spinor indices in  $4d$  flat space [21]) contains converted set of operator constraints forming a first-class gauge algebra. The set of constraints includes on equal-footing the on-shell condition  $l_0$  and constraints  $l_1$ ,  $l_{11}$ , responsible for divergences and traces. The operator  $l_{11}$  is consistently imposed when using the constrained BRST (and BRST-BV) approach on the set of fields and gauge parameters as the holonomic constraints for simplicity of calculations beyond the Lagrangian formulation. This approach inherits the way of obtaining the Lagrangian formulation for higher spin fields from the tensionless limit [35] for (super)string theory with resulting BRST charge without presence of the algebraic (e.g. trace) constraints. We are again repeating following to [18], [20] that this procedure is correct but the actual Lagrangian description of irreducible fields is realized only after additional imposing the subsidiary conditions which are not derived from the Lagrangian. Of course, the Lagrangian formulations for the same irreducible field with higher (half)-integer spin in Minkowski space obtained in constrained BRST approach and BRST approach with complete BRST operator describe the same particle on free level due to equivalence between these formulations [36]. This equivalence, automatically, follows for the minimal BRST-BV actions for the same irreducible field obtained from BRST-BV approach with complete BRST operator and one with constrained BRST-BV approach. However, the same equivalences have not yet been established for interacting irreducible higher-spin fields as it was recently demonstrated for cubic vertices in massless [18], [19] and in massive cases [20].

As a result, we again face the problem when constructing the general covariant vertices but now within BRST-BV approach with complete BRST operator for irreducible higher integer spin fields on  $d$ -dimensional flat space-time within metric-like formalism. It is exactly one from the problems that it will be solved in the paper.

Because the minimal BRST-BV action (satisfying master equation) encodes the gauge algebra with gauge functions from the gauge-invariant Lagrangian formulation obtained within BRST approach for the same interacting higher integer spin fields, the structure of the vertices should have similar form, e.g. as for cubic vertices in [18], [19], [20]. Thus, again, we expect the resulting cubic vertices will contain new terms (as compared with [13]) with the trace constraints and may have various representations.

Note, the BRST approach with complete BRST operator to a Lagrangian description of various free and interacting higher spin field models in Minkowski and AdS spaces has been developed in many works (e.g., see the papers [37], [38], [39], [40], [41], [42], [43], and the review [3]). The aim of the paper is to develop BRST-BV approach with complete BRST operator; to formulate the deformation procedure to determine cubic, quartic and so on vertices for interacting higher spin field; to present a complete solution of problem for the cubic vertices for unconstrained massless and massive higher spin fields and to obtain from general oscillator-like vertices explicit tensor representation for BRST-BV minimal action for some triple of massless interacting higher spin fields.

The paper has the following organization. Section 2 presents the basics of a BRST-BV approach for minimal BRST-BV action construction for free totally symmetric higher spin field, with all constraints  $l_0, l_1, l_{11}$  taken into account. In Section 3, we formulate deformation procedure for minimal BRST-BV action in power of coupling constant  $g$  based on the master equation validity. Then, we deduce a system of equations for a  $e$ -tic,  $e = 3, 4, \dots$  deformation in fields and antifields of quadratic free BRST-BV action. A solution for the deformed cubic vertices and gauge transformation incorporated in cubic approximation for minimal BRST-BV action is given in a Section 4 for  $k$  massless, one massive and  $(k - 1)$  massless fields. The example for the massless fields with special set of spins is presented in the Section 5. In conclusion a final summary with comments are given.

The usual definitions and notations from the work [18] are used for a metric tensor  $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(+, -, \dots, -)$  with Lorentz indices  $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, \dots, d - 1$  and the respective notation  $\epsilon(F), (gh_H, gh_L, gh_{\text{tot}})(F), [F, G], [x], (s)_k, \theta_{m,0}$  for the values of Grassmann parity and Hamiltonian, Lagrangian, total  $gh_H + gh_L = gh_{\text{tot}}$  ghost numbers of a homogeneous quantity  $F$ , as well as the supercommutator, the integer part of a real-valued  $x$ , for the integer-valued vector  $(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k)$  and Heaviside  $\theta$ -symbol ( $\theta_{m,0} = 1(0)$  for  $m > 0$  ( $0 \geq m$ )).

## 2 BRST-BV approach for free field with integer spin

Here, we present the basics of the BRST approach and develop the BRST-BV approach to free massless and massive higher integer spin field theory for its following use to construct a general  $n$ -tic interacting vertices initializing from the BRST approach.

The unitary massless (massive) Poincare group irreducible representations with integer helicities (spins)  $s$  can be realized using the real-valued totally symmetric tensor fields  $\phi_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_s}(x) \equiv \phi_{\mu(s)}$  subject to the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial^\nu \partial_\nu + \theta_{m,0} m^2, \partial^{\mu_1}, \eta^{\mu_1 \mu_2}) \phi_{\mu(s)} &= (0, 0, 0) & \iff & (1) \\ (l_0, l_1, l_{11}, g_0 - d/2) | \phi \rangle &= (0, 0, 0, s) | \phi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The basic vector  $|\phi\rangle$  and the operators  $l_0, l_1, l_{11}, g_0$  above are defined in the Fock space  $\mathcal{H}$  with the Grassmann-even oscillators  $a_\mu, a_\nu^+$ , ( $[a_\mu, a_\nu^+] = -\eta_{\mu\nu}$ ) as follows

$$|\phi\rangle = \sum_{s \geq 0} \frac{\iota^s}{s!} \phi^{\mu(s)} \prod_{i=1}^s a_{\mu_i}^+ |0\rangle, \quad (2)$$

$$(l_0, l_1, l_{11}, g_0) = (\partial^\nu \partial_\nu + \theta_{m,0} m^2, -\iota a^\nu \partial_\nu, \frac{1}{2} a^\mu a_\mu, -\frac{1}{2} \{a_\mu^+, a^\mu\}).$$

The free dynamics of the field with integer spin  $s$  within BRST approach (see e.g. [39], [43]) is described by the first-stage reducible gauge theory with the gauge invariant action given on the configuration space  $M_{cl}^{(s)}$  whose dimension grows with the growth of "s", thus, including the basic field  $\phi_{\mu(s)}$  with auxiliary fields  $\phi_{1\mu(s-1)}, \dots$  of lesser than  $s$  ranks. All these fields are incorporated into the vector  $|\chi\rangle_s$  and the dynamics is encoded by the action

$$\mathcal{S}_{0|s}^m[\phi, \phi_1, \dots] = \mathcal{S}_{0|s}^m[|\chi\rangle_s] = \int d\eta_0 \langle \chi | K Q | \chi \rangle_s, \quad (3)$$

where  $\eta_0, Q$  and  $K$  be respectively a zero-mode ghost field, complete BRST operator and an operator defining the inner product. The action (3) is invariant under the reducible gauge transformations

$$\delta|\chi\rangle_s = Q|\Lambda^0\rangle_s, \quad \delta|\Lambda^0\rangle_s = Q|\Lambda^1\rangle_s, \quad \delta|\Lambda^1\rangle_s = 0, \quad (4)$$

with  $|\Lambda^0\rangle_s, |\Lambda^1\rangle_s$  to be the vectors of zero-level and first-level gauge parameters of abelian gauge transformations (4). The BRST operator  $Q$  is constructed on the base of the constraints  $l_0, l_1, l_1^+ = -\iota a^{+\nu} \partial_\nu, l_{11}, l_{11}^+ = \frac{1}{2} a^{+\nu} a_\nu^+$  with the Grassmann-odd ghost operators  $\eta_0, \eta_1^+, \eta_1, \eta_{11}^+, \eta_{11}, \mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_1^+, \mathcal{P}_{11}, \mathcal{P}_{11}^+$ , and also with two pairs of auxiliary Grassmann-even oscillators (with absence of  $d, d^+$  for massless case).  $d, d^+, b, b^+$ . The ghost and auxiliary operators satisfy the non-zero respective anticommuting and commuting relations

$$\{\eta_0, \mathcal{P}_0\} = \iota, \quad \{\eta_1, \mathcal{P}_1^+\} = \{\eta_1^+, \mathcal{P}_1\} = \{\eta_{11}, \mathcal{P}_{11}^+\} = \{\eta_{11}^+, \mathcal{P}_{11}\} = 1; \quad [d, d^+] = [b, b^+] = 1. \quad (5)$$

The BRST operator has the form

$$Q = \eta_0 l_0 + \eta_1^+ \check{l}_1 + \check{l}_1^+ \eta_1 + \eta_{11}^+ \widehat{L}_{11} + \widehat{L}_{11}^+ \eta_{11} + \eta_1^+ \eta_1 \mathcal{P}_0, \quad (6)$$

where

$$(\check{l}_1, \check{l}_1^+) = (l_1 + md, l_1^+ + md^+), \quad (\widehat{L}_{11}, \widehat{L}_{11}^+) = (\check{L}_{11} + \eta_1 \mathcal{P}_1, \check{L}_{11}^+ + \mathcal{P}_1^+ \eta_1^+). \quad (7)$$

Here, the parameter  $h = h(s) = -s - \frac{d-5-\theta_{m,0}}{2}$ ,  $(\epsilon, gh_H)Q = (1, 1)$  and

$$\check{L}_{11} = l_{11} - \theta_{m,0}(1/2)(d)^2 + (b^+ b + h)b, \quad \check{L}_{11}^+ = l_{11}^+ - \theta_{m,0}(1/2)(d^+)^2 + b^+. \quad (8)$$

The algebra of the operators  $l_0, l_1, l_1^+, L_{11}, L_{11}^+, G_0$  presents a semidirect sum of two subalgebras (isometries of  $\mathbb{R}^{1,d-1}$  and  $so(1, 2)$ ):

$$[l_0, l_1^{(+)}] = 0, \quad [l_1, l_1^+] = l_0 - m^2 \quad \text{and} \quad [\check{L}_{11}, \check{L}_{11}^+] = G_0, \quad [G_0, \check{L}_{11}^+] = 2\check{L}_{11}^+ \quad (9)$$

with their non-vanishing independent cross-commutators  $[l_1, \check{L}_{11}^+] = -l_1^+$ ,  $[l_1, G_0] = l_1$ , where number particles operator  $G_0$  as part of the spin operator  $\sigma$ , is defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma &= G_0 + \eta_1^+ \mathcal{P}_1 - \eta_1 \mathcal{P}_1^+ + 2(\eta_{11}^+ \mathcal{P}_{11} - \eta_{11} \mathcal{P}_{11}^+) \\ G_0 &= g_0 + \theta_{m,0} d^+ d + 2b^+ b + \frac{1}{2} + h.\end{aligned}\quad (10)$$

Spin operator selects the vectors with definite spin value  $s$

$$\sigma(|\chi\rangle_s, |\Lambda^0\rangle_s, |\Lambda^1\rangle_s) = (0, 0, 0), \quad (11)$$

where the standard distribution for Grassmann parities and the ghost numbers  $gh_H$  of the these vectors are  $(0, 0)$ ,  $(1, -1)$ ,  $(0, -2)$  respectively.

All the operators above act in a total Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_{tot}$  with the inner product of the vectors depending on all oscillators  $(B; B^+) = (a^\mu, b, d; a^{\mu+}, b^+, d^+)$  and ghosts

$$\langle \psi | \chi \rangle = \int d^d x \langle 0 | \chi^*(B; \eta_0, \eta_1, \mathcal{P}_1, \eta_{11}, \mathcal{P}_{11}) \psi(B^+; \eta_0, \eta_1^+, \mathcal{P}_1^+, \eta_{11}^+, \mathcal{P}_{11}^+) | 0 \rangle. \quad (12)$$

The operators  $Q, \sigma$  are supercommuting and Hermitian with respect to the inner product (12) including the operator  $K$  (see e.g., [36], [37], [43]) being equal to 1 on Hilbert subspace not depending on auxiliary  $b, b^+$  operators

$$Q^2 = \eta_{11}^+ \eta_{11} \sigma, \quad A^+ K = K A, \quad A \in \{Q, \sigma\}; \quad (13)$$

$$K = 1 \otimes \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (b^+)^n |0\rangle \langle 0| b^n C(n, h(s)), \quad C(n, h(s)) \equiv \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (i + h(s)) \quad (14)$$

The BRST operator  $Q$  is nilpotent on the subspace with zero eigenvectors  $\mathcal{H}_{tot}^s$  ( $\mathcal{H}_{tot}^s \subset \mathcal{H}_{tot}$ ) for the spin operator  $\sigma$  (11).

