

CONTINUATION OF THE STIELTJES SERIES TO THE LARGE REGIME BY FINITE-PART INTEGRATION

CHRISTIAN D. TICA AND ERIC A. GALAPON

ABSTRACT. We devise a prescription to utilize a novel convergent expansion in the strong-asymptotic regime for the Stieltjes integral and its generalizations [Galapon E.A Proc.R.Soc A 473, 20160567(2017)] to sum the associated divergent series of Stieltjes across all asymptotic regimes. The novel expansion makes use of the divergent negative-power moments which we treated as Hadamard's finite part integrals. The result allowed us to compute the ground-state energy of the quartic, sextic anharmonic oscillators as well as the \mathcal{PT} symmetric cubic oscillator, and the funnel potential across all perturbation regimes from a single expansion that is built from the divergent weak-coupling perturbation series and incorporates the known leading-order strong-coupling behavior of the spectra.

1. INTRODUCTION

Divergent perturbation theory (PT) series is prevalent in many areas of theoretical physics [1]. They are ubiquitous in calculations of various physical quantities in quantum field theory and in eigenvalue problems in quantum mechanics where exact and convergent solutions are rare. Hence a great deal of theoretical work is dedicated to the proper interpretation of these divergent solutions as well as procedures for extrapolating data in one parametric regimes to build the solution in the opposite regime [2, 3, 4]. A class of PT series solutions for which these problems have been addressed are the Stieltjes series,

$$(1.1) \quad F(\beta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k (-\beta)^k, \quad \beta \rightarrow 0,$$

where μ_k are the positive-power moments of a positive function $\rho(x)$, $\mu_k = \int_0^{\infty} x^k \rho(x) dx$. The summation of a finite physical quantity $F(\beta)$ that is represented by a divergent series of Stieltjes can be carried out by various means when β is small [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. Most notably, Padé approximants constructed from a Stieltjes series possess well-understood convergence properties [5, 11].

Determining $F(\beta)$ when β is large, given only its leading-order behavior as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ and a finite string of the positive-power moments μ_k is, by contrast, a formidable and long-standing problem in physics [8, 12, 13, 14]. In a turbulent transport process [15], the effective diffusivity κ^* , which exhibits $\kappa^*(Pe) \sim Pe^{1/2}$ as $Pe \rightarrow \infty$ is sought in the strong-Péclet number, Pe , regime given only the divergent, Stieltjes type small-Péclet number expansion. Similarly, in singular eigenvalue perturbation theory in quantum mechanics [16, 17, 18], the spectrum $E(\beta)$ for the various anharmonic oscillators are computed in the strong coupling regime $\beta \rightarrow \infty$

Date: February 9, 2023.

given a finite number of the weak-coupling expansion coefficients and an algebraic power leading-order behavior in the strong-coupling regime $E(\beta) \sim \beta^\lambda$, $0 < \lambda < 1$. The Padé approximants exhibit the leading-order behavior $P_M^N(\beta) \sim \beta^{N-M}$ as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ where N, M are integers, hence they will invariably fail to converge to $F(\beta)$ when β is large in these cases [16, 19].

Some authors [20, 21] take a more direct route of summing a divergent Stieltjes series to a corresponding Stieltjes integral,

$$(1.2) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho(x)}{1 + \beta x} dx \sim \sum_{k=0}^\infty \mu_k (-\beta)^k, \quad \beta \rightarrow 0,$$

by solving the underlying Stieltjes moment problem, that is the reconstruction of $\rho(x)$ from the available positive-power moments μ_k and obtaining an expansion suitable for computation even in the opposite asymptotic regime $\beta \rightarrow \infty$. However, evaluating the Stieltjes integral in the strong asymptotic regime by expanding the geometric series $(1/(x\beta) + 1)^{-1}$ in powers of $1/(x\beta)$ and a term-wise integration is carried out leads to an expansion with terms diverging individually,

$$(1.3) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho(x)}{1 + \beta x} dx \sim \sum_{k=0}^\infty \mu_{-(k+1)} \frac{(-1)^k}{\beta^{k+1}}$$

where the negative-power moments, $\mu_{-(k+1)} = \int_0^\infty \rho(x)/x^{k+1} dx$, $k = 0, 1 \dots$ are divergent integrals [12, 16]. As a consequence, the evaluation of the Stieltjes integral is done numerically. In a seminal work [22], one of us took this procedure further by giving these divergent negative-power moments $\mu_{-(k+1)}$ rigorous interpretations as complex contour integrals. This led us to derive a set of exact and convergent large- β expansions for the Stieltjes integral as well as its generalizations [23, 24].

