

Exploring the impact of Tree Level Higgs Potential on Reheating in $f(Q)$ Gravity

K. El Bourakadi^{1,2*}

¹*Quantum Physics and Magnetism Team, LPMC,
Faculty of Science Ben M'sik,
Casablanca Hassan II University, Morocco*
and

²*LPHE-MS Laboratory department of Physics,
Faculty of Science, Mohammed V University in Rabat, Morocco.*

(Dated: March 7, 2023)

This article investigates the effects of Tree Level Higgs Potential on reheating in $f(Q)$ gravity. We examine the formalism of tree level inflation in a modified $f(Q)$ model, finding that the slow roll and e-folds number during inflation depend on the $f(Q)$ model α parameter. Additionally, we calculate the reheating as a function of the decay rate, constraining the inflationary parameters and reheating temperature. Our study reveals that the Tree Level Higgs Potential influences the reheating temperature and decay rate. We conclude that increasing the decay rate energy leads to higher reheating temperature, and the chosen α parameter affects the reheating temperature curve. This research advances our understanding of the interplay between Tree Level Higgs inflation, matter production, and modified gravity in $f(Q)$ gravity.

I. INTRODUCTION

The most likely successful inflation scenario is the single-field chaotic model [1, 2]. However, polynomial and monomial potentials are also viable options. For example, many monomial potentials have quadratic or quartic forms, like the simple double-well potential used to explain the production of Primordial Black Holes [3–5]. Additionally, Ref. [6] employed the Higgs potential at the tree level to develop inflation in non-supersymmetric grand unified theories (*GUT*) and calculate proton lifetime. Similarly, Refs. [7] and [8] explored the effects of inflation couplings with other particles, such as (*GUT*) scalars, to investigate quantum smearing. They found that the Higgs potential can be divided into three branches. Inflationary models were created to resolve several problems associated with Big Bang cosmology, including the flatness problem, horizon problem, homogeneity, and the numerical density of monopoles [9]. Additionally, inflation provides a causal explanation for the observed anisotropy of the cosmic microwave background radiation (*CMB*) [10]. Recently, braneworld models have emerged as a novel alternative approach to test certain predictions and incorporate corrections to general relativity [11, 12].

Within the framework of GR, the motion of spacetime is determined by the Ricci curvature scalar R , which is derived from the Levi-Civita connection. Gravity is considered a metric theory in this context, meaning it adheres to established assumptions such as Lorentz invariance and causality. However, there are other geometric quantities such as torsion and non-metricity that can also be used to describe the gravitational field. This has been explored in previous studies [13, 14]. As part of this approach, a version of GR called the symmetric teleparallel equivalent of GR (*STEGR*) was suggested [15–27]. This model utilizes a non-metric connection for spacetime geometry, but with the constraint that the total curvature and torsion are both zero. *STEGR* can also be extended to $f(Q)$ gravity [28], which does not require the Equivalence Principle as an initial assumption. This theory can be approached using gauge theories and has several benefits, including no significant coupling issues caused by extra scalar modes [29]. Recently, $f(Q)$ gravity has gained attention [30, 31], particularly in regards to explaining problems with late-time acceleration and dark energy [32–34]. However, its effects on the early universe have not been fully explored.

Following inflation, there is a period during which the energy density stored in the inflaton converts into a thermal bath consisting of relativistic particles [35–40, 42?]. This process is known as reheating and takes place between the end of inflation and the start of the radiation-dominated epoch. During the reheating process, ordinary matter is produced as a result of the inflaton field losing energy. In the simplest case, reheating happens through the inflaton's perturbative decay into particles of standard model matter as it oscillates around the minimum of its potential [43, 44]. However, this scenario has been challenged due to its inability to explain the cohesive nature of the inflaton field [45, 46]. In some instances, a preheating stage takes place before reheating, during which particles are created

* k.elbourakadi@yahoo.com

through nonperturbative mechanisms like parametric resonance decay [47]. The potential for both preheating and reheating to occur between the end of inflation and the start of the radiation-dominated epoch was explored in [48].

The structure of our paper is outlined as follows: Section II provides an overview of the fundamental principles of $f(Q)$ gravity. Section III introduces the tree level inflation model, while Section IV describes the tree level inflationary scenario within $f(Q)$ gravity. In Section V, we summarize our findings and present our conclusions.

