Review of magnetic- and antimagnetic-rotational structures in nuclei

Sushil Kumar^{1*}, Sukhjeet Singh¹, Balraj Singh², Amita³, Ashok Kumar Jain^{1,4}

- 1. Department of Physics, Akal University, Talwandi Sabo, Bathinda-151302, India
- 2. Department of Physics and Astronomy, McMaster University Hamilton, Ontario L8S 4M1, Canada
- 3. Department of Physics, R.B. S. College, Agra, UP-282002, India
- 4. Amity Institute of Nuclear Science and Technology, Amity University UP, Noida-201313, India

Abstract: This work is an update of the 2000 publication of magnetic-rotational bands by Amita et al. [1], followed by an unpublished update of 2006 [2], and reviews detailed experimental data extracted from original publications for 225 magnetic-rotational (MR or Shears) structures spread over 114 nuclides, and 37 antimagnetic-rotational (AMR) structures in 27 nuclei, with a brief commentary about each band. Many of these nuclei are located at or near the semi-magic nucleon numbers, mostly for protons. For example, 88 MR bands are currently known for the Pb (Z=82) nuclei, and 27 AMR band in Pd, Cd and In nuclei. It is interesting that the proton magic numbers appear to play a major role in the MR phenomenon, which seems less well understood. A brief discussion of the salient features of the MR and AMR bands and their theoretical interpretation has been presented in the present review. The tables contain gamma-ray energies, associated level energies with spins and parities, level lifetimes, B(M1), B(E2), and B(M1)/B(E2) ratios, the latter four when available, and probable spherical quasiparticle configurations. We find that many bands claimed in the literature as MR and AMR bands still have tentative assignments, as level lifetimes, thus B(M1) and B(E2) values, for a large number of MR and AMR bands, which can potentially provide critical criteria for firm identification of such structures, are lacking. Additionally, theoretical model calculations for many of these bands, which could provide insight for a better description of nuclear structure, are also lacking in literature. While this review is mainly based on original research articles, nuclear structure databases ENSDF [3], XUNDL [4], and NSR [5] databases have been consulted for completeness. The literature cut-off date is June 15, 2023.

To be published.

^{*}Corresponding author: sushil.rathi179@gmail.com

I. Introduction

Nuclei display a variety of excitations which can often be grouped into a set of levels called bands, the excitation energies of the levels, in some cases, related to a simple formula. Rotational and vibrational bands are the most common examples of such excitations. Appearance of rotational motion is intimately linked to the non-sphericity of the nuclear mean field and the presence of anisotropic shapes in nuclei. This breaking of the spherical symmetry in nuclei normally happens in mid-shell nuclei, having nucleon numbers intermediate to the magic numbers [6]. However, appearance of well-defined rotational bands at higher excitations have also been noticed in nuclei close to the magic numbers, which is known to give rise to the phenomenon of super-deformed bands [7,8]. These normal deformed (ND) and super-deformed (SD) rotational bands represent the manifestation of the rotation of charged non-spherical nuclei, the levels of the band strongly connected by electric quadrupole (E2) transitions; we may, therefore, also call this rotation as an electric rotation. Last 50 years of nuclear structure physics have been a witness to the observation of a variety of new exotic excitations, which are related to rotational motion in nuclei [9].

Until early 1990's, it was a general belief that only deformed nuclei can exhibit rotational bands. It was therefore a big puzzle for the nuclear physicists when several groups [10,11,12] working independently, observed regular patterns of dipole (M1) gamma rays in the near spherical Pb isotopes. A detailed study revealed that the intraband transitions in these group of levels were magnetic dipole (M1) in nature in contrast to normal deformed and super-deformed nuclei where the intraband transitions were electric quadrupole (E2). These bands were therefore explained and characterized as Magnetic Rotational (MR) bands by Frauendorf [13], where spherical symmetry is broken by the magnetic moments of nuclei, who later interpreted [14] these excitations in terms of a Tilted Axis Cranking (TAC) model, and a review article highlighting the symmetry breaking in such systems and TAC, and a more complete review of the unified model and beyond, both dealing with the MR bands was published by Frauendorf [15]. A more extensive list of the theory papers related to the MR bands is included in this article along with a list of the experimental papers.

The present work contains a detailed Table of all the well-established, likely, and tentative candidates of magnetic rotational (MR) bands for 225 bands in 114 nuclides, and 37 antimagnetic rotational (AMR) bands in 27 nuclei. Most of the MR-bands, have been observed in either even– even or odd–*A* nuclei; the largest number discovered in the Pb region, where 88 bands have been observed in 33 nuclides, with the highest number (nine bands) seen in ¹⁹⁶Pb, which has nine bands, and eight in ¹⁹⁴Pb. Nuclei with neutron numbers 112-117 and Z=82 alone have 37 MR bands. The longest sequences of single MR bands have been seen in ¹⁹⁴Pb (spin 15⁺ to 33⁺), ¹⁹⁶Pb (spin 17⁺ to 36⁺, and 16⁻ to 36⁻), and ¹⁹⁷Pb (27/2⁻ to 65/2⁻), thus showing that Pb region is the most fertile ground for observing MR bands. Presence of high-j orbitals (i_{13/2} and h_{9/2} protons and i_{13/2} neutrons) along with the presence of many nucleons in the core appear to play an important role. It may be noted that this is also a fertile region for observing the super-deformed rotational bands. Most of the

antimagnetic rotational (AMR) bands have been reported for the Pd, Cd and In nuclei, for example, eight bands in Pd, ten in Cd, and nine in In isotopes.

Nearly all the data have been searched and taken from the original references listed at the end of the Table. The unique nuclear structure databases ENSDF [3], and XUNDL [4] have been extensively used. Literature search was considerably facilitated by NSR database [5].

II. General Characteristics of the MR bands

The general characteristics of the MR bands may be summarized as follows:

- 1. The levels in the bands (away from band crossings) have energies that follow a pattern close to the I(I+1) behaviour. Here, *I* denotes the total angular momentum of a level.
- 2. The bands consist of strong M1, $\Delta I=1$ intraband transitions with only weak E2 crossovers resulting in large B(M1)/B(E2) ratios, $\geq 20 \mu_N^2 / (eb)^2$. Weak or absent E2 transitions also imply a small deformation.
- 3. The M1 transitions have a reduced transition probability $B(M1) \sim 2-10 \mu_N^2$, and B(E2) values may lie in the range of 1-0.01 e²b² or less.
- 4. The ratio of the dynamic moment of inertia $(\mathfrak{T}^{(2)})$ to the B(E2) is large, $\mathfrak{T}^{(2)} / B(E2) > 150 MeV^{-1}(eb)^{-2}$ when compared with the normal-deformed $[\sim 10 MeV^{-1}(eb)^{-2}]$ or super-deformed $[\sim 5 MeV^{-1}(eb)^{-2}]$ structures.
- 5. The B(M1) values decrease with increasing angular momentum in an MR band.

	MR bands	ND bands	SD bands
E(K) (MeV)	>2	~ 0 − 2	>2
I_{\min}^{π} .	6 – 15 ħ	$\sim 0 - 10 \ \hbar$	>10 ħ
ϵ_2	≤ 0.15	0.2-0.35	~ 0.5
$B(M1)(\mu_N^2)$	≥ 1	< 0.1	~ 0.0
$\frac{B(M1)/B(E2)}{(\mu_N/eb)^2}$	~10-100	~1	Very Small
$\mathfrak{I}^{(2)}(\hbar^2 \mathrm{MeV^{-1}})$	~10-25	~50	~100
$\Im^{(2)}/B(E2)$ ($\hbar^2 MeV^{-1} eb^{-2}$)	>150	~10	~5

Table 1. Comparison of MR bands with the ND and SD bands.

III. Physical mechanism of magnetic rotation: shears mechanism

As a major fraction of the well-defined MR bands has been observed in the Pb region, we discuss basic features of the MR bands as well as an underlying physical mechanism by using specific examples from the Pb isotopes. The $\Delta I=1$ bands observed in the lead isotopes have been mostly assigned weakly oblate configurations consisting of the $K^{\pi} = 11^{-}$ excitation arising from two-proton particles in {h_{9/2} \otimes i_{13/2}} orbital coupled to low- Ω one/three quasi-neutrons (in odd-A nuclides) or two/four quasi-neutrons (in even-A nuclides) dominated by i_{13/2} neutron holes. At the bandhead, the proton angular momentum vector \vec{j}_{π} (which arises from particles in $h_{9/2}$ and $i_{13/2}$ orbitals) is nearly parallel to the symmetry axis due to torus-like density distribution, while the neutron angular momentum \vec{j}_{ν} (from holes in high-j $i_{13/2}$ subshell) is nearly perpendicular to it due to dumb-bell-like density distribution. It may be noted that the role of high-j orbitals is crucial in these structures as it is in many other rotational phenomena.

The total angular momentum vector \vec{I} then lies along a tilted axis at an angle θ with respect to the symmetry axis. Higher angular momentum states are generated by aligning $\vec{j_{\pi}}$ and $\vec{j_{\nu}}$ in a way similar to the closing of the blades of a pair of shears. This coupling of the proton particles and neutron holes results in a large magnetic dipole moment (μ), which precesses around \vec{I} . The perpendicular component of μ relative to \vec{I} , denoted μ_{\perp} , breaks the spherical symmetry. It also decreases in a characteristic manner as $\vec{j_{\pi}}$ and $\vec{j_{\nu}}$ align (and the total angular momentum increases) as shown in the Figure 1. This alignment costs energy and the excitation energy of the MR band increases as the angular momentum increases.



Figure 1: Angular momentum coupling scheme for shears mechanism in a $\Delta J=1$ MR band in a weakly oblate deformed nucleus, at low spin, i.e. at the bandhead (left), at intermediate spin (middle), and at maximum spin (right). The perpendicular component of magnetic moment shows a decline as the spin rises.

The behaviour of the B(M1) values is the most crucial experimental signature of the shears mechanism. If an MR band arises from the collective motion of a non-spherical shape, then the B(M1) values are expected to be rather constant. If an MR bands involves shears mechanism, then this should lead to a characteristic decline of the B(M1) values with increasing angular momentum. This is because B(M1) is proportional to μ_{\perp}^2 , and μ_{\perp}^2 decreases with rising angular momentum. The maximum angular momentum for a configuration is reached when the shears close fully, terminating the MR band, as shown in Fig. 1 (right panel).

We summarize below the conditions required for the MR bands:

- 1. A particle-hole coupling so that the angular momentum at the bandhead is large and maximum overlap of the proton and neutron wavefunctions occur as the shears close.
- 2. The active orbitals involved must have large j values. This can give rise to a good tilt angle and a long sequence of levels can be formed.
- 3. The deformation of the core of the nucleus must be small enough so that the rotational motion does not dominate over the shears mechanism.

Above conditions suggest that regions around the spherical magic numbers are probably the most suitable for the occurrence of MR bands. However, as the data presented in this paper show, MR bands are spread out over many regions of nuclear chart. The common thread possibly is the presence of high-j proton/neutron orbitals, an essential requirement to generate sufficient magnetic moment and angular momentum. Moreover, the proton magic numbers are more important, which is not surprising, as the high-j protons will contribute the most to the magnetic moment.

Other significant features of the MR bands: we briefly discuss some of the observed experimental features of these exotic structures by selecting an example from the Pb region where the MR bands are prominent and well established.

We show in Fig. 2, a plot of angular momentum *I* vs the gamma ray energy for an MR band in ¹⁹⁶Pb. As can be seen it is a monotonically rising plot, the E_{γ} increasing with the spin *I*, a behaviour similar to the rotational bands, hence the name Magnetic Rotational band. It is the magnetic dipole that breaks the isotropy in MR bands, and the shears mechanism requires more and more energy as the blades of shears close increasing the spin *I*.

This smoothly rising energy, however, sometimes exhibits a backbending, a feature signifying the crossing of two bands, as shown in the right panel of Fig. 2. It is rather interesting that the second band which crosses the first band is also an MR band. The higher lying MR band has a configuration with an additional pair of neutron hole alignment. The configurations assigned are $\pi(i_{13/2}h_{9/2})_{K=11^-} \otimes \nu(i_{13/2}^{-2})$ before, and $\pi(i_{13/2}h_{9/2})_{K=11^-} \otimes \nu(i_{13/2}^{-4})$ after the band crossing. The two blades of shears, which were beginning to close as the spin was rising in the lower MR band, again open up in the higher lying MR band, and the shears mechanism becomes active once again.

A second order polynomial fit to the level energy E vs. *I* data is shown on the right-hand side of Fig. 2. By polynomial fits of these bands, and from the minimum of the curve, the bandhead spin and energy were deduced to be 21ħ, and 7465.5 keV, respectively. From the crossing point of the two bands, the band crossing energy and spin could also be calculated as 8264.2 keV and 27ħ, respectively.



Figure 2: Plots of the angular momentum I vs. gamma ray transition energies $E\gamma$, and level energy E vs. I for the MR band 4 of ¹⁹⁶Pb.

Using these values, we could calculate the shears angle for each spin for the band after the band crossing by using the semi-classical model of Macchiavelli et al. [16,17,18]. At the bandhead, we assume that there is a perpendicular coupling of shears and thereafter with increase in spin the shears close. A value of effective g-factor 0.92 was assumed. We have calculated the variation in the B(M1) values with the change in the shears angle and the results are shown in Fig. 3. It has been observed that the B(M1) values increase near the band crossing. The excited band lies lower in energy than the lower MR band after the band crossing. The shears reorient by increasing the shears angle between the proton and neutron angular momentum vectors, which open up again, to reduce the energy at the band crossing.

Such a backbending and band crossing has been seen in many nuclei in the Pb region because of the observation of long sequences of levels in the MR bands. A crossing of two MR bands with largest number of quasi-particles was seen in the ¹⁹⁸Bi by Pai *et al.* [19], where a crossing of eight quasi-particle (qp) MR band with a six-quasi-particle band was observed. Crossing of a 6-qp and a 4-qp band was also seen in the same nucleus.

It is, however, important to point out that these calculations are kind of idealized and are good for a physical understanding. The most successful microscopic nuclear structure model applicable to the MR bands is the Tilted Axis Cranking model due to Frauendorf [13]. A detailed application of this model and their results may be found in the references [13-15, 20]. For a successful application of the hybrid version of TAC to Rb isotopes, see Amita *et al.* [21]. Many MR bands

also display a significant signature splitting or odd-even staggering in energy. This phenomenon generally requires the presence of a small deformation, high-j configuration, and high spin. All the conditions are present in the MR structures. The particle rotor model could suitably be applied to explain the odd-even staggering in the MR bands [23].



Fig. 3: Plot of the B(M1) vs. I for the MR band 4 of ¹⁹⁶Pb. Also shown is the variation of the tilt angle θ with the spin I, which closely follows the variation in BM1 values.

IV. Antimagnetic Rotation

A phenomenon closely related to the magnetic rotation bands was also proposed by Frauendrof [23], in an analogy to antiferromagnetism in solids. It involves an alternative arrangement of the proton and neutron angular momentum vectors $(j_{\pi} \text{ and } j_{\nu})$, which also breaks the symmetry about the total angular momentum vector. This leads to a new kind of phenomenon termed as 'Antimagnetic Rotation', where the neutrons are aligned along an axis perpendicular to the symmetry axis and the protons are placed in a stretched position along the symmetry axis as shown in Fig. 4. As the two proton blades close, higher spin states are generated, and the contribution of the single particle mode to total angular momentum rises. The magnetic moments of the two proton spins cancel with each other, and therefore, only the E2 transitions are possible for AMR bands.

The AMR bands are expected to occur in weakly deformed nuclei in the same mass regions as the MR bands. As the deformation is very small, the E2 are weak, which further decline with increase in spin as the blades close, generating angular momentum along the rotation axis. The angular momentum is generated by the gradual alignment of the two proton spin vectors, in contrary to collective angular momentum of a well-deformed nucleus where it is generated from the contributions of many particles. In the case of even-even nuclei, a pair of proton angular momentum vectors (arising due to a pair of proton holes in high- Ω orbitals) are in a stretched mode with the neutron spin vector (arising due to high-*j*, low-neutron particle configuration) in the middle and nearly perpendicular to both of them. It is therefore like having two shears like subsystems operating together. The total angular momentum *I* points along *jv*. Generation of higher angular momenta is by the simultaneous closing of the two proton blades towards the direction of I with a small contribution from the core rotation R.



Figure 4: Schematic diagram of the twin-shears mechanism (AMR) showing the coupling of angular momentum of the proton blades ($j_{\pi I}$ and $j_{\pi 2}$) and the neutron blade j_v at lower spin (upper part) and at higher spin (lower part). The total angular momentum $I = I_{sh} + R$, where R is the contribution from the core and I_{sh} is the sum of $j_{\pi 1}$, $j_{\pi 2}$ and j_v . The core appears to play a prominent role in AMR.

Since the perpendicular components of μ of the two subsystems are antialigned and cancel each other, there is no net magnetic dipole moment. The magnetic moment of one of the two shears specifies the orientation. As the system is symmetric with respect to a rotation of π about the axis of j_{ν} , or *I*, *i.e.* the mean field has $R_{z}(\pi)$ symmetry, the AMR bands consist of regular sequences of energy levels differing in spin by 2, and are connected by weak electric quadrupole (*E*2) transitions (i.e. small *B*(*E*2) values) reflecting a small deformation of the nucleus [13].

To distinguish this phenomenon from collective rotation, it is reasonable to say that a band is an AMR band if the ratio $\Im^{(2)}/B(E2) \ge 100$ ($\hbar^2 \text{ MeV}^{-1} \text{ eb}^{-2}$) is as large as for magnetic rotation, i.e. it should exceed 100 MeV⁻¹(eb)⁻². Some characteristics of AMR bands, based on observed experimental features in the Cd and Pd isotopes, which so far, seem the most well-established examples of such structures, are:

- (1) Nearly constant = $\Im^{(2)} [\sim 25 \ h^2 MeV^{-1}]$
- (2) Small B(E2) values ~ 0.05 0.18 (eb)², which decrease with ascending spins for higher levels.

Even though the phenomenon of AMR mode of nuclear excitations was proposed 21 years ago [14], there has been limited success in observing such structures experimentally, with firm evidence even rarer. First observation of an antimagnetic rotational band, with lifetime measurements for four levels in the band, was suggested in ¹⁰⁹Cd nuclide by Chiara *et al.* [24], where two MR bands were also reported, later followed by an observation of two AMR bands as signature partner bands in ¹⁰⁸In and ¹¹⁰In [25] nuclei, but with limited measurements of level lifetimes. A contemporary work by Zhu *et al.* [26] reported an AMR band in ¹⁰⁰Pd, but with lifetimes for only two levels in the band, the assignment remains tentative. Based on level lifetime

measurements, and from decreasing trend of B(E2) values with increasing spin Datta et al. [27] identified an AMR structure in ¹⁰⁸Cd, while, Simons et al. [28] reported AMR bands in ¹⁰⁶Cd and ¹⁰⁸Cd, although the assignment of AMR band to the latter nuclide was less certain. In a series of experimental investigations from 2010 to 2015, Choudhury et al. [29,30,31], discovered an AMR band based on level lifetime measurements for five levels in a band in ¹⁰⁵Cd [29], later followed by an observation of two AMR bands as signature partners, as well as coexistence of an AMR and an MR band in ¹⁰⁷Cd [30,31], thereby, providing for the first time, confirmed AMR bands and other structure features in odd-A nuclei. Data for 37 AMR bands presented in Table 3 are for 13 odd-A nuclei, nine even-even nuclei, and five odd-odd nuclei, although, with 27 (out of a total of AMR 37 bands) bands in the Pd (Z=46), Cd (Z=48), and In (Z=49) isotopes. The most wellestablished examples are for Cd isotopes, followed by those in Pd and In isotopes, all three near Z=50 closed shell, and near N=60 subshell, expected to have low quadrupole deformations. Other ten bands in ⁵⁸Fe, ⁶¹Ni, ⁹⁹Pd, ¹²⁷Xe, ¹³⁰Ba, ¹³⁷Nd, ¹⁴²Eu, ¹⁴³Eu and ¹⁴⁴Dy seem much less certain in terms of their AMR structure, as for most of these no level lifetime measurements are available, and for several cases such as ⁶¹Ni, ⁹⁹Pd, ¹⁰²Pd, ¹¹⁰Cd, ¹¹⁰In, ¹¹²In and ¹⁴⁴Dy, reported AMR bands deviate in trend of increasing level energies with ascending spins for a typical rotor.

V. Data sources and explanations for Tables 2 and 3:

All data have been taken as presented in the original publications, generally, from the first reference (in bold) listed for a band. It should be noted that we have omitted in Table 2, a proposed MR band in ¹³¹La [32], based on a 509 γ -469 γ -428 γ cascade with level spins from 27/2⁻ to 21/2⁻, and suggested configuration= $\pi^3(11/2[505], 5/2[402], 5/2[413])$, as, with only proton configuration, it appears to be a different type of excitation. We have also omitted two MR-like bands, with three $\Delta J=1$ dipole (assumed magnetic) transitions in each band, as reported for ²⁹Al [33], due to lack of adequate experimental data, and theoretical model calculations for magnetic rotational behaviour in sd-shell nuclei. It should be noted that MR bands for ⁷⁷Br, ¹³¹La, ^{134,136,137}Nd, and ^{192,193,195}Hg in the 2000 compilation by Amita et al. [1] have been omitted in the present work. Although, each of these nuclides has experimental evidence for well-defined band(s) with dominant magnetic dipole (M1) transitions, but dipole bands in these nuclei have been interpreted in literature, through model calculations, due to collective or other mechanism of excitations, different from magneticrotational structure. The quasiparticle configuration for bands is given, as and when assigned by the original authors; ' π ' is for protons and 'v' for neutrons; s, p, d, f, g and h are the orbitals; a positive integer in the superscript of an orbital denotes number of particles while a negative integer denotes number of holes; symbols ε_2 or β_2 , and γ represent quadrupole and triaxial deformation parameters, respectively.

Following abbreviations are used in Table 2: DSM: deformed shell model; TAC: tilted axis cranking; CSM: cranked shell model; TRS: total Routhian surface; PSM: projected shell model; IBFM: interacting boson fermion model; FAL Fermi aligned; HF: Hartree-Fock; BCS: pairing theory of Bardeen, Cooper and Schrieffer; CWS: cranked Woods-Saxon; RMF: relativistic mean-field; CDFT: covariant-density functional theory.

Separate reference lists for Tables 2 and 3, as well as for theory articles for MR and AMR bands are supplied in terms of eight-digit key-numbers assigned in the Nuclear Science References (NSR) database [5], followed by detailed citations.

VI. Conclusions

In the present work we have presented a compendium of relevant spectroscopic data for all the observed or proposed magnetic-rotational (MR or shears), and antimagnetic-rotational structures in different regions of the nuclear chart, based on experiments and theory, several bands (especially for Z=82, Pb nuclei for MR band, and in Pd, Cd and In regions for AMR bands) with firm MR assignments, while many others with possible or tentative assignments, as indicated in original publications. The magnetic-rotational high-spin structures display a behaviour different from the normal rotational bands and are mostly dominated by magnetic dipole (M1) transitions. However, many of these bands may have a mixed character, containing contribution from collective rotational motion or open to other modes of excitations, especially, when the bands are regular in gamma-ray energies with ascending spins but have fairly significant crossover E2 transitions, and, also when the bands are irregular, where these could be signature partners. The B(M1) and B(E2) values from lifetime measurements, and detailed theoretical model calculations are available for only about 30% of the MR bands listed in this review, which can provide the most stringent tests for the magnetic-rotational character of a band. Level lifetime data are available for 11 MR bands (out of a total of 30) in the A=58-87 region, for 26 MR bands (out of a total of 56 bands) in the A=100-112 region, for 21 MR bands (out of a total of 62 bands) in the A=124-146 region, and for only 14 MR bands (out of a total of 77 bands) in the A=189-206 region. In the case of AMR bands, level lifetime data are available for 14 bands in 12 nuclei (out of a total of 37 bands in 27 nuclei) with the most and high-quality data in the Cd nuclei. As research on high-spin structures in nuclear physics is no longer a high priority in major nuclear laboratories, it is less likely that lifetime data for many of these bands listed here will become available in the immediate future, yet, based on existing experimental data, and theoretical model calculations, a more critical assessment of each band for its magnetic- or antimagnetic rotational character is needed for definite assignments.

Acknowledgements

SS and SK acknowledge financial support from the Department of Atomic Energy (DAE)-Board of Nuclear Science (BRNS), Government of India (grant no.: 36(6)/14/60/2016-BRNS/36145), International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), Vienna, Austria (grant no.: RC-17642-R0), and from Akal University, Talwandi Sabo, Punjab (India). AKJ acknowledges financial support from the Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB), Government of India (grant no.: CRG/2020/000770), and from the Amity University, UP (India).

References

- [1] Amita, A.K. Jain, and B. Singh, At. Data and Nucl. Data Tables, 74, 283 (2000).
- [2] Amita, B. Singh, P. Agarwal, and A.K. Jain (Preprint, 2006), https://www.nndc.bnl.gov/ensdf/evalcorner/pdfs/MR_shear_bands_dec06.pdf
- [3] T.W. Burrows, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. Phys. Res. A 286, 595 (1990), Evaluated Nuclear Structure Data File (ENSDF database), http://www.nndc.bnl.gov/ensdf/
- [4] XUNDL database, http://www.nndc.bnl.gov/ensdf/ensdf/xundl.jsp
- [5] B. Pritychenko, E. Betak, M.A. Kellett, B. Singh, and J. Totans, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. Phys. Res. A 640, 213 (2011), Nuclear Science References (NSR) database, http://www.nndc.bnl.gov/nsr/
- [6] A. Bohr and B.R. Mottelson, *Nuclear Structure, Vol. 2*, published by W.A. Benjamin, Inc. (1975) and World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Singapore (1998).
- [7] R.V.F Janssens and T.L. Khoo, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 41, 321 (1991).
- [8] B. Singh, R. Zywina, and R.B. Firestone, Nuclear Data Sheets 97, 241 (2002).
- [9] S.C. Pancholi, Exotic Nuclear Excitations, Springer, 2011.
- [10] G. Baldsiefen, H. Hubel, D. Mehta, B.V.T. Rao, U. Birkental *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B 275, 252(1992).
- [11] R.M. Clark, R. Wadsworth, E.S. Paul, C.W. Beausang, I. Ali *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B 275, 247 (1992).
- [12] B. Fant, R.J. Tanner, P.A. Butler, A.N. James, G.D. Jones *et al.*, J. Phys. G. Nucl. Part. Phys. 17, 319 (1991).
- [13] S. Frauendorf, Nucl. Phys. A557, 259c (1993).
- [14] S. Frauendorf, Rev. Mod. Phys. 73, 463 (2001).
- [15] S. Frauendorf, Phys. Scr. 93, 043003 (2018).
- [16] R.M. Clark and A. O. Macchiavelli, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci., 50, 1 (2000).
- [17] A.O. Macchiavelli, R.M. Clark, P. Fallon, M.A. Deleplanque, R.M. Diamond *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 57, R1073 (1998).
- [18] A.O. Macchiavelli, R.M. Clark, P. Fallon, M.A. Deleplanque, R.M. Diamond *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 58, 3746 (1998).
- [19] H. Pai, G. Mukherjee, S. Bhattacharya, C. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharya *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 90, 064314 (2014)
- [20] S. Frauendorf, Z. Phys. A356, 263 (1996); ibid. A358, 163 (1997).
- [21] Amita, A.K. Jain, V.I. Dimitrov, and S. Frauendorf, Phys. Rev. C 64, 034308 (2001).
- [22] Amita, A.K. Jain, A. Goel, and B. Singh, Pramana J. Phys. 53, 463 (1999).
- [23] S. Frauendorf, Z. Physik. A358, 163 (1997).
- [24] C.J. Chiara, S.J. Asztalos, B. Busse, R.M. Clark, M. Cromaz et al., Phys. Rev. C 61, 034318 (2000).
- [25] C.J. Chiara, D.B. Fossan, V.P. Janzen, T. Koike, D.R. LaFosse *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 64, 054314 (2001).
- [26] S. Zhu, U. Garg, A.V. Afanasjev, S. Frauendorf, B. Kharraja *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 64, 041302 (R) (2001).
- [27] P. Datta, S. Chattopadhyay, S. Bhattacharya, T.K. Ghosh, A. Goswami et al., Phys. Rev. C 71, 041305 (2005).
- [28] A.J. Simons, R. Wadsworth, D.G. Jenkins, R.M. Clark, M. Cromaz et al., Phys. Rev. C 72, 024318 (2005).

- [29] D. Choudhury, A.K. Jain, M. Patial, N. Gupta, P. Arumugam *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 82, 061308 (R) (2010).
- [30] D. Choudhury, A.K. Jain, G. Anil Kumar, S. Kumar, S. Singh *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 87, 034304 (2013).
- [31] D. Choudhury, R. Palit, P. Singh, J. Sethi, S. Saha et al., Phys. Rev. C 91, 014318 (2015).
- [32] J. Kaur, N. Bansal, A.K. Bhati, R. Kumar, V.R. Sharma *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B765, 317 (2017).
- [33] H. Sultana, R. Bhattacharjee, A. Chakraborty, M.A. Khan, S.S. Bhattacharjee *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 98, 014330 (2018).

Table 2: Magnetic Rotational Structures in Nuclei

 $^{58}_{26}$ Fe $_{32}$

	E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	$\frac{B(M1)/B(E2)}{(\mu_N/eb)^2}$	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	7524.2 7915.7 8428.9 9174.6 10130.5	(8) (9) (10) (11) (12)	392.1 513.3 745.6 956.0			2012St06	 On the basis of TAC-RMF calculations, the authors suggest that negative parity is more likely. From near degeneracy of band 1 and band 2, the authors suggest that, these bands may also be chiral doublet partners. Regular band.
2.	7657.8 8041.9 8540.2 9163.6 9924.7 10952.4 12017.0 13173.4	(8) (9) (10) (11) (12) (13) (14) (15)	384.1 498.1 623.3 761.2 1027.6 1064.6 1156.7			2012St06	 Probable configuration as π(f_{7/2})⁻² ⊗v{(r)³(g_{9/2})¹} for lower spin region and π[(f_{7/2})⁻³ (r)¹]⊗ v[(r)³(g_{9/2})¹] for higher spin region ('r'= f_{5/2}, p_{3/2}, p_{1/2}) is assigned on the basis of TAC-RMF calculations. (β₂, γ) ≈ (0.22, 22°-50°) before band crossing (ħω=0.6-1.3 MeV) and (~0.25, ~16°-4°) after band crossing (ħω =1.0-1.3 MeV) from TAC-RMF calculations. On the basis of TAC-RMF calculations, the authors suggest that negative parity is more likely. From near degeneracy of band 1 and band 2, the authors suggest that, these bands may also be chiral doublet partners. Band 1 crosses band 2 in the spin range I=11ħ -13ħ. Regular band.

$^{61}_{27}{ m Co}_{34}$

	Elevel	Ιπ	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	(μ _N /eb) ²		
1.	3472.3	13/2-				2015Ay02	1. Tentatively assigned as MR band on the basis of
	3657.5	15/2-	185.1				semiclassical calculations by 1998Ma09 and
	4093.2	17/2-	435.8				also on the basis of comparison with MRB1
	4802.9	19/2-	708.6				observed in 58 Fe (2012St06).
	5832.0	21/2-	1030.0				2. $(\beta_2, \gamma) = (0.3, 0^\circ)$ from CSM calculations.
	6892.9	23/2-	1061.8				3. Regular band.
	8212.3	25/2-	1321.9				
	9672.3	27/2-	1460.1				
2.	3909.5	13/2-					1. Tentatively assigned as MR band on the basis of
	4116.5	$15/2^{-1}$	207.4				semiclassical calculations (1998Ma09).
	4385.9	$17/2^{-1}$	269.8				2. Regular band.
	5117.6	19/2-	731.7				C C
	6065.9	21/2-	947.7				
	7173.9	(23/2-)	(1108.3)				

	Elevel	\mathbf{I}^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	3564.8	9+				2022Se03	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi \{(1f_{7/2})^{-2}(2p_{3/2})^1 \otimes$
	4048.8	10^{+}	483.4				$v(2p_{3/2})^{-1}$ from CDFT calculations.
	4723.2	11^{+}	674.4				2. β=0.20.
	5812.6	12+	1089.4				3. Tentatively assigned as MR band (2022Se03).
	6948	(13+)	1135.2				4. Regular band.

⁶⁰28Ni₃₂

	Elevel	I^{π}	E _γ (M1)	E _γ (E2)	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	8044.1	9-				2008TO15	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi\{(1f_{7/2})^{-1}(fp)^1\}\otimes$
	8520.5	10-	476.7			2011Zh57	$v\{(1g_{9/2})^1 (fp)^3\}$ from CNS calculations.
	9132.2	11 ⁻	611.5	1088.2	164(24)		2. Comparison of experimental BM1/BE2 with
	9989.3	12-	856.9	1468.3	178(35)		TAC-RMF calculations (2011Zh57).
	11112.8	13-	1123.4	1981.1	215(56)		3. $(\varepsilon_2, \gamma) = (0.21, 22^\circ)$ from CNS calculations.
	12273.8	14-	1160.8	2284.6	178(48)		4. Regular band.
	13810.0	(15)	1536.2	2697.2	27(19)		
2.	8485.3	9-				2008TO15	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi\{(1f_{7/2})^{-1}(fp)^1\}\otimes$
	9122.8	10-	637.5			2011Zh57	$v\{(1g_{9/2})^1 (fp)^3\}$ from CNS calculations.
	9960.0	11-	836.4				2. $(\varepsilon_2, \gamma) \approx (0.21.30^\circ)$ from CNS calculations.
	10788.6	12-	828.5				3. Regular band with backbending at 11 h.
	11552.8	13 ⁻	764.2				5
3.	11224.8	(11^{+})				2008TO15	1. Probable configuration assignment based on CNS
	11785.5	(12^{+})	560.8			2011Zh57	calculations is $\pi\{(1f_{7/2})^{-1}(fp)^1\}\otimes v\{(1g_{9/2})^2(fp)^2\}.$
	12486.1	(13+)	700.8				2. $(\varepsilon_2, \gamma) = (0.220, 9.1^\circ)$ and $(0.20, 58.5^\circ)$ at I=10ħ
	13353.0	(14+)	866.8				and 16h, respectively from CNS calculations.
	14201.0	(15+)	848.0				3. Regular band with backbending at 14 h.
	15164.6	(16+)	963.8				
	16241.6	(17+)	1077				
4.	11255.0	12+				2008TO15	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi\{(1f_{7/2})^{-1}(fp)^1\}\otimes$
	11851.2	13+	596.0		36(12)	2011Zh57	$v\{(1g_{9/2})^2 (fp)^2\}$ from CNS calculations.
	12578.4	14^{+}	727.1	1323.9	114(39)		2. $(\varepsilon_2, \gamma) = (0.220, 9.1^{\circ})$ and $(0.20, 58.5^{\circ})$ at I=10ħ
	13662.3	15+	1083.9	1811.0	95(25)		and 16h, respectively from CNS calculations.
	14803.0	16+	1141.1	2224.5	88(23)		3. Regular band.
	16097.8	(17+)	1294.8				

⁶¹₂₈Ni₃₃

	E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	$\frac{B(M1)/B(E2)}{(u_N/eb)^2}$	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	6972 7791 8663 9748 11043	21/2 ⁺ 23/2 ⁺ 25/2 ⁺ 27/2 ⁺ (29/2 ⁺)	819 872 1085 (1295)		(µ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	2023BhAA 2023Li05	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi[(f_{7/2})^{-1}[p_{3/2}/f_{5/2}]^1 \otimes v[(g_{9/2})^1 (p_{3/2})^2[f_{5/2}/p_{1/2}]^2$ from Shell Model(SM) calculations (2023BhAA) whereas, $\pi[(1f_{7/2})^{-1} (f_p)^1] \otimes v[(1g_{9/2})^1 (f_p)^4]$ was suggested from TAC CDFT calculations (2023Li05). 2. The calculated B(M1) $\approx 1.0 \mu_N^2$ from TAC-CDFT.
							3. Regular band.

⁶¹₂₈Ni₃₃

	Elevel	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
2.	7679	$21/2^+$				2023BhAA	1. Form the SM calculations, the configuration of
	8028	$23/2^{+}$	349				band 2 is predicted to be similar as band 1
	8553	$25/2^+$	525				2023BhAA.
	9337	$27/2^{+}$	784				2. Regular band.
	10200	$(29/2^{+})$	863				
	11138	$21/2^+$	938				

62 29**Cu**33

	E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	B(M1)/B(E2) (μ _N /eb) ²	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	2892.7 3435.0 3979.5	7- 8- 9-	544.1 544.5			2022Lu01 2001Mu14	 Configuration assigned as π{(f_{7/2})⁻¹ (p_{3/2},f_{5/2})²}⊗v{(g_{9/2})¹ (p_{3/2},f_{5/2})⁴} from CNS calculations. β₂≈0.26-0.32 from TAC-CDFT calculations. Tentatively assigned as MR band.

⁷⁵₃₃As₄₂

	Elevel	I^{π}	E _γ (M1)	E _γ (E2)	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	2763.3	(15/2-)				2017Li02	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi\{(1g_{9/2})^1(1f_{5/2})^{-2}\}$
	3006.1	(17/2-)	242.8				$\otimes v\{(1g_{9/2})^5 (fp)^{-3}\}$ from TAC-CDFT calculations.
	3271.5	$(19/2^{-})$	265.4				2. $(\beta_2, \gamma) = (0.32 - 0.33, 27^\circ)$ from TAC-CDFT
	3703.3	(21/2-)	431.8				calculations.
							3. 2017Li02 interpret this structure as a stapler
							band.
							4. Regular band.

⁷⁹₃₅Br₄₄

	Elevel	I^{π}	E _γ (M1)	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	2392.8	13/2-				2002Sc13	1. $\pi(g_{9/2}) \otimes \nu[g_{9/2}(p_{3/2}/f_{5/2})^1]$ from TAC
	2580.6	15/2-	187.6			1999Ra02	calculations.
	2774.2	17/2-	193.8			1988Sc13	2. $(\beta_2, \gamma) = (0.18, >20^\circ)$ from TRS calculations.
	3088.2	19/2-	314.0			1995Ta21	3. Regular band.
	3534.9	21/2-	446.7	760.3	3.45(+62-45)		4. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels from 3088 to
	4152.3	23/2-	617.4	1064.9	5.22(+28-51)		4802 keV are 1.1(3), 0.55(15), 0.20(4) and
	4802.2	25/2-	649.9	1268.6	9.3(+27-16)		0.17(3), respectively.
	5577.6	27/2-	775.4	1426.5			5. The B(M1) values for the transitions from 314.0
	6383.0	(29/2-)	805.4	1580.9			to 649.9 keV are 1.55(+62-32), 0.76(+35-23),
							$0.47(+19-14)$ and $0.93(+30-21) \mu N^2$, respectively.
							6. The B(E2) values for the transitions from 760.3
							to 1268.6 keV are 0.22(+15-9), 0.09(+5-3) and
							0.10(+6-4) (eb) ² , respectively.

1. 2549.4 (13/2 ⁻) 1986Fu04 1. Tentatively 2668.5 (15/2 ⁻) 119.1 1995Ta21 only be justified for polytopic structure 2942.1 (17/2 ⁻) 273.6 for polytopic structure for polytopic structure	y assigned as $\pi(g_{9/2}^2 p_{3/2})$, but this may st one component. 1995Ta21 suggest
2668.5 (15/2 ⁻) 119.1 1995Ta21 only be just 2942.1 (17/2 ⁻) 273.6 fa pourton	st one component. 1995Ta21 suggest
20/21 (17/2-) 273.6	
2772.1 (1772) 273.0 Ip-neutron	and g _{9/2} neutron orbitals.
3333.5 (19/2 ⁻) 391.4 2. Regular bas	and.
3798.7 (21/2 ⁻) 465.2	
⁷⁹ ₃₆ Kr ₄₅	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ons and Comments:
1. 2857.4 (17/2 ⁻) 1994Jo08 1. Tentatively	y assigned as $\pi[g_{9/2} \otimes (p_{3/2}f_{5/2})^1] \otimes$
3214.2 $19/2^-$ 283.9 1990Sc07 $v(g_{9/2})$ from	m the TAC calculations (2004Ma09).
3585.0 $21/2^{-}$ 371.0 $2. (\beta_2, \gamma) = (0)$	0.192, 62°) from TAC calculations.
4132.7 23/2 547.7 3. Regular bas	and.
⁸¹ ₃₆ Kr ₄₅	
$\begin{array}{cccc} E_{level} & I^{\pi} & E_{\gamma}(M1) & E_{\gamma}(E2) & B(M1)/B(E2) & References & Configuratio \\ keV & keV & keV & (\mu_N/eb)^2 \end{array}$	ons and Comments:
1. 2419.7 13/2 ⁻ 1986Fu03 1. Tentatively	y assigned as $\pi[g_{9/2} \otimes (p_{3/2}f_{5/2})^1] \otimes$
2533.2 15/2 ⁻ 113.3 v(g _{9/2}) from	m the TAC calculations (2004Ma09).
2699.3 $17/2^{-1}$ 166.1 2. (β_2, γ) = (0	0.16, 60°) from TAC calculations.
3061.3 $19/2^{-}$ 362.0 528.1 $40.8(+192-46)$ $3.$ Regular bases	and.
3490.1 21/2 ⁻ 428.8 791 25.0(+17-5) 4. B(M1) valu	lues for the transitions 113 keV and
4098.8 $23/2^{-}$ 608.7 1038 $6.0(+23-4)$ from 362 to	to 615 keV are 1.3(+13-4), 0.53(+41-17)
$4714 \qquad (25/2^{-}) \qquad 615 \qquad 1223.5 \qquad 3.6(+7-3) \qquad , 0.25(+19-1) = 0.25(-1) = 0$	-9), 0.06(+3-1) and 0.05(+5-2) $(\mu_N)^2$,
respectivel	ly.
5. B(E2) valu	ues for the transitions from 528 to $0.012(12.7) + 0.010(12.7)$
1223 KeV a	are $0.013(+13-7)$, $0.010(+8-4)$, 0.010
(+0-4) and 6. The mean 1	lifetimes (in ps) of levels from 2533 to
4714 keV a	are $2(1) < 20 - 2 + 1(9) - 2 + 6(11) - 2 + 7(8)$ and
1.5(8), resp	pectively.
	· · · · · ·
⁸³ ₃₆ Kr ₄₇	
E_{level} I ^{π} $E_{\gamma}(M1)$ $E_{\gamma}(E2)$ B(M1)/B(E2) References Configuration	ons and Comments:
keV keV keV $(\mu_N/eb)^2$	
1. 2510.0 13/2 ⁻ 2011Ga44 1. Tentatively	y assigned as $\pi[g_{9/2} \otimes (p_{3/2}f_{5/2})^1] \otimes$
2640.5 $15/2^{-}$ 130.5 1986Ke12 $v(g_{9/2})$ from	m the TAC calculations as given in
2841.1 17/2 ⁻ 200.6 1984Ku23 2004Ma09	9 and 2011Ga44.
3157.5 $19/2^{-}$ 316.3 $2004Ma09$ 2. $(\beta_2, \gamma) = (0$	0.14, 59°) from TAC calculations.
3603.1 $21/2^{-}$ 445.6 761 ≈ 13 3. Regular base	and.
4218.4 $23/2^{-}$ 615.3 1060.8 ≈ 21 4. B(M1) value	lues for the transitions from 200 to
4869.9 25/2 651.4 1267.1 5.3(7) 651 keV ar	re 2.38(+68-40), 1.12(7), 0.54(+14-9),
5641 (27/2) 771 (1423) 0.31(+8-6)) and >0.065 (2011Ga44), respectively.
5. B(E2) valu	ues for the transitions from 761 to
1267 keV a	are ≈ 0.03 , ≈ 0.012 and $0.017(6)$ (eb) ² ,
respectively	$\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{Y}}$
6. The mean I	Literines (in ps) for levels from 2510
to 2040 as	given in 1904 \mathbf{N} and that for levels
0(3) alia, It from 28/1	1000000000000000000000000000000000000
0.60(13) ar	and <1.0 (2011Ga44), respectively.

	E_{level}	\mathbf{I}^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	3309.4	$(19/2^{-})$				1993Ho15	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(g_{9/2}) \otimes \nu[(g_{9/2}) \otimes$
	3687.5	$(21/2^{-})$	378.1			1995Ta21	$(pf)^1$] by comparison with the isotone ⁷⁷ Br.
	4152.2	(23/2-)	464.7	842.8		1996Sm07	2. Regular band.
	4686.4	(25/2-)	534.2				3. 601 keV M1 transition is from 1996Sm07.
	5287.4	(27/2-)	601				
	⁸¹ ₃₇ Rb ₄₄						
	Elevel	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	2636.0	(15/2-)				1994Do18	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(g_{9/2}) \otimes \nu[(g_{9/2}) \otimes$
	2697.2	$17/2^{-1}$	61.0			1995Ta21	(pf) ¹]
	2997.7	19/2-	300.5				2. Regular band.
	3427.5	21/2-	429.8				
	3993.1	23/2-	565.6	(996)			
	4529	(25/2-)	599				
	⁸² ₃₇ Rb ₄₅						
	E _{level} keV	Ιπ	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	$\frac{B(M1)/B(E2)}{(\mu_N/eb)^2}$	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
1.	E _{level} keV 2616.3	I ^π	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	B(M1)/B(E2) (µ _N /eb) ²	Reference 2002Sc35	Configurations and Comments: 1. $\pi[(g_{9/2})^2 \otimes (p_{3/2}f_{5/2})^1] \otimes v(g_{9/2})$ from TAC
1.	E _{level} keV 2616.3 3026.9	I ^π 11 ⁻ 12 ⁻	E _γ (M1) keV 410.6	E _γ (E2) keV	B(M1)/B(E2) (μ _N /eb) ²	Reference 2002Sc35 1999Sc14	Configurations and Comments: 1. $\pi[(g_{9/2})^2 \otimes (p_{3/2}f_{5/2})^1] \otimes v(g_{9/2})$ from TAC calculations.
1.	Elevel keV 2616.3 3026.9 3499.9	I ^π 11 ⁻ 12 ⁻ 13 ⁻	E _γ (M1) keV 410.6 473.0	E _γ (E2) keV 883.5	B(M1)/B(E2) (μ _N /eb) ² 20(+15-8)	Reference 2002Sc35 1999Sc14 1999Do02	 Configurations and Comments: 1. π[(g_{9/2})²⊗ (p_{3/2}f_{5/2})¹] ⊗v(g_{9/2}) from TAC calculations. 2. (β₂, γ) = (0.16, 20°) from TAC calculations.
1.	Elevel keV 2616.3 3026.9 3499.9 4047.5	I ^π 11 ⁻ 12 ⁻ 13 ⁻ 14 ⁻	E _γ (M1) keV 410.6 473.0 547.7	E _γ (E2) keV 883.5 1019.2	$\frac{B(M1)/B(E2)}{(\mu N/eb)^2}$ 20(+15-8) 14(+12-6)	Reference 2002Sc35 1999Sc14 1999Do02 2000Sc17	 Configurations and Comments: 1. π[(g_{9/2})²⊗ (p_{3/2}/f_{5/2})¹] ⊗v(g_{9/2}) from TAC calculations. 2. (β₂, γ) = (0.16, 20°) from TAC calculations. 3. Regular band.
1.	Elevel keV 2616.3 3026.9 3499.9 4047.5 4715.8	I ^π 11 ⁻ 12 ⁻ 13 ⁻ 14 ⁻ 15 ⁻	$E_{\gamma}(M1) \\ keV \\ 410.6 \\ 473.0 \\ 547.7 \\ 668.4$	E _γ (E2) keV 883.5 1019.2 1215.8	$\begin{array}{c} B(M1)/B(E2) \\ (\mu N/eb)^2 \end{array}$ 20(+15-8) 14(+12-6) ~10	Reference 2002Sc35 1999Sc14 1999Do02 2000Sc17 2010Yu03	 Configurations and Comments: 1. π[(g_{9/2})²⊗ (p_{3/2}/f_{5/2})¹] ⊗v(g_{9/2}) from TAC calculations. 2. (β₂, γ) = (0.16, 20°) from TAC calculations. 3. Regular band. 4. B(M1) values for the transitions from 410.6 keV
1.	Elevel keV 2616.3 3026.9 3499.9 4047.5 4715.8 5484.6	$ I^{\pi} \\ 11^{-} \\ 12^{-} \\ 13^{-} \\ 14^{-} \\ 15^{-} \\ (16)^{-} $	$E_{\gamma}(M1) \\ keV \\ 410.6 \\ 473.0 \\ 547.7 \\ 668.4 \\ 768.8 \\$	E _γ (E2) keV 883.5 1019.2 1215.8 1436.2	$\begin{array}{c} B(M1)/B(E2) \\ (\mu N/eb)^2 \end{array}$ 20(+15-8) 14(+12-6) ~10	Reference 2002Sc35 1999Sc14 1999Do02 2000Sc17 2010Yu03	 Configurations and Comments: 1. π[(g_{9/2})²⊗ (p_{3/2}/f_{5/2})¹] ⊗v(g_{9/2}) from TAC calculations. 2. (β₂, γ) = (0.16, 20°) from TAC calculations. 3. Regular band. 4. B(M1) values for the transitions from 410.6 keV to 668.4 keV are 1.24(+37-24), 0.77(+20-13),
1.	Elevel keV 2616.3 3026.9 3499.9 4047.5 4715.8 5484.6	I^{π} 11^{-} 12^{-} 13^{-} 14^{-} 15^{-} $(16)^{-}$	$E_{\gamma}(M1) \\ keV \\ 410.6 \\ 473.0 \\ 547.7 \\ 668.4 \\ 768.8 \\$	E ₇ (E2) keV 883.5 1019.2 1215.8 1436.2	$\begin{array}{c} B(M1)/B(E2) \\ (\mu N/eb)^2 \\ 20(+15-8) \\ 14(+12-6) \\ \sim 10 \end{array}$	Reference 2002Sc35 1999Sc14 1999Do02 2000Sc17 2010Yu03	 Configurations and Comments: 1. π[(g_{9/2})²⊗ (p_{3/2}/f_{5/2})¹] ⊗v(g_{9/2}) from TAC calculations. 2. (β₂, γ) = (0.16, 20°) from TAC calculations. 3. Regular band. 4. B(M1) values for the transitions from 410.6 keV to 668.4 keV are 1.24(+37-24), 0.77(+20-13), 0.74(+22-14) and >0.11 μN², respectively.
1.	Elevel keV 2616.3 3026.9 3499.9 4047.5 4715.8 5484.6	1^{π} 11^{-} 12^{-} 13^{-} 14^{-} 15^{-} $(16)^{-}$	$E_{\gamma}(M1) \\ keV \\ 410.6 \\ 473.0 \\ 547.7 \\ 668.4 \\ 768.8 \\$	E _γ (E2) keV 883.5 1019.2 1215.8 1436.2	B(M1)/B(E2) (μ _N /eb) ² 20(+15-8) 14(+12-6) ~10	Reference 2002Sc35 1999Sc14 1999Do02 2000Sc17 2010Yu03	 Configurations and Comments: 1. π[(g_{9/2})²⊗ (p_{3/2}f_{5/2})¹] ⊗v(g_{9/2}) from TAC calculations. 2. (β₂, γ) = (0.16, 20°) from TAC calculations. 3. Regular band. 4. B(M1) values for the transitions from 410.6 keV to 668.4 keV are 1.24(+37-24), 0.77(+20-13), 0.74(+22-14) and >0.11 μN², respectively. 5. B(E2) values for the transitions from 883.5 keV to 1215.8 keV are 0.038(+15-11), 0.051(+19-13) and >0.011 (eb)², respectively.
1.	Elevel keV 2616.3 3026.9 3499.9 4047.5 4715.8 5484.6	I ^π 11 ⁻ 12 ⁻ 13 ⁻ 14 ⁻ 15 ⁻ (16) ⁻	$\frac{E_{\gamma}(M1)}{keV}$ 410.6 473.0 547.7 668.4 768.8	E _γ (E2) keV 883.5 1019.2 1215.8 1436.2	B(M1)/B(E2) (μ _N /eb) ² 20(+15-8) 14(+12-6) ~10	Reference 2002Sc35 1999Sc14 1999Do02 2000Sc17 2010Yu03	 Configurations and Comments: 1. π[(g_{9/2})²⊗ (p_{3/2}f_{5/2})¹] ⊗v(g_{9/2}) from TAC calculations. 2. (β₂, γ) = (0.16, 20°) from TAC calculations. 3. Regular band. 4. B(M1) values for the transitions from 410.6 keV to 668.4 keV are 1.24(+37-24), 0.77(+20-13), 0.74(+22-14) and >0.11 μN², respectively. 5. B(E2) values for the transitions from 883.5 keV to 1215.8 keV are 0.038(+15-11), 0.051(+19-13) and >0.011 (eb)², respectively. 6. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of states from 3027 to 4716 keV are 0.58(13), 0.59(11), 0.35(7) and <1, respectively.
1.	Elevel keV 2616.3 3026.9 3499.9 4047.5 4715.8 5484.6	I ^π 11 ⁻ 12 ⁻ 13 ⁻ 14 ⁻ 15 ⁻ (16) ⁻	$\frac{E_{\gamma}(M1)}{keV}$ 410.6 473.0 547.7 668.4 768.8	E _γ (E2) keV 883.5 1019.2 1215.8 1436.2	B(M1)/B(E2) (μ _N /eb) ² 20(+15-8) 14(+12-6) ~10	Reference 2002Sc35 1999Sc14 1999Do02 2000Sc17 2010Yu03	 Configurations and Comments: 1. π[(g_{9/2})²⊗ (p_{3/2}f_{5/2})¹] ⊗v(g_{9/2}) from TAC calculations. 2. (β₂, γ) = (0.16, 20°) from TAC calculations. 3. Regular band. 4. B(M1) values for the transitions from 410.6 keV to 668.4 keV are 1.24(+37-24), 0.77(+20-13), 0.74(+22-14) and >0.11 μN², respectively. 5. B(E2) values for the transitions from 883.5 keV to 1215.8 keV are 0.038(+15-11), 0.051(+19-13) and >0.011 (eb)², respectively. 6. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of states from 3027 to 4716 keV are 0.58(13), 0.59(11), 0.35(7) and <1, respectively. 7. g-factors of the states from I=12⁻ to 15⁻ as given in 2010Yu03 are 1.12 (34), 1.03 (30), 0.87(30) and 0.82(30), respectively.

	Elevel	\mathbf{I}^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	2067.4	11/2-				2009Sc22	1. $\pi(g_{9/2}) \otimes \nu[(g_{9/2}) \otimes (pf)^1]$ from TAC
	2313.6	13/2-	246.6			2006Ga10	calculations as given in 2001Am08.
	2413.8	15/2-	100.1			2000Sc17	2. $(\beta_2, \gamma) = (0.18, 10^\circ)$ from TAC calculations.
	2595.9	17/2-	181.9			1980Ga17	3. Tentatively assigned as MR band (2006Ga10).
	2958.1	19/2-	362.4			1995Ta21	4. The mean lifetimes (in ps) for the levels from
	3363.2	21/2-	405.1			2001Am08	2313 to 3363 keV are 0.99(30), 6.0(30) ns,
	4134.8	23/2-	771.9				101(50), 9.9(+99-50) and 2.7(7), respectively.
	5349.6	27/2-		1214.8			5. B(M1) values for the transitions from 182 to 601
	6557.0	(31/2-)		1207.3			keV are 3.38(100), 0.89(+29-25) and 0.61(+46
	8032.7	(35/2-)		1476.0			-21) μ N ² , respectively.
	9633.7	(39/2-)		1601.0			6. Regular band.

841	ոհ	
371	ĸIJ	47

	E_{level}	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	3394.8	11(-)				2002Sc35	1. $\pi[(g_{9/2})^2 \otimes (p_{3/2}/f_{5/2})^1] \otimes \nu(g_{9/2})$ from TAC
	3721.5	12(-)	326.6			2010Sh12	calculations.
	4166.7	13(-)	445.1	771.3	20(+8-6)	1999Sc14	2. $(\beta_2, \gamma) = (0.14, -15^\circ)$ from TAC calculations.
	4714.7	14(-)	548.0	994.8	9.4(+32-24)	2000Sc17	3. Regular band.
	5371.9	15(-)	656.9	1205.4	7.8(+41-29)		4. B(M1) values for the transitions from 445.1 keV
	6094.8	(16)	722.6	1380.7	10.2(+94-49)		to 766.4 keV are 0.70(+14-10), 0.63(+8-7),
	6861.1	(17-)	766.4	1489.3	~12		$0.44(+11-8)$, $0.49(+21-12)$ and $>0.13 \mu N^2$, respectively.
							5. B(E2) values for the transitions from 771.3 keV to 1489.3 keV are 0.036(+7-5), 0.067(+13-11), 0.058(+16-12), 0.048(+21-13) and >0.011 (eb) ² , respectively.
							6. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of states from 4167 to 6861 keV are 0.82(12), 0.38(3), 0.25(4), 0.16(4) and <0.45, respectively.
2.	3679.8	12(-)				2002Sc35	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi[(p_{3/2}, (g_{9/2})^2] \otimes v(g_{9/2})$
	4129.9	13(-)	450.3			2010Sh12	2. B(M1) values for the transitions from 450.3 keV
	4800.4	14(-)	670.6				to 911.0 keV are 0.78(+21-15), 1.45(+70-38),
	5253.5	15(-)	453.1				$0.96(+16-12)$, $1.64(+36-25)$ and $>0.69 \mu N^2$,
	5932.3	16(-)	678.8				respectively.
	6470.7	17(-)	538.4				3. Mean Lifetimes (in ps) of states from 4129.9 to
	7381.7	(18-)	911.0				6470.7 keV are 0.41(7), 0.63(9), 0.11(2) and <0.52, respectively.
							4. Irregular band

Rb48

	E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	$B(M1)/B(E2) \ (\mu_N/eb)^2$	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	3198.2	17/2(-)				1995Sc04	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(g_{9/2}^{-1}) \otimes \nu(g_{9/2}^{-1}f_{5/2}^{-1})$.
	3813.1	19/2(-)	614.9			1995Ta21	2. Irregular band.
	4356.1	21/2(-)	543.5				
	4940.0	(23/2-)	583.9				

 $^{85}_{38}{
m Sr}_{47}$

E k	E _{level} KeV	I^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	$\frac{B(M1)/B(E2)}{(\mu_N/eb)^2}$	References	Configurations and Comments:
1. 33 35 39 44 50 57 63	384.0 511.6 965.8 491.5 991.2 749.7 360.8	19/2 ⁺ 21/2 ⁺ 23/2 ⁺ 25/2 ⁺ 27/2 ⁺ 29/2 ⁺ (31/2 ⁺)	127.7 454.2 525.7 599.7 658.5 611.1			2014Ku19 2017Ku04	 Configuration assigned as π(g_{9/2})²⊗v(1g_{9/2})⁻¹ from TAC calculations. (ε₂, γ) =(0.11,60°) from TAC calculations. The B(M1) values for transition 454.2 keV to 658.5 keV as given in 2017Ku04 are 1.08(+25-30), 1.05(+22-16), 0.82(+18-23) and > 0.69 μ²_N, respectively. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of the levels from 3965.8 keV to 5749.7 keV as given in 2017Ku04 are 0.56(+14-16), 0.37(+8-6), 0.33(+7-9) and < 0.29, respectively. Regular band with backbending at 15 ħ.

 $^{85}_{38}{
m Sr}_{47}$

	E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	B(M1)/B(E2) (μ _N /eb) ²	References	Configurations and Comments:
2.	4779.6 4969.0 5181.0 5422.9 6007.9 6466.7	(21/2 ⁺) 23/2 ⁽⁺⁾ 25/2 ⁺ 27/2 ⁺ 29/2 ⁺ 31/2 ⁽⁺⁾	189.2 212.0 241.9 585.0 458.8			2014Ku19 2017Ku04	 Configuration assigned as π(g_{9/2})²(f_{5/2})² ⊗ v(1g_{9/2})⁻¹ from TAC calculations. (β₂, γ) = (0.115,60°) from TAC calculations. The B(M1) values for transitions 585.0 keV and 458.8 keV as given in 2017Ku04 are 2.17(+50-76) and >1.34 μ²_N, respectively. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of the levels from 6007.9 keV and 6466.7 keV as given in 2017Ku04 are 0.11(+3-4), <0.44, respectively. Regular band with backbending at 15 ħ.