In this paper, we demonstrate a simple prescription for using these convergent expansions to sum the divergent Stieltjes series (1.1) for all values of the parameter β especially in the formidable regime $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ where most summation technique fails. We discuss this procedure in Section 2 and apply it as a summation technique for divergent Stieltjes series and its generalization in Section 3. To demonstrate the prescription, we will derive a strong-coupling expansion for the ground-state energy of the \mathcal{PT} Symmetric cubic oscillator, the quartic anharmonic oscillator and the funnel potential from a finite collection of coefficients of the factorially diverging Rayleigh-Schrodinger(RS) weak-coupling perturbation expansions and their known non-integer algebraic power, leading-order behaviour in the strong-coupling regime. In addition, we shall also take on the more formidable RS weak-coupling expansion for the ground-state energy of the sextic anharmonic oscillator by summing it to a generalized Stieltjes integral. We then summarize and present several points to further extend and improve the efficacy of the method in Section 4.




FIGURE 1. The contour of integration. The upper limit a can be infinite. The points represent poles which for the case of the Stieltjes integral is at $z = -1/\beta$. ϵ is a small positive parameter.

2. THE METHOD

The prescription we propose allows us to circumvent the problem of divergent integrals arising in the formal procedure leading to equation (1.3). The solution lies in the interpretation of these divergent quantities as Hadamard's finite part [25, 22]. A concise discussion of the definitions and representations relevant in the present work is given in the Appendix A. Because of the central role played by the finite parts for obtaining exact convergent expansions for the Stieltjes integral and its generalizations, the method came to be known as finite-part integration.

2.1. Finite-Part Integration. The problem of obtaining a convergent expansion in the $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ regime for the Stieltjes integral,

$$(2.1) \quad S(\beta) = \beta \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho(x)}{1 + \beta x} dx,$$

and its generalizations have been considered in a series of work [22, 23, 24, 26, 27, 28]. A particular result which will be relevant in the application to be considered is the case when $\rho(x) = x^{-\nu}g(x)$ for $|\nu| < 1$ and such that the complex extension $g(z)$ of $g(x)$ is entire. As in equation (1.3), the initial step is to deliberately induce divergent integrals by inserting the expansion for $(1/x\beta + 1)^{-1}$ in powers of $1/x\beta$ and performing a formal term-by-term integration,

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{\beta^k} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{-\nu}g(x)}{x^{k+1}} dx.$$

We then interpret the divergent integrals, the negative-power moments of $\rho(x) = x^{-\nu}g(x)$, as Hadamard's finite part integrals,

$$(2.3) \quad \mu_{-(k+1)} = \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{-\nu}g(x)}{x^{k+1}} dx \rightarrow \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{-\nu}g(x)}{x^{k+1}} dx.$$

They are given rigorous representations as complex contour integrals in [22],

$$(2.4) \quad \int_0^a \frac{x^{-\nu}g(x)}{x^m} dx = \frac{1}{(e^{-2\pi\nu i} - 1)} \int_C \frac{z^{-\nu}g(z)}{z^m} dz,$$

for $m = 1, 2, \dots$ and a can be taken to infinity. The contour C is shown in Figure-1.

The explicit calculation of the values of Hadamard's finite part integrals is described in the Appendix A where we utilize their more expedient and familiar form (A.6) or as in (A.13) where they are computed as analytic continuations of the

Mellin transform. The main results of [22] and in the more recent work [28] are to demonstrate the equivalence of these dual representations. The purpose of the contour integral representation (2.4) of Hadamard's finite part integrals is to bring the evaluation of the Stieltjes integral (2.1) into the complex plane using the equivalent contour \mathcal{C}' as shown in Figure 1,

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{\mathcal{C}'} \frac{z^{-\nu} g(z)}{1/\beta + z} dz = (e^{-2\pi\nu i} - 1) \int_0^a \frac{x^{-\nu} g(x)}{1/\beta + x} dx + 2\pi i \operatorname{Res} \left[\frac{z^{-\nu} g(z)}{1/\beta + z} \right]_{z=-\frac{1}{\beta}}.$$

