

Cell Division and Motility Enable Hexatic Order in Biological Tissues

Yiwen Tang,¹ Siyuan Chen,² Mark J. Bowick,^{2,3} and Dapeng Bi¹

¹*Department of Physics, Northeastern University, Boston, MA 02115*

²*Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA 93106*

³*Kavli Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA 93106*

Biological tissues transform between solid-like and liquid-like states in many fundamental physiological events. Recent experimental observations further suggest that in two-dimensional epithelial tissues these solid-liquid transformations can happen via intermediate states akin to the intermediate hexatic phases observed in equilibrium two-dimensional melting. The hexatic phase is characterized by quasi-long-range (power-law) orientational order but no translational order, thus endowing some structure to an otherwise structureless fluid. While it has been shown that hexatic order in tissue models can be induced by motility and thermal fluctuations, the role of cell division and apoptosis (birth and death) has remained poorly understood, despite its fundamental biological role. Here we study the effect of cell division and apoptosis on global hexatic order within the framework of the self-propelled Voronoi model of tissue. Although cell division naively destroys order and active motility facilitates deformations, we show that their combined action drives a liquid-hexatic-liquid transformation as the motility increases. The hexatic phase is accessed by the delicate balance of dislocation defect generation from cell division and the active binding of disclination-antidisclination pairs from motility. We formulate a meanfield model to elucidate this competition between cell division and motility and the consequent development of hexatic order.

Organ surfaces are often covered with 2D confluent monolayers of epithelial or endothelial cells, which provide functional separation from the surrounding environment. During development these cells grow, divide and move, dynamically reorganizing the entire tissue. Regulated by a complex set of chemical and mechanical signaling pathways[1–4], tissue frequently undergoes a transition from a structureless fluid-like state to a state capable of supporting a variety of stresses, most notably elastic stresses[5–11]. Such transformations have recently been analyzed as a crossover from a liquid to an amorphous solid[12, 13]. In two-dimensional (2D) systems in equilibrium, however, liquids can develop rigidity via two consecutive transitions, the first corresponding to the development of orientational order without translational order and the second adding translational order to the existing orientational order[14, 15]. The intermediate phase with (quasi-long-range) orientational order but translational disorder is known as the hexatic phase and has been shown to occur in a very wide variety of physical systems[16–29]. The hexatic is a particular type of structured fluid since it flows like a fluid but has orientational rigidity.

Previous theoretical and computational models of dense tissues have studied the emergence of hexatic order, with focus on the effects of thermal fluctuations[30–32] and motility[33–36]. Modeling typically studies the inverse process of disordering by melting from the crystalline state. Realistic tissues, however, are very rarely crystalline with a few exceptions[37, 38]. Cell division and apoptosis almost always destroy the crystalline state[39] and yet there has been no direct observation of the hexatic phase in *in vitro* biological tissues, including those undergoing a solid-liquid transition[5, 6, 8, 40].

Recent *in vivo* experiments on *Drosophila* embryos have uncovered hexatic order during development with cell division[41, 42], along with the associated increase of orientational correlations[43]. The mechanism behind the emergence

of this orientational order has remained unclear.

Here we analyze whether biological systems can exhibit this rather subtle phase by studying numerically and analytically the self-propelled Voronoi (SPV) model of cellular tissue including cell division and death[13]. We compare a variety of structural properties with and without division, including translational and orientational order parameters, order field correlation functions in space, order field susceptibility, and topological defect densities. We find that the interplay of division/apoptosis and cell motility does indeed give rise to a hexatic regime. In the absence of cell division, the model undergoes a crystal-hexatic and hexatic-liquid transition. With both cell division and motility, the model is driven through distinct liquid-hexatic and hexatic-liquid transitions with a reentrant state, or phase, diagram. While cell motility is typically thought to disorder, we show that the combined effect of cell division and cell motility allows access to the hexatic state. A key role in this process is played by topological defects, both disclinations and dislocations.

Model We model a 2D cell layer using the Self-Propelled Voronoi (SPV)[13] version of the vertex model[40, 44–48]. The cell shapes and the cellular network are determined based on the Voronoi tessellation[49, 50] of the cell centers $\{\vec{r}_i\}$. Here mechanical interactions in the tissue are controlled by the energy functional $E = \sum_{i=1}^N [K_A (A_i - A_0)^2 + K_P (P_i - P_0)^2]$. The first term, quadratic in the cell areas $\{A_i\}$, originates from the incompressibility of cell volume, giving rise to a 2D area elasticity constant K_A and preferred area A_0 [44, 51]. The second term quadratic in the cell perimeters $\{P_i\}$ arises from the contractility of the cell cortex, with an elastic constant K_P [44]. Here P_0 is the target cell perimeter[12], representing the interfacial tension set by the competition between the cortical tension and the adhesion between adjacent cells[51]. The target shape index $p_0 = P_0/\sqrt{A_0}$ effectively characterizes the competition between cell-cell adhesion and

cortical tension, acting as a signature for the solid-liquid phase transition[12, 47]. Apart from the effective mechanical interaction force $\mathbf{F}_i = -\nabla_i E$, cells are self-propelled. A self-propulsion force is exerted along the cell polarity direction $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_i = (\cos\theta_i, \sin\theta_i)$, where θ_i is the polarity angle. The self-propulsion has a constant magnitude v_0/μ , with the inverse of a frictional drag μ . The equation of motion for each cell is given by

$$\dot{\vec{r}}_i = \mu\vec{F}_i + v_0\hat{\mathbf{n}}_i. \quad (1)$$

The polarity angle obeys rotational diffusion: $d\theta_i/dt = \eta_i(t)$, where $\eta_i(t)$ is white-noise ($\langle \eta_i(t)\eta_j(t') \rangle = 2D_r\delta(t-t')\delta_{ij}$), with D_r the rotational diffusion rate.

In addition to the polarized self-propulsion, cell division and apoptosis serve as another source of active forcing in living tissues[39, 52–54]. In the SPV model, every cell has an equal division rate γ_0 . For each cell division, a daughter cell is introduced by randomly seeding a point at a distance of $d = 0.1$ (in units of the average cell diameter) near the mother cell. In order to study the density-independent effects of cell-division, we keep the number density of the tissue constant by implementing apoptosis at the same rate as division. Apoptosis is then performed on randomly chosen cells, which removes the cells from the tissue. This simulation scheme mimics the maintenance of homeostatic balance in a tissue[55, 56].

The model can be nondimensionalized by expressing all lengths in units of $\sqrt{\bar{A}}$, where \bar{A} is the average cell area in the tissue and time in units of $1/(\mu K_A \bar{A})$. Three independent parameters remain the cell division/apoptosis rate γ_0 , the magnitude of motility v_0 , and the cell shape index p_0 . Throughout the simulations, we choose $D_r = 1$ without loss of generality.

