# Neutrino model with broken $\mu - \tau$ Symmetry and Unflavored Leptogenesis with Dihedral Flavor Symmetry

M. Miskaoui\*

Faculty of Science, Mohammed V University, Rabat, Morocco

We propose a new neutrino flavor model based on a  $D_4 \times U(1)$  flavor symmetry providing predictions for neutrino masses and mixing along with a successful generation of the observed Baryon Asymmetry of the Universe (BAU). After the spontaneous breaking of the flavor symmetry, the type I seesaw mechanism leads to a light neutrino mass matrix with broken  $\mu - \tau$  symmetry. By performing a numerical analysis, we find that the model favors a normal mass hierarchy with the lightest neutrino mass lies in the range  $m_1 \in [2.516, 21.351]$  meV. The phenomenological implications of the neutrino sector are explored in detail and the results are discussed. Moreover, the generation of BAU is addressed via the leptogenesis mechanism from the decay of three right-handed neutrinos  $N_i$ . Through analytical and numerical analysis of the baryon asymmetry parameter  $Y_B$ , a successful unflavored leptogenesis takes place within the allowed parameter space obtained from neutrino phenomenology. We also examine interesting correlations between  $Y_B$  and low energy observables and provide a comprehensive discussion of the results.

Key words: Neutrino masses and mixing, Leptogenesis, Flavor symmetries, MSSM.

# I. INTRODUCTION

Despite its unrivaled success in describing fundamental interactions, the standard model (SM) falls short of providing a comprehensive explanation for phenomena related to neutrinos. These include the origin of neutrino masses, lepton flavor mixing, and the matter-antimatter asymmetry observed in the Universe. The discovery of neutrino oscillations is one of the most significant experimental discoveries in recent years, confirming a nonzero neutrino masses and thus providing clear evidence for physics beyond the standard model (BSM) [1–5]. In light of this, several scenarios have been proposed to explain the origin of the nonzero neutrino masses, with the simplest of these involves extending the SM by adding three right-handed neutrinos (RH). This extension incorporates the type I seesaw mechanism, which generates small neutrino masses [6–10]. Nevertheless, this mechanism faces limitations in its ability to reproduce the observed values of the oscillation parameters, namely the mixing angle  $\theta_{ij}$ , the mass-squared differences  $\Delta m_{ij}^2$ and CP phase  $\delta_{CP}$ . A summary of the latest status of different neutrino oscillation parameters is summarized in Table (I) [11]. Over the years, a range of mixing patterns have been proposed in response to advances in neutrino

	Normal Hierarchy	Inverted Hierarchy
	Best fit $(-3\sigma \rightarrow +3\sigma)$	Best fit $(-3\sigma \rightarrow +3\sigma)$
$\sin^2 \theta_{13}$	$0.02219 (0.02032 \rightarrow 0.02410)$	$0.02238 (0.02052 \rightarrow 0.02428)$
$\sin^2 \theta_{12}$	$0.304 (0.269 \rightarrow 0.343)$	$0.304 (0.269 \rightarrow 0.343)$
$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$	$0.573(0.415 \rightarrow 0.616)$	$0.575 (0.419 \rightarrow 0.617)$
$\Delta m^2_{21}/10^{-5}$	$7.42(6.82 \rightarrow 8.04)$	$7.42(6.82 \rightarrow 8.04)$
$\Delta m_{3l}^2 / 10^{-3}$	$2.517(2.435 \rightarrow 2.598)$	$-2.498(-2.581 \rightarrow -2.414)$
$\delta^{\circ}_{CP}$	$197(120 \rightarrow 369)$	$282(193 \rightarrow 352)$

TABLE I. Best-fit values and  $3\sigma$  allowed ranges of the neutrino oscillation parameters where l = 1 for Normal Hierarchy (NH) and l = 2 for Inverted Hierarchy (IH); taken from Ref. [11].

oscillation data. One such pattern is the Trimaximal mixing  $(TM_2)$ , which is regarded as a powerful scheme for

<sup>\*</sup> E-mail: m.miskaoui@gmail.com

describing neutrino mixing due to its ability to produce mixing angles that are in agreement with current oscillation data [12–18]. Therefore, it is compelling to look for a common framework that can explain both the smallness of neutrino masses and the large mixing angles. From this perspective, non Abelian discrete symmetries offer a new promising approach for understanding the flavor structure of leptons and quarks. These new symmetries play a crucial role in establishing connections among different fermion generations. In particular, when considering discrete groups with triplet representations, it is assumed that the three generations of fermions transform as a triplet under these symmetries. Remarkably, discrete groups such as  $A_4$ , which exhibit this characteristic, has been extensively used in the literature to provide a theoretical origin to the neutrino masses and mixing. In the very early attempts [19–22], it was employed in type I seesaw models to accommodate the tribimaximal mixing (TBM) pattern [23, 24]. However, these models fail to fit the latest observations concerning the reactor angle  $\theta_{13}$  [25–27], triggering subsequently modifications to the original approach by one of the following strategies; (i) introducing a small perturbations to the tribimaximal mixing angles using gauge singlet scalar fields called flavons [28–30] or (ii) considering deviations from the TBM pattern by incorporating corrections in the charged leptons [31].

To successfully account for the observed oscillation data, an alternative approach can be pursued by constructing flavor models using discrete groups with doublet representations, such as  $S_3$  and  $D_4$ . In this scenarios, the three generations of neutrinos would be associated with singlet and doublet representations, rather than a triplet. The  $D_4$  discrete group in particular has been used recently in various frameworks. For example, it has been implemented as a flavor symmetry in the SU(5) GUT to address fermion masses and mixing<sup>1</sup> [34–36]. Additionally, it has been employed in orbifold models derived from heterotic strings [38–40], as well as in building viable Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM)-like prototypes in F-theory [41–43]. In the SM framework, the  $D_4$  flavor model was initially proposed by Grimus and Lavoura to accommodate the observed neutrino masses and mixing [44–47]. Their study demonstrated that the  $D_4$  flavor symmetry naturally predicts the  $\mu - \tau$  symmetry in the neutrino mass matrix, leading to a maximal atmospheric angle  $\theta_{23} = \frac{\pi}{4}$  and a vanishing reactor angle  $\theta_{13} = 0$ , while the solar angle  $\theta_{21}$  remains arbitrary, but generally expected to be large<sup>2</sup>. A supersymmetric (SUSY) versions of  $D_4$  model has been proposed in Refs. [48–51], where irrespective to the mechanism employed to generate tiny mass of neutrinos, they lead to similar predictions regarding the mixing angles with  $\theta_{23} = \frac{\pi}{4}$  and  $\theta_{13} = 0$  and  $\theta_{23} = \frac{\pi}{4}$ , however, no thorough study concerning the neutrino phenomenology has been performed.

The  $D_4$  flavor symmetry group has been utilized in various extensions of the SM with the aim of providing viable predictions for fermion mass and mixing hierarchiy. This symmetry group has been employed to address the hierarchy of fermion masses and mixing angles within models featuring two [52], three [53], and four Higgs doublets [54]. Moreover, it has been investigated within the B - L extension of the SM to elucidate mass spectra and mixing parameters concerning charged leptons and/or quarks [55, 56]. Additionally, the incorporation of  $D_4$  flavor symmetry has been explored within the 3-3-1 model [57–60], as well as in neutrino models, to stabilize dark matter and generate small neutrino masses [61, 62]<sup>3</sup>.

Despite significant evidence suggesting a matter-antimatter imbalance in the universe, its source remains a mystery. The problem of explaining the origin of this matter-antimatter asymmetry is known as the baryogenesis problem. The type I seesaw mechanism, in addition to being responsible for the generation of tiny neutrino masses, also provides an explanation for the observed matter-antimatter asymmetry of the universe via the leptogenesis mechanism [63]. In this scenario, the lepton asymmetry generated by the out-of-equilibrium decays of the RH neutrinos is converted into a baryon asymmetry by sphaleron processes and explains eventually the matter-antimatter asymmetry in the universe [64]. Implementing leptogenesis in the seesaw models with  $D_4$  discrete symmetry has been explored within the framework of supersymmetric SU(5) grand unified models [35, 36]. However, further investigations into its application within the MSSM framework is still to be explored.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A systematic study of the dihedral group  $D_n$  as flavor symmetry to understand the lepton and quark mixing patterns have been performed in Refs. [32, 33].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The fact that the value of the reactor angle  $\theta_{13}$  is small, the  $\mu - \tau$  symmetry in the neutrino mass matrix can still be considered valid at leading order.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Radiative neutrino mass model with  $D_4$  discrete group has been studied in Ref. [62].

In this work, we propose a new neutrino model based on the dihedral discrete group  $D_4$  to address the problems of neutrino masses, mixing and the generation of the BAU. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first  $D_4$  flavor model that has been proposed in the MSSM framework. It has the ability to provide a simultaneous explanation for all these issues while maintaining consistency with the existing observational and experimental data. To address the charged lepton mass hierarchy and differentiate it from the neutrino sector, the  $D_4$  discrete group is supplemented by an extra global U(1) symmetry. In the charged lepton sector, the resulting mass matrix is diagonal, and thus the leptonic mixing arises from the neutrino sector. Within the neutrino sector, the type I seesaw mechanism is employed to achieve small neutrino masses, while the TM<sub>2</sub> mixing pattern describes the neutrino mixing after the flavor symmetry gets broken when flavon acquire VEVs. This sector involves five free parameters that have to be fixed in order to provide predictions on the observables  $\theta_{ij}$ ,  $\Delta m_{ij}$  and  $\delta_{CP}$  within their  $3\sigma$  experimental ranges. Moreover, predictions on the absolute neutrino mass scale are extrapolated by investigating the non oscillation observables namely; the electron neutrino mass  $m_{\beta}$  from beta decay experiments, the effective Majorana mass  $m_{\beta\beta}$  from neutrinoless double beta decay  $(0\nu\beta\beta)$  experiments and the sum of the three active neutrino masses  $\Sigma m_i$  from cosmological observations. Leptogenesis, on the other hand, cannot be generated if only leading order contributions to the Dirac Yukawa matrix are considered. Therefore, a higher order correction involving a new scalar flavon field is taken into account. In this regard, we estimate the baryon asymmetry  $Y_B$  in the unflavored approximation from the decays of three RH neutrinos  $N_{1,2,3}$ . The model yields the following main predictions:

- the normal hierarchy for the neutrino mass spectrum is preferred,
- the reactor angle has a nonzero value  $\theta_{13} \neq 0$ ,
- the atmospheric angle lies in the lower octant  $\theta_{23} < \frac{\pi}{4}$ ,
- the obtained values of  $m_{\beta\beta}$  are testable at future  $0\nu\beta\beta$  experiments,
- the baryon asymmetry parameter  $Y_{B_1}$ , arising from the decay of the RH neutrino  $N_1$ , emerges as the primary contribution to produce the observed BAU and
- the high energy phase  $\phi_{\omega}$  —originates from the extra contribution in the Dirac Yukawa matrix— provides a new source of CP violation.

The layout of the article is as follows. In section II, we provide the necessary components for building the  $D_4 \times U(1)$ model and deriving the mass matrices of the charged lepton and neutrino sectors. In addition, we numerically investigate the parameter space of the model to satisfy the recent  $3\sigma$  regions of the neutrino oscillation parameters. In section III, we thoroughly examine the prediction of the absolute neutrino mass scale from non oscillatory experiments. In section IV, we investigate leptogenesis in the current setup to explain the baryon asymmetry of the universe. Finally, we give the conclusions in section V. The paper includes three appendices: Appendix A provides some algebraic tools on the dihedral group  $D_4$ . In Appendix B we study the minimization of the scalar superpotential, which eventually leads to the desired alignments of the flavon doublet VEVs. In Appendix C we briefly explore the effect of the NLO correction to the Dirac Yukawa matrix operator on the neutrino masses and mixing.

# **II. CONSTRAINING PARAMETERS FROM NEUTRINO OSCILLATION DATA**

#### A. Structure of the model

In this study, we explore an extension of the MSSM that incorporates the  $D_4 \times U(1)$  flavor symmetry and three RH neutrinos to generate lepton masses and mixing. The auxiliary U(1) symmetry is introduced to separate the neutrino from the charged lepton sectors as well as to achieve the desired mass matrices. For this purpose, we consider that the three RH neutrinos  $N_{i=1,2,3}^c$  and the three left-handed leptons  $L_{e,\mu,\tau}$  transform under  $D_4$  as  $\mathbf{1}_{+,+} \oplus \mathbf{2}_{0,0}$  with the same U(1) quantum numbers. Along with that, the right-handed charged leptons  $l_e^c$ ,  $l_{\mu}^c$  and  $l_{\tau}^c$  transform as singlets under the discrete symmetry  $D_4$ , while having different U(1) charges. This is essential in order to induce the mass hierarchy among the charged leptons and so that the lepton mixing in our model results mainly from the neutrino sector. As for the scalar sector, the usual MSSM Higgs doublets  $H_u$  and  $H_d$  transform trivially under  $D_4$ , but have different U(1) charges. The transformation properties of matter and Higgs fields under the flavor symmetry  $D_4 \times U(1)$ are depicted in Table (II).

