

The maximum Wiener index of a uniform hypergraph

Stijn Cambie*, Ervin Györi†, Nika Salia*, Casey Tompkins‡, James Tuite‡

February 20, 2023

Abstract

The Wiener index of a (hyper)graph is calculated by summing up the distances between all pairs of vertices. We determine the maximum possible Wiener index of a connected n -vertex k -uniform hypergraph and characterize for every n all hypergraphs attaining the maximum Wiener index.

1 Introduction

The Wiener index [16], also known as the total distance, is a well-studied parameter in graph theory. For a given graph G , the Wiener index of the graph is denoted by $W(G)$ where

$$W(G) = \sum_{\{u,v\} \subseteq V(G)} d_G(u,v).$$

The Wiener index of a graph with a fixed number of vertices is linearly related to the average distance of the graph, which is itself another important metric parameter in random graph theory. Additionally, it is related to parameters of random walks such as cover cost and Kemeny's constant [8, 12]. Its generalization of Steiner-Wiener index [13] is related to the average traveling salesman problem distance [5].

It is natural to investigate the extremal properties of the Wiener index. Among the most basic results on the total distance of a graph, there are folklore results that for every connected graph G and a tree T with n vertices, we have

$$W(K_n) \leq W(G) \leq W(P_n) \text{ and } W(S_n) \leq W(T) \leq W(P_n).$$

Here K_n is the n -vertex complete graph, P_n is a path with n vertices and S_n is a star with n vertices. Proofs can be found in [4].

While these statements and proofs might be straightforward and easy, the relationship between the Wiener index and other graph parameters or specific classes of graphs is still being explored. For example among all graphs with a given diameter and order the minimum Wiener index is determined in [15]. In [3] the maximum Wiener index is determined up to the asymptotics of the second-order term. The authors in [6, 7, 9] have studied planar graphs and determined sharp bounds for the maximum of the Wiener index in Apollonian planar graphs and maximal planar graphs.

*Extremal Combinatorics and Probability Group (ECOPRO), Institute for Basic Science (IBS), Daejeon, KR, supported by the Institute for Basic Science (IBS-R029-C4), E-mail: stijn.cambie@hotmail.com, salianika@gmail.com

†Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Budapest, HU, supported by the National Research, Development and Innovation Office NKFIH, grants K132696, K135800 and SNN-135643, E-mail: gyori.ervin@renyi.hu, ctompkins496@gmail.com

‡Open University, Walton Hall, Milton Keynes, UK, supported by EPSRC grant EP/W522338/1 and London Mathematical Society grant ECF-2021-27. E-mail: james.tuite@open.ac.uk

In this work, we study the Wiener index of k -uniform hypergraphs. Generalizing fundamental results in graph theory to hypergraphs gives a broader insight into the problem. A few examples of such extensions of classical results towards the hypergraph setting are given in [14, 11]. A k -uniform hypergraph \mathcal{H} of order n can be represented as a pair (V, E) where V is a vertex set with n elements and $E \subseteq \binom{V}{k}$ is a family of k -sets, called hyperedges or just edges. Note that in the case of $k = 2$, a 2-uniform hypergraph is a graph. In order to introduce a distance between the vertices of a hypergraph, at first we need to introduce a concept of paths for hypergraphs. In this work we follow the celebrated definition of Berge [1]: a Berge path of a hypergraph \mathcal{H} is a sequence of distinct vertices and hyperedges $v_0 h_1 v_1 h_2 v_2 \dots v_{d-1} h_d v_d$ of \mathcal{H} where $v_{i-1}, v_i \in h_i$ for every $1 \leq i \leq d$ for some d . A hypergraph is connected if there is a Berge path for every pair of vertices. The length of a Berge path is the number of hyperedges in the Berge path. Naturally the distance between two vertices u, v is the length of the shortest Berge path containing u and v in the hypergraph \mathcal{H} , and it is denoted by $d_{\mathcal{H}}(u, v)$. Note that when the ground hypergraph is known from the context we write $d(u, v)$ instead of $d_{\mathcal{H}}(u, v)$. The Wiener index of a connected hypergraph \mathcal{H} is defined as $\sum_{\{u,v\} \subseteq V(\mathcal{H})} d_{\mathcal{H}}(u, v)$.