Solving for the Stieltjes integral along the real line,

$$(2.6) \quad \int_0^a \frac{x^{-\nu} g(x)}{1/\beta + x} dx = \frac{1}{(e^{-2\pi\nu i} - 1)} \int_{\mathcal{C}'} \frac{z^{-\nu} g(z)}{1/\beta + z} dz - \frac{2\pi i}{(e^{-2\pi\nu i} - 1)} \operatorname{Res} \left[\frac{z^{-\nu} g(z)}{1/\beta + z} \right]_{z=-\frac{1}{\beta}}.$$

We can now perform a term-by-term integration in the first term of the right-hand side of the equation (2.6),

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(e^{-2\pi\nu i} - 1)} \int_{\mathcal{C}'} \frac{z^{-\nu} g(z)}{1/\beta + z} dz &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{\beta^k (e^{-2\pi\nu i} - 1)} \int_{\mathcal{C}'} \frac{z^{-\nu} g(z)}{z^{k+1}} dz \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{\beta^k} \int_0^a \frac{x^{-\nu} g(x)}{x^{k+1}} dx, \quad |z| > \frac{1}{\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the contour integral representation (2.4). Unlike the formal steps leading to equation (2.2), the expansion above can be shown to be absolutely convergent (see the proof of Theorem 3.4 in [22]). After evaluating the residue term in equation (2.6), the Stieltjes integral (2.1) admits the following exact and convergent expansion,

$$(2.8) \quad \beta \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{-\nu} g(x)}{1 + \beta x} dx = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \mu_{-(k+1)}}{\beta^k} + \frac{\pi g(-\frac{1}{\beta}) \beta^{\nu}}{\sin(\pi\nu)},$$

where the negative-power moments $\mu_{-(k+1)}$ are the finite part integrals (2.3). The second term in expansion (2.8), which we recovered by integrating in the complex plane, is missed out by mere formal term-by-term integration as in equation (2.2) followed by a regularization of the divergent integrals as Hadamard's finite part integrals. Provided that $g(0) \neq 0$, this missed-out term dominates the behavior of the Stieltjes integral as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ [23, 24].

We can also apply finite-part integration to some generalizations of the Stieltjes integral. For instance, performing exactly the same set of steps leads to the following exact convergent expansion,

$$(2.9) \quad \beta \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{-\nu} g(x)}{1 + \beta x^2} dx = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{\beta^k} \mu_{-(2k+2)} + \Delta(\beta)$$

where the residue term evaluates to

$$(2.10) \quad \Delta(\beta) = \frac{\pi \beta^{(1+\nu)/2}}{\sin(\pi\nu)} \left(\cos\left(\frac{\pi\nu}{2}\right) \operatorname{Im} g\left(i/\sqrt{\beta}\right) + \sin\left(\frac{\pi\nu}{2}\right) \operatorname{Re} g\left(i/\sqrt{\beta}\right) \right),$$

assuming that $g(z)$ is real along the real line. The $\operatorname{Re} z$ and $\operatorname{Im} z$ are the real and imaginary parts of a complex number z , respectively. The divergent negative

power-moments $\mu_{-(2k+2)}$ are again interpreted as Hadamard's finite part integrals

$$(2.11) \quad \mu_{-(2k+2)} = \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{-\nu} g(x)}{x^{2k+2}} dx, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

An important feature common to both expansions (2.8) and (2.9) is that their second terms exhibit an algebraic leading-order behavior $\sim \beta^\nu$ and $\beta^{(1+\nu)/2}$, respectively, in the $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ regime. This implies that an *a priori* knowledge on the behavior of these integrals as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ may be used as a criterion for choosing an appropriate value for ν so that this leading-order algebraic behavior can be simulated by the second term. This will be of particular relevance in the physical examples that will be considered.

3. APPLICATION TO SUMMATION PROBLEMS

In this section, we discuss how finite-part integration can be applied to the Stieltjes summation problem: given a finite string of the positive-power moments μ_k , that is, the expansion coefficients of the Stieltjes series,

$$(3.1) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho(x)}{1 + \beta x} dx \sim \sum_{k=0}^\infty \mu_k (-\beta)^k, \quad \beta \rightarrow 0,$$

we wish now to obtain approximations to Stieltjes integral across all values of β ideally in the $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ regime.

