

Subalgebras of Octonion Algebras

Norbert Knarr, Markus J. Stroppel

September 6, 2023

Abstract

For an arbitrary octonion algebra, we determine all subalgebras. It turns out that every subalgebra of dimension less than four is associative, while every subalgebra of dimension greater than four is not associative. In any split octonion algebra, there are both associative and non-associative subalgebras of dimension four. Except for one-dimensional subalgebras spanned by idempotents, any two isomorphic subalgebras are in the same orbit under automorphisms.

Mathematics Subject Classification (MSC 2000): 17A75, 17A36, 17A45.

Keywords: octonion, quaternion, composition algebra, subalgebra, automorphism

Introduction

Octonion algebras are the most complicated examples of composition algebras. Composition algebras are (not necessarily associative) algebras endowed with a multiplicative quadratic form such that the associated polar form is not degenerate. As a tool for the investigation of quadratic forms, they have their roots in number theory. Four-dimensional composition algebras (also called quaternion algebras) are ubiquitous in the theory of quadratic forms. In the present paper, we are mainly concerned with composition algebras of dimension 8, also called *octonion algebras* (or octaves).

It is known (see [22, 1.6.2]) that composition algebras only occur in dimension $d \in \{1, 2, 4, 8\}$. A composition algebra is called *split* if the quadratic form is isotropic. Over any field F , there are split composition algebras of dimension 2 (isomorphic to $F \times F$ with the quadratic form mapping (x, y) to xy), of dimension 4 (isomorphic to $F^{2 \times 2}$, the quadratic form is the determinant) and of dimension 8 (obtained by doubling the split quaternion algebra $F^{2 \times 2}$, see 1.4(i) below). These split composition algebras are determined uniquely by their dimension and the underlying field F (see [22, 1.8.1]).

The existence of non-split composition algebras depends in an essential way on properties of the ground field F . In particular, a non-split composition algebra of dimension 2 over F is a separable quadratic extension field. A non-split quaternion algebra exists if, and only if, there is a separable quadratic extension and an anisotropic hermitian form in two variables over that extension (cp. [15]). In particular, every composition algebra of dimension greater than two over a finite field is split. A quaternion field (i.e., a non-split quaternion algebra) over F exists if, and only if, there is a non-singular quadratic form on F^3 such that the polar form is not zero (see [13, Th. 3.1.1]). A necessary (but not sufficient, see [13, Th. 3.1.6]) condition for the existence of a non-split octonion algebra over F is of course the existence of a non-singular quadratic form on F^8 .

Octonion algebras play a role in group theory: For instance, the automorphism group of an octonion algebra is a group of type G_2 , the action of the hyperplane orthogonal to 1 gives the representation of that group on a vector space of dimension 7. Several important subgroups of that group can conveniently be seen as stabilizers of certain elements or subspaces in the octonion algebra (see [3]), and various exceptional isomorphisms between classical groups can be understood using representations as such stabilizers.

We also remark that non-split octonion algebras of dimension 8 coordinatize Moufang planes that are not desarguesian, and that every non-desarguesian Moufang plane is obtained in this way ([16], [18, Satz 50, p. 106 and Abschnitt 6, pp. 157–186], [23, Ch. 19]). Split octonion algebras are used to construct generalized hexagons (namely, the split Cayley hexagons, see [21, p. 207]).

In the present paper, we study the subalgebras of octonion algebras. The split case is of special interest; we find many types of subalgebras in split octonion algebras that do not have counterparts in non-split algebras. We also prove that subalgebras that are isomorphic as abstract algebras are in the same orbit under automorphisms of the octonion algebra (except if they are one-dimensional, and spanned by 1 and an idempotent $p \neq 1$, respectively). See Figure 1 in Section 8 below for a graph showing the relative position of (orbits of) subalgebras. As a byproduct, we obtain that every subalgebra of dimension less than 4 in a composition algebra is associative (see 7.1). In split octonion algebras, there do occur subalgebras of dimension 4 that are not associative (see 2.3), and subalgebras of dimensions 5 and 6, respectively (see 2.2); no subalgebra of dimension greater than 4 is associative (see 7.1).

Using our list of subalgebras, we identify the commutative subalgebras, see 7.3. It turns out that every commutative subalgebra is associative.

Maximal subalgebras of octonion algebras have been studied in [19], a gap in that paper was noted in [6] and closed in [17]. Unary subalgebras (i.e. subalgebras containing the neutral element of multiplication) of finite octonion algebras have been determined in [8]. Our present treatment is independent of those results.

1 Composition algebras

1.1 Definition. Let F be a field, and let $(A, +, \cdot)$ be any (not necessarily unary, not necessarily associative) algebra over F . A quadratic form $q: A \rightarrow F$ is called *multiplicative* if $q(x \cdot y) = q(x)q(y)$ holds for all $x, y \in A$.

1.2 Lemma: multiplicative forms. Let $(A, +, \cdot)$ be any algebra over F . Assume that $a, b \in A$ are such that the maps $\rho_a: A \rightarrow A: x \mapsto xa$ and $\lambda_b: A \rightarrow A: x \mapsto bx$ are bijections, and define the multiplication $*$ on A by $x * y := \rho_a^{-1}(x) \cdot \lambda_b^{-1}(y)$.

(a) The element $b \cdot a$ is a neutral element for the multiplication $*$.

(b) The triplet $(\lambda_b^{-1} | \text{id} | \rho_a^{-1})$ is an isotopism from $(A, +, *)$ onto $(A, +, \cdot)$.

(c) Assume that there is a non-zero multiplicative quadratic form q on $(A, +, \cdot)$. Then $s := q(b \cdot a)$ is not zero, and $sq(x * y) = q(x)q(y)$ holds for all $x, y \in A$. The form $s^{-1}q$ is thus a non-zero multiplicative form on $(A, +, *)$.

Proof. We compute $(b \cdot a) * y = \rho_a^{-1}(b \cdot a) \cdot \lambda_b^{-1}(y) = b \cdot \lambda_b^{-1}(y) = \lambda_b(\lambda_b^{-1}(y)) = y$ and $x * (b \cdot a) = \rho_a^{-1}(x) \cdot \lambda_b^{-1}(b \cdot a) = \rho_a^{-1}(x) \cdot a = \rho_a(\rho_a^{-1}(x)) = x$. This shows that $b \cdot a$ is a neutral element for the multiplication $*$.

For $x, y \in A$, we note $\text{id}(x * y) = x * y = \rho_a^{-1}(x) \cdot \lambda_b^{-1}(y)$. This is the defining property of an isotopism from $(A, +, *)$ onto $(A, +, \cdot)$, cp. [12, Section 1].

Pick $u \in A$ such that $q(u) \neq 0$, and let $v := \lambda_b^{-1}(\rho_a^{-1}(u))$. Then $0 \neq q(u) = q((b \cdot v) \cdot a) = q(b \cdot v)q(a) = q(b)q(v)q(a) = q(b)q(a)q(v) = q(b \cdot a)q(v)$ yields $q(b \cdot a) \neq 0$. We compute $sq(x * y) = sq(\rho_a^{-1}(x) \cdot \lambda_b^{-1}(y)) = (q(a)q(b)) q(\rho_a^{-1}(x)) q(\lambda_b^{-1}(y)) = q(\rho_a^{-1}(x)) q(a)q(b)q(\lambda_b^{-1}(y)) = q(a \cdot \rho_a^{-1}(x)) q(b \cdot \lambda_b^{-1}(y)) = q(x)q(y)$. This implies the last assertion. \square

1.3 Definition. A *composition algebra* over F is a (not necessarily associative) algebra C over F with a multiplicative quadratic form $N := N_C: C \rightarrow F$ (called the *norm form*) such that the polar form of N is not degenerate. This polar form will be written as $(x|y) := N(x + y) - N(x) - N(y)$. We also assume that the algebra contains a neutral element for its multiplication, denoted by 1. (However, see 1.2 for the case of isotopes without 1.)

As usual, the ground field F is embedded as $F1$ in C . The composition algebra is called *split* if it contains divisors of zero (i.e., non-zero elements of norm zero, see 1.4(f) below). If no confusion is expected we write multiplication as juxtaposition.

The first chapter of [22] gives a comprehensive introduction into composition algebras over arbitrary fields, including the characteristic two case. We collect the basic facts that we need in the present paper (for proofs, consult [22]):

1.4 Properties of composition algebras. *Let C be a composition algebra over F .*

- (a) *The map $\kappa: C \rightarrow C: x \mapsto \bar{x} := (x|1)1 - x$ is an involutory anti-automorphism, called the standard involution of C .*
- (b) *The norm and its polar form are recovered from the standard involution as $N(x) = x\bar{x} = \bar{x}x$ and $(x|y) = x\bar{y} + y\bar{x}$. In particular, we have the hyperplane $1^\perp = \{x \in C \mid \bar{x} = -x\}$ of pure elements.*
- (c) *For all $c, x, y \in C$, we have $(cx|y) = (x|\bar{c}y)$ and $(xc|y) = (x|y\bar{c})$, see [22, 1.3.2].*
- (d) *In general, the multiplication is not associative, but weak versions of the associative law are still valid; among them Moufang's identities [22, 1.4.1, 1.4.2]*

$$(ax)(ya) = a((xy)a), \quad a(x(ay)) = ((ax)a)y, \quad x(a(ya)) = ((xa)y)a.$$

- (e) *Artin's Theorem (see [22, Prop. 1.5.2], [20, Thm. 3.1, p. 29]): For any two elements $x, y \in C$, the subalgebra generated by x and y in C is associative.*
- (f) *An element $a \in C$ is invertible if, and only if, its norm is not zero; we have $a^{-1} = N(a)^{-1} \bar{a}$ in that case. Thus a non-split composition algebra is a division algebra. Note that Artin's Theorem then implies $a^{-1}(ax) = x = a(a^{-1}x) = (xa)a^{-1} = (xa^{-1})a$, for each $x \in C$.*
- (g) *Each element $a \in C$ is a root of a polynomial of degree 2 over F , namely, the polynomial $X^2 - (a + \bar{a})X + N(a) \in F[X]$. We call $T(a) := T_C(a) := a + \bar{a}$ the trace of a in C .*
- (h) *[12, 1.3] Every F -semilinear automorphism of C commutes with the standard involution. If $\dim_F C \geq 4$ then every \mathbb{Z} -linear automorphism of C is F -semilinear. Consequently, every \mathbb{Z} -linear automorphism of such a C is a semi-similitude of the norm form, and every F -linear automorphism is an orthogonal map.*

We write $\text{Aut}(C)$ for the group of all \mathbb{Z} -linear automorphisms (i.e., all bijections that are additive and multiplicative), and $\text{Aut}_F(C)$ for the group of all F -linear automorphisms.

- (i) [22, 1.5.3] If D is a subalgebra of C with $\dim_F C = 2 \dim_F D$ and $D^\perp \cap D = \{0\}$ then $D^\perp = Dw$ holds for each $w \in D^\perp$ with $N(w) \neq 0$, and the multiplication in $C = D \oplus D^\perp$ is given by $(x + yw)(u + vw) = (xu - N(w)\bar{v}y) + (vx + y\bar{u})w$.
- (j) [22, 1.6.4] Every element of an octonion algebra is contained in a quaternion subalgebra. In fact, every element of a split octonion algebra is contained in a split quaternion algebra, see [7, 5.5].

We use the most comprehensive notion of “subalgebra” here:

1.5 Definition. A subalgebra in a given (not necessarily unary, not necessarily associative) algebra B over F is a vector subspace A (over F) which is closed under multiplication.

In particular, even if B contains a neutral element of multiplication, we do *not* require it to lie in the subalgebra. A subalgebra A may well contain a neutral element for its multiplication; this will then be an idempotent centralized by A , but need not be a unit element in B (in general, it will not even be centralized by B , and need not be invertible).

We remark that in [22, 1.2.1] much stronger properties are required for a *composition subalgebra*; that is a subalgebra (in the sense defined above) that contains 1, and such that the restriction of the norm form has non-degenerate polar form.

Note also that only subalgebras containing 1 are considered in [8].

1.6 Lemma. A vector subspace V of a composition algebra C is closed under the standard involution κ if, and only if, it either contains 1 or is contained in 1^\perp .

