

EMBEDDING HYPERCUBES INTO TORUS AND CARTESIAN PRODUCT OF PATHS AND CYCLES FOR MINIMIZING WIRELENGTH

ZHIYI TANG

ABSTRACT. Though embedding problems have been considered for several regular graphs[1, 2, 3], it is still an open problem for hypercube into torus[4, 2]. In the paper, we prove the conjecture mathematically and obtain the minimum wirelength of embedding for hypercube into Cartesian product of paths and/or cycles. In addition, we explain that Gray code embedding is an optimal strategy in such embedding problems.

Key words: embedding wirelength; hypercube; torus; Cartesian product; Gray code embedding.

1. INTRODUCTION

Task mapping in modern high performance parallel computers can be modeled as a graph embedding problem. Let $G(V, E)$ be a simple and connected graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. Graph embedding[1, 2, 3] is an ordered pair $\langle f, P_f \rangle$ of injective mapping between the guest graph G and the host graph H such that

- (i) $f : V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$, and
- (ii) $P_f : E(G) \rightarrow \{P_f(u, v) : P_f(u, v) \text{ is a path in } H \text{ between } f(u) \text{ and } f(v) \text{ for } \{u, v\} \in E(G)\}$.

It is known that the topology mapping problem is NP-complete[5]. Since Harper[6] in 1964 and Bernstein[7] in 1967, a series of embedding problems have been studied[8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. The quality of an embedding can be measured by certain cost criteria. One of these criteria is the wirelength. Let $WL(G, H; f)$ denote the wirelength of G into H under the embedding f . Taking over all embeddings f , the minimum wirelength of G into H is defined as

$$WL(G, H) = \min_f WL(G, H; f).$$

Hypercube is one of the most popular, versatile and efficient topological structures of interconnection networks[13]. More and more studies related to hypercubes have been performed[14, 15, 4, 16]. Manuel[4] et al. computed the minimum wirelength of embedding hypercube into a simple cylinder. In that paper, the wirelength for hypercube into general cylinder and torus were given as conjectures. Though Rajan et al.[17] and Arockiaraj et al.[2] studied those embedding problems, the two conjectures are still open. We recently gave rigorous proofs of hypercubes into cycles[18]

and cylinders (the first conjecture)[19] successively. Using those techniques and process, we try to settle the last conjecture for torus. In the paper, we also generalize the results to other Cartesian product of paths and/or cycles.

It is seen that the grid, cylinder and torus are Cartesian product of graphs. In the past, the vertices of those graphs are labeled by a series of nature numbers[15, 4, 2, 19]. But it is not convenient for some higher dimensional graphs. To describe a certain embedding efficiently, we apply tuples to label the vertices in the paper. By the tool of Edge Isoperimetric Problem(EIP)[20], we estimate and explain the minimal wirelength for hypercube into torus and other Cartesian product of graphs.

Notation. For $n \geq 1$, we define Q_n to be the hypercube with vertex-set $\{0, 1\}^n$, where two $0-1$ vectors are adjacent if they differ in exactly one coordinate [21].

Notation. An $r_1 \times r_2$ grid with r_1 rows and r_2 columns is represented by $P_{r_1} \times P_{r_2}$ where the rows are labeled $1, 2, \dots, r_1$ and the columns are labeled $1, 2, \dots, r_2$ [15]. The torus $C_{r_1} \times C_{r_2}$ is a $P_{r_1} \times P_{r_2}$ with a wraparound edge in each column and a wraparound edge in each row.

Main Results

Theorem 1.1. *For any $n_1, n_2 \geq 2$, $n_1 + n_2 = n$. The minimum wirelength of hypercubes into torus is*

$$WL(Q_n, C_{2^{n_1}} \times C_{2^{n_2}}) = 2^{n_2}(3 \cdot 2^{2^{n_1}-3} - 2^{n_1-1}) + 2^{n_1}(3 \cdot 2^{2^{n_2}-3} - 2^{n_2-1}).$$

Moreover, Gray code embedding is an optimal embedding.

