

# LOW TEMPERATURE ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION FOR CLASSICAL $O(N)$ VECTOR MODELS

ALESSANDRO GIULIANI AND SÉBASTIEN OTT

ABSTRACT. We consider classical  $O(N)$  vector models in dimension three and higher and investigate the nature of the low-temperature expansions for their multipoint spin correlations. We prove that such expansions define asymptotic series, and derive explicit estimates on the error terms associated with their finite order truncations. The result applies, in particular, to the spontaneous magnetization of the 3D Heisenberg model. The proof combines a priori bounds on the moments of the local spin observables, following from reflection positivity and the infrared bound, with an integration-by-parts method applied systematically to a suitable integral representation of the correlation functions. Our method generalizes an approach, proposed originally by Bricmont and collaborators [6] in the context of the rotator model, to the case of non-abelian symmetry and non-gradient observables.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1976, in one of the most influential papers in mathematical statistical mechanics, Fröhlich-Simon-Spencer (FSS) [11] proved the existence of orientational long range order for classical  $O(N)$  vector models in three or more dimensions. In 1981, in the special case of the  $O(2)$  model, also known as the rotator or XY model, Bricmont-Fontaine-Lebowitz-Lieb-Spencer (BFLLS) [6] extended the FSS result, proving, in particular, that the formal low-temperature expansion for its magnetization defines an asymptotic series; that is, the difference between the magnetization at temperature  $T$  and the truncation of its low-temperature series at any finite order  $n$  is  $o(T^n)$  as  $T \rightarrow 0$ . A direct generalization of this result to  $O(N)$  models with  $N \geq 3$ , including the physically relevant case of the Heisenberg model, remained open since, due to the difficulty in extending the BFLLS method to the case of non-abelian rotational symmetry. By ‘direct’, here, we mean by similar elementary methods, combining a priori bounds on the moments of local spin observables, based on reflection positivity and the related infrared bound [11, 12], with systematic integration-by-parts applied to a suitable integral representation of the spin correlations. In this paper we provide such a direct, self-contained, proof of the asymptotic nature of the low-temperature expansion for the magnetization and other spin correlation functions of classical  $O(N)$  vector models, for any  $N \geq 3$ . Technically, our proof is based on an extension of the BFLLS proof, capable of handling non-abelian symmetry and non-gradient observables.

Let us recall that there are other, more involved, approaches that can be used to investigate the low-temperature properties of classical  $O(N)$  vector models: we refer here to Balaban’s multiscale analysis [1, 2, 3] of its correlation functions [4]. Balaban’s construction implies, in particular, that the low-temperature expansion for the magnetization is an asymptotic series; it actually provides much more detailed informations on the correlations at low temperatures, including their large distance

---

*Date:* February 16, 2023.

asymptotics and decay exponents. However, the construction is extremely involved and it is hard to extract from it explicit informations in a simple and direct way.

For this reason, it is important to develop simpler approaches to the problem, particularly in cases, such as the classical  $O(N)$  vector model, where the system displays reflection positivity, which in turn implies several strong a priori bounds on correlation functions. The proof described below shows that, even in models with non-abelian continuous symmetry, there is no intrinsic obstacle in using the infrared bound to derive bounds on the low-temperature expansion for correlations. However, even if our method allows us to control multipoint spin correlation at any fixed coordinates, it does not provide informations on their asymptotic behavior as the relative distance between the spins diverges to infinity. We believe that, in order to compute the critical exponents and the dominant asymptotics of spin correlations, multiscale analysis is inevitable. It would be extremely nice to be able to use systematically reflection positivity within a multiscale scheme, thus simplifying Balaban's approach, particularly in connection with the technically involved procedure related to the small/large field decomposition. It would also be nice to develop methods, inspired by the BFLS approach, capable of controlling the low-temperature series for the magnetization of reflection positive quantum spin systems, such as the 3D quantum XY model or quantum Heisenberg anti-ferromagnet. These long term goals are behind the very motivations of the current work. It remains to be seen whether such challenging problems can be solved or at least attacked via extensions of the current work, and we hope to come back to these problems in future publications.

**1.1. Definition of the model and finite-volume infrared bound.** Let  $\Lambda_L$  be the  $d$ -dimensional discrete torus of side  $L$ , in dimension  $d \geq 3$ . Each site of  $\Lambda_L$  carries an  $N$ -component unit vector, called 'spin'; we assume that  $N \geq 2$ , and denote by  $\Omega_L = (\mathbb{S}^{N-1})^{\Lambda_L}$  the corresponding space of spin configurations. For  $f : \Omega_L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$ , and  $h \geq 0$ , we define the un-normalized expectations as follows:

$$Z_{L;\beta}(f) = \int_{\Omega_L} \prod_{x \in \Lambda_L} d\nu_N(S_x) f(S) e^{\beta \sum_{x \in \Lambda_L} \sum_e S_x \cdot S_{x+e}}, \quad (1)$$

$$Z_{L;\beta,h}(f) \equiv Z_{L;\beta}(f e^{h \sum_{x \in \Lambda_L} S_x^N}),$$

where  $\nu_N$  is the Lebesgue (area) measure on  $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ . Their normalized counterparts are:

$$\langle f \rangle_{L;\beta} = \frac{Z_{L;\beta}(f)}{Z_{L;\beta}(1)}, \quad \langle f \rangle_{L;\beta,h} = \frac{Z_{L;\beta,h}(f)}{Z_{L;\beta,h}(1)} \quad (2)$$

We will write  $Z_{L;\beta,h} \equiv Z_{L;\beta,h}(1)$ , and often omit  $\beta$  from the notation.  $\mu_{L;h}$  will denote the probability measure with expectation  $\langle \cdot \rangle_{L;h}$ .

*Reflection positivity* of  $\mu_{L;h}$  implies the following finite-volume version of the *infrared bound* [11, Theorem 2.1], which is one of the basic ingredients of our analysis. We denote by  $\hat{e}_k$  with  $k = 1, \dots, d$  the elements of the canonical basis of unit vectors of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and  $\nabla_x^{\hat{e}_k} f = f(x + \hat{e}_k) - f(x) = (\nabla^{\hat{e}_k} f)(x)$ . We also denote by  $\Delta_L$  the Laplacian in the torus  $\Lambda_L$  and by  $G_L = (-\Delta_L)^{-1}$  its finite-volume Green's function.

**Proposition 1.1.** *For any  $h, \beta \geq 0$ , any  $L$ , and any  $f_1, \dots, f_d : \Lambda_L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ ,*

$$\langle e^{\sum_{k=1}^d \sum_{x \in \Lambda_L} S_x \cdot \nabla_x^{\hat{e}_k} f_k} \rangle_{L;h} \leq e^{\frac{1}{2\beta} \sum_{k=1}^d \sum_{x \in \Lambda_L} (f_k(x))^2}.$$

In particular, for  $f$  such that  $\sum_x f(x) = 0$ , letting  $f_k(x) = (-\Delta_L)^{-1} \nabla_x^{\hat{e}_k} f$  in the previous equation, one has:

$$\langle e^{\sum_{x \in \Lambda_L} S_x \cdot f(x)} \rangle_{L;h} \leq e^{\frac{1}{2\beta}(f, G_L f)}. \quad (3)$$

**1.2. Construction of the infinite volume measure and infrared bound.** We will work with the successive limits  $L \rightarrow \infty$  (thermodynamic limit), and  $h \searrow 0$  of  $\mu_{L;h}$ . We denote by  $\mu_h$  a (arbitrarily chosen) cluster point of  $\mu_{L;h}$  as  $L \rightarrow \infty$ , which exists by compactness and inherits translation invariance from the finite volume measures, and by  $\langle \cdot \rangle_h$  the corresponding expectation. It is believed (but, to the best of the authors knowledge, not yet proved) that the limit of  $\mu_{L;h}$  as  $L \rightarrow \infty$  always exists and is exponentially mixing when  $h > 0$ .

A crucial ingredient for our construction is the following infinite volume version of the infrared bound:

$$\langle e^{\sum_x (S_x - \langle S_x \rangle_h) \cdot f(x)} \rangle_h \leq e^{\frac{1}{2\beta}(f, Gf)}, \quad (4)$$

for any  $f$  of finite support, with  $G = (-\Delta)^{-1}$  the Green's function associated with the lattice Laplacian  $\Delta$  on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . As proved in [11, Corollary 2.5], if  $\mu_h$  is *ergodic*, then (4) readily follows from (3). When  $N = 2, 3$ , one can extract from Lee-Yang theory (see [9, 10]) that the limit state  $\mu_h = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{L;h}$  (with  $h > 0$ ) is well defined and exponentially mixing; in particular, it is ergodic and, therefore, (4) follows. When  $N > 3$ , Lee-Yang theorem is not available. However, the day can still be saved. In fact, for the purpose of proving (4), ergodicity is not required: as shown in Appendix A, the property that  $L^{-d} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d: |n_i| \leq L/2} S_{x+n}$  converges to  $\langle S_x \rangle_h$  in  $L^p(\mu_h)$  for  $p \geq 1$  suffices.

Let  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the pressure of the  $O(N)$  model, defined by  $\psi(v) \equiv \psi_\beta(v) = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Lambda_L|} \log Z_{L;\beta}(e^{\sum_{x \in \Lambda_L} v \cdot S_x})$ . Let

$$D^* := \{h > 0 : \psi \text{ is differentiable at } hs \ \forall s \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}\}$$

(note that the definition is well-posed, thanks to  $O(N)$  invariance: if  $\psi$  is differentiable at  $hs_0$  for some  $s_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ , then it is automatically differentiable at  $hs$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ ).  $D^*$  has full Lebesgue measure on  $(0, +\infty)$ , thanks to the convexity of  $\psi$ . Moreover, let  $\mu$  be a (arbitrarily chosen) limit point of  $\mu_h$ , as  $h \in D^*$  tends to  $0^+$ , and let  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  be the corresponding expectation. Then the following generalization of [11, Corollary 2.5 and Theorem 3.1] holds (for the proof, see Appendix A).

**Proposition 1.2.** *If  $h \in D^*$ , for any  $f$  with finite support,*

$$\langle e^{\sum_x (S_x - \langle S_x \rangle_h) \cdot f(x)} \rangle_h \leq e^{\frac{1}{2\beta}(f, Gf)}. \quad (5)$$

*In particular,*

$$\langle S_0 \rangle_h \cdot \langle S_0 \rangle_h \geq 1 - NTG(0, 0), \quad (6)$$

*where  $G(0, 0) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{p \in [-\pi, \pi]^d} dp (2 \sum_{k=1}^d (1 - \cos(p_k)))^{-1}$ . The bounds (5) and (6) also hold with  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  replacing  $\langle \cdot \rangle_h$ .*

**1.3. Main results.** We are now ready to state the main result of this work.

**Theorem 1.3.** *For any  $n, K \in \mathbb{Z}_+ := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $C < \infty$  such that for any  $\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^N : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$  with  $\sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \alpha_x^k \leq K$ , there are coefficients  $a_0, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$\left| \left\langle \prod_x \prod_k (S_x^k)^{\alpha_x^k} \right\rangle - \sum_{i=0}^n a_i T^i \right| \leq CT^{n+1-\epsilon}$$

where the  $a_i$ s are given by an explicit inductive algorithm.

A Corollary of Theorem 1.3 and of our inductive algorithm is the following second order approximation of the magnetization. Recall that  $\Delta$  denotes the Laplacian on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  and  $G = (-\Delta)^{-1}$  its Green function. Recall also that  $\{\hat{e}_k\}_{k=1,\dots,d}$  denotes the canonical basis of unit vectors of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . The discrete derivative of  $G$  acting (say) on its second coordinate,  $\nabla_x^{\hat{e}_k} G(x_0, \cdot)$ , was defined right before the statement of Proposition 1.1. Similarly, we let  $\nabla_{x,y}^{\hat{e}_k, \hat{e}_{k'}} G = G(x + \hat{e}_k, y + \hat{e}_{k'}) - G(x, y + \hat{e}_{k'}) - G(x + \hat{e}_k, y) + G(x, y)$ .

**Corollary 1.4.** *For  $d \geq 3$  and  $N \geq 2$ , the following second order expansion for the spontaneous magnetization of the  $O(N)$  vector model holds, as  $T \rightarrow 0^+$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_0^N \rangle = & 1 - T \frac{(N-1)}{2} G(0,0) + T^2 \frac{(N-1)}{2} \left[ \frac{(3N-5)}{4} G(0,0)^2 \right. \\ & \left. - \sum_{x_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{e \in \{\hat{e}_i, i=1,\dots,d\}} (\nabla_{x_1}^e G(0, \cdot))^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{x_1, x_1}^{e,e} G + (N-2)G(0,0) \right) \right] + o(T^2). \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

Of course, similar formulas can be derived for third or higher order truncations, but we decided to spell out for illustrative purposes only the simplest non-trivial one.

A second immediate consequence of the proof of our main result is the following.

**Corollary 1.5.** *Let  $S = (S_x)_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$  be distributed with respect to the measure  $\mu$ . Then the first  $N-1$  components of the rescaled field  $\sqrt{\beta}S$ , that is,  $\sqrt{\beta}(S^1, S^2, \dots, S^{N-1})$ , converge in law, as  $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ , to  $N-1$  independent Gaussian Free Fields on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ .*

**1.4. Organization of the paper.** We will prove Theorem 1.3 by introducing an inductive procedure to compute the coefficients in the Taylor series. This expansion follows the same scheme as in [6]: a regularized version of the Gaussian integration by part and a priori bounds on the moments of suitable observables, obtained by using the infrared bound. The main difference lies in the fact that for  $N > 2$ , the  $O(N)$  model can not be written as a gradient perturbation of a Gaussian free field. This substantially complicates the picture and, as a result, we need to introduce a more involved procedure than the one of [6], particularly in regards to the procedure required for summing over the coordinates the remainder terms. The paper is organized as follows: after having summarized the notations used in the paper in Section 2, in Section 3 we introduce the coordinates we use to parametrize the spin space; then, using these coordinates, we express the Gibbs measure as a perturbation of a Gaussian one; finally, we use this re-writing of the measure to derive the formal low-temperature expansion, whose structure will be used below, in the description of the inductive procedure. In Section 4, we explain how to use the infrared bound to derive a priori bound on the spin moments (this is an adaptation of the method in [6]). In Section 5 we describe the version of the Gaussian integration by parts to be used in the following. Finally, in Section 6, we describe the inductive procedure: we first illustrate the procedure for the computation of the spontaneous magnetization at order  $T^2$ , thus proving Corollary 1.4, and then discuss the general scheme at all orders, thus proving Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.5. Appendix A contains the proof of Proposition 1.2. The proofs of the priori bounds on the decay of correlations (which use reflection positivity in a relatively standard manner) are collected in Appendix B, while Appendix C discusses some standard Gaussian estimates, and their implications for the summation over the coordinates of certain connected Gaussian expectations, which systematically appear in the evaluation of the remainder terms.

## 2. NOTATIONS

Before starting the proof of our main results, let us summarize here the notations and conventions used in this paper. For ease of reference, we include here also those already introduced in the previous section.

We write  $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

We will work in dimensions  $d \geq 3$ , on either  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  or  $\Lambda_L = \{0, \dots, L-1\}^d$  the  $d$ -dimensional discrete torus of side  $L$ . We denote  $E_L, E$  the set of nearest neighbour pairs in  $\Lambda_L, \mathbb{Z}^d$ . We write  $i \sim j$  when  $i$  and  $j$  are nearest neighbours. When writing  $\sum_x$ , we mean summing over  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ .

The symbols  $\hat{e}_i$ , with  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ , will denote the canonical basis unit vectors of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $B = \{-\hat{e}_1, \hat{e}_1, \dots, -\hat{e}_d, \hat{e}_d\}$ . Sometimes we will also use the notation  $\hat{e}_i$ , with  $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ , for the base vectors of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and hope that it will not confuse the reader. We attribute a canonical orientation to each edge: any edge can be written  $\{x, x+e\}$  with  $e \in B_+ = \{\hat{e}_i, i = 1, \dots, d\}$ , and we orient it from  $x$  to  $x+e$ . When writing  $\sum_e$ , we mean summing over  $e \in B_+$ . Sums over  $e \in B$  will be explicitly mentioned. Let  $\mathbb{E}_d = \{(x, e) : x \in \mathbb{Z}^d, e \in B_+\}$ . There is a canonical bijection between  $E$  and  $\mathbb{E}_d$ .

For  $p : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $q : \mathbb{E}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ , and  $\tilde{p} : \mathbb{Z}^d \times [N-2] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$  we write

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^p &= \prod_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \phi_x^{p_x}, & (\nabla \phi)^q &= \prod_{(x,e) \in \mathbb{E}_d} (\nabla_x^e \phi)^{q_{x,e}}, & u^{\tilde{p}} &= \prod_x \prod_{k=1}^{N-2} (u_x^k)^{\tilde{p}_x^k}, \\ \|p\|_1 &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |p_x|, & \|q\|_1 &= \sum_{(x,e) \in \mathbb{E}_d} |q_{x,e}|, & \|\tilde{p}\|_1 &= \sum_x \sum_k |\tilde{p}_x^k|, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where:  $\tilde{p}^k : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$  is given by  $\tilde{p}_x^k = \tilde{p}(x, k)$ , and, for  $f : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ ,

$$\nabla_x^e f = f(x+e) - f(x) = (\nabla^e f)(x), \quad e \in B.$$

We say that  $p$  is odd if  $\|p\|_1$  is, same for  $q$ . We say that  $\tilde{p}$  is even if each  $\tilde{p}^k$  is, we say that it is odd if there is  $k \in \{1, \dots, N-2\}$  such that  $\tilde{p}^k$  is. We define the *support* of  $p, q, \tilde{p}$  as above:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}_p &= \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d : p_x \neq 0\}, & \text{supp}_{\tilde{p}} &= \bigcup_{k=1}^{N-2} \text{supp}_{\tilde{p}^k}, \\ \text{supp}_q &= \bigcup_{(x,e) \in \mathbb{E}_d : q_{x,e} \neq 0} \{x, x+e\}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

We also let  $\mathfrak{P}, \mathfrak{Q}, \tilde{\mathfrak{P}}$  be the sets of tuples  $p, q, \tilde{p}$  of finite support of the form described above, respectively. Analogous definitions hold for tuples  $p : \Lambda_L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $q : \{(x, e) : x \in \Lambda_L, e \in B_+\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ , and  $\tilde{p} : \Lambda_L \times [N-2] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ , whose sets will be denoted  $\mathfrak{P}_L, \mathfrak{Q}_L, \tilde{\mathfrak{P}}_L$ , respectively.

For  $f : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $g : \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , let

$$(-\Delta f)(x) = 2df(x) - \sum_{e \in B} f(x+e) = - \sum_{e \in B} \nabla_x^e f,$$

$$\nabla_{x,y}^{e,e'} g = g(x+e, y+e') - g(x, y+e') - g(x+e, y) + g(x, y), \quad e, e' \in B.$$

$\Delta$  is the Laplacian on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ , we let  $G = (-\Delta)^{-1}$  be its Green function.  $G^m$  will denote the Green function of the massive Laplacian:  $G^m = (-\Delta + m^2 \text{Id})^{-1}$ . We write  $\Phi_m$  for the law (and expectation) of the (massive) Gaussian Free Field on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  (the centred Gaussian field with covariance  $G^m$ ).  $\Delta_L$  will denote the Laplacian in the torus  $\Lambda_L$  and

$G_L^m = (-\Delta_L + m^2 \text{Id})^{-1}$ .  $\Phi_{m;L}$  will denote the law (and expectation) of the centred Gaussian vector with covariance matrix  $G_L^m$ . When  $m$  is omitted, it is set to 0. We will write  $G^m(\cdot, y)$  the function  $x \mapsto G^m(x, y)$ , and define similarly  $G^m(y, \cdot)$ . We will sometimes use the notation  $G_{xy}^m \equiv G^m(x, y)$ .

Scalar product will be denoted  $\cdot$  or  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ . By convention, if  $f, g$  have value in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $(f, g) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f(x) \cdot g(x)$ .

We will denote  $\mathbb{S}^k$  the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$  and  $\nu_{k+1}$  the Lebesgue (un-normalized uniform) measure on  $\mathbb{S}^k$ . We also denote  $\mathbb{B}^k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^k : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ . Moreover,  $\Omega_L = (\mathbb{S}^{N-1})^{\Lambda_L}$ .