II. INFLATIONARY COSMOLOGY IN $f(Q)$ GRAVITY

In Weyl-Cartan geometry, gravitational effects are caused not only by a change in the direction of a vector in parallel transport, but also by a change in its length. Non-metricity represents the geometric variation in the length of a vector and is theoretically defined as the covariant derivative of the metric tensor. In this paper, we will look at the modified Einstein-Hilbert action in $f(Q)$ symmetric teleparallel gravity, which is written as,

$$S = \int \frac{1}{2\kappa} f(Q) \sqrt{-g} d^4x + S_\phi, \quad (2.1)$$

where $f(Q)$ is a generic function of the non-metricity scalar Q , g is the determinant of the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ i.e. $g = \det(g_{\mu\nu})$, $\kappa = 1/M_p^2$ and S_ϕ is the inflaton action defined in the next section. In addition, the non-metricity scalar Q is given as,

$$Q \equiv -g^{\mu\nu} (L^\beta_{\alpha\mu} L^\alpha_{\nu\beta} - L^\beta_{\alpha\beta} L^\alpha_{\mu\nu}). \quad (2.2)$$

We obtain the field equations of $f(Q)$ gravity by varying the gravitational action (2.1) with regard to the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$,

$$-\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \nabla_\beta (f_Q \sqrt{-g} P^\beta_{\mu\nu}) - \frac{1}{2} f g_{\mu\nu} - f_Q (P_{\mu\beta\alpha} Q_\nu^{\beta\alpha} - 2Q_\mu^{\beta\alpha} P_{\beta\alpha\nu}) = \kappa T_{\mu\nu}. \quad (2.3)$$

Here, $f_Q = \frac{df(Q)}{dQ}$, and ∇_β is the covariant derivative is shown. We now investigate a homogeneous and spatially flat Friedmann-Lemaitre-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) metric. As a result, the analogous non-metricity scalar is $Q = 6H^2$. In our current investigation, we suppose that the Universe is a perfect non-viscous fluid with the energy-momentum tensor given by

$$T_\nu^\mu = \text{diag}(-\rho, p, p, p), \quad (2.4)$$

The updated Friedmann equations are stated as where p is the ideal non-viscosity fluid pressure and the Universe's energy density is ρ .

$$\kappa\rho = \frac{f}{2} - 6FH^2 \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\kappa p = -\frac{\dot{f}}{2} + 6FH^2 + 2(\dot{F}H + F\dot{H}). \quad (2.6)$$

In this case, (\cdot) denotes a derivative with regard to cosmic time (t), while $F \equiv f_Q$ denotes differentiation with respect to Q . Combining Eqs (2.5) and (2.6) yields the evolution equation for the Hubble parameter H as,

$$\dot{H} + \frac{\dot{F}}{F}H = \frac{\kappa}{2F}(\rho + p). \quad (2.7)$$

The field equations of Einstein (2.5) and (2.6) can be thought of as extended symmetric teleparallel counterparts to conventional Friedmann's equations, with added terms from space-time non-metricity and the trace of the energy-momentum tensor T acting as an effective component. As a result, the effective energy density ρ_{eff} and effective pressure p_{eff} are calculated.

$$3H^2 = \kappa\rho_{eff} = \frac{f}{4F} - \frac{\kappa}{2F}\rho, \quad (2.8)$$

with

$$2\dot{H} + 3H^2 = -\kappa p_{eff} = \frac{f}{4F} - \frac{2\dot{F}H}{F} + \frac{\kappa}{2F}(\rho + 2p). \quad (2.9)$$

Taking into account Eqs. (2.5) and (2.6) one gets

$$\rho = \frac{f - 12H^2F}{2\kappa}. \quad (2.10)$$

The inflationary universe model was developed to address a number of cosmological concerns, including the horizon, flatness, and monopole issues. The Klein-Gordon equation $\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + V' = 0$, which governs the dynamics of the scalar field ϕ , connects the scalar field with the scalar potential $V(\phi)$ in inflation theory. The slow-roll approximation involves ignoring the kinetic components and the second temporal derivatives of the field ϕ , i.e. $\dot{\phi}^2 \ll V$ and $\ddot{\phi} \ll V$ respectively, thus,

$$H^2 \sim \frac{V(\phi)}{3M_p^2}, \quad (2.11)$$

$$\dot{\phi} \sim -\frac{V'(\phi)}{3H}. \quad (2.12)$$

The Hubble parameter may be used to generate the slow-roll parameters as [53]

$$\epsilon = -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}, \quad (2.13)$$

$$\eta = \frac{\dot{\epsilon}}{H\epsilon} \approx -\frac{\ddot{H}}{2\dot{H}H}. \quad (2.14)$$

we define the number of e-folds associated with inflation from the beginning t to t_{end} as follows

$$N \equiv \ln\left(\frac{a_{end}}{a}\right) = \int_t^{t_{end}} H dt, \quad (2.15)$$