The confluent tissue with N cells is simulated in a square box with size $L = \sqrt{N}$ under periodic boundary conditions. We numerically simulate the model using the open-source software cellGPU[57]. The simulations start with a crystalline initial state in which cell centers form a triangular lattice. Eq. 1 is numerically integrated for 2×10^6 steps at a step size of $\Delta t = 0.05$. For all data presented, the analysis is based on the steady-state regime of the simulations (final 5×10^5 steps). In the supplementary material (Fig.6), we also simulate the model starting from amorphous states and do the cooling experiment to demonstrate that the results are independent of the initial condition and simulation approach. We set $p_0 = 3.6$ in our simulations. During the melting process, the tissues undergo a transition from crystalline to hexatic to liquid as motility increases[33].

Signature for the emergence of hexatic order Translational and orientational symmetries distinguish the three phases crystalline, hexatic and liquid. A 2D crystalline phase has quasi-long-range translational order and long-range orientational order while the liquid phase has no long-range order of either kind. These two symmetries are related but not concomitant. The system in the hexatic phase has no long-range translational order but retains quasi-long-range orientational order [14, 15].




Figure 1. (a) The translational order parameter Ψ_T and (b) the orientational order parameter Ψ_6 as a function of the cell motility v_0 at various division rates γ_0 . The errorbar represents the standard deviation over the ensemble of random simulations. (c) The snapshot and structure factors $S(\mathbf{q})$ plotted for various v_0 at fixed division rate $\gamma_0 = 2 \times 10^{-5}$. Blue represents cells with disclination charge $q_i = 1$, red represents cells with $q_i = -1$, and dark red represents cells with $q_i = -2$. The $v_0 = 0.35$ snapshot has dislocation clusters but no disclinations.

We begin by quantifying translational and orientational order at the tissue level. The translational order is quantified by $\Psi_T(\vec{r}_j) = \exp(i\vec{G}_r \cdot \vec{r}_j)$, where \vec{G}_r represents a reciprocal vector in reciprocal space. The orientational order is quantified by $\Psi_6(\vec{r}_j) = \left(1/\sum_{i=1}^{z_j} l_{ij}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{z_j} l_{ij} \exp(i6\theta_i^j)$, where the sum runs over the n Voronoi neighbors of the cell and is weighted by their shared edge length[58–60]. θ_i^j is the angle of the neighboring joint vector $(\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j)$ to a reference axis. In Fig. 1, we plot the tissue-level order parameters $\Psi_6 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \Psi_6(\vec{r}_j)$ and $\Psi_T = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \Psi_T(\vec{r}_j)$ as a function of v_0 . In the absence of cell division (black lines), the tissue is a crystal at low v_0 where both Ψ_T, Ψ_6 are close to 1. The order parameters decrease monotonically with increasing v_0 . For $0.35 \lesssim v_0 \lesssim 0.45$, the tissue lacks translational order but retains orientational order, suggesting the existence of a hexatic phase before melting into a liquid phase at higher v_0 . This result is consistent with the *crystal-hexatic-liquid* melting scenario in the previous study using a similar model[33].

When cells divide (color lines in Fig. 1), Ψ_T is always close to zero at any value of v_0 . This clearly illustrates that activity due to cell cycling (division/death) always destroys the translational order and therefore forbids the formation of permanently frozen structures[39]. Remarkably, while an actively dividing tissue lacks translational order, it retains orientational order for a large range of v_0 values. This suggests the emergence of a hexatic phase at intermediate v_0 values. A transition from liquid to hexatic to liquid is visualized by the structure factor $S(\mathbf{q})$ for various v_0 at a fixed division rate.

In order to determine the location of the transitions between different phases, we next compute the bond-orientational and translational correlation functions. They are given by $g_\alpha(r) =$




Figure 2. The (a) translational and (b) bond-orientational correlation functions at intermediate cell motility $v_0 = 0.35$. The (c) translational and (d) bond-orientational correlation functions at low cell division rate $\gamma_0 = 2 \times 10^{-5}$.

$\langle \Psi_\alpha^*(r) \Psi_\alpha(0) \rangle$, with $r = |\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j|$ and $\alpha = 6, T$ corresponding to orientational order and translational order, respectively. The peaks of correlations are fitted by a power law decay $g_\alpha(r) \sim r^{-\eta_\alpha}$ (long-range order) and an exponential decay $g_\alpha(r) \sim e^{-r/\xi_\alpha}$ (short-range order). KTHNY theory[14, 15, 61–63] predicts $\eta_6 = 1/4$ at the hexatic-liquid transition point and $\eta_T = 1/3$ at the crystal-hexatic transition point[64, 65].

The correlations are drawn and compared with reference power-laws ($\eta_T = 1/3$ or $\eta_6 = 1/4$) in Fig.2 and Fig.7. Melting (without cell division) allows quasi-long-range translational order at low v_0 , decaying as a power law with $\eta_T \leq 1/3$. The translational order with cell division decays faster. Cell division also promotes the decay of bond-orientational correlations, but the low γ_0 still allows for quasi-long-range $g_6(r)$ with $\eta_6 \leq 1/4$ at intermediate v_0 values. A broken translational symmetry without broken orientational symmetry characterizes the emergence of a hexatic state. Exponential decay fits the orientational order better in both low- and high- v_0 liquid phases.

The fitted exponents η_6 and ξ_6 at fixed division rate $\gamma_0 = 2 \times 10^{-5}$ are shown in Fig.3 and in Fig. 8 for the case of no cell division. These results confirm the emergence of two distinct liquid-hexatic and hexatic-liquid transitions when there is cell division. The correlations in the hexatic indeed display quasi-long-range order, well-fitted by power-law decays, $g_6(r) \sim r^{-\eta_6}$, while outside the hexatic region correlations are short-range and well-fitted by exponential decays $g_6(r) \sim e^{-r/\xi_6}$. As the hexatic phase is approached from either side, ξ_6 grows rapidly, consistent with a diverging correlation length.

Despite excellent agreement with the KTHNY model, the correlation functions and the associated quantities (ξ_6, η_6) near the onset of hexatic states suffer from large sample-to-sample variations as shown in Fig. 3(a,b). We have confirmed that this is not due to finite-size effects since, even at large system sizes, the behavior of $g_6(r)$ can range from exponential decay to a power-law decay (Fig. 9). Consequently, (ξ_6, η_6) cannot be used to pinpoint the precise location of the liquid-




Figure 3. (a) The correlation length ξ_6 and (b) the power-law decay exponent η_6 of the orientational correlation function are shown as functions of v_0 at constant $\gamma_0 = 2 \times 10^{-5}$. Circles represent the fitting exponents for different seeds, and the solid lines average the seeds. (c) The hexatic order parameter susceptibility χ_6 . (d) Phase diagram as a function of cell division rate γ_0 and motility v_0 .

hexatic and hexatic-liquid transitions.

