

Orbital character of the spin-reorientation transition in TbMn_6Sn_6

S. X. M. Riberolles,¹ Tyler J. Slade,^{1,2} R. L. Dally,³ P. M. Sarte,⁴ Bing Li,² Tianxiang Han,² H. Lane,^{5,6} C. Stock,⁵ H. Bhandari,^{7,8} N. J. Ghimire,^{7,8} D. L. Abernathy,⁹ P. C. Canfield,^{1,2} J. W. Lynn,³ B. G. Ueland,¹ and R. J. McQueeney^{1,2,*}

¹*Ames Laboratory, Ames, Iowa, 50011, USA*

²*Department of Physics and Astronomy,
Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa, 50011, USA*

³*NIST Center for Neutron Research,
National Institute of Standards and Technology,
Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899, USA*

⁴*Materials Department and California Nanosystems Institute,
University of California Santa Barbara,
Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA*

⁵*School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh,
Edinburgh EH9 3JZ, United Kingdom*

⁶*School of Physics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, USA*

⁷*Department of Physics and Astronomy,
George Mason University, Fairfax, VA 22030, USA*

⁸*Quantum Science and Engineering Center,
George Mason University, Fairfax, VA 22030, USA*

⁹*Neutron Scattering Division, Oak Ridge National
Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831 USA*

(Dated: March 21, 2023)

Abstract

Ferromagnetic (FM) order in a two-dimensional kagome layer is predicted to generate a topological Chern insulator without an applied magnetic field. The Chern gap is largest when spin moments point perpendicular to the kagome layer, enabling the capability to switch topological transport properties, such as the quantum anomalous Hall effect, by controlling the spin orientation. In TbMn_6Sn_6 , the uniaxial magnetic anisotropy of the Tb^{3+} ion is effective at generating the Chern state within the FM Mn kagome layers while a spin-reorientation (SR) transition to easy-plane order above $T_{SR} = 310$ K provides a mechanism for switching. Here, we use inelastic neutron scattering to provide key insights into the fundamental nature of the SR transition. The observation of two Tb excitations, which are split by the magnetic anisotropy energy, indicates an effective two-state orbital character for the Tb ion, with a uniaxial ground state and an isotropic excited state. The simultaneous observation of both modes below T_{SR} confirms that orbital fluctuations are slow on magnetic and electronic time scales $< \text{ps}$ and act as a spatially-random orbital alloy. A thermally-driven critical concentration of isotropic Tb ions triggers the SR transition.

INTRODUCTION

Two-dimensional (2D) kagome metals possess both flat electronic bands and Dirac band crossings that provide an adaptable framework for the emergence of a variety of correlated and topological phases [1]. For example, the Haldane model predicts that a Chern insulator may be generated (without an applied magnetic field) in a ferromagnetic (FM) kagome layer when spin-polarized Dirac crossings are gapped by spin-orbit coupling (SOC) [2, 3]. Generating this topological phase in real (3D) materials requires that the Dirac bands are composed of orbitals with 2D character (such as $d_{x^2-y^2}$) in order to minimize coupling between kagome layers. For a 2D Dirac crossing, the Chern gap is largest when spins point perpendicular to the kagome layer.

This scenario has generated interest in $R\text{Mn}_6\text{Sn}_6$ ($R166$) compounds where the magnetic anisotropy of the rare-earth ion (R) plays the crucial role of controlling the spin orientation of the FM Mn kagome layers. The family of $R166$ are known for their combination of unique magnetic instabilities [4–12] and the topological character of their electronic bands [13–15].

* mcqueeney@ameslab.gov

Thus, they provide a promising framework for highly tunable magnetic topological insulators and semimetals.

Hexagonal $R166$ compounds consist of alternating Mn kagome and R triangular layers, and starkly different properties are found for magnetic and non-magnetic R ions. For the latter, the magnetism in compounds such as Y166 is defined by FM Mn kagome layers with weak easy-plane anisotropy. Complex incommensurate helical magnetism is formed by competing FM and antiferromagnetic (AF) coupling between kagome layers, resulting in a rich field and temperature phase diagram demonstrating the topological Hall effect [6, 10–12]. For magnetic R ions, strong AF R -Mn coupling provides a collinear 3D ferrimagnetic network where the orbital ground state of the R ion determines either a uniaxial (Tb), easy-cone (Ho,Dy), or easy-plane (Gd, Er) magnetic anisotropy [16]. The variability of the magnetic anisotropy for the different rare-earth ions originates from orbital ground states enabled by large fourth-order terms in the crystalline electric field (CEF) potential in the $R166$ structure [16]. It was recognized that the unique uniaxial magnetism of Tb166 is supremely effective at gapping spin-polarized Dirac band crossings, potentially creating a two-dimensional Chern insulator that is a realization of the spinless Haldane model [13]. However, Tb166 remains metallic, and achieving quantized Hall conductivity of a Chern insulator requires methods to control the Fermi energy by chemical substitution or gating of thin film samples.

These materials are also characterized by instabilities in the moment direction. In Tb166, a spin-reorientation (SR) transition from uniaxial to easy-plane collinear ferrimagnetism occurs above $T_{\text{SR}} = 310$ K [17, 18]. This first-order SR transition may also be driven by a magnetic field applied along the magnetic hard-axis. The prospects for rare-earth engineering of $R166$ compounds, which utilize mixed orbital moments, anisotropies, and exchange couplings, promises to create magnetic instabilities necessary to enable switching of topological magnets [15].

To better understand the role of R orbital states and their temperature evolution, we investigate the intrinsic nature of the SR transition in Tb166 using inelastic neutron scattering (INS). This transition has been described in terms of the competition between easy-plane Mn magnetic anisotropy and a temperature-dependent uniaxial Tb anisotropy [19–22]. In this description, fluctuations of the Tb moment direction with increasing temperature weaken the uniaxial Tb anisotropy, ultimately causing the SR transition [23].

Here, our INS data provide an insightful microscopic picture of the SR transition that is consistent with this scenario. At low temperatures, the observation of a propagating Tb spin wave mode near 25 meV confirms both the strong uniaxial ground state anisotropy of the Tb ion and AF coupling between the Tb and Mn sublattices. Above T_{SR} , the Tb mode appears below 10 meV which is consistent with an isotropic Tb ion. At intermediate temperatures, the simultaneous appearance of both the upper (uniaxial) and lower (isotropic) Tb modes are fingerprints of unusual Tb orbital dynamics. Within a random-phase approximation (RPA) description of the local Tb CEF states coupled to Mn, one expects a spectrum of orbital exciton modes (propagating, magnon-like quasiparticles comprised of Tb CEF excitations) to appear at high temperatures. However, the observation of only two Tb modes suggests a simple two-state orbital model consisting of a uniaxial ground state and an isotropic excited state. Thermal occupancy of the isotropic state weakens the magnetic anisotropy and drives the SR transition. Classical Monte Carlo simulations show that the simultaneous observation of both modes is consistent with a random, quasi-static distribution of these orbital states, suggesting that Tb orbital quantum states have a longer lifetime than the ps time scale of the Tb spin waves.