Finally, we recall that  $\mu_{L;\beta,h}$ , or simply  $\mu_{L;h}$  (we often omit  $\beta$  from the notation), denotes the probability measure associated with the average  $\langle \cdot \rangle_{L;\beta,h}$ , or simply  $\langle \cdot \rangle_{L;\beta}$ , in (2).  $Z_{L;\beta,h} \equiv Z_{L;\beta,h}(1)$ , or simply  $Z_{L;h}$  denotes the partition function (see (1)), and  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the pressure in the thermodynamic limit, defined as  $\psi(v) = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} |\Lambda_L|^{-1} \log Z_{L;\beta}(e^{\sum_{x \in \Lambda_L} v \cdot S_x})$ .  $\mu_h$  denotes an arbitrarily chosen limit point of  $\mu_{L;h}$  as  $L \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $\langle \cdot \rangle_h$  denotes the corresponding average.  $\mu$  denotes an arbitrarily chosen limit point of  $\mu_h$  as  $h \searrow 0$  taken along a sequence of  $h$ s in  $D^* := \{h > 0 : \psi \text{ is differentiable at } h s \forall s \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}\}$ , and  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  the corresponding average.

In the following sections,  $C, C', \dots, c, c', \dots$  denote constants independent of  $T, h, m$ , whose specific values may change from line to line; dependence upon additionally auxiliary parameters will usually be specified explicitly, with the exception of  $N \geq 2$ , which we shall not track the dependence of the various constants on. We will explicitly focus on the case  $N > 2$ , the case  $N = 2$  being significantly simpler, in that the  $u$  variables introduced at the beginning of the next section are not present; the interpretation and adaptation of the proof to the case  $N = 2$  is immediate and left to the reader (moreover, the case  $N = 2$  is already covered by [6]).

### 3. GAUSSIAN COORDINATES AND THE FORMAL LOW-TEMPERATURE EXPANSION

**3.1. The Gibbs measure as a perturbed Gaussian.** We will use a particular parametrization of  $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ : for  $u \in \mathbb{R}^{N-2}$  with  $\|u\| \leq 1$ , and  $-\pi < \theta \leq \pi$ , we let

$$\Pi(u, \theta) = (u_1, \dots, u_{N-2}, \rho \sin(\theta), \rho \cos(\theta)) \quad (10)$$

with  $\rho = \rho(u) = \sqrt{1 - \|u\|^2}$ , which provides a parametrization of  $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ . Note that if  $N = 2$  there is no variable  $u$  and  $\rho$  should be replaced by 1 (in this case, many of the formulas and equations below simplify significantly). One has the change of coordinate formula: for any integrable  $f : \mathbb{S}^{N-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} d\nu_N(S) f(S) = \int_{\mathbb{B}^{N-2}} du \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta f(u_1, \dots, u_{N-2}, \rho \sin \theta, \rho \cos \theta). \quad (11)$$

In light of (11), given an observable  $a : \Omega_L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of the spin configuration in  $\Lambda_L$ , letting  $A$  be the composition of  $a$  with the transformation (10), to be applied to each spin  $S_x \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ ,  $x \in \Lambda_L$ , we find that the un-normalized expectation of  $a$  can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{L;\beta,h}(a) &= \int_{\Omega_L} \prod_{x \in \Lambda_L} d\nu_N(S_x) a(S) e^{\sum_{x \in \Lambda_L} (\beta \sum_e S_{x+e} \cdot S_x + h S_x^N)} \\ &= \int_{(\mathbb{B}^{N-2})^{\Lambda_L}} du \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^{\Lambda_L}} d\theta A(u, \theta) e^{H_{L;h}(u, \theta)}, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where, in the first line,  $S = (S_x)_{x \in \Lambda_L}$ , and

$$H_{L;h}(u, \theta) = \sum_{x \in \Lambda_L} \left[ \beta \sum_e \left( u_x \cdot u_{x+e} + \sqrt{(1 - \|u_x\|^2)(1 - \|u_{x+e}\|^2)} \cos(\nabla_x^e \theta) \right) + h \sqrt{(1 - \|u_x\|^2)} \cos(\theta_x) \right]. \quad (13)$$

If we now rescale the variables as follows:

$$\phi_x = \sqrt{\beta} \theta_x, \quad \tilde{u}_x = \sqrt{\beta} u_x,$$

the expectation in (12) becomes, letting  $T = \beta^{-1}$  and  $\tilde{A}(\tilde{u}, \phi) = A(\sqrt{T}\tilde{u}, \sqrt{T}\phi)$ :

$$Z_{L;\beta,h}(a) = T^{L^d(N-1)/2} \int_{(\sqrt{\beta}\mathbb{B}^{N-2})^{\Lambda_L}} d\tilde{u} e^{\mathcal{H}_{L;h}(\tilde{u})} \int_{[-\sqrt{\beta}\pi, \sqrt{\beta}\pi]^{\Lambda_L}} d\phi \tilde{A}(\tilde{u}, \phi) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla\phi\|^2} e^{W_{L;h}(\tilde{u}, \phi)} \quad (14)$$

where  $\|\nabla\phi\|^2 = \sum_{x \in \Lambda_L} \sum_e (\nabla_x^e \phi)^2$ ,  $\|\phi\|^2 = \sum_{x \in \Lambda_L} (\phi_x)^2$ ,

$$\mathcal{H}_{L;h}(\tilde{u}) = \sum_{x \in \Lambda_L} \left[ \sum_e \left( \tilde{u}_x \cdot \tilde{u}_{x+e} + \beta \sqrt{(1 - T\|\tilde{u}_x\|^2)(1 - T\|\tilde{u}_{x+e}\|^2)} \right) + h \sqrt{1 - T\|\tilde{u}_x\|^2} \right] \quad (15)$$

and

$$W_{L;h}(\tilde{u}, \phi) = \sum_{x \in \Lambda_L} \left\{ \sum_e \left[ \beta \sqrt{(1 - T\|\tilde{u}_x\|^2)(1 - T\|\tilde{u}_{x+e}\|^2)} \left( \cos\left(\sqrt{T}\nabla_x^e \phi\right) - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_x^e \phi)^2 \right] + h \sqrt{1 - T\|\tilde{u}_x\|^2} \left( \cos\left(\sqrt{T}\phi_x\right) - 1 \right) \right\}. \quad (16)$$

Note that  $W_{L;h}$  vanishes linearly in  $T$  as  $T \rightarrow 0$ . More precisely, by expanding in Taylor series in  $T$  the right side of (16), we find that  $W_{L;h}$  admits the following low-temperature expansion:

$$W_{L;h}(\tilde{u}, \phi) = \sum_{s \geq 1} T^s \sum_{x \in \Lambda_L} \sum_{\substack{r, p \geq 0: \\ r+p=s}} \left[ c_r \sum_e (\nabla_x^e \phi)^{2r+2} \mathcal{G}_{x,e}^p(\tilde{u}) + c_{r-1} \mathbb{1}_{r \geq 1} h(\phi_x)^{2r} \tilde{c}_p \|\tilde{u}_x\|^{2p} \right], \quad (17)$$

where  $c_r = \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{(2r+2)!}$ ,  $\tilde{c}_p = (-1)^p \binom{1/2}{p}$  (recall that  $\binom{1/2}{0} = 1$  and  $\binom{1/2}{p} = \frac{1}{p!} \frac{1}{2} (\frac{1}{2} - 1) \cdots (\frac{1}{2} - p + 1)$  for integer  $p > 0$ ) and  $\mathcal{G}_{x,e}^p(\tilde{u}) = \sum_{l+m=p} \tilde{c}_l \tilde{c}_m \|\tilde{u}_x\|^{2l} \|\tilde{u}_{x+e}\|^{2m}$ .

Therefore, (14) expresses the  $O(N)$  model as a perturbation of a Gaussian measure, as far as the integration over the  $\phi$  variables is concerned. Moreover, as a consequence of the  $O(N-1)$  symmetry of the model in the hyper-plane orthogonal to the magnetic field, for any  $k \in \{1, \dots, N-2\}$  one has the equality in law (with respect to the probability measure with density  $\propto e^{\mathcal{H}_{L;h}(\tilde{u}) - \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla\phi\|^2 + W_{L;h}(\tilde{u}, \phi)}$ ):

$$(\tilde{u}^1, \dots, \tilde{u}^{N-2}) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \left( \tilde{u}^1, \dots, \tilde{u}^{k-1}, \mathfrak{F}(\tilde{u}, \phi), \tilde{u}^{k+1}, \dots, \tilde{u}^{N-2} \right). \quad (18)$$

where  $\mathfrak{F}(\tilde{u}, \phi) = \left( \sqrt{\beta(1 - T\|\tilde{u}_x\|^2)} \sin(\sqrt{T}\phi_x) \right)_{x \in \Lambda_L}$ ,

**3.2. The formal low temperature expansion.** Eqs.(14),(17),(18) allow us to recursively define the coefficients of the (a priori formal) low-temperature expansion for the average of any observable  $a : \Omega_L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\tilde{A}(\tilde{u}, \phi)$  be, as before, the form that the observable takes, once it is re-expressed in terms of the variables  $\tilde{u}, \phi$ . We denote its formal Taylor expansion in  $T$  by

$$\tilde{A}(\tilde{u}, \phi) \stackrel{(\infty)}{=} \sum_{s \geq 0} T^s \sum_{p, \tilde{p}} a_s^{p, \tilde{p}} \phi^p \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}}, \quad (19)$$

where  $\stackrel{(\infty)}{=}$  denotes identity between formal power series, or between a function and its formal Taylor series, the sums over  $p, \tilde{p}$  run over  $\mathfrak{P}_L, \tilde{\mathfrak{P}}_L$ , respectively (see definition after (9)), and  $a_s^{p, \tilde{p}}$  are suitable real coefficients, independent of  $T$ . The formal low- $T$  expansion for

$$\langle a \rangle_{L;h} = \frac{\int_{(\sqrt{\beta}\mathbb{B}^{N-2})^{\Lambda_L}} d\tilde{u} e^{\mathcal{H}_{L;h}(\tilde{u})} \int_{[-\sqrt{\beta}\pi, \sqrt{\beta}\pi]^{\Lambda_L}} d\phi \tilde{A}(\tilde{u}, \phi) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla\phi\|^2} e^{W_{L;h}(\tilde{u}, \phi)}}{\int_{(\sqrt{\beta}\mathbb{B}^{N-2})^{\Lambda_L}} d\tilde{u} e^{\mathcal{H}_{L;h}(\tilde{u})} \int_{[-\sqrt{\beta}\pi, \sqrt{\beta}\pi]^{\Lambda_L}} d\phi e^{-\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla\phi\|^2} e^{W_{L;h}(\tilde{u}, \phi)}} \quad (20)$$

is obtained by neglecting the constraints  $|\tilde{u}_x| \leq \sqrt{\beta}$ ,  $|\phi_x| \leq \pi\sqrt{\beta}$  in the integration domain (as we will show in the next section, this approximation produces an exponentially small error in  $\beta$ , as  $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ ), and by replacing (17) and (19) in (20). In view of this, we write

$$\langle a \rangle_{L;h} \stackrel{(\infty)}{=} \sum_{s \geq 0} T^s \sum_{p, \tilde{p}} a_s^{p, \tilde{p}} \frac{\int d\tilde{u} e^{\mathcal{H}_{L;h}(\tilde{u}) + \mathcal{V}_{L;h}(\tilde{u})} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \langle \phi^p \rangle_{L;h, \tilde{u}}}{\int d\tilde{u} e^{\mathcal{H}_{L;h}(\tilde{u}) + \mathcal{V}_{L;h}(\tilde{u})}} \quad (21)$$

where the integral over  $\tilde{u}$  is performed over  $(\mathbb{R}^{N-2})^{\Lambda_L}$ , we defined  $\mathcal{V}_{L;h}(\tilde{u}) = \log \int d\phi e^{-\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla\phi\|^2 + W_{L;h}(\tilde{u}, \phi)}$  (where the integral over  $\phi$  is performed over  $(\mathbb{R}^{\Lambda_L})^{\Lambda_L}$ ), and

$$\langle \phi^p \rangle_{L;h, \tilde{u}} = \int d\phi e^{-\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla\phi\|^2 + W_{L;h}(\tilde{u}, \phi) - \mathcal{V}_{L;h}(\tilde{u})} \phi^p. \quad (22)$$

By formally expanding in  $W_{L;h}$ , this expectation can be rewritten as

$$\langle \phi^p \rangle_{L;h, \tilde{u}} \stackrel{(\infty)}{=} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k!} \Phi_L(\phi^p; \underbrace{W_{L;h}; \dots; W_{L;h}}_{k \text{ times}}), \quad (23)$$

where  $\Phi_L(A_1; \dots; A_k)$  denotes *truncated* expectation with respect to the Gaussian law  $\Phi_L$  with covariance  $G_L$ , that is,

$$\Phi_L(A_1; \dots; A_k) := \partial_{\lambda_1} \dots \partial_{\lambda_k} \log \left( \Phi(e^{\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i A_i}) \right) \Big|_{\lambda_0 = \dots = \lambda_k = 0} \quad (24)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\Phi_L(A_1; \dots; A_k) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_k} (|\pi| - 1)! (-1)^{|\pi|-1} \prod_{Y \in \pi} \Phi_L(A_Y), \quad (25)$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_k \equiv \mathcal{P}([k])$  is the set of partitions of  $[k] = \{1, \dots, k\}$ , and  $A_Y = \prod_{i \in Y} A_i$ . Inserting the formal low- $T$  expansion of  $W_{L;h}$ , (17), and taking  $L \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $h \searrow 0$ , we



find:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a \rangle &\stackrel{(\infty)}{=} \sum_{s_0 \geq 0} T^{s_0} \sum_{p, \tilde{p}} a_{s_0}^{p, \tilde{p}} \left[ \Phi(\phi^p) \langle \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle + \sum_{s \geq 1} T^s \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\substack{p_1, r_1, \dots, p_k, r_k \geq 0: \\ p_l + r_l = s_l \geq 1 \\ s_1 + \dots + s_k = s}} \sum_{x_1, e_1, \dots, x_k, e_k} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot c_{r_1} \cdots c_{r_k} \Phi(\phi^p; (\nabla_{x_1}^{e_1} \phi)^{2r_1+2}; \dots; (\nabla_{x_k}^{e_k} \phi)^{2r_k+2}) \langle \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \prod_{l=1}^k \mathcal{G}_{x_l, e_l}^{p_l} \rangle \right], \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where  $\Phi$  is the law of the massless Gaussian Free Field on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ , the sum over  $p, \tilde{p}$  can be freely restricted to even tuples (terms with  $p$  or  $\tilde{p}$  odd are zero by parity), and the sums over  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  are now over  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ .

**3.3. The finite order truncations of the low temperature expansion.** If we denote by  $\langle a \rangle^{(n)}$  the truncation at order  $n$  of the formal Taylor series in  $T$ , (26) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a \rangle^{(n)} &= \sum_{s_0=0}^n T^{s_0} \sum_{p, \tilde{p}} a_{s_0}^{p, \tilde{p}} \left[ \Phi(\phi^p) \langle \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle^{(n-s_0)} + \mathbf{1}_{n > s_0} \mathbf{1}_{\|\tilde{p}\|_1 > 0} \sum_{s=1}^{n-s_0} T^s \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\substack{p_1, r_1, \dots, p_k, r_k \geq 0: \\ p_l + r_l = s_l \geq 1 \\ s_1 + \dots + s_k = s}} \cdot \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot c_{r_1} \cdots c_{r_k} \sum_{x_1, e_1, \dots, x_k, e_k} \Phi(\phi^p; (\nabla_{x_1}^{e_1} \phi)^{2r_1+2}; \dots; (\nabla_{x_k}^{e_k} \phi)^{2r_k+2}) \langle \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \prod_{l=1}^k \mathcal{G}_{x_l, e_l}^{p_l} \rangle^{(n-s-s_0)} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where, again, the sum over  $p, \tilde{p}$  can be restricted to even tuples. Now, the terms  $\langle \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle^{(n-s_0)}$  in the right side (and similarly  $\langle \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \prod_{l=1}^k \mathcal{G}_{x_l, e_l}^{p_l} \rangle^{(n-s-s_0)}$ , which are linear combinations of terms of the same form, i.e., of  $\langle \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}'} \rangle^{(n-s-s_0)}$  for suitable  $\tilde{p}'$ ), can be computed recursively, by using (18) and (27) itself for a finite number of times. In fact, let  $m + \|\tilde{p}\|_1/2 \geq 0$  be the *order* of  $\langle \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle^{(m)}$ , where  $\tilde{p}$  is an even tuple with non-negative elements. If the order is zero, then  $\langle 1 \rangle^{(0)} = 1$ . More generally, if  $\tilde{p} = 0$ ,  $\langle 1 \rangle^{(m)} = 1$ , for all  $m \geq 0$ . Let us then consider a term  $\langle \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle^{(m)}$  of positive order  $k = m + \|\tilde{p}\|_1/2$ , with  $\|\tilde{p}\|_1$  positive. Let us assume without loss of generality that  $\|\tilde{p}^1\|_1$  is even and positive, so that  $\tilde{p}^{\geq 2} = (0, \tilde{p}^2, \dots, \tilde{p}^{N-2})$  has norm  $\|\tilde{p}^{\geq 2}\|_1 \leq \|\tilde{p}\|_1 - 2$ . Using (18), and expanding  $\prod_x \left( \sqrt{\beta(1 - T\|\tilde{u}_x\|^2)} \sin(\sqrt{T}\phi_x) \right)^{\tilde{p}_x^1}$  in Taylor series in  $T$  in the form

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi^{\tilde{p}^1} \sum_{s \geq 0} (-1)^s T^s \sum_{\substack{(s_x)_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d}: \\ s_x \geq 0, \sum_x s_x = s}} \prod_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{\substack{i_x, j_x \geq 0: \\ i_x + j_x = s_x}} \phi_x^{2i_x} \|\tilde{u}_x\|^{2j_x} \frac{1}{(2i_x + 1)!} \binom{1/2}{j_x} \\ &\equiv \phi^{\tilde{p}^1} \sum_{s \geq 0} T^s \sum_{n \in \mathfrak{P}} \sum_{\tilde{n} \in \mathfrak{P}} c_s^{n, \tilde{n}} \phi^n \tilde{u}^{\tilde{n}} \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

(note that  $c_s^{n, \tilde{n}}$  is non zero only if  $n, \tilde{n}$  are both even and  $\|n\|_1 + \|\tilde{n}\|_1 = 2s$ ), we find

$$\langle \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle^{(m)} = \sum_{s=0}^m T^s \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathfrak{P} \\ \tilde{n} \in \mathfrak{P}}} c_s^{n, \tilde{n}} \langle \phi^{\tilde{p}^1 + n} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}^{\geq 2} + \tilde{n}} \rangle^{(m-s)}. \quad (29)$$

By applying formula (27) to the terms  $\langle \phi^{\tilde{p}^1 + n} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}^{\geq 2} + \tilde{n}} \rangle^{(m-s)}$  in the right side, we can re-express each of them as linear combinations of terms  $\langle \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}'} \rangle^{(m')}$ , whose order,  $k' = m' + \|\tilde{p}'\|_1/2$ , is strictly smaller than the original order  $k$ . Therefore, by proceeding

recursively, we can compute  $\langle \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle^{(m)}$  explicitly. By plugging the result back into (27) we obtain the (truncation at order  $n$  of the) low temperature expansion of  $\langle a \rangle$ , for any observable  $a$ .

For later reference, we formalize the definition of  $\langle \cdot \rangle^{(n)}$  as follows. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the space of formal power series in  $T$  of the form  $\sum_{s \geq 0} T^s \sum_{p \in \mathfrak{P}} \sum_{\tilde{p} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{P}}} a_s^{p, \tilde{p}} \phi^p \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}}$  with real coefficients  $a_s^{p, \tilde{p}}$  independent of  $T$ . Let also  $\mathcal{A}_0$  be the subspace of  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of formal power series independent of  $\tilde{u}, \phi$ , of the form  $\sum_s T^s a_s$ , with  $a_s \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition 3.1.** For any  $n \geq 0$ ,  $\langle \cdot \rangle^{(n)}$  is the operator acting on  $\mathcal{A}$  that satisfies the following properties.