Fields	$L_e$	$(L_{\mu}, L_{\tau})$	$l_e^c$	$l^c_\mu$	$l_{ au}^c$	$N_1^c$	$N_{3,2}^{c}$	$H_u$	$H_d$
$SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$	$(1,2)_{-\frac{1}{2}}$	$(1,2)_{-\frac{1}{2}}$	$(1,1)_1$	$(1,1)_1$	$(1,1)_1$	$(1, 1)_0$	$(1,1)_{0}$	$(1,2)_{\frac{1}{2}}$	$(1,2)_{\frac{1}{2}}$
$D_4$	$1_{+,+}$	$2_{0,0}$	$1_{+,+}$	$1_{+,+}$	$1_{+,+}$	$1_{+,+}$	$2_{0,0}$	$1_{+,+}$	$1_{+,+}$
U(1)	-2	-2	-2	5	-4	-1	-1	3	1

TABLE II. Transformation properties of matter and Higgs fields under  $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$  gauge symmetry and  $D_4 \times U(1)$  flavor symmetry. The two generations of RH neutrinos are hosted by the  $D_4$  doublet as  $N_{3,2}^c = (N_3^c, N_2^c)^T$ .

We introduce in our model eight flavon superfields in order to break the  $D_4 \times U(1)$  flavor symmetry and to engineer the invariance of the superpotentials in the charged lepton and neutrino sectors. For the charged lepton sector, we introduce three flavons  $\phi$ ,  $\chi$  and  $\psi$  which transform differently under the flavor symmetry. Their assignments are chosen in such a way to prevent their coupling in the neutrino sector and to induce the hierarchical structure of the three generations of charged leptons. As for the neutrino sector, we incorporate five flavons denoted as  $\rho_1$ ,  $\rho_2$ ,  $\rho_3$ ,  $\eta$ and  $\sigma$  in order to accommodates the observed neutrino oscillation data. These flavon fields break the flavor symmetry once they acquire VEVs along suitable directions. Table (III) summarizes their respective quantum numbers under  $D_4 \times U(1)$ 

Flavons	$\rho_1$	$ ho_2$	$ ho_3$	$\eta$	$\sigma$	$\phi$	$\chi$	$\psi$
$SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$	$(1,1)_0$	$(1,1)_0$	$(1,1)_0$	$(1,1)_0$	$(1,1)_0$	$(1,1)_0$	$(1,1)_0$	$(1,1)_0$
$D_4$	$1_{+,+}$	$1_{+,-}$	$1_{-,+}$	$2_{0,0}$	$2_{0,0}$	$1_{+,+}$	$2_{0,0}$	$2_{0,0}$
U(1)	2	2	2	2	2	3	-4	5

TABLE III. Transformation properties of the flavon superfields under  $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$  gauge symmetry and  $D_4 \times U(1)$  flavor symmetry.

# • Charged lepton sector

With the above mentioned fields and their respective charge configurations, the superpotential relevant for the charged lepton masses at leading order (LO) reads as

$$\mathcal{W}_{l} = \lambda_{e} \frac{\phi}{\Lambda} L_{e} l_{e}^{c} H_{d} + \lambda_{\mu} \frac{\chi}{\Lambda} L_{\mu,\tau} l_{\mu}^{c} H_{d} + \lambda_{\tau} \frac{\psi}{\Lambda} L_{\mu,\tau} l_{\tau}^{c} H_{d}$$
(II.1)

where  $\lambda_e$ ,  $\lambda_\mu$  and  $\lambda_\tau$  are the coupling constants associated with the three charged leptons and  $\Lambda$  is the flavor symmetry breaking scale. Furthermore, when the Higgs field  $H_d$  and the flavon fields acquire their VEVs in the following directions<sup>4</sup>

$$\langle H_d \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v_d \end{pmatrix} , \quad \langle \phi \rangle = v_\phi , \quad \langle \chi \rangle = (0, v_\chi)^T , \quad \langle \psi \rangle = (v_\psi, 0)^T$$
(II.2)

the charged lepton Yukawa matrix takes the diagonal form as

$$\mathcal{Y}_{L} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{e} \frac{v_{\phi}}{\Lambda} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \lambda_{\mu} \frac{v_{\chi}}{\Lambda} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_{\tau} \frac{v_{\psi}}{\Lambda} \end{pmatrix}$$
(II.3)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Recall that the vacuum expectation values  $v_u$  and  $v_d$  of the usual Higgs superfields,  $H_u$  and  $H_d$ , are related to the SM Higgs VEV as  $v_H^2 = v_u^2 + v_d^2$  while the ratio of Higgs superfields is  $\tan \beta = v_u/v_d$ .

As result, the masses of the three charged leptons are given by

$$m_e = \lambda_e \frac{\upsilon_d \upsilon_\phi}{\Lambda} \quad , \quad m_\mu = \lambda_\mu \frac{\upsilon_d \upsilon_\chi}{\Lambda} \quad , \quad m_\tau = \lambda_\tau \frac{\upsilon_d \upsilon_\psi}{\Lambda}$$
(II.4)

It is clear that the charged lepton mass hierarchies are generated after the spontaneous flavor symmetry breaking. Indeed, assuming that the coupling constants  $\lambda_e$ ,  $\lambda_{\mu}$ , and  $\lambda_{\tau}$  have the same order of magnitude, the mass hierarchy of the charged leptons can be expressed in terms of the Wolfenstein parameter  $\lambda$  as [37]

$$m_e: m_\mu: m_\tau \sim \lambda^4: \lambda^2: 1 \tag{II.5}$$

This is ensured by the hierarchy of the VEVs of the flavon fields, given by:  $v_{\phi} : v_{\chi} : v_{\psi} \sim \lambda^4 : \lambda^2 : 1$ . On the other hand, since the charged lepton mass matrix in Eq. (II.3) is diagonal, the three mixing angles in the charged lepton sector vanish  $\theta_{ij}^l = 0$ . Therefore, the leptonic mixing results mainly from the neutrino sector as we will see in subsequent paragraph.

## • Neutrino sector

The light neutrino masses are generated through the type I seesaw mechanism given by the formula  $m_{\nu} = m_D m_M^{-1} m_D^T$ . With respect to the invariance under  $D_4 \times U(1)$  flavor symmetry, the relevant superpotential for neutrino mass generation is given by

$$\mathcal{W}_{\nu} = \lambda_1 N_1^c L_e H_u + \lambda_2 N_{3,2}^c L_{\mu,\tau} H_u + \lambda_3 N_1^c N_1^c \rho_1 + \lambda_4 N_{3,2}^c N_{3,2}^c \rho_1 + \lambda_5 N_1^c N_{3,2}^c \eta + \lambda_6 N_1^c N_{3,2}^c \sigma + \lambda_7 N_{3,2}^c N_{3,2}^c \rho_2 + \lambda_8 N_{3,2}^c N_{3,2}^c \rho_3$$
(II.6)

where  $\lambda_{i=1,...,8}$  are Yukawa coupling constants. The first two terms are the Dirac Yukawa couplings leading to the Dirac mass matrix  $m_D$  while the remaining couplings give rise to the Majorana mass matrix  $m_M$ . Similarly, the Higgs doublet develops its VEV as usual  $\langle H_u \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} v_u \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , while the VEV alignments of the flavons are chosen as follows

$$\langle \rho_1 \rangle = v_{\rho_1} \quad , \quad \langle \rho_2 \rangle = v_{\rho_2} \quad , \quad \langle \rho_3 \rangle = v_{\rho_3}$$
 (II.7)

$$\langle \eta \rangle = (v_{\eta}, v_{\eta})^T \quad , \quad \langle \sigma \rangle = (0, v_{\sigma})^T.$$
 (II.8)

Using the tensor product of  $D_4$  irreducible representations given in Eqs. (A.2) and (A.3), this leads to the Dirac  $m_D$  and Majorana  $m_M$  neutrino mass matrices at the LO as follows

$$m_D = v_u \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad m_M = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_3 \rho_1 & \lambda_5 \eta & \lambda_5 \eta + \lambda_6 \sigma \\ \lambda_5 \eta & \lambda_7 \rho_2 - \lambda_8 \rho_3 & 2\lambda_4 \rho_1 \\ \lambda_5 \eta + \lambda_6 \sigma & 2\lambda_4 \rho_1 & \lambda_7 \rho_2 + \lambda_8 \rho_3 \end{pmatrix}$$
(II.9)

Since the Dirac matrix  $m_D$  is diagonal, the neutrino mixing arises mainly from the Majorana mass matrix  $m_M$ . Moreover, assuming that the coupling constants  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are of the same order, then the mass matrices  $m_M$  and  $m_{\nu} = m_D m_M^{-1} m_D^T$  are identical from the point of view of symmetry. As a result, the neutrino mixing matrix  $\mathcal{U}_{\nu}$  can be obtained from the diagonalization of Majorana mass matrix as  $m_M^{diag} = \mathcal{U}_{\nu}^{\dagger} m_M \mathcal{U}_{\nu}$  where  $m_M$  can be expressed as the sum of two matrices as follows

$$m_M = m_{M_1} + m_{M_2} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_3 \rho_1 & \lambda_5 \eta & \lambda_5 \eta \\ \lambda_5 \eta & 0 & 2\lambda_4 \rho_1 \\ \lambda_5 \eta & 2\lambda_4 \rho_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \lambda_6 \sigma \\ 0 & \lambda_7 \rho_2 - \lambda_8 \rho_3 & 0 \\ \lambda_6 \sigma & 0 & \lambda_7 \rho_2 + \lambda_8 \rho_3 \end{pmatrix}$$
(II.10)

The leptonic mixing primarily arises from the neutrino sector due to the diagonal structure of the charged lepton mass matrix in Eq. (II.3). Moreover, to build a viable neutrino mass model, it is crucial for the neutrino mass matrix to exhibit a broken  $\mu - \tau$  symmetry. In this respect, the matrix  $m_{M_1}$ , which involves the flavons  $\rho_1$  and  $\eta$ , naturally exhibits the  $\mu - \tau$  symmetry, leading to a maximal atmospheric angle  $\theta_{23} = \frac{\pi}{4}$  and a vanishing reactor angle  $\theta_{13} = 0$ 

[65–69]. Furthermore, the matrix  $m_{M_2}$ , which breaks the  $\mu - \tau$  symmetry in the Majorana matrix  $m_M$  through the flavon fields  $\rho_{2,3}$  and  $\sigma$ , induces a nonzero  $\theta_{13}$  and a deviation of  $\theta_{23}$  from its maximal value. These features ensure the viability of the neutrino sector within our model. The determination of the deviation from the values  $\theta_{13} = 0$  and  $\theta_{23} = \frac{\pi}{4}$  is not well-defined when considering a straightforward analysis in the neutrino sector without specific mixing patterns. However, by investigating the neutrino mass matrix within specific mixing patterns, such as trimaximal mixing, a more accurate determination of the deviation can be achieved. This is because such patterns is consistent with the broken  $\mu - \tau$  symmetry in the neutrino mass matrix and establish connections between the mixing angles  $\theta_{ij}$  and deviation parameter  $\theta$ , as we will investigate in the following analysis.