⁸⁶₃₈Sr₄₈

1.	E_{level}	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	(μ _N /eb) ²		
	6878.3	12+				2016Ku17	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(g_{9/2})^2 \otimes v(g_{9/2})^{-2}$
	7336.0	13+	457.6			2017Ku04	before I=16ħ and $\pi[(g_{9/2})^2(f_{5/2})^1(p_{3/2}/p_{1/2})^1$
	7843.7	14^{+}	507.6			2015Zh18	$\otimes v(g_{9/2})^{-2}$ for higher spin region from TAC
	8337.3	15+	493.5				calculations (2017Ku04, 2016Ku17).
	8813.5	16+	476.2				2. $(\beta_2, \gamma) = (0.095, 60^\circ)$ and $(0.010, 60^\circ)$ for 4qp and
	9430.2	17+	616.7				6qp configuration, respectively from TAC
	10004.7	18^{+}	574.5				calculations of 2017Ku04 and 2016Ku17.
	10872.9	19+	868.2				 The mean lifetimes (in ps) of the levels from 7336.0 keV to 10004.7 keV as given in 2017Ku04 are 0.248(+91-80), 0.204(+84-75), 0.241(+73-87), 0.921(+164-184), 0.203(+81-72) and <0.193, respectively. The P(M1) values for the transition from 457.6
							 4. The B(M1) values for the transition from 437.6 keV to 574.5 keV as given in 2017Ku04 are 2.39(+86-76), 2.18(+87-76), 1.98(+62-71), 0.57(+10-8), 1.22(+61-54) and > 1.58, respectively. 5. The band is tentatively assigned as MR band (2017Ku04, 2016Ku17). 6. Irregular band.

86 _V	
39 I 47	

	E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	B(M1)/B(E2) (μ _N /eb) ²	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	2758	11(-)				2009Ru03	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(g_{9/2})^2(p_{3/2}/f_{5/2})^{-1}$
	3090	12(-)	332.6			2013Li33	$\otimes v(g_{9/2})^{-1}$ before and $\pi(g_{9/2})^2 (p_{3/2}/f_{5/2})^{-1}$
	3454	13(-)	363.8				$\otimes v(g_{9/2})^{-3}$ after alignment from TAC-RMF
	4010	14(-)	556.4				calculations (2013Li33).
	4710	15(-)	699.4				2. The band is tentatively assigned as MR band
	5430	16(-)	719.9	1419.3	11.4(16)		(2009Ru03, 2013Li33).
	6087	17(-)	657.3	1377.2	21.3(74)		3. The B(M1) \approx 0.4 - 1.4 μ^{2} N using TAC-RMF calculations (2013Li33).
							4. Irregular band with backbending at ≈ 16 h

$^{85}_{40}{ m Zr}_{45}$

	E_{level}	Ιπ	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	2625.3	17/2-				2003Wa36	1. Probable Configuration as $\pi(g_{9/2})^2 \otimes \nu(f_{7/2})$
	2958.3	19/2-	333			2007Yu03	using semi-classical model (2007Yu03).
	3387.1	21/2-	429			2002Ta11	2. g-factors of the states from $I=17/2^{-1}$ to $23/2^{-1}$
	3838.0	23/2-	451	879		1995Ju04	are 1.3 (4), 1.1 (3), 0.85(25) and 0.43(27),
	4374.2	25/2-	536	987			respectively(2007Yu03).
	5023.2	(27/2-)	649				3. Regular band

$^{87}_{40}{ m Zr}_{47}$

	Elevel	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	2896.5	$21/2^+$				2018Ba34	1. Probable Configuration as $\pi [(p_{3/2} f_{5/2} p_{1/2})^{-2}]$
	3383.2	$23/2^{+}$	486.7				$(g_{9/2})^2 \otimes v[(g_{9/2})^{-1}]$ using semi-classical model.
	3945.9	$25/2^+$	562.8	1049.2	38(15)		2. The B(M1) values for the transitions 486.7, 562.8,
	4534.2	$27/2^+$	588.3	1151.0	17(8)		588.3 and 525.1 keV are 0.77(19), 0.80(+24-19),
	5059.3	$29/2^+$	525.1	1113.3	68(12)		0.53 (+21-14) and 0.29(4-3) μ _N ² , respectively.
							3. The B(E2) values for the transition from 1049.2,
							1151.0 and 1113.3 keV are 9.2(+27-22), 14.1
							(+55-37) and 1.9(2) W.u., respectively.
							4. The mean lifetime of levels from 3383.2 to
							5059.3 keV are 0.62(+19-13), 0.35(+11-8),
							0.25(+8-7) and 1.19(+15-13) ps, respectively.
							5. Regular band

¹⁰⁵₄₅Rh₆₀

	Elevel	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	2019.3	13/2-				2004Al03	1. Tentatively assigned as MR band.
	2170.4	15/2-	151.1				2. Regular band with small backbending at the top
	2310.8	17/2-	140.4				of the band.
	2496.1	19/2-	185.3				
	2718.8	21/2-	222.7				
	2993.2	23/2-	274.4	496.8			
	3308.6	25/2-	315.4				
	3769.4	27/2-	460.8				
	4183.7	(29/2-)	414.3				
2.	2417.4	15/2-				2004A103	1. $\pi(g_{9/2}) \otimes \nu(h_{11/2}g_{7/2})$ from TAC calculations.
	2512.7	17/2-	95.3				2. Triaxial deformation $(\beta_2, \gamma) = (0.22, 30^\circ)$ from
	2645.7	19/2-	133.0				TAC calculations.
	2825.1	21/2-	179.4				3. Tentatively assigned as MR band.
	3077.9	23/2-	252.8				4. B(M1)/B(E2) \ge 6 (µ _N /eb) ² for the 21/2 state.
	3469.9	(25/2-)	392.0				5. Regular band.
	• • •						
3.	2477.0	17/2-				2004A103	1. Bands 2 and 3 are possibly chiral partners. Thus,
	2668.9	19/2-	191.9				band 3 is assigned the same configuration and
	2914.1	21/2-	245.2				deformation parameters as those for band 2.
	3266.9	23/2-	352.8				2. Tentatively assigned as MR band.
	3667.5	(25/2-)	400.6				3. B(M1)/B(E2) \ge 6 (μ N/eb) ² for the 21/2 state.
	4092.3	(27/2-)	424.8				4. Regular band.

$^{105}_{45}Rh_{60}$

	E_{level}	\mathbf{I}^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	(μ _N /eb) ²		
4.	2981.6	23/2+				2004A103	1. Tentatively assigned as MR band.
	3197.6	$25/2^+$	216.0				2. Regular band.
	3478.0	$27/2^{+}$	280.4	496.5			
	3839.3	$29/2^{+}$	361.3	642.0			
	4215.4	31/2+	376.1	736.9			
	4702.2	$(33/2^{+})$	486.8				

$^{103}_{47}Ag_{56}$

	Elevel	Ιπ	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	3104.0	19/2- 21/2-	224.0			2008Ra06	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi g_{9/2} \otimes v[g_{7/2} \otimes h_{11/2}]$
	3536.9	21/2	200.0			2003Da07	10111 FAC calculations (2005Da07).
	4064.1	25/2	416.2	725.2	16.0(18)		2. $(p_2, \gamma) = (0.15, 28)$ from TAC calculations (2003Da07)
	4426.0	25/2	361.0	723.2	10.9(10) 11.4(11)		3 The band has mixed character of MR and tilted
	4941.6	29/2-	515.6	877.5	11.4(11) 11.3(41)		rotational (2008Ra06)
	5470.7	$(31/2^{-})$	5291	1044 7	82(7)		4 B(M1) values for the transitions from 515.6
	6167.7	$(33/2^{-})$	697.0	1226.1	10.2(15)		keV to 697.0 keV as given in 2008Ra06 are $1.02(+30-20)$, $0.986(+10-20)$ and $0.51(6)\mu_N^2$, respectively.
							5 P(E2) values for the transitions from 515.6
							keV to 697.0 keV as given in 2008Ra06 are $0.09(+2-3)$, $0.12(1)$ and $0.05(5)$ (eb) ² , respectively.
							6. Mean Lifetimes (in ps) of states from 4941.6keV to 6167.7 keV as given in 2008Ra06 are 0.401(+110-60), 0.385(+4-6) and 0.330(+4-3),
							respectively.
							7. The B(M1)/B(E2) ratios for levels of spin 29/2ħ to 33/2ħ are deduced using B(M1) and B(E2) values given in 2008Ra06 and for other levels, the B(M1)/B(E2) ratios are calculated from experimental data given in 2008Ra06
							8 Regular hand with backbending at 25/2 h
							0. Regular balle with backbellening at 25/2 h.
2.	3221.4	$(17/2^{-})$				2008Ra06	1. Probable proton and neutron orbital involved in
	3402.2	$(19/2^{-})$	180.8				configuration are $\pi g_{9/2}$ and $\nu(g_{7/2}/d_{5/2}, h_{11/2})$.
	3691.0	(21/2-)	289.4				2. $(\beta_2, \gamma) \approx (0.13, 15^\circ)$ from TAC calculations.
	3973.9	(23/2-)	282.3				3. The band has mixed character of MR and tilted
	4340.8	(25/2-)	368.8				rotational (2008Ra06).
	4774.9	(27/2-)	432.2	801.0	9.1(6)		4. B(M1) values for the transitions from 382.9
	5157.0	$(29/2^{-})$	382.9	815.1	28.9(87)		keV to 542.8 keV are2.6(+6-2), 2.2(2) and
	5591.0	$(31/2^{-})$	433.2				$1.9(+3-2)\mu_{N^{2}}$, respectively. B(E2) value of 382.9
	6133.8	(33/2)	542.8				5. KeV level is $0.09 (+4-1) (eb)^2$ 6. Mean Lifetimes (in ps) of states from 5157 ObsV
							6. Mean Lifetimes (in ps) of states from 5157.0keV to 6133.8 keV are $0.39(+3-7)$, $0.32(+2-3)$ and $0.10(+1-2)$ representiable.
							7. Irregular band.

100
$103 \Delta \sigma_{-}$
47 ~5 56

	E_{level}	\mathbf{I}^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
3.	3439	21/2-				2003Da07	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi g_{9/2} \otimes v d_{5/2} \otimes v h_{11/2}$
	3599	23/2-	160			2006De15	from TAC calculations (2003Da07).
	3936	25/2-	337				2. $(\beta_2, \gamma) \approx (0.13, 28^\circ)$ from TAC calculations.
	4373	27/2-	437	774	31.2(62)		3. Small signature splitting.
	4793	29/2-	419	857	24.2(33)		4. $B(M1)/B(E2)$ values are from 2003Da07.
	5323	31/2-	530	949	21.6(41)		5. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of the levels from 27/2
	5825	33/2-	503	1033	24.3(41)		to 35/2 h as given in 2006De15 are 0.45(+2 -3),
	6411	35/2-	585	1088	9.6(25)		0.37(2), 0.36(2), 0.29 (1) and 0.27(+1-2),
	(6941)	(37/2-)	(531)	(1116)			respectively. The uncertainties of ~10-15% from stopping powers are not included
							6. The B(M1) values for the transitions from 437 to
							585 keV as given in 2006De15 are 1.40(+8-6).
							1.72(+9-8), 0.92(4), 1.17(5) and 0.73(+4-2) μ_N^2 , respectively.
							7. The B(E2) values for the transitions from 774 to
							1088 keV as given in 2006De15 are 0.052(+3-2)
							0.070(+4-3), $0.037(2)$, $0.057(3)$ and $0.060(+3-1)(eb)2, respectively.$
4.	5336.5	(27/2-)				2008Ra06	1.Configuration assigned as $\pi(g_{9/2})^3 \bigotimes v(g_{7/2})$
	5764.3	$(29/2^{-})$	427.8				$h_{11/2}$) from TAC calculations.
	6166.7	$(31/2^{-})$	402.4	830.2	78(11)		2. $(\beta_2, \gamma) = (0.10, 19^\circ)$ from TAC calculations.
	6654.0	$(33/2^{-})$	487.5				3. B(M1) values for the transitions from 402.4
	7157.8	$(35/2^{-})$	503.6				keV to 503.6 keV are 4.70(50), 3.72(40) and
	7670.4	$(37/2^{-})$	512.6				$3.66(35)\mu_N^2$, respectively.
	8240.3	$(39/2^{-})$	569.9				4. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of states from 6166.7
							keV to 7157.8 keV are $0.18(2)$, $0.13(1)$ and $0.12(1)$ respectively.
							5 Irregular hand
							5. mogular balla.

 $^{104}_{\ 47}Ag_{57}$

	Elevel	I^{π}	E _γ (M1)	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	1077.1	8-				2013Wa20	1. $\pi(g_{9/2})^{-1} \otimes v(h_{11/2})$ from TAC calculations.
	1252.4	9-	175.4			2004Da14	2. $(\beta_2, \gamma) = (0.17, 29^\circ)$ from TAC calculations.
	1598.7	10-	346.3	521.6	129.7(28)	2017Da13	3. This band is also interpreted as chiral doublet
	1931.4	11 ⁻	332.7	679.0	150.9(26)		partner of band 2 (2013Wa20).
	2375.3	12-	443.9	776.7	90.0(18)		4. The mean lifetimes (in ps) for the levels from
	2819.7	13-	444.6	888.2	58.9(12)		3301 to 3808 keV are 0.395(1) and 0.349(4)
	3301.0	14-	481.4	925.6	70.7(13)		(2017Da13), for level 4328 keV the lifetime is
	3808.6	15-	507.8	988.7	86.6(13)		0.35(6) (2004Da14). The uncertainties of ~10%
	4328.2	16-	519.8	1027.0	104.2(8)		from stopping powers are not included in level
	4900.3	17-	572.3	1091.6	86.5(18)		lifetime (2004Da14)
	5528.1	18-	628.0	1199.7	61.2(14)		5. The $B(M1)$ values for the transitions from 481
	6133.0	(19-)	604.9	(1232.3)	56.7(18)		to 520 keV are 1.25(30), 0.97(21) and 0.86(20) μ N ² , respectively.
							6. The B(E2) values for the transitions from 926
							to 1028 keV are 0.104(25), 0.079(17) and
							0.053(13) (eb) ² , respectively.
							7. Irregular band.

104	۱۵
474	18 57

	Elevel	I^{π}	E _γ (M1)	E _γ (E2)	B(M1)/B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	(µ _N /eb) ²		
2.	2211.7	(10)				2013Wa20	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(g_{9/2})^{-1} \otimes v[(g_{7/2}d_{5/2})^2]$
	2711.4	(11^{-})	499.4			2004Da14	$h_{11/2}$] from the arguments based on aligned
	3040.1	12-	328.7	828.1	3.6(13)		angular momentum and parity.
	3350.4	13-	310.1	639.0			2. $(\beta_2, \gamma) = (0.09, 31^\circ)$ from TAC calculations.
	3647.5	14-	297.0				3. This band is also interpreted as chiral doublet
	4096.9	15-	449.5	976.9			partner of band 1 (2013Wa20).
	4624.7	16-	527.8				4. Irregular band.
3.	4423.8	14^{+}				2013Wa20	1. $\pi(g_{9/2})^{-1} \otimes v[(g_{7/2}/d_{5/2}) h_{11/2}^2]$ from TAC
	4785.2	15+	361.4			2004Da14	calculations.
	5165.9	16+	380.9				2. $(\beta_2, \gamma) = (0.18, 25^\circ)$ from TAC calculations.
	5571.9	17+	406.0				3. Regular band.
	6052.2	18^{+}	480.2				4. The mean lifetimes (in ps) for the levels from
	6595.9	19+	543.7				5571 to 6595 keV are 0.39(7), 0.30(6) and
	7160.3	20^{+}	564.4				0.28(4), respectively.
							5. The B(M1) values for the transitions from 406 to 544 keV are 2.18(43), 1.71(38) and 1.26(21) μ N ² , respectively.

 $^{105}_{\ 47}Ag_{58}$

	Elevel	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	2595.7	17/2-				1994Je12	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi g_{9/2} \otimes v[(h_{11/2})]$
	2751.2	19/2-	155.4			1995Je05	$(g_{7/2}/d_{5/2})^1$] from TAC calculations (2006De15).
	2935.7	21/2-	184.5	340.0	3.9(8)	2006De15	2. Assigned as MR structure (2006De15).
	3176.0	23/2-	240.3	424.8	4.5(10)	2007Ti07	3. $(\varepsilon_2, \gamma) = (0.19, 24^\circ)$ (2006De15).
	3510.5	25/2-	334.5	574.8	11.2(9)		4. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of the levels from
	3927.8	27/2-	417.3	751.5	10.7(12)		3510.5 keV to 5445.0 keV are 0.51(3), 0.49 (4),
	4361.7	29/2-	433.9	851.2	11.2(14)		0.38 (1) and 0.28 (2), respectively (2006De15).
	4931.7	31/2-	570.0	1003.9	20.0(8)		0.46 (4). The uncertainties of \sim 10-15% from
	5445.0	33/2-	513.3	1083.8	40.6(39)		stopping powers are not included.
	6113.0	35/2-	668.0	1181.4	5.6(19)		5. The B(M1) values for the transitions 334.5 keV,
	6715.0	37/2-	602.0	1270.0	13.4(70)		to 513.3 keV are 2.70(+16-14), 1.32(10),
	7438.0	(39/2-)	723.0				1.13(10), 0.68(2) and 1.26(9) $\mu^2 N$, respectively (2006De15).
							 6. The B(E2) values for the transitions 574.8 keV to 1083.8 keV are 0.242(+14-12), 0.123(10), 0.101(+9-8), 0.034(1) and 0.031(2) (eb)², respectively (2006De15).
							 The B(M1)/B(E2) ratios for levels of spin 25/2⁻ to 33/2⁻ are deduced using B(M1) and B(E2) values given in 2006DE15 and for other levels, the B(M1)/B(E2) ratios are calculated from experimental data given in 1994Je12. Irregular band with signature splitting.

$^{106}_{47}Ag_{59}$
477659

	E _{level}	I^{π}	E _y (M1)	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	3259 3490 3748 4043 4390 4795 5261 5764 6352 6938 7618 8300 9042 9838	12^+ 13^+ 14^+ 15^+ 16^+ 17^+ 18^+ 19^+ 20^+ 21^+ 22^+ 23^+ 24^+ 25^+	230 258 295 347 405 466 503 588 585 680 682 742 796	489 553 642 752 871 969 1091 1174 1266 1362 1424 1538	$\begin{array}{c} (\mu_{N}/e0)^{-} \\ 1.01(2) \\ 4.2(3) \\ 9.7(20) \\ 10.4(16) \\ 10.9(27) \\ 6.5(23) \\ 8.0(25) \\ 10.3(39) \\ 8.8(30) \\ 7.1 \end{array}$	2017Da10 2010He05 2006De15 2006He31 1994Je11	1. Configuration assignment as $\pi(g_{9/2})^{-1} \otimes v[(h_{11/2})^2 (g_{7/2}/d_{5/2})]$ from SPAC calculations (2017Da10) and as $\pi g_{9/2} \otimes v[(h_{11/2})^2 (g_{7/2}/d_{5/2})]$ (2006De15) 2. Tentatively assigned as MR band. 3. (β ₂ , γ) = (0.18,6°) (2006De15) 4. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of the levels from 4792.7 keV to 9038.7 keV as given in 2017Da10 are 0.33(4), 0.21(2), 0.18(2) 0.15(3), 0.22(3), 0.14(3), 0.12(2) and 0.11, respectively 5. The B(M1) values for the transition from 405 keV to 742 keV as given in 2017Da10 are 2.03(30), 1.97(23), 1.74(28), 0.97(23), 0.64(12), 0.72(18), 0.70(17) and 0.50 μ ² N, respectively 6. The B(E2) values for the transition from 752 keV to 1424 keV as given in 2017Da10 are 0.21(3), 0.19(2), 0.16(3), 0.15(4), 0.08(2), 0.07(2), 0.08(2) and 0.07 (eb) ² , respectively 7. The B(M1)/B(E2) ratios for spin range 17 h to 24 h are deduced using B(M1) and B(E2) values given in 2017Da10 and for 14 h and 16 h, are are calculated from experimental data given in 2010He05. 8. Irregular band with signature splitting.
2.	3203.2 3423.2 3674.9 3941.0 4263.0 4636.0 5051.0 5560.0 6065.0 6690.0 7276.0 7944.0	10- 11- 12- 13- 14- 15- 16- 17- 18- 19- 20- 21-	252 266 322 373 415 509 505 625 586 668	518 588 695 788 924 1014 1130 1211 1254	10.6(14) 9.1(12) 8.6(18) 7.5(21) 10.3(39) 6.3(32) 9.2	2016Da03	 Configuration assigned as π(g_{9/2})⁻³⊗v(h_{11/2}) and π(g_{9/2})⁻³⊗v(h_{11/2}(d_{5/2}/g_{7/2})²) before and after band crossing from SPAC calculations. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of the levels from 4263.7 keV to 7276.7 keV are 0.41(3), 0.40(3), 0.35(4), 0.34(5), 0.18(4), 0.14(4) and 0.17, respectively The B(M1) values for the transitions 322 keV to 586 keV are 3.60(34), 2.18(22), 1.64(24), 0.83(17) 1.55(42), 0.88(31) and 0.83 μ²_N, respectively. The B(E2) values for the transitions 588 keV to 1211 keV are 0.34(3), 0.24(2), 0.19(3), 0.11(2), 0.15(4), 0.14(5) and 0.09 (eb)², respectively. Irregular band with signature splitting. The B(M1)/B(E2) ratios for spin range 14 ħ to 20 ħ are deduced using B(M1) and B(E2) values given in 2016Da03.

	$^{107}_{47}\mathrm{Ag}_{60}$						
	E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	$\frac{B(M1)/B(E2)}{(\mu_N/eb)^2}$	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	2297.9 2411.3 2542.0 2746.4 3054.3 3464.3 3925.6 4395.6 5004.4 5562.9	15/2 ⁻ 17/2 ⁻ 19/2 ⁻ 21/2 ⁻ 25/2 ⁻ 25/2 ⁻ 27/2 ⁻ 29/2 ⁻ 31/2 ⁻ 33/2 ⁻	113.6 130.7 204.4 307.9 410.0 461.3 470.0 608.8 558.5	512.3 717.9 871.3 931.3 1078.8 1167.3	6.9(30) 9.1(28) 10.6(34) >6.5	2014Ya02	 Configuration assigned as π(1g9/2)⁷⊗v[(2d5/2, 1g7/2)⁹ (1h_{11/2})¹] from CNS calculations. (ε₂, γ) ≈ (0.15,-10°) before bending from CNS calculations The mean lifetimes (in ps) of the levels from 3054.2 keV to 4395.5 keV are 1.36(39), 0.83 (17), 0.50(9) and <0.83, respectively. The B(M1) values for the transition from 307.9 keV to 470.0 keV are 1.25(36), 0.82(18), 0.85(17) and >0.39µ²_N, respectively The B(E2) values for the transition from 512.3 keV to 931.3 keV are 0.18(6), 0.09(2), 0.08(2) and>0.06 (eb)², respectively. Regular band with backbending at 31/2 h. The B(M1)/B(E2) ratios for spin range 23/2ħ to 29/2ħ are deduced by compilers.
2.	3460.4 3682.3 3976.9 4355.6 4752.0 5246.1 5747.1 6318.4	23/2 ⁺ 25/2 ⁺ 27/2 ⁺ 29/2 ⁺ 31/2 ⁺ 33/2 ⁺ 35/2 ⁺ 37/2 ⁺	221.9 294.6 378.7 396.4 494.1 501.0 571.3	516.5 673.3 775.1 890.5 995.1 1072.3	34(22) 11.7(36) 12.0(31) 13.6(36) 8.3(28) >7.8	2014Ya02	 Configuration assigned as π(1g_{9/2})⁷⊗v[(2d_{5/2}, 1g_{7/2})⁸ (1h_{11/2})²] from CNS calculations. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of the levels from 3682.2 keV to 5746.0 keV are 0.88(38), 0.75 (15), 0.50(8), 0.39(5), 0.17 (3) and <0.21, respectively The B(M1) values for the transition from 221.9 keV to 501.0 keV are 5.2(23), 2.7(6), 1.8(3), 1.9(3), 1.9(4) and >1.25 μ²_N, respectively. The B(E2) values for the transition from 516.5 keV to 995.1 keV are 0.15(7), 0.23(5), 0.15(3), 0.14(3), 0.23(6) and >0.16, (eb)², respectively

	$^{109}_{47}Ag_{62}$						
	Elevel	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	2207.1	15/2-				2020Ma41	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(g_{9/2})^{-1} \otimes vh_{11/2}$
	2420.6	$17/2^{-1}$	213.5			2020Ma47	$(g_{7/2}/d_{5/2})^{-1}$, from TAC-RMF calculations.
	2661.1	19/2-	240.5	454.2		2008Da12	2. The calculated B(E2) and B(M1) are of the order
	2941.1	(21/2-)	280.0	520.5		2007TiZZ	of ≈ 0.06 (eb) ² and $\approx (1.4-1.7) \mu^2_N$, respectively.
	3204.6	(23/2-)	263.5	543.5		1996Po07	3. Tentatively assigned as MR band
	3559.2	(25/2-)	354.6	618.0			4. The band is also interpreted as stapler band
	4015.7	(27/2-)	456.5	811.3			(2020Ma47)
	4475.2	(29/2-)	459.5	916.0			5. Regular band with small backbending at 23/2ħ.
	4951.2	(31/2-)	476.0	935.8			
2.	2568.5	19/2+				2020Ma41	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(g_{9/2})^{-1} \otimes v(h_{11/2})^2$
	2842.0	$21/2^{+}$	273.5			2020Ma47	from TAC-RMF calculations.
	3091.1	$23/2^{+}$	249.1	522.5	24.7(+50-45)	2007TiZZ	2. The experimental dynamic moment of inertia $J^{(2)}$
	3277.6	$25/2^+$	186.5	436.5	19.3(+62-56)	1996Po07	is $\approx 15 \text{ MeV}^{-1} \hbar^2$
	3576.4	$27/2^+$	298.8	485.2	19.0(+62-56)		3. Regular band with backbending at 25/2ħ.
	3969.9	$29/2^+$	393.5	692.4	16.6(+71-65)		
	4376.9	$31/2^+$	407.0	800.3			
	4887.4	$33/2^+$	510.5	917.5			
	5416.4	(35/2+)	529.0	1039.5			
	6000.6	(37/2+)	584.2	1113.0			
	6556.1	(39/2+)	555.5	1139.7			
	7182.1	$(41/2^{+})$	626.0	(1181.0)			

$^{110}_{\ 47} Ag_{63}$

	E _{level} keV	Ιπ	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	B(M1)/B(E2) (µ _N /eb) ²	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	keV 2638.4 2823.4 3017.6 3263.0 3582.4 4032.8 4548.4 5082.1	12^+ 13^+ 14^+ 15^+ 16^+ 17^+ 18^+ 19^+	keV 185 194 246 320 450 516 534	keV 440 564 770 966 1049	(μ _N /eb) ² 6.3(20) 8.8(40) 11.6(67) >7.4	2018Da15 2021Ma15	 Configuration assigned as π(1g_{9/2})⁻¹⊗v[(d_{5/2}/g_{7/2})¹ (h_{11/2})²] from SPAC calculations. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of the levels from 3263.0 keV to 4548.4 keV are 2.30(40), 1.23(25), 0.89(14), and <0.62 (effective lifetime, not corrected from side feeding), respectively. The B(M1) values for the transition from 246 keV to 516 keV are 1.44(29), 1.23(30), 0.59(23) and >0.42 μ²_N, respectively. The B(E2) values for the transition from 440 keV to 966 keV are 0.23(6), 0.14(5), 0.051(22), and >0.057, (eb)², respectively Regular band The B(M1)/B(E2) ratios for spin range 15 to 100 keV to 200
							18 are deduced from given B(M1) and B(E2) values.

$^{102}_{48}\mathrm{Cd}_{54}$

	Elevel	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	E _γ (E2)	B(M1)/B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu N/eb)^2$		
1.	3908.5	10+				1997Pe25	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(g_{9/2}^{-2}) \otimes \nu(g_{7/2}^{-1}d_{5/2}^{-3})$
	4277.0	$11^{(+)}$	368.5			2001Li24	from the shell model calculations.
	4518.2	12(+)	241.1			2000JeZX	2. The assignment of 368.5 keV transition to the
	5308.7	13(+)	790.5				band is from 2000JeZX.
	5926.1	$14^{(+)}$	617.4				3. The B(M1) values for the transitions from 367
	6773.1	$15^{(+)}$	847.1				keV to 617 keV as given in 2001Li24 are
	7331.9	16(+)	558.81	1405.4			0.18(3), 0.87(8), 0.16(4) and >0.06 W.u.,
	8367.3	$17^{(+)}$	1035.4				respectively.
							4. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels from 4277 to 5026 keV as given in 2001Li24 are $1.5(2) \cdot 2.5(2)$
							0.4(1) and $2.2(2)$, respectively.
							5. Irregular band.

 $^{104}_{\ 48}\mathrm{Cd}_{56}$

	Elevel	\mathbf{I}^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	E _γ (E2)	B(M1)/B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	4102.4	10^{+}				2000JeZX	1. Probable configuration might arise due to the
	4738.0	11^{+}	635.4				coupling of two g9/2 protons holes with d5/2, g7/2
	5077.8	12^{+}	341.2	974.5			neutrons.
	5794.7	13+	716.9	1056.6			2. Tentatively assigned as MR band.
	6242.7	14^{+}	448.0	(1165)			3. Band exhibits large signature splitting.
	7150.4	(15+)	907.7	(1356)			

 $^{107}_{48}\mathrm{Cd}_{59}$

	Elevel	\mathbf{I}^{π}	E _γ (M1)	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu N/eb)^2$		
1.	5031.0	29/2-				2015Ch05	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(g_{9/2})^{-2} \otimes v(h_{11/2}) (g_{7/2})^2$
	5216.5	31/2-	185.5				before and $\pi(g_{9/2})^{-2} \otimes \nu(h_{11/2})^3$ after band crossing
	5502.2	33/2-	285.7				from TAC calculations.
	5886.8	35/2-	384.6				2. $(\beta_2, \gamma) = (-0.118, 48^\circ)$ and $(0.14, 0^\circ)$ before
	6377.6	37/2-	490.8				and after band crossing from TAC calculations
	7066.4	39/2-	688.8				3. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of the levels from
	7790.7	41/2-	724.3				5502.2 keV to 7790.7 keV are 0.671(91), 0.378
	8493.1	43/2-	702.4				(44), 0.231(27) ,0.194(29) and 0.135 (20),
	9191.6	45/2(-)	698.5				respectively.
	9924.0	47/2(-)	732.4				4. The B(M1) values for the transition from 285.7
	10698.3	49/2(-)	774.3				keV to724.3 keV are 3.624(491), 2.638(307),
							2.084(244), 0.898(134) and 1.112(164) μ^2_N , respectively.
							5. Regular band with backbending at 41/2 h.

$^{108}_{48}\mathrm{Cd}_{60}$

	Elevel	\mathbf{I}^{π}	E _γ (M1)	E _γ (E2)	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	(μ _N /eb) ²		
1.	(5591.4)	(11^{-})				2000Ke01	1. $\pi(g_{9/2}^{-3}g_{7/2}) \otimes \nu[h_{11/2}(g_{7/2} d_{5/2})^1]$ before and
	5642.4	12-	(51)			2010Ro15	$\pi(g_{9/2}^{-3}g_{7/2}) \otimes \nu(h_{11/2}^{-3}(g_{7/2}d_{5/2})^1]$ after the band
	5763.4	13-	121.0			1993Th05	crossing from TAC calculations.
	6079.4	14-	316.0		>25	1994Th01	2. Small prolate deformation $(\beta_2, \gamma) \sim (0.14, -125^\circ)$.
	6601.1	15-	521.7		>118		from TAC calculations.
	7277.8	16-	676.7		>164		3. Lower limits on $B(M1)/B(E2)$ are from
	7743.3	17-	465.5		>218		1993Th05 from the unobserved $\Delta I= 2$ (E2)
	8105.0	18-	361.7		>91		transitions.
	8587.3	19-	482.3	845.0	21(+49-8)		5. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of the levels from
	9176.8	20-	589.5	1073.9	18(+27-5)		6079.4 keV to 9882.4 keV as given in 2010Ro15
	9882.4	21-	705.6	1293.6	20(+16-5)		are 0.95(4), 0.39(4), 0.25(7), 0.47(6), 0.65(6),
	10680.3	(22-)	797.9	1502.2			0.30(6), 0.23(7) and 0.80(7), respectively. The
							uncertainties of 10% from stopping power are
							not included.
							6. B(M1) values of the transition from 316.0 keV
							to 705.6 keV as given in 2010Ro15 are $1.86(10)$,
							1.00(12), 0.72(15), 0.64(12), 1.81(25), 1.42(25), 1.01(25), 1.42(25), 1.01
							$1.01(20) \mu N^2$, respectively.
							7. Regular band with backbending at 17 h.
2	72161	(15-)				2000Ke01	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(q_{0,0})^{-3}$
2.	7530.1	16-	(314.0)			20001101	1. Tentativery assigned as $\pi(g_{2}/2) \otimes v[\pi_{11/2}/g_{1/2}]$
	7863.1	17-	333.0				2 Regular band with backbending at 19 ⁻
	8318 5	18-	455 4				2. Regular band with backbending at 17.
	8641.9	10-	323.4				
	9000 7	(20^{-})	358.8	682.0			
	9421.5	(21^{-})	420.8	780.4			
	9898.1	(22^{-})	476.6	897.8			
	10413.7	(23^{-})	515.6	993.6			
	10977.3	(24^{-})	563.6	1079.0			
		× /					

109	CA
48	Cu ₆₁

	E _{level} keV	\mathbf{I}^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	$\frac{B(M1)/B(E2)}{(\mu_N/eb)^2}$	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
1.	3353.8 3548.8 4030.5 4630.5 5279.5 5441.1 5731.0 6164.3 6795.8 7554.8	21/2 ⁻ 23/2 ⁻ 25/2 ⁻ 27/2 ⁻ 29/2 ⁻ 31/2 ⁻ 33/2 ⁻ 35/2 ⁻ 37/2 ⁻ (39/2 ⁻)	195.0 481.7 600.0 649.0 161.6 289.9 433.3 631.5 759	1249.0	(4,00)	1994Ju05 2000Ch04	 π(g9/2)⁻²⊗ν(h_{11/2}) and π(g9/2)⁻²⊗ν[h_{11/2}(g7/2d5/2)²] before and after the backbending respectively from the TAC calculations (2000Ch04). (β₂, γ) ~ (0.106, 0°) before and (0.085, 12°) after the backbending from 2000Ch04. B(M1)/B(E2) values range from ~ 40 (µN/eb)² to ~ 150 (µN/eb)². B(M1) values for the transitions from 290 to 759 keV as given in 2000Ch04 are 1.80(15), 2.56(11), 0.83(7) and 0.39(3) µN² respectively. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels from 5731 to 7555 keV as given in 2000Ch04 are 1.40(4), 0.272(5), 0.241(9) and 0.329(14), respectively.
2.	5811 6002 6303 6681 7144 7684 8261 8868 9500 10163 10895	$\begin{array}{c} 29/2^+\\ 31/2^+\\ 33/2^+\\ 35/2^+\\ 37/2^+\\ 39/2^+\\ 41/2^+\\ 43/2^+\\ (45/2^+)\\ (47/2^+)\\ (49/2^+)\end{array}$	191 300.9 378.7 462.6 540.1 577.3 606 632 663 732			2000Ch04 1994Ju05	 π(g_{9/2})⁻²⊗v[h_{11/2}² (d_{5/2} g_{7/2})¹] from TAC calculations. (β₂, γ) ~ (0.116, 10°). B(M1)/B(E2) values ≥ 20 (μ_N/eb)² for the two levels at 33/2 and 35/2 as given in 1994Ju05. B(M1) values for the transitions from 301 to 577 keV are 4.45(29), 4.19(14), 2.76(4), 3.15(+32-24) and 3.69(31) μ_N² respectively. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels from 6303 to 8261 keV are 0.367(15), 0.253(5), 0.210(5), 0.115(4) and 0.084(4), respectively.

$^{110}_{48}\mathrm{Cd}_{62}$

	Elevel	I^{π}	E _γ (M1)	E _γ (E2)	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	(µ _N /eb) ²		
1.	8015.8	17				1994Ju04	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(g_{9/2}^{-2}) \otimes \nu(h_{11/2}^2 g_{7/2}^{-2})$
	8277.0	18	261.2			1999Cl03	$d_{5/2}^{-2}$) for lower and $\pi(g_{9/2}^{-2}) \otimes \nu(h_{11/2}^2 g_{7/2}^{-1} d_{5/2}^{-3})$
	8594.6	19	317.6			2001Cl02	for higher spin from TAC-RMF calculations
	8966.9	20	372.3				(2015Pe06).
	9429.4	21	462.5		>48		2. Assigned as MR band by 1999Cl03.
	9990.4	22	561		>63		3. Prolate deformation.
	10664.2	23	673.8		>60		4. Lower limits on $B(M1)/B(E2)$ values are from
	11450.2	24	786				the unobserved $\Delta I = 2$ (E2) transitions.
							5. Regular band.
							6. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels with spins
							from 20 to 23, as given in 1999Cl03 are
							0.184(+18-22), 0.101(+15-18), 0.094(+14-18) and
							0.092(+17-23), respectively.
							7. B(M1) values for transitions from 372.3 to
							673.8 keV, as given in 1999Cl03 are
							5.40(+65-53), 5.13(+90-75), 3.06(+57-45)
							and 1.83(+46-34) μ N ² , respectively.

$^{107}_{49}In_{58}$

	Elevel	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	(µ _N /eb) ²		
1.	3282.8	19/2-				2010Ne05	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(g_{9/2})^{-1} \bigotimes \nu(h_{11/2})$
	3441.5	21/2-	158.7			2010Si14	$(d_{5/2}/g_{7/2}))$ before the alignment and $\pi(g_{9/2})^{-1} \bigotimes$
	3645.4	23/2-	203.9			2010Id01	$v(h_{11/2}(d_{5/2}/g_{7/2})^3)$ after the alignment.
	4038.6	25/2-	393.2			1998Ta26	2. $(\varepsilon_2, \gamma) = (0.12, 15^{\circ})$ and $(0.13, 10^{\circ})$ before and after
	4650.2	27/2-	611.6	1004.8	76.7(+133 -180)		alignment, respectively from TAC calculations
	5182.4	29/2-	532.2	1143.8	87.9(+118-153)		3. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of the levels from
	5565.2	31/2-	382.8	915.0	147.8(+367-606)		3645.4 keV to 6769.8 keV as given in 2010Ne05
	6069.3	33/2-	504.1				are 1.56 (+17-16), 0.64(+1-2), 0.72(+2-3), 0.65
	6769.8	35/2-	700.5				(2), 0.76 (+4-3) 0.53 (2) and 0.43 (3), respectively.
	7610.8	(37/2-)	841.0				4. The B(M1) values for the transition from 203.9
	8199.3	(39/2-)	588.5				keV to 700.5 keV are 3.24(+37-33), 1.44(7),
							0.33(+2-1), 0.51(+3-4), 1.02(+9-6), 0.84(3) and
							$0.38(+3-2)\mu^2$ N, respectively
							5. The B(E2) values for the transition from 1004.8
							keV to 915.0 keV are 0.0043(+9-7), 0.0058(+10-
							7) and $0.0069(+28-16)$ (eb) ² , respectively.
							Regular band with backbending at 29/2 ħ.

¹⁰⁸₄₉In₅₉

	E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	$\frac{B(M1)/B(E2)}{(\mu_N/eb)^2}$	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
1.	1119.4 1332.5 1861.1 2465.4 3006.9 3642.4	8 ⁻ 9 ⁻ 10 ⁻ 11 ⁻ 12 ⁻ 13 ⁻	213.1 528.6 604.3 541.5 635.5	1133.8 1146.7		2001Ch71 1998Ch35	 π(g_{9/2}⁻¹) ⊗v(h_{11/2}) by comparison with a similar band in¹⁰⁹Cd. Small prolate deformation (β₂, γ = 0.116, 10°) from TAC calculations. Regular band with small backbending at 12⁻.
2.	2515.4 2662.4 2815.9 3046.7 3382.1 3909.9 4570.8 5155.9	10 ⁻ 11 ⁻ 12 ⁻ 13 ⁻ 14 ⁻ 15 ⁻ 16 ⁻ 17 ⁻	147.0 153.5 230.8 335.4 527.8 660.9 585.1	864.2 1189.1 1246.9	35(+17-10) 50(+13-8)	2001Ch71 1998Ch35	 π(g9/2⁻¹) ⊗v[(g7/2/d5/2)² h11/2] by comparison with a similar band in¹⁰⁹Cd . Small prolate deformation (β₂, γ = 0.095, 15°) from TAC calculations. B(M1) values for the transitions from 335.4 to 660.9 keV are 0.91(3), 0.60(+13-8) and 0.40(+5-2) μN², respectively. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels from 3382 to 4571 keV are 1.63(6), 0.60(10) and 0.45(+2-5), respectively. Regular band with small backbending at 17⁻
3.	4331.2 4517.4 4773.3 5130.6 5603.6 6168.0 6710.4 7234.4 7830.4 8570.7	13^+ 14^+ 15^+ 16^+ 17^+ 18^+ 19^+ (20^+) (21^+) (22^+)	186.2 255.9 357.3 473.0 564.4 542.4 524.0 596.0 740.3	1038.8 1107.7	29(+6-5) 31(+25-14)	2001Ch71 1998Ch35	 π(g_{9/2}⁻¹) ⊗v[(g_{7/2}/d_{5/2}) h_{11/2}²] before and π(g_{9/2}⁻¹) ⊗v[(g_{7/2}/d_{5/2})³ h_{11/2}²] after the backbending by comparison with ¹⁰⁹Cd. Small prolate deformation (β₂, γ = 0.126, 10°) for the configuration before and (β₂, γ = 0.063, 15°) for the configuration after backbending. B(M1) values for the transitions from 357.3 to 542.4 keV are 2.48(+22-20), 2.38(+17-16), 1.71(+13-11) and 5.2(+26-8) μN², respectively. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels from 5130 to 6710 keV are 0.43(4), 0.22(2), 0.158(11) and 0.055(+10-18), respectively. Regular band with small backbending at 18⁺.

¹⁰⁹₄₉In₆₀

	Elevel	\mathbf{I}^{π}	E _γ (M1)	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	3092.0	19/2-				2012Ne03	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(g_{9/2}^{-1}) \otimes \nu[h_{11/2}]$
	3202.4	21/2-	110.4			1997Ko51	$(g_{7/2}/d_{5/2})^1$] before alignment and $\pi(g_{9/2})$
	3410.4	23/2-	208.0			1997VaZS	$v[h_{11/2} (g_{7/2}/d_{5/2})^3]$ after alignment from TAC
	3800.5	25/2-	390.1				calculations.
	4508.6	27/2(-)	708.1				2. Small prolate deformation (ε_2 , $\gamma = 0.08$, 9°) before
	4833.0	29/2(-)	324.4				alignment from TAC calculations.
	5242.0	31/2(-)	409.0				3. Regular band with backbending at $27/2^{-1}$.
	5796.7	33/2(-)	554.7				
	6386		589.0				

$^{110}_{49}In_{61}$

	E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	B(M1)/B(E2) $(u_N/eb)^2$	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
1.	799.7 807.7 1017.2 1560.9 2173.9	7- 8- 9- 10- 11-	8.0 209.5 543.7 613.0	753.6 1157.0		2001Ch71	 π(g_{9/2}⁻¹) ⊗v(h_{11/2}) by comparison with a similar band in¹⁰⁹Cd. Small prolate deformation (ε₂, γ = 0.11, 10°) from TAC calculations. Regular band.
2.	2596.8 2837.9 3192.5 3713.6 4528.6 5265.4	12- 13- 14- 15- 16- 17-	241.1 354.6 521.1 815.0 736.8	1552.0		2001Ch71	 π(g_{9/2}⁻¹) ⊗v[(g_{7/2}/d_{5/2})² h_{11/2}] by comparison ¹⁰⁹Cd. Small prolate deformation (ε₂, γ = 0.08, 10°) from TAC calculations. B(M1) values for the transitions 354.6 and 521.1 keV are 1.01(3) and 0.56(4) μ_N², respectively. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels from 3193 and 3714 keV are 1.25(4) and 0.72(+5-4), respectively. Regular band with small backbending at 17⁻
3.	3326.9 3512.5 3720.0 3943.8 4229.2 4598.2 5085.1 5650.7 6223.6 6707.5 7272.9 7981.1 8748.0	$11^+ \\ 12^+ \\ 13^+ \\ 14^+ \\ 15^+ \\ 16^+ \\ (17^+) \\ (18^+) \\ (19^+) \\ (20^+) \\ (21^+) \\ (22^+) \\ (23^+) \\ \end{array}$	185.6 207.5 223.8 285.4 369.0 486.9 565.6 572.9 483.9 565.4 708.2 766.9	855.9 1052.3 1138.6	34(+10-8) 47(+11-10) 49(+13-10)	2001Ch71	 π(g_{9/2}⁻¹) ⊗v[(g_{7/2}/d_{5/2}) h_{11/2}²] before and π(g_{9/2}⁻¹) ⊗v[(g_{7/2}/d_{5/2})³ h_{11/2}²] after the backbending by comparison in ¹⁰⁹Cd. Small prolate deformation (ε₂, γ = 0.11, 10°) for the configuration before and (ε₂, γ = 0.08, 20°) for the configuration after backbending from TAC calculations. B(M1) values for the transitions from 285.4 to 572.9 keV are 3.73(14), 2.61(+10-5), 1.97(+25-26), 1.35(+15-13) and 2.51(+36-20) μn², respectively. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels from 4229 to 6224 keV are 0.627(+24-23), 0.428(+8-16), 0.230(+35-26),0.196(+21-19) and 0.105(+9-13), respectively. Regular band with small backbending at 19⁺.

111	n
49 ¹	III 62

	E _{level} keV	\mathbf{I}^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	B(M1)/B(E2) $(u_N/eb)^2$	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
1.	3461.0 3588.4 3707.2 3911.3 4282.6 4795.8 5330.7 5877.1	19/2 ⁺ 21/2 ⁺ 23/2 ⁺ 25/2 ⁺ 27/2 ⁺ 29/2 ⁺ 31/2 ⁺ (33/2 ⁺)	127.4 118.8 204.1 371.3 513.2 534.9 546.4	884.3 1048.4 1081.3	70(11) 34(3) 20(2)	1998Va03 2011Ba05	 π(g_{9/2}⁻¹) ⊗v(h_{11/2}²) by comparison with ¹¹⁰Cd. Small prolate deformation. B(M1)/B(E2) > 50-100 (µ_N/eb)² from unobserved ΔI= 2 (E2) transitions. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of the levels from 4282.6 keV to 5877.1 keV are 0.66 (+21-14), 0.30 (+10-7),0.65(+23-16) and 0.73 (+25-16), respectively (2011Ba05). B(M1) values for the transition from 371.3 keV to 546.4 keV are 1.62 (+43-40),1.30(+50-30), 0.50(+16-13) and 0.35(10) µ_N², respectively (2011Ba05). B(E2) values for the transition from 884.3 keV to 1081.3 keV as given in 2011Ba05 are 6.4 (+19-16), 4.0(+13-10) and 5.2(+15-13) (W.u), respectively. Regular band with small backbending at 23/2 ħ.
2.	4932.0 5166.8 5398.8 5678.1 6051.0 6538.1 7175.2 7917.1 8681.1	27/2 ⁺ 29/2 ⁺ 31/2 ⁺ 33/2 ⁺ 35/2 ⁺ (37/2 ⁺) (39/2 ⁺) (41/2 ⁺) (43/2 ⁺)	234.8 232.0 279.3 372.9 487.1 637.1 741.9 764.0			1998Va03 2011Ba05	 π(g_{9/2}⁻¹) ⊗v(h_{11/2}²g_{7/2}²) by comparison with a similar band in ¹¹⁰Cd . Small prolate deformation. B(M1)/B(E2) > 50-100 (μ_N/eb)² from unobserved ΔI= 2 (E2) transitions. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of the levels from 5398.8 keV to 7917.1 keV are 1.60(+25-17), 0.54(+7-5), 0.38(+9-7), 0.30(+7-5), 0.28(7) and <0.20, respectively (2011Ba05) B(M1) values for the transition from 232.0 keV to 741.9 keV are2.74(+32-37), 4.64(+47-53), 2.71(+61-52), 1.63(+33-31), 0.79(+26-16) and >0.70 μ_N², respectively (2011Ba05). Regular band with small backbending at 31/2⁺.
3.	X 390.5+X 794.7+X 1244.3+X 1774.1+X 2354.6+X	(31/2 ⁻) (33/2 ⁻) (35/2 ⁻) (37/2 ⁻) (39/2 ⁻) (41/2 ⁻)	390.5 404.2 449.6 529.8 580.5			1998Va03	 Tentatively assigned as π(g_{9/2}⁻¹) ⊗v(h_{11/2}g_{7/2}d_{5/2}) (configuration of a band in ¹¹⁰Cd) coupled to an aligned g_{7/2} or h_{11/2} neutron pair. I^π and level energies are lower limits as estimated from intensity and feeding considerations, X~ 5500 keV. B(M1)/B(E2) > 50-100 (µ_N/eb)² from unobserved ΔI= 2 (E2) transitions. Regular band.

112 ₁	n
49 I	II63

	Elevel	\mathbf{I}^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	3062.7 3191.0	12+ 13+	128.3			2012Tr01	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(g_{9/2})^{-1} \otimes v[(h_{11/2})^2$
	3369.5	13 14 ⁺ 15 ⁺	178.5			2010He09	observed in ¹¹⁰ In (2011He04). But different
	4035.5	15^{+} 16^{+} 17^{+}	393.3			20091100	proposed on the basis of TAC calculations
	4589.7 5297.3	17 ⁺ 18 ⁺	554.2 707.6				2. Tentatively assigned as MR band.
	6035.3	(19+)	738				3. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of the levels from 3642 to 5297 keV are 0.83(4), 0.49(3), 0.22(+2-3), and <0.25, respectively (2012Tr01).
							 4. B(M1) values for the transition from 272.7 keV to 707.6 keV are 3.28(14), 1.89(+11-10), 1.52 (+20-14) and >0.63 μ_N², respectively (2012Tr01).
							5. Small prolate deformation ($\beta 2, \gamma$) = (0.1, 25°) from PRM calculations.
							6. Regular band

¹¹³₄₉In₆₄

	Elevel	I^{π}	E _γ (M1)	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	2233.2	15/2(-)				1997Ch01	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(g_{9/2}^{-1}) \otimes \nu(g_{7/2}^{-1}h_{11/2})$.
	2396.4	17/2(-)	163.2			2005Na37	2. Small prolate deformation ($\beta_2=0.09$).
	2663.9	19/2(-)	267.5				3. The spin and parity assignments are from
	2853.6	21/2(-)	189.7				2005Na37.
	3023.1	23/2(-)	169.5				4. Parity assignment is based on comparison
	3280.0	25/2(-)	256.9				with neighboring nuclei.
	3972.6	27/2(-)	692.6				5. Irregular band.
	4715.0	29/2(-)	742.4	1434.9	8(2)		6. Fully aligned configuration gives rise to I^{π} =
	5392.7	31/2(-)	677.7	1418.6	17(6)		$27/2^{-}$; I ^{π} beyond this value is attributed to some collectivity.
2.	3122.1	21/2(+)				1997Ch01	1. Tentative Configuration $\pi(g_{9/2}^{-1}) \otimes \nu(h_{11/2}^{-2})$.
	3213.9	23/2(+)	91.8			2005Na37	2. Small prolate deformation ($\beta_2=0.09$)
	3397.2	25/2(+)	183.3				3. The 558 keV transition is from 2005Na37.
	3788.1	27/2(+)	390.9				4. The spin and parity assignments are from
	4377.5	$29/2^{(+)}$	589.4	980.2	24(6)		2005Na37.
	5062.1	31/2(+)	684.6	1274.2	71(14)		5. Parity assignment is based on comparison
	5790.3	33/2(+)	728.2				with neighboring nuclei.
	6348.3	35/2(+)	558				6. Regular band.
3.	4563.0	(27/2+)				2012Ma27	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(g_{9/2}^{-1}) \otimes \nu(h_{11/2}^2, g_{7/2}^2)$.
	4809.0	$(29/2^{+})$	246.1				On the bases of TAC-RMF calculations.
	5106.9	$(31/2^+)$	298.1				2. ($\beta_2, \gamma \approx 0.15, 30^\circ$) and with increasing rotational
	5380.0	$(33/2^{+})$	273.1				frequency deformation parameter decreases
	5781.1	(35/2+)	401.1				smoothly.
	6323.2	(37/2+)	542.1				3. Regular band
	6980.7	(39/2+)	657.5				-

¹¹⁴₄₉In₆₅

	E_{level}	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	2532	(11+)				2012Li38	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(g_{9/2})^{-1} \bigotimes \nu [(g_{7/2}/d_{5/2})]$
	2680	(12+)	148.4			2011Li43	$(h_{11/2})^2$] from TAC-RMF calculations.
	2931	(13+)	250.7				2. Regular band with backbending at 15 h.
	3299	(14+)	368.3				
	3792	(15+)	492.6				
	4256	(16+)	464.2				

¹¹⁵₄₉In₆₆

	E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	B(M1)/B(E2) (μ _N /eb) ²	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	2876.9	$(21/2^{+})$				2015Ch24	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(g_{9/2})^{-1} \bigotimes \nu(h_{11/2})^2$
	2958.9	$(23/2^{+})$	82.0				from TAC-CDFT calculations.
	3095.4	$(25/2^{+})$	136.5				2. Tentatively assigned as of mixed character of MR
	3470.9	$(27/2^{+})$	375.5				and tilted rotational.
	4040.3	$(29/2^{+})$	569.4				3. $(\beta_2, \gamma) = (0.15, 50^\circ)$ from TAC-CDFT calculations.
	4716.3	(31/2+)	676.0				4. Regular band

$^{105}_{50}Sn_{55}$

.

	E _{level} keV	Ιπ	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	$B(M1)/B(E2) \ (\mu_N/eb)^2$	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
1.	7043	$29/2^{+}$				1997Ga01	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(g_{9/2}^{-1}g_{7/2}) \otimes \nu(h_{11/2}^{-2})$
	7343	$31/2^{+}$	300			1999De50	$(d_{5/2}g_{7/2})^1$) from TRS calculations.
	7730	33/2(+)	388				2. Prolate deformation ($\beta_2=0.137$)
	8196	35/2(+)	466				3. B(M1)/B(E2)>100 $(\mu_N/eb)^2$ from the unobserved
	8682	37/2(+)	486				$\Delta I = 2$ (E2) transitions.
	9137	39/2(+)	456				4. Regular band with a backbending at 37/2.
	9692	41/2(+)	555				
	10287	43/2(+)	596				

$^{106}_{50}Sn_{56}$

	E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	$\frac{B(M1)/B(E2)}{(\mu_N/eb)^2}$	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	7598.5 8013.2 8560.3 9103.3 9552.9 10040.9	14 ⁻ 15 ⁻ 16 ⁻ 17 ⁻ 18 ⁻ 19 ⁻ 20 ⁻	413.4 547.0 542.9 449.7 488.1		>160 >250	1998Je03 1999Je07 1997Ju01	 π(g_{7/2} g_{9/2}⁻¹) ⊗v((g_{7/2}d_{5/2})³ h_{11/2}) from TAC calculations. (β₂, γ) = (0.11, -13°). Level energies, spins and parities are from 1999JeZZ. 4 The mean lifetimes (in ps) of the five uppermost levels are 0.20(2), 0.42(5), 0.51(15), 0.22(2), and
	10632.9 11413.3 12047.3	20 21 ⁻ 22 ⁻	591.9 780.4 634.0		>200 >35		fevels are 0.30(3), 0.43(3), 0.51(15), 0.22(2) and 0.22(+1-3), respectively. 5. B(M1) values for the transitions from 450 to 599 keV are 2.06(+22-26), 1.12(+15-13), 0.54(+20-13), 0.54(+5-7) and 1.17(17) μ N ² , respectively. 6. Regular band with backbending at the top of the band.