- $\langle 1 \rangle^{(n)} = 1$ .
- $\langle \cdot \rangle^{(n)}$  is translation invariant, that is, for any  $p \in \mathfrak{P}$ ,  $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{P}}$ ,  $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,  $\langle \phi^p \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle^{(n)} = \langle \phi^{\tau_{x_0} p} \tilde{u}^{\tau_{x_0} \tilde{p}} \rangle^{(n)}$ , where  $(\tau_{x_0} p)_x = p_{x-x_0}$ , and similarly for  $\tau_{x_0} \tilde{p}$ .
- For any  $Q, Q' \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\langle Q + Q' \rangle^{(n)} = \langle Q \rangle^{(n)} + \langle Q' \rangle^{(n)}$ .
- For any  $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}_0$  of the form  $\lambda = \sum_{s \geq 0} T^s \lambda_s$ , and any  $Q \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\langle \lambda Q \rangle^{(n)} = \sum_{s=0}^n T^s \lambda_s \langle Q \rangle^{(n-s)}$ .
- For any  $p \in \mathfrak{P}$  and any  $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{P}}$ , letting  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(\tilde{u}^1, \dots, \tilde{u}^{N-2}) = \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}}$ ,

$$\langle \phi^p \mathcal{F}(\tilde{u}^1, \dots, \tilde{u}^{N-2}) \rangle^{(n)} = \langle \phi^p \mathcal{F}(\tilde{u}^{\pi(1)}, \dots, \tilde{u}^{\pi(N-2)}) \rangle^{(n)} \quad (30)$$

for any permutation  $\pi$  of  $\{1, \dots, N-2\}$ .

- For any  $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{P}}$ , if  $\tilde{p}^1 = (\tilde{p}_x^1)_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{P}}$  is not zero, letting  $\tilde{p}^{\geq 2} = (0, \tilde{p}^2, \dots, \tilde{p}^{N-2})$ ,

$$\langle \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle^{(n)} = \langle \phi^{\tilde{p}^1} \tilde{F} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}^{\geq 2}} \rangle^{(n)} \quad (31)$$

where  $\tilde{F} = \sum_{s \geq 0} T^s \sum_{n, \tilde{n}} c_s^{n, \tilde{n}} \phi^n \tilde{u}^{\tilde{n}} \in \mathcal{A}$  (the coefficients  $c_s^{n, \tilde{n}}$  were defined in (28)).

- For any even  $p \in \mathfrak{P}$ ,  $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{P}}$ , the following “extraction” formula holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi^p \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle^{(n)} &= \Phi(\phi^p) \langle \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle^{(n)} + \mathbf{1}_{n \geq 1} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{s=k}^n T^s \sum_{\substack{s_1, \dots, s_k \geq 1 \\ \sum s_l = s}} \sum_{\substack{x_1, \dots, x_k \\ e_1, \dots, e_k}} \sum_{\substack{r_1, p'_1, \dots, r_k, p'_k \geq 0 \\ p'_l + r_l = s_l}} \times \\ &\times \left( \prod_{l=1}^k c_{r_l} \right) \Phi(\phi^p; (\nabla_{x_1}^{e_1} \phi)^{2r_1+2}, \dots; (\nabla_{x_k}^{e_k} \phi)^{2r_k+2}) \langle \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \prod_{l=1}^k \mathcal{G}_{x_l, e_l}^{p'_l} \rangle^{(n-s)}, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where  $c_r$  and  $\mathcal{G}_{x,e}^p$  were defined right after (17).

Note that the presence of the *connected* correlation  $\Phi(\phi^p; (\nabla_{x_1}^{e_1} \phi)^{2r_1+2}, \dots; (\nabla_{x_k}^{e_k} \phi)^{2r_k+2})$  ensures absolute summability of the second line of (32), see Lemma C.5, thus making  $\langle \cdot \rangle^{(n)}$  a well defined object. More precisely, Lemma C.5 implies the following quantitative bounds, which will be used in the inductive proof discussed in Section 6.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $K > 0, n \geq 0$  be integers. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $p, p' : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$  be odd,  $\tilde{p} : \mathbb{Z}^d \times [N-2] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$  be even, and such that  $\|p\|_1 + \|p'\|_1 + \|\tilde{p}\|_1 \leq 2K$ , then,*

$$|\langle \phi^p \phi^{p'} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle^{(n)}| \leq C \sum_{x \in \text{supp}_p} \sum_{y \in \text{supp}_{p'}} \frac{1}{(1 + |x - y|)^{d-2-\epsilon}},$$

where  $C = C(K, n, \epsilon)$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $K > 0, n \geq 0$  be integers. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $p : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$  be odd,  $q : \mathbb{E}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$  be odd,  $\tilde{p} : \mathbb{Z}^d \times [N-2] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$  be even, and such that  $\|p\|_1 + \|q\|_1 + \|\tilde{p}\|_1 \leq 2K$ , then,*

$$|\langle \phi^p (\nabla \phi)^q \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle^{(n)}| \leq C \sum_{x \in \text{supp}_p} \sum_{y \in \text{supp}_q} \frac{1}{(1 + |x - y|)^{d-1-\epsilon}},$$

where  $C = C(K, n, \epsilon)$ .

These two lemmas follows straightforwardly from Lemma C.5 and the definition of  $\langle \cdot \rangle^{(n)}$ . In fact, notice first of all that eq.(86) of Lemma C.5 implies that, for any even  $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{P}}$ ,

$$|\langle \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle^{(n)}| \leq C, \quad (33)$$

with  $C = C(\|\tilde{p}\|_1, n)$ : this can be easily proved by induction in  $n + \|\tilde{p}\|_1/2$ , proceeding as described after (27). More in detail: consider a non zero even  $\tilde{p}$  and  $n \geq 0$ ; assume inductively that  $|\langle \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}'} \rangle^{(n')}| \leq C$  is known for all  $\tilde{p}', n'$  such that  $n' + \|\tilde{p}'\|_1/2 < n + \|\tilde{p}\|_1/2$ ; use (30) to reduce to the case of  $\tilde{p}^1$  non zero; apply (31), then use (32); write  $\tilde{p}^1 = p + p'$  with  $p, p'$  odd, then use (86) and the inductive hypothesis to conclude the proof of the induction step. At this point, the two lemmas follow immediately from (32), the use of (86) and (87), respectively, and of (33) (details left to the reader).

#### 4. APPLICATIONS OF THE INFRARED BOUND

In this section we describe an important application of the infrared bound summarized in Proposition 1.2 above. In particular, generalizing a method in [6], we derive a priori bounds on the moments of the spin correlation functions, in the  $(u, \theta)$ , or equivalently  $(\tilde{u}, \phi)$ , coordinates. These will be used in the following sections in order to control the remainder of the low temperature expansion, as produced by systematic integration by parts.

##### 4.1. Large deviation estimates on the spin correlations.

**Lemma 4.1.** *For any  $\beta \geq 0, h \in D^*$  and  $a > 0$ , if  $k \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$ ,*

$$\langle e^{a\sqrt{\beta}|S_0^k|} \rangle_h \leq 2e^{a^2 G_{00}/2}. \quad (34)$$

Moreover,

$$\langle e^{a\sqrt{\beta}(1-S_0^N)} \rangle_h \leq e^{(a\sqrt{TN} + a^2/2)G_{00}}. \quad (35)$$

*Proof.* By the infrared bound (5) applied to the function  $f(x) = -a\sqrt{\beta}\delta_{0,x}e_k$ , one obtains

$$\langle e^{-a\sqrt{\beta}(S_0^k - \langle S_0^k \rangle_h)} \rangle_h \leq e^{a^2 G_{00}/2}. \quad (36)$$

Now, for  $k = 1, \dots, N-1$ , by symmetry  $S_0^k$  has the same law as  $-S_0^k$ . In particular,  $\langle S_0^k \rangle_h = 0$  and

$$\langle e^{a\sqrt{\beta}|S_0^k|} \rangle_h = \langle e^{a\sqrt{\beta}S_0^k} \mathbf{1}_{S_0^k \geq 0} \rangle_h + \langle e^{-a\sqrt{\beta}S_0^k} \mathbf{1}_{S_0^k < 0} \rangle_h \leq 2\langle e^{-a\sqrt{\beta}S_0^k} \rangle_h.$$

Thus (34) holds for  $k = 1, \dots, N-1$ . Notice that this bound holds for any value of  $T$ . For  $k = N$ , the lower bound (6) on  $\langle S_0^N \rangle_h$ , and  $\langle S_0^N \rangle_h \geq 0$  implies that

$$\langle S_0^N \rangle_h \geq \sqrt{\max(1 - TNG_{00}, 0)} \geq \max(1 - TNG_{00}, 0).$$

Plugging this bound in (36) with  $k = N$ , we find

$$e^{a^2 G_{00}/2} \geq e^{a\sqrt{\beta}\langle S_0^N \rangle_h} \langle e^{-a\sqrt{\beta}S_0^N} \rangle_h \geq e^{-a\sqrt{TN}G_{00}} \langle e^{a\sqrt{\beta}(1-S_0^N)} \rangle_h$$

so that

$$\langle e^{a\sqrt{\beta}(1-S_0^N)} \rangle_h \leq e^{a^2 G_{00}/2} e^{a\sqrt{T} N G_{00}} \leq e^{(a\sqrt{T} N + a^2/2) G_{00}},$$

proving (35).  $\square$

A direct consequence is

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $\beta \geq 0$ ,  $h \in D^*$  and  $b > 0$ . For any  $1 \leq k_0 \leq N - 1$ ,*

$$\mu_h \left( \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} |S_0^k|^2 \geq b^2 \right) \leq 2e^{-b^2 \beta / (2k_0^2 G_{00})}. \quad (37)$$

If, additionally,  $T \leq b/(2G_{00}N)$ , then

$$\mu_h(S_0^N \leq 1 - b) \leq e^{-b^2 \beta / (8G_{00})}. \quad (38)$$

*Proof.* Using the exponential version of Chebyshev's inequality, Hölder's inequality, and (34), we find that, for any  $a > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_h \left( \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} |S_0^k|^2 \geq b^2 \right) &\leq \mu_h \left( \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} |S_0^k| \geq b \right) \\ &\leq e^{-ab\sqrt{\beta}} \langle e^{a\sqrt{\beta} \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} |S_0^k|} \rangle_h \\ &\leq e^{-ab\sqrt{\beta}} \prod_{k=1}^{k_0} \langle e^{ak_0\sqrt{\beta} |S_0^k|} \rangle_h^{1/k_0} \\ &\leq 2e^{-ab\sqrt{\beta}} e^{a^2 k_0^2 G_{00}/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing  $a = b\sqrt{\beta}/(k_0^2 G_{00})$  implies (37). Next, using (35) and, again, the exponential version of Chebyshev's inequality, for any  $a > 0$ ,

$$\mu_h(1 - S_0^N \geq b) \leq e^{-ab\sqrt{\beta}} \langle e^{a\sqrt{\beta}(1-S_0^N)} \rangle_h \leq e^{-ab\sqrt{\beta}} e^{(a\sqrt{T} N + a^2/2) G_{00}}.$$

Choosing  $a = b\sqrt{\beta}/G_{00} - \sqrt{T} N$  and  $T \leq b/(2G_{00}N)$  implies (38).  $\square$

**4.2. Bounds on the moments of the  $(u, \theta)$  coordinates.** The next Theorem is the main objective of this section. Its proof is a generalization of the one of the corresponding result in [6], namely [6, Eq.(10) and Lemma 2]. Here and below, with some abuse of notation, we denote by  $\langle \cdot \rangle_h$  the average with respect to  $\mu_h$ , even when re-expressed in terms of the  $(u, \theta)$  variables, rather than of  $S$  (a similar convention will be used for  $\langle \cdot \rangle_{L;h}$  and  $\langle \cdot \rangle$ ).

**Theorem 4.3.** *There exist constants  $C, C_0, c > 0$  and  $T_0 > 0$  such that, if  $T \leq T_0$ , the following holds.*

- For any  $k \in \{1, \dots, N - 2\}$  and  $a > 0$ ,  $\langle e^{a|\tilde{u}_0^k|} \rangle_h \leq 2e^{a^2 G_{00}/2}$ . Moreover, for any  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$\langle |\tilde{u}_0^k|^n \rangle_h \leq C^n \sqrt{n!}.$$

- $\langle e^{|\phi_0|} \rangle_h \leq C$ . In particular, for any  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$|\langle |\phi_0|^n \rangle_h| \leq Cn!.$$

- For any  $F$  function of  $\phi, \tilde{u}$ ,

$$|\langle F\delta(\theta_0 \pm \pi) \rangle_h| \leq \|F\|_\infty C_0 e^{h-c\beta},$$

where the sup-norm is computed over  $\phi_x \in [-\sqrt{\beta}\pi, \sqrt{\beta}\pi]$  and  $\|\tilde{u}_x\| \leq \sqrt{\beta}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ .

*Proof.* The first inequality in the first item,  $\langle e^{a|\tilde{u}_0^k|} \rangle_h \leq 2e^{a^2 G_{00}/2}$ , is a direct consequence of (34) and the definition of  $\tilde{u}$ . From such inequality, it follows that  $\langle |\tilde{u}_0^k|^n \rangle_h \leq 2e^{a^2 G_{00}/2} a^{-n} n!$ . The choice  $a = \sqrt{n/G_{00}}$  implies the stated bound on  $\langle |\tilde{u}_0^k|^n \rangle_h$ .

To get the second item, write

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e^{\sqrt{\beta}|\theta_0|} \rangle_h &= \langle e^{\sqrt{\beta}|\theta_0|} \mathbf{1}_{|\theta_0| \leq \pi/2} \mathbf{1}_{\|u_0\| \leq 1/2} \rangle_h + \langle e^{\sqrt{\beta}|\theta_0|} \mathbf{1}_{|\theta_0| \leq \pi/2} \mathbf{1}_{\|u_0\| > 1/2} \rangle_h + \langle e^{\sqrt{\beta}|\theta_0|} \mathbf{1}_{|\theta_0| > \pi/2} \rangle_h \\ &\leq \langle e^{\pi^{-1}\sqrt{3\beta}\sqrt{1-\|u_0\|^2}|\sin(\theta_0)|} \rangle_h + e^{\pi\sqrt{\beta}/2} \mu_h(\|u_0\| > 1/2) + e^{\pi\sqrt{\beta}} \mu_h(|\theta_0| > \pi/2) \\ &= \langle e^{\pi^{-1}\sqrt{3\beta}|S_0^{N-1}|} \rangle_h + e^{\pi\sqrt{\beta}/2} \mu_h\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N-2} |S_0^k|^2 > 1/4\right) + e^{\pi\sqrt{\beta}} \mu_h(S_0^N \leq 0) \\ &\leq 2e^{3G_{00}/(2\pi^2)} + 2e^{\pi\sqrt{\beta}/2} e^{-\beta/(8G_{00}(N-2)^2)} + e^{\pi\sqrt{\beta}} e^{-\beta/(8G_{00})}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used (34), (37) and (38). If we now choose  $\beta$  large enough and recall the definition of  $\phi$ , we obtain, as desired, that  $\langle e^{|\phi_0|} \rangle_h \leq C$  for a suitable  $C > 0$ .

Finally, we consider the term  $\langle F\delta(\theta_0 \pm \pi) \rangle_h$  involving the delta function. Using the DLR equation and letting  $S_0(u_0) = \Pi(u_0, \pi) = (u_0^1, \dots, u_0^{N-2}, 0, -\sqrt{1-\|u_0\|^2})$ ,

$$\langle F\delta(\theta_0 \pm \pi) \rangle_h = \int d\mu_h(S_i, i \neq 0) \frac{\int_{\|u_0\| \leq 1} du_0 F(S, S_0(u_0)) e^{\beta \sum_{i \sim 0} S_0(u_0) \cdot S_i - \sqrt{1-\|u_0\|^2} h}}{\int d\nu_N(\tilde{S}_0) e^{\beta \sum_{i \sim 0} \tilde{S}_0 \cdot S_i + h \tilde{S}_0^N}}.$$

Define

$$J(S_i, i \sim 0) = \sup_{\|u_0\| \leq 1} \left( \int d\nu_N(\tilde{S}_0) e^{\beta \sum_{i \sim 0} (\tilde{S}_0 - S_0(u_0)) \cdot S_i + h \tilde{S}_0^N} \right)^{-1} \equiv \sup_{\|u_0\| \leq 1} J_{u_0}(S_i, i \sim 0).$$

One has

$$|\langle F\delta(\theta_0 \pm \pi) \rangle_h| \leq \|F\|_\infty \frac{\pi^{N/2-1}}{\Gamma(N/2)} \int d\mu_h(S_i, i \neq 0) J(S_i, i \sim 0).$$

Now, for any event  $A$  measurable with respect to  $\{S_i : i \sim 0\}$ , one has

$$\int d\mu_h(S_i, i \neq 0) J(S_i, i \sim 0) \leq \sup_{(S_i)_{i \sim 0} \in A} J(S_i, i \sim 0) + \mu_h(A^c) \sup_{(S_i)_{i \sim 0} \in A^c} J(S_i, i \sim 0). \quad (39)$$

We choose  $A = \{S_i^N \geq 7/8, i \sim 0\}$ . Study the first term. For any  $(S_i)_{i \sim 0} \in A$ , any  $u_0 \in \mathbb{B}^{N-2}$  and  $h \geq 0$ , one has the bound

$$\begin{aligned} J_{u_0}(S_i, i \sim 0) &\leq \left( \int d\nu_N(\tilde{S}_0) \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{S}_0^N \geq 7/8} e^{\beta \sum_{i \sim 0} (\tilde{S}_0 - S_0(u_0)) \cdot S_i} \right)^{-1} \\ &\leq \left( \int d\nu_N(\tilde{S}_0) \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{S}_0^N \geq 7/8} e^{\beta 2d/32} \right)^{-1} = C e^{-\beta 2d/32}. \end{aligned}$$

for some constant  $C > 0$ . Indeed, on the one hand, for  $S_i^N \geq 7/8$  and  $\|u_0\| \leq 1$ , by Cauchy-Schwartz,

$$\begin{aligned} S_0(u_0) \cdot S_i &= \sum_{k=1}^{N-2} u_0^k S_i^k - \sqrt{1-\|u_0\|^2} S_i^N \leq \|u_0\| \left( \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (S_i^k)^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \|u_0\| \left( 1 - \frac{49}{64} \right)^{1/2} \leq \|u_0\| \cdot \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if  $\tilde{S}_0^N \geq 7/8$  and  $S_i^N \geq 7/8$ ,

$$\tilde{S}_0 \cdot S_i \geq \cos(2 \arccos(7/8)) = \frac{17}{32}. \quad (40)$$

We now turn to the second term in (39). First, by a union bound,

$$\mu_h(A^c) \leq 2d\mu_h(1 - S_0^N > 1/8) \leq 2de^{-\beta/(8^3 G_{00})},$$

where we assumed  $T$  sufficiently small and used (38). Moreover, for any  $u_0 \in \mathbb{B}^{N-2}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} J_{u_0}(S_i, i \sim 0) &\leq e^h \left( \int d\nu_N(\tilde{S}_0) \mathbf{1}_{\|\tilde{S}_0 - S_0(u_0)\| \leq T} e^{\beta \sum_{i \sim 0} (\tilde{S}_0 - S_0(u_0)) \cdot S_i} \right)^{-1} \\ &\leq e^{h+2d} \left( \int d\nu_N(\tilde{S}_0) \mathbf{1}_{\|\tilde{S}_0 - S_0(u_0)\| \leq T} \right)^{-1} \leq C' e^h \beta^{N-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for some  $C' > 0$  independent of  $T$ . Combining the two previous equations, we obtain the desired estimate on the second term in (39), which concludes the proof.  $\square$

**4.3. Taylor expansion of the spin correlations.** Given  $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}^d \times [N] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$  with  $\|\alpha\|_1 = \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \alpha_x^k$  finite, we let  $a_\alpha(S)$  be the spin observable

$$a_\alpha(S) = \prod_x \prod_k (S_x^k)^{\alpha_x^k}.$$

In order to prove our main result, Theorem 1.3, we are interested in computing  $\langle a_\alpha(S) \rangle$  for  $\alpha$ s such that  $\|\alpha^k\|_1 = \sum_x \alpha_x^k$  are even, for all  $k \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$  (if any of these  $\|\alpha^k\|_1$  is odd,  $\langle a_\alpha(S) \rangle = 0$ , due to the residual  $O(N-1)$  symmetry in the directions orthogonal to the magnetic field). The main result of this subsection is the following:

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $\alpha$  and  $a_\alpha(S)$  be defined as above. Then there exist coefficients  $a_{\alpha,s}^{p,\tilde{p}}$ , with  $s \geq 0$  and  $p \in \mathfrak{P}$ ,  $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{P}}$  both even, such that, for any  $n \geq 0$ ,*

$$\langle a_\alpha(S) \rangle = \sum_{s=0}^n T^s \sum_{p,\tilde{p}} a_{\alpha,s}^{p,\tilde{p}} \langle \phi^p \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle + R_{n+1}(\alpha), \quad (41)$$

where the sum over  $p, \tilde{p}$  in the right hand side runs over even tuples in  $\mathfrak{P}, \tilde{\mathfrak{P}}$ ,  $a_{\alpha,s}^{p,\tilde{p}} = 0$  if  $\|p\|_1 + \|\tilde{p}\|_1 \neq 2s$ , and the remainder satisfies

$$|R_{n+1}(\alpha)| \leq C(n, \alpha) T^{n+1} \quad (42)$$

for some  $C(n, \alpha) > 0$ .