RESULTS

Experimental data. Tb166 has been shown to host a complex spin wave dispersion characterized by large intralayer ferromagnetic Mn-Mn exchange coupling and competing Mn-Mn and Mn-Tb interlayer couplings with excitations that extend beyond 200 meV [24]. Figure 1 shows INS measurements of the low energy dynamical susceptibility of intralayer excitations along the $(H,0,1)$, $(H,0,1)$, and $(H,0,3)$ directions as a function of energy for different temperatures. At low temperatures (≤ 100 K), well within the uniaxial ferrimagnetic ground state, two branches are observed at low energies (< 30 meV), as shown in Figs. 1 (a) and (b). The very steep branch with a spin gap of $\Delta = 6.5$ meV corresponds to acoustic magnons that propagate principally within the Mn kagome layer. The narrow branch near 25 meV corresponds to spin waves propagating within the Tb triangular layer (upper Tb mode).

Upon increasing the temperature towards T_{SR} , Figs. 1(a) and (b) show that several phenomena are observed. First, the spin gap gradually closes to zero at T_{SR} . Second, the

Tb mode at 25 meV begins to soften and its intensity is strongly reduced, vanishing at T_{SR} . Third, broad excitations associated with orbital excitons begin to appear below 10 meV at 150 K and grow in strength. The low energy features coalesce to form a collective spin wave branch between 5 meV and 10 meV above T_{SR} which we identify as the lower Tb mode.

Figure 2 shows the evolution of the spin gap at $\mathbf{q} = (0, 0, 2)$, where the intensity of the Mn acoustic magnon is very strong, upon approach to the SR transition. Up to 100 K, the low-energy dispersion is largely the same as the ground state dispersion at $T = 7$ K reported in Ref. [24] and is shown as the dashed line in Fig. 2(a). Above 100 K, the spin gap begins to close. Figure 2(b) shows the low-energy spectra cut through $(0, 0, 2)$ that illustrate more clearly that the gap follows a roughly linear reduction above 100 K [Fig. 2(d)]. As described below, the thermally-driven reduction of the spin gap is associated with spin fluctuations that decrease the average Tb sublattice magnetization, consistent with neutron diffraction measurements [25], and should become gapless in the easy-plane phase above T_{SR} . Figs. 2(c) and (d) show that the lower Tb mode develops with a significant gap at $(1, 0, 2)$ of almost 6 meV at 150 K which softens as T_{SR} is approached but retains a 4 meV gap in the SR-phase at 350 K.

Fig. 2(b) shows that warming above T_{SR} (from 300 K to 350 K) leads to an immediate two-fold reduction of the susceptibility. As the dipole scattering factor for INS measures the magnetic moment (\mathbf{m}) components perpendicular to $\mathbf{q} = (0, 0, 2)$, this reduction reflects the change in the moment direction on the transverse spin fluctuations since $\mathbf{m} \parallel \hat{z}$ gives $\chi''(T < T_{SR}) = \chi''_{xx} + \chi''_{yy} = 2\chi''_{xx}$ and $\mathbf{m} \perp \hat{z}$ gives $\chi''(T > T_{SR}) = \chi''_{xx}$.

Figure 3(a) shows the temperature dependence of the Tb modes through the M -point of the hexagonal Brillouin zone boundary at $(1/2, 0, L)$ as a function of L . At the zone boundary, the acoustic Mn mode is absent and the Tb modes along this direction are dispersionless, allowing an unobstructed observation of the crossover from the Tb upper mode to the lower mode with the coexistence of the two modes at intermediate temperatures. This is more clearly seen in Fig. 3(b) which displays the dynamical susceptibility at $(1/2, 0, 3)$ as a function of temperature and energy.

The susceptibility of the upper and lower Tb modes has a minimum at $(1/2, 0, L = 0)$ when $T < T_{SR}$, as shown in Figs. 3(a) and (d). This minimum is again a consequence of the sensitivity of the dipole factor to the Tb moment direction (\mathbf{m}_{Tb}). Calculations of the dipole factor times the Tb magnetic form factor clearly indicate that both the upper and lower Tb

modes are transverse excitations with $\mathbf{m}_{\text{Tb}} \parallel \hat{z}$ when $T < T_{SR}$. Upon warming above T_{SR} , Figs. 3 (a) and (e) indicate a susceptibility maximum at $(1/2, 0, 0)$, consistent with the form factor for transverse excitations of an in-plane moment configuration with $\mathbf{m}_{\text{Tb}} \perp \hat{z}$.

Model Hamiltonian. The evolution of the upper and lower Tb modes is similar to the appearance of orbital excitons that are reported to occur in other rare-earth magnets, such as PrTl_3 [26, 27] and TbSb [28], and also transition metal compounds [29, 30]. These excitons arise from the thermal population of low-lying CEF states which are predominantly local in character, but propagate from site-to-site due to exchange coupling. Here, we analyze the spin excitations in Tb166 using a similar RPA approach as outlined in the above references.

A minimal description of the magnetic Hamiltonian is given by $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{Tb}} + \mathcal{H}_{\text{Mn}} + \mathcal{H}_{\text{ex}}$ and includes terms that describe the local CEF states of the Tb ion with total angular momentum $J = 6$ and spin $S = 3$ (\mathcal{H}_{Tb}), the single-ion anisotropy of the Mn ion with spin $s = 1$ (\mathcal{H}_{Mn}), and the isotropic (Heisenberg) exchange couplings within and between the Mn-Mn and Mn-Tb magnetic sublattices (\mathcal{H}_{ex}).

The Heisenberg Hamiltonian is

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{ex}} = \sum_{i,j} \mathcal{J}_{ij}^{MM} \mathbf{s}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_j + \mathcal{J}^{MT} \sum_{\langle i < j \rangle} \mathbf{s}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \quad (1)$$

where \mathcal{J}_{ij}^{MM} represent various, and quite strong, intralayer and interlayer magnetic couplings between Mn spins (\mathbf{s}), as described in detail in Ref. [24]. Here, $\mathcal{J}^{MT} > 0$ is the AF coupling between neighboring Mn and Tb spins (\mathbf{S}) that result in tightly bound Mn-Tb-Mn collinear ferrimagnetic trilayers.

DFT calculations [16] and the analysis of magnetization data near the SR-transition [19, 21] suggest that a Tb CEF Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{Tb}} = B_2^0 \mathcal{O}_2^0 + B_4^0 \mathcal{O}_4^0 \quad (2)$$

where \mathcal{O}_l^0 are the Steven's operators, is sufficient to explain the SR-transition. Fig. 4(a) shows the level scheme for arbitrary CEF parameters using Segal and Wallace notation [31] where $B_2^0 = W(1 - |y|)$ and $60B_4^0 = Wy$. The CEF states are labeled by $|m_J\rangle$ which are good quantum numbers for \mathcal{H}_{Tb} . Determination of the CEF and exchange parameters and a figure showing the magnetic structure and interactions can be found in the Supplementary Notes 1 and 2 and Supplementary Fig. 1.