Notation. Cartesian product of paths and/or cycles is denoted by $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_1 \times \mathcal{G}_2 \times \dots \times \mathcal{G}_k$, where $\mathcal{G}_i \in \{P_{2^{n_i}}, C_{2^{n_i}}\}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Theorem 1.2. *For any $k > 0$, $n_i \geq 2$, and $\sum_{i=1}^k n_i = n$. The minimum wirelength of hypercubes into Cartesian product \mathcal{G} is*

$$WL(Q_n, \mathcal{G}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{L}_i,$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_i = \begin{cases} 2^{n-n_i}(3 \cdot 2^{2^{n_i}-3} - 2^{n_i-1}), & \text{if } \mathcal{G}_i = C_{2^{n_i}}, \\ 2^{n-n_i}(2^{2^{n_i}-1} - 2^{n_i-1}), & \text{if } \mathcal{G}_i = P_{2^{n_i}}. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, Gray code embedding is an optimal embedding.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some definitions and elementary properties are introduced. In Section 3, we explain the Gray code embedding is an optimal strategy for hypercube into torus. Section 4 is devoted to Cartesian products of paths and/or cycles.

2. PRELIMINARIES

EIP has been used as a powerful tool in the computation of minimum wirelength of graph embedding[20]. EIP is to determine a subset S of cardinality k of a graph G such that the edge cut separating this subset from its complement has minimal size. Mathematically, Harper denotes

$$\Theta(S) = \{ \{u, v\} \in E(G) : u \in S, v \notin S \}.$$

For any $S \subset V(Q_n)$, use $\Theta(n, S)$ in place of $\Theta(S)$ and let $|\Theta(n, S)|$ be $\theta(n, S)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Take a subcube Q_{n_1} of Q_n , and $S_1 \subset V(Q_{n_1}), S_2 \subset V(Q_{n-n_1})$, then*

$$\theta(n, S_1 \times S_2) = \theta(n, S_2 \times S_1).$$

Proof. By the definition of hypercube Q_n , there is an edge connected in $S_1 \times S_2$ if and only if there is an edge connected in $S_2 \times S_1$. \square

The following lemma is efficient technique to find the exact wirelength.

Lemma 2.2. [19] *Let f be an embedding of Q_n into H . Let $(L_i)_{i=1}^m$ be a partition of $E(H)$. For each $1 \leq i \leq m$, $(L_i)_{i=1}^m$ satisfies:*

- (A1) L_i is an edge cut of H such that L_i disconnects H into two components and one of induced vertex sets is denoted by l_i ;
- (A2) $|P_f(u, v) \cap L_i|$ is one if $\{u, v\} \in \Theta(n, f^{-1}(l_i))$ and zero otherwise for any $\{u, v\} \in E(Q_n)$.

Then

$$WL(Q_n, H; f) = \sum_{i=1}^m \theta(n, f^{-1}(l_i)).$$

Notation. $N_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, and $F_i^n = \{i, i+1, \dots, i+2^{n-1}-1\}$, $1 \leq i \leq 2^{n-1}$.

Notation. Let (i, j) denote a vertex in row i and column j of cylinder $C_{2^{n_1}} \times P_{2^{n_2}}$, where $1 \leq i \leq 2^{n_1}$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2^{n_2}$. Then $V(C_{2^{n_1}} \times P_{2^{n_2}}) = N_{2^{n_1}} \times N_{2^{n_2}}$. It is seen that the vertex sets $F_i^{n_1} \times N_{2^{n_2}} = \{(x_1, x_2) : x_1 \in F_i^{n_1}, x_2 \in N_{2^{n_2}}\}$ and $N_{2^{n_1}} \times N_j = \{(x_1, x_2) : x_1 \in N_{2^{n_1}}, x_2 \in N_j\}$ are equivalent to A_i and B_j defined in [19], respectively. See Fig.1 and Fig.2 for examples.




FIGURE 1. A_2 and $F_2^3 \times N_{2^2}$ in cylinder $C_{2^3} \times P_{2^2}$




FIGURE 2. B_3 and $N_{2^3} \times N_3$ in cylinder $C_{2^3} \times P_{2^2}$

Now we generalize Gray code map $\xi_n : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, 2^n\}$ defined in [18, 19]. Define k -order Gray code map $\xi_{n_1 \dots n_k}$ corresponding to k components.

