

SEMICLASSICAL ANALYSIS, GEOMETRIC REPRESENTATION AND QUANTUM ERGODICITY

MINGHUI MA, QIAOCHU MA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove the equidistribution property of high-frequency eigensections of the Laplacian on a certain series of unitary flat bundles, using the mixture of semiclassical and geometric quantizations.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Backgrounds.** The *quantum ergodicity* (QE) was established by Shnirelman [42], Colin de Verdière [19] and Zelditch [43], which states that on a compact Riemannian manifold whose geodesic flow is *ergodic* with respect to the Liouville measure, the Laplacian has a *density one* subsequence of eigenfunctions that tends to be *equidistributed*.

Bolte-Glaser [12], Jakobson-Strohmaier [25] and Jakobson-Strohmaier-Zelditch [26] considered the QE of some geometric differential operators, such as the Dirac operator and the Dolbeault Laplacian, acting on sections of vector bundles.

This paper aims to present the QE of *unitary flat bundles*. Their nontrivial holonomy leads to intriguing global phenomena, as in the Aharonov-Bohm effect. In the following, we explain our main results in detail.

1.2. Main results.

1.2.1. *The QE for one bundle.* Let (X, g^{TX}) be an m -dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with the Levi-Civita connection ∇^{TX} and the volume form dv_X induced by g^{TX} . Let S^*X be the unit cotangent bundle of X , called the *phase space*, and let $(g_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ denote the geodesic flow on S^*X , obtained by identifying T^*X with TX through g^{TX} .

Let U be a compact connected Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{u} and $\rho: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow U$ a representation. Let (V_μ, h^{V_μ}) be an *irreducible* unitary representation of U with highest weight $\mu \in \mathfrak{u}^*$. Let \tilde{X} be the universal covering of X . We define

$$F_\mu = \tilde{X} \times_{\pi_1(X)} V_\mu = \{(\tilde{x}, v) \in \tilde{X} \times V_\mu\} / ((\tilde{x}, v) \sim (\tilde{x}\gamma, \rho(\gamma^{-1})v)) \quad \text{for } \gamma \in \pi_1(X). \quad (1.1)$$

Then F_μ is a flat vector bundle over X with a natural flat connection ∇^{F_μ} , and h^{V_μ} induces a Hermitian metric h^{F_μ} on F_μ , which is *parallel* with respect to ∇^{F_μ} .

Let Δ^{F_μ} be the (nonnegative) Laplacian acting on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X, F_\mu)$. For a local orthonormal frame $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^m$ of TX , we have

$$\Delta^{F_\mu} = -(\nabla_{e_i}^{F_\mu})^2 + \nabla_{\nabla_{e_i}^{TX} e_i}^{F_\mu}. \quad (1.2)$$

We list all the eigenvalues $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$ of Δ^{F_μ} counted with multiplicity and the associated orthonormal eigensections:

$$\Delta^{F_\mu} u_j = \lambda_j u_j, \quad \|u_j\|_{L^2(X, F_\mu)} = 1. \quad (1.3)$$

To simplify the notation, we shall write an additional overline on a trace operator or measure to signify the “normalized” one in our subsequent discussion. For instance, $\overline{\text{Tr}}^{F_\mu} = \frac{1}{\dim F_\mu} \text{Tr}^{F_\mu}$, $d\overline{v}_X = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(X)} dv_X$. We now state the integrant QE for F_μ .

Theorem 1.1. *If the geodesic flow $(g_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on S^*X is Anosov, and $\rho(\pi_1(X)) \subset U$, given in (1.1), is dense, then for any $0 < a < b < +\infty$ and $A \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, \text{End}(F_\mu))$, we have*

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{(2\pi h)^m}{\dim F_\mu} \sum_{ah^{-2} \leq \lambda_j \leq bh^{-2}} \left| \langle Au_j, u_j \rangle_{L^2(X, F_\mu)} - \int_X \overline{\text{Tr}}^{F_\mu}[A] d\overline{v}_X \right|^2 = 0. \quad (1.4)$$

By Theorem 1.1 and a diagonal argument, we get the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *There is a subset $\mathbb{B} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^*$ such that*

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|\{j \in \mathbb{B} \mid \lambda_j \leq \lambda\}|}{|\{j \in \mathbb{N}^* \mid \lambda_j \leq \lambda\}|} = 1, \quad (1.5)$$

and for any $A \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, \text{End}(F_\mu))$, we have

$$\lim_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{B}, \\ j \rightarrow +\infty}} \langle Au_j, u_j \rangle_{L^2(X, F_\mu)} = \int_X \overline{\text{Tr}}^{F_\mu}[A] d\overline{v}_X. \quad (1.6)$$

Theorem 1.2 consists of two components. The limit (1.5) is a density 1 condition as depicted in Figure 1, asserting that the ratio of blue points converges to 1 when λ tends to infinity. And (1.6) is an equidistribution result for blue points.




FIGURE 1.

Let us now discuss a special case where U in (1.1) is $\text{SU}(2)$. The group $\text{SU}(2)$ acts on \mathbb{C}^2 as well as its p -th symmetric power $\text{Sym}^p(\mathbb{C}^2)$ for $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and these are all nontrivial irreducible representations of $\text{SU}(2)$. Given a representation $\rho: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{SU}(2)$, we put

$$F_p = \tilde{X} \times_{\pi_1(X)} \text{Sym}^p(\mathbb{C}^2), \quad (1.7)$$

then the Laplacian Δ^{F_p} is the *Schrödinger-Pauli* spin $p/2$ operator, see Bolte-Glaser [11] for a QE on Euclidean spaces with spin $1/2$. We list all eigenvalues $\{\lambda_{p,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ and their normalized eigensections $\{u_{p,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ as in (1.3).

For a concrete example, let us consider a genus 2 hyperbolic surface $\Gamma_2 \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$, where $\Gamma_2 \subset \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and $\Gamma_2 \cong \{a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \mid [a_1, b_1] \cdot [a_2, b_2] = 1\}$. Note that in hyperbolic geometry, one usually uses the left action, which is equivalent to the right one in (1.1) by setting $x\gamma = \gamma^{-1}x$. We choose an *irrational number* $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and set

$$\rho(a_1) = \rho(b_1) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\theta\pi/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\theta\pi/2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho(a_2) = \rho(b_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta\pi}{2} & i \sin \frac{\theta\pi}{2} \\ i \sin \frac{\theta\pi}{2} & \cos \frac{\theta\pi}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.8)$$

then ρ extends to a representation $\rho: \Gamma_2 \rightarrow \text{SU}(2)$, and $\rho(\Gamma_2) \subset \text{SU}(2)$ is dense. For each $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, the following functionals satisfy Theorems 1.1 and 1.2:

$$A \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Gamma_2 \backslash \mathbb{H}^2, \Gamma_2 \backslash (\mathbb{H}^2 \times \text{End}(\text{Sym}^p \mathbb{C}^2))) \mapsto \langle Au_{p,j}, u_{p,j} \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma_2 \backslash \mathbb{H}^2, \Gamma_2 \backslash (\mathbb{H}^2 \times \text{Sym}^p \mathbb{C}^2))}. \quad (1.9)$$

Since now we have two parameters (p, j) , we may ask, is the tendency of quantum states to become equidistributed uniform with respect to $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$? Alternatively, given

sequences $\{p_\ell, j_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ with $\lim_{\ell \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_{p_\ell, j_\ell} = +\infty$, does $\{u_{p_\ell, j_\ell}\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ tend to be equidistributed? While first, we need to make sense of “equidistributed”, since we are working with sections of *different bundles*. One possible approach is to test $\bigcap_{p \in \mathbb{N}^*} \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, \text{End}(F_p)) = \mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$, using probability measures

$$\|u_{p,j}(x)\|_{\text{Sym}^p(\mathbb{C}^2)}^2 dv_X(x) \quad (1.10)$$

on $\Gamma_2 \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$. But this is too coarse, killing the rich structure of $\{u_{p,j}\}_{p,j \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ on the fibre.

To deal with this problem, we turn to our main result.

1.2.2. The QE for a series of bundles. First, we reinterpret $\{u_{p,j}\}_{p,j \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ using geometric representation. Put $\mathbb{S}^3 = \{(z_0, z_1) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid |z_0|^2 + |z_1|^2 = 1\}$ and $\mathbb{S}^1 = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| = 1\}$, then the *Hopf fibration* gives $\mathbb{S}^3/\mathbb{S}^1 \cong \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$. The Fubini-Study metric ω_{FS} on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ is

$$\omega_{\text{FS}} = \frac{i}{2\pi} \frac{dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{(1 + |z|^2)^2} \quad (1.11)$$

when restricted to the open set $\{z_0 \neq 0\}$ with local coordinate $z = z_1/z_0$.

We can view $\text{Sym}^p(\mathbb{C}^2)$ as the space of homogeneous polynomial functions of degree p with two complex variables (z_0, z_1) :

$$\text{Sym}^p(\mathbb{C}^2) = \{a_0 z_0^p + a_1 z_0^{p-1} z_1 + \cdots + a_p z_1^p \mid a_0, \dots, a_p \in \mathbb{C}\}, \quad (1.12)$$

and for $g \in \text{SU}(2)$, $s \in \text{Sym}^p(\mathbb{C}^2)$, the action $g \cdot s$ is given by

$$(g \cdot s)(z_0, z_1) = s(g^{-1} \cdot (z_0, z_1)). \quad (1.13)$$

For $s, s' \in \text{Sym}^p(\mathbb{C}^2)$, the function $s \cdot \overline{s'}: (z_0, z_1) \in \mathbb{S}^3 \mapsto s(z_0, z_1) \cdot \overline{s'(z_0, z_1)}$ is \mathbb{S}^1 -invariant, which descends to a smooth function on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$. This gives a metric on $\text{Sym}^p(\mathbb{C}^2)$ and an orthonormal basis:

$$\langle s, s' \rangle_{\text{Sym}^p(\mathbb{C}^2)} = \int_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1} s(z) \cdot \overline{s'(z)} \omega_{\text{FS}}(z), \quad \left\{ \left((p+1) \binom{p}{j} \right)^{1/2} z_0^j z_1^{p-j} \right\}_{0 \leq j \leq p}. \quad (1.14)$$

Thus, for each $u \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, F_p)$, locally $u(x) \in \text{Sym}^p(\mathbb{C}^2) \subset \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{S}^3)$ and the function $z \mapsto |u(x, z)|_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ is in $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1)$. Set a flat $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle \mathcal{N} over X by

$$\mathcal{N} = \widetilde{X} \times_{\pi_1(X)} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1, \quad (1.15)$$

where $\pi_1(X)$ acts on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ through $\rho: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{SU}(2)$. Patching together, $(x, z) \mapsto |u(x, z)|_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ globally defines a smooth function in $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{N})$. Let $dv_{\mathcal{N}}(x, z)$ denote the measure on \mathcal{N} locally given by $dv_X(x) \omega_{\text{FS}}(z)$. We can now apply probability measures $\{|u_{p,j}|_{\mathbb{C}}^2 dv_{\mathcal{N}}\}_{p,j \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ on \mathcal{N} , locally written as

$$|u_{p,j}(x, z)|_{\mathbb{C}}^2 dv_X(x) \omega_{\text{FS}}(z), \quad (1.16)$$

to test functions in $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{N})$, which is much finer than (1.10). This can be easily seen by identifying $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$ with the set of fibrewise constant functions in $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{N})$.

Now we state our main result.

Theorem 1.3. *If the geodesic flow $(g_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on S^*X is Anosov, and $\rho(\pi_1(X)) \subset \text{SU}(2)$, given in (1.1), is dense, then for any $0 < a < b < +\infty$ and $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{N})$, we have*

$$\limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} \sup_{p \in \mathbb{N}^*} \frac{(2\pi h)^m}{\dim F_p} \sum_{ah^{-2} \leq \lambda_{p,j} \leq bh^{-2}} \left| \int_{\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{A} |u_{p,j}|_{\mathbb{C}}^2 dv_{\mathcal{N}} - \int_{\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{A} d\bar{v}_{\mathcal{N}} \right|^2 = 0, \quad (1.17)$$

where $\dim F_p = p + 1$ by (1.7) and (1.12).

By (1.17) we get the following uniform quantum ergodicity (UQE).