$^{106}_{50}Sn_{56}$

	Elevel	\mathbf{I}^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	(µ _N /eb) ²		
2.	9236.1	17-				1998Je03	1. $\pi(g_{7/2} g_{9/2}^{-1}) \otimes \nu((g_{7/2} d_{5/2})^3 h_{11/2})$ from TAC
	9637.8	18-	401.7				calculations.
	10117.0	19-	479.2		>155		2. $(\beta_2, \gamma) = (0.11, -13^\circ).$
	10672.4	20-	555.4		>290		3. Regular band.
	11292.7	21-	620.3		>220		-
	11971.5	22-	678.8				

$^{108}_{50}Sn_{58}$

	E	τπ	E (M1)	E (E2)	$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{M}1)/\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{E}2)$	Deferences	Configurations and Comments.
	Lievel	1"	$E_{\gamma}(MII)$	$E_{\gamma}(EZ)$	$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{I})/\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{E}\mathbf{Z})$	References	Configurations and Comments.
	ke v		kev	ke v	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	6665	12-				1998Je03	1. $\pi(g_{7/2} g_{9/2}^{-1}) \otimes \nu((g_{7/2} d_{5/2})^1 h_{11/2})$ from TAC
	6885.0	13-	220.0			1999Je07	calculations.
	7182.7	14-	297.7				2. Prolate shape $(\beta_2, \gamma) = (0.08, 0^\circ)$ from 1999Je07.
	7606.4	15-	423.7	720	30.0(25)		3. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels with spins
	8116.3	16-	509.9	934	23.5(40)		from 15 to 19 as given in 1999Je07 are 0.66(2),
	8634.5	17-	518.2	1028	26.0(35)		0.23(1), 0.29(1), 0.44(+5-2) and 0.56(2),
	9169.6	18-	535.1	1053	19.5(40)		respectively.
	9719.8	19 ⁻	550.2	1085	23(4)		4. B(M1) values for the transitions from 424 to
	10355.3	20-	635.5	1184	24(4)		550 keV are 1.05(3), 1.63(8), 1.16(5), 0.64(+4-8) and 0.48(3) μ ² , respectively.
							5. Regular band.
2.	8103	16 ⁻				1998Je03	1. $\pi(g_{7/2} g_{9/2}^{-1}) \otimes v(g_{7/2}^2 (g_{7/2} d_{5/2})^1 h_{11/2})$ from TAC
	8351.2	17-	248.2			1999Je07	calculations.
	8695.8	18-	344.6	(592)			2. Prolate shape $(\beta_2, \gamma) = (0.11, 0^\circ)$ from 1999Je07.
	9105.8	19 ⁻	410.0	753	15.4(40)		
	9579.4	20-	473.6	885	14.1(40)		
	10062.8	21-	483.4	956	20.2(50)		
	10572.2	22-	509.4	992	22.7(70)		

$^{108}_{51}$ Sb₅₇

	E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	$\frac{B(M1)/B(E2)}{(\mu_N/eb)^2}$	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
1.	2154.6	7-			(- · · · /	1998Je09	1. $\pi[(g_{7/2}, d_{5/2})^2 g_{9/2}^{-1}] \otimes v(h_{11/2})$ from TAC
	2246.0	8-	91.4				calculations.
	2438.3	9-	192.3	283			2. $(\beta_2, \gamma) = (0.116, 30^\circ)$ from TAC calculations.
	2719.9	10-	281.6	474			3. B(M1)/B(E2) values range from ~5 $(\mu N/eb)^2$
	3032.4	11 ⁻	312.5	595			to ~20 $(\mu N/eb)^2$.
	3376.8	12-	344.4	657			4. Regular band.
	3764.7	13-	387.9	732			
	4173.6	14-	408.9	797			
	4613.3	15-	439.7	849			
	5101.9	16-	488.6	929			
	5611.5	17-	509.6	999			
	6150.0	18-	538.5	1049			
	6719.6	19-	569.6	1109			

 $^{108}_{51}$ Sb₅₇

	E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	B(M1)/B(E2) (µ _N /eb) ²	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
2.	2753.4	10-				1998Je09	1. $\pi(h_{11/2} g_{7/2} g_{9/2}^{-1}) \otimes \nu(g_{7/2}, d_{5/2})^1$ from TAC
	3057.4	11-	304.0				calculations.
	3376.4	12-	319.0	623			2. $(\beta_2, \gamma) = (0.116, 10^\circ)$ from TAC calculations.
	3722.5	13-	346.1	665			3. B(M1)/B(E2) values range from ~5 $(\mu_N/eb)^2$
	4177.9	14-	455.4	801			to ~25 $(\mu_N/eb)^2$.
	4597.3	15-	419.4	874			4. Regular band with backbending at 15.
	5064.4	16-	467.1	886			
	5561.8	17-	497.4	964			
	6092.3	18-	530.5	1028			
	6645.2	19-	552.9	1084			
	7216.3	20-	571.1	1124			

 $^{110}_{51}$ Sb₅₉

	E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	$\frac{B(M1)/B(E2)}{(\mu_N/eb)^2}$	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
1.	1921	8-				1997La13	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(h_{11/2}) \otimes \nu(d_{5/2})$ or
	2122	9-	201				$\pi(h_{11/2}) \otimes v(g_{7/2})$ by comparison with neighboring
	2435	10-	313	514			odd-odd Sb isotopes.
	2784	11-	349	663			2. Tentatively assigned as MR band.
	3158	12-	374	724			3. Regular band.
	3556	13-	398	772			4. The assignment of this band as MR band is
	3989	14-	433	830			based on the comparison with a band in ¹⁰⁸ Sb
	4464	15-	475	909			from 1998Je09.
	(5016)		(552)	(1027)			

 $^{112}_{51}$ Sb₆₁

	Elevel	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	1675.1	7-				1998La14	1. $\pi(g_{9/2}^{-1}) \otimes v(h_{11/2})$ from TAC calculations.
	1747.5	8-	72.4			2005De02	2. Tentatively assigned as MR band.
	1949.7	9-	202.2				3. $(\beta_2, \gamma) = (0.21, 0^\circ)$ from TAC calculations.
	2275.2	10-	325.5	527.7	24(2)		4. The mean lifetimes (in ps) for the states from 11 ⁻
	2629.1	11-	353.9	679.1	16.0(8)		to 13 ⁻ as given in 2005De02 are 0.56(+25-26),
	3009.7	12-	380.6	734.6	14.2(7)		0.51(+16-17) and 0.50(11), respectively.
	3402.1	13-	392.4	773.5	13.3(7)		5. The B(M1) values for the transitions from 354 to
	3809.0	14-	406.9	799.7	6.8(4)		392 keV are 2.28(+69-103), 2.04(+70-63) and
	4295.3	15-	486.3	893.2	10.6(8)		$1.90(+38-43) \ \mu N^2$, respectively.
	4798.3	16-	503.0	989.8	9.9(9)		6. The B(E2) values for the transitions from 679 to
	5326.2	17-	527.9	1030.8			773 keV as given in 2005De02 are 1.00(+30-45), 0.74(+25-23) and 0.59(+10-11) (eb) ² , respectively.
							7. Regular band.
2.	X 378.2+X	(10 ⁺) (11 ⁺)	378.2			1998La14	1. $\pi(g_{9/2}^{-1}) \otimes \nu((d_{5/2}, g_{7/2})^1 h_{11/2}^2)$ from TRS calculations and by comparison with similar
	750.8+X	(12^{+})	372.6	750.6	7.5(5)		bands in neighboring isotopes.
	1077.6+X	(13+)	326.8	699.7	15.3(12)		2. Tentatively assigned as MR band
	1372.5+X	(14^{+})	294.9	621.7	56(7)		3. Regular band with backbending at 12.
	1690.3+X	(15^{+})	317.8	613.0	200(180)		
	2046.1+X	(16^{+})	355.8	673.9	30(3)		
	2437.7+X	(17+)	391.6	747.6	26(3)		
	2851.9+X	(18^+)	414.2				
	5284.4+X	(19⁺)	432.5				

$^{116}_{51}$ Sb ₆₅

	Elevel	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	(µ _N /eb) ²		
1.	3005.3	11^{+}				2022DA05	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(g_{9/2}^{-1}) \otimes v(g_{7/2}/d_{5/2})$
	3345.3	12+	340.0			2012Wa34	$(h_{11/2})^2$ on the basis of comparison with similar
	3734.5	13+	389.2	729.3	23.3(27)		band observed in ¹¹² Sb and further supported by
	4164.6	14^{+}	430.1	819.2	13.7(16)		SPAC calculations.
	4627.6	15+	463.0	893.2	8.2(10)		2. Tentatively assigned as MR band as dominant
	5112.8	16+	485.2	948.4	6.3(8)		contribution is not from shears structure
							(2012Wa34).
							3. Regular band
							4. Level energies are deduced from the gamma
							energies

 $^{135}_{52}{
m Te}_{83}$

	Elevel	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	4023.3	(19/2-)				2001Lu16	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(g_{7/2}^2) \otimes \nu(f_{7/2}^2 h_{11/2}^{-1})$
	4393.9	(21/2-)	370.4			2001Fo02	as given in 2001Fo02 by comparison with the
	4799.4	(23/2-)	405.3	776.2			¹³⁴ Te isotope.
	5170.7	(25/2-)	371.3	776.9			2. Gamma-ray energies are from Erratum to
	5525.4	(27/2-)	355.0	726.1			2001Lu16 published in PRC 104, 069902(E)
	5790.7	(29/2-)	265.3	620.0			(2021).
	6109.8	(31/2-)	319.0	(585.3)			3. Tentatively assigned as MR band (2001Fo02).
	6454.9	(33/2-)	344.9	664.4			4. Irregular band.
	6669.5	$(35/2^{-})$		559.7			

$^{124}_{54}Xe_{70}$

-	Elevel	I^{π}	E _γ (M1)	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	5051	(13)				1999Sc20	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(h_{11/2}\otimes (d_{5/2}g_{7/2})^1)\otimes$
	5292	(14)	241			2008A112	$v(h_{11/2}g_{7/2}).$
	5554	(15)	262	502	14.15(+38-31)	1997Lo12	2. Tentatively assigned as MR band.
	5830	(16)	276	537	17.41(+62-49)	2004Sa47	3. $(\beta_2, \gamma) = (0.20, 30^\circ)$ from TAC calculations as.
	6156	(17)	326	602	10.59(+83-46)		given in 2002Ra34.
	6556	(18)	400	726	14.89(+78-63)		4. The B(M1)/B(E2) values are from 2004Sa47.
	6987	(19)	431	831			5. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels from 5554 to
	7436	(20)	449	880			6556 keV as given in 2004Sa47 are 0.89(8),
	7932	(21)	496	944			1.84(13), 1.75(8) and 0.40(8), respectively.
	8368	(22)	436	932			6. The B(M1) values for the transitions from 262 to
	8914	(23)	546	982			400 keV as given in 2004Sa47 are 1.44(+28-24),
	9486	(24)	572	1118			1.02(+18-16), 0.75(+11-10) and 1.33(+41-30)
	9929	(25)	443	1016			$\mu_{\rm N}^2$, respectively.
							Irregular band with backbending at 8368 keV level and at the top of the band.
	Elevel	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
----	--------	------------	------------------	------------------	-----------------------------------	------------	--
	keV		keV	keV	(μ _N /eb) ²		
1.	2554.9	$17/2^{+}$				2005Ku10	1. $\pi(d_{5/2}/g_{7/2}) \otimes v(h_{11/2})^2$ from the decay pattern
	2686.9	$19/2^{+}$	132.0			2008Si26	and TAC calculations.
	2835.1	$21/2^+$	148.2				2. Tentatively assigned as MR band.
	3058.7	$23/2^{+}$	223.6				3. Triaxial deformation (β_2, γ) ~ (0.11, 46°°)
	3415.3	$25/2^+$	356.6				4. Small signature splitting.
	3724.1	$27/2^+$	308.8	664.9	~10		5. Regular band with backbending at 27/2 ⁺ .
	4145.7	$29/2^{+}$	421.6	730.2	~10		6. The calculated B(M1) values decrease with
	4655.3	$31/2^{+}$	509.6	931.2	~3		frequency.
	5076.9	$33/2^{+}$	424.7	934.0	6		1
2.	3465.3	25/2-				2005Ku10	1. $\pi(h_{11/2}) \otimes v(h_{11/2})^2$ from the decay pattern
	3621.3	27/2-	156.0				and TAC calculations.
	4012.2	29/2-	390.9				2. Prolate deformation (β_2, γ) ~ (0.11, 55°°)
	4387.9	31/2-	375.7	766.4			3. Small signature splitting.
	4905.7	33/2-	517.8	893.8			4. Irregular band.
	5265.6	(35/2-)	359.9	877.9			5. The experimental B(M1)/B(E2) have an average $\sim 12 (\mu_N/eb)^2$.
							6. The calculated B(M1) values decrease with frequency.

 $^{128}_{56}Ba_{72}$

	E _{level} keV	Ιπ	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	$\frac{B(M1)/B(E2)}{(\mu_N/eb)^2}$	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	4652	12^{+}			4 4 7	1998Wi20	1. $\pi[h_{11/2}(d_{5/2}g_{7/2})] \otimes \nu[h_{11/2}(d_{5/2}g_{7/2})]$ from TAC
	4956	13+	305			1997Vo12	calculations by 2000Di16.
	5233	14^{+}	277	582	6.29(+29-16)	2000Di16	2. Tentatively assigned as MR and collective
	5530	15+	296	574	6.63(+18-13)	2000Pe20	rotational band (2000Di16).
	5853	16+	324	619	6.00(+56-28)	1998Pe17	3. Prolate deformation $(\beta_2, \gamma) \sim (0.20, 0^\circ)$.
	6215	17+	362	685	5.43(+23-15)		4. B(M1) values for the transitions from 362 to
	6609	18^{+}	394	755	5.81(+25-19)		428 keV as given in 1998Pe17 are 1.14(+21-15),
	7036	19+	428	821	5.04(+31-22)		1.22(+25-18) and 1.41(+30-21) μ N ² ,
	7494	20^{+}	457	886			respectively, for transitions from 305 to 324
	7981	21^{+}	487	945			keV are 0.32(4), 0.44(+10-7), 1.06(+18-13) and
	8497	22^{+}	517	1003			$1.08(+55-27) \mu_N^2$ (2000Pe20).
	9032	23+	535	1052			5. The first three $B(M1)/B(E2)$ ratios have been
	9601	24+	568	1104			calculated from the values of B(M1) and B(E2)
	10168	25^{+}	566	1136			in 2000Pe20 and the last three ratios have been
	10785	26+		1184			calculated using the data given in 1998Pe17.
							 6. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of 6215 to 7981 keV states as given in 1998Pe17 are 2.48(7), 1.91(7), 1.54(5), 1.36(11) and 1.16(6) ps, respectively, for levels from 4956 to 5853 keV are 1.44(13), 2.25(40), 1.53(22) and 0.98(33) (2000Pe20). 7. Regular band.

	E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	B(M1)/B(E2) (μ _N /eb) ²	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	5960.6	15-				2020Gu21	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi h_{11/2}(g_{7/2}, d_{5/2}) \otimes v(h_{11/2})^2$
	6218.1	16-	257.5				configuration on the basis of comparison of
	6498.3	17-	280.2				similar bands in neighboring ^{132,134} Ba and ^{134,136} Ce
	6914.5	(18)	416.2				nuclides.
	7335	(19-)	420				2. Tentatively assigned as MR band.
	7849	(20-)	514				3. Band exhibits small signature splitting.

¹³²₅₆Ba₇₆

	Elevel	Ιπ	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	E _γ (E2)	B(M1)/B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	4811.8	11+				1995Ju09	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(h_{11/2}g_{7/2})\otimes v(h_{11/2}d_{3/2})$
	1997.2	12^{+}	185.4			1989Pa17	by considering the available orbits nearest to
	5201.0	13+	203.9				the Fermi surface.
	5436.8	14^{+}	235.9				2. Tentatively assigned as MR band.
	5771.8	15+	335.0				3. Oblate shape ($\gamma \sim -60^\circ$).
	6196.3	16+	424.6				4. Regular band.
	6665.4	(17+)	469				
	7144.4	(18+)	479				
2	5721 4	1.4-				1005 100	1 - (h -) Quich ²) has some identing the
۷.	5721.4	14	1.60.0			1995JU09	1. $\pi(n_{11/2}g_{7/2}) \otimes V(n_{11/2})$ by considering the
	5891.3	15-	169.9			1989Pa17	available orbits nearest to the Fermi surface.
	6107.1	16-	215.7				2. Oblate shape ($\gamma \sim -60^\circ$).
	6414.8	17-	307.7				3. Regular band.
	6821.7	18-	406.9				
	7287.7	(19-)	466	(873)			
((7751.7)	(20)		(930)			

¹³⁴₅₆Ba₇₈

	Elevel	\mathbf{I}^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	(µ _N /eb) ²		
1.	5677.9	14-				2020NE01	1. Probable Configuration as $\pi[h_{11/2}(g_{7/2}/d_{5/2})]\otimes$
	5854.5	(15)	176.6				$v [h_{11/2}]^2$ using TAC model.
	6025.8	(16 ⁻)	171.3				2. $\varepsilon_2 \approx 0.090$, $\gamma \approx 60^\circ$ on the bases of TAC minima.
	6304.8	(17)	278.9				3. Regular band
	6693.5	(18-)	388.7				
	7141.5	(19)	448.0				
	7712.9	(20)	571.4				

¹³⁵₅₆Ba₇₉

	Elevel	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	3082.9	$21/2^+$				2010Ku15	1. Configuration assignment as $\pi(h_{11/2}g_{7/2})$ \otimes
	3211.3	$23/2^{+}$	128.4			2006Ch51	$v(h_{11/2})^{-1}$ from TAC calculations.
	3415.7	$25/2^+$	204.4				2. Tentatively assigned as MR band.
	3758.3	$27/2^{+}$	342.6				3. Deformation parameters (ε_2 , ε_4 , γ) = (0.095,-0.013,
	4181.0	$29/2^{+}$	422.7	765.3	17.3(25)		26°) for energy minimization and $(\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_4, \gamma) =$
	4695.8	$31/2^+$	514.8	937.5	52(31)		(0.095,-0.013, 10°) for B(M1)/B(E2) ratios.
	5310.3	33/2+	614.5	1129.3	11.1(68)		4. Regular band with backbending at 33/2 h.
	5850.3	35/2+	540.0	1154.5	21.8(62)		
	6376.3	(37/2+)	526.0	1066.0			
2.	5355.6	(31/2-)				2010Ku15	1. Configuration assigned as $(h_{11/2}g_{7/2}) \bigotimes v(h_{11/2})^2 s_{1/2}$
	5522.2	$(33/2^{-})$	166.6				from TAC calculations.
	5849.8	(35/2-)	327.6				2. $(\beta_2, \gamma) = (0.090, 58^\circ)$ from TAC calculations
	6380.8	(37/2-)	531.0				3. Regular band.

¹³³₅₇La₇₆

	Elevel	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments: \geq
	keV		keV	keV	(µ _N /eb) ²		
1.	3947.8	29/2-				2016Pe21	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(h_{11/2})^1 \bigotimes \nu(h_{11/2})^{-2}$
	4396.1	31/2-	448.3				and $(\pi(h_{11/2})^3 \bigotimes v(h_{11/2})^{-2}$ for spins I ≤ 37 h and I
	4844.2	33/2-	448.1				>37 h, respectively from PRM calculations.
	5318.7	35/2-	474.5	922.6	5.4(6)		2. Tentatively assigned as MR band.
	5752.4	37/2-	433.7				3. $(\beta_2, \gamma) = (0.20, 19.2^\circ)$ and $(0.20, 20.5^\circ)$ for spins I
	6141.7	39/2-	389.3				\leq 37 h and I>37h, respectively from CDFT
	6677.4	(41/2-)	535.7				calculations
	7027.5	(43/2-)	350.1				4. Irregular band with signature splitting.
	7611.8	(45/2-)	584.3				

¹³⁵₅₇La₇₈

	Elevel	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	3501.4	25/2-				2013Ga11	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(h_{11/2})^1 \bigotimes \nu(h_{11/2})^{-2}$
	3639.2	27/2-	137.8			2013Le27	from TAC calculations.
	3959.7	29/2-	320.5	457.6	8.4(1)	1990XuZW	2. $(\beta_2, \gamma) = (0.120, 58^\circ)$ from TAC calculations.
	4319.5	31/2-	359.8	680.3	52.1(47)		3. Regular band with a band crossing at $I \approx 33/2^{-1}$
	4822.3	33/2-	502.8	863.1	83(11)		
	5381.1	35/2-	558.8				
	6027.6	37/2(-)	646.5	1203.4	1.26(4)		
n	5040 5	(21/2-)				2012Ca11	1 Configuration assigned as $-(h, y)^2(2-y)^2 \Theta$
Ζ.	5049.5	(31/2)	162.5			2013Ga11	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(n_{11/2})^{-1}(g_{7/2}/d_{5/2})^{-1} \otimes$
	5212.0	33/2	162.5			2013Le27	$v(n_{11/2})$ ² from TAC calculations.
	5464.1	35/2-	252.0			1990XuZW	2. Tentatively assigned as MR band.
	5775.1	37/2-	311.0				3. $(\beta_2, \gamma) = (0.116, 60^\circ)$ from TAC calculations.
	6161.4	39/2-	386.3				4. Regular band with a band crossing at $I \approx 33/2^{-1}$
	6635.3	$(41/2^{-})$	473.8				
	7163.0	(43/2-)	527.7				

	Elevel	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	5593.6	14-				2016Pe09	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(h_{11/2})^2 \otimes v(sd)^{-1}$
	5749.2	15-	155.6			2004La03	(h _{11/2}) ⁻¹ (2016Pe09) from CNS (2016Pe09)
	5969.0	16-	219.8				and $\pi(g_{7/2}h_{11/2}) \otimes \nu(h_{11/2})^{-2}$ from TAC
	6309.3	17-	340.3				(2004La03) calculations
	6766.9	18-	457.6				2. $(\varepsilon_2, \gamma) \approx (0.14 - 0.18, +23^{\circ} - 30^{\circ})$ (2016Pe09) and
	7286.8	19-	519.9	977.5			$(\varepsilon_2, \gamma) = (0.149, 43^\circ) (2004 La03).$
	7776.2	20-	489.4	1009.3			3. Regular band.
	8298.4	(21-)	522.2	1011.6			4. The mean lifetimes (in ps) for levels from 17^{-} to
	8964.6	(22-)	666.2				20 ⁻ are 0.85(7), 0.34(3), 0.28(3) and <0.28(+3-4), respectively.
							5. B(M1) values for the transitions from 340 to
							548 keV are 1.71(+13-14), 1.76(+17-15),
							1.47(+16-14) and >1.24(+17-14) (μ N ²), respectively.

	$^{135}_{58}$ Ce $_{77}$						
	E_{level}	\mathbf{I}^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	(μ _N /eb) ²		
1.	3229.8	$23/2^+$				1990Ma26	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(h_{11/2}g_{7/2}) \otimes v(h_{11/2})$ by
	3431.9	$25/2^+$	202.1			2005JaZZ	comparison with the N=75 isotones.
	3699.9	$27/2^+$	268.0				2. Near prolate shape ($\gamma \sim 0^\circ$).
	4128.2	$29/2^+$	428.3	696	>8		3. Irregular band with backbending at $I^{\pi} = 31/2$
	4486.4	31/2+	358.2	786.8	23(7)		and 35/2.
	4979.3	33/2+	492.9	851	>9		4. Small signature splitting.
	5428.5	$35/2^+$	449.2	942	>14		5. Lower limits of $B(M1)/B(E2)$ are from the
	5942.5	(37/2+)	514	963			unobserved $\Delta I = 2$ (E2) transitions.
	6444.5	(39/2+)	502	(1016)			
2.	4183.8	27/2-				1990Ma26	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(h_{11/2}^2) \otimes v(h_{11/2})$ by
	4460.9	29/2-	277.1			2005JaZZ	comparison with the N=75 isotones.
	4830.9	31/2-	370.0				2. Near prolate shape ($\gamma \sim 0^\circ$).
	5206.5	33/2-	375.6	746	>6		3. The band is also suggested as chiral doublet
	5651.6	35/2-	445.1	821	>19		partner of band 3 (2005JaZZ).
	6086.5	37/2-	434.9	880	>24		4. Irregular band with backbending at 37/2.
	6526.5	39/2-	440.0	(875)	>13		5. Small signature splitting.
	6994.5	41/2-	468.0	(908)	>6		6. Lower limits of $B(M1)/B(E2)$ are from the
	7494.5	(43/2-)	500	968			unobserved $\Delta I = 2$ (E2) transitions.
	8010.5	(45/2-)	516				
3.	4498.8	27/2-				1990Ma26	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(h_{11/2}g_{7/2}) \otimes \nu(h_{11/2}s_{1/2})$.
	4637.9	29/2-	139.1			2005JaZZ	2. Collectively rotating oblate structure ($\gamma \sim -60^\circ$).
	4816.4	31/2-	178.5		>4		3. The band is also suggested as chiral doublet
	5065.4	33/2-	249.0		6.7(11)		partner of band 2 (2005JaZZ).
	5362.9	35/2-	297.5		24.3(42)		4. The mean lifetimes (in ps) for levels from 5363 to
	5755.1	37/2-	392.2		5.6(13)		6843 keV are 0.92(16), 0.65(3), 0.43(4) and
	6259.7	39/2-	504.6		34.0(64)		0.22(1), respectively.
	6843.3	(41/2-)	583.6		19.8(48)		5. Limits on $B(M1)/B(E2)$ values are from the
	7473.3	(43/2-)	630		>22		assumption that the unobserved $\Delta I = 2$ (E2)
	8037.3	(45/2-)	(564)				transitions are less than 1% intense as compared
							to the strongest transition in the level scheme.
							6. Regular band.

136	Co
58	Ue ₇₈

	E _{level} keV	\mathbf{I}^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	$\frac{B(M1)/B(E2)}{(\mu_N/eb)^2}$	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
1.	5305.5 5594.4 6099.3 6642.9 (7239)	15^+ 16^+ $17^{(+)}$ $18^{(+)}$ (19^+)	288.9 504.9 543.6 (596.1)	1049 (1140)		2005La29	 π(g_{7/2}h_{11/2}) ⊗v(g_{7/2}h_{11/2}) from TAC calculations. (β₂, γ) = (0.116, 28°) from TAC calculations. Regular band. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of 6099.3 keV. level is 0.65(+16-19). The B(M1) value for the transition 504.9 keV is 0.69(+20-17) μN².
2.	5643.8 5878.2 6171.5 6540.4 6934.5 7345.9 7801.9 (8317)	16^{+} 17^{+} 18^{+} 19^{+} 20^{+} (21^{+}) (22^{+}) (23^{+})	234.4 293.3 368.9 394.1 411.4 456 (515)			2005La29	 π(h_{11/2}²) ⊗v(h_{11/2}⁻²) from TAC calculations. (β₂, γ) = (0.138, 52°) from TAC calculations. Regular band. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels from 6540 to 7346 keV are 0.58(+21-26), 0.79(26) and 0.45(+16-19), respectively. The B(M1) values for the transitions from 368.9 to 411.4 keV are 1.97(71), 1.18(39) and 1.84(+74-61) μN², respectively.
3.	5646.5 5809.9 5995.8 6283.5 6664.0 7100.2 7586.6 8111.4 8626.6 9229.2	14 ⁻ 15 ⁻ 16 ⁻ 17 ⁻ 18 ⁻ 19 ⁻ 20 ⁻ 21 ⁻ 22 ⁻ 23 ⁻	163.4 185.9 287.7 380.5 436.2 486.4 524.8 515.2 602.6	350 474 668 816 922 1011 1040 1118	13.5(63) 14.4(42) 35.5(32) 34.6(15) 21.3(11) 13.4(6) 11.8(5) 12.8(20)	2005La29 2002La26 1990Pa05	1. $\pi(g_{7/2}h_{11/2}) \otimes v(h_{11/2}^{-2})$ from TAC calculations. 2. $(\beta_2, \gamma) = (0.116, 52^{\circ})$ from TAC calculations. 3. Regular band. 4. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels from 6664 to 8626 keV are 0.734(+21-22), 0.454(+17-14), 0.38(+4-5), 0.365(+26-41) and 0.577(+41-61), respectively. 5. The B(M1) values for the transitions from 380.5 to 515 keV are 1.346(+40-39), 1.39(+4-5), 1.097(+130-107), 0.782(+88-56) and 0.474(+50-34) μ_N^2 , respectively. 6. The B(E2) values for the transitions from 668 to 1040 keV are 0.038(4), 0.040(4), 0.051(+8-7) 0.059(+10-8) and 0.040(+7-6) (eb)^2, respectively.

 $^{138}_{58}$ Ce $_{80}$

	E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	B(M1)/B(E2) (μ _N /eb) ²	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	6536.3 6685.4 6888.9 7211.2 7685.7 8350.2	15 ⁻ 16 ⁻ 17 ⁻ 18 ⁻ 19 ⁻ 20 ⁻	149.1 203.5 322.3 474.5 664.5	1139.0	14.1(53)	2009Bh04 1999Zh28	 Configuration assigned as π(h_{11/2} g_{7/2}) ⊗v(h_{11/2})⁻² based on systematic in neighboring nuclei. Tentatively assigned as MR band. Regular band.

	E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	$\frac{B(M1)/B(E2)}{(\mu_N/eb)^2}$	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	5698.9	31/2-				2015Ka06	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(h_{11/2})^2 \bigotimes v(h_{11/2})^{-1}$
	5916.5	33/2-	218.6				from TAC calculations
	6142.6	35/2-	226.0				2. $(\beta_2, \gamma) = (0.12, 0^\circ)$ from CNS calculations
	6488.5	37/2-	345.8				3. Regular band.
	6845.3	39/2-	356.6				
	7333.6	41/2-	488.3				
	7987.6	43/2-	654.3				
	¹³³ ₅₉ Pr ₇₄						
	E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	$\frac{B(M1)/B(E2)}{(\mu_N/eb)^2}$	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
1.	2035.1	21/2-			4	2003Pa38	1. $\pi(5/2[413]) \otimes \nu(9/2[514] \otimes 7/2[404])$, related to
	2475.3	23/2-	440.2			1988Hi04	the v(h _{11/2} $g_{7/2}$) κ^{π} -8 ⁻ isomeric state in the ¹³² Ce
	2955.2	$(25/2^{-})$	479.9	920.1	8.9(9)		core.
	3465.5	$(27/2^{-})$	510.3	990.2	8.3(9)		2. Tentatively assigned as MR band.
	3960.7	$(29/2^{-})$	495.2	1005.3	6.0(6)		3. Prolate configuration.
							4. The $B(M1)/B(E2)$ values decrease from about 10
							to 5 $(\mu_N/eb)^2$ with increasing spin.
2.	2203.9	$19/2^{+}$				2003Pa38	1. $\pi(3/2[413]) \otimes v(9/2[514] \otimes 7/2[404])$ related to
-	2352.7	$21/2^+$	148.8				the v(h ₁₁₀ g ₇₀)K ^{π} =8 ⁻ isometric state in the ¹³² Ce
	2598.3	23/2+	245.6				$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$
	2925.4	25/2+	327.1	572.5	23 5(18)		2 Tentatively assigned MR band
	3319.8	$\frac{25}{27}$	394.4	722.0	16.9(14)		3. Prolate configuration.
	3767 5	$\frac{2}{29/2^+}$	447 7	842.8	12.6(10)		4 The $B(M1)/B(E2)$ values decrease from about 25
	4263.9	$\frac{2}{2}$	496.4	944.4	11.2(20)		to $10 (u_{N}/eb)^2$ with increasing spin
	4793 5	$(33/2^+)$	529.6	1026.8	8 4(16)		5 Regular band
	5354.4	$(35/2^+)$	560.9	1020.0	7.9(9)		5. Regular balle.
	5907.2	$(37/2^+)$	552.8	1112.9	(1)())		
		· /					
3.	3253.0	21/2-				2003Pa38	1. $\pi(h_{11/2})_{K=11/2} \otimes \nu(h_{11/2})$ by comparison with a
	3371.8	23/2-	118.8			1988Hi04	similar band in ¹³¹ La isotone.
	3536.8	25/2-	165.0				2. Tentatively assigned MR band.
	3787.7	27/2-	250.9				3. Oblate configuration.
	4124.4	29/2-	336.7				4. The $B(M1)/B(E2)$ values lie between 10-20
	4533.9	31/2-	409.5				$(\mu_N/eb)^2$.
	5005.7	$(33/2^{-})$	471.8	882.2	17.2(28)		5. Regular band.
	5533.3	(35/2-)	527.6	1000.6	12.8(19)		
	6107.4	(37/2-)	574.1	1101.0	8.9(11)		
	6725.6	(39/2-)	618.2	1191.9	15.2(21)		
	7337.6	(41/2-)	612.0	1230.0	15.6(32)		
4.	4108.1	$(29/2^{-})$				2003Pa38	1. $\pi(g_{9/2}h_{11/2}^2) \otimes v(h_{11/2}g_{7/2})$ because of the
	4252.3	(31/2)	144.2			1988Hi04	backbend from band 4 to band 1 observed in the
	4379.1	$(33/2^{-})$	126.8			-,	alignment plot
	4575.0	$(35/2^{-})$	195.9				2. Tentatively assigned MR band.
	4818.8	$(37/2^{-})$	243.8	439.7			3. Prolate configuration.
	5115.2	$(39/2^{-})$	296.4	540.2	16.3(13)		4. The B(M1)/B(E2) values lie between 10-25
	5466.0	$(41/2^{-})$	350.8	647.2	20.4(40)		$(\mu_N/eh)^2$.
	5869.6	(43/2)	403.6	754.4	16.8(16)		5. Regular band.
	6323.6	$(45/2^{-})$	454.0	857.6	14.1(22)		
	6824.6	$(47/2^{-})$	501.0	955.0	15.8(37)		
	7372.8	(49/2)	548.2	1049.3	12.5(22)		
	7970.4	$(51/2^{-})$	597.6	1145.7	- ()		
	8615.1	(53/2-)	644.7	1242.2			

¹³⁵₅₉Pr₇₆

	Elevel	\mathbf{I}^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	E _γ (E2)	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	(µ _N /eb) ²		
1.	3380.6	25/2(-)				2015Ga39	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(h_{11/2})^1 \bigotimes \nu(h_{11/2})^{-2}$ and
	3530.0	27/2-	149.5				$\pi(h_{11/2})^1(g_{7/2})^2 \otimes \nu(h_{11/2})^{-2}$, respectively before
	3863.0	29/2-	332.9				and after band crossing from TAC calculations.
	4292.7	31/2-	429.7	762.7	15.8(9)		2. $(\varepsilon_2, \gamma) = (0.14, 62^\circ)$ and $(0.14, 60^\circ)$, respectively
	4704.1	33/2-	410.8	840.6	19.7(10)		before and after band crossing at $I \approx 33/2^{-}$.
	5028.6	35/2-	325.1	735.9	94.9(61)		3. Regular band with backbending at 33/2 h.
	5452.6	37/2-	424.0				
	5951.1	39/2-	498.5				
	6506.4	41/2(-)	555.3				
	7110.4	(43/2-)	(604.0)				

¹³⁷₅₉Pr₇₈

	${ m E}_{ m level}$ keV	I^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	$\frac{B(M1)/B(E2)}{(\mu_N/eb)^2}$	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
1.	3438.4 3550.3 3871.3 4212.8 4696.2 5174.2 5515.6 5924.0 6389.5 6896.7 7473.4 8130.8	25/2 ⁻ 27/2 ⁻ 29/2 ⁻ 31/2 ⁻ 35/2 ⁻ 35/2 ⁻ 37/2 ⁻ 39/2 ⁻ 41/2 ⁻ 43/2 ⁻ 45/2 ⁻ 47/2 ⁻	111.9 321.0 341.5 483.4 478.0 341.4 408.4 465.5 507.2 576.7 657.4	432.6 662.5 824.1 961.7 819.4 749.2 872.3 972.1 1083.6 1233.7	$\begin{array}{c} 10.4(19) \\ 10.5(23) \\ 17(3) \\ 41(7) \\ 62(12) \\ 33(9) \\ 17(3) \\ 18(3) \\ 13(3) \\ 19(5) \end{array}$	2007Ag13 1989Xu01	 Configuration assigned asπ(h_{11/2}) ⊗v(h_{11/2}-²) at low spins and π(h_{11/2}) π(g_{7/2})⊗v(h_{11/2}-²) near spin 37/2ħ. Collective oblate shape (γ~ -60°) (1989Xu01) Signature splitting with backbending at 35/2 ħ. Band crossing at 37/2 ħ.

$^{138}_{60}\mathrm{Nd}_{78}$

	Elevel	\mathbf{I}^{π}	E _γ (M1)	E _γ (E2)	B(M1)/B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	(µ _N /eb) ²		
1.	5492.7	(13-)				2012Pe15	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(h_{11/2})^1(d_{5/2} g_{7/2})^1 \otimes vh^2$
	5576.4	14-	83.6			1994De11	from CNS calculations (2012Pe15).
	5769.8	15-	193.4	277.0			2. $(\varepsilon_2, \gamma) \approx (0.15, 30^{\circ})$
	6000.4	16-	230.6	424.0			3. Regular band with a small backbending at 19 ⁻ .
	6286.6	17-	286.2	516.7			
	6667.4	18-	380.8	667.0			
	7046.2	19-	378.8	759.6			
	7563.7	20-	517.5	896.3			
	8012.4	21-	448.7	966.2			
2.	7831.7	19(+)				2012Pe15	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(h_{11/2})^1 (d_{5/2} g_{7/2})^2$
	8059.7	20(+)	228.0				$\otimes v(h_{1/2})^2$ from CNS calculations (2012Pe15).
	8353.1	21(+)	293.4				2. Regular band.
	8710.0	22(+)	356.7				C
	9134.2	23(+)	424.4				
	9622.1	24(+)	487.9				
	10233.7	(25+)	611.6				

139 _N	Ы
60 ¹	u 79

	E _{level} keV	\mathbf{I}^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	B(M1)/B(E2) $(u_N/eb)^2$	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	KeV 3980.3 4293.0 4756.4 5392.6 5695.6 6070.9	27/2 ⁻ 29/2 ⁻ 31/2 ⁻ 33/2 ⁻ 35/2 ⁻ 37/2 ⁻	312.7 463.3 636.2 303.0 375.3	<u>ke v</u>	<u>(μ</u> _N /eb)²	2011Bh07 2007Ku12 2013Va10 2008Xu05	 Configuration assigned as π(h_{11/2})²⊗ vh_{11/2} and π(h_{11/2})² (g_{7/2})²⊗ vh_{11/2}, respectively, before and after band crossing (2007Ku12). (ε₂, ε₄,γ) = (0.125,0.0, 5°) and (0.133,0.0, 10°) before and after band crossing, respectively. Tentatively assigned as MR band.
	6490.9	39/2-	420.1				4. Irregular band with backbending at $33/2\hbar$.

 $^{141}_{60}\mathrm{Nd}_{81}$

$(2)^2 (d_{5/2}g_{7/2})^2] \otimes$
(dg) protons in
rbital before and
o $(0.07, +5^{\circ})$ with
g at 41/2ħ.
$(d_{source})^2$
cossing with (dg)
1 to 7/2[404]
$0.(0.04 - 30^{\circ})$ with
5 (0.04, 50) with
o at 45/2ħ
, at 15/211
$(d_{s})^{3}(d_{s})^{$
$(u_{3/2}g_{1/2})] \otimes$

	E_{level}	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	E _γ (E2)	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	3158.0 3262.4 3592.0 3908.7 4381.7 4914.6	25/2 ⁻ 27/2 ⁻ 29/2 ⁻ 31/2 ⁻ 33/2 ⁻ 35/2 ⁻	104.2 329.6 316.7 473.0 533.0	646.2 790.0 1005.6	26.8(63) 18.9(25)	2011Zh47 2010Zh12 2011ZhZU 2009Dh01	 Tentatively assigned as πh_{11/2}⊗ v(h_{11/2})⁻² based on the similar band observed in ¹⁴¹Eu(2011Zh47). Tentatively assigned as MR band. The B(M1)/B(E2) ratios for 31/2 ħ and 35/2 ħ levels are calculated using γ-intensities (2011Zh47) Regular band with backbending at 29/2 ħ.
2.	5183.2 5407.5 5669.6 6123.9 7433.8	35/2- 37/2- 39/2- 41/2- (47/2-)	224.4 262.1 454.3 313.3			2011ZH47 2011ZhZU 2009Dh01	 Tentatively assigned as πh_{11/2} ⊗ ν(h_{11/2})⁻⁴ based on the similar band observed in ¹⁴¹Eu. Tentatively assigned as MR band. Irregular band.

$^{139}_{62}Sm_{77}$

	E _{level} keV	Ιπ	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	$\frac{B(M1)/B(E2)}{(\mu_N/eb)^2}$	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	3327.0 3445.4 3710.3 4047.5 4457.0 4929.7 5443.2	25/2 ⁻ 27/2 ⁻ 29/2 ⁻ 31/2 ⁻ 33/2 ⁻ 35/2 ⁻ 37/2 ⁻	118.4 264.7 337.0 409.1 472.4 513.5	382.9 601.7 746.3 881.8 985.7	6.0(+105-8) 8.2(+23-11) 7.3(+38-11) 5.6(+20-10) 4.9(+26-12)	2008Pa36 1996Br33 1996Ro04	 Configuration assigned as π(h_{11/2})²⊗v(h_{11/2})⁻¹ from SPAC calculations (2008Pa36). Tentatively assigned as MR band. (ε₂, γ) = (0.10, 0° to - 25°). The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels from 3708.7 keV to 5931.1 keV are 1.0(+6-3), 0.85(+21-17), 0.78(+14-10), 0.49(+12-9), 0.9(+6-3) and >1.0,
	5934.6	(39/2 ⁻)	490.4	1003.2	2.7(+16-10)		$\label{eq:spectral_respectively} \begin{array}{l} \text{5. The B(M1) values for the transitions from} \\ 264.7 \ \text{keV to 490.4 \ \text{keV are } 2.03(+184-37), \\ 1.36(+57-23), 0.76(+24-12), 0.62(+26-11), \\ 0.16(+18-4) \ \text{and } < 0.15 \ \mu\text{N}^2, \ \text{respectively} \\ (1996\text{Br33}). \end{array}$

141cm	
62 3 117	'9

	E_{level}	I^{π}	E _γ (M1)	E _γ (E2)	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	3377	25/2-				2016Ra33	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(h_{11/2})^2 \bigotimes \nu(h_{11/2})^{-1}$
	3509.6	27/2-	132.6			1991Ca24	on the basis of systematics of MR bands observed
	3819.0	29/2-	309.4				in ¹³⁹ Sm and ¹⁴¹ Eu and also supported by SPAC
	4265.4	31/2-	446.4				calculations.
	4793.2	33/2-	527.8				2. $\beta_2 \approx 0.15$ using TRS calculations.
	5366.2	(35/2-)	573.0				3. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of the levels from 3509.6 keV to 5366.2 keV are 2.37 (+45-39), 1.05(+21-18), 0.72(15-13),1.11(+23-15) and 0.40(8) respectively.
							 The B(M1) values for the transition from 132.6 keV to 573.0 keV are 2.92(+55-48), 1.54(+31- 26), 0.75(+16-14), 0.29(+6-4) and 0.72(14) μ²N⁻, respectively.
							5. Regular band.
2.	5340.6	35/2-				2016Ra33	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(h_{11/2})^2 \otimes \nu(h_{11/2})^{-3}$ on
	5594.3	37/2-	253.7			1991Ca24	the basis SPAC calculations.
	5940.0	39/2-	345.5				2. $\beta_2 \approx 0.15$ using TRS calculations.
	6413.0	41/2(-)	473.0				3. Tentatively assigned as MR band.
	6894.4	43/2(-)	481.4				4. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of the levels 5594 keV
	7384.4	45/2(-)	490.0				and 5940 keV are 1.88 (+40-35) and < 1.15, respectively.
							5. The B(M1) values for the transition from 253.7 keV and 345.5 keV are 1.45(+31-27) and >
							6 Regular hand
							0. Regular Dalla.

$^{142}_{62}Sm_{80}$

	Elevel	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	E _γ (E2)	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	ke V		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	6459.3	16-				2014Ra03	1. Configuration assignment as $\pi(h_{11/2})^1 \bigotimes \nu(h_{11/2})^{-2}$
	6746.0	17-	286.7				$\pi(g_{7/2})^{-1}$ and $\pi(h_{11/2})^1 \bigotimes \nu (h_{11/2})^{-2} \pi(g_{7/2})^{-3}$ before
	7131.2	18-	385.2				and after band crossing from SPAC calculations.
	7630.9	19-	499.6				2. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of the levels from
	8252.4	20-	621.4				6746.0 keV to 8660.2 keV are 1.05 (+27-21),
	8660.4	21-	408.0				0.91(+25-22), 0.76 (+37-26), 1.08(+42-26) and
	9167.1	22(-)	506.7				< 0.57, respectively. Lifetimes do not include 15% systematic uncertainty from stopping powers.
							3. The B(M1) values for the transition from 286.7
							keV to 408.0 keV are 1.92(+51-39), 1.10(+30-
							27), 0.60(+30-21), 0.08(+4-2) and >1.50 μ^2_N ,
							respectively.
							4. Regular band with backbending at 20 h.

	$^{143}_{62}Sm_{81}$						
	E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	$\frac{B(M1)/B(E2)}{(\mu_N/eb)^2}$	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	8613.8	43/2-				2018Ra14	1. Probable Configuration as $\pi h^4 \mu/2 \otimes v h^{-1} \mu/2$
	8853.3	45/2-	239.5			2018Ra26	$\pi(g_{7/2}/d_{5/2})^{-2}$ using SPAC model.
	9192.9	47/2-	339.6	579.0	12.70(+250-222)	2006Ra10	2. The B(M1) values for the transition from 239.5 to
	9637.7	49/2-	444.8	784.3	13.33(+370-231)		728.0 keV are 3.52(+55-42), 2.16(+34-28),
	10215.9	51/2-	578.2	1023.0	20.33(+491-420)		$1.20(+20-16)$, $0.61(+10-9)$ and $> 0.18 \mu N^2$,
	10943.9	53/2-	728.0		> 46.78		respectively.
							 The B(E2) values for the transition from 579.0 to 1023.0 keV are 0.17(2), 0.9(+2-1) and 0.03(1) (eb)², respectively.
							4. The mean lifetime of levels from 8853.3 to
							10943.9 keV are 1.02(+16-12), 0.58(+9-8),
							0.44(+7-6), $0.41(+7-6)$ and < 0.78 ps, respectively.
							5. Regular band
2.	10080 10268.9	49/2- 51/2-	188.9			2018Ra26	1. Probable Configuration as $\pi h^{6}_{11/2} (g_{7/2}/d_{5/2})^{2} \otimes v h^{-1}_{11/2}$.
	10621.6	53/2-	352.7	542.0	5.7(12)		2. Tentatively assigned as MR band.
	11071	55/2-	449.4	802.0	7.3(17)		3. The B(M1) values for the transition from 188.9 to
	11586	57/2-	515.0	965.0	8.3(17)		462.1 keV are 1.17(+39-34), 0.74(+11-10),
	12048.1	59/2(-)	462.1	977.0			$0.80(12)$, $0.58(9)$ and $> 0.63 \mu N^2$, respectively.
							4. The B(E2) values for the transition from 542.0 to 977.0 keV are $0.13(2).0.11(2).0.07(1)$ and $>$
							0.05 (eb)^2 respectively
							5. The mean lifetime of level from 10268.9 to
							12048.1 keV are $1.17(+33-26)$, $0.86(+13-12)$.
							0.55(8), 0.43(7) and < 0.62 ps, respectively.
							6. Regular band

	¹⁴¹ ₆₃ Eu ₇₈						
	Elevel	\mathbf{I}^{π}	E _γ (M1)	E _γ (E2)	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	3075.0	27/2-				2004Po13	1. $\pi(h_{11/2}) \otimes \nu(h_{11/2}^{-2})$ from TAC calculations.
	3416.2	29/2-	341.2			2003Ma95	2. $(\varepsilon_2, \gamma) = (0.132, 58^{\circ}) (2012 \text{KuZT}).$
	3682.5	31/2-	266.3	607.6	17(+3-2)		3. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels from $29/2$ to
	4154.2	33/2-	471.7	738.0	16(+8-3)		33/2 h are 1.1(+4-3), 2.5(+10-5) and 1.1(+4-3),
	4844.6	35/2-	690.4	1161.9	11(+3-1)		respectively.
							4. B(M1) values for the transitions from 341.2 to
							4/1.7 KeV are $0.79(+31-14)$, $0.75(+38-15)$ and $0.37(+24-6)$ us ² respectively
							5 B(F2) values for the transitions 607 6 and
							738.0 keV are $0.041(+23-9)$ and $0.023(+17-5)$ (eb) ² , respectively.
							6 Irregular band
							of mogular candi
2.	5018.9	37/2-				2004Po13	1. $\pi(h_{11/2}) \otimes v(h_{11/2})^{-4}$ from TAC calculations.
	5189.1	39/2-	170.2			2003Ma95	2. $(\epsilon_2, \gamma) = (0.12, 58^{\circ}) (2012 \text{KuZT}).$
	5655.4	41/2-	466.3		>17		3. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels from $41/2$ to
	5991.7	43/2-	336.3	802.6	17(+15-2)		45/2 are $0.55(+25-20)$, $0.8(2)$ and $0.29(+20-15)$
	6619.4	$(45/2^{-})$	627.7				respectively.
							4. $B(M1)$ values for the transitions from 466.3 to
							627.7 keV are 0.77(+76-13), 1.32(+69-22) and
							$0.50(+84-7)$ μ N ² , respectively.
							5. B(E2) value for the 802.6 keV transition is
							6.4(+41-20) (eb) ² .
							6. Irregular band.

$^{141}_{63}$ Eu	^l 78

	Elevel	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	5392	(39/2+)				2003Ma95	1. According to 2001Rz01, TAC model calculations
	5641	$(41/2^{+})$	249			2001Rz01	are required to assign configuration.
	5976	$(43/2^{+})$	335				2. Regular band with backbending at the level
	6325	$(45/2^{+})$	349	684			energy 7197 keV.
	6728	$(47/2^{+})$	403	751			3. Spin and parity suggested by 2001Rz01 and
	7197	$(49/2^{+})$	469	872			for levels 8544 keV and 9036 keV are assumed by
	7640	$(51/2^{+})$	443	912			compilers.
	8113	$(53/2^{+})$	473	916			
	8544	(55/2+)	431	904			
	9036	(57/2+)	492	923			

¹⁴²₆₃Eu₇₉

	Elevel	Ιπ	E _γ (M1)	E _γ (E2)	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	376.3+X	10^{+}				2019Al28	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(h_{11/2})^1 \otimes \nu((h_{11/2})^{-1})^{-1}$
	795.9+X	11^{+}	419.6			1996Pi11	and $\pi(h_{11/2})^1 (d_{5/2}/g_{7/2})^{-2} \otimes \nu((h_{11/2})^{-1}$ respectively,
	1099.1+X	12^{+}	303.2	722.8			before and after backbending on the basis of
	1668.9+X	13+	569.8	873.0			TAC-CDFT calculations.
	2130.8+X	14^{+}	461.7	1031.5			2. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels with spin 11^+
	2288.9+X	15^{+}	157.8	620.3			to 20^+ are 0.79(8), 0.75(+10-13), 0.40(+17-16),
	2543.5+X	16^{+}	254.6	413.0			<0.90, 3.43(+56-49), 1.46(+22-19), 0.46(+6-5),
	3057.1+X	17+	513.7	768.5			1.24(+32-28), <0.74 and <0.81, respectively.
	3434.5+X	18^{+}	377.2	891.1			3. The B(M1) values of transitions 419.6 keV to
	4218.1+X	19+	783.4	1161.2			585.0 keV are 0.55(6), 0.80(+11-14), 0.38(+16-
	4803.1+X	20^{+}	585.0	1368.6			15), >0.06, 1.50(+24-21), 1.11(+17-15), 0.45(+6-
	5533.0+X	21^{+}	730	1315			5), 0.30(+8-7), >0.06 and >0.04, respectively.
							4. The B(E2) values of transitions 722.8 keV to
							1368.6 keV are 55.1(+74-96), 9.1(+39-37),
							>10.76, 1.18(+19-17), 10.6(+16-14), 16.5(+22-
							18), 9.6(+25-22), >4.51 and >3.77, respectively
							5. Irregular band
2.	2483.9+X	13 ⁻				2019A128	1 Configuration assigned as $\pi(h_{11/2})^2(d_{5/2}/g_{7/2})^{-1}$
	2610.7 + X	14-	1267			1996Pi11	$\otimes v((h_{1+2})^{-1}$ on the basis of TAC-CDET
	2751.2 + X	15-	140.4			177701111	calculations
	2935.6+X	15 16 ⁻	184.5	325.0			2 The mean lifetimes (in ns.) of levels with spin 14^{-1}
	3153.5+X	17-	218.4	401.8			to $21^{(-)}$ are <4.2 <3.1 1.1 36(+22-24) 1.18(+17-
	3547 8+X	18-	394.3	611.5			18 0.89(+15-13) 1.17(+25-17) 1.10(+17)
	3973 6+X	19-	425.4	820.2			and < 0.46 respectively
	45145+X	20-	540.7	967.0			3 The $B(M1)$ values of transitions 126.7 keV to
	5076 6+X	21(-)	562.0	1103.0			585.0 keV are $>0.88 > 1.84 - 2.43(+39-43)$
	5070.012	21	502.0	1105.0			1.95(+28-30) = 0.53(+9-8) = 0.30(+6-4) = 0.17(+3-2)
							and >0.31 respectively
							4 The B(E2) values of transitions 325.0 keV to
							1103.0 keV are 37.6(+61-66) 30.0(+43-46)
							122(+21-18) 2.56(+55-37) 1.59(+25-22)
							and >4.94 , respectively
							5. Regular hand
							o. noguna band.

	¹⁴³ ₆₃ Eu ₈₀						
	E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	$\frac{B(M1)/B(E2)}{(\mu_N/eb)^2}$	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	5869.4 6057.7 6333.2 6694.5 7154.2 7726.4 8263.4	35/2 ⁽⁺⁾ 37/2 ⁺ 39/2 ⁺ 41/2 ⁺ 43/2 ⁺ 45/2 ⁺ 45/2 ⁺ 47/2 ⁽⁺⁾	188.2 275.5 361.3 459.6 572.2 537.0		(1.4.1.1.1)	2014Ra18	 π(h_{11/2})² ⊗v((h_{11/2})⁻²π(g_{7/2})⁻¹) from SPAC calculations. Small prolate deformation (β₂ ≈ 0.10) from SPAC calculations. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels from 37/2 to 43/2 are 1.10(+20-17), 1.23(+15-12), 0.99(+14-10) and < 1.22 (effective lifetime assuming 10% side feeding), respectively. B(M1) values for the transitions from 188.2 to 459.6 keV are 2.86(+52-44), 1.99(+24-19) and 1.15(+16-12) and >0.48 μN², respectively. Regular band with backbending at 47/2 ħ
2.	7272.9 7500.9 7804.1 8212.9 8730.0 9295.0	41/2 ⁽⁻⁾ 43/2 ⁻ 45/2 ⁻ 47/2 ⁻ 49/2 ⁽⁻⁾ (51/2 ⁻)	228.3 303.2 408.8 517.1 565.0			2014Ra18	 π(h_{11/2})³ ⊗v((h_{11/2})⁻²π(g_{7/2})⁻²) from SPAC calculations. Small prolate deformation (β₂ ≈ 0.10) from SPAC calculations. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels from 43/2 to 49/2 are 0.79(+14-12), 0.52(+10-8), 0.63(+10-8) and < 0.55 (effective lifetime assuming 10% side feeding), respectively. B(M1) values for the transitions from 228.3 to 517.1 keV are 2.93(+52-45), 1.77(+34-27) and 1.32(+21-17) and >0.75 μN², respectively. Regular band

	$^{142}_{64}$ Gd $_{78}$						
	Elevel	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	(µ _N /eb) ²		
1.	4768.2	12-				2008Li08	1. $\pi(h_{11/2}^{-1} d_{5/2}^{-1}) \otimes \nu(h_{11/2}^{-2})$ from the TAC
	4990.6	13-	222.3			2005Pa07	calculations and $\pi(h_{11/2}^1 g_{7/2}^{-1}) \otimes \nu(h_{11/2}^{-2})$ from
	5184.0	14-	193.3			2002Li22	SPAC model calculations.
	5445.4	15-	261.4				2. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels from 16 to
	5813.1	16-	367.7				20 are 0.50(+16-12), 0.70(+25-15), >1.5, >1.5 and
	6286.8	17-	473.7				>2.0, respectively.
	6620.6	18-	333.8				3. B(M1) values for the transitions from 367.7 to
	7071.1	19-	450.5				384.0keV are 1.65(+95-31), 0.64(+32-12), < 1,
	7455.1	(20)	384.0				< 0.4 and $< 0.5 \ \mu N^2$ respectively.
							4. Irregular band.
2.	5418.5	15-				2008Li08	1. $\pi(h_{11/2}^{-1} g_{7/2}^{-1}) \otimes \nu(h_{11/2}^{-2})$ from the TAC
	5611.1	16-	192.6			2005Pa07	calculations.
	5896.8	17-	285.6			2002Li22	2. Small oblate deformation (β ₂ ≈ 0.106) suggested
	6271.1	18-	374.4	660.2	13.1(+27-14)	1997Su11	from the TAC calculations.
	6566.2	19-	295.1	669.3	9.7(+12-8)		3. Irregular band.
	7093.2	20-	527.0	822.3	14.0(+52-18)		4. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of states from 17^{-} to
	7559.5	21-	466.4	993.4	8.1(+15-11)		21 ⁻ are 1.3(5), 1.1(3), 1.6(+5-3), 0.62(13) and
							0.71(+17-15), respectively.
							5. B(M1) values for the transitions from 286 to 466 keV are 1.48(+156-24) 0.79(+47-13)
							$0.87(+37-17), 0.53(+22-8) \text{ and } 0.40(+18-7) \mu_N^2,$ respectively.
							6. B(E2) values for the transitions from 660 to 993 keV are 5.8(+38-11), 8.8(+39-16), 3.5(+18-8) and 4.8(+23-9) (eb) ² , respectively.

	E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	B(M1)/B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
3.	5912.6 6176.7 6477.3 6858.4 7285.1 7645.4 8017.8	16^+ 17^+ 18^+ 19^+ 20^+ 21^+ 22^+	264.0 300.7 381.1 426.9 360.4 372.3	682.1 807.6 787.4	56(+83-8) 53(+25-8) 55(+20-9)	2008Li08 2005Pa07 2002Li22	 π(h_{11/2}²) ⊗v(h_{11/2}⁻²) from the TAC calculations. Small oblate deformation (β₂≈ 0.063) suggested from the TAC calculations. Regular band with backbending at 20⁺. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of states from 17⁺ to 22⁺ are 2.2(+8-5), 1.3(+4-3), 0.54(+25-15), 0.52(+20-15), 0.94(+21-16) and 0.98(+40-25), respectively. B(M1) values for the transitions from 264 to 372 keV are 0.19(+11-4), 0.71(+37-14), 1.29(+95-25), 1.10(+77-20), 1.04(+38-18) and 0.91(+58-17) μn², respectively. B(E2) values for the transitions from 682 to 787 keV are 1.7(+19-7), 1.8(+16-5) and 1.7(+9-4) (eb)², respectively.
4.	7779.3 8248.8 8592.5 9140.7 9700.3 10311.7 10989.3	22+ 23+ 24+ 25+ 26+ 27+ (28+)	469.6 343.8 548.3 559.6 611.4 677.6	812.9	13.3(+31-21)	2008Li08 2005Pa07 2002Li22	 π(h_{11/2}²) ⊗v(h_{11/2}⁻⁴) from the TAC calculations. Small oblate deformation (β₂ = 0.16). Irregular band. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of states from 23⁺ to 26⁺ are 0.33(11), 0.64(15), 0.35(15) and 0.27(15), respectively. B(M1) values for the transitions from 470 to 560 keV are 1.22(+100-20), 1.38(+69-24), 0.56(+69-10) and 0.86(+155-12) μN², respectively. B(E2) value for the transition 813 keV is 10.1(+54-19) (eb)².
5.	7844.0 8198.5 8637.0 8963.5 9475.0 9858.6	21 ⁻ 22 ⁻ 23 ⁻ 24 ⁻ 25 ⁻ 26 ⁻	354.5 438.6 326.5 511.3 384.4	792.3 764.6 838.2 895.0	2.2(+23-4) 2.6(+14-7) 2.3(+16-6) 2.3(+16-7)	2008Li08 2005Pa07 2002Li22	 π(h_{11/2}¹ g_{7/2}⁻¹) ⊗v(h_{11/2}⁻⁴) from the TAC calculations. Small oblate deformation (β₂ = 0.16) suggested from the TAC calculations. Irregular band. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of states from 22⁻ to 24⁻ are 0.44(+20-18), 0.77(+25-20) and 1.2(+4-3), respectively. B(M1) values for the transitions from 355 to 327 keV are 0.46(+55-8), 0.25(+17-5) and 0.40(+30-10) μx², respectively. B(E2) values for the transitions 792 and 765 are 9.1(+64-29) and 14.4(+95-29) (eb)², respectively.

¹⁴³₆₄Gd₇₉

	E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	$\frac{B(M1)/B(E2)}{(\mu_N/eb)^2}$	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
1.	3087.2	23/2-				2001Rz01	1. $\pi(h_{11/2}^2) \otimes \nu(h_{11/2}^{-1})$ by comparison with similar
	3158.8	25/2-	71.7			1997Ri16	band in ¹⁴² Gd.
	3248.9	27/2-	90.3			2000Li14	2. According to 2001Rz01, TAC model calculations
	3583.1	29/2-	334.3			1998Su04	are required to assign configuration.
	4015.3	31/2-	432.2				3. Small oblate deformation.
							4. Irregular band.

¹⁴³₆₄Gd₇₉

	E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	$\frac{B(M1)/B(E2)}{(\mu_N/eb)^2}$	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
2.	4488.8	33/2-			·	2001Rz01	1. $\pi(h_{11/2}^2) \otimes \nu(h_{11/2}^{-3})$ by comparison with band 1.
	4798.6	35/2-	309.8			1997Ri16	2. Tentatively assigned as MR band.
	5027.0	37/2-	228.8			1998Su04	3. Small oblate deformation.
	5310.0	39/2-	283.0				4. Irregular band.
	5829 6259	$(41/2^{-})$ $(43/2^{-})$	519 430	0/0			5. The level energies and the transition energies
	6979	$(45/2^{-})$	720)4)			6. The 949 keV E2 transition is from 2001Rz01.
	1129	(47/2)	730				
3.	5226.3	33/2+				2001Rz01	1. Irregular band.
	5399.7	35/2+	173.5			1997Ri16	2. Tentatively assigned as MR band.
	5587.4	37/2+	187.7			2000Li14	3. B(M1)/B(E2) $\geq 10 \ (\mu_N/eb)^2$
	5764.0	39/2+	176.6			1998Su04	4. The level energies and the transition energies
	6159.4	$(41/2^{+})$	395.4				are from 1997Ri16.
	6590.5	$(43/2^+)$	431.1	948			5. The 948 keV E2 transition is from 2000Li14.
	7108	$(45/2^+)$	518				
	7537	$(47/2^+)$	429				
	8037	$(49/2^{+})$	500				
	8537	$(51/2^+)$	500				

 $^{144}_{64}Gd_{80}$

	E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	B(M1)/B(E2) (μ _N /eb) ²	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
1.	5370.7 5723.6 6214.2 6619.0 7014.6 7419.1 7923.5 8221.7 8540.4 8993.8	$14^{+} \\ 15^{+} \\ 16^{+} \\ 17^{+} \\ 18^{+} \\ 19^{+} \\ 20^{+} \\ (21^{+}) \\ (22^{+}) \\ (23^{+}) \end{cases}$	352.9 490.6 404.8 395.6 404.5 504.4 298.2 318.7 453.4			1994Rz01	 Tentatively assigned as π(h_{11/2}²)_{K=10}+⊗ν(h_{11/2}⁻²) by 1994Rz01 based on dipole rotational bands in Pb nuclei Negative E2/M1 mixing ratios (δ_{E2/M1}) imply an oblate shape (β₂~ -0.12). Irregular band.