In Fig. 4(a), the $|\pm 6\rangle$ CEF ground state is consistent with the uniaxial character of the Tb ion at low temperatures. We now consider the local Tb orbital dynamics by treating \mathcal{H}_{ex} within a mean-field approximation. A molecular field Hamiltonian acting on the Tb site is given by $\mathcal{H}_{MF}^{\text{Tb}} = B_{MF}^{\text{Tb}} S_z$, where $B_{MF}^{\text{Tb}} = 12\mathcal{J}^{MT}\langle s_z \rangle$ and points along the $-c$ -axis (opposite to the Tb moment direction) in the ferrimagnetic ground state. $\mathcal{H}_{MF}^{\text{Tb}}$ creates a Zeeman splitting of $|\pm m_J\rangle$ CEF states, as shown in Fig. 4(b). The Heisenberg parameters in Supplementary Table 1 provide $B_{MF}^{\text{Tb}} = 22.2$ meV at low temperatures, as indicated by the vertical dashed line in Fig. 4(b). The large molecular field results in a Zeeman-like spectrum that is distorted by the CEF potential.

In typical magnets, increasing the temperature leads to fluctuations that decrease the average magnetization, causing a concomitant decrease in the molecular field. What is unique about Tb166 is that the Mn sublattice magnetization initially drops very slowly with increasing temperature [25] due to the strong \mathcal{J}^{MM} couplings. As a result, the strength of the molecular field is maintained while orbital excited states on the Tb ion become thermally populated. As shown in Fig. 4(b) and (c), this initially leads to thermal occupancy of the $|-5\rangle$ state which deviates from the linear spin-wave theory approximation and should result in damping, as observed in the upper Tb mode beginning around 100 K. As the temperature is increased further, thermal depopulation of the $|-6\rangle$ ground state becomes severe, reaching only about 50% occupancy at 250 K, which explains the weakening of the upper Tb mode intensity that is comprised of excitations out of the ground state. The thermally excited CEF states will also generate orbital excitons which disperse due to \mathcal{J}^{MT} .

RPA calculations. We can test these ideas using a mean-field RPA approach [27, 28] which couples thermally excited CEF levels through the magnetic exchange interactions listed in Supplementary Table 1. Figures 1(c) and (d) show results from RPA calculations of the dynamical susceptibility at various temperatures along the $(H, 0, 1)$ and $(H, 0, 2)$ directions. This also includes RPA calculations performed in the easy-plane state at $T > T_{SR}$ where the molecular field lies in the ab -plane, resulting in a different spectrum of local Tb states.

The RPA results demonstrate several of the observed features described above, including: closure of the spin gap [see Figs. 1 and 2(d)], decreasing strength of the upper Tb mode [Fig. 3(c)], and the appearance of orbital excitons below 10 meV. These comparisons also reveal several limitations of the RPA approach. Most notably, RPA predicts narrowing (reduced

dispersion) and hardening of the upper Tb mode with increasing temperature, whereas the INS data indicate narrowing and softening along with the typical development of lifetime broadening. One source of discrepancy is that RPA underestimates the decrease of the Mn sublattice magnetization with temperature, thereby overestimating the molecular field and its temperature dependence. More importantly, RPA predicts the appearance of many modes (eg. near 15 meV), whereas the only two Tb modes are observed in the INS data. These disagreements are also likely a limitation of RPA which truncates higher-order mode-mode coupling terms that result in decay and which should become increasingly important at higher temperatures when Tb thermal fluctuations are large.

Orbital quantum alloy model. Here, we define a simple effective two-state orbital model that ensures the appearance of only two Tb modes. The ground state singlet Ψ_u has uniaxial anisotropy and the excited state Ψ_i is highly degenerate ($2J = 12$ states) and magnetically isotropic, as shown in Fig. 5(b). Normally, magnetic isotropy is recovered when all CEF states have equal occupancy, most commonly occurring from Boltzmann statistics at high temperatures [see Fig. 4(c)]. Whereas a uniaxial ground state Ψ_u describes the low-temperature data consisting only of the upper Tb mode, the assumption of completely isotropic Tb ions describes the SR-phase quite well, where only the lower Tb mode is found in RPA calculations, as shown in Fig. 5(a). After the renormalization of \mathcal{J}^{MT} due to reduction of the Mn sublattice magnetization by 20%, excellent agreement with the INS data in the SR-phase is achieved. In this two-state model, the energy difference of the upper and lower mode is just the anisotropy energy, $E_{\Psi_u} - E_{\Psi_i} \approx 2K_1/J = 15$ meV, where K_1 is the Tb anisotropy constant determined from INS data (see Supplementary Note 2).

To explain the INS data at intermediate temperatures where both modes are observed, the orbitally isotropic excited state must be present below T_{SR} . At a given instant, a Tb ion can be found in either of two different orbital quantum states, Ψ_u and Ψ_i , respectively, as shown in Fig. 5(b), with a "concentration" determined by Boltzmann statistics. These orbital states must be long-lived on the \sim THz time-scale of the spin wave frequencies, essentially behaving as a quenched magnetic binary alloy with mixed anisotropy (e.g. such as a Tb and Gd substitutional alloy). Surprisingly, classical Monte Carlo spin dynamics simulations of a quenched magnetic alloy capture the essential features of our observations as the concentration of the alloy changes, as shown in Fig. 5(c).

DISCUSSION

Microscopic CEF and magnetic exchange parameters obtained from INS data confirm an intuitive picture of the SR-transition in Tb166 where thermal softening of the uniaxial Tb anisotropy eventually drops below the Mn easy-plane anisotropy energy. INS data also reveal details of how the orbital dynamics drive this transition. RPA calculations that account for local Tb CEF orbital states and their exchange coupling can capture many essential features of the observed orbital dynamics that result in the closing of the spin gap, the disappearance of the upper Tb mode, and the appearance of dispersive low energy excitations comprised of orbital excitons. The RPA calculations outline a rich spectra of these excitons which belie a much simpler observed spectrum only consisting of coexisting upper and lower Tb modes. We can reconcile this simpler observation by implementing a two-state orbital model for Tb, where a temperature-dependent fraction, $f(T)$, of the Tb ions are magnetically uniaxial and $1 - f(T)$ are isotropic on average. The isotropic state can be considered as a quasi-classical coherent state formed from a superposition of angular momentum states (ostensibly due to mode-mode coupling) which replaces the discrete CEF level spectrum of excited states with a single, highly degenerate level. In this scenario, the material behaves as a two-state quantum orbital alloy.

For thermodynamic properties, this distinction has no consequences due to ensemble averaging. We predict, for example, that the SR transition occurs at $f(T_{SR}) \approx 30\%$ when the average magnetic anisotropy of Tb and Mn ions is zero [see Fig. 4(c)] and the Supplementary Notes 2 and 3 and Supplementary Figs. 4 and 5. This is in rough correspondence with the appearance of the SR-phase in $\text{Gd}_{1-x}\text{Tb}_x\text{Mn}_6\text{Sn}_6$ mixed anisotropy alloys with $x \approx 0.2$ [32].