Theorem 1.4. *There is a subset $\mathbb{B} \subseteq (\mathbb{N}^*)^2$ with uniform density one*

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{p \in \mathbb{N}^*} \frac{|\{(p, j) \in \mathbb{B} \mid \lambda_{p,j} \leq \lambda\}|}{|\{(p, j) \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^2 \mid \lambda_{p,j} \leq \lambda\}|} = 1, \quad (1.18)$$

such that, for any $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{N})$, we have the uniform limit

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{\substack{(p,j) \in \mathbb{B}, \\ \lambda_{p,j} \geq \lambda}} \left| \int_{\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{A} |u_{p,j}|_{\mathbb{C}}^2 dv_{\mathcal{N}} - \int_{\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{A} d\bar{v}_{\mathcal{N}} \right| = 0. \quad (1.19)$$

Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 confirm our intuition that the quantum states tend to be equidistributed not only on the *base manifold* X but on the *total space* \mathcal{N} as well. They are non-trivial, since functions given in (1.14) are *not* equidistributed on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$. This is an easy consequence of the Stirling formula applied to the projection of $\{(z_0, z_1) \in \mathbb{S}^3 \mid |z_0| \leq 1/2\}$ to $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$, which gives

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{\substack{p/3 \leq j \leq 2p/3, \\ \{(z_0, z_1) \in \mathbb{S}^3 \mid |z_0| \leq 1/2\}}} ((p+1) \binom{p}{j})^{1/2} |z_0^j z_1^{p-j}| = 0. \quad (1.20)$$

Therefore, if $\{F_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ in (1.7) is induced by the trivial representation $\rho: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(2)$, neither (1.17) nor (1.19) holds.

Moreover, Theorem 1.3 is clearly a stronger *uniform version* of Theorem 1.1 for $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Note that the factor $\dim F_\mu$ in (1.4) is redundant, while $\dim F_p$ in (1.17) is necessary.

Furthermore, in comparison with Theorem 1.2, the two components in Theorem 1.4, namely the density one condition and the equidistribution result, are both *uniform* with respect to $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$. To illustrate this, if we straightforwardly apply Theorem 1.2 for each of $\{F_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}^*}$, we only obtain a set $\mathbb{B} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{*,2}$ that satisfies a weakened form of (1.18), by replacing $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{p \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ with $\inf_{p \in \mathbb{N}^*} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty}$ therein, in other words, for each row, the ratio of blue points converges to 1. However, in the global two-dimensional context, this set is usually tiny, as shown on the left-hand side of Figure 2. We exclude $0 \leq j \leq 2^{p-1} - 1$, only a finite number of points, so the proportion of blue points converges to 1 for each row, but at a global level, the set of blue points is extremely small. The limit (1.18) asserts that the convergence rate within each row is uniform with respect to $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, as depicted on the right-hand side of Figure 2. This is a more appropriate density one condition in two dimensional. Also, the convergence in (1.19) only requires that a blue




FIGURE 2.

point corresponds to a large eigenvalue $\lambda_{p,j} \geq \lambda$, independent of $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

In particular, according to (1.8), Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 are applicable to the following probability measures on $\mathcal{N} = \Gamma_2 \backslash (\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{CP}^1)$,

$$|u_{p,j}(x, z)|_{\mathbb{C}}^2 dv_{\Gamma_2 \backslash \mathbb{H}^2}(x) \omega_{\text{FS}}(z). \quad (1.21)$$

1.3. Main technique. The proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are rather classical, while the key technique leading to Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 is the “*mixed quantization*” as explained in § 4.3, which brings together semiclassical and geometric quantizations.

Let us consider the tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ over \mathbb{CP}^1 given by

$$\mathcal{O}(-1) = \{(z, v) \in \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{C}^2 \mid v \in z\}, \quad (1.22)$$

which has a metric $h^{\mathcal{O}(-1)}$ induced by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2}$. We set $\mathcal{O}(1) = \mathcal{O}(-1)^*$ and $\mathcal{O}(p) = \mathcal{O}(1)^{\otimes p}$, the p -th tensor power of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ for $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, with a metric $h^{\mathcal{O}(p)}$ given by $h^{\mathcal{O}(-1)}$, then $c_1(\mathcal{O}(1), h^{\mathcal{O}(1)}) = \omega_{\text{FS}}$. Let $H^{(0,0)}(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{O}(p))$ denote the set of holomorphic sections of $\mathcal{O}(p)$. Each $s \in \text{Sym}^p(\mathbb{C}^2)$ corresponds to a function on $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ by $(z, v) \mapsto s(v)$, and this gives an isomorphism

$$\text{Sym}^p(\mathbb{C}^2) \cong H^{(0,0)}(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{O}(p)). \quad (1.23)$$

Then the L^2 -metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2}$ on $H^{(0,0)}(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{O}(p))$ induced by $(h^{\mathcal{O}(p)}, \omega_{\text{FS}})$ is just the metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{Sym}^p(\mathbb{C}^2)}$ in (1.14) through (1.23).

For $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{N})$, we set $T_{\mathcal{A},p} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, \text{End}(F_p))$ such that for each $x \in X$ and $v, w \in F_{p,x} \cong H^{(0,0)}(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{O}(p))$, $T_{\mathcal{A},p}(x)$ satisfies

$$\langle T_{\mathcal{A},p}(x)v, w \rangle_{L^2} = \int_{\mathbb{CP}^1} \mathcal{A}(x, z) \langle v(z), w(z) \rangle_{h^{\mathcal{O}(p)}} \omega_{\text{FS}}(z), \quad (1.24)$$

then we rewrite the following term in (1.17) locally of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{A} |u_{p,j}|_{\mathbb{C}}^2 dv_{\mathcal{N}} &= \int_X \left(\int_{\mathbb{CP}^1} \mathcal{A}(x, z) |u_{p,j}(x, z)|_{h^{\mathcal{O}(p)}}^2 \omega_{\text{FS}}(z) \right) dv_X(x) \\ &= \int_X \langle T_{\mathcal{A},p}(x)u_{p,j}(x), u_{p,j}(x) \rangle_{F_p} dv_X(x) \\ &= \langle \text{Op}_h(T_{\mathcal{A},p})u_{p,j}, u_{p,j} \rangle_{L^2(X, F_p)}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.25)$$

In (1.24), $T_{\mathcal{A},p}$ is indeed the *Berezin-Toeplitz quantization* of \mathcal{A} along the fibre \mathbb{CP}^1 , and in (1.25), $\text{Op}_h(\cdot)$ is the *Weyl quantization*, even though $T_{\mathcal{A},p}(x)u_{p,j}(x)$ is the direct product without momentum variables.

This explains the relevance of our results to two quantizations: *high-frequency eigensections are governed by the Weyl quantization and the behavior of an infinite number of linear spaces are regulated by the Berezin-Toeplitz quantization. Combining them enables simultaneous control of the high-frequency eigensections of an infinite number of bundles.* Note that in our proof, we only use very fundamental properties of these two quantizations, and their deeper combination requires further investigation.

Moreover, the dynamic system corresponding to the UQE is not the geodesic flow on S^*X , but the *horizontal geodesic flow* on $S^*X \times_{\pi_1(X)} \mathbb{CP}^1$ as in Definition 4.4, which locally is the geodesic flow along the base manifold S^*X , and it is a *partial hyperbolic flow* that acts isomorphically along the vertical direction. We refer to Pesin [35] for an introduction to partial hyperbolicity.

Remark 1.5. In Theorems 1.3, 1.4, we restricted ourselves to the spin $p/2$ Laplacian on a hyperbolic surface only for simplicity. In fact, everything goes through for the assumption of Theorem 1.1, the main difference being the replacement of F_p by $F_{p\mu}$ give in (1.1) and the substitution of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ with the coadjoint orbit of μ . Moreover, the proofs of Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3, 1.4 provide general equidistribution results involving the phase space S^*X .

1.4. Motivation. Our motivation stems from the study of the torsion invariant of flat bundles. Let (F, ∇^F) be a flat bundle over X with metric h^F , Ray-Singer [36] defined their *analytic torsion* $T(X, F)$ as an analogue of the *Reidemeister-Franz torsion* [37, 24]. These two torsions coincide for unitary flat bundles by Cheeger [18] and Müller [33]. Bismut-Zhang [10] generalized this to arbitrary flat vector bundles.

As a global spectral invariant, the analytic torsion is difficult to calculate explicitly in general. Bergeron-Venkatesh [6] discussed the asymptotics of analytic torsions of quotients of symmetric spaces by a decreasing sequence of lattices in an underlying Lie group, and studied the growth of torsion elements in the homology of an arithmetic group. For a compact 3-dimensional hyperbolic manifold $X = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^3$ where $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $\mathbf{F}_p = \Gamma \backslash (\mathbb{H}^3 \times \mathrm{Sym}^p(\mathbb{C}^2))$, Müller [34] obtained $\lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} p^{-2} T(X, \mathbf{F}_p) = (4\pi)^{-1} \mathrm{Vol}(X)$ using the Selberg trace formula. In [8, 9], Bismut-Ma-Zhang gave a general construction of a family of flat vector bundles $\{\mathbf{F}_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ on any compact manifold and they computed the leading term in the asymptotics of analytic torsions, see also [29] for the existence of a full expansion and a formula for the subleading term.

However, all these asymptotic torsion results are only applicable to *strong acyclic* flat bundles [14, Proposition II.6.12], or equivalently *nondegenerate* flat bundles [9, Proposition 8.12]. These bundles can be roughly described as very *nonunitary*, similar to the case Müller considered above. Moreover, $T(X, F)$ is *not* a topological invariant when X is even dimensional.

Then it seems natural to ask, in the cases where the above asymptotic torsion results do not cover, like unitary flat bundles over a Riemannian surface as in (1.9) and (1.21), can we find other asymptotic spectral information? This motivates us to consider the equidistribution property of eigensections. Note that we are inspired by Bismut-Ma-Zhang [9] in various aspects. For instance, $\{F_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ in (4.7) is a unitary analogue of their construction of $\{\mathbf{F}_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ in [9, §4.1], and they use differential operators with coefficients in Berezin-Toeplitz quantization in [9, §9.8].

After Shnirelman's original contribution, there have been many QE type results in different settings, for example, X has a boundary by Zelditch-Zworski [45], X is non-compact by Zelditch [44], and the large scale limit on manifolds by Le Masson-Sahlstén [27] and regular graphs by Anantharaman-Le Masson [3], which might be compared with Bergeron-Venkatesh [6]. For a detailed overview of results on QE and related subjects, we refer to the book by Anantharaman [2] and review papers by Dyatlov [20] and Sarnak [39]. As we discussed earlier, the analytic torsion and the equidistribution property may be viewed as two sides of the spectral geometry of flat bundles, and there are already some results analogous to each other, so we can also expect to study the QE of flat bundles in more different geometric settings.

1.5. Organization of the paper. This paper is organized as follows. In § 2, we give some fundamental tools for the semiclassical analysis of unitary flat bundles. In § 3, we prove the general version of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. In § 4, we give the generalization of

Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. In § 5, we detail more examples to which the main results are applicable and discuss the set of semiclassical measures.

1.6. Notations. In the whole paper, for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$, we denote $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i$, $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_k^{\alpha_k}$, $\partial_x^\alpha = \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1}} \dots \frac{\partial^{\alpha_k}}{\partial x_k^{\alpha_k}}$. In inequalities, when the dependence of a constant on parameters is crucial, we indicate the parameters on which they depend.

Acknowledgment. We would like to express our gratitude to Stephane Nonnenmacher for careful reading and many corrections for an earlier version of this manuscript. We thank Dennis Sullivan for suggesting the denseness condition in Proposition 4.7. We are grateful to Yves Coudène, Yulin Gong, Antonin Guilloux, Long Jin, Dave Witte Morris and Akshay Venkatesh for helpful discussions and suggestions. QM was supported by the NSF grant DMS-1952669.

2. SEMICLASSICAL ANALYSIS ON FLAT BUNDLES

This section is organized as follows. In § 2.1, we recall some basic facts on the Weyl quantization, for more details we refer to Zworski [46, § 4, 9, 14, 15]. In § 2.2, we review the local Weyl law of the Laplacian. In § 2.3, we give the Egorov theorem, which links the classical and quantum evolutions.