We next take advantage of the large fluctuations that arise near critical points by using the order parameter susceptibility to pinpoint the transitions. The susceptibility is given by $\chi_\alpha = N(\langle |\Psi_\alpha|^2 \rangle - \langle |\Psi_\alpha| \rangle^2)$, which characterizes the fluctuations in the translational (χ_T) and orientational (χ_6) order parameters. Since χ_α is essentially an integral of the correlation function, it is expected to be more robust to finite-size or finite-time effects[20, 31].

In the melting process without cell division (shown in Fig.10), there is a sharp divergence of χ_T at $v_0 = 0.35$, indicating a crystal-hexatic transition. On the other hand, χ_6 diverges at $v_0 = 0.46 \pm 0.01$, which corresponds to the hexatic-liquid transition. By analyzing system sizes from $N = 2430$ to 38880, we show that these divergences are robust to finite-size effects (Supplemental Material).

In contrast, χ_6 with cell division (at $\gamma_0 = 2 \times 10^{-5}/s$) generate two peaks (Fig.3(c)). The divergence of χ_6 determines two distinct transition points at $v_0 = 0.25 \pm 0.01$ and at $v_0 = 0.45 \pm 0.01$. Whereas the second point is a vestige of the hexatic-liquid transition in the absence of cell division, the first transition point arises solely from cell division. Here, a state that would be crystalline in the absence of cell division becomes hexatic when cells divide.

Exploring various cell division rates γ_0 and active motilities v_0 at fixed $p_0 = 3.6$, we plot the $v_0 - \gamma_0$ phase diagram in Fig.3(d). Color indicates the mean magnitude of the global orientational order over tens of thousands of frames. Black dots mark the peaks of χ_6 at various division rates. The two transition points approach each other and disappear as the division rate increases. The blue dashed lines indicate the liquid-hexatic and hexatic-crystal transition points in the absence of cell division. We also investigate the p_0 dependence of the phase diagram in Fig.11 and compare it to previous results by Pasupalak et al.[33] which do not have cell division.

Disclinations and Dislocations According to KTHNY theory[14, 15, 61–63], the distinct phases crystalline, hexatic and liquid are characterized by the distributions of the basic topological defects known as disclinations and dislocations.




Figure 4. (a) The volume densities of dislocations and disclinations are plotted as functions of v_0 at constant cell division rate $\gamma_0 = 2 \times 10^{-5}$. (b) The same quantities are plotted at a constant $v_0 = 0.35$ and varying γ_0 .

Whereas the pure crystalline phase is defect free, or equivalently all defects are tightly bound in defect-antidefect pairs, the hexatic phase has a non-vanishing density of free dislocations and the liquid phase has a non-vanishing density of free disclinations.

We can define a charge $q_i = 6 - z_i$ [66] associated with disclinations, where z_i is the coordination number (number of neighbors) of the i th cell. Hexagonal cells are thus "neutral", pentagonal cells have charge +1, heptagonal cells charge -1 and so on. Dislocations, the defects that disrupt translational order but preserve orientational order, correspond to tightly bound 5 – 7 pairs. They are neutral as disclinations but possess a net vectorial charge, the Burgers vector. We approximate the Burgers vector by the displacement vector separating the 5 and the connected 7. In general there will be clusters of connected defects and one must measure the associated disclination and dislocation charges of the entire cluster. The density of disclinations and dislocations are calculated by their volume fraction averaged over time.

As shown in Fig.4(a), cell division creates dislocations at a rate dependent on motility. Division tends to disorder, favoring a liquid. What about motility? At low motility, division disordering wins. At high motility both processes generate disorder, leading again to a liquid. But for a significant range of intermediate motilities, we see that the number density of free disclinations falls to zero whereas the free dislocation density is finite. How is this possible? In this intermediate region we hypothesize that disclinations are able to explore sufficient configuration space to access local free energy minima at which all disclinations find their anti-disclinations and bind into dislocations, thus leading to a hexatic. Fig.4(b) shows the defect density dependence on cell division rate at a fixed motility in the hexatic regime, showing that sufficiently high division rates lead to a non-zero density of free disclinations, thus melting the hexatic to a liquid. The middle snapshot in Fig.1(c) is a representative snapshot of a hexatic state ($v_0 = 0.35$, $\gamma_0 = 2 \times 10^{-5}$). Note the presence of dislocation complexes but no isolated disclinations. Movie. S1 shows a dynamic evolution of states with various values of cell motility at a fixed division rate. The densities of dislocations and disclinations are indicated by color as a function of cell division rate γ_0 and motility v_0 at fixed $p_0 = 3.6$ in Fig. 12. Black dots mark the same data in Fig. 3(d).




Figure 5. A meanfield description for defect dynamics in a tissue. (a) The energy landscape and transition diagram between states. (b) The phase diagram of meanfield model as a function of the dimensionless division rate γ_0/R and motility $(T/\Delta\epsilon_X)^{1/2}$. The green(red) dashed line indicates the liquid-hexatic and hexatic-crystal transition in the absence of cell division.

Meanfield Model To further understand the emergence of hexatic order through cell division we develop a simple meanfield model (MF) (Fig.5) incorporating the competition between cell division and motility. We simplify the state of a small cell cluster (~ 4 cells) using a meanfield approximation that allows three states: (a) crystalline solid state ("ordered"), (b) an isolated single dislocation, and (c) an isolated single disclination. Transitions between states arise from fluctuations over the energy barriers $\Delta\epsilon_i$, as illustrated in Fig. 5(a). Fluctuations arise from both Brownian motility forces and cell division, leading, in the low temperature/velocity limit, to an equal density distribution of states rather than only the "ordered" state (see Supplementary Text). In the steady-state limit(Fig.5(b)), the asymmetric boundary of the hexatic region (determined by the threshold of ρ_H and ρ_D) is remarkably similar to our simulations and the phase diagram shows a re-entrant liquid-hexatic-liquid transition with changing motility, as in Fig. 3(d). The MF model predicts unique behavior for ultra-low division rates ($\gamma_0/R \ll 10^{-10}$), where tissues undergo a complex temperature-dependent transition sequence, following a Liquid-Hexatic-Crystal-Hexatic-Liquid path at constant γ_0/R (Fig.5(b) and also see Supplementary Text for a detailed discussion). Remarkably, our phase diagram closely mirrors that of 2D melting on a random substrate [67]. In both models, temperature drives phase transitions, and in our case, cell division plays a role analogous to substrate disorder, introducing persistent, random spatial distortions.

Discussion The subtle balance required to establish hexatic order in equilibrium means that it is often confined to a rather narrow region of the relevant parameter space. Our findings suggest that cell division provides a new way of exploring the configuration space of physical systems, as noted above. In particular, the dynamics of dislocation defects generated by cell-division, both self-propelled and relaxational, promote fluctuations over barriers separating the hexatic phase from crystalline or liquid phases. This phe-

nomenon, which we may call defect-driven structure development, may well have implications beyond biological systems. In terms of the configuration space explored by the vertex model, cell division and apoptosis correspond to adding T2 moves (or interstitial insertion/deletion) to the allowed lattice updates – this yields a more efficient exploration of the space of all Voronoi tessellations and thus better routes to local hexatic minima [68–70]. It is remarkable that the early work of Swope and Andersen[71] found the hexatic phase by employing a grand canonical ensemble in which particles are added and removed. The mechanism we find here is very different from that found in colloids[20] and models of active particles[72], where packing density plays a crucial role.

