# Topological state evolution by symmetry-breaking

Feng Tang<sup>1, \*</sup> and Xiangang Wan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>National Laboratory of Solid State Microstructures and School of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093,

China and Collaborative Innovation Center of Advanced Microstructures, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China

(Dated: December 4, 2024)

Previous symmetry-based database searches have already revealed ubiquitous band topology in nature, while the destiny of band topology under symmetry-breaking is yet to be studied comprehensively. Here we first develop a framework allowing systematically ascertaining topological state evolution as expressed via a tree-like graph for magnetic/non-magnetic crystalline material belonging to any of the 1651 magnetic space groups. Interestingly, we find that specifying different ways of realizing symmetry-breaking leads to various contractions of the tree-like graph, as a new angle of comprehensively characterizing the correlation between a spontaneous symmetry-breaking and any symmetry-group-indicated physics consequence. We also perform a high-throughput investigation on the 1267 stoichiometric magnetic materials ever-experimentally synthesized to reveal a hierarchy of topological states along all continuous paths of symmetry-breaking (preserving the translation symmetry) from the parent magnetic space group to P1. The results in this work are expected to aid experimentalists in selecting feasible and appropriate means to tune band topology towards realistic applications and promote further studies on using tree-like graph to explore the interconnection between topology and other intriguing orderings.

# I. INTRODUCTION

The past nearly two decades have witnessed tremendous advancements of symmetry-protected topological phases and topological materials [1–10] reshaping our fundamental understanding on the electronic properties in solids. In the well-established paradigm of studying topological phases in condensed matter, one usually utilizes the symmetry to classify the protected topological phases, as the tenfold way does [11–13]. Very recently, topological quantum chemistry (TQC) [14, 15] or symmetry-indicators (SIs) [16, 17], have been applied in discovering topological nonmagnetic [18–21]/magnetic [22]/superconducting [23] materials routinely using the first-principles calculated highsymmetry point (HSP) symmetry-data with respect to the space groups/magnetic space groups (MSGs) of the pristine materials. These database searches reveal the ubiquitous band topology in nature, protected by the MSG symmetry that describes the symmetry of spatial arrangement of atoms/ions (and magnetic structure).

On the other hand, symmetry-breaking can be utilized in creating new topological phase. For example, quantum anomalous Hall effect was realized through introducing mass term in the time-reversal symmetry protected surface Dirac fermion by magnetic dopant [24, 25]. Unconventional fermions can emerge in crystals which break the Poincaré symmetry [26]. And a higher-order band topology is induced by applying strain on SnTe to break the mirror symmetry which originally protects a mirror-symmetry protected topological crystalline insulating phase [27]. Furthermore, symmetry-breaking due to external fields could act as a versatile controlling knob on topological states since such symmetry-breaking can be easily-manipulated, while that spontaneously caused by a phase transition could bridge the corresponding ordering and related band topology.

Even though concrete symmetry-breaking cannot be exhausted, we can classify symmetry-breaking by groupsubgroup relations so that various meticulous concrete symmetry-breaking ways corresponding to one subgroup are anticipated to share a common topological diagnosing prediction, since only the transformation properties of HSP wavefunctions account [16, 17, 28]. Different from the groupsubgroup relations for the 32 point groups well-tabulated in many group-theory textbooks, those for the 1651 MSGs have not yet been obtained. In this work, we first construct all subgroups preserving the original translation symmetry (called tsubgroups) based on the 1651 MSGs, compute the compatibility relations between the HSPs of the parent MSG and the corresponding k points for each t-subgroup, laying a foundation of calculating topological state evolution (TSE) by symmetrybreaking using SIs [16, 17, 28]. We express TSE by a treelike graph showing t-subgroups as vertexes and symmetrybreaking paths by edges (see Fig. 1). We also perform highthroughput calculations on the realistic magnetic materials as listed in MAGNDATA [29], which have been synthesized and whose magnetic structures have already been deduced experimentally.

Owing to the advanced techniques on ultrafast light and high magnetic fields, experimentalists are able to apply controllable external stimuli to pristine crystals via symmetrybreaking to tune the topological states therein guided by the results of this work. Interestingly, the topological state can be drastically modified, thus leading to giant quantum responses which have been observed in realistic materials, such as the colossal angular magnetoresistance in ferrimagnetic  $Mn_3Si_2Te_6$  [30–32] and the giant anomalous Hall conductivity in Heusler Co<sub>2</sub>MnAl [33], where the topological states are controlled by rotating the magnetic moments. Hence we expect our results to aid in unveiling more magnetic topological materials with unprecedentedly fascinating properties driven by the change of topological phase.

Interestingly, we also find that by specifying concrete realization of symmetry-breaking, the tree-like graph would be

<sup>\*</sup> fengtang@nju.edu.cn

2

encountered with a contraction (see the dashed circles in Fig. 1(a)) and transform to a different tree-like graph and TSE concomitantly. Since the symmetry-breaking could be induced by some ordering spontaneously, this finding then lays a new framework of studying the correlation among various types of orderings and symmetry-group-indicated physical quantity based on the contracted tree-like graph.

# II. RESULTS

We first summarize our results in this part. The results are categorized into three parts: A framework of computing TSE using SIs by tree-like graph, high-throughput calculations on magnetic materials and the contraction by concrete symmetrybreaking in tree-like graph. As schematically shown in Fig. 1, corresponding to these parts, we develop three subroutines, respectively, called: "CalTopoEvol", "MAGNDATASymData" and "EBSToSubgrps". Below, we present a brief description and leave a more detailed description to Supplementary Material (SM) [34].

### A. Computing TSE using SIs by tree-like graph: CalTopoEvol

In order to find TSE by symmetry-breaking, one need to exhaust all subgroups and then depict a tree-like graph (see Fig. 1 for examples) to demonstrate the symmetry-breaking paths: Each vertex of the tree-like graph denotes one subgroup, and it is required that one cannot find another subgroup between any pair of vertexes along any path. In this way, all subgroups are included with no omission in any symmetry-breaking path. For example, as shown in Fig. 1(a), there are 7 subgroups, denoted by  $0, 1, 2, \ldots, 6$  and there are 4 symmetry-breaking paths:  $0 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1, 0 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1, 0 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 1$ and  $0 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 1$  (each arrow connects two subgroups from a higher symmetry group to a lower one). However,  $0 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 1$  is not a symmetry-breaking path since 4 is between 0 and 5. Here we focus on the t-subgroups for MSGs. Enumerating all t-subgroups is equivalent to finding all subgroups of magnetic point group for a given MSG. We denote each t-subgroup (G') by an integer: 0, 1, 2, ... (called symmetry-breaking pattern), and we always let 0 and 1 be the parent MSG (G) and P1 respectively. In total, 63 762 t-subgroups are found for the 1651 MSGs. Note that any tsubgroup is still an MSG, meaning that the atomic-insulator basis sets [16, 17, 28] and  $k \cdot p$  models around band crossings (BCs) [35, 36] for the 1651 MSGs still apply. Besides, note that the HSP of G' is definitely an HSP of G, meaning that the HSP symmetry-data for the HSPs of G are sufficient for the diagnosis of band topology with respect to G'. Denote the HSP of G as  $\vec{k}$  and the corresponding k point of G' as  $\vec{k}'$ . One can obtain the compatibility relations (CRs) between the irreducible representations (irreps) or irreducible co-representations (co-irreps) of little group of k and those of