The spectral index n_s describes the relationship between curvature disturbances and slow roll parameters. The e-folding number N , which reflects the pace of the Univers expansion during this era, the tensor to scalar perturbations ratio r , and the spectral index n_s are also used to investigate the period of inflation.

$$n_s - 1 = -6\epsilon + 2\eta, \quad (2.16)$$

$$r = 16\epsilon. \quad (2.17)$$

Next, we will discuss how the tree level potential inflationary model can be described in the context of $f(Q)$ gravity.

III. THE TREE LEVEL POTENTIAL IN $f(Q)$ GRAVITY

A. The inflationary parameters on $f(Q)$ gravity

In the context of cosmic inflation, we consider the model $f(Q) = \alpha Q$, with $\alpha = F \neq 0$, by taking Eqs. (2.7), (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10) we obtain the following equations

$$\dot{H} = \frac{\kappa\rho(1+\omega)}{2\alpha}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$3H^2 = \kappa\rho_{eff} = -\frac{\kappa\rho}{\alpha}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$2\dot{H} + 3H^2 = -\kappa p_{eff} = \frac{\kappa\omega\rho}{\alpha}, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\rho = -\frac{3\alpha H^2}{\kappa}. \quad (3.4)$$

In of $f(Q)$ gravity, we consider the tachyonic field leads from Eqs. (3.14), (3.15) along with Eqs.(2.17), (3.12) gives

$$3H^2 = -\frac{\kappa}{\alpha}V(\phi), \quad (3.5)$$

$$2\dot{H} + 3H^2 = \frac{\kappa}{\alpha}\omega V(\phi). \quad (3.6)$$

Taking into account the previous two equations one gets

$$\dot{H} = \frac{\kappa}{2\alpha}\dot{\phi}^2, \quad (3.7)$$

after the derivation of Eq.(3.2) and using Eq. (3.3), we obtain the modified equation of motion

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + V' = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

From Eqs. (??) we know that the condition $\eta \ll 1$ leads to $\ddot{\phi} \ll 3H\dot{\phi}$, which means that the time derivative of the tachyonic field is given as $\dot{\phi} \sim -V'(\phi)/3H$. Now, let us use the slow-roll parameters that will be calculated in the context of the $f(Q)$ gravity. For this purpose, we should insert Eqs. (3.5) and (3.7) into the slow roll parameter, knowing that we need to consider the case $\dot{\phi}^2 < 2/3$ which gives

$$\epsilon \approx \frac{3}{2} \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{V} \simeq -\frac{\alpha}{2\kappa} \left(\frac{V'}{V} \right)^2, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\eta \approx -\frac{\ddot{\phi}}{H\dot{\phi}} \simeq -\frac{\alpha}{\kappa} \frac{V''}{V}, \quad (3.10)$$

the e-folds number for this chosen model can be written using Eq.(3.5) and Eq.(2.12) in the following way

$$N \equiv \int_{\phi_k}^{\phi_{end}} \frac{H}{\dot{\phi}} d\phi \approx - \int_{\phi_{end}}^{\phi_k} \frac{\kappa}{\alpha} \frac{V}{V'} d\phi. \quad (3.11)$$

Our goal next is to calculate the inflationary parameters for tree level potential in the chosen model of $f(Q)$ gravity.