Definition 2.3. k -order Gray code map $\xi_{n_1 \dots n_k}$ is given by $\xi_{n_1 \dots n_k} : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow N_{2^{n_1}} \times \dots \times N_{2^{n_k}}$, i.e.,

$$\xi_{n_1 \dots n_k}(v) = \xi_{n_1 \dots n_k}(v_1 \dots v_k) = (\xi_{n_1}(v_1), \dots, \xi_{n_k}(v_k)),$$

where $n_1 + \dots + n_k = n$, and $v = v_1 \dots v_k \in \{0, 1\}^n$, $v_i \in \{0, 1\}^{n_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$.

For example, $\xi_{32}(11011) = (\xi_3(110), \xi_2(11)) = (5, 3)$.

According to the rule of Gray code map, we have that

$$\xi_{n_1 n_2}^{-1}(F_i^{n_1} \times N_{2^{n_2}}) = \xi_n^{-1}(A_i), \quad \xi_{n_1 n_2}^{-1}(N_{2^{n_1}} \times N_j) = \xi_n^{-1}(B_j).$$

Together with (12) and (13) in [19], we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_1}-1} \theta(n, \xi_{n_1 n_2}^{-1}(F_i^{n_1} \times N_{2^{n_2}})) = 2^{n-n_1} (3 \cdot 2^{2^{n_1}-3} - 2^{n_1-1}). \quad (1a)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2^{n_2}-1} \theta(n, \xi_{n_1 n_2}^{-1}(N_{2^{n_1}} \times N_j)) = 2^{n-n_2} (2^{2^{n_2}-1} - 2^{n_2-1}). \quad (1b)$$

Let $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow N_{2^{n_1}} \times N_{2^{n_2}}$ be an embedding of Q_n into $C_{2^{n_1}} \times P_{2^{n_2}}$. Theorems 5.2 and 5.1 in [19] is rewritten as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_1}-1} \theta(n, f^{-1}(F_i^{n_1} \times N_{2^{n_2}})) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_1}-1} \theta(n, \xi_{n_1 n_2}^{-1}(F_i^{n_1} \times N_{2^{n_2}})). \quad (2a)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2^{n_2}-1} \theta(n, f^{-1}(N_{2^{n_1}} \times N_j)) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{2^{n_2}-1} \theta(n, \xi_{n_1 n_2}^{-1}(N_{2^{n_1}} \times N_j)). \quad (2b)$$

Cylinder $C_{2^{n_1}} \times P_{2^{n_2}}$ can also be observed as $P_{2^{n_2}} \times C_{2^{n_1}}$. Let $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow N_{2^{n_2}} \times N_{2^{n_1}}$ be an embedding of Q_n into $P_{2^{n_2}} \times C_{2^{n_1}}$, then (2) is rewritten as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_1}-1} \theta(n, f^{-1}(N_{2^{n_2}} \times F_i^{n_1})) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_1}-1} \theta(n, \xi_{n_2 n_1}^{-1}(N_{2^{n_2}} \times F_i^{n_1})). \quad (3a)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2^{n_2}-1} \theta(n, f^{-1}(N_j \times N_{2^{n_1}})) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{2^{n_2}-1} \theta(n, \xi_{n_2 n_1}^{-1}(N_j \times N_{2^{n_1}})). \quad (3b)$$

Remark 2.4. It is seen that $\xi_{n_1 n_2}^{-1}(F_i^{n_1} \times N_{2^{n_2}}) = \xi_{n_1}^{-1}(F_i^{n_1}) \times V(Q_{n_2})$. Then, by Lemma 2.1, we get that $\theta(n, \xi_{n_1 n_2}^{-1}(F_i^{n_1} \times N_{2^{n_2}})) = \theta(n, \xi_{n_2 n_1}^{-1}(N_{2^{n_2}} \times F_i^{n_1}))$.

3. HYPBERCUBES INTO TORUS

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1 in the following procedures.