2.1. Weyl quantization. Let us fix a finite good cover $\{U_\alpha\}$ of X by coordinate charts as in [16, Theorem 5.1], demanding any finite intersection $U_{\alpha_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{\alpha_k}$ to be *differentially contractible*. Then for any unitary flat vector bundle (F, ∇^F) on X with a (flat) Hermitian metric h^F , it is induced by a representation $\rho: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{U}_{\dim F}$,

$$F = \tilde{X} \times_{\pi_1(X)} \mathbb{C}^{\dim F}. \quad (2.1)$$

We can choose local sections $\{v_{\alpha,i} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U_\alpha, F)\}_{i=1}^{\dim F}$ on each U_α such that $\nabla^F v_{\alpha,i} = 0$ and $\langle v_{\alpha,i}, v_{\alpha,j} \rangle_{h^F} = \delta_{i,j}$. This gives a local trivialization $F|_{U_\alpha} \cong \mathbb{C}^{\dim F}$ that the transition functions $\phi_{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta, \mathrm{U}_{\dim F})$ are indeed *constant*. Let $\|\cdot\|_F$ be the norm on F induced by h^F and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{End}(F)}$ the associated *operator norm* on $\mathrm{End}(F)$.

Let $\{\phi_\alpha\}$ be a partition of unity with respect to $\{U_\alpha\}$. Then we set the semiclassical Sobolev norms: for any $0 < h \leq 1$ and $s \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, F)$,

$$\|s\|_{H_h^k(X, F)}^2 = \begin{cases} \sum_\alpha \sum_{|\beta| \leq k} h^{2|\beta|} \|\partial_x^\beta(\phi_\alpha s)\|_{L^2(U_\alpha, F)}^2, & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \sup_{\|s'\|_{H_h^{-k}(X, F)}=1} |\langle s, s' \rangle_{L^2(X, F)}|^2, & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{N}, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

and we denote the associated completion of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X, F)$ by $H_h^k(X, F)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Note that we have a natural projection $\pi: T^*X \rightarrow X$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we say that a smooth section $A(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(T^*X, \pi^*\mathrm{End}(F))$ is in the symbol class S_F^k if and only if for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, the following Kohn-Nirenberg norm is finite:

$$|A|_j^{(k)} = \max_{\substack{|\beta|, |\gamma| \leq j, \\ U_\alpha \in \{U_\alpha\}}} \sup_{x \in U_\alpha, \xi \in T_x^*X} \langle \xi \rangle^{-k+|\gamma|} \|\partial_x^\beta \partial_\xi^\gamma A(x, \xi)\|_{\mathrm{End}(F_x)}. \quad (2.3)$$

We set $S_F^{-\infty} = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} S_F^k$.

We choose a set of nonnegative smooth functions $\{\phi'_\alpha\}$ such that $\text{supp}(\phi'_\alpha) \subset U_\alpha$ and $\phi'_\alpha \equiv 1$ on an open set containing $\text{supp}(\phi_\alpha)$. For $A \in S_F^k$, its quantization, is defined as

$$\text{Op}_h(A) = \sum_{\alpha} \phi'_\alpha \text{Op}_h(\phi_\alpha A) \phi'_\alpha \quad \text{for } 0 < h \leq 1, \quad (2.4)$$

where $\text{Op}_h(\phi_\alpha A)$ is the *Weyl quantization* on \mathbb{R}^m [46, (4.1.1)]:

$$(\text{Op}_h(\phi_\alpha A)s)(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi h)^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} e^{\frac{i}{h}\langle x-y, \xi \rangle} (\phi_\alpha A)\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \xi\right) s(y) dy d\xi. \quad (2.5)$$

Remark 2.1. Before getting to the main text, we note that the proof of the estimates in this section proceeds just as the case $(F, \nabla^F, h^F) = (\mathbb{C}, d, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{C}})$, the *trivial line bundle*, the only point that calls for special mention is that we can choose *uniform constants* in all inequalities, namely that they are *independent* of the unitary flat bundle (F, ∇^F, h^F) and only depend on $\{U_\alpha\}$ and $\{\phi_\alpha, \phi'_\alpha\}$. This can be seen from the trivialization argument at the beginning of § 2.1. On each U_α , we can always view (F, ∇^F, h^F) as the *standard trivial unitary bundle* $(\mathbb{C}^{\dim F}, d, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{\dim F}})$, so we only need to prove the uniformity for trivial bundles, which is usually easy to verify by replacing $|s(y)|_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $|A(x, \xi)|_{\mathbb{C}}$ with $\|s(y)\|_F$ and $\|A(x, \xi)\|_{\text{End}(F)}$ respectively. This observation is vitally essential, for we shall deal with an infinite number of bundles simultaneously in § 4.

Now we list some standard facts on the Weyl quantization. The integral in (2.5) should be viewed as a map between Sobolev spaces, indeed, for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, there are $C > 0, j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $0 < h \leq 1$, we have the following result [46, Theorem 4.23]:

$$\|\text{Op}_h(A)s\|_{H_h^\ell(X, F)} \leq C |A|_j^{(k)} \|s\|_{H_h^{k+\ell}(X, F)}. \quad (2.6)$$

If $A \in S_F^0$, the adjoint of its quantization with respect to the L^2 -metric is given by

$$\text{Op}_h(A)^* = \text{Op}_h(A^*). \quad (2.7)$$

For $A \in S_F^{k_1}$ and $B \in S_F^{k_2}$, set

$$A *_0 B = AB, \quad A *_1 B = \frac{1}{2i} (\partial_{\xi_j} A \cdot \partial_{x_j} B - \partial_{x_j} A \cdot \partial_{\xi_j} B). \quad (2.8)$$

Then we have the product formula [46, Theorem 9.5] with a remainder term

$$\text{Op}_h(A)\text{Op}_h(B) = \text{Op}_h(A *_0 B) + h\text{Op}_h(A *_1 B) + \frac{h^2}{2} R_{h,2}(A, B), \quad (2.9)$$

and for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, there are $C > 0, k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $0 < h \leq 1$,

$$\|R_{h,2}(A, B)s\|_{H_h^\ell(X, F)} \leq C |A|_k^{(k_1)} |B|_k^{(k_2)} \|s\|_{H_h^{\ell+k_1+k_2-2}(X, F)}. \quad (2.10)$$

Next, we have some useful estimates from the local structure of the Laplacian, similar to [46, Theorem 14.3, Lemma 14.6].

Proposition 2.2. *For any $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is $C > 0$ such that for any $0 < h \leq 1$,*

$$\|(\text{Op}_h(|\xi|_{T^*X}^2) - h^2 \Delta^F)s\|_{H_h^\ell(X, F)} \leq Ch \|s\|_{H_h^{\ell+1}(X, F)}. \quad (2.11)$$

For any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $C > 0$ such that for any $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$,

$$\|(h^2 \Delta^F - z)^{-1}s\|_{H_h^{\ell+2}(X, F)} \leq C(1 + |\text{Im}(z)|^{-1-\ell}) \|s\|_{H_h^\ell(X, F)}. \quad (2.12)$$

Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ be the Schwartz space with a series of norms $\{|\cdot|_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ given by

$$|\phi|_\ell = \max_{0 \leq i, j \leq \ell} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |t^i \partial_t^j \phi(t)|_{\mathbb{C}}. \quad (2.13)$$

By Proposition 2.2, Helffer-Sjöstrand formula [46, Theorem 11.8] and Beals theorem [46, Theorem 9.12], we get the following result on the functional calculus of the Laplacian parallel to [46, Theorem 14.9].

Theorem 2.3. *For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, there are $C > 0, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $0 < h \leq 1$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, we have*

$$\|(\text{Op}_h(\phi(|\xi|_{T^*X}^2)) - \phi(h^2 \Delta^F))s\|_{H_h^i(X, F)} \leq Ch |\phi|_\ell \|s\|_{H_h^{-i}(X, F)}. \quad (2.14)$$

Note that in the proof of Beals theorem, replacing $b(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^m)$ in [46, (8.1.1)] with $\langle A(x, \xi)v, w \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{\dim F}}$ for some $A \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2m}, \mathbb{C}^{\dim F})$ and $v, w \in \mathbb{C}^{\dim F}$, one gets the corresponding inequality for all flat bundles with the same constants as the trivial line bundle, which also confirms Remark 2.1.

2.2. Local Weyl law. Let ω_{T^*X} be the canonical symplectic form on T^*X given by $\omega_{T^*X} = \sum_{i=1}^m d\xi_i \wedge dx_i$, and we denote by dv_{T^*X} the associated volume form on T^*X , that is, $dv_{T^*X} = \omega_{T^*X}^m / m!$.

We first state a classical trace formula.

Lemma 2.4. *For any $0 < h \leq 1$ and $A \in S_F^{-\infty}$, the operator $\text{Op}_h(A)$ is of trace class on $L^2(X, F)$, and its trace is given by*

$$\frac{(2\pi h)^m}{\dim F} \text{Tr}[\text{Op}_h(A)] = \int_{T^*X} \overline{\text{Tr}}^{\pi^* F}[A] dv_{T^*X}. \quad (2.15)$$

In general, there is $C > 0$ such that for any bounded linear operator map $T_h: H_h^{-m}(X, F) \rightarrow H_h^m(X, F)$, where m is not optimal, it is of trace class on $L^2(X, F)$ and

$$\frac{(2\pi h)^m}{\dim F} |\text{Tr}[T_h]| \leq C \|T_h\|_{H_h^{-m}(X, F) \rightarrow H_h^m(X, F)}. \quad (2.16)$$

As [46, Theorem 15.3], by (2.6), (2.9), (2.14), (2.15), (2.16) and an obvious inequality

$$\left| \overline{\text{Tr}}^F[T] \right| \leq \|T\|_{\text{End}(F)} \quad (2.17)$$

for any $T \in \text{End}(F)$, we have the following local Weyl law.

Theorem 2.5. *There are $C > 0, i, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $0 < h \leq 1, \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ and $A \in S_F^0$, we have*

$$\left| \frac{(2\pi h)^m}{\dim F} \text{Tr}[\phi(h^2 \Delta^F) \text{Op}_h(A)] - \int_{T^*X} \phi(|\xi|_{T^*X}^2) \overline{\text{Tr}}^F[A] dv_{T^*X} \right| \leq Ch |\phi|_\ell |A|_i^{(0)}. \quad (2.18)$$

Let $\{\lambda_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ be the eigenvalues of Δ^F with associated orthonormal eigensections $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ as in (1.3). Taking $A \equiv 1$ in Theorem 2.5 and approximating the function $\mathbb{1}_{[0,1]}$ from up and below by functions in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, we get the following Weyl law.

Corollary 2.6. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $h_\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any $0 < h \leq h_\varepsilon$,*

$$\left| \frac{(2\pi h)^m}{\dim F} |\{j \mid \lambda_j \leq h^{-2}\}| - \frac{1}{m} \cdot \text{Vol}(S^*X) \right| \leq \varepsilon. \quad (2.19)$$

For an eigenvalue λ_j of Δ^F , we set $h_j = \lambda_j^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. By (2.6), (2.9) and (2.11), we obtain the following lemma concerning the concentration of eigensections on S^*X .

Lemma 2.7. *There are $C > 0, i \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for any $A \in S_F^0$ with $A|_{S^*X} = 0$ and $0 < h \leq 1$, we have*

$$\sup_{\lambda_j \geq h^{-2}} \left\| \text{Op}_{h_j}(A)u_j \right\|_{L^2(X,F)} \leq Ch |A|_i^{(0)}. \quad (2.20)$$

Replacing $A(x, \xi)$ in (2.18) with $A'(x, \xi)$ that $A'(x, a\xi) = A(x, \xi)$ for $(x, \xi) \in S^*X$ and $a^2 \in \text{supp}(\phi)$, then by (2.19) and (2.20), we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.8. *There is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\text{supp}(\phi) \subset (0, +\infty)$, there is $C_\phi > 0$ such that for any $A \in S_F^0$ and $0 < h \leq 1$, we have*

$$\left| \frac{(2\pi h)^m}{\dim F} \sum_j \phi(h^2 \lambda_j) \langle \text{Op}_{h_j}(A)u_j, u_j \rangle - \int_{T^*X} \phi(|\xi|_{T^*X}^2) \overline{\text{Tr}}^F [A(x, |\xi|_{T^*X}^{-1} \xi)] d\nu_{T^*X} \right| \leq C_\phi h |A|_k^{(0)}. \quad (2.21)$$

2.3. Egorov theorem. Let $(\psi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ be the *Hamiltonian flow* of $|\xi|_{T^*X}^2/2$ with respect to ω_{T^*X} , which restricts to the *geodesic flow* $(g_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on S^*X . For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $A \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(T^*X, \pi^*\text{End}(F))$, we can define the action of the morphism ψ_t on A : for $(x, \xi) \in T^*X$, let $\gamma: [0, |t|] \rightarrow T^*X$ be a smooth curve given by $\gamma(s) = \psi_{t-\text{sign}(t)s}(x, \xi)$ for $0 \leq s \leq |t|$, which connects $\psi_t(x, \xi)$ and (x, ξ) , then we let $(\psi_t \cdot A)(x, \xi)$ be the parallel transport of $A(\psi_t(x, \xi))$ along γ with respect to the connection $\nabla^{\pi^*\text{End}(F)}$.