However, there are essential differences for the dynamical properties (such as spin waves) which are fast on the time scale of the orbital fluctuations. On these time scales, the quantum alloy behaves as a quenched random alloy and translational symmetry is broken by the instantaneous configuration of orbital states. In topological electronic materials, electronic time scales are very fast, and band structure calculations should consider quasi-static quantum alloy configurations which include broken translational symmetry. Whereas a classical binary alloy, such as $\text{Gd}_{1-x}\text{Tb}_x\text{Mn}_6\text{Sn}_6$, is only found in one of these random configurations and its phase diagram will be affected by percolation and domain effects, the quantum alloy will explore all configurations over time. All quantum materials properties must account

for the configuration of quantum states in some way (e.g. Born-Oppenheimer and frozen phonon approximations), but Tb166 is special, since there are effectively two distinct magnetic/orbital quantum states. This makes Tb166 a simple, magnetic quantum binary alloy. More generally, the tunable state configuration of quantum alloys (with temperature, for example) can be used to accelerate our understanding of how disorder affects magnetic and electronic states under adiabatic conditions.

METHODS

Neutron scattering. Tb166 crystallizes in the HfFe₆Ge₆-type structure with hexagonal space group P6/mmm (No. 191) and Mn, Sn1, Sn2, Sn3 and Tb ions respectively sitting at the 6i, 2e, 2d, 2c and 1b Wyckoff positions, as shown in Supplementart Fig. 1. Neutron diffraction data were taken on the BT7 triple-axis spectrometer at the NIST Center for Neutron Research with a PG(002) monochromator and analyzer fixed at an energy of 14.7 meV ($\lambda = 2.359 \text{ \AA}$) [33]. Vertical focusing was employed for the monochromator and both the monochromator and analyzer were in the flat horizontal focusing configuration. PG filters were used before and after the sample to reduce $\lambda/2$ neutrons in the beam. The Söller collimation before the monochromator, before the sample, after the sample, and before the analyzer were 25'-50'-50'-25' full-width-at-half maximum, respectively. The sample used was a 30 mg single crystal with an 8' intrinsic mosaic. Momentum transfers are reported in hexagonal coordinates as $\mathbf{q}[r.l.u.] = (H, K, L)$ where $q[\text{\AA}^{-1}] = (4\pi H/(a\sqrt{3}), 4\pi K/(a\sqrt{3}), 2\pi L/c)$. The sample was sealed in an aluminum can with helium exchange gas to promote temperature uniformity and was aligned in the reciprocal space (H, H, L) scattering plane in hexagonal notation.

The onset of magnetic order with propagation vector $\mathbf{k} = (0, 0, 0)$ was observed at $T_C = 420 \text{ K}$ by the increase in intensity at integer (H, K, L) Bragg peak positions, as shown in Supplementary Fig. 2 and in agreement with previous neutron diffraction measurements [7]. The spin-reorientation transition, T_{SR} , can be seen just above 300 K as the sudden decrease in intensity of this and other $(0, 0, L)$ -type Bragg peaks. Hysteresis was observed about T_{SR} , and to ensure this was not an artifact from the sample not equilibrating, a slow temperature sweep of 0.1 K/min was used both on cooling and warming at the $(0,0,1)$ Bragg peak. As the sample is cooled through T_{SR} , the moments, which are within the ab-plane above T_{SR} , rotate

towards the c -axis below T_{SR} adopting the magnetic space group $P6/mm'm'$ (No. 191.240) where both the Tb and Mn magnetic sublattices again are antiparallel but are confined to the c -axis (see Supplementary Fig. 1). This results in a sudden decrease of the (0,0,1) Bragg peak because neutrons are only sensitive to the components of ordered magnetic moments which are perpendicular to the scattering vector, \mathbf{q} . The SR-transition extinguishes the intensity as the moments orient parallel to $\mathbf{q} = (0, 0, L)$, as shown in Supplementary Fig. 2(c) and 2(d). Additional scans along high symmetry directions were performed at 400 K, 300 K, and 150 K to check for the appearance of unexpected commensurate or incommensurate magnetic Bragg peaks away from integer (H, K, L) positions and no new peaks were observed. Below T_{SR} the temperature evolution of the Bragg peaks, such as (1,1,1) peak shown in Supplementary Fig. 2(a), evolve smoothly within the uniaxial ferrimagnetic ground state.

Inelastic neutron scattering was performed on the Wide Angular-Range Chopper Spectrometer (ARCS) located at the Spallation Neutron Source at Oak Ridge National Laboratory using single crystals of Tb166 grown from excess Sn using the flux method, with details described in Ref. [24]. An array of 9 crystals with a total mass of 2.564 grams was co-aligned with the hexagonal $(H, 0, L)$ scattering plane set horizontally, and attached to a top-loading closed-cycle refrigerator. The data were collected at various temperatures using an incident energy of $E_i = 30$ meV with an elastic resolution full-width-at-half-maximum of 1.4 meV. The sample was rotated around the vertical axis to increase the \mathbf{q} coverage.

The INS data are presented in terms of the orthogonal hexagonal vectors $(1, 0, 0)$ and $(-1, 2, 0)$. The measured INS intensities are proportional to the spin-spin correlation function $S(\mathbf{q}, E) = \sum_{\alpha\beta} (\delta_{\alpha\beta} - \hat{q}_\alpha \hat{q}_\beta) S_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{q}, E)$, where E is the energy transfer and \hat{q}_α is the cartesian component of the (unit) momentum transfer vector. In order to remove the trivial temperature dependence caused by the thermal population factor, the INS data are reported as the dynamical susceptibility $\chi''(\mathbf{q}, E) = S(\mathbf{q}, E)[1 - \exp(-E/k_B T)]^{-1}$ or as $\chi''(\mathbf{q}, E)/E$. To improve statistics, the data have been symmetrized with respect to the crystallographic space group $P6/mmm$.

Random-phase approximation calculations. The RPA approach begins by considering the local-ion Green's functions

$$g_{\alpha\beta}^i(\omega) = \sum_{m,n} \frac{\langle n | J_\alpha^i | m \rangle \langle m | J_\beta^i | n \rangle}{\omega - i\gamma + \epsilon_m - \epsilon_n}. \quad (3)$$

where $|n\rangle$ (ϵ_n) are the eigenstates (eigenvalues) of the local-ion Hamiltonians $\mathcal{H}_i + \mathcal{H}_{MF}^i$ for

$i = \text{Tb}$ or Mn , respectively. The sites are coupled through the pairwise exchange interactions, giving a set of coupled linear equations for the propagating Green's function

$$G_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) = g_{\alpha\beta}^i(\omega)\delta_{ij} + \sum_k \mathcal{J}^{ik}(\mathbf{q}) \sum_{\gamma} g_{\alpha\gamma}^i(\omega) G_{\gamma\beta}^{kj}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) \quad (4)$$

where $\mathcal{J}^{ik}(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{lmn} \mathcal{J}^{ik} \exp[-i\mathbf{q} \cdot (\mathbf{d}_i - \mathbf{d}_k - \mathbf{R}_{lmn})]$. The dynamical magnetic susceptibility (weighted by the magnetic cross-section) is related to the summation of the imaginary part of the pairwise Green's functions,

$$\chi_{\alpha\beta}''(\mathbf{q}, \omega) \propto (\delta_{\alpha\beta} - \hat{q}_{\alpha}\hat{q}_{\beta}) \times \sum_{ij} [g^i F^i(\mathbf{q})][g^j F^j(\mathbf{q})] \mathcal{I}m[G_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)]. \quad (5)$$

Here g^i and $F^i(\mathbf{q})$ are the Lande g -factor and magnetic form factor, respectively. The unpolarized INS data measures the summation of $\chi_{\alpha\beta}''$ over α and β .