Before we study the model predictions regarding neutrino masses and mixing, let us recall some properties of TBM mixing pattern and its deviation leading to TM<sub>2</sub>. When the neutrino mass matrix  $m_{\nu}$  satisfies both the  $\mu - \tau$  symmetry and the condition  $(m_{\nu})_{11} + (m_{\nu})_{12} - (m_{\nu})_{22} = (m_{\nu})_{23}$  among its entries, the resulting  $m_{\nu}$  mass matrix can be diagonalized by TBM. The introduction of a small correction  $\delta m_{\nu}$  to the neutrino mass matrix  $m_{\nu}$  allows for a deviation from TBM. When this matrix perturbation  $\delta m_{\nu}$  breaks the original  $\mu - \tau$  symmetry while simultaneously inducing the magic symmetry in the resulting neutrino mass matrix,  $m_{\nu}$  becomes consistent with trimaximal mixing  $(TM)^5$ . Two specific matrix perturbations, denoted as  $\delta m_{\nu}^1$  and  $\delta m_{\nu}^2$ , have been identified as leading to Trimaximal Mixing  $(TM_2)$ , they are defined as follows

$$\delta m_{\nu}^{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \mathbf{k} \\ 0 & \mathbf{k} & 0 \\ \mathbf{k} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad \delta m_{\nu}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{k} & 0 \\ \mathbf{k} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{k} \end{pmatrix}$$
(II.11)

where k is a deviation parameter which is expected to be small; it is responsible for inducing a nonzero reactor angle  $\theta_{13} \neq 0$  and non maximal atmospheric angle  $\theta_{23} \neq 45^{\circ}$ . Returning to our model, the magic symmetry is manifested in the Majorana matrix  $m_M$  (see Eq.(II.10)) by reducing the number of free parameters through the assumptions  $2\lambda_4\rho_1 = \lambda_3\rho_1 + \lambda_5\eta$  and  $\lambda_7 v_{\rho_2} = -\lambda_8 v_{\rho_3} = \lambda_6 v_{\sigma}/2$ . As a result, the Dirac and Majorana matrices in Eq. (II.9) reduce to

$$m_D = v_u \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_1 \end{pmatrix} , \quad m_M = \Lambda \begin{pmatrix} a & b & b \\ b & 0 & a+b \\ b & a+b & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \Lambda \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & k \\ 0 & k & 0 \\ k & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(II.12)

where for clarity, we introduce the notations  $a = \frac{\lambda_3 v_{\rho_1}}{\Lambda}$ ,  $b = \frac{\lambda_5 v_{\eta}}{\Lambda}$  and the deviation parameter k is given in terms of the flavon VEV  $v_{\sigma}$  as  $k = \frac{\lambda_6 v_{\sigma}}{\Lambda}$ . The total neutrino mass matrix  $m_{\nu}$  is now calculated using the type I seesaw mechanism  $m_{\nu} = m_D m_M^{-1} m_D^T$  as follows

$$m_{\nu} = \frac{m_0}{H} \begin{pmatrix} -(a+b)^2 & (a+b)(b+k) & b^2 - k^2 - b(k-a) \\ (a+b)(b+k) & -(b+k)^2 & -a^2 - ab + b^2 + kb \\ b^2 - k^2 - b(k-a) & -a^2 - ab + b^2 + kb & ak - b^2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(II.13)

with  $m_0 = \frac{(\lambda_1 v_u)^2}{\Lambda}$  and  $H = (a + 2b + k) (ak - a^2 + b^2 - k^2)$ . In our setup, the presence of the parameter k —which is derived from additional terms in the superpotential (II.6)— ensures  $\mu - \tau$  symmetry breaking in the neutrino mass matrix, and subsequently a small deviation from the TBM values of the mixing angles. Besides, to ensure CPviolation in the lepton sector, the complex nature of the parameters a, b and k has to be taken into consideration. However, it is adequate to take the deviation parameter k as the only complex parameter without loss of generality  $-k = |\mathbf{k}| e^{i\phi_k}$ — where  $\phi_k$  is a CP violating phase. In another vein, it is clear that the neutrino mass matrix  $m_{\nu}$  in eq. (II.13) is not invariant under the  $\mu - \tau$  symmetry transformation but still has the magic symmetry, therefore, the

 $<sup>^{5}</sup>$  The magic symmetry refers to the property where the sums of the rows and columns of the neutrino mass matrix are equal [70].

neutrino matrix is diagonalized by the trimaximal mixing matrix  $\mathcal{U}_{TM_2}$  which can be parameterized as [12–18]

$$\mathcal{U}_{TM_2} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}\cos\theta} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}\sin\theta}e^{-i\gamma} \\ -\frac{\cos\theta}{\sqrt{6}} - \frac{\sin\theta}{\sqrt{2}}e^{i\gamma} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{\cos\theta}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{\sin\theta}{\sqrt{6}}e^{-i\gamma} \\ -\frac{\cos\theta}{\sqrt{6}} + \frac{\sin\theta}{\sqrt{2}}e^{i\gamma} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{\cos\theta}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{\sin\theta}{\sqrt{6}}e^{-i\gamma} \end{pmatrix}$$
(II.14)

The total mixing matrix, denoted as  $\mathcal{U}_{\nu}$ , can be expressed as  $\mathcal{U}_{\nu} = \mathcal{U}_{TM_2} \mathcal{U}_P$  where  $\mathcal{U}_P = diag(1, e^{i\frac{\alpha_{21}}{2}}, e^{i\frac{\alpha_{31}}{2}})$  is a diagonal matrix involving the Majorana CP phases  $\alpha_{21}$  and  $\alpha_{31}$ . The two free parameters,  $\theta$  and  $\gamma$ , correspond to an arbitrary angle and phase, respectively, and they are related to the observed neutrino mixing angles  $\theta_{ij}$  and the Dirac CP phase  $\delta_{CP}$ . Indeed, the diagonalization of the neutrino matrix (II.13) by the TM<sub>2</sub> mixing pattern induces relations among these parameters as

$$\tan 2\theta = \frac{\sqrt{3}|k|\sqrt{b^2\cos^2\phi_k + a^2\sin^2\phi_k}}{2ab - b|k|\cos\phi_k} \quad , \quad \tan\gamma = \frac{-a}{b}\tan\phi_k \tag{II.15}$$

Accordingly, the eigenmasses of the neutrino mass matrix  $m_{\nu}$  are as follows

$$|m_{1}| = \frac{m_{0}}{\sqrt{(a-b)^{2} - |\mathbf{k}| (a-b) \cos \phi_{k} + (|\mathbf{k}|^{2}/4)}}$$

$$|m_{2}| = \frac{m_{0}}{\sqrt{(a+2b)^{2} + 2 |\mathbf{k}| (a+2b) \cos \phi_{k} + |\mathbf{k}|^{2}}}$$

$$|m_{3}| = \frac{m_{0}}{\sqrt{(a+b)^{2} - |\mathbf{k}| (a+b) \cos \phi_{k} + (|\mathbf{k}|^{2}/4)}}$$
(II.16)

while the right handed neutrino masses  $M_{1,2,3}$  are expressed as

$$|M_{1}| = \Lambda \sqrt{(a-b)^{2} - |\mathbf{k}| (a-b) \cos \phi_{k} + (|\mathbf{k}|^{2}/4)}$$
  

$$|M_{2}| = \Lambda \sqrt{(a+2b)^{2} + 2 |\mathbf{k}| (a+2b) \cos \phi_{k} + |\mathbf{k}|^{2}}$$
  

$$|M_{3}| = \Lambda \sqrt{(a+b)^{2} - |\mathbf{k}| (a+b) \cos \phi_{k} + (|\mathbf{k}|^{2}/4)}$$
  
(II.17)

Considering that the obtained charged lepton mass matrix in Eq. (II.3) is diagonal, the lepton mixing results from the neutrino sector as  $U_{PMNS} = \mathcal{U}_{\nu} = \mathcal{U}_{TM_2}$ . Accordingly, By using the PDG standard parametrization of the Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata (PMNS) matrix [71], we can derive expressions of the three neutrino mixing angles  $\theta_{ij}$  in terms of the trimaximal mixing parameters  $\theta$  and  $\gamma$ , we obtain

$$\sin^2 \theta_{13} = \frac{2}{3} \sin^2 \theta \,, \ \sin^2 \theta_{12} = \frac{1}{3 - 2 \sin^2 \theta} \,, \ \sin^2 \theta_{23} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3 \sin 2\theta}{2\sqrt{3}(3 - 2 \sin^2 \theta)} \cos \gamma. \tag{II.18}$$

# B. Numerical analysis

The neutrino sector in our model has five independent parameters namely  $m_0$ , a, b, k and  $\phi_k$ , whose allowed intervals can be constrained by the numerical values of the neutrino oscillation parameters. Moreover, due to the ambiguity in the sign of the atmospheric mass-squared difference  $\Delta m_{ij}^2$ , our numerical investigation encompasses two possible mass orderings; the normal hierarchy (**NH**) where  $m_1 < m_2 < m_3$ , and the inverted hierarchy (**IH**) where  $m_3 < m_1 < m_2$ . As a result, the diagonal mass matrix of the light neutrinos can be rewritten in terms of the lightest neutrino mass  $m_1(m_3)$  and the mass-squared differences as follows

$$m_{\nu} = diag(m_1, \sqrt{m_1^2 + \Delta m_{21}^2}, \sqrt{m_1^2 + \Delta m_{31}^2})$$
 For NH  
$$m_{\nu} = diag(\sqrt{m_3^2 - \Delta m_{32}^2} - \Delta m_{21}^2, \sqrt{m_3^2 - \Delta m_{32}^2}, m_3)$$
 For IH

Considering the  $3\sigma$  experimental ranges of  $\Delta m_{ij}^2$  reported in Table (I) along with the eigenmasses in Eq. (II.16) and the relation between  $\theta$  and the free parameters in Eq. (II.15), we plot in Figure (1) the correlation between  $\Delta m_{ij}^2$ and the trimaximal mixing parameter  $\theta$  for both hierarchies. In order to satisfy the  $3\sigma$  experimental intervals of the mass-squared differences  $\Delta m_{ij}^2$  for both hierarchies, we find that the parameter  $\theta$  lies in the interval

$$0.175 \lesssim \theta[\text{rad}] \lesssim 0.191 \text{ (NH)} , \quad 0.368 \lesssim \theta[\text{rad}] \lesssim 0.579 \text{ (IH)}$$
 (II.19)

Based on first and the second relations in Eq. (II.18), we conclude that the obtained interval of  $\theta$  in the case of



FIG. 1. Correlations between the mass-squared differences  $\Delta m_{ij}^2$  and the parameter  $\theta$ . The color palette corresponds to  $\Delta m_{31}^2$  for NH (left panel) and to  $\Delta m_{32}^2$  for IH (right panel).

NH is consistent with the  $3\sigma$  experimental ranges of the reactor angle  $\theta_{13}$  and atmospheric angle  $\theta_{23}$ . However, in the case of IH, the values of  $\theta$  acquired are too large to be consistent with the experimental values of the mixing angles  $\theta_{13}$  and  $\theta_{23}$ . As a result, the inverted hierarchy for the neutrino mass spectrum is excluded within our model. To constrain the model parameter space in the NH scheme, we employ the  $3\sigma$  experimental ranges of the neutrino oscillation observables  $\Delta m_{ij}^2$ ,  $\sin \theta_{ij}$  and  $\delta_{CP}$  as input parameters. By using Eqs. (II.16) and (II.18) we present a correlation plot among the parameters a, b and k in the left panel of Figure (2). We find that their allowed ranges are

$$-0.9987 \lesssim a \lesssim 0.9655$$
 ,  $-0.9541 \lesssim b \lesssim 0.9613$  ,  $-0.4018 \lesssim k \lesssim 0.3985$  (II.20)

Given the  $3\sigma$  ranges of the neutrino oscillation data, we plot in the right panel of Figure (2) the parameter  $m_0$  as



FIG. 2. The model parameters constrained by  $3\sigma$  ranges of the oscillation parameters. the left panel shows the correlation among the parameters a, b and k. The right panel shows the correlation among  $m_0$ ,  $\theta$  and  $\phi_k$ .

a function of  $\theta$  whereas the color map shows the values of the phase  $\phi_k$ . We find that the constrained ranges of the parameters  $m_0$  and  $\phi_k$  are given as follows

$$0.544 \lesssim \phi_k [rad] \lesssim 2.629$$
 and  $0.001 \lesssim m_0 [eV] \lesssim 0.01$  (II.21)

Moreover, we plot in Figure (3) the correlation among  $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$ ,  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  and the deviation parameter k. We observe that the value k = 0 and the values around it are not allowed, and this yields an important prediction of the current model where it ensures a nonzero value of the reactor angle  $\theta_{13} \neq 0$  and a non maximal value of the atmospheric angle  $\theta_{23} \neq \frac{\pi}{4}$ . We find that the allowed region of  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$  lies in the range [0.0203, 0.0240] while the allowed region of  $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$  favors the second octant region [0.415, 0.499] which could be measured with more precision by the future experiments. Taking into account the non vanishing value of the reactor angle  $\theta_{13}$ , possible *CP* violating effects



FIG. 3. Scatter plot on the plane of k and  $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$  with the palette corresponds to  $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ .

in neutrino oscillations can be generated from nonzero value of the Dirac phase  $\delta_{CP}$ . The magnitude of these effects is estimated by the Jarlskog invariant parameter defined as  $J_{CP} = Im(\mathcal{U}_{e1}\mathcal{U}_{\mu1}^*\mathcal{U}_{\mu2}\mathcal{U}_{e2}^*)$  [71]. In the standard parametrization of the PMNS mixing matrix, this parameter is expressed in terms of the three mixing angles and the Dirac CP phase as follows [71]

$$J_{CP} = \frac{1}{8} \sin 2\theta_{12} \sin 2\theta_{13} \sin 2\theta_{23} \cos \theta_{13} \sin \delta_{CP}$$
(II.22)

Using the  $3\sigma$  range of  $\delta_{CP}$  and the restricted ranges of the mixing angles  $\sin^2 \theta_{ij}$ , we plot in the left panel of Figure (4), the correlation between  $J_{CP}$  and  $\delta_{CP}$ . Furthermore, by combining the standard parametrization of the lepton mixing matrix and Eq. (II.14), the Jarlskog invariant is reduced to  $J_{CP} = \frac{\sin 2\theta \sin \gamma}{6\sqrt{3}}$ . Accordingly, by identifying the two expressions of the  $J_{CP}$  parameter, we plot in the right panel of Figure (4) the correlation among  $\delta_{CP}$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\phi_k$ . We find that the Dirac CP violating phase is not restricted compared to its  $3\sigma$  range including the conserving values  $\delta_{CP} = \pi, 2\pi$ . We find also that the Jarlskog invariant parameter falls in the range  $-0.0359 \leq J_{CP} \leq 0.0305$  whereas the constrained interval of the trimaximal mixing parameter  $\gamma$  is given by

$$0.566 \lesssim \gamma [\text{rad}] \lesssim 1.570 \tag{II.23}$$

# III. PREDICTIONS ON THE ABSOLUTE NEUTRINO MASS SCALE

The neutrino oscillation experiments are sensitive to the neutrino mixing angles  $\theta_{ij}$  and to the mass-squared differences  $\Delta m_{ij}$  as reported in Table (I), however, they are not capable to provide information on the absolute neutrino mass scale. Direct measurements of the absolute mass scale is one of the most important purposes of the next-generation neutrino experiments. In this respect, the absolute mass scale can be determined from non oscillation methods, using Tritium beta decay [72], neutrinoless double beta decay [73], and cosmological observations [74, 75].



