

TOPOLOGICAL COMPLEXITY OF KHALIMSKY CIRCLES

RYUSEI YOSHISE

ABSTRACT. We determine topological complexity of a series of finite spaces which is weakly homotopy equivalent to a circle S^1 , and give a finite space X satisfying the inequality $\text{tc}(X) < \text{cat}(X \times X)$. This answers two conjectures on topological complexity for finite spaces raised by K. Tanaka in [Tan18].

The topological complexity was first introduced by M. Farber in [Far03] as a numerical homotopy invariant in a relation with the robot motion planning problem. It attracts many authors such as Mark Grant, Jesus González, Don Davis, Lucil Vandembroucq, Alexander Dranishnikov, Norio Iwase and etc. Recently, a number of authors defined versions of topological complexity for a discrete or a finite space. For example, a combinatorial complexity is introduced by K. Tanaka [Tan18], a discrete topological complexity is introduced by D. Fernández-Ternero, E. Macías-Virgós, E. Minuz, and J. A. Vilches [FTMVMV18] and a simplicial complexity is introduced by J. González [Gon18].

Topological complexity of a space X is defined as follows: for a space X , let PX be the path space on X , or more precisely, all continuous maps from the unit interval $[0, 1]$ to X , which is equipped with a projection $\pi : PX \rightarrow X \times X$ given by $\pi(\gamma) = (\gamma(0), \gamma(1))$. The topological complexity $\text{tc}(X)$ of a space X is the minimal number $m \geq 0$ such that $X \times X$ is covered by $m+1$ open subsets each on which there is a section to π , i.e., section-categorical (cf. James [Jam95]). Let $\pi_0 : LX \rightarrow X$ be the restriction of π to $\{*\} \times X \approx X$. Then we obtain a similar but a more classical invariant called L-S category $\text{cat } X$, which is the minimal number $m \geq 0$ such that X is covered by $m+1$ open subsets on which there is a section to π_0 . Since LX is contractible, each open set of the covering is contractible in X , in other words, categorical. Then the following inequality is well known:

$$\text{cat}(X) \leq \text{tc}(X) \leq \text{cat}(X \times X) \leq (\text{cat}(X)+1)^2 - 1.$$

Date: February 14, 2023.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary:55M30, Secondary:06A07,54H30,55P10.

Key words and phrases. finite space, topological complexity, digital topology.

In this paper, we consider the topological complexity and the L-S category of a finite space, though it is completely determined by the combinatorial structures.

Let us denote by \mathbb{S}_n^1 the Khalimsky circle of $2n$ -points, for $n \geq 2$:

$$\mathbb{S}_n^1 = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}; b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}\},$$

whose topology is determined by the open sub-basis $\{a_i, b_i, a_{i+1}\}$ where i runs over \mathbb{Z}/n . Then $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{S}_n^1)$ is a circle complex with n zero-cells and n one-cells. We remark that \mathbb{S}_n^1 is weakly homotopy equivalent with the topological circle S^1 , more precisely, there is a weak homotopy equivalence from $S^1 = |\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{S}_n^1)|$ to \mathbb{S}_n^1 . So, Khalimsky circles are good example to observe the difference between the topological complexity of the realization and that of a finite space.

Recently, Tanaka showed $\text{tc}(\mathbb{S}_2^1) = \text{cat}(\mathbb{S}_2^1 \times \mathbb{S}_2^1) = 3$ and $\text{tc}(\mathbb{S}_3^1) = \text{cat}(\mathbb{S}_3^1 \times \mathbb{S}_3^1) = 2$ in [Tan18], while we know $\text{tc}(S^1) = 1$ and $\text{cat}(S^1 \times S^1) = 2$ for a topological circle S^1 . More recently, S. Kandola showed $\text{cat}(\mathbb{S}_n^1 \times \mathbb{S}_n^1) = 2$ for all $n \geq 3$ in [Kan19]. In [Tan18], K. Tanaka raised two conjectures on the topological complexity of finite spaces.

Conjecture 0.1. (1) [Tan18, Conjecture 4.16] $\text{tc}(\mathbb{S}_{2^k}^1) = 2$ for any $k \geq 1$.

(2) [Tan18, Conjecture 3.11] *There is a finite space X satisfying $\text{tc}(X) < \text{cat}(X \times X)$.*

The purpose of this paper is to answer the above questions. More precisely, we answers negative to (1) and positive to (2) by giving the following result.

Theorem 0.2. *The combinatorial complexity of Khalimsky circle \mathbb{S}_n^1 is given as follows:*

$$\text{tc}(\mathbb{S}_n^1) = \begin{cases} 3, & n = 2, \\ 2, & n = 3, 4, \\ 1, & n \geq 5. \end{cases}$$

1. FINITE T_0 -SPACES

A finite space has a finite T_0 -subspace as its strong deformation retract (see J. A. Barmak [Bar11, Remark 1.3.2] for example). So from a homotopy-theoretical view point, there are no difference between a finite space and a finite T_0 -space. From now on, we work in the category of finite T_0 -spaces and continuous maps between them, unless otherwise stated.