B. Constraints on the tree level potential in $f(Q)$ gravity

The proposed tree level Higgs potential [6], [7], [54] is given by

$$V(\phi) = V_0 \left[1 - \left(\frac{\phi}{\mu} \right)^2 \right]^2, \quad (3.12)$$

here V_0 is the vacuum energy density at the origin and μ is the vacuum expectation value of the inflation, this potential will be discussed for three branches: $\phi^2 \gg \mu^2$ (*AV branch*), $\phi \sim \mu$ (*Near branch*), and $\phi^2 \ll \mu^2$ (*BV branch*).

$$\text{AV branch: } \phi^2 \gg \mu^2$$

In the case of an *AV branch*, the potential becomes

$$V(\phi) = V_0 \left(\frac{\phi}{\mu} \right)^4, \quad (3.13)$$

the slow-roll parameters in this case are determined by the values of the field at the beginning of inflation ϕ_k and is given by

$$\epsilon \simeq -\frac{8\alpha}{\kappa} \frac{1}{\phi_k^2}, \quad (3.14)$$

$$\eta \simeq -\frac{12\alpha}{\kappa} \frac{1}{\phi_k^2}. \quad (3.15)$$

Equation (3.11), on the other hand, offers the integral growth from ϕ_k to ϕ_{end} as

$$N \simeq -\frac{\kappa}{8\alpha} (\phi_k^2 - \phi_{end}^2) \simeq -\frac{\kappa}{8\alpha} \phi_k^2. \quad (3.16)$$

Now since the inflationary parameters are determined by the value of the inflaton field ϕ_k , we can link these parameters to observation using Eq.(2.16) to obtain $(n_s - 1)/3 = 8\alpha/\kappa\phi_k^2$.

Near branch: $\phi \sim \mu$

We will analyze the physical consequences of the solutions in the situation of $\phi \sim \mu$ when the tree level potential becomes

$$V(\phi) = V_0 \left[1 - \left(\frac{\phi}{\mu} \right)^2 \right]^2 = \frac{V_0}{\mu^4} (\phi + \mu)^2 (\mu - \phi)^2 \quad (3.17)$$

in the interests of clarity, we take the approximation: $\phi + \mu = 2\mu$ where $\phi \sim \mu$, whereas the potential becomes

$$V(\phi) \simeq \frac{4V_0}{\mu^2} (\mu - \phi)^2. \quad (3.18)$$

In this context, one can also consider the slow-roll parameters for this potential by

$$\epsilon \simeq -\frac{2\alpha}{\kappa} \frac{1}{(\phi_k - \mu)^2}, \quad (3.19)$$

$$\eta \simeq -\frac{2\alpha}{\kappa} \frac{1}{(\phi_k - \mu)^2}. \quad (3.20)$$

On the other hand, for the *Near branch*, the number of e-folds gives

$$N \simeq -\frac{\kappa}{2\alpha} \left[(\phi_k - \mu)^2 - (\phi_{end} - \mu)^2 \right] \simeq -\frac{\kappa}{2\alpha} (\phi_k - \mu)^2 \quad (3.21)$$

Because the inflationary parameters are defined by the value of the inflaton field ϕ_k , we can now relate these parameters to observations to obtain $(n_s - 1) = 8\alpha/\kappa (\phi_k - \mu)^2$.

BV branch: $\phi^2 \ll \mu^2$

In this section, we will focus on inflationary potential, which may be written in the *BV branch* as [7]

$$V(\phi) = V_0 \left(1 - 2\frac{\phi^2}{\mu^2} \right). \quad (3.22)$$

In this situation, the slow-roll settings can also be considered. knowing that $(\phi/\mu)^2 \ll 1$. The two first parameters for this branch are given by

$$\epsilon \simeq -\frac{8\alpha}{\kappa} \frac{\phi_k^2}{\mu^4}, \quad (3.23)$$

$$\eta \simeq \frac{4\alpha}{\kappa} \frac{1}{\mu^2}. \quad (3.24)$$

On the other hand, the number of e-folding N can be expressed as

$$N \simeq -\frac{\kappa}{4\alpha} \mu^2 (\ln(\phi_{end}) - \ln(\phi_k)), \quad (3.25)$$

here we can simply calculate ϕ_{end} considering $\epsilon = 1$ that can be applied at the end of inflation when $\phi \rightarrow \phi_{end}$.

We can now link the inflationary parameters to observations. However, this time we have to specify precise values of ϕ_k , μ , and α parameters since the spectral index parameter is not specified by the value of the inflaton field ϕ_k and taking into account the approximation $(\phi/\mu)^2 \ll 1$, n_s is given by the following equation $(n_s - 1) = 8\alpha/\kappa\mu^2$.