Proof. The assertion is obvious if $V \subseteq 1^\perp$. If $1 \in V$ then $\bar{v} = T(v) - v$ lies in V , for each $v \in V$. Conversely, if $v \in V \setminus 1^\perp$ then $0 \neq T(v) \in V \cap F$ yields $1 \in V$. \square

Note that a subalgebra will, in general, not be a composition algebra since the polar form of the restriction of the norm form N to the subalgebra may be degenerate.

1.7 Quaternion algebras. In the context of abstract algebras over F , a *split quaternion algebra* is an algebra H over F which is isomorphic to the matrix algebra $F^{2 \times 2}$. A *quaternion field* can be defined as a skewfield (i.e., an associative division algebra) with center F and dimension 4 over F , we call this a *non-split quaternion algebra* over F .

Both classes of quaternion algebras are completely contained in the class of composition algebras; their members are exactly the composition algebras of dimension 4. The required (multiplicative) norm is obtained as the determinant in the split case. For the non-split case, one uses a two-dimensional subalgebra, and the fact that the tensor product with that subalgebra yields a split quaternion algebra. See also [15].

A quaternion subalgebra of an octonion algebra over F is a subalgebra H that is isomorphic (as an abstract algebra) to some quaternion algebra over F .

For a quaternion subalgebra H of an octonion algebra \mathbb{O} , we do not require that the restriction of the norm $N_{\mathbb{O}}$ to H satisfies any non-degeneracy conditions, and we do not require *a priori* that H contains the neutral element 1 of \mathbb{O} . However, it turns out that the quaternion algebra is embedded as nice as can be, see 4.1 below.

1.8 Lemma. *Let \mathbb{O} be a non-split octonion algebra over a field F with $\text{char } F \neq 2$. Then any two elements of \mathbb{O} are contained in a quaternion subalgebra of \mathbb{O} .*

Proof. Consider $a, b \in \mathbb{O}$. If $a \in F + Fb$ (in particular, if $a \in F$) then the assertion is obvious from the result that every single element b of \mathbb{O} is contained in a quaternion algebra (this even holds if \mathbb{O} is split, or if $\text{char } F = 2$, see [22, 1.6.4]). So assume that $a \notin F + Fb$. Then $A := F + Fa$ is a quadratic extension field of F , and \mathbb{O} is a vector space over A . So Ab has trivial intersection with A , and $H := A + Ab = F + Fa + Fb + Fab$ is a vector space of dimension 4 over F . Clearly, that vector space coincides with the (associative) subalgebra generated by $\{a, b\}$ in \mathbb{O} . The restriction $N|_H$ of the norm form $N_{\mathbb{O}}$ is non-singular, and then non-degenerate because $\text{char } F \neq 2$. So H is a (non-split) composition algebra (of dimension 4), and thus a quaternion field. \square

1.9 Remark. If \mathbb{O} is a non-split octonion algebra of characteristic 2 then \mathbb{O} contains commutative (and associative) subalgebras of dimension 4 (obtained as fixed point sets of involutory automorphisms, see [11, 4.5]). In fact, such a subalgebra forms a totally inseparable field extension of degree 4 and exponent 1 over F . Two elements generating such a subalgebra do not lie in any common quaternion field, obviously, so 1.8 does not extend to the characteristic two case.

1.10 Remark. In a split octonion algebra, it is no longer true that any two elements lie in a quaternion subalgebra. E.g., if a, b are two nilpotent elements such that $ab \neq 0$ then the algebra $A_{a,b}$ generated by them is a Heisenberg algebra (see 2.7 below). Such a Heisenberg algebra contains two-dimensional subalgebras with trivial multiplication (such as $Fa + Fab$), and is therefore not contained in any quaternion algebra. So 1.8 does not extend to the split case.

2 Examples of subalgebras

The present section collects various examples that will serve as representatives for orbits of subalgebras under $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$. See 5.11, 6.2 and 6.4 below.

2.1 Lemma. Let \mathbb{H} be a split quaternion algebra, and construct the (split) octonion algebra $\mathbb{O} := \mathbb{H} + \mathbb{H}w$ with multiplication $(a + xw)(b + yw) = ab + \bar{y}x + (ya + x\bar{b})w$. Let A be a subalgebra of \mathbb{H} , and let R be a vector subspace of \mathbb{H} such that $RA \subseteq R$ and $\bar{R}R := \{\bar{y}x \mid x, y \in R\} \subseteq A$. Then $A + Rw$ is a subalgebra of \mathbb{O} .

These conditions are satisfied if A is a subalgebra with $\bar{A} = A$ and R is a right ideal in A .

Proof. For $(a, x), (b, y) \in A \times R$, we use $\bar{R}R \subseteq A$ and $RA \subseteq R$ to see that the product $(a + xw)(b + yw) = ab + \bar{y}x + (ya + x\bar{b})w$ is of the form $c + zw$ with $(c, z) \in A \times R$. If $R \subseteq A = \bar{A}$ then $\bar{R}R \subseteq A$. \square

A vector subspace A of \mathbb{O} satisfies $\bar{A} = A$ precisely if either $1 \in A$ or $A \subseteq 1^\perp$, see 1.6.

2.2 Examples. Recall that each split quaternion algebra over F is isomorphic to $F^{2 \times 2}$. We abbreviate $n_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $p_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

(a) Apart from $F^{2 \times 2}$ and $\{0\}$, each right ideal of $F^{2 \times 2}$ has dimension 2, and is of the form $R_v := \{X \in F^{2 \times 2} \mid vX = 0\}$ for a row vector $v = (v_1, v_2) \neq (0, 0)$.

For any such R_v , the subalgebra $F^{2 \times 2} + R_v w$ has dimension 6. We will prove that every subalgebra of dimension 6 is in the orbit of this subalgebra under $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$, see 6.2. Note that $F^{2 \times 2} + R_{(0,1)}w = \mathbb{O}(n_0w) + \mathbb{O}(p_0w)$.

(b) Every 3-dimensional subalgebra of $F^{2 \times 2}$ is a conjugate of the subalgebra $U := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r & t \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix} \mid r, s, t \in F \right\}$ of upper triangular matrices. The right ideals (apart from $\{0\}$ and U) in U are $L := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r & t \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid r, t \in F \right\}$, $\kappa(L) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix} \mid t, s \in F \right\}$, and $M_y := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y_1 s \\ 0 & y_2 s \end{pmatrix} \mid s \in F \right\}$ for $y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} \in F^{2 \times 1}$. By 2.1, this gives examples of subalgebras of dimension 5. We will prove that every subalgebra of dimension 5 is in the orbit of $U + Lw$ under $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$, see 6.2. Note that $U + Lw = n_0\mathbb{O} + \mathbb{O}n_0$.

(c) Let A be any subalgebra of \mathbb{H} , and let $R := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r & t \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid r, t \in F \right\}$. Then $\bar{R}R = \{0\}$, and $A + Rw$ is a subalgebra by 2.1. In this way, one finds examples of subalgebras of dimension $\dim A + 2 \in \{3, 4, 5\}$. See 6.4(d)(ii).

(d) The set $A := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r & t \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid r, t \in F \right\}$ is a subalgebra of \mathbb{H} with $\bar{A} \neq A$. Using $R := F \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and 2.1 we obtain a three-dimensional subalgebra $A + Rw$ in \mathbb{O} . See 5.11(c)(ii). Note that $A + Rw = n_0\mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O}(n_0w)$.

2.3 Example. In $\mathbb{O} = F^{2 \times 2} + F^{2 \times 2}w$ with $w^2 = 1$, consider $n_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $p_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. The 4-dimensional subalgebra $n_0\mathbb{O} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r & s \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ 0 & u \end{pmatrix}w \mid r, s, t, u \in F \right\}$ (obtained as in 2.1 with $A = Fp_0 + Fn_0$ and $R = (Fn_0 + F\bar{p}_0)w$) is not associative. In fact, we have $p_0((\bar{p}_0w)(n_0w)) = p_0(\bar{n}_0\bar{p}_0) = -n_0$ while $(p_0(\bar{p}_0w))(n_0w) = ((\bar{p}_0p_0)w)(n_0w) = 0$.

In the present paper, we will prove that every 3-dimensional subalgebra of any octonion algebra is associative (see 5.11 for algebras that do not contain 1; in the case where $1 \in A$ and $\dim A = 3$ choose a basis $1, a, b$ for A , note that a, b generate an associative subalgebra B by 1.4(e), and that $A = F + B$ is associative), and that every subalgebra of dimension greater than 4 is non-associative (see 5.11 and 6.2).

2.4 Lemma. *A subalgebra of \mathbb{O} contains 1 if, and only if, it contains an invertible element.*

Proof. Assume that A contains an invertible element a . Then $\bar{a}a = (a + \bar{a})a - a^2$ is a non-trivial element of $F \cap A$, and $1 \in A$ follows. \square

2.5 Corollary. *Every subalgebra of dimension greater than four contains 1.* \square

For any $x, y \in \mathbb{O}$, the subalgebra generated by $\{1, x, y\}$ in \mathbb{O} is associative (by Artin's Theorem, see 1.4(e)). We investigate the borderline between associative and non-associative subalgebras in more detail:

2.6 Lemma. *Let A be a subalgebra of an octonion algebra \mathbb{O} over F . If A is generated by two elements then $\dim A \leq 4$; in fact, we have $A \subseteq F + Fx + Fy + Fxy$ if A is generated by $\{x, y\}$.*

Proof. We abbreviate $\xi := x + \bar{x}$ and $\eta := y + \bar{y}$. Then ξ and η lie in F , and $yx = (yx + \bar{y}\bar{x}) - \bar{y}\bar{x}$ lies in $F + F(\bar{y}\bar{x})$. Thus it suffices to note that $\bar{y}\bar{x} = \bar{x}\bar{y} = (\xi - x)(\eta - y) = \xi\eta - \xi y - \eta x + xy$ lies in $F + Fx + Fy + Fxy$. \square

2.7 Heisenberg subalgebras. Take a nilpotent element $a \neq 0$ (i.e., any non-zero element a of norm and trace 0), and pick a nilpotent element $b \in a^\perp \setminus (F + Fa)$. Then $N(ab) = 0$, and $(ab|1) = (b|\bar{a}) = (b|-a) = 0$ (see 1.4(c)) gives $ba = -ab$. Moreover, computation in the (associative) subalgebra generated by a and b yields $a(ab) = -N(a)b = 0$, $(ab)a = -(ba)a = 0$. Analogously, we find $b(ab) = 0 = (ab)b$ and $(ab)(ab) = 0$. So the linear span of $\{a, b, ab\}$ is closed under multiplication, and forms a subalgebra $A_{a,b}$.

There are two cases:

- (a) If $ab = 0$ then $ba = \bar{a}\bar{b} = 0$, and $A_{a,b} = Fa + Fb$ is a two-dimensional subalgebra such that the restriction $N|_{A_{a,b}}$ is trivial. We then conclude that the multiplication on $A_{a,b}$ is trivial (i.e., every product of two elements in $A_{a,b}$ equals 0).
- (b) If $ab \neq 0$ then $ab \notin Fa + Fb$ because $ab = ra + sb$ with $r, s \in F$ implies $0 = a(ab) = ra^2 + s(ab) = s(ab)$ and $0 = (ab)b = r(ab) + sb^2 = r(ab)$, leading to the contradiction $s = 0 = r$. In this case, the algebra $A_{a,b}$ has dimension 3, and is isomorphic to the Heisenberg algebra over F .

See 5.6 below for a characterization of Heisenberg algebras in \mathbb{O} , and a proof that the Heisenberg subalgebras form a single orbit under $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$.

2.8 Examples. In $\mathbb{O} = F^{2 \times 2} + F^{2 \times 2}w$ with $w^2 = 1$, the element $p_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is an idempotent (i.e., $p_0^2 = p_0$), the elements $n_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and n_0w are nilpotent, and so is p_0w .

Computing $n_0(p_0w) = n_0w$ and $n_0(n_0w) = 0$, we obtain that $Fn_0 + Fn_0w = A_{n_0, n_0w}$ is a two-dimensional algebra as in 2.7(a), and that the 3-dimensional algebra $A_{n_0, p_0w} = Fn_0 + Fp_0w + Fn_0w$ is an example of a Heisenberg algebra, as in 2.7(b). Those algebras are obtained by the method given in 2.1, using $A = Fn_0$ and $R \in \{Fn_0, Fn_0 + Fp_0\}$.