 $\vec{k}'$ :

$$D_k^j \to \sum_{j'} c_{jj'} D_{k'}^{j'},\tag{1}$$

and thus,

$$n_{k'}^{j'} = \sum_{j} c_{jj'} n_k^j,$$
 (2)

where  $n_{k/k}^{j/j'}$  denotes the number of occurrences of (co-)irrep  $D_k^j/D_{k'}^{j'}$  at  $\vec{k}/\vec{k'}$ . Eq. 1 can be computed before realistic materials calculations. It is worth pointing out that once an HSP is partially occupied (namely, not all  $(n_k^j)$ 's are integers), Eq. 2 might not hold and one need to consider a permutation of  $\{j'\}$ 's that are originated from j (assuming  $n_k^j$  is not an integer), as clarified in Sec. S2 of SM [34].

We then use symmetry-data for G', namely  $\{n_{k'}^{j'}\}$ , to scan all high-symmetry lines/planes (HSLs/HSPLs) of G' to detect enforced BCs. Note that  $\vec{k}'$  might not be an HSP for G'. Consider an HSL/HSPL of G',  $\vec{k'}$ . Denote two adjacent k points of G' lying in the HSL/HSPL as  $\vec{k}_1'$  and  $\vec{k}_2'$  that are originated from two HSPs of G, and the symmetry-data are denoted by  $n_{k_1'}^{j_1'}$  and  $n_{k_2'}^{j_2'}$ , respectively. The CRs from  $\vec{k}_1/\vec{k}_2$  to  $\vec{k'}$  are:

$$D_{k_{1}'}^{j_{1}'} \to \sum_{j''} d_{j_{1}'j''} D_{\mathcal{K}'}^{j''},$$

$$D_{k_{2}'}^{j_{2}'} \to \sum_{j''} d_{j_{2}'j''} D_{\mathcal{K}'}^{j''},$$
(3)

and then one can obtain the symmetry-data of  $\vec{\mathcal{K}'}$  from both sides:  $n_{\mathcal{K}'}^{j''} = \sum_{j_1'} d_{j_1'j''} n_{k_1'}^{j_1'}$  and  $n_{\mathcal{K}'}^{j''} = \sum_{j_2'} d_{j_2'j''} n_{k_2'}^{j_1'}$ . Once they are not equal, there exists enforced BC somewhere between  $\vec{k}'_1$  and  $\vec{k}'_2$  along  $\vec{\mathcal{K}'}$ . Once no enforced BCs are found after scanning all HSLs/HSPLs of G', the symmetry-data at HSPs of G' are then utilized to be expanded on the atomic insulator basis for G' to diagnose whether it owns a nontrivial SI. Note that  $n_{k_1'}^{j_1'}$  and  $n_{k_2'}^{j_2'}$  need to be all integers otherwise BC should be pinned at the corresponding k point (say  $n_{k_1'}^{j_1'}$  is not an integer, BC then appears at  $\vec{k_1}'$  carrying  $D_{k'}^{j_1}$ ). All the above described steps have already be integrated into CalTopoEvol, and one only need input the parent MSG (in the Belov-Neronova-Smirnova notation [37]) and the corresponding HSP symmetry-data (namely,  $\{n_k^j\}$ ). See examples of using CalTopoEvol in Sec. S2 of SM [34]. To make CalTopoEvol used more conveniently, a subroutine called "ToMSGKpoint" was recently developed, capable of outputting the inputs of CalTopoEvol given any customized nonmagnetic/magnetic crystal structure [38].

# B. High-throughput calculations: MAGNDATASymData

Of the 1721 magnetic materials in total which we collected at the time of initiating this work from MAGNDATA [29], we



**Fig.** 1. **Main results.** (a) Given HSP symmetry-data, namely, the set of counts of occurrences of (co-)irreps at HSPs, CalTopoEvol outputs a tree-like graph including all t-subgroups represented by vertexes of the graph. Clicking on each vertex, a window popups showing detailed topological state diagnosed by SI method. In the right panel, we show an example that topological state evolves from Dirac semimetal to Weyl semimetal, and then becomes a topological insulator. (b) Note that we also integrate our high-throughput calculation results in a subroutine called "MAGNDATASymData", which contains all successfully computed magnetic materials in MAGNDATA [29] considering different values of U and varying the electron filling ( $\nu$ ) by  $|\nu - \nu_0| \le 4$ , where  $\nu_0$  denotes the intrinsic filling. (b) We also develop a subroutine called "EBSToSubgrps", which consider seven external fields for each MSG to realize t-subgroups. Note that given a concrete symmetry-breaking, the tree-like graph might only be contracted from the one shown in (a): "0 = 2 = 3 = 4" and "4=5=6" denote two contractions corresponding to red and blue dashed ellipses in (a).

first filter out materials with incommensurate magnetic ordering, requiring that the atoms fully occupy their sites, the lattice parameters are compatible with the experimentally identified MSG and the numbers of ions are compatible with the composition dictated by the chemical formula. We finally obtain 1267 "high-quality" magnetic materials as our starting point for subsequent first-principles calculations. The experimentally identified MSGs [29] are set as the parent MSG. The electron correlation is considered by choosing various values of Hubbard U, and thus we have 5883 jobs in total for the 1267 materials (one material with one value of U is counted as one job) (see Sec. S6 of SM [34] for details). Finally, 5062 jobs reach convergence in the first-principles calculations, and output the convergent magnetic moments. In Sec. S9 of SM [34], we tabulate all the calculation results for all the 1267 magnetic materials, where comparison of first-principles calculated and experimental magnetic moments and plots of energy bands and density of states (DOS) can be found. Further ensuring that the converged magnetic structure preserves the original MSG symmetry, we then have 4012 jobs and for these jobs, we compute the representations for all the energy levels at the HSPs for the parent MSG by the first-principles calculated wave-functions that are then utilized to compute HSP symmetry-data (see Sec. S6 of SM for details [34]). These jobs correspond to 295 MSGs and 1013 magnetic materials in total. In order to detect all topological bands around the Fermi level, we vary the electron filling to be  $\nu = \nu_0, \nu_0 \pm 1, \nu_0 \pm 2, \nu_0 \pm 3, \nu_0 \pm 4$  ( $\nu_0$  denotes the intrinsic filling, which is the number of valence electrons per primitive unit cell). Note that tuning filling might also lead to different topological states, as has been realized in a topological phase change transistor by electrostatic gating [39]. All these HSP symmetry-data can be retrieved by the subroutine, MAGN-DATASymData and can be used as the input of CalTopoEvol to get detailed TSE. See Sec. S3 of SM [34] for examples of using MAGNDATASymData.