IV. REHEATING MECHANISM FROM THE INFLATON DECAY

The process of reheating plays a crucial role in the evolution of the universe. It marks the emergence of matter towards the end of the rapid expansion phase when the inflaton field breaks down, and standard model particles are formed, resulting in a rise in temperature that brings about a restoration of the standard Big Bang cosmology model [55]. As the universe expands, the amplitude of the oscillations gradually decreases, and the oscillating field transfers its energy to the newly formed particles. In addition, we take into account the crucial interaction Lagrangian [56].

$$L_{int} = \frac{1}{2}\nu^2\sigma\phi\chi^2 + h\psi\bar{\psi}\phi, \quad (4.1)$$

where h and σ are dimensionless couplings and σ has the dimensions of mass. The decay rate of the inflaton field is defined in the following way $\Gamma = \Gamma_{(\phi\rightarrow\chi\chi)} + \Gamma_{(\phi\rightarrow\psi\psi)}$ with $\Gamma_{(\phi\rightarrow\chi\chi)} = \nu^2\sigma^2/8\pi m_\phi$, $\Gamma_{(\phi\rightarrow\psi\psi)} = h^2 m_\phi/8\pi$ and m_ϕ is the mass of the inflaton field.

Next, we demonstrate the correlation between the decay rate and reheating parameters. We start with the Friedmann equation in the $f(Q)$ gravity scenario, which is $H^2 = -\kappa\rho/3\alpha$. Subsequently, we express the energy density at the onset of the reheating phase as a function of the reheating temperature.

$$\rho_{re} = \frac{\pi^2}{30}g_*T_{re}^4, \quad (4.2)$$

The reheating relativistic degree of freedom is denoted as g_* . In the reheating phase, we can substitute ρ with ρ_{re} to obtain the Friedmann equation expressed as follows:

$$H^2 = -\frac{\kappa}{3\alpha}\frac{\pi^2}{30}g_*T_{re}^4 \quad (4.3)$$

After inflation ends, the energy densities of the scalar field and radiation become comparable when $H \simeq \Gamma$. From this point onwards, the Universe is governed by radiation, which determines the reheating temperature. The temperature can be expressed as:

$$T_{re} = \left(-\frac{3 \cdot 30\alpha}{\pi^2 g_*} \frac{\Gamma^2}{\kappa} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}. \quad (4.4)$$




FIG. 1. The evolution of the reheating temperature as a function of the total decay rate for different values of α .

In Fig. (1) we plot the variation of the reheating temperature T_{re} as a function of the α parameter related to the $f(Q)$ gravity model, for mathematical consistency we observe that our model is consistent only for negative values

of α . To study the behavior of T_{re} we chose different values of α bounded in the following way $\alpha \leq -1$ which makes the increasing curve of reheating temperature moves towards lower values of reheating temperature for $\Gamma \propto 10^{21} GeV$, our results illustrate the predictions of Eq.(4.4) which lead to the conclusion that higher values of the decay rate energy will lead to higher reheating temperature.

V. CONCLUSION

In recent years, despite the undeniable success of 'the standard model of cosmology' governed by Einstein's general theory of relativity (GTR), its limitations have become apparent in various aspects. These include cosmological singularity and black holes, tensions in H_0 and σ_8 on observational grounds, and most importantly, the need for yet undetected dark sectors to explain the late-time cosmic acceleration. These limitations have prompted researchers to explore alternative theories of gravity. Teleparallel gravity has emerged as a significant area of research in this regard. Specifically, researchers have considered replacing the Levi-Civita connection, which forms the foundation of GTR, with an affine connection on spatially flat spacetime with vanishing torsion. This allows non-metricity to entirely define gravity, giving rise to the theory known as 'symmetric teleparallel gravity'. The focus of our paper is to examine tree level Higgs inflation and reheating in $f(Q)$ gravity. Our study involves limiting the inflationary parameters and the reheating temperature. We begin by outlining the formalism for tree level inflation within the chosen modified $f(Q)$ model. We discovered that the slow roll and e-folds number related to inflation relies on the $f(Q)$ model α parameter. Additionally, we have computed the reheating in relation to the decay rate. We selected various values of α that are bounded by $\alpha \leq -1$. This constraint causes the upward trend of the reheating temperature curve to shift towards lower values of reheating temperature. This leads to the conclusion that increasing the energy of the decay rate results in a corresponding increase in the reheating temperature.

