

On uniformly consistent nonparametric tests

Mikhail Ermakov

April 2023

Institute of Problems of Mechanical Engineering RAS, Bolshoy pr., 61, VO, 1991178 St. Petersburg and St. Petersburg State University, Universitetsky pr., 28, Petrodvoretz, 198504 St. Petersburg, RUSSIA

AMS subject classification: 62F03, 62G10, 62G2

keywords : consistency, uniform consistency, goodness of fit tests, signal detection.

Аннотация

Necessary and sufficient conditions for existence of uniformly consistent tests are explored. A hypothesis is simple. Nonparametric sets of alternatives are bounded convex sets in \mathbb{L}_p , $p \geq 2$ with "small"balls deleted. The "small"balls have the center at the point of hypothesis and radii of balls tend to zero as sample size increases. For problem of hypothesis testing on a density, we show that, for the sets of alternatives, there are uniformly consistent tests for some sequence of radii of the balls, if and only if, convex set is relatively compact. Similar results are established for problem of signal detection in Gaussian white noise, for linear ill-posed problems with random Gaussian noise and so on.

1 Introduction

Compactness assumption is necessary condition for existence of uniformly consistent estimator [9]. This assumption is also naturally arises if we explore ill-posed problems with deterministic noise [4]. In some sense compactness is rather severe assumption allowing to find uniformly consistent estimator. Now we shall see that the same severe assumption is necessary in natural setups of nonparametric hypothesis testing.

We say that family of sets of alternatives are uniformly consistent if, for these sets of alternatives, there is uniformly consistent family of tests. Uniform consistency of nonparametric sets of alternatives has been explored from different viewpoints and different setups. A rather complete bibliography one can find in [5]. We explore the problem, if hypothesis is simple and nonparametric sets of alternatives are approaching with hypothesis. The research are based on Le Cam -Schwartz [17] results. Le Cam and Schwartz [17] have found necessary and sufficient conditions for existence of uniformly consistent tests and estimators. These conditions are rather cumbersome and are provided in terms of n -fold products of probability measures. A simple form of this conditions arises if probability measures of statistical experiment are compact in the topology of set-wise weak convergence, other words, if densities of probability measures are uniformly integrable.

By Lemma 2.3 in Gaensler [8], if set is compact in weak topology of set-wise convergence and there is weaker topology on this set such that the set is Hausdorff space for this topology then these two topologies coincide on the set. This allows to point out necessary and sufficient conditions of uniform consistency in terms of simple natural weak topologies for widespread statistical models: hypothesis testing on a density, signal detection in Gaussian white noise, hypothesis testing on intensity of Poisson process, hypothesis testing on Gaussian covariance operator and so on (see [5]). We can consider this research as natural complement to previous interesting numerous results on consistency of nonparametric tests [1, 3, 7, 10, 9, 13, 14, 15, 18].

In [5], sets of alternatives did not depend on sample size (power of signal and so on). For a long time the necessary and sufficient conditions of uniform consistency for approaching sets of alternatives remained unclear. Special examples of indistinguishability of hypotheses have been proposed. The first result on indistinguishability of hypotheses and alternatives has been established Le Cam [16]. For hypothesis testing on a density Le Cam [16] has shown that the center of the ball and the interior of the ball in L_1 are indistinguishable. For the problem of signal detection in Gaussian white noise Ibragimov and Hasminski [9] have proved that the center of a ball and the interior of a ball in L_2 are indistinguishable. For the same problem Burnashev [2] established the indistinguishability of the interior of a ball in L_p - spaces, $p > 1$. For other setups we mention Ingster [11] and Ingster, Kutoyants [12] works. Ingster [11] and Ingster and Kutoyants [12] showed that we could not distinguish the center of a ball and the interior of a ball in L_2 in the problems of hypothesis testing on a density and on an intensity function of Poisson process

respectively.