FIG. 4. Left panel:  $J_{CP}$  as a function of the Dirac CP phase  $\delta_{CP}$ . Right panel: Correlation among the parameters  $\delta_{CP}$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\phi_k$ .

#### A. Neutrino masses from cosmology

Cosmological observations could further constrain neutrino masses by providing information on the sum of all neutrino masses. The Planck collaboration analysis —which is based on the  $\Lambda$ CDM cosmological model— including data on baryon acoustic oscillations (BAO) provided an upper limit on the total neutrino mass of  $\sum m_i < 0.12$  eV at 95% C.L [74]. Taking into account this sum and incorporating the  $3\sigma$  ranges of the neutrino oscillation parameters  $\theta_{ij}$  and  $\Delta m_{ij}^2$  as well as the obtained intervals of the model parameters, we plot in the top left panel of Figure (5) the lightest neutrino mass  $m_1$  as a function of the three neutrino masses  $m_{i=1,2,3}$ , and their sum  $\sum m_i$ . We observe that the neutrino masses  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  lie in the intervals  $0.002516 \leq m_1$  (eV)  $\leq 0.021351$  and  $0.009859 \leq m_2$  (eV)  $\leq 0.023014$  while the values of  $m_3$  lies in a narrow region  $0.049619 \leq m_3$  (eV)  $\leq 0.054751$ . Moreover, we find that the obtained range of the sum of all three absolute neutrino masses is given by  $0.064744 \leq \sum m_i$  (eV)  $\leq 0.098468$ , which satisfies the cosmological bound on the sum of light neutrino masses. Notably, the predicted values around the lower bound of  $\sum m_i \sim 0.062$  eV hold particular interest in upcoming experiments, like CORE+BAO. These experiments are expected to provide additional cosmological data with the potential to reach a sensitivity of around 0.062 eV on the sum of the three light neutrino masses [76].

#### B. Search for the neutrinoless double beta decay

The nature of neutrinos —Dirac or Majorana— is one of the most outstanding questions in neutrino physics, and the neutrinoless double beta  $(0\nu\beta\beta)$  decay is the only known process capable of testing the intrinsic nature of neutrinos [73]. If the  $0\nu\beta\beta$  decay is observed, it would imply a violation of lepton number L by two units and provide strong evidence that neutrinos are Majorana fermions. Furthermore, this process can also probe the absolute neutrino mass scale by measuring the effective Majorana mass of the electron neutrino. This later is defined as  $|m_{\beta\beta}| = |\sum_i U_{ei}^2 m_i|$ where  $U_{ei}$  are the entries of lepton mixing matrix and correspond to its first row while  $m_i$  are the three neutrino mass eigenvalues. For our theoretical framework, the effective Majorana mass  $|m_{\beta\beta}|$  can be rewritten in terms of the  $U_{TM_2}$ mixing matrix parameters and the lightest neutrino mass  $m_1$  as

$$|m_{\beta\beta}| = \left|\frac{2m_1}{3}\cos^2\theta + \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{m_1^2 + \Delta m_{21}^2}e^{\frac{i}{2}\alpha_{21}} + \frac{2}{3}\sin^2\theta\sqrt{m_1^2 + \Delta m_{31}^2}e^{\frac{i}{2}(\alpha_{31} - 2\gamma)}\right|$$
(III.24)

Taking into account the  $3\sigma$  ranges of the oscillation parameters  $\theta_{ij}$ ,  $\Delta m_{ij}^2$  as well as the restricted intervals of  $\theta$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $m_1$ , we show in the top right panel of Figure (5) the correlation between  $|m_{\beta\beta}|$  and the lightest neutrino mass  $m_1$ . The Majorana phases  $\alpha_{21}$  and  $\alpha_{31}$  are randomly varied within the interval  $[0 \rightarrow 2\pi]$ . The horizontal dashed lines represent



FIG. 5. Top left panel: Prediction for the absolute neutrino masses  $m_i$  and their sum  $\sum m_i$  as a function of  $m_1$ . The horizontal region is disfavored by Planck+BAO. Top right panel:  $m_\beta$  as a function of the lightest neutrino mass  $m_1$ . The vertical region is disfavored by Planck+BAO while the horizontal bound is the limit on  $m_\beta$  from KATRIN collaboration. Bottom panel: The effective Majorana mass  $|m_{\beta\beta}|$  as a function of the lightest neutrino mass  $m_1$ . The vertical bound represents the upper limit on the sum of the three light neutrino masses.

the limits on  $|m_{\beta\beta}|$  from current experiments on  $0\nu\beta\beta$  decay and the vertical bound is disfavored by the Planck+BAO data. Our findings reveal that the range of  $|m_{\beta\beta}|$  falls within 0.000567  $\leq |m_{\beta\beta}|$  (eV)  $\leq 0.022121$ . Notably, this region is below the experimental limits set by KamLAND-Zen [77], CUORE [78] and GERDA [79] experiments, which impose constraints on  $|m_{\beta\beta}| < (0.061 - 0.165)$  eV,  $|m_{\beta\beta}| < (0.075 - 0.35)$  eV and  $|m_{\beta\beta}| < (0.104 - 0.228)$  eV, respectively. Furthermore, upcoming experiments such as GERDA Phase II [80], nEXO [81], CUPID [82] and SNO+-II [83] have the potential to experimentally probe this range of values. These experiments aim to achieve sensitivities in the range of  $m_{\beta\beta} \sim (0.01 - 0.02)$  eV,  $|m_{\beta\beta}| \sim (0.006 - 0.017)$  eV,  $|m_{\beta\beta}| \sim (0.008 - 0.022)$  eV and  $|m_{\beta\beta}| \sim (0.02 - 0.07)$  eV, respectively. Therefore, these upcoming experiments hold the potential to verify and confirm the predicted values of  $|m_{\beta\beta}|$  within their respective sensitivities, thereby serving as crucial tests for the model.

# C. Direct determination of the neutrino mass by kinematics

Tritium beta decay experiments, which measure the end-point electron spectrum, provide the most sensitive method of determining the mass of the electron neutrino. These experiments constrain the effective electron neutrino mass, denoted as  $m_{\beta}$ , which can be expressed as  $m_{\beta} = \left(\sum_{i} |U_{ei}|^2 m_i^2\right)^{1/2}$ . By relating this mass in terms of the TM<sub>2</sub> parameters and the lightest neutrino mass  $m_1$ , we obtain the following relation

$$m_{\beta} = \left(\frac{2m_1^2}{3}\cos^2\theta + \frac{1}{3}(m_1^2 + \Delta m_{21}^2) + \frac{2}{3}\sin^2\theta(m_1^2 + \Delta m_{31}^2)e^{-i\gamma}\right)^{1/2}$$
(III.25)

With respect to the constrained ranges of the relevant parameters in the expression of  $m_{\beta}$ , we show in the bottom panel of Figure (5) the correlation between  $m_{\beta}$  and  $m_1$  where we find that  $m_{\beta}$  falls in the region 0.0091213  $\leq m_{\beta}$  (eV)  $\leq$ 0.023347. These predicted values are bellow the present upper limit  $m_{\beta} < 1.1$  eV provided by KATRIN experiment at 90% CL [84]. Furthermore, these values are significantly smaller compared to the forecasted sensitivities coming from the future  $\beta$ -decay experiments such as KATRIN (~ 0.2 eV) [85], Project 8 (~ 0.04 eV) [86] and HOLMES (~ 0.1 eV) [87]. If the effective electron neutrino mass is measured by any of these experiments, it would lead to the exclusion of the current model. Conversely, if none of these experiments are able to measure the effective electron neutrino mass  $m_{\beta}$ , the model's predictions for  $m_{\beta}$  could be investigated by future experiments aiming to achieve improved sensitivities around 0.01 eV.

# IV. LEPTOGENESIS

In this section, we shed light on the origin of the baryon asymmetry of the universe in our setup where we perform numerical analysis to investigate the model's implications for leptogenesis.

#### A. Baryogenesis through unflavored leptogenesis

The leptogenesis mechanism, first proposed by Fukugita and Yanagida [63], is one of the most attractive scenarios that can explain the origin of the baryon asymmetry of the universe. In this respect, the lepton asymmetry is generated by the decay of heavy right-handed neutrinos —which are naturally present in the type I seesaw framework— into lepton and Higgs particles. This created asymmetry is then transferred into the baryon sector through the so-called sphaleron processes. In this section, we compute the baryon asymmetry parameter within our framework through the decay of heavy singlet neutrinos  $N_i$  pursuing the following approaches

- The lepton asymmetry is generated through the out-of-equilibrium decay of all Majorana neutrinos, taking into consideration that the right-handed neutrino mass spectrum is not strongly hierarchical.
- The baryon asymmetry parameter is calculated within the unflavored approximation, where the generation of lepton asymmetry occurs at a temperature of the universe  $T \sim M_i \gtrsim 5 \times 10^{11} (1 + \tan^2 \beta)$  GeV. This approximation neglects flavor effects, treating all charged lepton flavors as indistinguishable.



FIG. 6. Majorana masses  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  as a function of the lightest Majorana mass  $M_3$ .

To proceed with the calculation of  $Y_B$  in our scenario, it is necessary to establish the RH neutrino mass spectrum and explain how the leptogenesis can be investigated within the unflavored regime. To achieve this, by using the expressions of the RH neutrino masses in eq. (II.17), we show in Figure (6) the correlation between the RH neutrino masses  $M_{1,2}$  and the lightest Majorana mass  $M_3$ . We observe that the RH neutrino mass spectrum in our model does not exhibit strong hierarchy  $(M_1 \sim M_2 \sim 3M_3)$ . Moreover, given that the majority of data points consistent with the observed neutrino oscillations lie above the limit  $M_i \gtrsim 10^{12}$ , the use of the unflavored approximation is favorable in our analysis. This approximation holds as long as the masses of the RH neutrinos satisfy the limit  $T \sim M_i \gtrsim 5 \times 10^{11} (1 + \tan^2 \beta)$  GeV. Specifically, for small values of  $\tan \beta = 3$ , the lower limit is estimated to be  $T \sim M_i \gtrsim 5.0 \times 10^{12}$  GeV.

To estimate the BAU produced in our model, we recall that the cosmological baryon asymmetry  $Y_B$  can be expressed as the ratio between the net baryon number density and the entropy density s of the universe as

$$Y_B = \frac{n_B - n_{\overline{B}}}{s} \tag{IV.26}$$

where  $n_B$  and  $n_{\overline{B}}$  are the number densities of baryons and anti-baryons respectively. The observed baryon asymmetry of the universe from Planck satellite is given by  $Y_B = (8.72 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-11}$  at the 1 $\sigma$  level [88]. Taking into consideration the significant contribution of each RH neutrino to the baryon asymmetry  $Y_B$ , it can be expressed in the following general form

$$Y_B = \sum_{i=1}^{3} Y_{B_i}$$
 (IV.27)

where the quantities  $Y_{B_i}$  correspond to the part of the baryon asymmetry produced by the *i*th RH neutrino. This can be formally expressed as [89]

$$Y_{B_i} = -2c_s \frac{n_{N_i}}{s} \epsilon_i \eta_{ii} \tag{IV.28}$$

where  $\epsilon_i$  is the *CP* asymmetry parameter produced in the decay of  $N_i^c$  and  $\eta_{ii}$  are the efficiency factors describing the fraction of the *CP* asymmetry that survives the washout by inverse decays and scattering processes,  $c_s$  is the fraction of the B-L asymmetry converted into baryon asymmetry by sphalerons ( $c_s = 32/92$  in the MSSM) and  $\frac{n_{N_i}}{s}$  is the number density of right-handed neutrinos normalized to the entropy density; it is defined as [90]

$$\frac{n_{N_i}}{s} = \frac{135\zeta(3)}{4\pi^4 g_*} \tag{IV.29}$$

where  $\zeta(3)$  is the Riemann zeta function and  $g_*$  is the number of spin-degrees of freedom in thermal equilibrium; in the MSSM we have  $g_* = 228.75$  [64, 91, 92]. Accordingly, the baryon asymmetry produced by the *i*th RH neutrino can be approximated as

$$Y_{B_i} \simeq -1.26 \times 10^{-3} \epsilon_i \eta_{ii} \tag{IV.30}$$

## **B.** Estimating *CP* asymmetry

The baryon asymmetry  $Y_{B_i}$  is mainly related to the two important quantities  $\epsilon_i$  and  $\eta_{ii}$  which are model dependent. When dealing with SUSY models, the *CP* asymmetry parameter  $\epsilon_i$  can be explicitly expressed in the unflavored approximation as

$$\epsilon_{N_i} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \sum_{j=1,2} \frac{Im \left[ \left( \mathcal{Y}_{\nu} \mathcal{Y}_{\nu}^{\dagger} \right)_{j3}^2 \right]}{\left( \mathcal{Y}_{\nu} \mathcal{Y}_{\nu}^{\dagger} \right)_{33}} f \left( \frac{M_j}{M_i} \right)$$
(IV.31)

where the loop function is defined as  $f(x) = \sqrt{x} (1 - (1 + x) \ln [(1 + x)/x])$  and  $\mathcal{Y}_{\nu}$  is the neutrino Yukawa coupling matrix in the basis where the Majorana mass matrix is diagonal. It is clear from eq. (IV.31) that the non vanishing CP asymmetry parameter  $\epsilon_{N_i}$  requires the off-diagonal entries of the product  $\mathcal{Y}_{\nu}\mathcal{Y}_{\nu}^{\dagger}$  to be simultaneously nonzero and complex. However, considering the Dirac Yukawa matrix in eq. (II.9), we find that the product  $\mathcal{Y}_{\nu}\mathcal{Y}_{\nu}^{\dagger}$  is proportional to the identity matrix. Therefore, the lepton asymmetry  $\epsilon_{N_i}$  vanishes and the baryon asymmetry can not be generated at LO in our model. Therefore, to ensure a non vanishing baryon asymmetry  $Y_B$ , higher order corrections to the Dirac mass matrix must be considered<sup>6</sup>.