The field  $|\chi\rangle_s$ , the zero  $|\Lambda^0\rangle_s$  and the first  $|\Lambda^1\rangle_s$  level gauge parameters labeled by the symbol "s" as eigenvectors of the spin condition in (11) has the decomposition with ghost-independent vectors  $|\Phi \dots\rangle_{s \dots}$ ,  $|\Xi \dots\rangle_{s \dots}$

$$\begin{aligned}|\chi\rangle_s &= |\Phi\rangle_s + \eta_1^+ \left( \mathcal{P}_1^+ |\Phi_2\rangle_{s-2} + \mathcal{P}_{11}^+ |\Phi_{21}\rangle_{s-3} + \eta_{11}^+ \mathcal{P}_1^+ \mathcal{P}_{11}^+ |\Phi_{22}\rangle_{s-6} \right) \\ &\quad + \eta_{11}^+ \left( \mathcal{P}_1^+ |\Phi_{31}\rangle_{s-3} + \mathcal{P}_{11}^+ |\Phi_{32}\rangle_{s-4} \right) + \eta_0 \left( \mathcal{P}_1^+ |\Phi_1\rangle_{s-1} + \mathcal{P}_{11}^+ |\Phi_{11}\rangle_{s-2} \right) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{P}_1^+ \mathcal{P}_{11}^+ \left[ \eta_1^+ |\Phi_{12}\rangle_{s-4} + \eta_{11}^+ |\Phi_{13}\rangle_{s-5} \right],\end{aligned}\quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned}|\Lambda^0\rangle_s &= \mathcal{P}_1^+ |\Xi\rangle_{s-1} + \mathcal{P}_{11}^+ |\Xi_1\rangle_{s-2} + \mathcal{P}_1^+ \mathcal{P}_{11}^+ \left( \eta_1^+ |\Xi_{11}\rangle_{s-4} \right) \\ &\quad + \eta_{11}^+ |\Xi_{12}\rangle_{s-5} + \eta_0 \mathcal{P}_1^+ \mathcal{P}_{11}^+ |\Xi_{01}\rangle_{s-3},\end{aligned}\quad (16)$$

$$|\Lambda^1\rangle_s = \mathcal{P}_1^+ \mathcal{P}_{11}^+ |\Xi^1\rangle_{s-3}. \quad (17)$$

Here for massive (for massless  $d^+ = 0$ ) higher spin field

$$|\Phi_n\rangle_{s-m} = \sum_{l=0}^{[(s-m)/2]} \frac{(b^+)^l}{l!} \sum_{k=0}^{s-2l-m} \frac{(d^+)^k}{k!} |\phi_{n|l,k}(a^+)\rangle_{s-k-2l-m} \text{ for } |\phi_{0|0,0}(a^+)\rangle_s \equiv |\phi\rangle_s, \quad (18)$$

$$|\Xi_j\rangle_{s-1-m} = \sum_{l=0}^{[(s-1-m)/2]} \frac{(b^+)^l}{l!} \sum_{k=0}^{s-2l-1-m} \frac{(d^+)^k}{k!} |\Xi_{j|l,k}(a^+)\rangle_{s-k-2l-m-1}. \quad (19)$$

To formulate BRST-BV action in minimal sector we introduce configuration space  $M_{\min}^{(s)}$  parameterized by fields  $\Phi_{\min}^A = (A^i, C^{\alpha_0}, C^{\alpha_1})$  with all classical fields  $A^i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , zero- and first-level ghost fields  $C^{\alpha_0}, C^{\alpha_1}$ ,  $\alpha_0 = 1, \dots, m_0$ ,  $\alpha_1 = 1, \dots, m_1$  (with using condensed DeWitt notations [44]). The zero- and first-level Abelian gauge transformations with arbitrary functions  $\xi^{\alpha_0}, \xi^{\alpha_1}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{1, d-1}$ , Noether identities, functional dependence of the generators  $R_{0|\alpha_0}^i$  of zero-level gauge transformations are given for Lagrangian formulation of free higher-spin field  $\phi_{\mu(s)}$  (with auxiliary fields entering in  $A^i$ ) as follows

$$\delta A^i = R_{0|\alpha_0}^i \xi^{\alpha_0}, \quad \delta \xi^{\alpha_0} = Z_{0|\alpha_1}^{\alpha_0} \xi^{\alpha_1}, \quad \epsilon(A^i, \xi^{\alpha_0}, \xi^{\alpha_1}) = (\epsilon_i, \epsilon_{\alpha_0}, \epsilon_{\alpha_1}) = \vec{0}; \quad (20)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{0|s}^m[|\chi\rangle_s] \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta A^i} R_{0|\alpha_0}^i = 0, \quad R_{0|\alpha_0}^i Z_{0|\alpha_1}^{\alpha_0} \Big|_{(\mathcal{S}_{0|s}^m \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta A^i} = 0)} = 0. \quad (21)$$

Then, we should combine the field vector  $|\chi\rangle_s$  and vectors of ghost fields  $|C^0\rangle_s, |C^1\rangle_s$  (obtained from the vectors of gauge parameters with help of some Grassmann-odd constants  $\mu_0, \mu_1$ ) into *generalized field vector*

$$|\chi_{\min}\rangle_s = |\chi\rangle_s + |C^0\rangle_s + |C^1\rangle_s, \quad |C^l\rangle_s \prod_{j=0}^l \mu_j \equiv |\Lambda^l\rangle_s \quad (22)$$

with all Grassmann-even terms in  $|\chi_{\min}\rangle_s$ . Here the component tensors in the decomposition in power of ghost oscillators in the gauge parameters (16), (17) one should change on the respective ghost tensor fields with the same rank but with shifted Grassmann parity and Lagrangian ghost number  $gh_L$ :

$$|C^0\rangle_s = \mathcal{P}_1^+ |C_{\Xi}^0\rangle_{s-1} + \mathcal{P}_{11}^+ |C_{\Xi 1}^0\rangle_{s-2} + \mathcal{P}_1^+ \mathcal{P}_{11}^+ \left( \eta_1^+ |C_{\Xi 11}^0\rangle_{s-4} \right. \\ \left. + \eta_{11}^+ |C_{\Xi 12}^0\rangle_{s-5} \right) + \eta_0 \mathcal{P}_1^+ \mathcal{P}_{11}^+ |C_{\Xi 01}^0\rangle_{s-3}, \quad (23)$$

$$|C^1\rangle_s = \mathcal{P}_1^+ \mathcal{P}_{11}^+ |C_{\Xi 1}^1\rangle_{s-3} \quad (24)$$

with the same representation (19) for  $|C_{\Xi \dots}^0\rangle$  and  $|C_{\Xi 1}^1\rangle$  in terms of ghost fields  $C^{\alpha_0}, C^{\alpha_1}$ . The fields, fields vectors, ghost oscillators  $\eta^I, \mathcal{P}_I$  satisfy to the Grassmann parity and ghost numbers distributions, for  $(gh_H + gh_L) = gh_{\text{tot}}$

	$C^{\alpha_i}$	$ C_{\Xi \dots}^0\rangle$	$ C_{\Xi 1}^1\rangle$	$ C^l\rangle$	$ \chi\rangle$	$\eta^I$	$\mathcal{P}_I$	$\mu_l$
$\epsilon$	$i + 1$	1	0	0	0	1	-1	1
$gh_H$	0	0	0	$-1 - l$	0	1	-1	0
$gh_L$	$i + 1$	1	2	$l + 1$	0	0	0	-1
$gh_{\text{tot}}$	$l + 1$	1	2	0	0	1	-1	-1

,  $i = 0, 1.$  (25)

Thus, the generalized field vector  $|\chi_{\min}\rangle_s$  has vanishing  $(\epsilon, gh_{\text{tot}})$  gradings and contains  $2^4$  ghost independent vectors  $|\Phi_{\dots}\rangle, |C_{\Xi \dots}^0\rangle, |C_{\Xi 1}^1\rangle$  at independent ghost monomials

$$\left\{ \eta_0^{n_0} (\eta_1^+)^{n_1} (\eta_{11}^+)^{n_{11}} (\mathcal{P}_1^+)^{p_1} (\mathcal{P}_{11}^+)^{p_{11}} \right\}, \quad \text{for } n_0, n_1, n_{11}, p_1, p_{11} = 0, 1. \quad (26)$$

with non-positive values of  $gh_H$ . Note, the inner product in  $\mathcal{H}_g$  is degenerate due to presence of Grassmann-odd field variables and we understand it as formal finite product according to (12), at least, for its values with vanishing  $gh_L$ .

BRST-BV action besides the field variables  $\Phi_{\min}^A$  in the minimal sector depends on the same number of antifields  $\Phi_{A|\min}^* = (A_i^*, C_{\alpha_0}^*, C_{\alpha_1}^*)$  organized in terms of respective vectors on the space  $\mathcal{H}_g$ , when considering instead of the field vector  $|\chi\rangle_s \in \mathcal{H}$  the *generalized field-antifield vector*  $|\chi_g\rangle_s \in \mathcal{H}_g$ . It contains in addition to generalized field vector the *generalized antifield vector*  $|\chi_{\min}^*\rangle_s$  with  $2^4$  ghost independent antifield vectors  $|\Phi_{\dots}^*\rangle$ ,  $|C_{\Xi\dots}^{*0}\rangle$ ,  $|C_{\Xi 1}^{*1}\rangle$  at independent ghost monomials (26), but with positive values of  $gh_H$

$$|\chi_g\rangle_s = |\chi_{\min}\rangle_s + |\chi_{\min}^*\rangle_s, \quad |\chi_{\min}^*\rangle_s = |\chi^*\rangle_s + |C^{*0}\rangle_s + |C^{*1}\rangle_s \quad (27)$$

The all terms in  $|\chi_g\rangle$  and in  $|\chi_{\min}^*\rangle$  are Grassmann-even. Here

$$|\chi^*\rangle_s = \eta_0 \left\{ |\Phi^*\rangle_s + \mathcal{P}_1^+ \left( \eta_1^+ |\Phi_2^*\rangle_{s-2} + \eta_{11}^+ |\Phi_{21}^*\rangle_{s-3} + \eta_1^+ \eta_{11}^+ \mathcal{P}_{11}^+ |\Phi_{22}^*\rangle_{s-6} \right) \right. \quad (28)$$

$$\left. + \mathcal{P}_{11}^+ \left( \eta_1^+ |\Phi_{31}^*\rangle_{s-3} + \eta_{11}^+ |\Phi_{32}^*\rangle_{s-4} \right) \right\} + \eta_1^+ |\Phi_1^*\rangle_{s-1} + \eta_{11}^+ |\Phi_{11}^*\rangle_{s-2}$$

$$+ \eta_1^+ \eta_{11}^+ \left[ \mathcal{P}_1^+ |\Phi_{12}^*\rangle_{s-4} + \mathcal{P}_{11}^+ |\Phi_{13}^*\rangle_{s-5} \right],$$

$$|C^{*0}\rangle_s = \eta_0 \left\{ \eta_1^+ |C_{\Xi 1}^{*0}\rangle_{s-1} + \eta_{11}^+ |C_{\Xi 1}^{*0}\rangle_{s-2} + \eta_1^+ \eta_{11}^+ \left( \mathcal{P}_1^+ |C_{\Xi 11}^{*0}\rangle_{s-4} \right. \quad (29)$$

$$\left. + \mathcal{P}_{11}^+ |C_{\Xi 12}^{*0}\rangle_{s-5} \right\} + \eta_1^+ \eta_{11}^+ |C_{\Xi 01}^{*0}\rangle_{s-3},$$

$$|C^{*1}\rangle_s = \eta_0 \eta_1^+ \eta_{11}^+ |C_{\Xi 1}^{*1}\rangle_{s-3}, \quad (30)$$

with the antifield vectors having the representation in power of oscillators  $a_\mu^+$ ,  $d^+$ ,  $b^+$  given by (18), (19) in terms of antifield tensor fields with antifield  $\phi_{\mu(s)}^*$  including in  $|\Phi^*\rangle_s$  as coefficient for vanishing  $d^+$ ,  $b^+$ . The antifields and composed from it respective antifield vectors obey to the Grassmann parity and ghost numbers gradings

	$A_i^*$	$C_{\alpha_l}^*$	$ \Phi_{\dots}^*\rangle$	$ C_{\Xi\dots}^{*0}\rangle$	$ C_{\Xi 1}^{*1}\rangle$	$ \chi^*\rangle$	$ C^{*l}\rangle$	$ \chi_{\min}^*\rangle$
$\epsilon$	1	$i$	1	0	1	0	0	0
$gh_H$	0	0	0	0	0	1	$2+l$	—
$gh_L$	-1	$-l-2$	-1	-2	-3	-1	$-2-l$	—
$gh_{\text{tot}}$	-1	$-l-2$	-1	-2	-3	0	0	0

,  $l = 0, 1.$  (31)

with undetermined values of  $gh_H, gh_L$  for  $|\chi_{\min}^*\rangle$ . It provides due to vanishing of  $(\epsilon, gh_{\text{tot}})$  when calculated for the vectors  $|\chi_{\min}\rangle_s, |\chi_{\min}^*\rangle_s$ , the correctness of joint description of all field-antifield variables  $(\Phi_{\min}^A, \Phi_{A|\min}^*)$  within one vector  $|\chi_g\rangle_s$ .

We see, that as it has already been observed for the constrained BRST-BV approach the number of all monomials composed from hamiltonian ghost oscillators (26) and one of all ghost-independent vectors  $|\Phi_{\dots}^{(*)}\rangle, |C_{\Xi\dots}^{(*)0}\rangle, |C_{\Xi 1}^{(*)1}\rangle$  coincide and equal to  $2^5$  for our model.

Now, BRST-BV minimal action  $S_{\min}^{(s)}[\Phi_{\min}, \Phi_{\min}^*]$  in terms of field-antifield representation

$$S_{\min}^{(s)}[\Phi_{\min}, \Phi_{\min}^*] = \mathcal{S}_{0|s}^m[A] + A_i^* R_{0|\alpha_0}^i C^{\alpha_0} + C_{\alpha_0}^* Z_{0|\alpha_1}^{\alpha_0} C^{\alpha_1} \quad (32)$$

is determined in general vector form :

$$S_{\min}^{(s)}[\Phi_{\min}, \Phi_{\min}^*] = S_{0|s}[\chi_g] = \int d\eta_0 \langle \chi_g | KQ | \chi_g \rangle_s, \quad (33)$$

$$= \mathcal{S}_{0|s}[\chi] + \int d\eta_0 \left\{ {}_s \langle \chi^* | KQ | C^0 \rangle_s + {}_s \langle C^{*0} | KQ | C^1 \rangle_s + h.c. \right\} \quad (34)$$

The functional  $S_{0|s}[[\chi_g]_s]$  has vanishing  $\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}$ - gradings:  $(\epsilon, gh_H, gh_L, gh_{\text{tot}})S_{0|s} = \vec{0}$  and satisfies to the master equation [26]

$$(S_{0|s}[[\chi_g]_s], S_{0|s}[[\chi_g]_s])^{(s)} = 2S_{0|s}[[\chi_g]_s] \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta\Phi_{\min}^A} \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta\Phi_{| \min}^*} S_{0|s}[[\chi_g]_s] = 0 \quad (35)$$

given in terms of odd Poisson bracket (antibracket) for any two differentiable functionals  $F, G$  determined on the space  $\mathcal{H}_g$  for given spin  $s$  :

$$(F[[\chi_g]_s], G[[\chi_g]_s])^{(s)} = F[[\chi_g]_s] \left( \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta\Phi_{\min}^A} \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta\Phi_{| \min}^*} - \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta\Phi_{| \min}^*} \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta\Phi_{\min}^A} \right) G[[\chi_g]_s]. \quad (36)$$

The antibracket satisfies to the usual properties of bilinearity, generalized antisymmetry, Jacobi identity and Leibnitz rule for any  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $F, G, H$  given on  $\mathcal{H}_g$  with definite  $\epsilon$ ,

$$(\alpha F + \beta H, G)^{(s)} = \alpha (F, G)^{(s)} + \beta (H, G)^{(s)}, \quad (37)$$

$$(F, G)^{(s)} = -(-1)^{(\epsilon(F)+1)(\epsilon(G)+1)} (G, F)^{(s)}, \quad (38)$$

$$(-1)^{(\epsilon(F)+1)(\epsilon(H)+1)} ((F, G)^{(s)}, H)^{(s)} + \text{cycle perm.}(F, G, H) = 0, \quad (39)$$

$$(FG, H)^{(s)} = F(G, H)^{(s)} + (-1)^{\epsilon(F)\epsilon(G)} G(F, H)^{(s)}. \quad (40)$$