### C. Contraction in tree-like graph: EBSToSubgrps

It is worth pointing out that there might exist versatile concrete ways of symmetry-breaking leading to the same tsubgroup, thus sharing the same topological state. Enumerating all t-subgroups and then depicting the associated tree-like graph could exhaust all TSEs along all symmetry-breaking paths, irrespective of how symmetry-breaking is imposed concretely, owing to the fact that the band topology can be diagnosed by HSP symmetry-data [16, 17, 28]. However, once restricting concrete way of symmetry-breaking, it is interesting to find that the corresponding tree-like graph could be contracted to demonstrate a different pattern, as shown in Fig. 1(b), where two different contractions lead to two different contracted tree-like graphs. Here we consider seven different ways of symmetry-breaking and all of them are uniformly applied to the pristine material that would not break the translation symmetry, including:

- 1. Only applying electric field,
- 2. Only applying magnetic field,
- 3. Only applying strain field,
- 4. Simultaneously applying electric and magnetic fields,
- 5. Simultaneously applying electric and strain fields,
- 6. Simultaneously applying magnetic and strain fields,
- 7. Simultaneously applying electric, magnetic and strain fields.

Accordingly, we have developed a subroutine, EBSToSubgrps, which shows the resulting contracted tree-like graph (where the applied fields and the preserved operations are indicated in the vertexes) given an MSG and specifying concrete way of symmetry-breaking. See examples of using EBSTo-Subgrps in Sec. S4 of SM [34]. Note that the above fields can also be understood as orderings falling into the same symmetry class. For example, the electric and magnetic fields correspond to macroscopic electric polarization and magnetization, respectively. We will show concrete examples in Sec. IV by MSGs 12.62 and 126.386.

### III. SELECTED MAGNETIC TOPOLOGICAL MATERIALS

Since the parameter Hubbard U could change the topology prediction, we firstly select 236 calculated magnetic materials that are predicted to be topological for at least 3 different values of Hubbard U, whose ID's in MAGNDATA [29] are listed in Table I. It is also found that the number of magnetic topological materials hosting such robustness against U is gradually increased to 725 when the fillings satisfying  $|\Delta \nu| \leq 4$  ( $\Delta \nu = \nu - \nu_0$ ) as shown in Fig. S10 of SM [34], indicating that more than 70% of the investigated magnetic materials can be topological.

We also manually select 9 magnetic topological materials whose electronic band plots are depicted in Fig. 3, owning either a noticeable band gap or enforced BC almost at the Fermi level. The materials in Fig. 3 are topological for at least four values of U except Sr<sub>2</sub>TbIrO<sub>6</sub>, for which only two jobs (U = 0, 4 eV) reach convergence. Roughly speaking, topological classification being case II/III definitely means the material is topological while case I means that the material is possibly topologically trivial [28, 40]. See Sec. S2 of SM [34] for details on case I/II/III. Note that different values of U might correspond to different topological classifications (case II/III) in UAsS and Ba<sub>3</sub>CoIr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub>. For others, different values of U give the same classification of either case II or case III.

By symmetry-breaking, the nontrivial band topology in these materials can be maintained, transformed or trivialized. For example, the topological classifications for  $Sr_2TbIrO_6$ with U = 0 and 4 eV are identical, with respect to all tsubgroups (including the parent MSG): for the parent group, SI =  $(1) \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  and for t-subgroup MSG 2.4, SI =  $(0,0,0,2) \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$  [17] while for other tsubgroups, the classifications are case I. Besides, 21 of the 35 t-subgroups for UAsS (U = 0, 2, 4, 6 eV) give case II/case III prediction, indicating the robustness of nontrivial band topology against symmetry-breaking. For CaMnSi (U = 0, 1, 2,3 eV), 5 of the 35 t-subgroups give case II while the rest give case I. For  $CsMnF_4$  with U = 0, 1, 2, 3 eV, the topological classifications share the same result with respect to all t-subgroups. For this material, 8 of the 16 t-subgroups give case III prediction and the rest give case I, and the enforced BCs include two pairs of opposite-charged iso-energetic Weyl points and one Weyl nodal line for the parent MSG. The Weyl points of each pair are related by inversion symmetry. By symmetry-breaking, the original Weyl points/nodal line can be gapped: For example, with respect to the t-subgroup MSG 6.18, the original Weyl points are all gapped while the original Weyl nodal line still exists); With respect to the t-subgroup MSG 18.19, the original inversion related Weyl points are allowed to own different energies since the inversion symmetry is broken in this t-subgroup. For the 9 materials in Fig. 3, we provide details in Sec. S3 of SM [34] to demonstrate the diagnosis of band topology combining MAGNDATASymData and CalTopoEvol.

#### **IV. EXAMPLES**

In the following, we take  $HoB_2$  and  $CeMn_2Si_2$  as examples to show the detailed TSEs along the continuous symmetrybreaking paths.

### A. Materials example: HoB<sub>2</sub>

HoB<sub>2</sub> is classified to belong to case II. The ID in MAGN-DATA [29] is 0.616. HoB<sub>2</sub> in the paramagnetic state is crystallized in a hexagonal lattice with a very simple crystal structure containing only one chemical formula per primitive unit cell, while a ferromagnetic state breaks the three-fold rotation symmetry, resulting in MSG 12.62, ever reported to display a gigantic magnetocaloric effect [41, 42]. The resulting magnetic point group consists of  $E, I, \Theta C_{2z}$  and  $\Theta \sigma_z$  where Eand I are unitary operations (identity and spatial inversion, respectively). The other two are antiunitary operations ( $\Theta$  denotes the time-reversal operation,  $C_{2z}$  is the 2-fold rotation around z-axis and  $\sigma_z$  is a mirror operation). To list all tsubgroups, one only need to enumerate all subgroups of the