In [7], we showed that, if sets of alternatives are bounded center-symmetric convex set with deleted "small" balls in \mathbb{L}_2 having center at the zero point of hypothesis, then there are uniformly consistent tests for some sequence of radii of balls tending to zero as sample size increases, if and only if, the center-symmetric convex set is relatively compact.

Paper goal is to prove similar results without assumption of center-symmetry of convex set and to extend this result for deleted balls in \mathbb{L}_p , $p \geq 2$. The technique allows to explorer balls from another Banach spaces. However we does not touch these setups.

2 Uniformly consistent sets

2.1 Hypothesis testing on a density

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d.r.v.'s having probability measure \mathbf{P} defined on Borel sets of σ -field \mathfrak{B} assigned on a set S . Denote \mathcal{P} the set of all probability measures on (S, \mathfrak{B}) .

For probability measure $\mathbf{P}_0 \in \mathcal{P}$ denote \mathbb{L}_p , $2 \leq p < \infty$, the set of all real measurable functions g such that

$$\|g\|_p^p = \int_S |g|^p d\mathbf{P}_0 < \infty.$$

For each $\rho > 0$ and for each $u \in \mathbb{L}_p$, define the ball $B_\rho(u) = \{g : \|g - u\|_p \leq \rho, g \in \mathbb{L}_p\}$.

Fix bounded convex set $U \subset \mathbb{L}_p$.

We say that $u \in U$ is internal point of convex set U if, for any function $v \in U$, there is $\lambda < 0$ such that $u + \lambda(v - u) \in U$. Note that traditional topological definition of internal point of set is different (p.410 [?]).

Suppose that $f_0 \equiv 0$ is internal point of U .

We explore problem of testing hypothesis

$$\mathbb{H}_0 : f \doteq \frac{d\mathbf{P}}{d\mathbf{P}_0} - 1 = f_0 \doteq 0$$

versus alternatives

$$\mathbb{H}_n : f \in V(\rho_n) = U \setminus B_{\rho_n}(f_0)$$

with $\rho_n > 0$, $\rho_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

For any test K_n denote $\alpha(K_n) = \mathbf{E}_0[K_n]$ its type I error probability and denote $\beta(K_n, f)$ its type II error probability for alternative $f \in V(\rho_n)$.

We say that sequence of tests K_n , $0 < \alpha(K_n, f_0) \leq \alpha < 1$, is uniformly consistent, if there is $n_0 > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that, for all $n > n_0$, we have

$$\beta(K_n, V(\rho_n)) \doteq \sup_{f \in V(\rho_n)} \beta(K_n, f) < 1 - \alpha - \delta$$

Otherwise, we say that sequence of tests K_n , $0 < \alpha(K_n) \leq \alpha < 1$, is uniformly inconsistent.

Theorem 2.1 *There is a sequence $\rho_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that sets of alternatives $V(\rho_n)$ is uniformly consistent, if and only if, set U are relatively compact in \mathbb{L}_p .*

We say that r_n is rates of distinguishability if sets of alternatives $V(\rho_{1n})$ is uniformly inconsistent for any sequence $\rho_{1n} > 0$, $\rho_{1n}/r_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and sets of alternatives $V(\rho_{2n})$ is uniformly consistent for any sequence $\rho_{2n} > 0$, $\rho_{2n}/r_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In proof of Theorem 2.1 we construct set W such that $U \subset W$ and show that Kolmogorov n -width d_i , $1 \leq i < \infty$ of set W tends to zero. The same reasoning we can conduct for any internal point $u \in U$ and construct set W_u , $U \subset W_u$ with Kolmogorov n -width d_{ui} , $1 \leq i < \infty$. If we take $h = u$ in proof of Theorem 2.1 and, by the same reasoning, implementing similarity inequality (3.4), we get $cd_i \leq d_{ui} \leq Cd_i$, $1 \leq i < \infty$, where coefficients c, C are proportional to similarity coefficient.

