Wilson-line Scalar Mass in Flux Compactification on an Orbifold T^2/Z_2

Nobuhito Maru,^{*a*, *b*} and Hiroki Tanaka^{*a*}

^a Department of Physics, Osaka Metropolitan University, Osaka 558-8585, Japan

^b Nambu Yoichiro Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics (NITEP), Osaka Metropolitan University, Osaka 558-8585, Japan

Abstract

In a torus compactification with magnetic flux, the scalar field generated from the higher dimensional gauge field becomes the Nambu-Goldstone boson of the translational symmetry in extra spaces and the mass of the scalar field is not allowed. In this paper, we explicitly show that the one-loop quantum correction to the mass of the scalar field is generated at fixed points in a case of an orbifold compactification T^2/Z_2 with magnetic flux. This is because the scalar field is a pseudo Nambu-Goldstone boson as a result of explicit breaking of the translational symmetry by the fixed points. This result might be possible to shed a new light on the solution of the hierarchy problem.

1 Introduction

Flux compactification is one of the attractive scenarios beyond the Standard Model (SM) since the chiral fermion is realized, the number of generations of quarks and leptons might be predicted, and so on. On the other hand, it is also interesting to apply this scenario to the hierarchy problem in the SM. In this scenario, the zero mode of extra component of the higher dimensional gauge field, which we call as Wilson-line (WL) scalar, is regarded as the SM Higgs field. However, the WL scalar mass at tree level and the quantum level vanishes in a theory compactified on T^2 with magnetic flux since the WL scalar can be considered to be Nambu-Goldstone (NG) boson of the translational symmetry in extra spaces T^2 with magnetic flux. This property was shown at one-loop in a six dimensional QED compactified on T^2 with magnetic flux in supersymmetric or non-supersymmetric cases [1, 2]. This cancellation was investigated carefully in [3]. The non-Abelian extension of this property has been achieved in [4, 5]. Two-loop analysis has been carried out and the result has been shown to vanish [6].

In order to be available to the hierarchy problem, the nonvanishing mass of the WL scalar field has to be generated by breaking the translational symmetry in extra spaces explicitly. As such attempts, the finite WL scalar mass was obtained in a six dimensional scalar QED compactified on T^2 with magnetic flux by classifying the interactions which break the translational symmetry explicitly and provide the finite WL scalar mass [7]. Alternatively, the nonvanishing scalar potential of the WL scalar field was obtained and the pattern of gauge symmetry breaking was studied in a six dimensional Yang-Mills theory compactified on T^2 by considering the magnetic flux and the constant vacuum expectation value (VEV) simultaneously, which also breaks the translational symmetry explicitly.

In this paper, a further alternative way of explicit breaking will be employed. We consider an orbifold compactification with magnetic flux, where there exist some fixed points in extra spaces under the discrete symmetry of the orbifold. The translational symmetry in extra spaces is explicitly broken at fixed points, in other words, the compactification itself breaks the symmetry explicitly. Therefore, it is expected from this observation that the nonvanishing WL scalar mass is generated at fixed points only from the zero mode contributions. We will show that this is indeed the case in a simple model.

2 Setup

We consider a six dimensional scalar QED compactified on an orbifold T^2/Z_2 with magnetic flux to understand the essential points of the mechanism and avoid an unnecessary technical complexity. The action is given by

$$S = \int d^{6}x \left(-\frac{1}{4} F^{MN} F_{MN} - (D^{M} \Phi)^{*} D_{M} \Phi \right)$$

$$= \int d^{6}x \left[\left(-\frac{1}{4} \right) \left(F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + 2(F^{\mu5} F_{\mu5} + F^{\mu6} F_{\mu6} + F^{56} F_{56}) \right) - (D^{\mu} \Phi)^{*} (D_{\mu} \Phi) - (D^{m} \Phi)^{*} (D_{m} \Phi) \right],$$
(2.1)
(2.1)

where M, N = 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 6 are indices in six dimensional spacetime, $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ are indices in four dimensional spacetime and m = 5, 6 is an index of extra spaces. The metric convention is taken as follows $\eta_{MN} = \text{diag}(-1, +1, +1, +1, +1)$. The first term is the gauge kinetic term and its field strength of gauge field A_M is

$$F_{MN} = \partial_M A_N - \partial_N A_M. \tag{2.3}$$

 Φ is a complex scalar field in the six dimensional bulk and their covariant derivative is

$$D_M \Phi = (\partial_M - ig_6 A_M) \Phi, \qquad (2.4)$$

where g_6 is a gauge coupling constant in six dimensions with mass dimension -1. The mass of the scalar field Φ in a six dimensional spacetime is assumed to be zero for simplicity.