We have taken cell division to be isotropic. The inclusion of oriented cell divisions, however, would only enhance hexatic order. Recent work[53] has shown that oriented cell divisions can give rise to novel four-fold orientational order *in vivo* through active defect climb, where defects introduced into the nascent lattice by cell divisions are healed by subsequent divisions along a well-defined global polarity axis. The effect of oriented divisions on hexatic order is a subject for the future.

This work was supported in part by NSF DMR-2046683 (Y.T. and D. B.), PHY-1748958 (D. B. and M. J. B.), the Center for Theoretical Biological Physics NSF PHY-2019745 (Y. T. and D. B.), Alfred P. Sloan Foundation (Y. T. and D. B.) and The Human Frontier Science Program (Y. T. and D. B.)

-
- [1] Thomas Lecuit, Pierre-François Lenne, and Edwin Munro, “Force generation, transmission, and integration during cell and tissue morphogenesis,” *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* **27**, 157–184 (2011).
- [2] Paul A. Janmey and R. Tyler Miller, “Mechanisms of mechanical signaling in development and disease,” *J Cell Sci* **124**, 9–18 (2011).
- [3] Tadanori Mammoto and Donald E. Ingber, “Mechanical control of tissue and organ development,” *Development* **137**, 1407–1420 (2010).
- [4] Rebeca M Tenney and Dennis E Discher, “Stem cells, microenvironment mechanics, and growth factor activation,” *Current Opinion in Cell Biology Cell-to-Cell Contact and Extracellular Matrix*, **21**, 630–635 (2009).
- [5] Lior Atia, Dapeng Bi, Yasha Sharma, Jennifer A. Mitchell, Bomi Gweon, Stephan A. Koehler, Stephen J. DeCamp, Bo Lan, Jae Hun Kim, Rebecca Hirsch, Adrian F. Pegoraro, Kyu Ha Lee, Jacqueline R. Starr, David A. Weitz, Adam C. Martin, Jin-Ah Park, James P. Butler, and Jeffrey J. Fredberg, “Geometric constraints during epithelial jamming,” *Nature Phys* **14**, 613–620 (2018).
- [6] Jin-Ah Park, Jae Hun Kim, Dapeng Bi, Jennifer A. Mitchell, Nader Taheri Qazvini, Kelan Tantisira, Chan Young Park, Maureen McGill, Sae-Hoon Kim, Bomi Gweon, Jacob Notbohm, Robert Steward Jr, Stephanie Burger, Scott H. Randell, Alvin T. Kho, Dhananjay T. Tambe, Corey Hardin, Stephanie A. Shore, Elliot Israel, David A. Weitz, Daniel J. Tschumperlin, Elizabeth P. Henske, Scott T. Weiss, M. Lisa Manning, James P. Butler, Jeffrey M. Drazan, and Jeffrey J. Fredberg, “Unjamming and cell shape in the asthmatic airway epithelium,” *Nature Mater* **14**, 1040–1048 (2015).
- [7] Alessandro Mongera, Payam Rowghanian, Hannah J. Gustafson, Elijah Shelton, David A. Kealhofer, Emmet K. Carn, Friedhelm Serwane, Adam A. Lucio, James Giammona, and Otger Campàs, “A fluid-to-solid jamming transition underlies vertebrate body axis elongation,” *Nature* **561**, 401–405 (2018).
- [8] Chiara Malinverno, Salvatore Corallino, Fabio Giavazzi, Martin Bergert, Qingsen Li, Marco Leoni, Andrea Disanza, Emanuela Frittoli, Amanda Oldani, Emanuele Martini, Tobias Lendenmann, Gianluca Deflorian, Galina V. Beznoussenko, Dimos Poulidakos, Kok Haur Ong, Marina Uroz, Xavier Trepap, Dario Parazzoli, Paolo Maiuri, Weimiao Yu, Aldo Ferrari, Roberto Cerbino, and Giorgio Scita, “Endocytic reawakening of motility in jammed epithelia,” *Nature Mater* **16**, 587–596 (2017).
- [9] Thomas E. Angelini, Edouard Hannezo, Xavier Trepap, Manuel Marquez, Jeffrey J. Fredberg, and David A. Weitz, “Glass-like dynamics of collective cell migration,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **108**, 4714–4719 (2011).
- [10] Simon Garcia, Edouard Hannezo, Jens Elgeti, Jean-François Joanny, Pascal Silberzan, and Nir S. Gov, “Physics of active jamming during collective cellular motion in a monolayer,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **112**, 15314–15319 (2015).
- [11] Alberto Puliafito, Lars Hufnagel, Pierre Neveu, Sebastian Streichan, Alex Sigal, D. Kuchnir Fygenon, and Boris I. Shraiman, “Collective and single cell behavior in epithelial contact inhibition,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109**, 739–744 (2012).
- [12] Dapeng Bi, J. H. Lopez, J. M. Schwarz, and M. Lisa Manning, “A density-independent rigidity transition in biological tissues,” *Nature Phys* **11**, 1074–1079 (2015).
- [13] Dapeng Bi, Xingbo Yang, M. Cristina Marchetti, and M.L. Manning, “Motility-driven glass and jamming transitions in biological tissues,” *Physical Review X* **6**, 021011 (2016).
- [14] B. I. Halperin and David R. Nelson, “Theory of two-dimensional melting,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **41**, 121–124 (1978).
- [15] David R. Nelson and B. I. Halperin, “Dislocation-mediated melting in two dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. B* **19**, 2457–2484 (1979).
- [16] Chia-Fu Chou, Anjun J. Jin, S. W. Hui, C. C. Huang, and John T. Ho, “Multiple-step melting in two-dimensional hexatic liquid-crystal films,” *Science* **280**, 1424–1426 (1998).
- [17] Cherry A. Murray, “Experimental studies of melting and hexatic order in two-dimensional colloidal suspensions,” *Bond-Orientational Order in Condensed Matter Systems*, 137–215 (1992).
- [18] K. Zahn, R. Lenke, and G. Maret, “Two-stage melting of paramagnetic colloidal crystals in two dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 2721–2724 (1999).
- [19] K. Zahn and G. Maret, “Dynamic criteria for melting in two dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 3656–3659 (2000).
- [20] Y. Han, N. Y. Ha, A. M. Alsayed, and A. G. Yodh, “Melting of two-dimensional tunable-diameter colloidal crystals,” *Phys. Rev. E* **77**, 041406 (2008).
- [21] R. Seshadri and R. M. Westervelt, “Hexatic-to-liquid melting transition in two-dimensional magnetic-bubble lattices,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **66**, 2774–2777 (1991).
- [22] Charles M. Knobler and Rashmi C. Desai, “Phase transitions in monolayers,” *Annual Review of Physical Chemistry* **43**, 207–236 (1992).
- [23] C. C. Huang, “Nature of phase transitions related to stacked