In order to make use of the expansions (2.8) and its generalization (2.9), we need to reconstruct $\rho(x)$ given the first $d + 1$ positive power moments μ_k . We require that the reconstruction takes the form $\rho(x) = x^{-\nu} g(x)$ for some $|\nu| < 1$ and $g(x)$ whose complex extension $g(z)$ is entire. This can be done by expanding $g(x)$ as a generalized Fourier series using the Laguerre polynomials as the orthonormal basis,

$$(3.2) \quad g(x) = e^{-x/2} \sum_{m=0}^\infty c_m m! \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{(-x)^k}{(k!)^2 (m-k)!},$$

subject to the condition that

$$(3.3) \quad \mu_k = \int_0^\infty x^{k-\nu} g(x) dx, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, d.$$

Substituting the reconstruction (3.2) for $g(x)$ in equation (3.3) leads to the system of $d + 1$ linear equations for the first $d + 1$ expansion coefficients c_m

$$(3.4) \quad \mu_n = \sum_{m=0}^d c_m P(n, m)$$

where the matrix $P(n, m)$ is given by

$$(3.5) \quad P(n, m) = m! 2^{n-\nu+1} \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{(-2)^k \Gamma(n+k-\nu+1)}{(k!)^2 (m-k)!}.$$

For the examples we considered in this paper, we solve the system (3.5) by implementing a block algorithm for LU factorization [29, 30] with partial pivoting based on the LU function available in the C++ Boost uBLAS library. This allowed us to achieve parallel computation both at the application level using C++ Boost MPI library and logical threads using C++ Boost Thread library. We also used arbitrary-precision data types provided in Boost Multiprecision library to represent

the positive-power moments μ_k in extended precision of up to three thousand digits and to perform our computations in arbitrary precision arithmetic to eliminate rounding errors. A good introduction on the C++ Boost libraries can be found on [31]. We also checked our results against the LU factorization provided by the Eigen 3 library [32]. This library provides matrix and vector containers for arbitrary precision data type provided by the MPFR C++ library [33]. The extended-precision libraries we used all derive from GNU GMP [34] and MPFR [35] libraries .

Once the reconstruction (3.2) is obtained, the first term in (2.8) can now be computed as

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \mu_{-(k+1)}}{\beta^k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{\beta^k} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{-\nu} g(x)}{x^{k+1}} dx.$$

Substituting the reconstruction (3.2) and separating terms involving finite part integrals give

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \mu_{-(k+1)}}{\beta^{k+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^d \frac{(-1)^k}{\beta^k} (A_k + B_k + C_k) + \sum_{k=d+1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{\beta^k} D_k,$$

where

$$(3.7) \quad A_k = \sum_{m=0}^k c_m m! \sum_{l=0}^m \frac{(-1)^l}{(l!)^2 (m-l)!} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x/2}}{x^{k+\nu+1-l}} dx,$$

$$(3.8) \quad B_k = \sum_{m=k+1}^d c_m m! \sum_{l=0}^k \frac{(-1)^l}{(l!)^2 (m-l)!} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x/2}}{x^{k+\nu+1-l}} dx,$$

$$(3.9) \quad C_k = \sum_{m=k+1}^d c_m m! \sum_{l=k+1}^m \frac{(-1)^l \Gamma(l-k-\nu) 2^{l-k-\nu}}{(l!)^2 (m-l)!},$$

and

$$(3.10) \quad D_k = \sum_{m=0}^d c_m m! \sum_{l=0}^m \frac{(-1)^l}{(l!)^2 (m-l)!} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x/2}}{x^{k+\nu+1-l}} dx.$$

The finite part integrals appearing above are computed explicitly in the Appendix A and is given by equation (A.12),

$$(3.11) \quad \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x/2}}{x^{k+\nu+1-l}} dx = \frac{(-1)^{k-l+1} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k-l+1+\nu} \pi}{\Gamma(k-l+1+\nu) \sin(\pi\nu)}.$$

The presence of the factor $g(-1/\beta)$ in the second term of the expansion (2.8) reveals a crucial insight as to how the point-wise convergence of the reconstruction of $\rho(x) = x^{-\nu} g(x)$ near $x = 0$ could undermine the convergence of $S(\beta)$ in regime $\beta \rightarrow \infty$. Of particular relevance to the reconstruction of $\rho(x) = x^{-\nu} g(x)$ as a generalized Fourier series (3.2) is the Gibbs phenomenon [36] about the origin. Spurious oscillation could result if the reconstruction of $\rho(x)$ could not properly simulate a zero or a singularity at $x = 0$. In this sense, the problem of summing the divergent Stieltjes series in this regime turns mainly into solving the underlying Stieltjes moment problem.