**Remark 4.1.** *The coefficients  $a_{\alpha,s}^{p,\tilde{p}}$  are explicitly computable in terms of the Taylor expansions of  $\sqrt{1-x}$ ,  $\sin x$  and  $\cos x$ , see (45)-(46) below.*

*Proof.* We denote by  $A_\alpha(\tilde{u}, \phi)$  the rewriting of  $a_\alpha(S)$  in the  $(\tilde{u}, \phi)$  variables:

$$A_\alpha(\tilde{u}, \phi) = \prod_x \prod_k \left( \Pi_k(\sqrt{T}\tilde{u}_x, \sqrt{T}\phi_x) \right)^{\alpha_x^k}, \quad (43)$$

with  $\Pi_k$  the components of the function  $\Pi$  in (10). Letting  $E_\alpha$  be the event

$$E_\alpha = \{ \tilde{u} \in (\sqrt{\beta}\mathbb{B}^{N-2})^{\mathbb{Z}^d} : \|\tilde{u}_x\| \leq \sqrt{\beta}/2, \forall x \in \text{supp } \alpha^{N-1} \cup \text{supp } \alpha^N \},$$

and using (37), which implies that  $\mu(E_\alpha^c) = O(e^{-c\beta})$  for some  $c > 0$ , we find

$$\langle a_\alpha(S) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{1}_{E_\alpha} A_\alpha(\tilde{u}, \phi) \rangle + O(e^{-c\beta}). \quad (44)$$

By expanding each factor  $\sqrt{1 - T\|\tilde{u}_x\|^2}$ ,  $\sin(\sqrt{T}\phi_x)$ ,  $\cos(\sqrt{T}\phi_x)$  appearing in the right hand side of (43) in power series in  $T$ , as

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{1 - T\|\tilde{u}_x\|^2} &\stackrel{(\infty)}{=} \sum_{n \geq 0} T^n (-1)^n \binom{1/2}{n} \|\tilde{u}_x\|^{2n}, \\ \sin(\sqrt{T}\phi_x) &= \sqrt{T}\phi_x \sum_{n \geq 0} T^n \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)!} \phi_x^{2n}, \\ \cos(\sqrt{T}\phi_x) &= \sum_{n \geq 0} T^n \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} \phi_x^{2n}, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

we obtain the formal power series expansion for  $A_\alpha(\tilde{u}, \phi)$ , in the form

$$A_\alpha(\tilde{u}, \phi) \stackrel{(\infty)}{=} \sum_{s \geq 0} T^s \sum_{p, \tilde{p}} a_{\alpha, s}^{p, \tilde{p}} \phi^p \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}}, \quad (46)$$

for suitable real coefficients  $a_{\alpha, s}^{p, \tilde{p}}$ , which are non zero only if  $\|p\|_1 + \|\tilde{p}\|_1 = 2s$  (the sum over  $p, \tilde{p}$  runs over even tuples in  $\mathfrak{P}, \tilde{\mathfrak{P}}$ ). By the Taylor remainder's theorem, for any  $n \geq 0$ , there exists  $C_{n, \alpha} > 0$  such that, for any  $\tilde{u}$  in the support of  $E_\alpha$ ,

$$\left| A_\alpha(\tilde{u}, \phi) - \sum_{s=0}^n T^s \sum_{p, \tilde{p}} a_{\alpha, s}^{p, \tilde{p}} \phi^p \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \right| \leq C_{n, \alpha} T^{n+1} \sum_{x \in \text{supp } \alpha} (\|\tilde{u}_x\|^{2(n+1)} + \phi_x^{2(n+1)}).$$

Therefore, using again (37) and the moments bounds in the first two items of Theorem 4.3, we obtain (41)-(42), as desired.  $\square$

## 5. INTEGRATION BY PART

From now on, we shall assume  $T \leq T_0$ , with  $T_0$  the same as in the statement of Theorem 4.3, without recalling it each time. The main results of this section are the following two integration-by-part lemmas, which are the key ingredients of the inductive computation of the coefficients of the low temperature expansion for the correlation functions, described in Section 6.

**Lemma 5.1** (Integration by part: non-gradient). *Let  $p, p' \geq 0$  be integers. Let  $F$  be a monomial in  $\phi$  of degree  $2p + 1$ , and  $\mathcal{F}$  be a monomial in  $(\tilde{u}_x^k)_{x, k}$  with even degree at most  $2p'$ , both with coefficient 1. Then, for any  $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , integers  $\gamma > n \geq 0$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_{x_0} F \mathcal{F} \rangle &= \sum_{y \in \text{supp } F} G(x_0, y) \langle \partial_y F \mathcal{F} \rangle + \\ &+ \mathbf{1}_{n \geq 1} \sum_{k=1}^n T^k \sum_{x_1, e} \nabla_{x_1}^e G^{m_\gamma}(x_0, \cdot) \sum_{r+p'=k} c'_r \langle F \mathcal{F} (\nabla_{x_1}^e \phi)^{2r+1} \mathcal{G}_{x_1, e}^{p'} \rangle \\ &+ R_{n+1}(F \mathcal{F}, x_0) \end{aligned}$$

where  $m_\gamma = T^\gamma$ ,  $c'_r = \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{(2r+1)!}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_{x, e}^{p'}$  was defined after (17), and  $|R_{n+1}(F \mathcal{F}, x_0)| \leq CT^{n+1-\epsilon}$  with  $C$  depending only on  $\epsilon, \gamma, p + p'$ .

**Lemma 5.2** (Integration by part: gradient). *Let  $p' \geq 0$  be an integer. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a monomial in  $(\tilde{u}_x^k)_{x, k}$  of even degree at most  $2p'$  with coefficient 1. Let  $p : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$  be*

odd, and let  $q : \mathbb{E}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$  be even, both of finite support. Then, for any  $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^d, e' \in \mathbb{B}_+, \alpha > n \geq 0$  integers, and  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\nabla_{x_0}^{e'} \phi)^p (\nabla \phi)^q \mathcal{F} \rangle &= \sum_{y \in \text{supp}_p \cup \text{supp}_q} \nabla_{x_0}^{e'} G(\cdot, y) \langle \partial_y (\phi^p (\nabla \phi)^q) \mathcal{F} \rangle + \\ &+ \mathbb{1}_{n \geq 1} \sum_{k=1}^n T^k \sum_{x_1, e} \nabla_{x_0, x_1}^{e', e} G^m \sum_{r+p'=k} c'_r \langle \phi^p (\nabla \phi)^q (\nabla_{x_1}^e \phi)^{2r+1} \mathcal{G}_{x_1, e}^{p'} \mathcal{F} \rangle \\ &+ R'_{n+1}(p, q, \mathcal{F}, x_0, e') \end{aligned}$$

where  $m = e^{-(\log T)^2}$ , and  $R'_{n+1}$  satisfies

$$|R'_{n+1}(p, q, \mathcal{F}, x_0, e')| \leq CT^\alpha + CT^{n+1-\epsilon} \sum_{x \in \text{supp}_p} \sum_{y \in \text{supp}_q \cup \{x_0\}} \frac{\log^2(1 + |x - y|)}{1 + |x - y|}$$

with  $C$  depending only on  $\epsilon, \alpha, \|p\|_1 + \|q\|_1 + p', n$ .

In both proofs, we will use Gaussian integration by parts with respect to a regularized version of the Gaussian measure described in Section 3.1, the regularization consisting in a mass term, which we add and subtract to the Gaussian weight. The first term in both lemmas is the standard Gaussian integration by parts, the second comes from the perturbation  $W_{L,h}$  (see Section 3.1) and the remainder term contains the effect of the regularization (mass) and of the perturbation  $\mathbb{1}_{|\phi| \leq \sqrt{\beta}\pi}$  (see again Section 3.1). The proofs of the two lemmas will use the following a priori bounds on the decay of correlations, which will be proven in Appendix B.

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $\epsilon > 0, \alpha > 0, p' \geq 0, p, q : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$  odd of finite support, and  $q' : \mathbb{E}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$  odd of finite support. There exists  $C = C(\epsilon, \alpha, p' + \|p\|_1 + \|q\|_1)$  and  $C' = C(\epsilon, \alpha, p' + \|p\|_1 + \|q'\|_1)$  such that, for any  $T < T_0$ , and any  $\mathcal{F}$  even monomial in  $\tilde{u}$  of degree at most  $2p'$ ,*

$$|\langle \mathcal{F} \phi^p \phi^q \rangle| \leq CT^\alpha + C\beta^\epsilon \sum_{\substack{x: p_x \text{ odd}, \\ y: q_y \text{ odd}}} \frac{\log(1 + |x - y|)}{1 + |x - y|}, \quad (47)$$

$$|\langle \mathcal{F} \phi^p (\nabla \phi)^{q'} \rangle| \leq C'T^\alpha + C'\beta^\epsilon \sum_{\substack{x: p_x \text{ odd}, \\ y \in \text{supp}_{q'}}} \frac{\log(1 + |x - y|)}{1 + |x - y|}. \quad (48)$$

*Proof of Lemma 5.1.* Let us start with  $L$  finite and  $h > 0$ , and let us represent  $\langle \phi_{x_0} F \mathcal{F} \rangle_{L,h}$  as in (20). For any  $0 < m < 1$ , we rewrite the integral over  $\phi$  in the numerator of (20) (in the case of our observable of interest) as follows:

$$\int_{[-\sqrt{\beta}\pi, \sqrt{\beta}\pi]^{\Lambda_L}} d\phi e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\phi, (G_L^m)^{-1}\phi) + \frac{m^2}{2}\|\phi\|^2 + W_{L,h}(\tilde{u}, \phi)} \phi_{x_0} F(\phi).$$

If we now integrate  $\phi_{x_0}$  by parts with respect to the reference Gaussian weight  $e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\phi, (G_L^m)^{-1}\phi)}$ , we obtain (denote  $\partial_y \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_y}$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_{x_0} F \mathcal{F} \rangle_{L,h} &= \sum_{y \in \text{supp}_F} G_L^m(x_0, y) \langle \partial_y F \mathcal{F} \rangle_{L,h} + \sum_{z \in \Lambda_L} G_L^m(x_0, z) \langle F \partial_z W_{L,h} \mathcal{F} \rangle_{L,h} \\ &+ m^2 \sum_{z \in \Lambda_L} G_L^m(x_0, z) \langle \phi_z F \mathcal{F} \rangle_{L,h} \\ &+ \sum_{z \in \Lambda_L} G_L^m(x_0, z) \langle (\delta(\phi_z + \sqrt{\beta}\pi) - \delta(\phi_z - \sqrt{\beta}\pi)) F \mathcal{F} \rangle_{L,h}. \end{aligned}$$



Now, taking  $L \rightarrow \infty$  for  $h \in D^*$ , and then  $h \rightarrow 0^+$  along a sequence in  $D^*$ , all the terms in the two sides converge to their infinite volume, zero field, limits. Bounding the last term via the third item of Theorem 4.3 and the Gaussian estimate (77), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_{x_0} F \mathcal{F} \rangle &= \sum_{y \in \text{supp}_F} G^m(x_0, y) \langle \partial_y F \mathcal{F} \rangle + \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d} G^m(x_0, z) \langle F \partial_z W \mathcal{F} \rangle \\ &\quad + m^2 \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d} G^m(x_0, z) \langle \phi_z F \mathcal{F} \rangle + R_\infty(m, F \mathcal{F}), \end{aligned}$$

where in the second term in the right side we denoted by  $W$  the formal  $L \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $h \rightarrow 0$  limit of (16), and  $|R_\infty(m, F \mathcal{F})| \leq m^{-2} (C\beta)^{1/2+p+p'} e^{-c\beta}$  for some  $c, C > 0$  independent of  $\beta$  and  $m$  (as long as  $T < T_0$ ). We denote the three other terms in the R.H.S.  $I, II, III$ . Using (78) and the moment bounds in the first two items of Theorem 4.3, we find

$$I = \sum_{y \in \text{supp}_F} G(x_0, y) \langle \partial_y F \mathcal{F} \rangle + R_I(m, F \mathcal{F}, x_0)$$

with  $|R_I(m, F \mathcal{F}, x_0)| \leq C_{p+p'} m$  for some  $C_{p+p'}$  depending only on  $p + p'$ . We then treat  $III$  when  $m = m_\gamma = T^\gamma$ . Using Lemma 5.3, one obtains that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$|\langle \phi_z F \mathcal{F} \rangle| \leq CT^\gamma + C\beta^{\epsilon/2} \sum_{y \in \text{supp}_F} \frac{\log(1 + |z - y|)}{1 + |z - y|},$$

with  $C$  depending only on  $p + p', \epsilon, \gamma$ . In particular, since  $\log(1 + r)/(1 + r) \leq C_{\epsilon'}(1 + r)^{\epsilon' - 1}$  for any  $\epsilon' > 0$ , one has that, by choosing  $\epsilon' = \epsilon/(2\gamma)$  and using (77) and (81) (and remembering that we set  $m = T^\gamma$ ),

$$|III| \leq CT^{\gamma - \epsilon}$$

with  $C$  depending only on  $p + p', \epsilon, \gamma$ . It remains to treat  $II$ . We first compute

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d} G^m(x_0, z) \partial_z W &= \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d} G^m(x_0, z) \partial_z \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_e \left[ \beta \rho_x \rho_{x+e} \cos(\sqrt{T} \nabla_x^e \phi) + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_x^e \phi)^2 \right] \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_e \nabla_x^e G^m(x_0, \cdot) \left[ -\rho_x \rho_{x+e} \sqrt{\beta} \sin(\sqrt{T} \nabla_x^e \phi) + \nabla_x^e \phi \right], \end{aligned}$$

where  $\rho_x = \sqrt{1 - T \|\tilde{u}_x\|^2}$ . Now plugging in  $m = m_\gamma = T^\gamma$ , and proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 4.4 (i.e., in brief: Taylor expanding to order  $2\gamma - 1$  both the sin and the square root in the  $\rho_x \rho_{x+e}$  term, and using the bound on moments in Theorem 4.3 combined with Hölder's inequality), one finds that by the Gaussian estimate on the sum of gradients (79),

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{2\gamma-1} T^k \sum_{x_1, e} \nabla_{x_1}^e G^{m_\gamma}(x_0, \cdot) \sum_{r+p'=k} c'_r \langle F \mathcal{F} (\nabla_{x_1}^e \phi)^{2r+1} \mathcal{G}_{x_1, e}^{p'} \rangle - II \right| \leq CT^\gamma,$$

for some  $C$  depending only on  $\gamma + p + p'$ , where  $c'_r = \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{(2r+1)!}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_{x, e}^{p'}$  was defined after (17), and  $\sum_{x_1}$  denotes summation over  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . Now, one can use Lemma 5.3 combined with (79) and (81) in the same fashion as before on the  $ks$  with  $n < k \leq 2\gamma - 1$ , to obtain that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $C$  depending on  $\epsilon$  and  $p + p' + \gamma$  only,

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^n T^k \sum_{x_1, e} \nabla_{x_1}^e G^{m_\gamma}(x_0, \cdot) \sum_{r+p'=k} c'_r \langle F \mathcal{F} (\nabla_{x_1}^e \phi)^{2r+1} \mathcal{G}_{x_1, e}^{p'} \rangle - II \right| \leq CT^{n+1-\epsilon}.$$

Gathering all the above, and letting  $m = m_\gamma = T^\gamma$  also in the error terms  $R_\infty$  and  $R_I$ , gives the result.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 5.2.* Write  $F_{p,q} = \phi^p(\nabla\phi)^q$ . Starting as in the proof of Lemma 5.1, for  $m = e^{-(\log T)^2}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\nabla_{x_0}^{e'} \phi) F_{p,q} \mathcal{F} \rangle &= \sum_{y \in \text{supp}_{F_{p,q}}} \nabla_{x_0}^{e'} G^m(\cdot, y) \langle \partial_y F_{p,q} \mathcal{F} \rangle + \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \nabla_{x_0}^{e'} G^m(\cdot, z) \langle F_{p,q} \partial_z W \mathcal{F} \rangle \\ &\quad + m^2 \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \nabla_{x_0}^{e'} G^m(\cdot, z) \langle \phi_z F_{p,q} \mathcal{F} \rangle + R_\infty(m, p, q, \mathcal{F}, x_0) \\ &= I + II + III + R_\infty(m, p, q, \mathcal{F}, x_0), \end{aligned}$$

with  $|R_\infty(m, p, q, \mathcal{F}, x_0)| \leq m^{-1} (C\beta)^{p'+\frac{1}{2}(\|p\|_1+\|q\|_1)} e^{-c\beta}$ , for some  $C, c > 0$  independent of  $\beta$  and  $m$ . Then, using (79), one has

$$|III| \leq C_{p'+\|p\|_1+\|q\|_1} m.$$

Moreover, using (78) and Theorem 4.3, one has

$$\left| I - \sum_{y \in \text{supp}_{F_{p,q}}} \nabla_{x_0}^{e'} G(\cdot, y) \langle \partial_y F_{p,q} \mathcal{F} \rangle \right| \leq C_{p'+\|p\|_1+\|q\|_1} m.$$

To finish the proof of Lemma 5.2, consider  $II$  and proceed as in the proof of Lemma 5.1 to obtain

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha} T^k \sum_{x_1, e} \nabla_{x_0, x_1}^{e', e} G^m \sum_{r+p'=k} c'_r \langle F_{p,q} \mathcal{F} (\nabla_{x_1}^e \phi)^{2r+1} \mathcal{G}_{x_1, e}^{p'} \rangle - II \right| \leq CT^{\alpha+1} (\log T)^2,$$

where we used (80) and  $m = e^{-(\log T)^2}$ , and  $C$  depends only on  $p' + \|p\|_1 + \|q\|_1$  and  $\alpha$ . We then apply Lemma 5.3 and (82) to obtain that, for any  $r, p'$  with  $r + p' \leq \alpha$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\left| \sum_{x_1, e} \nabla_{x_0, x_1}^{e', e} G^m \langle F_{p,q} \mathcal{F} (\nabla_{x_1}^e \phi)^{2r+1} \mathcal{G}_{x_1, e}^{p'} \rangle \right| \leq CT^\alpha + C\beta^\epsilon \sum_{x \in \text{supp}_p} \sum_{y \in \text{supp}_q \cup \{x_0\}} \frac{\log^2(1 + |x - y|)}{1 + |x - y|}$$

with  $C$  depending only on  $p' + \|p\|_1 + \|q\|_1$ ,  $\alpha$ , and  $\epsilon$ , and  $\sum_{x_1}$  denotes summation over  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . This allows us to get rid of the terms with  $n + 1 \leq k \leq \alpha$ . Combining all the previous estimates gives the lemma.  $\square$

## 6. INDUCTIVE EXPANSION

In this section we prove of our main results, Theorem 1.3 and Corollaries 1.4 and 1.5, on the basis of an iterative application of the integration-by-parts lemmas stated and proved in Section 5 and of the moment bounds summarized in Theorem 4.3. We start with the proof of Corollary 1.4, which illustrates the general method in a simple, non-trivial, case. The general case is proved by induction, and discussed step-by-step in the following subsections. We recall that, whenever we write  $\sum_{x_1}$ , we always mean  $\sum_{x_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ , and similarly for  $\sum_{x_i}$ , etc. As already mentioned above, we explicitly focus on the case  $N > 2$ , the case  $N = 2$  being significantly simpler, in that the  $u$  variables are not present; the interpretation and adaptation of the following discussion to the case  $N = 2$  is left to the reader.