As the reminiscent of gauge invariance of  $\mathcal{S}_{0|s}[[\chi]_s]$  the BRST-BV action is invariant with respect to the *minimal Lagrangian BRST-like transformations* (with a Grassmann-odd constant parameter  $\mu$ ,  $(gh_H, gh_L)\mu = (0, -1)$ ) for the generalized field vector  $|\chi_{\min}\rangle_s$

$$\delta_B |\chi_{\min}\rangle_s = \mu \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta({}_s\langle\chi_{\min}^*|K)} S_{0|s}[[\chi_g]_s] = \mu Q(|C^0\rangle_s + |C^1\rangle_s), \quad (41)$$

$$\delta_B |\chi_{\min}^*\rangle_s = 0, \quad \epsilon \left( \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta({}_s\langle\chi_{\min}^*|K)} \right) = 1, \quad (42)$$

with constant antifields (as well as for the duals  $\langle\chi_{\min}^*\rangle_s$ ) or, equivalently, in terms of a *BRST-like (Slavnov) generator*  $\vec{s}_0$  and its dual  $\overleftarrow{s}_0$ :

$$\delta_B [|\chi\rangle_s, |C^0\rangle_s, |C^1\rangle_s] = \mu \vec{s}_0 [|\chi(x)\rangle_s, |C^0\rangle_s, |C^1\rangle_s] = \mu Q [ |C^0\rangle_s, |C^1\rangle_s, 0 ], \quad (43)$$

$$\delta_B [{}_s\langle\chi|, {}_s\langle C^0|, {}_s\langle C^1|] = [{}_s\langle\chi|, {}_s\langle C^0|, {}_s\langle C^1|] \overleftarrow{s}_0 \mu = [{}_s\langle C^0|, {}_s\langle C^1|, 0] Q^+ \mu. \quad (44)$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_B S_{0|s} &= \int d\eta_0 \left( \delta_B {}_s\langle\chi_{\min}| \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta} S_{0|s}}{\delta {}_s\langle\chi_{\min}|} + \frac{S_{0|s} \overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta |\chi_{\min}\rangle_s} \delta_B |\chi_{\min}\rangle_s \right) \\ &= \mu \left( {}_s\langle \sum_i C^i | (Q^+)^2 K | \chi_g \rangle_s - {}_s\langle \chi_g | K Q^2 | \sum_i C^i \rangle_s \right) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

The variational derivatives with respect to the vectors  $|\chi_{\min}^*\rangle_s$ , and their duals in (41), (42), (45), e.g. for any quadratic (in the fields) functional with the kernel  $E_F$

$$F = \int d\eta_0 \mathcal{F}(\chi_g(\eta_0); \eta_0) = \int d\eta_0 {}_s\langle \chi_g | K E_F | \chi_g \rangle_s \quad (46)$$



are given in terms of variational derivatives for a fixed  $\eta_0$  with a vanishing Grassmann parity of the density  $\mathcal{F}$  ( $\epsilon(\mathcal{F}) = \epsilon(E_F) = \epsilon(F) + 1$ ) according to

$$\left( \frac{F \overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta |\chi_{\min}^{(*)}\rangle_s}; \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta} F}{\delta_s \langle \chi_{\min}^{(*)} |}; \frac{F \overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta |C^{(*)j}\rangle_s}; \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta} F}{\delta_s \langle C^{(*)j} |} \right) = \left( \frac{\mathcal{F} \overleftarrow{\delta}_{\eta_0}}{\delta |\chi_{\min}^{(*)}\rangle_s}; \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}_{\eta_0} \mathcal{F}}{\delta_s \langle \chi_{\min}^{(*)} |}; \frac{\mathcal{F} \overleftarrow{\delta}_{\eta_0}}{\delta |C^{(*)j}\rangle_s}; \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}_{\eta_0} \mathcal{F}}{\delta_s \langle C^{(*)j} |} \right) \quad (47)$$

(for  $j = 1, 2$ ). The variational derivatives satisfy the following normalization conditions (for  $\delta(\eta'_0 - \eta_0) = \eta'_0 - \eta_0$ ):

$$\left( \frac{|B(\eta_0; x)\rangle_s \overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta |B(\eta'_0; x')\rangle_s}; \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}_s \langle B(\eta_0; x) |}{\delta_s \langle B(\eta'_0; x') |} \right) = \delta(\eta'_0 - \eta_0) (\delta(x' - x); \delta(x' - x)), \quad B \in \{\chi_{\min}^{(*)}, C^{(*)j}\}. \quad (48)$$

The construction of BRST-BV action reflects the fact of BFV-BV duality [46], [47] and realizes an example of AKSZ model [45] when formulating BRST-BV minimal action with help of hamiltonian ghost-dependent Grassmann-odd kernel (operator  $Q$ ) which determines Lagrangian Grassmann-even gauge-invariant classical action and minimal BRST-BV action.

We stress, first, that there are no gauge transformations for the BRST-BV functional  $S_{0|s}[\chi_g]_s$ , because it encodes the gauge algebra functions itself. It makes our approach to be different from one developed in [13].

Second, as it was shown in [18], [20] after imposing the appropriate gauge conditions and eliminating the auxiliary fields from equations of motion for Lagrangian formulations, the theory under consideration is reduced to Fronsdal form [51] for massless field of helicity  $s$  in terms of totally symmetric double traceless tensor field  $\phi_{\mu(s)}$  and traceless gauge parameter  $\xi_{\mu(s-1)}$ , as well as to ungauged Singh-Hagen form [53] for massive field  $\phi_{\mu(s)}$  of spin  $s$  with auxiliary field  $\phi_{3|\mu(s-3)}$ . So that the respective BRST-BV minimal actions reduced to ones for irreducible gauge theory in Fronsdal form and become non-gauge for massive case.

Thus, we develop BRST-BV approach to construct the minimal BRST-BV action for irreducible field with integer spin  $s$  in flat space-time.

Now we turn to the interacting theory.

### 3 Deformation procedure for interacting higher-spin fields

Here, we develop another prescription, firstly for the gauge theories initiated in [48], [49], as compared to the general (Noether-like) scheme developed in [18], [20] to find the cubic and higher interaction vertices for the models with massless and massive higher spin fields.

To include the interaction we introduce  $k$ ,  $k \geq 3$  samples of Lagrangian formulations with vectors  $|\chi^{(j)}\rangle_{s_j}$ , ghost fields  $|C^{(j)0}\rangle_{s_j}$ ,  $|C^{(j)1}\rangle_{s_j}$  and respective antifield vectors combined in  $k$ -copies of generalized field-antifield vectors  $|\chi_g^{(j)}\rangle_{s_j}$  of the form (27) with corresponding vacuum vectors  $|0\rangle^j$  and oscillators, where  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . It permits to define the deformed BRST-BV action up to  $p$ -tic vertices,  $p = 3, 4, \dots, e$  in powers of deformation (also named as coupling) constant  $g$  with preservation of its homogeneity in fields  $|\chi_g^{(j)}\rangle_{s_j}$ , starting from sum of  $k$  copies of BRST-BV actions for free higher-spin fields and then from cubic, quartic

and so on vertices:

$$S_{[e]|(s)_k}^{(m)_k} [(\chi_g)_k] = \sum_{j=1}^k S_{0|s_j}^{m_j} [\chi_g^{(j)}] + \sum_{f=1}^e g^f S_{f|(s)_k}^{(m)_k} [(\chi_g)_k]; \quad (49)$$

where

$$S_{1|(s)_k}^{(m)_k} [(\chi_g)_k] = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < i_3 \leq k} \int \prod_{j=1}^3 d\eta_0^{(i_j)} \left( {}_{s_{i_j}} \langle \chi_g^{(i_j)} K^{(i_j)} | V^{(3)} \rangle_{(s)_{(i)_3}}^{(m)_{(i)_3}} + h.c. \right), \quad (50)$$

$$S_{2|(s)_k}^{(m)_k} [(\chi_g)_k] = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < i_3 < i_4 \leq k} \int \prod_{j=1}^4 d\eta_0^{(i_j)} \left( {}_{s_{i_j}} \langle \chi_g^{(i_j)} K^{(i_j)} | V^{(4)} \rangle_{(s)_{(i)_4}}^{(m)_{(i)_4}} + h.c. \right), \quad (51)$$

$$\dots \dots \dots$$

$$S_{e|(s)_k}^{(m)_k} [(\chi_g)_k] = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_e \leq k} \int \prod_{j=1}^e d\eta_0^{(i_j)} \left( {}_{s_{i_j}} \langle \chi_g^{(i_j)} K^{(i_j)} | V^{(e)} \rangle_{(s)_{(i)_e}}^{(m)_{(i)_e}} + h.c. \right), \quad (52)$$

where we have used the notations  $(\chi_g)_k = (\chi_g^{(1)}, \chi_g^{(2)}, \dots, \chi_g^{(k)})$  and the number of different terms in  $p$ -tic vertex is equal to  $k!/((k-p)!p!)$ .

In order to the interacting theory constructed from initial BRST-BV actions  $S_{0|s_j}^{m_j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$  would preserve the number of physical degrees of freedom  $N_j$  determined by Lagrangian formulations for free higher-spin fields with spin  $s_j$ , we demand that the sum of all physical degrees of freedom would be unchangeable, i.e.  $\sum_i N_i = \text{const}$ . This property will be guaranteed if the deformed BRST-BV action  $S_{[e]|(s)_k}^{(m)_k}$  will satisfy to the master equation determined in terms of antibracket given on the odd phase space  $\Pi T^* M_{\min}^{(s)_k}$  (odd cotangent bundle) being locally presented as product of ones  $\Pi T^* M_{\min}^{(s_j)}$  for each copy of higher-spin fields and parameterized by fields and antifields

$$(\Phi_{\min}^{A_1}, \Phi_{A_1|\min}^*, \Phi_{\min}^{A_2}, \Phi_{A_2|\min}^*, \dots, \Phi_{\min}^{A_k}, \Phi_{A_k|\min}^*) \equiv (\Phi_{\min}^{A_a}, \Phi_{A_a|\min}^*), \quad a = 1, \dots, k. \quad (53)$$

The respective antibracket,  $(\bullet, \bullet)^{(s)_k}$ , is simply the sum of antibrackets  $(\bullet, \bullet)^{(s_j)}$  for all copies. It has the form similar to (36) with change:  $(\Phi_{\min}^A, \Phi_{A|\min}^*) \rightarrow (\Phi_{\min}^{A_a}, \Phi_{A_a|\min}^*)$ , thus obeying the same properties (37)–(40).

The generating equation (master-equation) for deformed BRST-BV action to consistently find unknown vertices  $|V^{(3)}\rangle_{(s)_{(i)_3}}^{(m)_{(i)_3}}, \dots, |V^{(e)}\rangle_{(s)_{(i)_e}}^{(m)_{(i)_e}}$

$$(S_{[e]|(s)_k}^{(m)_k} [(\chi_g)_k], S_{[e]|(s)_k}^{(m)_k} [(\chi_g)_k])^{(s)_k} = 2S_{[e]|(s)_k}^{(m)_k} [(\chi_g)_k] \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta \Phi_{\min}^{A_a}} \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta \Phi_{A_a|\min}^*} S_{[e]|(s)_k}^{(m)_k} [(\chi_g)_k] = 0 \quad (54)$$

leads to the system of equations in powers of deformation constant  $g$ :

$$g^0 : (S_{[0]|(s)_k}^{(m)_k} [(\chi_g)_k], S_{[0]|(s)_k}^{(m)_k} [(\chi_g)_k])^{(s)_k} = \sum_{j \leq k} (S_{0|s_j}^{m_j} [\chi_g^{(j)}], S_{[j]s_j}^{m_j} [\chi_g^{(j)}])^{(s_j)} \equiv 0, \quad (55)$$

$$g^1 : 2(S_{[0]|(s)_k}^{(m)_k} [(\chi_g)_k], S_{1|(s)_k}^{(m)_k} [(\chi_g)_k])^{(s)_k} = 0, \quad (56)$$

$$g^2 : 2(S_{[0]|(s)_k}^{(m)_k} [(\chi_g)_k], S_{2|(s)_k}^{(m)_k} [(\chi_g)_k])^{(s)_k} + (S_{1|(s)_k}^{(m)_k} [(\chi_g)_k], S_{1|(s)_k}^{(m)_k} [(\chi_g)_k])^{(s)_k} = 0, \quad (57)$$

$$\dots \dots \dots$$

$$g^e : 2 \sum_{j=0}^{[e/2]} (S_{j|(s)_k}^{(m)_k} [(\chi_g)_k], S_{e-j|(s)_k}^{(m)_k} [(\chi_g)_k])^{(s)_k} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{j, e-j} \right) = 0. \quad (58)$$

The system is resolvable due to nilpotency of Grassmann-odd operator determined by BRST-BV action for free higher-spin fields with spins  $s_1, \dots, s_k$

$$W := \left( S_{[0|(s)_k}^{(m)_k} [(\chi_g)_k], \right)^{(s)_k}, \quad W^2 = \left( S_{[0|(s)_k}^{(m)_k} [(\chi_g)_k], S_{[0|(s)_k}^{(m)_k} [(\chi_g)_k], \right)^{(s)_k}{}^{(s)_k} \quad (59)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \left( \left( S_{[0|(s)_k}^{(m)_k} [(\chi_g)_k], S_{[0|(s)_k}^{(m)_k} [(\chi_g)_k] \right)^{(s)_k}, \right)^{(s)_k} = 0. \quad (60)$$

To prove (60) we have used the relation (55), Jacobi identity (39) and antisymmetry property (38) applied for antibracket  $(\bullet, \bullet)^{(s)_k}$ . The equation (56) has the form,  $W S_{1|(s)_k}^{(m)_k} = 0$ . Its non-trivial solution is determined by non-zero  $W$ -cohomologies in the space of local functionals with vanishing  $(\epsilon, gh_H, gh_L, gh_{tot})$  gradings of the form (50), i.e. by a  $W$ -closed functional  $S_{1|(s)_k}^{(m)_k}$  having cubic dependence on whole field variables. The necessary condition for the existence of solution follows by induction in  $e$  for the quartic and higher deformations

$$W \left( S_{1|(s)_k}^{(m)_k} [(\chi_g)_k], S_{1|(s)_k}^{(m)_k} [(\chi_g)_k] \right)^{(s)_k} = 2 \left( W S_{1|(s)_k}^{(m)_k} [(\chi_g)_k], S_{1|(s)_k}^{(m)_k} [(\chi_g)_k] \right)^{(s)_k} = 0, \quad (61)$$

The equations for quartic (57) and higher vertices (58) have more complicated form and its solutions may have non-local (in space-time derivatives) representation [23].