3 Centralizers

3.1 Lemma. *Let \mathbb{O} be an octonion algebra over F , and consider $v \in \mathbb{O} \setminus F$.*

- (a) *If $\bar{v} = v$ then $\text{char } F = 2$, and the centralizer $C_{\mathbb{O}}(v)$ of v in \mathbb{O} has dimension 6. In this case, the centralizer is not closed under multiplication. That centralizer consists of pure elements; in fact we have $C_{\mathbb{O}}(v) = \{1, v\}^{\perp}$.*
- (b) *If $\bar{v} \neq v$ (in particular, if $\text{char } F \neq 2$) then $\dim C_{\mathbb{O}}(v) = 2$ if $N(v - \bar{v}) \neq 0$, and $\dim C_{\mathbb{O}}(v) = 4$ if $N(v - \bar{v}) = 0$.*
- (c) *If $\dim C_{\mathbb{O}}(v) = 4$ then $\text{char } F \neq 2$, there exists $n \in F + Fv$ with $n^2 = 0 \neq n$ (so \mathbb{O} is split), and $C_{\mathbb{O}}(v)$ is a subalgebra.*

Proof. First of all, we choose a quaternion subalgebra H of \mathbb{O} containing v (see 1.4(j)), and write $\mathbb{O} = H + Hw$ as a double of H , with multiplication

$$(a + xw)(b + yw) = ab - N(w)\bar{y}x + (ya + x\bar{b})w.$$

Now $a + xw$ centralizes v precisely if $av = va$ and $x(v - \bar{v}) = 0$. In any case, this means that a belongs to the two-dimensional centralizer $F + Fv$ of v in H .

If $\bar{v} = v$ then $v \notin F$ implies $\text{char } F = 2$. In this case, $a \in F + Fv$ but we have no restriction on x , and the centralizer has dimension 6. However, the product $ab - N(w)\bar{y}x + (ya + x\bar{b})w$ of elements $(a + xw)$ and $(b + yw)$ of the centralizer belongs to that centralizer only if $\bar{y}x$ lies in $F + Fv$.

Now assume $\bar{v} \neq v$. If $N(v - \bar{v}) \neq 0$ then $a + xw \in C_{\mathbb{O}}(v)$ implies $x = 0$, and $C_{\mathbb{O}}(v) = F + Fv$ has dimension 2. If $N(v - \bar{v}) = 0$ then H is a split quaternion algebra (isomorphic to $F^{2 \times 2}$), and the annihilator $\{x \in H \mid x(v - \bar{v}) = 0\}$ has dimension 2. Then $C_{\mathbb{O}}(v) = \{a + xw \mid a \in F + Fv, x(v - \bar{v}) = 0\}$ has dimension 4. That centralizer is a subalgebra by 2.1. \square

3.2 Example. Consider $n_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $p_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ in $\mathbb{O} = F^{2 \times 2} + F^{2 \times 2}w$, with $w^2 = 1$. If $\text{char } F \neq 2$ then $C_{\mathbb{O}}(n_0) = F + Fn_0 + Fn_0w + F\overline{p_0}w$. This centralizer forms an associative subalgebra of \mathbb{O} , isomorphic to

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta & \gamma & \delta \\ 0 & \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\delta & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma & 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \mid \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in F \right\}.$$

Note that $C_{\mathbb{O}}(n_0) = F + (n_0\mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O}n_0)$ holds in this case.

If $\text{char } F = 2$ then $n_0 - \overline{n_0} = 0$, and $C_{\mathbb{O}}(n_0) = F + Fn_0 + F^{2 \times 2}w$ has dimension 6.

3.3 Corollary. (a) If $\text{char } F = 2$ then the centralizer $C_{\mathbb{O}}(v)$ has dimension 6 if $v \in 1^\perp \setminus F$, and it has dimension 2 if $v \in \mathbb{O} \setminus 1^\perp$.

(b) In any case, the centralizer $C_{\mathbb{O}}(v)$ of $v \in \mathbb{O} \setminus F$ has dimension 2 if, and only if, the subalgebra $F + Fv$ is a composition algebra. Then $F + Fv = C_{\mathbb{O}}(v)$.

(c) If $\text{char } F \neq 2$ then $\dim C_{\mathbb{O}}(v) = 4$ holds if $v \in \mathbb{O} \setminus F$ and $0 = N(v - \overline{v}) = -(v - \overline{v})^2$. In that case, we note that the centralizers of v and of $v - \overline{v} = 2v - T(v)$ then coincide, and that $v - \overline{v}$ is nilpotent. As every non-trivial nilpotent element of \mathbb{O} lies in the same orbit under $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$ (see 4.4 below), all these centralizers are in the orbit of the one described in 3.2. \square

3.4 Remark. If $C_{\mathbb{O}}(v)$ has dimension 4 then there exists $n \in (1^\perp \cap (F + Fv)) \setminus F$ such that $v \in F + n$, and $C_{\mathbb{O}}(v) = F + (n\mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O}n) = C_{\mathbb{O}}(n)$. See 3.2 for an explicit example, and also 3.7 below. This is not a composition algebra: the restriction of the norm form has Witt index 3, and is degenerate. The non-invertible elements form a hyperplane, which is an ideal. So $C_{\mathbb{O}}(v)$ is a local algebra, in this case.

3.5 Corollary. If $v \notin F$ then no quaternion subalgebra is contained in $C_{\mathbb{O}}(v)$. \square

A vector subspace V in a composition algebra C is called *totally singular* if $N_C(V) = \{0\}$. The maximal totally singular subspaces in split octonion algebras are well known:

3.6 Theorem. Let \mathbb{O} be a split octonion algebra.

- (a) For any $a \in \mathbb{O}$, we have $a\mathbb{O} = \ker \lambda_{\overline{a}}$ (see [24, Lemma 1]).
- (b) If $N(a) = 0$ but $a \neq 0$ then $a\mathbb{O}$ and $\mathbb{O}a$ are maximal totally singular subspaces, and every maximal totally singular subspace is of this form (see [24, Theorem 3]).
- (c) For $a, b \in \mathbb{O} \setminus \{0\}$ with $N(a) = 0 = N(b)$ we have (see [24, Theorem 4, Theorem 5])

- (i) $a\mathbb{O} = b\mathbb{O} \iff Fa = Fb$,
- (ii) $\dim(a\mathbb{O} \cap b\mathbb{O}) = 2 \iff (a|b) = 0 \wedge \dim(Fa + Fb) = 2$;
then $a\mathbb{O} \cap b\mathbb{O} = a(\bar{b}\mathbb{O}) = b(\bar{a}\mathbb{O})$,
- (iii) $a\mathbb{O} \cap b\mathbb{O} = \{0\} \iff (a|b) \neq 0$,
- (iv) $a\mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O}b = Fab \iff ab \neq 0$,
- (v) $\dim(a\mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O}b) = 3 \iff ab = 0$;
then $a\mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O}b = \{ay \mid y \in b^\perp\} = \{xb \mid x \in a^\perp\}$.

As the standard involution interchanges $\{a\mathbb{O} \mid a \in \mathbb{O}\}$ with $\{\mathbb{O}a \mid a \in \mathbb{O}\}$, the list above covers all possibilities for the relative position (i.e., the size of the intersection) of maximal totally singular subspaces in \mathbb{O} . \square

Consider a 3-dimensional subspace T such that $N|_T = 0$. Since the intersection $a\mathbb{O} \cap a'\mathbb{O}$ has dimension at most 2 if $a\mathbb{O} \neq a'\mathbb{O}$, there is precisely one subspace $a\mathbb{O}$ and precisely one subspace $\mathbb{O}b$ such that $T \subseteq a\mathbb{O}$ and $T \subseteq \mathbb{O}b$ (i.e., such that $T = a\mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O}b$).

3.7 Examples. Consider $p_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and $n_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ in the split octonion algebra $\mathbb{O} := F^{2 \times 2} + F^{2 \times 2}w$, where $w^2 = 1$.

- (a) The set $(p_0w)\mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O}(n_0w) = Fp_0 + Fp_0w + Fn_0w$ is a subalgebra of dimension 3, and every element is obtained as a product in the subalgebra. This subalgebra is isomorphic to the associative algebra

$$\left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \alpha & 0 & 0 & \beta \\ 0 & \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \mid \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in F \right\};$$

it is also obtained by the construction in 2.1 with $A = Fp_0$ and $R = Fp_0 + Fn_0$.

- (b) The algebra $n_0\mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O}n_0 = \{\alpha n_0 + (\beta n_0 + \gamma \bar{p}_0)w \mid \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in F\}$ has multiplication

$$(\alpha p_0 + (\beta p_0 + \gamma n_0)w)(\xi p_0 + (\eta p_0 + \zeta n_0)w) = (\beta\zeta - \gamma\eta)n_0;$$

this is a Heisenberg algebra, as in 2.7(b)). It is neither isomorphic nor anti-isomorphic to $(p_0w)\mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O}(n_0w)$. This Heisenberg algebra is also obtained by the construction in 2.1, with $A = Fn_0$ and $R = Fn_0 + F\bar{p}_0$.

4 Automorphisms of (split) composition algebras

The following is a variant of the well known Skolem-Noether Theorem (e.g., see [10, 4.6, p. 222] or [4, 5.1.7, p. 183]). Note, however, that we deal with subalgebras of a non-associative algebra, and do not require a priori that the subalgebras contain 1.

4.1 Lemma. *Let \mathbb{O} and \mathbb{O}' be octonion algebras over F , and let $H < \mathbb{O}$ and $H' < \mathbb{O}'$ be quaternion subalgebras. Then both H and H' contain the neutral element 1 of \mathbb{O} and of \mathbb{O}' , respectively. Moreover, we have:*

- (a) *If \mathbb{O} and \mathbb{O}' are isomorphic then every non-constant F -linear multiplicative map from H to H' extends to an isomorphism from \mathbb{O} onto \mathbb{O}' .*
- (b) *If H or H' is split then every non-constant F -linear multiplicative map from H to H' extends to an isomorphism from \mathbb{O} onto \mathbb{O}' .*

Proof. Let e and e' be the neutral elements of multiplication in H and H' , respectively. Then H is a quaternion subalgebra of \mathbb{O} centralizing the idempotent e . From 3.5 we infer $e \in F$ and then $e = 1$.

Now consider a non-constant F -linear multiplicative map $\beta: H \rightarrow H'$. As H is a simple algebra, the ideal $\ker \beta$ is trivial, and β is an isomorphism by dimension reasons. The restrictions $N_{\mathbb{O}}|_H$ and $N_{\mathbb{O}'}|_{H'}$ of the norms are isometric because the quaternion subalgebras are isomorphic. By Witt's Cancellation Theorem ([25, Satz 4, p. 34], [1, Folgerung, p. 160], see [5, p. 21, p. 35] or [9, 5.1, 12.12]) the restrictions $N_{\mathbb{O}}|_{H^\perp}$ and $N_{\mathbb{O}'}|_{H'^\perp}$ of the norms are isometric, as well. We pick any element $w \in H^\perp$ with $N(w) \neq 0$, and find $w' \in H'^\perp$ with $N_{\mathbb{O}}(w) = N_{\mathbb{O}'}(w')$. The formula (see 1.4(i)) for the multiplication in $\mathbb{O} = H + Hw$ and in $\mathbb{O}' = H' + H'w'$, respectively, immediately yields that mapping $a + xw \in H + Hw$ to $\beta(a) + \beta(x)w' \in H' + H'w'$ is an automorphism of \mathbb{O} .

If H or H' is split then the existence of an isomorphism between the quaternion algebras implies that both are split, and so are the octonion algebras \mathbb{O} and \mathbb{O}' . So the latter are isomorphic, and our first assertion applies. \square

4.2 Remark. Let $\mathbb{O} = H + Hw$ be obtained by doubling a quaternion subalgebra H , using $w \in H^\perp$. The F -linear automorphisms of \mathbb{O} that leave H invariant can be given explicitly: they are the maps $\alpha_{s,t}: H + Hw \rightarrow H + Hw: a + xw \mapsto sas^{-1} + (txs^{-1})w$, where s, t are invertible elements in H with $N(s) = N(t)$.