By (2.1), each $A \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(T^*X, \pi^*\text{End}(F))$ corresponds to a $\pi_1(X)$ -invariant $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(T^*\tilde{X}, \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^{\dim F}))$:

$$\tilde{A}(\gamma \cdot (\tilde{x}, \tilde{\xi})) = \rho(\gamma) \tilde{A}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\xi}) \rho(\gamma)^{-1}, \quad (2.22)$$

where $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\xi}) \in T^*\tilde{X}$ and $\gamma \cdot (\tilde{x}, \tilde{\xi}) = (\gamma \cdot \tilde{x}, (\gamma^{-1})^* \tilde{\xi})$ for $\gamma \in \pi_1(X)$. We call \tilde{A} the *lifting* of A . The flow ψ_t also lifts to the *Hamiltonian flow* of $|\tilde{\xi}|_{T^*\tilde{X}}^2/2$ with respect to $\omega_{T^*\tilde{X}}$. Then $(\psi_t \cdot A)$ can be equivalently described at the level of lifting by

$$\tilde{\psi}_t \cdot \tilde{A} = \widetilde{\psi_t \cdot A} \quad \text{for } (\tilde{\psi}_t \cdot \tilde{A})(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\xi}) = \tilde{A}(\tilde{\psi}_t(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\xi})). \quad (2.23)$$

We define the *Schrödinger propagator* $U_{t,h}^F$ of Δ^F by

$$U_{t,h}^F = \exp(-ith\Delta^F/2) \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < h \leq 1. \quad (2.24)$$

By (2.8), (2.9) and (2.11), the operator $U_{t,h}^F$ quantizes the flow $(\psi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ as made precise by the following Egorov theorem [46, Theorem 15.2].

Theorem 2.9. *For any $T > 0$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$, there are $C_{T,i} > 0, j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $0 < h \leq 1, 0 \leq t \leq T, A \in S_F^{-\infty}$ and $s \in H_h^{-i}(X, F)$,*

$$\left\| (U_{-t,h}^F \text{Op}_h(A) U_{t,h}^F - \text{Op}_h(\psi_t \cdot A))s \right\|_{H_h^i(X,F)} \leq C_{T,i} h |A|_k^{(j)} \|s\|_{H_h^{-i}(X,F)}. \quad (2.25)$$

For $A \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(T^*X, \pi^*\text{End}(F))$, define its L^2 -norm restricted to S^*X by

$$\|A\|_{L^2(S^*X, \pi^*\text{End}(F))}^2 = \int_{S^*X} \overline{\text{Tr}}^F [A^*A] d\bar{\nu}_{S^*X}, \quad (2.26)$$

and for any $T \in \mathbb{R}$, its time average $\langle A \rangle_T \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(T^*X, \pi^*\text{End}(F))$ is defined by

$$\langle A \rangle_T = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T (\psi_t \cdot A) dt. \quad (2.27)$$

Now we state the main result of this section, an estimate of quantum variance.

Theorem 2.10. *There is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $0 < a < b < +\infty$ and $0 \leq T < +\infty$, there exist $C_{a,b}, C_{a,b,T} > 0$ such that for any $A \in S_F^0$ and $0 < h \leq 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(2\pi h)^m}{\dim F} \sum_{ah^{-2} \leq \lambda_j \leq bh^{-2}} \left| \langle \text{Op}_{h_j}(A) u_j, u_j \rangle - \int_{S^*X} \overline{\text{Tr}}^{\pi^*F} [A] d\bar{\nu}_{S^*X} \right|^2 \\ & \leq C_{a,b} \left\| \langle A \rangle_T - \int_{S^*X} \overline{\text{Tr}}^{\pi^*F} [A] d\bar{\nu}_{S^*X} \cdot \text{Id}_{\pi^*F} \right\|_{L^2(S^*X, \pi^*\text{End}(F))}^2 + C_{a,b,T} h |A|_k^{(0),2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

Proof. By (2.24), we have $U_{t,h_j}^F u_j = e^{-ith_j \lambda_j / 2} u_j$, therefore,

$$\langle U_{-t,h_j}^F \text{Op}_{h_j}(A) U_{t,h_j}^F u_j, u_j \rangle = \langle \text{Op}_{h_j}(A) u_j, u_j \rangle. \quad (2.29)$$

In Corollary 2.8, we take a nonnegative $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\text{supp}(\phi) \subset (0, +\infty)$ and $\phi \equiv 1$ on $[a, b]$, then (2.28) follows immediately from (2.6), (2.9), (2.16), (2.25) and (2.29). \square

3. THE QE FOR F_μ

This section is organized as follows. In § 3.1, we recall some basic properties of the Anosov flow following [4]. In § 3.2, we prove an L^2 -ergodic theorem for the geodesic flow action on $L^2(S^*X, \pi^*\text{End}(F_\mu))$. In § 3.3, we prove the general version of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

3.1. The Anosov flow. For $(x, \xi) \in S^*X$, we define the *stable* $W_{S^*X}^s(x, \xi)$ and *unstable* manifolds $W_{S^*X}^u(x, \xi)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} W_{S^*X}^s(x, \xi) &= \{(x', \xi') \in S^*X \mid d(g_t(x, \xi), g_t(x', \xi')) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty\}, \\ W_{S^*X}^u(x, \xi) &= \{(x', \xi') \in S^*X \mid d(g_t(x, \xi), g_t(x', \xi')) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow -\infty\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Let \mathcal{X} be the infinitesimal generator of the geodesic flow on S^*X , for $(x, \xi) \in S^*X$,

$$\mathcal{X}_{(x,\xi)} = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} g_t \cdot (x, \xi). \quad (3.2)$$

Definition 3.1. We say that the geodesic flow on S^*X is *Anosov* if there exist constants $C, c > 0$ and a $(g_t)_*$ -invariant continuous splitting

$$T(S^*X) = \mathbb{R}\mathcal{X} \oplus E_{S^*X}^s \oplus E_{S^*X}^u \quad (3.3)$$

such that for $t \geq 0$, $(x, \xi) \in S^*X$, $v_s \in E_{S^*X}^s(x, \xi)$ and $v_u \in E_{S^*X}^u(x, \xi)$, we have

$$|(g_t)_* v_s| \leq C e^{-ct} |v_s|, \quad |(g_{-t})_* v_u| \leq C e^{-ct} |v_u|. \quad (3.4)$$

If the geodesic flow on S^*X is Anosov, the tangent distributions of foliations $W_{S^*X}^s$ and $W_{S^*X}^u$ are precisely $E_{S^*X}^s$ and $E_{S^*X}^u$. Moreover, the Anosov property is well preserved when lifting to the universal covering \tilde{X} .

3.2. The Hopf argument. Recall that \tilde{X} is the universal covering of X , then we have a Riemannian metric $g^{T\tilde{X}}$ on \tilde{X} induced by g^{TX} . Let $S^*\tilde{X}$ be the unit cotangent bundle of \tilde{X} , then the geodesic flow $(g_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on S^*X lifts to the geodesic flow $(\tilde{g}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on $S^*\tilde{X}$.

For a measurable section A of $\pi^*\text{End}(F_\mu)$ on S^*X , as in (2.22) and (2.23), its lifting \tilde{A} is a measurable $\pi_1(X)$ -invariant $\text{End}(V_\mu)$ -valued function on $S^*\tilde{X}$, and the $(g_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ -action on A is equivalent to the $(\tilde{g}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ -action on its lifting

$$(\tilde{g}_t \cdot \tilde{A})(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\xi}) = \tilde{A}(\tilde{g}_t \cdot (\tilde{x}, \tilde{\xi})). \quad (3.5)$$

Similar to (2.26), the time average $\langle \tilde{A} \rangle_T$ of \tilde{A} is defined by $\langle \tilde{A} \rangle_T = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \tilde{g}_t \cdot \tilde{A} dt$.

We say that A is $(g_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ -invariant if $\{(x, \xi) \in S^*X \mid (g_t \cdot A)(x, \xi) \neq A(x, \xi)\}$ is of 0-measure for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, since the fundamental group of a manifold is *countable*, this equivalently means that the lifting \tilde{A} is $(\tilde{g}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ -invariant.

Proposition 3.2. *Under the assumption as in Theorem 1.1. If $A \in L^2(S^*X, \pi^*\text{End}(F_\mu))$ is $(g_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ -invariant, then there is $c \in \mathbb{C}$ that $A = c \cdot \text{Id}_{\pi^*F_\mu}$. Moreover, for any $A \in L^2(S^*X, \pi^*\text{End}(F_\mu))$, we have*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \pm\infty} \left\| \langle A \rangle_T - \left(\int_{S^*X} \overline{\text{Tr}}^{\pi^*F_\mu} [A] d\bar{\nu}_{S^*X} \right) \text{Id}_{\pi^*F_\mu} \right\|_{L^2(S^*X, \pi^*\text{End}(F_\mu))}^2 = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. Proceeding as in [4, Proposition 2.6], we only need to prove (3.6) when $A \in \mathcal{C}(S^*X, \pi^*\text{End}(F_\mu))$. Since dv_{S^*X} is $(g_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ -invariant and h^{F_μ} is parallel with respect to ∇^{F_μ} , by the von Neumann theorem for Hilbert spaces [41, Theorem 3.1.6], there are $A^\pm \in L^2(S^*X, \pi^*\text{End}(F_\mu))$ such that $\lim_{T \rightarrow \pm\infty} \|\langle A \rangle_T - A^\pm\|_{L^2(S^*X, \pi^*\text{End}(F_\mu))}^2 = 0$ and $A^+ = A^-$ almost everywhere. Then we can find a sequence $\{T_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ such that

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} T_\ell = +\infty, \quad \lim_{\ell \rightarrow +\infty} \langle A \rangle_{\pm T_\ell} = A^\pm \text{ almost everywhere.} \quad (3.7)$$

Since A is continuous on the compact space S^*X , it is indeed *uniformly continuous*. Given that U acts isomorphically on (V_μ, h^{V_μ}) , the lifting \tilde{A} of A is also *uniformly continuous* on $S^*\tilde{X}$. Therefore, by (3.1) and (3.7), we see that $\tilde{A}^+(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\xi}) = \tilde{A}^+(\tilde{x}', \tilde{\xi}')$ whenever $(\tilde{x}', \tilde{\xi}') \in W_{S^*\tilde{X}}^s(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\xi})$ and $\tilde{A}^+(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\xi})$ is defined. Similarly, $\tilde{A}^-(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\xi}) = \tilde{A}^-(\tilde{x}', \tilde{\xi}')$ whenever $(\tilde{x}', \tilde{\xi}') \in W_{S^*\tilde{X}}^u(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\xi})$ and $\tilde{A}^-(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\xi})$ is defined. As the geodesic flow $(\tilde{g}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is Anosov, $W_{S^*\tilde{X}}^s, W_{S^*\tilde{X}}^u$ and $(\tilde{g}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ are transversally absolutely continuous foliations, along which $\tilde{A}^+ = \tilde{A}^-$ is constant, then by [4, Proposition 3.12], \tilde{A}^+ is a constant $\text{End}(V_\mu)$ -valued function on $S^*\tilde{X}$ almost everywhere. Since \tilde{A}^+ is $\pi_1(X)$ -invariant and the image of $\rho: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow U$ is dense, \tilde{A}^+ commutes with the U -action on V_μ . By the Schur lemma, \tilde{A}^+ is a multiple of the identity map Id_{V_μ} , then A^+ is also a multiple of $\text{Id}_{\pi^*F_\mu}$. It remains to determine the constant, in fact, we have for each $T \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\int_{S^*X} \overline{\text{Tr}}^{\pi^*F_\mu} [\langle A \rangle_T] d\bar{\nu}_{S^*X} = \int_{S^*X} \overline{\text{Tr}}^{\pi^*F_\mu} [A] d\bar{\nu}_{S^*X}, \quad (3.8)$$

from the $(g_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ -invariance of dv_{S^*X} and the parallel transport. \square

3.3. Full versions of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Now we state the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.3. *Under the assumption as in Theorem 1.1, for any $0 < a < b < +\infty$ and $A \in S_{F_\mu}^0$, we have*

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{(2\pi h)^m}{\dim F_\mu} \sum_{ah^{-2} \leq \lambda_j \leq bh^{-2}} \left| \langle \text{Op}_{h_j}(A)u_j, u_j \rangle - \int_{S^*X} \overline{\text{Tr}}^{\pi^* F_\mu}[A] d\bar{v}_{S^*X} \right|^2 = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

Proof. Taking $\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0}$ in (2.28), this together with (3.6) implies (3.9). \square

By taking $a = 1, b = 4$ in Theorem 3.3, we get the following result based on a well-known diagonal extraction argument, see also the proof of Theorem 4.9.