In terms of ghost-dependent oscillator kernels, e.g. the subsystem (55), (56) is presented

$$g^0 : (Q^{tot})^2|_{(\sigma|\chi_g)=0} = 0, \quad Q^{tot} = \sum_j Q^{(j)} \quad (62)$$

$$g^1 : Q^{tot} |V^{(3)}\rangle_{(s)(i_3)}^{(m)(i_3)} = 0, \quad \sigma^{(i_j)} |V^{(3)}\rangle_{(s)(i_3)}^{(m)(i_3)} = 0, \quad (63)$$

for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ ;  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < i_3 \leq k$ . These equations for  $k = 3$  copies of interacting fields coincide with ones obtained from BRST approach, when the cubic vertices for cubic deformation in field of the classical action and for linear in field deformed generator of gauge transformations for the fields, also for the zero-level gauge parameter were equal [18], [20].

In this regard, we stress that if we weaken the homogeneity in generalized vector  $|\chi_g\rangle$  of the deformed BRST-BV action and will find it within the decomposition in field, antifield, ghost, antighost field vectors, then the same vertices as for BRST approach will appear in  $S_{1|(s)_k}^{(m)_k} [(\chi_g)_k]$  and a deformation procedure becomes more general (see, for this issue [50]).

A local on space-time coordinates dependence in the vertices  $|V^{(3)}\rangle_{(s)(i_3)}^{(m)(i_3)}$  means

$$|V^{(3)}\rangle_{(s)(i_3)}^{(m)(i_3)} = \prod_{i=1}^3 \delta^{(d)}(x - x_i) V^{(3)}_{(s)(i_3)}^{(m)(i_3)} \prod_{j=1}^3 \eta_0^{(i_j)} |0\rangle, \quad |0\rangle \equiv \otimes_{e=1}^k |0\rangle^e \quad (64)$$

(for  $(\epsilon, gh_{tot}) V^{(3)}_{(s)(i_3)}^{(m)(i_3)} = (0, 0)$ ). We have the conservation law:  $\sum_{j=1}^3 p_\mu^{(i_j)} = 0$ , for the momenta associated with all vertices.

Again as for BRST approach [18], the cubic deformation of BRST-BV action (in condensed notations),

$$\begin{aligned} S_{[1|(s)_k}^{(m)_k} (\Phi_{\min}^a, \Phi_{a|\min}^*) &= \sum_j S_{\min}^{(s_j)} [\Phi_{\min}^{(j)}, \Phi_{\min}^{*(j)}] + g \left( S_{1|(s)_k}^{(m)_k} (A^a) \right. \\ &\left. + \sum_{b,c,d} [A_{ib}^* R_{1|\alpha_0 d, jc} A^{jc} C^{\alpha_0 d} + \frac{1}{2} C_{\gamma_0 b}^* F_{\alpha_0 c \beta_0 d}^{\gamma_0 b} C^{\alpha_0 c} C^{\beta_0 d}] + \mathcal{O}(C^{\alpha_1 b}) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

because of the cubic term with structural function  $F_{\alpha_0 c \beta_0 d}^{\gamma_0 b}$  leads to the closure the algebra of deformed gauge transformations: at linear approximation in  $g$

$$R_{1|\alpha_0 b}^{ia}(A) \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta A^{jc}} R_{0|\beta_0}^j - ((\alpha_0 b) \leftrightarrow (\beta_0 c)) = -R_{0|\gamma_0}^i F_{\alpha_0 b \beta_0 c}^{\gamma_0 a}, \quad (66)$$

for  $R_{[1]|\alpha_0 c}^{ib}(A) = R_{0|\alpha_0}^i \delta_c^b + g R_{1|\alpha_0 c, j a}^{ib} A^{ja}$ .

The generating equation (54) together with system of equations (55)–(58) determine deformation procedure for construction the minimal BRST-BV action (homogeneous in vectors  $|\chi_g^{(a)}\rangle$ ) for interacting theory of irreducible massive and massless fields with spins  $s_1, \dots, s_k$ . For cubic vertices the system is reduced to (62), (63), which together with algebra of deformed gauge transformations (66) permit to find the cubic vertices in terms of oscillator-like hamiltonian operators.

## 4 Solution of BRST-BV equations for Cubic Vertices

Here we will construct the general solution for the cubic vertices in following cases for interacting higher spin fields: with  $k, k \geq 3$  massless; with one massive and  $(k-1)$  massless of spins  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k$  earlier developed within BRST approach for  $k=3$  in [18], [20]. The consideration is adapted for the finding of Yang–Mills type interaction with gauge group  $SU(n)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ .

### 4.1 Cubic vertices for massless fields

We consider the equations (62), (63) for the cubic vertices  $|V^{(3)}\rangle_{(s)(i_3)}^{(0)(i_3)} \equiv |V^{(3)}\rangle_{(s)(i_3)}$ . With use of the rule,  $[i_{j+3} = i_j]$ , notations for the triple of numbers  $i_1, i_2, i_3$  and

$$\widehat{p}_\mu^{(i_j)} = p_\mu^{(i_{j+1})} - p_\mu^{(i_{j+2})}, \quad \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_0^{(i_j)} = \mathcal{P}_0^{(i_{j+1})} - \mathcal{P}_0^{(i_{j+2})}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3. \quad (67)$$

In [18], [19] it was shown that the  $(Q^{tot}, (\sigma)_k)$ -closed solution for the equations (63) is presented by product of  $Q^{tot}$ -closed forms constructed, in part, from the first and third orders differential monomials in powers of oscillators suggested in [13] as  $Q_c^{tot}$ -closed solution of the equation (63) but for incomplete BRST operator  $Q_c^{tot}$  ( $Q_c^{tot} = Q^{tot}|_{\eta_{11}^{(ii)(+)} = b^{(i)(+)} = 0}$  without imposing the trace operators (7), (8)), which are now written as

$$L^{(i_j)} = \widehat{p}_\mu^{(i_j)} a^{(i_j)\mu+} - i \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_0^{(i_j)} \eta_1^{(i_j)+}, \quad (68)$$

$$Z^{(i_3)} = L_{11}^{(i_1 i_2)+} L^{(i_3)} + L_{11}^{(i_2 i_3)+} L^{(i_1)} + L_{11}^{(i_3 i_1)+} L^{(i_2)}. \quad (69)$$

Here we have used the definition  $p_\mu^{(i)} = -i \partial_\mu^{(i)}$  and

$$L_{11}^{(i_j i_{j+1})+} = a^{(i_j)\mu+} a_\mu^{(i_{j+1})+} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{P}_1^{(i_j)+} \eta_1^{(i_{j+1})+} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{P}_1^{(i_{j+1})+} \eta_1^{(i_j)+}. \quad (70)$$

The operators  $L^{(i_j)}, Z^{(i_3)}$  are not commuted with trace operators  $\widehat{L}_{11}^{(i_j)}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ . Therefore, respective  $Q^{tot}$ -closed forms constructed from  $L^{(i_j)}$  are

$$\mathcal{L}_{k_{i_j}}^{(i_j)} = \sum_{r=0}^{[k_i/2]} (-1)^r (L^{(i_j)})^{r-2j} (\widehat{p}_\mu^{(i_j)} \widehat{p}^{(i_j)\mu})^r \frac{k_i!}{r! 2^r (k_i - 2r)!} \frac{(b^{(i_j)+})^j}{C(j, h^{(i_j)}(s_{i_j}))}. \quad (71)$$

(the equivalent polynomial representation for BRST-closed operators  $\mathcal{L}_{k_{i_j}}^{(i_j)}$  see [18], [20]).

Also, the solution contains new two-, four-, ...,  $[s_{i_j}/2]$  forms in powers of oscillators, corresponding to the trace operators for  $j = 1, 2, 3$

$$U_{r_{i_j}}^{(s_{i_j})}(\eta_{11}^{(i_j)+}, \mathcal{P}_{11}^{(i_j)+}) := (\widehat{L}_{11}^{(i_j)+})^{(j-2)} \{ (\widehat{L}_{11}^{(i_j)+})^2 + r_{i_j}(r_{i_j} - 1) \mathcal{P}_{11}^{(i_j)+} \eta_{11}^{(i_j)+} \}. \quad (72)$$

The representation for  $Q^{tot}$ -closed modification of the operator  $Z^{(i)3}$  (corresponding to Yang-Mills type interaction) from the equation  $[Q^{tot}, \mathcal{Z}^{(i)3}]|0\rangle = 0$  may be different. We choose one obtained in [18], [19].

Resuming, we find the general parity invariant solution for the equations (63)

$$|V^{(3)}\rangle_{(s)(i)3} = |V^{M(3)}\rangle_{(s)(i)3} + \sum_{(r_{i_1}, r_{i_2}, r_{i_3}) > 0}^{([s_{i_1}/2], [s_{i_2}/2], [s_{i_3}/2])} U_{r_{i_1}}^{(s_{i_1})} U_{r_{i_2}}^{(s_{i_2})} U_{r_{i_3}}^{(s_{i_3})} |V^{M(3)}\rangle_{(s)(i)3-2(r_{i_1}, r_{i_2}, r_{i_3})}, \quad (73)$$

where the vertex  $V_{(s)(i)3}^{M(3)}$  is given with modified forms  $\mathcal{L}_{k_{i_j}}^{(i_j)}$ ,  $\mathcal{Z}_j^{(i)3}$  instead of  $(Z^{(i)3})^j$  (69)

$$V_{(s)(i)3-2(r_{i_1}, r_{i_2}, r_{i_3})}^{M(3)} = \sum_p (\mathcal{Z}^{(i)3})_{1/2\{(s_{i_1}, s_{i_2}, s_{i_3})-p\}} \prod_{j=1}^3 \mathcal{L}_{s_{i_j}-2r_{i_j}-1/2(s_{i_1}, s_{i_2}, s_{i_3})-p}^{(i_j)}, \quad (74)$$

$$(s_{(i)3}, r_{(i)3}) = (\sum_j s_{i_j}, \sum_j r_{i_j}). \quad (75)$$

and is numerated by the natural parameter  $p$  subject to the equations

$$s_{(i)3} - 2r_{(i)3} - 2s_{(i)3 \min} \leq p \leq s_{(i)3} - 2r_{(i)3}, \quad p = s_{(i)3} - 2r_{(i)3} - 2t, \quad t \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (76)$$

The quantity above  $\mathcal{Z}_j^{(i)3}$  is determined, e.g. for  $j = 1$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}^{(i)3} \prod_{j=1}^3 \mathcal{L}_{k_j}^{(j)} &= Z^{(i)3} \prod_{j=1}^3 \mathcal{L}_{k_j}^{(j)} - \sum_{i=1}^3 k_i \frac{b^{(i)+}}{h^{(i)}} [[\widehat{L}_{11}^{(i)}, Z^{(i)3}], L^{(i)}] \prod_{j=1}^3 \mathcal{L}_{k_j-\delta_{ij}}^{(j)} \\ &+ \sum_{i \neq e}^3 k_i k_e \frac{b^{(i)+} b^{(e)+}}{h^{(i)} h^{(e)}} [\widehat{L}_{11}^{(e)}, [[\widehat{L}_{11}^{(i)}, Z^{(i)3}], L^{(i)}] L^{(e)}] \prod_{j=1}^3 \mathcal{L}_{k_j-\delta_{ij}-\delta_{ej}}^{(j)} \\ &- \sum_{i \neq e \neq o}^3 k_i k_e k_o \frac{b^{(i)+} b^{(e)+} b^{(o)+}}{h^{(i)} h^{(e)} h^{(o)}} [\widehat{L}_{11}^{(o)}, [\widehat{L}_{11}^{(e)}, [[\widehat{L}_{11}^{(i)}, Z^{(i)3}], L^{(i)}] L^{(e)}] L^{(o)}] \prod_{j=1}^3 \mathcal{L}_{k_j-1}^{(j)}. \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

For  $j > 1$  the expressions for  $\mathcal{Z}_j$  can be derived according to the result [19].

The general cubic vertex for  $k$  irreducible massless higher-spin fields represents the  $(3 + 1)$ -parametric family to be enumerated by parameters  $r_{i_1}, r_{i_2}, r_{i_3}$  respecting for traces and parameter  $p$ . The representation for the cubic vertex will be then used for explicit tensor representation for interacting massless field with spins  $s$  with  $(k - 1)$  scalars.

## 4.2 Cubic vertices for two massless fields and one massive field

In this case in order to be differed from previous consideration any cubic vertex should include one massive field with mass  $m$  and spin  $s_k \equiv s$  with rest two any massless fields from set of  $(k - 1)$  samples. The solution for the equation (63) for the cubic vertex  $|V^{(3)}\rangle_{(s)(i)3}^{(0,0,m)} \equiv$

$|V^{(3)}\rangle_{(s)(i_3)}^m$  we will seek again as product of  $(Q^{tot}, (\sigma)_k)$ -closed forms, starting from ones given within constrained BRST method [13] in so called *Minimal derivative scheme* known also from light-cone approach [16]. In addition to the first order in oscillators operators  $L^{(i_j)}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$  (68) for  $i_3 \equiv k$  there are three second order in oscillators operators

$$L_{11}^{(i_1 i_2)+} = a^{(i_1)\mu+} a_{\mu}^{(i_2)+} + \frac{1}{2m^2} L^{(i_1)} L^{(i_2)} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{P}_1^{(i_1)+} \eta_1^{(i_2)+} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{P}_1^{(i_2)+} \eta_1^{(i_1)+}; \quad (78)$$

$$L_{11}^{(i_2 k)+} = a^{(i_2)\mu+} a_{\mu}^{(k)+} - \frac{1}{2m^2} L^{(i_2)} L^{(k)} + \frac{1}{2m} L^{(i_2)} d^{(k)+} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{P}_1^{(i_2)+} \eta_1^{(k)+} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{P}_1^{(k)+} \eta_1^{(i_2)+}; \quad (79)$$

$$L_{11}^{(k i_1)+} = a^{(k)\mu+} a_{\mu}^{(i_1)+} - \frac{1}{2m^2} L^{(k)} L^{(i_1)} - \frac{1}{2m} d^{(k)+} L^{(i_1)} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{P}_1^{(i_1)+} \eta_1^{(k)+} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{P}_1^{(k)+} \eta_1^{(i_1)+}. \quad (80)$$

These operators do not introduce the divergences into the vertices, are Grassmann-even with vanishing ghost numbers  $gh_H, gh_L$ .