-
- [1] Antusch, S., & Nolde, D. (2014). *BICEP2 implications for single-field slow-roll inflation revisited*. Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, 2014(05), 035.
 - [2] Kobayashi, T., & Seto, O. (2014). *Polynomial inflation models after BICEP2*. Physical Review D, 89(10), 103524.
 - [3] El Bourakadi, K., et al. *Primordial black holes and gravitational waves in teleparallel Gravity*. The European Physical Journal C 82.9 (2022): 1-11.
 - [4] Bousder, M., El Bourakadi, K., & Bennai, M. (2021). *Charged 4D Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet black hole: Vacuum solutions, Cauchy horizon, thermodynamics*. Physics of the Dark Universe, 32, 100839.
 - [5] Bourakadi, K. E.et al. (2023). *Constant-roll and primordial black holes in $f(Q, T)$ gravity*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.03696.
 - [6] Rehman, M. U., Shafi, Q., & Wickman, J. R. (2008). *GUT inflation and proton decay after WMAP5 data*. Physical Review D, 78(12), 123516.
 - [7] Rehman, M. U., & Shafi, Q. (2010). *Higgs inflation, quantum smearing, and the tensor to scalar ratio*. Physical Review D, 81(12), 123525.
 - [8] Okada, N., Şenoğuz, V. N., & Shafi, Q. (2016). *The observational status of simple inflationary models: an update*. Turkish Journal of Physics, 40(2), 150-162.
 - [9] Guth, A. H., & Weinberg, E. J. (1981). *Cosmological consequences of a first-order phase transition in the $SU(5)$ grand unified model*. Physical Review D, 23(4), 876.
 - [10] Aghanim, N., Akrami, Y., Ashdown, M., Aumont, J., Baccigalupi, C., Ballardini, M., ... & Spencer, L. D. (2020). *Planck 2018 results-V. CMB power spectra and likelihoods*. Astronomy & Astrophysics, 641, A5.
 - [11] Brax, P., van de Bruck, C., & Davis, A. C. (2004). *Brane world cosmology*. Reports on Progress in Physics, 67(12), 2183.
 - [12] Langlois, D. (2002). *Brane cosmology*. Progress of Theoretical Physics Supplement, 148, 181-212.
 - [13] Aldrovandi, R., Pereira, J. G., Aldrovandi, R., & Pereira, J. G. (2013). *Why to study teleparallel gravity. Teleparallel Gravity: An Introduction*, 179-186.
 - [14] Bahamonde, S., Dialektopoulos, K., Escamilla-Rivera, C., Farrugia, G., Gakis, V., Hendry, M., ... & Di Valentino, E. (2022). *Teleparallel gravity: from theory to cosmology*. Reports on Progress in Physics.
 - [15] Nester, J. M., & Yo, H. J. (1998). *Symmetric teleparallel general relativity*. arXiv preprint gr-qc/9809049.
 - [16] Koussour, M., Shekh, S. H., & Bennai, M. (2022). *Anisotropic nature of space-time in fQ gravity*. Physics of the Dark Universe, 36, 101051.
 - [17] Koussour, M., Pacif, S. K. J., Bennai, M., & Sahoo, P. K. (2022). *Dynamical dark energy models from a new Hubble parameter in $f(Q)$ gravity*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.04723.
 - [18] Koussour, M., Filali, H., Shekh, S. H., & Bennai, M. (2022). *Holographic dark energy in Gauss-Bonnet gravity with Grand-Oliveros cut-off*. Nuclear Physics B, 978, 115738.
 - [19] Koussour, M., & Bennai, M. (2022). *Stability analysis of anisotropic Bianchi type-I cosmological model in teleparallel gravity*. Classical and Quantum Gravity, 39(10), 105001.