• **Labeling.** Let a binary tuple set denote the vertex set of torus $C_{2^{n_1}} \times C_{2^{n_2}}$, that is

$$V(C_{2^{n_1}} \times C_{2^{n_2}}) = \{x = (x_1, x_2) : 1 \leq x_i \leq 2^{n_i}, i = 1, 2\} = N_{2^{n_1}} \times N_{2^{n_2}}.$$

The edge set $E(C_{2^{n_1}} \times C_{2^{n_2}})$ is composed of \mathcal{E}_1 and $\cup \mathcal{E}_2$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_1 &= \{(x_1, x_2), (x'_1, x_2)\} : \{x_1, x'_1\} \in E(C_{2^{n_1}}), x_2 \in N_{2^{n_2}}\}, \\ \mathcal{E}_2 &= \{(x_1, x_2), (x_1, x'_2)\} : x_1 \in N_{2^{n_1}}, \{x_2, x'_2\} \in E(C_{2^{n_2}})\}. \end{aligned}$$

• **Partition.** Construct a partition of the edge set of torus.

Step 1. For each $i = 1, 2$, $j = 1, \dots, 2^{n_i}-1$, let \mathcal{X}_{ij} be an edge cut of the cycle $C_{2^{n_i}}$ such that \mathcal{X}_{ij} disconnects $C_{2^{n_i}}$ into two components where the induced vertex set is $F_j^{n_i}$.

Step 2. For $i = 1, 2$, denote

$$\mathcal{P}_{ij} = \bigcup_{\{x_i, x'_i\} \in \mathcal{X}_{ij}} \{(x, x') \in \mathcal{E}_i\},$$

then $\{\mathcal{P}_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq 2, 1 \leq j \leq 2^{n_i}-1\}$ is the partition of $E(C_{2^{n_1}} \times C_{2^{n_2}})$.

• **Computation.** Notice that for each i, j , \mathcal{P}_{ij} is an edge cut of the torus $C_{2^{n_1}} \times C_{2^{n_2}}$. \mathcal{P}_{1j} disconnects the torus into two components where the induced vertex set is $F_j^{n_1} \times N_{2^{n_2}}$, and \mathcal{P}_{2j} induces vertex set $N_{2^{n_1}} \times F_j^{n_2}$. See Fig.3 for an example.




FIGURE 3. (a) Edge cut \mathcal{P}_{12} disconnects $C_{2^3} \times C_{2^3}$ into two components, where the induced vertex set is $F_2^3 \times N_{2^3}$. (b) Edge cut \mathcal{P}_{23} disconnects $C_{2^3} \times C_{2^3}$ into two components, where the induced vertex set is $N_{2^3} \times F_3^3$.

Let $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow N_{2^{n_1}} \times N_{2^{n_2}}$ be an embedding of Q_n into $C_{2^{n_1}} \times C_{2^{n_2}}$. Under the partition $\{\mathcal{P}_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq 2, 1 \leq j \leq 2^{n_i-1}\}$ and Lemma 2.2, the wirelength is written as a summation related to function θ , i.e.,

$$WL(Q_n, C_{2^{n_1}} \times C_{2^{n_2}}; f) = \sum_{j=1}^{2^{n_1}-1} \theta(n, f^{-1}(F_j^{n_1} \times N_{2^{n_2}})) + \sum_{j=1}^{2^{n_2}-1} \theta(n, f^{-1}(N_{2^{n_1}} \times F_j^{n_2})). \quad (4)$$

According to Lemma 2.1 and (1a), we have that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2^{n_2}-1} \theta(n, \xi_{n_1 n_2}^{-1}(N_{2^{n_1}} \times F_j^{n_2})) = 2^{n-n_2} (3 \cdot 2^{2^{n_2}-3} - 2^{2^{n_2}-1}). \quad (5)$$

According to Lemma 2.1 and (3a), we have that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2^{n_2}-1} \theta(n, f^{-1}(N_{2^{n_1}} \times F_j^{n_2})) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{2^{n_2}-1} \theta(n, \xi_{n_1 n_2}^{-1}(N_{2^{n_1}} \times F_j^{n_2})). \quad (6)$$

Combining above three formulas and (1a), (2a), Theorem 1.1 holds.

4. HYPERCUBES INTO CARTESIAN PRODUCT OF PATHS AND/OR CYCLES

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2 in three parts. The first part follows the analogous process as Section 3. Then we obtain the wirelength under Gray code embedding. In the end, we conclude that Gray code embedding is an optimal strategy.