In fact, the restriction of a given automorphism $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$ with $\alpha(H) = H$ to H gives an F -linear automorphism of H , which is inner by the Skolem-Noether Theorem (e.g., see [10, 4.6, p. 222] or [4, 5.1.7, p. 183]). So there exists $s \in H$ with $N(s) \neq 0$ and $\alpha(x) = sxs^{-1}$ for each $x \in H$. Now $\alpha(w)$ lies in H^\perp , and is of the form $\alpha(w) = uw$ with

$u \in H$. As α preserves the norm, we have $N(u) = 1$, and obtain $\alpha(xw) = \alpha(y)\alpha(w) = (sxs^{-1})(uw) = (usxs^{-1})w$, for each $x \in H$. Putting $t := us$ gives the description as claimed.

4.3 Corollary. *In any octonion algebra \mathbb{O} , two quaternion subalgebras are in the same orbit under $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$ if, and only if, they are isomorphic (as F -algebras). \square*

4.4 Lemma. *Let \mathbb{O} be an octonion algebra over a field F . Then non-central elements of \mathbb{O} are in the same orbit under $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$ if, and only if, they have the same norm and the same trace.*

Proof. Clearly elements in the same orbit have the same norm and trace.

Now consider $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{O} \setminus F$ with $N(a_1) = N(a_2)$ and $T(a_1) = T(a_2)$. Applying Witt's theorem, we find $b_j \in a_j^\perp$ with $T(b_1) = T(b_2) = 1$ and $N(b_1) = N(b_2) \neq 0$.

If $N(a_1) \neq 0$ then $H_j := F + Fb_j + (F + Fb_j)a_j$ is a quaternion algebra, and the F -algebras H_1 and H_2 are isomorphic because their norm forms are isometric (see [22, 1.7.1]). By 4.1(a), any F -linear isomorphism from H_1 onto H_2 extends to an automorphism of \mathbb{O} . If $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$ is such an extension then the image $\alpha(a_1) \in H_2$ has the same norm and trace as a_2 , and is thus a conjugate of a_2 in H_2 . Adapting α by the extension of a suitable (inner) automorphism of H_2 , we thus obtain an F -linear automorphism of \mathbb{O} mapping a_1 to a_2 .

If $N(a_1) = 0$ then a_j is contained in a split quaternion subalgebra H_j (see 1.4(j)). Since any two split quaternion algebras over F are isomorphic, we can proceed as above. \square

5 Totally singular and totally isotropic subalgebras

In this section, we study subalgebras consisting of elements of norm 0, i.e., such that the underlying vector space is *totally singular with respect to the quadratic form* N . These are just those subalgebras that do not contain 1; see 2.4. Throughout this section, we write $\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{H} + \mathbb{H}w$ as the double of the split quaternion algebra $\mathbb{H} = F^{2 \times 2}$ with an element w of norm -1 (i.e., with $w^2 = 1$); then

$$(a + xw)(b + yw) = ab + \bar{y}x + (ya + x\bar{b})w$$

holds for all $a, b, x, y \in F^{2 \times 2}$; see 1.4.(i).

5.1 Lemma. *If A is a subalgebra of \mathbb{O} with $A \subseteq 1^\perp$ then A is totally isotropic (with respect to the polar form of the norm), and $\dim A \leq 4$.*

Proof. For $a, b \in A$, we compute $(a|b) = (1|\bar{a}b) = (1|ab) = 0$, using $ab \in A \subseteq 1^\perp$. \square

Note that a subalgebra contained in 1^\perp need not be totally *singular* if $\text{char } F = 2$; for instance, consider $F + Fn_0$, or a totally inseparable field of degree 4 and exponent 1, over a field F of characteristic two.

5.2 Lemma. *Let p be any element with $N(p) = 0$ but $T(p) \neq 0$. Then $\dim A \leq 2$ holds for every subalgebra contained in $p\mathbb{O}$ or in $\mathbb{O}p$, and every two-dimensional algebra in $p\mathbb{O}$ (or in $\mathbb{O}p$) contains p .*

Proof. Replacing p by $T(p)^{-1}p$ we do not change $p\mathbb{O}$. Using 4.4, we may therefore assume $p = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $p\mathbb{O} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ x_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} w \mid a_1, a_2, x_1, x_2 \in F \right\}$.

Let A be a subalgebra in $p\mathbb{O}$, and consider the product of two arbitrary elements of A . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ x_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} w \right) \left(\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & 0 \\ y_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} w \right) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a_1 b_1 & a_1 b_2 \\ y_1 x_2 - y_2 x_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} y_1 a_1 & y_1 a_2 - x_1 b_2 \\ y_2 a_1 & y_2 a_2 - x_2 b_2 \end{pmatrix} w \in p\mathbb{O} \end{aligned}$$

yields the conditions $y_1 x_2 - y_2 x_1 = 0$, $y_1 a_2 - x_1 b_2 = 0$, and $y_2 a_2 - x_2 b_2 = 0$. This means that the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_2 & x_1 & x_2 \\ b_2 & y_1 & y_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

has rank at most one. So the quotient space $(A + Fp)/Fp$ has dimension at most one.

The assertions about $\mathbb{O}p$ are obtained by applying the anti-automorphism κ . \square

The maximal totally singular subspaces in \mathbb{O} (with respect to the quadratic form $N = N_{\mathbb{O}}$) are just the sets $a\mathbb{O}$ and $\mathbb{O}a$, with $a \in \mathbb{O} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $N(a) = 0$, and one knows $a\mathbb{O} = \ker(\lambda_{\bar{a}})$ and $\mathbb{O}a = \ker(\rho_{\bar{a}})$ (see 3.6(a), (b)).

We characterize the subalgebras among these subspaces:

5.3 Lemma. *Let $a \in \mathbb{O} \setminus \{0\}$ with $N(a) = 0$. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (a) $a\mathbb{O}$ is a subalgebra.
- (b) $\mathbb{O}a$ is a subalgebra.
- (c) $T(a) = 0$.
- (d) $a^2 = 0 \neq a$.
- (e) After identification of \mathbb{O} with a double of $F^{2 \times 2}$, we have a in the $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$ -orbit of n_0 .

If one (and then any) of these conditions is satisfied then the algebras $a\mathbb{O}$ and $\mathbb{O}a$ are anti-isomorphic (via κ) but not isomorphic.

These subalgebras have dimension 4 and contain no invertible elements at all. None of these subalgebras is associative (see 2.3), and none of them is contained in 1^\perp .

Proof. Since the restriction $N|_{1^\perp}$ is a non-degenerate quadratic form, each totally singular subspace of 1^\perp has dimension at most 3. Therefore, we have $a\mathbb{O} \not\subseteq 1^\perp$ for each $a \neq 0$. If $T(a) \neq 0$ we apply 5.2 to see that neither $a\mathbb{O}$ nor $\mathbb{O}a$ is a subalgebra.

So assume $T(a) = 0$ now. Then $\ker(\lambda_a) = \ker(\lambda_{\bar{a}}) = a\mathbb{O}$. Using $a^2 = -\bar{a}a = 0$ and one of Moufang's identities (see 1.4(d)) we verify that $(a\mathbb{O})(a\mathbb{O})$ is contained in $\ker(\lambda_a)$ by computing $a((ax)(ay)) = ((a(ax))a)y = ((a^2x)a)y = 0$. So $a\mathbb{O}$ is a subalgebra. Applying the anti-automorphism κ we translate this observation to $\mathbb{O}a$. Moreover, we see that $a\mathbb{O}$ and $\mathbb{O}a$ are anti-isomorphic if $T(a) = 0 = N(a)$.

It remains to show that the algebras $a\mathbb{O}$ and $\mathbb{O}a$ are not isomorphic. To this end, we note that a is a non-trivial element of $a\mathbb{O}$ such that $a(a\mathbb{O}) = \{0\}$ while no element b of $a\mathbb{O} \setminus \{0\}$ satisfies $(a\mathbb{O})b = \{0\}$; in fact, the kernel $\mathbb{O}\bar{b}$ of ρ_b never coincides with $a\mathbb{O}$ (see 3.6(c)). \square

5.4 Corollary. *Not every maximal totally singular subspace is a subalgebra of \mathbb{O} . The group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{O})$ is not transitive on the set of all maximal totally singular subspaces.* \square

5.5 Lemma. *If A is a subalgebra of \mathbb{O} containing an element e that is a neutral element for the multiplication in A then either $e \in \{0, 1\}$, or \mathbb{O} is split and e belongs to the unique $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$ -orbit of elements of trace 1 and norm 0. In the latter case, we have $A = Fe$.*

Proof. In any case, the subspace $F + Fe$ is an associative and commutative subalgebra of \mathbb{O} . Assume $e \notin \{0, 1\}$.

The element e is an idempotent, and centralizes A . From $e^2 = e$ and $e \notin \{0, 1\}$ we infer that the minimal polynomial of e in $F + Fe$ is $X^2 - X$. Comparing this with the general form of the minimal polynomial $X^2 - T(a)X + N(a)$ for any element $a \in \mathbb{O}$, we find $T(e) = 1$ and $N(e) = 0$. This implies $e \notin F$, and e is a non-trivial element of norm 0 in \mathbb{O} . In particular, the algebra \mathbb{O} is split. From 4.4 we know that the non-central elements of given norm and trace form a single orbit under $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$.

Now A is contained in the centralizer of the element e of norm 0 and trace 1. We compute $N(e - \bar{e}) = -1$ and use 3.1 to obtain $\dim C_{\mathbb{O}}(e) = 2$. This yields $A \leq C_{\mathbb{O}}(e) = F + Fe$, and $A = Fe$ follows because $A = Ae \leq (F + Fe)e = Fe$. \square

5.6 Proposition. *Let A be a 3-dimensional subalgebra of \mathbb{O} , and assume $A \subseteq 1^\perp$. Then \mathbb{O} is split; we write $\mathbb{O} = F^{2 \times 2} + F^{2 \times 2}w$ as double of the split quaternion algebra $F^{2 \times 2}$, with $w^2 = 1$.*

- (a) *If $\text{char } F \neq 2$ then A is a Heisenberg algebra.*
- (b) *If $\text{char } F = 2$ then either A is a Heisenberg algebra, or $A = F + V$ with a two-dimensional subalgebra V such that $v'v = 0$ holds for all $v', v \in V$.*
- (c) *The Heisenberg algebras form a single orbit under $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$, represented by $n_0\mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O}n_0$.*
- (d) *The subalgebras of the form $F + V$ with a two-dimensional subalgebra V with trivial multiplication form a single orbit under $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$, represented by $F + Fn_0 + Fn_0w$.*

Proof. In each of the following cases, we will see that $A \setminus \{0\}$ contains elements of norm 0. So \mathbb{O} is split.

Assume first that $\text{char } F \neq 2$. From 5.1 we then know that A is totally isotropic, and infer that A is totally singular. If there are $a, b \in A$ such that $ab \neq 0$ then $A = Fa + Fb + Fab$ is a Heisenberg algebra, see 2.7. So assume that $ab = 0$ for all $a, b \in A$. Up to an automorphism of \mathbb{O} (see 4.4), we may assume that $n_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ lies in A . Then A is contained in the intersection of the left annihilator $\{c + xw \mid cn_0 = 0, xn_0 = 0\} = F\bar{p}_0 + Fn_0 + (F\bar{p}_0 + Fn_0)w = \mathbb{O}n_0$ with the right annihilator $\{c + xw \mid n_0c = 0, xn_0 = 0\} = Fp_0 + Fn_0 + (F\bar{p}_0 + Fn_0)w = n_0\mathbb{O}$, see 3.6(a). That intersection is the 3-dimensional subspace $n_0\mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O}n_0 = Fn_0 + (F\bar{p}_0 + Fn_0)w$, and must coincide with A . However, the product $(n_0w)(\bar{p}_0w) = p_0n_0 = n_0$ is not zero. This contradiction shows that A is a Heisenberg algebra.