The T^2/Z_2 orbifold flux compactification we employ in this paper is explained. First, T^2 compactification is obtained by imposing the periodic boundary conditions in $x_{5,6}$ direction as $x_{5,6} = x_{5,6} + 2\pi R$. Here, we take a common radius R of $x_{5,6}$ directions, for simplicity. Z_2 identification is carried out as follows. Z_2 parity transformation is given by

$$x_5 \to -x_5, \quad x_6 \to -x_6 \tag{2.5}$$

and the action is invariant under these transformations. Combining the periodic boundary conditions and Z_2 parity introduced above, we find four fixed points in compactified space as

$$(x_5, x_6) = (0, 0), (\pi R, \pi R), (0, \pi R), (\pi R, 0).$$
(2.6)

The periodic boundary conditions and Z_2 parity assignments have to be imposed on the fields introduced in our model. For the gauge field, the following conditions are taken.

$$A_M(x_\mu, x_5 + 2\pi R, x_6 + 2\pi R) = A_M(x_\mu, x_5, x_6), \qquad (2.7)$$

and

$$A_5(-x_5, -x_6) = A_5(x_5, x_6), (2.8)$$

$$A_6(-x_5, -x_6) = A_6(x_5, x_6), (2.9)$$

$$A_{\mu}(-x_5, -x_6) = -A_{\mu}(x_5, x_6). \tag{2.10}$$

Here we note that Z_2 parity of $A_{5,6}$ is taken to be even by hand since we are interested in the quantum corrections to the mass of their zero modes, which must be even under Z_2 parity. Once the parity of $A_{5,6}$ is fixed, the Z_2 parity of A_{μ} is fixed to be odd from the Z_2 invariance of the theory.

The magnetic flux can be introduced by developing the VEV for $A_{5,6}$, which is consistent with Z_2 parity.

$$\langle A_5 \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} f \epsilon(x_6) x_6, \qquad \langle A_6 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} f \epsilon(x_5) x_5, \qquad (2.11)$$

where f is a real constant and $\epsilon(x)$ is a sign function. In fact, the magnetic field in extra space is obtained

$$\langle F_{56} \rangle = \partial_5 \langle A_6 \rangle - \partial_6 \langle A_5 \rangle$$

= $f + f(\delta(x_5)x_5 + \delta(x_6)x_6) = f.$ (2.12)

In the last expression, we implicitly take into account

$$\int dx_5 dx_6 \delta(x_5) x_5 = \int dx_5 dx_6 \delta(x_6) x_6 = 0.$$
(2.13)

Furthermore, the magnetic flux is quantized as in the two dimensional quantum mechanics in a magnetic field.

$$\frac{q}{2\pi} \int_{T^2} F = \frac{q}{2\pi} \int_{T^2} dx_5 dx_6 \langle F_{56} \rangle = \frac{2L^2 q}{\pi} f = N \in \mathbb{Z},$$
(2.14)

where $L = \pi R$ and q is an electric charge. We note that the integer N represents the degeneracy of each mode of the field since the energy spectrum is independent of N. In application to quarks and leptons, this degeneracy is interpreted as the number of generations.

In flux compactification, the algebraic calculations can be proceeded analogy to the two dimensional quantum mechanics in a magnetic field. In particular, the creation and annihilation operators are given by the covariant derivatives,

$$[a, a^{\dagger}] = \frac{1}{2g_6 f} [i \langle D_5 \rangle - \langle D_6 \rangle, i \langle D_5 \rangle + \langle D_6 \rangle] = \frac{1}{2g_6 f} \Big\{ 2g_6 f[\delta(x_5)x_5 + \delta(x_6)x_6] + g_6 f[\epsilon(x_5) + \epsilon(x_6)] \Big\} = \frac{1}{2} (\epsilon(x_5) + \epsilon(x_6))$$
(2.15)

In the last expression, we took into account again the properties (2.13). Hereafter, we proceed calculations in a fundamental region of T^2/Z_2 with $x_5 > 0, x_6 > 0$ without loss of generality. Then, the commutation relation of the creation and annihilation operators (2.15) reduces to that of the harmonic oscillator.