Note, first, the operators (68)  $L^{(i_j)}$  for  $j = 1, 2$  are not BRST  $Q_c^{tot}$ -closed as compared to  $L^{(k)}$ ,  $Q_c^{tot} L^{(k)}|0\rangle = 0$ . Therefore, the operator  $Z$  (69) in question is not  $Q_c^{tot}$ -closed and hence not  $Q^{tot}$ -closed. In turn, the operators  $L_{11}^{(i_j i_{j+1})+}$ ,  $\widehat{L}_{11}^{(i_j)+}$  are  $Q_c^{tot}$ -BRST-closed, but not  $Q^{tot}$ -closed due to

$$\widehat{L}_{11}^{(i_j)} L_{11}^{(i_j i_{j+1})+}|0\rangle = 0, \quad \widehat{L}_{11}^{(i_j)} (L_{11}^{(i_j i_{j+1})+})^2|0\rangle \neq 0, \quad j = 1, 2, 3 \quad (81)$$

The same properties of trace non-invariance are valid for  $L^{(i_j)}$ :

$$\widehat{L}_{11}^{(i_j)} (L^{(i_j)})^2|0\rangle = \eta^{\mu\nu} \widehat{p}_{\mu}^{(i_j)} \widehat{p}_{\nu}^{(i_j)}|0\rangle = (\widehat{p}^{(i_j)})^2|0\rangle \neq 0, \quad j = 1, 2, 3. \quad (82)$$

Then, again we have the respective  $Q^{tot}$ -closed trace operators  $U_{r i_j}^{(s i_j)}$  (72) (massless for  $j = 1, 2$  and massive  $i_3 = k$ )

One can immediately check that the modified operators

$$\mathcal{L}_1^{(3)} = L^{(3)} - [\widehat{L}_{11}^{(3)}, L^{(3)}] \frac{b^{(3)+}}{h^{(3)}}, \quad (83)$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(3)} = (\mathcal{L}^{(3)})^2 - i \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_0^{(3)} \eta_{11}^{(3)+} - \widehat{l}_0^{(3)} \frac{b^{(3)+}}{h^{(3)}}, \quad (84)$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{2k}^{(3)} = (\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(3)})^k, \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{2k-1}^{(3)} = (\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_2^{(3)})^{k-1} \mathcal{L}_1^{(3)}, \quad (85)$$

are invariant with respect to trace operators:  $[\widehat{L}_{11}^{(3)}, \mathcal{L}_1^{(3)}] = 0$ , and therefore are  $Q^{tot}$ -closed.

As to the problem (81), we see that any power of the forms  $L_{11}^{(i_j i_{j+1})+}$  are not  $Q^{tot}$ -closed.

One can check the  $Q^{tot}$ -closeness for the mixed-symmetry modified forms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{11|1}^{(i_j i_{j+1})+} &= L_{11}^{(i_j i_{j+1})+} - \sum_{i_0} W_{(i_j i_{j+1})|0}^{(i_0)} \frac{b^{(i_0)+}}{h^{(i_0)}} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i_0 \neq j_0} [\widehat{L}_{11}^{(j_0)}, W_{(i_j i_{j+1})|0}^{(i_0)}] \right) \\ &\times \frac{b^{(i_0)+} b^{(j_0)+}}{h^{(i_0)} h^{(j_0)}} + \sum_{i_0} [\widehat{L}_{11}^{(i_0)}, W_{(i_j i_{j+1})|0}^{(i_0)}] \frac{(b^{(i_0)+})^2}{h^{(i_0)}(h^{(i_0)} + 1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

and for its any powers  $(\mathcal{L}_{11|1}^{(i_j i_{j+1})+})^k$ .

In (86) the indices  $i_0, j_0$  are running two values:  $i_j, i_{j+1}$ ; for  $j = 1, 2, 3$  and fixed  $i_j = 1, \dots, k$ , also we have used the notations

$$[\widehat{L}_{11}^{(i_0)}, L_{11}^{(i_j i_{j+1})+}] \equiv W_{(i_j i_{j+1})|0}^{(i_0)}, \quad (87)$$

By the construction the calligraphic operators are traceless, because of the last terms in (86) in front of the maximal power in  $b^{(i_j)+}$ , i.e.  $[\widehat{L}_{11}^{(j_0)}, W_{(i_j i_{j+1})|0}^{(i_0)}]$  depend on only  $a_\mu^{(i_j)}$ ,  $d^{(k)}$ ,  $\eta/1^{(i_j)}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_1^{(i_j)}$  (annihilation) oscillators and therefore the compensation procedure is finalized.

Both  $\mathcal{L}_1^{(3)}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{11|1}^{(i_j i_{j+1})+}$  are non-commutative and depend both on creation and annihilation operators in order their any powers to be  $Q^{tot}$ -closed quantities.

As the result, the solution for the parity invariant vertex looks

$$|V^{(3)}\rangle_{(s)(i_3)}^m = |V^{M(3)}\rangle_{(s)(i_3)}^m + \sum_{(r_{i_1}, r_{i_2}, r_{i_3}) > 0}^{([s_{i_1}/2], [s_{i_2}/2], [s_{i_3}/2])} U_{r_{i_1}}^{(s_{i_1})} U_{r_{i_2}}^{(s_{i_2})} U_{r_{i_3}}^{(s_{i_3})} |V^{M(3)}\rangle_{(s)(i_3)-2(r_{i_3})}^m, \quad (88)$$

where the vertex  $|V^{M(3)}\rangle_{(s)(i_3)-2(r_{i_3})}^m$  was defined in the paper [13] with account for (64) but with modified forms  $\mathcal{L}_k^{(3)}$ , (85) and  $(\mathcal{L}_{11|1}^{(i_j i_{j+1})+})^{\tau^{(i_j+2)}}$  instead of  $(L_{11}^{(i_j i_{j+1})+})^{\tau^{(i_j+2)}}$  (78)–(80)

$$V_{(s)(i_3)-2(r_{i_3})}^{M(3)|m} = \sum_p \mathcal{L}_p^{(3)} \prod_{j=1}^3 (\mathcal{L}_{11|1}^{(i_j i_{j+1})+})^{\tau_{i_j+2}}, \quad (89)$$

and is  $(3+1)$ -parametric family to be enumerated by the natural triple  $(r_{i_j})_3$  respecting for the number of traces and  $p$  for the minimal order of derivatives, also subject to the relations

$$\tau_{i_j} = \frac{1}{2}(s_{(i_3)} - 2r_{(i_3)} - p) - s_{i_j}, \quad j = 1, 2; \quad \tau_k = \frac{1}{2}(s_{(i_3)} - 2r_{(i_3)} + p) - s + 2r_3, \quad (90)$$

$$\max(0, (s - 2r_3) - \sum_{j=1}^2 (s_{i_j} - 2r_{i_j})) \leq p \leq s - 2r_3 - |s_{i_1} - 2r_{i_1} - (s_{i_2} - 2r_{i_2})|, \quad (91)$$

$$0 \leq 2r_{i_j} \leq 2[s_{i_j}/2], \quad s_{(i_3)} - 2r_{(i_3)} - p = 2t, \quad t \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (92)$$

Note, first, that multiplicative-like representation for the vertex (88), (89) is differed from one suggested in [20], but equivalent to it. Second, for vanishing  $r_{(i_3)}$  remaining parameter corresponds to one in constrained BRST formulation [13].

Emphasize, that including of the constraints  $\widehat{L}_{11}^{(i)}$  responsible for the traces into BRST operator means that the usual condition of vanishing double traces of the fields is fulfilled only on-shell as the consequence of free equations of motion. Off-shell the (double) traces of the fields do not vanish. At the same time the vanishing of double (single) traces of the fields (ghost fields) for interacting higher spin fields is modified as compared to the case of free dynamics however with preservation of irreducibility for any interacting (basic) field.

## 5 Massless HS fields with helicities $(0, 0, s)$

Here, we consider the cubic vertices for the case of two massless scalars and tensor fields with helicities  $(0, 0, s)$  for  $k = 3$  in ghost-independent and tensor forms.

First, we have according to (73), (74) the  $r$ -parameter family of vertices for  $r = 1, \dots, [s/2]$  with restoring the dimensional coupling constants  $t_r$  ( $\dim t_r = s + d/2 - 3 - 2r$ , in metric units providing a dimensionless of the classical action) and with  $h^{(i)}(s_i) = -s\delta_{i3} - (d-6)/2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} V_{(0,0,s)}^{(3)|0} &= \sum_{r \geq 0}^{[s/2]} t_r U_r^{(s)} \mathcal{L}_{s-2r}^{(3)} = \sum_{r \geq 0}^{[s/2]} t_r U_r^{(s)} \sum_{i=0}^{[(s-2r)/2]} (-1)^i (L^{(3)})^{s-2(r-i)} \times \\ &\times (\widehat{p}^{(3)})^{2i} \frac{(s-2r)!}{i! 2^i (s-2r-2i)!} \frac{(b^{(3)+})^i}{C(i, h^{(3)})}, \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

also with following decomposition in powers of  $\eta_1^{(3)+}$  for the operators

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_k^{(3)} &= \mathcal{L}_k^{(3)0} - i\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_0^{(3)}\eta_1^{(3)+}(\mathcal{L}_{k-1}^{(3)})' \equiv \mathcal{L}_k^{(3)}|_{\eta_1^{(3)+}=0} \\ &- i\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_0^{(3)}\eta_1^{(3)+} \sum_{i=0}^{[k/2]} (-1)^i (\widehat{p}_\mu^{(3)} a^{(i)\mu+})^{k-1-2i} (\widehat{p}^{(3)})^{2i} \frac{k!}{i!2^i(k-1-2i)!} \frac{(b^{(3)+})^i}{C(i, h^{(3)})}. \end{aligned} \quad (94)$$

The field-antifield structure of interacting theory is determined by the generalized vectors

$$|\chi_g^{(j)}\rangle_0 = |\chi_{\min}^{(j)}\rangle_0 + |\chi_{\min}^{*(j)}\rangle_0 = (\phi^{(j)}(x) + \eta_0^{(j)} \phi^{*(j)}(x))|0\rangle, \quad |\chi_g^{(3)}\rangle_s = |\chi_{\min}^{(3)}\rangle_s + |\chi_{\min}^{*(3)}\rangle_s \quad (95)$$

(for  $j = 1, 2$ ) with representations (15), (22)–(24) for the vectors corresponding to classical  $A^{i3}$ , zero-  $C^{\alpha_0 3}$ , first-level  $C^{\alpha_1 3}$  ghost fields and with ones (27)–(30) for the vectors for respective antifields, without "massive"  $d^{(3)(+)}$  oscillators in the component vectors (18).

The minimal BRST-BV action  $S_{1|(s)3}^{(0)3}[(\chi_g)_3]$  (50) in the first order approximation in  $g$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{1|(s)3}^{(0)3}[(\chi_g)_3] &= \int d^d x \sum_{i=1}^2 \phi^{(i)} \square \phi^{(i)} + \int d\eta_0 \left[ {}_s \langle \chi^{(3)} | K^{(3)} Q^{(3)} | \chi^{(3)} \rangle_s \right. \\ &\left. + \left\{ {}_s \langle \chi^{*(3)} | K^{(3)} Q^{(3)} \vec{s}_0 | \chi^{(3)} \rangle_s + {}_s \langle C^{*0(3)} | K^{(3)} \vec{s}_0 | C^{0(3)} \rangle_s + h.c. \right\} \right] + g S_{1|(s)3}^{(0)3}[(\chi_g)_3], \\ S_{1|(s)3}^{(0)3} &= \int \prod_{i=1}^3 d\eta_0^{(i)} \left( \left\{ {}_s \langle \chi^{(3)} K | {}_0 \langle \phi^{(2)} | {}_0 \langle \phi^{(1)} | V^{(3)} \rangle_s^0 + \sum_{j=1}^2 {}_0 \langle \phi^{*(j)} | K \vec{s}_1 | \phi^{(j)} \rangle_0 \right\} + h.c. \right), \end{aligned} \quad (96)$$

where, the first line in (96) corresponds to classical action for free fields, the second line contains antifield terms with generator of initial BRST-like transformations (43) for classical  $|\chi^{(3)}\rangle_s$  and ghost  $|C^{(3)0}\rangle_s$  fields. In turn, the first term in (97) means for cubic interacting part of classical action  $S_{1|(s)3}^{(0)3}[(\chi)_3]$  and the second one for deformed generator of BRST-like transformations  $\delta_{1|B}$  with generator  $\vec{s}_1$  (with notation  $\delta_{1|B} = \delta_{0|B} + \delta_{1|B}$ ):

$$\delta_{1|B} |\phi^{(j)}\rangle_0 = \mu \vec{s}_1 |\phi^{(j)}\rangle_0 = -g\mu \int \prod_{i=1}^2 d\eta_0^{(j+i)} {}_s \langle C^{(3)0} K^{(3)} | {}_0 \langle \phi^{(j+1)} | V^{(3)} \rangle_{(0,0,s)}^0, \quad (98)$$

$$\delta_{1|B} {}_0 \langle \phi^{(j)} | = {}_0 \langle \phi^{(j)} | \overleftarrow{s}_1 \mu = -g \int \prod_{i=1}^2 d\eta_0^{(j+i)0} {}_{(0,0,s)} \langle V^{(3)} K^{(3)} | \phi^{(j+1)} \rangle_0 | C^{(3)0} \rangle_s \mu, \quad (99)$$

with untouched transformations for  $|\chi^{(3)}\rangle$ :  $\delta_{1|B} |\chi^{(3)}\rangle = 0$ . Note, the deformed gauge transformations for the fields  $|\phi^{(j)}\rangle$  are obtained by substitution:  $\delta_1 |\phi^{(j)}\rangle = \delta_{1|B} |\phi^{(j)}\rangle_0 |_{(\mu_s \langle C^{(3)0} | = {}_s \langle \Lambda^{(3)} |}$  in accordance with (22). The algebra of deformed gauge transformations (66) remains by Abelian, i.e.  $F_{\alpha_0 b \beta_0 c}^{\gamma_0 a} = 0$  in the first approximation in  $g$ .