¹⁴⁴₆₅Tb₇₉

	E _{level}	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
	Ke v		ĸev	ke v	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	3515.3	16+				2014Ch22	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(h_{11/2})^3 \otimes \nu(h_{11/2})^{-1}$
	3789.2	17+	273.9				from TAC-CDFT calculations.
	4011.9	18^{+}	222.7				2. $(\beta_2, \gamma) \approx (0.15, 35^\circ)$ from TAC-CDFT
	4423.8	19+	411.9				calculations.
							3. Irregular band.
							-

$^{146}_{65}{ m Tb}_{81}$

	${ m E}_{ m level}$ keV	\mathbf{I}^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	$\frac{B(M1)/B(E2)}{(\mu_N/eb)^2}$	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
1.	7737.5 8004.0 8389.2 8875.2 9304.5 9717.9 10192.5	$(23^{+}) (24^{+}) (25^{+}) (26^{+}) (27^{+}) (28^{+}) (29^{+}) (29^{+}) \\ (29^{+}) $	266.5 385.2 486.0 429.3 413.4 474.6	870.6	(1.00)	2004Kr14 2004Xi01	 Tentatively assigned as π(h_{11/2}³ d_{5/2}-²) ⊗v(h_{11/2}-³ f_{7/2}²) from the excitation energies and by comparison with the lower lying bands. Tentatively assigned as MR band. Irregular band.
	10655.6	(30+)	463.1				

$^{144}_{\ 66} Dy_{78}$

	Elevel	\mathbf{I}^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	5153.5	15-				2010Pr04	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(h_{11/2}^{-1} g_{7/2}^{-1}) \otimes \nu(h_{11/2}^{-2})$
	5306.3	16-	152.6			2009Su09	configuration based on similar structure observed
	5573.7	17-	267.4				in ¹⁴² Gd.
	5781.3	18-	207.6				2. Tentatively assigned as MR band (2009Su09).
	5987.8	19-	206.3				3. Irregular band.
	6369.2	20-	381.3	588.0	1.1(1)		
	6872.0	21-	502.8				
	7272.5	22-	400.4	903.3	6.2(6)		
	7866.0	(23-)	593.1	994.0	7.7(11)		
	8249.2	(24^{-})	383.2	976.8	12.7(10)		
	8762.9	(25)	513.7				

$^{194}_{81}{ m Tl}_{113}$

	E _{level} keV	\mathbf{I}^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	B(M1)/B(E2) (μ _N /eb) ²	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	2516.4	16-				2012PA16	1. Configuration assignment as $\pi(h_{9/2})^2(s_{1/2})^{-1} \bigotimes$
	2679.0	17-	162.5			2014Ma55	$v (i_{13/2})^{-2} p_{3/2}$ from semiclassical calculations.
	2886.1	18-	207.1			2016Ma13	2. Tentatively assigned as MR band (2012Pa16)
	3213.8	19-	327.7				but authors of 2014Ma55 suggest that 'shears'
	3590.7	20-	376.9				mechanism not likely involved.
	4019.3	21-	428.6				3. $(\beta_2, \gamma) \approx (0.06, -80^\circ)$ using TRS calculations.
							4. Regular band.

$^{197}_{81}\mathrm{Tl}_{116}$

	E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	$B(M1)/B(E2) \ (\mu_N/eb)^2$	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	3106.2 3310.9 3584.1	25/2+ 27/2+ 29/2+	204.7	478.0	10 4(19)	2019Na08	1. Probable Configuration as $\pi i_{13/2} \otimes v(i_{13/2}^{-2})$ using TRS and SPAC calculations. 2. $\beta_2 \approx 0.08$, $\gamma \approx 72^\circ$ on the basic of TRS
	3946.8 4367.3 4563.9	$31/2^+$ $33/2^+$ $35/2^+$	362.8 420.7 197.1	635.9 782.3 616.5	8.4(22) 6.3(5)		 a. 2. p2 ~ 0.08, y~ 1/2 on the basis of TRS calculations. 3. The estimated g-factors for the states 29/2⁺, 31/2⁺ and 33/2⁺ are in the range of 0.57(4)-0.60(4).
							4. Regular band

197	т1	
81	111	16

	E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	$B(M1)/B(E2) \ (\mu_N/eb)^2$	References	Configurations and Comments:
2.	3566.1 3758.1 3871.4 4075.9 4338.1 4705.6 4881.8 5140.1	25/2 ⁺ 27/2 ⁺ 29/2 ⁺ 31/2 ⁺ 33/2 ⁺ 35/2 ⁺ 37/2 ⁺ 39/2 ⁺	192.1 113.4 204.5 262.2 367.5 176.2 258.3			2019Na08	 Probable Configuration as π h_{9/2}⊗v(i_{13/2}-³) (pf)⁻¹ using TRS and SPAC calculations. β2 ≈ 0.05, γ ≈ -30° on the basis of TRS minima. Irregular band with band crossing at ħω = 0.22 MeV.

 $^{189}_{\ 82} Pb_{107}$

	E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	B(M1)/B(E2) ($\mu_N/eb)^2$	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	2435 2641.4 3030.2 3448.9 3883.9 4297.1 4632.2	31/2 ⁻ 33/2 ⁻ 35/2 ⁽⁻⁾ 37/2 ⁽⁻⁾ (39/2 ⁻⁾ (41/2 ⁻) (43/2 ⁻)	206.4 388.8 418.7 435.0 413.2 335.1			2015Ho14 2005Ba51	 Configuration assigned as π [(s_{1/2})⁻² (h_{9/2}) i_{13/2}]₁₁₋ ⊗ v[(i_{13/2})⁻¹]_{13/2+} from the comparison with neighboring nuclides. The mean lifetime of bandhead is 32 µs (+10-2) (2005Ba51). Regular band with backbending at 39/2 ħ.

 $^{191}_{82}$ Pb $_{109}$

	Elevel	\mathbf{I}^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
1.	2577.5+X 2811.5+X 3195.1+X 3604.4+X 4030.5+X 4377.2+X 4691.3+X 4929.9+X 5207.1+X	(29/2 ⁻) (31/2 ⁻) (33/2 ⁻) (35/2 ⁻) (37/2 ⁻) (39/2 ⁻) (41/2 ⁻) (43/2 ⁻) (45/2 ⁻)	234.0 383.6 409.3 426.1 346.7 314.1 238.6 277.2	792.9 835.5	23(5) 20(5)	1998Fo02	 Tentatively assigned as π(h9/2i13/2s1/2⁻²)K=11⁻⊗ v(i13/2⁻¹) below, and π(h9/2i13/2s1/2⁻²)K=11⁻⊗ v(i13/2⁻³)K=33/2⁺ above the bandcrossing. X ~ 72 keV. All E_{level} given here are approximate since E_{level} of 13/2⁺ state is ~ 138 keV. Regular band with backbending at 39/2.
2.	2428.7 2765.9 3141.4 3551.3	27/2 ⁺ (29/2 ⁺) (31/2 ⁺) (33/2 ⁺)	337.2 375.5 409.9			1998Fo02	 Tentatively assigned as π(h_{9/2}²s_{1/2}⁻²)_{K=8}⁺⊗ ν(i_{13/2}⁻¹) or π(i_{13/2}s_{1/2}⁻¹)_{K=7}⁺⊗ν(i_{13/2}⁻¹). Tentatively assigned as MR band. All E_{level} given here are approximate since E_{level} of 13/2⁺ state is ~ 138 keV. Regular band.

192 D	h.	
821	v 1	10

	Elevel	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	4241.2	15-				1993Pl02	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(9/2[505] \otimes 13/2[606])$
	4370.1	16-	128.9				$\otimes v(i_{13/2}^2)$ based on CSM-TRS calculations and
	4519.2	17-	149.1		>2.38		by comparison with ¹⁹¹ Tl.
	4702.3	18-	183.1		>7.69		2. Small oblate deformation.
	4989.6	19-	287.3		>6.67		3. Tentatively assigned as MR band by 1993Pl02.
	5276.9	20-	287.3		>16.67		4. The limits on $B(M1)/B(E2)$ are by assuming
	5559.5	21-	282.6		>11.11		that the unobserved E2 transitions are at the
	5708.6	(22-)	149.1	431.7	<20		most half intense than the 489.5 keV γ ray in hand 2
							5 Degular hand with backbanding at spin 21
							• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
2.	4963.0	18-				1993Pl02	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(7/2[514] \otimes 13/2[606])$
	5087.1	19-	124.1		>50		$\otimes v(i_{13/2}^2)$ from the CSM-TRS calculations and
	5286.3	20-	199.2		>4		by comparison with ¹⁹¹ Tl.
	5531.7	21-	245.4		>11.11		2. Small oblate deformation.
	5871.0	22-	339.3		>12.5		3. Tentatively assigned as MR band by 1993Pl02.
	6232.1	23-	361.1		>5.88		4. The limits on $B(M1)/B(E2)$ are by assuming
	6666.0	(24-)	433.9		>4		that the unobserved E2 transitions are at the
	7155.5	(25)	489.5		>5.88		most half-intense than the 489.5 keV γ ray.
							5. Regular band.

 $^{193}_{82}$ Pb $_{111}$

	Elevel	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu N/eb)^2$		
1.	2584.8+X	29/2-				1996Du18	1. $\pi(9/2[505] \otimes 13/2[606])_{K=11} \otimes v(i_{13/2})$ by
	2686.9+X	31/2-	102.1			1996Ba54	comparison with similar bands in neighboring
	2939.2+X	33/2-	252.3			1997Ch33	Pb nuclei.
	3320.7+X	35/2-	381.5	633.8	28(5)	2005G109	2. Oblate deformation.
	3722.3+X	37/2-	401.6	783.1	22(4)	2011Ba02	3. $X \sim 100$ keV from systematics.
	4136.1+X	39/2-	413.8	815.4	16(6)		4. For bandhead $T_{1/2} = 9.4(7)$ ns and g factor =
	4470.6+X	41/2-	334.5	748.3			0.68(3) (1997Ch33).
	4828.3+X	43/2-	357.7	692.3			5. The mean lifetimes (in ps) for the transitions 252
	5218.6+X	45/2-	390.3				and 381 keV as given in 2005Gl09 are 3.2(8) and ≤ 1 , respectively.
							6. The B(M1) values as given in 2005Gl09 for the transitions 252 and 381 keV are $1.1(2)$ and $\ge 1.4 \mu N^2$, respectively.
							7. The B(E2) value for the transition 633 keV as given in 2005Gl09 is ≥ 0.1 (eb) ² .
							8. Regular band with backbending at 41/2.
							9. 2011Ba02 propose band head spin as 27/2-, implying that all the spins should be less by one unit in this band.

$^{193}_{82}$ Pb $_{111}$

	Elevel	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
2.	4297.7+X	(39/2+)				1996Du18	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(9/2[505] \otimes 13/2[606])$
	4387.7+X	$(41/2^+)$	90.0			1998Cl06	$_{K=11} \otimes v(i_{13/2} p_{3/2})$ by comparison with similar
	4536.6+X	$(43/2^{+})$	148.9			1996Ba54	bands in neighboring Pb nuclei.
	4768.6+X	$(45/2^+)$	232.0				2. Oblate deformation.
	5060.2+X	$(47/2^+)$	291.6				3. X ~ 100 keV from systematics.
	5425.4+X	$(49/2^+)$	365.2	656.8	15(3)		4. The B(M1) values as given in 1998Cl06 for the
	5815.0+X	$(51/2^+)$	389.6	754.7	12(3)		transitions from 291 to 416 keV are 5.27(64),
	6231.1+X	(53/2+)	416.1	805.6			$4.32(+56-75)$, $4.01(+95-76)$ and $2.83(34)$ (μ_N^2),
	6657.2+X	$(55/2^+)$	426.1	842.2	15(3)		respectively.
	7089.9+X	(57/2+)	432.7	858.8			5. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels having spin
	(7516.0+X)	$(59/2^+)$	(426.1)				values from 45/2 to 51/2 as given in 1998Cl06 are
	(7932.1+X)	$(61/2^+)$	(416.1)				0.33(4), 0.23(+4-3), 0.21(+4-5) and $0.25(3), 0.21(+4-5)$
							respectively.
							6. Regular band with backbending at spin 59/2.
3.	4944.8+X	(43/2+)				1996Du18	1. $\pi(9/2[505] \otimes 13/2[606])_{K=11} \otimes \nu(i_{13/2} f_{5/2})$ by
	5169.1+X	$(45/2^+)$	224.3				comparison with similar bands in neighboring
	5436.6+X	$(47/2^{+})$	267.5				Pb nuclei.
	5762.8+X	$(49/2^{+})$	326.2				2. Oblate deformation.
	6145.2+X	$(51/2^{+})$	382.4				3. X ~ 100 keV from systematics.
							4. Regular band.
4.	5092.7+X	(45/2-)				1996Du18	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(9/2[505] \otimes 13/2[606])$
	5331.8+X	(47/2-)	239.1			1996Ba54	$_{K=11}$ $\otimes v(i_{13/2})^3$ by comparison with similar
	5597.4+X	(49/2-)	265.6				bands in neighboring Pb nuclei.
	5926.9+X	(51/2-)	329.5				2. Oblate deformation.
	6302.5+X	(53/2-)	375.6				3. X ~ 100 keV from systematics.
	6715.4+X	(55/2-)	412.9				4. Regular band.
	7154.6+X	(57/2-)	439.2				
5.	5825.3+X	(49/2-)				1996Du18	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(9/2[505] \otimes 13/2[606])$
	6001.6+X	(51/2-)	176.3				$_{K=11} \otimes v(i_{13/2})^3$ or $\pi(9/2[505] \otimes 13/2[606])_{K=11}$
	6285.3+X	(53/2-)	283.7				$\otimes v(i_{13/2}h_{9/2}^2)$ from HF+BCS calculations.
	6597.2+X	(55/2-)	311.9				2. X \sim 100 keV from systematics.
	6927.6+X	(57/2-)	330.4				3. Parity assignment is based on three M1
	7312.1+X	(59/2-)	384.5				transitions to band 1.
	7713.6+X	(61/2-)	401.5				4. Regular band.

	¹⁹⁴ ₈₂ Pb ₁₁₂						
	E _{level} keV	Ιπ	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	$B(M1)/B(E2) \ (\mu_N/eb)^2$	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	6416.0	22+				2009Ku03	1. Tentatively assigned as $\nu\{h_{11/2}{}^2i_{13/2}(p_{3/2}f_{5/2})^1\}\otimes$
	6763.9	23 ⁺	347.9			2002Ka01	$\pi(9/2[505]\otimes 13/2[606])_{K=11}$ from the excitation
	/114./	24	351.5				energy, spin and parity. 2. Irregular band.
2.	4264.6	14-				2009Ku03	1. The configuration assigned as $v\{(i_{13/2})^{-1}\}$
	4407.8	15-	143.2				$(p_{3/2})^1 \otimes \pi \{ (h_{9/2})^2 \}_{8+}$
	4691.7	16-	283.9				2. Regular band.
	5052.7	17-	361.0	644.9	4.7(19)		-
	5433.2	18-	380.5	741.5	6.5(30)		
	5818.0	19-	384.8	765.3	7.1(45)		

	¹⁹⁴ ₈₂ Pb ₁₁₂							
	Elevel	\mathbf{I}^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:	
3	Ke V	(15+)	ke v	ke V	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$	20001/1103	1 The configuration $y((i, y)^2) \otimes - [(h, y)^2]$, and	
5.	4725 7	16+	85			2002Ka01	1. The configuration $\sqrt{(113/2)} \sqrt{(113/2)} \sqrt{(113/2)}$	
	4888 3	17+	162.6			1003Me12	and after band crossing	
	4000.5	19+	222.8			19951012	2 Degular hand with backbanding at I- 22h	
	5400.2	10	232.0				2. Regulai band with backbending at 1–221.	
	5756.8	19 20+	200.1	635 7	48(25)			
	6121.0	20	347.0	721.9	40(23) 8 0(20)			
	6527.8	$\frac{21}{22^+}$	306.8	721.0	0.9(39) 12.0(61)			
	6005.0	(22^{+})	390.0	//1.0	12.9(01)			
	7276.5	(23^{+})	371.2					
	7627.5	(24)	261.0					
	/05/.5	(23)	301.0					
4.	4642.6	15^{+}				2009Ku03	1. The configuration $v\{(i_{13/2})^{-1}(p_{3/2})^1\} \otimes$	
	4700.9	(16^{+})	58.3			2002Ka01	$\pi(9/2[505]\otimes 13/2[606])_{K=11}$ and $\nu\{(i_{13/2})^{-3}\}$	
	4766.4	17^{+}	65.5			1998Ka59	$(p_{3/2})^1 \otimes \pi(9/2[505] \otimes 13/2[606])_{K=11}$	
	5629.2	20^{+}	396.8	699.8	31(10)	1995Ka19	are assigned before and after band crossing.	
	6005.5	21^{+}	376.3	773.1	18.6(62)		2. Regular band with backbending at I = $20\hbar$.	
	6368.9	22^{+}	363.4	739.7	16.7(58)			
	6629.6	23+	260.7	624.1	52(26)			
	6841.9	24+	212.3					
	7069.5	25^{+}	227.6					
	7336.3	26^{+}	266.8					
	7643.2	27+	306.9					
	8004.0	28^{+}	360.8					
	8398.1	29^{+}	394.1					
	8819.0	(30+)	420.9					
	9260.6	(31+)	440.9					
	9722.6	(32+)	462.0					
	10206.8	(33+)	484.2					
5.	4985.7	17 ⁻				2009Ku03	1. The configuration $v\{(i_{13/2})^{-2}\}\otimes$	
	5105.2	18-	119.5			2002Ka01	$\pi(9/2[505] \otimes 13/2[606])_{K-11}$ and $\nu\{(1_{13/2})^{-4}\}$	
	5250.2	19-	145.0			1993Me12	$\propto \pi(9/2[505] \otimes 13/2[606])_{K=11}$ and $\gamma((113/2))$	
	5447.2	20-	197.0			1994Po08	are assigned before and after hand crossing	
	5707.4	21-	260.2			1995Ka19	2 Regular band with backbending at I=26ħ	
	6043.4	21	336.0	596.2	10 7(39)	1998C106	3 The B(M1) values as given in 1998Cl06 for the	
	6419.4	23-	376.0	712.0	14.4(54)	1998Ka59	transitions from 260 to 417 keV are 9.79(+255	
	6836.2	24-	416.8	792.8	13.9(59)		-170), 5.86(+56-56), 5.13(+114-143) and 3.90	
	7260.2	25-	424.0	840.8	13.6(70)		(87) (un ²) respectively	
	7702.0	26-	441.8	865.8	10.8(53)		4. The mean lifetimes of levels having spin values	
	8130.5	27-	428.5	00010	10.0(00)		from 20 to 23 as given in 1998Cl06 are	
	8515.4	(28^{-})	384.9				0.23(+4-6), 0.21(2), 0.18(+5-4) and 0.18(4) ps	
	8882.4	(29^{-})	367.0				respectively.	
	9254.9	(30-)	372.5					
6	5993 በ	20-				200981103	1. The configuration $y_{(i,2,2)}^{-2}$ (post-2) \mathbb{R}	
0.	6122.2	20	1292			200212003	1. The configuration $v_{(113/2)}$ (p3/215/2) } ⊗ $π(0/2[505]⊗13/2[606]) w = 0.5 m d m (d m m)^4$	
	6319 5	21 22-	127.2			20021101	$M(7/2[505] \otimes 15/2[505] \otimes 12/2[505] \otimes 12/$	
	6527.0	22-	190.5				$(p_3/2 \ 15/2)^2$ } $\otimes \pi(9/2[505] \otimes 15/2[606])$ K=11	
	0327.0	23 24-	208.3 270.0				are assigned before and after band crossing.	
	0/9/.U 7125.0	24 25-	270.0				2. Regular band with backbending at $I = 2/n$.	
	1123.9 7100 0	23 26-	320.9 362 0					
	7961 0	20 27-	202.U 272.0					
	1001.9	21	3/3.U 204 0					
	0230.1 8616 6	∠o 20-	390.ð 397 n					
	0040.0	29 (30-)	307.9 301 5					
	9030.1	(30)	701 0					
	7437.1	(31)	401.0					

	¹⁹⁴ ₈₂ Pb ₁₁₂						
	Elevel	I^{π}	E _γ (M1)	E _γ (E2)	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
7.	6307.9	(21-)				2009Ku03	1. The configuration $v\{(i_{13/2})^{-2}(p_{3/2}f_{5/2})^1\}$
	6510.0	(22-)	202.1			2002Ka01	$\otimes \pi \{ (h_{9/2})^2 \}_{8+}$
	6758.8	(23-)	248.8				2. Regular band
	7034.8	(24-)	276.0				
	7351.9	(25)	317.1				
	7715.1	(26)	363.2				
	8100.0	(27-)	384.9				
	8513	(28-)	413.0				
8.	Х	Ι				2009Ku03	1. The configuration $v\{(i_{13/2})^{-1}(f_{5/2})^{1}\} \otimes$
	X+154.6	I+1	154.6				$\pi(9/2[505]\otimes 13/2[606])_{K=11}$ and $\nu\{(i_{13/2})^{-3}(f_{5/2})^1\}$
	X+456.4	I+2	301.8				$\otimes \pi(9/2[505] \otimes 13/2[606])_{K=11}$ are assigned before
	X+857.8	I+3	401.4				and after band crossing.
	X+1245.5	I+4	387.7				2. Irregular band.
	X+1643.0	I+5	397.5				3. Most probable spin and parity of first observed
	X+1928.8	I+6	285.8				level is 16^+ or 17^+ .
	X+2152.1	I+7	223.3				
	X+2395.0	I+8	242.9				

 $^{195}_{82}$ Pb $_{113}$

.

	E_{level}	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	2968.3	27/2-			·	1996Ka15	1. $\pi(9/2[505] \otimes 13/2[606])_k \pi_{=11} \otimes v(i_{13/2})$ by
	3098.0	29/2-	129.7			1995Fa19	comparison with the neighboring ¹⁹⁴ Pb and
	3362.0	31/2-	264.0				¹⁹⁵ Tl.
	3734.7	33/2-	372.7	637.0	16(4)		2. Oblate shape
	4119.9	35/2-	385.2	757.7	18(3)		3. Parities are from 1995Fa19.
	4566.2	(37/2-)	446.3	832.0	13(3)		4. Irregular band with backbending at the top of
	4966.8	(39/2-)	400.6				the band.
2.	5123.6	(39/2-)				1996Ka15	1. $\pi(9/2[505] \otimes 13/2[606]) k^{\pi} = 11^{-} \otimes \nu(i_{13/2}^{-3})$ by
	5270.4	(41/2-)	146.8			1995Fa19	comparison with the neighboring ¹⁹⁴ Pb and
	5467.7	(43/2-)	197.3			1998Cl06	¹⁹⁵ Tl.
	5702.5	(45/2-)	234.8				3. Regular band with oblate shape.
	6308.1	(49/2-)	329.7				4. The B(M1) values as given in 1998Cl06 for the
	6674.2	(51/2-)	366.1				transitions from 276 to 366 keV are 7.01(+200
	7090.8	(53/2-)	416.6				-125), 6.14(88) and 4.48 (+41-61) (μ N ²),
	7536.8	(55/2-)	(446.0)				respectively.
							5. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels having spin values from 47/2 to 51/2 as given in 1998Cl06 are 0.28(+5-8), 0.21(3) and 0.22(+3-2), respectively.
3.	4465.6	$(33/2^{-})$				1996Ka15	1 $\pi(9/2[505] \otimes 13/2[606])_{K=11} \otimes v(i_{12}p^2(f_{5/2}/p_{2/2})^1)$
	4560.4	$(35/2^{-})$	94.8			1995Fa19	at low spin and $\pi(9/2[505] \otimes 13/2[606])_{K=11} \otimes$
	4693.9	$(37/2^{-})$	133.5				$v(i_{12}p^4(f_{5}p/n_{2}p)^1)$ at high spin by comparison
	4866.5	$(39/2^{-})$	172.6				with a similar band of 194 Pb.
	5108.1	$(41/2^{-})$	241.6				2. Oblate shape $(\beta_2 \sim -0.15)$.
	5412.9	$(43/2^{-})$	304.8				3. Regular band with backbending at the top of
	5770.9	(45/2-)	358.0	663.0	17(4)		the band.
	6144.7	$(47/2^{-})$	373.8	732.0	23(5)		4. Parities are from 1995Fa19.
	6529.5	(49/2)	384.8	759.0	13(3)		
	6907.2	(51/2)	377.7	763.0	10(3)		
	7281.2	(53/2-)	374.0				

	¹⁹⁶ ₈₂ Pb ₁₁₄						
	Elevel	Ιπ	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	E _γ (E2)	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
1	ke V	1.4-	keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$	20025:20	
1.	4385.0	14	176.0			20025120	1. $\pi(h_{9/2}) \underset{K=8^{+} \otimes V[1_{13/2}^{-1}(p_{3/2}t_{5/2})^{1}]$ before and
	4501.2	15	176.2				$\pi(h_{9/2}^2) = \pi^+ \otimes v[1_{13/2}^{-5}(p_{3/2}^{-5}(p_{3/2}^{-1})^2)]$ after the band
	4864.4	10	303.2	651.1	10(4)		crossing from the TAC calculations.
	5212.3	1/	347.9	651.1	12(4)		2. Oblate deformation ($ \beta_2 < 0.1$).
	5558.8	18	346.5	694.4	14(4)		3. Irregular band with backbending at 19 ⁻ .
	5896.2	19-	337.4	683.9	9(3)		
	6160.3	20	264.1				
	6369.3	21	209.0				
	6002.1	22	232.8				
	0001.5	25	279.2				
	7211.7	24	252.2				
	70405	25	352.5				
	7940.J 9292 1	20	370.5				
	8850.8	21	442.0				
	0274.5	20	407.7 522.7				
	9374.3	29	525.7				
2.	4658.2	14+				2002Si20	1. $\pi(h_{9/2}^{-2})_{K=8}^{+} \otimes \nu(i_{13/2}^{-2})$ before and
	4748.2	15^{+}	90.0				$\pi(h_{9/2}^{2})_{K=8} \otimes \nu(i_{13/2}^{-4})$ after the band
	4852.5	16^{+}	104.3				crossing from the TAC calculations.
	5035.2	17+	182.7				2. Oblate deformation ($ \beta_2 < 0.1$).
	5283.3	18^{+}	248.1				3. Regular band that becomes irregular after 20 ⁺ .
	5577.2	19+	293.9	542.0	15(4)		
	5934.0	20^{+}	356.8	650.7	13(3)		
	6294.1	21^{+}	360.1	716.9	<16		
	6689.7	22^{+}	395.6	755.7	17(5)		
	7074.4	23+	384.7	780.3	16(5)		
	7465.0	24+	390.6	775.3	14(5)		
	7825.6	25^{+}	360.6	751.2			
	8166.3	26+	340.7				
	8516.7	27+	350.4				
3.	4995.4	17+				2002Si20	1. $\pi(i_{13/2}h_{9/2})_{K=11} \otimes v[i_{13/2}^{-1}(p_{3/2}f_{5/2})^1]$ before and
	5188.1	18^{+}	192.7			2002Si29	$\pi(i_{13/2}h_{9/2})_{K=11} \otimes \nu[i_{13/2}^{-3}(p_{3/2}f_{5/2})^1]$ after the
	5502.6	19+	314.5	507.2	16(4)	2001Ke12	band crossing from the TAC calculations.
	5877.2	20^{+}	374.6	689.1	19(4)	1996Ba53	2. Oblate deformation ($ \beta_2 < 0.1$).
	6232.5	21^{+}	355.3	729.9	21(4)	1993Hu01	3. Regular band with backbending at 20 ⁺ .
	6574.1	22^{+}	341.6	696.9	19(5)	1995Mo01	4. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels from 18^+ to
	6817.7	23+	243.6	585.2	47(12)	1998Cl06	20 ⁺ as given in 2001Ke12 are 1.28(22), 0.96(20)
	7027.5	24^{+}	209.8	453.4	42(13)		and 1.34(50), respectively, those of levels from
	7266.9	25+	239.4	449.2	× /		25 ⁺ to 27 ⁺ as given in 1998Cl06 are 0.19(+3-4),
	7553.0	26^{+}	286.1	525.5			0.17(+3-4), and $0.15(3)$, respectively and those
	7891.7	27+	338.7	624.8	25(7)		of levels from 28^+ to 31^+ are $0.13(+3-2)$, $0.14(2)$,
	8289.4	28^{+}	397.7	736.4	19(5)		0.13(3) and 0.16(3), respectively (2002Si29).
	8738.1	29+	448.7	846.4	17(5)		5. B(M1) values for the transitions from 193 to
	9228.3	30+	490.2	938.9	21(7)		375 keV as given in 2001Ke12 are 2.4(+5-3),
	9754.7	31+	526.4	1016.6	23(8)		1.4(+4-2) and 0.7(+4-2) μ_N^2 , respectively,
	10310.6	32+	555.9	1082.3	16(8)		for transitions 286 and 339 keV as given in
	10883.7	33+	573.1	1129.0			1998Cl06 are 9.57(+201-151) and 7.05(+166
	11456.3	34+	572.6	1145.7			-124) and those for the transitions from 398 to
	12023.0	35+	566.7				526 keV as given in 2002Si29 are 5.12(+80-119),
	12585.5	36+	562.5				$3.24(50), 2.75(66)$ and $1.80(36) \mu N^2$,
							respectively.
							6. $B(E2)$ values for the transitions from 736 to 1017
							keV as given in 2002Si29 are 0.269(+82-94),
							0.191(63), $0.131(54)$ and $0.078(31)$ (eb) ² .

196 D	h	
82 F	v ₁	14

	Elevel	I^{π}	E _γ (M1)	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
4.	5155.3	16-				2002Si20	1. $\pi(i_{13/2}h_{9/2})_{K=11} \otimes \nu(i_{13/2})^{-2}$ before and
	5236.0	17-	80.7			2002Vy02	$\pi(i_{13/2}h_{9/2})_{K=11} \otimes v(i_{13/2})^{-4}$ after the
	5342.9	18-	106.9			1995Mo01	band crossing from the TAC calculations.
	5480.9	19-	138.0			1993Hu01	2. Oblate deformation ($ \beta_2 < 0.1$).
	5684.8	20-	203.9			1996Ba53	3. Regular band with backbending at 26 ⁻ .
	5952.6	21-	267.8	471.7	24(6)		4. The value of deformation parameter $\beta_2 = -0.13$
	6284.4	22-	331.8	599.6	33(9)		is based on experimental measurement of Q for
	6651.4	23-	367.0	698.8	20(5)		11 ⁻ level by 2002Vy02.
	7043.6	24-	392.2	759.2	19(5)		5. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels having spin
	7441.3	25-	397.7	789.9	17(4)		values from 23 ⁻ to 28 ⁻ as given in 1995Mo01 are
	7849.4	26-	408.3	805.8	15(4)		$\leq 0.4, 0.21(+15-12), 0.17(+12-8), 0.39(11),$
	8222.8	27-	373.4	781.5	29(8)		0.47(+10-14) and $0.23(9)$, respectively.
	8556.3	28-	333.5	706.9	38(9)		
	8892.8	29-	336.5	670.0	27(10)		
	9251.3	30-	358.5	695.0	16(5)		
	9646.8	31-	395.5	754.0	14(5)		
	10088.9	32-	442.1	837.6	11(4)		
	10578.3	33-	489.4				
	11111.8	34-	533.5				
	11683.1	35-	571.3				
	12282.6	36-	599.5				
5.	5265.4	16-				2002Si20	1. Irregular band showing a different behavior
	5381.1	17-	115.7				than the other MR bands. Due to its irregularity
	5658.6	18-	277.5				the MR assignment to this band is uncertain.
	5870.6	19-	212.0				2. No configuration assigned by 2002Si20.
	6196.7	20-	326.1				
	6498.9	21-	302.2				
	6857.9	22-	359.0				
	7213.5	23-	355.6				
	7593.2	24-	379.7				
6.	5886.6	18 ⁻				2002Si20	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{13/2}h_{9/2})_{K=11}$
	6041.6	19 ⁻	155.0			1996Ba53	$v[i_{13/2}^{-2}(p_{3/2}f_{5/2})^2]$ before and $\pi(i_{13/2}h_{9/2}) \kappa_{-11} \otimes$
	6185.3	20-	143.7			1993Hu01	$v[i_{13/2}^{-2}(p_{3/2}f_{5/2})^4]$ after the hand crossing by
	6349.4	21-	164.1			1995Mo01	comparison with the configuration of hand 4
	6557.7	22-	208.3			177511001	and the alignment properties
	6807.9	22-	250.2				2 Oblate deformation (Bal<0.1)
	7117.0	23	309.1				3 Regular hand with backbending at 26 ⁻
	7/02 1	2 4 25-	375 1				5. Regular band with backbending at 20.
	7896 5	25 26-	404.4				
	8771 2	20 27-	374.9				
	86663	∠1 28-	395.0				
	0070 /	20 20-	404.1	700 1	10(5)		
	0/02 /	29 30-	404.1	177.1	17(3)		
	9951 N	30	4526	880 6	14(5)		
	10438.6	31	487.6	000.0	14(3)		
	10956 2	32-	-107.0 517.6				
	10750.2	55	517.0				

201 201 201 2002Si29 1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{12}b_{12})_{\times 11}$ (\Im 7 6780.1 23 261.7 2002Si29 1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{12}b_{12})_{\times 11}$ (\Im 738.6 25 27.9 1996Ma53 1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{12}b_{12})_{\times 11}$ (\Im 7375.7 26 342.9 1996Ma53 1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{12}b_{12})_{\times 11}$ (\Im 8769.2 28 412.3 1.3 1.4 1.5 9063.4 31 454.0 1.1 1.5 1.5 1.5 10558.6 34 526.2 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.1 1.5 8. 7912.0 26 ⁽¹⁾ 2900 2002Si20 1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{12}b_{12})_{\times 11}$ (\Im 1.1 9.1 31 31 49.1 1.5 1.5 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 <td< th=""><th></th><th>E_{level} keV</th><th>I^{π}</th><th>E_γ(M1) keV</th><th>E_γ(E2) keV</th><th>B(M1)/B(E2)</th><th>References</th><th>Configurations and Comments:</th></td<>		E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
7011.8 23° 261.7 2002559 $r_{1122}^{-1}(p_{12}f_{22})^{-1}$ before and string 20e $j_{211} \approx 0$ with $m_{112}^{-1}(p_{12}f_{22})^{-1}$ before and string 20e $j_{211} \approx 0$ with $m_{112}^{-1}(p_{12}f_{22})^{-1}$ before and string 20e $j_{211} \approx 0$ with $m_{112}^{-1}(p_{12}f_{22})^{-1}$ before and string 20e $j_{211} \approx 0$ with $m_{112}^{-1}(p_{12}f_{22})^{-1}$ before and string 20e $j_{211} \approx 0$ with $m_{112}^{-1}(p_{12}f_{22})^{-1}$ before and string 20e $j_{211} \approx 0$ with $m_{112}^{-1}(p_{12}f_{22})^{-1}$ before and string 20e $j_{211} \approx 0$ with $m_{112}^{-1}(p_{12}f_{22})^{-1}$ before and string 20e $j_{211} \approx 0$ with $m_{112}^{-1}(p_{12}f_{22})^{-1}$ before and string 20e $j_{211} \approx 0$ with $m_{112}^{-1}(p_{12}f_{22})^{-1}$ before and string 20e $j_{211} \approx 0$ with $m_{112}^{-1}(p_{12}f_{22})^{-1}$ before and string 20e $j_{212}^{-1}(p_{12}f_{22})^{-1}$ before and with the similar decay pattern between the similar decay pattern between the similar decay pattern between the similar decay pattern beack and string 20e $j_{212}^{-1}(p_{12}f_{22})^{-1}(p_{1$	7.	6780.1	22+	NC V	NC V	(µN/CO)	2002Si20	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{12/2}h_{9/2})_{\kappa=11}$
7336.724294.91995Mo01 $(1)_{132}^{123}(2)_{125}(2)_{13}^{12}(1)_{132}(2)_{155})^{11}$ after the gain in alignment at a frequency around 0.45 MeV by comparison with the configuration of band 3 and a similar hand in "Ph. 310.17297.13977.526342.999686539968538870.228412.32020909.431454.03. The bandhaed could have been one of the 21* states at 6534.1 and 65897.1 keV also1059.6432468.63. The bandhaed 2 are 0.17(3), 0.15(3-2), 0.13(3-32), 0.11(2) and 0.13(3-52), 1.13(3-52), 0.14(3-2), 0.11(2) and 0.13(3-52), 1.50(3-75-112), 5.24(-81-121), 4.30(-61-92), 5.1203) and 4.663-94 (act-63-94) (7041.8	23+	261.7			2002Si29	$v[i_{13}?^{-3}(n_{3}?f_{5}?)^{1}]$ before and $\pi(i_{13}?h_{5}?)^{\kappa-11}$
7634.625297.91996Ba5316762ac3Alfee Unity comparison a frequency around 0.45 MeV by comparison with the configuration of band 3 and a similar band in ¹⁰ Po. 3.716762ac3<		7336.7	24+	294.9			1995Mo01	$v[1_{3/2}, (p_{3/2}, p_{3/2}, p_{3/2}$
8366 8369 8369 27267 3794 8369 8769.2 284 412.31000000 41100000000000000000000000000000000000		7634.6	25+	297.9			1996Ba53	a frequency around 0.45 MeV by comparison
8356.9 27^{+} 379.4 similar band 1^{6} HPb,8750.228412.32. Oblate deformation ([[9]:60.1),9201.729432.53. The bandhead could have been one of the 21*945.430443.73. The bandhead could have been one of the 21*945.430443.74. The mean fiftimes (in ps) of levels from 26* to11059.032468.631* a given in 20025129 are 0.17(3), 0.15(-32),11059.433491.437* a given in 20025129 are 0.17(3), 0.15(-32),1158.534*526.231* a given in 20025129 are 0.30(11), 5.00(75*112), 5.24(-48.12), 4.30(-46.1-92), 5.12(93) and 4.08(-63-94) µc ² , respectively.8.7912.026*0200251298.7912.026*0200251299.820*0200251299.7*1033*0940.330*0464.19917.131*230*0940.330*0464.19917.131*21044.1732*0544.631*1211625.134*0997.2544.611027.933*0513.418.10513.4*18.10529.9*X198.6774.4*X244.31071.9*X297.721071.9*X297.721071.9*X393.631.3+X181.0529.9*X198.6774.4*X244.31071.9*X297.721071.1*X393.62390.8*X413.720		7977.5	26+	342.9			17702400	with the configuration of band 3 and a
8769.228' 9201.7432.52. Oblate deformation ($\beta _{2}<0.1$). 3. The banchasd contable have been one of the 21' states at 6534.1 and 6589.7 keV also. 4. The ream lifetimes (in ps) of levels from 26' to 31' a sgiven in 2002Si22 are 0.17(3), 0.15(4:3-2), 0.13(4:3-2), 0.11(2) and 0.13(4:3-2), respectively.8.7912.026'+2002Si201.7(3), 0.15(4:3-2), 0.13(4:3-2), 0.11(2) and 0.13(4:3-2), respectively.8.7912.026'+2002Si201.7(3), 0.15(4:3-2), 0.13(4:3-2), 0.14(4:3-2), 0.13(4:3-2), respectively.8.7912.026'+2002Si201. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{12}bw_2)k_{\pm1}$. 5.12(93) and 4.08(+63-94) μx^2 , respectively.8.7912.026'+2002Si201. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{12}bw_2)k_{\pm1}$. 5.12(93) and 4.08(+63-94) μx^2 , respectively.9.X2002Si201. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{12}bw_2)k_{\pm1}$. 5.66.22002Si201. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{12}bw_2)k_{\pm1}$. 5.12(93) and 4.08(+63-94) μx^2 , respectively.9.X100.734'+566.231'+2002Si201. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{12}bw_2)k_{\pm1}$. 5.13(20) 2.01.10, 3. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels from 27(+) to 31'+ 31'-34'+50.39.X2002Si201. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{12}bw_2)k_{\pm1}$. 0.53(keV), 3.57(+51-77), and 2.72(s) μs^2 , respectively.9.X2002Si201. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{12}bw_2)k_{\pm1}$. 0.53(keV), 3.57(+51-77), and 2.72(s) μs^2 , respectively.9.X2002Si201. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{12}bw_2)k_{\pm1}$. 0.53(keV), 3.57(+51-77), and		8356.9	27+	379.4				similar band in ¹⁹⁴ Pb.
9 201.729* 9 443.343.59 645.430* 443.7443.710099.431* 31*454.010568.032* 22* 468.6468.611585.634*526.211585.634*526.28.7912.026** 260**8.7912.026** 280.08.7912.026** 280.08.7912.026** 280.08.7912.026** 280.08.7912.026** 280.08.7912.026** 280.08.7912.026** 280.08.7912.026** 280.08.7912.026** 280.08.7912.026** 280.08.7912.026** 280.08.7912.026** 280.08.7912.026** 280.08.7912.026** 280.08.7912.026** 280.08.7912.026** 280.08.7912.026** 280.08.7912.0289.08.20**339.38039.829** 29** 39.39.X101064.1732**9.X1011027.933**11027.934**997.220025i2011625.134**99.4198.611027.934**150.3+X150.310027.9297.711625.134**120.3+X1		8769.2	28^{+}	412.3				2. Oblate deformation ($ \beta_2 < 0.1$).
9645.430° 1009443.7 443.7states at 6589.7 keV also.10568.032° 468.643° 491.445° 11585.634° 32° 33°491.4 12.20.0.14(± 3.2), 0.11(2) and 0.13(± 3.2), 0.13(± 3.2), 0.11(2) and 0.13(± 3.2), 0.13(± 3.2), 0.11(2) and 0.13(± 3.2), respectively.8.7912.026° 8201.020025i29 27° 289.020025i29 20025i291. Tentrively assigned as $\pi(i_{122}h_{22})$, $e_{11}\otimes$ v[i_{1122}^2(p_{12}f_{22})^2] by comparison with the similar decay pattern between the similar bands in 10°Ph.8.7912.026° 280.020025i29 21025i291. Tentrively assigned as $\pi(i_{122}h_{22})$, $e_{11}\otimes$ v[i_{1122}^2(p_{12}f_{22})^2] by comparison with the similar decay pattern between the similar bands in 10°Ph.9.X20025i29 310° 464.120025i29 210° 566.211027.933(°)566.231° as given in 20025i29 are 0.23(4), 0.15(± 3.2), 0.13(2), 0.14(± 3.2) and 0.14(3), respectively.9.X20025i29 310° 464.120025i29 310° 464.19.X20025i20 31° 566.231° as given in 20025i29 are 0.34(4), 0.15(± 3.2), 0.13(2), 0.14(± 3.2) and 0.14(3), respectively.9.X20025i29 310° 464.120025i29 31° 400.449.X20025i29 31° 566.211027.933(°)566.211027.933(°)566.211027.933(°)313.3*X181.0250.9*J16° tert transitions from 28° to 513 keV as given in 20025i29 are 0.84(19), 7.37(+98-147), 5.68(+87), 3.57(+51-77), and 2.72(58) µs ² , respectiv		9201.7	29^{+}	432.5				3. The bandhead could have been one of the 21^+
10099.431* 10585.6454.04. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels from 26* to 31* as given in 20028129 are 10028129 are 10.013(), 0.13(+3-2), 0.13(+3-2), 0.14(+3-2), 0.11(2) and 0.13(+3-2), respectively.8.7912.026 ⁽⁴⁾ 200281201. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(inzbac)\kappa_{a17}$ % $5.12(93)$ and $4.08(+63.94) \mu s^2$, respectively.8.7912.026 ⁽⁴⁾ 200281291. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(inzbac)\kappa_{a17}$ % $5.12(93)$ and $4.08(+63.94) \mu s^2$, respectively.8.7912.026 ⁽⁴⁾ 200281291. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(inzbac)\kappa_{a17}$ % 917.1 8.7912.026 ⁽⁴⁾ 200281291. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(inzbac)\kappa_{a17}$ % 917.1 8.7912.026 ⁽⁴⁾ 200281291. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(inzbac)\kappa_{a17}$ % $911nz^{-1}(bac)Ration being and the similarbands in 109Ds.9.X20028129200281291. Tentatively assigned as \pi(inzbac)\kappa_{a17}%911nz^{-1}(bac)Ration from 228 to311^{-6} as given in 20028129 are 0.23(4).015(+3-2),0.13(2), 0.14(+3-2) and 0.14(3), respectively.9.X200281201. Tentatively assigned as \pi(inzbac)\kappa_{a17}%11027.99.X200281291. Tentatively assigned as \pi(inzbac)\kappa_{a17}%11027.99.X200281291. Tentatively assigned as \pi(inzbac)\kappa_{a17}%11027.99.X200281291. Tentatively assigned as \pi(inzbac)\kappa_{a17}%11027.99.X200281291. Tentatively assigned as \pi(inzbac)\kappa_{a17}%11027.99.X$		9645.4	30+	443.7				states at 6534.1 and 6589.7 keV also.
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		10099.4	31+	454.0				4. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels from 26^+ to
11059.433'491.40.13(-3.2), 0.14(3.3.2), 0.11(2) and 0.13(-3.2), respectively.11585.634'526.2 $34'$ 526.28.7912.026 ⁽¹⁾ $5.60(+75-112), 5.24(-81-12), 4.30(-61-92), 5.12(93) and 4.08(+63-94) \mu s^2, respectively.8.7912.026(1)289.02002Si201. Tentatively assigned as \pi(i_{132}bn_2) \kappa_{617}8.7912.028(1)399.52002Si201. Tentatively assigned as \pi(i_{132}bn_2) \kappa_{617}8.7912.028(1)399.52002Si201. Tentatively assigned as \pi(i_{132}bn_2) \kappa_{617}8.7917.131(1)513.22002Si292.012(3) add -0.13(-3) add -0.14(-3)), 1.15(-3) add -0.14(-3) add -0.14(-3) add -0.14(-3), 1.15(-3) a$		10568.0	32+	468.6				31 ⁺ as given in 2002Si29 are 0.17(3), 0.15(+3-2),
11585.6 34^{+} 526.2 respectively. S. B(M1) values for the transitions from 343 to 454 keV as given in 20025i29 are 6.30(111), 5.0(+75-112), 5.24(+81-121), 4.30(+61-92), 5.12(93) and 4.08(+63-94) µz ² , respectively. 8. 7912.0 $26^{(+)}$ 20025i20 I. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(inzhoz) x=it \otimes v[inx^{-1}(x_{D} + 52-94) µz^{-1})$ by comparison with the configuration of band 3 and with the similar decay pattern between the similar bands in "9Ph. 9. X 20025i20 I. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(inzhoz) x=it \otimes v[inx^{-1}(x_{D} + 52-94) µz^{-1})$ by comparison with the configuration of band 3 and with the similar decay pattern between the similar bands in "9Ph. 9. X 20025i20 I. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(inzhoz) x=it \otimes v[inx^{-1}(x_{D} + 52-94) µz^{-1})$, $interms (in ps) of levels from 27t(i) to 31t(i) as given in 2002Si29 are 0.23(4), 0.15(+3-2), 0.13(2), 0.14(+3-2) and 0.14(3), respectively. 9. X 2002Si20 I. Tentatively assigned as \pi(inzhoz) x=it \otimes v[inx^{-1}(x_{D} + 52-94) µz^{-1}], and 2.72(58) µz^{-1}, respectively. 9. X 2002Si20 I. Tentatively assigned as \pi(inzhoz) x=it \otimes v[inx^{-1}(x_{D} + 52-94) µz^{-1}], and 2.72(58) µz^{-1}], set = 100000000000000000000000000000000000$		11059.4	33+	491.4				0.13(+3-2), 0.14(+3-2), 0.11(2) and 0.13(+3-2),
8.7912.026(*)2002Si201. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{132}b_{22})_{K=11}$ 8.7912.026(*)2002Si291. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{132}b_{22})_{K=11}$ 8.7912.027(*)289.02002Si291. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{132}b_{22})_{K=11}$ 8.840.328(*)339.32002Si291. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{132}b_{22})_{K=11}$ 9.840.328(*)339.530(*)464.19917.131(*)513.22. Oblate deformation ($\beta = 0.1$).3. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels from $27(*)$ to $31(*)$ as given in 2002Si29 are 0.23(4), 0.15(+3-2), 1.03(2), 0.14(+3-2) and 0.14(3), respectively.9.X2002Si291. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{132}b_{22})_{K=11}$ 9.X2002Si291. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{132}b_{22})_{K=11}$ 9.X2002Si292002Si299.X1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{132}b_{22})_{K=11}$ 9.X2002Si292002Si299.X1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{132}b_{$		11585.6	34+	526.2				respectively.
8.7912.0 $26^{(+)}$ 2002Si29 1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{12}\Delta b_{22})$ ke11 \otimes 8.7912.0 $26^{(+)}$ 2002Si29 1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{12}\Delta b_{22})$ ke11 \otimes 8.7912.0 $27^{(+)}$ 289.0 $2002Si29$ $\sqrt{1}(i_{22}^{-0}(b_{22}f_{22})^2)$ by comparison with the configuration of band 3 and with the similar bands in ¹⁹⁷ Pb.9.30^{(+)}464.12002Si292. Oblate deformation ($\beta_{2}<0.1$).10461.732^{(+)}546.63. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels from 27^{(+)} to 31^{(+)} as given in 2002Si29 are 0.23(4), 0.15(+3-2), 0.13(2), 0.14(+3-2) and 0.14(3), respectively.11625.134^{(+)}597.21. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{12}\Delta b_{23})$ ke11 \otimes 150.3+X150.32002Si291. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{12}\Delta b_{23})$ ke11 \otimes 150.3+X181.02002Si29 $\sqrt{1}(i_{32}^{-2})$ before and $\pi(i_{12}\Delta b_{23})$ ke11 \otimes 177.4_2X244.3 $\sqrt{1}(i_{32}^{-2})$ before and $\pi(i_{12}\Delta b_{23})$ ke11 \otimes 171.9+X297.72002Si29 $\sqrt{1}(i_{32}^{-2})$ before and $\pi(i_{12}\Delta b_{23})$ ke11 \otimes 177.1+X393.63. Bandhead spin tentatively assigned as 17 ⁻¹ from the decay pattern.1783.5+X362.8413.73. Bandhead spin tentatively as 349, 363, 414 and 424 keV as given in 2002Si29 are 0.16(+4-3), 0.15(3), 0.20(4), and 0.01(5), respectively.2177.1+X393.6413.73. Bandhead spin tentatively assigned as 17 ⁻¹ from the decay pattern.1783.5+X362.8413.7414 and 424 keV as given in 2002Si29 are 0.16(+4-3), 0.15(3), 0.20(4), and 0.01(5), respectively.<								5. B(M1) values for the transitions from 343 to 454 keV as given in 2002Si29 are 6 30(111)
S. 12(93) and 4.08(+63-94) $\mu^{3/2}$, respectively. 8. 7912.0 26(+) 2002Si20 1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{12}b_{12})_{k=1}$ (\oplus ($i_{12}c_{12})_{k=2}$) ($i_{12}c_{12}c_{12}c_{12}c_{12})_{k=1}$ 8. 7912.0 26(+) 290 2002Si20 1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{12}c_{12})_{k=1}$ 8. 8201.0 27(+) 289.0 2002Si20 1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{12}c_{12})_{k=1}$ 100 9. X 200111 31(+) 513.2 2. Obtain 1 ¹⁰ ($\beta_{2}<0.1$). 3. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels from 27(+) to 31(+) as given in 2002Si20 are 0.34(0, 0.15(+3-2), 0.13(2), 0.14(+3-2) and 0.14(3), respectively. 9. X 2002Si20 1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{12}b_{12})_{k=11} \otimes v(i_{13})_{13}$, respectively. 9. X 2002Si20 1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{12}b_{12})_{k=11} \otimes v(i_{13})_{1,1} \otimes v(i_{13})_{1,1} \otimes v(i_{13})_{1,2} \otimes v(i_{13})_{1,1} \otimes v(i_{1$								560(+75-112) $524(+81-121)$ $430(+61-92)$
8.7912.0 $26^{(+)}$ 2002Si20 1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{12}h_{22})_{k=1}$: $(\otimes p_{11})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ 8.7912.0 $27^{(+)}$ 289.0 $2002Si29$ 1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{12}h_{22})_{k=1}$: $(\otimes p_{11})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ 8.830.3 $28^{(+)}$ 399.5 $2002Si29$ $\nu(i_{1122}^{-2}(p_{22}f_{32})^1)$ by comparison with the configuration of band 3 and with the similar decay pattern between the similar bands in $ ^{19}Ph$.9. X 2.Oblate deformation ($ \beta_2 <0.1$).11625.1 $34^{(+)}$ 597.2 $31^{(-)}$ $35(-)$ 11625.1 $34^{(+)}$ 597.2 $31^{(+)}$ $31^{(-)}$ 150.3 + X150.3 $2002Si29$ $1.$ Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{12}h_{22})_{k=11}$ 9.X 2002Si20 1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{12}h_{22})_{k=11}$ 9.X $2002Si29$ $1.$ Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{12}h_{22})_{k=11}$ 9.X $150.3 + X$ $180.6 + X$ $774.2 + X$ 244.3 $10^{(+)}$ $1071.9 + X$ 297.7 <tr< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>5.12(93) and $4.08(+63-94)$ us² respectively</td></tr<>								5.12(93) and $4.08(+63-94)$ us ² respectively
8. 7912.0 $26^{(1)}$ 2002Si20 1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{132}b_{22})_{K=11}\otimes \sqrt{i_{132}}$ 8. 200.0 $27(^{+})$ 289.0 2002Si29 $\sqrt{i_{132}}(p_{23}d_{52}d_{52})^1$ by comparison with the configuration of band 3 and with the similar decay pattern between the similar bands in ^{199}Ph . 9. 30(^{+}) 464.1 2002Si29 2.0blate deformation ($\beta_2 <0.1$). 110461.7 32(^{+}) 544.6 3. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels from 27(^{+}) to 31(^{+}) as given in 2002Si29 are 0.23(4), 0.15(^{+}3-2), 0.13(32, 0.14(^{+}3-2)) and 0.14(3), respectively. 9. X 2002Si20 1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{132}b_{92})_{K=11}\otimes \sqrt{i_{132}b_{92}} = 0.23(4), 0.15(^{+}3-2), 0.13(32, 0.14(^{+}3-2)) = 0.15(3, 0.12(^{+})) = 0.15(^{+}), 0.15(^$								5.12(55) and $4.00(+05-54)$ µv, respectively.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8.	7912.0	26(+)				2002Si20	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{13/2}h_{9/2})_{K=11}$
8540.3 8039.8 9403.929(*) 399.5 399.5399.5 similar decay pattern between the similar bands in 1927b.9403.9 917.1 10461.731(*) 31(*)513.2 513.22. Oblate deformation $(\beta_2 <0.1)$. 3. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels from 27(*) to 31(*) as given in 2002Si29 are 0.34(.4), 0.15(+3-2), 0.13(2), 0.14(+3-2) and 0.14(3), respectively.11625.134(*)597.23. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels from 27(*) to 31(*) as given in 2002Si29 are 0.23(.4), 0.15(+3-2), 0.13(2), 0.14(+3-2) and 0.14(3), respectively.9.X2002Si20 313.3-X1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{132}h_{92}) K_{\pm17}$ v($i_{132}^{-2}(p_{32}f_{32})^2]$ after the band crossing at a frequency around 0.42 MeV by comparison with the configuration of band 4. 2. Oblate deformation ($ \beta_2 <0.1$), 1420.7+X9.X2002Si29 V($i_{132}^{-2}(p_{32}f_{32})^2]$ after the band crossing at a frequency around 0.42 MeV by comparison with the configuration of band 4. 2. Oblate deformation ($ \beta_2 <0.1$), 1420.7+X9.X244.3 1071.9+X39.6 2202Si299.X2002Si29 V($i_{132}^{-2}(p_{32}f_{32})^2]$ after the band crossing at a frequency around 0.42 MeV by comparison with the configuration of band 4. 2. Oblate deformation ($ \beta_2 <0.1$), 1420.7+X1207.1393.6 233.64. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels having transitions 349, 363, 414 and 424 keV as given in 2002Si29 are 0.16((+4-3), 0.15(3), 0.20(4), and 0.16(3), respectively.131.4+X457.8 433.5+X423.7 435.5 (520.2)5. B(M1) values for the transitions 349, 363, 414 and 424 keV as given in 2002Si29 are 6.45(+119).15), 0.25((125		8201.0	27(+)	289.0			2002Si29	$v[i_{13/2}^{-3}(p_{3/2}f_{5/2})^1]$ by comparison with the
8939.8 $29^{(+)}$ 399.5 similar decay pattern between the similar bands in ^{199}Pb .9403.9 $30^{(+)}$ 513.2 $2.$ Oblate deformation ($[\beta_2 <0.1)$.10461.7 $32^{(+)}$ 544.6 $3.$ The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels from $27^{(+)}$ to $31^{(+)}$ as given in 2002Si29 are $0.23(4), 0.15(+3-2)$, $0.13(2), 0.14(+3-2)$ and $0.14(3)$, respectively.11625.1 $34^{(+)}$ 597.2 $0.13(2), 0.14(+3-2)$ and $0.14(3)$, respectively.9.X $2002Si20$ $1.$ Tentatively assigned as $\pi(1i32b_{2}2) \kappa_{-11} \otimes$ $\sqrt{13}(+98.147), 5.68(+87), 3.57(+51.47),$ and $2.72(58) \mu \kappa^2$, respectively.9.X $150.3 + X$ 150.3 $150.3 + X$ 150.3 $2002Si20$ $1.$ Tentatively assigned as $\pi(1i32b_{2}2) \kappa_{-11} \otimes$ $\sqrt{1i32^2} before and \pi(1i32b_{2}2) \kappa_{-11} \otimes\sqrt{1i32^2} before and \pi(1i32b_{2}2) \kappa_{-11} \otimes$		8540.3	$28^{(+)}$	339.3				configuration of band 3 and with the
9403.9 $30^{(+)}$ 464.1 9917.1 $31^{(+)}$ 513.2 10461.7 $32^{(+)}$ 544.6 11027.9 $33^{(+)}$ 566.2 11625.1 $34^{(+)}$ 597.2 9. X 150.3+X 150.3 2002Si20 1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{13}2h_{22}) \times i_{11} \otimes i_{12} \otimes i_$		8939.8	29(+)	399.5				similar decay pattern between the similar
9917.1 $31^{(+)}$ 513.2 2. Oblate deformation ($[\beta]<0.1$). 10461.7 $32^{(+)}$ 544.6 3. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels from $27^{(+)}$ to 11625.1 $34^{(+)}$ 597.2 $0.13(2), 0.14(+3-2) \text{ and } 0.14(3), respectively.11625.134^{(+)}597.20.13(2), 0.14(+3-2) \text{ and } 0.14(3), respectively.9.X20025i201. Tentatively assigned as \pi(i_{132}b_{92}) \kappa_{-11} \otimes 12025i29 \text{ are } 6.84(119), 7.37(+98-147), 5.68(+87), 3.57(+51-77), and 2.72(58) \mu s^2, respectively.9.X20025i201. Tentatively assigned as \pi(i_{132}b_{92}) \kappa_{-11} \otimes 12025i29 \text{ are } 6.84(19), 7.37(+98-147), 5.68(+87), 3.57(+51-77), and 2.72(58) \mu s^2, respectively.9.X1. Tentatively assigned as \pi(i_{132}b_{92}) \kappa_{-11} \otimes 12025i29 \text{ are } 6.84(19), 7.37(+98-147), 5.68(+87), 3.57(+51-77), and 2.72(58) \mu s^2, respectively.9.X1. Tentatively assigned as \pi(i_{132}b_{92}) \kappa_{-11} \otimes 12025i29 \text{ are } 0.28(2025i29)150.3+X181.020025i29150.3+X198.6774.2+X244.31071.9+X297.7120.7+X348.81783.5+X362.82177.1+X393.62177.1+X393.62590.8+X413.7393.1+X457.8393.3.1+X457.84386.6+X493.54396.6+X493.54393.5-Y62025i29 are 0.16(+4.3), 0.15(3), 0.20(4), and 0.16(3), respectively.393.1+X457.84386.6+X493.5$		9403.9	30(+)	464.1				bands in ¹⁹⁹ Pb.
10461.7 $32^{(+)}$ 544.63. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels from $27^{(+)}$ to $31^{(+)}$ as given in 2002Si29 are 0.23(4), 0.15(+3-2), $0.13(2)$, $0.14(+3-2)$ and $0.14(3)$, respectively.9.X2002Si201. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{132}b_{92}) \kappa_{=11} \otimes$ $\gamma_{137}(+98-147)$, 5.68(+87), $3.57(+51-77)$, and $2.72(58) \mu \kappa^3$, respectively.9.X2002Si201. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{132}b_{92}) \kappa_{=11} \otimes$ $\gamma_{137}(+98-147)$, 5.68(+87), $3.57(+51-77)$, and $2.72(58) \mu \kappa^3$, respectively.9.X150.32002Si201. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{132}b_{92}) \kappa_{=11} \otimes$ $\gamma_{137}(-98-147)$, 2.68(+70, $3.57(+51-77)$, and $2.72(58) \mu \kappa^3$, respectively.9.X150.32002Si201. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{132}b_{92}) \kappa_{=11} \otimes$ $\gamma_{137}(-92(+98-147)) \kappa_{=1} \otimes$ 		9917.1	31(+)	513.2				2. Oblate deformation ($ \beta_2 < 0.1$).
11027.9 $33^{(+)}$ 566.2 $31^{(+)}$ as given in 2002Si29 are 0.23(4), 0.15(4-3-2), 0.13(2), 0.14(+3-2) and 0.14(3), respectively.9.XSM(M1) values for the transitions from 289 to 513 keV as given in 2002Si29 are 6.84(119), 7.37(+98-147), 5.68(+87), 3.57(+51-77), and 2.72(58) µN ² , respectively.9.X2002Si20 31.3+X1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{132}h_{92}) \kappa_{=11}$ '® $\vee(i_{132}^{-2})$ before and $\pi(i_{132}h_{92}) \kappa_{=11}$ '9.X2002Si20 31.3+X1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{132}h_{92}) \kappa_{=11}$ '9.X2002Si29 $\vee(i_{132}^{-2})$ before and $\pi(i_{132}h_{92}) \kappa_{=11}$ '9.X1.1.150.3+X180.0 $\times 19.9$ × $\vee(i_{132}^{-2})$ before and $\pi(i_{132}h_{92}) \kappa_{=11}$ '9.X2002Si29 $\vee(i_{132}^{-2})$ before and $\pi(i_{132}h_{92}) \kappa_{=11}$ '9.X1.1.120.7+X198.6 $\times 197.7$ $\vee(i_{132}^{-2})$ before and $\pi(i_{132}h_{92}) \kappa_{=11}$ '120.7+X348.8 $1071.9+X$ 297.71420.7+X348.8 1157 3.2177.1+X393.6 $2590.8+X$ 413.7 $2002Si29$ are 0.16(+4-3), 0.15(3), 0.20(4), and $2002Si29$ are 0.16(+1-3), 0.15(3), 0.20(2), and $2002Si29$ are 0.16(+1-15)), 6.26(125), 3.38(56) and and 3.97(75) µN ² , respec		10461.7	32(+)	544.6				3. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels from $27^{(+)}$ to
11625.1 34^{cy} 597.2 $0.13(2), 0.14(4:3-2) and 0.14(3), respectively.9.X4. B(M1) values for the transitions from 289 to513 keV as given in 2002Si29 are 6.84(119),7.37(+98-147), 5.68(+87), 3.57(+51-77),and 2.72(58) \mu x^2, respectively.9.X2002Si201. Tentatively assigned as \pi(i_{132}h_{9/2}) \kappa_{=11}9.X150.3+X150.3150.3+X150.32002Si29v(i_{132}^{-2}) before and \pi(i_{132}h_{9/2}) \kappa_{=11}9.X1. Tentatively assigned as \pi(i_{132}h_{9/2}) \kappa_{=11}9.X2002Si29v(i_{1322}^{-2}) before and \pi(i_{132}h_{9/2}) \kappa_{=11}9.X150.3+X181.0150.3+X198.6requency around 0.42 MeV by comparisonwith the configuration of band 4.1071.9+X297.72. Oblate deformation (\beta_2 <0.1).1420.7+X348.83. Bandhead spin tentatively assigned as 17' fromthe decay pattern.177.1+X393.64. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels havingtransitions 349, 363, 414 and 424 keV as given in2002Si29 are 0.16(4+-3), 0.15(3), 0.20(4), and0.16(3), respectively.3893.1+X457.85. B(M1) values for the transitions 349, 363, 414and 424 keV as given in 2002Si29 are(4906.8+X)(250.2)6.45(+119-159), 6.26(125), 3.38(56) andand 3.97(75) \mu x^2, respectively.$		11027.9	$33^{(+)}$	566.2				$31^{(+)}$ as given in 2002Si29 are 0.23(4), 0.15(+3-2),
9.X 2002Si20 1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{13/2}h_{9/2})_{K=11}$ %9.X 2002Si20 1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{13/2}h_{9/2})_{K=11}$ %9.X 2002Si20 1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{13/2}h_{9/2})_{K=11}$ %9.X150.3 + X150.32002Si29 $\nu(i_{13/2}h_{2/2})_{K=11}$ %331.3+X181.0529.9+X198.6774.2+X244.31071.9+X297.71420.7+X348.82177.1+X393.62177.1+X393.62177.1+X393.62177.1+X393.62173.1+X413.73011.6+X420.8303.1+X457.84385.6+X435.5406.8+X)(520.2)6.45(+119-159), 6.26(125), 3.38(56) and and 3.97(75) μ_N^2 , respectively.		11625.1	34(+)	597.2				0.13(2), 0.14(+3-2) and $0.14(3)$, respectively.
9. X 1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{132}h_{92})_{K=11}$ \otimes 1. Tentat								4. B(M1) values for the transitions from 289 to 512 keV as given in 2002Si20 and 6.84(110)
9. X 150.3+X 150.3+X 150.3+X 150.3+X 150.3+X 150.3+X 150.3+X 151.3+X 151.3+X 151.3+X 151.3+X 151.3+X 151.3+X 151.3+X 151.3+X 151.3+X 151.3+X 152.9								515 KeV as given in 2002 5129 are $6.84(119)$,
9.X 2002Si20 1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{132}h_{9/2})_{K=11}$ \otimes $v(i_{132}^{-2})$ before and $\pi(i_{132}h_{9/2})_{K=11}$ \otimes $v(i_{132}^{-2})$ before and $\pi(i_{132}h_{9/2})_{K=11}$ \otimes $v(i_{132}^{-2})_{29/2}f_{5/2})^2$] after the band crossing at a frequency around 0.42 MeV by comparison with the configuration of band 4.1071.9+X297.72. Oblate deformation ($ \beta_2 <0.1$).1420.7+X348.83. Bandhead spin tentatively assigned as 17 ⁻ from the decay pattern.177.1+X393.64. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels having transitions 349, 363, 414 and 424 keV as given in 2002Si29 are 0.16(+4-3), 0.15(3), 0.20(4), and 0.16(3), respectively.3893.1+X457.85. B(M1) values for the transitions 349, 363, 414 and 424 keV as given in 2002Si29 are (4906.8+X)(4906.8+X)(520.2)6.45(+119-159), 6.26(125), 3.38(56) and and 3.97(75) µx ² , respectively.								7.5/(+98-147), 5.08(+87), 5.5/(+51-77),
9. X 2002Si20 1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_{13/2}h_{9/2})_{K=11}$ \otimes $v(i_{13/2}f_{9/2})_{K=11}$ \otimes $v(i_{13/2}f_{9/2})_{K=11}$ \otimes $v(i_{13/2}f_{9/2})_{K=11}$ \otimes $v(i_{13/2}f_{9/2})_{K=11}$ \otimes $v(i_{13/2}f_{9/2})_{F=11}$ \otimes $v(i_{13/2}f_{9/2})_$								and 2.72(58) μ N ² , respectively.
J.Internatively assigned as $n(11/2/19/2) N \in 11 \otimes 10^{-1} (11/2/19/2) N = 10^{-1} (11$	Q	x					20025;20	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(i_1, a_1, b_2, a_3) = 1$
130.3 HX180.3130.3 HX180.3130.3 HX331.3+X181.0 $v[i_{13/2}^{-2}(p_{3/2}f_{5/2})^2]$ after the band crossing at a529.9+X198.6frequency around 0.42 MeV by comparison774.2+X244.3with the configuration of band 4.1071.9+X297.72. Oblate deformation ($ \beta_2 <0.1$).1420.7+X348.83. Bandhead spin tentatively assigned as 17° from1783.5+X362.8the decay pattern.2177.1+X393.64. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels having2590.8+X413.7transitions 349, 363, 414 and 424 keV as given in3011.6+X420.82002Si29 are 0.16(+4-3), 0.15(3), 0.20(4), and3435.3+X423.75. B(M1) values for the transitions 349, 363, 4144386.6+X493.56.45(+119-159), 6.26(125), 3.38(56) and4906.8+X)(520.2) $6.45(+119-159), 6.26(125), 3.38(56) and$		150.3 + X		150.3			20025120	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(15/219/2) \times 11^{-10}$
501.511 101.0 101.0 101.0 $529.9+X$ 198.6frequency around 0.42 MeV by comparison $774.2+X$ 244.3with the configuration of band 4. $1071.9+X$ 297.72. Oblate deformation ($ \beta_2 <0.1$). $1420.7+X$ 348.83. Bandhead spin tentatively assigned as 17° from $1783.5+X$ 362.8the decay pattern. $2177.1+X$ 393.64. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels having $2590.8+X$ 413.7transitions 349, 363, 414 and 424 keV as given in $3011.6+X$ 420.8 $2002Si29$ are $0.16(+4-3), 0.15(3), 0.20(4), and3435.3+X423.70.16(3), respectively.3893.1+X457.85. B(M1) values for the transitions 349, 363, 4144386.6+X493.5and 424 keV as given in 2002Si29 are(4906.8+X)(520.2)6.45(+119-159), 6.26(125), 3.38(56) andand 3.97(75) \ \mu n^2, respectively.$		331 3+X		181.0			2002512)	$v(113/2)^{-2}(n_2)(f_{z/2})^2$ after the hand crossing at a
522.91121701 $774.2+X$ 244.3 $1071.9+X$ 297.7 $1420.7+X$ 348.8 $1783.5+X$ 362.8 $2177.1+X$ 393.6 $2590.8+X$ 413.7 $3011.6+X$ 420.8 $3011.6+X$ 420.8 $3023.1+X$ 423.7 $3893.1+X$ 457.8 457.8 5. B(M1) values for the transitions 349, 363, 414 $4386.6+X$ 493.5 $(4906.8+X)$ (520.2) $6.45(+119-159), 6.26(125), 3.38(56) and and 3.97(75) \mu N^2, respectively.$		529 9+X		198.6				frequency around 0.42 MeV by comparison
1071.9+X297.72. Oblate deformation ($ \beta_2 <0.1$).1420.7+X348.83. Bandhead spin tentatively assigned as 17° from the decay pattern.1783.5+X362.84. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels having transitions 349, 363, 414 and 424 keV as given in 2002Si29 are 0.16(+4-3), 0.15(3), 0.20(4), and 0.16(3), respectively.3893.1+X457.85. B(M1) values for the transitions 349, 363, 414 and 424 keV as given in 2002Si29 are (4906.8+X)(4906.8+X)(520.2) $6.45(+119-159), 6.26(125), 3.38(56)$ and and $3.97(75) \mu N^2$, respectively.		774.2+X		244.3				with the configuration of band 4
1420.7+X348.83. Bandhead spin tentatively assigned as 17^{-} from1420.7+X362.83. Bandhead spin tentatively assigned as 17^{-} from1783.5+X362.84. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels having2177.1+X393.64. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels having2590.8+X413.72002Si29 are 0.16(+4-3), 0.15(3), 0.20(4), and3011.6+X420.82002Si29 are 0.16(+4-3), 0.15(3), 0.20(4), and3435.3+X423.70.16(3), respectively.3893.1+X457.85. B(M1) values for the transitions 349, 363, 4144386.6+X493.5and 424 keV as given in 2002Si29 are(4906.8+X)(520.2)6.45(+119-159), 6.26(125), 3.38(56) and and 3.97(75) μ N ² , respectively.		1071.9 + X		297.7				2. Oblate deformation ($ \beta_2 < 0.1$)
1783.5+X362.8the decay pattern.2177.1+X393.64. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels having transitions 349, 363, 414 and 424 keV as given in 2002Si29 are 0.16(+4-3), 0.15(3), 0.20(4), and 0.16(3), respectively.3011.6+X420.82002Si29 are 0.16(+4-3), 0.15(3), 0.20(4), and 0.16(3), respectively.3893.1+X457.85. B(M1) values for the transitions 349, 363, 414 and 424 keV as given in 2002Si29 are (4906.8+X)(4906.8+X)(520.2) $6.45(+119-159), 6.26(125), 3.38(56)$ and and $3.97(75) \mu N^2$, respectively.		1420.7+X		348.8				3. Bandhead spin tentatively assigned as 17 ⁻ from
$2177.1+X$ 393.6 4. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels having transitions $349, 363, 414$ and 424 keV as given in $2002Si29$ are $0.16(+4-3), 0.15(3), 0.20(4)$, and $0.16(3)$, respectively. $3435.3+X$ 423.7 $0.16(3)$, respectively. $3893.1+X$ 457.8 $5.$ B(M1) values for the transitions $349, 363, 414$ $0.16(3)$, respectively. 457.8 $6.45(+119-159), 6.26(125), 3.38(56)$ and and $3.97(75) \mu N^2$, respectively.		1783.5+X		362.8				the decay pattern.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2177.1+X		393.6				4. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels having
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2590.8+X		413.7				transitions 349, 363, 414 and 424 keV as given in
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		3011.6+X		420.8				2002Si29 are 0.16(+4-3), 0.15(3), 0.20(4), and
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		3435.3+X		423.7				0.16(3), respectively.
$\begin{array}{cccc} 4386.6+X & 493.5 & \text{and } 424 \text{ keV as given in } 2002Si29 \text{ are} \\ (4906.8+X) & (520.2) & 6.45(+119-159), 6.26(125), 3.38(56) \text{ and} \\ \text{and } 3.97(75) \ \mu\text{N}^2, \text{ respectively.} \end{array}$		3893.1+X		457.8				5. B(M1) values for the transitions 349, 363, 414
(4906.8+X) (520.2) $6.45(+119-159), 6.26(125), 3.38(56) \text{ and} and 3.97(75) \mu_N^2, respectively.$		4386.6+X		493.5				and 424 keV as given in 2002Si29 are
and 3.97(75) μ _N ² , respectively.		(4906.8+X)		(520.2)				6.45(+119-159), 6.26(125), 3.38(56) and
								and 3.97(75) μ N ² , respectively.