For $\varepsilon > 0$, $c > 1$ and $\theta \in K$, denote $M(\varepsilon, c, B_\varepsilon(\theta) \cap K)$, the largest cardinality of an ε/c -packing set for $B_\varepsilon(\theta) \cap K$ (see definition 5.4 in [19]). We refer to

$$\mathfrak{E}^{loc}(\theta, c, \varepsilon) = \log M(\varepsilon, c, B_\varepsilon(\theta) \cap K)$$

as local entropy of set K at the point θ . The same similarity consideration allow to show that there are c_1 and c_2 such that, for any interior points $\theta_1 \in K$ and $\theta_2 \in K$ we have

$$\mathfrak{E}^{loc}(\theta_1, c_2/c_1, c_1\varepsilon) \leq \mathfrak{E}^{loc}(\theta_2, c_2, \varepsilon) \leq \mathfrak{E}^{loc}(\theta_2, c_2/c_1, c_1\varepsilon).$$

This is the base for the proof that we have the same rates of distinguishability in the problems of hypothesis testing $\mathbb{H}_0 : f = 0$ versus $\mathbb{H}_n : f \in U \setminus B_{\rho_n}(0)$ and $\mathbb{H}_{0u} : f = u$ versus $\mathbb{H}_{nu} : f \in U \setminus B_{\rho_n}(u)$ if u is internal point of U . If point u depends on n , one can get different rates of distinguishability [20].

Corollary 2.1 *Let a priori information be provided that $f \in U$ where U is bounded convex set in \mathbb{L}_p , $p \geq 2$. Then there is uniformly consistent estimator of $\|f\|_p$, if and only if, the set U is relatively compact.*

Proof of Theorem 2.1 is based on Theorem 2.2 on uniform consistency of set of alternatives that does not depend on n .

For $p > 1$, we explore the problem of testing hypothesis

$$\mathbb{H}_0 : f \in W_0 \subset \mathbb{L}_p$$

versus alternatives

$$\mathbb{H}_1 : f \in W_1 \subset \mathbb{L}_p$$

We say that sequence of tests K_n is uniformly consistent if

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{f_0 \in W_0} \alpha(K_n, f_0) + \sup_{f \in W_1} \beta(K_n, f) \right) < 1$$

Theorem 2.2 *i. Let W_0 be bounded set in L_p , $p > 1$. Then there are uniformly consistent tests if the closures of W_0 and W_1 are disjoint in weak topology.*

ii. The converse holds if W_1 is also bounded sets in L_p , $p > 1$.

Theorem 2.2 follows from Le Cam, Schwartz (p.141, [17]) and Lemma 2.3 in Ganssler [8] (see Theorem 4.1 in [5] as well).

If closures in weak topology of sets W_0 and W_1 are disjoint then there is a finite number of functions $g_1, \dots, g_k \in \mathbb{L}_q$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, and constants c_1, \dots, c_k such that, for any $u \in W_0$ there holds $c_i > \int_S u g_i d\mathbf{P}_0$ for all i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, and, for any $v \in W_1$, there is index i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, such that $\int_S v g_i d\mathbf{P}_0 > c_i$.

2.2 Hypothesis testing on intensity function of Poisson random process

Let we be given n independent realizations $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n$ of Poisson random process with mean measure \mathbf{P} defined on Borel sets of σ -field \mathfrak{B} assigned on set S . Suppose mean measure \mathbf{P} has intensity function $h(t) = \frac{d\mathbf{P}}{d\nu}(t)$, $t \in S$. Here ν is a measure defined on Borel sets of σ -field \mathfrak{B} as well and $\nu(S) < \infty$, $\mathbf{P}(S) < \infty$.

Problem is to test a hypothesis $H_0 : f(t) \doteq f_0 = h(t) - 1 \equiv 0$ versus alternatives $H_n : f \in V(\rho_n) \subset \mathbb{L}_p$, $p \geq 2$. The definition of sets $V(\rho_n)$, $\rho_n > 0$, is the same as in previous subsection with the unique difference that the densities are replaced with intensity functions. The definition of internal point of convex set U is also the same as in the previous subsection.