4.1. Computation of embedding wirelength.

• **Labeling.** Let

$$V(\mathcal{G}) = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_k) : x_i \in N_{2^{n_i}}, 1 \leq i \leq k\} = N_{2^{n_1}} \times \dots \times N_{2^{n_k}}$$

be the vertex set of Cartesian product \mathcal{G} of k paths and/or cycles. The edge set $E(\mathcal{G})$ of Cartesian product \mathcal{G} is composed of all edges \mathcal{E}_i corresponding to k paths and/or cycles, denoted by $E(\mathcal{G}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \mathcal{E}_i$.

• **Partition.** Construct a partition of the edge set of Cartesian product \mathcal{G} .

Step 1. For each $i = 1, \dots, k$, $j = 1, \dots, 2^{n_i}-1$, \mathcal{X}_{ij} is described earlier in Section 3. For each $i = 1, \dots, k$, $j = 1, \dots, 2^{n_i} - 1$, let \mathcal{Y}_{ij} be an edge cut of the path $P_{2^{n_i}}$ such that \mathcal{Y}_{ij} disconnects $P_{2^{n_i}}$ into two components where the induced vertex set is N_j .

Notation. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, let q_i be $2^{n_i}-1$ if $\mathcal{G}_i = C_{2^{n_i}}$ and $2^{n_i} - 1$ otherwise $\mathcal{G}_i = P_{2^{n_i}}$. For $j = 1, \dots, q_i$, denote

$$\mathcal{F}_{ij} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{X}_{ij}, & \text{if } \mathcal{G}_i = C_{2^{n_i}}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{ij}, & \text{if } \mathcal{G}_i = P_{2^{n_i}}. \end{cases}$$

Step 2. For $i = 1, \dots, k$, $j = 1, \dots, q_i$, denote

$$\mathcal{P}_{ij} = \bigcup_{\{x_i, x'_i\} \in \mathcal{F}_{ij}} \{\{x, x'\} \in \mathcal{E}_i\},$$

then $\{\mathcal{P}_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq q_i\}$ is a partition of $E(\mathcal{G})$.

• **Computation.** Notice that for each i, j , \mathcal{P}_{ij} is an edge cut of Cartesian product \mathcal{G} . Define a vertex set \mathcal{A}_{ij} to be $F_j^{n_i}$ if $\mathcal{G}_i = C_{2^{n_i}}$ and N_j otherwise $\mathcal{G}_i = P_{2^{n_i}}$.

Notation.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{1j} &= \mathcal{A}_{1j} \times N_{2^{n_2}} \times \dots \times N_{2^{n_k}}, \quad \mathcal{B}_{kj} = N_{2^{n_1}} \times \dots \times N_{2^{n_{k-1}}} \times \mathcal{A}_{kj}, \\ \mathcal{B}_{ij} &= N_{2^{n_1}} \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}_{ij} \times \dots \times N_{2^{n_k}}, \quad 1 < i < k. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

It is seen that \mathcal{P}_{ij} disconnects \mathcal{G} into two components where the induced vertex set \mathcal{B}_{ij} . Let $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow N_{2^{n_1}} \times \dots \times N_{2^{n_k}}$ be an embedding of Q_n into \mathcal{G} . Under the partition $\{\mathcal{P}_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq q_i\}$ and Lemma 2.2, the wirelength is written as a summation related to function θ , i.e.,

$$WL(Q_n, \mathcal{G}; f) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{q_i} \theta(n, f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_{ij})). \quad (8)$$

4.2. The wirelength under Gray code embedding.

We deal with the wirelength under Gray code embedding in two cases: one is that \mathcal{G}_i is cycle $C_{2^{n_i}}$, and the other is that \mathcal{G}_i is path $P_{2^{n_i}}$. In the following, set $1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq q_i$.