The interacting classical action  $S_{1|(0,0,s)}^{(0)3}[\phi^{(j)}, \chi^{(3)}]$  in (96) depends on basic  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued fields  $\phi^{(1)}$ ,  $\phi^{(2)}$ ,  $\phi_{\mu(s)}^{(3)}$  and on auxiliary ones  $\phi_{\mu(s-2l)0,l}^{(3)}$  for  $l = 0, \dots, [s/2]$ , according to (18).

In the ghost-independent form the BRST-BV action  $S_{0|s}^{(0)}[\chi_g^{(3)}]$  for free field  $|\chi^{(3)}\rangle_s$  is completely described by classical action (with omitting label "(3)" below in (100)–(112))

$$\mathcal{S}_{0|s}^0[\chi^{(3)}] = \mathcal{S}_{C|s}^0[\chi_c^{(3)}] - \left\{ ({}_s \langle \Phi | K \check{L}_{11}^+ + {}_{s-2} \langle \Phi_2 | K - {}_{s-3} \langle \Phi_{31} | K l_1 \right. \quad (100)$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& - {}_{s-4}\langle\Phi_{32}|K\check{L}_{11}\rangle|\Phi_{11}\rangle_{s-2} + \left( - {}_{s-2}\langle\Phi_2|K\check{L}_{11}^+ - {}_{s-6}\langle\Phi_{22}|K\check{L}_{11} \right. \\
& + {}_{s-3}\langle\Phi_{31}|Kl_1^+ - {}_{s-4}\langle\Phi_{32}|K\rangle|\Phi_{12}\rangle_{s-4} + \left( {}_{s-4}\langle\Phi_{32}|Kl_1^+ + {}_{s-6}\langle\Phi_{22}|Kl_1 \right. \\
& - {}_{s-3}\langle\Phi_{21}|K\check{L}_{11}^+ + \frac{1}{2}{}_{s-5}\langle\Phi_{13}|K\rangle|\Phi_{13}\rangle_{s-5} + {}_{s-3}\langle\Phi_{21}|K\left(l_0|\Phi_{31}\rangle_{s-3} \right. \\
& \left. \left. - \check{L}_{11}|\Phi_1\rangle_{s-1}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\left({}_{s-6}\langle\Phi_{22}|Kl_0|\Phi_{22}\rangle_{s-6} - {}_{s-4}\langle\Phi_{32}|Kl_0|\Phi_{32}\rangle_{s-4}\right) + h.c. \left. \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

and by action of the Slavnov generator on the components of field vector  $|\chi_{\min}\rangle_s$

$$\vec{s}_0|\Phi\rangle_s = l_1^+|C_{\Xi}^0\rangle_{s-1} + \check{L}_{11}^+|C_{\Xi 1}^0\rangle_{s-2}, \quad (101)$$

$$\vec{s}_0|\Phi_1\rangle_{s-1} = l_0|C_{\Xi}^0\rangle_{s-1} + \check{L}_{11}^+|C_{\Xi 01}^0\rangle_{s-3}, \quad (102)$$

$$\vec{s}_0|\Phi_2\rangle_{s-2} = l_1|C_{\Xi}^0\rangle_{s-1} + \check{L}_{11}^+|C_{\Xi 11}^0\rangle_{s-4} - |C_{\Xi 1}^0\rangle_{s-2}, \quad (103)$$

$$\vec{s}_0|\Phi_{21}\rangle_{s-3} = l_1|C_{\Xi 1}^0\rangle_{s-2} - l_1^+|C_{\Xi 11}^0\rangle_{s-4} - |C_{\Xi 01}^0\rangle_{s-3}, \quad (104)$$

$$\vec{s}_0|\Phi_{22}\rangle_{s-6} = -\check{L}_{11}|C_{\Xi 11}^0\rangle_{s-4} + l_1|C_{\Xi 12}^0\rangle_{s-5}, \quad (105)$$

$$\vec{s}_0|\Phi_{31}\rangle_{s-3} = \check{L}_{11}|C_{\Xi}^0\rangle_{s-1} + \check{L}_{11}^+|C_{\Xi 12}^0\rangle_{s-5}, \quad (106)$$

$$\vec{s}_0|\Phi_{32}\rangle_{s-4} = \check{L}_{11}|C_{\Xi 1}^0\rangle_{s-2} - l_1^+|C_{\Xi 12}^0\rangle_{s-5} + |C_{\Xi 11}^0\rangle_{s-4}, \quad (107)$$

$$\delta_0|\Phi_{11}\rangle_{s-2} = l_0|C_{\Xi 1}^0\rangle_{s-2} - l_1^+|C_{\Xi 01}^0\rangle_{s-3}, \quad (108)$$

$$\vec{s}_0|\Phi_{12}\rangle_{s-4} = l_0|C_{\Xi 11}^0\rangle_{s-4} - l_1|C_{\Xi 01}^0\rangle_{s-3}, \quad (109)$$

$$\vec{s}_0|\Phi_{13}\rangle_{s-5} = l_0|\Xi_{12}\rangle_{s-5} - \check{L}_{11}|\Xi_{01}\rangle_{s-3}, \quad (110)$$

$$\vec{s}_0(|C_{\Xi}^0\rangle, |C_{\Xi 1}^0\rangle, |C_{\Xi 11}^0\rangle, |C_{\Xi 12}^0\rangle, |C_{\Xi 01}^0\rangle) = (-l_{11}^+ - b^+, l_1^+, l_1, \check{L}_{11}, l_0) |C_{\Xi}^1\rangle_{s-3}. \quad (111)$$

Here the functional  $\mathcal{S}_{C|s}^0$  is the action for triplet formulation for fields of helicities  $s, s-2, \dots, 1(0)$  (following to [52]) or for the the field of spin  $s$  with additional off-shell traceless constraint, but with  $b^+$ -dependence in the triplet  $|\chi_c\rangle_s = |\chi\rangle_s|_{(\eta_{11}^+, p_{11}^+)=0}$ :

$$\mathcal{S}_{C|s}^0[\chi_c] = ({}_s\langle\Phi|{}_{s-2}\langle\Phi_2|{}_{s-1}\langle\Phi_1|) K \begin{pmatrix} l_0 & 0 & -l_1^+ \\ 0 & -l_0 & l_1 \\ -l_1 & l_1^+ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |\Phi\rangle_s \\ |\Phi_2\rangle_{s-2} \\ |\Phi_1\rangle_{s-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (112)$$

The interacting part of action  $S_{1|(0,0,s)}^{(0)3}$  is also written in the ghost-independent form

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{1|(0,0,s)}^{(0)3}[\phi^{(1)}, \phi^{(2)}, \chi^{(3)}] &= -\prod_{j=1}^3 \delta^{(d)}(x-x_j) \left( \left\{ {}_0\langle\phi^{(2)}|{}_0\langle\phi^{(1)}| \left( {}_s\langle\Phi^{(3)}|K^{(3)} \right. \right. \right. \quad (113) \\
& \times \sum_{r \geq 0}^{[s/2]} t_r (\check{L}_{11}^{(3)+})^r \mathcal{L}_{s-2j}^{(3)0} + {}_{s-2}\langle\Phi_2^{(3)}|K^{(3)} \left| \sum_{r \geq 0}^{[s/2]-1} t_r (r+1) (\check{L}_{11}^{(3)+})^r \mathcal{L}_{s-2(r+1)}^{(3)0} \right. \\
& + {}_{s-4}\langle\Phi_{32}^{(3)}|K^{(3)} \left| \sum_{r \geq 0}^{[s/2]-2} t_r (r+1)(r+2) (\check{L}_{11}^{(3)+})^r \mathcal{L}_{s-2(r+2)}^{(3)0} \right. \\
& \left. \left. \left. - {}_{s-6}\langle\Phi_{22}^{(3)}|K^{(3)} \left| \sum_{r \geq 0}^{[s/2]-3} t_r (r+1)(r+2)(r+3) (\check{L}_{11}^{(3)+})^r \mathcal{L}_{s-2(r+3)}^{(3)0} \right\} |0\rangle + h.c. \right) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

jointly with Slavnov generator of BRST-like transformation

$$\vec{s}_{[1]}|\phi^{(1)}\rangle_0 = -g \prod_{i=2}^3 \delta^{(d)}(x_1-x_i) {}_0\langle\phi^{(2)}| \left\{ {}_{s-1}\langle C_{\Xi}^{0(3)}|K^{(3)} \left| \sum_{r \geq 0}^{[s-1/2]} t_r (\check{L}_{11}^{(3)+})^r (\mathcal{L}_{s-1-2j}^{(3)})' \right. \right. \quad (114)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -_{s-5} \langle C_{\Xi 12}^{0(3)} K^{(3)} | \sum_{j \geq 0}^{[s-5/2]} t_j (j+1)(j+2) (\check{L}_{11}^{(3)+})^j (\mathcal{L}_{s-5-2r}^{(3)})' \rangle |0\rangle, \\
\vec{\mathcal{S}}_{[1]} |\phi^{(2)}\rangle_0 &= -\vec{\mathcal{S}}_{[1]} |\phi^{(1)}\rangle_0 |(\phi^{(1)}(x_1) \rightarrow \phi^{(2)}(x_2))\rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{115}$$

Presenting these expressions in the oscillator forms, first, for cubic in fields action (113), second, for quadratic in fields Slavnov generators (114), (115)), then calculating the underlying inner products we get for the action with accuracy up to overall factor  $(-1)^s s!$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{1|(0,0,s)}^{(0)_3} &= -2 \int d^d x \left[ \sum_{r \geq 0}^{[s/2]} t_r \sum_{i=0}^{[s/2-r]} \sum_{l \geq 0}^r \frac{C(l+i, h(s))}{C(i, h(s))} \frac{r!}{l!(r-l)!} \frac{(s-2r)!}{i!} \right. \\
&\times \left\{ \sum_{u=0}^{s-2(r+i)} \frac{(-1)^u}{u!(s-2(r+i)-u)!} \sum_{q=0}^i \sum_{t=0}^{i-q} \frac{(-1)^t C_i^{q,t}}{2^{2(r-l)+i-t}} \left[ \partial_{\nu_0} \dots \partial_{\nu_u} \left( \square^q \partial_{\nu_{u+1}} \dots \partial_{\nu_{u+t}} \phi^{(1)} \right) \right] \right. \\
&\times \left. \left[ \partial_{\nu_{u+t+1}} \dots \partial_{\nu_{s-2r-2i+t}} \left( \partial^{\nu_{u+1}} \dots \partial^{\nu_{u+t}} \square^{i-q-t} \phi^{(2)} \right) \right] \right\} \phi_{l+i,0}^{(3)\nu(s-2(l+i))} \prod_{p=1}^{j-l} \eta_{\nu_{s-2(r+i-p)-1} \nu_{s-2(r+i-p)}} \\
&+ \sum_{r \geq 0}^{[s/2]-1} t_r \sum_{i=0}^{[s/2-r-1]} \sum_{l \geq 0}^r \frac{C(l+i, h(s))}{C(i, h(s))} \frac{r!}{l!(r-l)!} \frac{(r+1)!(s-2(r+1))!}{r!i!} \\
&\times \left\{ \sum_{u=0}^{s-2(r+1+i)} \frac{(-1)^u}{u!(s-2(j+1+i)-u)!} \sum_{q=0}^i \sum_{t=0}^{i-q} \frac{(-1)^t C_i^{q,t}}{2^{2(r-l)+i-t}} \left[ \partial_{\nu_0} \dots \partial_{\nu_u} \left( \square^q \partial_{\nu_{u+1}} \dots \partial_{\nu_{u+t}} \phi^{(1)} \right) \right] \right. \\
&\times \left. \left[ \partial_{\nu_{u+t+1}} \dots \partial_{\nu_{s-2(j+1)-2i+t}} \left( \partial^{\nu_{u+1}} \dots \partial^{\nu_{u+t}} \square^{i-q-t} \phi^{(2)} \right) \right] \right\} \phi_{2l+i,0}^{(3)\nu(s-2-2l-2i)} \\
&\times \prod_{p=1}^{r-l} \eta_{\nu_{s-2(r+1+i-p)-1} \nu_{s-2(r+1+i-p)}} \\
&+ \sum_{r \geq 0}^{[s/2]-2} t_r \sum_{i=0}^{[s/2-r-2]} \sum_{k \geq 0}^r \sum_{l \geq 0}^r \frac{C(l+i, h(s))}{C(i, h(s))} \frac{r!}{l!(r-l)!} \frac{(r+2)!(s-2(r+2))!}{r!i!} \\
&\times \left\{ \sum_{u=0}^{s-2(r+2+i)} \frac{(-1)^u}{u!(s-2(r+2+i)-u)!} \sum_{q=0}^i \sum_{t=0}^{i-q} \frac{(-1)^t C_i^{q,t}}{2^{2(r-l)+i-t}} \left[ \partial_{\nu_0} \dots \partial_{\nu_u} \left( \square^q \partial_{\nu_{u+1}} \dots \partial_{\nu_{u+t}} \phi^{(1)} \right) \right] \right. \\
&\times \left. \left[ \partial_{\nu_{u+t+1}} \dots \partial_{\nu_{s-2(j+2)-2i+t}} \left( \partial^{\nu_{u+1}} \dots \partial^{\nu_{u+t}} \square^{i-q-t} \phi^{(2)} \right) \right] \right\} \phi_{32l+i,0}^{(3)\nu(s-2(l+2)-2i)} \\
&\times \prod_{p=1}^{r-l} \eta_{\nu_{s-2(r+2+i-p)-1} \nu_{s-2(r+2+i-p)}} \\
&- \sum_{r \geq 0}^{[s/2]-3} t_r \sum_{i=0}^{[s/2-r-3]} \sum_{l \geq 0}^r \frac{C(l+i, h(s))}{C(i, h(s))} \frac{r!}{l!(r-l)!} \frac{(r+3)!(s-2(r+3))!}{r!i!} \\
&\times \left\{ \sum_{u=0}^{s-2(r+3+i)} \frac{(-1)^u}{u!(s-2(r+3+i)-u)!} \sum_{q=0}^i \sum_{t=0}^{i-q} \frac{(-1)^t C_i^{q,t}}{2^{2(r-l)+i-t}} \left[ \partial_{\nu_0} \dots \partial_{\nu_u} \left( \square^q \partial_{\nu_{u+1}} \dots \partial_{\nu_{u+t}} \phi^{(1)} \right) \right] \right.
\end{aligned} \tag{116}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \left[ \partial_{\nu_{u+t+1}} \dots \partial_{\nu_{s-2(r+3)-2i+t}} \left( \partial^{\nu_{u+1}} \dots \partial^{\nu_{u+t}} \square^{i-q-t} \phi^{(2)} \right) \right] \phi_{22|l+i,0}^{(3)\nu(s-2(l+3+i))} \\ & \times \prod_{p=1}^{r-l} \eta_{\nu_{s-2(r+3+i-p)-1} \nu_{s-2(r+3+i-p)}}, \end{aligned}$$