Firstly, P. Alexandroff pointed out the one-to-one correspondence between a finite T_0 -space and a finite poset in [Ale41]: for a given finite T_0 -space X with a family of open sets \mathcal{O} as its topology, we define a relation $\prec_{\mathcal{O}}$ as follows: for $x, y \in X$,

$$x \prec_{\mathcal{O}} y \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \text{for any } U \in \mathcal{O}, y \in U \text{ implies } x \in U.$$

Then $\prec_{\mathcal{O}}$ gives a poset structure on X , since \mathcal{O} is a T_0 -topology. Conversely, for a finite poset P with poset structure \prec , we define a topology \mathcal{O}_{\prec} on P : for $V \subset P$,

$$V \in \mathcal{O}_{\prec} \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} x \in V \text{ iff } x \prec y \text{ for some } y \in V.$$

Then \mathcal{O}_{\prec} is a T_0 -topology on P . So a finite T_0 -space is a finite poset, and vice versa. This implies that a homotopy-theoretical properties of finite spaces are described combinatorially.

Secondly, C. McCord showed a tight connection between a finite poset and a finite abstract simplicial complex (asc for short) in [McC00]: for a finite poset P , we obtain an order complex $\mathcal{K}(P)$ such that $\sigma \in \mathcal{K}(P) \iff \sigma$ is a linearly ordered subset of P . Conversely, for a finite simplicial complex K , we obtain a finite poset $\chi(K) = (K, \prec)$ such that $\tau \prec \sigma \iff \tau \subset \sigma$. We remark that $\mathcal{K}(\chi(K))$ is nothing but the barycentric subdivision of K .

In [McC00], McCord further obtained that, for a finite T_0 -space X which is in fact a poset, there is a weak homotopy equivalence from $|\mathcal{K}(X)|$ to X , and also that, for a finite simplicial complex K , there is a weak homotopy equivalence $|K| \rightarrow \chi(K)$. But unfortunately, it does not imply $\text{tc}(|\mathcal{K}(X)|) = \text{tc}(X)$ nor $\text{cat}(|\mathcal{K}(X)|) = \text{cat}(X)$. For instance, we have $\text{tc}(S^1) = 1 \neq 3 = \text{tc}(\mathbb{S}_2^1)$ and $\text{cat}(S^1 \times S^1) = 2 \neq 3 = \text{cat}(\mathbb{S}_2^1 \times \mathbb{S}_2^1)$.

Even for maps $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ between finite T_0 -spaces, we adopt the usual notation of homotopy, i.e. $f \simeq g$ if and only if there exists a continuous map $H : X \times I \rightarrow Y$ such that $H(x, 0) = f(x)$ and $H(x, 1) = g(x)$. In that case, we say f and g are homotopic as usual.

Theorem 1.1 (Stong). *Let $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ be two maps between finite T_0 -spaces. Then, $f \simeq g$ if and only if there exists a sequence of maps f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n such that*

$$f = f_0 \prec f_1 \succ f_2 \prec \dots f_n = g.$$

Theorem 1.2 (McCord). *Let $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ be two homotopic maps between finite T_0 -spaces. Then, two maps $|\mathcal{K}(f)|, |\mathcal{K}(g)| : |\mathcal{K}(X)| \rightarrow |\mathcal{K}(Y)|$ are homotopic.*

Thirdly, let X be a finite T_0 -space which is naturally a poset. Let us denote by

$$[a, b]_X = \{x \in X | a \prec x \prec b\}, \quad [a, -]_X = \{x \in X | a \prec x\} \text{ and } [-, b]_X = \{x \in X | x \prec b\}.$$

We abbreviate as $[a, b] = [a, b]_X$, $[a, -] = [a, -]_X$ and $[-, b] = [-, b]_X$, if there are no confusion.

Following [Bar11], we say that a point $x \in X$ is an up beat point if $[x, -] \setminus \{x\}$ has a minimum element, and dually that a point $x \in X$ is a down beat point if $[-, x] \setminus \{x\}$ has a maximum element. An up or down beat point is said to simply be a beat point.

Note that, if x is a beat point of X , then $X \setminus \{x\}$ is a strong deformation retract of X .

Definition 1.3. *A finite T_0 -space is said to be minimal, if it has no beat point. A core of a finite space X is a strong deformation retract of X , and is a minimal finite T_0 -space.*

Stong showed the following result on finite spaces.

Theorem 1.4. *A homotopy equivalence between minimal finite spaces is a homeomorphism. In particular the core of a finite space is unique up to homeomorphism, and two finite spaces are homotopy equivalent if and only if they have homeomorphic cores.*

If x is a beat point, we say that there is an *elementary strong collapse* from X to $X \setminus \{x\}$ and write $X \searrow_e X \setminus \{x\}$ or that there is an elementary strong expansion from $X \setminus \{x\}$ to X and write $X \setminus \{x\} \nearrow_e X$. A strong collapse $X \searrow Y$ or a strong expansion $Y \nearrow X$ is a sequence of elementary collapses starting in X and ending in Y . By definition, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $A \subset X$. Then $X \searrow A$ if and only if A is a strong deformation retract of X .*