The WL scalar field can be expanded around the flux background

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(A_6 + iA_5) = \frac{f}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{z} + \frac{1}{L}\varphi, \qquad z = \frac{1}{2}(x_5 + ix_6), \tag{2.16}$$

and using the creation and annihilation operators, the action of the bulk scalar field relevant for later calculations can be expressed as follows.

$$S_{\text{scalar}} \supset \int d^6 x \Big(-(D_\mu \Phi)^* D^\mu \Phi - \bar{\Phi} H_2 \Phi \\ -\frac{\sqrt{2}ig_6}{L} \sqrt{2g_6 f} \overline{\varphi} \bar{\Phi} a^\dagger \Phi + \frac{\sqrt{2}ig_6}{L} \sqrt{2g_6 f} \varphi \bar{\Phi} a \Phi - \frac{2g_6^2}{L^2} \overline{\varphi} \varphi \bar{\Phi} \Phi \Big)$$
(2.17)

where φ is a WL scalar field. $H_2 = -\langle D_5 \rangle^2 - \langle D_6 \rangle^2$ is a Kaluza-Klein (KK) mass operator of the bulk scalar field.

We emphasize here that the local mass term of the WL scalar field is forbidden by the gauge symmetry since it is not invariant under the gauge transformation $\phi \to \phi + \partial \alpha$ where $\alpha(x_{\mu}, x_5, x_6)$ is a transformation parameter. Note that this property can be also applied to the local mass terms on the fixed points since the gauge transformation $\phi \to \phi + \partial \alpha_{odd}$ by the Z_2 odd transformation parameter is operative on the fixed point as a remnant of the higher dimensional bulk gauge symmetry [8].

Furthermore, the local mass term is forbidden by the translational symmetry in extra spaces, which is spontaneously broken by $\langle A_{5,6} \rangle$. The scalar field ϕ is transformed by the infinitesimal translation $x_{5,6} \to x_{5,6} + \epsilon_{5,6}$ and we know the transformation to be a constant shift [1, 2],

$$\delta_T \phi \equiv (\epsilon_5 \partial_5 + \epsilon_6 \partial_6) \phi = \frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{2}} f, \qquad (2.18)$$

where $\epsilon \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_5 + i\epsilon_6)$, $\bar{\epsilon} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_5 - i\epsilon_6)$. This implies that ϕ is regarded as a NG boson of the translational symmetry in extra spaces. The NG boson can have only derivative couplings and the local mass term is forbidden.

For the bulk field Φ , both Z_2 parities can be assigned and Φ can be expanded in terms of mode functions $\xi_{n,j}(x^m)$ in T^2 flux compactification.

$$\Phi(x_{\mu}, x_{5}, x_{6}) = \frac{1}{2L} \sum_{n=0,j}^{\infty} \Phi_{n,j}(x_{\mu}) \begin{pmatrix} \xi_{n,j}(x^{m}) + \xi_{n,j}(-x^{m}) \\ \varphi(x_{\mu}, x_{5}, x_{6}) = \frac{1}{2L} \sum_{n=1,j}^{\infty} \Phi_{n,j}(x_{\mu}) \begin{pmatrix} \xi_{n,j}(x^{m}) - \xi_{n,j}(-x^{m}) \\ \varphi(x_{\mu}, x_{5}, x_{6}) \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{odd})$$
(2.19)

where the summation with respect to j is given by the summation for the degeneracy $\sum_{j=0}^{N-1}$. $\Phi_{n,j}(x_{\mu})$ is a four dimensional field and $\xi_{n,j}(x^m), \xi_{n,j}(-x^m)$ satisfy the orthonormal conditions

$$\frac{1}{L^2} \int d^2 x \bar{\xi}_{n',j'}(\pm x^m) \xi_{n,j}(\pm x^m) = \delta_{n',n} \delta_{j',j}, \qquad (2.20)$$

$$\frac{1}{L^2} \int d^2 x \bar{\xi}_{n',j'}(\pm x^m) \xi_{n,j}(\mp x^m) = \delta_{-n',n} \delta_{j',j}.$$
(2.21)

Note that Z_2 even (odd) parity mode function can be constructed from the sum (subtraction) of mode functions $\xi_{n,j}(x^m)$ and $\xi_{n,j}(-x^m)$ in T^2 compactification, respectively [9].

Furthermore, the mode functions of the vacuum are defined as

$$a\xi_{0,j} = 0, \qquad a^{\dagger}\bar{\xi}_{0,j} = 0.$$
 (2.22)

The mode functions with higher mode are obtained by acting the creation operators on the vacuum as is done in the harmonic oscillator.

$$\xi_{n,j} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} (a^{\dagger})^n \xi_{0,j}, \qquad \bar{\xi}_{n,j} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} (a)^n \bar{\xi}_{0,j}.$$
(2.23)

As for the gauge field, it can be also expanded similarly to the scalar field, but the expansion does not needed since we have no gauge boson loop contributions in the calculation of the quantum correction to the WL scalar mass.