- hexatic phases in liquid crystals,” in *Bond-Orientational Order in Condensed Matter Systems*, Partially Ordered Systems, edited by Katherine J. Strandburg (Springer, New York, NY, 1992) pp. 78–136.
- [24] C. c. Huang and T. Stoebe, “Thermal properties of ‘stacked hexatic phases’ in liquid crystals,” *Advances in Physics* **42**, 343–391 (1993).
- [25] R Podgornik, H H Strey, K Gawrisch, D C Rau, A Rupprecht, and V A Parsegian, “Bond orientational order, molecular motion, and free energy of high-density dna mesophases.” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **93**, 4261–4266 (1996).
- [26] D. H. Van Winkle, Arunava Chatterjee, Robert Link, and R. L. Rill, “Magnetic-field alignment of cholesteric liquid-crystalline dna,” *Phys. Rev. E* **55**, 4354–4359 (1997).
- [27] Randolph L. Rill, Teresa E. Strzelecka, Michael W. Davidson, and David H. Van Winkle, “Ordered phases in concentrated dna solutions,” *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **176**, 87–116 (1991).
- [28] David J. Bishop, Peter L. Gammel, and Cherry A. Murray, “Magnetic decoration studies of flux line lattices in the cuprate superconductors,” in *The Vortex State*, NATO ASI Series, edited by Nicole Bontemps, Yvan Bruynseraede, Guy Deutscher, and Aharon Kapitulnik (Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 1994) pp. 99–123.
- [29] C. A. Murray, P. L. Gammel, D. J. Bishop, D. B. Mitzi, and A. Kapitulnik, “Observation of a hexatic vortex glass in flux lattices of the high- T_c superconductor $\text{Bi}_{2.1}\text{Sr}_{1.9}\text{Ca}_{0.9}\text{Cu}_2\text{O}_{8+\delta}$,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 2312–2315 (1990).
- [30] Yan-Wei Li and Massimo Pica Ciamarra, “Role of cell deformability in the two-dimensional melting of biological tissues,” *Physical Review Materials* **2**, 045602 (2018).
- [31] Marc Durand and Julien Heu, “Thermally driven order-disorder transition in two-dimensional soft cellular systems,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 188001 (2019).
- [32] Rui xue Guo, Jia jian Li, and Bao quan Ai, “Melting of two-dimensional deformable particle systems,” *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **623**, 128833 (2023).
- [33] Anshuman Pasupalak, Li Yan-Wei, Ran Ni, and Massimo Pica Ciamarra, “Hexatic phase in a model of active biological tissues,” *Soft Matter* **16**, 3914–3920 (2020).
- [34] Benjamin Loewe, Michael Chiang, Davide Marenduzzo, and M. Cristina Marchetti, “Solid-liquid transition of deformable and overlapping active particles,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 038003 (2020).
- [35] Matteo Paoluzzi, Luca Angelani, Giorgio Gosti, M. Cristina Marchetti, Ignacio Pagonabarraga, and Giancarlo Ruocco, “Alignment interactions drive structural transitions in biological tissues,” *Phys. Rev. E* **104**, 044606 (2021).
- [36] Jia jian Li and Bao quan Ai, “Melting of two-dimensional biological tissues containing active ornstein–uhlenbeck cells,” *New Journal of Physics* **23**, 083044 (2021).
- [37] Roie Cohen, Liat Amir-Zilberstein, Micha Hersch, Shiran Woland, Olga Loza, Shahar Taiber, Fumio Matsuzaki, Sven Bergmann, Karen B. Avraham, and David Sprinzak, “Mechanical forces drive ordered patterning of hair cells in the mammalian inner ear,” *Nat Commun* **11**, 5137 (2020).
- [38] Sascha Hilgenfeldt, Sinem Eriskan, and Richard W. Carthew, “Physical modeling of cell geometric order in an epithelial tissue,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **105**, 907–911 (2008), <https://www.pnas.org/doi/pdf/10.1073/pnas.0711077105>.
- [39] D. A. Matoz-Fernandez, Kirsten Martens, Rastko Sknepnek, J. L. Barrat, and Silke Henkes, “Cell division and death inhibit glassy behaviour of confluent tissues,” *Soft Matter* **13**, 3205–3212 (2017).
- [40] Jennifer A. Mitchel, Amit Das, Michael J. O’Sullivan, Ian T. Stancil, Stephen J. DeCamp, Stephan Koehler, Oscar H. Ocaña, James P. Butler, Jeffrey J. Fredberg, M. Angela Nieto, Dapeng Bi, and Jin-Ah Park, “In primary airway epithelial cells, the unjamming transition is distinct from the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition,” *Nat Commun* **11**, 5053 (2020).
- [41] Takuma Kanesaki, Carina M. Edwards, Ulrich S. Schwarz, and Jörg Grosshans, “Dynamic ordering of nuclei in syncytial embryos: A quantitative analysis of the role of cytoskeletal networks,” *Integrative Biology* **3**, 1112–1119 (2011).
- [42] Xiaoxuan Wu, Kakit Kong, Wenlei Xiao, and Feng Liu, “Attractive internuclear force drives the collective behavior of nuclear arrays in drosophila embryos,” *PLOS Computational Biology* **17**, e1009605 (2021).
- [43] Franz Kaiser, Zhiyi Lv, Daniel Marques Rodrigues, Jan Rosenbaum, Timo Aspelmeier, Jörg Großhans, and Karen Alim, “Mechanical model of nuclei ordering in drosophila embryos reveals dilution of stochastic forces,” *Biophysical Journal* **114**, 1730–1740 (2018).
- [44] Reza Farhadifar, Jens-Christian Röper, Benoit Aigouy, Suzanne Eaton, and Frank Jülicher, “The influence of cell mechanics, cell-cell interactions, and proliferation on epithelial packing,” *Current Biology* **17**, 2095–2104 (2007).
- [45] Xinzhi Li, Amit Das, and Dapeng Bi, “Mechanical heterogeneity in tissues promotes rigidity and controls cellular invasion,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 058101 (2019).
- [46] Xinzhi Li, Amit Das, and Dapeng Bi, “Biological tissue-inspired tunable photonic fluid,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **115**, 6650–6655 (2018).
- [47] Le Yan and Dapeng Bi, “Multicellular rosettes drive fluid-solid transition in epithelial tissues,” *Phys. Rev. X* **9**, 011029 (2019).
- [48] Amit Das, Srikanth Sastry, and Dapeng Bi, “Controlled neighbor exchanges drive glassy behavior, intermittency, and cell streaming in epithelial tissues,” *Phys. Rev. X* **11**, 041037 (2021).
- [49] G. Lejeune Dirichlet, “über die reduction der positiven quadratischen formen mit drei unbestimmten ganzen zahlen.” *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)* **1850**, 209–227 (1850).
- [50] Hisao Honda, “Description of cellular patterns by dirichlet domains: The two-dimensional case,” *Journal of Theoretical Biology* **72**, 523–543 (1978).
- [51] D. B. Staple, R. Farhadifar, J. C. Röper, B. Aigouy, S. Eaton, and F. Jülicher, “Mechanics and remodelling of cell packings in epithelia,” *Eur. Phys. J. E* **33**, 117–127 (2010).
- [52] Amin Doostmohammadi, Sumesh P. Thampi, Thuan B. Saw, Chwee T. Lim, Benoit Ladoux, and Julia M. Yeomans, “Celebrating soft matter’s 10th anniversary: Cell division: A source of active stress in cellular monolayers,” *Soft Matter* **11**, 7328–7336 (2015).
- [53] Dillon J. Cislo, Fengshuo Yang, Haodong Qin, Anastasios Pavlopoulos, Mark J. Bowick, and Sebastian J. Streichan, “Active cell divisions generate fourfold orientationally ordered phase in living tissue,” *Nat. Phys.* **19**, 1201–1210 (2023).
- [54] Thuan Beng Saw, Amin Doostmohammadi, Vincent Nier, Leyla Kocgozlu, Sumesh Thampi, Yusuke Toyama, Philippe Marcq, Chwee Teck Lim, Julia M. Yeomans, and Benoit Ladoux, “Topological defects in epithelia govern cell death and extrusion,” *Nature* **544**, 212–216 (2017).
- [55] Markus Basan, Jacques Prost, Jean-François Joanny, and Jens Elgeti, “Dissipative particle dynamics simulations for biological tissues: Rheology and competition,” *Phys. Biol.* **8**, 026014