-									
ID:formula	ID:formula	ID:formula	ID:formula	ID:formula	ID:formula	ID:formula	ID:formula	ID:formula	ID:formula
0.108:Mn <sub>3</sub> Ir	0.109:Mn <sub>3</sub> Pt	0.126:NpCo2	0.140:LuFe <sub>4</sub> Ge <sub>2</sub>	0.149:Nd3Ru4Al12	0.174: Pr <sub>3</sub> Ru <sub>4</sub> Al <sub>12</sub>	0.177:Mn <sub>3</sub> GaN	0.186:CeMnAsO	0.194:UPt <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	0.199:Mn <sub>3</sub> Sn
0.200:Mn <sub>3</sub> Sn	0.203:Mn <sub>3</sub> Ge	0.207:TlFe1.6Se2	0.227:NdCo2	0.228:TbCo2	0.236:CaFe <sub>4</sub> Al <sub>8</sub>	0.27:YFe4Ge2	0.273:Mn <sub>3</sub> ZnN	0.274:Mn <sub>4</sub> N	0.275:Mn <sub>3</sub> AlN
0.276:Mn <sub>3</sub> AlN	0.279::Mn3As	0.280:Mn <sub>3</sub> As	0.286:Mn5Ge3	0.320:U2Pd2In	0.321:U2Pd2Sn	0.325:CdYb <sub>2</sub> Se <sub>4</sub>	0.327:CsMnF4	0.365:BaCr <sub>2</sub> As <sub>4</sub>	0.367:EuCr <sub>2</sub> As <sub>4</sub>
0.374:YNi4Si	0.377:Mn3Ge	0.378:UBi <sub>2</sub>	0.395:MnPtGa	0.396:MnPtGa	0.402:Sr4Fe4O11	0.407:NdSi	0.408:PrSi	0.414:AlFe2B2	0.415:EuFe2P2
0.436:TbNi <sub>4</sub> Si	0.445:MnCoGe	0.454:PrScSb	0.461:CoRh2O4	0.473:LaMn <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	0.486:ErCr <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	0.487:ErCr <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	0.495:LaMn <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	0.496:LaMn <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	0.497:LaMn <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>
0.512:Mn3As2	0.561:NdNiGe <sub>2</sub>	0.566:TbNiGe2	0.593:UPSe	0.594:UAsS	0.595:UPTe	0.596:UAsTe	0.599:CaMnSi	0.600:CaMnSi	0.603:CaMn2Ge2
0.604:CaMn2Ge2	0.605:BaMn <sub>2</sub> Ge <sub>2</sub>	0.606:BaMn2Ge2	0.609:NdMnO3	0.613:FeCr <sub>2</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	0.614:FeCr <sub>2</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	0.615:FeCr <sub>2</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	0.616:HoB2	0.623:NdMnAsO	0.625:U2Pd2In
0.641:Mn3Ga	0.656:NdMn2Ge2	0.657:PrMn2Ge2	0.662:Mn <sub>3</sub> Sn <sub>2</sub>	0.663:Mn <sub>3</sub> Sn <sub>2</sub>	0.664:Mn <sub>3</sub> Sn <sub>2</sub>	0.665:CeMnSbO	0.681:Ce4Sb3	0.684:TbPt	0.699:LiMn <sub>6</sub> Sn <sub>6</sub>
0.702:TbMn <sub>6</sub> Sn <sub>6</sub>	0.703:HoMn <sub>6</sub> Sn <sub>6</sub>	0.706:Tb <sub>2</sub> Ir <sub>3</sub> Ga <sub>9</sub>	0.707:Tb <sub>2</sub> Ir <sub>3</sub> Ga <sub>9</sub>	0.709:MnNb <sub>4</sub> S <sub>8</sub>	0.711:MnTa <sub>4</sub> S <sub>8</sub>	0.732:SrRuO3	0.737: LaBaMn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	0.738:LaBaMn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	0.74:Mn <sub>3</sub> Cu <sub>0.5</sub> Ge <sub>0.5</sub> N
0.747:Ba <sub>3</sub> CoIr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>9</sub>	0.771:PrMnSi <sub>2</sub>	0.772:PrMnSi <sub>2</sub>	0.773:NdMnSi <sub>2</sub>	0.774:NdMnSi <sub>2</sub>	0.776:CeMnSi <sub>2</sub>	0.777:CeMnSi <sub>2</sub>	0.778:LaMnSi <sub>2</sub>	0.779:LaMnSi <sub>2</sub>	0.780:LaMnSi <sub>2</sub>
0.80:U2Pd2In	$0.81:U_2Pd_2Sn$	1.0.11:CeCoGe3	1.0.12:UAu2Si2	1.0.29:CeIrGe3	1.0.43:UPd <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	1.102:U2Ni2In	1.103:U2Rh2Sn	1.104:Gd <sub>2</sub> CuO <sub>4</sub>	1.110:ScMn6Ge6
1.130:Cr2As	1.131:Fe2As	1.132:Mn <sub>2</sub> As	1.139:Ho2RhIn8	1.143:Mn <sub>3</sub> Pt	1.146:LaCrAsO	1.150:PrAg	1.16:BaFe <sub>2</sub> As <sub>2</sub>	1.160:UP	1.162:NdMg
1.179:NdCoAsO	1.187:TbRh <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	1.188:CeRh2Si2	1.207:U2Rh2Sn	1.208:UAs	1.21:DyCo <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	1.215:UP <sub>2</sub>	1.222:Er2CoGa8	1.223:Tm <sub>2</sub> CoGa <sub>8</sub>	1.251:NdCo2P2
1.252:CaCo <sub>2</sub> P <sub>2</sub>	1.253:CeCo <sub>2</sub> P <sub>2</sub>	1.254:UNiGa5	1.255:UPtGa5	1.261:NpRhGa <sub>5</sub>	1.290:CeRh2Si2	1.291:CeAu <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	1.296:PrNi2B2C	1.305:Mn <sub>5</sub> Si <sub>3</sub>	1.308:MnBi2Te4
1.338:U2Ni2In	1.369:HFe2Ge2	1.384:USb <sub>2</sub>	1.398:Pr <sub>2</sub> CuO <sub>4</sub>	1.399:Pr <sub>2</sub> CuO <sub>4</sub>	1.400:TbAg <sub>2</sub>	1.419:GdIn3	1.421:NdRh <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	1.422:ErRh <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	1.423:UPb3
1.425:UGeTe	1.427:HoCo2Ge2	1.428:UN	1.442:URu <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	1.446:CeCoAl <sub>4</sub>	1.453:EuMn <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	1.458:CsCo2Se2	1.460:PrCuSi	1.461:Sr <sub>2</sub> Cr <sub>3</sub> As <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	1.468:TbMn <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>
1.469:YMn <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	1.475:DyNiAl <sub>4</sub>	1.479:U2Ni2Sn	1.487:CeIrAl <sub>4</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	1.488:CeMn <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	1.489:CeMn <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	1.490:CeMn2Si2	1.491:PrMn <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	1.492:PrMn2Si2	1.493:NdMn2Si2
1.494: NdMn <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	1.495:YMn <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	1.496:YMn2Ge2	1.497:EuMg2Bi2	1.508:Mn <sub>2</sub> AlB <sub>2</sub>	1.512:TbCo2Si2	1.513:HoCo2Si2	1.514:HoCo <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	1.516:ErCo <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	1.52:CaFe2As2
1.530:CeC2	1.531:PrC <sub>2</sub>	1.532:NdC <sub>2</sub>	1.534:HoC <sub>2</sub>	1.536:UPd <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	1.537:URh2Si2	1.549:U2Ni2In	1.555:Mn <sub>3</sub> B <sub>4</sub>	1.558:MnSn <sub>2</sub>	1.559:MnSn <sub>2</sub>
1.568:GdCu2Si2	1.575:ErRh	1.585:PrFeAsO	1.623:EuMg2Bi2	1.628:PrMnSi <sub>2</sub>	1.635:ErFe <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	1.636:ErMn <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	1.637:ErMn <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	1.638:ErMn2Ge2	1.639:ErMn2Ge2
1.640:ErMn2Ge2	1.80:Dy2CoGa8	1.81:GdIn3	1.82:Nd2RhLn8	1.85:alpha-Mn	1.87:Tb <sub>2</sub> CoGa <sub>8</sub>	1.88:Mn5Si3	2.1:EuFe2As2	2.10:HoP	2.11:TbMg
2.12:TbMg	2.13:UP	2.14:NdMg	2.19:Mn <sub>3</sub> ZnC	2.26:PrCo <sub>2</sub> P <sub>2</sub>	2.28:NpNiGa <sub>5</sub>	2.31:Mn <sub>3</sub> ZnN	2.48:Pr <sub>2</sub> CuO <sub>4</sub>	2.5:Mn <sub>3</sub> CuN	2.54:Sr <sub>2</sub> Cr <sub>3</sub> As <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>
2.57:TbMn <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	2.59:Mn <sub>3</sub> As <sub>2</sub>	2.65:UPd <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	2.70:GdMg	2.77:Eu <sub>2</sub> CuO <sub>4</sub>	2.81:ErMn <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	2.82:ErMn <sub>2</sub> Si <sub>2</sub>	2.83:ErMn <sub>2</sub> Ge <sub>2</sub>	2.84:ErMn <sub>2</sub> Ge <sub>2</sub>	3.10:NpSe
3.11:NpTe	3.12:USb	3.6:DyCu	3.7:NpBi	3.8:NdZn	3.9:NpS				