We now substitute the mode expansions (2.19) into the Z_2 even and odd terms of $\bar{\Phi}H_2\Phi$, $\bar{\Phi}a^{\dagger}\Phi$, $\bar{\Phi}a\Phi$, $\bar{\Phi}\Phi$ in (2.17) and calculate them using the properties of the creation and annihilation operators.

First, the KK mass terms are calculated as follows.

$$\bar{\Phi}H_{2}\Phi_{(even)} = -\frac{1}{4L^{2}}\sum_{n=0,j}\bar{\Phi}_{n',j'}\Phi_{n,j}\left\{-g_{6}f(2n+1)\bar{\xi}_{n'}^{+}\xi_{n}^{+} - g_{6}f(2n+1)\bar{\xi}_{n'}^{-}\xi_{n}^{-} - g_{6}f(2n+1)\bar{\xi}_{n'}^{-}\xi_{n}^{-}\right\},\qquad(2.24)$$

$$\bar{\Phi}H_{2}\Phi_{(odd)} = -\frac{1}{4L^{2}}\sum_{n=1,j}\bar{\Phi}_{n',j'}\Phi_{n,j}\left\{-g_{6}f(2n+1)\bar{\xi}_{n'}^{+}\xi_{n}^{+} - g_{6}f(2n+1)\bar{\xi}_{n'}^{-}\xi_{n}^{-} + g_{6}f(2n+1)\bar{\xi}_{n'}^{-}\xi_{n}^{-}\right\} + g_{6}f(2n+1)\bar{\xi}_{n'}^{+}\xi_{n}^{-} + g_{6}f(2n+1)\bar{\xi}_{n'}^{-}\xi_{n}^{+}\right\}$$
(2.25)

where we defined a simplified notation of the mode functions.

$$\xi_{n,j}(x^m) \equiv \xi_n^+, \quad \xi_{n,j}(-x^m) \equiv \xi_n^-.$$
 (2.26)

Next, the terms corresponding to three point interactions are calculated.

$$\bar{\Phi}a^{\dagger}\Phi_{(even)} = \frac{1}{4L^2} \sum_{n=0,j} \bar{\Phi}_{n',j'} \Phi_{n,j} \sqrt{n+1} (\bar{\xi}_{n'}^+ \xi_{n+1}^+ + \bar{\xi}_{n'}^- \xi_{n+1}^- + \bar{\xi}_{n'}^+ \xi_{n+1}^- + \bar{\xi}_{n'}^- \xi_{n+1}^+), \qquad (2.27)$$

$$\bar{\Phi}a^{\dagger}\Phi_{(odd)} = \frac{1}{4L^2} \sum_{n=1,j} \bar{\Phi}_{n',j'} \Phi_{n,j} \sqrt{n+1} (\bar{\xi}_{n'}^+ \xi_{n+1}^+ + \bar{\xi}_{n'}^- \xi_{n+1}^- - \bar{\xi}_{n'}^+ \xi_{n+1}^- - \bar{\xi}_{n'}^- \xi_{n+1}^+), \qquad (2.28)$$

$$\bar{\Phi}a\Phi_{(even)} = \frac{1}{4L^2} \sum_{n=0,j} \bar{\Phi}_{n',j'} \Phi_{n,j} \sqrt{n} (\bar{\xi}_{n'}^+ \xi_{n-1}^+ + \bar{\xi}_{n'}^- \xi_{n-1}^- + \bar{\xi}_{n'}^+ \xi_{n-1}^- + \bar{\xi}_{n'}^- \xi_{n-1}^+), \qquad (2.29)$$

$$\bar{\Phi}a\Phi_{(odd)} = \frac{1}{4L^2} \sum_{n=1,j} \bar{\Phi}_{n',j'} \Phi_{n,j} \sqrt{n} (\bar{\xi}_{n'}^+ \xi_{n-1}^+ + \bar{\xi}_{n'}^- \xi_{n-1}^- - \bar{\xi}_{n'}^+ \xi_{n-1}^- - \bar{\xi}_{n'}^- \xi_{n-1}^+).$$
(2.30)

Finally, a part of the quadratic interaction are calculated.

$$\bar{\Phi}\Phi_{(even)} = \frac{1}{4L^2} \sum_{n=0,j} \bar{\Phi}_{n',j'} \Phi_{n,j} (\bar{\xi}_{n'}^+ \xi_n^+ + \bar{\xi}_{n'}^- \xi_n^- + \bar{\xi}_{n'}^+ \xi_n^- + \bar{\xi}_{n'}^- \xi_n^+), \qquad (2.31)$$

$$\bar{\Phi}\Phi_{(odd)} = \frac{1}{4L^2} \sum_{n=1,j} \bar{\Phi}_{n',j'} \Phi_{n,j} (\bar{\xi}_{n'}^+ \xi_n^+ + \bar{\xi}_{n'}^- \xi_n^- - \bar{\xi}_{n'}^+ \xi_n^- - \bar{\xi}_{n'}^- \xi_n^+).$$
(2.32)

3 One-loop correction to WL scalar mass

In this section, we calculate one-loop corrections to the WL scalar mass by the KK modes of the scalar field Φ .