Theorem 2.3 *Let U be bounded convex set in \mathbb{L}_p , $p \geq 2$. Let f_0 be internal point of U . Then there is sequence $\rho_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that sets of alternatives $V(\rho_n)$ are uniformly consistent, if and only if, set U is relatively compact.*

Proof of Theorem 2.3 completely coincides with the proof of Theorem 2.1. It suffices only to implement Theorem 5.9 in [5] instead of Theorem 2.2.

2.3 Signal detection in Gaussian white noise

Suppose we observe a realization of stochastic process $Y_\varepsilon(t)$, $t \in (0, 1)$, defined by the stochastic differential equation

$$dY_\varepsilon(t) = S(t)dt + \varepsilon dw(t), \quad \varepsilon > 0,$$

where $S \in \mathbb{L}_2(0, 1)$ is unknown signal and $dw(t)$ is Gaussian white noise.

Let U be bounded convex set in $\mathbb{L}_2(0, 1)$. We say that $S_0 \in U$ is internal point of convex set U if, for any $S \in U$, there is $\lambda < 0$ such that $S_0 + \lambda(S - S_0) \in U$.

Denote $B_r(S)$ ball in \mathbb{L}_p , $p \geq 2$, having radius $r > 0$ and center $S \in \mathbb{L}_2$.

We wish to test a hypothesis

$$\mathbb{H}_0 : S(t) = S_0(t) \equiv 0, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

versus alternatives

$$\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon : S \in V(\rho_\varepsilon) = U \setminus B_{\rho_\varepsilon}(S_0) \quad \rho_\varepsilon > 0.$$

For any test K_ε , $\varepsilon > 0$, denote $\alpha(K_\varepsilon) = \mathbf{E}_0[K_\varepsilon]$ its type I error probability and denote $\beta(K_\varepsilon, S)$ its type II error probability for alternative $S \in V(\rho_\varepsilon)$.

We say that family of tests K_ε , $0 < \alpha(K_\varepsilon) \leq \alpha < 1$, $\varepsilon > 0$, is uniformly consistent if there is $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that, for all $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, we have

$$\beta(K_\varepsilon, V(\rho_\varepsilon)) = \sup_{S \in V(\rho_\varepsilon)} \beta(K_\varepsilon, S) < 1 - \alpha - \delta.$$

Theorem 2.4 *Let U be bounded convex set in \mathbb{L}_p , $p \geq 2$. Let S_0 be internal point of U . Then there is $\rho_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ such that the sets of alternatives $V(\rho_\varepsilon)$ are uniformly consistent, if and only if, the set U is relatively compact.*

Proof of Theorem 2.3 completely coincides with proof of Theorem 2.1. It suffices to implement only Theorem 5.3 in [5] instead of Theorem 2.2.

2.4 Hypothesis testing on a solution of linear ill-posed problem

In Hilbert space \mathbb{H} we observe a realization of Gaussian random vector

$$Y_\varepsilon = AS + \varepsilon\xi, \quad S \in \mathbb{H}, \quad \varepsilon > 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Hereafter $A : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is known linear operator and ξ is Gaussian random vector having known covariance operator $R : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ and $\mathbf{E}\xi = 0$.

Denote $B_r(S)$ ball in \mathbb{H} , having radius $r > 0$ and center $S \in \mathbb{H}$.

Let U be bounded convex set in \mathbb{H} .

We wish to test a hypothesis

$$\mathbb{H}_0 : S = S_0 = 0,$$

versus alternatives

$$\mathbb{H}_\varepsilon : S \in V(\rho_\varepsilon) = U \setminus B_{\rho_\varepsilon}(S_0), \quad \rho_\varepsilon > 0.$$

Below we use the same definition of uniform consistency and similar definition of interior point as in subsection 2.3.