Table I. The 236 magnetic topological materials predicted to be topological for at least 3 values of U at the intrinsic filling. "ID" denotes the ID in MAGNDATA [29], and "formula" denotes chemical formula. We highlight magnetic materials with Kagomé sublattice by printing the chemical formula in red.

magnetic point group, as follows (in the form of "symmetrybreaking pattern $\rightarrow$  preserved operations"):

$$D \rightarrow \{E, I, \Theta C_{2z}, \Theta \sigma_{2z}\}$$

$$1 \rightarrow \{E\},$$

$$2 \rightarrow \{E, I\},$$

$$3 \rightarrow \{E, \Theta C_{2z}\},$$

$$4 \rightarrow \{E, \Theta \sigma_{2z}\},$$

Besides, for MSG 12.62, all energy bands should be nondegenerate by symmetry requirements, and also, BCs are not allowed to exist stably at the special k points. MSG 12.62 owns a nontrivial SI group [17]:  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ . Indeed, for all t-subgroups, HoB<sub>2</sub> can only be case I or case II as shown for different fillings in the lower panel of Fig. 3(a) $(\nu = \nu_0, \nu_0 - 1, \nu_0 + 1, \nu_0 + 2 \text{ and } \nu_0 + 3)$  which characterize the TSEs by the tree-like graphs. The fillings correspond to the gaps shown in the upper panel of Fig. 3(a), indicated by different colors. Note that there are considerable gaps for the filling  $\nu_0 + 1$  (the region in orange in the upper panel of Fig. 3(a)), the nontrivial topology of which is expected to bring about noticeable protected boundary states. Such gaps share the same TSEs as those for another three fillings as shown in the lower panel of Fig. 3(a), where the colors shown in the inset correspond to the gaps in the respective colors of the band plot. Interestingly, the quasi-flat bands (whose band indices are  $\nu_0 + 2$  and  $\nu_0 + 3$ ) are found to topological. The coexistence of magnetic order, topological quasi-flat bands and multiple topological gaps around the Fermi level makes this material a fascinating platform to study possible exotic quantum excitations.

### B. Materials example: CeMn<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>

We then show another example for CeMn<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> whose ID in MAGNDATA is 1.490 [29] and MSG is 126.386. The Ce ion is found to own vanishing magnetic moment while the magnitude of the magnetic moments in Mn ions are found to be 1.9  $\mu$ B experimentally [43]. Here we chose the results for the calculations in which all the values of U on the Ce and Mn ions are 0 eV, in which the calculated magnetic moments reasonably reproduce those by experiments. It is noted that the other results for the rest values of U share similar topological properties discussed here. Consider the intrinsic filling. With respect to the parent MSG, CeMn<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> is predicted to be in case III and furthermore, the HSP symmetry-data guarantee that the enforced BCs appear in HSLs,  $(0, w, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $(w, w, \frac{1}{2})$ , as shown in Fig. 3(b), where these BCs are also verified from the computed representations of a dense k-point mesh in the HSLs. The BCs in these HSLs actually lie in a Dirac nodal line within an HSPL  $((u, v, \frac{1}{2}))$  by CRs. Then consider the effect of the symmetry-breaking. These Dirac nodal lines can be gapped for some symmetry-breaking patterns, resulting in a possibly-trivial insulator (in case I) or a topological phase in case II. For other symmetry-breaking patterns, the resulting topological phases are all in case III, and furthermore, we find that the corresponding enforced BC lies in either Dirac nodal line or Weyl nodal line. We collect the TSEs for this material in Fig. 3(c) along all symmetry-breaking paths containing the symmetry-breaking patterns with topological classifications being case II/III.

### V. CONTRACTION OF TREE-LIKE GRAPH

We then discuss an interesting finding that the tree-like graphs could be contracted in different patterns when we spec-



Fig. 2. Energy band plots of nine selected magnetic topological materials identified in this work. In all the band plots, the Fermi level is set to be 0 eV. The chemical formula, the ID in MAGNDATA [29], the MSG and the Hubbard U are all provided in the head of each band plot. We consider the intrinsic filling  $\nu_0$  and the bands with indices lower than or equal to  $\nu_0$  are printed in magenta. We find that CsMnF<sub>4</sub> and CeMn<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> are classified in case III owning enforced BCs. The rest are all in case II owning nonvanishing values in the corresponding SI groups.