Using these results (2.24)-(2.25), (2.27)-(2.30), (2.31)-(2.32) and the orthonormal conditions of the mode functions, we can derive the four dimensional effective theory. Since the action with nonzero modes is obtained from the sum of the Z_2 even and odd terms, but the action with only zero mode is obtained from the Z_2 even terms, we summarize the four dimensional effective actions for nonzero KK modes and zero mode of Φ , separately.

$$S_{4,n\neq0} = \int d^4x \left[-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n^{\mu\nu} F_{n\mu\nu} - \partial_\mu \overline{\varphi} \partial^\mu \varphi \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{n=1,j}^{\infty} \left(-(D_\mu \Phi_{n,j})^* D^\mu \Phi_{n,j} - 2g_4 f L \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \bar{\Phi}_{n,j} \Phi_{n,j} \right. \\ \left. - \sqrt{2} i g_4 \sqrt{2g_4 f L (n+1)} \overline{\varphi} \bar{\Phi}_{n+1,j} \Phi_{n,j} + \sqrt{2} i g_4 \sqrt{2g_4 f L (n+1)} \varphi \bar{\Phi}_{n,j} \Phi_{n+1,j} \right. \\ \left. - 2g_4^2 \overline{\varphi} \varphi \bar{\Phi}_{n,j} \Phi_{n,j} \right) \right]$$

$$(3.1)$$

for non-zero modes and

$$S_{4,n=0} = \int d^{4}x \left[-\frac{1}{4} F_{0}^{\mu\nu} F_{0\mu\nu} - \partial_{\mu} \overline{\varphi} \partial^{\mu} \varphi + \left(-(D_{\mu} \Phi_{0,j})^{*} D^{\mu} \Phi_{0,j} - g_{4} f L \overline{\Phi}_{0,j} \Phi_{0,j} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} i g_{4} \sqrt{2g_{4} f L} \overline{\varphi} \overline{\Phi}_{-1,j} \Phi_{0,j} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} i g_{4} \sqrt{2g_{4} f L} \overline{\varphi} \overline{\Phi}_{0,j} \Phi_{1,j} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} i g_{4} \sqrt{2g_{4} f L} \varphi \overline{\Phi}_{0,j} \Phi_{1,j} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} i g_{4} \sqrt{2g_{4} f L} \varphi \overline{\Phi}_{0,j} \Phi_{1,j} - 2g_{4}^{2} \overline{\varphi} \varphi \overline{\Phi}_{0,j} \Phi_{0,j} \right]$$

$$(3.2)$$

for zero mode. The four dimensional gauge coupling is defined as $Lg_4 = g_6$. We note that the mixing terms $\bar{\xi}^+\xi^-, \bar{\xi}^-\xi^+$ are cancelled in calculation of (3.1).

In order to calculate the quantum corrections to the mass of φ , we first derive the propagator of the scalar field, which is denoted as $K^{-1}(p)$ in a four dimensional point of view [9]. The free part Lagrangian of the scalar field in the four dimensional effective theory are written as

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n,j} \bar{\Phi}_{n',j'} \left[(\delta_{n',n} \pm \delta_{-n',n}) \left\{ -\partial^2 + 2g_4 f L \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right\} \right] \Phi_{n,j}, \tag{3.3}$$

then the propagator can be derived as

$$K^{-1}(p) = \frac{-i}{2} \left(\frac{\delta_{n',n} \pm \delta_{-n',n}}{p^2 + 2g_4 f L \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right)} \right).$$
(3.4)

+(-) corresponds to the Z_2 even (odd) case, respectively. It is straightforward to obtain the propagator of nonzero modes $\Phi_{n,j}$ $(n \neq 0)$ from (3.4) by adding the both propagators of Z_2 even and odd cases and the result is

$$K^{-1}(p) = \frac{-i\delta_{n',n}}{p^2 + 2g_4 f L\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)}.$$
(3.5)

For zero mode, we should consider the Z_2 even and odd part separately. In (3.4), n, n' = 0 is substituted and the propagator of the zero mode is obtained.

$$K^{-1}(p) \to \frac{-i}{p^2 + g_4 f L}$$
 (even), 0 (odd). (3.6)

It is consistent that the propagator of zero mode is obtained from the even part. We emphasize that the $\delta_{-n',n}$ term in the propagator is characteristic in the case of T^2/Z_2 , and is absent in the T^2 compactification. This term reflects the violation of KK momentum conservation on the fixed points [9] and plays an important role in calculation of the quantum correction to the mass of φ .