(2011).

- [56] Jonas Ranft, Markus Basan, Jens Elgeti, Jean-François Joanny, Jacques Prost, and Frank Jülicher, “Fluidization of tissues by cell division and apoptosis,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **107**, 20863–20868 (2010).
- [57] Daniel M. Sussman, “cellgpu: Massively parallel simulations of dynamic vertex models,” *Computer Physics Communications* **219**, 400–406 (2017).
- [58] Walter Mickel, Sebastian C. Kapfer, Gerd E. Schröder-Turk, and Klaus Mecke, “Shortcomings of the bond orientational order parameters for the analysis of disordered particulate matter,” *J. Chem. Phys.* **138**, 044501 (2013).
- [59] Josep-Maria Armengol-Collado, Livio Nicola Carenza, and Luca Giomi, “Hydrodynamics and multiscale order in confluent epithelia,” (2022), arxiv:arXiv:2202.00651.
- [60] Josep-Maria Armengol-Collado, Livio Nicola Carenza, Julia Eckert, Dimitrios Krommydas, and Luca Giomi, “Epithelia are multiscale active liquid crystals,” (2022), arxiv:arXiv:2202.00668.
- [61] A. P. Young, “Melting and the vector coulomb gas in two dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. B* **19**, 1855–1866 (1979).
- [62] John Michael Kosterlitz and David James Thouless, “Ordering, metastability and phase transitions in two-dimensional systems,” *Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics* **6**, 1181 (1973).
- [63] Etienne P. Bernard and Werner Krauth, “Two-step melting in two dimensions: First-order liquid-hexatic transition,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 155704 (2011).
- [64] David R. Nelson, *Defects and Geometry in Condensed Matter Physics* (Cambridge University Press, 2002).
- [65] Sven Deuschländer, Antonio M. Puertas, Georg Maret, and Peter Keim, “Specific heat in two-dimensional melting,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 127801 (2014).
- [66] Mark J. Bowick and Luca Giomi, “Two-dimensional matter: Order, curvature and defects,” *Advances in Physics* **58**, 449–563 (2009).
- [67] S Sachdev and D R Nelson, “Crystalline and fluid order on a random topography,” *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.* **17**, 5473–5489 (1984).
- [68] Mark Bowick, Homin Shin, and Alex Travasset, “Dynamics and instabilities of defects in two-dimensional crystals on curved backgrounds,” *Phys. Rev. E* **75**, 021404 (2007).
- [69] William T. M. Irvine, Mark J. Bowick, and Paul M. Chaikin, “Fractionalization of interstitials in curved colloidal crystals,” *Nature Mater* **11**, 948–951 (2012).
- [70] Mark J. Bowick, David R. Nelson, and Homin Shin, “Interstitial fractionalization and spherical crystallography,” *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* **9**, 6304–6312 (2007).
- [71] William C. Swope and Hans C. Andersen, “A computer simulation method for the calculation of chemical potentials of liquids and solids using the bicanonical ensemble,” *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **102**, 2851–2863 (1995).
- [72] Pasquale Digregorio, Demian Levis, Antonio Suma, Leticia F. Cugliandolo, Giuseppe Gonnella, and Ignacio Pagonabarraga, “Full phase diagram of active brownian disks: From melting to motility-induced phase separation,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 098003 (2018).
- [73] Dapeng Bi, Jorge H. Lopez, J. M. Schwarz, and M. Lisa Manning, “Energy barriers and cell migration in densely packed tissues,” *Soft Matter* **10**, 1885–1890 (2014).

Supplementary Text

Susceptibility of Order Parameters

χ_6 is a measure of the fluctuation in tens of thousands of frames, which come from 50 simulations. Each simulation has a different seed, controlling the initial velocity direction and dividing cells. χ_T is a measure of the fluctuations of the time-averaged Ψ_T from the 20 simulations. The ensemble average plays a key role in the susceptibility calculation.

Tracking Topological Defects

The video (Movie. S1) shows a dynamic evolution of states with various cell motilities ($v_0 = 0.15, 0.35, 0.55$) with a fixed division rate ($\gamma_0 = 2 \times 10^{-5}$). We color the dislocations in cyan ($z_i < 6$) and magenta ($z_i > 6$) and color the disclinations in blue ($z_i < 6$) and red ($z_i > 6$). At $v_0 = 0.15$ (left), the fluctuations from motility are insufficient to anneal the dislocations created by cell division. Dislocations eventually unbind into disclinations. The tissue is in a liquid state. $v_0 = 0.35$ (middle) allows dislocations to reorganize and overcome barriers, leading to a hexatic. In contrast $v_0 = 0.55$ (right) generates the dislocations and disclinations by itself.