- [20] Koussour, M., & Bennai, M. (2022). *On a Bianchi type-I space-time with bulk viscosity in $f(R, T)$ gravity*. International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics, 19(03), 2250038.
- [21] Koussour, M., & Bennai, M. (2022). *Cosmological models with cubically varying deceleration parameter in $f(R, T)$ gravity*. Afrika Matematika, 33(1), 27.
- [22] Koussour, M., Shekh, S. H., & Bennai, M. (2022). *Cosmic acceleration and energy conditions in symmetric teleparallel $f(Q)$ gravity*. Journal of High Energy Astrophysics, 35, 43-51.
- [23] Koussour, M., Shekh, S. H., Hanin, A., Sakhi, Z., Bhojer, S. R., & Bennai, M. (2022). *Flat FLRW Universe in logarithmic symmetric teleparallel gravity with observational constraints*. Classical and Quantum Gravity, 39(19), 195021.
- [24] Koussour, M., Shekh, S. H., & Bennai, M. (2022). *Anisotropic $f(Q)$ gravity model with bulk viscosity*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.10954.
- [25] Koussour, M., Shekh, S. H., & Bennai, M. (2022). *Bianchi type-I Barrow holographic dark energy model in symmetric teleparallel gravity*. International Journal of Modern Physics A, 37(28n29), 2250184.
- [26] Koussour, M., Shekh, S. H., Govender, M., & Bennai, M. (2023). *Thermodynamical aspects of Bianchi type-I Universe in quadratic form of $f(Q)$ gravity and observational constraints*. Journal of High Energy Astrophysics, 37, 15-24.
- [27] Myrzakulov, N., Shekh, S. H., Mussatayeva, A., & Koussour, M. (2022). *Analysis of Reconstructed Modified Symmetric Teleparallel $f(Q)$ Gravity*. Frontiers in Astronomy and Space Sciences, 9, 902552.
- [28] Jimenez, J. B., Heisenberg, L., & Koivisto, T. (2018). *Coincident general relativity*. Physical Review D, 98(4), 044048.
- [29] Jimenez, J. B., Heisenberg, L., Koivisto, T., & Pekar, S. (2020). *Cosmology in $f(Q)$ geometry*. Physical Review D, 101(10), 103507.
- [30] Dialektopoulos, K. F., Koivisto, T. S., & Capozziello, S. (2019). *Noether symmetries in symmetric teleparallel cosmology*. The European Physical Journal C, 79(7), 1-12.
- [31] Dimakis, N., Paliathanasis, A., & Christodoulakis, T. (2021). *Quantum cosmology in $f(Q)$ theory*. Classical and Quantum Gravity, 38(22), 225003.
- [32] Atayde, L., & Frusciante, N. (2021). *Can $f(Q)$ gravity challenge Λ CDM?* Physical Review D, 104(6), 064052.
- [33] Anagnostopoulos, F. K., Basilakos, S., & Saridakis, E. N. (2021). *First evidence that non-metricity $f(Q)$ gravity could challenge Λ CDM*. Physics Letters B, 822, 136634.
- [34] Frusciante, N. (2021). *Signatures of $f(Q)$ gravity in cosmology*. Physical Review D, 103(4), 044021.
- [35] Zhou, H., Yu, Q., Pan, Y., Zhou, R., & Cheng, W. (2022). *Reheating constraints on modified single-field natural inflation models*. The European Physical Journal C, 82(7), 1-13.
- [36] Sakhi, Z., El Bourakadi, K., Safsafi, A., Ferricha-Alami, M., Chakir, H., & Bennai, M. (2020). *Effect of brane tension on reheating parameters in small field inflation according to Planck-2018 data*. International Journal of Modern Physics A, 35(30), 2050191.
- [37] El Bourakadi, K., Bousder, M., Sakhi, Z., & Bennai, M. (2021). *Preheating and reheating constraints in supersymmetric braneworld inflation*. The European Physical Journal Plus, 136(8), 1-19.
- [38] Bourakadi, K. E., Sakhi, Z., & Bennai, M. (2023). *Observational constraints on Tachyon inflation and reheating in $f(Q)$ gravity*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.11229.
- [39] Bourakadi, K. E. (2022). *Hubble tension and Reheating: Hybrid Inflation Implications*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.01162.
- [40] Bourakadi, K. E., Sakhi, Z., & Bennai, M. (2022). *Preheating constraints in α -attractor inflation and Gravitational Waves production*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.09241.
- [41] El Bourakadi, K., Ferricha-Alami, M., Filali, H., Sakhi, Z., & Bennai, M. (2021). *Gravitational waves from preheating in Gauss-Bonnet inflation*. The European Physical Journal C, 81(12), 1144.
- [42] Koussour, M., El Bourakadi, K., Shekh, S. H., Pacif, S. K. J., & Bennai, M. (2022). *Late-time acceleration in $f(Q)$ gravity: Analysis and constraints in an anisotropic background*. Annals of Physics, 445, 169092.
- [43] Binetruy, P., Dvali, G., Kallosh, R., & Van Proeyen, A. (2004). *Fayet-Iliopoulos terms in supergravity and cosmology*. Classical and quantum gravity, 21(13), 3137.
- [44] Panotopoulos, G. (2005). *D-term inflation in D-brane cosmology*. Physics Letters B, 623(3-4), 185-191.
- [45] R. Maartens, Living rev. Relativity 7, 7 (2004)
- [46] El Bourakadi, K., Bousder, M., Sakhi, Z., & Bennai, M. (2021). *Preheating and reheating constraints in supersymmetric braneworld inflation*. The European Physical Journal Plus, 136(8), 1-19.
- [47] Kofman, L., Linde, A., & Starobinsky, A. A. (1994). *Reheating after inflation*. Physical Review Letters, 73(24), 3195.
- [48] Bourakadi, K. E., Sakhi, Z., & Bennai, M. (2023). *Observational constraints on Tachyon inflation and reheating in $f(Q)$ gravity*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.11229.
- [49] Bourakadi, K. E. (2022). *Hubble tension and Reheating: Hybrid Inflation Implications*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.01162.
- [50] Bourakadi, K. E., Sakhi, Z., & Bennai, M. (2022). *Preheating constraints in α -attractor inflation and Gravitational Waves production*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.09241.
- [51] El Bourakadi, K., Ferricha-Alami, M., Filali, H., Sakhi, Z., & Bennai, M. (2021). *Gravitational waves from preheating in Gauss-Bonnet inflation*. The European Physical Journal C, 81(12), 1144.
- [52] El Bourakadi, K., et al. *Primordial black holes and gravitational waves in teleparallel Gravity*. The European Physical Journal C 82.9 (2022): 1-11.
- [53] Schwarz, D. J., Terrero-Escalante, C. A., & García, A. A. (2001). *Higher order corrections to primordial spectra from cosmological inflation*. Physics Letters B, 517(3-4), 243-249.
- [54] Kallosh, R., & Linde, A. (2007). *Testing string theory with cosmic microwave background*. Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, 2007(04), 017.