For an open cover \mathcal{U} of a finite space X , we say that \mathcal{U} is *principal* if for each open set U in \mathcal{U} , there exists some maximal elements m_0, m_1, \dots, m_l in X such that


$$U = \bigcup_i [-, m_i]_X.$$

Theorem 1.6. *Let X be a finite space of $\text{tc}(X) = k$. Then there exists a principal open covering of $X \times X$ consisting of $k + 1$ section-categorical open subsets.*

Proof. For a family of subsets \mathcal{V} of a finite topological space Y , we define

$$\mathcal{V}_M = \{V_M \mid V \in \mathcal{V}\},$$

where $V_M = \bigcup_{m \in M(Y) \cap V} [-, m]$. We remark that V_M is a subset of V if V is open. Then, for any open covering \mathcal{O} of Y , \mathcal{O}_M is also an open covering of Y , and is a subdivision of \mathcal{O} . In our case, we have an open covering $\mathcal{V} = \{V_0, V_1, \dots, V_k\}$ of $X \times X$, since $\text{tc}(X) = k$. Thus $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{V}_M$ gives our desired open covering of $X \times X$ for a finite space X . \square


 FIGURE 1. The poset structure of $\mathbb{S}_k^1 \times \mathbb{S}_k^1$

2. THE PROOF OF $\text{tc}(\mathbb{S}_k^1) = 1$, $k \geq 5$

The following theorem is the key to prove that $\text{tc}(\mathbb{S}_k^1) = 1$, $k \geq 5$, and shall be proved in the appendix.

Theorem 2.1. *For given two distinct maps $f, g : \mathbb{S}_m^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_n^1$, f and g are homotopic if and only if $\deg f = \deg g = d$ for some d with $|d| < m/n$.*

This immediately implies the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. $\text{tc}(\mathbb{S}_k^1) = 1$, $k \geq 5$.

Proof. Let $k \geq 5$. It suffices to show that $\text{TC}(\mathbb{S}_k^1) \leq 1$, since we know $1 = \text{tc}(|\mathbb{S}_k^1|) \leq \text{tc}(\mathbb{S}_k^1)$. From now on, let us denote the elements a_i and b_i in \mathbb{S}_k^1 by just integers $2i+1$ and $2i+2$, respectively. Firstly, we introduce an open set $U \subset \mathbb{S}_k^1 \times \mathbb{S}_k^1$.

$$U = A_0 \cup A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 \cup A_4,$$

where A_i 's are defined as follows.

$$A_0 = \{1, 2, \dots, 2k-1\} \times \{1, 2, 3\}$$

$$A_1 = \{m, m+1, m+2\} \times \{3, 4, \dots, 2k-1\}$$

$$A_2 = \{m+2, m+3, \dots, 2k, 1\} \times \{2k-3, 2k-2, 2k-1\}$$

$$A_3 = \{1, 2, \dots, m-2\} \times \{5, 6, \dots, 2k-1\}$$

$$A_4 = \{1, 2, 3\} \times \{2k-1, 2k, 1\}$$

$$\text{where } m = \begin{cases} k, & k : \text{odd} \\ k+1, & k : \text{even} \end{cases}$$

Let V be the smallest open neighbourhood of $\mathbb{S}_k^1 \times \mathbb{S}_k^1 \setminus U$. We finish the proof by showing that $\pi_1|_U \simeq \pi_2|_U$ and $\pi_1|_V \simeq \pi_2|_V$.

Secondly, for each non-negative integer $i \leq 2k-m-3$, we define a continuous map $f_i : U \rightarrow U$ by

$$f_i(x, y) = \begin{cases} (k_i, y), & (x, y) \in A_0 \cap \{(x, y) \mid k_i \leq x\} \subset U, \\ (l_i, y), & (x, y) \in A_3 \cap \{(x, y) \mid \max\{3, l_i\} \leq x\} \subset U, \\ (x, y), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where $k_i = 2k-1-i$, $l_i = m-2-i$ so that $(k_i, y) \in A_0 \subset U$ if $(x, y) \in A_0$ and $(l_i, y) \in A_3 \subset U$ if $(x, y) \in A_3$. Since A_0 and A_3 are disjoint, so f_i is well-defined.

Then we can easily see that $f_i \prec f_{i+1}$ or $f_i \succ f_{i+1}$, and hence $f_i \simeq f_{i+1}$. Thus we have $1_U = f_0 \simeq f_{2k-m-3}$ and hence we obtain that $U_1 = f_{2k-m-3}(U)$ is a deformation retract of U . Since $k \geq 5$, we have $m+2 \leq k+3 \leq 2k-1$ and $3 \leq k \leq m$, and we can easily see the following equation.

$$C_1 = A'_0 \cup A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A'_3 \cup A_4 \cup A_5$$

where $A'_0 \subset A_0$ and $A'_3 \subset A_3$ are given as follows.

$$A'_0 = \{1, 2, \dots, m+2\} \times \{1, 2, 3\} \subset A_0$$

$$A'_3 = \{1, 2, 3\} \times \{5, 6, \dots, 2k-1\} \subset A_3.$$

Thirdly, for each non-negative integer $0 \leq i \leq 2k-8$, we define a continuous map $g_i : C_1 \rightarrow C_1$ by

$$g_i(x, y) = \begin{cases} (x, 5+i), & (x, y) \in A'_3 \cap \{(x, y) \mid y \leq 5+i\} \subset C_1, \\ (x, y), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $(x, 5+i) \in A'_3 \subset C_1$ provided that $(x, y) \in A'_3$, g_i is well-defined.