If $\text{char } F = 2$ then 1 lies in 1^\perp , and may thus be a member of A . In that case, the restriction of N to A cannot be non-singular because otherwise A would contain an extension field of degree two, and $\dim_F A$ would be even. If $1 \notin A$ then $N(A) = \{0\}$ anyway, see 2.4. So we may assume $n_0 \in A$ (see 4.4). We also note that $A \subseteq 1^\perp$ is commutative if $\text{char } F = 2$ because $xy = \bar{x}\bar{y} = \bar{y}\bar{x} = yx$ holds for all $x, y \in A$. If $1 \notin A$ then $N(A) = \{0\}$, and we invoke 2.7 as above to obtain that A is a Heisenberg algebra. If $1 \in A$ then $A \subseteq C_{\mathbb{O}}(n_0) = F + Fn_0 + F^{2 \times 2}w$, and there exists $y \in F^{2 \times 2} \setminus \{0\}$ with $yn_0 \in Fy$ such that $A = F + Fn_0 + Fyw$. Then $y \in Fn_0 + F\bar{p}_0$, and we obtain $0 = n_0^2 = (yw)^2 = n_0(yw) = (yw)n_0$. In particular, the subspace $Fn_0 + Fyw$ forms a subalgebra with trivial multiplication.

It remains to show that the isomorphism type of the subalgebras in question determines their orbit under $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$. If A is a Heisenberg algebra, we may (up to an automorphism of \mathbb{O}) assume that n_0 lies in A , and that $n_0A = \{0\} = An_0$. We then

find that A coincides with the two-sided annihilator $n_0\mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O}n_0 = Fn_0 + (F\overline{p_0} + Fn_0)w$, see 3.6(a). Therefore, these Heisenberg algebras are in a single orbit.

Finally, consider a two-dimensional subalgebra V with trivial multiplication. Every element of $V \setminus \{0\}$ is in the $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$ -orbit of n_0 , we may (and will) assume $n_0 \in V$. Then $V = Fn_0 + Fy$, where y belongs to the two-sided annihilator $n_0\mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O}n_0 = Fn_0 + (F\overline{p_0} + Fn_0)w$ of n_0 . If $n_0 \in V \not\subseteq Fn_0 + Fn_0w$ then V contains an element of the form $(\overline{p_0} + rn_0)w$, with $r \in F$. Using $s = 1$ and $t := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & r \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{H}$, we obtain an automorphism $\alpha_{1,t}: a + xw \mapsto a + (tx)w$ of \mathbb{O} with $\alpha_{1,t}(n_0) = n_0$ and $\alpha_{1,t}((\overline{p_0} + rn_0)w) = n_0w$, as required. \square

5.7 Lemma. *Let p, n be two non-trivial elements (necessarily of norm 0) with $p^2 = p \neq 1$, $pn = n$, $n^2 = 0 = np$. Then $Fp + Fn$ is a subalgebra. These subalgebras form a single orbit under $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$.*

Proof. The vector subspace $E := F + Fp$ is a (split) composition algebra. The subspace $\{1, p, n\}^\perp$ has dimension 5, and contains an element u with $N(u) \neq 0$. So $H := E + Eu$ is a (split) quaternion algebra. We note that $(pu|n) = (u|\overline{p}n) = (u|(1-p)n) = (u|0) = 0$, and infer that n lies in H^\perp .

From 4.1 we know that there is $\alpha \in \text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$ with $\alpha(H) = \mathbb{H} (= F^{2 \times 2})$. Then $\alpha(p)$ is a conjugate of $p_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ in \mathbb{H} , and the corresponding inner automorphism of \mathbb{H} extends to an automorphism β of \mathbb{O} . So we may assume $p = p_0$ and $H = \mathbb{H}$, while $n \in \mathbb{H}^\perp$. Then there exists $y \in \mathbb{H}$ such that $n = yw$, and $0 = np = (yw)p = (y\overline{p})w$ yields $y\overline{p} = 0$. This means that $y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & 0 \\ y_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. There exists $s \in \text{SL}_2(F) \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ such that $s \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and $a + xw \mapsto a + (sx)w$ is an automorphism of \mathbb{O} mapping $n = yw$ to p_0w . \square

5.8 Example. The elements $p_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $n_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ in $F^{2 \times 2}$ satisfy the assumptions in 5.7; they generate the subalgebra $L := Fp_0 + Fn_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r & s \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid r, s \in F \right\}$ of $F^{2 \times 2}$.

This subalgebra L is not invariant under the standard involution; its image under κ is $\overline{L} = \overline{Fp_0 + Fn_0} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & s \\ 0 & r \end{pmatrix} \mid r, s \in F \right\}$. The algebras L and \overline{L} are not isomorphic.

5.9 Lemma. *Let m, n be two non-trivial elements of 1^\perp with $N(m) = 0 = N(n)$ and $mn = 0$. Then $nm = 0$, and $Fm + Fn = m\mathbb{O} \cap n\mathbb{O}$ is a subalgebra of dimension 2. These subalgebras form a single orbit under $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$, and for any such algebra A , the stabilizer of A in $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$ acts two-transitively on the set of one-dimensional subspaces of A .*

Proof. We know from 5.3 that $m\mathbb{O}$ and $n\mathbb{O}$ are subalgebras, so $m\mathbb{O} \cap n\mathbb{O}$ is a subalgebra, as well. As m, n lie in 1^\perp , we have $nm = \overline{m\overline{n}} = 0$.

Using 4.4, we may assume $n = n_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{H}$. There are $b, y \in \mathbb{H}$ such that $m = b + yw$, and $0 = mn_0 = bn_0 - (yn_0)w$ yields $bn_0 = 0$ and $yn_0 = 0$. On the other

hand, we have $0 = n_0m = n_0b + (yn_0)w$, and obtain $n_0b = 0$, as well. This yields $b \in Fn_0$ and $y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y_1 \\ 0 & y_2 \end{pmatrix}$ with $y_1, y_2 \in F$. Now $Fm + Fn_0 = F(m - b) + Fn_0$, there exists $s \in \mathrm{SL}_2(F) \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ such that $sy = n_0$, and $a + xw \mapsto a + (sx)w$ is an automorphism of \mathbb{O} mapping $m - b = yw$ to n_0w .

For the last assertion, it now suffices to consider $A = \{ \begin{pmatrix} r & s \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} w \mid r, s \in F \}$. The stabilizer of A in $\mathrm{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$ contains the maps $a + xw \mapsto s^{-1}as + (xs)w$, where $s \in \mathrm{SL}_2(F) < \mathbb{H}$. Clearly, these maps form a group that acts two-transitively on the set of one-dimensional subspaces of A , as claimed. \square

5.10 Corollary. *The group $\mathrm{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$ acts transitively on the set of all pairs (Fm, Fn) of different subspaces spanned by non-trivial elements m, n in \mathbb{O} with $N(m) = N(n) = T(m) = T(n) = 0$ and $mn = 0$.* \square

5.11 Totally singular subalgebras. *Let A be a subalgebra of \mathbb{O} , and assume $1 \notin A$. Then A is totally singular, and $\dim A \leq 4$. In order to give representatives for the orbits of subalgebras, we write $\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{H} + \mathbb{H}w$ as the double of the split quaternion algebra $\mathbb{H} := F^{2 \times 2}$ with w such that $w^2 = 1$, and use $p_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $n_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.*

(a) *If $\dim A = 1$ then $A = Fa$ with $a \in \mathbb{O}$ such that $N(a) = 0$. These subalgebras form two orbits under $\mathrm{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$, represented, respectively, by Fp_0 , and by Fn_0 . Note that F and Fp_0 are isomorphic as algebras, under an isomorphism that maps $1 \in F$ to $p_0 \in \mathbb{O} \setminus \{1\}$.*

(b) *Totally singular subalgebras of dimension 2 form three orbits under $\mathrm{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$:*

(i) *Algebras with a left identity for the multiplication, such as $Fp_0 + Fn_0$ (see 5.7).*

(ii) *Algebras with a right identity for the multiplication, obtained by applying some anti-automorphism (such as κ) to algebras with left identity (see 5.7).*

(iii) *Algebras with trivial multiplication, such as $(Fp_0 + Fn_0)w$ (see 5.9).*

Each one of the algebras in the latter orbit is contained in 1^\perp , while none of those in the first two of these orbits is contained in 1^\perp .

(c) *Totally singular subalgebras of dimension 3 form two orbits under $\mathrm{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$, namely:*

(i) *Heisenberg algebras $Fx + Fy + Fn$ with $xy = n = -yx$, obtained as $n\mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O}n$ for $n \in \mathbb{O}$ such that $n^2 = 0 \neq n$. These subalgebras are contained in 1^\perp .*

(ii) *Algebras of the form $m\mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O}n$ where $m, n \in \mathbb{O}$ are linearly independent, with $m^2 = 0 = n^2 = 0 = mn = nm$. None of these subalgebras is contained in 1^\perp .*

(d) *Totally singular subalgebras of dimension 4 form two orbits under $\mathrm{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$, namely:*

- (i) $n\mathbb{O}$ for $n \in \mathbb{O}$ such that $n^2 = 0 \neq n$.
- (ii) $\mathbb{O}n$ for $n \in \mathbb{O}$ such that $n^2 = 0 \neq n$.

In particular, two totally singular subalgebras of \mathbb{O} are isomorphic if, and only if, they are in the same orbit under $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$.

The totally singular subalgebras of dimension at most 3 are associative. The totally singular subalgebras of dimension 4 are not associative.

Proof. By 2.4, our assumption $1 \notin A$ implies $N(A) = \{0\}$, and A is totally singular. As the quadratic form N is not degenerate, we have $\dim A \leq \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathbb{O} = 4$.

If $\dim A = 1$ then $A = Fa$ with $a \in \mathbb{O}$ with $N(a) = 0$. If $T(a) \neq 0$ then $T(a)^{-1}a$ has trace 1, and lies in the orbit of p_0 under $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$ by 4.4. If $T(a) = 0$ then a lies in the orbit of n_0 under $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$.

Now assume that $A = Fx + Fy$ has dimension 2. Then A is associative, and there are $r, s \in F$ such that $xy = rx + sy$. Without loss, we may assume $y \in 1^\perp$. Then $y^2 = 0$, and $0 = xy^2 = (xy)y = rxy + sy^2 = rxy$ yields $xy \in Fy$.

If $A \subseteq 1^\perp$, we also have $x^2 = 0$, and $xy = 0$ follows. In this case, the algebra A is in the orbit of $(Fp + Fn)w = Fpw + Fnw$ under $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$, see 5.9.

If $A \not\subseteq 1^\perp$, we may assume $T(x) = 1$. Then $x^2 = x$ yields $sy = xy = x(xy) = s^2y$, and $s \in \{0, 1\}$ follows. From $0 = (x|y) = \bar{x}y + \bar{y}x = (1-x)y - yx$ we then infer $yx = y - xy = y - sy$. Thus A is in the orbit of $Fp + Fn$ if $s = 1$, and in the orbit of $F\bar{p} + Fn$ if $s = 0$, see 5.9.

Now assume $\dim A = 3$. Then there are $x, y \in \mathbb{O}$ with $N(x) = 0 = N(y)$ and $xy = 0$ such that $A = x\mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O}y$. From 5.2 we infer $x, y \in 1^\perp$.

If $Fx = Fy$ then A is in the orbit of $n_0\mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O}n_0$ by 4.4, and $n_0\mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O}n_0 = Fn_0 + (F\bar{p}_0 + Fn_0)w \subseteq 1^\perp$. If $Fx \neq Fy$ then 5.10 yields that A is in the orbit of $(p_0w)\mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O}(n_0w) = Fp_0 + (Fp_0 + Fn_0)w$, cp. 3.7(a). That subalgebra contains $p_0 \notin 1^\perp$. We know from 3.7 that these subalgebras are associative.

Finally, assume $\dim A = 4$. Then either $A = x\mathbb{O}$ or $A = \mathbb{O}x$ holds for some $x \in \mathbb{O}$ with $N(x) = 0$, and 5.2 yields $T(x) = 0$. Thus either A is in the orbit of $n_0\mathbb{O}$, or A is in the orbit of $\mathbb{O}n_0$, see 4.4. We know from 2.3 that these subalgebras are not associative. \square

6 Subalgebras that are not totally singular

6.1 Lemma. Assume that A is a subalgebra of \mathbb{O} . Consider the radicals $R := A^\perp \cap A$ of the restriction of the polar form, and $Q := \{x \in R \mid N(x) = 0\}$ of the restriction $N|_A$ of the quadratic form, respectively.