A connected hypergraph \mathcal{H} is called a hyper-tree when it can be represented by a tree T in the graph sense, on the same vertex set, where every edge of \mathcal{H} induces a connected subgraph of T , equivalent formulations are collected in [2, Chap. 5, Sec. 4]. When restricting to linear k -uniform hyper-trees (connected hypergraphs with $n = m(k-1) + 1$ vertices and m edges), it was shown in [10] that for every such hyper-tree \mathcal{H} , $W(S_n^k) \leq W(\mathcal{H}) \leq W(P_n^k)$, where S_n^k and P_n^k are the linear path and star, see Figure 1. Furthermore, the corresponding extremal hyper-trees are unique.




Figure 1: The loose star S_{13}^4 and path P_{13}^4

Considering arbitrary connected k -uniform hypergraphs, or hyper-trees, the extremal hypergraphs for maximizing/minimizing the Wiener index are not always unique. Determining the lower bound for the Wiener index of k -uniform hypergraphs is trivial, as all distances between the distinct vertices are at least 1.

Proposition 1. *Any connected k -uniform hypergraph \mathcal{H} satisfies $\binom{n}{2} = W(K_n^k) \leq W(\mathcal{H})$.*

We remark that, in huge contrast with the graph case, equality can also be attained by very sparse hypergraphs.

Remark 2. *Equality in Proposition 1 occurs if and only if for every pair of vertices there is a hyperedge incident to both. This is the case when the hypergraph corresponds with a projective plane. This is an example of a sparse hypergraph minimizing the Wiener index. Also hyper-trees can attain equality when $k \geq 3$, e.g. the hyper-tree with underlying tree S_n and every subtree of order k being a hyperedge.*

On the other hand, maximizing the Wiener index for k -uniform hypergraphs is a relatively complex problem. In this note, we determine the maximum possible Wiener index of a connected n -vertex k -

uniform hypergraph and characterize all hypergraphs attaining the maximum. First, we define the class of extremal hypergraphs. For integers a and b with $a \leq b$, let $[a, b]$ be the set $\{a, a + 1, \dots, b\}$ and $[a]$ be the set $[1, a]$. For given integers n and k with $0 \leq k < n$, let s and r be integers such that $n = ks + r$ and $0 \leq r < k$. For every r such that $r \neq 0$, let $P_n^k = (V, E)$ be the following tight-path. Let the vertex set of P_n^k be $[n]$ and the edge set be $E = \{(i-1)k + 1, ik\} : 1 \leq i \leq s\} \cup \{[r + (i-1)k + 1, ik + r] : 1 \leq i \leq s\}$. For $r = 0$, and every integer x , such that $0 < x < k$, we define a k -uniform hypergraph $P_{n,x}^k = (V, E)$ where $V = [n]$ and $E = \{(i-1)k + 1, ik\} : 1 \leq i \leq s\} \cup \{(i-1)k + 1 + x, ik + x\} : 1 \leq i \leq s-1\}$. See Figure 2 for examples of both P_n^k and $P_{n,x}^k$. Our main result can now be stated as follows.




Figure 2: The tight paths P_{13}^4 and $P_{12,2}^4$ for $k = 4$

Theorem 1. *Let \mathcal{H} be a connected k -uniform hypergraph of order $n \geq k$. If $k \nmid n$, then $W(\mathcal{H}) \leq W(P_n^k)$ with equality if and only if $\mathcal{H} = P_n^k$. If $k \mid n$, then $W(\mathcal{H}) \leq W(P_{n,1}^k)$ with equality if and only if $\mathcal{H} = P_{n,x}^k$ for some $0 < x < k$.*

2 Proof of Theorem 1

Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, E)$ be an n -vertex k -uniform connected hypergraph with maximum Wiener index. Then we may assume that for every edge h of \mathcal{H} , the hypergraph $\mathcal{H}' = (V, E \setminus h)$ ¹ is not connected, otherwise we would remove the edge and the Wiener index would not decrease. Proving Theorem 1 for edge-minimal hypergraphs is sufficient since adding an edge to one of the extremal hypergraphs from Theorem 1 decreases the Wiener index. The following lemma shows that there is a hyperedge h in E , such that hypergraph $\mathcal{H}' = (V, E \setminus h)$ contains at most one connected component of size greater than one.