Classical Monte Carlo simulations. Classical Monte Carlo (MC) simulations were performed using the UppASD package [34]. $31 \times 31 \times 4$ unit cells containing one Tb and six Mn atoms form the magnetic lattice, giving a total of 26908 spins. We treat the Tb sites as a random alloy with a concentration of f sites having a uniaxial single-ion anisotropy of $D^T = -1.28$ meV and $1 - f$ sites having an anisotropy of $D^T = 0$. All Mn sites have an easy-plane anisotropy of $D^M = 0.44$ meV. A uniaxial collinear ferrimagnetic phase was prepared and annealed at 10 K in 40000 Monte Carlo steps. Spin dynamics simulations were performed for different alloy concentrations, averaging over 10 different ensembles, using Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert dynamics with 40000 Monte Carlo time steps of 3×10^{-16} seconds and correlation functions $S(\mathbf{r}, t)$ evaluated over 2000 time steps of 6×10^{-15} seconds. The correlation functions are Fourier transformed to obtain $S(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$. Due to the essential difference between classical and quantum treatment of local Tb states and the resultant spin fluctuations, all MC simulations are done at 10 K. All other simulation parameters are shown in Supplementary Table 1.

DATA AVAILABILITY

Source data for line and scatter plots are provided with this paper. Inelastic neutron scattering data analyzed here can be obtained in the MDF open data repository [35, 36] with the

identifiers [DOI:10.18126/VWAE-PAOM](https://doi.org/10.18126/VWAE-PAOM) and URL <https://doi.org/10.18126/VWAE-PAOM>. Associated analysis and reduction scripts are available from R. J. M. upon reasonable request. Neutron diffraction are available from R. J. M. upon reasonable request.

CODE AVAILABILITY

Codes for calculating the spin excitations in TbMn_6Sn_6 in the random-phase approximation are available from R. J. M. upon reasonable request.

-
- [1] Yin, J.-X., Lian, B. & Hasan, M. Z. Topological kagome magnets and superconductors. *Nature* **612**, 647-657 (2022).
 - [2] Haldane, D. M. Model for a Quantum Hall Effect without Landau Levels: Condensed-Matter Realization of the “Parity Anomaly”. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61**, 2015-2018 (1988).
 - [3] Xu, G., Lian, B. & Zhang, S.-C. Intrinsic Quantum Anomalous Hall Effect in the Kagome Lattice $\text{Cs}_2\text{LiMn}_3\text{F}_{12}$. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 186802 (2015).
 - [4] El Idrissi, B. C., Venturini, G., Malaman, B. & Fruchart, D. Magnetic structures of TbMn_6Sn_6 and HoMn_6Sn_6 compounds from neutron diffraction study, *J. Less Common Met.* **175**, 143-154 (1991).
 - [5] Venturini, G., Idrissi, B. C. E. & Malaman, B. Magnetic properties of RMn_6Sn_6 ($\text{R} = \text{Sc}, \text{Y}, \text{Gd-Tm}, \text{Lu}$) compounds with HfFe_6Ge_6 type structure. *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **94**, 35-42 (1991).
 - [6] Venturini, G., Fruchart, D. & Malaman, B. Incommensurate magnetic structures of RMn_6Sn_6 ($\text{R} = \text{Sc}, \text{Y}, \text{Lu}$) compounds from neutron diffraction study, *J. Alloys Compd.* **236**, 102-110 (1996).
 - [7] Malaman, B. et al. Magnetic properties of RMn_6Sn_6 ($\text{R}=\text{Gd-Er}$) compounds from neutron diffraction and Mössbauer measurements, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **202**, 519-534 (1999).
 - [8] Mazet, T., Welter, R. & Malaman, B. A study of the new ferromagnetic YbMn_6Sn_6 compound by magnetization and neutron diffraction measurements. *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **204**, 11-19 (1999).

- [9] Lefèvre, C., Venturini, G. & Malaman. Neutron diffraction study of HfFe₆Ge₆-type TmMn₆Sn_{6-x}Ga_x compounds (0.0≤x≤2.5). *J. Alloys Compd.* **346**, 84-94 (2002).
- [10] Ghimire, N. J. et al. Competing magnetic phases and fluctuation-driven scalar spin chirality in the kagome metal YMn₆Sn₆. *Sci. Adv.* **6**, eabe2680 (2020).
- [11] Dally, R. L. et al. Chiral properties of the zero-field spiral state and field-induced magnetic phases of the itinerant kagome metal YMn₆Sn₆. *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, 094413 (2021).
- [12] Wang Q. et al. Field-induced topological Hall effect and double-fan spin structure with a *c*-axis component in the metallic kagome antiferromagnetic compound YMn₆Sn₆. *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, 014416 (2021).
- [13] Yin, J.-X. et al. Quantum-limit Chern topological magnetism in TbMn₆Sn₆. *Nature* **583**, 533-536 (2020).
- [14] Dhakal, G. et al. Anisotropically large anomalous and topological Hall effect in a kagome magnet. *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, L161115 (2021).
- [15] Ma, W. et al. Rare Earth Engineering in RMn₆Sn₆ (R=Gd-Tm,Lu) Topological Kagome Magnets. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 246602 (2021).
- [16] Lee, Y. et al. Interplay between magnetism and band topology in Kagome magnets RMn₆Sn₆. Preprint at <http://arXiv.org/abs/2201.11265> (2022).
- [17] Clatterbuck, D. M. & Gschneidner Jr, K. A. Magnetic properties of RMn₆Sn₆ (R = Tb, Ho, Er, Tm, Lu) single crystals. *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **207**, 78-94 (1999).
- [18] Zaikov, N. K. et al. Magnetic-field-induced spin-reorientational transition in TbMn₆Sn₆. *J. Exp. Theor. Phys. Lett.* **72**, 436-438 (2000).
- [19] Zajkov, N. K., Mushnikov, N. V., Bartashevich, M. I. & Goto, T. Magnetization processes in the TbMn₆Sn₆ compound. *J. Alloys Compd.* **309**, 26-30 (2000).
- [20] Irkhin, V. Y. A new mechanism of first-order magnetization in multisublattice rare-earth compounds. *J. Phys.:Condens. Matter* **14**, 6865-6873 (2002).
- [21] Guo, G.-H. & Zhang, H.-B. The spin reorientation transition and first-order magnetization process of TbMn₆Sn₆ compound. *J. Alloys Compd.* **448**, 17-20 (2008).
- [22] Rosenfeld, E. V. & Mushnikov, N. V. Double-flat-spiral magnetic structures: Theory and application to the RMn₆X₆ compounds. *Physica B: Condens. Matter* **403**, 1898-1906 (2008).
- [23] Jones, D. C. et al. Origin of spin reorientation and intrinsic anomalous Hall effect in the kagome ferrimagnet TbMn₆Sn₆. Preprint at <http://arXiv.org/abs/2203.17246> (2022).