To generate a sufficiently large baryon asymmetry  $Y_B$ , we introduce a new flavon field  $\omega$  which transforms as  $1_{+-}$ under  $D_4$  with zero U(1) charge. The latter gives rise the higher order correction  $\delta W_D$  given by<sup>7</sup>

$$\delta W_D = \frac{\lambda_9}{\Lambda} N_{3,2}^c L_{\mu,\tau} H_u \omega \tag{IV.32}$$

where  $\lambda_9$  is a complex coupling constant  $\lambda_9 = |\lambda_9| e^{i\phi_\omega}$ . When the singlet flavon field  $\omega$  acquires its VEV as  $\langle \omega \rangle = v_\omega$ , the total Dirac Yukawa mass matrix becomes

$$\mathcal{Y}_D = Y_D + \delta Y_D = \frac{m_D}{v_u} + \delta Y_D = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \lambda_1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_1 \end{pmatrix} + h e^{i\phi_\omega} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(IV.33)

where  $h = \frac{|\lambda_9| v_\omega}{\Lambda}$  is a free parameter which must be small in order to produce the correct BAU<sup>8</sup>. The total Yukawa neutrino mass matrix  $\mathcal{Y}_{\nu}$  relevant for the calculation of  $\epsilon_{N_i}$  is defined as  $\mathcal{Y}_{\nu} = \mathcal{U}_{\nu}^{\dagger} \mathcal{Y}_D$ . Thus, the analytic expressions for the *CP* asymmetry parameters  $\epsilon_{N_i}$  generated in the decays of RH neutrinos  $N_i$  are given approximately by

$$\epsilon_{N_1} = \frac{h^2}{9\pi} \cos^2 \phi_\omega \left[ \left( \cos^2(\theta) \sin^2\left(\frac{\alpha_{21} + 4\phi_\omega}{2}\right) \right) f\left(\frac{\tilde{m}_2}{\tilde{m}_1}\right) + \left( 2\sin^2\left(\frac{\alpha_{21} - 2\gamma}{2}\right) \sin^2(2\theta) \right) f\left(\frac{\tilde{m}_3}{\tilde{m}_1}\right) \right]$$

$$\epsilon_{N_2} = \frac{h^2}{9\pi} \cos^2 \phi_\omega \left[ \left( \cos^2(\theta) \sin^2\left(\frac{\alpha_{21}}{2}\right) \right) f\left(\frac{\tilde{m}_1}{\tilde{m}_2}\right) + \left( \sin^2\left(\frac{\alpha_{21} - \alpha_{31} + 2\gamma}{2}\right) \sin^2(\theta) \right) f\left(\frac{\tilde{m}_3}{\tilde{m}_2}\right) \right]$$

$$\epsilon_{N_3} = \frac{h^2}{9\pi} \cos^2 \phi_\omega \left[ \left( 2\sin^2(2\theta) \sin^2\left(\frac{\alpha_{31} - 2\sigma}{2}\right) \right) f\left(\frac{\tilde{m}_1}{\tilde{m}_3}\right) + \left( \sin^2\left(\frac{\alpha_{21} - \alpha_{31} + 2\gamma}{2}\right) \sin^2(\theta) \right) f\left(\frac{\tilde{m}_2}{\tilde{m}_3}\right) \right]$$

$$(W \ \psi^{\dagger})$$

where  $\tilde{m}_i$  are the washout mass parameters expressed as  $\tilde{m}_i = v_u^2 \frac{(\mathcal{Y}_v \mathcal{Y}_v)_{ii}}{M_i}$ . Now we turn to discuss the the efficiency factors  $\eta_{ii}$  necessary for estimating the baryon asymmetry  $Y_B$ . In general, its computation requires numerical solution of the Boltzmann equations. However, as the RH neutrino masses are taken in our scenario to be smaller than  $10^{14}$  GeV, possible washout effects from  $\Delta L = 2$  scattering processes are out of equilibrium. As a result, the efficiency factors  $\eta_{ii}$  can be approximated as a function of the washout mass parameter  $\tilde{m}_i$  as [95]

$$\eta_{ii} \approx \left(\frac{3.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{eV}}{\tilde{m}_i} + \left(\frac{\tilde{m}_i}{0.55 \times 10^{-3} \text{eV}}\right)^{1.16}\right)^{-1}$$
(IV.35)

Note here that the smallness of the parameter  $h \ll \lambda_1$  implies that the washout mass parameters and the neutrino masses become approximately equal  $\tilde{m}_i \approx m_i$ . As a result, the efficiency factors are functions of the light neutrino masses  $m_i$  as  $\eta_{ii}(\tilde{m}_i) \approx \eta_{ii}(m_i)$ .

From the previous formulation of the CP asymmetry parameters  $\epsilon_{N_i}$  in eq. (IV.34) and the efficiency factors  $\eta_{ii}$  as shown above, it can be seen that the total baryon asymmetry  $Y_B$  depends mainly on the parameters resulting from the correction  $\delta W_D$  in the Dirac mass matrix —namely the parameter h and the phase  $\phi_{\omega}$ , which serves as a new source of CP violation— as well as on the trimaximal parameters  $\theta$  and  $\gamma$ , the light neutrino masses  $m_i$  and the Majorana phases  $\alpha_{31}$  and  $\alpha_{21}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> In models with a twofold degenerate Dirac mass matrix  $m_D = diag(a, b, b)$ , the lepton asymmetries  $\varepsilon_{N_i}$  are not vanishing and the correct amount of lepton asymmetry depends on  $\varepsilon_{N_i} \sim (|b|^2 - |a|^2)^2$ . A detailed calculation of the baryon asymmetry of the universe in the case of Dirac neutrino mass matrix  $M_D$  with two degenerate eigenvalues is performed in Ref. [93]. This study is performed within  $Z_2$ model [94] and  $D_4$  model [44].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> We should mention that the Dirac Yukawa couplings at NLO of the form  $\frac{\lambda_{ij}}{\Lambda}N_i^c L_j H_u F_1$  and  $\frac{\lambda_{ij}}{\Lambda^2}N_i^c L_j H_u F_1 F_2$  where  $F_1, F_2 = \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3, \eta, \sigma, \phi, \chi, \psi$  are forbidden by  $D_4 \times U(1)$  flavor symmetry. Moreover, the contribution of 7-dimensional operators of the form  $\frac{\lambda_{ij}}{\Lambda^3}N_i^c L_j H_u F_1 F_2 F_3$  are expected to be too small and consequently the *CP* asymmetry parameter  $\epsilon_{N_i}$  is strongly suppressed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Notice that the contribution  $\delta W_D$  in the Dirac mass matrix is small compared to the leading order contribution and will not have provide any significant effect on the results obtained regarding the neutrino sector.

# C. Numerical analysis

To estimate the total baryon asymmetry  $Y_B$  in our model, we use the range of the parameters  $\theta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $m_i$ ,  $\alpha_{31}$ and  $\alpha_{21}$  allowed by neutrino experiments in the NH case. Furthermore, since the remaining parameters h and the phase  $\phi_{\omega}$  are not affected by neutrino oscillation data, we explore their entire ranges of  $[-0.1 \rightarrow 0.1]$  and  $[0 \rightarrow 2\pi]$ respectively. To visualize the correlation between the total baryon asymmetry  $Y_B$  and the parameter h, we present a plot in the right panel of Figure (7), where the color palette represents the deviation parameter k. We find that the current observation of the baryon asymmetry  $Y_B$  leads to a narrow constraint on the parameter h, which falls within the interval of  $[-0.015 \rightarrow -0.0008] \cup [0.0008 \rightarrow 0.015]$ . Meanwhile, the region for the deviation parameter kremains unchanged, as discussed in the previous section. To analyze the individual contributions  $Y_{B_i}$  of the *i*th RH



FIG. 7. Left panel: the total baryon asymmetry  $Y_B$  as a function of  $Y_{B_1}$  (green points),  $Y_{B_2}$  (red points) and  $Y_{B_3}$  (brown points). Right panel: correlation between  $Y_B$  and the parameter h, the palette corresponds to the deviation parameter k. The horizontal blue band corresponds to the Planck bound.

neutrino to the total baryon asymmetry  $Y_B$ , we present a plot in the left panel of Figure (7) showing the dependence of  $Y_B$  on the individual parts  $Y_{B_i}$ . We observe that  $Y_{B_1}$ , originating from the decay of the first RH neutrino, dominates the baryon asymmetry  $Y_B$ . Meanwhile, the contribution  $Y_{B_3}$ , arising from the lightest RH neutrino decay, is consistently smaller than both  $Y_{B_1}$  and  $Y_{B_2}$ . For instance, when considering the value  $Y_B \simeq 8.7007 \times 10^{-11}$ , which satisfies the experimental observations, it corresponds to the three contributions  $Y_{B_1} \simeq 6.71601 \times 10^{-11}$ ,  $Y_{B_2} \simeq$  $1.97635 \times 10^{-11}$ ,  $Y_{B_3} \simeq 0.00832 \times 10^{-11}$ .

The baryon asymmetry  $Y_B$  depends on two types of phases; the low energy CP phases  $\delta_{CP}$ ,  $\alpha_{31}$  and  $\alpha_{21}$  contained in the lepton mixing matrix and the high energy CP phase  $\phi_{\omega}$  originated from the complex coupling constant  $\lambda_9$  in the Dirac mass matrix. Therefore, in Figure (8), we plot the total baryon asymmetry  $Y_B$  against the four phases  $\delta_{CP}$ ,  $\alpha_{31}$ ,  $\alpha_{21}$  and  $\phi_{\omega}$ . We observe that the hole inserted interval of the low energy phases phases  $\delta_{CP}$ ,  $\alpha_{31}$  and  $\alpha_{21}$  including its CP conserving values is consistent with the observations. On the other hand, as shown in the bottom right panel of Figure (8), the region of the high energy phase  $\phi_{\omega}$  varies within the interval  $[0, 2\pi]$ , with the CP conserving values  $\phi_{\omega} = \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}$ , and the surrounding regions being excluded. Therefore, the contribution of the high energy CP phase  $\phi_{\omega}$  in  $Y_B$  plays a subdominant role in the production of baryon asymmetry compatible with the observations

Given that the total baryon asymmetry  $Y_B$  and the effective Majorana mass  $m_{\beta\beta}$  are both sensitive to the Majorana phases, we show in the top left panel of Figure (9) the correlation between these two observables. We observe that  $m_{\beta\beta}$  maintains the same interval as obtained in the previous section and has several values that produce the correct baryon asymmetry. In addition, we plot in Figure (9) the baryon asymmetry  $Y_B$  versus the lightest neutrino mass  $m_1$  (top right panel) and the lightest RH neutrino mass  $M_3$  (bottom panel). We observe that the obtained ranges of  $m_1$  and  $M_3$  are consistent with the observed baryon asymmetry.



FIG. 8. The baryon asymmetry  $Y_B$  as a function of low energy and high energy phases. The top-left and -right panels show respectively  $Y_B$  versus Majorana phases  $\alpha_{31}$  and  $\alpha_{21}$ . The bottom-left panel shows  $Y_B$  versus Dirac phase  $\delta_{CP}$ . The bottomright panel shows  $Y_B$  versus the high energy phase  $\phi_{\omega}$ . The total horizontal blue band correspond to the Planck bound.