For any operator $K : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ denote $\mathfrak{R}(K)$ the rangespace of K .

Suppose that the nullspaces of A and R equal zero and $\mathfrak{R}(A) \subseteq \mathfrak{R}(R^{1/2})$.

Theorem 2.5 *Let the operator $R^{-1/2}A$ be bounded in \mathbb{H} . Let S_0 be internal point of U . Then there is $\rho_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ such that sets of alternatives $V(\rho_\varepsilon)$ are uniformly consistent, if and only if, the set U is relatively compact.*

Proof of Theorem 2.3 completely coincides with proof of Theorem 2.1. It suffices to implement only Theorem 5.4 in [5] instead of Theorem 2.2

2.5 Hypothesis testing on an operator known with a random error

Let Θ be the set of all bounded linear operators $\mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ where \mathbb{H} is separable Hilbert space.

Let $A \in \Theta$ be an unknown linear operator.

Let for all $S \in H$ we can observe random Gaussian vector

$$Y_{7\varepsilon, S} = AS + \varepsilon\xi_S$$

with $\mathbf{E}\xi_S = 0$ and $\mathbf{E} \langle \xi_{S_1}, \xi_{S_2} \rangle = \langle S_1, S_2 \rangle$ where $\langle S_1, S_2 \rangle$ is inner product of vectors $S_1, S_2 \in \mathbb{H}$.

Let U be convex set in Θ .

We say that $A_1 \in U$ is internal point of U if, for any $A \in U$, there is $\lambda < 1$ such that $A_1 + \lambda(A - A_1) \in U$.

Denote $A_0 \in \Theta$ linear operator such that, for any $S \in \mathbb{H}$, we have $A_0 S = 0$.

For Hilbert-Schmidt norm in Θ , denote $B(r)$ ball in Θ having radius $r > 0$ and center A_0 .

Let A_0 be interior point of U .

The problem is to test hypothesis $H_0 : A = A_0$ versus alternatives $H_1 : A \in V(\rho_\varepsilon) \doteq U \setminus B(\rho_\varepsilon)$ with $\rho_\varepsilon > 0$.

The definition of uniform consistency is akin to one in subsection 2.3.

In the following Theorem we consider the boundedness and compactness for Hilbert-Schmidt norm.

Theorem 2.6 *Let U be bounded convex set and let A_0 be internal point of U . Then there is $\rho_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ such that sets of alternatives $V(\rho_\varepsilon)$ are uniformly consistent, if and only if, the set U is relatively compact.*

The reasoning are akin to proof of Theorem 2.1. It suffices only to implement Theorem 5.7 in [5].

3 Proof of Theorem 2.1

Suppose that there is uniformly consistent sequence of alternatives $V(\rho_n)$, $\rho_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then we implement Theorem 2.2 and point out compact set W such that $U \subset W$.

Fix $\varepsilon_1 > 0$. For each $i > 1$, we put $\varepsilon_i = \frac{\varepsilon_{i-1}}{2}$.

By Theorem 2.2, for each i , there are functions $g_{i1}, \dots, g_{ik_i} \in \mathbb{L}_q$, $\|g_{ij}\|_q = 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq k_i$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, and constants c_{i1}, \dots, c_{ik_i} such that

$$V(\varepsilon_i) \subset \bigcup_{l=1}^i \bigcup_{j=1}^{k_l} \Pi(g_{lj}, c_{lj}) \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$0 \notin \bigcup_{l=1}^i \bigcup_{j=1}^{k_l} \Pi(g_{lj}, c_{lj}), \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\Pi(g_{lj}, c_{lj}) = \left\{ f : \int_S g_{lj} f d\mathbf{P}_0 > c_{lj}, f \in \mathbb{L}_p \right\}.$$

Denote $\Gamma(g_{l_j}, c_{l_j})$ the boundary hyperplane of $\Pi(g_{l_j}, c_{l_j})$.