The interaction vertices necessary for one-loop calculations are derived and they are shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2. We note that the 3-point vertices 6 and 7 in Figure 2 are

1.
$$\overline{\varphi} \cdots \varphi = \frac{\Phi_{n,j}}{\Phi_{n+1,j}}$$
 2. $\varphi \cdots \varphi = \frac{\overline{\Phi}_{n,j}}{\Phi_{n+1,j}}$ 3. $\overline{\Phi}_{n,j} \Phi_{n,j}$

Figure 1: 3-point and 4-point vertices from (3.1), which include only non-zero modes for the bulk scalar field.



Figure 2: 3-point and 4-point vertices from (3.2), which include at least one zero mode for the bulk scalar field.

apparently the same, but are coming from different interaction terms. The relevant 3-point interactions in parity even Lagrangian are

$$-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}ig_{4}\sqrt{2g_{4}fL(n+1)}\bar{\varphi}\bar{\Phi}_{n+1,j}\Phi_{n,j} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}ig_{4}\sqrt{2g_{4}fL(n+1)}\bar{\varphi}\bar{\Phi}_{-n-1,j}\Phi_{n,j} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}ig_{4}\sqrt{2g_{4}fL(n+1)}\varphi\bar{\Phi}_{-n,j}\Phi_{n+1,j} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}ig_{4}\sqrt{2g_{4}fL(n+1)}\varphi\bar{\Phi}_{-n,j}\Phi_{n+1,j}.$$
 (3.7)

The 3-point vertices 6 and 7 are obtained from the third and the fourth terms in the above Lagrangian after putting n = 0, respectively.

Let us first calculate one-loop contributions to WL scalar mass from $n \neq 0$ KK modes. The one-loop corrections by the two 3-point vertices (1 and 2 in Figure 1) is calculated as

$$\delta m_{\varphi}^{2}(3-\text{point}) = -i(\sqrt{2}g_{4})^{2} \sum_{n=1,j}^{\infty} \int \frac{d^{4}k}{(2\pi)^{4}} \left(\frac{-i\sqrt{2g_{4}fL(n+1)}}{k^{2}+2g_{4}fL(n+\frac{1}{2})}\right) \left(\frac{-i\sqrt{2g_{4}fL(n+1)}}{k^{2}+2g_{4}fL(n+\frac{3}{2})}\right)$$
$$= i2g_{4}^{2}|N| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int \frac{d^{4}k}{(2\pi)^{4}} \frac{2g_{4}fL(n+1)}{\left(k^{2}+2g_{4}fL\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)\left(k^{2}+2g_{4}fL\left(n+\frac{3}{2}\right)\right)}.$$
(3.8)

Similarly, the one-loop corrections by a 4-point vertex (3 in Figure 1) is obtained as

$$\delta m_{\varphi}^2(4-\text{point}) = 2g_4^2 |N| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{k^2 + 2g_4 f L\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)}.$$
(3.9)

In the final expressions of (3.8) and (3.9), Wick rotation has been done.

Thus, the one-loop corrections to the WL scalar mass by the nonzero KK modes of the scalar field results in

$$\delta m_{\varphi}^{2}(n \neq 0) = 2g_{4}^{2} \sum_{n=1,j}^{\infty} \int \frac{d^{4}k}{(2\pi)^{4}} \\ \times \left\{ \frac{1}{k^{2} + 2g_{4}fL(n + \frac{1}{2})} - \frac{2g_{4}fL(n + 1)}{\left(k^{2} + 2g_{4}fL(n + \frac{1}{2})\right)\left(k^{2} + 2g_{4}fL(n + \frac{3}{2})\right)} \right\} \\ = 2g_{4}^{2}|N| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int \frac{d^{4}k}{(2\pi)^{4}} \left(\frac{n+1}{k^{2} + 2g_{4}fL(n + \frac{3}{2})} - \frac{n}{k^{2} + 2g_{4}fL(n + \frac{1}{2})} \right). \quad (3.10)$$