- (a) We have $AR \subseteq R$, and $RA \subseteq R$.
- (b) In any case, both Q and R are subalgebras of \mathbb{O} .
- (c) If the restriction of the polar form to A is not trivial then R is totally singular. So $R = Q$, and A/R is a composition algebra.
- (d) If the restriction of the polar form to A is trivial then $A = R$. So either $A = Q$ is totally singular, or $R \neq Q$. In the latter case, we have $\text{char } F = 2$, the algebra A is commutative, and contains F .

Proof. If A contains no invertible element, then the restriction of the norm form to A is zero, and so is the restriction of the polar form. Then $R = A$ and assertion (a) is trivial.

So assume that A contains invertible elements; then $1 \in A$ and A is closed under κ by 1.6. For $x \in R$ and $a, b \in A$, we compute $(ax|b) = (x|\bar{a}b) = 0$. So $ax \in R$, and $AR \subseteq R$ follows. The argument for $RA \subseteq R$ is completely symmetric to the one just given. So assertion (a) is established, and we know that R is a subalgebra.

In any case, the set Q is closed under multiplication. If $\text{char } F \neq 2$ then $Q = R$. If $\text{char } F = 2$ then the restriction $N|_R: R \rightarrow F$ is a semi-linear map (with respect to the Frobenius endomorphism), and Q is the kernel of that map. So Q is a vector subspace, and then a subalgebra.

Now assume that the restriction of the polar form to A is not trivial. We show first that R consists of elements of norm 0; i.e., $R = Q$. By our assumption, there exist $a, b \in A$ such that $(a|b) \neq 0$. For $x \in R$ we use $\bar{x}, b\bar{x}, b\bar{x}x \in R$ and compute $0 = (a|b\bar{x}x) = (a|b)x\bar{x}$. This gives $N(x) = 0$, as claimed.

Using assertion (a), it is straightforward to check (by the usual arguments known for associative algebras) that addition and multiplication on A/R are well-defined by $(a + R)(b + R) = (a + b) + R$, and $(a + R)(b + R) = (ab) + R$. The induced norm $M(a + R) := N(a)$ is well-defined, and multiplicative on A/R . The polar form of M is non-degenerate, and $1 \in A$ follows from the fact that the subalgebra A is not totally singular (see 2.4). So indeed A/R is a composition algebra.

Finally, assume that the restriction of the polar form to A is trivial, i.e., $R = A$. If $Q \neq R$ then R contains an invertible element b . Then $0 = (b|b) = 2N(b)$ yields $\text{char } F = 2$, and $b^2 = N(b) \in (F \cap R) \setminus \{0\}$ shows $F \subseteq R$. For $x, y \in R$, we now have $0 = (x|y) = \bar{x}y + \bar{y}x = -xy - yx$, and use $\text{char } F = 2$ to infer that R is commutative. \square

6.2 Subalgebras of dimension greater than four. Let A be a subalgebra of \mathbb{O} . If $\dim A > 4$ then either $A = \mathbb{O}$, or \mathbb{O} is split and one of the following cases occurs:

- (a) $\dim A = 5$, and there exists a nilpotent element n such that $A = n\mathbb{O} + \mathbb{O}n$. These subalgebras form a single orbit under $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$.

- (b) $\dim A = 6$, and there exist two linearly independent nilpotent elements m, n with $mn = 0$ such that $A = \{m, n\}^\perp$. Then $A = m\mathbb{O} + n\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{O}m + \mathbb{O}n$. These subalgebras form a single orbit under $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$.

Proof. We know that $1 \in A$ because $\dim A > 4$ implies that A is not totally singular. From 5.1 we know $A \not\subseteq 1^\perp$. We show first that there is a two-dimensional subalgebra $B \subseteq A$ such that the restriction of the polar form to B is not degenerate; then B is a composition algebra.

If $\text{char } F = 2$ we just pick $b \in A$ such that $b \notin 1^\perp$. Then $b \notin F \subseteq 1^\perp$, and $B := F + Fb \subseteq A$ is a two-dimensional composition algebra, as required.

If $\text{char } F \neq 2$ we first note that $1^\perp \cap A$ is not totally singular. In fact, that intersection has at least dimension 4. The restriction of the polar form to 1^\perp is not degenerate (here we use $\text{char } F \neq 2$), and does not contain any totally isotropic subspace of dimension greater than 3. So there exists $a \in 1^\perp \cap A$ such that $N(a) \neq 0$, and $B := F + Fa \subseteq A$ is a composition algebra, as required.

Now consider $B^\perp \cap A$. If there exists an invertible element $u \in B^\perp \cap A$ then $H := B + Bu$ is a quaternion subalgebra in A . If there is still an invertible element $v \in H^\perp \cap A$ then $\mathbb{O} = H + Hv$ coincides with A . So we may assume that $H^\perp \cap A$ consists of nilpotent elements. Then the polar form vanishes on that intersection, and we find that $H^\perp \cap A$ equals the radical $R := A^\perp \cap A$ of A . Choose $w \in H^\perp$ with $N(w) = -1$. Then $\mathbb{O} = H + Hw$ is obtained by doubling, and $R \subseteq Hw$. For $a, x \in H$, we find $a(xw) = (xa)w$, and R is a non-trivial module over the opposite algebra of $H \cong F^{2 \times 2}$. This yields $\dim R \geq 2$, and any two-dimensional subspace $L \subseteq R$ gives $A \leq R^\perp \leq L^\perp$. So $A = L^\perp$ and $L = R$ hold for dimension reasons. The multiplication formula 1.4.(i) shows $H^\perp H^\perp \subseteq H$, and 6.1(a) yields $RR \subseteq R \cap H \subseteq H^\perp \cap H = \{0\}$. So the multiplication in R is trivial, and R is in the orbit of $(Fp_0 + Fn_0)w$, see 5.9 and 5.11. We obtain that A is in the orbit of $((Fp_0 + Fn_0)w)^\perp = H + (Fp_0 + Fn_0)w$, which is a subalgebra by 2.1 (see 2.2).

It remains to consider the case where $B^\perp \cap A$ consists of nilpotent elements. Then the norm vanishes on that subspace, and $Q := B^\perp \cap A$ is the radical of the quadratic form $N|_A$. As Q is a totally singular subspace of 1^\perp , we have $\dim Q \leq 3$ and then $\dim Q = 3$ and $\dim A = 5$. The subspace Q forms a totally singular subalgebra of dimension 3, and is contained in 1^\perp . From 5.11 we know that Q is in the orbit of $n_0\mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O}n_0$, and $A = Q^\perp$ is in the orbit of $(n_0\mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O}n_0)^\perp = n_0\mathbb{O} + \mathbb{O}n_0$. \square

6.3 Lemma. *Let A be a 3-dimensional subalgebra with $1 \in A$ and $\dim R \geq 2$. Then $\dim Q \geq 2$.*

Proof. We note first of all that A does not contain any 2-dimensional vector subspace V such that the restriction $N|_V$ of the norm form is non-singular: for any $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$, the

subspace $v^{-1}V$ would be a subalgebra without zero divisors (i.e., a quadratic extension field of F), and the dimension of A would be even.

If $\dim Q < 2$ then $Q \neq R$, and $\text{char } F = 2$ follows. Consider $y \in R \setminus Q$. If $y \in R$ then $A \subseteq 1^\perp$, and $A = R$ holds by 5.1. If $y \notin F$ then $\text{char } F = 2$ yields $1 \perp 1$, and we obtain $A = R$, again. Now each singular element of A lies in Q . We pick a vector space complement V for Q in A and reach the contradiction that $N|_V$ is non-singular. \square

After 5.11 and 6.2, it remains to treat subalgebras that are not totally singular (so they contain 1) and have dimension at most 4.

6.4 Unary subalgebras of dimension at most four. *Let A be a subalgebra of an octonion algebra \mathbb{O} , with $1 \in A$. If \mathbb{O} is split, we write $\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{H} + \mathbb{H}w$, with $\mathbb{H} = F^{2 \times 2}$ and $w^2 = 1$.*

- (a) *If $\dim A = 1$ then $A = F$.*
- (b) *If $\dim A = 2$ then we have one of the following cases:*
 - (i) *A is a separable quadratic extension field over F .*
 - (ii) *A is an inseparable quadratic extension field over F (and $\text{char } F = 2$).*
 - (iii) *$A \cong F[X]/(X^2 - X) \cong F \times F$ is a split composition algebra.*
 - (iv) *$A \cong F[X]/(X^2)$ is a local algebra.*
- (c) *If $\dim A = 3$ then the radical $R := A^\perp \cap A$ is not trivial, and one of the following occurs.*
 - (i) *$\dim R = 1$, and A is in the $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$ -orbit of the subalgebra $F + Fp_0 + Fn_0$ of upper triangular matrices in \mathbb{H} (see 5.7).*
 - (ii) *$\dim R \geq 2$, and A is in the orbit of the subalgebra $F + (Fp_0 + Fn_0)w$ (see 5.9).*
- (d) *If $\dim A = 4$ then one of the following occurs:*
 - (i) *A is a quaternion algebra (split or not).*
 - (ii) *A is in the orbit of $B + (Fp_0 + Fn_0)w$, where $B \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ is either a two-dimensional composition algebra, or an inseparable quadratic field extension.*
 - (iii) *The radical $Q := \{x \in A^\perp \cap A \mid N(x) = 0\}$ of the restriction $N|_A$ of the quadratic form has dimension 3. Then Q is isomorphic to the Heisenberg algebra, and A is in the orbit of $F + (n_0\mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O}n_0)$.*
 - (iv) *$A = A^\perp$ is a totally inseparable field extension of degree 4 and exponent 1 (and $\text{char } F = 2$).*
Of course, this case can only occur if $\text{char } F = 2$. Two such subfields are in the

same orbit under $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$ if, and only if, they are isomorphic as F -algebras, see [7, 5.7].

In each of these cases, subalgebras are in the same orbit under $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$ precisely if they are isomorphic as F -algebras (and contain 1, as assumed here).

Each subalgebra A with $1 \in A$ and $\dim A \leq 4$ is associative.

Proof. If $\dim A = 2$, pick $a \in A \setminus F$. Then $1, a$ is a basis for A , and the minimal polynomial of a is $m_a(X) = X^2 - T(a)X + N(a)$. The four cases in assertion (b) now belong to the cases where $m_a(X)$ is irreducible and separable (viz., $\text{char } F \neq 2$ or $T(a) \neq 0$), or $m_a(X)$ is irreducible and inseparable (viz., $\text{char } F = 2$ and $T(a) = 0$), or $m_a(X)$ is reducible with two different roots in F , or $m_a(X)$ has a double root in F , respectively. Two such algebras A and A' are in the same orbit under $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$ if, and only if, there are elements $a \in A \setminus F$ and $a' \in A' \setminus F$ such that a and a' have the same norm and trace (see 4.4). In that case, the algebras A and A' are both isomorphic to $F[X]/(m_a(X))$.

If $\dim A = 3$ then A is not a composition algebra. Therefore, the restriction of the polar form is degenerate, and the radical $R := A^\perp \cap A$ is not trivial. From 6.1(a) we know $AR = R = RA$.

Assume that $\dim R = 1$, and choose a vector space complement B for R in A such that $1 \in B$. Then B is a subalgebra, and the restriction of the polar form to B is not degenerate. So B is a composition algebra, and R is a B -module. As $\dim R = 1$, the annihilator $\{a \in B \mid aR = \{0\}\}$ is not trivial. Therefore, the algebra B is not a field but a split composition algebra, and R does not contain invertible elements (see also 6.1). Then $B \cong F \times F$, and each non-trivial element of $1^\perp \cap B$ is invertible. Choose $a \neq 0$ in the annihilator of R in B . Then $N(a) = 0 \neq T(a)$, and $M := Fa + R$ is a totally singular subalgebra containing an idempotent. From 5.11 we now infer that M is in the orbit of either $Fp_0 + Fn_0$ or $\kappa(Fp_0 + Fn_0)$. In any case, the algebra $A = F + M$ is in the orbit of the algebra $F + Fp_0 + Fn_0 = F + \kappa(Fp_0 + Fn_0)$ of upper triangular matrices, as claimed.

Now assume $\dim R \geq 2$ (and still $\dim A = 3$). Then the radical Q of $N|_A$ has dimension 2 by 6.3, and forms a totally singular subalgebra, see 6.1. From 5.11 we conclude that Q is in the orbit of $(Fp_0 + Fn_0)w$. So $A = F + Q$ is in the orbit of $F + (Fp_0 + Fn_0)w$, as claimed.