Theorem 3.4. *There is a subset $\mathbb{B} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^*$ satisfying (1.5) such that for any $A \in S_{F_\mu}^0$,*

$$\lim_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{B}, \\ j \rightarrow +\infty}} \langle \text{Op}_{h_j}(A)u_j, u_j \rangle_{L^2(X, F_\mu)} = \int_{S^*X} \overline{\text{Tr}}^{\pi^* F_\mu}[A] d\bar{v}_{S^*X}. \quad (3.10)$$

4. THE UQE FOR $\{F_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}^*}$

In this section, we present the uniform quantum ergodicity (UQE) associated with a specific sequence of unitary flat bundles $\{F_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ as in Theorems 4.8 and 4.9, which generalizes Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 and extends the setting of (1.7).

This section is organized as follows. In § 4.1, we review the Berezin-Toeplitz quantization formalism. In § 4.2, we define $\{F_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}^*}$. In § 4.3, we introduce the mixed quantization procedure, which is the Weyl quantization along the base manifold and the Berezin-Toeplitz quantization fibrewisely. In § 4.4, we prove an important auxiliary result, Theorem 4.6. In § 4.5, we give some explicit examples for $H^{(0,0)}(N, L^p)$ using the Borel-Weil-Bott theorem. In § 4.6, we prove a criterion for the ergodicity of horizontal geodesic flow. In § 4.7, we prove our main results.

4.1. The Berezin-Toeplitz quantization. In this subsection, we describe the Berezin-Toeplitz quantization introduced by Berezin [5] and Boutet de Monvel-Guillemin [17], and further developed by Bordemann-Meinrenken-Schlichenmaier [13], Schlichenmaier [40] and Ma-Marinescu [30, 31].

Let (N, J) be a compact complex manifold with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} N = n$ (complex dimension) and (L, h^L) a *positive* holomorphic line bundle on N . The first Chern form $c_1(L, h^L)$ gives a Kähler metric $g^{T_{\mathbb{R}}N}$ on $T_{\mathbb{R}}N$ and a volume form dv_N on N .

For $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, put $L^p = L^{\otimes p}$, the p -th tensor power of L and $H^{(0,0)}(N, L^p)$ the space of holomorphic sections of L^p on N . Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H^{(0,0)}(N, L^p)}$ be the L^2 -metric on $H^{(0,0)}(N, L^p)$ induced by (dv_N, h^L) . Put the orthogonal projection $P_p: L^2(N, L^p) \rightarrow H^{(0,0)}(N, L^p)$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H^{(0,0)}(N, L^p)}$, its smooth kernel $P_p(z, z')$ is called the *Bergman kernel*.

Definition 4.1. The Berezin-Toeplitz quantization of $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(N)$ is a sequence of linear operators $\{T_{\mathcal{H}, p} \in \text{End}(L^2(N, L^p))\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ given by $T_{\mathcal{H}, p} = P_p \mathcal{H} P_p$, or for any $s, s' \in H^{(0,0)}(N, L^p)$, we have

$$\langle T_{\mathcal{H}, p} s, s' \rangle_{L^2(N, L^p)} = \int_N \mathcal{H}(z) \langle s(z), s'(z) \rangle_{h^{L^p}} dv_N(z). \quad (4.1)$$

By (4.1), we have an obvious inequality:

$$\|T_{\mathcal{H}, p}\|_{\text{End}(H^{(0,0)}(N, L^p))} \leq |\mathcal{H}|_{\mathcal{C}^0(N)}. \quad (4.2)$$

The operator $T_{\mathcal{H},p}$ has a smooth kernel $T_{\mathcal{H},p}(z, z')$. On the diagonal, $T_{\mathcal{H},p}(z, z) \in \text{End}(L^p) = \mathbb{C}$. We have the following expansion with a uniform estimate of the remainder from the proof of [30, Lemma 7.2.4].

Theorem 4.2. *There exists $C > 0$ such that for any $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(N)$,*

$$|p^{-n}T_{\mathcal{H},p}(z, z) - \mathcal{H}(z)|_{\mathcal{C}^0(N)} \leq C |\mathcal{H}|_{\mathcal{C}^2(N)} p^{-1}. \quad (4.3)$$

In particular, taking the integral on both sides of (4.3), we get an asymptotic Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem [30, Theorem 1.4.6]

$$\dim H^{(0,0)}(N, L^p) = \text{Vol}(N)p^n + \mathcal{O}(p^{n-1}), \quad (4.4)$$

and a trace formula

$$\left| \overline{\text{Tr}}^{H^{(0,0)}(N, L^p)} [T_{\mathcal{H},p}] - \int_N \mathcal{H} d\bar{v}_N \right| \leq C |\mathcal{H}|_{\mathcal{C}^2(N)} p^{-1}. \quad (4.5)$$

By the proof of [30, Theorem 7.4.1], we have the following product formula for the Berezin-Toeplitz quantization with an estimate for the remainder.

Theorem 4.3. *There is $C > 0$ such that for any $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}' \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(N)$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we have*

$$\|T_{\mathcal{H},p}T_{\mathcal{H}',p} - T_{\mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}',p}\|_{\text{End}(H^{(0,0)}(N, L^p))} \leq C |\mathcal{H}|_{\mathcal{C}^2(N)} \cdot |\mathcal{H}'|_{\mathcal{C}^2(N)} p^{-1}. \quad (4.6)$$

4.2. Geometric setup. Using the same notation as in § 1.1 and § 4.1. Let ρ be a representation from $\pi_1(X)$ to the group of isomorphisms from L to L which preserves the Chern connection ∇^L , each element of which also restricts to a holomorphic isomorphism of N . Set

$$\mathcal{N} = \tilde{X} \times_{\pi_1(X)} N, \quad \mathcal{L} = \tilde{X} \times_{\pi_1(X)} L, \quad F_p = \tilde{X} \times_{\pi_1(X)} H^{(0,0)}(N, L^p), \quad (4.7)$$

then \mathcal{N} is a flat N -bundle over X , \mathcal{L} is a line bundle on \mathcal{N} with the metric $h^{\mathcal{L}}$ induced by h^L , and F_p is a unitary flat bundle over X with the metric h^{F_p} induced by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H^{(0,0)}(N, L^p)}$ and the natural flat connection ∇^{F_p} . We put natural projections $q: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow X$ and $\pi: T^*X \rightarrow X$ and let $q^*(T^*X)$ be the fibre product of q and π . We can summarize all the geometric objects in Figure 3.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & N & \longleftarrow & L^p \\ & & \downarrow & \searrow & \searrow \\ q^*(T^*X) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathcal{N} & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{L}^p \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow q & & \downarrow Rq^* \\ T^*X & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X & \longleftarrow & F_p \end{array}$$

FIGURE 3.

Definition 4.4. Let the geodesic flow $(\tilde{g}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on $S^*\tilde{X}$ act trivially on N . This is $\pi_1(X)$ -invariant, and it descends to a flow on the *augmented phase space* $q^*(S^*X) \cong S^*\tilde{X} \times_{\pi_1(X)} N$. We called it the *horizontal geodesic flow* and denote it by $(\mathbf{g}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$.

Note that globally the horizontal geodesic flow acts nontrivially along the fibre N due to the holonomy. The Liouville measure on $S^*\tilde{X}$ and dv_N induce a measure on $q^*(S^*X)$, and we call it the *augmented Liouville measure*.

4.3. The mixed quantization on $S^k(q^*(T^*X))$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the symbol class $S^k(q^*(T^*X))$ as follows: given a smooth function $\mathcal{A}(x, \xi, z) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(q^*(T^*X))$ for $\xi \in T_x^*X$ and $z \in q^{-1}(x) \cong N$, it lies in $S^k(q^*(T^*X))$ if and only if for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, the following norm is finite:

$$|\mathcal{A}|_j^{(k)} = \max_{\substack{|\beta|, |\gamma| \leq j, \\ U_\alpha \in \{U_\alpha\}}} \sup_{x \in U_\alpha, \xi \in T_x^*X} \langle \xi \rangle^{-k+|\gamma|} |\partial_x^\beta \partial_\xi^\gamma \mathcal{A}(x, \xi, \cdot)|_{\mathcal{C}^j(q^{-1}(x))}. \quad (4.8)$$

For $\mathcal{A} \in S^k(q^*(T^*X))$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, let $T_{\mathcal{A},p}$ be its *fibrewise Berezin-Toeplitz quantization*: $T_{\mathcal{A},p}(x, \xi) = T_{\mathcal{A}(x, \xi, \cdot), p}$, then $T_{\mathcal{A},p} \in S_{F_p}^k$, indeed, by (2.3), (4.2) and (4.8),

$$|T_{\mathcal{A},p}|_j^{(k)} \leq |\mathcal{A}|_j^{(k)} \quad \text{for any } j \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.9)$$

As shown in (3.5), the horizontal geodesic flow $(g_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$, given in Definition 4.4, acts on functions on $q^*(S^*X)$. Moreover, if we restrict $\mathcal{A} \in S^k(q^*(T^*X))$ to $q^*(S^*X)$, we obtain

$$g_t \cdot T_{\mathcal{A},p} = T_{g_t \cdot \mathcal{A}, p}, \quad (4.10)$$

where the left side is the geodesic flow $(g_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ -action given in (3.5).

Now we have the following uniform estimate of the quantum variances.

Theorem 4.5. *There is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $0 < a < b < +\infty, 0 \leq T < +\infty$, there are $C_{a,b}, C_{a,b,T} > 0$ such that for $0 < h \leq 1, p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\mathcal{A} \in S^0(q^*(T^*X))$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(2\pi h)^m}{\dim F_p} \sum_{ah^{-2} \leq \lambda_{p,j} \leq bh^{-2}} \left| \langle \text{Op}_{h_{p,j}}(T_{\mathcal{A},p}) u_{p,j}, u_{p,j} \rangle_{L^2(X, F_p)} - \int_{q^*(S^*X)} \mathcal{A} d\bar{\nu}_{q^*(S^*X)} \right|^2 \\ & \leq C_{a,b} \left\| \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle_T - \int_{q^*(S^*X)} \mathcal{A} d\bar{\nu}_{q^*(S^*X)} \right\|_{L^2(S^*X)}^2 + C_{a,b,T} (|\mathcal{A}|_k^{(0)})^2 (h + p^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Proof. We simply put $(F, A) = (F_p, T_{\mathcal{A},p})$ in (2.28) to get (4.11). Note that we are now working on an infinite number of bundles $\{F_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}^*}$, so here we should use the *uniformity* of $k, C_{a,b}, C_{a,b,T}$ discussed in Remark 2.1. Then by (4.9), we only need to control the time average term. From (4.5), there is $C > 0$ such that

$$\left| \int_{S^*X} \overline{\text{Tr}}^{F_p} [T_{\mathcal{A},p}] d\bar{\nu}_{q^*(S^*X)} - \int_{q^*(S^*X)} \mathcal{A} d\bar{\nu}_{q^*(S^*X)} \right| \leq C |\mathcal{A}|_2^{(0)} p^{-1}. \quad (4.12)$$

By (4.10), we get $\langle T_{\mathcal{A},p} \rangle_T = T_{\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle_T, p}$, which implies that

$$\langle T_{\mathcal{A},p} \rangle_T - \int_{S^*X} \overline{\text{Tr}}^{F_p} [T_{\mathcal{A},p}] d\bar{\nu}_{q^*(S^*X)} \cdot \text{Id}_{F_p} = T_{\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle_T - \int_{S^*X} \overline{\text{Tr}}^{F_p} [T_{\mathcal{A},p}] d\bar{\nu}_{q^*(S^*X)}, p}. \quad (4.13)$$