Then we can easily see that $g_i \simeq g_{i+1}$. Thus we have $1_{C_1} = g_0 \simeq g_{2k-8}$, and hence we obtain that $C_2 = g_{2k-8}(C_1)$ is a deformation retract of U_1 .

Since $k \geq 5$, we have $5 \leq 2k-3$, and we can easily see the following equation.

$$C_2 = A'_0 \cup A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A''_3 \cup A_4 \cup A_5$$

where $A''_3 \subset A'_3$ are given as follows.

$$A''_3 = \{1, 2, 3\} \times \{2k-3, 2k-2, 2k-1\}$$

Fourthly, we define a continuous map $h_0 : C_2 \rightarrow C_2$ by

$$h_0(x, y) = \begin{cases} (x+1, y), & (x, y) \in A^\rightarrow, \\ (x-1, y), & (x, y) \in A^\leftarrow, \\ (x, y+1), & (x, y) \in A^\uparrow, \\ (x, y-1), & (x, y) \in A^\downarrow, \\ (x-1, y+1), & (x, y) \in A^\nwarrow, \\ (x+1, y-1), & (x, y) \in A^\searrow, \\ (x, y), & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where the sets $A^\rightarrow, A^\leftarrow, A^\uparrow, A^\downarrow, A^\nwarrow, A^\searrow$ are defined as follows:

$$A^\rightarrow := (\{1\} \times \{1, 2, 2k\}) \cup (\{m\} \times \{4, 5, \dots, 2k-2\}),$$

$$A^\leftarrow := (\{3\} \times \{2k-1, 2k\}) \cup (\{m+2\} \times \{2, 3, \dots, m+1\}),$$

$$A^\uparrow := (\{4, 5, \dots, m+1\} \times \{1\}) \cup (\{1, 2\} \times \{2k-3\}) \cup (\{m+3, m+4, \dots, 2k\} \times \{2k-3\}),$$

$$A^\downarrow := (\{2, 3, \dots, m-1\} \times \{3\}) \cup (\{m+1, m+2, \dots, 2k\} \times \{2k-1\}),$$

$$A^\nwarrow := \{(m+2, 1), (3, m+2)\},$$

$$A^\searrow := \{(1, 3), (m, 2k-1)\}.$$

Then we can easily see that $1_{U_2} \simeq h_0$, and hence we obtain that $C_3 = h_0(C_2)$ is a deformation retract of C_2 .

Finally, we define a continuous map $h_1 : C_3 \rightarrow C_3$ by

$$h_1(x, y) = \begin{cases} (1, 2k-1), & (x, y) = (1, 2k-2), (2, 2k-2), (2, 2k-1), \\ (3, 1), & (x, y) = (2, 1), (2, 2), (3, 2), \\ (m, 3), & (x, y) = (m, 2), (m+1, 2), (m+1, 3), \\ (m+2, m+2), & (x, y) = (m+1, m+2), (m+1, m+3), (m+2, m+3), \\ (x, y) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we can easily see that $1_{C_3} \simeq h_1$, and hence we obtain that $C = h_1(C_2)$ is a deformation retract of C_3 , where C can be described as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} C = & \{(a, a-2) \mid a = 3, 4\} \cup \{(b, 2) \mid b = 4, \dots, m-1\} \\ & \cup \{(c, c+3-m) \mid c = m-1, m, m+1\} \cup \{(m+1, d) \mid d = 4, \dots, m+1\} \\ & \cup \{(e, e) \mid e = m+1, m+2, m+3\} \cup \{(f, m+3) \mid f = m+3, \dots, 2k\} \\ & \cup \{(g, g+2k-2) \mid g = 1, 2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the arguments given above show that C is a deformation retract of U .

Since $\deg(\pi_1|_C) = \deg(\pi_2|_C) = 1$ and $U' \approx \mathbb{S}_{2k+m+2}^1$, we can proceed to obtain that $\pi_1|_C \simeq \pi_2|_C$ by Theorem 2.1. A similar argument for V works to deduce $\pi_1|_V \simeq \pi_2|_V$, and hence we obtain that $\text{tc}(\mathbb{S}_k^1) = 1$.

The Figure 2 below shows how the open set U in the first figure is deformed into the core C as a retract in the last figure, in the case when $k = 6$. □




FIGURE 2.

3. THE PROOF OF $\text{tc}(\mathbb{S}_4^1) = 2$

To prove $\text{tc}(\mathbb{S}_4^1) = 2$, we use a square-shaped figure of a Khalimsky torus $\mathbb{S}_4^1 \times \mathbb{S}_4^1$, where $|\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{S}_4^1 \times \mathbb{S}_4^1)| \approx S^1 \times S^1$, decomposed as a collection of small squares.