Figure 6. **The orientational order parameter is independent of simulation protocols.** (a) The orientational order parameter remains consistent across different initial conditions. The crystal (solid lines) and random (dash lines) initial conditions both lead to the same form for $\Psi_6(v_0)$. Ψ_6 is non-zero at intermediate v_0 values and declines for both higher and lower v_0 . (b) The orientational order parameter is unaffected by the approach taken during the simulation process. The cooling run (green solid line) has the same $\Psi_6(v_0)$ function as the direct run (blue dash lines) from crystal initial conditions at constant division rate $\gamma_0 = 4 \times 10^{-5}$. In the cooling run, we start from the simulation for $v_0 = 0.60$. Subsequently, we use the final state of $v_0 = 0.6$ as the initial state for $v_0 = 0.55$ and employ the resulting state of $v_0 = 0.55$ as the starting state for $v_0 = 0.50$, continuing this sequence until we reach $v_0 = 0.10$.

Meanfield model

The mean-field approximation dynamics of a single small (~ 4 cells) cell cluster can be classified into three states: (1) a fully ordered crystalline state (X), (2) an isolated dislocation corresponding to a hexatic state (H), (3) an isolated single




Figure 7. The (a) translational and (b) bond-orientational correlation functions in the absence of cell division. The curves evolve from a power-law decay to an exponential decay as v_0 increases. (a) The reference line $g_T \sim r^{-1/3}$ indicates the theoretically expected for the crystal-hexatic transition, while (b) the $g_6 \sim r^{-1/4}$ fall-off indicates the expected decay for a hexatic-liquid transition. The decay of g_6 lags g_T , leaving an intermediate range of v_0 without quasi-long-range translational order but with quasi-long-range orientational order.




Figure 8. The correlation length ξ_α and exponent η_α ($\alpha = 6, T$) as a function of v_0 in the absence of cell division. The translational correlations in the crystal phase are quasi-long-range with a power-law decay $g_T(r) \sim r^{-\eta_T}$, and those in the hexatic and liquid range are short-range with an exponential decay $g_T(r) \sim e^{-r/\xi_T}$. The orientational correlations in the hexatic are quasi-long-range with a power-law decay $g_6(r) \sim r^{-\eta_6}$, and those in the liquid are short-range with an exponential decay $g_6(r) \sim e^{-r/\xi_6}$. ξ_6 and η_6 grow significantly at the transition point.




Figure 9. The finite-size effects for the translational and orientational correlations. Different colors represent various N and different lines indicate simulations with distinct seeds. (a) Near the transition point ($v_0 = 0.25$ and $\gamma_0 = 2 \times 10^{-5}$), the behavior of $g_6(r)$ suffers large sample-to-sample fluctuations, ranging from exponential decay to a power-law decay. (b) Deep in the hexatic phase ($v_0 = 0.35$ and $\gamma_0 = 2 \times 10^{-5}$), the finite-size analysis over a large range of N confirms quasi-long-range order. The power-law decay is independent of system size and seeds.




Figure 10. The susceptibility during melting as a function of v_0 for various system sizes. (a) All the χ_T in melting have a sharp peak at $v_0 = 0.35$, clearly indicating the crystal-hexatic transition. (b) All the χ_6 have a sharp peak at $v_0 = 0.46 \pm 0.01$, clearly indicating the hexatic-liquid transition.




Figure 11. Dependence of the orientational order parameter Ψ_6 on different choices of p_0 . (a) The phase diagram as a function of cell shape index p_0 and motility v_0 with $\gamma_0 = 2 \times 10^{-5}$. The color indicates the magnitude of Ψ_6 . (b) The orientational order parameter Ψ_6 as the function of v_0 with various p_0 and fixed $\gamma_0 = 2 \times 10^{-5}$. The high Ψ_6 values at intermediate v_0 levels indicate the appearance of the hexatic phase. Cell division leads to a liquid-hexatic-liquid transition sequence across different p_0 values. The peak of Ψ_6 shrinks and shifts to smaller v_0 as p_0 increasingly approaches the $p_0 \sim 3.75$, which is in harmony with the no-division case[33].

disinclination corresponding to a liquid state (D). The corresponding volume densities (or probabilities) are given by ρ_X , ρ_H , and ρ_D , respectively.

Here, the crystalline (X) state is a global energy minimum, while the hexatic (H) and liquid (D) states are local energy minima. The model assumes that one state can transition




Figure 12. The density of (a) disclinations and (b) dislocations as a function of cell division rate γ_0 and motility v_0 . Color indicates the magnitude of the density of (a) disclinations and (b) dislocations. White dots are the hexatic-liquid transition points, obtained from the divergence of χ_6 . In the hexatic phase, the density of disclinations is very low. In the liquid phase, the density of disclinations increases rapidly at low v_0 .

to another due to thermal fluctuations. Taken together, we model the dynamics as activated hops between energy minima (Fig. 5(a)), where the associated energy barriers are given by $\Delta\epsilon_X$, $\Delta\epsilon_H$, and $\Delta\epsilon_D$, respectively. Since dislocations and disclinations are excitations of the crystalline state, we write $\Delta\epsilon_H = c_H\Delta\epsilon_X$ and $\Delta\epsilon_D = c_D\Delta\epsilon_X$ with $1 > c_H > c_D$. This is also well supported by previous work [73] which computed the energy barriers between four-cell clusters with different topologies. Here, rather than computing the precise values of the energy barriers, we make an arbitrary choice of $c_H = 0.8$ and $c_D = 0.2$ without the loss of generality.

In the absence of cell divisions, the meanfield model recapitulates the two-step melting process

In the absence of cell divisions, the cell motility provides a source of random fluctuations. In the limit of $D_r \gg 1$, it essentially provides a source of uncorrelated fluctuations that can be described by an effective temperature given by [13]

$$T \propto v_0^2, \quad (2)$$

which provides the thermal-like activation to overcome barriers in the mean-field model. The transition rates between states are determined by the thermally activated process, i.e. $Re^{-\Delta\epsilon/T}$. Here the attempt frequency R between two states (assumed to be the same for all states), and the related energy barriers as illustrated in Fig. 5(a). The states therefore evolve according to

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\rho}_X &= Re^{-\Delta\epsilon_H/T} \rho_H - Re^{-\Delta\epsilon_X/T} \rho_X, \\ \dot{\rho}_H &= Re^{-\Delta\epsilon_X/T} \rho_X + Re^{-\Delta\epsilon_D/T} \rho_D - 2Re^{-\Delta\epsilon_H/T} \rho_H, \\ \dot{\rho}_D &= Re^{-\Delta\epsilon_H/T} \rho_H - Re^{-\Delta\epsilon_D/T} \rho_D. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The steady-state solution gives $\rho_X = Q^{-1}e^{\Delta\epsilon_X/T}$, $\rho_H = Q^{-1}e^{\Delta\epsilon_H/T}$, and $\rho_D = Q^{-1}e^{\Delta\epsilon_D/T}$, where the normalization factor is given by $Q = e^{\Delta\epsilon_X/T} + e^{\Delta\epsilon_H/T} + e^{\Delta\epsilon_D/T}$. Choosing $1/R$ as the unit of time and $\Delta\epsilon_X$ as the unit of energy, we plot the fraction of the three states as a function of the effective temperature in Fig. 13(a). As the temperature increases, the model exhibits a two-step melting process. The system first transitions from a crystalline phase to a hexatic phase and then from hexatic to liquid. We can define each phase by selecting a threshold value as follows: (1) Crystalline: For values where $\rho_X > 0.01$ and both ρ_H and ρ_D are less than 0.01. (2) Hexatic: When $\rho_H > 0.01$ and $\rho_D < 0.01$. (3) Liquid: In cases where $\rho_D > 0.01$. It is important to note that the results are independent of the precise threshold value chosen.