ify different concrete realizations of symmetry-breaking. As has been schematically shown in Fig. 1(b), the general treelike graph shown in Fig. 1(a) could transform into another different patterns once for the symmetry-breaking patterns being 0, 2, 3 and 4 (or for those being 4, 5 and 6), the concrete realizations of symmetry-breaking take the same form (e.g. electric fields are all long z direction). Take MSG 12.62 and its t-subgroups as an example. Once we only apply magnetic field,  $\vec{B}$  (or a variation of magnetization  $\vec{M} \sim \vec{B}$ ), only the t-subgroups with symmetry-breaking patterns 0 and 2 can be realized, as shown in Fig. 4(a). Once we only apply electric field,  $\vec{E}$  (or an electric polarization  $\vec{P} \sim \vec{E}$ ), only the t-subgroups with symmetry-breaking patterns 0, 3, 4 and 1 can be realized, as shown in Fig. 4(a). Only when we consider the aforementioned two types of fields/orderings simultaneously, all t-subgroups can be realized. As shown in the lower inset of Fig. 4(a), different orderings can lead to different switching patterns along all symmetry-breaking paths. For HoB<sub>2</sub> as described above, we can infer that once the nontrivial band topology is preserved in a process of phase transition, a macroscopic electric polarization is not sufficient since it could break inversion symmetry that protects the topology. In Fig. 4(b), we show another example using MSG 126.386, that applying  $\vec{E}$  and  $\vec{B}$  would give rise to the same tree-like graph pattern but the detailed t-subgroups in the vertexes of the tree-like graph are totally different. From Fig. 3(c), one can conclude that applying  $\vec{E}$  would gap the original Dirac lines or transform them to Weyl nodal lines. However, applying  $\vec{B}$  would definitely gap these Dirac nodal lines.

# VI. CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVE

To conclude, the three subroutines obtained in this work are expected guide experimentalists to choose suitable material and specify concrete symmetry-breaking, which might pave the avenue to the realization of highly-sensitive magnetismcontrolled band topology. The high-throughput calculation results can also be used as the training dataset to develop a simple-to-use heuristic chemical rule of diagnosing band

7



**Fig.** 3. **TSEs in HoB**<sub>2</sub> **and CeMn**<sub>2</sub>**Si**<sub>2</sub>. In the upper panel of (a), we show the band structure where all the bands are nondegenerate and the plot of DOS for HoB<sub>2</sub>. The continuous gaps corresponding to the intrinsic filling  $\nu_0$  and the fillings  $\nu_0 - 1$ ,  $\nu_0 + 1$ ,  $\nu_0 + 2$ ,  $\nu_0 + 3$  are indicated by the regions in yellow, cyan, orange, green and magenta, respectively. The lower panel of (a) shows the tree-like graphs for the TSE corresponding to the gaps indicated by the colors shown in the insets. The symmetry-breaking patterns: 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4, correspond to the t-subgroups being MSGs 12.62, 1.1, 2.4, 5.15 and 8.34, respectively. Note that the peaks in DOS correspond to the two bands (the band indices are  $\nu_0 + 2$  and  $\nu_0 + 3$ ) indicated by the white double-arrow. Such quasi-flat bands are found to be topological. In (b), we show the band structures for CeMn<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> along two HSLs, (0, w, 1/2) and (w, w, 1/2), where the orange and blue colors encode two different co-irreps of the corresponding HSLs. Various BCs composed of these co-irreps can be found and two BCs indicated by the red arrows are deduced by the symmetry-data at A and Z, and the symmetry-data Z and R, respectively (see Eq. 3). Note that in each of these HSLs, there exists another BC dictated by an MSG operation. (c) shows the tree-like graph for the evolution of the original Dirac nodal lines in CeMn<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>. In each circle, the integer denotes the symmetry-breaking pattern, II stands for case II and WNL/DNL stands for Weyl nodal line/Dirac nodal line in case III.

topology such as the topogivity [44] by machine-learning. Note that the predicted TSE is irrelevant with the origin of concrete symmetry-breaking, while a concrete symmetrybreaking leading to a contraction of the tree-like graph relates TSE with the symmetry-breaking origin. Similar scheme could be established for superconductors [23, 45]. Note that the developed subroutines CalTopoEvol and EBSToSubgrps can be applied to both nonmagnetic and magnetic materials. It is also worth pointing out that detailed band topology designating where the protected boundary states can be found can be obtained by checking the results as listed in Refs. [46, 47].

The correlation of magnetism and nontrivial band topol-

ogy with other ordering, such as ferroelectric order [48], and novel crystal structure (e.g. Kagomé lattice [49], and see Table I and Sec. 8 of SM [34] for selected magnetic materials with Kagomé sublattice), merits future studies by choosing suitable candidates from our predicted magnetic topological materials. Besides, a more generic symmetry-breaking could include the translation symmetry breaking enlarging the primitive unit cell and lead to Brillouin zone folding (e.g. a chargedensity wave order [50, 51]), which is not exhaustible, but can still apply the t-subgroups after firstly identifying the maximal subgroup(s), of which the details are described in Sec. S5 of SM [34].



**Fig.** 4. **Contraction of tree-like graph in MSGs 12.62 and 126.386.** (a) Consider MSG 12.62. EBSToSubgrps can quickly output the contracted tree-like graph by applying  $\vec{B}$  or  $\vec{E}$  or simultaneously applying  $\vec{E}$  and  $\vec{B}$  as shown here. Concretely, applying  $\vec{B}$  would result in t-subgroups by 0 and 2 ( $\vec{B} = (B_x, B_y, 0)$  and  $(B_x, B_y, B_z)$ , respectively). Applying  $\vec{E}$  would result in t-subgroups by 0, 1, 3 and 4 ( $\vec{E} = (0, 0, 0), (E_x, E_y, E_z), (0, 0, E_z)$  and  $(E_x, E_y, 0)$ , respectively). Simultaneously applying  $\vec{E}$  and  $\vec{B}$  result in all t-subgroups, 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4 ( $\{\vec{E}, \vec{B}\} = \{(0, 0, 0), (B_x, B_y, 0)\}, \{(E_x, E_y, E_z), (B_x, B_y, B_z)\}, \{(0, 0, 0), (B_x, B_y, 0), (B_x, B_y, 0)\}, \{(E_x, E_y, E_z), (B_x, B_y, B_z)\}, \{(0, 0, 0), (B_x, B_y, 0), (B_x, B_y, 0), (B_x, B_y, 0)\}, respectively).$  The contractions shown by blue and red dashed ellipses in the tree-like graph labeled by "E+B" results in different resulting tree-like graphs labeled by "B" and "E", respectively. In the lower panel, we show that the continuous switching of macroscopic electric polarization  $\vec{P}$  or/and magnetization  $\vec{M}$  for each tree-like graph, demonstrating their emergence accompanying the symmetry-breaking (and TSE). (b) MSG 126.386 owns in total 158 t-subgroups, and 1581 symmetry-breaking paths from symmetry-breaking pattern 0 (the parent MSG) to symmetry-breaking pattern 1 (P1), while applying  $\vec{E}$  or  $\vec{B}$ , only partial subgroups can be realized and are shown here. The corresponding tree-like graphs applying  $\vec{E}$  and  $\vec{B}$  share the same form but contain different t-subgroups as indicated in the vertexes (say, *a*, *b* means that *a* denotes the t-subgroup for  $\vec{E}$  and *b* represents the t-subgroup for  $\vec{B}$ , respectively). Detailed symmetry operations that are presented by various fields can be found by EBSToSubgrps.

# VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are very grateful for earlier collaborations on related topics with Ashvin Vishwanath, Hoi Chun Po, Haruki Watanabe and Seishiro Ono. F.T. appreciates insightful discussions with Wei Chen, Qun-Li Lei, Kai Li and Yang-Yang Lv. We also thank very helpful suggestions from Ge Yao on high-performance computing. **Funding:** F.T. was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grant No. 12104215, No. 12322404 and Young Elite Scientists Sponsorship Program by China Association for Science and Technology. F.T. and X.W. were supported by NSFC Grants No. 12188101, No. 11834006, the National Key R&D Program of China (Grant No.2022YFA1403601) and Innovation Program for Quantum Science and Technology, No. 2021ZD0301902. X.W. also acknowledges the support from the Tencent Foundation through the XPLORER PRIZE and Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (No. BK20233001, BK20243011). Author contributions: F.T. conceived and designed the project, and performed all calculations. All authors contributed to the writing and editing of the manuscript. Competing interests: None declared. Data and materials availability: The subroutines, CalTopoEvol, MAGNDATASymData, EBSToSubgrps can be downlocaed at, https://github. com/FengTang1990/CalTopoEvol. https:// github.com/FengTang1990/MAGNDATASymData, https://github.com/FengTang1990/ and ExternalFields, respectively.

- M. Z. Hasan and C. L. Kane, "Colloquium: topological insulators," Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 3045 (2010).
- [2] X.-L. Qi and S.-C. Zhang, "Topological insulators and superconductors," Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 1057 (2011).

- [3] C.-K. Chiu, J. C. Y. Teo, A. P. Schnyder, and S. Ryu, "Classification of topological quantum matter with symmetries," Rev. Mod. Phys. 88, 035005 (2016).
- [4] Y. Ando and L. Fu, "Topological crystalline insulators and topological superconductors: From concepts to materials," Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. 6, 361–381 (2015).
- [5] T. O. Wehling, A. M. Black-Schaffer, and A. V. Balatksy, "Dirac materials," Adv. Phys. **63**, 1 (2014).
- [6] N. P. Armitage, E. J. Mele, and A. Vishwanath, "Weyl and Dirac semimetals in three-dimensional solids," Rev. Mod. Phys. 90, 015001 (2018).
- [7] J. Xiao and B. Yan, "First-principles calculations for topological quantum materials," Nat. Rev. Phys. 3, 283–297 (2021).
- [8] B. J. Wieder, B. Bradlyn, J. Cano, Z. Wang, M. G. Vergniory, L. Elcoro, A. A. Soluyanov, C. Felser, T. Neupert, N. Regnault, and B. A. Bernevig, "Topological materials discovery from crystal symmetry," Nat. Rev. Mater. 7, 196–216 (2022).
- [9] Y. Tokura, K. Yasuda, and A. Tsukazaki, "Magnetic topological insulators," Nat. Rev. Phys. 1, 126–143 (2019).
- [10] B. A. Bernevig, C. Felser, and H. Beidenkopf, "Progress and prospects in magnetic topological materials," Nature 603, 41– 51 (2022).
- [11] A. P. Schnyder, S. Ryu, A. Furusaki, and A. W. W. Ludwig, "Classification of topological insulators and superconductors in three spatial dimensions," Phys. Rev. B 78, 195125 (2008).
- [12] A. Kitaev, "Periodic table for topological insulators and superconductors," AIP Conf. Proc. 1134, 22–30 (2009).
- [13] S. Ryu, A. P. Schnyder, A. Furusaki, and A. W. W. Ludwig, "Topological insulators and superconductors: tenfold way and dimensional hierarchy," New J. Phys. 12, 065010 (2010).
- [14] B. Bradlyn, L. Elcoro, J. Cano, M. G. Vergniory, Z. Wang, C. Felser, M. I. Aroyo, and B. A. Bernevig, "Topological quantum chemistry," Nature 547, 298–305 (2017).
- [15] L. Elcoro, B. J. Wieder, Z. Song, Y. Xu, B. Bradlyn, and B. A. Bernevig, "Magnetic topological quantum chemistry," Nat. Commun. 12, 5965 (2021).
- [16] H. C. Po, A. Vishwanath, and H. Watanabe, "Symmetry-based indicators of band topology in the 230 space groups," Nat. Commun. 8, 50 (2017).
- [17] H. Watanabe, H. C. Po, and A. Vishwanath, "Structure and topology of band structures in the 1651 magnetic space groups," Sci. Adv. 4, aat8685 (2018).
- [18] T. Zhang, Y. Jiang, Z. Song, H. Huang, Y. He, Z. Fang, H. Weng, and C. Fang, "Catalogue of topological electronic materials," Nature 566, 475–479 (2019).
- [19] M. G. Vergniory, L. Elcoro, C. Felser, N. Regnault, B. A. Bernevig, and Z. Wang, "A complete catalogue of high-quality topological materials," Nature 566, 480–485 (2019).
- [20] F. Tang, H. C. Po, A. Vishwanath, and X. Wan, "Comprehensive search for topological materials using symmetry indicators," Nature 566, 486–489 (2019).
- [21] M. G. Vergniory, B. J. Wieder, L. Elcoro, S. S. P. Parkin, C. Felser, B. A. Bernevig, and N. Regnault, "All topological bands of all nonmagnetic stoichiometric materials," Science **376**, abg9094 (2022).
- [22] Y. Xu, L. Elcoro, Z.-D. Song, B. J. Wieder, M. G. Vergniory, N. Regnault, Y. Chen, C. Felser, and B. A. Bernevig, "Highthroughput calculations of magnetic topological materials," Nature 586, 702–707 (2020).
- [23] F. Tang, S. Ono, X. Wan, and H. Watanabe, "High-Throughput Investigations of Topological and Nodal Superconductors," Phys. Rev. Lett. **129**, 027001 (2022).
- [24] R. Yu, W. Zhang, H.-J. Zhang, S.-C. Zhang, X. Dai, and Z. Fang, "Quantized Anomalous Hall Effect in Magnetic Topo-

logical Insulators," Science 329, 61-64 (2010).