Lemma 3. *Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, E)$ be a k -uniform hypergraph such that the deletion of any edge disconnects the hypergraph. Then there is an edge h of \mathcal{H} such that the hypergraph $\mathcal{H}' = (V, E \setminus h)$ contains at most one connected component of size greater than one.*

Proof. Let h be a hyperedge of \mathcal{H} such that the size of the largest connected component of $\mathcal{H}' = (V, E \setminus h)$ is the maximum. Then either we are done or $\mathcal{H}' = (V, E \setminus h)$ contains two connected components \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 each containing a hyperedge such that \mathcal{H}_1 is a component with the maximum size. Let h_2 be a hyperedge of \mathcal{H}_2 , the hypergraph $(V, E \setminus h_2)$ contains a component of size larger than \mathcal{H}_1 since it contains a component containing \mathcal{H}_1 with all vertices of h as well, a contradiction. \square

By Lemma 3 and the edge-minimality of \mathcal{H} , there is an edge h_1 of \mathcal{H} such that $\mathcal{H}' = (V, E \setminus h_1)$ consists of a connected component of order $n - \ell$ on a vertex set $V' \subset V$ and ℓ isolated vertices for some $1 \leq \ell < k$. Let $\mathcal{H}'_{V'}$ be the largest connected component of \mathcal{H}' .

Now we proceed by induction, noting that the theorem trivially holds for $n < 2k$. Assume Theorem 1 holds for every order strictly smaller than n . Let v be an isolated vertex of \mathcal{H}' such that

¹We abuse notation and write $E \setminus h$ instead of $E \setminus \{h\}$.

$\sum_{u \in V'} d_{\mathcal{H}'}(u, v)$ is the maximum possible. Then we have

$$W(\mathcal{H}) \leq W(\mathcal{H}'_{V'}) + \binom{\ell}{2} + \ell \sum_{u \in V'} d(u, v). \quad (1)$$

By induction, we have an upper bound on $W(\mathcal{H}'_{V'})$. For this, we compute the Wiener index of the paths P_n^k and $P_{n,x}^k$. When $n = ks + r$, for some integers s and r such that $0 \leq r < k$, the Wiener index of the path equals

$$f(s, k, r) = \frac{k^2 s^3}{3} + rks^2 + r^2 s + \frac{(k^2 - 3k)s}{6} + \binom{r}{2},$$

Note that the Wiener index of $P_{n,x}^k$ is independent of the choice of x .

We also need to find an upper bound for $\sum_{u \in V'} d_{\mathcal{H}'}(u, v)$. To this end, we define the following two functions:

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(\ell, k, s, r) &= ks^2 + \ell s + r(2s + 1), \\ g_2(\ell, k, s, r) &= ks^2 + \ell s + 2rs. \end{aligned}$$

Claim 4. *Let $n - \ell = ks' + r'$ for integers s' and r' for $0 \leq r' < k$, then we have*

$$\sum_{u \in V'} d(u, v) \leq \begin{cases} g_1(\ell, k, s', r') & \text{if } k - \ell \leq r' \\ g_2(\ell, k, s', r') & \text{if } k - \ell > r' \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let d be the eccentricity of v , i.e. $d = \max_{u \in V'} d_{\mathcal{H}'}(u, v)$. For $d \geq i \geq 1$, let n_i be the number of vertices in \mathcal{H}' which are at distance i from v . Let $n_i = 0$ for $i > d$. Now by definition, we have $\sum_{u \in V'} d(u, v) = \sum_{i=1}^d i n_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^d n_i = (n - \ell) = ks' + r'$. Note that $n_1 \geq k - \ell$ and $n_i + n_{i+1} \geq k$ for every $1 \leq i \leq d - 1$, since for every such i there is an edge whose vertices are all at distance i and $i + 1$ from v .