3.1. Singular Perturbation Theory in Quantum Mechanics. In the following subsections we will apply the procedure outlined above to the summation of divergent Rayleigh-Schrodinger (RS) perturbation series for the spectrum of Hamiltonian operators $\hat{H}(\beta) = \hat{H}_0 + \beta\hat{V}$, where β is the coupling parameter that quantifies the degree by which the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(\beta)$ departs from H_0 whose eigenfunctions and eigenvalues are known in closed form. The eigenvalue problems involving the Hamiltonians that we consider represent singular perturbation theory and the divergent perturbation theory (PT) series in powers of β for the spectrum are interpreted as asymptotic expansions in the Poincaré sense [37, 38, 39]. In each of these examples, a procedure of Symanzik scaling [39] performs a unitary transformation on the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(\beta)$ that turns the singular PT into a regular one and, more importantly, provides a strong-coupling leading-order behavior for the spectrum [39, 16].

To demonstrate this procedure, consider the family of anharmonic oscillators,

$$(3.12) \quad H(\alpha, \beta) = \hat{p}^2 + \alpha\hat{x}^2 + \beta x^{2m}, \quad m = 2, 3, 4, \dots$$

and $\alpha, \beta > 0$. The RS weak-coupling expansion for the spectrum is,

$$(3.13) \quad E_n^{(m)}(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} E_{k,n}^{(m)}(\alpha) \beta^k.$$

Consider the unitary transformation,

$$(3.14) \quad (U(\lambda)\psi)(x) = \lambda^{1/2}\psi(\lambda x), \quad \lambda > 0, \quad \psi(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

So that,

$$(3.15) \quad U(\lambda)\hat{x}U(\lambda)^{-1} = \lambda\hat{x}, \quad U(\lambda)\hat{p}U(\lambda)^{-1} = \lambda^{-1}\hat{p}.$$

Applying the unitary transformation to (3.12),

$$(3.16) \quad U(\lambda)H(\alpha, \beta)U(\lambda)^{-1} = \lambda^{-2}H(\alpha\lambda^4, \beta\lambda^{2m+2}).$$

Hence the spectrum obey the scaling property

$$(3.17) \quad E_n^{(m)}(\alpha, \beta) = \lambda^{-2}E_n^{(m)}(\alpha\lambda^4, \beta\lambda^{2m+2}).$$

For the case $\lambda = \beta^{-1/(2m+2)}$ and $\alpha = 1$,

$$(3.18) \quad H(1, \beta) = \hat{p}^2 + \hat{x}^2 + \beta\hat{x}^{2m}$$

and $U(\lambda)H(1, \beta)U(\lambda)^{-1} = \mathcal{H}(\beta)$,

$$(3.19) \quad \mathcal{H}(\beta) = \beta^{1/(2m+1)}(\hat{p}^2 + \beta^{-2/(m+1)}\hat{x}^2 + \hat{x}^{2m})$$

so that the energy (3.13) of the n th state also possesses the strong-coupling expansion

$$(3.20) \quad E_n^{(m)}(\beta) = \beta^{1/(m+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} K_{k,n}^{(m)} \beta^{-2k/(m+1)}$$

which is convergent for sufficiently large β since the unitarily equivalent Hamiltonian (3.19) represents a regular perturbation theory (see the discussion of Kato-Rellich theorem on p. 384 of [39]).