According to (2.26) and (4.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\dim F_p} \left\| \langle T_{\mathcal{A},p} \rangle_T - \int_{S^*X} \overline{\text{Tr}}^{F_p} [T_{\mathcal{A},p}] d\bar{\nu}_{q^*(S^*X)} \cdot \text{Id}_{F_p} \right\|_{L^2(S^*X, \text{End}(F_p))}^2 \\ & = \int_{S^*X} \overline{\text{Tr}}^{F_p} \left[T_{\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle_T - \int_{S^*X} \overline{\text{Tr}}^{F_p} [T_{\mathcal{A},p}] d\bar{\nu}_{q^*(S^*X)}, p} \right] dv_{S^*X}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

By (2.17), (4.5) and (4.6), the right hand side of (4.14) can be dominated by

$$\int_{q^*(S^*X)} \left(\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle_T - \int_{S^*X} \overline{\text{Tr}}^{F_p} [T_{\mathcal{A},p}] d\bar{\nu}_{q^*(S^*X)} \right)^2 + Cp^{-1} (|\mathcal{A}|_2^{(0)} + |\mathcal{A}|_2^{(0)})^2 dv_{S^*X}, \quad (4.15)$$

and this, together with (4.12), gives (4.11). \square

4.4. An intermediate theorem. We are now ready to discuss the result that is central to our considerations.

Theorem 4.6. *If the horizontal geodesic flow $(\mathbf{g}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on $q^*(S^*X)$ is ergodic, then for any $\mathcal{A} \in S^0(q^*(T^*X))$ and $0 < a < b < +\infty$, we have*

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\min(p, h^{-1}) \geq \eta} \frac{(2\pi h)^m}{\dim F_p} \sum_{ah^{-2} \leq \lambda_{p,j} \leq bh^{-2}} \left| \langle \text{Op}_{h_{p,j}}(T_{\mathcal{A},p})u_{p,j}, u_{p,j} \rangle_{L^2(X, F_p)} - \int_{q^*(S^*X)} \mathcal{A} d\bar{\nu}_{q^*(S^*X)} \right|^2 = 0. \quad (4.16)$$

Proof. By the von Neumann theorem [41, Theorem 3.1.6] and the ergodicity of the horizontal geodesic flow, we have

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \left\| \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle_T - \int_{q^*(S^*X)} \mathcal{A} d\bar{\nu}_{q^*(S^*X)} \right\|_{L^2(q^*(S^*X))}^2 = 0, \quad (4.17)$$

which gives (4.16) from (4.11). \square

Note that (4.16) can be expressed as $\lim_{\min(p, h^{-1}) \rightarrow +\infty} = 0$. In the subsequent three subsections, we show that the case studied in Theorem 1.3 satisfies the assumptions made in Theorem 4.6, and then we strengthen (4.16) into $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \sup_{p \in \mathbb{N}^*} = 0$ as in (1.17).

4.5. The Borel-Weil-Bott theorem. Let U be a compact connected Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{u} . For $\mu \in \mathfrak{u}^*$, denote by $\mathcal{O}_\mu = U \cdot \mu$ the orbit of coadjoint action.

We fix a maximal torus T of U with Lie algebra \mathfrak{t} . Note that if $\mu \in \mathfrak{u}^*$ is regular, we have $\mathcal{O}_\mu \cong U/T$. The integral lattice $\Lambda \subset \mathfrak{t}$ is defined as the kernel of the exponential map $\exp: \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow T$, and the real weight lattice $\Lambda^* \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ is defined by $\Lambda^* = \text{Hom}(\Lambda, 2\pi\mathbb{Z})$. We choose a set of positive roots $\Phi^+ \subset \Lambda^*$, a positive open Weyl Chamber \mathcal{C}^+ with closure $\overline{\mathcal{C}^+}$. By the Weyl character formula, finite-dimensional irreducible representations of U are parameterized by $\Lambda^* \cap \overline{\mathcal{C}^+}$.

Set $\mathfrak{r} = [\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{u}]$, then we have $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{r}$, and $\mathfrak{u}^* = \mathfrak{t}^* \oplus \mathfrak{r}^*$. Hence we identify $\Lambda^* \cap \overline{\mathcal{C}^+}$ with a subset of \mathfrak{u}^* . For $\mu \in \Lambda^* \cap \overline{\mathcal{C}^+}$, let V_μ be the unique irreducible representation of U with highest weight μ , and let (L_μ, h^{L_μ}) be the canonical prequantum line bundle on \mathcal{O}_μ . In particular, if μ is regular, we have $L_\mu = U \times_T \mathbb{C}$, where T acts on \mathbb{C} through $\exp(i\mu)$. We have a natural holomorphic U -action on L_μ , which gives a representation of U on $H^{(0,0)}(\mathcal{O}_\mu, L_\mu^p)$ for each $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then the Borel-Weil-Bott theorem [15, Theorem IV], [7, Theorem 8.8] gives an isomorphism

$$H^{(0,0)}(\mathcal{O}_\mu, L_\mu^p) \cong V_{p\mu}. \quad (4.18)$$

Let us give another example similar to (1.23). Since $\text{SO}(3) \cong \text{SU}(2)/\{\pm 1\}$, we can take

$$(U, \mathcal{O}_\mu, L_\mu, V_{p\mu}) = (\text{SO}(3), \mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{O}(2), \text{Sym}^{2p}(\mathbb{C}^2)). \quad (4.19)$$

Since the U -action on (\mathcal{O}_μ, L_μ) preserves all the holomorphic and metric structure, the associated Bergman kernel, introduced in § 4.1, is U -invariant: for any $g \in U$ and $(z, z') \in \mathcal{O}_\mu \times \mathcal{O}_\mu$, we have

$$gP_p(g^{-1} \cdot z, g^{-1} \cdot z')g^{-1} = P_p(z, z'). \quad (4.20)$$

In particular, on the diagonal, the function $z \in \mathcal{O}_\mu \mapsto P_p(z, z)$ is a *constant* since U acts on \mathcal{O}_μ *transitively*. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{O}_\mu} P_p(z, z) dv_{\mathcal{O}_\mu} &= \dim H^{(0,0)}(\mathcal{O}_\mu, L_\mu) \\ &= \dim V_{p\mu}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

we get the Bergman kernel

$$P_p(z, z) = \frac{\dim V_{p\mu}}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{O}_\mu)}, \quad (4.22)$$

and an exact version of (4.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\text{Tr}}^{V_{p\mu}} [T_{\mathcal{H},p}] &= \frac{1}{\dim V_{p\mu}} \int_{\mathcal{O}_\mu} \mathcal{H}(z) P_p(z, z) dv_{\mathcal{O}_\mu} \\ &= \int_N \mathcal{H} d\bar{v}_{\mathcal{O}_\mu}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

4.6. Ergodicity of the horizontal geodesic flow. We have the following criterion for the ergodicity of the horizontal geodesic flow.

Proposition 4.7. *If the geodesic flow $(g_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on S^*X is Anosov, and the set of $z \in N$ such that the orbit $\pi_1(X) \cdot z \subset N$ is dense has full measure, then the horizontal geodesic flow $(g_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on $q^*(S^*X)$ is ergodic with respect to the augmented Liouville measure.*

Proof. Similar to Proposition 3.2, it suffices to prove (4.17) for $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{C}(q^*(S^*X))$. By the Birkhoff theorem [4, Theorem 2.3], the limit $\mathcal{A}^\pm(x, \xi, z) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \pm\infty} \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle_T(x, \xi, z)$ exists for almost every $(x, \xi, z) \in q^*(S^*X)$ and we have $\mathcal{A}^+ = \mathcal{A}^-$ almost everywhere. We lift all these to $S^*\tilde{X} \times N$, then for almost every $z \in N$, $\lim_{T \rightarrow \pm\infty} \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle_T(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\xi}, z) = \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^\pm(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\xi}, z)$ for almost every $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\xi}) \in S^*\tilde{X}$. Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is the lifting of a *uniformly continuous* function $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{C}(q^*(S^*X))$ and $\pi_1(X)$ acts isomorphically on N , we see that $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is a *uniformly continuous* function on $S^*\tilde{X} \times N$. In particular, for each $z \in N$, the function $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(\cdot, z)$ on $S^*\tilde{X}$ is *uniformly continuous*.

As in the proof of Proposition 3.2, for almost every $z \in N$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^\pm(\cdot, z)$ is a constant for almost every $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\xi}) \in S^*\tilde{X}$, and we denote it by $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^\pm(z)$. Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^\pm(\cdot)$ is a $\pi_1(X)$ -invariant measurable function on N . Using the Lebesgue density point theorem as in [41, Proposition 4.2.4], the denseness condition in Proposition 4.7 implies that the $\pi_1(X)$ -action on N is ergodic, so $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^\pm(\cdot)$ is a constant almost everywhere as well as \mathcal{A}^\pm . \square

4.7. Uniform quantum ergodicity. In this subsection, we consider a special case of the setup in (4.7) by putting $(N, L) = (\mathcal{O}_\mu, L_\mu)$, then for a representation $\rho: \pi_1(X) \rightarrow U$, by (1.1) and (4.18), $F_p = F_{p\mu}$, and we denote the corresponding geometric objects in Figure 3 by $\mathcal{N}_\mu, q_\mu^*(T^*X), q_\mu^*(S^*X)$.

By Proposition 4.7, if $\rho(\pi_1(X)) \subset U$ is dense, both Theorems 3.3 and 4.6 are applicable. Taking $A = T_{\mathcal{A},p}$ in (3.9) for $\mathcal{A} \in S^0(q_\mu^*(T^*X))$ and using (4.23), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{(2\pi h)^m}{\dim F_{p\mu}} \sum_{ah^{-2} \leq \lambda_{p,j} \leq bh^{-2}} \left| \langle \text{Op}_{h,p,j}(T_{\mathcal{A},p}) u_{p,j}, u_{p,j} \rangle_{L^2(X, F_{p\mu})} \right. \\ \left. - \int_{q_\mu^*(S^*X)} \mathcal{A} d\bar{v}_{q_\mu^*(S^*X)} \right|^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

By (4.16) and (4.24), we have $\lim_{\min(p,h^{-1}) \rightarrow +\infty} = 0$ and $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} = 0$, which imply the following uniform limit.

Theorem 4.8. *If the geodesic flow $(g_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on S^*X is Anosov and $\rho(\pi_1(X)) \subset U$ is dense, then for each highest weight $\mu \in \mathfrak{u}^*$ and $\mathcal{A} \in S^0(q_\mu^*(T^*X))$, we have*

$$\limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} \sup_{p \in \mathbb{N}^*} \frac{(2\pi h)^m}{\dim F_{p\mu}} \sum_{ah^{-2} \leq \lambda_{p,j} \leq bh^{-2}} \left| \langle \text{Op}_{h_{p,j}}(T_{\mathcal{A},p})u_{p,j}, u_{p,j} \rangle_{L^2(X, F_p)} - \int_{q_\mu^*(S^*X)} \mathcal{A} d\bar{v}_{q_\mu^*(S^*X)} \right|^2 = 0. \quad (4.25)$$

Then a uniform version of the diagonal argument leads to the following uniform quantum ergodicity (UQE).