 $^{196}_{82}$ Pb $_{114}$

$^{197}_{82}$ Pb $_{115}$

	Elevel	I^{π}	E _γ (M1)	E _γ (E2)	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	KeV		KeV	keV	(µ _N /eb) ²		
1.	3283.4	27/2-				2001Go06	1. $\pi(h_{9/2i_{13/2}})_{K=11} \otimes \nu(i_{13/2})$ below crossing,
	3436.0	29/2-	152.6			2001Co19	$\pi(h_{9/2}i_{13/2})_{K=11} \otimes v(i_{13/2}^{-3})$ above the first band
	3706.5	31/2-	270.5			1999Po13	crossing and $\pi(h_{9/2i_{13/2}})_{K=11} \otimes \nu(i_{13/2})^{-3} (f_{5/2}p_{3/2})^{-2}),$
	4065.6	33/2-	359.1	629.8	30(11)	1995Ba35	above second crossing by comparison with the
	4435.4	35/2-	369.8	729.0	26(8)	1992Ku06	similar band in neighboring Pb isotopes and
	4820.4	37/2-	385.0	754.9	21(6)	1994Cl01	from the TAC model calculations.
	5185.6	39/2-	365.2	750.2	21(6)	1998Cl06	2. Small oblate deformation.
	5479.4	41/2-	293.8	659.2	54(21)		3. Regular band showing a backbend at 41/2.
	5707.0	43/2-	227.6	521.7	35(11)		4. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of levels from 3436 to
	5952.4	45/2-	245.4	473.2	57(29)		5707 keV as given in 2001Co19 are 1.1(3),
	6237.6	47/2-	285.2	531.0	33(15)		0.71(19), 0.60(16), 0.49(15), 1.0(5), 0.8(4),
	6564.8	49/2-	327.2	612.4	25(10)		0.7(2) and $0.8(3)$, respectively and that for
	6903.7	51/2-	338.9	666.1	84(43)		levels from 6238 to 7257 keV as given in
	7257.0	53/2-	353.3	692.1	68(34)		1998C106 are 0.40(2), 0.29(+3-2), 0.17(+2-1)
	7659.8	55/2-	402.8	756.0	46(23)		and $0.17(+2-1)$, respectively.
	8120.1	57/2	460.3	862.8	41(20)		5. The B(M1) values for the transitions from 152×228 h M
	8635.2	59/2	515.1	9/5.1	16(6)		153 to 228 keV as given in 2001Co19 are
	9197.8	61/2	502.0	1077.4	18(8)		3.8(9), 2.3(4), 1.6(3), 1.8(4), 0.8(4), 1.1(4),
	9795.8	05/2	390.0	1136.1	57(29)		2.1(5) and 3.1(12) (μ N ²), respectively and that for the patient from 285 to 252 heV as given
	10405.5	05/2	011./	1207.4	47(25)		$\frac{1000000}{10000000000000000000000000000$
							III 1998C100 are $4.59(25)$, $4.55(+51-47)$, 7.05(+41, 82) and 6.25 (+27, 75) (4.2^{2})
							$7.03(+41-83)$ and $0.33(+37-73)(\mu N^{-})$,
							respectively.
2	4794.0	37/2+				2001Go06	1π (herican) $\pi (-\infty)^{-2}$ (i.e. π^{-2} from $^{-1}$) helow and
2.	49064	30/2+	1124			1000Po13	$\pi(h_{3/21}/2)K=11 \otimes V(h_{3/2}/15/2) \text{ below and}$
	5057.7	$\frac{37}{2}$	1513			1995Ba35	handcrossing from the TAC model calculations
	5258.3	$\frac{1}{2}$	200.6			1992Ku06	 Small oblate deformation
	5525.0	$45/2^+$	266.7			1993Hu08	3 The mean lifetimes (in ps) for the transitions from
	5861.7	$47/2^+$	336.7			1994Cl01	151.3 to 266.7 keV as given in 1994Cl01 are
	6265.6	$49/2^{+}$	403.9	740.7	85(47)	1998Cl06	1.8(8), 0.9(4) and 1.2(3), and from 337 to
	6711.7	$51/2^{+}$	446.1	849.9	28(11)		467 as given in 1998Cl06 are 0.17(3), 0.13(+3
	7178.8	53/2+	467.1	913.3	38(17)		-2), 0.16(2) and 0.28(+5-6), respectively.
	7612.5	55/2+	433.7	900.6	65(35)		4. The B(M1) values as given in 1994Cl01 for the
	7983.9	57/2+	371.4				transitions from 151 to 267 keV are 2.32(+232
	8371.5	59/2+	387.6				-77), 3.66(+220-100) and 1.78(+118-51) (μ N ²),
	8794.1	$61/2^+$	422.6				respectively, and that for transitions from 337
	9245.8	63/2+	451.7				to 467 keV, as given in 1998Cl06 are
	9722.9	$65/2^+$	477.1				7.18(127), 5.88(+90-136), 3.72 (47) and
							1.90(+41-34) (μ N ²), respectively.
2	5000 6	20/2(+)				20010 00	
3.	5232.6	39/2(+)	1 < 2 7			2001G006	1. $\pi(h_{9/2113/2})_{K=11} \otimes v(1_{13/2} p_{3/2})$ below and
	5395.3	$41/2^{(+)}$	162.7			1995Ba35	$\pi(h_{9/21_{13/2}})_{K=11} \otimes v(i_{13/2}^{-4}f_{5/2}^{-1})$ above the
	5614.1	$43/2^{(+)}$	218.8			1999Po13	bandcrossing by comparison with the
	58/8.8	45/2 ⁽⁺⁾	264.7				configuration of band 2.
	0195.4	$4/(2^{(+)})$	510.0				2. Small oblate deformation.
	0338./	49/2 ⁽⁺⁾ 51/2 ⁽⁺⁾	303.3 252 0				5. megular band.
	12.5 7284	$51/2^{(+)}$	272 0				
	1200.4 7677 5	55/2(+)	3/3.9 201 1				
	8067.7	57/2(+)	300.2				
	8438 7	59/2(+)	371.0				
	8830 5	$61/2^{(+)}$	391.8				
	0000.0	01/2.7	571.0				

197 D	h
82 F	D 115

	E _{level} KeV	Ιπ	E _γ (M1) KeV	E _γ (E2) keV	$\frac{B(M1)/B(E2)}{(\mu_N/eb)^2}$	References	Configurations and Comments:
4.	6014.1 6202.1 6407.9 6659.3 6993.4 7406.6 7859.5	43/2 ⁻ 45/2 ⁻ 47/2 ⁻ 49/2 ⁻ 51/2 ⁻ 53/2 ⁻ 55/2 ⁻	188.0 205.8 251.4 334.1 413.2 452.9	866.1	27(10)	2001Go06	 π(h_{9/2}i_{13/2})_{K=11}⁻⊗v(i_{13/2}⁻³ f_{5/2}⁻²), by comparison with band 1 and TAC calculations. Small oblate deformation. Regular band.
	8352.7 8878.1 9441.0 10022.9	57/2- 59/2- 61/2- 63/2-	493.2 525.4 562.9 581.9	946.1	23(9)		
5.	6262.6 6517.9 6806.5 7147.2 7550.8 8015.4	45/2 ⁽⁺⁾ 47/2 ⁽⁺⁾ 49/2 ⁽⁺⁾ 51/2 ⁽⁺⁾ 53/2 ⁽⁺⁾ 55/2 ⁽⁺⁾	255.3 288.6 340.7 403.6 464.6	868.2	54(23)	2001Go06	 π(h_{9/2}i_{13/2}) _{K=11}-⊗ν(i_{13/2}-² (f_{5/2}p_{3/2})-³, by comparison with band 1, 4 and TAC calculations. Small oblate deformation. Regular band.
	8516.6 9041.4 9581.3	57/2 ⁽⁺⁾ 59/2 ⁽⁺⁾ 61/2 ⁽⁺⁾	504.2 521.8 539.9	968.8	17(8)		

$^{198}_{82}$ Pb $_{116}$

	Elevel	Ιπ	E _γ (M1)	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	(μ _N /eb) ²		
1.	4882.7	(14+)				2001Go06	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(h_{9/2}i_{13/2})_{K=11}$
	4975.7	(15+)	93.0			1993Cl05	$v(i_{3/2}^{-1}f_{5/2}^{-1})$ before, $\pi(h_{9/2}i_{13/2})_{K=11} \otimes v(i_{13/2}^{-3}f_{5/2}^{-1})$
	5092.2	(16+)	116.5			1992Wa20	above first band crossing and $\pi(h_{9/2}i_{13/2})_{K=11}$
	5248.9	(17^{+})	156.7			1994Cl01	$v(i_{3/2}^{-3}(f_{5/2}p_{3/2})^{-3})$ above the second band
	5476.5	(18+)	227.6			1997Cl03	crossing from TAC calculations and by
	5812.5	(19+)	336.0				comparison with similar bands in neighboring
	6241.0	(20^{+})	428.5				Pb isotopes.
	6659.4	(21^{+})	418.4				2. Nearly oblate shape.
	6866.8	(22+)	206.5				3. The mean lifetimes (in ps) for the transitions from
	7073.3	(23+)	206.5				207 to 506 keV as given in 1994Cl01 are
	7311.0	(24+)	237.7				2.1(4), 0.85(30), 1.1(6), 0.58(15), 0.36(10),
	7590.5	(25+)	279.5				0.20(4), 0.099(25) and 0.052(11),
	7916.1	(26+)	325.6				respectively.
	8290.5	(27+)	374.4	700.2	76(41)		4. B(M1) values for the transitions from 207 to
	8712.2	(28+)	421.7	796.1	>91		506 keV as given in 1994Cl01 are 1.32(+32-21),
	9175.8	(29+)	463.6	885.3	>79		2.64(+140-70), 1.41(+176-53), 1.94(+88-53),
	9681.1	(30+)	505.3	968.9	68(34)		2.11(+88-53), 2.82 (+88-53), 4.58(+158-88) and
	10230.5	(31+)	549.4	1054.6	40(24)		$6.51(+194-158) (\mu N^2)$, respectively.
	10820.8	(32+)	590.3	1139.7	>35		5. Regular band.
	11438.5	(33+)	617.7	1208.0	9(5)		
	12059.5	(34+)	621.0	1238.7	>16		
	12699.0	(35+)	639.5				

$^{198}_{82}$ Pb $_{116}$

	Elevel	Ιπ	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	(µ _N /eb) ²		
2.	6518.9	(20)				2001Go06	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(h_{9/2}i_{13/2})_{K=11}$
	6734.2	(21)	215.3			1993Cl05	$\nu(i_{3/2}^{-2}(f_{5/2}p_{3/2})^{-2})$ before and $\pi(h_{9/2}i_{13/2})_{K=11}^{-1}$
	7016.7	(22-)	282.5				$v(i_{3/2}^{-4}(f_{5/2}p_{3/2})^{-2})$ after the band crossing from
	7360.4	(23-)	343.7				TAC calculations and by comparison with
	7778.9	(24-)	418.5				band 3.
	8255.5	(25)	476.6				2. Small oblate deformation.
	8739.4	(26)	483.9				3. Regular band.
	9154.4	(27-)	415.0				
3	5370 1	16-				2001 Co06	1. Tortativaly assigned as -(hairan)
5.	5402.7	17-	113.6			1003C105	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(19/2113/2)$ K=11 \otimes
	5648 4	17	155.7			1993C103	$V(13/2)$ before and $\pi(119/2113/2)K=11 \otimes V(13/2)$ after the hand crossing from TAC calculations
	5863 4	10	215.0			1992 w a20	2 Small oblate deformation
	5805.4 6141.8	20-	213.0			1994C101	3. The mean lifetimes (in ps) for the transitions from
	6484.0	20	342.2	621.0	40(17)	1998Kr20	156 to 476 keV as given in 1994C101 are
	6872.8	21	388.8	731.0	39(17)	1))01(120	27(9) 1 8(5) 2 1(5) 1 14(23) 0 72(10)
	7295.2	22-	422.4	811.2	38(17)		0.46(10), 0.24(4), 0.22(6), and 0.27(7)
	77393	23 24-	444 1	866.5	24(7)		respectively and B(M1) values for these
	8210.8	25-	471.5	915.6	21(6)		transitions are $1.18(+60-30)$, $1.46(+56-32)$, 0.79
	8686.0	26-	475.2	946.7	26(10)		(+21-16), 0.84(+19-14), 0.97(+19-14), 1.21(+46)
	9112.3	27-	426.3	901.5	34(14)		-21), 1.88(+67-30), 1.74(+86-33) and 1.30(+60
	9512.3	28-	400.0	826.3	50(16)		-25) μn^2 , respectively.
	9930.5	29-	418.2	818.2	>40		4. The mean lifetimes (in ps) for the transitions from
	10380.3	30-	449.8				156 to 342.8 keV as given in 1998Kr20 are
	10869.3	31-	489.0				0.63(10), 0.70(+10-20), 0.34(+15-10) and
	11398.7	32-	529.4				0.20(+20-10) and the B(M1) values for
	11970.8	33-	572.1				these transitions are 6.2(+11-9), 3.8(+15-5),
	12579.8	34-	609.0				4.9(+20-15) and 4.9(+48-28) μ_N^2 respectively.
4	(6392.6)	(18-)				2001Go06	1 Tentatively assigned as $\pi(h_0 \sigma_{12/2}) \kappa_{-11} \otimes \nu(i_{12/2})^2$
••	(65153)	(10^{-})	122.7			1993C105	$(f_{z}(n_{2}n_{2})^{-2})$ before and $\pi(h_{2}(n_{2}n_{2})) = 11^{-8} V(n_{2}n_{2})^{-4}$
	(6674.4)	(20^{-})	159.1			17700100	$(f_{5/2}p_{3/2})^{-2}$ after the bandcrossing from TAC
	(6878.3)	(20)	203.9				calculations.
	(7142.9)	(22^{-1})	264.6				2. Small oblate deformation.
	(7480.1)	(23^{-})	337.2				3. Regular band.
	(7835.0)	(24^{-})	354.9				
	(8243.5)	(25-)	408.5				
	(8695.0)	(26)	451.5				
	(9146.5)	(27-)	451.5	903.0			
5	7222 4	(22+)				20010-06	
э.	1333.4	(23^{+})	221.0			2001G000 1002C105	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(h_{9/2}I_{13/2}) \ge K_{=11} \otimes V(I_{13/2})^{-1}$
	1554.4	(24')	221.0			19950105	P3/2 ⁻¹) before and π (h9/2113/2) K=11 ⁻ \otimes V(113/2 ⁻³)
	//94.8	(25')	240.4				r _{3/2} ·) after the bandcrossing from TAC
	8409 2	(20') (27^+)	201.5 332 1				calculations and by comparison with the
	0400.2 8700 7	(27^{+})	332.1 301 5				2 Small oblate deformed structure
	0177.1	(20^{+})	391.3 155 0				2. Small oblate deformed structure.
	9234.9 9770 1	(29^{+}) (30 ⁺)	455.2 515.2	960 8			J. Regulai Dallu.
	10329.1	(30) (31^+)	559.0	707.0			
	10921 3	(31^{+})	592.2				
	10/21.0	(22)	2,2.2				

100	
¹⁹⁹ Ph	
82 ¹ 9117	

	Elevel	\mathbf{I}^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	3604.2	$(25/2^{-})$				1995Ne09	1. $\pi(h_{9/2}i_{13/2})_{K=11} \otimes \nu(i_{13/2})^{-1}$ below and
	3694.1	$(27/2^{-})$	89.9			1999Po13	$\pi(h_{9/2}i_{13/2})_{K=11} \otimes v(i_{13/2})^{-3}$ above the
	3868.0	$(29/2^{-})$	173.9			1994Ba43	bandcrossing from the TAC model
	4143.4	$(31/2^{-})$	275.4			1997Cl03	calculations.
	4502.8	(33/2-)	359.4	634.8			2. Small oblate deformation $(\beta_2, \gamma) \sim (0.1, -70^\circ)$
	4904.1	$(35/2^{-})$	401.3	760.8			3. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of states with spins
	5324.8	$(37/2^{-})$	420.7	822.1			from 43/2 to 49/2 are 0.37(+51-29), 0.31(+31-24),
	5746.3	$(39/2^{-})$	421.5	842.4			0.17(+6-4) and $0.13(+4-3)$, and for the states
	6074.9	$(41/2^{-})$	328.6	750.1			with spins 51/2 to 57/2 as given in 1997Cl03
	6309.5	(43/2-)	234.6				are $0.20(5)$, $0.16(+5-4)$, $0.15(+5-4)$ and
	6549.6	(45/2-)	240.1				0.21(+6-5), respectively.
	6823.4	(47/2-)	273.8				4. B(M1) values for the transitions 234.6, 240.1
	7139.7	(49/2-)	316.3	590.1	35(+22-20)		and 273.8 keV are 6.6(+25-38), 7.4(+24-38)
	7502.8	(51/2-)	363.1	679.5	27(+18-14)		and 10.6(+34-29) μ _N ² and for the transitions
	7914.1	(53/2-)	411.3	774.6	27(+15-18)		from 363.1 to 508.3 keV as given in 1997Cl03
	8373.4	(55/2-)	459.3	870.9	38(20)		are 4.8(13), 4.4(+12-15), 3.0(+7-9) and
	8881.7	(57/2-)	508.3	967.7			1.7(+4-5) μ N ² , respectively.
	9436.5	(59/2-)	554.8	(1063)			5. Regular band with backbending at $41/2$.
2	x	$(35/2^{+})$				1999 ₽ ₀13	1 π (heriver) $\pi \rightarrow \infty$ (i.e. $2^{2} f_{r} - 1$) below and
2.	08 2±X	(33/2) $(37/2^+)$	08.2			1005Ne00	$\pi(h_{2}(13/2)K=1) \otimes V(113/2 - 15/2) \text{ below and}$
	$20.2\pm\Lambda$	(31/2) $(30/2^+)$	125.0			1002Bo13	$h(119/2113/2)$ K=11 $\otimes V(113/2 \ 15/2 \)$ above the
	$223.2+\Lambda$	(39/2)	125.0			1992Da13	calculations
	500.0+X 603 4+X	(41/2) $(43/2^+)$	214.6			1994045	2 Small oblate deformation $(\beta_{0,M}) = (0, 1, -70^{\circ})$
	871.2 X	$(45/2^+)$	214.0			17770105	2. Small oblate deformation $(p_2, \gamma) \sim (0.1, -70^\circ)$
	$0/1.2+\Lambda$ 110/ 3+X	(43/2)	207.0				3 The mean lifetimes (in ps) of states with spins
	1174.3+X 1571 $4+X$	(47/2) $(49/2^+)$	377.1	700.1	28(9)		from $47/2$ to $55/2$ are 0.19(+15-8), 0.14(+6-4)
	1371.4+X 2001 7+X	(4)/2	430.3	807.1	49(28)		0.10(+3.2) 0.06(2) and 0.11(2) respectively
	2001.7 + X 2483 6+X	$(51/2^{+})$ $(53/2^{+})$	481.9	912.4	52(20)		and that for spin $57/2$ as given in 1997ClO3 is
	3015 6+X	$(55/2^+)$	532.0	1014.2	30(9)		0.14(+3-2)
	3589.2+X	$(57/2^+)$	573.6	1105.7	34(10)		4. B(M1) value for the transition 323.1 keV is
	4207.4 + X	$(59/2^+)$	618.5	1192.1	45(11)		$6.6(+47-29)$ μv^2 from 1995Ne09
	4546 6+X	$(61/2^+)$	339.2		10(11)		5 $B(M1)/B(E2)$ values are from 1992Ba13
	4932.5+X	$(63/2^+)$	385.9				6. Regular band with backbending at spin 61/2.
	5353.5+X	$(65/2^+)$	421.0				
	5806.9+X	$(67/2^+)$	453.4				
	6303.4+X	$(69/2^+)$	496.5				
	6845.9+X	$(71/2^+)$	542.5				
	7433.6+X	(73/2+)	587.7				
2	37					10045 42	
3.	Y 1277 X	(39/2 ⁺)	1077			1994Ba43	1. I entatively assigned as $\pi(h_{9/2113/2})_{K=11}$
	137.7+Y	$(41/2^+)$	137.7			1999Po13	$v(1_{13/2}^{-2} t_{5/2}^{-1})$ trom the TAC model calculation.
	302.3+Y	(43/2+)	164.6			1995Ne09	2. Small oblate deformation (β_2, γ) ~ (0.1, -70°)
	510.6+Y	(45/2+)	208.3				3. The topmost transition is from 1999Po13.
	7/81.6+Y	$(4^{\prime}/2^{+})$	271.0				4. Regular band.
	1123.6+Y	$(49/2^+)$	342.0				
	1540.6+Y	$(51/2^+)$	417.0	000.0			
	2023.3+Y	$(53/2^+)$	482.7	900.0			
	2560.1+Y	$(55/2^{+})$	536.8	1019.6			
	3143.3+Y	(37/2)	383.2	1122.0			

$^{199}_{82}$ Pb $_{117}$

	E _{level} keV	\mathbf{I}^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	$\frac{B(M1)/B(E2)}{(\mu_N/eb)^2}$	References	Configurations and Comments:
4.	Z 97 7+Z		97 7			1994Ba43 1999Po13	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(h_{9/2}^2)_{K=8}^+ \otimes v(i_{13/2}^{-3})$. 2. The estimated bandhead spin is 37/2 since it
	232.9+Z		135.2			17771015	populates states with spin around 33/2.
	426.1+Z		193.2				3. The two topmost transitions are from 1999Po13
	673.5+Z		247.4				4. Regular band with signature splitting and
	967.6+Z		294.1	541.4			backbending at the top of the band.
	1349.7+Z		382.1	676.2			
	1743.9+Z		394.2	776.4			
	2227.4+Z		483.5	877.6			
	2737.9+Z		510.5	994.2			
	3256.7+Z		518.8	1029.4			
	3594.9+Z		338.2				
5.	U					1994Ba43	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(h_{9/2}^2)_{K=8}^+ \otimes \nu(i_{13/2}^{-4})$
	242.9+U		242.9				p _{3/2} -1).
	550.2+U		307.3				2. The estimated bandhead spin is 45/2 since it
	863.2+U		313.0	620.5			populates states with spin around 41/2.
	1247.8+U		384.6	697.6			3. Regular band with signature splitting.
	1661.8+U		414.0	798.7			
	2148.8+U		487.0	901.4			

$^{200}_{82}Pb_{118}$

	Elevel	Ιπ	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu N/eb)^2$		
1.	X 100.6+X 223.9+X 384.2+X 592.8+X 855.3+X 1174.8+X 1549.5+X 1978.9+X 2459.5+X 2992.5+X 3574.6+X		100.6 123.3 160.3 208.6 262.5 319.5 374.7 429.4 480.6 533.0 582.1	(1014)	(μι/eb)	1994Ba43	 π(h_{9/2}i_{13/2})_{K=11} ⁻⊗v(i_{13/2}⁻²) from the TAC model calculation. Small oblate deformation. Tentative bandhead spin is around 17. Regular band.
	4207.0+X		632.4	1214.3			
2.	Y 212.5+Y 452.8+Y 736.1+Y 1065.7+Y 1445.8+Y 1884.6+Y		212.5 240.3 283.3 329.6 380.1 438.8			1994Ba43	 Tentatively assigned as π(h_{9/2}i_{13/2})_{K=11}⁻⊗ v(i_{13/2}⁻³ p_{3/2}⁻¹) from the TAC model calculation. Tentative bandhead spin is around 23. Regular band.
3.	Z 237.5+Z 518.8+Z 853.4+Z 1234.8+Z 1658.3+Z		237.5 281.3 334.6 381.4 423.5			1994Ba43	 Tentatively assigned as π(h_{9/2}i_{13/2})_{K=11}⁻⊗ v(i_{13/2}⁻³ f_{5/2}⁻¹) from the TAC model calculation. Tentative bandhead spin is ~ 23. Regular band.

$^{201}_{82}Pb_{119}$

	E _{level} keV	Ιπ	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	B(M1)/B(E2) $(\mu_N/eb)^2$	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
1.	X 109.2+X 290.8+X 554.6+X 895.4+X 1299.4+X 1758.4+X 2264.1+X 2822.6+X		109.2 181.6 263.8 340.8 404.0 459.0 505.7 558.5	744.6 862.8 964.7	ч, <u> </u>	1995Ba70	 π(h_{9/2}i_{13/2})_{K=11}-⊗ν(i_{13/2}-1) by comparison with a similar band in ¹⁹⁹Pb. Regular band.
2.	6146.0+Y 6247.7+Y 6377.4+Y 6549.0+Y 6769.5+Y 7045.4+Y 7380.0+Y 7773.3+Y 8227.2+Y	35/2 37/2 39/2 41/2 43/2 45/2 45/2 47/2 49/2 51/2	101.7 129.7 171.6 220.5 275.9 334.6 393.3 453.9			1995Ba70	 π(h_{9/2}i_{13/2})_{K=11}-⊗v(i_{13/2}-²p_{3/2}-¹) by comparison with ¹⁹⁹Pb and TAC model calculations. Small oblate deformation. From 47/2 and above, there is a forking of the band with very close lying transitions having energies 333.1, 394.8 and 492.5 keV. Regular band.
3.	Z 139.6+Z 315.4+Z 537.7+Z 814.1+Z 1146.4+Z 1534.5+Z 1975.8+Z 2467.5+Z 3007.3+Z		139.6 175.8 222.3 276.4 332.3 388.1 441.3 491.7 539.8	829.4 933.1 1031.4		1995Ba70	 Tentatively assigned as π(h_{9/2i13/2})_{K=11}⁻⊗ v(i_{13/2}⁻² f_{5/2}⁻¹), because of the similarity in the moment of inertia of the bands 2 and 3. Regular band.
4.	U 176.5+U 402.2+U 680.4+U 1007.1+U 1387.5+U 1817.2+U 2300.3+U 2830.5+U		176.5 225.7 278.2 326.7 380.4 429.7 483.1 530.2			1995Ba70	 Regular band. No configuration assigned by 2002Si20.
5.	V 152.9+V 351.5+V 601.5+V 913.5+V 1287.9+V 1723.9+V 2217.3+V		152.9 198.6 250.0 312.0 374.4 436.0 493.4			1995Ba70	 Regular band. No configuration assigned by 2002Si20.

$^{202}_{82}Pb_{120}$

Elevel	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
keV		keV	keV	(µ _N /eb) ²		
1. X					2000Go47	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(h_{9/2}i_{13/2})_{K=11} \otimes v(i_{13/2})^{-2}$
161.3+X		161.3			1995Ba70	by comparison with the lighter mass Pb
404.6+X		243.3				isotopes.
737.5+X		332.9				2. Small oblate deformation.
1145.1+X		407.6				3. $X > 5.3$ MeV and the bandhead spin > 17 .
1611.6+X		466.5				4. Regular band.
2129.3+X		517.7				
2. Y 130.0+Y 321.7+Y 591.5+Y 940.9+Y 1357.3+Y 1835.2+Y 2358.6+Y		130.0 191.7 269.8 349.4 416.4 477.9 523.4			2000Go47	 Tentatively assigned as π(h_{9/2}i_{13/2})_{K=11}⁻ ⊗v(i_{13/2}⁻¹ p_{3/2}⁻¹) by comparison with the lighter mass Pb isotopes. Small oblate deformation. Y > 5.059 MeV. Regular band.

$^{194}_{\ 83}Bi_{111}$

	E _{level}	Ιπ	E _γ (M1)	E _γ (E2)	B(M1)/B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
1.	2427.5	(16+)	104.5	KEV	(μη/eb)	2020He17	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(h^{2}_{9/2}i_{13/2})\otimes v^{+}$
	2612.0 2966.5	(17^{+}) (18^{+})	184.5 354.5				or $\pi h_{9/2} \otimes v(i_{13/2})^{-2} \otimes v^{-1}$ by comparison with ^{195,195} Bi isotopes.
	3410.7	(19+)	444.2				2. Tentatively assigned as MR band.
	3843.9		457.9				3. Regular band.
	4301.8						

$^{195}_{\ 83}Bi_{112}$

	Elevel	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	3595.0	(35/2-)				2017He12	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(h^2_{9/2}i_{13/2}) \otimes \nu(i_{13/2}p_{3/2})$
	3724.6	(37/2-)	129.6				by comparison with ¹⁹⁴ Pb and ¹⁹⁵ Bi isotopes.
	3953.5	(39/2-)	228.9				2. Tentatively assigned as MR band.
	4255.5	(41/2)	302.0				3. Regular band.
	4636.4	$(43/2^{-})$	380.9				

¹⁹⁷₈₃Bi₁₁₄

	Elevel	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	E _γ (E2)	B(M1)/B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	4019.2	(37/2)				2005Ma51	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(h_{9/2}^{2}i_{13/2})$ K=14.5 coupled
	4237.4	(39/2)	218.2				to $v[i_{13/2}(p_{3/2}f_{5/2})^1]$ by comparison with similar
	4492.2	(41/2)	254.8				bands in neighboring ¹⁹⁶ Pb and ¹⁹⁹ Bi.
	4784.5	(43/2)	292.3				2. Oblate deformation ($\beta_2 = 0.17$) for the three
	5111.9	(45/2)	327.4				high-K proton configuration from the TRS
	5376.1	(47/2)	264.2				calculations.
	5678.3	(49/2)	302.2				3. Regular band with backbending at 5376 keV
	6033.8	(51/2)	355.5				level.
	(6429.8)		(396.0)				4. The ordering of 264, 302 and 356 keV
							transitions is arbitrary.

198 D	:
83 D	1 115

	E _{level} keV	Ιπ	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	$\frac{B(M1)/B(E2)}{(\mu_N/eb)^2}$	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
1.	U 165+U 416+U 731+U 1108+U 1517+U		165 251 315 377 409			1994Da17	 Tentatively assigned as π(h_{9/2} i_{13/2} s_{1/2}⁻¹) coupled to one or three i_{13/2} neutron holes by comparison with a similar band in neighboring Pb isotopes. B(M1)/B(E2) ratios are large due to the Non observation of crossover E2 transitions. Regular band.
2.	(2290+X) 2724.4+X 3301.2+X 3763.7+X 4065.8+X 4385.3+X 4646.6+X	(16^{+}) 17^{+} 18^{+} 19^{+} (20^{+}) (21^{+}) (22^{+})	(434) 576.7 462.5 301.8 319.8 261.2			2014Pa53 2000Zw02	 Configuration assigned as πi_{13/2} (h_{9/2})² (s_{1/2})⁻²⊗ v(i_{13/2})⁻¹ and πi_{13/2} (h_{9/2})² (s_{1/2})⁻²⊗ v(i_{13/2})⁻³ before and after band crossing, respectively. This configuration is based on the comparison of similar configuration observed in ¹⁹⁷Pb nuclide. Irregular band.
3.	2596.0+X 2838.2+X 3132.3+X 3429.3+X 3747.0+X 4126.8+X 4339.7+X 4627.5+X 4856.7+X	17- (18 ⁻) (19 ⁻) (20 ⁻) (21 ⁻) (22 ⁻) (23 ⁻) (24 ⁻) (25 ⁻)	242.2 294.1 296.6 317.5 379.8 212.9 287.8 229.2	591.3 615.2	6.6(14) 9.2(21)	2014Pa53 2000Zw02	 Configuration assigned as πh_{9/2}⊗ ν(i_{13/2})⁻³ and πh_{9/2}⊗ ν(i_{13/2})⁻³ (p_{3/2})⁻² before and after band crossing, respectively from TAC calculations. (ε₂, ε₄,γ)= (-0.085, 0.003, 16.4°) and (-0.090, 0.003, 4.5°) before and after bandcrossing, respectively from TAC calculations. The g-factor extracted using experimental B(M1)/B(E2) values for 20° and 21° state is 0.72(10). Irregular band with band crossing above I=24 ħ.
4.	3635.8+X 3966.3+X 4192.5+X 4482.8+X 4845.7+X 5272.2+X 5767.8+X 5971.3+X 6486.4+X	$19^+ 20^+ (21^+) (22^+) (23^+) (24^+) (25^+) (26^+) (27^+)$	330.6 226.2 290.3 362.9 426.5 495.5 203.5 515.1			2014Pa53 2000Zw02	 Configuration assigned as πh_{9/2}⊗ v(i_{13/2})⁻² (p_{3/2} f_{5/2})⁻³ and πh_{9/2}⊗ v(i_{13/2})⁻⁴ (p_{3/2}, f_{5/2})⁻³ before and after band crossing respectively from TAC calculations. (ε₂, ε₄, γ)= (-0.083, 0.003, 6.9°) and (-0.085, 0.002, 1.1°) before and after bandcrossing, respectively from TAC calculations. Irregular band with band crossing above I=24 ħ.

$^{199}_{83}{Bi}_{116}$

	E_{level}	\mathbf{I}^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
1.	Х					1994Da17	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(h_{9/2}i_{13/2}s_{1/2}^{-1})$ coupled
	184.4+X		184.4				to two i13/2 neutron holes by comparison with a
	400.2+X		215.8				similar band in neighboring Pb isotopes.
	642.0+X		241.8				2. The band depopulates around 37/2.
	923.2+X		281.2				3. Tentatively assigned as MR band by 1994Da17.
	1236.7+X		313.5				4. $B(M1)/B(E2)$ ratios are large due to the
	1590.3+X		353.6				non observation of crossover E2 transitions.
	1950.8+X		360.5				5. Regular band.
	2316.7+X		365.9				

$^{200}_{\ 83}Bi_{117}$

	E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	$\frac{B(M1)/B(E2)}{(\mu_N/eb)^2}$	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
1.	X 193+X 431+X 720+X 1056+X 1432+X 1855+X		193 238 289 336 376 423			1994Da17	 Tentatively assigned as π(h_{9/2}i_{13/2}s_{1/2}⁻¹) coupled to one or three i_{13/2} neutron holes by comparison with a similar band in neighboring Pb isotopes. Tentatively assigned as MR band by 1994Da17. B(M1)/B(E2) ratios are large due to the non observation of crossover E2 transitions. Regular band.
2.	Y 199.0+Y 446.2+Y 740.7+Y 1083.8+Y 1475.2+Y 1918.8+Y 2417.8+Y 2970.7+Y 3577.7+Y		199.0 247.2 294.5 343.1 391.4 443.6 499.0 552.9 607.0			1994Da17	 Tentatively assigned as π(h_{9/2} i_{13/2} s_{1/2}⁻¹) coupled to one or three i_{13/2} neutron holes by comparison with a similar band in neighboring Pb isotopes. Tentatively assigned as MR band by 1994Da17. B(M1)/B(E2) ≥ 10 (μ_N/eb)². Regular band.

$^{202}_{83}{Bi}_{119}$

	E _{level} keV	\mathbf{I}^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	$\frac{B(M1)/B(E2)}{(\mu_N/eb)^2}$	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
1.	X 164+X 423+X 775+X 1199+X 1680+X 2210+X 2780+X		164 259 352 424 481 530 570			1993Cl02	 Tentatively assigned as π(h_{9/2} i_{13/2} s_{1/2}⁻¹) coupled to one or two i_{13/2} neutron holes by comparison with a similar band in neighboring Pb isotopes. The estimated bandhead spin is about 10-16. Tentatively assigned as MR band by 1993Cl02. B(M1)/B(E2) ≥ 12 (µ_N/eb)². Regular band.

202 E);	
83 E	л ₁	19

	Elevel	\mathbf{I}^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu_N/eb)^2$		
2.	Y					1993Cl02	1. Tentatively assigned as $\pi(h_{9/2}i_{13/2}s_{1/2}^{-1})$ or
	180 + Y		180				$\pi(h_{9/2}^2 s_{1/2}^{-1})$ coupled to one or two $i_{13/2}$ neutron
	394+Y		214				holes by comparison with the similar band in
	659+Y		265				neighboring Pb isotopes.
	984+Y		325				2. Tentatively assigned as MR band by 1993Cl02.
	1374+Y		390				3. The estimated bandhead spin is about 10-16.
							4. $B(M1)/B(E2) \ge 6 \ (\mu_N/eb)^2$.
							5. Regular band.
2	7					1002/2102	
5.	2 250⊥7		250			13350102	to one or two juge neutron holes by comparison
	250+Z		300				with the similar hand in neighboring Ph
	907+Z		357				isotopes. The estimated handhead spin is about
	1320+Z		413				11-19.
	1785+Z		465				2. Tentatively assigned as MR band by 1993Cl02.
	2302+Z		517				3. B(M1)/B(E2) \geq 5 (µ _N /eb) ² .
							4. Regular band.

 $^{203}_{\ 83}Bi_{120}$

	E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	$\frac{B(M1)/B(E2)}{(\mu_N/eb)^2}$	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
1.	X 175+X 421+X 759+X 1201+X 1718+X 2295+X		175 246 338 442 517 577			1994Da17	 Tentatively assigned as π(h_{9/2}i_{13/2}s_{1/2}⁻¹) coupled to two i_{13/2} neutron holes by comparison with a similar band in neighboring Pb isotopes. Tentatively assigned as MR band by 1994Da17. B(M1)/B(E2) ratios are large due to the non observation of crossover E2 transitions. Regular band.

 $^{201}_{85}At_{116}$

	E _{level} keV	Ιπ	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	B(M1)/B(E2) (μ _N /eb) ²	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	(2990)	$(23/2^{-})$				2015Au01	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(i_{13/2}) \bigotimes \nu[(f_{5/2})^{-1}]$
	(3135)	$(25/2^{-})$	145.0				$(i_{13/2})^{-1}$]9-before band crossing and $\pi[(h_{9/2})^2$
	(3380)	$(27/2^{-})$	244.4		>30		$(i_{13/2}) \otimes v[(f_{5/2})^{-1}(i_{13/2})^{-1}]_{5-}$ after band crossing
	(3667)	$(29/2^{-})$	286.9		>25		from semi-classical calculations.
	(3984)	(31/2-)	317.3		>30		2. Regular band with backbending at 31/2 h.
	(4256)	(33/2-)	272.3		>35		
	(4454)	$(35/2^{-})$	197.9		>8		
	(4791)	$(37/2^{-})$	335.0		>2		

	$^{203}_{85}At_{118}$						
	E _{level} keV	\mathbf{I}^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	$\frac{B(M1)/B(E2)}{(\mu_N/eb)^2}$	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	(3486)	$(27/2^{+})$				2018Au01	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(i_{13/2}) \otimes \nu(i_{13/2})^{-2}$
	(3620)	$(29/2^{+})$	133.8				and as $\pi(h^2_{9/2}i_{13/2}) \otimes v(i_{13/2})$ below and
	(3843)	$(31/2^+)$	178.8		>15		above the band crossing, respectively using
	(4102)	$(33/2^+)$	193.6		>2		TAC-CDFT calculations.
	(4386)	(35/2+)	223.4		>10		2. Regular band
	(4639)	$(37/2^+)$	253.4		>25		
	(4818)	(39/2+)	259.4		>20		
	(5011)	$(41/2^+)$	283.3		>30		
	(5333)	$(43/2^{+})$	321.7		>3		
	(5714)	(45/2+)	381.0		>5		
	²⁰⁴ ₈₅ At ₁₁₉						
	Elevel	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(M1)$	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(M1)/B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	keV	$(\mu N/eb)^2$		
1.	4018	16+				2022Ka24	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(h^{2}_{9/2}i_{13/2}) \otimes v(i_{13/2})^{-2}$
	4149	17+	131.3			2008Ha39	and $\pi(h^{4}_{9/2}i_{13/2}) \otimes v(i_{13/2})^{-2}$ below and
	4434	18^{+}	285.1	415.6	34(6)		above the band crossing, respectively using
	4733	19+	298.7	583.8	20(4)		SPAC calculations.
	5028	20^{+}	295.1	594.4	15(4)		2. Oblate deformation ($\beta_2 = -0.143$) from RMF
	5274	21^{+}	245.9	541.2	35(8)		calculations.
	5451	22^{+}	177.4	422.5	54(27)		3. Irregular band.
	5647	23+	196.3	373.4	14(4)		
	²⁰⁵ ₈₆ Rn ₁₁₉						
	E _{level} keV	\mathbf{I}^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	$\frac{B(M1)/B(E2)}{(\mu_N/eb)^2}$	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
1.	1680+X	(21/2+)				1999No03	1. The most likely configuration is the negative
	1796.7+X	$(23/2^{+})$	116.7				parity $\pi(h_{9/2}i_{13/2}) \otimes v(i_{13/2})$ from the TAC
	1966.9+X	$(25/2^+)$	170.2				calculations. Since the observed parities are
	2124.8+X	$(27/2^+)$	157.9		2.0(2)		positive, the configuration $\pi(i_{13/2}^2) \otimes v(i_{13/2})$ is
	2246.0+X	$(29/2^+)$	121.2		>4		tentatively assigned.
	2494.0+X	(31/2+)	248.0		>7		2. Tentatively assigned as MR band.
	2861.7+X	(33/2+)	367.7		>10		3. Small oblate deformation, $\beta_{2} \sim -0.1$.
	3164.1+X	(35/2+)	302.4		>33		4. X ~ 600 keV from systematics.
	3452.3+X	(37/2+)	288.2		>18		5. Irregular band.
	3653.6+X	(39/2+)	201.3				
	4059.4+X	(41/2+)	405.8				
	²⁰⁶ 87Fr ₁₁₉						

	E _{level} keV	\mathbf{I}^{π}	E _γ (M1) keV	E _γ (E2) keV	B(M1)/B(E2) (μ _N /eb) ²	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	X 140.4+X 407.9+X 670.3+X 964.4+X 1242.0+X 1484.6+X 1683.1+X 1909.7+X 2214.1+X	I I+1 I+2 I+3 I+4 I+5 I+6 I+7 I+8 I+9	140.4 267.5 262.4 294.1 277.6 242.6 198.5 226.6 (304.4)		>5.9 >17 >31 >16 >17 >10 >8.6 >1.5	2008Ha39	 Probable configuration may be built over π (h_{9/2} and/or i_{13/2})⊗vi_{13/2} neutrons based on systematic of neighboring nuclides. Tentatively assigned as MR band. Irregular band.

Table 3: Antimagnetic Rotational Structures in Nuclei

⁵⁸Fe₃₂

							~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
	Elevel	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(E2)	B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	(eb) ²	(W.u.)		
1.	5086.8	6				2012St06	1. Band 3 in Fig. 2 of 2012St06 was
	6033.9	8	947.1				interpreted in 2017Pe15 theory paper as a
	7457.1	10	1423.1				mixture of collective and possible antimagnetic
	9444.7	12	1987.5				rotation with proposed configuration of $\pi(f_{7/2})^{-2}$
	11852.9	(14)	2408.4				$\otimes v[(g_{9/2})^1(fp)^3]$ from TAC-CDFT calculations
	14265.2	(16)	2412.0				with $(\beta_2, \gamma) = (0.28 \cdot 0.22, 15^{\circ} \cdot 23^{\circ})$, whereas
							2012St06 interpreted this band only as a
							regular rotational band
2.	8424.3	(10^{+})				2012St06	1. Band 4 in Fig. 2 of 2012St06 was interpreted
	9983.2	12(+)	1558.9				in 2017Pe15 theory paper as a mixture of
	11906.8	$14^{(+)}$	1924.2				dominantly collective and possible antimagnetic
	14314.8	(16+)	2407.9				rotation character with proposed configuration
							of $\pi(f_{7/2})^{-2} \otimes \nu[(g_{9/2})^2(fp)^2]$ from TAC-CDFT
							calculations, with $(\beta_2, \gamma) = (0.34 \cdot 0.26, 1.4^{\circ} \cdot 16^{\circ})$,
							while 2012St06 interpreted this band only as a
							regular rotational band.
							5

⁶¹28Ni₃₃

	Elevel	\mathbf{I}^{π}	E _γ (E2)	B(E2)	B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	(eb) ²	(W.u.)		
1.	3297.6 4476.0 6065.0 7603.6 9104.4	11/2+ 15/2+ 19/2+ 23/2+ 27/2+	1178.4 1587.7 1538.6 1500.8	(60)-	(w.u.)	2023Li05	 The authors of 2023Li05 interpreted band #2 in Fig. 2 as a possible mixture of collective and antimagnetic rotation with proposed configuration of π[(1f_{7/2})⁻² (fp)²]⊗ v[(1g_{9/2})¹(fp)⁴] from TAC-CDFT calculations, with predicted B(E2) of < 0.11 (eb)². 2023Bh02 interpreted this band as a deformed collective bands. Quasi-rotational band, as level energies do
							not seem to follow a monotonically increasing trend with ascending spins as expected for a rotor.

⁹⁹₄₆Pd₅₃

	E _{level} keV	\mathbf{I}^{π}	E _γ (E2) keV	B(E2) (eb) ²	B(E2) (W.u.)	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	4014.2 4772.7 5780.5 6803.2 8182.7 9512.9 11457	23/2 ⁻ 27/2 ⁻ 31/2 ⁻ 35/2 ⁻ 39/2 ⁻ 43/2 (47/2)	758.5 1007.8 1022.7 1379.5 1330.2 1944.1			2011Si04	 Band B3 in 2011Si04 was interpreted as a possible AMR band in theory paper 2022Si07 with proposed configuration of π(g_{9/2})⁻⁴ ⊗v[h_{11/2} (g_{9/2})²] and predicted B(E2) of ≈ 0.10 (eb)². Quasi-rotational band, as level energies do not seem to follow a monotonically increasing trend with ascending spins as expected for a rotor.
100 p	d						
-------------	-----------------						
46 Г	u ₅₄						

	Elevel	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(E2)	B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	(eb) ²	(W.u.)		
1	6701 7641 8712 10100	15 ⁻ 17 ⁻ 19 ⁻ 21 ⁻	940 1071 1388	0.096(8) >0.075	34.8(29) >27	2020Si20 2001Zh26	 Probable configuration as π(g_{9/2})⁻⁴ ⊗ v[(h_{11/2})(g_{7/2})³] is assigned based on semiclassical particle-rotor model calculations. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of the levels from
	13434	23() 25(⁻)	1582 1752				 7641 keV to 10100 keV are 0.80 (10), 0.60(7) and <0.21, respectively. 3. The <i>J</i>²/B(E2) ratios of 19 h to 21 h levels are 169 and 318 h² MeV⁻¹ (eb)⁻², respectively, 2020Si20.