Next, we turn to consider zero mode n = 0 contributions. The one-loop corrections by the two 3-point vertices are calculated by connecting the vertices 4 and 6 in Figure 2 and the vertices 5 and 7 in Figure 2, respectively. We note that the former contributions are connected by the $\delta_{n',n}$ term in the propagator, but the latter contributions are connected by the $\delta_{-n',n}$ term in the propagator, which are absent in the T^2 compactification. These contributions are found to be same and the result is

$$\delta m_{\varphi}^{2}(3-\text{point}) = -i\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}g_{4}\right)^{2}\sum_{j}\int \frac{d^{4}k}{(2\pi)^{4}} \left(\frac{-i\sqrt{2g_{4}fL}}{k^{2}+g_{4}fL}\right) \left(\frac{-i\sqrt{2g_{4}fL}}{k^{2}+3g_{4}fL}\right) \times 2$$
$$= -g_{4}^{2}|N|\int \frac{d^{4}k}{(2\pi)^{4}} \frac{2g_{4}fL}{\left(k^{2}+g_{4}fL\right)\left(k^{2}+3g_{4}fL\right)}.$$
(3.11)

The one-loop correction by a 4-point vertex (8 in Figure 2) is easily calculated

$$\delta m_{\varphi}^2(4-\text{point}) = 2g_4^2 |N| \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{k^2 + g_4 fL}.$$
(3.12)

In the final expression of (3.11) and (3.12), Wick rotation has been done.

Therefore, one-loop corrections to the WL scalar mass from zero mode contributions is a sum of (3.11) and (3.12)

$$\delta m_{\varphi}^{2}(n=0) = -g_{4}^{2}|N| \int \frac{d^{4}k}{(2\pi)^{4}} \frac{2g_{4}fL}{\left(k^{2} + g_{4}fL\right)\left(k^{2} + 3g_{4}fL\right)} + 2g_{4}^{2}|N| \int \frac{d^{4}k}{(2\pi)^{4}} \frac{1}{k^{2} + g_{4}fL}$$
$$= g_{4}^{2}|N| \int \frac{d^{4}k}{(2\pi)^{4}} \left(\frac{1}{k^{2} + 3g_{4}fL} + \frac{1}{k^{2} + g_{4}fL}\right).$$
(3.13)

Finally, we obtain one-loop corrections to the WL scalar mass by summing the results (3.10) and (3.13).

$$\delta m_{\varphi}^{2} = 2g_{4}^{2}|N|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int \frac{d^{4}k}{(2\pi)^{4}} \left(\frac{n+1}{k^{2}+2g_{4}fL(n+\frac{3}{2})} - \frac{n}{k^{2}+2g_{4}fL(n+\frac{1}{2})}\right) \\ + g_{4}^{2}|N| \int \frac{d^{4}k}{(2\pi)^{4}} \left(\frac{1}{k^{2}+3g_{4}fL} + \frac{1}{k^{2}+g_{4}fL}\right) \\ = 2g_{4}^{2}|N|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int \frac{d^{4}k}{(2\pi)^{4}} \left(\frac{n+1}{k^{2}+2g_{4}fL(n+\frac{3}{2})} - \frac{n}{k^{2}+2g_{4}fL(n+\frac{1}{2})}\right) \\ + g_{4}^{2}|N| \int \frac{d^{4}k}{(2\pi)^{4}} \left(-\frac{1}{k^{2}+3g_{4}fL} + \frac{1}{k^{2}+g_{4}fL}\right) \\ = g_{4}^{2}|N| \int \frac{d^{4}k}{(2\pi)^{4}} \left(\frac{1}{k^{2}+g_{4}fL} - \frac{1}{k^{2}+3g_{4}fL}\right)$$
(3.14)

$$\rightarrow g_4^2 |N| \frac{g_4 f L}{16\pi^2} \log\left(\frac{\Lambda^4}{27(g_4 f L)^2}\right).$$
 (3.15)

Noting that the third term in the right-hand side of the first equality is a half of the first term for n = 0 case, we rewrite the mode summation from n = 1 to that from n = 0 in the second equality. Furthermore, the rewritten mode summation vanishes by the shift $n \to n + 1$ in the second term, which is ensured from the translational symmetry in extra spaces of T^2 compactification. As expected, the final result (3.14) is expressed by only zero mode and is interpreted that the mass of the WL scalar field is generated at fixed points. Remarkably, the degree of divergence is found to be logarithmic not quadratic, which is very similar to supersymmetric case. This is considered to be a remnant of translational symmetry in extra spaces. In the final expression, the momentum integral is explicitly done by introducing the cutoff scale Λ .