Cell division/apoptosis alters the melting process

Cell division and apoptosis introduce a new type of active force. The resulting active cell-shape deformations enhance the fluctuations over energy barriers by ‘tilting’ the energy




Figure 13. (a) Plot of ρ_X , ρ_H and ρ_D as a function of temperature in the absence of cell division as obtained by the meanfield model. Here, the tissue undergoes a two-step melting process, transitioning from a crystalline structure to a liquid state through an intermediate hexatic phase. (b) In the presence of cell divisions ($\gamma_0/R = 10^{-5}$), the crystalline state ceases to exist across the entire temperature range, giving rise to a re-entrant Liquid - Hexatic - Liquid transition.

landscape. The transition rates are modified by adding a γ_0 term as shown in Fig. 5(a). The master equations then become

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\rho}_X &= (Re^{-\Delta\epsilon_H/T} + \gamma_0)\rho_H - (Re^{-\Delta\epsilon_X/T} + \gamma_0)\rho_X \\ \dot{\rho}_H &= (Re^{-\Delta\epsilon_X/T} + \gamma_0)\rho_X + (Re^{-\Delta\epsilon_D/T} + \gamma_0)\rho_D - 2(Re^{-\Delta\epsilon_H/T} + \gamma_0)\rho_H \\ \dot{\rho}_D &= (Re^{-\Delta\epsilon_H/T} + \gamma_0)\rho_H - (Re^{-\Delta\epsilon_D/T} + \gamma_0)\rho_D \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The steady-state solution gives $\rho_X = Q^{-1}(e^{-\Delta\epsilon_X/T} + \gamma_0)^{-1}$, $\rho_H = Q^{-1}(e^{-\Delta\epsilon_H/T} + \gamma_0)^{-1}$ and $\rho_D = Q^{-1}(e^{-\Delta\epsilon_D/T} + \gamma_0)^{-1}$ with $Q = (e^{-\Delta\epsilon_X/T} + \gamma_0)^{-1} + (e^{-\Delta\epsilon_H/T} + \gamma_0)^{-1} + (e^{-\Delta\epsilon_D/T} + \gamma_0)^{-1}$. Fig. 13(b) shows ρ_X , ρ_H and ρ_D as a function of temperature at a constant division rate ($\gamma_0/R = 10^{-5}$). In the limit of high temperature, the model behaves similarly to the case of no division. However, the dominance of the division term in the transition rates dramatically changes the steady-state solution in the low-temperature limit, driving the tissue from a crystal phase to a liquid phase.

In Figure 5(b) of the main text, we present the phase diagram, plotted in terms of the dimensionless variables γ_0/R and $\sqrt{T/\Delta\epsilon_X}$. This diagram is constructed using a uniform threshold criterion across the three distinct phases. This illustrates that mean-field theory effectively replicates the behavior evident in our numerical simulations, specifically within the experimentally accessible range of cell division rates ($\gamma_0/R > 10^{-5}$).

Behavior at ultra-low division rates

Intriguingly, the theory also makes a prediction for ultra-low division rates ($\gamma_0/R \ll 10^{-10}$). Here, tissues would experience a notably complex transition sequence as a function of temperature, following a Liquid-Hexatic-Crystal-Hexatic-Liquid path at constant γ_0/R as shown in Fig. 5(b). We also show one such transition path for $\gamma_0/R = 10^{-15}$ in Fig. 14.

In order to delve deeper into the behavior as $\gamma_0/R \rightarrow 0$, we examine the state densities as functions of temperature for γ_0/R values smaller than 10^{-10} , as illustrated in Fig. 15(a-c). Firstly, in the ultra-low regime of γ_0/R , there exists a con-




Figure 14. The behavior of the three state densities as functions of temperature for a constant, ultra-low choice of division rate $\gamma_0/R = 10^{-15}$.

dition for a crystalline phase at sufficiently low temperatures. Under this condition, the transition rate from the Crystal phase to the Hexatic phase exceeds that from the Hexatic phase to the Crystal phase. Simultaneously, the transition rate from the Dislocation phase to the Hexatic phase is also higher than the rate from the Hexatic phase to the Dislocation phase. This condition is given by

$$\frac{e^{-\Delta\epsilon_H/T} + \gamma_0/R}{e^{-\Delta\epsilon_X/T} + \gamma_0/R} < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{e^{-\Delta\epsilon_H/T} + \gamma_0/R}{e^{-\Delta\epsilon_X/T} + \gamma_0/R} < 1.$$




Figure 15. The state densities (a) ρ_X (b) ρ_H (c) ρ_D as the function of dimensionless temperature $T/\Delta\epsilon_X$ under the $\gamma_0 \rightarrow 0$ limit. As the division decreases to ultra-low values, the state densities share the same steady solution with the no-division case in a bigger range with a lower limit of $T/\Delta\epsilon_X$. However, the tissue is inevitably in a liquid phase at the zero temperature limit, having equal ρ_X , ρ_H , and ρ_D . The possible crystal or hexatic phase can only happen in the middle-temperature range. The dimensionless division rate $10^{-4}, 10^{-6}, \dots, 10^{-30}$ are represented by the rainbow color from red to purple. (d) A re-plot of the phase diagram of the MF model where the cell division rates are displayed on a "log of log" scale to emphasize the behavior at extremely slow values.

As the ratio γ_0/R decreases further, the stable crystalline phase not only emerges at specific temperatures (as shown in Fig. 14), but also expands its temperature range until it ultimately converges with the crystalline phase present at $\gamma_0/R = 0$.

Finally, we summarize these behaviors in the phase diagram (Fig. 15(d)), which is the same as Fig. 5(b), except the γ_0/R here is plotted on a "log-of-log" scale to emphasize the slow convergence of the quantity. The phase diagram of the MF model exhibits a striking resemblance to that of the 2D melting on a substrate with quenched random disorder in its topography, as discussed in work by Sachdev and Nelson [67]. In both cases, temperature acts as the driving force for the phase transition. Interestingly, in our model, cell division serves a role analogous to the degree of quenched disorder in [67]. This correlation is logical since cell division introduces spatially random distortions in the tissue, akin to the disorder in the substrate, and these effects persist without annealing over time, forming a quenched random variable.