The starting point of the prescription is to sum the weak-coupling expansion (3.13) to a Stieltjes integral (2.8) for the quartic case $m = 2$ and the generalized Stieltjes integral (2.9) for the sextic case $m = 3$. We then utilize the leading-order strong-coupling behavior $E_n^{(m)} \sim \beta^{1/(m+1)}$ in the expansion (3.20) as a criterion for

β	Second term	Third term, $\Delta(\beta)$
10^{-1}	$-1.8895969(10^{105})$	$1.8895969(10^{105})$
1.0	$-7.583247(10^{28})$	$7.583247(10^{28})$
10	$3.56913(10^5)$	$-3.56913(10^5)$
10^2	-1.0963506	3.01245278
10^4	-0.9991713	7.2954004
10^5	-0.99896648	11.5613682
10^{15}	-0.99894396	1156.1251

TABLE 1. Comparison of terms in the expansion (3.27) for the ground-state energy of the \mathcal{PT} Symmetric cubic oscillator. Both terms are relevant in the weak to intermediate coupling regimes while in the strong-coupling regime, $\beta \rightarrow \infty$, the third term dominates the second term.

choosing an appropriate value for the parameter ν in the expansions (2.8) and (2.9) so that their dominant terms could simulate this behavior in the strong-coupling regime. We also apply the prescription to two other systems whose weak-coupling expansion for the spectrum exhibit the same factorial divergence as that of the quartic anharmonic oscillator case.

3.1.1. \mathcal{PT} Symmetric Cubic Oscillator. The RS weak-coupling expansion for the spectrum

$$(3.21) \quad E_n(\beta) = E_n(0) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e_{n,k} \beta^k, \quad E_n(0) = 2n + 1$$

of the \mathcal{PT} symmetric non-Hermitian cubic oscillator

$$(3.22) \quad \hat{H}(\beta) = \hat{p}^2 + \hat{x}^2 + i\sqrt{\beta}\hat{x}^3, \quad (\beta > 0)$$

is divergent. This is evident in the factorial growth that the expansion coefficients $e_{n,k}$ exhibit [18],

$$(3.23) \quad e_{n,k} = \frac{-4\sqrt{15}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \left(-\frac{15}{8}\right)^k \Gamma\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)\right).$$

The expansions (3.21) have also been demonstrated to be once-subtracted Stieltjes series [17, 18, 40]. This implies, that $e_{n,k}$ are mapped to the positive-power moments of some positive function $\rho_n(x)$,

$$(3.24) \quad e_{n,k+1} = (-1)^k \mu_{n,k} = (-1)^k \int_0^{\infty} t^k \rho_n(t) dt, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

so that the spectrum can be summed as a Stieltjes integral [40, 17]

$$(3.25) \quad E_n(\beta) = E_n(0) + \beta \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\rho_n(t)}{1 + \beta t} dt.$$

After the reconstruction of $\rho_n(t) = x^{-\nu} g(x)$ where $g(x)$ is the generalized Fourier series (3.2), we can then use the expansion of the Stieltjes integral by finite-part integration (2.8) to evaluate the spectrum for all values of the perturbation parameter $\beta > 0$. Furthermore, Symanzik scaling $\hat{x} \rightarrow \beta^{-\frac{1}{10}} \hat{x}$ [40] transforms the

Hamiltonian (3.22) to

$$(3.26) \quad \mathcal{H}(\beta) = \beta^{1/5} \left(\hat{p} + \beta^{-2/5} \hat{x}^2 + i \hat{x}^3 \right).$$

This provides a leading-order behavior $E_n(\beta) \sim \beta^{1/5}$ in the strong-coupling regime $\beta \rightarrow \infty$, which we mimic by setting $\nu = 1/5$ in equation (2.8). Hence, for the ground-state energy, we obtain the following convergent expansion

$$(3.27) \quad E_0(\beta) = 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \mu_{-(k+1)}}{\beta^k} + \frac{\pi g(-\frac{1}{\beta})}{\sin(\pi/5)} \beta^{1/5}.$$

We tabulated the numerical values of the terms in the expansion (3.27) for various β in Table 1. It is clear that in the $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ regime, the third term which includes the factor $g(-1/\beta)$, which samples the reconstruction of $\rho(x) = x^{-\nu}g(x)$ near the origin, dominates the second term while remaining relevant as $\beta \rightarrow 0$.