**6.1. Magnetization to second order.** We first present the proof of Corollary 1.4. Shortcuts are taken as the full procedure will be described in the remaining of the section. First, rewrite  $S_0^N$  in terms of the coordinates  $(\tilde{u}_0, \phi_0)$ , so that

$$\langle S_0^N \rangle = \langle \sqrt{1 - T\|\tilde{u}_0\|^2} \cos(\sqrt{T}\phi_0) \rangle.$$

We expand the function under the average in the right hand side in Taylor series in  $T$  up to second order and, applying Lemma 4.4 (see also Remark 4.1), we obtain

$$\langle S_0^N \rangle = 1 - \frac{1}{2}T\langle \phi_0^2 \rangle - \frac{1}{2}T\langle \|\tilde{u}_0\|^2 \rangle - \frac{1}{8}T^2\langle \|\tilde{u}_0\|^4 \rangle + \frac{1}{4}T^2\langle \|\tilde{u}_0\|^2 \phi_0^2 \rangle + \frac{1}{24}T^2\langle \phi_0^4 \rangle + O(T^3). \quad (49)$$

Treat each term separately. First, using Lemma 5.1 with  $n = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_0^4 \rangle &= 3G(0, 0)\langle \phi_0^2 \rangle + O(T^{1-\epsilon}) = 3G(0, 0)^2 + O(T^{1-\epsilon}), \\ \langle \|\tilde{u}_0\|^2 \phi_0^2 \rangle &= G(0, 0)\langle \|\tilde{u}_0\|^2 \rangle + O(T^{1-\epsilon}). \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Then, using the leftover  $O(N - 1)$  symmetry of the model in the subspace orthogonal to the magnetic field, eq.(18), the moment bounds of Theorem 4.3, and applying Lemma 5.1 once more, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \|\tilde{u}_0\|^2 \rangle &= (N - 2)(\langle \phi_0^2 \rangle - \frac{T}{3}\langle \phi_0^4 \rangle - T\langle \|\tilde{u}_0\|^2 \phi_0^2 \rangle) + O(T^2) \\ &= (N - 2)(\langle \phi_0^2 \rangle - TG(0, 0)^2 - TG(0, 0)^2(N - 2)) + O(T^{2-\epsilon}), \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \|\tilde{u}_0\|^4 \rangle &= (N - 2)\langle \phi_0^4 \rangle + (N - 2)(N - 3)\langle (\tilde{u}_0^1)^2 \phi_0^2 \rangle + O(T) \\ &= (N - 2)3G(0, 0)^2 + (N - 2)(N - 3)G(0, 0)^2 + O(T^{1-\epsilon}). \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

So far we used: (1) the leftover  $O(N - 1)$  symmetry in the directions orthogonal to the magnetic field, in order to generate  $\phi$ s when only  $\tilde{u}$ s remain; (2) Lemma 5.1, but only in its ‘‘trivial’’ form ( $n = 0$ ). We then need to generate the expansion of  $\langle \phi_0^2 \rangle$  to first order. This is where the controlled inductive procedure starts to show up. Using Lemma 5.1 with  $n = 1$  (and  $\gamma = 2$ ), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_0^2 \rangle &= G(0, 0) + O(T^{2-\epsilon}) \\ &+ T \sum_{x_1, e} \nabla_{x_1}^e G^{T^2}(0, \cdot) \left( \frac{1}{3!} \langle \phi_0 (\nabla_{x_1}^e \phi)^3 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle \phi_0 \nabla_{x_1}^e \phi (\|\tilde{u}_{x_1}\|^2 + \|\tilde{u}_{x_1+e}\|^2) \rangle \right). \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

One can then use Lemma 5.2 with  $n = 0$  on the terms inside the sum to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_0 (\nabla_{x_1}^e \phi)^3 \rangle &= \nabla_{x_1}^e G(\cdot, 0) \langle (\nabla_{x_1}^e \phi)^2 \rangle + 2\nabla_{x_1, x_1}^{e, e} G \langle \phi_0 \nabla_{x_1}^e \phi \rangle + R_1(x_1) \\ \langle \phi_0 \nabla_{x_1}^e \phi (\|\tilde{u}_{x_1}\|^2 + \|\tilde{u}_{x_1+e}\|^2) \rangle &= \nabla_{x_1}^e G(\cdot, 0) (\langle \|\tilde{u}_{x_1}\|^2 \rangle + \langle \|\tilde{u}_{x_1+e}\|^2 \rangle) + R_2(x_1) \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

with  $R_i(x_1)$  satisfying, for  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$$|R_i(x_1)| \leq CT^3 + CT^{1-\epsilon} \frac{\log^2(1 + |x_1|)}{1 + |x_1|}. \quad (55)$$

Using again Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2 with  $n = 0$  and the residual  $O(N - 1)$  symmetry in the directions orthogonal to the magnetic field, in (54) we can rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\nabla_{x_1}^e \phi)^2 \rangle &= \nabla_{x_1, x_1}^{e, e} G + O(T^{1-\epsilon}), \\ \langle \phi_0 \nabla_{x_1}^e \phi \rangle &= \nabla_{x_1}^e G(0, \cdot) + R_3(x_1), \\ \langle \|\tilde{u}_{x_1}\|^2 \rangle &= \langle \|\tilde{u}_{x_1+e}\|^2 \rangle = (N - 2)G(0, 0) + O(T^{1-\epsilon}), \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

with  $R_3(x_1)$  bounded as in (55). Plugging (56) in (54), and then the resulting expressions in (53), we find that, thanks to (79) and (81), the contributions from the terms involving the error terms  $R_i(x_1)$  and  $O(T^{1-\epsilon})$  to the second line of (53) can all be bounded by  $CT^{2-\epsilon}$ , for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and some  $C > 0$  depending on  $\epsilon$ , but independent of  $T$ . Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_0^2 \rangle &= G(0, 0) + O(T^{2-\epsilon}) \\ &+ T \sum_{x_1, e} \nabla_{x_1}^e G^{T^2}(0, \cdot) \left( \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{x_1}^e G(\cdot, 0) \nabla_{x_1, x_1}^{e, e} G + (N-2) \nabla_{x_1}^e G(\cdot, 0) G(0, 0) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

Finally, noting that, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $|\nabla_{x_1}^e G^{T^2}(0, \cdot) - \nabla_{x_1}^e G(0, \cdot)| \leq CT^{2-2\frac{1+\epsilon}{d-1}} \frac{1}{(1+|x_1|)^{1+\epsilon}}$  (which follows from the second bound in Theorem C.1 and from the use of Lemma C.3 with  $m = T^2$ ,  $\gamma = d-1$  and  $\gamma' = 1+\epsilon$ ), we can remove the mass  $T^2$  from the massive propagator up to an additional error of order  $O(T^{2+\frac{d-3}{d-1}-\frac{2\epsilon}{d-1}})$ . In conclusion, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_0^N \rangle &= 1 - \frac{T}{2}(N-1)G(0, 0) + \frac{T^2}{8}(N-1)(3N-5)G(0, 0)^2 \\ &- \frac{T^2}{2}(N-1) \sum_{x_1, e} (\nabla_{x_1}^e G(0, \cdot))^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{x_1, x_1}^{e, e} G + (N-2)G(0, 0) \right) + O(T^{3-\epsilon}), \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof of Corollary 1.4.

In the incoming subsections we will apply the same ideas used here in order to compute at a generic order in the low temperature expansion the correlation functions of the model. More precisely, we will inductively prove that, for any  $p \in \mathfrak{P}$ ,  $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{P}}$ ,  $n \geq 0$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\langle \phi^p \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle = \langle \phi^p \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle^{(n)} + O(T^{n+1-\epsilon}), \quad (58)$$

where  $\langle \cdot \rangle^{(n)}$  was defined in Definition 3.1. In view of Lemma 4.4, (58) implies our main result, Theorem 1.3, with an explicit bound on the remainder and an explicit procedure for computing the coefficients  $a_i$ .

## 6.2. Inductive hypotheses.

**Definition 6.1** (Inductive hypotheses  $\mathcal{H}_{M,K}$ ). We say that  $\mathcal{H}_{M,K}$  holds if for any  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ , and any integer  $\alpha > M$ , the two following hold:

$\mathcal{H}_{M,K}^1$ : There exists  $C = C(\epsilon, K, M)$  such that: for any integer  $0 \leq n \leq M$ ,  $p : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$  even,  $\tilde{p} : \mathbb{Z}^d \times [N-2] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$  even with  $\frac{\|\tilde{p}\|_1 + \|p\|_1}{2} \leq K$ ,

$$|\langle \phi^p \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle - \langle \phi^p \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle^{(n)}| \leq CT^{n+1-\epsilon}. \quad (59)$$

$\mathcal{H}_{M,K}^2$ : There exists  $C' = C'(\epsilon, K, M, \alpha)$  and  $c_K$  such that: for any integer  $0 \leq n \leq M$ , even  $\tilde{p} : \mathbb{Z}^d \times [N-2] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ , odd  $p : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ , and odd  $q : \mathbb{E}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$  with  $\frac{\|\tilde{p}\|_1 + \|p\|_1 + \|q\|_1}{2} \leq K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &|\langle \phi^p \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} (\nabla \phi)^q \rangle - \langle \phi^p \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} (\nabla \phi)^q \rangle^{(n)}| \leq \\ &\leq C'T^\alpha + C'T^{n+1-\epsilon} \sum_{x \in \text{supp}_p} \sum_{y \in \text{supp}_q} \frac{\log^{2+n}(1+|x-y|)}{1+|x-y|}. \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

We say that  $\mathcal{H}_{M,\infty}$  holds if  $\mathcal{H}_{M,K}$  holds for every  $K \geq 0$ , and that  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty,K}$  holds if  $\mathcal{H}_{M,K}$  holds for every  $M \geq 0$ .

**Remark 6.1.** *By linearity,  $\mathcal{H}_{M,K}$  implies a control over polynomials in  $\tilde{u}, \phi, \nabla\phi$ . The constants in the error terms depend then on the coefficients of the polynomial.*

**Remark 6.2.** *We automatically have the validity of  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty,0}$ .*

To lighten notation, we will not recall the domain and image of  $p, q, \tilde{p}$ . The main result of this section is

**Theorem 6.1.**  *$\mathcal{H}_{M,K}$  holds for any  $M, K \geq 0$ .*

The proof of Theorem 6.1 will occupy the remainder of this section. Note that what we are in fact only interested in is the validity of  $\mathcal{H}_{M,K}^1$ .  $\mathcal{H}_{M,K}^2$  is only needed for technical reasons (which will be highlighted when proving the induction step for  $\mathcal{H}_{M,K}^1$ ).

### 6.3. Initiating the procedure.

**Lemma 6.2.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{H}_{0,K}$  holds. Then,  $\mathcal{H}_{0,K+1}$  holds.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathcal{H}_{0,K}$  holds. Let  $0 < \epsilon < 1, \alpha > 0$ . We prove  $\mathcal{H}_{0,K+1}^2$  and then  $\mathcal{H}_{0,K+1}^1$ .

$\mathcal{H}_{0,K+1}^2$  part. Let  $p$  be odd,  $q = \mathbf{1}_{(x_0, e_0)} + \tilde{q}$  with  $\tilde{q}$  even, and  $\tilde{p}$  even with  $\frac{\|\tilde{p}\|_1 + \|p\|_1 + \|q\|_1}{2} \leq K + 1$ . Using Lemma 5.2 with  $n = 0$ , one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \langle \phi^p (\nabla\phi)^q \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle - \sum_{y \in \text{supp}_p \cup \text{supp}_{\tilde{q}}} \nabla_{x_0}^{e_0} G(\cdot, y) \langle \partial_y (\phi^p (\nabla\phi)^{\tilde{q}}) \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle \right| \leq \\ & \leq CT^\alpha + CT^{1-\epsilon} \sum_{x \in \text{supp}_p} \sum_{y \in \text{supp}_q} \frac{\log^2(1 + |x - y|)}{1 + |x - y|} \end{aligned}$$

for some  $C = C(\epsilon, \alpha, K + 1)$ . We can then use  $\mathcal{H}_{0,K}^1$  to obtain

$$\left| \langle (\nabla\phi)^{\tilde{q}} \partial_y \phi^p \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle - \langle (\nabla\phi)^{\tilde{q}} \partial_y \phi^p \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle^{(0)} \right| \leq C'T^{1-\epsilon}$$

for some  $C' = C'(\epsilon, K)$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{y \in \text{supp}_p} \nabla_{x_0}^{e_0} G(\cdot, y) \left( \langle (\nabla\phi)^{\tilde{q}} \partial_y \phi^p \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle - \langle (\nabla\phi)^{\tilde{q}} \partial_y \phi^p \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle^{(0)} \right) \right| \leq \\ & \leq C''T^{1-\epsilon} \sum_{y \in \text{supp}_p} \frac{c}{(1 + |x_0 - y|)^{d-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the decay of  $\nabla_{x_0}^e G(\cdot, y)$ , see the second inequality in Theorem C.1. Now,

$$\sum_y \nabla_{x_0}^{e_0} G(\cdot, y) \langle \phi^p \partial_y (\nabla\phi)^{\tilde{q}} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle = \sum_{z, e} \tilde{q}_{z, e} \nabla_{x_0, z}^{e_0, e} G \langle \phi^p (\nabla\phi)^{\tilde{q}-\mathbf{1}_{(z, e)}} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle.$$

We can then use  $\mathcal{H}_{0,K}^2$ , the uniform boundedness of  $|\nabla_{x_0, z}^{e_0, e} G|$ , and the previous estimates to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \langle \phi^p (\nabla\phi)^q \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle - \sum_{y \in \text{supp}_p \cup \text{supp}_{\tilde{q}}} \nabla_{x_0}^{e_0} G(\cdot, y) \langle \partial_y (\phi^p (\nabla\phi)^{\tilde{q}}) \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle^{(0)} \right| \leq \\ & \leq C''T^\alpha + C'''T^{1-\epsilon} \sum_{x \in \text{supp}_p} \sum_{y \in \text{supp}_q} \frac{\log^2(1 + |x - y|)}{1 + |x - y|}, \end{aligned}$$

with  $C'' = C''(\epsilon, \alpha, K + 1)$ . Finally, using the extraction property (32),

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi^p(\nabla\phi)^q \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle^{(0)} &= \Phi(\phi^p(\nabla\phi)^q) \langle \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle^{(0)} \\ &= \sum_y \nabla_{x_0}^{e_0} G(\cdot, y) \Phi(\partial_y(\phi^p(\nabla\phi)^q)) \langle \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle^{(0)} \\ &= \sum_y \nabla_{x_0}^{e_0} G(\cdot, y) \langle \partial_y(\phi^p(\nabla\phi)^q) \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle^{(0)} \end{aligned}$$

where we used Gaussian integration by part in the second line. This concludes the proof of  $\mathcal{H}_{0, K+1}^2$ .

$\mathcal{H}_{0, K+1}^1$  *part.* Start with the case  $p \neq 0$ . Then,  $p = \mathbb{1}_{x_0} + p'$  with  $p'$  odd. Using Lemma 5.1 with  $n = 0$ ,

$$\left| \langle \phi^p \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle - \sum_y G(x_0, y) \langle \partial_y \phi^{p'} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle \right| \leq CT^{1-\epsilon}$$

with  $C = C(\epsilon, K + 1)$ . Using then  $\mathcal{H}_{0, K}^1$  and the extraction property (32) as before, one obtains the wanted claim. It remains to treat the  $p = 0$  case. In that case, there exists  $k \in \{1, \dots, N - 2\}$  such that  $\tilde{p}^k$  is non-zero (and even). Denote  $\tilde{p} = (\tilde{p}^1, \dots, \tilde{p}^{k-1}, 0, \tilde{p}^{k+1}, \dots, \tilde{p}^{N-2})$ . Using the residual  $O(N - 1)$  symmetry in the directions  $\{1, \dots, N - 1\}$ , eq.(30), we get

$$\langle \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle = \beta^{\|\tilde{p}^k\|/2} \left\langle \prod_x \left( \sqrt{1 - T \|\tilde{u}_x\|^2} \sin(\sqrt{T} \phi_x) \right)^{\tilde{p}_x^k} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \right\rangle. \quad (61)$$

Now, we apply Lemma 4.4 with  $n = \|\tilde{p}^k\|/2$  to the right hand side, thus getting

$$\langle \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle = \langle \phi^{\tilde{p}^k} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle + R_1(\tilde{p}),$$

with  $|R_1(\tilde{p})| \leq CT$ ,  $C = C(K + 1)$ . The rest is the same as in the  $p \neq 0$  case. This concludes the proof of  $\mathcal{H}_{0, K+1}^1$ .  $\square$

**Remark 6.3.** From Lemma 6.2 and the validity of  $\mathcal{H}_{0,0}$ , one obtains the validity of  $\mathcal{H}_{0,\infty}$ .

**6.4. Induction step.** To keep notation readable, we introduce the shorthands

$$f_i \equiv (\nabla_{x_i}^{e_i} \phi)^{2r_i+2}, \quad g_i \equiv \mathcal{G}_{x_i, e_i}^{p_i}, \quad f_A = \prod_{i \in A} f_i, \quad g_A = \prod_{i \in A} g_i.$$

We will use a simple identity on connected correlation of Gaussian:

**Claim 1.** For any  $F$  monomial in  $\phi$  of odd degree,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\phi_z F; f_1; \dots; f_k) &= \sum_y G(z, y) \Phi(\partial_y F; f_1; \dots; f_k) + \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^k (2r_i + 2) \nabla_{x_i}^{e_i} G(z, \cdot) \Phi(F(\nabla_{x_i}^{e_i} \phi)^{2r_i+1}; f_1; \dots; f_{i-1}; f_{i+1}; \dots; f_k). \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

*Proof.* It is a simple consequence of the sum-over-partitions formula (25) for cumulants and of Gaussian integration by part. Letting  $A_0$  be the element of  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(\{0, 1, \dots, k\})$

containing 0, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(\phi_z F; f_1; \dots; f_k) &= \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(\{0, \dots, k\})} (|\pi| - 1)! (-1)^{|\pi|-1} \left( \prod_{B \in \pi, 0 \notin B} \Phi(f_B) \right) \Phi(\phi_z F f_{A_0 \setminus 0}) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_k} (|\pi| - 1)! (-1)^{|\pi|-1} \sum_{A \in \pi} \left( \prod_{B \in \pi, B \neq A} \Phi(f_B) \right) [\Phi(\phi_z F f_A) - \Phi(\phi_z F) \Phi(f_A)].\end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts  $\phi_z$  in the two terms in brackets gives

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(\phi_z F; f_1; \dots; f_k) &= \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_k} (|\pi| - 1)! (-1)^{|\pi|-1} \sum_{A \in \pi} \left( \prod_{B \in \pi, B \neq A} \Phi(f_B) \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \left[ \sum_{i \in A} (2r_i + 2) \Phi(\phi_z \nabla_{x_i}^{e_i} \phi) \Phi(F(\nabla_{x_i}^{e_i} \phi)^{2r_i+1} f_{A \setminus i}) + \sum_y G(z, y) \Phi(\partial_y F; f_A) \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k (2r_i + 2) \Phi(\phi_z \nabla_{x_i}^{e_i} \phi) \Phi(F(\nabla_{x_i}^{e_i} \phi)^{2r_i+1}; f_1; \dots; f_{i-1}; f_{i+1}; \dots; f_k) + \\ &\quad + \sum_y G(z, y) \Phi(\partial_y F; f_1; \dots; f_k),\end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

**Lemma 6.3.** *Suppose  $\mathcal{H}_{M+1, K}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{M, \infty}$  hold. Then,  $\mathcal{H}_{M+1, K+1}$  holds.*

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0, \alpha > M + 1$ . We only have to consider  $n = M + 1$ . We again treat first  $\mathcal{H}_{M+1, K+1}^2$  and then prove  $\mathcal{H}_{M+1, K+1}^1$ .