# V. CONCLUSION

We have presented a new and a predictive model based on  $D_4 \times U(1)$  flavor symmetry. Through an analytical and numerical analysis, we have shown that the model is able to successfully account for the observed neutrino masses, mixing angles, and the baryon asymmetry of the universe simultaneously. The model leads to a diagonal charged lepton and Dirac neutrino mass matrices, together with a heavy Majorana neutrino mass matrix. Using the type I seesaw mechanism, a neutrino mass matrix with broken  $\mu - \tau$  symmetry emerges naturally, giving rise to predictive features concerning the neutrino mixing angles. Furthermore, using the  $3\sigma$  experimental regions of the neutrino oscillation parameters, we constrained the model parameter space where our analysis showed that the model has imperative predictions for neutrino masses and mixing. Our findings indicate that our model aligns with the observed data exclusively in the NH scheme, with the atmospheric angle  $\theta_{23}$  lies in the lower octant. A comprehensive investigation of the neutrino mass scale. Our study involved generating scatter plots to make several predictions, with the significant finding being that the effective Majorana neutrino mass,  $m_{\beta\beta}$ , falls within the range [0.567, 22.121] meV. This region can be tested in upcoming neutrinoless double beta decay experiments.

On the other hand, to account for the baryon asymmetry, we have investigated the leptogenesis from the decay of all three RH neutrinos  $N_i$ . Given that the baryon asymmetry cannot be generated at LO, we have introduced NLO correction to the Dirac Yukawa matrix involving a new flavon field  $\omega$ . This correction did not have a significant impact on the neutrino sector, however, it did lead to the emergence of a high-energy phase  $\phi_{\omega}$ , which introduced a new source of CP violation. Through a scatter plot, we have shown that the RH neutrino masses are not highly hierarchical ( $M_1 \sim M_2 \sim 3M_3$ ) and that most of the data points that satisfy the observed neutrino oscillation fall above the  $M_i \gtrsim 10^{12}$  bound. Therefore, we have estimated the baryon asymmetry parameter  $Y_B$  in the unflavored



FIG. 9. Scatter plot of the total baryon asymmetry  $Y_B$  against the effective Majorana mass  $m_{\beta\beta}$  (top-left panel), the lightest neutrino mass  $m_1$  (top-right panel) and the lightest RH neutrino mass  $M_3$  (bottom panel). The total horizontal blue band correspond to the Planck bound.

approximation. The numerical results of the baryon asymmetry  $Y_B$  have been illustrated through several plots, revealing that  $Y_{B_1}$ , arising from the RH neutrino  $N_1$ , constitutes the most significant contribution to the total baryon asymmetry  $Y_B$ . Nevertheless, we emphasized that the contributions of  $Y_{B_2}$  and  $Y_{B_3}$  should not be neglected, as they play a crucial role in the calculation of the BAU in agreement with the Planck limit. Finally, by varying all parameters in their allowed ranges, we have shown that the high energy phase  $\phi_{\omega}$  varies within the interval  $[0, 2\pi]$  while the CPconserving values  $\phi_{\omega} = \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}$  and the regions around them are excluded. Therefore, the high energy CP phase  $\phi_{\omega}$ emerges as a new source of CP violation needed to generate BAU in the current model.

# Appendix A: Dihedral $D_4$ group

The dihedral discrete group  $D_4$  is a finite group that is generated by two non commuting elements S and T satisfying the relations  $S^4 = T^2 = Id$  and STS = T. This group has five irreducible representations; four singlets denoted as  $1_{+,+}$ ,  $1_{+,-}$ ,  $1_{-,+}$  and  $1_{-,-}$ , and one doublet  $2_{0,0}$  where the sum of their squared dimensions equal to the order of the  $D_4$  group through the formula  $1_{+,+}^2 + 1_{+,-}^2 + 1_{-,+}^2 + 2_{0,0} = 8$ . We should mention that the indices of irreducible representations refer to their characters under the two generators S and T as in the following Table [96]

$\chi_{R_i}$	$\chi_{2_{0,0}}$	$\chi_{1_{+,+}}$	$\chi_{1_{+,-}}$	$\chi_{1_{-,+}}$	$\chi_{1_{-,-}}$
Т	0	+1	+1	-1	-1
S	0	+1	-1	+1	-1

Concerning the tensor products among the irreducible representations of  $D_4$ . The tensor product between two  $D_4$ doublets  $(x_1, x_2)^T$  and  $(y_1, y_2)^T$  is decomposed into a sum of the four singlet representations of  $D_4$  as

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}_{2_{0,0}} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}_{2_{0,0}} = (x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1)_{1_{+,+}} \oplus (x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2)_{1_{+,-}} \oplus (x_1 y_1 - x_2 y_2)_{1_{-,+}} \\ \oplus (x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1)_{1_{-,-}}$$
(A.2)

whereas the tensor products among the singlet representations can be expressed as

$$1_{i,j} \otimes 1_{k,l} = 1_{ik,jl} \quad \text{with} \quad i,j,k,l = \pm$$
(A.3)

# Appendix B: Vacuum alignments for flavon doublets

In this appendix we discuss the minimization of the scalar superpotential leading to the alignments of the flavon doublet VEVs. These VEV alignments are necessary to achieve the desired structures of the charged lepton and neutrino mass matrices in our model. As discussed in [97], the choice of the flavon field directions can be realized by introducing extra scalar fields with vanishing VEVs called "driving fields". This approach employs the continuous  $U(1)_R$  symmetry under which the Higgs and flavon fields have zero charge, the matter fields carry charge +1 while the additional driving fields carry charge +2. Accordingly, all terms in the superpotential either contain two matter superfields or one driving field. Therefore, it is clear that the superpotentials  $W_l$  and  $W_{\nu}$  in Eqs. (II.1) and (II.6) are also invariant under the  $U(1)_R$  symmetry. Following this approach, we introduce two driving fields  $F^0$  and  $\Omega^0$  which transform under  $(D_4, U(1))$  as

$$F^0 \sim (1_{-,+}, -1) \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega^0 \sim (1_{-,-}, -4)$$
(B.1)

These scalar fields are assumed to have vanishing VEVs while they are responsible for aligning the flavon doublets contributing to the charged lepton and neutrino sectors. Under these assumptions, the renormalizable superpotential involving the driving fields necessary for aligning the flavon doublets is given by

$$\mathcal{W}_{s} = y_{1} \mathcal{F}^{0} \chi \psi + y_{2} \Omega^{0} \sigma \eta + y_{3} \Omega^{0} \rho_{2} \rho_{3} + y_{4} \Omega^{0} \sigma^{2} + y_{5} \Omega^{0} \eta^{2}$$
(B.2)

where  $y_{1,2,3}$  are the coupling constants with absolute values of order one. The flavon doublets are expressed in terms of  $D_4$  components as  $\chi = (\chi_1, \chi_2)^T$ ,  $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2)^T$ ,  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2)^T$  and  $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2)^T$ . Therefore, using  $D_4$  tensor product the superpotential  $\mathcal{W}_s$  is expressed as

$$\mathcal{W}_{s} = y_{1} \mathcal{F}^{0}(\chi_{1}\psi_{1} - \chi_{2}\psi_{2}) + y_{2}\Omega^{0}(\sigma_{1}\eta_{2} - \sigma_{2}\eta_{1}) + y_{3}\Omega^{0}\rho_{2}\rho_{3} + y_{4}\Omega^{0}(\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2} - \sigma_{2}\sigma_{1}) + y_{5}\Omega^{0}(\eta_{1}\eta_{2} - \eta_{2}\eta_{1})$$
(B.3)

In the limit of unbroken supersymmetry, the vacuum of the flavons is aligned by setting the F-terms of the driving fields  $F^0$  and  $\Omega^0$  to zero as

....

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial F^0} = y_1(\chi_1\psi_1 - \chi_2\psi_2) = 0 \tag{B.4}$$

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial \Omega^0} = y_2(\sigma_1 \eta_2 - \sigma_2 \eta_1) + y_3 \rho_2 \rho_3 + y_4(\sigma_1 \sigma_2 - \sigma_2 \sigma_1) + y_5(\eta_1 \eta_2 - \eta_2 \eta_1) = 0$$
(B.5)

The first equation involves only doublet flavons which contribute to the charged lepton masses. It allows clearly for three non trivial solutions given by

(1) : 
$$\langle \chi \rangle = (v_{\chi}, v_{\chi})^T$$
 ;  $\langle \psi \rangle = (v_{\psi}, v_{\psi})^T$   
(2) :  $\langle \chi \rangle = (0, v_{\chi})^T$  ;  $\langle \psi \rangle = (v_{\psi}, 0)^T$   
(3) :  $\langle \chi \rangle = (v_{\chi}, 0)^T$  ;  $\langle \psi \rangle = (0, v_{\psi})^T$ 
(B.6)

The first and the second solutions leads to an inconsistent results concerning the charged lepton masses. In fact, the first configuration of VEV leads to vanishing mass  $m_{\mu} = 0$ , this outcome arises due to the specific form of the charged lepton Yukawa matrix obtained in this scenario, which is given by the following expression

$$\mathcal{Y}_{L} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{e} \frac{v_{\phi}}{\Lambda} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \lambda_{\mu} \frac{v_{\chi}}{\Lambda} & \lambda_{\mu} \frac{v_{\chi}}{\Lambda}\\ 0 & \lambda_{\tau} \frac{v_{\psi}}{\Lambda} & \lambda_{\tau} \frac{v_{\psi}}{\Lambda} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (B.7)

The second solution in eq. (B.6) leads to the charged lepton Yukawa matrix given by

$$\mathcal{Y}_{L} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{e} \frac{\upsilon_{\phi}}{\Lambda} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_{\mu} \frac{\upsilon_{\chi}}{\Lambda}\\ 0 & \lambda_{\tau} \frac{\upsilon_{\psi}}{\Lambda} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (B.8)

this matrix induces the equality between  $m_{\mu} = m_{\tau}$ . Conversely, the last VEV configuration which is the one used in our setup leads to diagonal charged lepton Yukawa matrix; see eq. (II.3) with three hierarchical masses  $m_e < m_{\mu} < m_{\tau}$ . On the other side, we find that the Eq. (B.5) admits 6 non trivial solutions for the vacuums  $\langle \eta \rangle$  and  $\langle \sigma \rangle$  which lead to different Majorana mass matrices. They are listed as follows

• (1): 
$$\langle \eta \rangle = (v_{\eta}, v_{\eta})^{T}, \quad \langle \sigma \rangle = (0, v_{\sigma})^{T} \text{ with } v_{\eta} = \frac{y_{3}v_{\rho_{2}}v_{\rho_{3}}}{y_{2}v_{\sigma}}:$$
  

$$m_{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{3}\rho_{1} & \lambda_{5}\eta & \lambda_{5}\eta \\ \lambda_{5}\eta & 0 & 2\lambda_{4}\rho_{1} \\ \lambda_{5}\eta & 2\lambda_{4}\rho_{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \lambda_{6}\sigma \\ 0 & \lambda_{7}\rho_{2} - \lambda_{8}\rho_{3} & 0 \\ \lambda_{6}\sigma & 0 & \lambda_{7}\rho_{2} + \lambda_{8}\rho_{3} \end{pmatrix}$$
(B.9)

• (2): 
$$\langle \eta \rangle = (0, \upsilon_{\eta})^{T}, \quad \langle \sigma \rangle = (\upsilon_{\sigma}, \upsilon_{\sigma})^{T} \text{ with } \upsilon_{\eta} = -\frac{y_{3}\upsilon_{\rho_{2}}\upsilon_{\rho_{3}}}{y_{2}\upsilon_{\sigma}}$$
:  

$$m_{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{3}\rho_{1} & \lambda_{6}\sigma & \lambda_{6}\sigma \\ \lambda_{6}\sigma & 0 & 2\lambda_{4}\rho_{1} \\ \lambda_{6}\sigma & 2\lambda_{4}\rho_{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \lambda_{5}\eta \\ 0 & \lambda_{7}\rho_{2} - \lambda_{8}\rho_{3} & 0 \\ \lambda_{5}\eta & 0 & \lambda_{7}\rho_{2} + \lambda_{8}\rho_{3} \end{pmatrix}$$
(B.10)

• (3): 
$$\langle \eta \rangle = (v_{\eta}, 0)^T$$
,  $\langle \sigma \rangle = (v_{\sigma}, v_{\sigma})^T$  with  $v_{\eta} = \frac{y_3 v_{\rho_2} v_{\rho_3}}{y_2 v_{\sigma}}$ :

$$m_M = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_3 \rho_1 & \lambda_6 \sigma & \lambda_6 \sigma \\ \lambda_6 \sigma & 0 & 2\lambda_4 \rho_1 \\ \lambda_6 \sigma & 2\lambda_4 \rho_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda_5 \eta & 0 \\ \lambda_5 \eta & \lambda_7 \rho_2 - \lambda_8 \rho_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_7 \rho_2 + \lambda_8 \rho_3 \end{pmatrix}$$
(B.11)