- [24] Riberolles, S. X. M. et al. Low-Temperature Competing Magnetic Energy Scales in the Topological Ferrimagnet TbMn_6Sn_6 . *Phys. Rev. X* **12**, 021043 (2022).
- [25] Mielke III, C. et al. Low-temperature magnetic crossover in the topological kagome magnet TbMn_6Sn_6 . *Commun. Phys.* **5**, 107 (2022).
- [26] Holden, T. M. & Buyers, W. J. L. Temperature dependence of the magnetic excitations in singlet-ground-state systems: Paramagnetic and zero-temperature behavior of Pr_3Tl and $(\text{Pr},\text{La})_3\text{Tl}$. *Phys. Rev. B* **9**, 3797-3805 (1974).
- [27] Buyers, W. J. L., Holden, T. M. & Perreault, A. Temperature dependence of magnetic excitations in singlet-ground-state systems. II. Excited-state spin waves near the Curie temperature in Pr_3Tl . *Phys. Rev. B* **11**, 266-277 (1975).
- [28] Holden, T. M., Svensson, E. C., Buyers, W. J. L. & Vogt, O. Magnetic excitations in terbium antimonide. *Phys. Rev. B* **10**, 3864-3876 (1974).
- [29] Sarte, P. M., Stock, C., Ortiz, B. R., Hong, K. H. & Wilson, S. D. Van Vleck excitons in Ca_2RuO_4 . *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 245119 (2020).
- [30] Sarte, P. M., Wilson, S. D., Attfield, J. P. & Stock, C. Magnetic fluctuations and the spin-orbit interaction in Mott insulating CoO . *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **32**, 374011 (2020).
- [31] Segal, E. & Wallace, W. E. Rare earth ions in a hexagonal field IV, *J. Solid State Chem.* **13**, 201-203 (1975).
- [32] Terent'ev, P. B., Mushnikov, N. V., Gaviko, V. S., Shreder, L. A. & Rosenfeld, E. V. Magnetic anisotropy of $\text{Tb}_{1-x}\text{Gd}_x\text{Mn}_6\text{Sn}_6$ compounds. *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **320**, 836-844 (2008).
- [33] Lynn, J. W. et al. Double Focusing Thermal Triple Axis Spectrometer at the NCNR. *J. Res. Natl. Stand. Technol.* **117**, 61-79 (2012).
- [34] Skubic, B., Hellsvik, J., Nordström, L. & Eriksson O. A method for atomistic spin dynamics simulations: implementation and examples. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **20**, 315203 (2008).
- [35] Blaiszik, B., et al. The Materials Data Facility: Data Services to Advance Materials Science Research. *JOM* **68**, 2045-2052 (2016).
- [36] Blaiszik, Ben, et al. A Data Ecosystem to Support Machine Learning in Materials Science. *MRS Commun.* **9** 1125-1133 (2019).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

R. J. M., B. G. U. , S. X. M. R., B. L., T. H., and D. L. A. conducted and analyzed INS experiments. J. W. L. and R. L. D. conducted and analyzed neutron diffraction measurements. R. J. M., P. M. S., C. S., and H. L. developed code for RPA calculations. R. J. M. performed classical Monte Carlo simulations. P. C. C. and T. J. S. grew and characterized single-crystals for INS measurements.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge useful discussions and support from Nirmal Ghimire, Liqin Ke, Igor Mazin, Allen Scheie, and Stephen Wilson. R. J. M., B. G. U., T. H., B. L., and S. X. M. R.'s work at the Ames Laboratory is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (USDOE), Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Materials Sciences and Engineering. T. J. S. and P. C. C. are supported by the Center for the Advancement of Topological Semimetals (CATS), an Energy Frontier Research Center funded by the USDOE Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, through the Ames National Laboratory. Ames National Laboratory is operated for the USDOE by Iowa State University under Contract No. DE-AC02-07CH11358. A portion of this research used resources at the Spallation Neutron Source, which is a USDOE Office of Science User Facility operated by the Oak Ridge National Laboratory. Crystal growth and properties characterization work at George Mason University was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Science and Engineering Division.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Supplementary Information is available.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

FIGURES




FIG. 1. **Temperature dependence of the low-energy spin excitations in TbMn_6Sn_6 .** The evolution of the low-energy ferrimagnetic spin waves, plotted as $\chi''(\mathbf{q}, E)/E$. INS data are shown along the **a** $(H, 0, 1)$ and **b** $(H, 0, 2)$ directions at $T = 100, 150, 200, 240, 300,$ and 350 K through the SR transition at $T_{\text{SR}} = 310$ K. Insets at higher energy in **a** and **b** correspond to data along $(H, 0, 3)$. At 100 K, the dashed and solid lines correspond to the ground state dispersion as obtained from fits to the Heisenberg model described in Ref. [24]. Calculations of the spin dynamics in the random-phase approximation (RPA) are shown along the **c** $(H, 0, 1)$ and **d** $(H, 0, 2)$ directions at the same temperatures as the data. In **a** and **b** in the SR-phase at 350 K, the dashed and solid lines correspond to the RPA dispersions obtained using the Heisenberg parameters and a magnetically isotropic Tb ion. Thinner lines at other temperatures are guides to the eye.




FIG. 2. **Temperature dependence of the spin gap in TbMn_6Sn_6 .** **a** The evolution of the acoustic mode intralayer spin wave dispersion along $\mathbf{q} = (H, 0, 2)$ at $T = 100, 150, 200, 240, 300,$ and 350 K through the SR transition at $T_{\text{SR}} = 310$ K. The dashed line at $T = 100$ K corresponds to the ground state dispersion as obtained from fits to the Heisenberg model described in Ref. [24]. **b** The INS spectra at $(0, 0, 2)$ are plotted as $\chi''(\mathbf{q}, E)/E$ for several temperatures up to (solid lines) and above T_{SR} (dashed lines). **c** INS spectra at $(1, 0, 2)$ for several temperatures. **d** The temperature dependence of the spin gap energy at $(0, 0, 2)$ and $(1, 0, 2)$ as obtained from the maxima in panels **b** and **c**. The dashed lines in **d** correspond to RPA calculations in the uniaxial phase. Observation of dynamical features in the hashed area are obscured by the instrumental elastic resolution. Error bars are statistical in origin and represent one standard deviation.