$\mathcal{H}_{M+1, K+1}^2$  part. As  $q$  is odd, there exist  $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^d, e_0 \in B_+$  such that  $q = \mathbb{1}_{(x_0, e_0)} + \tilde{q}$  with  $\tilde{q}$  even. Then, by Lemma 5.2,

$$\begin{aligned}& \left| \langle \nabla_{x_0}^{e_0} \phi \phi^p (\nabla \phi)^{\tilde{q}} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle - \sum_y \nabla_{x_0}^{e_0} G(\cdot, y) \langle \partial_y (\phi^p (\nabla \phi)^{\tilde{q}}) \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{k=1}^{M+1} T^k \sum_{x_1, e_1} \nabla_{x_0, x_1}^{e_0, e_1} G^m \sum_{r_1 + p'_1 = k} c'_{r_1} \langle (\nabla_{x_1}^{e_1} \phi)^{2r_1+1} \phi^p (\nabla \phi)^{\tilde{q}} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} g_1 \rangle \right| \leq \\ & \leq CT^\alpha + CT^{M+2-\epsilon} \sum_{x \in \text{supp}_p} \sum_{y \in \text{supp}_q} \frac{\log^2(1 + |x - y|)}{1 + |x - y|}, \quad (63)\end{aligned}$$

with  $C = C(\epsilon, \alpha, K + 1, M + 1)$ , and  $m = e^{-(\log T)^2}$ . We can then use  $\mathcal{H}_{M+1, K}^1$  to obtain

$$\left| \langle (\nabla \phi)^{\tilde{q}} \partial_y \phi^p \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle - \langle (\nabla \phi)^{\tilde{q}} \partial_y \phi^p \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle^{(M+1)} \right| \leq C' T^{M+2-\epsilon},$$

with  $C' = C'(\epsilon, K, M + 1)$ . So, using the decay of  $|\nabla_{x_0}^{e_0} G(\cdot, y)|$  (see the second bound of Theorem C.1),

$$\left| \sum_y \nabla_{x_0}^{e_0} G(\cdot, y) \left[ \langle (\nabla \phi)^{\tilde{q}} \partial_y \phi^p \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle - \langle (\nabla \phi)^{\tilde{q}} \partial_y \phi^p \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle^{(M+1)} \right] \right| \leq C' T^{M+2-\epsilon} \sum_{y \in \text{supp}_p} \frac{c_d}{(1 + |x_0 - y|)^{d-1}}$$

with  $c_d$  depending only on  $d$ . Next,

$$\sum_y \nabla_{x_0}^{e_0} G(\cdot, y) \langle \phi^p \partial_y (\nabla \phi)^{\tilde{q}} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle = \sum_{z, e} \tilde{q}_{z, e} \nabla_{x_0, z}^{e_0, e} G \langle \phi^p (\nabla \phi)^{\tilde{q}-\mathbb{1}_{(z, e)}} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle.$$

So, by the uniform boundedness of  $|\nabla_{x_0, z}^{e_0, e} G|$ , and  $\mathcal{H}_{M+1, K}^2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_y \nabla_{x_0}^{e_0} G(\cdot, y) [\langle \phi^p \partial_y (\nabla \phi)^{\tilde{q}} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle - \langle \phi^p \partial_y (\nabla \phi)^{\tilde{q}} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle^{(M+1)}] \right| &\leq \\ &\leq C''' T^\alpha + C''' T^{M+2-\epsilon} \sum_{y \in \text{supp}_{\tilde{q}}} \sum_{x \in \text{supp}_p} \frac{\log^{M+3}(1 + |x - y|)}{1 + |x - y|} \end{aligned}$$

with  $C''' = C'''(\epsilon, \alpha, K+1, M+1)$ . Finally, using  $\mathcal{H}_{M, \infty}^2$ , there exists  $C'''' = C''''(\epsilon, \alpha, K+1, M+1)$  such that for  $1 \leq k \leq M$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \langle (\nabla_{x_1}^{e_1} \phi)^{2r_1+1} \phi^p (\nabla \phi)^{\tilde{q}} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} g_1 \rangle - \langle (\nabla_{x_1}^{e_1} \phi)^{2r_1+1} \phi^p (\nabla \phi)^{\tilde{q}} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} g_1 \rangle^{(M+1-k)} \right| &\leq \\ &\leq C'''' T^\alpha + C'''' T^{M+2-k-\epsilon} \sum_{x \in \text{supp}_p} \sum_{y \in \text{supp}_{\tilde{q}} \cup \{x_1\}} \frac{\log^{M+3-k}(1 + |x - y|)}{1 + |x - y|}. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging all the estimates in (63), using triangular inequality, and using Theorem C.2, more precisely (80) and (82), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \langle \nabla_{x_0}^{e_0} \phi \phi^p (\nabla \phi)^{\tilde{q}} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle - \sum_y \nabla_{x_0}^{e_0} G(\cdot, y) \langle \partial_y (\phi^p (\nabla \phi)^{\tilde{q}} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}}) \rangle^{(M+1)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{k=1}^{M+1} T^k \sum_{x_1, e_1} \nabla_{x_0, x_1}^{e_0, e_1} G^m \sum_{r_1 + p'_1 = k} c'_{r_1} \langle (\nabla_{x_1}^{e_1} \phi)^{2r_1+1} \phi^p (\nabla \phi)^{\tilde{q}} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} g_1 \rangle^{(M+1-k)} \right| \leq \quad (64) \\ &\leq \tilde{C} T^\alpha + \tilde{C} T^{M+2-\epsilon} (\log T)^2 \sum_{x \in \text{supp}_p} \sum_{y \in \text{supp}_{\tilde{q}}} \frac{\log^{M+3}(1 + |x - y|)}{1 + |x - y|}, \end{aligned}$$

with  $\tilde{C} = \tilde{C}(\epsilon, \alpha, K+1, M+1)$ . If we now use the bound

$$|\nabla_{x_0, x_1}^{e_0, e_1} G - \nabla_{x_0, x_1}^{e_0, e_1} G^m| \leq C m^{1/(2d)} (1 + |x_1 - x_0|)^{-d+1/2}$$

following from Theorems C.2 and C.1 and Lemma C.3, the bound

$$\left| \langle (\nabla_{x_1}^{e_1} \phi)^{2r_1+1} \phi^p (\nabla \phi)^{\tilde{q}} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} g_1 \rangle^{(M+1-k)} \right| \leq \sum_{y \in \text{supp}_p \cup \text{supp}_{\tilde{q}}} (1 + |x_1 - y|)^{-d+1+\epsilon}$$

following from Lemma 3.2, and the summability result of Lemma C.4, we see that in the second line of (64) we can replace the massive propagator  $\nabla_{x_0, x_1}^{e_0, e_1} G^m$  by  $\nabla_{x_0, x_1}^{e_0, e_1} G$  up to an error term  $\leq C T m^{1/(2d)}$ , which can be re-absorbed in  $\tilde{C} T^\alpha$  up to a redefinition of  $\tilde{C}$ . It remains to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_y \nabla_{x_0}^{e_0} G(\cdot, y) \langle \partial_y (\phi^p (\nabla \phi)^{\tilde{q}} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}}) \rangle^{(M+1)} + \sum_{k=1}^{M+1} T^k \sum_{x_1, e_1} \nabla_{x_0, x_1}^{e_0, e_1} G \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \sum_{r_1 + p'_1 = k} c'_{r_1} \langle (\nabla_{x_1}^{e_1} \phi)^{2r_1+1} \phi^p (\nabla \phi)^{\tilde{q}} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} g_1 \rangle^{(M+1-k)} \equiv \langle \nabla_{x_0}^{e_0} \phi \phi^p (\nabla \phi)^{\tilde{q}} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle^{(M+1)}. \quad (65) \end{aligned}$$



Setting  $F = \phi^p(\nabla\phi)^{\tilde{q}}$ , we can now use the extraction formula (32) to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \partial_y F \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle^{(M+1)} &= \Phi(\partial_y F) \langle \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle^{(M+1)} + \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{M+1} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{s=k}^{M+1} T^s \sum_{\substack{s_1, \dots, s_k \geq 1 \\ \sum_{l=1}^k s_l = s}} \sum_{\substack{x_1, \dots, x_k \\ e_1, \dots, e_k}} \sum_{\substack{r_1, p'_1, \dots, r_k, p'_k \geq 0 \\ p'_l + r_l = s_l}} \left( \prod_{l=1}^k c_{r_l} \right) \Phi(\partial_y F; f_1; \dots; f_k) \langle \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \prod_{l=1}^k g_l \rangle^{(M+1-s)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_r = \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{(2r+2)!} = \frac{1}{2r+2} c'_r$ . Similarly, renaming  $k$  as  $s_1$  in the second line of (65), for  $s_1 = 1, \dots, M+1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\nabla_{x_1}^{e_1} \phi)^{2r_1+1} F \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} g_1 \rangle^{(M+1-s_1)} &= \Phi((\nabla_{x_1}^{e_1} \phi)^{2r_1+1} F) \langle \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} g_1 \rangle^{(M+1-s_1)} \\ &+ \mathbb{1}_{M+1 > s_1} \sum_{k=1}^{M+1-s_1} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{s=k}^{M+1-s_1} T^s \sum_{\substack{s_2, \dots, s_{k+1} \geq 1 \\ \sum_{l=2}^{k+1} s_l = s}} \sum_{\substack{x_2, \dots, x_{k+1} \\ e_2, \dots, e_{k+1}}} \sum_{\substack{r_2, p'_2, \dots, r_{k+1}, p'_{k+1} \geq 0 \\ p'_l + r_l = s_l}} \cdot \\ &\cdot \left( \prod_{l=2}^{k+1} c_{r_l} \right) \Phi((\nabla_{x_1}^{e_1} \phi)^{2r_1+1} F; f_2; \dots; f_{k+1}) \langle \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \prod_{l=1}^{k+1} g_l \rangle^{(M+1-s_1-s)}. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging these in the L.H.S. of (65), and using the integration by parts formula for the Gaussian measure  $\Phi$ , one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\nabla_{x_0}^{e_0} \phi F) \langle \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle^{(M+1)} &+ \sum_{k=1}^{M+1} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{s=k}^{M+1} T^s \sum_{\substack{s_1, \dots, s_k \geq 1 \\ \sum_{l=1}^k s_l = s}} \sum_{\substack{x_1, \dots, x_k \\ e_1, \dots, e_k}} \sum_{\substack{r_1, p'_1, \dots, r_k, p'_k \geq 0 \\ p'_l + r_l = s_l}} \left( \prod_{l=1}^k c_{r_l} \right) \times \\ &\times \sum_y \nabla_{x_0}^{e_0} G(\cdot, y) \Phi(\partial_y F; f_1; \dots; f_k) \langle \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \prod_{l=1}^k g_l \rangle^{(M+1-s)} \\ &+ \sum_{s_1=1}^{M+1} T^{s_1} \sum_{x_1, e_1} \sum_{r_1 + p'_1 = s_1} c_{r_1} (2r_1 + 2) \nabla_{x_0, x_1}^{e_0, e_1} G \Phi((\nabla_{x_1}^{e_1} \phi)^{2r_1+1} F) \langle \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} g_1 \rangle^{(M+1-s_1)} \\ &+ \sum_{k=2}^{M+1} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{s=k}^{M+1} T^s \sum_{\substack{s_1, \dots, s_k \geq 1 \\ \sum_{l=1}^k s_l = s}} \sum_{\substack{x_1, \dots, x_k \\ e_1, \dots, e_k}} \sum_{\substack{r_1, p'_1, \dots, r_k, p'_k \geq 0 \\ p'_l + r_l = s_l}} \sum_{i=1}^k (2r_i + 2) \times \\ &\times \left( \prod_{l=1}^k c_{r_l} \right) \nabla_{x_0, x_i}^{e_0, e_i} G \Phi((\nabla_{x_i}^{e_i} \phi)^{2r_i+1} F; f_1; \dots; f_{i-1}; f_{i+1}; \dots; f_k) \langle \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \prod_{l=1}^k g_l \rangle^{(M+1-s)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we symmetrized the role of index 1 in the last line. Re-grouping the terms with the same values of  $k, s$ , we can rewrite this as

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(\nabla_{x_0}^{e_0} \phi F) \langle \tilde{u}^{\bar{p}} \rangle^{(M+1)} + \sum_{k=1}^{M+1} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{s=k}^{M+1} T^s \sum_{\substack{s_1, \dots, s_k \geq 1 \\ \sum s_l = s}} \sum_{\substack{x_1, \dots, x_k \\ e_1, \dots, e_k}} \sum_{\substack{r_1, p'_1, \dots, r_k, p'_k \geq 0 \\ p'_l + r_l = s_l}} \langle \tilde{u}^{\bar{p}} \prod_{l=1}^k g_l \rangle^{(M+1-s)} \times \\ & \times \left( \prod_{l=1}^k c_{r_l} \right) \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k (2r_i + 2) \nabla_{x_0, x_i}^{e_0, e_i} G \Phi \left( (\nabla_{x_i}^{e_i} \phi)^{2r_i+1} F; f_1; \dots; f_{i-1}; f_{i+1}; \dots; f_k \right) + \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_y \nabla_{x_0}^{e_0} G(\cdot, y) \Phi(\partial_y F; f_1; \dots; f_k) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

But now, it follows from (62) that the term in brackets is simply  $\Phi(\nabla_{x_0}^{e_0} \phi F; f_1; \dots; f_k)$ , which implies (65) (by another look at the extraction formula (32)).

$\mathcal{H}_{M+1, K+1}^1$  part. We first consider  $p \neq 0$ . We can then write  $p = \mathbb{1}_{x_0} + \bar{p}$  with  $\bar{p}$  odd,  $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ . Using Lemma 5.1 with  $\gamma = 2(d-1)(M+1)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \langle \phi^p \tilde{u}^{\bar{p}} \rangle - \sum_y G(x_0, y) \langle \partial_y \phi^{\bar{p}} \tilde{u}^{\bar{p}} \rangle \right. \\ & \left. - \sum_{k=1}^{M+1} T^k \sum_{x_1, e_1} \nabla_{x_1}^{e_1} G^{m_\gamma}(x_0, \cdot) \sum_{r_1 + p'_1 = k} c'_{r_1} \langle (\nabla_{x_1}^{e_1} \phi)^{2r_1+1} \phi^{\bar{p}} \tilde{u}^{\bar{p}} g_1 \rangle \right| \leq CT^{M+2-\epsilon} \end{aligned}$$

with  $C = C(K+1, M+1, \epsilon)$ , and  $m_\gamma = T^\gamma = T^{2(d-1)(M+1)}$ . We can then use  $\mathcal{H}_{M, \infty}^2$  to obtain that for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \langle (\nabla_{x_1}^{e_1} \phi)^{2r_1+1} \phi^{\bar{p}} \tilde{u}^{\bar{p}} g_1 \rangle - \langle (\nabla_{x_1}^{e_1} \phi)^{2r_1+1} \phi^{\bar{p}} \tilde{u}^{\bar{p}} g_1 \rangle^{(M+1-k)} \right| \leq \\ & \leq C' T^{\gamma+M+1} + T^{M+2-k-\epsilon/2} C' \sum_{y \in \text{supp}_{\bar{p}}} \frac{\log^{M+3-k}(1 + |y - x_1|)}{1 + |y - x_1|}, \end{aligned}$$

with  $C' = C'(K+1, M+1, \epsilon)$ , and use  $\mathcal{H}_{M+1, K}^1$  to obtain

$$\left| \langle \partial_y \phi^{\bar{p}} \tilde{u}^{\bar{p}} \rangle - \langle \partial_y \phi^{\bar{p}} \tilde{u}^{\bar{p}} \rangle^{(M+1)} \right| \leq C'' T^{M+2-\epsilon},$$

with  $C'' = C''(K+1, M+1, \epsilon)$ . Using these and (79), (81), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \langle \phi^p \tilde{u}^{\bar{p}} \rangle - \sum_y G(x_0, y) \langle \partial_y \phi^{\bar{p}} \tilde{u}^{\bar{p}} \rangle^{(M+1)} \right. \\ & \left. - \sum_{k=1}^{M+1} T^k \sum_{x_1, e_1} \nabla_{x_1}^{e_1} G^{m_\gamma}(x_0, \cdot) \sum_{r_1 + p'_1 = k} c'_{r_1} \langle (\nabla_{x_1}^{e_1} \phi)^{2r_1+1} \phi^{\bar{p}} \tilde{u}^{\bar{p}} g_1 \rangle^{(M+1-k)} \right| \leq C''' T^{M+2-\epsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

with  $C''' = C'''(K+1, M+1, \epsilon)$ . We can then use the decay

$$\left| \langle (\nabla_{x_1}^{e_1} \phi)^{2r_1+1} \phi^{\bar{p}} \tilde{u}^{\bar{p}} g_1 \rangle^{(M+1-k)} \right| \leq \sum_{y \in \text{supp}_{\bar{p}}} \frac{c}{(1 + |x_1 - y|)^{d-5/4}}$$

from Lemma 3.2, combined with

$$\left| \nabla_{x_1}^{e_1} G^{m_\gamma}(x_0, \cdot) - \nabla_{x_1}^{e_1} G(x_0, \cdot) \right| \leq cm_\gamma^{1/(2d-2)} (1 + |x_0 - x_1|)^{-d+3/2}$$

(which follows from (78), the decay bound of Theorem C.1, and Lemma C.3), and with Lemma C.4, to replace the massive propagator  $\nabla_{x_1}^{e_1} G^{m_\gamma}$  by its non-massive version,  $\nabla_{x_1}^{e_1} G$ , up to an error smaller than  $c'Tm_\gamma^{1/(2d-2)} = c'T^{M+2}$ . The equality

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_y G(x_0, y) \langle \partial_y \phi^{\tilde{p}} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle^{(M+1)} \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^{M+1} T^k \sum_{x_1, e_1} \nabla_{x_1}^{e_1} G(x_0, \cdot) \sum_{r_1+p'_1=k} c'_{r_1} \langle (\nabla_{x_1}^{e_1} \phi)^{2r_1+1} \phi^{\tilde{p}} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} g_1 \rangle^{(M+1-k)} \equiv \langle \phi^{\tilde{p}} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle^{(M+1)} \end{aligned}$$

follows exactly as in the proof of  $\mathcal{H}_{M+1, K+1}^2$ , and concludes the proof of  $\mathcal{H}_{K+1, M+1}^1$  when  $p \neq 0$ . We now turn to the  $p = 0$  case. Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $\tilde{p}^1$  is non-zero and even. Let  $\tilde{q}_x^k = \tilde{p}_x^k$  for  $k = 2, \dots, N-2$  and  $\tilde{q}_x^1 = 0$ . We then use the remaining  $O(N-1)$  symmetry through (18) to obtain

$$\langle \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle = \langle (\tilde{u}^1)^{\tilde{p}^1} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{q}} \rangle = \beta^{\|\tilde{p}^1\|/2} \langle \prod_x (\sqrt{1-T\|\tilde{u}_x\|^2} \sin(\sqrt{T}\phi_x))^{\tilde{p}_x^1} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{q}} \rangle.$$

We now apply Lemma 4.4: letting  $\phi^{\tilde{p}^1} (1 + \sum_{s \geq 1} T^s \sum_{n, \tilde{n}} a_{\tilde{p}^1, s}^{n, \tilde{n}} \phi^n \tilde{u}^{\tilde{n}})$  be the formal low temperature expansion of  $\beta^{\|\tilde{p}^1\|/2} \prod_x (\sqrt{1-T\|\tilde{u}_x\|^2} \sin(\sqrt{T}\phi_x))^{\tilde{p}_x^1}$  obtained via the first two of (45) (cf. with (46)), where the sum over  $n, \tilde{n}$  runs over even tuples in  $\mathfrak{P}, \tilde{\mathfrak{P}}$ , and the coefficients  $a_{\tilde{p}^1, s}^{n, \tilde{n}}$  are non zero only if  $\|n\|_1 + \|\tilde{n}\|_1 = 2s$ , we obtain

$$\left| \langle \tilde{u}^{\tilde{p}} \rangle - \langle \phi^{\tilde{p}^1} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{q}} \rangle - \sum_{s=1}^{M+1} T^s \sum_{n, \tilde{n}} a_{\tilde{p}^1, s}^{n, \tilde{n}} \langle \phi^{n+\tilde{p}^1} \tilde{u}^{\tilde{n}+\tilde{q}} \rangle \right| \leq CT^{M+2},$$

with  $C = C(K, M)$ . The claim then reduces to the  $p \neq 0$  case and to  $\mathcal{H}_{M, \infty}^1$ . This concludes the proof of the validity of  $\mathcal{H}_{M+1, K+1}^1$  and therefore of the Lemma.  $\square$

From Lemma 6.3, the validity of  $\mathcal{H}_{1,0}$  (see Remark 6.2) and of  $\mathcal{H}_{0,\infty}$  (see Remark 6.3), the conclusion of Theorem 6.1 follows. In particular, this implies that (58) holds, for any  $n \geq 0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , and, as remarked after (58), this also implies our main result, Theorem 1.3.