(for the naturals  $C_r^{k,l} \equiv \frac{r!}{k!l!(r-k-l)!}$  and convention  $\partial_{\nu_0} \equiv \prod_{i=1}^0 \partial_{\nu_i} \equiv 1$ ), also for the generators of BRST transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{s}_{[1]} \phi^{(1)}(x_1) &= -g \int d^d x \left[ \sum_{r \geq 0} t_r \sum_{i=0}^{[(s-1)/2]} \sum_{l \geq 0}^r \frac{C(l+i, h(s))}{C(i, h(s))} \frac{r!}{l!(r-l)!} \frac{(s-1-2r)!}{i!} \right. \\ & \times \left\{ \sum_{u=0}^{s-1-2(r+i)} \frac{1}{u!(s-1-2(r+i)-u)!} \sum_{q=0}^i \sum_{t=0}^{i-q} \frac{(-1)^t C_i^{q,t}}{2^{2(r-l)+i-t}} C_{\Xi}^{0(3)\nu(s-1-2(l+i))} (x) \right. \\ & \times \left[ \partial_{\nu_{u+t+1}} \dots \partial_{\nu_{s-1-2(r+i)+t}} \left( \partial^{\nu_{u+1}} \dots \partial^{\nu_{u+t}} \square^{i-q-t} \phi^{(2)} \right) \right] \left[ \partial_{\nu_0} \dots \partial_{\nu_u} \left( \square^q \partial_{\nu_{u+1}} \dots \partial_{\nu_{u+t}} \right) \right] \\ & \times \prod_{p=1}^{r-l} \eta_{\nu_{s-2(r+i-p)-2} \nu_{s-1-2(r+i-p)}} \left. \right\} \delta^{(d)}(x-x_1) \\ & - \sum_{r \geq 0} t_r \sum_{i=0}^{[(s-5)/2]} \sum_{l \geq 0}^r \frac{C(l+i, h(s))}{C(i, h(s))} \frac{r!}{l!(r-l)!} \frac{(s-5-2r)!}{i!} \\ & \times \left\{ \sum_{u=0}^{s-5-2(r+i)} \frac{1}{u!(s-5-2(r+i)-u)!} \sum_{q=0}^i \sum_{t=0}^{i-q} \frac{(-1)^t C_i^{q,t}}{2^{2(r-l)+i-t}} C_{\Xi 12}^{0(3)\nu(s-5-2(l+i))} (x) \right. \\ & \times \left[ \partial_{\nu_{u+t+1}} \dots \partial_{\nu_{s-5-2(r+i)+t}} \left( \partial^{\nu_{u+1}} \dots \partial^{\nu_{u+t}} \square^{i-q-t} \phi^{(2)} \right) \right] \left[ \partial_{\nu_0} \dots \partial_{\nu_u} \left( \square^q \partial_{\nu_{u+1}} \dots \partial_{\nu_{u+t}} \right) \right] \\ & \times \prod_{p=1}^{r-l} \eta_{\nu_{s-2(r+i-p)-6} \nu_{s-5-2(r+i-p)}} \left. \right\} \delta^{(d)}(x-x_1) \Big]; \\ \vec{s}_{[1]} \phi^{(2)}(x_2) &= -\vec{s}_{[1]} \phi^{(1)}(x_1) |_{\phi^{(1)}(x_1) \rightarrow \phi^{(2)}(x_2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{118}$$

Note, the vertex (116) coincides with one for one massive particle of spin  $s$  interacting with two massless scalars in [20] for vanishing massive modes ( $k=0$  in Eq. (127)).

Let's simplify obtained solution for unconstrained interacting fields by application of the gauge-fixing procedure (e.g. developed in [20]) of auxiliary fields elimination for the vector  $\chi^{(3)}$ , because of the procedure independence from the scalars  $\phi^{(1)}, \phi^{(2)}$ . The classical action for massless tensor field is reduced to the form (112) for triplet of  $b^{(3)+}$ -independent fields with BRST-transformations and subject to traceless constraints

$$l_{11} |\Phi^{(3)}\rangle_s + |\Phi_2^{(3)}\rangle_{s-2} = 0, \quad l_{11} |\Phi_k^{(3)}\rangle_{s-k} = 0, \quad k=1, 2, \quad l_{11} |C_{\Xi}^{0(3)}\rangle_{s-1} = 0, \tag{119}$$

$$\delta_{0|B} \left( |\Phi\rangle_s, |\Phi_1^{(3)}\rangle_{s-1}, |\Phi_2^{(3)}\rangle_{s-2}, |C_{\Xi}^{0(3)}\rangle_{s-1} \right) = \mu (l_1^+, l_0, l_1, 0) |C_{\Xi}^{0(3)}\rangle_{s-1}. \tag{120}$$

As the result, the interacting part of action (116) will contain two terms with fields  $\phi_{0,0}^{(3)\nu(s)}$  and  $\phi_{2|0,0}^{(3)\nu(s-2)}$  without  $b^{(3)+}$ -generated fields, so that, it is written as

$$S_{1|(0,0,s)}^{(0)_3} = -2 \int d^d x \left[ \sum_{r \geq 0} t_r \frac{(s-2r)!}{2^{2r}} \left\{ \sum_{u=0}^{s-2r} \frac{(-1)^u}{u!(s-2r-u)!} \right. \right. \tag{121}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \left[ \partial_{\nu_0} \dots \partial_{\nu_u} \phi^{(1)} \right] \left[ \partial_{\nu_{u+1}} \dots \partial_{\nu_{s-2r}} \phi^{(2)} \right] \left\} \phi^{(3)\nu(s)} \prod_{p=1}^r \eta_{\nu_{s-2(r-p)-1} \nu_{s-2(r-p)}} \right. \\ & \left. + t_0 \left\{ \sum_{u=0}^{s-2} \frac{(-1)^u (s-2)!}{u! (s-2-u)!} \left( \partial_{\nu_0} \dots \partial_{\nu_u} \phi^{(1)} \right) \left( \partial_{\nu_{u+1}} \dots \partial_{\nu_{s-2}} \phi^{(2)} \right) \right\} \phi_{2|0,0}^{(3)\nu(s-2)} \right], \end{aligned}$$

and generators of BRST-variations

$$\overrightarrow{s}_{[1]} \phi^{(1)}(x_1) = -gt_0 \int d^d x \left\{ \sum_{u=0}^{s-1} \frac{(s-1)!}{u! (s-1-u)!} C_{\Xi \ 0,0}^{0(3)\nu(s-1)}(x) \right. \quad (122)$$

$$\left. \times \left( \partial_{\nu_{u+1}} \dots \partial_{\nu_{s-1}} \phi^{(2)}(x) \right) \partial_{\nu_0} \dots \partial_{\nu_u} \right\} \delta^{(d)}(x - x_1);$$

$$\overrightarrow{s}_{[1]} \phi^{(2)}(x_2) = -\overrightarrow{s}_{[1]} \phi^{(1)}(x_1) |_{[\phi^{(1)}(x_1) \rightarrow \phi^{(2)}(x_2)]}. \quad (123)$$

jointly with the action (112) (also with ones for the scalars), for free fields subject to the traceless constraints (119) may be served as interacting part of BRST-BV action for irreducible gauge theory in the triplet formulation for the fields in question with double traceless initial  $\phi^{(3)\nu(s)}$ , traceless auxiliary  $\phi_k^{(3)\nu(s-k)}$ ,  $k = 1, 2$  and ghost field  $C^{0(3)\nu(s-1)}$ .

Finally, expressing the triplet in terms of only single field, from the algebraic equation of motion:  $|\Phi_1^{(3)}\rangle = l_1 |\Phi^{(3)}\rangle - l_1^+ |\Phi_2^{(3)}\rangle$ ; also from the constraint (119):  $l_{11}^{(3)} |\Phi^{(3)}\rangle = -|\Phi_2^{(3)}\rangle$  and substituting in (112), (121), we get all components of BRST-BV action for cubic approximation of interacting two scalars with double-traceless field of spin  $s$  in Fronsdal form with only one term in the vertex without trace due to the relation among the constants  $t_1 = 2t_0$ .

## 6 Conclusion

Summarizing, we have developed BRST-BV approach with complete BRST operator  $Q$  (6) as the augmentation of usual BRST approach for construction of the BRST-BV action in the minimal sector of field-antifield variables for the first-stage reducible gauge theory which describes free Lagrangian dynamics of totally-symmetric tensor field with integer spin in  $d$ -dimensional Minkowski space-time. To this aim we included all classical (initial and auxiliary), ghost fields and theirs antifields into unique Grassmann-even generalized field-antifield vector  $|\chi_g\rangle_s$  (27) (with vanishing total ghost number) in generalized space  $\mathcal{H}_g$  (with degenerate inner product due to presence of Grassmann-odd field variables) as the component tensors in the decomposition in powers of ghost (creation), auxiliary  $b^+$ ,  $d^+$  and initial  $a_\mu^+$  oscillators. This property reflects the equality of the numbers of all monomials composed from hamiltonian-like ghost oscillators (26) and one of all ghost-independent vectors  $|\Phi_{\dots}^{(*)}\rangle$ ,  $|C_{\Xi \dots}^{(*)0}\rangle$ ,  $|C_{\Xi 1}^{(*)1}\rangle$  in (15), (23), (24), (28), (29), (30) coincide and equal to  $2^5$  for the model with number of all constraints  $[o_i] = 5$  determining  $Q$ . As the result the minimal BRST-BV action with unrestricted fields and antifields encodes all structural functions of Abelian gauge algebra of reducible gauge transformations and has the same form as the classical BRST action (3) if we change the field  $|\chi\rangle_s$  on  $|\chi_g\rangle_s$  with the same BRST operator, which includes all differential and holonomic (related to trace) constraints selecting irreducible representation (particle) with higher integer spin  $s$ . The construction is adapted both for massless and massive irreducible fields. The BRST-BV action coincides with the action constructed according BV method, thus satisfying to the master equation (35) in terms of

component antibracket, and is invariant with respect to BRST-like transformations (43), (44). The concept of construction of BRST-BV action reveals the concept of BV-BFV duality [46], [47] and realizes the example of AKSZ model [45].

The additive deformation procedure for construction the minimal BRST-BV action  $S_{[e]|(s)_k}^{(m)_k}$  (49) for interacting  $k$  copies of fields with integer spins  $s_1, \dots, s_k$  to be homogeneous in powers of generalized vectors  $|\chi_g^{(i)}\rangle_{s_i}$   $i = 1, \dots, k$  is developed as power series in deformation constant  $g$ , starting from sum of free BRST-BV actions for each copy, then in cubic (at  $g^1$ ) approximation in  $|\chi_g^{(i)}\rangle_{s_i}$  with unknown cubic vertices, quartic (at  $g^2$ ) approximation and etc. The requirement to preserve the number of physical degrees of freedom for the interacting theory is guaranteed by fulfillment the generating (master)-equation (54) for deformed BRST-BV action in total field-antifield space, whose regular in  $g$  solution should be found from the equivalent system of equations (55)–(58) in powers of the constant  $g$ . It is shown, that the resolvability of this system is based on the nilpotent Grassmann-odd operator  $W$  related to the nilpotent total BRST operator  $Q^{tot}$  (62). In terms of BRST  $Q^{tot}$  and spin operator  $(\sigma)_k$  the equation for cubic vertices for  $S_{[1]|(s)_k}^{(m)_k} [(\chi_g)_k]$  is rewritten in equivalent oscillator form (63) (obtained earlier within BRST approach [18], [20]) with unknown vertices  $|V^{(3)}\rangle_{(s)(i)_3}^{(m)(i)_3}$ . The general solution for the cubic vertex, in order to have non-trivial interaction between the fields, should be  $(Q^{tot}, (\sigma)_k)$ -closed.

The generic covariant local solution for the cubic vertices is constructed within BRST-BV approach for two cases of interacting higher spin fields: with  $k, k \geq 3$  massless higher spins; with one massive and any two from  $(k - 1)$  massless of spins  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k$  earlier developed within BRST approach [20] for  $k = 3$ . For the first case, the parity invariant cubic vertex  $|V^{(3)}\rangle_{(s)(i)_3}$  (with its  $k!/[k-3]!3!$  its independent number) is given by the expressions (73), (74) constructed from BRST-closed differential forms (71), (77) and the new forms (72) related to the trace operator constraints. The vertex has a non-polynomial structure and presents  $(3 + 1)$ -parameteric family to be enumerated by the naturals  $(r_{i_1}, r_{i_2}, r_{i_3})$  respecting for the orders of traces incoming into the vertex, and  $p$  enumerating the order of derivatives in it. In the second case for one massive and rest massless fields the parity invariant cubic vertex  $|V^{(3)}\rangle_{(s)(i)_3}^m$  (88), (89) has multiplicative structure, composed from the non-commutative BRST-closed operators (83)–(85), (86), and trace-related forms (72). Again, the vertex presents  $(3 + 1)$ -parametric family with the same interpretation.

We have applied the previous case to find new cubic (linear in  $g$ ) deformation of minimal BRST-BV action  $S_{1|(s)_3}^{(0)_3} [(\chi_g)_3]$  (96) in ghost-independent and tensor forms for the triple of massless scalar  $\phi^{(1)}(x)$ ,  $\phi^{(2)}(x)$  fields and massless tensor  $\phi_{\mu(s)}^{(3)}(x)$  of integer helicity  $s$ . The initial and deformed parts of the BRST-BV action contain inputs into free classical action  $\mathcal{S}_{0|s}^0[\chi^{(3)}]$  for massless tensor (100) and for its cubic deformation, determined by the vertex  $V_{(0,0,s)}^{(3)|0}$  (93) and given by  $S_{1|(0,0,s)}^{(0)_3}$  (113). In its turn, the action of initial Slavnov generator  $\vec{s}_0$  (and its dual  $\overleftarrow{s}_0$ ), which determine antifield-dependent part of BRST-BV action is trivial for the massless scalars and for basic and auxiliary ghost-independent field and ghost vectors are given in (101)–(111). And vice versa the deformed part of the Slavnov generator  $\vec{s}_1$  (related to the deformed gauge transformations) for the latter is trivial, but arises for the scalars (114), (115) and depend on two ghost-independent zero-level ghost field vectors. The tensor form of deformed classical action and the action of the operator  $\vec{s}_1$  are given by (116) and (117), (118). The structure of interacting theory permits one to apply partial gauge-fixing procedure on the language of Lagrangian dynamics (independent from the scalars) and

partial resolution of the equations of motion to eliminate,  $b^+$ -dependence from all quantities, to remove all ghost fields with except for unique zero-level ghost field,  $C_{\Xi}^{0(3)\nu(s-1)}(x)$ , also to remove all auxiliary fields with except for triplet of fields: double-traceless  $\phi_{\mu(s)}^{(3)}(x)$  and traceless  $\phi_{k|\mu(s-k)}^{(3)}(x)$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ . For the latter triplet formulation the tensor representations for deformed part of BRST-BV action (121), (122), (123) permits to get the BRST-BV minimal action in terms of single double-traceless field, two scalars and traceless ghost field.