Finally, consider the case where $\dim A = 4$. From 6.1 we know that A/R is a composition algebra if $R \neq A$. So $\dim R = 4 - \dim(A/R) \in \{0, 2, 3, 4\}$. If $\dim R = 0$ then A is a composition algebra, and then a quaternion algebra.

If $\dim R = 2$ then the totally singular subalgebra R is in the orbit of $(Fp_0 + Fn_0)w$, see 5.11, and we assume $R = (Fp_0 + Fn_0)w$ without loss of generality. We have $A \subseteq \{p_0w, n_0w\}^\perp = \mathbb{H} + R$, and $B := A \cap \mathbb{H}$ has dimension 2. As A contains invertible elements, we have $1 \in B$. So B is a subalgebra. From $B \cap R = \{0\}$ we infer that

the restriction of the polar form to B is not degenerate. So B is a composition algebra (either a separable quadratic extension field of F , or isomorphic to $F \times F$), and $A = B + (Fp_0 + Fn_0)w$.

Consider a four-dimensional subalgebra A' with $R \subseteq A' \subseteq \mathbb{H} + R$. Then A and A' are isomorphic as F -algebras if, and only, the subalgebras B and $B' := A' \cap \mathbb{H}$ are isomorphic. In that case, we find $b \in B \setminus F$ and $b' \in B' \setminus F$ with the same norm (i.e., the same determinant) and the same trace. So there exists $s \in \text{GL}_2(F) = \mathbb{H}^\times$ with $sbs^{-1} = b'$. Pick an upper triangular matrix $t \in \mathbb{H}$ ($= F^{2 \times 2}$) with $\det t = \det s$. The map $\alpha_{s,t}: a + xw \mapsto sas^{-1} + (txs^{-1})w$ is an F -linear automorphism of \mathbb{O} , see 4.2. Now $\alpha_{s,t}(b) = b'$, and it is easy to verify $\alpha_{s,t}(R) = R$. So $\alpha_{s,t}(A) = A'$. This settles those cases in assertion (d)(ii) where $\dim R = 2$. The remaining cases that belong to assertion (d)(ii) will be discussed below; those are cases where $R = A$ but $\dim Q = 2$.

Now assume $\dim R \geq 3$, and consider the radical $Q := \{x \in A^\perp \cap A \mid N(x) = 0\}$ of the restriction $N|_A$ of the quadratic form. From 6.1 we know that Q is a subalgebra. As $1 \notin Q$, we have $\dim Q \leq 3$.

If $\dim Q = 3$ then the totally singular subalgebra Q is in the orbit of the Heisenberg algebra $n_0\mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O}n_0$ (see 5.11), and assertion (d)(iii) follows.

If $\dim Q < 3$ then $Q \neq R$, and $\text{char } F = 2$. In particular, the polar form is alternating, and $R = A$ because the co-dimension of R in A is even. From 6.1 we know that A is commutative.

If $\dim Q = 0$, we pick $b \in A \setminus F$, and note that $B := F + Fb$ is an inseparable quadratic field extension of F . For any $c \in A \setminus B$, we then have $B \cap Bc = \{0\}$, and $A = B + Bc$ shows that the algebra A is generated by $\{1, b, c\}$. So A is associative, commutative, and thus a totally inseparable field extension of F (of degree 4 and exponent 1), as claimed in (d)(iv).

If $\dim Q \neq 0$ then there are $u, v \in A \setminus Q$ such that $F + Fu + Fv$ contains a vector space complement of Q in A . Then the subalgebra spanned by $\{1, u, v\}$ is associative, and the quotient A/Q is a totally inseparable field extension of F . In particular, the degree of that extension is a power of 2, and we are left with the case that $\dim Q = 2$. Now 5.11 yields that Q is in the orbit of $(Fp_0 + Fn_0)w$, and we may assume $Q = (Fp_0 + Fn_0)w$. Then $A \subseteq \mathbb{H} + Q$, and $B := A \cap \mathbb{H}$ is a two-dimensional subalgebra, again (albeit not a composition algebra, but an inseparable quadratic extension field).

If A' is another such algebra (contained in $\mathbb{H} + Q$), we note that A is isomorphic to A' precisely if A/Q is isomorphic to A'/Q . So $A \cong A'$ means $B \cong B' := A' \cap \mathbb{H}$. As above, any algebra isomorphism between B and B' extends to an algebra automorphism $a \mapsto sas^{-1}$ of \mathbb{H} , any such automorphism extends to an automorphism $\alpha_{s,t}$ of \mathbb{O} such that $\alpha_{s,t}(Q) = Q$, and $\alpha_{s,t}(A) = A'$ follows. We have thus also completed the proof of assertion (d)(ii).

It remains to verify that each subalgebra A with $1 \in A$ and $\dim A \leq 4$ is associative. For the cases in (a) and in (b), this is obvious. For the cases in (c), we note that A is of the form $A = F + X$, where X is a subalgebra generated by two elements. So X is associative by Artin's theorem (see 1.4(e)), and A is associative, as well.

In (d), the quaternion algebras and the field extensions are associative. For the algebra $F + (n_0\mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O}n_0)$ we use the fact that the subalgebra $n_0\mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O}n_0$ is associative (it is a Heisenberg algebra, generated by n_0w and $\overline{p_0}w$, see 3.7).

In the last remaining case, we have to consider $A = B + X$, where $B = F + Fb \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ is a two-dimensional (associative, and commutative) subalgebra of $\mathbb{H} = F^{2 \times 2}$, and $X = (Fp_0 + Fn_0)w$. Since $(\overline{y}w)(xw) = 0$ holds for all $x, y \in X$, the multiplication in A is given by $(a + xw)(b + yw) = ab + (ya + x\overline{b})w$, where $a, b \in B$ and $x, y \in Fp_0 + Fn_0$. Using the associative and commutative laws in B , we find that A is associative. \square

6.5 Remark. The examples in 6.4(d)(ii) show that not every associative subalgebra of a split octonion algebra is contained in a quaternion algebra.

7 Associative and commutative subalgebras

7.1 Associativity of subalgebras. *Let \mathbb{O} be an octonion algebra.*

- (a) *Every subalgebra of dimension less than 4 is associative.*
- (b) *If \mathbb{O} is split then there do exist subalgebras of dimension 4 that are not associative, namely, the totally singular ones (of the form $n\mathbb{O}$ or $\mathbb{O}n$ with $n^2 = 0 \neq n \in \mathbb{O}$). Every other subalgebra of dimension 4 is associative.*
- (c) *Every subalgebra of dimension greater than 4 contains a non-associative subalgebra of dimension 4.*
- (d) *If \mathbb{O} is split then a given subalgebra is not associative if, and only if, it contains a maximal totally singular subspace.*
- (e) *If \mathbb{O} is not split then every proper subalgebra is associative.*

Proof. If a subalgebra is not totally singular then it contains 1. We have seen in 6.4 that each subalgebra A with $1 \in A$ and $\dim A \leq 4$ is associative. A totally singular subalgebra is associative if, and only if, it has dimension less than 4; see 5.11. By 5.11.(d), the totally singular subalgebras of dimension 4 are those of the form $n\mathbb{O}$ and $\mathbb{O}n$, respectively, with $n^2 = 0 \neq n$. So assertions (a) and (b) are established.

Each proper subalgebra of dimension greater than 4 contains a totally singular subalgebra of dimension 4 (of the form $n\mathbb{O}$ for some nilpotent element n , see 6.2). These subalgebras are not associative. This gives assertions (c) and (d).

If \mathbb{O} is not split then every proper subalgebra has dimension at most 4, and there are no nilpotent elements apart from 0. So assertions (a) and (b) yield assertion (e). \square

7.2 Corollary. *A 4-dimensional subalgebra of \mathbb{O} is associative if, and only if, it contains a neutral element for its multiplication. By 5.5, such a neutral element in a 4-dimensional subalgebra necessarily equals 1.* \square

7.3 Commutativity of subalgebras. *Let \mathbb{O} be an octonion algebra, and let A be a subalgebra. If \mathbb{O} is split, we write $\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{H} + \mathbb{H}w$, with $\mathbb{H} = F^{2 \times 2}$ and $w^2 = 1$. The subalgebra A is commutative in the following cases:*

- (a) $\dim A = 1$.
- (b) $\dim A = 2$, and $A = F + Fa \cong F[X]/(X^2 - T(a)X + N(a))$.
- (c) $\dim A = 2$, and A is in the $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$ -orbit of $(Fp_0 + Fn_0)w$.
- (d) $\dim A = 3$, and A is in the $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$ -orbit of $F + (Fp_0 + Fn_0)w$.

If $\text{char } F \neq 2$ then these are the only commutative subalgebras.

If $\text{char } F = 2$ we have the following additional cases (and no others):

- (e) $\dim A = 3$, and A is in the $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$ -orbit of the Heisenberg algebra $Fn_0 + (Fp_0 + Fn_0)w$.
- (f) $\dim A = 4$, and A is in the $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$ -orbit of $B + (Fp_0 + Fn_0)w$, where $B = F + Fb$ with $b \in (1^\perp \cap \mathbb{H}) \setminus F$.
- (g) $\dim A = 4$, and A is a totally inseparable field extension of exponent 1.

In any case, every commutative subalgebra of \mathbb{O} is associative, as well.

Proof. We use our classification of subalgebras, see 5.11, 6.4, and 6.2. We note that $Fp_0 + Fn_0$ is not commutative, in fact $p_0n_0 = n_0 \neq 0 = n_0p_0$. So we can exclude from the list of subalgebras each algebra that contains a subalgebra isomorphic to $Fp_0 + Fn_0$, or isomorphic to $\kappa(Fp_0 + Fn_0) = F\bar{p}_0 + Fn_0$. In particular, we note that $n_0\mathbb{O}$ is excluded by the observation $p_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in n_0\mathbb{H} \subseteq n_0\mathbb{O}$. This leaves us with the following list:

- Subalgebras of dimension 1: these are clearly commutative.

- Subalgebras in the orbit of $Q := (Fp_0 + Fn_0)w$: here the multiplication is trivial, and thus commutative.
- Subalgebras in the orbit of $F + Q$: these algebras are commutative because Q is.
- Heisenberg algebras (in the orbit of $Fn_0 + Q = (n_0w)\mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O}(n_0w)$, see 2.7(b)); the only nontrivial products of elements in $\{n_0, p_0w, n_0w\}$ are $n_0(p_0w) = n_0w$ and $(p_0w)n_0 = -n_0w$. So the Heisenberg algebras are commutative if, and only if, the field F has characteristic two.
- Subalgebras in the orbit of $F + Fn_0 + Q$: such an algebra is commutative if, and only if, the Heisenberg algebra $Fn_0 + Q$ is commutative, and we already know that this happens precisely if $\text{char } F = 2$.
- Totally inseparable extensions fields of degree 4 (only possible if $\text{char } F = 2$) are commutative.
- Subalgebras in the orbit of $B + Q$, where $B \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ is either a two-dimensional composition algebra, or an inseparable quadratic field extension.

For $b \in B$ and $x \in Fp_0 + Fn_0$ we compute $b(xw) = (xb)w$ and $(xw)b = (x\bar{b})w$. If $B \subseteq 1^\perp$ then $\bar{b} = b$ holds for each $b \in B$, and $B + Q$ is commutative. If $B \not\subseteq 1^\perp$ then B is a composition algebra. So either $B \cong F \times F$, or B is a separable quadratic extension field. In both cases, we find $b \in B$ such $b - \bar{b}$ is invertible, and $b(xw) = (xw)b$ implies $x = 0$. So the algebra $B + Q$ is not commutative in these cases.

Our discussion yields that the commutative subalgebras of \mathbb{O} are exactly those given in the statement of the theorem. From 7.1 we infer that each one of these commutative subalgebras is in fact associative. \square

8 Maximal subalgebras

8.1 The lattice of isomorphism types of subalgebras. Let p, n, m be elements of a (necessarily split) octonion algebra \mathbb{O} with $p^2 = p \notin \{0, 1\}$, $n^2 = 0 = m^2$, and such that m, n are linearly independent. Moreover, assume that $pn = n$ and $np = 0 = nm$ (then $mn = 0$). We abbreviate $S := F + Fp \cong F \times F$, and $Q := Fm + Fn$. Note that S is a split two-dimensional composition algebra, while the multiplication on Q is trivial. Note also that $T := F + Fp + Fn$ is isomorphic to the algebra of upper triangular matrices in $F^{2 \times 2}$, and that $n\mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O}n$ is a Heisenberg algebra (see 2.7).