### 6.5. Proof of Corollary 1.5.

*Proof.* It is sufficient to check convergence for finite degree polynomials in  $\sqrt{\beta}(S^1, S^2, \dots, S^{N-1})$ , as they are dense in the continuous local functions; and, by linearity, we can further reduce to finite degree monomials. Therefore, using the change of variables from  $S$  to  $(\phi, \tilde{u})$ , one needs to control

$$\left\langle \prod_x \left( (\sqrt{\beta} \sqrt{1-T\|\tilde{u}_x\|^2} \sin(\sqrt{T}\phi_x))^{\alpha_x^{N-1}} \prod_{k=1}^{N-2} \tilde{u}_x^{\alpha_x^k} \right) \right\rangle,$$

for some  $\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^{N-1} : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$  of finite support. By Lemma 4.4, this is equal to  $\langle \prod_x (\phi_x^{\alpha_x^{N-1}} \prod_{k=1}^{N-2} \tilde{u}_x^{\alpha_x^k}) \rangle + O(T)$ . By Theorem 6.1 this is, in turn, equal to

$$\left\langle \prod_x \left( \phi_x^{\alpha_x^{N-1}} \prod_{k=1}^{N-2} \tilde{u}_x^{\alpha_x^k} \right) \right\rangle^{(0)} + O(T^{1-\epsilon}) = \Phi(\phi^{\alpha^{N-1}}) \left\langle \prod_x \prod_{k=1}^{N-2} \tilde{u}_x^{\alpha_x^k} \right\rangle^{(0)} + O(T^{1-\epsilon}),$$

for any  $\epsilon > 0$ . Using the residual  $O(N-1)$  symmetry (18) and iterating  $(N-2)$  times gives the result.  $\square$

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge financial support of the European Research Council through the ERC CoG UniCoSM, grant agreement n. 724939. A.G. also acknowledges support from MIUR, through the PRIN 2017 project MaQuMA, PRIN201719VMAS01 and thanks GNFM-INdAM Gruppo Nazionale per la Fisica Matematica. S.O. is supported by the Swiss NSF grant 200021\_182237 and is a member of the NCCR SwissMAP. Most of this work was completed while S.O. was supported by an Swiss NSF early PostDoc.Mobility Grant. He thanks the university Roma Tre for its hospitality.

## APPENDIX A. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.2

Recall that

$$\psi(v) \equiv \psi_\beta(v) = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Lambda_L|} \log Z_{L;\beta}(e^{\sum_{x \in \Lambda_L} v \cdot S_x}).$$

By  $O(N)$  invariance,  $\psi(Rv) = \psi(v)$  for any matrix  $R \in O(N)$ ; moreover, if  $\psi$  is differentiable at  $hs$ , for some  $h > 0$  and  $s \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ , then  $\psi$  is differentiable at  $hs'$ , for any  $s' \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ . Recall also that  $v \mapsto \psi(v)$  is a convex function from  $\mathbb{R}^N$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Therefore,  $\psi$  is differentiable almost everywhere. Define

$$D^* = \{h \in [0, \infty) : \psi \text{ is differentiable at } hs, \forall s \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}\},$$

which is (at least) dense in  $[0, \infty)$ . For  $h \in D^*$ , let  $J_{h\hat{e}_N} : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  denote the derivative (gradient) of  $\psi$  at  $h\hat{e}_N$ . Since  $\psi$  is the limit of a sequence of convex differentiable functions, one has that for every  $s \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$ ,

$$J_{h\hat{e}_N}(s) = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Lambda_L|} \frac{Z_{L;\beta}(\sum_{x \in \Lambda_L} S_x \cdot s e^{h \sum_{x \in \Lambda_L} S_x^N})}{Z_{L;\beta}(e^{h \sum_{x \in \Lambda_L} S_x^N})} = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \langle S_0 \cdot s \rangle_{L;\beta,h}.$$

In particular, by the residual  $O(N-1)$  symmetry in the directions orthogonal to  $\hat{e}_N$ ,

$$J_{h\hat{e}_N}(\hat{e}_k) = 0, \quad 1 \leq k < N.$$

A simple consequence of this fact is that, if we define  $m^* := J_{h\hat{e}_N}(\hat{e}_N)$ , then, for any translation invariant Gibbs measure  $\nu$  of the spin  $O(N)$  model at inverse temperature  $\beta$  and magnetic field  $he_N$ ,

$$\nu(S_0 \cdot s) = s^N m^*. \quad (66)$$

The proof is a classical fact, which follows from differentiability of  $\psi$ , see e.g. [5, Proof of Theorem 2.5] for the proof of a similar fact. A slightly more subtle consequence is that

$$\nu(|M_n - \nu(S_0)|) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0, \quad (67)$$

where  $M_n = \frac{1}{(2n+1)^d} \sum_{x \in \{-n, \dots, n\}^d} S_x$ . To prove this, we use the ergodic decomposition of  $\nu$ : there exists a probability measure  $P_\nu$  on the space of ergodic Gibbs measures such that  $\nu(f) = \int dP_\nu(\eta) \eta(f)$  for every  $\nu$ -integrable  $f$  (see [13, Theorem 14.10]). Moreover, by the ergodic theorem, for any  $\eta$  ergodic,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta(|M_n - \eta(S_0)|) = 0$  (see [13, Appendix 14.A]). Now, any  $\eta$  ergodic is in particular translation invariant, therefore, by (66),  $\eta(S_0) = (0, \dots, 0, m^*) = \nu(S_0)$ . So, one has the pointwise convergence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta(|M_n - \nu(S_0)|) = 0$ . To conclude, by Vitali's dominated convergence theorem one has

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(|M_n - \nu(S_0)|) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int dP_\nu(\eta) \eta(|M_n - \nu(S_0)|) = 0.$$

Given (67), the proof of eqs.(5)-(6) follows [11]. Let  $h \in D^*$ , and let  $f : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  be a finitely supported function. Then, set  $C_f = \sum_x f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , and  $f_n(x) = f(x) - \frac{1}{(2n+1)^d} C_f \mathbb{1}_{x \in \{-n, \dots, n\}^d}$ . Since  $\sum_x f_n(x) = 0$ , one can apply (3) and take  $L \rightarrow \infty$  to obtain

$$\langle e^{\sum_x S_x \cdot f_n(x)} \rangle_h \leq e^{\frac{1}{2\beta} (f_n, Gf_n)}.$$

Now, as in [11, Corollary 2.5],  $(f_n, Gf_n) \rightarrow (f, Gf)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  (using the decay of the Green function in  $d \geq 3$ ). To conclude, observe that  $\sum_x S_x \cdot f_n(x) = \sum_x (S_x - M_n) \cdot f(x)$ . Then, for every integer  $p \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \langle \left( \sum_x S_x \cdot f_n(x) \right)^p \rangle_h - \langle \left( \sum_x (S_x - \langle S_0 \rangle_h) \cdot f(x) \right)^p \rangle_h \right| = \\ & = \left| \sum_{k=1}^p \binom{p}{k} \langle \left( \sum_x (S_x - \langle S_0 \rangle_h) \cdot f(x) \right)^{p-k} \left( (\langle S_0 \rangle_h - M_n) \cdot C_f \right)^k \rangle_h \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^p \binom{p}{k} (2c_f)^{p-k} (2|C_f|)^{k-1} |C_f| \langle |\langle S_0 \rangle_h - M_n| \rangle_h \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_f = \sum_x |f(x)|$ . Now, (67) implies that the last line converges to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ; therefore, the convergence  $\langle e^{\sum_x S_x \cdot f_n(x)} \rangle_h \rightarrow \langle e^{\sum_x (S_x - \langle S_0 \rangle_h) \cdot f(x)} \rangle_h$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  follows. The bound (6) follows from (5) as in [11] (choose  $f(x) = \epsilon \delta_{x,0} \hat{e}_i$ ), expand in  $\epsilon$  at second order, and sum over  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , recalling that  $\sum_{i=1}^N (S_0^i)^2 = 1$ .

## APPENDIX B. UPPER BOUNDS ON CORRELATION FUNCTIONS

This appendix contains the proof of Lemma 5.3. We first derive a bound on the two point function. The log can be removed when  $d \geq 4$  as is clear from the proof.

**Lemma B.1.** *For any  $d \geq 3$ , there exists  $c \geq 0$  such that for any distinct  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , and any  $k = 1, \dots, N$ ,*

$$\langle S_x^k, S_y^k \rangle \leq \frac{c \log(n)}{\beta n} \tag{68}$$

where  $n = \|x - y\|_\infty$ .

*Proof.* By translation invariance, we can reduce to the case  $y = 0$ . Then, by symmetry, one can assume  $\|x\|_\infty = x_1$ . Furthermore, using reflection positivity, one has

$$|\langle f_0 f_x \rangle| = |\langle f_0 \Theta f_{x^\perp} \rangle| \leq \langle f_0 f_{x^\parallel} \rangle^{1/2} \langle f_{x^\perp} f_x \rangle^{1/2},$$

where  $\Theta$  is the reflection through the hyperplane  $\{y : y_1 = x_1/2\}$ ,  $f_x = S_x^k$ ,  $1 \leq k < N$  or  $f_x = S_x^N - \langle S_0^N \rangle$ , and  $x = x^\parallel + x^\perp$ ,  $x^\parallel = (x \cdot e_1) e_1$ . Since, by translation invariance,  $\langle f_{x^\perp} f_x \rangle = \langle f_0 f_{x^\parallel} \rangle$ , the general claim is implied by its restriction to  $x = n e_1$ .

First treat  $k \neq N$ . By symmetry, it is sufficient to prove the result for  $k = 1$ . Set  $g(x) = z e_1 \mathbb{1}_{x \in \{0, \dots, n e_1\}}$ . Use then (5) for this  $g$ . Expand both sides of the inequality, simplify the constant term, divide by  $z^2$  and take  $z \searrow 0$  to obtain

$$\sum_{k,l=0}^n \langle S_{k e_1}^1 S_{l e_1}^1 \rangle \leq \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{k,l=0}^n G(k e_1, l e_1),$$

where  $G(k e_1, l e_1) \leq c/(1 + |k - l|)^{d-2}$ . We can then use the standard fact (following from reflection positivity, see [17] or [15]) that  $\langle f_0 f_{n e_1} \rangle$  is monotonic in  $n$  (for the same

$f$ s as before) to obtain

$$n^2 \langle S_0^1 S_{ne_1}^1 \rangle \leq \frac{c}{\beta} n \sum_{k=0}^n (1+k)^{2-d} \leq \frac{c}{\beta} n \log(n).$$

The proof for  $k = N$  follows exactly the same path but the truncature becomes non-trivial.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 5.3.* To simplify notations, we conduct the proof with infinite volume notation. What we are really doing is to work in finite volume with  $h > 0$  and take limits afterwards. We will use several times that if one conditions on everything but the sign field of  $\phi$ , the latter is distributed according to a ferromagnetic Ising model, denoted  $\sigma$  in what follows, and is therefore coupled to a Random Cluster (RC) model [8]. We denote  $\langle \cdot \rangle'$  the conditional measure of the sign field  $\sigma$ , and  $\Phi'$  the associated Random Cluster measure. We first prove the intermediate bound: there exist  $C < \infty, c > 0$  such that for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  and  $T > 0$ ,

$$\langle \phi_x \phi_y \rangle \leq \frac{C \log(1 + |x - y|)}{1 + |x - y|} + C e^{-c\beta}. \quad (69)$$

Indeed, introducing the event  $B = \{|\theta_x| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\} \cap \{|\theta_y| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\} \cap \{\|u_x\| \leq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\} \cap \{\|u_y\| \leq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\}$ , one has (using the fact that, on  $B$ ,  $\frac{1}{\rho_x \rho_y} \leq 4$ , and  $\frac{|\theta_x \theta_y|}{|\sin \theta_x \sin \theta_y|} \leq \pi^2/4$ )

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \langle \phi_x \phi_y \rangle &= \beta \langle \mathbf{1}_B |\theta_x \theta_y| \langle \sigma_x \sigma_y \rangle' \rangle + \beta \langle \mathbf{1}_{B^c} |\theta_x \theta_y| \langle \sigma_x \sigma_y \rangle' \rangle \\ &\leq \pi^2 \beta \langle \mathbf{1}_B \rho_x \rho_y |\sin \theta_x \sin \theta_y| \langle \sigma_x \sigma_y \rangle' \rangle + \pi^2 \beta \mu(B^c) \\ &\leq \pi^2 \beta \left( \langle S_x^{N-1} S_y^{N-1} \rangle + \beta \mu(B^c) \right) \end{aligned}$$

To conclude, apply Lemma B.1 and observe that

$$\mu(B^c) \leq 2\mu(|\theta_0| > \pi/2) + 2\mu(\|u_0\| > \sqrt{3}/2) \leq C e^{-c\beta}$$

by a union bound and an application of eqs.(37)-(38). Before we turn to the proof of our main claim, let us also observe the following: define

$$\tilde{C}_K = \sup_{0 < T < T_0} \sup_{\substack{p, p', \mathcal{F} \\ \|p\|_1 + p' \leq K}} \langle |\phi^p \mathcal{F}| \rangle \quad (70)$$

where the second sup is over  $p : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $p' \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  monomial in  $\tilde{u}_x^k$  with degree at most  $2p'$ . By Theorem 4.3 and Hölder's inequality,  $\tilde{C}_K < \infty$ .

Let us now turn to the proof of (47). Let  $A = \{x : p_x \text{ is odd}\}$  and  $B = \{x : q_x \text{ is odd}\}$ . Note that, since  $\sum_x p_x$  and  $\sum_x q_x$  are odd,  $|A|$  and  $|B|$  are odd as well. Using the RC representation of the Ising model associated with the  $\sigma$  variables discussed above, one has

$$|\langle \mathcal{F} \phi^p \phi^q \rangle| \leq \langle |\mathcal{F} \phi^p \phi^q| \langle \sigma_A \sigma_B \rangle' \rangle \quad (71)$$

Let now  $\mathcal{E}_D$  be the percolation event “each cluster contains an even (possibly 0) number of sites of  $D$ ”. Since  $|A|$  and  $|B|$  are odd,  $\mathcal{E}_{A \cup B}$  implies the existence of  $x \in A, y \in B$  such that  $x$  and  $y$  are in the same connected component, denoted  $x \leftrightarrow y$ . One has

$$\langle \sigma_A \sigma_B \rangle' = \Phi'(\mathcal{E}_{A \cup B}) \leq \sum_{x \in A} \sum_{y \in B} \Phi'(x \leftrightarrow y) = \sum_{x \in A} \sum_{y \in B} \langle \sigma_x \sigma_y \rangle'.$$

Plugging this bound in (71), one obtains

$$|\langle \mathcal{F} \phi^p \phi^q \rangle| \leq \sum_{x \in A} \sum_{y \in B} \langle |\mathcal{F} \phi^{p-\delta_x} \phi^{q-\delta_y}| \phi_x \phi_y \rangle. \quad (72)$$

We then partition on whether  $|\mathcal{F}\phi^{p-\delta_x}\phi^{q-\delta_y}| \leq \beta^\epsilon$  or not, and use Cauchy-Schwartz to obtain

$$\langle |\mathcal{F}\phi^{p-\delta_x}\phi^{q-\delta_y}| \phi_x \phi_y \rangle \leq \beta^\epsilon \langle \phi_x \phi_y \rangle + \langle |\mathcal{F}\phi^p \phi^q|^2 \rangle^{1/2} \mu(|\mathcal{F}\phi^{p-\delta_x}\phi^{q-\delta_y}| > \beta^\epsilon)^{1/2} \quad (73)$$

$$\leq \beta^\epsilon \langle \phi_x \phi_y \rangle + (\tilde{C}_{2K_0})^{1/2} \left( \frac{\tilde{C}_{K_0 \lceil 2\alpha/\epsilon \rceil}}{\beta^{2\alpha}} \right)^{1/2} \quad (74)$$

where we used the definition (70), we let  $K_0 = \|p\|_1 + \|q\|_1 + p'$ , and in the last line we used Markov's inequality. Applying the upper bound on correlation (69) in this last estimate and plugging the resulting bound in (72) and in (75), we obtain (47). For (48), letting  $C' = \bigcup_{(x,e): q_{x,e} \neq 0} \{x, x+e\}$ , we expand

$$(\nabla \phi)^{q'} = \sum_{C \subset C'} \sum_{\substack{q: C \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+ \\ \|q\|_1 \leq \|q'\|_1}} c_{q'}(q) \phi^q$$

for appropriate coefficients  $c_{q'}(q)$ . We can then write

$$\langle \mathcal{F}\phi^p (\nabla \phi)^{q'} \rangle = \sum_{C \subset C'} \sum_{\substack{q: C \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+ \\ \|q\|_1 \leq \|q'\|_1}} c_{q'}(q) \langle \mathcal{F}\phi^p \phi^q \rangle. \quad (75)$$

Now, by symmetry,  $\langle \mathcal{F}\phi^p \phi^q \rangle$  is 0 if  $\sum_{x \in C} q_x$  is even. In particular, defining  $C_q = \{x \in C : q_x \text{ odd}\}$ ,  $|C_q|$  is odd. Then we bound  $\langle \mathcal{F}\phi^p \phi^q \rangle$  as in eqs.(71) to (73) and, using (69), we obtain (48), as desired.  $\square$

## APPENDIX C. GAUSSIAN ESTIMATES

**C.1. Correlations and massive correlations.** Let us first state and prove the following standard bounds on the massive lattice Green's function.

**Theorem C.1.** *For  $d \geq 3$ , there exists  $c = c(d)$  such that for any  $m \geq 0$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,  $e, e' \in B$ ,*

$$0 \leq G_{0x}^m \leq \frac{c}{(1+|x|)^{d-2}}, \quad |\nabla_x^e G^m(0, \cdot)| \leq \frac{c}{(1+|x|)^{d-1}}, \quad |\nabla_{0,x}^{e',e} G^m| \leq \frac{c}{(1+|x|)^d}.$$

*Proof.* We use the following integral representation of  $G^m$  (see, for example, [16]):

$$G^m(0, x) = \int_0^\infty dt e^{-(m^2+2d)t} \prod_{k=1}^d I_{x_k}(2t), \quad (76)$$

where  $I_\nu(t)$  is the modified Bessel function of the first kind. We shall use that  $I_\nu(t) \geq 0$ ,  $I_{-\nu}(t) = I_\nu(t)$ , and  $I_\nu(t) \geq I_{\nu+1}(t)$  for  $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$  [18]. The asymptotic  $I_\nu(t) \sim O((2\pi t)^{-1/2} e^t)$  valid for large real argument makes the integral well defined at  $m = 0$  for any  $d \geq 3$ .

The claim at  $m = 0$  is classical and proven, for example, in [14]. The integral representation (76) together with the monotonicity of  $I_\nu(t)$  in  $\nu$  implies  $G_{0x}^m$ ,  $|\nabla_x^e G^m(0, \cdot)|$ , and  $|\nabla_{0,x}^{e',e} G^m|$  when  $e \cdot e' = 0$  are monotone decreasing in  $m$ ; therefore, in these cases, the bound for  $m = 0$  implies the one for  $m \neq 0$ . By translation invariance, we are then left with bounding  $|\nabla_{0,x}^{e',e} G^m|$  for  $e = e'$ ; we can further restrict to  $e = e_1$  by symmetry. Consider first  $x_1 = 0$ . Then, using (76),

$$|\nabla_{0,x}^{e_1, e_1} G^m| = 2 \int_0^\infty dt e^{-(m^2+d)t} (I_0(t) - I_1(t)) \prod_{k=2}^d I_{x_k}(t),$$

which is again decreasing in  $m$ . We then consider  $x_1 \geq 1$  (which will cover all leftover cases by symmetry). W.l.o.g., we can suppose  $x_2, \dots, x_d \geq 0$  and  $x_2 = \max(x_2, \dots, x_d)$ . We first treat  $x_1 \geq x_2$ . Using the reflection positivity of the Gaussian measure  $\Phi_m$ , and denoting by  $\Theta$  the reflection through the hyperplane  $\{y : y_2 = \lfloor x_1/2 \rfloor - y_1\}$  (which passes through sites of  $\Lambda$  and is tilted by an angle  $\pi/4$  w.r.t. the  $i$ -th coordinate axis,  $i > 2$ ), we find:

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_{0,x}^{e_1, e_1} G^m| &= |\Phi_m(\nabla_0^{e_1} \phi \nabla_x^{e_1} \phi)| = |\Phi_m(\nabla_0^{e_1} \phi \Theta \nabla_{\bar{x}}^{-e_2} \phi)| \leq \\ &\leq \Phi_m(\nabla_0^{e_1} \phi \Theta \nabla_0^{e_1} \phi_0)^{1/2} \Phi_m(\nabla_{\bar{x}}^{-e_2} \phi \Theta \nabla_{\bar{x}}^{-e_2} \phi)^{1/2} = \Phi_m(\nabla_0^{e_1} \phi \nabla_{\bar{x}}^{-e_2} \phi)^{1/2} \Phi_m(\nabla_{\bar{x}}^{-e_2} \phi \nabla_x^{e_1} \phi)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{x} = (\lfloor x_1/2 \rfloor - x_2, \lfloor x_1/2 \rfloor - x_1, x_3, \dots, x_d)$  and  $\tilde{x} = (\lfloor x_1/2 \rfloor, \lfloor x_1/2 \rfloor, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Now, as  $e \cdot e_2 = 0$ ,  $\|\tilde{x}\| \geq cx_1 \geq cd^{-1/2}\|x\|$ , and  $\|\bar{x} - x\| \geq c'x_1 \geq c'd^{-1/2}\|x\|$ , we can apply the previously obtained bounds to get the result. The case  $x_2 > x_1$  is treated similarly using a reflection through  $\{y : y_2 = \lfloor x_2/2 \rfloor - y_1\}$ .  $\square$

We next gather the following estimates on the sum of  $G^m$  and of their derivatives, as well as on the difference between  $G^m$  and  $G^0$ , which are used systematically in the proof of our main result.