FIGURE 2. The square decomposition

In the square-shaped figure, each small square represents the following open set in $\mathbb{S}_4^1 \times \mathbb{S}_4^1$:



Then a principal open cover of $\mathbb{S}_4^1 \times \mathbb{S}_4^1$ by n -open subsets is in one-to-one correspondence with an n -coloring on C the set of all small squares in Figure 2. So we must consider a coloring on C , where an n -coloring on C can be identified with a map $\chi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$.

Proposition 3.1. *If $|\chi^{-1}(i)|$ contains a whole horizontal or a whole vertical line, then $\chi^{-1}(i)$ is not sectional-categorical.*

Proof. In the case when $|\chi^{-1}(i)|$ contains a horizontal line, we have the subspace V of $\chi^{-1}(i)$ which corresponds to the line, and V is represented as the following set for some element $a \in \mathbb{S}_4^1$:

$$V = \{(x, a) \mid x \in \mathbb{S}_4^1\}.$$

Hence $V \approx \mathbb{S}_4^1$, $\deg \pi_1|_V = 1$ and $\deg \pi_2|_V = 0$. If we assume that $\chi^{-1}(i)$ is sectional-categorical, then $\pi_1|_{\chi^{-1}(i)} \simeq \pi_2|_{\chi^{-1}(i)}$. Since $V \subset \chi^{-1}(i)$, we obtain $\pi_1|_V \simeq \pi_2|_V$, and hence $\deg \pi_1|_V = \deg \pi_2|_V$ by Theorem 2.1, which is a contradiction. In the case when $|\chi^{-1}(i)|$ contains a vertical line, a similar argument lead us to a contradiction, too. \square

So, let us call a coloring $\chi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n$ a simple coloring if $|\chi^{-1}(i)|$ does not contain a horizontal line nor a vertical line for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n$.

Proposition 3.2. *There are only two simple colorings up to isomorphism.*

1	0	0	1
0	0	1	1
0	1	1	0
1	1	0	0

(I)

1	0	1	1
0	0	1	0
1	1	1	0
1	0	0	0

(II)

Proof. By the assumption on the coloring χ , we have

(1) In the continued two rows of squares $\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \square & \square & \square & \square \\ \hline \end{array}$, we must find out $\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ and $\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$.

(2) In the continued two columns of squares $\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array}$, we must find out $\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 0 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ and $\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$.

In (2) above, there are only two cases. $\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 0 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ and $\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ are connected or not. Focussing on the middle two columns, we may consider the following two patterns without loss of generality.

	0	0	
	1	1	

(I)

	1	1	
	0	0	

(II)


Ignoring the upper part, either red or blue part must be $\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ in both the cases.

	1	1	

Without loss of generality, we assume that the blue part is $\begin{array}{|c|} \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$. Using the conditions (1) and (2), the remaining part can be filled as follows in cases (I) and (II).

<table style="border-collapse: collapse; width: 100px; height: 100px;"> <tr><td></td><td style="background-color: yellow;">0</td><td style="background-color: yellow;">0</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td></td><td style="background-color: yellow;"></td><td style="background-color: yellow;"></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td></td><td style="background-color: yellow;">1</td><td style="background-color: yellow;">1</td><td style="background-color: blue;">0</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td style="background-color: yellow;"></td><td style="background-color: yellow;"></td><td style="background-color: blue;">0</td></tr> </table>		0	0							1	1	0				0	→	<table style="border-collapse: collapse; width: 100px; height: 100px;"> <tr><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>0</td></tr> <tr><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></tr> </table>	1	0	0				1	1		1	1	0	1			0	→	<table style="border-collapse: collapse; width: 100px; height: 100px;"> <tr><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>0</td></tr> <tr><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></tr> </table>	1	0	0		0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1			0	→	<table style="border-collapse: collapse; width: 100px; height: 100px;"> <tr><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>0</td></tr> <tr><td>1</td><td>1</td><td></td><td>0</td></tr> </table>	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1		0	→	<table style="border-collapse: collapse; width: 100px; height: 100px;"> <tr><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>0</td></tr> <tr><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></tr> </table>	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0
	0	0																																																																																						
	1	1	0																																																																																					
			0																																																																																					
1	0	0																																																																																						
		1	1																																																																																					
	1	1	0																																																																																					
1			0																																																																																					
1	0	0																																																																																						
0	0	1	1																																																																																					
0	1	1	0																																																																																					
1			0																																																																																					
1	0	0	1																																																																																					
0	0	1	1																																																																																					
0	1	1	0																																																																																					
1	1		0																																																																																					
1	0	0	1																																																																																					
0	0	1	1																																																																																					
0	1	1	0																																																																																					
1	1	0	0																																																																																					

(I)



It completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Theorem 3.3. $\text{tc}(\mathbb{S}_4^1) = 2$.