Define sets $G_i = \{g_{i1}, \dots, g_{ik_i}\}$, $1 \leq i < \infty$.

It is clear, that we can suppose additionally that, if $g \in G_i$, then $-g \in G_i$, $1 \leq i < \infty$. Otherwise we can add such functions. Moreover, we can suppose that, if $g_{ij_1} = -g_{ij_2}$, $1 \leq j_1 \neq j_2 \leq k_i$, then $c_{ij_1} = c_{ij_2}$.

We can also suppose that g_{ij} are step functions and therefore $g_{ij} \in \mathbb{L}_p \cap \mathbb{L}_q$, $1 \leq j \leq k_i$, $1 \leq i < \infty$. Otherwise we can replace functions g_{ij} by their step approximations and change constants c_{ij} insignificantly. We can also choose functions g_{ij} such that function $g_{i_1 j_1}$ is orthogonal to function $g_{i_2 j_2}$ in \mathbb{L}_2 , for any $1 \leq i_1 \neq i_2 < \infty$ and for any $1 \leq j_1 < k_{i_1}$, $1 \leq j_2 < k_{i_2}$. Suppose also that $\|g_{ij}\|_q = 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq k_i$, $1 \leq i < \infty$.

For i , $1 \leq i < \infty$, denote M_i linear subspace generated functions g_{i1}, \dots, g_{ik_i} .

Denote $B(M_i, \rho)$ ball in subspace M_i of \mathbb{L}_p having center zero and radius $\rho > 0$.

Denote $\Omega_i = M_i \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{k_i} \bar{\Pi}(g_{ij}, \varepsilon_{i-1})$ where $\bar{\Pi}(g_{ij}, d_{1j}) = \mathbb{L}_p \setminus \Pi(g_{ij}, d_{1j})$.

Fix $\delta > 0$. We can take the number of functions g_{ij} , $\|g_{ij}\|_q = 1$, $1 \leq j \leq k_i$, so large that, for any $f \in \Omega_i$, we have

$$\|f\|_p < \frac{\varepsilon_{i-1}}{1 - \delta}. \quad (3.3)$$

Denote $d_i \doteq \frac{\varepsilon_{i-1}}{1 - \delta}$.

For definition of set W we implement induction by i .

Since set U is bounded there is d_1 such that for any j , $1 \leq j \leq k_1$, and, for any $f \in U$, we have $f \in \bar{\Pi}(g_{1j}, d_1)$.

Let $v \in U \setminus B_{\varepsilon_1}(0)$, $\int_S g_{11} v d\mathbf{P}_0 > c_{11}$. Then there is $\lambda < 0$ such that $h \doteq \lambda v \in U$ and $1 + \lambda v$ is a density.

Denote $\Pi_0 = \left\{ f : \int_S g_{11} f d\mathbf{P}_0 > 0, f \in \mathbb{L}_p \right\}$. Let Γ_0 be the boundary hyperplane of Π_0 .

Denote $W_1 = \bigcap_{j=1}^{k_1} \bar{\Pi}(g_{1j}, d_1)$.

Let $i > 1$. For each j , $1 \leq j \leq k_i$, we draw hyperplane Υ_{ij} passing through the point h and $\Gamma(g_{ij}, d_i) \cap \Gamma_0$. Denote $\Psi_{i,j}$ the set having hyperplane $\Upsilon_{i,j}$ as a boundary and containing $(U \setminus B(\varepsilon_{i-1})) \cap \Pi_0$.

Denote $W_i = \bigcap_{j=1}^{k_i} \Psi_{ij} \cap W_{i-1}$.

Then $U \cap \Pi_0 \subset W_i$.

Denote L_i linear space generated functions from linear spaces M_1, \dots, M_i .

Let $R > 0$ be such that $U \subset B_R(0)$.