- [55] Ferricha-Alami, M., Safsafi, A., Lahlou, L., Chakir, H., & Bennai, M. (2015). *Tree Level Potential on Brane after Planck and BICEP2*. Journal of Astrophysics and Astronomy, 36(2), 269-280.
- [56] Traschen, J. H., & Brandenberger, R. H. (1990). *Particle production during out-of-equilibrium phase transitions*. Physical Review D, 42(8), 2491.
- [57] Monteux, A., & Shin, C. S. (2015). *Thermal Goldstino production with low reheating temperatures*. Physical Review D, 92(3), 035002.
- [58] Munoz, J. B., & Kamionkowski, M. (2015). *Equation-of-state parameter for reheating*. Physical Review D, 91(4), 043521.
- [59] Benetti, M., Graef, L. L., & Vagnozzi, S. (2022). *Primordial gravitational waves from NANOGrav: A broken power-law approach*. Physical Review D, 105(4), 043520.
- [60] Dodelson, S., & Hui, L. (2003). *Horizon ratio bound for inflationary fluctuations*. Physical review letters, 91(13), 131301.
- [61] Martin, J., & Ringeval, C. (2010). *First CMB constraints on the inflationary reheating temperature*. Physical Review D, 82(2), 023511.
- [62] Adshead, P., Easther, R., Pritchard, J., & Loeb, A. (2011). *Inflation and the scale dependent spectral index: prospects and strategies*. Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, 2011(02), 021.
- [63] Mielczarek, J. (2011). *Reheating temperature from the CMB*. Physical Review D, 83(2), 023502.
- [64] Easther, R., & Peiris, H. V. (2012). *Bayesian analysis of inflation. II. Model selection and constraints on reheating*. Physical Review D, 85(10), 103533.
- [65] Creminelli, P., Nacir, D. L., Simonovi'c, M., Trevisan, G., & Zaldarriaga, M. (2014). *ϕ^2 inflation at its endpoint*. Physical Review D, 90(8), 083513.
- [66] Cook, J. L., Dimastrogiovanni, E., Easson, D. A., & Krauss, L. M. (2015), *Reheating predictions in single field inflation*, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys., 2015(04), 047.