**Theorem C.2.** *There exists  $c < \infty$  such that for any  $0 < m \leq 1$ ,*

(1)

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} G^m(0, x) = \frac{1}{m^2} \quad (77)$$

(2) For any  $x$

$$|G^m(0, x) - G(0, x)| \leq cm. \quad (78)$$

(3) for  $e \in B$ ,

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\Phi_m(\phi_0(\phi_x - \phi_{x+e}))| \leq cm^{-1}. \quad (79)$$

(4) for  $e, e' \in B$ ,

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\Phi_m((\phi_0 - \phi_e)(\phi_x - \phi_{x+e'}))| \leq c|\log(m)|. \quad (80)$$

(5) For  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , there exists  $C < \infty$  such that for any  $y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} G^m(0, x)(1 + |x - y|)^{-\alpha} &\leq Cm^{-2+\alpha}, \\ \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\Phi_m(\phi_0(\phi_x - \phi_{x+e}))|(1 + |x - y|)^{-\alpha} &\leq Cm^{-1+\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

(6) For every  $k \geq 0$  there exists  $C < \infty$  such that for any  $y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\Phi_m((\phi_0 - \phi_{e'})(\phi_x - \phi_{x+e}))| \frac{\log^k(1 + |x - y|)}{1 + |x - y|} \leq C \frac{\log^{k+1}(1 + |y|)}{(1 + |y|)}. \quad (82)$$

*Proof.* All estimates are proved in [7], except for the last one. More precisely, for (77), see [7, Prop.A1(a)]; for (78), see [7, Prop.A5]; for (79), see [7, Prop.A1(b)]; for (80), see [7, Prop.A1(d)]; for (81) see [7, Prop.A1(e)]. We are left with proving (82): using Theorem C.1, we have the upper bound

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{c}{(1 + |x|)^d} \frac{\log^k(1 + |x - y|)}{1 + |x - y|} = \sum_{x: |x| \leq |y|/2} \cdot + \sum_{x: |x-y| < |y|/2} \cdot + \sum_{x: |x| > |y|/2, |x-y| \geq |y|/2} \cdot$$



In the first sum, one has  $|y|/2 \leq |x - y| \leq 3|y|/2$ , which allows us to bound from above the first term by  $C' \frac{\log^k(1+|y|)}{1+|y|} \sum_{|x| \leq |y|/2} (1+|x|)^{-d} \leq C' \frac{\log^k(1+|y|)}{1+|y|} \log(1+|y|)$ . In the same fashion, in the second sum,  $|x| > |y|/2$  so the second term is bounded from above by  $C' \frac{\log^k(1+|y|)}{(1+|y|)^d} \sum_{|x-y| < |y|/2} (1+|x-y|)^{-1} \leq C' \frac{\log^k(1+|y|)}{1+|y|}$ . In the last case, using Hölder's inequality, we see that the third sum is bounded from above by

$$C' \left( \sum_{|x| > |y|/2} \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^{d+1}} \right)^{\frac{d}{d+1}} \left( \sum_{|x-y| \geq |y|/2} \frac{\log^{k(d+1)}(1+|x-y|)}{(1+|x-y|)^{d+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{d+1}} \leq C' \frac{\log^k(1+|y|)}{1+|y|}.$$

This proves the claim ( $C$  depends on  $k, d$ ).  $\square$

In order to compare massive and massless propagators, we use Theorem C.1 in combination with eq.(78) and the following Lemma.

**Lemma C.3.** *Suppose  $f_m(x)$  is such that*

- $\sup_{m \geq 0} |f_m(x)| \leq C(1+|x|)^{-\gamma}$ ,
- $|f_m(x) - f_0(x)| \leq Cm$ ,

for some  $C, \gamma > 0$ . Then, for any  $0 < \gamma' < \gamma$ , there exists  $C' > 0$  such that

$$|f_m(x) - f_0(x)| \leq C' m^{1-\gamma'/\gamma} (1+|x|)^{-\gamma'}. \quad (83)$$

*Proof.* One has  $|f_m(x) - f_0(x)| \leq |f_m(x) - f_0(x)|^{1-\gamma'/\gamma} (2 \sup_{m \geq 0} |f_m(x)|)^{\gamma'/\gamma}$ . From this, the two assumptions readily imply (83).  $\square$

We conclude this subsection with a simple lemma that we used in many instances.

**Lemma C.4.** *Let  $a, b > 0$  be such that  $a + b > d$ . Then, there exists  $C = C(a, b)$  such that for any  $u \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,*

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (1+|x|)^{-a} (1+|x-u|)^{-b} \leq C \frac{\log(1+|u|)}{(1+|u|)^{a+b-d}}.$$

*Proof.* Separate the sum over  $x$  into  $\sum_{|x| \leq |u|/2} + \sum_{|x-u| < |u|/2} + \sum_{\substack{|x| > |u|/2 \\ |x-u| \geq |u|/2}}$ . In the first sum, one has  $|x-u| \geq |u|/2$ , which allows us to bound from above the first term by  $C \frac{\log(1+|u|)}{(1+|u|)^{a+b-d}}$  (the log only appears if  $a = d$ ). In the same fashion, in the second sum,  $|x| > |u|/2$  so the second term is bounded from above by  $C \frac{\log(1+|u|)}{(1+|u|)^{a-d+b}}$  (the log only appears if  $b = d$ ). In the last case, one has  $|x| \leq |x-u| + |u| \leq 3|x-u|$ . So, the last sum is bounded from above by  $C \sum_{|x| > |u|/2} (1+|x|)^{-a-b} \leq C(1+|u|)^{d-a-b}$ .  $\square$

**C.2. Gaussian connected correlations.** Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  and denote by  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  the corresponding multi-set, i.e., the collection of the elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  counted with their multiplicities, in the case that a given site of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  appears more than once in the list. One has the Wick formula

$$\Phi(\phi_A) = \sum_{\pi \in \text{Pairings}(A)} \prod_{\{a_1, a_2\} \in \pi} \Phi(\phi_{a_1} \phi_{a_2}), \quad (84)$$

where  $\phi_A = \prod_{a \in A} \phi_a$ , and  $\text{Pairings}(A)$  is the set of partitions of  $A$  into two-elements classes. Moreover, from (25) one also has the corresponding formula for connected correlations: let  $A_i = \{a_1^i, \dots, a_{k_i}^i\}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , be multi-sets of sites. Then,

$$\Phi(\phi_{A_1}; \dots; \phi_{A_n}) = \sum_{\pi \in \text{Pairings}^c(A_1, \dots, A_n)} \prod_{\{a_1, a_2\} \in \pi} \Phi(\phi_{a_1} \phi_{a_2}), \quad (85)$$




FIGURE 1.  $n = 4$ ,  $r_1 = r_2 = r_3 = 1$ ,  $r_4 = 2$ . Left: the “vertices” with the outgoing half-edges. Right: a possible pairing of the elements with  $0, 0'$  not merged.

where  $\text{Pairings}^c(A_1, \dots, A_n)$  is the subset of  $\text{Pairings}(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n)$  consisting of the pairings  $\pi$  such that the graph with vertex set  $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$  and edge set  $F_\pi = \{\{A_i, A_j\} : \exists a \in A_i, b \in A_j \text{ such that } \{a, b\} \in \pi\}$  is connected. The same formulas extend (by linearity) to the case  $A_i = A_i^v \sqcup A_i^e$  with  $A_i^v$  a multi-set of sites and  $A_i^e$  a multi-set of edges (elements of  $\mathbb{E}_d$ ) and  $\phi_{A_i}$  is replaced by  $\phi_{A_i^v}(\nabla\phi)_{A_i^e}$ .

**Lemma C.5.** *Let  $K, n \geq 0$  be integers. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then, there exists  $C = C(n, K, \epsilon)$  such that for any  $p, p' : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$  odd, any  $q : \mathbb{E}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$  odd, and  $r_1, \dots, r_n > 0$  integers with  $\|p\|_1 + \|p'\|_1 + \|q\|_1 + \sum_{i=1}^n 2r_i \leq K$ ,*

$$\sum_{\substack{x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{Z}^d \\ e_1, \dots, e_n \in \mathbb{B}_+}} |\Phi(\phi^p \phi^{p'}; (\nabla_{x_1}^{e_1} \phi)^{2r_1}; \dots; (\nabla_{x_n}^{e_n} \phi)^{2r_n})| \leq C \sum_{x \in \text{supp}_p} \sum_{y \in \text{supp}_{p'}} \frac{1}{(1 + |x - y|)^{d-2-\epsilon}}, \quad (86)$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{Z}^d \\ e_1, \dots, e_n \in \mathbb{B}_+}} |\Phi(\phi^p (\nabla\phi)^q; (\nabla_{x_1}^{e_1} \phi)^{2r_1}; \dots; (\nabla_{x_n}^{e_n} \phi)^{2r_n})| \leq C \sum_{x \in \text{supp}_p} \sum_{y \in \text{supp}_q} \frac{1}{(1 + |x - y|)^{d-1-\epsilon}}. \quad (87)$$

*Proof.* For  $n = 0$  the summands in the left sides should be interpreted as  $|\Phi(\phi^p \phi^{p'})|$  and  $|\Phi(\phi^p (\nabla\phi)^q)|$ , respectively. In this case, the claim readily follows from C.1 and (84). We therefore suppose  $n \geq 1$ . As the two claims are proved in exactly the same fashion, we prove only the first one and leave the adaptations to get the second to the reader. We will use the identity (85) together with Lemma C.4.

It will be useful to use a graphical language: introduce a set of sites  $\{0, 0', 1, \dots, n\}$  corresponding to  $\phi^p, \phi^{p'}, (\nabla_{x_1}^{e_1} \phi)^{2r_1}, \dots, (\nabla_{x_n}^{e_n} \phi)^{2r_n}$ . Edges will be given by the pairings in (85). See Figure 1 for an illustration with  $n = 4$ .

We consider two cases: first, if there is a pairing  $\{x, y\}$  in  $\pi$  such that  $x \in \text{supp}_p, y \in \text{supp}_{p'}$ , we merge the two vertices  $0, 0'$  into one, to be denoted  $00'$  (see Figure 2). In this case, since all the terms in the connected correlation have even degree, one can extract (for a given pairing  $\pi$ ) two sites  $u, z \in \text{supp}_p \cup \text{supp}_{p'}$ , and a path  $\gamma : 00' \rightarrow 00'$  passing through every site in  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , of length  $|\gamma| \leq K + 1$  (see Figure 2) such that the contribution to the total weight of  $\pi$  coming from the pair  $x, y$  is  $\Phi(\phi_x \phi_y)$  while the contribution coming from  $\gamma$  is

$$\Phi(\phi_u \nabla_{x_{\gamma_1}}^{e_{\gamma_1}} \phi) \left( \prod_{k=1}^{M-2} \Phi(\nabla_{x_{\gamma_k}}^{e_{\gamma_k}} \phi \nabla_{x_{\gamma_{k+1}}}^{e_{\gamma_{k+1}}} \phi) \right) \Phi(\phi_z \nabla_{x_{\gamma_{M-1}}}^{e_{\gamma_{M-1}}} \phi)$$




FIGURE 2.  $n = 4$ ,  $r_1 = r_2 = r_3 = 1$ ,  $r_4 = 2$ . Left: a possible pairing of the elements with  $0, 0'$  merged. Right: a path extraction.

(here  $\gamma$  is a tuple  $\gamma = (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_M)$ , with  $\gamma_0 = \gamma_M = 00'$ ,  $\gamma_i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, M-1$ , such that the multi-set  $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{M-1}\}$  contains  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ ). Moreover, the contribution of the other pairs is bounded from above by  $c^K$  for some universal  $c < \infty$ . Therefore, in the case  $0, 0'$  are merged, we have the following upper bound on our target:

$$c^K \sum_{\substack{x \in \text{supp}_p \\ y \in \text{supp}_{p'}}} \Phi(\phi_x \phi_y) \sum_{u, z \in \text{supp}_{p+p'}} \sum_{\gamma: u \rightarrow z} \sum_{\substack{x_1, \dots, x_n \\ e_1, \dots, e_n}} \left| \Phi(\phi_u \nabla_{x_{\gamma_1}}^{e_{\gamma_1}} \phi) \Phi(\phi_z \nabla_{x_{\gamma_{M-1}}}^{e_{\gamma_{M-1}}} \phi) \prod_{k=1}^{M-2} \Phi(\nabla_{x_{\gamma_k}}^{e_{\gamma_k}} \phi \nabla_{x_{\gamma_{k+1}}}^{e_{\gamma_{k+1}}} \phi) \right|,$$

where the sum is over  $\gamma$  as described previously. The contribution of a fixed  $\gamma$  is then bounded from above by

$$\sum_{\substack{x_1, \dots, x_{M-1} \\ e_1, \dots, e_{M-1}}} \left| \Phi(\phi_u \nabla_{x_1}^{e_1} \phi) \Phi(\phi_z \nabla_{x_{M-1}}^{e_{M-1}} \phi) \prod_{k=1}^{M-2} \Phi(\nabla_{x_k}^{e_k} \phi \nabla_{x_{k+1}}^{e_{k+1}} \phi) \right| \leq \\ \leq C^M \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_{M-1}} (|u - x_1| + 1)^{1-d} (|z - x_{M-1}| + 1)^{1-d} \prod_{k=1}^{M-2} (|x_k - x_{k+1}| + 1)^{-d}.$$

Repeated use of Lemma C.4 gives the summability of the infinite sum, implying the claim (in the case that  $0, 0'$  are merged), since the combinatorial factor coming from the sum over  $\gamma$  depends only on  $n, K$ .

We next turn to the case where there is no pairing in  $\pi$  linking  $0$  to  $0'$  (such as in Figure 1). In this case one can find  $x \in \text{supp}_p$ ,  $y \in \text{supp}_{p'}$  and  $\gamma: 0 \rightarrow 0$  passing at least once through every sites of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  and with  $|\gamma| \leq K+1$ . The contribution to the total weight of  $\pi$  from such a path is

$$\Phi(\phi_x \nabla_{x_{\gamma_1}}^{e_{\gamma_1}} \phi) \Phi(\phi_y \nabla_{x_{\gamma_{M-1}}}^{e_{\gamma_{M-1}}} \phi) \prod_{k=1}^{M-2} \Phi(\nabla_{x_{\gamma_k}}^{e_{\gamma_k}} \phi \nabla_{x_{\gamma_{k+1}}}^{e_{\gamma_{k+1}}} \phi).$$

up to additional factors from the other pairs, bounded from above by  $c^K$  for some universal  $c < \infty$ . Proceeding as before, we obtain the following upper bound on the target quantity:

$$c^K \sum_{\substack{x \in \text{supp}_p \\ y \in \text{supp}_{p'}}} \sum_{\gamma: x \rightarrow y} \sum_{\substack{x_1, \dots, x_n \\ e_1, \dots, e_n}} \left| \Phi(\phi_x \nabla_{x_{\gamma_1}}^{e_{\gamma_1}} \phi) \Phi(\phi_y \nabla_{x_{\gamma_{M-1}}}^{e_{\gamma_{M-1}}} \phi) \prod_{k=1}^{M-2} \Phi(\nabla_{x_{\gamma_k}}^{e_{\gamma_k}} \phi \nabla_{x_{\gamma_{k+1}}}^{e_{\gamma_{k+1}}} \phi) \right|.$$

The contribution of a fixed path  $\gamma$  is then bounded from above as before by  $C^M$  times

$$\sum_{x_1, \dots, x_{M-1}} (|x - x_1| + 1)^{1-d} (|y - x_{M-1}| + 1)^{1-d} \prod_{k=1}^{M-2} (|x_k - x_{k+1}| + 1)^{-d}.$$

Once again, repeated use of Lemma C.4 gives the summability of the infinite sum, implying the claim in the case that there is no pairing in  $\pi$  linking 0 to 0'. This concludes the proof.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. Balaban. A low temperature expansion for classical N-vector models. I. A renormalization group flow. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 167(1):103–154, January 1995.
- [2] T. Balaban. A low temperature expansion for classical N-vector models. II. Renormalization group equations. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 182(3):675–721, December 1996.
- [3] T. Balaban. A Low Temperature Expansion for Classical N-Vector Models III. A Complete Inductive Description, Fluctuation Integrals. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 196(3):485–521, September 1998.
- [4] T. Balaban and M. O’Carroll. Low Temperature Properties for Correlation Functions in Classical N-Vector Spin Models. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 199(3):493–520, January 1999.
- [5] M. Biskup. *Reflection positivity and phase transitions in lattice spin models*, volume 1970 of *Methods of Contemporary Mathematical Statistical Physics, Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2009.
- [6] J. Bricmont, J.-R. Fontaine, J. L. Lebowitz, E. H. Lieb, and T. Spencer. Lattice systems with a continuous symmetry. III. Low temperature asymptotic expansion for the plane rotator model. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 78(4):545–566, 1980.
- [7] J. Bricmont, J.-R. Fontaine, J. L. Lebowitz, and T. Spencer. Lattice systems with a continuous symmetry. I. Perturbation theory for unbounded spins. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 78(2):281–302, 1980.
- [8] C.M. Fortuin and Kastelein P.W. On the random-cluster model: I. introduction and relation to other models. *Physica*, 57(4):536–564, 1972.
- [9] J. Fröhlich and P.-F. Rodriguez. Some applications of the Lee-Yang theorem. *J. Math. Phys.*, 53:1–15, 2012.
- [10] J. Fröhlich and P.-F. Rodriguez. On cluster properties of classical ferromagnets in an external magnetic field. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 166:828–840, 2017.
- [11] J. Fröhlich, B. Simon, and Thomas Spencer. Infrared bounds, phase transitions and continuous symmetry breaking. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 50:79–95, 1976.
- [12] J. Fröhlich, R. B. Israel, E. H. Lieb, and B. Simon. Phase transitions and reflection positivity. i. general theory and long range lattice models. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 62(1):1–34, 1978.
- [13] H.-O. Georgii. *Gibbs Measures and Phase Transitions*. De Gruyter, 2011.
- [14] G. F. Lawler and V. Limic. *Random walk: a modern introduction*, volume 123 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [15] B. Lees and L. Taggi. Site monotonicity and uniform positivity for interacting random walks and the spin O(N) model with arbitrary N. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, Dec 2019.
- [16] E. Michta and G. Slade. Asymptotic behaviour of the lattice green function. *arXiv:2101.04717*, 2021.
- [17] E. Seiler. *Gauge Theories as a Problem of Constructive Quantum Field Theory and Statistical Mechanics*, volume 159 of *Lecture Notes in Physics*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1982.
- [18] R.P. Soni. On an inequality for modified Bessel functions. *Journal of Mathematics and Physics*, 44:406–407, 1965.

LOW TEMPERATURE ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION FOR CLASSICAL  $O(N)$  VECTOR MODELS<sup>37</sup>

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E FISICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI ROMA TRE, L.GO S. L. MURIALDO 1, 00146 ROMA, ITALY &, CENTRO LINCEO INTERDISCIPLINARE *Beniamino Segre*, ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI, VIA DELLA LUNGARA 10, 00165 ROMA, ITALY

*Email address:* [alessandro.giuliani@uniroma3.it](mailto:alessandro.giuliani@uniroma3.it)

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE FRIBOURG, CHEMIN DU MUSÉE 23, 1700 FRIBOURG, SWITZERLAND

*Email address:* [ott.sebast@gmail.com](mailto:ott.sebast@gmail.com)