One might think a following question. It is natural that the obtained WL scalar mass is generated at the fixed points, where the translational symmetry in extra spaces is explicitly broken. However, it might be inconsistent that the WL scalar mass is generated on the fixed points since the gauge symmetry is operative on the fixed points as mentioned before. To resolve this question, we have to take care of the meaning of mass. The WL scalar mass obtained in this paper should be understood as a gauge invariant and non-local mass described by the Wilson-line operators [7]. The Wilson-line operators are given by

$$U_5 = \exp\left[ig_6 \oint A_5 dx^5\right], \qquad U_6 = \exp\left[ig_6 \oint A_6 dx^6\right], \qquad (3.16)$$

which can be rewritten as follows

$$U_5 = \exp\left[\frac{g_4}{\sqrt{2}} \oint (\varphi dz + \varphi d\bar{z} - \bar{\varphi} dz - \bar{\varphi} d\bar{z})\right], \qquad (3.17)$$

$$U_6 = \exp\left[\frac{g_4}{\sqrt{2}} \oint (\varphi dz - \varphi d\bar{z} + \bar{\varphi} dz - \bar{\varphi} d\bar{z})\right].$$
(3.18)

From this observation, the WL scalar field can be expressed by the gauge invariant non-local operator as

$$\varphi \propto U_5 - U_5^{\dagger}, \qquad \bar{\varphi} \propto U_6 - U_6^{\dagger}$$

$$(3.19)$$

and the obtained WL scalar mass is gauge invariant and non-local. Furthermore, we can verify that these Wilson-line operators $U_{5,6}$ are not invariant under the constant shift.

4 Conclusions

In this paper, we have calculated one-loop quantum corrections to the WL scalar mass in a six dimensional scalar QED compactified on an orbifold T^2/\mathbb{Z}_2 with magnetic flux. In T^2 compactification with magnetic flux, the WL scalar field is the massless NG boson of the translational symmetry in extra spaces. In a realistic situation, we would like to regard the WL scalar field as the SM Higgs scalar field in a spirit of gauge-Higgs unification. However, this is impossible as it stands because of the masslessness of the WL scalar field. In order to generate the mass, we have to break the translational symmetry in extra spaces explicitly and the NG boson becames a pseudo NG boson. In this paper, by employing the T^2/Z_2 orbifold compactification as one of the solutions, the symmetry is explicitly broken on fixed points in T^2/Z_2 space. Then, the nonvanishing scalar mass is expected to be generated on fixed points. In fact, we have shown that this is true by calculating one-loop corrections to the WL scalar mass in a six dimensional scalar QED compactified on an orbifold T^2/Z_2 with magnetic flux. The contributions of the corrections to the WL scalar mass were found to be from only the zero mode. This is a natural result since only the four dimensional spacetime is spread on the fixed points. Remarkably, the nonvanishing scalar mass is logarithmic divergent not quadratic divergent, which is similar to the supersymmetric theory.

From the viewpoint of constructing a realistic model, it is necessary to extend the present discussion to the non-Abelian theory. In this extension, there exist extra contributions of the quantum corrections to the WL scalar mass from the gauge bosons, the scalar fields from the extra component of the gauge field, and the ghost fields. It would be interesting if the logarithmic divergences generated in the WL scalar mass are canceled to be finite and the cutoff scale independent by combining the above contributions. If this is true, it would be more desirable from the viewpoint of solving the hierarchy problem. This issue is remained for future work.

Acknowledgments

We thank Takuya Hirose for discussion.

References

- [1] W. Buchmuller, M. Dierigl, E. Dudas and J. Schweizer, JHEP 04, 052 (2017)
 [arXiv:1611.03798 [hep-th]].
- [2] W. Buchmuller, M. Dierigl and E. Dudas, JHEP 08, 151 (2018) [arXiv:1804.07497 [hep-th]].
- [3] D. M. Ghilencea and H. M. Lee, JHEP 06, 039 (2017) [arXiv:1703.10418 [hep-th]].
- [4] T. Hirose and N. Maru, JHEP 08, 054 (2019) [arXiv:1904.06028 [hep-th]].
- [5] T. Hirose and N. Maru, J. Phys. G 48, no.5, 055005 (2021) [arXiv:2012.03494 [hep-th]].
- [6] M. Honda and T. Shibasaki, JHEP 03, 031 (2020) [arXiv:1912.04581 [hep-th]].
- [7] T. Hirose and N. Maru, JHEP 06, 159 (2021) [arXiv:2104.01779 [hep-th]].
- [8] G. von Gersdorff, N. Irges and M. Quiros, Nucl. Phys. B 635, 127-157 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0204223 [hep-th]].
- [9] H. Georgi, A. K. Grant and G. Hailu, Phys. Lett. B 506, 207-214 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0012379 [hep-ph]].