Lemma 4.1. *If \mathcal{G}_i is cycle $C_{2^{n_i}}$, then we have that*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{q_i} \theta(n, \xi_{n_1 \dots n_k}^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_{ij})) = 2^{n-n_i} (3 \cdot 2^{2^{n_i}-3} - 2^{n_i-1}).$$

Proof. By the Notation (7), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{n_1 \dots n_k}^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_{ij}) &= \xi_{n_1 \dots n_k}^{-1}(N_{2^{n_1}} \times \dots \times F_j^{n_i} \times \dots \times N_{2^{n_k}}) \\ &= V(Q_{n_1}) \times \dots \times \xi_{n_i}^{-1}(F_j^{n_i}) \times \dots \times V(Q_{n_k}) \\ &= V(Q_{n_1 + \dots + n_{i-1}}) \times \xi_{n_i}^{-1}(F_j^{n_i}) \times V(Q_{n_{i+1} + \dots + n_k}).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by Lemma 2.1, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&\theta(n, V(Q_{n_1 + \dots + n_{i-1}}) \times \xi_{n_i}^{-1}(F_j^{n_i}) \times V(Q_{n_{i+1} + \dots + n_k})) \\ &= \theta(n, \xi_{n_i}^{-1}(F_j^{n_i}) \times V(Q_{n_{i+1} + \dots + n_k}) \times V(Q_{n_1 + \dots + n_{i-1}})) \\ &= \theta(n, \xi_{n_i}^{-1}(F_j^{n_i}) \times V(Q_{n - n_i})).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Lemma 4.1 follows from (1a). \square

Similarly, we write the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *If \mathcal{G}_i is path $P_{2^{n_i}}$, then we have that*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{q_i} \theta(n, \xi_{n_1 \dots n_k}^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_{ij})) = 2^{n-n_i}(2^{2^{n_i}-1} - 2^{n_i-1}).$$

Combining (8), Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, we get the wirelength under Gray code embedding of hypercube into Cartesian product of paths and/or cycles. That is

$$WL(Q_n, \mathcal{G}; \xi_{n_1 \dots n_k}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{L}_i,$$

where \mathcal{L}_i is defined in Theorem 1.2.

4.3. Minimum wirelength.

We show that Gray code embedding wirelength is the lower bound of wirelength for hypercube into Cartesian product of paths and/or cycles. According to (8), it is sufficient to prove that

Lemma 4.3. *Let $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow N_{2^{n_1}} \times \dots \times N_{2^{n_k}}$ be an embedding of Q_n into \mathcal{G} , then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{q_i} \theta(n, f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_{ij})) \geq \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{q_i} \theta(n, \xi_{n_1 \dots n_k}^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_{ij})).$$

Proof. To prove this lemma, we only consider that $i = 1$, since a similar argument works for the other $2 \leq i \leq k$.

• **Case 1.** $\mathcal{G}_1 = C_{2^{n_1}}$.

For $1 \leq j \leq q_1 = 2^{n_1-1}$, $f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_{1j}) = f^{-1}(F_j^{n_1} \times N_{2^{n_2}} \times \dots \times N_{2^{n_k}})$. Define a bijective map f_1 from $N_{2^{n_1}} \times N_{2^{n_2}} \times \dots \times N_{2^{n_k}}$ to $N_{2^{n_1}} \times N_{2^{n-n_1}}$, where

$$f_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = (x_1, x_k + \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} (x_i - 1) 2^{\sum_{j=i+1}^k n_j}).$$

It is clear that $f_1(F_j^{n_1} \times N_{2^{n_2}} \times \dots \times N_{2^{n_k}}) = F_j^{n_1} \times N_{2^{n-n_1}}$. Moreover, we have that

$$f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_{1j}) = f^{-1} \circ f_1^{-1}(F_j^{n_1} \times N_{2^{n-n_1}}) = (f_1 \circ f)^{-1}(F_j^{n_1} \times N_{2^{n-n_1}}).$$

Notice that $f_1 \circ f$ is an arbitrary map from $\{0, 1\}^n$ to $N_{2^{n_1}} \times N_{2^{n-n_1}}$, then, by (2a), we have that $\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_1}-1} \theta(n, f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_{1j})) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_1}-1} \theta(n, \xi_{n_1}^{-1}(F_j^{n_1}) \times V(Q_{n-n_1}))$. Therefore, we conclude that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_1}-1} \theta(n, f^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_{1j})) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_1}-1} \theta(n, \xi_{n_1 \dots n_k}^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_{1j})). \quad (9)$$

• **Case 2.** $\mathcal{G}_1 = P_{2^{n_1}}$. By a similar analysis, we also get (9).