 $^{101}_{46}Pd_{55}$

1.	Elevel	\mathbf{I}^{π}	E _γ (E2)	B(E2)	B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	(eb) ²	(W.u.)		
	2640	19/2-	748.2	0.21(6)	72(22)	2017Si12	1. Probable configuration as $\pi(g_{9/2})^{-2}\otimes$
	3531	23/2-	891.1	0.16(5)	57(18)	2015Su09	$v(h_{11/2})^1(g_{7/2})^2$ is assigned on the basis
	4442	27/2-	911.2	0.15(3)	54(11)	2012Su18	of CSM and TAC calculations.
	5413	31/2-	971.3	0.14(3)	50(11)		(2017Si12). However, configuration $\pi(g_{9/2})^{-4} \otimes$
	6487	35/2-	1074.1	0.109(21)	39(8)		$v(h_{11/2})^1(g_{7/2})^2$ is assigned by 2015Su19.
	7615	39/2-	1127.5	0.091(21)	33(8)		2. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of the levels from
	9037	43/2-	1421.8	0.09(3)	32(11)		2640 keV to 9037 keV are 1.7(5), 0.86(26),
	10628	(47/2-)	(1591.0)				0.89(19), 0.66(14), 0.52(10), 0.49(11) and
							0.15(4), respectively. The lifetime values are
							obtained using weighted averages by the
							compilers after adding in quadrature 20%
							systematic uncertainty (from stopping powers) in
							each value from the 2017Si12 and 2015Su09,
							and in addition, assigning a total uncertainty of
							25% in the values of lifetimes for the 2640 keV
							and 3531 keV levels in 201/S112, where these
							are given as approximate. The lifetime value of
							the 9057 keV level is from 20155009. 2. The $D(E2)$ values for the transitions from 748.2
							5. The D(E2) values for the transitions from 748.2 keV to 1421.8 keV are deduced by compliant
							from adopted levels lifetimes
							1 The $\mathcal{A}^2/R(F2)$ ratios of 10/2 h to 30/2 h levels are
							4. The \mathcal{J} (122) ratios of 17/2 if to 57/2 if levels are 135(72) 1222(96) $AA8(33)$ 278(16) 676(AA)
							and 148(86) \hbar^2 MeV ⁻¹ (eh) ⁻² respectively. The
							values are deduced by compilers based on
							averaged level lifetimes.

102 d	d .
46 Г	u ₅₆

	Elevel	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(E2)	B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	(eb) ²	(W.u.)		
1.	8418.2	18+				1997Gi10	1. Band #2 in Fig. 1 of 1997Gi10 was interpreted in
	9543.2	20^{+}	1125				2018Ji02 theory paper as a mixture of collective
	10792.2	22^{+}	1249				and possible antimagnetic rotation with proposed
	12073.2	24+	1281				configuration of $\pi(g_{9/2})^{-4} \otimes \nu[(h_{11/2})^2(g_{7/2}, d_{5/2})^4]$
	13589.2	26^{+}	1516				from TAC-CDFT calculations with
	15252.2	28^{+}	1663				deformation $\beta > 0.15$, whereas 1997Gi10
	16958.2	30+	1706				interpreted this band only as a regular rotational
	18826.2	32+	1868				band.
							2. Quasi-rotational band, as level energies do
							not seem to follow a monotonically
							increasing trend with ascending spins as
							expected for a rotor.

 $^{103}_{46}Pd_{57}$

	Elevel	\mathbf{I}^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(E2)	B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
1	1074.0	10/0-	kev 714	(eb) ²	(w.u.)	202161-00	
1.	1974.9	19/2	/14	0.24(2)	110(10)	2021Sn09	1. The higher spin members of the yrast band in
	2822.0	23/2	847	0.34(3)	118(10)	1999INYU1	Fig. 1 of 2021Sh09 tentatively assigned as AMR
	3/92.1	21/2	970	0.20(3)	08(10)	2021De11	band with proposed configuration of $\pi(g_{9/2}) \xrightarrow{q} \otimes$
	4886.4	31/2	1094	0.12(2)	42(7)		$v[h_{11/2}(g_{7/2}, d_{5/2})^{6}]$ in theory paper by 2018J102.
	6048.3	35/2-	1162	0.11(1)	38.3(35)		1999Ny01 interpret this band as a single-particle
	/316	39/2-	1267	0.09(2)	31(7)		collective rotational band built on $h_{11/2}$ neutron
	8668	43/2	1350	> 0.05	>17.4		orbital.
	10119	47/2-	1451				2. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of the levels from 2022.01 M ± 0.000 M ± 0.000
	11638	51/2	1519				2822.0 keV to 8668 keV are 0.55(5), 0.48(7),
							0.42(5), 0.36(5), 0.27(5) and < 0.35 , respectively.
							3. The $\mathcal{J}^2/B(E2)$ ratios of 23/2 h to 43/2 h levels
							are $95(9)$, $161(+22-23)$, $495(63)$, $358(50)$,
							$524(+101-96)$ and $< 532 h^2$ MeV ⁻¹ (eb) ² ,
							respectively (2021Sn09).
2.	4160	25/2+				1999Ny01	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(g_{9/2})^{-4} \otimes v[(g_{7/2})]$.
	5025	$29/2^{+}$	865			-	$(h_{11/2})^2$ on the basis of classical particle rotor
	5984	33/2+	959				model calculations. The AMR assignment is
	7056	$37/2^{+}$	1072				based on decreasing tendencies of calculated
	8212	$41/2^{+}$	1156				B(E2) with rotational frequency and calculated
	9442	$45/2^{+}$	1230				$\mathscr{J}^2/B(E2)$ ratios (>100 \hbar^2 MeV ⁻¹ (eb) ⁻²)
	10741	$49/2^{+}$	1299				(2022Pa27).
	12208	$53/2^{+}$	1467				2. This band was identified as signature partner of
	13798	$57/2^{+}$	1590				band 3.
	15487	$61/2^+$	1689				
	17357	65/2+	1870				
3.	4587	$27/2^{+}$				1999Nv01	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(\sigma_{0,2})^{-4} \otimes \nu[(\sigma_{7/2})]$
	5458	$\frac{31}{2^+}$	871				$(h_{1/2})^2$ on the basis of classical particle rotor
	6452	$35/2^+$	994				model calculations. The AMR assignment is
	7593	$39/2^+$	1141				based on decreasing tendencies of calculated
	8831	$43/2^+$	1238				B(E2) with rotational frequency and calculated
	10190	$47/2^+$	1359				$\mathcal{I}^{2}/B(E2)$ ratios (>100 \hbar^{2} MeV ⁻¹ (eb) ⁻²)
	11643	$51/2^+$	1453				(2022Pa27).
	13240	55/2+	1597				2. This band was identified as signature partner of
	14842	59/2+	1602				band 2.

104 p	d
46ª	u 58

	Elevel	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(E2)	B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	(eb) ²	(W.u.)		
1.	4024	10^{+}				2014Ra11	1. The probable configuration as $\pi(g_{9/2})^{-2}\otimes$
	4636	12+	612				$v(h_{11/2})^2(g_{7/2}/d_{5/2})^2$ is assigned based on
	5433	14^{+}	797				semi-classical particle rotor model.
	6360	16+	927	0.26(3)	89(10)		2. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of the levels from
	7424	18^{+}	1064	0.22(3)	76(10)		6360 keV to 12707 keV are 0.45(5),
	8617	20^{+}	1193	0.19(3)	65(10)		0.27(3), 0.18(3), 0.20(4), 0.24(5) and <0.66,
	9873	22+	1256	0.13(3)	45(10)		respectively.
	11239	24+	1365	0.07(1)	24.0(34)		3. The $\mathcal{J}^2/B(E2)$ ratios from 16 h to 26 h levels are
	12707	26+	1468	>0.02	>6.9		112(9), 141(13), 334(35), 283(22) and 554(80)
							$\hbar^2 \mathrm{MeV^{-1}}$ (eb) ⁻² , respectively.
							4. $\beta_2 \approx 0.19$
							5. The B(E2) and lifetimes values are listed with
							statistical and systematically uncertainties.

 $^{105}_{48}Cd_{57}$

	E _{level} keV	\mathbf{I}^{π}	E _γ (E2) keV	B(E2) (eb) ²	B(E2) (W.u.)	References	Configurations and Comments:
1	3343.0	23/2-				2010Ch54	1. The probable configuration as $\pi(g_{9/2})^2 \otimes$
	4248.0 5291.7 6471.3 7802.3 9264.1 10845.1	27/2- 31/2- 35/2- 39/2- 43/2- 47/2-	905.0 1043.7 1179.6 1331.0 1461.8 1581	0.126(+12-17) 0.106(+16-12) 0.089(+7-10) 0.094(+13-14) 0.052(+11-10)	43(+4-6) 36(+5-4) 30.2(+24-34) 31.9(+44-48) 17.7(+37-34)		 v(h_{11/2})(g_{7/2})² is assigned based on semi-classical particle rotor model. 2. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of the levels from 4248.0 keV to 9264.1 keV are 1.066 (+141-102), 0.621(82), 0.399(+45-31), 0.207(+31-29) and 0.237(+46-49), respectively. 3. The <i>J</i>²/B(E2) ratios of 27/2⁻ to 43/2⁻ levels are 201(+19-27), 249(+38-28), 255(+20-29), 287 (+40-43) and 608(+128-117) ħ² MeV⁻¹ (eb)⁻², respectively.
							4. Theoretical $\beta_2 = 0.12$ in theory paper 2013Zh16.

$^{106}_{48}\mathrm{Cd}_{58}$

	Elevel	I^{π}	E _γ (E2)	B(E2)	B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	(eb) ²	(W.u.)		
1.	7118.9	16+				2005Si23	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(g_{9/2})^2 \otimes \nu(h_{11/2})^2$
	8099.7	18^{+}	980.8	0.15(1)	50.3(34)	2003Si14	$(g_{7/2})^2$ from TAC and CNS calculations.
	9250.3	20^{+}	1150.6	0.14(2)	47(7)		2. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of the levels from
	10560.9	22^{+}	1310.6	0.07(1)	23.4(34)		8099.7 keV to 13724.0 keV are 0.60(5), 0.29(4),
	12048.5	24+	1487.6	0.05(1)	16.7(34)		0.26(2), 0.19(2) and < 0.18 , respectively.
	13724.0	26+	1675.5	>0.007	>2.3		 (β₂, γ) =(~0.16,~0°) at spin(I)=17ħ and β₂~0 at spin(I)=26 ħ. The <i>J</i>²/B(E2) ratios of 18 ħ to 24 ħ levels are 158(11), 177(25), 274(39) and 354(70) ħ² MeV⁻¹ (eb)⁻², respectively (2003Si14).

107	сл	
48	υu	59

	E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (E2) keV	B(E2) (eb) ²	B(E2) (W.u.)	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	4009 4502 5231 6183.3 7316.5 8669.3 10221.8 11852	23/2 ⁺ 27/2 ⁺ 31/2 ⁺ 35/2 ⁺ 39/2 ⁺ 43/2 ⁺ 47/2 ⁺ (51/2 ⁺)	493 729 952.3 1133.2 1352.8 1552.5 (1630)	0.208(+22-24) 0.165(+6-7) 0.131(+5-6) 0.106(+5-7) 0.044(7)	69(+7-8) 54.7(+19-23) 43.4(+17-20) 35.1(+17-23) 14.6(23)	2013Ch14	 Configuration assigned as π(g_{9/2})⁻²⊗vg_{7/2} (h_{11/2})² α = -1/2 from semi-classical particle rotor model calculations, see also 2015Ch05 theory paper. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of the levels from 5231 keV to 10221.8 keV are 1.902(+221-206), 0.631(+28-22), 0.332(+16-13), 0.170(+11-8) and 0.205(32), respectively. The Q₁ values for the transition from 728.9 2.257(+4-5), 1.994(+4-5), 1.766(+4-6) and 1.131(9) (eb), respectively. The J²/B(E2) ratios of 31/2⁺ to 47/2⁺ levels are 82(+8-9), 108(+4-5), 168(+6-8), 172(+8-11) and 454(72) h² MeV⁻¹ (eb)⁻², respectively. β₂ =0.20 at 31/2⁺ h.
2.	4191 4877 5816.0 6922.6 8188.1 9622.1 11230.1	25/2 ⁺ 29/2 ⁺ 33/2 ⁺ 37/2 ⁺ 41/2 ⁺ 45/2 ⁺ 49/2 ⁺	686 939.0 1106.6 1265.5 1434.0 1608.0	0.183(+10-9) 0.155(6) 0.128(+9-12) 0.094(+7-8) 0.034(7)	60.6(+33-30) 51.3(20) 42.4(+30-40) 31.2(+23-27) 11.3(23)	2013Ch14	 Configuration assigned as π(g_{9/2})⁻²⊗vg_{7/2} (h_{11/2})² α= +1/2 from semi-classical particle rotor model calculations, see also 2015Ch05 theory paper. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of the levels from 5816.0 keV to 11230.1 keV are 0.609(+30-35), 0.317(12), 0.196(+18-14), 0.142(+12-10), 0.222(44), respectively. The Q_t values for the transition from 939.0 keV to 1608.0 keV are 2.399(+7-6), 2.175(4), 1.958(+7-6), 1.668(+6-7) and 0.996(10) (eb), respectively. The <i>J</i>²/B(E2) ratios of 33/2⁺ to 49/2⁺ levels are 86(+5-4), 154(6), 195(+14-18), 252(+19-21) and 671(138) ħ² MeV⁻¹ (eb)⁻², respectively. β₂ = 0.19 at 33/2⁺ ħ.

$^{108}_{48}\mathrm{Cd}_{60}$

	Elevel	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(E2)	B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	(eb) ²	(W.u.)		
1.	4708.7	12+				2005Da16	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(g_{9/2})^{-2} \otimes v$
	5502.5	14+	794.0	0.19(2)	62(6)	2005Si23	$[(g_{7/2})^2(h_{11/2})^2]$ from TRS calculations.
	6458.9	16+	956.3	0.17(2)	56(6)	1993Th05	2. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of the levels from
	7564.2	18^{+}	1105.3	0.14(2)	46(6)		5502.5 keV to 8824.5 keV are 1.32(13), 0.58(7),
	8824.5	20^{+}	1260.3	0.11(3)	36(10)		0.33(4) and 0.22(4), respectively.
							3. The $\mathcal{J}^2/B(E2)$ ratios of 14 h to 20 h levels are
							$129(13)$, $158(19)$, $184(20)$ and $175(40)$ \hbar^2 MeV ⁻¹
							(eb) ⁻² , respectively.

 $^{109}_{48}$ Cd₆₁

	Elevel	\mathbf{I}^{π}	E _γ (E2)	B(E2)	B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	(eb) ²	(W.u.)		
1.	3524.7	$25/2^+$				1994Ju05	1. Band 4 in Fig. 1 of 1994Ju05 interpreted as
	4246.7	$29/2^+$	722.0				possible AMR band in theory paper 2022Pa27
	5261.5	33/2+	1014.8				with probable configuration of $\pi(g_{9/2})^{-4} \otimes \nu[(g_{7/2})]$
	6518.8	37/2+	1257.3				$(h_{11/2})^2$] from classical rotor model calculations.
	7909.2	$41/2^{+}$	1390.4				The authors of 1994Ju05 interpreted this band as
	9378.2	(45/2+)	1468.9				a regular collective rotational band, and as signature partner of band 2.
2	3939.9	$27/2^{+}$				1994Ju05	1. Band 3 in Fig. 1 of 1994Ju05 interpreted as
	4725.0	$\frac{31}{2^+}$	785.1				possible AMR band in theory paper 2022Pa27
	5775.6	$35/2^+$	1050.6				with probable configuration of $\pi(g_{9/2})^{-4} \otimes v[(g_{7/2})]$
	7077.6	$39/2^+$	1302.0				$(h_{11/2})^2$ from classical rotor model calculations.
	8599.0	$41/2^+$	1521.4				The authors of 1994Ju05 interpreted this band as
							a regular collective rotational band, and as signature partner of band 1.
3.	5051.4	31/2-				2000Ch04	1. Band 5 in Fig. 1 of 2000Ch04 is interpreted by
	5971.4	35/2-	920.0	0.33(3)	106.7(97)		authors as an AMR band, with deformation
	7009.8	39/2-	1038.4	0.166(+4-6),	53.7(+13-19)		parameters (ε_2 , γ)=(0.14,0°) from semiclassical
	8201.0	43/2-	1191.2	0.181(+7-6)	58.5(+23-19)		and TRS calculations, with configuration $\pi(g_{9/2})^{-2}$
	9567.4	47/2-	1366.4	0.096(2)	31.0(6)		\otimes v(h _{11/2}) ³ taken from 1994Ju05. See also the
	11131.4	$(51/2^{-})$	1564.0	~ /			same configuration is proposed by 2014Zh14
		(0)					from TAC-CDFT calculations, with $\beta_2 = (0.209 - 0.167)$
							2 The mean lifetimes (in ns) of the levels from
							5971.4 keV to 11131.4 keV are 0.354(31), 0.404(+13-12), 0.184 (9) and 0.179(4),
							of mean lifetimes given in 2000Ch04.
							3. The $\mathcal{J}^2/B(E2)$ ratio is about values are 165
							$MeV^{-1}\hbar^2 e^{-2} b^{-2}$.

$^{110}_{48}\mathrm{Cd}_{62}$

	E _{level} keV	\mathbf{I}^{π}	E _γ (E2) keV	B(E2) (eb) ²	B(E2) (W.u.)	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	5026.3 6101.3 7325.3 8648.3 9962.3 11320.3 12763.3	$14^+ \\ 16^+ \\ 18^+ \\ 20^+ \\ 22^+ \\ 24^+ \\ 26^+$	1075 1224 1323 1314 1358 1443	0.14(1) 0.12(2) 0.11(2) 0.09(3) 0.06(2)	44.7(32) 38(6) 35(6) 29(10) 19.1(63)	2011Ro01	 Configuration assigned as π(g_{9/2})⁻²⊗v(h_{11/2})² from semi-classical particle rotor model calculations. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of the levels from 6101.3 keV to 12763.3 keV are 0.36(3), 0.23(3), 0.17(3), 0.21(7), 0.27(7) and 0.35, respectively. (β₂, γ) =(0.20,13°) from TAC-RMF calculations (2015Pe06). Quasi-rotational band, as level energies do not seem to follow a monotonically increasing trend with ascending spins as expected for a rotor.

111ca
- <u>7</u> <u>g</u> CU63
40

	Elevel	I^{π}	E _γ (E2)	B(E2)	B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	(eb) ²	(W.u.)		
1.	3763.2	27/2-				1994Re06	1. Yrast band in Fig. 7 of 1994Re06 interpreted as
	4556.1	31/2-	792.9				possible AMR band in theory paper 2018Ma28
	5501.9	35/2-	945.8				with probable configuration of $\pi(g_{9/2})^{-2} \otimes v(h_{11/2})^3$
	6649.0	39/2-	1147.1				from SCM calculations, and predicted B(E2) of
	7951.3	43/2-	1302.3				0.24 to 0.09 with ascending spins. 1994Re06
	9407.3	47/2-	1456.0				interpreted this band as a regular collective rotational band.

¹⁰⁸49In₅₉

	E _{level} keV	\mathbf{I}^{π}	E _γ (E2) keV	B(E2) (eb) ²	B(E2) (W.u.)	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	1861.5 2439.4 3274.1 4265.6	8 ⁽⁻⁾ 10 ⁽⁻⁾ 12 ⁽⁻⁾ 14 ⁽⁻⁾	577.9 834.7 991.5			2001Ch71	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi[(g_{9/2})^{-2} d_{5/2}] \otimes vh_{11/2}$ below alignment and $\pi[(g_{9/2})^{-2} d_{5/2}] \otimes v[(h_{11/2})^3]$ after alignment with deformation (β_2 , γ) \approx (0.20, 0°) from TAC-RMF calculations, see also 2016Su17 for detailed antimagnetic interpretation.
2.	3548.1 4101.2 4879.0 577.3 6111.5 7613.9 8792.9	11 13 15 17 19 21 (23)	553.1 777.8 828.3 904.2 1002.4 1179.0			2001Ch71	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi[(g_{9/2})^{-2} g_{7/2}] \otimes vh_{11/2}$ below alignment and $\pi[(g_{9/2})^{-2} d_{7/2}] \otimes v[(h_{11/2})^3]$ after alignment with deformation (β_2 , γ) \approx (0.17, 5°) and (0.18, 5°), respectively, from TAC-RMF calculations, see also 2016Su17 for detailed antimagnetic interpretation.

¹⁰⁹₄₉In₆₀

	E _{level} keV	\mathbf{I}^{π}	E _γ (E2) keV	B(E2) (eb) ²	B(E2) (W.u.)	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	4299.2	21/2(+)				2020Wa07	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(d_{5/2})^{-2}(g_{9/2})^{-2} \otimes$
	4742.8	$25/2^{(+)}$	443.6				$v(h_{11/2})^2$ from TAC-RMF calculations.
	5396.8	$29/2^{(+)}$	654.0				2. The \mathcal{J}^2 values form 29/2ħ to 41/2ħ levels are
	6261.6	33/2(+)	864.8				19.1, 18.9, 25.0, 26.6 MeV ⁻¹ h ²
	7286.4	(37/2+)	1024.8				
	8460.8	$(41/2^{+})$	1174.4				
2.	4755.7 5218.7 5849.8 6666.1 7639.1 8782.5	23/2 ⁺ 27/2 ⁺ 31/2 ⁺ 35/2 ⁺ 39/2 ⁺ 43/2 ⁺	463.0 631.1 816.3 973.0 1143.4			2020Wa07	 Configuration assigned as π(g_{7/2} (g_{9/2})⁻²) ⊗ v(h_{11/2})² from TAC-RMF calculations. The <i>J</i>² values form 31/2 ħ to 43/2 ħ levels are 23.8, 21.7, 25.5, 26.5 MeV⁻¹ ħ²

_

¹¹⁰₄₉In₆₁

	Elevel	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(E2)	B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	(eb) ²	(W.u.)		
1	1617.0	8-				2001Ch71	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi[(g_{9/2})^{-2}g_{5/2}]\otimes vh_{11/2}$
	2201.4	10-	584.4				below alignment and $\pi[(g_{9/2})^{-2} d_{5/2}] \otimes v[(h_{11/2})^3]$
	3079.4	12-	878.0				after alignment with deformation $(\beta_2, \gamma) \approx (0.18,$
	4157.0	14-	1077.6				-10°) and (0.17, -5°), respectively, from TAC-
	5181.3	16-	1024.3				RMF calculations, see also 2016Su17 for
	6062.6	18-	881.3				detailed antimagnetic interpretation.
	6999.7	(20)	937.1				2. Quasi-rotational band, as level energies do
	8088.4	(22)	1088.7				not seem to follow a monotonically
	9398.8	(24-)	1310.4				increasing trend with ascending spins as expected for a rotor.
2.	2220.2	9(-)				2001Ch71	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi[(g_{9/2})^{-2}g_{7/2}]\otimes vh_{11/2}$
	2798.2	11(-)	578.0				below alignment and $\pi[(g_{9/2})^{-2} d_{7/2}] \otimes v[(h_{11/2})^3]$
	3628.8	13(-)	830.6				after alignment with deformation (β_2, γ) \approx (0.20,
	4605.8	15(-)	977.0				0°) and (0.18, 5°), respectively, from TAC-RMF
	5556.2	17(-)	950.4	0.136(16)	43.4(51)		calculations, see also 2016Su17 for detailed
	6445.3	19(-)	889.1	0.265(+21-27)	85(+7-9)		antimagnetic interpretation.
	7391.5	21(-)	946.2	0.266(+13-15)	84.9(+41-48)		2. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of the levels from
	8463.5	(23-)	1072.0	0.281(+21-23)	90(7)		5556.2 keV to 8463.5 keV are 0.774(+105-83),
	9698.4	(25)	1234.9				0.554(+63-41), 0.403(+23-18) and 0.205(+18-
	11117.5	(27-)	1419.1				14), respectively.
	12744.3	(29-)	1626.8				3. Quasi-rotational band, as level energies do
							not seem to follow a monotonically
							increasing trend with ascending spins as
							expected for a rotor.

	$^{112}_{49}In_{63}$						
	Elevel	I^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(E2)	B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	(eb) ²	(W.u.)		
1.	2071	(11-)				2012Li51	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(g_{9/2})^{-2}g_{7/2}\otimes$
	2653	(13-)	582				$v(h_{11/2})^3$ from TAC-RMF calculations.
	3458	(15)	805				2. $\gamma \approx 28^{\circ}$ and 14° with small decrease in
	4390	(17)	933				deformation for both the configurations.
	5235	(19)	845				alignment from TAC-RMF calculations.
	6155	(21)	920				3. The dynamic moment of inertia (\mathcal{J}^2) from 15 h
	7147	(23-)	992				to 23 h are 17.9, 31.2, 45.4, 53.3 and 55.6
	8327	(25)	1180				MeV ⁻¹ \hbar^2 , respectively.
							4. Quasi-rotational band, as level energies do
							not seem to follow a monotonically
							increasing trend with ascending spins as
							expected for a rotor.

	¹¹³ ₄₉ In ₆₄						
	E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (E2) keV	B(E2) (eb) ²	B(E2) (W.u.)	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	3966	23/2+				2019Ma48	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(g_{9/2})^{-2} g_{7/2} \otimes$
	4605	$27/2^+$	638.1				$v(h_{11/2})^2$ and a gentle up-bend is attributed to
	5314	(31/2+)	708.7				the $\pi(g_{9/2})^{-2} g_{7/2} \otimes v(h_{11/2})^2 (g_{7/2}/d_{5/2})^2$
	6230	(35/2+)	916.7				from TAC-RMF calculations.
	7291	(39/2+)	1061.1				2. The dynamic moment of inertia (\mathcal{J}^2) from $31/2\hbar$
	8439	$(43/2^{+})$	1147.6				to 47/2ħ are 56.7, 19.2, 27.7, 46.2 and 49.9
	9667	(47/2+)	1227.8				MeV ⁻¹ \hbar^2 , respectively.
	10938	$(51/2^+)$	1271.0				

¹¹⁴₄₉In₆₅

	E _{level} keV	\mathbf{I}^{π}	E _γ (E2) keV	B(E2) (eb) ²	B(E2) (W.u.)	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	4007.1	(16)	0445			2023Zh14	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi[(g_{9/2})^{-2} d_{5/2}] \otimes$
	4851.6 5746.7	(18) (20^{-})	844.5 895.1				$v(h_{11/2})^3$ from classical rotor model calculations and the AMR band assignment is tentative.
	(6758.9)	(22-)	(1012.2)				C

$^{127}_{54}$ Xe₇₃

	Elevel	\mathbf{I}^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(E2)	B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	KC V		Kev	(60)	(w.u.)	****	
1.	2306.7	$19/2^{+}$				2020Ch48	1. Configuration assigned as $v((g_{7/2})^{-1}(h_{11/2})^{-2})_{jv=19/2}$
	2778.8	$23/2^{+}$	472.1				$\otimes \pi((h_{11/2})^2)$ from semi-classical particle
	3425.4	$27/2^+$	646.6				plus rotor model calculations
	4237.9	$31/2^+$	812.5				2. The dynamic moment of inertia (\mathcal{J}^2) of levels
	5197.4	$35/2^+$	959.5				from 27/2 ⁺ to 39/2 ⁺ are 22.0, 22.7, 26.3 and 32.7
	6276.4	39/2+	1079.0				$\hbar^2 \mathrm{MeV^{-1}}.$

¹³⁰₅₆Ba₇₄

	E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (E2) keV	B(E2) (eb) ²	B(E2) (W.u.)	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	5713.8 6587.5 7561.1	15 ⁻ 17 ⁻ (19 ⁻)	873.7 973.6			2020GU21	1. Band N6 in 2020Gu21 is assigned as AMR band simply based on a similar structure observed in 137 Nd in 2019Pe08. The configurations assigned as v(h _{11/2}) ⁻² \otimes v(g _{7/2}) ⁻¹ \otimes \pi((h _{11/2}) ² (2019Pe12). Compilers consider this assignment as very tentative, as no defining criteria are available.

$^{137}_{60}\mathrm{Nd}_{77}$

	Elevel	\mathbf{I}^{π}	$E_{\gamma}(E2)$	B(E2)	B(E2)	References	Configurations and Comments:
	keV		keV	(eb) ²	(W.u.)		
1.	6072	(35/2-)				2019Pe08	1. Configuration assigned as $\pi(h_{11/2})^2 \otimes \nu(h_{11/2})^{-1}$
	7036	(39/2-)	964				$(\pi,\alpha)=(-,-1/2)$ from CNS calculations, however,
	8094	(43/2-)	1058				2023Ka10 do not invoke antimagnetic rotational
	9254	(47/2-)	1160				for this band.
	10514	(51/2-)	1260				2. $(\varepsilon_2, \gamma) \approx (0.15, -60^\circ)$ from CNS calculations.
	11878	(55/2-)	1364				3. Descending theoretical B(E2) values are
	13351	(59/2-)	1473				predicted from CNS model.
	14936	(63/2-)	1585				4. The average moment of inertia is $\approx 39 \ h^2 \ MeV^{-1}$.
	16631	(67/2-)	1695				
	18435	(71/2-)	1804				
	20338	(75/2-)	(1913)				

142	C
63	cu79

	E _{level} keV	I^{π}	E _γ (E2) keV	B(E2) (eb) ²	B(E2) (W.u.)	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	3578 4110 4930.1 6005.9 7286.9 8750.9	17 ⁻ 19 ⁻ 21 ⁻ 23 ⁻ 25 ⁻ 27 ⁽⁻⁾	532.0 820.1 1075.8 1281.0 1464	$\begin{array}{c} 0.23(3) \\ 0.18(+3-2) \\ 0.12(2) \\ > 0.05 \end{array}$	52(7) 41(+7-4) 27.2(45) >11.4	2017A130	 Probable configuration: [π (g_{7/2}⁻¹)(h_{11/2}⁻³)⊗ (h_{11/2}²)] using SPRM model calculations. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of level from 4930.1 to 7286.9 keV are 1.23(+21-16), 0.46(+9-7) and < 0.45, respectively. The <i>J</i>²/B(E2) ratios of 19 ħ to 25 ħ levels are 66.9(87), 92.9(+15-10), 158.2(26) and < 414.7 ħ² MeV⁻¹ (eb)⁻², respectively.

¹⁴³ Eu ₈₀	

	E _{level} keV	\mathbf{I}^{π}	E _γ (E2) keV	B(E2) (eb) ²	B(E2) (W.u.)	References	Configurations and Comments:
1.	7389.0 8003.8 8870.3 9977.8 11227.5 12627.5	43/2 ⁺ 47/2 ⁺ 51/2 ⁺ 55/2 ⁺ 59/2 ⁺ (63/2 ⁺)	614.8 866.5 1107.5 1249.7 1400	0.34(+5-4) 0.25(+5-4) 0.13(+3-2) 0.06(1)	76(+11-9) 56(+11-9) 29(+7-4) 13.5(22)	2015Ra12	 Configuration assigned as v(h_{11/2})⁻² π(d_{5/2}/g_{7/2})⁻³ ⊗ (π(h_{11/2})²) from semi-classical particle rotor model calculations β₂ ≈ 0.08 from particle rotor model calculations. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of the levels from 8003.8 keV to 11227.5 keV are 2.76(+42-35), 0.66(+14-10),0.37(+8-6) and 0.44(+6-5), respectively. The <i>J</i>²/B(E2) ratios of 47/2 ħ to 59/2 ħ levels are 47(+8-7), 66(+13-11), 216(+50-33) and 444(74) h² MeV⁻¹ (eb)⁻², respectively.
2.	12018.4 12974.0 14159.7	63/2+ 67/2+ 71/2+	790.9 955.6 1185.7	0.35(+6-5) 0.23(+4-3) > 0.09	79(+14-11) 52(+9-7) > 20.3	2015Ra12	 Tentative configuration assigned as [v(h_{11/2})⁻² π(d_{5/2}/g_{7/2})⁻³ π(h_{11/2})²+core(3ħ)]⊗(π(h_{11/2})² from semi-classical particle rotor model calculations. According to 2015Ra12, smooth band termination for this band cannot be ruled out. β₂ ≈ 0.09 from particle rotor model calculations. The mean lifetimes (in ps) of the levels from 12018.4 keV to 14159.7 keV are 0.48(+8-7), 0.44(+7-5) and <0.38, respectively. The <i>J</i>²/B(E2) ratios of 63/2h to 71/2h levels are 69(+12-10), 76(+13-10) and > 216 ħ² MeV⁻¹ (eb)⁻², respectively.

¹¹¹ ₆₆ Dy ₇₈						
Elevel	I^{π}	E _γ (E2)	B(E2)	B(E2)	Reference	Configurations and Comments:
keV		keV	(eb) ²	(W.u.)		
3172.4	10^{+}				2009Su09	1. Possible assignment as AMR with configuration
3818.5	12^{+}	664.1				of $\pi h^2_{11/2} \otimes \nu h^{-2}_{11/2}$, in comparison with
4542.3	14^{+}	723.8				configuration for an AMR band in ¹¹⁰ Cd
5302.7	16+	760.4				in 2011Ro01.
6074.1	18+	771.4				2. Quasi-rotational band, as level energies do not seem to follow a monotonically increasing trend with ascending spins as expected for a rotor.
	66DY78 Elevel keV 3172.4 3818.5 4542.3 5302.7 6074.1	$\begin{array}{c c} \hline 66 \text{PY78} \\ \hline E_{level} & I^{\pi} \\ \hline keV \\ \hline 3172.4 & 10^{+} \\ 3818.5 & 12^{+} \\ 4542.3 & 14^{+} \\ 5302.7 & 16^{+} \\ 6074.1 & 18^{+} \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

References for Table 2

1980Ga17	W.Gast, K.Dey, A.Gelberg, U.Kaup et al Phys.Rev. C22, 469 (1980). High-Spin States of ⁸³ Rb.
1984Ku23	M.F.Kudoyarov, I.Kh.Lemberg, A.A.Pasternak, L.A.Rassadin, F.Denau - Izv.Akad.Nauk SSSR, Ser.Fiz. 48, 1887 (1984).
	Lifetimes and Level Structure in ⁸³ Kr.
1986Fu03	L.Funke, J.Doring, P.Kemnitz, E.Will et al Nucl.Phys. A455, 206 (1986).
	Three-Quasiparticle Excitations in ⁸¹ Kr.
1986Fu04	L.Funke, J.Doring, P.Kemnitz, P.Ojeda et al Z.Phys. A324, 127 (1986). Yrast States in ⁸¹ Br and ⁸² Br.
1986Ke12	P.Kemnitz, J.Doring, L.Funke, G.Winter et al Nucl.Phys. A456, 89 (1986).
	Evidence for Shape Coexistence from Few-Quasiparticle Excitations in ⁸³ Kr.
1988Hi04	L.Hildingsson, C.W.Beausang, D.B.Fossan, W.F.Piel, Jr Phys.Rev. C37, 985 (1988).
	High Spin Structure in the Odd-Proton Nucleus ¹³³ Pr.
1988Sc13	R.Schwengner, J.Doring, L.Funke, H.Rotter et al Nucl.Phys. A486, 43 (1988).
	High-Spin States and Band Structures in ⁷⁹ Br.
1989Pa17	E.S.Paul, D.B.Fossan, Y.Liang, R.Ma, N.Xu - Phys.Rev. C40, 1255 (1989).
	Shape Coexistence in ¹³² Ba.
1989Xu01	N.Xu, C.W.Beausang, R.Ma, E.S.Paul et al Phys.Rev. C39, 1799 (1989).
	High Spin States in ¹³⁷ Pr.
1990Ma26	R.Ma, E.S.Paul, D.B.Fossan, Y.Liang et al Phys.Rev. C41, 2624 (1990).
	Rotational Bands in ¹³⁵ Ce: Collective prolate and oblate rotation.
1990Pa05	E.S.Paul, D.B.Fossan, Y.Liang, R.Ma et al Phys.Rev. C41, 1576 (1990).
	High-Spin States in ¹³⁶ Ce: Systematics of collective oblate rotation.
1990Sc07	R.Schwengner, J.Doring, L.Funke, G.Winter et al Nucl.Phys. A509, 550 (1990).
	Three-Quasiparticle Excitations in ⁷⁹ Kr.
1990XuZW	N.Xu - Thesis, State Univ. of New York (1990); Diss.Abst.Int. 51B, 2954 (1990).
	High-Spin Studies for the N = 78 Odd-A Isotones in the A \approx 130 Mass Region.
1991Ca24	M.A.Cardona, G.de Angelis, D.Bazzacco, M.De Poli, S.Lunardi - Z.Phys. A340, 345 (1991).
	High Spin Levels in ¹⁴¹ Sm.
1992Ba13	G.Baldsiefen, H.Hubel, D.Mehta, B.V.T.Rao et al Phys.Lett. 275B, 252 (1992).
	Oblate Collective Bands in ¹⁹⁹ Pb and ²⁰⁰ Pb.
1992Ku06	A.Kuhnert, M.A.Stoyer, J.A.Becker, E.A.Henry et al Phys.Rev. C46, 133 (1992).
	Oblate Collectivity in ¹⁹⁷ Pb.
1992Wa20	T.F.Wang, E.A.Henry, J.A.Becker, A.Kuhnert et al Phys.Rev.Lett. 69, 1737 (1992).
	First Lifetime Measurement of Dipole Collective Bands in Neutron-Deficient Lead Nuclei.
1993Cl02	R.M.Clark, R.Wadsworth, F.Azaiez, C.W.Beausang et al J.Phys.(London) G19, L57 (1993).
	First Observation of Collective Dipole Rotational Bands in the Neutron-Deficient Bismuth Nuclei.
1993Cl05	R.M.Clark, R.Wadsworth, E.S.Paul, C.W.Beausang et al Nucl.Phys. A562, 121 (1993).
	Collective Oblate Dipole Rotational Bands in ¹⁹⁸ Pb.
1993Ho15	J.W.Holcomb, J.Doring, T.Glasmacher, G.D.Johns et al Phys.Rev. C48, 1020 (1993).
	Transition Strengths in ⁷⁹ Rb.
1993Hu01	J.R.Hughes, Y.Liang, R.V.F.Janssens, A.Kuhnert et al Phys.Rev. C47, R1337 (1993).
	Collective Oblate Bands in ¹⁹⁶ Pb.
1993Hu08	J.R.Hughes, J.A.Becker, M.J.Brinkman, E.A.Henry et al Phys.Rev. C48, R2135 (1993).
	Lifetime Measurements in the Regular $\Delta I = 1$ Oblate Band in ¹⁹⁷ Pb.

1993Me12	D.Mehta, W.Korten, H.Hubel, K.Theine et al Z.Phys. A346, 169 (1993).
	Dipole Cascades in ¹⁹⁴ Pb.
1993Pl02	A.J.M.Plompen, M.N.Harakeh, W.H.A.Hesselink, G.van't Hof et al Nucl.Phys. A562, 61 (1993).
	Collective and Quasiparticle Structure in 192 Pb.
1993Th05	I Thorslund C Fahlander I Nyberg S Juntinen et al Nucl Phys. A 564, 285 (1993)
177511105	The Role of the Shape Driving $h_{1/2}$ Neutron Orbital in ¹⁰⁸ Cd
1994Ba43	G Baldsiefen H Hubel W Korten D Mehta et al Nucl Phys. A 574, 521 (1994)
1774045	Shears Bands in ¹⁹⁹ Ph and ²⁰⁰ Ph
1994C101	R M Clark R Wadsworth H R Andrews C W Beausang et al Phys Rev. C50. 84 (1994)
17740101	Recoil Distance Lifetime Measurements of States in the Oblate Dinole Bands of ^{197,198} Ph
1994Da17	P L Dagnall C W Beausang R M Clark R Wadsworth et al J Phys (London) G20 1591 (1994)
1774Du17	Oblate Bands in $\Delta \simeq 200$ Bismuth Nuclei
1994De11	G de Angelis M A Cardona M De Poli S Lunardi et al - Phys Rev. C49, 2990 (1994)
17742011	Broken Pairs and Evolution of Collectivity in the A ≈ 140 Mass Region: High spin states of the
	$^{138}_{60}$ Nd ₇₈ Nucleus.
1994Do18	J.Doring, R.Schwengner, L.Funke, H.Rotter et al Phys.Rev. C50, 1845 (1994).
	High-Lying Three-Quasiparticle Bands and Signature Splitting in ⁸¹ Rb.
1994Je11	D.Jerrestam, W.Klamra, J.Gizon, F.Liden et al Nucl. Phys. A577, 786 (1994).
	Collective Bands in ¹⁰⁶ Ag and ¹⁰⁷ Ag.
1994Je12	D.Jerrestam, W.Klamra, J.Gizon, B.Fogelberg et al Nucl. Phys. A579, 256 (1994).
	High-Spin Bands in ¹⁰⁵ Ag.
1994Jo08	G.D.Johns, J.Doring, J.W.Holcomb, T.D.Johnson et al Phys.Rev. C50, 2786 (1994).
	Shape Changes in ⁷⁹ Kr.
1994Ju04	S.Juutinen, R.Julin, M.Piiparinen, P.Ahonen et al Nucl.Phys. A573, 306 (1994).
	Gamma-Ray Spectroscopy of ¹¹⁰ Cd.
1994Ju05	S.Juutinen, P.Simecek, C.Fahlander, R.Julin et al Nucl. Phys. A577, 727 (1994).
	High-Spin States in ^{109,111} Cd and ¹⁰⁷ Pd.
1994Po08	MG.Porquet, F.Hannachi, G.Bastin, V.Brindejonc et al J.Phys.(London) G20, 765 (1994).
	Two-Proton High-K Oblate Structure in ¹⁹⁴ Pb.
1994Rz01	T.Rzaca-Urban, S.Utzelmann, K.Strahle, R.M.Lieder et al Nucl.Phys. A579, 319 (1994).
	Study of the High-Spin Structure of ¹⁴⁴ Gd.
1994Th01	I.Thorslund, C.Fahlander, J.Nyberg, M.Piiparinen et al Nucl.Phys. A568, 306 (1994).
	Transition Probabilities and Quadrupole Deformation Measured in ¹⁰⁸ Cd.
1995Ba35	G.Baldsiefen, S.Chmel, H.Hubel, W.Korten et al Nucl.Phys. A587, 562 (1995).
	Shears Bands and Their Links to Spherical States in ¹⁹⁷ Pb.
1995Ba70	G.Baldsiefen, P.Maagh, H.Hubel, W.Korten et al Nucl.Phys. A592, 365 (1995).
	Shears Bands in ²⁰¹ Pb and ²⁰² Pb.
1995Fa19	B.Fant, B.Cederwall, J.Cederkall, L.O.Norlin et al Phys.Scr. T56, 245 (1995).
	Shell Structure and Shape Coexistence in ¹⁹⁵ Pb.
1995Je05	D.Jerrestam, B.Fogelberg, R.A.Bark, I.G.Bearden et al Phys.Rev. C52, 2448 (1995).
	Highly Deformed Band in ¹⁰⁵ Ag.
1995Ju04	A.Jungclaus, S.Albers, P.von Brentano, M.Eschenauer et al Z.Phys. A352, 3 (1995).
	Excited States in the Transitional $N = 45$ Nucleus ⁸⁵ Zr.
1995Ju09	S.Juutinen, S.Tormanen, P.Ahonen, M.Carpenter et al Phys.Rev. C52, 2946 (1995).
	Band Structures in ¹³² Ba.

1995Ka19	M.Kaci, MG.Porquet, F.Hannachi, M.Aiche et al Acta Phys.Pol. B26, 275 (1995). Study of Dipole Bands in ¹⁹⁴ Pb with EUROGRAM.
1995Mo01	E.F.Moore, M.P.Carpenter, Y.Liang, R.V.F.Janssens et al Phys.Rev. C51, 115 (1995).
10051 00	Collectivity of Dipole Bands in ¹⁷⁰ Pb.
1995Ne09	M.Neffgen, G.Baldsiefen, S.Frauendorf, H.Grawe et al Nucl. Phys. A595, 499 (1995). Lifetimes of Shears Bands in ¹⁹⁹ Pb.
1995Sc04	R.Schwengner, G.Winter, J.Reif, H.Prade et al Nucl. Phys. A584, 159 (1995).
	Particle Excitations and Collectivity in the N = 48 Nuclei 83 Br and 85 Rb.
1995Ta21	S.L.Tabor, J.Doring - Phys.Scr. T56, 175 (1995).
	High-K Intruder Structures in A \approx 80 Nuclei.
1996Ba53	G.Baldsiefen, H.Hubel, W.Korten, U.J.van Severen et al Z.Phys. A355, 337 (1996).
	Crossing of Shears Bands in ¹⁹⁶ Pb.
1996Ba54	G.Baldsjefen, M.A.Stover, J.A.Cizewski, D.P.McNabb et al Phys.Rev. C54, 1106 (1996).
	Shears Bands in ¹⁹³ Pb.
1996Br33	F.Brandolini, M.Ionescu-Bujor, N.H.Medina, R.V.Ribas et al Phys.Lett. 388B, 468 (1996).
	Lifetimes of a Shears Band in ¹³⁹ Sm.
1996Du18	L.Ducroux, A.Astier, R.Duffait, Y.Le Coz et al Z.Phys. A356, 241 (1996).
	Dipole Bands and Multi-Ouasiparticle Excitations in ¹⁹³ Pb.
1996Ka15	M.Kaci, MG.Porquet, F.Hannachi, M.Aiche et al Z.Phys. A354, 267 (1996).
	Dipole Bands in the Oblate-Shaped ¹⁹⁵ Pb.
1996Pe06	C.M.Petrache, Y.Sun, D.Bazzacco, S.Lunardi et al Phys.Rev. C53, R2581 (1996).
	Multiple Dipole Bands at Prolate Shapes in ¹³⁶ Nd and Their Description Through the Projected Shell
	Model.
1996Pi11	M.Piiparinen, A.Atac, J.Blomqvist, G.B.Hagemann et al Nucl. Phys. A605, 191 (1996).
	High-Spin Spectroscopy of the ¹⁴² Eu, ¹⁴³ Eu and ¹⁴⁴ Eu Nuclei.
1996Po07	K.R.Pohl, P.H.Regan, J.E.Bush, P.E.Raines et al Phys.Rev. C53, 2682 (1996).
	High-Spin States in ¹⁰⁷ Pd, ¹⁰⁸ Pd, and ¹⁰⁹ Ag.
1996Ro04	C.Rossi Alvarez, D.Vretenar, Zs.Podolyak, D.Bazzacco et al Phys.Rev. C54, 57 (1996).
	Excited States in ¹³⁹ Sm Described with the Interacting Boson Model Plus Broken Pairs.
1996Sm07	D.H.Smalley, R.Chapman, P.J.Dagnall, C.Finck et al Nucl.Phys. A611, 96 (1996).
	Gamma-Ray Spectroscopy of ⁷⁹ Rb and ⁸¹ Sr.
1997Ch01	R.S.Chakrawarthy, R.G.Pillay - Phys.Rev. C55, 155 (1997).
	M1 Bands and Intruder Bands in ¹¹³ In.
1997Ch33	S.Chmel, F.Brandolini, R.V.Ribas, G.Baldsiefen et al Phys.Rev.Lett. 79, 2002 (1997).
	g Factor of a Magnetic-Rotational Band Head in ¹⁹³ Pb.
1997Cl03	R.M.Clark, S.J.Asztalos, G.Baldsiefen, J.A.Becker et al Phys.Rev.Lett. 78, 1868 (1997).
	Evidence for 'Magnetic Rotation ' in Nuclei: Lifetimes of states in the M1 bands of ^{198,199} Pb.
1997Ga01	A.Gadea, G.de Angelis, C.Fahlander, M.De Poli et al Phys.Rev. C55, R1 (1997).
	Magnetic Rotation in the ¹⁰⁵ Sn Nucleus.
1997Ju01	S.Juutinen, E.Makela, R.Julin, M.Piiparinen et al Nucl.Phys. A617, 74 (1997).
	Coexistence of Collective and Quasiparticle Structure in ¹⁰⁶ Sn and ¹⁰⁸ Sn.
1997Ko51	J.Kownacki, M.Lipoglavsek, LO.Norlin, J.Nyberg et al Nucl.Phys. A627, 239 (1997).
	High-Spin Studies of the Neutron Deficient Nuclei ¹⁰³ In, ¹⁰⁵ In, ¹⁰⁷ In, and ¹⁰⁹ In.
1997La13	G.J.Lane, D.B.Fossan, I.Thorslund, P.Vaska et al Phys.Rev. C55, R2127 (1997).
	Identification of Excited States in Doubly Odd ¹¹⁰ Sb: Smooth band termination.

1997Lo12	G.Lo Bianco, Ch.Protochristov, G.Falconi, N.Blasi et al Z.Phys. A359, 347 (1997). A Dipole Band in ¹²⁴ Xe.
1997Pe25	J.Persson, J.Cederkall, M.Lipoglavsek, M.Palacz et al Nucl.Phys. A627, 101 (1997).
	In-Beam γ -Ray Spectroscopy of ¹⁰² Cd.
1997Ri16	M.A.Rizzutto, F.R.Espinoza-Quinones, E.W.Cybulska, N.H.Medina et al Z.Phys. A359, 471
	(1997) – abstract only, accessible electronically.
	High Spin States in ¹⁴³ Gd.
1997Su11	M.Sugawara, H.Kusakari, Y.Igari, K.Terui et al Z.Phys. A358, 1 (1997).
	Dipole Bands in ¹⁴² Gd.
1997VaZS	P.Vaska - State Univ.of New York Stony Brook (1997).
	High-Spin Studies of Light Odd-Mass Indium Nuclei.
1997Vo12	O.Vogel, A.Dewald, P.von Brentano, J.Gableske et al Phys.Rev. C56, 1338 (1997).
	Lowest Four-Quasiparticle Magnetic Dipole Band in ¹²⁸ Ba.
1998Ch35	R.S.Chakrawarthy, B.S.Nara Singh, R.G.Pillay - Eur.Phys.J. A 3, 5 (1998). Band Structures in ¹⁰⁸ In
1998C106	R M Clark R Krucken S I Asztalos I A Becker et al Phys Lett. 440B, 251 (1998)
177000100	The Shears Mechanism in the Lead Isotones
1998Fo02	N Fotiades I A Cizewski D P McNabb K Y Ding et al Phys Rev. C57, 1624 (1998)
17701 002	Weak Counting and Dipole Bands in ¹⁹¹ Ph
1998Je03	D G Jenkins I M Hibbert C M Parry R Wadsworth et al Phys Lett 428B 23 (1998)
177000000	Magnetic Rotation in ¹⁰⁶ Sn and ¹⁰⁸ Sn.
1998Ka59	M.Kaci, MG.Porquet, C.Vieu, C.Schuck et al Eur Phys.J. A 3, 201 (1998).
	Spin and Parity Values of States of Dipole Bands in ¹⁹⁴ Pb.
1998Kr20	R.Krucken, R.M.Clark, A.Dewald, M.A.Deleplangue et al Phys.Rev. C58, R1876 (1998).
	Lifetimes Near the Bandhead of a Shears Band in ¹⁹⁸ Pb.
1998La14	G.J.Lane, D.B.Fossan, C.J.Chiara, H.Schnare et al Phys.Rev. C58, 127 (1998).
	High-Spin States, Particle-Hole Structure, and Linked Smooth Terminating Bands in Doubly Odd ¹¹² Sb.
1998Ma09	A.O.Macchiavelli, R.M.Clark, P.Fallon, M.A.Deleplanque et al Phys.Rev. C57, R1073 (1998).
	Semiclassical Description of the Shears Mechanism and the Role of Effective Interactions.
1998Pe17	P.Petkov, J.Gableske, O.Vogel, A.Dewald et al Nucl.Phys. A640, 293 (1998).
	In-Band M1 and E2 Transition Rates and Collective Structures in ¹²⁸ Ba.
1998Su04	M.Sugawara, H.Kusakari, Y.Igari, K.Myojin et al Eur.Phys.J. A 1, 123 (1998).
	Dipole and Quadrupole Cascades in the Yrast Region of ¹⁴³ Gd.
1998Ta26	S.K.Tandel, S.B.Patel, P.Joshi, G.Mukherjee et al Phys.Rev. C58, 3738 (1998).
	Structure of the Neutron Deficient ¹⁰⁷ In.
1998Va03	P.Vaska, D.B.Fossan, D.R.LaFosse, H.Schnare et al Phys.Rev. C57, 1634 (1998).
	Particle-Hole Induced Electric and Magnetic Rotation in ¹¹¹ In.
1998Wi20	I.Wiedenhover, O.Vogel, H.Klein, A.Dewald et al Phys.Rev. C58, 721 (1998).
	Detailed Angular Correlation Analysis with 4π Spectrometers: Spin determinations and
	multipolarity mixing measurements in ¹²⁸ Ba.
1999Cl03	R.M.Clark, S.J.Asztalos, B.Busse, C.J.Chiara et al Phys.Rev.Lett. 82, 3220 (1999).
	Shears Mechanism in the A \sim 110 Region.
1999De50	G.de Angelis, N.Belcari, D.De Acuna, D.R.Napoli et al Nucl. Phys. (Supplement) A654, 659c
	(1999).
	Collective Excitations in the Vicinity of $N = Z$.

1999Do02	J.Doring, D.Ulrich, G.D.Johns, M.A.Riley, S.L.Tabor - Phys.Rev. C59, 71 (1999). Signature Inversion and the First Observation of a Magnetic Dipole Band in Odd-Odd Rubidium
	Isotope ⁸² Rb.
1999Je07	D.G.Jenkins, R.Wadsworth, J.A.Cameron, R.M.Clark et al Phys.Rev.Lett. 83, 500 (1999).
1000Na02	LP Neuels C W Beeusene N Amzel P E Cester et al. Phys Rev. C50, B2080 (1000)
19991005	J.K.Novak, C. W. Deausailg, N.Ainzai, K.F.Castell et al Phys.Rev. C59, R2989 (1999).
$1000 D_{2} 12$	W Dahler, C. Daldeiefen, H. Hukel, W. Kerten et al. Eur Dhus L. A. 5, 257 (1000)
19992015	W.Pollier, G.Baldsleiell, H.Hubel, W.Koltell et al Eur.Phys.J. A 5, 257 (1999).
1000 D -02	L Dev. D. Demonica, S. Dhattachemia, M. Saha, Sarkar et al. Nucl. Dhua, A646, 141 (1000)
1999Ra02	I.Kay, P.Danerjee, S.Bhattacharya, M.Sana-Sarkar et al Nucl.Phys. A040, 141 (1999).
10008-14	Ballu Structure of "Br. H Schwarz, B. Schwangner, S. Frauendorf, F. Donau et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 4408 (1000).
19993014	H.Schnare, K.Schwengher, S.Frauendon, F.Donau et al Flys.Kev.Lett. 82, 4408 (1999).
10008-20	Lischneider P.S. Chakrewarthy I.Wiedenbever A.Schmidt et al. Dhys. Day. C60, 014312 (1000)
19995020	Magnetic Dipole Band in ¹²⁴ Ye
10007628	S L7by M Li L V7by C V Gan et al. Chin Phys Lett 16 635 (1000)
199921120	Identification of Collective Oblate Bands in ¹³⁸ Ce
2000Ch04	C I Chiara S I Asztalos B Busse R M Clark et al Phys Rev. C61, 034318 (2000)
20000000	Shears Mechanism in ¹⁰⁹ Cd
2000Di16	V.I.Dimitrov, F.Donau, S.Frauendorf - Phys.Rev. C62, 024315 (2000).
_0002110	Hybrid Version of the Tilted Axis Cranking Model and Its Application to ¹²⁸ Ba.
2000Go47	A.Gorgen, H.Hubel, D.Ward, S.Chmel et al Eur.Phys.J. A 9, 161 (2000).
	Search for Magnetic Rotation in 202 Pb and 203 Pb.
2000JeZX	D.G.Jenkins, R.Wadsworth, J.A.Cameron, M.P.Carpenter et al nucl-ex/0007004v1,07/05/2000
	(2000).
	Incipient magnetic rotation? A magnetic dipole band in ¹⁰⁴ Cd.
2000Ke01	N.S.Kelsall, R.Wadsworth, S.J.Asztalos, B.Busse et al Phys.Rev. C61, 011301 (2000).
	Evidence for Shears Bands in ¹⁰⁸ Cd.
2000Li14	R.M.Lieder, T.Rzaca-Urban, H.J.Jensen, W.Gast et al Nucl. Phys. A671, 52 (2000).
	From Highly to Superdeformed Shapes: Study of ¹⁴³ Gd.
2000Pe20	P.Petkov, A.Dewald, R.Kuhn, R.Peusquens et al Phys.Rev. C62, 014314 (2000).
	Coincidence Recoil-Distance Doppler-Shift Lifetime Measurements in ¹²⁸ Ba.
2000Sc17	R.Schwengner, H.Schnare, S.Frauendorf, F.Donau et al J.Res.Natl.Inst.Stand.Technol. 105, 133
	(2000).
	Magnetic Rotation in the $A = 80$ Region: M1 bands in heavy Rb isotopes.
2000Zw02	G.Zwartz, T.E.Drake, M.Cromaz, D.Ward et al J.Phys.(London) G26, 849 (2000).
• • • • • • • • •	Magnetic Dipole Bands in ¹⁹⁸ Bi.
2001Am08	Amita, A.K.Jain, V.I.Dimitrov, S.G.Frauendorf - Phys.Rev. C64, 034308 (2001).
00010171	Magnetic Dipole Rotational Bands in Odd-A Rb Isotopes.
2001Ch71	C.J.Chiara, D.B.Fossan, V.P.Janzen, T.Koike et al Phys.Rev. C64, 054314 (2001).
2001 (102	Spectroscopy in the $Z = 49^{-100}$ in Isotopes: Lifetime measurements in shears bands.
2001C102	K.WI.CIARK, A.O.Macchiavelli - Nucl.Phys. A682, 415c (2001).
$2001C_{c}10$	The Shears Mechanism.
20010019	J.K.Cooper, K.Kiuckell, C. W. Deausally, J.K.Novak et al Fllys.Kev.Lett. 87, 152505 (2001). Crossing of Shears Bands in ¹⁹⁷ Db: B(M1) Values and Samialassical Description
	crossing or site as balles in (10, b(wit) values and semiclassical Description.

2001Fo02	B.Fornal, R.Broda, P.J.Daly, P.Bhattacharyya et al Phys.Rev. C63, 024322 (2001). Few Particle Excitations of N = 83 Isotones ¹³⁴ Sb and ¹³⁵ Te from ²⁴⁸ Cm Fission.
2001Go06	A.Gorgen, N.Nenoff, H.Hubel, G.Baldsiefen et al Nucl. Phys. A683, 108 (2001).
	Magnetic Rotation in ¹⁹⁷ Pb and ¹⁹⁸ Pb.
2001Ke12	G.Kemper, A.Dewald, I.Wiedenhover, R.Peusquens et al Eur.Phys.J. A 11, 121 (2001).
	Investigation of the Magnetic Rotation in ¹⁹⁶ Pb.
2001Li24	K.P.Lieb, D.Kast, A.Jungclaus, I.P.Johnstone et al Phys.Rev. C63, 054304 (2001).
	Proton versus Neutron Excitations in the High-Spin Spectrum of ¹⁰² Cd.
2001Lu16	Y.X.Luo, J.O.Rasmussen, A.V.Ramayya, J.H.Hamilton et al Phys.Rev. C 64, 054306 (2001);
	Erratum Phys.Rev. C 104, 069902 (2021).
	Fission γ Spectra and Levels in ¹³⁹ Ba.
2001Mu14	B.Mukherjee, S.Muralithar, R.P.Singh, R.Kumar et al Phys.Rev. C63, 057302 (2001).
	γ-Ray Spectroscopy of Odd-Odd ⁶² Cu.
2001Rz01	T.Rzaca-Urban - Acta Phys.Pol. B32, 2645 (2001).
	Search for Magnetic Rotation in the A ≈ 140 Region.
2002Ka01	M.Kaci, MG.Porquet, I.Deloncle, M.Aiche et al Nucl.Phys. A697, 3 (2002).
	Multiparticle Excitations in ¹⁹⁴ Pb.
2002La26	S.Lakshmi, H.C.Jain, P.K.Joshi, Amita et al Phys.Rev. C66, 041303 (2002).
	Shape transition and tilted axis rotation in 136 Ce.
2002Li22	R.M.Lieder, T.Rzaca-Urban, H.Brands, W.Gast et al Eur.Phys.J. A 13, 297 (2002).
	Development of Magnetic Rotation in Light Gd Nuclei; Study of ¹⁴² Gd.
2002Sc13	R.Schwengner, F.Donau, T.Servene, H.Schnare et al Phys.Rev. C65, 044326 (2002).
	Magnetic and Collective Rotation in ⁷⁹ Br.
2002Sc35	R.Schwengner, G.Rainovski, H.Schnare, A.Wagner et al Phys.Rev. C66, 024310 (2002).
	Magnetic rotation in ⁸² Rb and ⁸⁴ Rb.
2002Si20	A.K.Singh, N.Nenoff, D.Rossbach, A.Gorgen et al Nucl.Phys. A707, 3 (2002).
	Detailed Study of Magnetic Rotation in ¹⁹⁶ Pb.
2002Si29	A.K.Singh, H.Hubel, D.Rossbach, S.Chmel et al Phys.Rev. C 66, 064314 (2002).
2002T 11	Lifetimes of magnetic-rotational bands in ¹⁹⁰ Pb.
2002Ta11	S.K. Tandel, S.R.Kore, S.B.Patel, S.Muralithar et al Phys.Rev. C65, 054307 (2002).
200237 02	Kotational Bands and Noncollective Structures in ⁶⁵ Zr.
2002 v y02	K. vyvey, S.C.nmei, G.Neyens, H.Hubei et al Phys.Rev.Lett. 88, 102502 (2002).
	Quadruppie Moment of the 11 Intruder isomer in ²⁰ Pb and its implications for the 16 Shears Band
20020-07	Heau. D. Dette, S. Chattaradhuau, D. Danarias, S. Dhattacharus et al. Dhua Day, C. 67, 014225 (2002)
2005Da07	P.Datta, S.Chattopadilyay, P.Baherjee, S.Bhattacharya et al Phys.Rev. C 67, 014525 (2005).
2003M205	7 Marcinkowska, T.R. 7202 Urban, Ch. Drosta, T.Morak et al. Acta Phys. Pol. B34, 2310 (2003)
2005111075	Magnetic rotation in the nucleus ¹⁴¹ Fu
2003De20	F S Paul S A Forbes I Gizon K Hauschild et al Nucl Phys. A727, 207 (2003)
20031 430	Magnetic dipole bands in 133 Pr
2003Wa36	ZM.Wang, SX.Wen, XG.Wu, ZH.Peng et al High Energy Phys. and Nucl.Phys. (China) 27.
	24 (2003).
	Study on High Spin States.
2004Da14	P.Datta, S.Chattopadhyay, P.Banerjee, S.Bhattacharva et al Phys.Rev. C 69, 044317 (2004).
	Observation of magnetic rotation in odd-odd ¹⁰⁴ Ag.