Theorem 4.9. *There is a subset $\mathbb{B} \subseteq (\mathbb{N}^*)^2$ satisfying the uniform density one condition (1.18) such that, for any $\mathcal{A} \in S^0(q_\mu^*(T^*X))$, we have the uniform limit*

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{\substack{(p,j) \in \mathbb{B}, \\ \lambda_{p,j} \geq \lambda}} \left| \langle \text{Op}_{h_{p,j}}(T_{\mathcal{A},p})u_{p,j}, u_{p,j} \rangle_{L^2(X, F_p)} - \int_{q_\mu^*(S^*X)} \mathcal{A} d\bar{v}_{q_\mu^*(S^*X)} \right| = 0. \quad (4.26)$$

Proof. We take $a = 1, b = 4$ in (4.16). For $p, r \in \mathbb{N}^*$, set

$$N_{p,r} = |\{(p, j) \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^2 \mid 4^r \leq \lambda_{p,j} < 4^{r+1}\}|. \quad (4.27)$$

By the Weyl Law (Corollary 2.6), there are $r_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C > 1$ such that

$$C^{-1} \leq N_{p,r} / (\dim F_p \cdot 2^{mr}) \leq C \quad \text{for } p \in \mathbb{N}^*, r \geq r_0. \quad (4.28)$$

This implies that

$$C^{-1} \leq \left(\sum_{j=r_0}^r N_{p,j} \right) / N_{p,r} \leq C \quad \text{for } p \in \mathbb{N}^*, r \geq r_0, \quad (4.29)$$

Now we take a sequence $\{\mathcal{A}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ which is dense in $S^{-\infty}(q^*(T^*X))$ with respect to the uniform norm. Put

$$\varepsilon_{\ell,r} = \sup_{p \in \mathbb{N}^*} \frac{1}{N_{p,r}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq \ell, \\ 4^r \leq \lambda_{p,j} < 4^{r+1}}} \left| \langle \text{Op}_{h_{p,j}}(T_{\mathcal{A}_i,p})u_{p,j}, u_{p,j} \rangle_{L^2(X, F_p)} - \int_{q^*(S^*X)} \mathcal{A}_i d\bar{v}_{q_\mu^*(S^*X)} \right|^2, \quad (4.30)$$

then for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \varepsilon_{\ell,r} = 0$. We choose a strictly increasing sequence $\{r_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ such that $r_1 > r_0$ and $\varepsilon_{\ell,r} \leq 2^{-2\ell}$ for all $r \geq r_\ell$.

For $(p, r) \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^2$, find the unique $\ell \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $r_\ell \leq r < r_{\ell+1}$, then we define a set $J_{p,r}$ as follows: $(p, j) \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^2$ is in $J_{p,r}$ if and only if $4^r \leq \lambda_{p,j} < 4^{r+1}$ and

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq \ell} \left| \langle \text{Op}_{h_{p,j}}(T_{\mathcal{A}_i,p})u_{p,j}, u_{p,j} \rangle_{L^2(X, F_p)} - \int_{q^*(S^*X)} \mathcal{A}_i d\bar{v}_{q_\mu^*(S^*X)} \right|^2 \leq 2^{-\ell}. \quad (4.31)$$

Then by the Chebyshev inequality, we have

$$|\{(p, j) \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^2 \mid 4^r \leq \lambda_{p,j} < 4^{r+1}\} \setminus J_{p,r}| \leq 2^\ell \varepsilon_{\ell,p,r} N_{p,r} \leq 2^{-\ell} N_{p,r}. \quad (4.32)$$

Now we take

$$\mathbb{B} = \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{N}^*} \left(\{(p, j) \mid \lambda_{p,j} < 4^{r_1}\} \cup \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{N}^*} J_{p,r} \right). \quad (4.33)$$

By (4.29) and (4.32), for any $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, when $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$ satisfies $\log_4 \lambda \geq r_{2\ell}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \{(p, j) \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^2 \mid \lambda_{p,j} \leq \lambda\} \setminus \{(p, j) \in \mathbb{B} \mid \lambda_{p,j} \leq \lambda\} \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{r=r_1}^{[\log_4 \lambda]+1} N_{p,r} 2^{-r} \\ & \leq \sum_{r=r_1}^{r_\ell} N_{p,r_\ell} + 2^{-\ell} \sum_{r=r_\ell}^{[\log_4 \lambda]+1} N_{p,r} \\ & \leq C \cdot 2^{-\ell} N_{p, [\log_4 \lambda]+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

where the last inequality holds since $[\log_4 \lambda] \geq \ell + r_\ell$. We have the obvious inequality

$$\left| \{(p, j) \in (\mathbb{N}^*) \mid \lambda_{p,j} \leq \lambda\} \right| \geq N_{p, [\log_4 \lambda]}. \quad (4.35)$$

Then from (4.28) and (4.34) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\left| \{(p, j) \in \mathbb{B} \mid \lambda_{p,j} \leq \lambda\} \right|}{\left| \{(p, j) \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^2 \mid \lambda_{p,j} \leq \lambda\} \right|} & \geq 1 - 2^{-\ell} C \frac{N_{p, [\log_4 \lambda]+1}}{N_{p, [\log_4 \lambda]}} \\ & \geq 1 - 2^{-\ell} C, \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

which proves the theorem. \square

5. APPLICATIONS AND QUESTIONS

This section is organized as follows. In § 5.1, we provide additional examples for main theorems. In § 5.2, present several questions inspired by quantum unique ergodicity.

5.1. Examples. First, let us discuss examples on hyperbolic surfaces similar to (1.8). We use the same notation as in § 4.5.

5.1.1. Surfaces. For $X = \Gamma_g \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$, a genus g hyperbolic surface, we have $S^*X \cong \Gamma_g \backslash \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and $\pi_1(X) \cong \Gamma_g \subset \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies

$$\Gamma_g \cong \{a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g \mid [a_1, b_1] \cdot [a_2, b_2] \cdots [a_g, b_g] = 1\}. \quad (5.1)$$

Recall that U is a compact connected Lie group, if $g \in \mathbb{N}^*$ is large, then “almost every” representation $\rho: \Gamma_g \rightarrow U$ has a dense image: we say that an element $c \in U$ is generic if and only if the closure of the group generated by c is a maximal torus. At the i -th step, we choose a generic element c_i that is not in the closure of the group generated by $\{c_j\}_{j=1}^{i-1}$. Then after finite steps, we get a sequence $\{c_j\}_{j=1}^k$ which generates a dense subgroup of U . Then any representation $\rho: \Gamma_g \rightarrow U$ with $\rho(a_j) = c_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$ satisfies the denseness condition (for instance, $\rho(b_j) = c_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $\rho(a_j) = \rho(b_j) = 1$ for $k < j \leq g$). By (4.18), we can take

$$(X, N, L, q^*(S^*X), F_p) = (\Gamma_g \backslash \mathbb{H}^2, \mathcal{O}_\mu, L_\mu, \Gamma_g \backslash (\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{O}_\mu), \Gamma_g \backslash (\mathbb{H}^2 \times V_{p\mu})). \quad (5.2)$$

For the case of (4.19) and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ in (1.8), put

$$c_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta\pi & -\sin \theta\pi & 0 \\ \sin \theta\pi & \cos \theta\pi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad c_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta\pi & -\sin \theta\pi \\ 0 & \sin \theta\pi & \cos \theta\pi \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.3)$$

by the Euler rotation theorem, c_1 and c_2 generate a dense subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(3)$, and the two matrices in (1.8) project to the two in (5.3).

Remark 5.1. From the Howe-Moore theorem [32, Theorem 11.2.2], we can easily upgrade the ergodicity of the horizontal geodesic flow on $\Gamma_g \backslash (\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times N)$ to *mixing*. Moreover, in Mostow rigidity [32, Theorem 15.1.2], the ergodicity of this geodesic flow on $\Gamma_g \backslash \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is translated to the ergodicity of the Γ_g -action on the product of the circle at infinity $\mathbb{S}_\infty^1 \times \mathbb{S}_\infty^1$, from this viewpoint, the ergodicity of the horizontal geodesic flow on $\Gamma_g \backslash (\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times N)$ is equivalent to the ergodicity of the Γ_g -action on $\mathbb{S}_\infty^1 \times \mathbb{S}_\infty^1 \times N$, then by Proposition 4.7, the Γ_g -action on $\mathbb{S}_\infty^1 \times \mathbb{S}_\infty^1$ is to some extent *weakly mixing*.

Forni-Goldman [23] proved the ergodicity of the horizontal geodesic flow on $\mathfrak{T}_g \times_{\mathrm{Mod}_g} \mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma_g, \mathbf{U})$, where \mathfrak{T}_g is the *Teichmüller space*, Mod_g is the *mapping class group*, and $\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma_g, \mathbf{U})$ is the space of representations from Γ_g to a compact connected Lie group \mathbf{U} . They use the fact that the Mod_g -action on $\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma_g, \mathbf{U})$ is *weakly mixing*, while it is never the case we consider, because $\pi_1(X)$ acts on N isomorphically.

While any complex structure on $\Gamma_g \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$ induces a complex structure on $\mathrm{Rep}(\Gamma_g, \mathbf{U})$, the action of Mod_g does *not* preserve it. Thus, Theorem 4.6 cannot be directly applied, but we can still expect similar results on Verlinde bundles.

Now we consider examples from arithmetic groups.

5.1.2. *Arithmetic cases.* Let K be a number field that is totally real with the ring of integers O_K . For $k \geq 2$, if $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_k \in O_K$ such that $\mathbf{a}_i > 0, \sigma(\mathbf{a}_i) < 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and every embedding $\sigma: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\sigma \neq \mathrm{Id}$ (for instance $K = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$ and all $\mathbf{a}_i = \sqrt{2}$), we define the associated quadratic forms and special orthogonal groups

$$\begin{aligned} a(x) &= x_0^2 - \mathbf{a}_1 x_1^2 - \dots - \mathbf{a}_k x_k^2, & b(x) &= x_0^2 - \sigma(\mathbf{a}_1) x_1^2 - \dots - \sigma(\mathbf{a}_k) x_k^2, \\ G &= \mathrm{SO}(a(x), \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathrm{SO}(1, k, \mathbb{R}), & U &= \mathrm{SO}(b(x), \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathrm{SO}(1 + k, \mathbb{R}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

By the Borel density theorem and the Selberg lemma [32, Corollary 4.5.6, Theorem 4.8.2], $G_{O_K} \subset G$ is cocompact, and the image $\sigma(G_{O_K}) \subset U$ is dense, and we can pass to a finite index torsion-free subgroup $\Gamma \subset G_{O_K}$ whose image under σ is also dense. Then we can take $(X, U, \rho) = (\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^k, \mathrm{SO}(1 + k, \mathbb{R}), \sigma)$ for any nonidentity embedding σ .

5.2. **Semiclassical measures and QUE.** Theorem 3.4 gives equidistribution excluding a *density zero* subset of eigensections. It is natural to consider the question of whether *all* eigensections equidistribute, meaning that we could replace \mathbb{B} with \mathbb{N}^* in (3.10). This is known as Quantum Unique Ergodicity (QUE), which was conjectured by Rudnick-Sarnak [38] for the trivial line bundle over hyperbolic surfaces. On the other hand, Theorem 4.9 ensures the *uniform equidistribution* of a *uniformly density one* subset of eigensections. We could also question if we can replace \mathbb{B} with $(\mathbb{N}^*)^2$ in (4.26), which we might refer to as Uniform Quantum Unique Ergodicity (UQUE).

QUE and UQUE also can be stated in terms of the following definition analogous to [46, Theorem 5.2].

In the case of Theorem 3.3, a *nonnegative functional* $\nu_\mu: S_{F_\mu}^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a *semiclassical functional* if there are eigensections $\{u_{j_\ell}\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ with $\lim_{\ell \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_{j_\ell} = +\infty$ and

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow +\infty} \langle \text{Op}_{h_{j_\ell}}(A)u_{j_\ell}, u_{j_\ell} \rangle_{L^2(X, F_\mu)} = \nu_\mu(A) \quad \text{for any } A \in S_{F_\mu}^0. \quad (5.5)$$

In the case of Theorem 4.9, we say that a *nonnegative measure* $\nu_{q_\mu^*(T^*X)}$ on $q_\mu^*(T^*X)$ is an *augmented semiclassical measure* if there is a series of eigensections $\{u_{p_\ell, j_\ell}\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ such that $\lim_{\ell \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_{p_\ell, j_\ell} = +\infty$ (or equivalently $\lim_{\ell \rightarrow +\infty} j_\ell/p_\ell^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_\mu} = +\infty$ by (2.19) and (4.4)), and for any $\mathcal{A} \in S^0(q^*(T^*X))$,

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow +\infty} \langle \text{Op}_{h_{p_\ell, j_\ell}}(T_{\mathcal{A}, p_\ell} u_{p_\ell, j_\ell}, u_{p_\ell, j_\ell}) \rangle_{L^2(X, F_{p_\ell})} = \int_{q_\mu^*(T^*X)} \mathcal{A} d\nu_{q_\mu^*(T^*X)}. \quad (5.6)$$

By nonnegativity we mean that for any $A \in S_{F_\mu}^0$ and $\mathcal{A} \in S^0(q_\mu^*(T^*X))$,

$$\nu_\mu(A^*A) \geq 0, \quad \nu_{q_\mu^*(T^*X)}(|\mathcal{A}|^2) \geq 0. \quad (5.7)$$

Using (2.9), we have the following weak Gårding inequality [46, Theorem 4.30]: for any flat bundle F as in (2.1) and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any $A \in S_F^0$ and $0 < h \leq \min\{C_\varepsilon(1 + |A|_2^{(0)})^{-2}, 1\}$,

$$\langle \text{Op}_h(A^*A)u, u \rangle_{L^2(X, F)} \geq -\varepsilon \|u\|_{L^2(X, F)}^2, \quad (5.8)$$

and all constants also verify the uniformity discussed in Remark 2.1.