FIG. 3. **Temperature dependence of the zone-boundary spin excitations in TbMn_6Sn_6 .** **a** The evolution of the upper and lower Tb spin wave modes along the $(1/2, 0, L)$ direction at $T = 100, 150, 200, 240, 300,$ and 350 K through the SR transition at $T_{\text{SR}} = 310$ K. Inset shows data along the $(3/2, 0, L)$ direction. **b** The INS data at $(1/2, 0, 3)$ as a function of energy and temperature with intensities plotted as $\chi''(E)$. The filled symbols indicate that the energy of the upper mode drops in a similar fashion to the spin gap. **c** The integrated susceptibility of the upper Tb mode as a function of temperature compared to RPA calculations. **d, e** The structure factor of the lower mode at 6 meV along $(1/2, 0, L)$ at 300 K and 350 K, respectively. The solid red lines are calculations of the dipole factor times the Tb magnetic form factor for transverse excitations with $\mathbf{m}_{\text{Tb}} \parallel [0, 0, 1]$ (**d**) and $\mathbf{m}_{\text{Tb}} \perp [0, 0, 1]$ (**e**) averaged over equivalent domains. Sharp excitations seen at $L = \pm 6$ in panels **a, d,** and **e** are phonons. Error bars are statistical in origin and represent one standard deviation.




FIG. 4. **Crystal field model for TbMn_6Sn_6 .** **a** CEF energy levels for a $J = 6$ Tb ion with dominant B_2^0 and B_4^0 terms. The orange arrow indicates the experimental values with $B_2^0 = -0.0347$ meV and $B_4^0 = -0.00143$ meV. **b** The Zeeman splitting of the CEF levels due to the molecular field acting on the Tb ion. The vertical line shows the estimated ground state molecular field strength of $B_{MF}^{\text{Tb}} = 22.2$ meV and the blue arrow labels the only dipole-allowed transition out of the ground state. Orbital states are labeled as $|m_J\rangle$. **c** Tb state populations as a function of temperature, where the molecular field decreases according to the measured Mn sublattice magnetization [25].




FIG. 5. **Quantum alloy model for TbMn_6Sn_6 .** **a** RPA calculations of the excitations in the SR phase for an isotropic Tb ion with $B_2^0 = B_4^0 = 0$. **b** Instantaneous configuration of a quantum alloy on the Tb triangular lattice. Magnetically uniaxial (Ψ_u) and isotropic (Ψ_i) quantum states of the Tb ion are split by the magnetic anisotropy energy ($2K_1/J$). **c** Classical Monte Carlo simulations of a quenched mixed anisotropy alloy with uniaxial fractions $f = 1, 1/2$, and 0.

Orbital character of the spin-reorientation transition in TbMn₆Sn₆

(Supplementary Information)

I. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE 1: DETERMINATION OF MAGNETIC HAMILTONIAN PARAMETERS

At $T = 7$ K, we fit the INS dispersion with linear spin-wave theory (LSWT) using the `SpinW` package [S1]. We fit to a minimal description of the magnetic Hamiltonian with simplified single-ion anisotropy terms $\mathcal{H}_{\text{Tb}} + \mathcal{H}_{\text{Mn}} = D^T \sum_j (J_z)_j^2 + D^M \sum_i (s_z)_i^2$. In reference [S2], the Mn-Tb coupling is described as a coupling between the Mn and Tb *spins*, as is typically expected from RKKY or superexchange type interactions. For Tb166, this model has a demonstrated deficiency in that it cannot account for the observed spin gap without introducing a large *uniaxial* Mn single-ion anisotropy, a result that is not consistent with the observation of easy-plane magnetism in YMn₆Sn₆ and GdMn₆Sn₆. A description where coupling occurs between the spin of Mn and the *total* angular momentum, J , of the Tb ion can equally well fit the inelastic neutron data. The fitting results to the centroid (in energy) of various peaks are shown in Fig. 3 and we obtain the parameters listed in Table 1. This fitting confirms the strong uniaxial single-ion anisotropy for Tb ($D^T < 0$) and easy-plane anisotropy for Mn ($D^M > 0$), as expected, and provides much better agreement with the spin gap and the Tb magnetic anisotropy constants reported in the literature.

Note that, in *SpinW*, it is generally not possible to map the exchange coupling parameters into an appropriate spin-only LSWT model. Only in RPA theory can exchange coupling through the spin be handled appropriately, since it can explicitly include the spin-orbit coupling. Perhaps counterintuitively, introducing coupling through J is the appropriate effective model when using LSWT to fit systems composed of mixed rare-earth and transition metal ions. An appropriate set of LSWT parameters can be obtained using a model where exchange coupling occurs through J by implementing an effective exchange $\mathcal{J}^{MT} = (g - 1)\mathcal{J}^{MT}$, where $g = 3/2$ is the Lande- g factor for Tb. Using this scaling, the resulting LSWT excitation spectra are numerically consistent with RPA analysis where coupling through the Tb spin is properly implemented. The ground state RPA calculations, `SpinW`, and `UppASD` packages reproduce the correct spin wave dispersions.

Supplementary Table 1. Linear spin-wave theory model parameters and CEF parameters (in meV) obtained from analysis of INS data in the ground state of TbMn₆Sn₆.

\mathcal{J}^{MT}	\mathcal{J}_0^{MM}	\mathcal{J}_1^{MM}	\mathcal{J}_2^{MM}	\mathcal{J}_3^{MM}	D^T	D^M	B_2^0	B_4^0
1.83 (3)	-28.8 (1)	-4.4(4)	-19.2 (2)	1.8 (2)	-1.28 (2)	0.44(6)	-0.0347	-0.00143

II. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE 2: TB LOCAL-ION HAMILTONIAN

The local-ion Hamiltonian of the Tb ion consists of the CEF potential and the molecular field generated at the Tb site from exchange coupling to Mn,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{Tb}} + \mathcal{H}_{MF} = B_2^0 \mathcal{O}_2^0 + B_4^0 \mathcal{O}_4^0 - 12\mathcal{J}^{MT} \langle s_z \rangle S_z. \quad (1)$$

The \mathcal{O}_l^0 depend only on powers of the angular momentum projection operator J_z^l of the $J = 6$ Tb ion. Thus, the eigenstates of \mathcal{H}_{Tb} are also eigenstates of J_z , $|\pm m_J\rangle$. The ground state magnetic anisotropy energy (MAE) of the Tb is $E_{MAE} = K_1 \sin^2 \theta + K_2 \sin^4 \theta$, where $K_1 = -3B_2^0 J^{(2)} - 40B_4^0 J^{(4)}$ and $K_2 = 35B_4^0 J^{(4)}$ and $J^{(2)} = 33$ and $J^{(4)} = 742.5$ for the $J = 6$ Tb ion. The SR-transition is enabled by a large and negative K_2 , which lowers the in-plane MAE.