We stress, that suggested BRST-BV approach with complete BRST operator due to the condition of homogeneity of the BRST-BV action dependence in generalized field-antifield vector should be evaluated as the less general method to find deformations of classical action. gauge transformations and gauge algebra as compared to the possibilities of BRST approach [18], [20]. However without this condition both approaches become by equivalent.

From the obtained solutions it follows the possibilities to construct a BRST-BV action in cubic approximation for interacting first-stage reducible Lagrangian formulations for irreducible ( $k - l$ ) massless and  $l$  massive fields,  $l \geq 2$ .

There are many directions for development and application of the suggested procedure, such as finding quartic (vertices) approximation for BRST-BV action in whole field-antifield variables for irreducible massless integer higher spin fields on flat backgrounds; for massive integer higher spin fields, for higher spin fields with mixed symmetry of indices, for higher spin supersymmetric fields, where the vertices should include any powers of traces. One should also note the problems of constructing the fourth and higher vertices and related problems of locality (see the discussions in [23], [24], [54], [55], [56]), where the BRST-BV procedure may be useful. The construction and quantum loop calculations with the BRST-BV quantum action for the models with derived cubic vertices can be realized following to [33] within BRST-BV approach with complete BRST operator.

**Acknowledgements** The author is grateful to I.L. Buchbinder, S.A. Fedoruk, Yu.M. Zinoviev, P.M. Lavrov, to organizers and participants of the VII International Conference “Models in Quantum Field Theory” in Saint Petersburg for useful discussions and warm hospitality and also to referee for careful reading and many comments. The work was partially supported by the Ministry of Education of Russian Federation, project No QZOY-2023-0003.

## References

- [1] M.A. Vasiliev, Higher spin gauge theories in any dimension, Comptes Rendus Physique, 5 (2004) 1101, [arXiv:hep-th/0409260].
- [2] X. Bekaert, S. Cnockaert, C. Iazeolla, M. A. Vasiliev, Nonlinear higher spin theories in various dimensions, in Higher spin gauge theories: Proceedings, 1st Solvay Workshop : Brussels, Belgium, 12-14 May, 2004, 132-197[arXiv:hep-th/0503128].
- [3] A. Fotopoulos, M. Tsulaia, Gauge Invariant Lagrangians for Free and Interacting Higher Spin Fields. A Review of the BRST formulation, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 24 ( 2008) 1, [arXiv:0805.1346[hep-th]].
- [4] X. Bekaert, N. Boulanger, P. Sundell, How higher spin gravity surpasses the spin two barrier: no-go theorems versus yes-go examples, Rev. Mod. Phys. 84 (2012) 987, [arXiv:1007.0435 [hep-th]].

- [5] M.A. Vasiliev, Higher Spin Theory and Space-Time Metamorphoses, Lect. Notes Phys. 892 (2015) 227, [arXiv:1404.1948 [hep-th]].
- [6] X. Bekaert, N. Boulanger, A. Campaneoli, M. Chodaroli, D. Francia, M. Grigoriev, E. Sezgin, E. Skvortsov, Snowmass White Paper: Higher Spin Gravity and Higher Spin Symmetry, arXiv:2205.01567 [hep-th].
- [7] D. Ponomarev, Basic introduction to higher-spin theories, [arXiv:2206.15385 [hep-th]].
- [8] R. Manvelyan, K. Mkrtchyan, W. Ruhl, General trilinear interaction for arbitrary even higher spin gauge fields, Nucl. Phys. B 836 (2010) 204, [arXiv:1003.2877 [hep-th]].
- [9] R. Manvelyan, K. Mkrtchyan, W. Ruhl, A generating function for the cubic interactions of higher spin fields, Phys. Lett. B 696 (2011) 410, [arXiv:1009.1054 [hep-th]].
- [10] E. Joung, M. Taronna, Cubic interactions of massless higher spins in (A)dS: metric-like approach, Nucl.Phys.B 861 (2012) 145, [arXiv:1110.5918[hep-th]].
- [11] M. Vasiliev, Cubic Vertices for Symmetric higher spin Gauge Fields in (A)dS<sub>d</sub>, Nucl. Phys. b 862 (2012) 341, [arXiv:1108.5921[hep-th]].
- [12] A. Fotopoulos, M. Tsulaia, Current Exchanges for Reducible Higher Spin Multiplets and Gauge Fixing, JHEP 10 (2009) 050, [arXiv:0907.4061[hep-th]].
- [13] R.R. Metsaev, BRST-BV approach to cubic interaction vertices for massive and massless higher spin fields, Phys. Lett. B 720 (2013) 237, [arXiv:1205.3131 [hep-th]].
- [14] M.V. Khabarov, Yu.M. Zinoviev. Cubic interaction vertices for massless higher spin supermultiplets in d=4, JHEP 02 (2021) 167, [arXiv:2012.00482[hep-th]].
- [15] I.L. Buchbinder, V.A. Krykhtin, M. Tsulaia, D. Weissman, Cubic Vertices for  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  Supersymmetric Massless Higher Spin Fields in Various Dimensions, Nucl.Phys. B 967 (2021) 115427, [arXiv:2103.08231 [hep-th]].
- [16] R.R. Metsaev, Cubic interaction vertices for massive and massless higher spin fields, Nucl. Phys. B 759 (2006) 147, [arXiv:hep-th/0512342].
- [17] R.R. Metsaev, Interacting massive and massless arbitrary spin fields in 4d flat space, [arXiv:2206.13268 [hep-th]].
- [18] I.L. Buchbinder, A.A. Reshetnyak, General Cubic Interacting Vertex for Massless Integer Higher Spin Fields, Phys. Lett. B 820 (2021) 136470, [arXiv:2105.12030[hep-th]].
- [19] A.A. Reshetnyak, Towards the structure of a cubic interaction vertex for massless integer higher spin fields, Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett. 19 (2022) 631, [arXiv:2205.00488 [hep-th]].
- [20] I.L. Buchbinder, A.A. Reshetnyak, Covariant Cubic Interacting Vertices for Massless and Massive Integer Higher Spin Fields, [arXiv:2212.07097[hep-th]].
- [21] I.L. Buchbinder, V.A. Krykhtin, T.V. Snegirev, Cubic interactions of d4 irreducible massless higher spin fields within BRST approach, Eur.Phys.J.C 82 (2022) 11, 1007 [arXiv:2208.04409 [hep-th]].

- [22] E. Skvortsov, T. Tran, M. Tsulaia, A Stringy theory in three dimensions and Massive Higher Spins, *Phys.Rev.D* 102 (2020) 126010, [arXiv:2006.05809 [hep-th]]
- [23] M. Taronna, Higher-Spin interactions: four-point functions and beyond, *JHEP*, 04 (2011) 029, [arXiv:1107.5843 [hep-th]].
- [24] P. Dempster, M. Tsulaia, On the Structure of Quartic Vertex for Massless Higher Spin Fields on Minkowski Background, *Phys.Rev. D* 86 (2012) 025007, [arXiv:1203.5597[hep-th]].
- [25] P.M Lavrov, On interactions of massless spin 3 and scalar fields, *Eur.Phys.J. C* 82 (2022) 1059, [arXiv:2208.05700 [hep-th]].
- [26] I. Batalin, G. Vilkovisky, Gauge Algebra and Quantization, *Phys.Lett. B* 102 (1981) 27.
- [27] I.A. Batalin, G.A. Vilkovisky, Quantization of Gauge Theories with Linearly Dependent Generators, *Phys.Rev. D* 28 (1983) 2567; *Phys.Rev.D* 30 (1984) 508 (erratum)
- [28] I.A. Batalin, G.A. Vilkovisky, Existence Theorem for Gauge Algebra, *J.Math.Phys.* 26 (1985) 172.
- [29] G. Barnich, M. Grigoriev, A. Semikhatov, I. Tipunin, Parent field theory and unfolding in BRST first-quantized terms, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 260 (2005) 147, [arxiv:hep-th/0406192].
- [30] K.B. Alkalaev, M. Grigoriev, I.Yu. Tipunin, *Nucl. Phys. B* 823 (2009) 509545. [arXiv:0811.3999[hep-th]].
- [31] A. Reshetnyak, Constrained BRST-BFV and BRST-BV Lagrangians for half-integer HS fields on  $R^{1,d-1}$ , *Phys. Part. and Nuclei* 49(5) (2018) 952, [arXiv:1803.05173[hep-th]].
- [32] C. Burdik, V.K. Pandey, A. Reshetnyak, BRST-BFV and BRST-BV Descriptions for Bosonic Fields with Continuous Spin on  $\mathbb{R}^{1,d-1}$ , *Int.J.Mod.Phys. A* 35 (2020) 26, 2050154, [arXiv:1906.02585[hep-th]].
- [33] C. Burdik, A. Reshetnyak, BRST-BV Quantum Actions for Constrained Totally-Symmetric Integer HS Fields, *Nucl.Phys. B*.965 (2021) 115357, [arXiv:2010.15741[hep-th]].
- [34] E.D. Skvortsov, T. Tran, M. Tsulaia, Quantum Chiral Higher Spin Gravity, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 121 (2018) 031601, [arXiv:arXiv:1805.00048 [hep-th]].
- [35] A.K.H. Bengtsson, A unified action for higher spin gauge bosons from covariant string theory, *Phys.Lett. B* 182 (1986) 321.
- [36] A.A. Reshetnyak, Constrained BRST- BFV Lagrangian formulations for Higher Spin Fields in Minkowski Spaces, *JHEP* 1809 (2018) 104, [arXiv:1803.04678[hep-th]].
- [37] I.L. Buchbinder, A. Pashnev, M. Tsulaia, Lagrangian formulation of the massless higher integer spin fields in the AdS background, *Phys. Lett. B* 523 (2001) 338, [arXiv:hep-th/0109067].
- [38] I.L. Buchbinder, V.A. Krykhtin, A. Pashnev, BRST approach to Lagrangian construction for fermionic massless higher spin fields, *Nucl.Phys. B* 711 (2005) 367 [arXiv:hep-th/0410215].



- [39] I.L. Buchbinder, V.A. Krykhtin, Gauge invariant Lagrangian construction for massive bosonic higher spin fields in D dimensions, Nucl.Phys. B727 (2005) 537-563, [arXiv:hep-th/0505092].
- [40] I.L. Buchbinder, A. Fotopoulos, A.C. Petkou, M. Tsulaia, Constructing the cubic interaction vertex of higher spin gauge fields, Phys. Rev. D 74 (2006) 105018, [arXiv:hep-th/0609082].
- [41] I.L. Buchbinder, A.V. Galajinsky, V.A. Krykhtin, Quartet unconstrained formulation for massless higher spin fields, Nucl. Phys. B 779 (2007)155-177, [Xiv:hep-th/0702161].
- [42] I.L. Buchbinder, V.A. Krykhtin, A.A. Reshetnyak, BRST approach to Lagrangian construction for fermionic higher spin fields in (A)dS space, Nucl. Phys. B787 (2007) 211, [arXiv:hep-th/0703049]
- [43] I.L. Buchbinder, A.A. Reshetnyak, General Lagrangian Formulation for Higher Spin Fields with Arbitrary Index Symmetry. I. Bosonic fields, Nucl. Phys. B 862 (2012) 270, [arXiv:1110.5044[hep-th]].
- [44] B.S. de Witt, Dynamical Theory of Groups and Fields (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1965).
- [45] M. Alexandrov, M. Kontsevich, A.Schwarz, O. Zaboronsky, The geometry of the master equation and topological quantum field theory, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A12 (1997) 1405, [arXiv:hep-th/9502010].
- [46] M. Grigoriev, P.H. Damgaard, Superfield BRST charge and the master action, Phys. Lett. B474 (2000) 32, [arXiv:hep-th/9911092].
- [47] D.M. Gitman, P.Yu. Moshin, A.A. Reshetnyak, Local superfield Lagrangian BRST quantization, J. Math. Phys. 46 (2005) 072302 [arXiv:hep-th/0507160].
- [48] G. Barnich, M. Henneaux, Consistent couplings between gauge fields and deformations of the master equation, Phys. Lett. B311 (1993) 123 [arXiv:hep-th/9304057].
- [49] M. Henneaux, Consistent Interactions Between Gauge Fields: The Cohomological Approach, Contemp. Math. 219 (1998) 93 [arXiv:hep-th/9712226].
- [50] I.L. Buchbinder, P.M. Lavrov, On a gauge-invariant deformation of a classical gauge-invariant theory, JHEP 06 (2021)097, arXiv:2104.11930 [hep-th].
- [51] C. Fronsdal, Massless Fields with Integer Spin, Phys. Rev. D 18 (1978) 3624.
- [52] D. Francia, A. Sagnotti, On the geometry of higher spin gauge fields, Class. Quant. Grav. 20 (2003) S473, [arXiv:hep-th/0212185].
- [53] L.P.S. Singh, C.R. Hagen, Lagrangian formulation for arbitrary spin. 1. The boson case. Phys. Rev. D9 (1974) 898.
- [54] V.E. Didenko, O.A. Gelfand, A.V. Korybut, V.A. Vasiliev, Limiting Shifted Homotopy in Higher-Spin Theory, JHEP 12 (2019) 086, [arXiv:1909.04876 [hep-th]].

- [55] M.A. Vasiliev, Projectively-Compact Spinor Vertices and Space-Time Spin Locality in Higher Spin Theory, Phys. Lett. B 834 (2022) 137401, [arXiv:2208.02004 [hep-th]].
- [56] V.E. Didenko, A.V. Korybut, On z-dominance, shift symmetry and spin locality in higher-spin theory, arXiv:2212.05006 [hep-th].