Let X be any subalgebra of dimension d in \mathbb{O} . Our classification of subalgebras (see 5.11, 6.2, and 6.4) can be rephrased, as follows.

$d = 1$: Then X is in the orbit of $Y \in \{F, Fp, Fn\}$ under $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$.

$d = 2$: Either X is in the $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$ -orbit of $Y \in \{S, F + Fn, Fn + Fp, Fn + F\bar{p}, Q\}$, or X is a separable extension field E over F , or $\text{char } F = 2$ and X is an inseparable extension field D of F . Moreover, any subalgebra isomorphic to E or D is in the $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$ -orbit of E or D , respectively.

$d = 3$: Then X is in the $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$ -orbit of $Y \in \{T, F + Q, m\mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O}n, n\mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O}n\}$.

$d = 4$: Then X is in the orbit of Y such that either $Y \in \{F + (n\mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O}n), n\mathbb{O}, \mathbb{O}n\}$, or one of the following holds:

- $Y \cong F^{2 \times 2}$ is a split quaternion algebra,
- there is a subalgebra $S \cong F \times F$ contained in Q^\perp such that $Y = S + Q$,
- Y is a quaternion field (denoted by H in Figure 1),
- there exists a separable extension field E of degree 2 contained in Q^\perp such that $Y = E + Q$,
- $\text{char } F = 2$ and there exists an inseparable extension field D of degree 2 contained in Q^\perp such that $Y = D + Q$,
- $\text{char } F = 2$ and Y is a totally inseparable extension field (denoted by K in Figure 1) of degree 4 and exponent 1.

$d = 5$: Then X is in the $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$ -orbit of $n\mathbb{O} + \mathbb{O}n$.

$d = 6$: Then X is in the $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$ -orbit of $Q^\perp = m\mathbb{O} + n\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{O}m + \mathbb{O}n$.

The algebras F and Fp are isomorphic but not in the same orbit under $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$. In any other case, two subalgebras of \mathbb{O} are in the same orbit under $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$ if, and only if, the subalgebras are isomorphic (as abstract F -algebras).

Consider subalgebras $X \neq F$ and Y^* with $\dim Y^* > 1$. Since every subalgebra isomorphic to Y^* is in the $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$ -orbit of Y^* , there exists a subalgebra X^* isomorphic to Y^* with $X \subseteq X^*$ if, and only if, the algebra Y^* has a subalgebra Y isomorphic to X . We can thus describe inclusions between subalgebras by a graph with the $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$ -orbits of subalgebras as vertices, and edges indicating embeddings. In Figure 1 we have further simplified that graph by identifying orbits of subalgebras that contain extension fields; corresponding vertices are indicated by doubled boundaries at their labels (namely, E ,

$H, E + Q, D, K, D + Q$). Recall that the existence of such extensions heavily depends on the structure of F (e.g., no such extension exists if F is algebraically closed); the corresponding embeddings (indicated by dotted lines in Figure 1) exist only if the subalgebras in question exist. The inseparable extensions only exist if $\text{char } F = 2$, this is indicated by dashed boundaries (and dashed edges indicating embeddings).




Figure 1: The lattice of orbits of subalgebras, see 8.1.

In Figure 1, the maximal element \mathbb{O} is omitted. The maximal proper subalgebras are in the orbits with black labels, i.e., those represented by non-split quaternion subalgebras, totally inseparable extensions of degree 4 and exponent 1, and Q^\perp . Grey labels indicate subalgebras that do not contain 1 (i.e., totally singular ones), and rectangular labels (as opposed to elliptical ones) indicate associative algebras. Rectangular labels with rounded corners indicate commutative algebras (all of them are associative). Double lining is used for subalgebras whose existence depends on the ground field, and dashed lines indicate subalgebras that only exist if $\text{char } F = 2$. Finally, the algebras $n\mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O}n$ and $F + (n\mathbb{O} \cap \mathbb{O}n)$ are commutative precisely if $\text{char } F = 2$.

Figures 2 and 3 give the simpler graphs for the cases where $\text{char } F \neq 2$, and where $\text{char } F \neq 2$ and F allows no quadratic field extension. If F is finite then the vertices labeled by “ K ” or by “ H ” have to be omitted from the graph in Figure 1.

8.2 Remarks. Let \mathbb{O} be the split octonion algebra over F ; we know that \mathbb{O} is unique up to isomorphism.

If there does exist a quadratic extension field (separable or not) over F then the split quaternion algebra $F^{2 \times 2}$ over F contains subalgebras isomorphic to that extension field. Doubling $F^{2 \times 2}$ we obtain a split octonion algebra, and thus see that \mathbb{O} contains subalgebras isomorphic to any quadratic extension field of F .

If there exists a quaternion field H over F then a suitable double of H is a split octonion algebra, and we obtain that \mathbb{O} contains subalgebras isomorphic to H . However, using the Kaplansky radical (cp. [14, Ch. XII, Prop. 6.1, pp. 450 f]) one sees that there do exist fields F with quadratic extensions of F that are not contained in any quaternion field over F — although there do exist quaternion fields over F (see the examples in [14, p. 461] or [2, 2.4]). If F is such a field, then the split octonion algebra over F contains quaternion fields and also quadratic extensions that are not contained in any quaternion field, so the inclusion between E and H in Figure 1 has to be interpreted with due care.

Finally, assume that there exists a totally inseparable extension field K of degree 4 and exponent 1 over F . Then [7, 4.3, 4.6] shows that there is a split octonion algebra containing a subalgebra isomorphic to K .




Figure 2: The lattice of orbits of subalgebras for the case where $\text{char } F \neq 2$, see 8.1.




Figure 3: The lattice of orbits of subalgebras for the case where F is quadratically closed and $\text{char } F \neq 2$, see 8.1.

8.3 Open Problems. For each subalgebra X in a given octonion algebra \mathbb{O} over F :

- (a) Determine the full group $\text{Aut}(X)$ of all \mathbb{Z} -linear automorphisms of X , and the group $\text{Aut}_F(X)$ of all algebra automorphisms of X .
- (b) Determine the stabilizers $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{O})_X$ and $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})_X$ of X in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{O})$ and in $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})$, respectively.
- (c) Determine the subgroups of $\text{Aut}(X)$ induced by $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{O})_X$ and by $\text{Aut}_F(\mathbb{O})_X$, respectively.

References

- [1] C. Arf, *Untersuchungen über quadratische Formen in Körpern der Charakteristik 2. I*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **183** (1941), 148–167, doi:10.1515/crll.1941.183.148. MR 0008069. Zbl 0025.01403. JfM 67.0055.02.
- [2] K. J. Becher and D. B. Leep, *The Kaplansky radical of a quadratic field extension*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **218** (2014), no. 9, 1577–1582, doi:10.1016/j.jpaa.2013.12.009. MR 3188856. Zbl 1346.11031.
- [3] A. Blunck, N. Knarr, B. Stroppel, and M. J. Stroppel, *Transitive groups of similitudes generated by octonions*, J. Group Theory **21** (2018), no. 6, 1001–1050, doi:10.1515/jgth-2018-0018. MR 3871471. Zbl 1439.20059.
- [4] P. M. Cohn, *Further algebra and applications*, Springer-Verlag London Ltd., London, 2003, doi:10.1007/978-1-4471-0039-3. MR 1953987. Zbl 1006.00001.
- [5] J. A. Dieudonné, *La géométrie des groupes classiques*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (N.F.) 5, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1955, doi:10.1007/978-3-662-59144-4. MR 0072144. Zbl 0221.20056.
- [6] S. M. Gagola, III, *Maximal subalgebras of the octonions*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **217** (2013), no. 1, 20–21, doi:10.1016/j.jpaa.2012.03.015. MR 2965899. Zbl 1323.17004.
- [7] S. Garibaldi and H. P. Petersson, *Wild Pfister forms over Henselian fields, K-theory, and conic division algebras*, J. Algebra **327** (2011), 386–465, doi:10.1016/j.jalgebra.2010.07.039. MR 2746044. Zbl 1222.17009.
- [8] A. N. Grishkov, M. d. L. M. Giuliani, and A. V. Zavaritsine, *Classification of subalgebras of the Cayley algebra over a finite field*, J. Algebra Appl. **9** (2010), no. 5, 791–808, doi:10.1142/S0219498810004233. MR 2726555. Zbl 1209.17026.
- [9] L. C. Grove, *Classical groups and geometric algebra*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 39, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002, doi:10.1090/gsm/039. MR 1859189. Zbl 0990.20001.
- [10] N. Jacobson, *Basic algebra. II*, W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, 2nd ed., 1989. MR 1009787. Zbl 0694.16001.

- [11] N. Knarr and M. J. Stroppel, *Baer involutions and polarities in Moufang planes of characteristic two*, Adv. Geom. **13** (2013), no. 3, 533–546, doi:10.1515/advgeom-2012-0016. MR3100925. Zbl 06202450.
- [12] N. Knarr and M. J. Stroppel, *Polarities and planar collineations of Moufang planes*, Monatsh. Math. **169** (2013), no. 3-4, 383–395, doi:10.1007/s00605-012-0409-6. MR3019290. Zbl 06146027.
- [13] N. Knarr and M. J. Stroppel, *Subforms of norm forms of octonion fields*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **110** (2018), no. 3, 213–224, doi:10.1007/s00013-017-1129-x. MR3761133. Zbl 06844626.
- [14] T. Y. Lam, *Introduction to quadratic forms over fields*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 67, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005, doi:10.1090/gsm/067. MR2104929. Zbl 1068.11023.
- [15] H. Mäurer, *Die Quaternionenschiefkörper*, Math. Semesterber. **46** (1999), no. 1, 93–96, doi:10.1007/s005910050055. MR1681303. Zbl 0937.11055.
- [16] R. Moufang, *Alternativkörper und der Satz vom vollständigen Vierseit (D_9)*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **9** (1933), 207–222, doi:10.1007/BF02940648. Zbl 0007.07205. JfM 59.0551.03.
- [17] H. P. Petersson, *Maximal subalgebras of octonions*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **217** (2013), no. 9, 1700–1701, doi:10.1016/j.jpaa.2012.12.004. MR3042630. Zbl 1323.17005.
- [18] G. Pickert, *Projektive Ebenen*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Anwendungsgebiete LXXX, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1955. MR0073211. Zbl 0066.38707.
- [19] M. L. Racine, *On maximal subalgebras*, J. Algebra **30** (1974), 155–180, doi:10.1016/0021-8693(74)90198-7. MR349771. Zbl 0282.17009.
- [20] R. D. Schafer, *An introduction to nonassociative algebras*, Pure and Applied Mathematics 22, Academic Press, New York, 1966. MR0210757. Zbl 0145.25601.
- [21] G. J. Schellekens, *On a hexagonal structure. I*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A 65 = Indag. Math. **24** (1962), 201–217. doi:10.1016/S1385-7258(62)50019-X, MR0143075. Zbl 0105.13001.

- [22] T. A. Springer and F. D. Veldkamp, *Octonions, Jordan algebras and exceptional groups*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000, doi:10.1007/978-3-662-12622-6. MR 1763974. Zbl 1087.17001.
- [23] J. Tits and R. M. Weiss, *Moufang polygons*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002. MR 1938841. Zbl 1010.20017.
- [24] F. van der Blij and T. A. Springer, *Octaves and triality*, Nieuw Arch. Wisk. (3) **8** (1960), 158–169. MR 0123622. Zbl 0127.11804.
- [25] E. Witt, *Theorie der quadratischen Formen in beliebigen Körpern*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **176** (1937), 31–44, doi:10.1515/crll.1937.176.31, https://gdz.sub.uni-goettingen.de/download/pdf/PPN243919689_0176/LOG_0008.pdf. MR 1581519. Zbl 0015.05701. JfM 62.0106.02.

Norbert Knarr,
Markus J. Stroppel

LExMath
Fakultät 8
Universität Stuttgart
70550 Stuttgart
stroppel@mathematik.uni-stuttgart.de