First, we bound the eccentricity. Let u be a vertex for which $d(u, v) = d$ and h an edge containing the vertex u . When $0 \leq r' < k - \ell$, either $d \leq 2s'$ or we have $n_1 + \dots + n_{2s'-1} \geq (k - \ell) + (s' - 1)k > k(s' - 1) + r'$ and hence h cannot be disjoint of these vertices at distance at most $2s' - 1$ from v , thus we have $d \leq 2s'$. Now we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^d i n_i &= 2s' \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2s'} n_i \right) - \sum_{j=1}^{2s'-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^j n_i \right) \\ &\leq 2s'(n - \ell) - \left(s'(k - \ell) + \sum_{j=1}^{2s'-1} \left\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \right\rfloor k \right) \\ &= 2s'(ks' + r') - (s'(k - \ell) + s'(s' - 1)k) \\ &= s'^2 k + 2s'r' + \ell s'. \end{aligned}$$

In the case that $k - \ell \leq r' < k$, we similarly have $n_1 + \dots + n_{2s'} \geq ks'$ and again h cannot be disjoint from at least ks' vertices in \mathcal{H}' , thus $d \leq 2s' + 1$. The computation now analogously results into $\sum_{i=1}^d i n_i \leq s'^2 k + (2s' + 1)r' + \ell s'$. \diamond

Finally, it is sufficient to prove that the upper bound for the right-hand side of Inequality (1) is always bounded by the claimed upper bound. For $n - \ell = ks + r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(s+1, k, r + \ell - k) - \left(f(s, k, r) + \ell g_1(\ell, k, s, r) + \binom{\ell}{2} \right) &= (k - \ell - r)^2 \geq 0 \quad \text{if } k - \ell \leq r, \\ f(s, k, r + \ell) - \left(f(s, k, r) + \ell g_2(\ell, k, s, r) + \binom{\ell}{2} \right) &= \ell r \geq 0 \quad \text{if } k - \ell > r, \end{aligned}$$

which also has been verified by Maple².

Equality holds if $k = \ell + r$ or $r = 0$. If $k \mid n$, equality occurs if and only if $k = \ell + r$ and for every fixed $0 < \ell < k$ the only hypergraph attaining the maximum Wiener index is $P_{n,\ell}^k$. If $k \nmid n$, equality occurs if and only if $r = 0$, since $0 < \ell < k$ and by the induction hypothesis the unique connected n -vertex k -uniform hypergraph maximizing the Wiener index is P_n^k .

References

- [1] C. Berge. *Graphs and hypergraphs*. North-Holland, 1973.
- [2] C. Berge. *Hypergraphs*, volume 45 of *North-Holland Mathematical Library*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1989. Combinatorics of finite sets, Translated from the French.
- [3] S. Cambie. An asymptotic resolution of a problem of Plesník. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 145:341–358, 2020.
- [4] S. Cambie. *Extremal aspects of distances and colourings in graphs*. PhD thesis, Radboud University, 2022.
- [5] S. Cambie. The average solution of a TSP instance in a graph. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2209.03409, Sept. 2022.
- [6] Z. Che and K. L. Collins. An upper bound on Wiener indices of maximal planar graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 258:76–86, 2019.
- [7] É. Czabarka, P. Dankelmann, T. Olsen, and L. A. Székely. Wiener index and remoteness in triangulations and quadrangulations. *Discrete Mathematics & Theoretical Computer Science*, 23, 2021.
- [8] A. Georgakopoulos and S. Wagner. Hitting times, cover cost, and the Wiener index of a tree. *J. Graph Theory*, 84(3):311–326, 2017.
- [9] D. Ghosh, E. Györi, A. Paulos, N. Salia, and O. Zamora. The maximum Wiener index of maximal planar graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Optimization*, 40(4):1121–1135, 2020.
- [10] H. Guo, B. Zhou, and H. Lin. The Wiener index of uniform hypergraphs. *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.*, 78(1):133–152, 2017.
- [11] E. Györi and N. Lemons. Hypergraphs with no cycle of a given length. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 21(1-2):193–201, 2012.
- [12] J. Jang, S. Kim, and M. Song. Kemeny’s constant and Wiener index on trees. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2209.11271, Sept. 2022.
- [13] X. Li, Y. Mao, and I. Gutman. The Steiner Wiener index of a graph. *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory*, 36(2):455–465, 2016.
- [14] D. Mubayi. A hypergraph extension of Turán’s theorem. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 96(1):122–134, 2006.
- [15] J. Plesník. On the sum of all distances in a graph or digraph. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 8(1):1–21, 1984.
- [16] H. Wiener. Structural determination of paraffin boiling points. *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 69:17–20, 1947.

²See <https://github.com/StijnCambie/WienerHypergraph>