From (2.20), (2.25), (5.8) and proceed as in [46, Theorems 5.2, 5.3, 5.4], we get the following result.

Proposition 5.2. *For any sequence $\{u_{j_\ell}\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ of eigensections of Δ^{F_μ} with $\lim_{\ell \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_{j_\ell} = +\infty$, there exists a subsequence which is weak* convergent to a nonnegative functional ν_μ . Furthermore, every semiclassical functional ν_μ satisfies $\text{supp}(\nu_\mu) \subseteq S^*X$ and it is parallel with respect to the geodesic flow. That is, for any $A \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(S^*X, \pi^*\text{End}(F_\mu))$, we have $\nu_\mu(g_t \cdot A) = \nu_\mu(A)$.*

Similarly, for any sequence $\{u_{p_\ell, j_\ell}\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^}$ of eigensections of $\{\Delta^{F_{p_\ell \mu}}\}$ with $\lim_{\ell \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_{p_\ell, j_\ell} = +\infty$, there is a subsequence that is weak* convergent to a nonnegative probability measure. Moreover, every augmented semiclassical measure is supported on $q_\mu^*(S^*X)$ and is invariant under the horizontal geodesic flow. Therefore, we denote it by $\nu_{q_\mu^*(S^*X)}$, and for any $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(q_\mu^*(S^*X))$, we have $\nu_{q_\mu^*(S^*X)}(g_t \cdot \mathcal{A}) = \nu_{q_\mu^*(S^*X)}(\mathcal{A})$.*

Note that in the proof of the second part of Proposition 5.2, we should use (4.9) and (5.8) to set an upper bound for h uniformly for $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

Recall the *Liouville functional* $A \in S_{F_\mu}^0 \mapsto \int_{S^*X} \overline{\text{Tr}}^{\pi^* F_\mu} [A] d\bar{\nu}_{S^*X}$ and the *augmented Liouville measure* $d\bar{\nu}_{q_\mu^*(S^*X)}$. Then the QUE conjecture states that the only semiclassical functional is the Liouville functional, while the UQUE conjecture states that the only augmented semiclassical measure is the augmented Liouville measure.

5.2.1. Arithmetic UQUE. If X is an arithmetic surface and $F = \mathbb{C}$, there are extra symmetries called *Hecke operators*, which commute with each other and with the Laplacian. The QUE has been proved by Lindenstrauss [28] for eigenfunctions $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ of the Laplacian which are also eigenfunctions of all Hecke operators when X is compact.

When $(X, F_\mu$ (or F_{p_μ})) = $(G_{O_K} \backslash \mathbb{H}^2, G_{O_K} \backslash (\mathbb{H}^2 \times \text{Sym}^{2p}(\mathbb{C}^2)))$ as in § 5.1.2, there are also Hecke symmetries on $L^2(G_{O_K} \backslash \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}), G_{O_K} \backslash (\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \text{Sym}^{2p}(\mathbb{C}^2)))$.

Therefore, we may ask: *Does the Arithmetic UQUE hold for the cases we considered?*

5.2.2. *The entropy of semiclassical measures.* Anantharaman [1] gave a restriction on the set of semiclassical measures: if X has Anosov geodesic flow and $F = \mathbb{C}$, then the Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy $h_{\text{KS}}(\nu)$ [41, (9.1.17)] of any semiclassical measure ν satisfies $h_{\text{KS}}(\nu) > 0$.

Restricting the symbol classes $S_{F_\mu}^0, S^0(q_\mu^*(T^*X))$ to scalar symbols $S_{\mathbb{C}}^0$ in (5.5) and (5.6), we get the property of pushforward measures $q_*(\nu_\mu), q_*(\nu_{q_\mu^*(S^*X)})$ on S^*X . Therefore, we suspect that after a minor modification in [1], we can answer the following question:

In the case of Theorem 3.3, or the special case of Theorem 4.6 satisfying Proposition 4.7, is the entropy of $q_(\nu_\mu)$ and $q_*(\nu_{q_\mu^*(S^*X)})$ for any semiclassical functional ν_μ and measure $\nu_{q_\mu^*(S^*X)}$ still positive?*

If the answer is yes, then we see that $h_{\text{KS}}(\nu_{q_\mu^*(S^*X)}) \geq h_{\text{KS}}(q_*(\nu_{q_\mu^*(S^*X)})) > 0$.

5.2.3. *The support of semiclassical measures.* Dyatlov-Jin-Nonnenmacher [22] showed a different kind of restriction when X is a surface with Anosov geodesic flow and $F = \mathbb{C}$. For each semiclassical measure ν on S^*X , we have $\text{supp}(\nu) = S^*X$, namely, $\nu(U) > 0$ for any nonempty open set $U \subseteq S^*X$, see also Dyatlov-Jin [21] for the constant sectional curvature case. By extending their main estimate [22, Theorem 2] to scalar symbols $S_{\mathbb{C}}^0 \subset S_F^0$ for any flat bundle F and ensuring uniformity of constants as in Remark 2.1, we can prove analogous results for semiclassical functionals and augmented measures, using the invariance with respect to the geodesic flow or the horizontal geodesic flow in Proposition 5.2.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Anantharaman. Entropy and the localization of eigenfunctions. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 168(2):435–475, 2008.
- [2] N. Anantharaman. *Quantum ergodicity and delocalization of Schrödinger eigenfunctions*. Zurich Lectures in Advanced Mathematics. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, [2022] ©2022.
- [3] N. Anantharaman and E. Le Masson. Quantum ergodicity on large regular graphs. *Duke Math. J.*, 164(4):723–765, 2015.
- [4] W. Ballmann. *Lectures on spaces of nonpositive curvature*, volume 25 of *DMV Seminar*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1995. With an appendix by Misha Brin.
- [5] F. A. Berezin. Quantization. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 38:1116–1175, 1974.
- [6] N. Bergeron and A. Venkatesh. The asymptotic growth of torsion homology for arithmetic groups. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 12(2):391–447, 2013.
- [7] N. Berline, E. Getzler, and M. Vergne. *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*. Grundlehren Text Editions. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. Corrected reprint of the 1992 original.
- [8] J.-M. Bismut, X. Ma, and W. Zhang. Opérateurs de Toeplitz et torsion analytique asymptotique. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 349(17-18):977–981, 2011.
- [9] J.-M. Bismut, X. Ma, and W. Zhang. Asymptotic torsion and Toeplitz operators. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 16(2):223–349, 2017.
- [10] J.-M. Bismut and W. Zhang. An extension of a theorem by Cheeger and Müller. *Astérisque*, (205):235, 1992. With an appendix by François Laudenbach.
- [11] J. Bolte and R. Glaser. Quantum ergodicity for Pauli Hamiltonians with spin 1/2. *Nonlinearity*, 13(6):1987–2003, 2000.
- [12] J. Bolte and R. Glaser. A semiclassical Egorov theorem and quantum ergodicity for matrix valued operators. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 247(2):391–419, 2004.
- [13] M. Bordemann, E. Meinrenken, and M. Schlichenmaier. Toeplitz quantization of Kähler manifolds and $\text{gl}(N)$, $N \rightarrow \infty$ limits. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 165(2):281–296, 1994.

- [14] A. Borel and N. Wallach. *Continuous cohomology, discrete subgroups, and representations of reductive groups*, volume 67 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, 2000.
- [15] R. Bott. Homogeneous vector bundles. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 66:203–248, 1957.
- [16] R. Bott and L. W. Tu. *Differential forms in algebraic topology*, volume 82 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [17] L. Boutet de Monvel and V. Guillemin. *The spectral theory of Toeplitz operators*, volume 99 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1981.
- [18] J. Cheeger. Analytic torsion and the heat equation. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 109(2):259–322, 1979.
- [19] Y. Colin de Verdière. Ergodicité et fonctions propres du laplacien. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 102(3):497–502, 1985.
- [20] S. Dyatlov. Around quantum ergodicity. *Ann. Math. Qué.*, 46(1):11–26, 2022.
- [21] S. Dyatlov and L. Jin. Semiclassical measures on hyperbolic surfaces have full support. *Acta Math.*, 220(2):297–339, 2018.
- [22] S. Dyatlov, L. Jin, and S. Nonnenmacher. Control of eigenfunctions on surfaces of variable curvature. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 35(2):361–465, 2022.
- [23] G. Forni and W. M. Goldman. Mixing flows on moduli spaces of flat bundles over surfaces. In *Geometry and physics. Vol. II*, pages 519–533. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2018.
- [24] W. Franz. Über die Torsion einer Überdeckung. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 173:245–254, 1935.
- [25] D. Jakobson and A. Strohmaier. High energy limits of Laplace-type and Dirac-type eigenfunctions and frame flows. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 270(3):813–833, 2007.
- [26] D. Jakobson, A. Strohmaier, and S. Zelditch. On the spectrum of geometric operators on Kähler manifolds. *J. Mod. Dyn.*, 2(4):701–718, 2008.
- [27] E. Le Masson and T. Sahlsten. Quantum ergodicity and Benjamini-Schramm convergence of hyperbolic surfaces. *Duke Math. J.*, 166(18):3425–3460, 2017.
- [28] E. Lindenstrauss. Invariant measures and arithmetic quantum unique ergodicity. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 163(1):165–219, 2006.
- [29] Q. Ma. Toeplitz operators and the full asymptotic torsion forms. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 286(3):Paper No. 110210, 74pp, 2024.
- [30] X. Ma and G. Marinescu. *Holomorphic Morse inequalities and Bergman kernels*, volume 254 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007.
- [31] X. Ma and G. Marinescu. Toeplitz operators on symplectic manifolds. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 18(2):565–611, 2008.
- [32] D. W. Morris. *Introduction to arithmetic groups*. Deductive Press, [place of publication not identified], 2015.
- [33] W. Müller. Analytic torsion and R -torsion of Riemannian manifolds. *Adv. in Math.*, 28(3):233–305, 1978.
- [34] W. Müller. The asymptotics of the Ray-Singer analytic torsion of hyperbolic 3-manifolds. In *Metric and differential geometry*, volume 297 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 317–352. Birkhäuser/Springer, Basel, 2012.
- [35] Y. B. Pesin. *Lectures on partial hyperbolicity and stable ergodicity*. Zurich Lectures in Advanced Mathematics. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2004.
- [36] D. B. Ray and I. M. Singer. R -torsion and the Laplacian on Riemannian manifolds. *Advances in Math.*, 7:145–210, 1971.
- [37] K. Reidemeister. Homotopieringe und Linsenräume. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, 11(1):102–109, 1935.
- [38] Z. Rudnick and P. Sarnak. The behaviour of eigenstates of arithmetic hyperbolic manifolds. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 161(1):195–213, 1994.
- [39] P. Sarnak. Recent progress on the quantum unique ergodicity conjecture. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 48(2):211–228, 2011.
- [40] M. Schlichenmaier. Deformation quantization of compact Kähler manifolds by Berezin-Toeplitz quantization. In *Conférence Moshé Flato 1999, Vol. II (Dijon)*, volume 22 of *Math. Phys. Stud.*, pages 289–306. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000.

- [41] M. Viana and K. Oliveira. *Foundations of ergodic theory*, volume 151 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016.
- [42] A. I. Šnirel'man. Ergodic properties of eigenfunctions. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, 29(6(180)):181–182, 1974.
- [43] S. Zelditch. Uniform distribution of eigenfunctions on compact hyperbolic surfaces. *Duke Math. J.*, 55(4):919–941, 1987.
- [44] S. Zelditch. Mean Lindelöf hypothesis and equidistribution of cusp forms and Eisenstein series. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 97(1):1–49, 1991.
- [45] S. Zelditch and M. Zworski. Ergodicity of eigenfunctions for ergodic billiards. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 175(3):673–682, 1996.
- [46] M. Zworski. *Semiclassical analysis*, volume 138 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.