2004Kr14	Krishichayan, A.Chakraborty, S.S.Ghugre, R.Goswami et al Phys.Rev. C 70, 044315 (2004).
	High-spin states in the odd-odd nucleus ¹⁴⁶ Tb.
2004La03	S.Lakshmi, H.C.Jain, P.K.Joshi, A.K.Jain, S.S.Malik - Phys.Rev. C 69, 014319 (2004).
	Magnetic rotation and shape mixing in ¹³⁴ Ce.
2004Ma09	S.S.Malik, P.Agarwal, A.K.Jain - Nucl.Phys. A732, 13 (2004).
	Magnetic rotation based on oblate shape in odd Kr-isotopes.
2004Po13	E.O.Podsvirova, R.M.Lieder, A.A.Pasternak, S.Chmel et al Eur.Phys.J. A 21, 1 (2004).
	Investigation of lifetimes in dipole bands of ¹⁴¹ Eu.
2004Sa47	B.Saha, A.Dewald, O.Moller, R.Peusquens et al Phys.Rev. C 70, 034313 (2004); Erratum
	Phys.Rev. C 71, 039902 (2005);Comment Phys.Rev. C 72, 029801 (2005).
	Probing nuclear structure of ¹²⁴ Xe.
2004Xi01	C.Y.Xie, X.H.Zhou, Y.Zheng, Y.H.Zhang et al Eur.Phys.J. A 19, 7 (2004).
	Level structure of ¹⁴⁶ Tb.
2005Ba51	A.M.Baxter, A.P.Byrne, G.D.Dracoulis, P.M.Davidson et al Phys.Rev. C 71, 054302 (2005).
	Spherical and deformed structures in ¹⁸⁹ Pb.
2005De02	A.Y.Deo, S.K.Tandel, S.B.Patel, P.V.Madhusudhana Rao et al Phys.Rev. C 71, 017303 (2005).
	Lifetime measurements in ¹¹² Sb.
2005G109	K.A.Gladnishki, D.L.Balabanski, P.Petkov, A.Dewald et al J.Phys.(London) G31, S1559 (2005).
	Lifetime measurements in the Yrast magnetic band in ¹⁹³ Pb.
2005JaZZ	H.C.Jain, S.Lakshmi, P.K.Joshi - Proc.Nuclei at the Limits, Argonne, Illinois, D.Seweryniak and
	T.L.Khoo, eds., p.99 (2005); AIP Conf. Proc 764 (2005).
	Identification Of Chiral Bands In ¹³⁵ Ce.
2005Ku10	R.Kumar, K.Singh, D.Mehta, N.Singh et al Eur.Phys.J. A 24, 13 (2005).
	Collective structures of the ¹³¹ Cs nucleus.
2005La29	S.Lakshmi, H.C.Jain, P.K.Joshi, I.Mazumdar et al Nucl.Phys. A761, 1 (2005).
	High spin structure of ¹³⁶ Ce.
2005Ma51	G.K.Mabala, E.Gueorguieva, J.F.Sharpey-Schafer, M.Benatar et al Eur.Phys.J. A 25, 49 (2005).
	Shears band with a large dynamic moment of inertia in ¹⁹⁷ Bi.
2005Na37	S.Naguleswaran, R.S.Chakrawarthy, U.Garg, K.L.Lamkin et al Phys.Rev. C 72, 044304 (2005).
	Magnetic and intruder rotational bands in ¹¹³ In.
2005Pa07	A.A.Pasternak, E.O.Podsvirova, R.M.Lieder, S.Chmel et al Eur.Phys.J. A 23, 191 (2005).
2 00 601 51	Investigation of lifetimes in dipole bands of 142 Gd.
2006Ch51	X.L.Che, S.J.Zhu, M.L.Lı, Y.J.Chen et al Eur.Phys.J. A 30, 347 (2006).
200 (D 15	High-spin levels based on the $11/2$ isomer in 153 Ba.
2006De15	A.Y.Deo, S.B.Patel, S.K.Tandel, S.Muralithar et al Phys.Rev. C 73, 034313 (2006).
00060 10	Systematics of the shears mechanism in silver isotopes.
2006Ga10	S.Ganguly, P.Banerjee, I.Ray, R.Kshetri et al Nucl.Phys. A /68, 43 (2006).
200611-21	Band structure in ⁶⁰ Rb from lifetime measurements.
2006He31	CY.He, LIH.Zhu, XG.Wu, ZM.Wang et al High Energy Phys. and Nucl.Phys. (China) 30,
	966 (2006).
200 CD 10	High Spin State Structure of ¹⁰⁰ Ag.
2006Ra10	K.Kaut, S.Ganguly, K.Ksnetri, P.Banerjee et al Phys.Kev. C / 3, 044305 (2006).
2007 4 ~12	night spill states in
2007Ag15	r.Agai wai, S.Kulliar, S.Siligii, K.K.Sillilä et al Phys.Kev. \mathbb{C} /0, 024521 (2007).
	Danucrossing of magnetic rotation bands in TPT.

2007Ku12	S.Kumar, R.Palit, H.C.Jain, I.Mazumdar et al Phys.Rev. C 76, 014306 (2007).
	High spin structure of ¹³⁹ Nd.
2007Ti07	J.Timar, T.Koike, N.Pietralla, G.Rainovski et al Phys.Rev. C 76, 024307 (2007).
	High-spin structure of ¹⁰⁵ Ag: Search for chiral doublet bands.
2007TiZZ	J.Timar, B.M.Nyako, G.Berek, J.Gal et al Atomki 2007 Ann.Rept., p.27 (2007).
	Search for chirality in ¹⁰⁹ Ag.
2007Yu03	D.Yuan, Y.Zheng, D.Zhou, Y.Zuo et al Hyperfine Interactions 180, 49 (2007).
	g-Factors of magnetic-rotational states in ⁸⁵ Zr.
2008A112	A.Al-Khatib, H.Hubel, P.Bringel, C.Engelhardt et al Eur.Phys.J. A 36, 21 (2008).
	Transition to non-collective states at high spin in 124 Xe.
2008Da12	P.Datta, S.Roy, S.Pal, S.Chattopadhyay et al Phys.Rev. C 78, 021306 (2008).
	Abrupt change of rotation axis in ¹⁰⁹ Ag.
2008Ha39	D.J.Hartley, E.P.Seyfried, W.Reviol, D.G.Sarantites et al Phys.Rev. C 78, 054319 (2008);
	Publishers Note Phys.Rev. C 78, 069901(2008).
	Possible shears bands in ²⁰⁴ At and ²⁰⁶ Fr, and identification of excited states in ^{205,207} Fr.
2008Li08	E.O.Lieder, A.A.Pasternak, R.M.Lieder, A.D.Efimov et al Eur.Phys.J. A 35, 135 (2008).
	Investigation of lifetimes in quadrupole bands of ¹⁴² Gd.
2008Pa36	A.A.Pasternak, E.O.Lieder, R.M.Lieder, S.Chmel et al Eur.Phys.J. A 37, 279 (2008).
	Investigation of lifetimes in the dipole band of ¹³⁹ Sm.
2008Ra06	S.Ray, N.S.Pattabiraman, Krishichayan, A.Chakraborty et al Phys.Rev. C 77, 024305 (2008);
	Erratum Phys.Rev. C 78, 049901 (2008).
	Level structure of ¹⁰³ Ag at high spin.
2008Si26	S.Sihotra, R.Palit, Z.Naik, K.Singh et al Phys.Rev. C 78, 034313 (2008).
	Multiple band structures of ¹³¹ Cs.
2008To15	D.A.Torres, F.Cristancho, LL.Andersson, E.K.Johansson et al Phys.Rev. C 78, 054318 (2008).
	Deformations and magnetic rotations in the ⁶⁰ Ni nucleus.
2008Xu05	Q.Xu, S.J.Zhu, X.L.Che, J.G.Wang et al Phys.Rev. C 78, 034310 (2008).
	High-spin states and collective oblate bands in ¹³⁹ Nd.
2009Bh04	T.Bhattacharjee, S.Chanda, S.Bhattacharyya, S.K.Basu et al Nucl.Phys. A825, 16 (2009).
	Band structures in near spherical ¹³⁸ Ce.
2009Dh01	A.Dhal, R.K.Sinha, L.Chaturvedi, P.Agarwal et al Phys.Rev. C 80, 014320 (2009).
	High spin states in ¹³⁹ Pm.
2009Ku03	T.Kutsarova, E.A.Stefanova, A.Minkova, S.Lalkovski et al Phys.Rev. C 79, 014315 (2009).
	High-spin level scheme of ¹⁹⁴ Pb.
2009Li66	XQ.Li, LH.Zhu, XG.Wu, CY.He et al Chin.Phys.C 33, Supplement 1, 209 (2009).
	Shears bands in ¹¹² In.
2009Ru03	C.Rusu, C.A.Ur, D.Bucurescu, A.Iordachescu et al Nucl. Phys. A818, 1 (2009).
	In-beam gamma-ray spectroscopy and shell-model description of ^{85,86} Y isotopes.
2009Sc22	R.Schwengner, G.Rainovski, H.Schnare, A.Wagner et al Phys.Rev. C 80, 044305 (2009).
	Magnetic dipole sequences in ⁸³ Rb.
2009Su09	M.Sugawara, Y.Toh, M.Oshima, M.Koizumi et al Phys.Rev. C 79, 064321 (2009).
	Possible magnetic and antimagnetic rotations in ¹⁴⁴ Dy.
2010He05	C.Y.He, L.H.Zhu, X.G.Wu, S.X.Wen et al Phys.Rev. C 81, 057301 (2010).
	Band structures in ¹⁰⁶ Ag and systematics of shears mechanism in the A \sim 110 mass region.

2010He09	CY.He, XQ.Li, LH.Zhu, XG.Wu et al Nucl.Phys. A834, 84c (2010).
	New level scheme and magnetic rotation in ¹¹² In.
2010Id01	E.Ideguchi, B.Cederwall, E.Ganioglu, B.Hadinia et al Phys.Rev. C 81, 034303 (2010).
	High-spin intruder band in ¹⁰⁷ In.
2010Ku15	S.Kumar, A.K.Jain, A.Goel, S.S.Malik et al Phys.Rev. C 81, 067304 (2010).
	Band structure and shape coexistence in ${}^{135}_{56}\text{Ba}_{79}$.
2010Ne05	D.Negi, T.Trivedi, A.Dhal, S.Kumar et al Phys.Rev. C 81, 054322 (2010).
	High spin spectroscopy and shears mechanism in ¹⁰⁷ In.
2010Pr04	M.G.Procter, D.M.Cullen, C.Scholey, B.Niclasen et al Phys.Rev. C 81, 054320 (2010).
	Lifetime measurements and shape coexistence in ¹⁴⁴ Dy.
2010Ro15	S.Roy, P.Datta, S.Pal, S.Chattopadhyay et al Phys.Rev. C 81, 054311 (2010).
	Band crossing in a shears band of ¹⁰⁸ Cd.
2010Sh12	S.Shen, G.Han, S.Wen, F.Pan et al Phys.Rev. C 82, 014306 (2010), Erratum Phys.Rev. C 91, 029902 (2015).
	High-spin states and level structure in ⁸⁴ Rb.
2010Si14	S.Sihotra, Z.Naik, R.Palit, A.Y.Deo et al Eur.Phys.J. A 43, 45 (2010).
	Level structures in the $^{107}_{49}$ In nucleus and their microscopic description.
2010Yu03	DQ.Yuan, YN.Zheng, Y.Zuo, P.Fan et al Chin.Phys.B 19, 062701 (2010).
	The g-factors and magnetic rotation in ⁸² Rb.
2010Zh12	NT.Zhang, YH.zhang, XH.Zhou, ML.Liu et al Chin.Phys.C 34, 456 (2010).
	Dipole bands in nucleus ¹³⁹ Pm.
2011Ba02	D.L.Balabanski, M.Ionescu-Bujor, A.Iordachescu, D.Bazzacco et al Phys.Rev. C 83, 014304
	(2011).
	Spin, quadrupole moment, and deformation of the magnetic-rotational band head in ¹⁹³ Pb.
2011Ba05	P.Banerjee, S.Ganguly, M.K.Pradhan, H.P.Sharma et al Phys.Rev. C 83, 024316 (2011).
	Experimental study of $\Delta I = 1$ bands in ¹¹¹ In.
2011Bh07	S.Bhowal, G.Gangopadhyay, C.M.Petrache, I.Ragnarsson et al Phys.Rev. C 84, 024313 (2011).
	Development of collective structures over noncollective excitations in ¹³⁹ Nd.
2011Ga44	S.Ganguly, A.Dey, P.Banerjee, S.Bhattacharya et al Braz.J.Phys. 41, 135 (2011).
	Study of Three-Quasiparticle Band in ⁸³ Kr.
2011He04	C.Y.He, X.Q.Li, L.H.Zhu, X.G.Wu et al Phys.Rev. C 83, 024309 (2011).
	Magnetic rotation in ¹¹² In.
2011Li43	C.B.Li, Y.Zheng, X.G.Wu, X.F.Li et al Eur.Phys.J. A 47, 141 (2011).
	Level structures in the ¹¹⁴ In nucleus.
2011Zh47	N.T.Zhang, Y.H.Zhang, X.H.Zhou, M.L.Liu et al Phys.Rev. C 84, 057302 (2011).
	In-beam γ spectroscopy of the odd-Z nucleus ¹³⁹ Pm.
2011Zh57	P.W.Zhao, S.Q.Zhang, J.Peng, H.Z.Liang et al Phys.Lett. B 699, 181 (2011).
	Novel structure for magnetic rotation bands in ⁶⁰ Ni.
2011ZhZU	N.T.Zhang - Priv.Comm. (2011).
	¹³⁹ Pm: Priv. comm. with reference to author's articles 2011Zh12 and 2011Zh47
2012KuZT	S.Kumar - Proc Conf on Frontiers in Gamma-Ray Spectroscopy (FIG2012), New Delhi, India, 5-7
	March 2012, S.Muralithar, Ed., p.151 (2012); AIP 1609 (2012).
	Magnetic rotation (MR) band crossing in N=78 odd-Z nuclei: Tilted axis cranking (TAC)
	calculations to explore the role of nucleons.
2012Li38	C.B.Li, J.Li, X.G.Wu, X.F.Li et al Nucl. Phys. A892, 34 (2012).
	Magnetic rotation in doubly odd nucleus ¹¹⁴ In.

2012Ma27	K.Y.Ma, J.B.Lu, D.Yang, H.D.Wang et al Eur.Phys.J. A 48, 82 (2012).
	Level structures of ¹¹³ In.
2012Ne03	D.Negi, T.Trivedi, A.Dhal, S.Kumar et al Phys.Rev. C 85, 057301 (2012).
	Shears mechanism in ¹⁰⁹ In.
2012Pa16	H.Pai, G.Mukherjee, S.Bhattacharyya, M.R.Gohil et al Phys.Rev. C 85, 064313 (2012).
	High spin band structures in doubly odd ¹⁹⁴ Tl.
2012Pe15	C.M.Petrache, S.Frauendorf, M.Matsuzaki, R.Leguillon et al Phys.Rev. C 86, 044321 (2012).
	Tilted axis rotation, candidates for chiral bands, and wobbling motion in ¹³⁸ Nd.
2012St06	D.Steppenbeck, R.V.F.Janssens, S.J.Freeman, M.P.Carpenter et al Phys.Rev. C 85, 044316 (2012).
	Magnetic rotation and quasicollective structures in ⁵⁸ Fe: Influence of the vg _{9/2} orbital.
2012Tr01	T.Trivedi, R.Palit, J.Sethi, S.Saha et al Phys.Rev. C 85, 014327 (2012).
	Small quadrupole deformation for the dipole bands in ¹¹² In.
2012Wa34	S.Y.Wang, D.P.Sun, B.Qi, Z.Q.Chen et al Phys.Rev. C 86, 064302 (2012).
	Collective and noncollective states in ¹¹⁶ Sb.
2013Ga11	R.Garg, S.Kumar, M.Saxena, S.Goyal et al Phys.Rev. C 87, 034317 (2013).
	High spin states in $^{135}_{57}$ La ₇₈
2013Le27	R.Leguillon, H.Nishibata, Y.Ito, C.M.Petrache et al Phys.Rev. C 88, 044309 (2013).
	Spectroscopy of ¹³⁵ La.
2013Li33	J.Li, C.Y.He, Y.Zheng, C.B.Li et al Phys.Rev. C 88, 014317 (2013).
	Signature splitting and magnetic rotation in ⁸⁶ Y.
2013Va10	A.Vancraeyenest, C.M.Petrache, D.Guinet, P.T.Greenlees et al Phys.Rev. C 87, 064303 (2013).
	Identification of new transitions feeding the high-spin isomers in ¹³⁹ Nd and ¹⁴⁰ Nd nuclei.
2013Wa20	Z.G.Wang, M.L.Liu, Y.H.Zhang, X.H.Zhou et al Phys.Rev. C 88, 024306 (2013).
	High-spin level structure of the doubly odd nucleus ¹⁰⁴ Ag.
2014Ch22	Y.Y.Cheng, S.Q.Zhang, X.Q.Li, H.Hua et al Phys.Rev. C 89, 054309 (2014).
	High-spin spectroscopy of ¹⁴⁴ Tb: Systematic investigation of dipole bands in N=79 isotones.
2014Ku19	S.Kumar, N.Kumar, S.Mandal, S.C.Pancholi et al Phys.Rev. C 90, 024315 (2014).
	High spin band structure of ${}^{85}_{38}$ Nd ₄₇ .
2014Ma55	P.L.Masiteng, E.A.Lawrie, T.M.Ramashidzha, J.J.Lawrie et al Eur.Phys.J. A 50, 119 (2014).
	Rotational bands and chirality in ¹⁹⁴ Tl.
2014Pa53	H.Pai, G.Mukherjee, S.Bhattacharyya, C.Bhattacharya et al Phys.Rev. C 90, 064314 (2014).
	Crossing of large multiquasiparticle magnetic-rotation bands in ¹⁹⁸ Bi.
2014Ra03	S.Rajbanshi, A.Bisoi, S.Nag, S.Saha et al Phys.Rev. C 89, 014315 (2014).
	Shape coexistence in the near-spherical ¹⁴² Sm nucleus.
2014Ra18	S.Rajbanshi, A.Bisoi, S.Nag, S.Saha et al Phys.Rev. C 90, 024318 (2014).
	Multiple magnetic rotational bands based on proton alignment in ¹⁴³ Eu.
2014Ya02	S.H.Yao, H.L.Ma, L.H.Zhu, X.G.Wu et al Phys.Rev. C 89, 014327 (2014).
	Lifetime measurements and magnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁷ Ag.
2015Au01	K.Auranen, J.Uusitalo, S.Juutinen, U.Jakobsson et al Phys.Rev. C 91, 024324 (2015); Erratum Phys.Rev. C 92, 039901 (2015).
	Spectroscopy of ²⁰¹ At including the observation of a shears band and the 29/2 ⁺ isomeric state.
2015Ay02	A.D.Ayangeakaa, S.Zhu, R.V.F.Janssens, M.P.Carpenter et al Phys.Rev. C 91, 044327 (2015).
	Role of the $vg_{9/2}$ orbital in the development of collectivity in the A \approx 60 region: The case of ⁶¹ Co.
2015Ch05	D.Choudhury, R.Palit, P.Singh, J.Sethi et al Phys.Rev. C 91, 014318 (2015).
	Role of neutrons in the coexistence of magnetic and antimagnetic rotation bands in ¹⁰⁷ Cd.

2015Ch24	Z.Q.Chen, S.Y.Wang, L.Liu, P.Zhang et al Phys.Rev. C 91, 044303 (2015).
	High-spin states and possible "stapler" band in ¹¹³ In.
2015Ga39	R.Garg, S.Kumar, M.Saxena, S.Goyal et al Phys.Rev. C 92, 054325 (2015), Erratum Phys.Rev. C 100, 069901 (2019).
	Negative-parity high-spin states and a possible magnetic rotation band in $^{135}_{50}Pr_{76}$.
2015Ho14	D.Hodge, D.M.Cullen, M.I.Taylor, M.G.Procter et al Phys.Rev. C 92, 054312 (2015).
	Identification of a dipole hand above the $I^{\pi} = 31/2^{-}$ isomeric state in ¹⁸⁹ Pb
2015Ka06	S Kaim C M Petrache A Gargano N Itaco et al - Phys Rev C 91 (024318 (2015)
201318400	High-spin spectroscopy of ¹³⁹ Ce
2015Pe06	L Peng, P W Zhao, Phys Rev. C 91, $0//329$ (2015)
20151 000	Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in ¹¹⁰ Cd within tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field
	theory
20157-02	T Zerrouki C M Petrache B Lequillon K Hauschild et al. Eur Phys I A 51 50 (2015)
20132202	Shape evolution and magnetic rotation in ¹⁴¹ Nd
20157618	V Zhang, LLi, LLiu, X G Wu et al. L Dhys (London) G42, 085108 (2015)
201321118	Candidate magnetic rotation sequence in ⁸⁶ Sr
2016Da03	B Das N Rather S Chattonadhyay S Raibanshi et al Phys Rev. C 93, 064322 (2016)
2010Du05	Three proton hole structure in ${}^{106}Ag$
2016Ku17	N Kumar S Kumar V Kumar S K Mandal et al - Nucl Phys. A955, 1 (2016)
201010117	Polarization measurements and high spin states in ⁸⁶ Sr
2016Ma13	P I Masitang A A Pasternak E A Lawria O Shirinda et al. Eur Phys I A 52, 28 (2016)
20101/1813	DSAM lifetime measurements for the chiral pair in ¹⁹⁴ Tl
2016D-00	C M Patracho S Cuo A D Avangeachao Ll Carg et al. Phys Pay. C 02, 064205 (2016)
2010Fe09	C.M.Fellache, S.Guo, A.D.Ayangeakaa, O.Gaig et al Filys.Rev. C 95, 004505 (2010).
$2016D_{2}21$	C M Patroche, O P. Chen, S. Cue, A. D. Avengeelvee et al. Phys. Rev. C 04, 064200 (2016)
2010Pe21	C.M.Petrache, Q.B.Chell, S.Guo, A.D.Ayangeakaa et al Phys.Rev. C 94, 064509 (2010).
$2016 D_{0} 22$	S Deibershi S Ali A Disci S Neg et al. Dhys Dev. C 04, 044218 (2016)
2010Ka55	S.Kajbalishi, S.Ali, A.Bisol, S.Nag et al Fliys.Kev. C 94, 044518 (2010).
$2017D_{2}10$	D Das N Dather D Datta C Chattarg dhuan at al. Dhua Day C 05, 051201 (2017)
2017Da10	B.Das, N.Ramer, P.Datta, S.Chattopauliyay et al Phys.Rev. C 95, 051501 (2017).
201711-12	A Harron S Lustinon K Autonon T Croke et al. Phys Day, C 06, 014201 (2017)
2017He12	A.Herzan, S.Juunnen, K.Auranen, T.Grann et al Phys.Rev. C 90, 014501 (2017).
20178.04	N Kumon S Kumon S K Mondol S Soho et al. Eur Dhua L A 52, 25 (2017)
2017Ku04	N.Kumar, S.Kumar, S.K.Mandal, S.Sana et al Eur.Phys.J. A 55, 25 (2017).
	Magnetic rotation phenomenon in the dipole ($\Delta I=1$) bands of transitional strontium (Sr) isotopes
20171:02	near N=50 shell closure. $C \in L^{1}$ $O \in D$ Charge $C = C $ Yes at al. Phys. Lett. D 7(C 107 (2017))
2017L102	C.G.LI, Q.B.Chen, S.Q.Zhang, C.Xu et al Phys.Lett. B 766, 107 (2017).
20190-24	Dependence & Concryly, MK Predhen, Md M Sheilth et al. Dhug Day, C 08, 024220 (2018)
2018Ba34	P.Banerjee, S.Ganguly, M.K.Pradnan, Md.M.Snakh et al Phys.Rev. C 98, 034320 (2018).
	Spectroscopy of weakly deformed bands in "Zr: First observation of the snears mechanism in a Zr
$2010 D_{2} 15$	Isotope. D Das D Detta C Chattare dhuan C Dan et al. Dhua Dan C 08 014226 (2018)
2018/0815	B.Das, P.Datta, S.Chattopadhyay, S.Roy et al Phys.Rev. C 98, 014326 (2018).
20100.14	Coexistence of principal and tilted axis rotation in ¹¹⁰ Ag.
2018Ka14	S.Kajuansin, K.Kaut, H.Pai, S.An et al Phys.Lett. B $/82$, 145 (2018).
20100-26	Abrupt phase change of the core rotation in the 47 Sm nucleus.
2018Ra26	S.Kajbanshi, K.Kaut, H.Pai, S.Ali et al Phys.Rev. U 98, 061304 (2018).
	Extremely asymmetric shears band in ¹² Sm.

2019Al28	S.Ali, S.Rajbanshi, R.Raut, H.Pai et al Phys.Lett. B 798, 134960 (2019).
	Evidence of the octupole correlation between the shears bands in ¹⁴² Eu.
2019Na08	S.Nandi, G.Mukherjee, T.Roy, R.Banik et al Phys.Rev. C 99, 054312 (2019).
	Effect of neutron alignments on the structure of ¹⁹⁷ Tl.
2020Gu21	S.Guo, C.M.Petrache, D.Mengoni, Y.X.Liu et al Phys.Rev. C 102, 044320 (2020).
	Pseudospin partner bands in ¹³⁰ Ba.
2020He17	A.Herzan, S.Juutinen, K.Auranen, T.Grahn et al Eur.Phys.J. A 56, 165 (2020).
	Study of excited states and observation of collective level structures in the odd-odd nucleus ¹⁹⁴ Bi.
2020Ma41	K.Y.Ma, J.B.Lu, J.Li, Y.J.Ma et al Eur.Phys.J. A 56, 209 (2020).
	Candidate magnetic rotational band in ¹⁰⁹ Ag.
2020Ma47	K.Y.Ma, J.B.Lu, J.Li, D.Yang et al J.Phys.(London) G47, 085106 (2020).
	Possible 'stapler' band in ¹⁰⁹ Ag nucleus.
2020Ne01	Neelam, S.Kumar, K.Rojeeta Devi, N.Kumar et al Phys.Rev. C 101, 014312 (2020).
	Intermediate structure and dipole bands in the transitional ¹³⁴ Ba nucleus.
2021Ma15	K.Y.Ma, H.Wang, H.N.Pan, J.B.Lu et al Phys.Rev. C 103, 024302 (2021).
	High-spin states and possible chirality in odd-odd ¹¹⁰ Ag.
2022Da05	S.Dar, S.Bhattacharya, S.Bhattacharyya, R.Banik et al Nucl.Phys. A1019, 122382 (2022).
	Magnetic rotational band in ¹¹⁶ Sb.
2022Ka24	High-spin states of ²⁰⁴ At: isomeric states and shears band structure
	D.Kanjilal, S.K.Dey, S.S.Bhattacharjee, A.Bisoi et al., -Eur.Phys.J. 58, 159(2022).
2022Lu01	D.W.Luo, C.Xu, Y.K.Wang, Z.H.Li et al Phys.Rev. C 105, 024305 (2022).
	Collective structures in ⁶² Cu.
2022Se03	N.Sensharma, A.D.Ayangeakaa, R.V.F.Janssens, Q.B.Chen et al Phys.Rev. C 105, 044315
	(2022).
	Single-particle and dipole excitations in ⁶² Co.
2023Bh02	S. Bhattacharya, V. Tripathi, E. Rubino, S.Ajayi, et al., Phys.Rev. C 107, 054311 (2023)
	Coexistence of single-particle and collective excitation in ⁶¹ Ni
2023Li05	J.Lin, Y.K.Wang, C.Xu, Z.H.Li et al Phys.Rev. C 107, 014307 (2023).
	Possible coexistence of magnetic and antimagnetic rotations in ⁶¹ Ni.

References for Table 3

1993Th05	I.Thorslund, C.Fahlander, J.Nyberg, S.Juutinen et al Nucl.Phys. A564, 285 (1993).
	The Role of the Shape Driving $h_{11/2}$ Neutron Orbital in ¹⁰⁸ Cd.
1994Ju05	S.Juutinen, P.Simecek, C.Fahlander, R.Julin et al Nucl. Phys. A577, 727 (1994).
	High-Spin States in ^{109,111} Cd and ¹⁰⁷ Pd.
1994Re06	P.H.Regan, J.S.Middleton, K.R.Pohl, J.E.Bush et al Phys.Rev. C49, 1885 (1994).
	Rotational Bands and Neutron Alignments in Neutron-Rich Odd-A Cadmium Isotopes.
1997Gi10	J.Gizon, B.M.Nyako, J.Timar, A.Gizon et al Phys.Lett. 410B, 95 (1997).
	Evidence for Multiple Band Terminations in ¹⁰² Pd.
1999Ny01	B.M.Nyako, J.Gizon, A.Gizon, J.Timar et al Phys.Rev. C60, 024307 (1999).
	Band Terminations in ¹⁰³ Pd.
2000Ch04	C.J.Chiara, S.J.Asztalos, B.Busse, R.M.Clark et al Phys.Rev. C61, 034318 (2000).
	Shears Mechanism in ¹⁰⁹ Cd.
2001Ch71	C.J.Chiara, D.B.Fossan, V.P.Janzen, T.Koike et al Phys.Rev. C64, 054314 (2001).
	Spectroscopy in the $Z = 49^{108,110}$ In Isotopes: Lifetime measurements in shears bands.
2001Zh26	S.Zhu, U.Garg, A.V.Afanasjev, S.Frauendorf et al Phys.Rev. C64, 041302 (2001).
	Investigation of Antimagnetic Rotation in ¹⁰⁰ Pd.
2003Si14	A.J.Simons, R.Wadsworth, D.G.Jenkins, R.M.Clark et al Phys.Rev.Lett. 91, 162501 (2003).
	Evidence for a New Type of Shears Mechanism in ¹⁰⁶ Cd.
2005Da16	P.Datta, S.Chattopadhyay, S.Bhattacharya, T.K.Ghosh et al Phys.Rev. C 71, 041305 (2005).
	Observation of antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁸ Cd.
2005Si23	A.J.Simons, R.Wadsworth, D.G.Jenkins, R.M.Clark et al Phys.Rev. C 72, 024318 (2005).
	Investigation of antimagnetic rotation in light Cadmium nuclei: ^{106,108} Cd.
2009Su09	M.Sugawara, Y.Toh, M.Oshima, M.Koizumi et al Phys.Rev. C 79, 064321 (2009).
	Possible magnetic and antimagnetic rotations in ¹⁴⁴ Dy.
2010Ch54	D.Choudhury, A.K.Jain, M.Patial, N.Gupta et al Phys.Rev. C 82, 061308 (2010); Comm.On
	Phys.Rev. C 87, 059801 (2013).
	Evidence of antimagnetic rotation in odd-A ¹⁰⁵ Cd.
2011Ro01	S.Roy, S.Chattopadhyay, P.Datta, S.Pal et al Phys.Lett. B 694, 322 (2011).
	Systematics of antimagnetic rotation in even-even Cd isotopes.
2011Si04	S.Sihotra, Z.Naik, S.Kumar, K.Singh et al Phys.Rev. C 83, 024313 (2011).
	Excited states in 99Pd.
2012Li51	X.W.Li, J.Li, J.B.Lu, K.Y.Ma et al Phys.Rev. C 86, 057305 (2012).
	Candidate antimagnetic rotational band in ¹¹² In.
2012St06	D.Steppenbeck, R.V.F.Janssens, S.J.Freeman, M.P.Carpenter et al Phys.Rev. C 85, 044316 (2012).
	Magnetic rotation and quasicollective structures in ⁵⁸ Fe: Influence of the $v\gamma 9/2$ orbital.
2012Su18	M.Sugawara, T.Hayakawa, M.Oshima, Y.Toh et al Phys.Rev. C 86, 034326 (2012).
	Possible antimagnetic rotational band and neutron alignment in ¹⁰¹ Pd.
2013Ch14	D.Choudhury, A.K.Jain, G.Anil Kumar, S.Kumar et al Phys.Rev. C 87, 034304 (2013).
	Multiple antimagnetic rotation bands in odd-A ¹⁰⁷ Cd.
2013Zh16	ZH.Zhang, PW.Zhao, J.Meng, JY.Zeng et al Phys.Rev. C 87, 054314 (2013).
	Nuclear superfluidity for antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁵ Cd and ¹⁰⁶ Cd.
2014Ra11	N.Rather, S.Roy, P.Datta, S.Chattopadhyay et al Phys.Rev. C 89, 061303 (2014).
	Antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁴ Pd.

2014Zh14	P.Zhang, B.Qi, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 89, 047302 (2014).
	Competition between antimagnetic and core rotation in ¹⁰⁹ Cd within covariant density functional
	theory.
2015Ch05	D.Choudhury, R.Palit, P.Singh, J.Sethi et al Phys.Rev. C 91, 014318 (2015).
	Role of neutrons in the coexistence of magnetic and antimagnetic rotation bands in ¹⁰⁷ Cd.
2015Pe06	J.Peng, P.W.Zhao - Phys.Rev. C 91, 044329 (2015).
	Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in ¹¹⁰ Cd within tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field theory.
2015Ra12	S Raibanshi, S Roy, S Nag, A Bisoi et al Phys Lett. B 748, 387 (2015).
201010112	Antimagnetic rotation and sudden change of electric quadrupole transition strength in 143 Eu
20155009	M Sugawara T Havakawa M Oshima Y Toh et al Phys Rev. C 92, 024309 (2015)
2015500)	Lifetime measurement for the possible antimagnetic rotation hand in ¹⁰¹ Pd
20165u17	W - I Sun H - D Xu I I i X - H I iu et al Chin Phys C 40, 084101 (2016)
20105017	Antimagnetic rotation in 108 110In with tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field approach
2017 4120	S Ali S Paibanchi B Das S Chattonadhyay at al. Dhys Day C 06 (221204 (2017)
2017AI30	S.All, S.Kajbalishi, B.Das, S.Chattopadhyay et al Flys.Kev. C 90, 021304 (2017).
2017Do15	L Dang Int I Mod Days E26 1750051 (2017)
2017Fe15	J. Felig - Int. J. Mod. Fillys. E20, 1750051 (2017).
20175:12	V Singh S Sibotro S Doy, M Kour et al. J Dhys (London) C44, 075105 (2017)
20175112	V.Siligii, S.Siliolia, S.Koy, M.Kaul et al J.Filys.(Lolidoli) 044, 075105 (2017).
20101:02	II lie D.O. C.Lin O.L. S.V.Weng, Dive Day, C.07, 024225 (2018)
20180102	H.JIA, D.QI, C.LIU, Q.HU, S. I. Wang - Phys. Rev. C 97 , 024555 (2018).
20101.20	Possible umbrena -nke anumagnetic rotation mode in odd- A ^{10,00} Pd and even-even ^{10,00} Pd.
2016101828	C.Majumder, H.P.Sharma, S.Chakraborty, S.S. Hwary - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E27, 1850054 (2018).
201014-49	KV Mo LD Ly LL: D Vong et al. Dhys Day C 100, 014226 (2010)
201910146	K. I. Ma, J.D. Lu, J.Li, D. I ang et al., - Phys.Rev.C 100, 014526 (2019).
20100-09	CM Detracke, S. Errorer derf, D. E. Lett. A. Action et al. Drug Derr, C.00, 041201 (2010)
2019Pe08	C.M.Petrache, S.Frauendon, B.F.Lv, A.Astier et al Phys.Rev. C 99, 041501 (2019).
2010D-12	Conective rotation of an oblate nucleus at very high spin.
2019Fe12	C.M.Felfache, F.M. walker, S.Guo, Q.B.Chell et al Fllys.Lett. B 793, 241 (2019).
	Diversity of shapes and forations in the γ -soft Ba nucleus. First observation of a t-band in the $A = 120$ mass region
2020Cb48	S Chakraborty, H.P.Sharma, S.S.Tiwary, C.Majumdor et al. J.Phys (London) C47, 015103 (2020)
2020CII40	Describle entimegnetic rotational hand in ¹²⁷ Xa
2020621	S Cuo C M Detreche D Mongoni X X Liu et al. Dhue Dev. C 102, 044220 (2020)
2020Gu21	S.Guo, C.M.Fettache, D.Mengohi, T.A.Liu et al Filys.Rev. C 102, 044320 (2020).
20205;20	S Sibotra D Kumar M Kaur V Singh et al. Dhys Day C 102 024221 (2020)
20203120	S.Sinotra, D.Kumar, W.Kaur, V.Singh et al Phys.Rev. C 102, 034321 (2020).
202030-07	M Wong W I Sup D H Sup I Li et al. Eur Dhug I A 56 21 (2020)
2020 w a07	W. Wally, W.J.Sull, B.H.Sull, J.L. et al Eur. Flys.J. A 50, 51 (2020). The $AI = 2$ hands in ¹⁰⁹ In: possible entimegnatic rotation
2021Do11	$\Delta I = 2$ bands in ΔII , possible antihaghetic rotation. A V Dec K Vadey Madhy S K Tandal B Kumar, Eur Dhys I A 57, 126 (2021)
2021De11	A. I. Deo, K. I adav, Madilu, S.K. Failder, K.Kulliai - Eur. FlyS.J. A 57, 120 (2021).
20215500	A Shorma D Bout S Muralithar D D Singh at al. Dhys Boy C 102, 024224 (2021)
202131109	A.Sharina, K.Kaut, S.Murantilar, K.F.Singh et al Flys.Kev. C 105, 024524 (2021).
20220-27	Evidence of antimagnetic fotational motion in Fig.
20225a21	Descible multiple entimegratic rotational bands in odd A ^{103,105} Ddand ¹⁰⁹ Cd nuclei
20225:07	S Sibotra Int I Mod Phys E31 2250020 (2022)
20223107	S.Sinoua - Int.J.Mou.r Hys. E51, 2230020 (2022). Dessible antimagnetic rotation in 99 Dd

2023Bh02	S.Bhattacharya, V. Tripathi, E. Rubino, S. Ajayi, et al., Phys.Rev. C 107, 054311 (2023).
	Coexistence of single-particle and collective excitation in ⁶¹ Ni
2023Li05	J.Lin, Y.K.Wang, C.Xu, Z.H.Li et al Phys.Rev. C 107, 014307 (2023).
	Possible coexistence of magnetic and antimagnetic rotations in ⁶¹ Ni.
2023Zh14	Z.H.Zhao, C.B.Li, K.Y.Ma, X.G.Wu et al Phys.Rev. C 107, 044310 (2023).

2023Zn14 Z.H.Zhao, C.B.Li, K.Y.Ma, X.G.Wu et al. -Phys.Rev. C 107, 044310 (20 Possible antimagnetic rotational band in ¹¹⁴In 1993Fr12 S.Frauendorf - Nucl.Phys. A557, 259c (1993). Titled Cranking. S.Frauendorf, J. Meng, J. Reif, Proc. Conf. Physics from large gamma-ray Detector Arrays, Vol. 1994FrAA II, p52, LBL-35687 (1994). W.Korten, G.Baldsiefen, M.Neffgen, H.Hubel, et al. - Phys.Scr. T56, 44 (1995). 1995Ko38 Shears Bands in Pb Nuclei - a New Nuclear Structure Effect. S.L.Tabor, J.Doring - Phys.Scr. T 56, 175 (1995). 1995Ta21 High-K Intruder Structures in A \approx 80 Nuclei. S.Frauendorf, J.Reif, G.Winter - Nucl. Phys. A601, 41 (1996). 1996Fr12 Shell-Model Study of Shears Bands in Light Pb Nuclei. S.Frauendorf, J.Reif - Nucl.Phys. A621, 736 (1997). 1997Fr14 Magnetic Dipole Bands in Odd Indium Isotopes Built on the $\pi(g_{9/2})^{-1} \ge v(h_{11/2})^2$ Configuration. S.Frauendorf - Z.Phys. A 358, 163 (1997). 1997Fr17 Tilted Rotation of Weakly Deformed and Triaxial Nuclei. R.Clark, B.Wadsworth - Phys.World 11, 25 (1998). 1998Cl10 A New Spin on Nuclei 1998Hu26 H.Hubel - Nuovo Cim. 111A, 709 (1998). Magnetic-Rotational Bands in Pb Isotopes. A.O.Macchiavelli, R.M.Clark, P.Fallon, M.A.Deleplanque, et al. - Phys.Rev. C57, R1073 (1998). 1998Ma09 Semiclassical Description of the Shears Mechanism and the Role of Effective Interactions. 1998Ma43 A.O.Macchiavelli, R.M.Clark, M.A.Deleplanque, R.M.Diamond, et al - Phys.Rev. C58, R621 (1998). Shears Mechanism and Particle-Vibration Coupling. 1998Ma81 A.O.Macchiavelli, R.M.Clark, M.A.Deleplanque, R.M.Diamond, et al. - Phys.Rev. C58, 3746 (1998). Rotational-Like Properties of the Shears Bands. R.Ma, E.S. Paul, S.Shi, C.W.Beausang, W.F. Piel, et al. - Bull.Am.Phys.Soc. 33, No. 4, 980 (1988). 1998MaZY Band Structures in Doubly-Odd ¹³⁰Pr. 1999Am14 Amita, A.K.Jain, A.Goel, B.Singh - Pramana 53, 463 (1999). Signature Splitting in Magnetic Rotational Bands. 1999Cl04 R.M.Clark - J.Phys.(London) G25, 695 (1999). The 'Magnetic Rotation' of Nuclei. 1999Ma15 A.O.Macchiavelli, R.M.Clark, M.A.Deleplanque, R.M.Diamond, et al. Phys.Lett. 450B, 1 (1999). The Competition between the Shears Mechanism and Core Rotation in a Classical Particles-Plus-Rotor Model. 1999MaZX A.O.Macchiavelli, R.M.Clark, M.A.Deleplanque, R.M.Diamond, et al. - Proc. Nuclear Structure 98, Gatlinburg, Tenn., C.Baktash, Ed., p.517 (1999); AIP Conf.Proc. 481 (1999). Semi-Classical Shears and Effective Forces. 2000Cl10 R.M.Clark, A.O.Macchiavelli - Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci. 50, 1 (2000). The Shears Mechanism in Nuclei. 2000Di16 V.I.Dimitrov, F.Donau, S.Frauendorf - Phys.Rev. C62, 024315 (2000). Hybrid Version of the Tilted Axis Cranking Model and Its Application to ¹²⁸Ba. 2000Ma96 H.Madokoro, J.Meng, M.Matsuzaki, S.Yamaji - Phys.Rev. C62, 061301 (2000). Relativistic Mean Field Description for the Shears Band Mechanism in ⁸⁴Rb. 2001Am08 Amita, A.K.Jain, V.I.Dimitrov, S.G.Frauendorf - Phys.Rev. C64, 034308 (2001). Magnetic Dipole Rotational Bands in Odd-A Rb Isotopes.

Theory references for magnetic- and antimagnetic-rotational structures

Theory references for magnetic- and antimagnetic-rotational structures (Continued)

2001Cl02	R.M.Clark, A.O.Macchiavelli - Nucl.Phys. A682, 415c (2001).
	The Shears Mechanism.
2001Fr26	S. Frauendorf - Rev.Mod.Phys. 73, 463 (2001).
	Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking in Rotating Nuclei
2001Ja20	A.K.Jain, Amita - Pramana 57, 611 (2001).
	Magnetic Rotation and Chiral Symmetry Breaking.
20020103	P.Olbratowski, J.Dobaczewski, J.Dudek, T.Rzaca-Urban, et al Acta Phys.Pol. B33, 389 (2002).
	The Shears Mechanism in ¹⁴² Gd in the Skyrme-Hartree-Fock Method with the Tilted-Axis Cranking.
2004Ma09	S.S.Malik, P.Agarwal, A.K.Jain - Nucl.Phys. A732, 13 (2004).
	Magnetic rotation based on oblate shape in odd Kr-isotopes.
2005Hu01	H.Hubel - Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys. 54, 1 (2005).
200211401	Magnetic rotation in nuclei.
2006Ca29	B.G.Carlsson, I.Ragnarsson - Phys.Rev. C 74, 044310 (2006).
	Many-particles-plus-rotor description of magnetic bands at high spin.
2006Pe12	A.Petrovici, K.W.Schmid, O.Radu, A.Faessler - Eur.Phys.J. A 28, 19 (2006).
	Variational approach to magnetic bands in 82 Rb.
2006Za07	CG.Zander, B.G.Carlsson, I.Ragnarsson - Phys.Scr. T125, 230 (2006).
	Investigation of the 'magnetic bands' in ¹⁹⁹ Pb.
2007Ch34	S.Chmel, S.Frauendorf, H.Hubel - Phys.Rev. C 75, 044309 (2007).
	Deformation parameters and transition probabilities for shears bands in Pb isotopes.
2008Pe24	J.Peng, J.Meng, P.Ring, S.O.Zhang - Phys.Rev. C 78, 024313 (2008).
20001 02 1	Covariant density functional theory for magnetic rotation.
2009Pa21	A.A.Pasternak, E.O.Lieder, R.M.Lieder - Acta Phys.Pol. B40, 647 (2009).
	Shears Effect with PAC Core Rotation for Dipole Bands in ¹⁴² Gd and ^{130,132} La.
2009Pe03	J.Peng, LF.Xing - Chin.Phys.Lett. 26, 032101 (2009).
	Nuclear Current and Magnetic Rotation.
2009Pe30	J.Peng, LF.Xing, J.Meng - Chin.Phys.C 33, Supplement 1, 15 (2009).
	Shears mechanism in two-dimensional cranking relativistic mean field approach.
2010Ja04	A.K.Jain, D.Choudhury - Pramana 75, 51 (2010).
	Magnetic rotation – past, present and future.
2010Pe11	J.Peng, JM.Yao, SQ.Zhang, J.Meng - Chin.Phys.Lett. 27, 122101 (2010).
	Exotic Magnetic Rotation in ²² F.
2011Ro01	S.Roy, S.Chattopadhyay, P.Datta, S.Pal et al Phys.Lett. B 694, 322 (2011).
	Systematics of antimagnetic rotation in even-even Cd isotopes.
2011Ro04	S.Roy, S.Chattopadhyay - Phys.Rev. C 83, 024305 (2011).
	Possibility of antimagnetic rotation in odd-A Cd isotopes.
2011Zh28	P.W.Zhao, J.Peng, H.Z.Liang, P.Ring, J.Meng - Phys.Rev.Lett. 107, 122501 (2011).
	Antimagnetic Rotation Band in Nuclei: A Microscopic Description.
2011Zh57	P.W.Zhao, S.Q.Zhang, J.Peng, H.Z.Liang, et al Phys.Lett. B 699, 181 (2011).
	Novel structure for magnetic rotation bands in ⁶⁰ Ni.
2012KuZT	S.Kumar - Proc Conf on Frontiers in Gamma-Ray Spectroscopy (FIG2012), New Delhi, India, 5-7
	March 2012, S.Muralithar, Ed., p.151 (2012); AIP 1609 (2012).
	Magnetic rotation (MR) band crossing in N=78 odd-Z nuclei: Tilted axis cranking (TAC)
	calculations to explore the role of nucleons.
2012Yu02	L.F.Yu, P.W.Zhao, S.Q.Zhang, P.Ring, et al Phys.Rev. C 85, 024318 (2012).
	Magnetic rotations in ¹⁹⁸ Pb and ¹⁹⁹ Pb within covariant density functional theory.