- [25] C.-Z. Chang, J. Zhang, X. Feng, J. Shen, Z. Zhang, M. Guo, K. Li, Y. Ou, P. Wei, L.-L. Wang, Z.-Q. Ji, Y. Feng, S. Ji, X. Chen, J. Jia, X. Dai, Z. Fang, S.-C. Zhang, K. He, Y. Wang, L. Lu, X.-C. Ma, and Q.-K. Xue, "Experimental Observation of the Quantum Anomalous Hall Effect in a Magnetic Topological Insulator," Science **340**, 167–170 (2013).
- [26] B. Bradlyn, J. Cano, Z. Wang, M. G. Vergniory, C. Felser, R. J. Cava, and B. A. Bernevig, "Beyond Dirac and Weyl fermions: Unconventional quasiparticles in conventional crystals," Science **353**, aaf5037 (2016).
- [27] F. Schindler, A. M. Cook, M. G. Vergniory, Z. Wang, S. S. P. Parkin, B. A. Bernevig, and T. Neupert, "Higher-order topological insulators," Sci. Adv. 4, eaat0346 (2018).
- [28] F. Tang, H. C. Po, A. Vishwanath, and X. Wan, "Efficient topological materials discovery using symmetry indicators," Nat. Phys. 15, 470–476 (2019).
- [29] "http://webbdcristal.ehu.es/magndata/," ().
- [30] J. Seo, C. De, H. Ha, J. E. Lee, S. Park, J. Park, Y. Skourski, E. S. Choi, B. Kim, G. Y. Cho, H. W. Yeom, S.-W. Cheong, J. H. Kim, B.-J. Yang, K. Kim, and J. S. Kim, "Colossal angular magnetoresistance in ferrimagnetic nodal-line semiconductors," Nature **599**, 576–581 (2021).
- [31] Y. Zhang, Y. Ni, H. Zhao, S. Hakani, F. Ye, L. DeLong, I. Kimchi, and G. Cao, "Control of chiral orbital currents in a colossal magnetoresistance material," Nature 611, 467–472 (2022).
- [32] Y. Ni, H. Zhao, Y. Zhang, B. Hu, I. Kimchi, and G. Cao, "Colossal magnetoresistance via avoiding fully polarized magnetization in the ferrimagnetic insulator Mn<sub>3</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>6</sub>," Phys. Rev. B **103**, L161105 (2021).
- [33] P. Li, J. Koo, W. Ning, J. Li, L. Miao, L. Min, Y. Zhu, Y. Wang, N. Alem, C.-X. Liu, Z. Mao, and B. Yan, "Giant room temperature anomalous Hall effect and tunable topology in a ferromagnetic topological semimetal Co<sub>2</sub>MnAl," Nat. Commun. **11**, 3476 (2020).
- [34] "See Supplementary Materials," ().
- [35] F. Tang and X. Wan, "Exhaustive construction of effective models in 1651 magnetic space groups," Phys. Rev. B 104, 085137 (2021).
- [36] F. Tang and X. Wan, "Complete classification of band nodal structures and massless excitations," Phys. Rev. B 105, 155156 (2022).
- [37] N. V. Belov, N. N. Neronova, and T. S. Smirnova, "Shubnikov groups," Sov. Phys. Crystallogr. 2, 311–322 (1957).
- [38] L. Huang, X. Wan, and F. Tang, "ToMSGKpoint: A userfriendly package for computing symmetry transformation properties of electronic eigenstates of nonmagnetic and magnetic crystalline materials," arXiv:2411.16190 (2024).
- [39] J. Chen, T. Zhang, J. Wang, L. Xu, Z. Lin, J. Liu, C. Wang, N. Zhang, S. P. Lau, W. Zhang, M. Chhowalla, and Y. Chai, "Topological phase change transistors based on tellurium Weyl semiconductor," Sci. Adv. 8, abn3837 (2022).
- [40] F. Tang, H. C. Po, A. Vishwanath, and X. Wan, "Topological materials discovery by large-order symmetry indicators," Sci. Adv. 5, aau8725 (2019).
- [41] P. B. de Castro, K. Terashima, T. D. Yamamoto, Z. Hou, S. Iwasaki, R. Matsumoto, S. Adachi, Y. Saito, P. Song, H. Takeya, and Y. Takano, "Machine-learning-guided discovery of the gigantic magnetocaloric effect in HoB<sub>2</sub> near the hydrogen liquefaction temperature," NPG Asia Mater. **12**, 35 (2020).
- [42] N. Terada, K. Terashima, P. B. de Castro, C. V. Colin, H. Mamiya, T. D. Yamamoto, H. Takeya, O. Sakai, Y. Takano, and H. Kitazawa, "Relationship between magnetic ordering

and gigantic magnetocaloric effect in HoB<sub>2</sub> studied by neutron diffraction experiment," Phys. Rev. B **102**, 094435 (2020).

- [43] J. A. Fernandez-Baca, P. Hill, B. C. Chakoumakos, and N. Ali, "Neutron diffraction study of the magnetic structures of CeMn<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> and CeMn<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>," J. Appl. Phys. **79**, 5398 (1996).
- [44] A. Ma, Y. Zhang, T. Christensen, H. C. Po, L. Jing, L. Fu, and M. Soljačić, "Topogivity: A Machine-Learned Chemical Rule for Discovering Topological Materials," Nano Lett. 3, 772–778 (2023).
- [45] S. Ono, H. C. Po, and K. Shiozaki, "Z₂-enriched symmetry indicators for topological superconductors in the 1651 magnetic space groups," Phys. Rev. Res. 3, 023086 (2021).
- [46] L. Elcoro, B. J. Wieder, Z. Song, Y. Xu, B. Bradlyn, and B. A. Bernevig, "Magnetic topological quantum chemistry," Nat. Commun. 12, 5965 (2021).
- [47] B. Peng, Y. Jiang, Z. Fang, H. Weng, and C. Fang, "Topological classification and diagnosis in magnetically ordered electronic

materials," Phys. Rev. B 105, 235138 (2022).

- [48] D. Khomskii, "Classifying multiferroics: Mechanisms and effects," Physics 2, 20 (2009).
- [49] J.-X. Yin, B. Lian, and M. Z. Hasan, "Topological kagome magnets and superconductors," Nature 612, 647–657 (2022).
- [50] X. Teng, L. Chen, F. Ye, E. Rosenberg, Z. Liu, J.-X. Yin, Y.-X. Jiang, J. S. Oh, M. Z. Hasan, K. J. Neubauer, B. Gao, Y. Xie, M. Hashimoto, D. Lu, C. Jozwiak, A. Bostwick, E. Rotenberg, R. J. Birgeneau, J.-H. Chu, M. Ying, and P. Dai, "Discovery of charge density wave in a kagome lattice antiferromagnet," Nature 609, 490–495 (2022).
- [51] H. Zhou, S. Yan, D. Fan, D. Wang, and X. Wan, "Magnetic interactions and possible structural distortion in kagome FeGe from first-principles calculations and symmetry analysis," Phys. Rev. B 108, 035138 (2023).