Using triangle similarity considerations and (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_f \inf_{f_1} \{ \|f - f_1\|_p : f \in \Upsilon_{i,j} \cap W_i \cap L_i, f_1 \in W_{i-1} \cap L_{i-1} \} \\
& \leq |\lambda|^{-1} R \sup_f \{ \|f - f_1\|_p : f \in \Upsilon_{i,j} \cap W_i \cap L_i \cap \Gamma_0, f_1 \in W_{i-1} \cap L_{i-1} \cap \Gamma_0 \} \\
& \leq d_i |\lambda|^{-1} R.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

for any i , $1 < i < \infty$, and any j , $1 \leq j \leq k_i$.

Denote W the closure of $\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} W_i$. By (3.4), n -width of W tends to zero, therefore W is relatively compact. Since $U \cap \Pi_0 \subset W$ then $U \cap \Pi_0$ is relatively compact as well. The case $U \setminus \Pi_0$ is explored similarly.

Список литературы

- [1] Birge, L. Robust tests for model selection. IMS Collections 9 (2012), 47BТ“64.
- [2] Burnashev, M.V. (1979). On the minimax solution of inaccurately known signal in a white Gaussian noise . Background. *Theory Probab.Appl.* **24** 107-119.
- [3] Dembo, A. and Peres, Y. (1994) A topological criterion for hypothesis testing. *Ann.Statist.* **22** 106-117.
- [4] H. Engl, M. Hanke and A. Neubauer, *Regularization of Inverse Problems*, Kluwer Academic Publishers (1996).
- [5] M.S. Ermakov, "On consistent hypothesis testing," *J. Math. Sci. (NY)*, **225** 751-769 (2017).
- [6] Ermakov M.S. (2020) On Asymptotically Minimax Nonparametric Detection of Signal in Gaussian White Noise *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 251(1), 78-87 DOI 10.1007/s10958-020-05067-7
- [7] Ermakov M S (2021) On Uniform consistency of nonparametric tests I. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, v 258 802-837
- [8] Ganssler, P.(1971). Compactness and sequential compactness on the space of measures. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* **17** 124-146.

- [9] I.A. Ibragimov and R.Z. Khasminskii, "On the estimation of infinitely dimensional parameter in Gaussian white noise," *Dokl. AN USSR* **236** 1053-1055 (1977).
- [10] Hoeffding, W and Wolfowitz, J.(1958). Distinguishability of sets of distributions. *Ann.Math.Stat.* **29** 700-718.
- [11] Ingster Yu. I., Asymptotically minimax hypothesis testing for nonparametric alternatives. I, II, III, *Mathematical Methods of Statistics*, **2** (1993), 85–114, 171–189, 249–268.
- [12] Ingster, Yu. I. and Kutoyants, Yu.A.(2007). Nonparametric hypothesis testing for intensity of the Poisson process. *Mathematical Methods of Statistics*, **16** 218–246.
- [13] Ingster, Yu.I., Suslina I.A. (2002). *Nonparametric Goodness-of-fit Testing under Gaussian Models. Lecture Notes in Statistics* **169** Springer N.Y.
- [14] Janssen, A. (2000). Global power function of goodness of fit tests. *Annals of Statistics*, **28** 239-253.
- [15] Kraft, C. (1955). Some conditions for consistency and uniform consistency of statistical procedures. *Univ. Californ. Publ. Stat.* **2** 125-142.
- [16] Le Cam, L. (1973). Convergence of estimates under dimensionality restrictions. *Ann. Statist.* **1** 38-53.
- [17] L. Le Cam and L. Schwartz, "A necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of consistent estimates," *Ann.Math.Statist.* **31** 140-150 (1960).
- [18] Pfanzagl, J. (1968). On the existence of consistent estimates and tests. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* **10** 43-62.
- [19] M. J. Wainwright, *High-dimensional statistics: A non-asymptotic viewpoint*, volume 48. Cambridge University Press, 2019.
- [20] Y. Wei and M. J. Wainwright. The local geometry of testing in ellipses: Tight control via localized kolmogorov widths. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 66(8):5110-5129, 2020.