 2012Zh18 P.W.Zhao, J.Peng, H.Z.Liang, P.Ring, J.Meng - Phys.Rev. C 85, 054310 (2012). Covariant density functional theory for antimagnetic rotation. 2012Zh2W P.W.Zhao, H.Z.Liang, J.Peng, P.Ring, et al Pro: Intern.Conf.on Nuclear Structure and Dynamics.12, Opatija, Croatia, 9-13 July, 2012, T.Niksic, M.Milin, D.Vretenar, S.Szilner, Eds., p.152 (2012); AIP Conf.Proc.1491 (2012). Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. 2013Li33 J.Li, C.Y.He, Y.Zheng, C.B.Li, et al Phys.Rev. C 88, 014317 (2013). Signature splitting and magnetic rotation in ⁶⁸Y. 2013Me16 J.Meng, J.Peng, SQ.Zhang, P.W.Zhao - Front.Phys. 8, 55 (2013). Progress on tilted axis cranking covariant density functional theory for nuclear magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in model. 2013Ro18 S.Roy, S.C.hattopadhyay - Phys.Rev. C 87, 059801 (2013); comment on Phys. Rev. C 82, 061308 (2010). 2013Ro18 G.S.C.S.Chattopadhyay - Phys.Rev. C 87, 061301 (2013). 2014Wa14 P.Zhang, B.Qi, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 89, 047302 (2014). 2014Wa14 P.Zhang, B.Qi, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 89, 047302 (2014). 2014Wa14 P.Zhang, B.Qi, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 89, 047302 (2014). 2013Va11 P.Van Isacker - J.Phys.:Conf.Ser. 445, 012013 (2013). Shell-model derivation of the shears mechanism. 2013Va11 P.Van Isacker - J.Phys.Rev. C 91, 044239 (2015). Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁶Cd within tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field theory. 2015Pe66 J.Peng, P.W.Zhao - Phys.Rev. C 91, 044239 (2015). Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁷Cd within tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field theory. 2015Pe66 J.Peng, P.W.Zhao, J.Meng, JY.Zeng et al Phys.Rev. C 89, 054314 (2013). 2015Pe66 J.Peng, P.W.Zhao - Phys.Rev. C 91, 044239 (2015). Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁶Cd and ¹⁰⁶Cd. 2015Peng - In.J.Mod.Phys.Scr. 91, 043205 (2015)		Theory references for magnetic- and antimagnetic-rotational structures (Continued)
 20122h18 P. W.Zhao, J.Peng, H.Z.Liang, P.Ring, J.Meng - Phys.Rev. C 85, 054310 (2012). Covariant density functional theory for antimagnetic rotation. 2012ZhZW P. W.Zhao, H.Z.Liang, J.Peng, P.Ring, et al Proc.Intern.Conf.on Nuclear Structure and Dynamics, 12, Opatija, Croatia, 9-13 July, 2012, T.Niksic, M.Milin, D.Vretenar, S.Szilner, Eds., p. 152 (2012); AIP Conf.Proc.1491 (2012). Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. 2013Li33 J.Li, C.Y.He, Y.Zheng, C.B.Li, et al Phys.Rev. C 88, 014317 (2013). Signature splitting and magnetic rotation in ⁶⁸Y. 2013Me16 J.Meng, J.Peng, SQ.Zhang, P.W.Zhao – Front.Phys. 8, 55 (2013). Progress on tilted axis cranking covariant density functional theory for nuclear magnetic and antimagnetic rotation 2013Ro18 S.Roy, S.Chattopadhyay - Phys.Rev. C 87, 059801 (2013); comment on Phys. Rev. C 82, 061308 (2010). Comment on "Evidence of antimagnetic rotation in odd-A ¹⁰⁰Cd". P.Van Isacker, A.O.Macchiavelli - Phys.Rev. C 87, 051301 (2013). Geometry of the shears mechanism in nuclei. 2014Zh14 P.Zhang, B.Qi, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 89, 047302 (2014). Competition between antimagnetic and core rotation in ¹⁰⁶Cd within covariant density functional theory. 2013Va11 P. Van Isacker - J.Phys.Conf.Ser. 445, 012013 (2013). Shell-model derivation of the shears mechanism. 2013Pe06 J.Peng, P.W.Zhao - Phys.Rev. C 91, 044329 (2015). Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁶Cd and ¹⁰⁶Cd. J.Peng, P.W.Zhao - Phys.Ser. 91, 053008 (2016). Nuclear superfluidity for antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁶Cd ond ¹⁰⁶Cd. J.Peng, P.W.Zhao - Phys.Ser. 91, 053008 (2016). Nuclear ofhral and magnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁶Cd ond ¹⁰⁶Cd. J.Peng, P.W.Zhao - Phys.Ser. 91, 053008 (2016). Nuc		
 Covariant density functional theory for antimagnetic rotation. 2012ZhZW P.W.Zhao, H.Z.Liang, J.Peng, P.Ring, et al Proc.Intern.Conf.on Nuclear Structure and Dynamics.12, Opatija, Croatia, 9-13 July, 2012, T.Niksie, M.Milin, D.Vretenar, S.Szilner, Eds., p.152 (2012); AIP Conf.Proc.1491 (2012). Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. 2013Li3, J.Li, C.Y.He, Y.Zheng, C.B.Li, et al Phys.Rev. C 88, 014317 (2013). Signature splitting and magnetic rotation in ⁵⁶Y. 2013Me16 J.Meng, J.Peng, SQ.Zhang, P.W.Zhao – Front.Phys. 8, 55 (2013). Progress on tilted axis cranking covariant density functional theory for nuclear magnetic and antimagnetic rotation S.Roy, S.Chattopadhyay - Phys.Rev. C 87, 059801 (2013); comment on Phys. Rev. C 82, 061308 (2010). Comment on "Evidence of antimagnetic rotation in odd-A ¹⁰⁵Cd". P.Van Isacker, A.O.Macchiavelli - Phys.Rev. C 87, 061301 (2013). Geometry of the shears mechanism in nuclei. 2013Va09 P.Van Isacker, A.O.Macchiavelli - Phys.Rev. C 87, 054314 (2013). Competition between antimagnetic and core rotation in ¹⁰⁶Cd within covariant density functional theory. 2013Va11 P.Van Isacker - J.Phys.Conf.Ser. 445, 012013 (2013). Shell-model derivation of the shears mechanism. ZH.Zhang, PW.Zhao, J.Meng, JY.Zeng et al Phys.Rev. C 87, 054314 (2013). Nuclear superfluidity for antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁶Cd and ¹⁰⁶Cd. J.Peng, P.W.Zhao - Phys.Rev. C 91, 044329 (2015). Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁶Cd and ¹⁰⁶Cd. J.Peng, P.Zhao - Phys.Rev. C 91, 053008 (2016). Nuclear and antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁸Cd and ¹⁰⁶Cd. J.Meng, P.Zhao - Phys.Rev. C 91, 053008 (2016). Nuclear and antimagnet	2012Zh18	P.W.Zhao, J.Peng, H.Z.Liang, P.Ring, J.Meng - Phys.Rev. C 85, 054310 (2012).
 2012ZhZW P.W.Zhao, H.Z.Liang, J.Peng, P.Ring, et al Proc.Intern.Conf.on Nuclear Structure and Dynamics,12, Opatija, Croatia, 9-13 July, 2012, T.Niksic, M.Milin, D.Vretenar, S.Szilner, Eds., p.152 (2012); AIP Conf.Proc.1491 (2012). Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. 2013Li3, J.Li, C.Y.He, Y.Zheng, C.B.Li, et al Phys.Rev. C 88, 014317 (2013). Signature splitting and magnetic rotation in ⁸⁶Y. 2013Me16 J.Meng, J.Peng, SQ.Zhang, P.W.Zhao – Front.Phys. 8, 55 (2013). Progress on tilted axis cranking covariant density functional theory for nuclear magnetic and antimagnetic rotation 2013Ro18 S.Roy, S.Chattopadhyay - Phys.Rev. C 87, 059801 (2013); comment on Phys. Rev. C 82, 061308 (2010). Comment on "Evidence of antimagnetic rotation in odd-A ¹⁰⁵Cd". P.Van Isacker, A.O.Macchiavelli - Phys.Rev. C 87, 061301 (2013). Geometry of the shears mechanism in nuclei. P.Zhang, B.Qi, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 89, 047302 (2014). Competition between antimagnetic and core rotation in ¹⁰⁶Cd within covariant density functional theory. 2013Va11 P.Van Isacker - J.Phys.:Conf.Ser. 445, 012013 (2013). Shell-model derivation of the shears mechanism. 2013Va11 F.V.Labag, PW.Zhao, J.Meng, JY.Zeng et al Phys.Rev. C 87, 054314 (2013). Nuclear superfluidity for antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁶Cd and ¹⁰⁶Cd. J.Peng, P.W.Zhao - Phys.Rev. C 91, 044329 (2015). Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁶Cd and ¹⁰⁶Cd. J.Meng, P.Zhao - Phys.Rev. C 91, 053005 (2016). Nuclear superfluidity for antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁸Cd and ¹⁰⁶Cd. J.Meng, P.Tabao - Phys.Rev, C 91, 053005 (2016). Nuclear superfluidity for antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁸Cd and ¹⁰⁶Cd. J.Meng, P.Tabao - Phys.Set. 91, 053005 (2016).<td></td><td>Covariant density functional theory for antimagnetic rotation.</td>		Covariant density functional theory for antimagnetic rotation.
 Dynamics, I.2, Opatija, Croatia, 9-13 July, 2012, T.Niksic, M.Milin, D.Vretenar, S.Szilner, Eds., p. 152 (2012); AIP Conf.Proc. 1491 (2012). Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. 2013Li33 J.Li, C.Y.He, Y.Zheng, C.B.Li, et al Phys.Rev. C 88, 014317 (2013). Signature splitting and magnetic rotation in ⁸⁶Y. 2013Me16 J.Meng, J.Puerg, SQ.Zhang, P.W.Zhao – Front.Phys. 8, 55 (2013). Progress on tiled axis cranking covariant density functional theory for nuclear magnetic and antimagnetic rotation S.Roy, S.Chattopadhyay - Phys.Rev. C 87, 059801 (2013); comment on Phys. Rev. C 82, 061308 (2010). Comment on "Evidence of antimagnetic rotation in odd-A ¹⁰⁵Cd". P.Van Isacker, A.O.Macchiavelli - Phys.Rev. C 87, 061301 (2013). Geometry of the shears mechanism in nuclei. 2014Zh14 P.Zhang, B.Qi, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 89, 047302 (2014). Competition between antimagnetic and core rotation in ¹⁰⁹Cd within covariant density functional theory. 2013Va11 P.Van Isacker - J.Phys.Conf.Ser. 445, 012013 (2013). Shell-model derivation of the shears mechanism. ZH.Zhang, PW.Zhao, J.Meng, JY.Zeng et al Phys.Rev. C 87, 054314 (2013). Nuclear superfluidity for antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁵Cd and ¹⁰⁶Cd. J.Peng, P.W.Zhao - Phys.Rev. C 91, 044329 (2015). Magnetic rotation in ^{108/10} with fulled axis cranking relativistic mean-field theory. 2016Su17 WJ.Sun, HD.Xu, J.Li, YH.Liu et al Chin.Phys.C 40, 084101 (2016). Antimagnetic rotation in ^{108/10} with fulled axis cranking relativistic mean-field approach. J.Meng, P.Zhao - Phys.Ser. 91, 053008 (2016). Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. 2016Ehco f proton angular momentum alignment on the two-shears-	2012ZhZW	P.W.Zhao, H.Z.Liang, J.Peng, P.Ring, et al Proc.Intern.Conf.on Nuclear Structure and
 p.152 (2012); AIP Conf. Proc. 1491 (2012). Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. 2013Li33 J.Li, C.Y.He, Y.Zheng, C.B.Li, et al Phys.Rev. C 88, 014317 (2013). Signature splitting and magnetic rotation in ⁸⁶Y. 2013Me16 J.Meng, J.Peng, SQ.Zhang, P.W.Zhao – Front.Phys. 8, 55 (2013). Progress on tilled axis cranking covariant density functional theory for nuclear magnetic and antimagnetic rotation 2013Ro18 S. Roy, S.Chattopadhyay - Phys.Rev. C 87, 059801 (2013); comment on Phys. Rev. C 82, 061308 (2010). Comment on "Evidence of antimagnetic rotation in odd-A ¹⁰⁵Cd". 2013Va09 P. Van Isacker, A.O.Macchiavelli - Phys.Rev. C 87, 061301 (2013). Geometry of the shears mechanism in nuclei. 2014Zh14 P.Zhang, B.Qi, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 89, 047302 (2014). Competition between antimagnetic and core rotation in ¹⁰⁰Cd within covariant density functional theory. 2013Va11 P. Van Isacker - J.Phys.Conf.Ser. 445, 012013 (2013). Shell-model derivation of the shears mechanism. 2013Zh16 ZH.Zhang, PW.Zhao, J.Meng, JY.Zeng et al Phys.Rev. C 87, 054314 (2013). Nuclear superfluidity for antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁰Cd and ¹⁰⁸Cd. 2015Pe06 J.Peng, P.W.Zhao, - Jhys.Rev. C 91, 044329 (2015). Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in ¹¹⁰Cd within tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field theory. 2016Su17 WJ.Sun, HD.Xu, J.Li, YH.Liu et al Chin.Phys.C 40, 084101 (2016). Antimagnetic rotation in ^{108,110}In with tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field approach. J.Meng, P.Zhao - Phys.Scr. 91, 053008 (2016). Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. 2016Zh52 ZH.Zhang - Phys.Rev. C 94, 054305 (2016). Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in ⁵⁸Fe. 2018Fr06 S. Frauendorf – Phys.Scr. 93, 043003 (2018). Beyond the Unified Model 2018Pi20 J.Peng - Phys.Rev. C 97, 04325 (2018). Po		Dynamics, 12, Opatija, Croatia, 9-13 July, 2012, T.Niksic, M.Milin, D.Vretenar, S.Szilner, Eds.,
 Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. 2013Li3 J.Li, C.Y.He, Y.Zheng, C.B.Li, et al Phys.Rev. C 88, 014317 (2013). Signature splitting and magnetic rotation in ⁸⁶Y. 2013Mc16 J.Meng, J.Peng, SQ.Zhang, P.W.Zhao – Front.Phys. 8, 55 (2013). Progress on tilted axis cranking covariant density functional theory for nuclear magnetic and antimagnetic rotation 2013Ro18 S.Roy, S.Chattopadhyay - Phys.Rev. C 87, 059801 (2013); comment on Phys. Rev. C 82, 061308 (2010). Comment on "Evidence of antimagnetic rotation in odd-A ¹⁰⁶Cd". P.Van Isacker, A.O.Macchiavelli - Phys.Rev. C 87, 061301 (2013). Geometry of the shears mechanism in nuclei. 2014Zh14 P.Zhang, B.Qi, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 89, 047302 (2014). Competition between antimagnetic and core rotation in ¹⁰⁹Cd within covariant density functional theory. 2013Va11 P.Van Isacker - J.Phys.Conf.Ser. 445, 012013 (2013). Shell-model derivation of the shears mechanism. 2013Zh16 ZH.Zhang, PW.Zhao, J.Meng, JY.Zeng et al Phys.Rev. C 87, 054314 (2013). Nuclear superfluidity for antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁶Cd and ¹⁰⁶Cd. 2015Pe06 J.Peng, P.W.Zhao - Phys.Rev. C 91, 044329 (2015). Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in ¹¹⁰Cd within tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field theory. 2016Su17 WJ.Sun, HD.Xu, J.Li, YH.Liu et al Chin.Phys.C 40, 084101 (2016). Antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁸Cl 40305 (2016). Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in avairaint density functional theory. 2014Br06 S. Frauendorf – Phys.Scr. 91, 053008 (2016). Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in avairain density functional theory. 2016Pc15 J.Peng - In.J.Mod.Phys. E26, 1750051 (2017). Interplay between antimagnetic rotation mode in odel - 4		p.152 (2012); AIP Conf.Proc.1491 (2012).
 J.Li, C.Y.He, Y.Zheng, C.B.Li, et al Phys.Rev. C 88, 014317 (2013). Signature splitting and magnetic rotation in ⁸⁶Y. J.Meng, J.Peng, SQ.Zhang, P-W.Zhao – Front Phys. 8, 55 (2013). Progress on tilted axis cranking covariant density functional theory for nuclear magnetic and antimagnetic rotation S.Roy, S.Chattopadhyay - Phys.Rev. C 87, 059801 (2013); comment on Phys. Rev. C 82, 061308 (2010). Comment on "Evidence of antimagnetic rotation in odd-A ¹⁰⁵Cd". P.Van Isacker, A.O.Macchiavelli - Phys.Rev. C 87, 061301 (2013). Geometry of the shears mechanism in nuclei. 2014Zh14 P.Zhang, B.Qi, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 89, 047302 (2014). Competition between antimagnetic and core rotation in ¹⁰⁹Cd within covariant density functional theory. P.Van Isacker - J.Phys.:Conf.Ser. 445, 012013 (2013). Shell-model derivation of the shears mechanism. 2013Zh16 ZH.Zhang, PW.Zhao, J.Meng, JY.Zeng et al Phys.Rev. C 87, 054314 (2013). Nuclear superfluidity for antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁵Cd and ¹⁰⁶Cd. J.Peng, P.W.Zhao - Phys.Rev. C 91, 044329 (2015). Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁵Cd and ¹⁰⁶Cd. J.Peng, P.W.Zhao - Phys.Ser. 91, 053008 (2016). Nuclear superfluidity for antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁵Cd and ¹⁰⁶Cd. J.Meng, P.Zhao - Phys.Ser. 91, 053008 (2016). Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. ZH.Zhang - Phys.Rev. C 94, 034305 (2016). Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in ⁵⁶Fe. S.Frauendorf - Phys.Ser. 93, 043003 (2018). Beyond the Unified Model Pung - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E26, 1750051 (2017). Interplay between antimagnetic rotation in seffe. S.Fauendorf - Phys.Rev. C 97, 034325 (2018). Helical modes generate antimagnetic rotation splera in nuclei. C.Majumder, H.P.Sharma, S.Chakraborty, S.S.Tiwary - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E27, 1850034 (2018). Beyond the Unified Model		Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory.
 Signature splitting and magnetic rotation in ⁸⁶Y. 2013Me16 J.Meng, J.Peng, SQ.Zhang, P-W.Zhao – Front.Phys. 8, 55 (2013). Progress on tilled axis cranking covariant density functional theory for nuclear magnetic and antimagnetic rotation 2013Ro18 S.Roy, S.Chattopadhyay - Phys.Rev. C 87, 059801 (2013); comment on Phys. Rev. C 82, 061308 (2010). Comment on "Evidence of antimagnetic rotation in odd-A ¹⁰⁵Cd". 2014D4 P.Van Isacker, A.O.Macchiavelli - Phys.Rev. C 87, 061301 (2013). Geometry of the shears mechanism in nuclei. 2014Zh14 P.Zhang, B.Qi, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 89, 047302 (2014). Competition between antimagnetic and core rotation in ¹⁰⁰Cd within covariant density functional theory. 2013Va11 P.Van Isacker - J.Phys.:Conf.Ser. 445, 012013 (2013). Shell-model derivation of the shears mechanism. 2013Zh16 ZH.Zhang, PW.Zhao, J.Meng, JY.Zeng et al Phys.Rev. C 87, 054314 (2013). Nuclear superfluidity for antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁵Cd and ¹⁰⁶Cd. 2015Pe06 J.Peng, P.W.Zhao - Phys.Rev. C 91, 044329 (2015). Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in ¹¹⁰Cd within tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field theory. 2016Su17 WJ.Sun, HD.Xu, J.Li, YH.Liu et al Chin.Phys.C 40, 084101 (2016). Antimagnetic rotation in ^{108,10}In with tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field approach. J.Meng, P.Zhao - Phys.Rev. C 94, 034305 (2016). Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. 2016Zh32 ZH.Zhang - Phys.Rev. C 94, 034305 (2016). Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in ⁵⁸Fe. 2018Fr06 S. Frauendorf – Phys.Rev. C 97, 024335 (2018). Beyond the Unified Model 2018Wa22 S.S.Malik - Phys.Rev. C 97, 034325 (2018). Helical modes generate antimagnetic rotation mode in odd- A ^{101,03}Pd and even-even ^{102,103}Pd. S.S.Malik - Phys.Rev. C 97, 043425 (2018). Helical modes generate antimagnetic rotation apectr	2013Li33	J.Li, C.Y.He, Y.Zheng, C.B.Li, et al Phys.Rev. C 88, 014317 (2013).
 J.Meng, J.Peng, SQ.Zhang, P.W.Zhao – Front.Phys. 8, 55 (2013). Progress on tilled axis cranking covariant density functional theory for nuclear magnetic and antimagnetic rotation S.Roy, S.Chattopadhyay - Phys.Rev. C 87, 059801 (2013); comment on Phys. Rev. C 82, 061308 (2010). Comment on "Evidence of antimagnetic rotation in odd-A ¹⁰⁵Cd". P.Van Isacker, A.O.Macchiavelli - Phys.Rev. C 87, 061301 (2013). Geometry of the shears mechanism in nuclei. 2014Zh14 P.Zhang, B.Qi, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 89, 047302 (2014). Competition between antimagnetic and core rotation in ¹⁰⁶Cd within covariant density functional theory. 2013Va11 P.Van Isacker - J.Phys.:Conf.Ser. 445, 012013 (2013). Shell-model derivation of the shears mechanism. 2013Va11 P.Van Isacker - J.Phys.:Conf.Ser. 445, 012013 (2013). Shell-model derivation of the shears mechanism. 2013Va11 P.Van Isacker - J.Phys.:Conf.Ser. 445, 012013 (2013). Shell-model derivation of the shears mechanism. 2013Va11 P.Van Isacker - J.Phys.:Conf.Ser. 445, 012013 (2013). Muclear superfluidity for antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁵Cd and ¹⁰⁶Cd. 2015Pe06 J.Peng, P.W.Zhao - Phys.Rev. C 91, 044329 (2015). Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in ¹¹⁰Cd within tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field theory. 2016Su17 WJ.Sun, HD.Xu, J.Li, YH.Liu et al Chin.Phys.C 40, 084101 (2016). Antimagnetic rotation in ^{108,110}M with tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field approach. J.Meng, P.Zhao - Phys.Rev. C 94, 034305 (2016). Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. 2016Zh32 ZH.Zhang - Phys.Rev. C 94, 034305 (2016). Erratum Phys.Rev. C 99, 029901 (2019). Effects of proton angular momentum alignment on the two-shears-like mechanism in ¹⁰⁹Pd. 2018Pr06 S. Frauendorf – Phys.Rev. C 97, 024335 (2018). Beyond the Unified Model 2018Ma22 S.S.Malik - Phys.Rev. C 97, 043425 (2018).		Signature splitting and magnetic rotation in ⁸⁶ Y.
 Progress on tilted axis cranking covariant density functional theory for nuclear magnetic and antimagnetic rotation 2013Ro18 S.Roy, S.Chattopadhyay - Phys.Rev. C 87, 059801 (2013); comment on Phys. Rev. C 82, 061308 (2010). Comment on "Evidence of antimagnetic rotation in odd-A ¹⁰⁵Cd". P.Van Isacker, A.O.Macchiavelli - Phys.Rev. C 87, 061301 (2013). Geometry of the shears mechanism in nuclei. P.Zhang, B.Qi, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 89, 047302 (2014). Competition between antimagnetic and core rotation in ¹⁰⁹Cd within covariant density functional theory. P.Van Isacker - J.Phys.:Conf.Ser. 445, 012013 (2013). Shell-model derivation of the shears mechanism. 2013Zh16 ZH.Zhang, PW.Zhao, J.Meng, JY.Zeng et al Phys.Rev. C 87, 054314 (2013). Nuclear superfluidity for antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁶Cd and ¹⁰⁶Cd. 2015Pe06 J.Peng, P.W.Zhao - Phys.Rev. C 91, 044329 (2015). Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in ¹¹⁰Cd within tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field theory. 2016Su17 WJ.Sun, HD.Xu, J.Li, YH.Liu et al Chin.Phys.C 40, 084101 (2016). Antimagnetic rotation in ^{108,101}M with tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field approach. J.Meng, P.Zhao - Phys.Ser. 91, 053008 (2016). Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. 2016Zh32 ZH.Zhang - Phys.Ser. 91, 053008 (2016). Nuclear chiral and magnetic and collective rotation in ⁵⁸Fe. 2018Fr06 S. Frauendorf - Phys.Rev. C 97, 024335 (2018). Beyond the Unified Model U13ia, B.Qi, C.Liu, Q.Hu, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 024335 (2018). Possible "umbrella"-like antimagnetic rotation mode in odd- A ^{101,103}Pd and even-even ^{102,104}Pd. 	2013Me16	J.Meng, J.Peng, SQ.Zhang, P-W.Zhao – Front.Phys. 8, 55 (2013).
 antimagnetic rotation S.Roy, S.Chattopadhyay - Phys.Rev. C 87, 059801 (2013); comment on Phys. Rev. C 82, 061308 (2010). Comment on "Evidence of antimagnetic rotation in odd-A ¹⁰⁵Cd". P.Van Isacker, A.O.Macchiavelli - Phys.Rev. C 87, 061301 (2013). Geometry of the shears mechanism in nuclei. P.Zhang, B.Qi, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 89, 047302 (2014). Competition between antimagnetic and core rotation in ¹⁰⁶Cd within covariant density functional theory. P.Van Isacker - J.Phys.:Conf.Ser. 445, 012013 (2013). Shell-model derivation of the shears mechanism. ZH.Zhang, PW.Zhao, J.Meng, JY.Zeng et al Phys.Rev. C 87, 054314 (2013). Nuclear superfluidity for antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁵Cd and ¹⁰⁶Cd. J.Peng, P.W.Zhao - Phys.Rev. C 91, 044329 (2015). Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰¹Cd within tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field theory. WJ.Sun, HD.Xu, J.Li, YH.Liu et al Chin.Phys.C 40, 084101 (2016). Antimagnetic rotation in ^{108,110}In with tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field approach. J.Meng, P.Zhao - Phys.Ser. 91, 053008 (2016). Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. ZH.Zhang - Phys.Rev. C 94, 034305 (2016); Erratum Phys.Rev. C 99, 029901 (2019). Effects of proton angular momentum alignment on the two-shears-like mechanism in ¹⁰¹Pd. J.Peng - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E26, 1750051 (2017). Interplay between antimagnetic rotation in odd - A ^{101,103}Pd and even-even ^{102,104}Pd. S.S.Malik - Phys.Rev. C 97, 03425 (2018). Possible "umbrella"-like antimagnetic rotation mode in odd - A ^{101,103}Pd and even-even ^{102,104}Pd. S.S.Malik - Phys.Rev.C 03, 034303 (2018). Beyond the Unified Model H.Jia, B.Qi, C.Liu, Q.Hu,		Progress on tilted axis cranking covariant density functional theory for nuclear magnetic and
 2013Ro18 S.Roy, S.Chattopadhyay - Phys.Rev. C 87, 059801 (2013); comment on Phys. Rev. C 82, 061308 (2010). Comment on "Evidence of antimagnetic rotation in odd-A ¹⁰⁵Cd". P.Van Isacker, A.O.Macchiavelli - Phys.Rev. C 87, 061301 (2013). Geometry of the shears mechanism in nuclei. 2014Zh14 P.Zhang, B.Qi, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 89, 047302 (2014). Competition between antimagnetic and core rotation in ¹⁰⁹Cd within covariant density functional theory. 2013Va11 P.Van Isacker - J.Phys.:Conf.Ser. 445, 012013 (2013). Shell-model derivation of the shears mechanism. 2013Zh16 ZH.Zhang, PW.Zhao, J.Meng, JY.Zeng et al Phys.Rev. C 87, 054314 (2013). Nuclear superfluidity for antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁵Cd and ¹⁰⁶Cd. J.Peng, P.W.Zhao - Phys.Rev. C 91, 044329 (2015). Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁰Cd within tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field theory. 2016Su17 WJ.Sun, HD.Xu, J.Li, YH.Liu et al Chin.Phys.C 40, 084101 (2016). Antimagnetic rotation in ^{108,100}In with tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field approach. J.Meng, P.Zhao - Phys.Scr. 91, 053008 (2016). Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. 20.16Zh32 ZH.Zhang - Phys.Rev. C 94, 034305 (2016). Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. 20.17Pe15 J.Peng - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E26, 1750051 (2017). Interplay between antimagnetic and collective rotation in ⁵⁸Fe. 20.18Fi06 S. Frauendorf - Phys.Scr. 93, 043003 (2018). Beyond the Unified Model 20.18Ji02 H.Jia, B.Qi, C.Liu, Q.Hu, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 024335 (2018). Possible "umbrella"-like antimagnetic rotation mode in odd- A ^{101,103}Pd and even-even ^{102,104}Pd. 20.18Ma22 S.S.Malik - Phys.Rev. C 97, 034325 (2018). Possible "umbrella"-like antimagnetic rotation an ode in odd-		antimagnetic rotation
 (2010). Comment on "Evidence of antimagnetic rotation in odd-A ¹⁰⁵Cd". 2013Va09 P. Van Isacker, A.O.Macchiavelli - Phys.Rev. C 87, 061301 (2013). Geometry of the shears mechanism in nuclei. 2014Zh14 P.Zhang, B.Qi, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 89, 047302 (2014). Competition between antimagnetic and core rotation in ¹⁰⁹Cd within covariant density functional theory. 2013Va11 P.Van Isacker - J.Phys.:Conf.Ser. 445, 012013 (2013). Shell-model derivation of the shears mechanism. 2013Zh16 ZH.Zhang, PW.Zhao, J.Meng, JY.Zeng et al Phys.Rev. C 87, 054314 (2013). Nuclear superfluidity for antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁵Cd and ¹⁰⁶Cd. 2015Pe06 J.Peng, P.W.Zhao - Phys.Rev. C 91, 044329 (2015). Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in ¹¹⁰Cd within tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field theory. 2016Su17 WJ.Sun, HD.Xu, J.Li, YH.Liu et al Chin.Phys.C 40, 084101 (2016). Antimagnetic rotation in ^{108,10}In with tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field approach. 2016Me08 J.Meng, P.Zhao - Phys.Ser. 91, 053008 (2016). Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. 2016Zh32 ZH.Zhang - Phys.Rev. C 94, 034305 (2016); Erratum Phys.Rev. C 99, 029901 (2019). Effects of proton angular momentum alignment on the two-shears-like mechanism in ¹⁰¹Pd. 2017Pe15 J.Peng - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E26, 1750051 (2017). Interplay between antimagnetic and collective rotation in ⁵⁸Fe. 2018Fr06 S. Frauendorf – Phys.Ser. 93, 043003 (2018). Beyond the Unified Model 2018Ji02 H.Jia, B.Qi, C.Liu, Q.Hu, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 024335 (2018). Possible "umbrella"-like antimagnetic rotational spectra in nuclei. 20.Magnete, Phys.Rev. C 97, 034325 (2018). Helical modes generate antimagnetic rotation spectra in nuclei. 20.Magnete, rational endored antimagnetic rotation in ^{109,111}Cd. 20.S.Malik - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018). Magnetic rotatione in ^{109,111}Cd. 	2013Ro18	S.Roy, S.Chattopadhyay - Phys.Rev. C 87, 059801 (2013); comment on Phys. Rev. C 82, 061308
 Comment on "Evidence of antimagnetic rotation in odd-A ¹⁰⁵Cd". 2013Va09 P.Van Isacker, A.O.Macchiavelli - Phys.Rev. C 87, 061301 (2013). Geometry of the shears mechanism in nuclei. 2014Zh14 P.Zhang, B.Qi, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 89, 047302 (2014). Competition between antimagnetic and core rotation in ¹⁰⁹Cd within covariant density functional theory. 2013Va11 P.Van Isacker - J.Phys.:Conf.Ser. 445, 012013 (2013). Shell-model derivation of the shears mechanism. 2H.Zhang, PW.Zhao, J.Meng, JY.Zeng et al Phys.Rev. C 87, 054314 (2013). Nuclear superfluidity for antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁵Cd and ¹⁰⁶Cd. 2013Zh16 ZH.Zhang, PW.Zhao, J.Meng, JY.Zeng et al Phys.Rev. C 87, 054314 (2013). Nuclear superfluidity for antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁵Cd and ¹⁰⁶Cd. 2015Pe06 J.Peng, P.W.Zhao - Phys.Rev. C 91, 044329 (2015). Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in ¹¹⁰Cd within tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field theory. 2016Su17 WJ.Sun, HD.Xu, J.Li, YH.Liu et al Chin.Phys.C 40, 084101 (2016). Antimagnetic rotation in ^{108,110}In with tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field approach. 2016Me08 J.Meng, P.Zhao - Phys.Ser, 91, 053008 (2016). Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in rovariant density functional theory. 2016Zh32 ZH.Zhang - Phys.Rev. C 94, 034305 (2016); Erratum Phys.Rev. C 99, 029901 (2019). Effects of proton angular momentum alignment on the two-shears-like mechanism in ¹⁰¹Pd. 2017Pe15 J.Peng - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E26, 1750051 (2017). Interplay between antimagnetic and collective rotation in ⁵⁸Fe. 2018Fr06 S. Frauendorf – Phys.Rev. C 97, 034325 (2018). Possible "umbrella"-like antimagnetic rotation mode in odd-A ^{101,103}Pd and even-even ^{102,104}Pd. 2018Ma22 S.S.Malik - Phys.Rev. C 97, 034325 (2018). Possible "umbrella"-like antimagnetic rotational spectra in nuclei. 2018Ma28 C.Majunder, H.P.Sh		(2010).
 2013Va09 P. Van Isacker, A.O.Macchiavelli - Phys.Rev. C 87, 061301 (2013). Geometry of the shears mechanism in nuclei. 2014Zh14 P.Zhang, B.Qi, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 89, 047302 (2014). Competition between antimagnetic and core rotation in ¹⁰⁹Cd within covariant density functional theory. 2013Va11 P. Van Isacker - J.Phys.:Conf.Ser. 445, 012013 (2013). Shell-model derivation of the shears mechanism. 2013Zh16 ZH.Zhang, PW.Zhao, J.Meng, JY.Zeng et al Phys.Rev. C 87, 054314 (2013). Nuclear superfluidity for antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁵Cd and ¹⁰⁶Cd. 2015Pe06 J.Peng, P.W.Zhao - Phys.Rev. C 91, 044329 (2015). Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in ¹¹⁰Cd within tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field theory. 2016Su17 WJ.Sun, HD.Xu, J.Li, YH.Liu et al Chin.Phys.C 40, 084101 (2016). Antimagnetic rotation in ^{108,110}In with tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field approach. 2016Me08 J.Meng, P.Zhao - Phys.Ser. 91, 053008 (2016). Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. 2017Pe15 J.Peng - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E26, 1750051 (2017). Interplay between antimagnetic and collective rotation in ⁵⁸Fe. 2018Fr06 S. Frauendorf – Phys.Scr. 93, 043003 (2018). Beyond the Unified Model 2018Ji02 H.Jia, B.Qi, C.Liu, Q.Hu, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 024335 (2018). Possible "umbrella"-like antimagnetic rotation mode in odd - A ^{101,103}Pd and even-even ^{102,104}Pd. 2018Ma28 C.Majumder, H.P.Sharma, S.Chakraborty, S.S.Tiwary - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E27, 1850034 (2018). Helical modes generate antimagnetic rotational spectra in nuclei. 2018Ma28 C.Majumder, H.P.Sharma, S.Chakraborty, S.S.Tiwary - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E27, 1850034 (2018). Study of antimagnetic rotation in ^{109,111}Cd. 2018Wa14 Y.K.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018). 		Comment on "Evidence of antimagnetic rotation in odd-A ¹⁰⁵ Cd".
 Geometry of the shears mechanism in nuclei. P.Zhang, B.Qi, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 89, 047302 (2014). Competition between antimagnetic and core rotation in ¹⁰⁹Cd within covariant density functional theory. 2013Va11 P. Van Isacker - J.Phys.:Conf.Ser. 445, 012013 (2013). Shell-model derivation of the shears mechanism. 2013Zh16 ZH.Zhang, PW.Zhao, J.Meng, JY.Zeng et al Phys.Rev. C 87, 054314 (2013). Nuclear superfluidity for antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁵Cd and ¹⁰⁶Cd. 2015Pe06 J.Peng, P.W.Zhao - Phys.Rev. C 91, 044329 (2015). Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in ¹¹⁰Cd within tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field theory. 2016Su17 WJ.Sun, HD.Xu, J.Li, YH.Liu et al Chin.Phys.C 40, 084101 (2016). Antimagnetic rotation in ^{108,110}In with tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field approach. 2016Me08 J.Meng, P.Zhao - Phys.Scr. 91, 053008 (2016). Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. 2016Zh32 ZH.Zhang - Phys.Rev. C 94, 034305 (2016); Erratum Phys.Rev. C 99, 029901 (2019). Effects of proton angular momentum alignment on the two-shears-like mechanism in ¹⁰¹Pd. 2017Pe15 J.Peng - Int.J.Mod.Phys.E26, 1750051 (2017). Interplay between antimagnetic rotation mode in odd- A ^{101,103}Pd and even-even ^{102,104}Pd. 2018Ma22 S.S.Malik - Phys.Rev. C 97, 034325 (2018). Possible "umbrella"-like antimagnetic rotation mode in odd- A ^{101,103}Pd and even-even ^{102,104}Pd. 2018Ma28 C.Majumder, H.P.Sharma, S.Chakraborty, S.S.Tiwary - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E27, 1850034 (2018). Study of antimagnetic rotation in ^{109,111}Cd. Y.K.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018). 	2013Va09	P.Van Isacker, A.O.Macchiavelli - Phys.Rev. C 87, 061301 (2013).
 P.Zhang, B.Qi, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 89, 047302 (2014). Competition between antimagnetic and core rotation in ¹⁰⁹Cd within covariant density functional theory. P.Van Isacker - J.Phys.:Conf.Ser. 445, 012013 (2013). Shell-model derivation of the shears mechanism. 2013Zh16 ZH.Zhang, PW.Zhao, J.Meng, JY.Zeng et al Phys.Rev. C 87, 054314 (2013). Nuclear superfluidity for antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁵Cd and ¹⁰⁶Cd. 2015Pe06 J.Peng, P.W.Zhao - Phys.Rev. C 91, 044329 (2015). Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in ¹¹⁰Cd within tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field theory. 2016Su17 WJ.Sun, HD.Xu, J.Li, YH.Liu et al Chin.Phys.C 40, 084101 (2016). Antimagnetic rotation in ^{108,110}In with tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field approach. 2016Ke08 J.Meng, P.Zhao - Phys.Scr. 91, 053008 (2016). Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. 2016Zh32 ZH.Zhang - Phys.Rev. C 94, 034305 (2016). Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. 2017Pe15 J.Peng - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E26, 1750051 (2017). Interplay between antimagnetic and collective rotation in ⁵⁸Fe. 2018Fr06 S. Frauendorf – Phys.Scr. 93, 043003 (2018). Beyond the Unified Model 2018Bi102 H.Jia, B.Qi, C.Liu, Q.Hu, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 024335 (2018). Possible "umbrella"-like antimagnetic rotation mode in odd- A ^{101,103}Pd and even-even ^{102,104}Pd. 2018Ma28 C.Majumder, H.P.Sharma, S.Chakraborty, S.S.Tiwary - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E27, 1850034 (2018). Study of antimagnetic rotation in ^{101,111}Cd. 2018Wa14 Y.K.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018). Magnetic rotation in ^{100,111}Cd. 2018Wa14 Y.K.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018). 		Geometry of the shears mechanism in nuclei.
 Competition between antimagnetic and core rotation in ¹⁰⁹Cd within covariant density functional theory. 2013Va11 P. Van Isacker - J.Phys.:Conf.Ser. 445, 012013 (2013). Shell-model derivation of the shears mechanism. 2013Zh16 ZH.Zhang, PW.Zhao, J.Meng, JY.Zeng et al Phys.Rev. C 87, 054314 (2013). Nuclear superfluidity for antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁵Cd and ¹⁰⁶Cd. 2015Pe06 J.Peng, P.W.Zhao - Phys.Rev. C 91, 044329 (2015). Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in ¹¹⁰Cd within tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field theory. 2016Su17 WJ.Sun, HD.Xu, J.Li, YH.Liu et al Chin.Phys.C 40, 084101 (2016). Antimagnetic rotation in ^{108,110}In with tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field approach. 2016Be08 J.Meng, P.Zhao - Phys.Scr. 91, 053008 (2016). Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. 2016Zh32 ZH.Zhang - Phys.Rev. C 94, 034305 (2016); Erratum Phys.Rev. C 99, 029901 (2019). Effects of proton angular momentum alignment on the two-shears-like mechanism in ¹⁰¹Pd. 2017Pe15 J.Peng - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E26, 1750051 (2017). Interplay between antimagnetic and collective rotation in ⁵⁸Fe. 2018Fr06 S. Frauendorf – Phys.Scr. 93, 043003 (2018). Beyond the Unified Model 2018Ji02 H.Jia, B.Qi, C.Liu, Q.Hu, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 024335 (2018). Possible "umbrella"-like antimagnetic rotation mode in odd- A ^{101,103}Pd and even-even ^{102,104}Pd. 2018Ma22 S.S.Malik - Phys.Rev. C 97, 034325 (2018). Helical modes generate antimagnetic rotational spectra in nuclei. 2018Ma28 C.Majumder, H.P.Sharma, S.Chakraborty, S.S.Tiwary - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E27, 1850034 (2018). Study of antimagnetic rotation in ^{100,111}Cd. 2018Wa14 Y.K.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018). 	2014Zh14	P.Zhang, B.Qi, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 89, 047302 (2014).
 theory. 2013Va11 P. Van Isacker - J.Phys.:Conf.Ser. 445, 012013 (2013). Shell-model derivation of the shears mechanism. 2013Zh16 ZH.Zhang, PW.Zhao, J.Meng, JY.Zeng et al Phys.Rev. C 87, 054314 (2013). Nuclear superfluidity for antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁵Cd and ¹⁰⁶Cd. 2015Pe06 J.Peng, P.W.Zhao - Phys.Rev. C 91, 044329 (2015). Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in ¹¹⁰Cd within tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field theory. 2016Su17 WJ.Sun, HD.Xu, J.Li, YH.Liu et al Chin.Phys.C 40, 084101 (2016). Antimagnetic rotation in ^{108,110}In with tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field approach. 2016Me08 J.Meng, P.Zhao - Phys.Scr. 91, 053008 (2016). Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. 2016Zb32 ZH.Zhang - Phys.Rev. C 94, 034305 (2016), Erratum Phys.Rev. C 99, 029901 (2019). Effects of proton angular momentum alignment on the two-shears-like mechanism in ¹⁰¹Pd. 2017Pe15 J.Peng - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E26, 1750051 (2017). Interplay between antimagnetic and collective rotation in ⁵⁸Fe. 2018Fr06 S. Frauendorf – Phys.Scr. 93, 043003 (2018). Beyond the Unified Model 2018Ji02 H.Jia, B.Qi, C.Liu, Q.Hu, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 024335 (2018). Possible "umbrella"-like antimagnetic rotation mode in odd- A ^{101,103}Pd and even-even ^{102,104}Pd. 2018Ma22 S.S.Malik - Phys.Rev. C 97, 034325 (2018). Helical modes generate antimagnetic rotational spectra in nuclei. 2018Ma28 C.Majumder, H.P.Sharma, S.Chakraborty, S.S.Tiwary - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E27, 1850034 (2018). Study of antimagnetic rotation in ^{109,111}Cd. 2018Wa14 Y.K.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018). Magnetic rotations in ^{109,111}Cd. 2018Wa14 Y.K.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018). 		Competition between antimagnetic and core rotation in ¹⁰⁹ Cd within covariant density functional
 P.Van Isacker - J.Phys.:Conf.Ser. 445, 012013 (2013). Shell-model derivation of the shears mechanism. ZH.Zhang, PW.Zhao, J.Meng, JY.Zeng et al Phys.Rev. C 87, 054314 (2013). Nuclear superfluidity for antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁵Cd and ¹⁰⁶Cd. Zonser, P.W.Zhao - Phys.Rev. C 91, 044329 (2015). Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in ¹¹⁰Cd within tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field theory. WJ.Sun, HD.Xu, J.Li, YH.Liu et al Chin.Phys.C 40, 084101 (2016). Antimagnetic rotation in ^{108,110}In with tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field approach. J.Meng, P.Zhao - Phys.Scr. 91, 053008 (2016). Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. ZH.Zhang - Phys.Rev. C 94, 034305 (2016); Erratum Phys.Rev. C 99, 029901 (2019). Effects of proton angular momentum alignment on the two-shears-like mechanism in ¹⁰¹Pd. J.Peng - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E26, 1750051 (2017). Interplay between antimagnetic and collective rotation in ⁵⁸Fe. S. Frauendorf – Phys.Scr. 93, 043003 (2018). Beyond the Unified Model H.Jia, B.Qi, C.Liu, Q.Hu, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 024335 (2018). Possible "umbrella"-like antimagnetic rotation mode in odd- A ^{101,103}Pd and even-even ^{102,104}Pd. S.S.Malik - Phys.Rev. C 97, 034325 (2018). Helical modes generate antimagnetic rotational spectra in nuclei. C.Majumder, H.P.Sharma, S.Chakraborty, S.S.Tiwary - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E27, 1850034 (2018). Study of antimagnetic rotation in ^{109,111}Cd. Y.K.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018). Magnetic rotations in ^{109,111}Cd. Y.K.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018). 		theory.
 Shell-model derivation of the shears mechanism. 2013Zh16 ZH.Zhang, PW.Zhao, J.Meng, JY.Zeng et al Phys.Rev. C 87, 054314 (2013). Nuclear superfluidity for antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁵Cd and ¹⁰⁶Cd. 2015Pe06 J.Peng, P.W.Zhao - Phys.Rev. C 91, 044329 (2015). Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in ¹¹⁰Cd within tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field theory. 2016Su17 WJ.Sun, HD.Xu, J.Li, YH.Liu et al Chin.Phys.C 40, 084101 (2016). Antimagnetic rotation in ^{108,110}In with tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field approach. 2016Me08 J.Meng, P.Zhao - Phys.Scr. 91, 053008 (2016). Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. 2016Zh32 ZH.Zhang - Phys.Rev. C 94, 034305 (2016); Erratum Phys.Rev. C 99, 029901 (2019). Effects of proton angular momentum alignment on the two-shears-like mechanism in ¹⁰¹Pd. 2017Pe15 J.Peng - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E26, 1750051 (2017). Interplay between antimagnetic and collective rotation in ⁵⁸Fe. 2018Fr06 S. Frauendorf – Phys.Scr. 93, 043003 (2018). Beyond the Unified Model 2018Ji02 H.Jia, B.Qi, C.Liu, Q.Hu, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 024335 (2018). Possible "umbrella"-like antimagnetic rotation mode in odd- A ^{101,103}Pd and even-even ^{102,104}Pd. 2018Ma22 S.S.Malik - Phys.Rev. C 97, 034325 (2018). Helical modes generate antimagnetic rotational spectra in nuclei. 2018Ma28 C.Majumder, H.P.Sharma, S.Chakraborty, S.S.Tiwary - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E27, 1850034 (2018). Study of antimagnetic rotation in ^{109,111}Cd. 2018Wa14 Y.K.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018). Magnetic rotations in ^{109,110}Ch. 	2013Va11	P.Van Isacker - J.Phys.:Conf.Ser. 445, 012013 (2013).
 ZH.Zhang, PW.Zhao, J.Meng, JY.Zeng et al Phys.Rev. C 87, 054314 (2013). Nuclear superfluidity for antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁵Cd and ¹⁰⁶Cd. J.Peng, P.W.Zhao - Phys.Rev. C 91, 044329 (2015). Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in ¹¹⁰Cd within tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field theory. WJ.Sun, HD.Xu, J.Li, YH.Liu et al Chin.Phys.C 40, 084101 (2016). Antimagnetic rotation in ^{108,110}In with tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field approach. J.Meng, P.Zhao - Phys.Scr. 91, 053008 (2016). Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. ZH.Zhang - Phys.Rev. C 94, 034305 (2016); Erratum Phys.Rev. C 99, 029901 (2019). Effects of proton angular momentum alignment on the two-shears-like mechanism in ¹⁰¹Pd. J.Peng - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E26, 1750051 (2017). Interplay between antimagnetic and collective rotation in ⁵⁸Fe. S. Frauendorf – Phys.Ser. 93, 043003 (2018). Beyond the Unified Model H.Jia, B.Qi, C.Liu, Q.Hu, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 024335 (2018). Possible "umbrella"-like antimagnetic rotation mode in odd- A ^{101,103}Pd and even-even ^{102,104}Pd. S.S.Malik - Phys.Rev. C 97, 034325 (2018). Helical modes generate antimagnetic rotational spectra in nuclei. C.Majumder, H.P.Sharma, S.Chakraborty, S.S.Tiwary - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E27, 1850034 (2018). Study of antimagnetic rotation in ^{109,111}Cd. Y.K.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018). Magnetic rotations in ¹⁰⁹D, within covariant density functional theory with pairing 		Shell-model derivation of the shears mechanism.
 Nuclear superfluidity for antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁵Cd and ¹⁰⁶Cd. 2015Pe06 J.Peng, P.W.Zhao - Phys.Rev. C 91, 044329 (2015). Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in ¹¹⁰Cd within tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field theory. 2016Su17 WJ.Sun, HD.Xu, J.Li, YH.Liu et al Chin.Phys.C 40, 084101 (2016). Antimagnetic rotation in ^{108,110}In with tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field approach. 2016Me08 J.Meng, P.Zhao - Phys.Scr. 91, 053008 (2016). Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. 2016Zh32 ZH.Zhang - Phys.Rev. C 94, 034305 (2016); Erratum Phys.Rev. C 99, 029901 (2019). Effects of proton angular momentum alignment on the two-shears-like mechanism in ¹⁰¹Pd. 2017Pe15 J.Peng - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E26, 1750051 (2017). Interplay between antimagnetic and collective rotation in ⁵⁸Fe. 2018Fr06 S. Frauendorf – Phys.Scr. 93, 043003 (2018). Beyond the Unified Model 2018Ji02 H.Jia, B.Qi, C.Liu, Q.Hu, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 024335 (2018). Possible "umbrella"-like antimagnetic rotation mode in odd- A ^{101,103}Pd and even-even ^{102,104}Pd. 2018Ma22 S.S.Malik - Phys.Rev. C 97, 034325 (2018). Helical modes generate antimagnetic rotational spectra in nuclei. 2018Ma28 C.Majumder, H.P.Sharma, S.Chakraborty, S.S.Tiwary - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E27, 1850034 (2018). Study of antimagnetic rotation in ^{109,111}Cd. 2018Wa14 Y.K.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018). Magnetic rotation in ^{109,111}Cd. 2018Wa14 Y.K.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018). 	2013Zh16	ZH.Zhang, PW.Zhao, J.Meng, JY.Zeng et al Phys.Rev. C 87, 054314 (2013).
 2015Pe06 J.Peng, P.W.Zhao - Phys.Rev. C 91, 044329 (2015). Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in ¹¹⁰Cd within tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field theory. 2016Su17 WJ.Sun, HD.Xu, J.Li, YH.Liu et al Chin.Phys.C 40, 084101 (2016). Antimagnetic rotation in ^{108,110}In with tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field approach. 2016Me08 J.Meng, P.Zhao - Phys.Scr. 91, 053008 (2016). Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. 2016Zh32 ZH.Zhang - Phys.Rev. C 94, 034305 (2016); Erratum Phys.Rev. C 99, 029901 (2019). Effects of proton angular momentum alignment on the two-shears-like mechanism in ¹⁰¹Pd. 2017Pe15 J.Peng - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E26, 1750051 (2017). Interplay between antimagnetic and collective rotation in ⁵⁸Fe. 2018Fr06 S. Frauendorf – Phys.Scr. 93, 043003 (2018). Beyond the Unified Model 2018Ji02 H.Jia, B.Qi, C.Liu, Q.Hu, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 024335 (2018). Possible "umbrella"-like antimagnetic rotation mode in odd- A ^{101,103}Pd and even-even ^{102,104}Pd. 2018Ma22 S.S.Malik - Phys.Rev. C 97, 034325 (2018). Helical modes generate antimagnetic rotational spectra in nuclei. 2018Ma28 C.Majumder, H.P.Sharma, S.Chakraborty, S.S.Tiwary - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E27, 1850034 (2018). Study of antimagnetic rotation in ^{109,111}Cd. 2018Wa14 Y.K.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018). Magnetic rotation in ^{109,111}Phys. 		Nuclear superfluidity for antimagnetic rotation in 105 Cd and 106 Cd.
 Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in ¹¹⁰Cd within tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field theory. 2016Su17 WJ.Sun, HD.Xu, J.Li, YH.Liu et al Chin.Phys.C 40, 084101 (2016). Antimagnetic rotation in ^{108,110}In with tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field approach. 2016Me08 J.Meng, P.Zhao - Phys.Scr. 91, 053008 (2016). Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. 2016Zh32 ZH.Zhang - Phys.Rev. C 94, 034305 (2016); Erratum Phys.Rev. C 99, 029901 (2019). Effects of proton angular momentum alignment on the two-shears-like mechanism in ¹⁰¹Pd. 2017Pe15 J.Peng - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E26, 1750051 (2017). Interplay between antimagnetic and collective rotation in ⁵⁸Fe. 2018Fr06 S. Frauendorf – Phys.Scr. 93, 043003 (2018). Beyond the Unified Model 2018Ji02 H.Jia, B.Qi, C.Liu, Q.Hu, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 024335 (2018). Possible "umbrella"-like antimagnetic rotation mode in odd- A ^{101,103}Pd and even-even ^{102,104}Pd. 2018Ma22 S.S.Malik - Phys.Rev. C 97, 034325 (2018). Helical modes generate antimagnetic rotational spectra in nuclei. 2018Ma28 C.Majumder, H.P.Sharma, S.Chakraborty, S.S.Tiwary - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E27, 1850034 (2018). Study of antimagnetic rotation in ^{109,111}Cd. 2018Wa14 Y.K.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018). 	2015Pe06	J.Peng, P.W.Zhao - Phys.Rev. C 91, 044329 (2015).
 theory. 2016Su17 WJ.Sun, HD.Xu, J.Li, YH.Liu et al Chin.Phys.C 40, 084101 (2016). Antimagnetic rotation in ^{108,110}In with tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field approach. 2016Me08 J.Meng, P.Zhao - Phys.Scr. 91, 053008 (2016). Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. 2016Zh32 ZH.Zhang - Phys.Rev. C 94, 034305 (2016); Erratum Phys.Rev. C 99, 029901 (2019). Effects of proton angular momentum alignment on the two-shears-like mechanism in ¹⁰¹Pd. 2017Pe15 J.Peng - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E26, 1750051 (2017). Interplay between antimagnetic and collective rotation in ⁵⁸Fe. 2018Fr06 S. Frauendorf – Phys.Scr. 93, 043003 (2018). Beyond the Unified Model 2018Ji02 H.Jia, B.Qi, C.Liu, Q.Hu, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 024335 (2018). Possible "umbrella"-like antimagnetic rotation mode in odd- A ^{101,103}Pd and even-even ^{102,104}Pd. 2018Ma22 S.S.Malik - Phys.Rev. C 97, 034325 (2018). Helical modes generate antimagnetic rotational spectra in nuclei. 2018Ma28 C.Majumder, H.P.Sharma, S.Chakraborty, S.S.Tiwary - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E27, 1850034 (2018). Study of antimagnetic rotation in ^{109,111}Cd. 2018Wa14 Y.K.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018). Margetic rotations in ¹⁹⁸Ph and ¹⁹⁹Ph within covariant density functional theory with pairing 		Magnetic and antimagnetic rotation in ¹¹⁰ Cd within tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field
 2016Su17 WJ.Sun, HD.Xu, J.Li, YH.Liu et al Chin.Phys.C 40, 084101 (2016). Antimagnetic rotation in ^{108,110}In with tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field approach. 2016Me08 J.Meng, P.Zhao - Phys.Scr. 91, 053008 (2016). Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. 2016Zh32 ZH.Zhang - Phys.Rev. C 94, 034305 (2016); Erratum Phys.Rev. C 99, 029901 (2019). Effects of proton angular momentum alignment on the two-shears-like mechanism in ¹⁰¹Pd. 2017Pe15 J.Peng - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E26, 1750051 (2017). Interplay between antimagnetic and collective rotation in ⁵⁸Fe. 2018Fr06 S. Frauendorf – Phys.Scr. 93, 043003 (2018). Beyond the Unified Model 2018Ji02 H.Jia, B.Qi, C.Liu, Q.Hu, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 024335 (2018). Possible "umbrella"-like antimagnetic rotation mode in odd- A ^{101,103}Pd and even-even ^{102,104}Pd. 2018Ma22 S.S.Malik - Phys.Rev. C 97, 034325 (2018). Helical modes generate antimagnetic rotational spectra in nuclei. 2018Ma28 C.Majumder, H.P.Sharma, S.Chakraborty, S.S.Tiwary - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E27, 1850034 (2018). Study of antimagnetic rotation in ^{109,111}Cd. 2018Wa14 Y.K.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018). 		theory.
 Antimagnetic rotation in ^{108,110}In with tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field approach. J.Meng, P.Zhao - Phys.Scr. 91, 053008 (2016). Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. ZH.Zhang - Phys.Rev. C 94, 034305 (2016); Erratum Phys.Rev. C 99, 029901 (2019). Effects of proton angular momentum alignment on the two-shears-like mechanism in ¹⁰¹Pd. J.Peng - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E26, 1750051 (2017). Interplay between antimagnetic and collective rotation in ⁵⁸Fe. Frauendorf – Phys.Scr. 93, 043003 (2018). Beyond the Unified Model H.Jia, B.Qi, C.Liu, Q.Hu, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 024335 (2018). Possible "umbrella"-like antimagnetic rotation mode in odd- A ^{101,103}Pd and even-even ^{102,104}Pd. S.S.Malik - Phys.Rev. C 97, 034325 (2018). Helical modes generate antimagnetic rotational spectra in nuclei. C.Majumder, H.P.Sharma, S.Chakraborty, S.S.Tiwary - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E27, 1850034 (2018). Study of antimagnetic rotation in ^{109,111}Cd. Y.K.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018). 	2016Su17	WJ.Sun, HD.Xu, J.Li, YH.Liu et al Chin. Phys.C 40, 084101 (2016).
 J.Meng, P.Zhao - Phys.Scr. 91, 053008 (2016). Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. ZH.Zhang - Phys.Rev. C 94, 034305 (2016); Erratum Phys.Rev. C 99, 029901 (2019). Effects of proton angular momentum alignment on the two-shears-like mechanism in ¹⁰¹Pd. J.Peng - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E26, 1750051 (2017). Interplay between antimagnetic and collective rotation in ⁵⁸Fe. S. Frauendorf – Phys.Scr. 93, 043003 (2018). Beyond the Unified Model H.Jia, B.Qi, C.Liu, Q.Hu, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 024335 (2018). Possible "umbrella"-like antimagnetic rotation mode in odd- A ^{101,103}Pd and even-even ^{102,104}Pd. S.S.Malik - Phys.Rev. C 97, 034325 (2018). Helical modes generate antimagnetic rotational spectra in nuclei. C.Majumder, H.P.Sharma, S.Chakraborty, S.S.Tiwary - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E27, 1850034 (2018). Study of antimagnetic rotation in ^{109,111}Cd. Y.K.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018). Magnetic rotations in ¹⁹⁸Ph and ¹⁹⁹Ph within covariant density functional theory with pairing 		Antimagnetic rotation in ^{108,110} In with tilted axis cranking relativistic mean-field approach.
 Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory. 2016Zh32 ZH.Zhang - Phys.Rev. C 94, 034305 (2016); Erratum Phys.Rev. C 99, 029901 (2019). Effects of proton angular momentum alignment on the two-shears-like mechanism in ¹⁰¹Pd. 2017Pe15 J.Peng - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E26, 1750051 (2017). Interplay between antimagnetic and collective rotation in ⁵⁸Fe. 2018Fr06 S. Frauendorf – Phys.Scr. 93, 043003 (2018). Beyond the Unified Model 2018Ji02 H.Jia, B.Qi, C.Liu, Q.Hu, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 024335 (2018). Possible "umbrella"-like antimagnetic rotation mode in odd- A ^{101,103}Pd and even-even ^{102,104}Pd. 2018Ma22 S.S.Malik - Phys.Rev. C 97, 034325 (2018). Helical modes generate antimagnetic rotational spectra in nuclei. 2018Ma28 C.Majumder, H.P.Sharma, S.Chakraborty, S.S.Tiwary - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E27, 1850034 (2018). Study of antimagnetic rotation in ^{109,111}Cd. 2018Wa14 Y.K.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018). 	2016Me08	J.Meng, P.Zhao - Phys.Scr. 91, 053008 (2016).
 ZH.Zhang - Phys.Rev. C 94, 034305 (2016); Erratum Phys.Rev. C 99, 029901 (2019). Effects of proton angular momentum alignment on the two-shears-like mechanism in ¹⁰¹Pd. ZH.Zhang - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E26, 1750051 (2017). Interplay between antimagnetic and collective rotation in ⁵⁸Fe. Z018Fr06 S. Frauendorf – Phys.Scr. 93, 043003 (2018). Beyond the Unified Model Z018Ji02 H.Jia, B.Qi, C.Liu, Q.Hu, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 024335 (2018). Possible "umbrella"-like antimagnetic rotation mode in odd- A ^{101,103}Pd and even-even ^{102,104}Pd. Z018Ma22 S.S.Malik - Phys.Rev. C 97, 034325 (2018). Helical modes generate antimagnetic rotational spectra in nuclei. Z018Ma28 C.Majumder, H.P.Sharma, S.Chakraborty, S.S.Tiwary - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E27, 1850034 (2018). Study of antimagnetic rotation in ^{109,111}Cd. Z018Wa14 Y.K.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018). 		Nuclear chiral and magnetic rotation in covariant density functional theory.
 Effects of proton angular momentum alignment on the two-shears-like mechanism in ¹⁰¹Pd. 2017Pe15 J.Peng - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E26, 1750051 (2017). Interplay between antimagnetic and collective rotation in ⁵⁸Fe. 2018Fr06 S. Frauendorf – Phys.Scr. 93, 043003 (2018). Beyond the Unified Model 2018Ji02 H.Jia, B.Qi, C.Liu, Q.Hu, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 024335 (2018). Possible "umbrella"-like antimagnetic rotation mode in odd- A ^{101,103}Pd and even-even ^{102,104}Pd. 2018Ma22 S.S.Malik - Phys.Rev. C 97, 034325 (2018). Helical modes generate antimagnetic rotational spectra in nuclei. 2018Ma28 C.Majumder, H.P.Sharma, S.Chakraborty, S.S.Tiwary - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E27, 1850034 (2018). Study of antimagnetic rotation in ^{109,111}Cd. 2018Wa14 Y.K.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018). Magnetic rotations in ¹⁹⁸Ph and ¹⁹⁹Ph within covariant density functional theory with pairing 	2016Zh32	ZH.Zhang - Phys. Rev. C 94, 034305 (2016): Erratum Phys. Rev. C 99, 029901 (2019).
 J.Peng - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E26, 1750051 (2017). Interplay between antimagnetic and collective rotation in ⁵⁸Fe. S. Frauendorf – Phys.Scr. 93, 043003 (2018). Beyond the Unified Model 2018Ji02 H.Jia, B.Qi, C.Liu, Q.Hu, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 024335 (2018). Possible "umbrella"-like antimagnetic rotation mode in odd- A ^{101,103}Pd and even-even ^{102,104}Pd. 2018Ma22 S.S.Malik - Phys.Rev. C 97, 034325 (2018). Helical modes generate antimagnetic rotational spectra in nuclei. 2018Ma28 C.Majumder, H.P.Sharma, S.Chakraborty, S.S.Tiwary - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E27, 1850034 (2018). Study of antimagnetic rotation in ^{109,111}Cd. 2018Wa14 Y.K.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018). Magnetic rotations in ¹⁹⁸Ph and ¹⁹⁹Ph within covariant density functional theory with pairing. 	_01002	Effects of proton angular momentum alignment on the two-shears-like mechanism in ¹⁰¹ Pd.
 Interplay between antimagnetic and collective rotation in ⁵⁸Fe. S. Frauendorf – Phys.Scr. 93, 043003 (2018). Beyond the Unified Model H.Jia, B.Qi, C.Liu, Q.Hu, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 024335 (2018). Possible "umbrella"-like antimagnetic rotation mode in odd- A ^{101,103}Pd and even-even ^{102,104}Pd. S.S.Malik - Phys.Rev. C 97, 034325 (2018). Helical modes generate antimagnetic rotational spectra in nuclei. C.Majumder, H.P.Sharma, S.Chakraborty, S.S.Tiwary - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E27, 1850034 (2018). Study of antimagnetic rotation in ^{109,111}Cd. Y.K.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018). Magnetic rotations in ¹⁹⁸Ph and ¹⁹⁹Ph within covariant density functional theory with pairing. 	2017Pe15	J.Peng - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E26, 1750051 (2017).
 2018Fr06 S. Frauendorf – Phys.Scr. 93, 043003 (2018). Beyond the Unified Model 2018Ji02 H.Jia, B.Qi, C.Liu, Q.Hu, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 024335 (2018). Possible "umbrella"-like antimagnetic rotation mode in odd- A ^{101,103}Pd and even-even ^{102,104}Pd. 2018Ma22 S.S.Malik - Phys.Rev. C 97, 034325 (2018). Helical modes generate antimagnetic rotational spectra in nuclei. 2018Ma28 C.Majumder, H.P.Sharma, S.Chakraborty, S.S.Tiwary - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E27, 1850034 (2018). Study of antimagnetic rotation in ^{109,111}Cd. 2018Wa14 Y.K.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018). Magnetic rotations in ¹⁹⁸Ph and ¹⁹⁹Ph within covariant density functional theory with pairing. 	_01/1010	Interplay between antimagnetic and collective rotation in 58 Fe.
 Beyond the Unified Model H.Jia, B.Qi, C.Liu, Q.Hu, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 024335 (2018). Possible "umbrella"-like antimagnetic rotation mode in odd- A ^{101,103}Pd and even-even ^{102,104}Pd. S.S.Malik - Phys.Rev. C 97, 034325 (2018). Helical modes generate antimagnetic rotational spectra in nuclei. C.Majumder, H.P.Sharma, S.Chakraborty, S.S.Tiwary - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E27, 1850034 (2018). Study of antimagnetic rotation in ^{109,111}Cd. Y.K.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018). Magnetic rotations in ¹⁹⁸Ph and ¹⁹⁹Ph within covariant density functional theory with pairing. 	2018Fr06	S. Frauendorf – Phys Scr. 93, 043003 (2018)
 2018Ji02 H.Jia, B.Qi, C.Liu, Q.Hu, S.Y.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 024335 (2018). Possible "umbrella"-like antimagnetic rotation mode in odd- A ^{101,103}Pd and even-even ^{102,104}Pd. 2018Ma22 S.S.Malik - Phys.Rev. C 97, 034325 (2018). Helical modes generate antimagnetic rotational spectra in nuclei. 2018Ma28 C.Majumder, H.P.Sharma, S.Chakraborty, S.S.Tiwary - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E27, 1850034 (2018). Study of antimagnetic rotation in ^{109,111}Cd. 2018Wa14 Y.K.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018). Magnetic rotations in ¹⁹⁸Ph and ¹⁹⁹Ph within covariant density functional theory with pairing. 	_0101100	Beyond the Unified Model
 Possible "umbrella"-like antimagnetic rotation mode in odd- A ^{101,103}Pd and even-even ^{102,104}Pd. S.S.Malik - Phys.Rev. C 97, 034325 (2018). Helical modes generate antimagnetic rotational spectra in nuclei. C.Majumder, H.P.Sharma, S.Chakraborty, S.S.Tiwary - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E27, 1850034 (2018). Study of antimagnetic rotation in ^{109,111}Cd. Y.K.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018). Magnetic rotations in ¹⁹⁸Ph and ¹⁹⁹Ph within covariant density functional theory with pairing. 	2018Ji02	H Jia B Oi C Liu O Hu S Y Wang - Phys Rev C 97 (024335 (2018)
 2018Ma22 S.S.Malik - Phys.Rev. C 97, 034325 (2018). Helical modes generate antimagnetic rotational spectra in nuclei. 2018Ma28 C.Majumder, H.P.Sharma, S.Chakraborty, S.S.Tiwary - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E27, 1850034 (2018). Study of antimagnetic rotation in ^{109,111}Cd. 2018Wa14 Y.K.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018). Magnetic rotations in ¹⁹⁸Ph and ¹⁹⁹Ph within covariant density functional theory with pairing. 	20103102	Possible "umbrella"-like antimagnetic rotation mode in odd- A ^{101,103} Pd and even-even ^{102,104} Pd
 Helical modes generate antimagnetic rotational spectra in nuclei. C.Majumder, H.P.Sharma, S.Chakraborty, S.S.Tiwary - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E27, 1850034 (2018). Study of antimagnetic rotation in ^{109,111}Cd. Y.K.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018). Magnetic rotations in ¹⁹⁸Ph and ¹⁹⁹Ph within covariant density functional theory with pairing. 	2018Ma22	S.S.Malik - Phys.Rev. C 97, 034325 (2018).
 2018Ma28 C.Majumder, H.P.Sharma, S.Chakraborty, S.S.Tiwary - Int.J.Mod.Phys. E27, 1850034 (2018). Study of antimagnetic rotation in ^{109,111}Cd. 2018Wa14 Y.K.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018). Magnetic rotations in ¹⁹⁸Ph and ¹⁹⁹Ph within covariant density functional theory with pairing. 		Helical modes generate antimagnetic rotational spectra in nuclei.
 Study of antimagnetic rotation in ^{109,111}Cd. Wagnetic rotations in ¹⁹⁸Pb and ¹⁹⁹Pb within covariant density functional theory with pairing. 	2018Ma28	C Maiumder H P Sharma S Chakraborty S S Tiwary - Int I Mod Phys E27 1850034 (2018)
2018Wa14 Y.K.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018). Magnetic rotations in ¹⁹⁸ Ph and ¹⁹⁹ Ph within covariant density functional theory with pairing.		Study of antimagnetic rotation in ^{109,111} Cd.
Magnetic rotations in ¹⁹⁸ Ph and ¹⁹⁹ Ph within covariant density functional theory with pairing	2018Wa14	Y.K.Wang - Phys.Rev. C 97, 064321 (2018).
		Magnetic rotations in ¹⁹⁸ Pb and ¹⁹⁹ Pb within covariant density functional theory with pairing
correlations.		correlations.

	Theory references for magnetic- and antimagnetic-rotational structures (Continued)
2019Li07	L.Liu - Phys.Rev. C 99, 024317 (2019).
	Shell-model-like approach based on cranking covariant density functional theory to the antimagnetic rotation band in ¹⁰¹ Pd.
2019Ma91	C.Majumder, H.P.Sharma, S.S.Tiwary, S.Chakraborty - Braz.J.Phys. 49, 539 (2019).
	Possible Antimagnetic Rotational Band in ¹⁰² Ru.
2019Zh20	ZH.Zhang - Chin.Phys.C 43, 054107 (2019).
	Theoretical investigation of the antimagnetic rotation in ¹⁰⁴ Pd.
2020Ga04	S.Ganguly, C.Majumder, S.S.Tiwary, H.P.Sharma, et al Acta Phys.Pol. B51, 473 (2020).
	Magnetic Rotation in ⁶⁰ Ni: A Semiclassical Description.
2021Ma78	JQ.Ma, ZH.Zhang - Nucl.Phys. A1016, 122319 (2021).
	Possible antimagnetic rotation bands in ¹⁰⁰ Pd: A particle-number conserving investigation.
2021Wa44	H.Wang, KY.Ma, SY.Liu, JB.Lu - Chin.Phys.C 45, 094106 (2021).
	Core breaking and possible magnetic rotation in the semimagic nucleus ⁹⁰ Zr.
2022Pa27	YK.Pan, KY.Ma, JB.Lu - Chin.Phys.C 46, 094001 (2022).
	Possible multiple antimagnetic rotational bands in odd-A ^{103,105} Pd and ¹⁰⁹ Cd nuclei.