To determine experimental values of B_2^0 and B_4^0 , we first note that the single-ion anisotropy parameter obtained from INS data is related to the first-order magnetic anisotropy energy parameter $K_1 = -D^T J^2 = 46$ meV. The second-order parameter $K_2 = 35B_4^0 J^{(4)}$ may be estimated by assuming that the SR-transition occurs when $K_1(T) + K_2(T) + 6s^2 D^M \approx 0$ at $T_{SR} \approx 310$ K. $K_n(T)$ are obtained from the thermal averages of the Stevens operators according to $K_1(T) = -3B_2^0 \langle \mathcal{O}_2^0 \rangle / 2 - 5B_4^0 \langle \mathcal{O}_4^0 \rangle$ and $K_2(T) = 35B_4^0 \langle \mathcal{O}_4^0 \rangle / 8$, as shown in Fig. 4. Using this approach, we obtain $K_2 = -38.4$ meV, $B_2^0 = -0.035$, and $B_4^0 = -0.00143$ meV [as indicated by the orange arrow in Fig. 4(a) of the main text]. These CEF parameters compare reasonably well with DFT [S3] and magnetization data [S4, S5].

At low temperatures, the molecular field $12\mathcal{J}^{MT} \langle s_z \rangle$ is parallel to the c -axis (proportional to J_z) and therefore diagonal in the $|J, m_J\rangle$ basis. It will cause a Zeeman splitting of the Tb $|\pm m_J\rangle$ CEF states, but not mix them. In the SR-phase, the molecular field is in the xy -plane and will mix the J_z states, leading to a change in the level spectra and matrix elements.

III. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE 3: FREE-ENERGY CALCULATIONS OF THE SR-TRANSITION

We calculate the free energy of the magnetic Hamiltonian within the mean field approximation,

$$\mathcal{F} = -k_B T \ln(Z_{\text{Tb}}) - 6k_B T \ln(Z_{\text{Mn}}) \quad (2)$$


$$- 12\mathcal{J}^{MT} \langle \mathbf{s} \rangle \cdot \langle \mathbf{S} \rangle - 3 \sum_i \gamma_i \mathcal{J}_i^{MM} \langle \mathbf{s}_i \rangle \cdot \langle \mathbf{s} \rangle.$$

Here, Z_{Tb} and Z_{Mn} are the partition functions of Tb and Mn ions in the crystal-field plus molecular-field local Hamiltonian, as in Eqn. S1. The last two terms are the average exchange energy of the system and γ_i is the number of neighbors of a given exchange path.


We use the Heisenberg parameters above and constrain the Tb and Mn sublattice spins to lie in a vertical plane with θ_T and θ_M representing the angle of the moments from the c -axis. In the uniaxial ferrimagnetic phase, $\theta_T = 180^\circ$ and $\theta_M = 0$ while the easy-plane state corresponds to $\theta_T = \theta_M = 90^\circ$. In both cases the sublattices remain ferrimagnetic with $\langle \mathbf{S} \rangle = -\langle \mathbf{s} \rangle$ and the thermally-averaged spins are determined self-consistently. Figure 5 (a) shows that the uniaxial ferrimagnet is the equilibrium state at low temperatures and there is a transition to the easy-plane ferrimagnet above $T_{SR} \approx 310$ K, in correspondence with similar calculations of the MAE shown in Fig. 4. Fig. 5(b) shows the energy landscape as a function of the Mn angle for several temperatures. The free energy shows first-order character whereby local minima are maintained at $\theta_M = 0$ and 90° with a discontinuous change in the global minimum at T_{SR} .

-
- [S1] Toth, S. & Lake B. Linear spin wave theory for single-Q incommensurate magnetic structures. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **27**, 166002 (2015).
- [S2] Riberolles, S. X. M. et al. Low-Temperature Competing Magnetic Energy Scales in the Topological Ferrimagnet TbMn₆Sn₆. *Phys. Rev. X* **12**, 021043 (2022).
- [S3] Lee, Y. et al. Interplay between magnetism and band topology in Kagome magnets RMn₆Sn₆. Preprint at <http://arXiv.org/abs/2201.11265> (2022).
- [S4] Guo, G.-H. & Zhang, H.-B. The spin reorientation transition and first-order magnetization process of TbMn₆Sn₆ compound. *J. Alloys Compd.* **448**, 17-20 (2008).


- [S5] Zajkov, N. K., Mushnikov, N. V., Bartashevich, M. I. & Goto, T. Magnetization processes in the TbMn_6Sn_6 compound. *J. Alloys Compd.* **309**, 26-30 (2000).




Supplementary Figure 1. **Crystal and magnetic structure of TbMn_6Sn_6 .** The low-temperature uniaxial ferrimagnetic structure of TbMn_6Sn_6 with magnetic moments indicated by arrows. Black two-headed arrows label the key magnetic interactions along the c -axis. The in-plane Mn-Mn interaction (orange arrow) is strong and ferromagnetic. The in-plane Tb-Tb exchange is small and has been neglected.




Supplementary Figure 2. **Neutron diffraction from TbMn_6Sn_6 measured on BT-7.** Temperature dependence of the (1,1,1) Bragg peak integrated intensity (a) over a large temperature range and (inset) zoomed in to the ordering transition at T_C . Data were taken by rotating the sample about the (1,1,1) Bragg peak reflection. (b) Temperature evolution of the (0,0,1) Bragg peak intensity showing T_C and T_{SR} and taken while cooling. (c) Cooling and warming data of the (0,0,1) Bragg peak intensity taken with a slow temperature sweep magnitude of 0.1 K/min. (d) Temperature evolution of the (0,0,1), (0,0,4), and (1,1,1) Bragg peak intensities near T_{SR} taken while cooling. Error bars indicate plus and minus one standard deviation.



Supplementary Figure 3. **Fits to the spin low-energy spin wave dispersion of TbMn_6Sn_6 using linear spin wave theory.** The energy of different spin waves labeled by the \mathbf{q} -vector were determined from gaussian fits to constant- \mathbf{q} energy cuts (red symbols). Error bars are one standard deviation, as determined from least-squares fit to gaussian lineshapes. The open symbols are the energy values determined by a best fit to the Heisenberg hamiltonian as described in the main text.



Supplementary Figure 4. **Calculated magnetic anisotropy constants of TbMn_6Sn_6 .** The MAE constants for Tb as a function of temperature based on CEF parameters in Table 1 (solid lines). The dashed line shows the total MAE which crosses zero at T_{SR} . The inset shows the angular dependence of the MAE at 2 K (which is uniaxial in character) and at 310 K. Here, θ is the angle between the magnetic moment and the crystallographic c -axis. At 310 K, the inclusion of Mn easy-plane anisotropy (dashed line) results in two minima in the MAE for axial and easy-plane moment configurations.



Supplementary Figure 5. **Free energy of $TbMn_6Sn_6$ in the mean-field approximation** (a) The free energy of the uniaxial and easy-plane states in Tb166 as a function of temperature. (b) The free energy minus F_{min} (the global minimum free energy) at various temperatures as a function of the angle of the Mn sublattice.