• 
$$(4): \langle \eta \rangle = (\upsilon_{\eta}, \upsilon_{\eta})^{T}, \quad \langle \sigma \rangle = (\upsilon_{\sigma}, 0)^{T} \text{ with } \upsilon_{\eta} = -\frac{y_{3}\upsilon_{\rho_{2}}\upsilon_{\rho_{3}}}{y_{2}\upsilon_{\sigma}}:$$
  

$$m_{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{3}\rho_{1} & \lambda_{5}\eta & \lambda_{5}\eta \\ \lambda_{5}\eta & 0 & 2\lambda_{4}\rho_{1} \\ \lambda_{5}\eta & 2\lambda_{4}\rho_{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda_{6}\sigma & 0 \\ \lambda_{6}\sigma & \lambda_{7}\rho_{2} - \lambda_{8}\rho_{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_{7}\rho_{2} + \lambda_{8}\rho_{3} \end{pmatrix}$$
(B.12)

• (5): 
$$\langle \eta \rangle = (\upsilon_{\eta}, 0)^T$$
,  $\langle \sigma \rangle = (0, \upsilon_{\sigma})^T$  with  $\upsilon_{\eta} = \frac{y_3 \upsilon_{\rho_2} \upsilon_{\rho_3}}{y_2 \upsilon_{\sigma}}$ :

$$m_M = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_3 \rho_1 & \lambda_5 \eta & \lambda_6 \sigma \\ \lambda_5 \eta & \lambda_7 \rho_2 - \lambda_8 \rho_3 & 2\lambda_4 \rho_1 \\ \lambda_6 \sigma & 2\lambda_4 \rho_1 & \lambda_7 \rho_2 + \lambda_8 \rho_3 \end{pmatrix}$$
(B.13)

• (6):  $\langle \eta \rangle = (0, \upsilon_{\eta})^T$ ,  $\langle \sigma \rangle = (\upsilon_{\sigma}, 0)^T$  with  $\upsilon_{\eta} = -\frac{y_3 \upsilon_{\rho_2} \upsilon_{\rho_3}}{y_2 \upsilon_{\sigma}}$ :

$$m_M = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_3 \rho_1 & \lambda_6 \sigma & \lambda_5 \eta \\ \lambda_6 \sigma & \lambda_7 \rho_2 - \lambda_8 \rho_3 & 2\lambda_4 \rho_1 \\ \lambda_5 \eta & 2\lambda_4 \rho_1 & \lambda_7 \rho_2 + \lambda_8 \rho_3 \end{pmatrix}$$
(B.14)

The Majorana matrices rise from these VEV configurations satisfy the broken  $\mu - \tau$ , leading to consistent predictions on the mixing angles. Specifically, we have selected the VEV directions as given in (1) along with the following singlet VEVs

$$\langle \rho_2 \rangle = v_{\rho_2} \quad , \quad \langle \rho_3 \rangle = v_{\rho_3}, \quad \langle \eta \rangle = (v_\eta, v_\eta)^T, \quad \langle \sigma \rangle = (0, v_\sigma)^T$$
(B.15)

These VEVs constitute a stable solution for the second equation in Eq. (B.5). Furthermore, the VEV of the flavon  $\eta$  is related to the remaining flavon VEVs by the relation

$$\upsilon_{\eta} = \frac{y_3 \upsilon_{\rho_2} \upsilon_{\rho_3}}{y_2 \upsilon_{\sigma}} \tag{B.16}$$

This particular VEV configuration is well-suited for implementing the trimaximal mixing scheme  $TM_2$  in the neutrino sector by introducing a perturbation matrix  $\delta m_1$ , as shown in Eq. (II.11). On the other hand, based on the obtained ranges of the free parameters in Eq. (II.20), we conclude that all flavon fields introduced in the neutrino sector have the same order of magnitude. In that regard, the relation (B.16) emerged from the minimization condition for the field  $\Omega^0$  implies that the flavon fields are similar to each other in magnitude which is in agreement with our results. On the other hand, there is no correlation between the flavon VEVs  $v_{\chi}$  and  $v_{\psi}$  as indicated by Eq. (B.4). This is reasonable since they respectively affect the second and third generations of charged leptons, which have a hierarchical structure.

## Appendix C: Implication of NLO correction $\delta W_D$

The hierarchy of the three lightest neutrino masses  $m_i$  is determined in our model by the heavy Majorana masses  $M_i$  as  $|m_i| = \frac{(\lambda_1 v_u)^2}{M_i}$ . In our study of leptogenesis, we have considered a NLO correction term  $\delta W_D = \frac{\lambda_0}{\Lambda} N_{3,2}^c L_{\mu,\tau} H_u \omega$  which involves the new flavon field  $\omega$ . Thus, the resulting neutrino mass matrix can be expressed as  $m'_{\nu} = m_{\nu} + \delta m_{\nu}$  where  $m_{\nu}$  is the neutrino mass matrix at leading order while  $\delta m_{\nu}$  is the correction given by

$$\delta m_{\nu} = \frac{v_{u}^{2}h\lambda_{1}}{H\Lambda} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -(b^{2}-bk+ab-k^{2}) & -(a+b)(b+k) \\ -(b^{2}-bk+ab-k^{2}) & (2a^{2}-2b^{2}+2ab-2bk) & (2b^{2}+k^{2}-ak+2bk) \\ -(a+b)(b+k) & (2b^{2}+k^{2}-ak+2bk) & (2a^{2}-2b^{2}+2ab-2bk) \end{pmatrix} \\ + \frac{v_{u}^{2}h^{2}}{H\Lambda} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (b^{2}-ak) & (a^{2}-b^{2}+ab-bk) \\ 0 & (a^{2}-b^{2}+ab-bk) & (b^{2}+k^{2}+2bk) \end{pmatrix}$$
(C.1)

The neutrino masses taking into account the correction  $\delta m_{\nu}$  are given approximately as

$$m_{1}' \simeq \frac{(\lambda_{1}v_{u})^{2}}{M_{1}} = m_{1}$$

$$m_{2}' \simeq \frac{v_{u}^{2}(\lambda_{1}+h)^{2}}{M_{2}} = m_{2} + 2\frac{v_{u}^{2}\lambda_{1}h}{M_{2}} + \frac{v_{u}^{2}h^{2}}{M_{2}}$$

$$m_{3}' \simeq \frac{v_{u}^{2}(\lambda_{1}-h)^{2}}{M_{3}} = m_{3} - 2\frac{v_{u}^{2}\lambda_{1}h}{M_{3}} + \frac{v_{u}^{2}h^{2}}{M_{3}}$$
(C.2)

Given that the parameter h has an origin related to an NLO correction term, it is expected to be small. In fact, our analysis reveals that to generate the observed baryon asymmetry, the parameter h falls within the interval  $|h| \in [0.008 \rightarrow 0.015]$ . As a result, the contributions  $\pm 2 \frac{v_u^2 \lambda_1 h}{M_i} + \frac{v_u^2 h^2}{M_i}$  are negligible compared to the LO contributions that are primarily responsible for the neutrino masses. Accordingly, the correction  $\delta m_{\nu}$  will not provide any significant impact on the mixing angles  $\theta_{ij}$  which are mainly derived from Majorana matrix within TM<sub>2</sub>.

Super-Kamiokande Collaboration, Evidence for oscillation of atmospheric neutrinos, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998) 1562 [hep-ex/9807003].

- [2] SNO Collaboration, Direct evidence for neutrino favor transformation from neutral current interactions in the Sudbury Neutrino Observatory, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 011301[nucl-ex/0204008].
- [3] KamLAND Collaboration, First results from KamLAND: evidence for reactor anti-neutrino disappearance, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 (2003) 021802 [hep-ex/0212021].
- [4] T. Kajita, Nobel Lecture: Discovery of atmospheric neutrino oscillations, Rev. Mod. Phys. 88 (2016) 030501.
- [5] A.B. McDonald, Nobel Lecture: The Sudbury Neutrino Observatory: Observation of flavor change for solar neutrinos, Rev. Mod. Phys. 88 (2016) 030502.
- [6] P. Minkowski,  $\mu \to e\gamma$  at a rate of one out of 10<sup>9</sup> muon decays?, Phys. Lett. B **67** (1977) 421.
- [7] T. Yanagida, Horizontal gauge symmetry and masses of neutrinos, Conf. Proc. C 7902131 (1979) 95.
- [8] T. Yanagida, Horizontal Symmetry and Masses of Neutrinos, Prog. Theor. Phys. 64 (1980) 1103.
- [9] M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond and R. Slansky, Complex spinors and unified theories, Conf. Proc. C 790927 (1979) 315 [arXiv:1306.4669].
- [10] R.N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanović, Neutrino mass and spontaneous parity nonconservation, Phys. Rev. Lett. 44 (1980) 912.
- [11] I. Esteban, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, T. Schwetz, and A Zhou, The fate of hints: updated global analysis of three-flavor neutrino oscillations, JHEP 09 (2020) 178 [arXiv:2007.14792].
- [12] J.D. Bjorken, P.F. Harrison and W.G. Scott, Simplified unitarity triangles for the lepton sector, Phys. Rev. D 74 (2006) 073012 [arXiv:0511201].
- [13] Z.-z. Xing and S. Zhou, Tri-bimaximal Neutrino Mixing and Flavor-dependent Resonant Leptogenesis, Phys. Lett. B 653 (2007) 278 [arXiv:0607302].
- [14] X.-G. He and A. Zee, Minimal modification to the tri-bimaximal neutrino mixing, Phys. Lett. B 645 (2007) 427 [arXiv:0607163].
- [15] W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, A Model for trimaximal lepton mixing, JHEP 09 (2008) 106 [arXiv:0809.0226].
- [16] W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, A three-parameter neutrino mass matrix with maximal CP violation, Phys. Lett. B 671 (2009) 456 [arXiv:0810.4516].
- [17] C.H. Albright and W. Rodejohann, Comparing Trimaximal Mixing and Its Variants with Deviations from Tri-bimaximal Mixing, Eur. Phys. J. C 62 (2009) 599 [arXiv:0812.0436].
- [18] C.H. Albright, A. Dueck and W. Rodejohann, Possible alternatives to tri-bimaximal mixing, Eur. Phys. J. C 70 (2010) 1099 [arXiv:1004.2798].
- [19] G. Altarelli and F. Feruglio, Tri-bimaximal neutrino mixing, A(4) and the modular symmetry, Nucl. Phys. B 741 (2006) 215–235, [arXiv:0512103].
- [20] G. Altarelli and F. Feruglio, Tri-bimaximal neutrino mixing from discrete symmetry in extra dimensions, Nucl. Phys. B 720 (2005) 64–88, [arXiv:0504165].
- [21] E. Ma, A(4) symmetry and neutrinos with very different masses, Phys. Rev. D 70 (2004) 031901, [arXiv:0404199].
- [22] G. Altarelli and D. Meloni, A Simplest A4 Model for Tri-Bimaximal Neutrino Mixing, J. Phys. G 36 (2009) 085005, [arXiv:0905.0620].
- [23] P. F. Harrison, D. H. Perkins, and W. G. Scott, Tri-bimaximal mixing and the neutrino oscillation data, Phys. Lett. B 530 (2002) 167, [arXiv:0202074].
- [24] P. F. Harrison and W. G. Scott, Symmetries and generalizations of tri-bimaximal neutrino mixing, Phys. Lett. B 535 (2002) 163–169, [arXiv:0203209].
- [25] Double Chooz Collaboration, Y. Abe et al., Indication for the disappearance of reactor electron antineutrinos in the Double Chooz experiment, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 (2012) 131801, [arXiv:1112.6353].
- [26] Daya Bay Collaboration, F. P. An et al., Observation of electron-antineutrino disappearance at Daya Bay, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 (2012) 171803, arXiv:1203.1669 [hep-ex].
- [27] RENO Collaboration, J. K. Ahn et al., Observation of Reactor Electron Antineutrino Disappearance in the RENO Experiment, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 (2012) 191802, [arXiv:1204.0626].
- [28] G. C. Branco, R. Gonzalez Felipe, F. R. Joaquim, and H. Serodio, Spontaneous leptonic CP violation and nonzero  $\theta_{13}$ , Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012) 076008, [arXiv:1203.2646].
- [29] C.-C. Li, J.-N. Lu, and G.-J. Ding, A<sub>4</sub> and CP symmetry and a model with maximal CP violation, Nucl. Phys. B 913 (2016) 110–131, [arXiv:1608.01860]
- [30] R. Ahl Laamara, M. A. Loualidi, M. Miskaoui, and E. H. Saidi, Hybrid seesaw neutrino model in SUSY SU(5) × A<sub>4</sub>, Pys. Rev.D 98 (2018) 015004