Proof. Since $1 = \text{tc}(S^1) \leq \text{tc}(\mathbb{S}_4^1) \leq \text{cat}(\mathbb{S}_4^1 \times \mathbb{S}_4^1) = 2$, it is sufficient to show that $1 < \text{tc}(\mathbb{S}_4^1)$ where we know $1 \leq \text{tc}(\mathbb{S}_4^1) \leq 2$. By assuming $\text{tc}(\mathbb{S}_4^1) = 1$, we are lead to a contradiction. If $\text{tc}(\mathbb{S}_4^1) = 1$, then there is an open covering $\{U_1, U_2\}$ of $\mathbb{S}_4^1 \times \mathbb{S}_4^1$ such that $\pi_1|_{U_i} \simeq \pi_2|_{U_i}$, where U_i 's should not include a whole horizontal or a whole vertical line by Proposition 3.1. Thus they must be isomorphic to (I) or (II) in Proposition 3.2. In either case, U_0 or U_1 must contain a non-trivial Khalimsky circle $\mathbb{S} \approx \mathbb{S}_4^1$, which is not on the diagonal line. Then by the definition of topological complexity, we have $\pi_1|_{\mathbb{S}} \simeq \pi_2|_{\mathbb{S}}$. Hence $\pi_1|_{\mathbb{S}} = \pi_2|_{\mathbb{S}}$ by Proposition 2.1, which is contradicting that \mathbb{S} is not on the diagonal line. Thus $1 < \text{tc}(\mathbb{S}_4^1)$ and $\text{tc}(\mathbb{S}_4^1) = 2$. \square

APPENDIX A. HOMOTOPY CLASSIFICATION OF MAPS BETWEEN KHALIMSKY CIRCLES

We begin this appendix with introducing a Khalimsky line denoted simply by \mathbb{Z} . The Khalimsky line \mathbb{Z} is the set of integers \mathbb{Z} with the topology generated by an open basis $\{\{2k, 2k+1, 2k\} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. For two integers k and l , we denote by $[k, l]$ the subspace $\{z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid k \leq z \leq l\}$ of \mathbb{Z} .

Khalimsky line is known to be an Alexandroff space, and an Alexandroff space has a natural ordering and, in fact, it is in one-to-one correspondence with a poset, like a finite topological space. Therefore, the topological properties of an Alexandroff space can be described in terms of posets.

In particular, we use a relation \prec on Khalimsky line as follows : for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $|a-b| \leq 1$,

$$a \prec b \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} a = b \text{ or } a \text{ is even.}$$

However, we keep in mind that the relation " \leq " on \mathbb{Z} is used in the usual meaning of "greater than or equal to".

Proposition A.1. *A function $f : [k, l] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is continuous if and only if it is an order-preserving map $f : ([k, l], \prec) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}, \prec)$.*

Proof. That is because $f| : [k, l] \rightarrow f([k, l])$ is continuous iff $f|$ is order-preserving. \square

For any two continuous functions $f, g : [k, l] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ with $f(k) = g(k)$ and $f(l) = g(l)$, we denote by $f \sim g$, if they are homotopic relative to the both ends k and l . We denote by $\varepsilon_a : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the constant function at a for an Alexandroff space A and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. From now on, $f : [k, l] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ denotes a continuous function.

Proposition A.2. *If $f : [k, l] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies $f(k) = f(l) = a$, then $f \sim \varepsilon_a$.*

Proof. Because $f([k, l])$ is contractible in \mathbb{Z} to $f(k) = f(l)$, we have $f \sim \varepsilon_{f(k)}$. \square

From the above proposition we obtain the following corollary using an induction on the number of maximal points of f .

Corollary A.3. *For a function $f : [k, l] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, there is a monotone function g such that $f \sim g$.*

Definition A.4. *For a continuous function g satisfying $g(k) \leq g(l)$ and $\text{ht}(k) = \text{ht}(g(k))$, we can define a continuous function $h_g : [k, l] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by the following formula:*

$$h_g(z) = \begin{cases} z + g(k) - k, & z + g(k) - k \leq g(l), \\ g(l), & z + g(k) - k \geq g(l). \end{cases}$$

We can easily show that h_g is continuous by Theorem A.1.

Proposition A.5. *If $f : [k, l] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies $f(k) \leq f(l)$ and $\text{ht}(k) = \text{ht}(f(k))$, then we obtain $f \sim h_f$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $k = 0$ and f is monotone increasing satisfying $f(0) = 0$ by Corollary A.3. Let $f_0 = f$. Then by definition, f_0 is a continuous monotone increasing function, and hence we have $f_0(z+1) \in \{f_0(z), f_0(z)+1\}$. Let $k_0 := \min\{z \in [k, l] \mid f_0(z+1) = z\}$ and $l_0 := \max\{z \in [k, l] \mid f_0(z) = k_0\}$. Then we have $f_0|_{[0, k_0]} = h_f|_{[0, k_0]}$ and $k_0 \leq f_0(l)$. In case when $k_0 = f_0(l)$, $f_0 = h_f$ and we have done. So we assume that $k_0 < f_0(l)$. Then we have that $f_0(l_0+1) = k_0+1$. Let $f_1, g_1 : [0, l] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ as follows:

$$f_1(z) = \begin{cases} k_0, & z = k_0, \\ k_0 + 1, & z \in [k_0 + 1, l_0 + 1], \\ f_0(z), & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$g_1(z) = \begin{cases} k_0, & z \in [k_0, l_0 + 1] \text{ and } \text{ht}(k_0) = \text{ht}(z), \\ k_0 + 1, & z \in [k_0, l_0 + 1] \text{ and } \text{ht}(k_0) \neq \text{ht}(z), \\ f_0(z), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We then have either $f_0 \prec g_1 \succ f_1$ or $f_0 \succ g_1 \prec f_1$. In either case, we obtain $f_0 \sim f_1$. Let $k_1 := \min\{z \in [k, l] \mid f_1(z+1) = z\}$ and $l_1 := \max\{z \in [k, l] \mid f_1(z) = k_1\}$. Then we obtain $k_1 = k_0 + 1$. If $k_1 = f(l)$, then $f_0 \sim f_1 = h_f$, and we have done. If $k_1 < f(l)$, we can continue the above process to obtain a sequence of functions f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n for a sufficiently large $n \leq l - k$, such that $k_n = f(l)$ and $f = f_0 \sim f_1 \sim \dots \sim f_n = h_f$. \square

For $m \geq 2$, the quotient space $\mathbb{Z}/2m = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \dots, \overline{2m-1}\}$ is homeomorphic with the Khalimsky circle \mathbb{S}_m^1 . Moreover we have the following proposition.

Lemma A.6. *For $m \geq 2$ and a continuous map $f : [k, l] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2m$, there exists a lift \tilde{f} of f which makes the following diagram commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{k\} & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_a} & \mathbb{Z} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \exists! \tilde{f} & \downarrow q \\ [k, l] & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{Z}/2m \end{array}$$

Proof. We inductively define \tilde{f} satisfying $q \circ \tilde{f} = f$ as follows:

- (1) $\tilde{f}(k) = a$ and
- (2) for $z \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\tilde{f}(z+1) = \begin{cases} \tilde{f}(z)+1, & f(z+1) = f(z) + \bar{1}, \\ \tilde{f}(z), & f(z+1) = f(z), \\ \tilde{f}(z)-1, & f(z+1) = f(z) - \bar{1}. \end{cases}$$

We have $q \circ \tilde{f}(k) = q(a) = q \circ \varepsilon_a(k) = f(k)$, and if $q \circ \tilde{f}(z) = f(z)$, then $q \circ \tilde{f}(z+1) = f(z+1)$ because of the definition of \tilde{f} . By induction, \tilde{f} is a lift of f .

Next, we will prove the continuity of \tilde{f} . We assume that \tilde{f} is order-preserving on a subset $[k, a]$ of $[k, l]$. In the case when $a \prec a+1$, a is even. Since f is order-preserving, we have $f(a) \prec f(a+1)$. So $f(a+1) = f(a)$ or $f(a+1) = f(a) \pm \bar{1}$ and $f(a)$ is even. If $f(a+1) = f(a)$, then $\tilde{f}(a+1) = \tilde{f}(a)$. If $f(a+1) = f(a) \pm \bar{1}$, $\tilde{f}(a+1) = \tilde{f}(a) \pm \bar{1}$ and $\tilde{f}(a+1)$ is even. Hence, $\tilde{f}(a) \prec \tilde{f}(a+1)$. In the case when $a \succ a+1$, we similarly get $\tilde{f}(a) \succ \tilde{f}(a+1)$. By induction, \tilde{f} is order-preserving, i.e. \tilde{f} is continuous.

Finally, we will prove the uniqueness of \tilde{f} . Let \tilde{g} be another lift in the commutative diagram. We have $\tilde{f}(k) = \tilde{g}(k)$. Suppose that $\tilde{f}|_{[k, a]} = \tilde{g}|_{[k, a]}$. We have $\tilde{f}(a) = \tilde{g}(a)$. $|\tilde{f}(a) - \tilde{f}(a+1)| \leq 1$

and $|\tilde{g}(a) - \tilde{g}(a+1)| \leq 1$, so $|\tilde{f}(a+1) - \tilde{g}(a+1)| \leq 2$. Then $f(a+1) = g(a+1)$ because $q \circ f = \tilde{f} = \tilde{g}$ and $m \geq 2$. By induction, $\tilde{f} = \tilde{g}$. \square

From the above lemma, we obtain the following corollary by the construction of \tilde{f} .

Corollary A.7. *Let $m, n \geq 2$. Assume that the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & \mathbb{Z} \\ & & & \nearrow \tilde{f} & \downarrow q \\ [k, k+2m] & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathbb{Z}/2m & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathbb{Z}/2n \end{array}$$

Then, $\deg g = \{\tilde{f}(l) - \tilde{f}(k)\}/2n$.

Proposition A.8. *If $f : \mathbb{Z}/2m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2n$ satisfies $|\deg f| < m/n$, then it is homotopic to a continuous function $g : \mathbb{Z}/2m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2n$ satisfying $g(\bar{0}) = f(\bar{0}) + \bar{1}$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $f(\bar{0}) = \bar{k}$ and $\deg f \geq 0$.