Combining **Case 1** and **Case 2**, the case for $i = 1$ is proved. Thus the lemma holds. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Theorem 1.2 follows from Subsection 4.1 to 4.3.

Acknowledgements The author is grateful to Prof. Qinghui Liu for his thorough review and suggestions. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, No.11871098.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. L. Bezrukov, J. D. Chavez, L. H. Harper, M. Röttger, U. P. Schroeder, Embedding of hypercubes into grids, In: Brim L., Gruska J., Zlatuka J. (eds) Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science 1998, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 1450, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 693–701.
- [2] M. Arockiaraj, A. J. Shalini, Conjectures on wirelength of hypercube into cylinder and torus, Theoretical Computer Science, 595(2015), 168–171.
- [3] Micheal Arockiaraj, Jia-Bao Liu, J. Nancy Delaila and Arul Jeya Shalini, On the optimal layout of balanced complete multipartite graphs into grids and tree related structures, Discrete Applied Mathematics, 288(2021), 50–65.
- [4] P. Manuel, M. Arockiaraj, I. Rajasingh, B. Rajan, Embedding hypercubes into cylinders, snakes and caterpillars for minimizing wirelength, Discrete Applied Mathematics, 159(2011), 2109–2116.
- [5] T. Hoeffler and M. Snir, Generic topology mapping strategies for large-scale parallel architectures, ICS '11: Proceedings of the international conference on Supercomputing, May(2011), 75–84. <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1995896.1995909>.
- [6] L. H. Harper, Optimal assignments of numbers to vertices, J. SIAM 12:1 (1964), 131–135.
- [7] A. J. Bernstein, Maximality connected arrays on the n -cube, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 15:6(1967), 1485–1489.
- [8] J. A. Ellis, Embedding rectangular grids into square grids. IEEE Trans. Comput., 40:1(1991), 46–52.
- [9] D. Sotteau and J. Opatrny, Embeddings of complete binary trees into grids and extended grids with total vertex-congestion 1, Discrete Applied Mathematics, 98(2000), 237–254.
- [10] B. Doina, W. B. Wolfgang, B. Natasa, L. Shahram, An optimal embedding of honeycomb networks into hypercubes, Parallel Processing Letters, 14(2004), 367–375.
- [11] J. Fan J and X. Jia, Embedding meshes into crossed cubes, Information Sciences, 177:15(2007), 3151–3160.
- [12] Jia-Bao Liu, Arul Jeya Shalini, Micheal Arockiaraj, and J. Nancy Delaila, Characterization of the Congestion Lemma on Layout Computation, Journal of Mathematics, Article ID 2984703(2021), <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/2984703>.
- [13] Junming Xu, Topological Structure and Analysis of Interconnection Networks, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2001, pp 105.
- [14] B. Chen, On embedding rectangular grids in hypercubes, IEEE Trans. Comput., 37:10(1988), 1285–1288.

- [15] P. Manuel, I. Rajasingh, B. Rajan and H. Mercy, Exact wirelength of hypercube on a grid, Discrete Applied Mathematics, 157:7(2009), 1486–1495.
- [16] Indra Rajasingh, Micheal Arockiaraj, Bharati Rajan and Paul Manuel, Minimum wirelength of hypercubes into n –dimensional grid networks, Information Processing Letters, 112(2012), 583–586.
- [17] R.S.Rajan, I. Rajasingh, N.Parthiban, T.M. Rajalaxmi, A linear time algorithm for embedding hypercube into cylinder and torus, Theoretical Computer Science, 542(2014),108–115.
- [18] Qinghui Liu and Zhiyi Tang, A rigorous proof on circular wirelength for hypercubes, Acta Mathematica Scientia, 43B:2(2023), 919–941.
- [19] Zhiyi Tang, Optimal embedding of hypercube into cylinder, Theoretical Computer Science, 923(2022), 327–336.
- [20] L. H. Harper, Global methods for isoperimetric problems, Cambridge university press, 2004, Chapter 1.
- [21] C. Rashtchian, W. Raynaud, Edge isoperimetric inequalities for powers of the hypercube, 2022, latest arXiv:1909.10435.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, HUBEI POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY, HUANGSHI 435003, PR CHINA.

Email address: tangzhiyi@hbpu.edu.cn