- [31] T. Araki, J. Mei, and Z.-z. Xing, Intrinsic Deviation from the Tri-bimaximal Neutrino Mixing in a Class of A4 Flavor Models, Phys. Lett. B 695 (2011) 165–168, [arXiv:1010.3065].
- [32] A. Blum, C. Hagedorn and M. Lindner, Fermion masses and mixings from dihedral flavor symmetries with preserved subgroups, Phys. Rev.D 77 (2008) 076004 [arXiv:0709.3450]
- [33] J.-N. Lu and G.-J. Ding, Dihedral flavor group as the key to understand quark and lepton flavor mixing, JHEP 03 (2019) 056 [arXiv:1901.07414]
- [34] R. Ahl Laamara, M.A. Loualidi, M. Miskaoui and E.H. Saidi, Fermion masses and mixing in  $SU(5) \times D_4 \times U(1)$  model, Nucl. Phys. B 916 (2017) 430-462
- [35] M. Miskaoui and M.A. Loualidi, Leptogenesis, Fermion Masses and Mixings in a SUSY SU(5) GUT with D<sub>4</sub> Flavor Symmetry, JHEP 11 (2021) 147 [arXiv:2106.07332].
- [36] M. Miskaoui and M.A. Loualidi, Unflavored Leptogenesis and Neutrino Masses in Flavored SUSY SU(5) model, [arXiv: 2206.01052].
- [37] L. Wolfenstein, Parametrization of the Kobayashi-Maskawa Matrix, Phys. Rev. Lett. 51 1945 (1983).
- [38] P. Ko, T. Kobayashi, J.h. Park and S. Raby, String-derived D<sub>4</sub> flavor symmetry and phenomenological implications, Phys. Rev. D 76 (2007) 03500512.
- [39] T. Kobayashi, S. Raby, R.J. Zhang, Searching for realistic 4d string models with a Pati-Salam symmetry-Orbifold grand unified theories from heterotic string compactification on a Z6 orbifold, Phys. Lett. B 593 (2004) 262 [arXiv:0409098].
- [40] T. Kobayashi, H.P. Nilles, F. Ploger, S. Raby and M. Ratz, Stringy origin of non-Abelian discrete flavor symmetries, Nucl. Phys. B 768 (2007) 135, [arXiv:0611020].
- [41] R. Ahl Laamara, M. Miskaoui and E.H. Saidi, Building SO<sub>10</sub> models with D<sub>4</sub> symmetry, Nucl. Phys. B 901 (2015) 95
- [42] R. Ahl Laamara, M. Miskaoui and E.H. Saidi, MSSM-like from  $SU_5 \times D_4$  models, Nucl. Phys. B **906**(1) (2016)
- [43] A. Karozasy, S.F. King, G.K. Leontaris, A.K. Meadowcroft, Phenomenological implications of a minimal F-theory GUT with discrete symmetry, JHEP. 1510 (2015) 041
- [44] W. Grimus, L. Lavoura, A discrete symmetry group for maximal atmospheric neutrino mixing, Phys. Lett. B 572 (2003) 189, arXiv:0305046 [hep-ph].
- [45] W. Grimus, A.S. Joshipura, S. Kaneko, L. Lavoura and M. Tanimoto, Lepton mixing angle  $\theta_{13} = 0$  with a horizontal symmetry  $D_4$ , JHEP **0407** (2004) 078
- [46] H. Ishimori, T. Kobayashi, H. Ohki, Y. Omura, R. Takahashi and M. Tanimoto, D<sub>4</sub> flavor symmetry for neutrino masses and mixing, Phys. Lett. B 662 (2008) 178
- [47] W. Grimus, L. Lavoura, Models of maximal atmospheric neutrino mixing and leptogenesis, Published in "Tokyo 2004, Neutrino oscillations and their origin", 433-441, arXiv:0405261 [hep-ph].
- [48] H. Ishimori, T. Kobayashi, H. Ohki, Y. Omura, R. Takahashi, M. Tanimoto, Soft supersymmetry breaking terms from  $D_4 \times Z_2$  lepton flavor symmetry, Phys. Rev. D 77 (2008) 115005.
- [49] A. Adulpravitchai, A. Blum and C. Hagedorn, A supersymmetric  $D_4$  model for  $\mu \tau$  symmetry, JHEP **0903** (2009) 046.
- [50] C. Hagedorn, W. Rodejohann, Minimal mass matrices for Dirac neutrinos, JHEP 0507 (2005) 03.
- [51] C. Hagedorn and R. Ziegler, μ τ Symmetry and Charged Lepton Mass Hierarchy in a Supersymmetric D<sub>4</sub> Model, Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 053011, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.053011, arXiv:1007.1888 [hep-ph].
- [52] D. Das, Relating the Cabibbo angle to  $\tan \beta$  in a two Higgs-doublet model, Phys. Rev. D **100** (2019) 075004 [arXiv:1908.03961].
- [53] A. E. Hernández, C. O. Dib and U. J. Saldaña-Salazar, When  $\tan \beta$  meets all the mixing angles, Phys. Lett. B 809 (2020) 135750 [arXiv:2001.07140].
- [54] V. V. Vien and H. N. Long, Quark Masses and Mixings in an Extension of the Standard Model with D<sub>4</sub> Flavor Symmetry, Phys. Atom. Nucl. 81 (2018), no. 6 750–757.
- [55] V. V. Vien, Fermion mass and mixing in the  $U(1)_{B-L}$  extension of the standard model with  $D_4$  symmetry, J. Phys. G 47(5), 055007 (2020).
- [56] V. V. Vien, Fermion mass hierarchy and mixings in a B L model with  $D_4 \times Z_4 \times Z_2$  symmetry, Mod. Phys. Lett. A36, 2150184 (2021), [arXiv:2111.14701].
- [57] V.V. Vien and H. N. Long, The D<sub>4</sub> flavor symmetry in 3-3-1 model with neutral leptons, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 28, 1350159 (2013), [arXiv:1312.5034].
- [58] V.V. Vien and H. N. Long, Quark masses and mixings in the 3-3-1 model with neutral leptons based on D<sub>4</sub> flavor symmetry, J. Korean Phys. Soc. 66(12), 1809–1815 (2015), [arXiv:1408.4333].
- [59] V.V. Vien, Neutrino mass and mixing in the 3-3-1 model with neutral leptons based on D<sub>4</sub> flavor symmetry, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 29, 1450122 (2014).

- [60] A. E Hernández, H. N. Long, M.L. Mora-Urrutia, N.H. Thao and V.V. Vien, Fermion masses and mixings and g-2 muon anomaly in a 3-3-1 model with D<sub>4</sub> family symmetry, Eur. Phys. J. C 82 (2022) 769 [arXiv:2104.04559].
- [61] D. Meloni, S. Morisi, and E. Peinado, Stability of dark matter from the  $D_4 \times Z_2$  flavor group, Phys. Lett. B703 (2011) 281–287, [arXiv:1104.0178].
- [62] M.A. Loualidi and M. Miskaoui, One-loop Type II Seesaw Neutrino Model with Stable Dark Matter Candidates, Nucl.Phys.B 961 (2020) 115219, [arXiv:2003.11434].
- [63] M. Fukugita and T. Yanagida, Baryogenesis Without Grand Unification, Phys. Lett. B 174 (1986) 45.
- [64] S.Y. Khlebnikov and M.E. Shaposhnikov, The Statistical Theory of Anomalous Fermion Number Nonconservation, Nucl. Phys. B 308 (1988) 885.
- [65] T. Fukuyama and H. Nishiura, Mass matrix of Majorana neutrinos, (1997) [arXiv:9702253].
- [66] E. Ma and M. Raidal, Neutrino mass, muon anomalous magnetic moment and lepton flavor nonconservation, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 (2001) 011802 [Erratum ibid. 87 (2001) 159901][arXiv:0102255].
- [67] C.S. Lam, A 2-3 symmetry in neutrino oscillations, Phys. Lett. B 507 (2001) 214 [arXiv:0104116].
- [68] K.R.S. Balaji, W. Grimus and T. Schwetz, The Solar LMA neutrino oscillation solution in the Zee model, Phys. Lett. B 508 (2001) 301 [arXiv:0104035].
- [69] P.F. Harrison and W.G. Scott,  $\mu \tau$  reflection symmetry in lepton mixing and neutrino oscillations, Phys. Lett. B 547 (2002) 219 [arXiv:0210197].
- [70] C.S. Lam, Mass independent textures and symmetry, Phys. Rev. D 74 (2006) 113004 [arXiv:0611017].
- [71] Particle Data Group, P. A. Zyla et al., Review of Particle Physics, PTEP 2020 no 8083C01 (2020).
- [72] E. W. Otten and C. Weinheimer, Neutrino mass limit from tritium beta decay, Rept. Prog. Phys. 71, 086201 (2008), [arXiv:0909.2104].
- [73] M. J. Dolinski, A. W. P. Poon and W. Rodejohann, Neutrinoless Double-Beta Decay: Status and Prospects, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 69, 219 (2019) [arXiv:1902.04097].
- [74] Planck Collaboration, N. Aghanim et al., Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters, Astron. Astrophys. 641, A6 (2020) [arXiv:1807.06209]
- [75] S. Vagnozzi, E. Giusarma, O. Mena, K. Freese, M. Gerbino, S. Ho, and M. Lattanzi, Unveiling ν secrets with cosmological data: neutrino masses and mass hierarchy, Phys. Rev. D 96 (2017) 123503 [arXiv:1701.08172].
- [76] CORE Collaboration, E. Di Valentino et al., Exploring cosmic origins with CORE Cosmological parameters, JCAP 04 (2018) 017 [arXiv:1612.00021].
- [77] KamLAND-Zen Collaboration, Search for Majorana neutrinos near the inverted mass hierarchy region with KamLAND-Zen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117 (2016) 082503 [Addendum ibid.117 (2016) 109903] [arXiv:1605.02889].
- [78] CUORE Collaboration, First results from CUORE: a search for lepton number violation via  $0\nu\beta\beta$  decay of  $^{130}Te$ , Phys. Rev. Lett. **120** (2018) 132501 [arXiv:1710.07988].
- [79] GERDA Collaboration, Results on Neutrinoless Double-β Decay of <sup>76</sup>Ge from Phase I of the GERDA Experiment, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 (2013) 122503 [arXiv:1307.4720].
- [80] M. Agostini et al., Background-free search for neutrinoless double-β decay of <sup>76</sup>Ge withh GERDA, Nature 544 (2017) 47 [arXiv:1703.00570].
- [81] NEXO Collaboration, J.B. Albert et al., Sensitivity and discovery potential of nEXO to neutrinoless double-β decay, Phys. Rev. C 97 (2018) 065503 [arXiv:1710.05075].
- [82] CUPID Collaboration, CUPID: CUORE (Cryogenic Underground Observatory for Rare Events) upgrade with particle identification, [arXiv:1504.03599].
- [83] SNO+ Collaboration, Current status and future prospects of the SNO+ experiment, Adv. High Energy Phys. 2016 (2016) 6194250 [arXiv:1508.05759].
- [84] KATRIN Collaboration, Improved Upper Limit on the Neutrino Mass from a Direct Kinematic Method by KATRIN, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123 (2019) 221802 [arXiv:1909.06048].
- [85] KATRIN Collaboration, J. Angrik et al., KATRIN design report 2004, https://www.katrin.kit.edu/publikationen/DesignReport2004 12Jan2005.pdf.
- [86] PROJECT 8 Collaboration, Determining the neutrino mass with cyclotron radiation emission spectroscopy project 8, J. Phys. G 44 (2017) 054004 [arXiv:1703.02037].
- [87] B. Alpert et al., HOLMES The Electron Capture Decay of <sup>163</sup>Ho to measure the electron neutrino mass with sub-eV sensitivity, Eur. Phys. J. C 75 (2015) 112 [arXiv:1412.5060].
- [88] Planck collaboration, Planck 2018 results.VI.Cosmologicalparameters, Astron. Astrophys. 641 (2020)A6 [Erratumibid. 652 (2021) C4] [arXiv:1807.06209]

- [89] G. Engelhard, Y. Grossman, E. Nardi and Y. Nir, The importance of N2 leptogenesis, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99 (2007) 081802 [hep-ph/0612187]
- [90] For a review, see S. Davidson, E. Nardi and Y. Nir, Leptogenesis, Phys. Rept. 466 (2008) 105[arXiv:0802.2962] and references there in.
- [91] J.A. Harvey and M.S. Turner, Cosmological baryon and lepton number in the presence of electroweak fermion number violation, Phys. Rev. D 42 (1990) 3344.
- [92] H.K. Dreiner and G.G. Ross, Sphaleron erasure of primordial baryogenesis, Nucl. Phys. B 410 (1993) 188 [hep-ph/9207221].
- [93] W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, Leptogenesis in seesaw models with a twofold-degenerate neutrino Dirac mass matrix, J. Phys. G 30 (2004) 1073 arXiv:0311362 [hep-ph].
- [94] W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, Softly broken lepton numbers and maximal neutrino mixing, J. High Energy Phys. 0107 045, arXiv:0105212 [hep-ph]; W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, Softly broken lepton numbers: an approach to maximal neutrino mixing, Acta Phys. Polon. B 32 3719, arXiv:0110041 [hep-ph].
- [95] G. F. Giudice, A. Notari, M. Raidal, A. Riotto and A. Strumia, Towards a complete theory of thermal leptogenesis in the SM and MSSM, Nucl.Phys.B 685 (2004) 89 [hep-ph/0310123]
- [96] H. Ishimori et al., Non-Abelian discrete symmetries in particle physics, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 183 (2010) 1 [arXiv:1003.3552].
- [97] G. Altarelli and F.Feruglio, Tri-Bimaximal Neutrino Mixing, A<sub>4</sub> and the Modular Symmetry, Nucl. Phys. B741 (2006) 215–235, [arXiv:0512103].