First, we consider the case when $\text{ht}(0) = \text{ht}(k)$, or equivalently, the case when k is even. There is a lift $\tilde{f} : [0, 2m] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ of $f \circ q$ satisfying $\tilde{f}(0) = k$ by Lemma A.6. Then we have $\tilde{f}(k) \leq \tilde{f}(l)$ by Corollary A.7, and $\tilde{f} \sim h_{\tilde{f}}$ by Proposition A.5. For a map $h : \mathbb{Z}/2m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2n$ defined by $h(\bar{z}) = q \circ h_{\tilde{f}}(z)$, we obtain $f \simeq h$ since $\tilde{f} \sim h_{\tilde{f}}$. From the hypothesis, we have $|\deg h| = |\deg f| < m/n$ and $h(\overline{2m-2}) = h(\overline{2m-1}) = h(\bar{0}) = \bar{k}$. Let $f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{Z}/2m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2n$ be as follows:

$$f_1(\bar{z}) = \begin{cases} h(\bar{z}), & \bar{z} \neq \overline{2m-1}, \\ \bar{k} + \bar{1}, & \bar{z} = \overline{2m-1}. \end{cases}$$

$$f_2(\bar{z}) = \begin{cases} f_1(\bar{z}), & \bar{z} \neq \bar{0}, \\ \bar{k} + \bar{1}, & \bar{z} = \bar{0}. \end{cases}$$

In this case, we have $f_2 \simeq h$, since $h \succ f_1 \prec f_2$. Therefore, we obtain $f \simeq h \simeq f_2$ and hence $f_2(\bar{0}) = \bar{k} + \bar{1} = f(\bar{0}) + \bar{1}$.

Second, we consider the case when $\text{ht}(0) \neq \text{ht}(k)$, or equivalently, the case when k is odd. Then we have $\text{ht}(2m-1) = \text{ht}(k)$, and $f(\overline{2m-1}) = f(\bar{0}) = \bar{k}$ since k is odd.

In this case, there is a lift $\tilde{f} : [2m-1, 4m-1] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ of $f \circ q| : [2m-1, 4m-1] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2n$ satisfying $\tilde{f}(2m-1) = k$, which satisfies $\tilde{f} \sim h_{\tilde{f}}$. Then, for a map $h : \mathbb{Z}/2m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2n$ defined by $h(\bar{z}) = q \circ h_{\tilde{f}}(z)$, we obtain $f \simeq h$ since $\tilde{f} \sim h_{\tilde{f}}$.

Finally, we have $h(\bar{0}) = \bar{k} + \bar{1} = f(\bar{0}) + \bar{1}$, which completes the proof of this proposition. \square

Theorem A.9. *Let $f, g : \mathbb{Z}/2m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2n$ be two distinct continuous functions. Then $f \sim g$ if and only if $\deg f = \deg g$ and $|\deg f| < m/n$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show ‘if’ part. So we assume that $\deg f = \deg g$ and $|\deg f| < m/n$. By reversing the orientation of Khalimsky circle, if necessary, we may assume that $0 \leq \deg f = \deg g < m/n$. Let $\bar{k} = f(\bar{0})$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $f(\bar{0}) = g(\bar{0})$ and $\text{ht}(\bar{0}) = \text{ht}(\bar{k})$ by Proposition A.8. By Lemma A.6, there exist a lift \tilde{f} of $f \circ p$ and a lift \tilde{g} of $g \circ p$ which satisfy $\tilde{f}(0) = \tilde{g}(0) = k$. By Corollary A.7, $\tilde{f}(2m) = \tilde{g}(2m) = k + 2n \cdot \deg f$. Thus, $\tilde{f} \sim h_{\tilde{f}} = h_{\tilde{g}} \sim \tilde{g}$ by Proposition A.5, so $f \simeq g$.

□

REFERENCES

- [Ale41] Paul Alexandroff. General combinatorial topology. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 49:41–105, 1941.
- [Bar11] Jonathan A. Barmak. *Algebraic topology of finite topological spaces and applications*, volume 2032 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [Far03] Michael Farber. Topological complexity of motion planning. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 29(2):211–221, 2003.
- [FTMV18] D. Fernández-Ternero, E. Macías-Virgós, E. Minuz, and J. A. Vilches. Discrete topological complexity. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 146(10):4535–4548, 2018.
- [Gon18] Jesús González. Simplicial complexity: piecewise linear motion planning in robotics. *New York J. Math.*, 24:279–292, 2018.
- [Jam95] I. M. James. Lusternik-Schnirelmann category. In *Handbook of algebraic topology*, pages 1293–1310. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1995.
- [Kan19] Shelley Burrows Kandola. *The Topological Complexity of Spaces of Digital Images*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2019. Thesis (Ph.D.)—University of Minnesota.
- [McC00] Christopher McCord. Simplicial models for the global dynamics of attractors. *J. Differential Equations*, 167(2):316–356, 2000.
- [Tan18] Kohei Tanaka. A combinatorial description of topological complexity for finite spaces. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 18(2):779–796, 2018.

Email address: yoshise.ryusei.597@es.kyushu-u.ac.jp

JOINT GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS FOR INNOVATION, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, FUKUOKA 819-0395, JAPAN