The convergence of expansion (3.27) is summarized in Table 2. For some values of the ground-state energy well into the strong-coupling regime where the method of Padé approximant becomes unreliable, our result exhibits convergence in the first few digits. For small to intermediate values of β , we see an excellent agreement with the Padé approximant $P_{1001}^{1000}(\beta)$ constructed from (3.21) using two-thousand of the positive-power moments $\mu_{0,k}$ represented in up to 3000-digit precision.

3.1.2. Quartic Anharmonic Oscillator. The RS weak-coupling expansion for the spectrum of the quartic anharmonic oscillator

$$(3.28) \quad \mathcal{H}(\beta) = \hat{p}^2 + \hat{x}^2 + \beta x^4$$

are divergent for all $\beta > 0$. For the ground-state energy,

$$(3.29) \quad E^{(2)}(\beta) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b^{(k)} \beta^k.$$

The coefficients of the expansion for the energy correction exhibit a factorial growth [41],

$$(3.30) \quad b^{(k)} \sim (-1)^{k+1} \frac{\sqrt{24}}{\pi^{3/2}} \Gamma\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^k,$$

and more importantly, the energy correction has been shown to be a series of Stieltjes and can thus be summed to a unique Stieltjes integral [42]. This implies that the weak-coupling expansion coefficients $b^{(k)}$ are positive-power moments of some positive function $\rho(x)$,

$$(3.31) \quad b^{(k+1)} = (-1)^k \mu_k = (-1)^k \int_0^{\infty} x^k \rho(x) dx$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots$. So that the ground-state energy admits a Stieltjes integral representation,

$$(3.32) \quad E^{(2)}(\beta) = 1 + \beta \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\rho(x)}{1 + \beta x} dx.$$

In addition, the ground-state energy also exhibits a leading-order strong-coupling behavior by Symanzik scaling in equation (3.20), $E(\beta) \sim \beta^{1/3}$. Hence, applying

Moments	$\beta = 0.1$	$\beta = 0.2$	$\beta = 1.0$	$\beta = 10$	$\beta = 100$
10	10^{-9}	10^{-7}	10^{-5}	10^{-4}	10^{-3}
50	10^{-17}	10^{-14}	10^{-9}	10^{-4}	10^{-3}
100	10^{-24}	10^{-18}	10^{-11}	10^{-6}	10^{-4}
200	10^{-32}	10^{-24}	10^{-14}	10^{-8}	10^{-4}
500	10^{-49}	10^{-36}	10^{-20}	10^{-10}	10^{-5}
1000	10^{-67}	10^{-50}	10^{-26}	10^{-12}	10^{-6}
1500	10^{-84}	10^{-59}	10^{-30}	10^{-13}	10^{-7}
2000	10^{-95}	10^{-69}	10^{-34}	10^{-15}	10^{-7}
Moments used	$\beta = 10^3$	$\beta = 10^4$	$\beta = 10^5$	$\beta = 10^6$	
10	4.578585	7.234330	11.4448	18.1181	
100	4.604231	7.289783	11.5481	18.2974	
300	4.605774	7.294272	11.5575	18.3147	
500	4.60602	7.29517	11.5595	18.3187	
1000	4.60616	7.29582	11.5612	18.3219	
1500	4.60619	7.29603	11.5617	18.3230	
2000	4.60621	7.29612	11.5620	18.3236	
$P_{1001}^{1000}(\beta)$	4.35 592	4.35 592	5.11 080	5.11 080	
Moments used	$\beta = 10^7$	$\beta = 10^{15}$	$\beta = 10^{16}$	$\beta = 2(10^{20})$	
10	28.6946	1140.99	1808.32	13106.1	
500	29.0313	1155.63	1831.54	13274.6	
1000	29.0370	1155.90	1831.98	13277.8	
2000	29.0402	1156.06	1832.23	13279.6	
$P_{1001}^{1000}(\beta)$	5.12171	5.12182	5.12182	13.9605	

TABLE 2. Convergence of the expansion (3.27) by finite-part integration for the ground-state energy of the \mathcal{PT} symmetric cubic oscillator across all perturbation regimes. The order of magnitude of the error is computed against the Padé approximant $P_{1001}^{1000}(\beta)$ which becomes unreliable as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$.

the expansion (2.8) and setting $\nu = 1/3$ to incorporate this behavior in the second term yields the convergent expansion

$$(3.33) \quad E^{(2)}(\beta) = 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \mu_{-(k+1)}}{\beta^k} + \frac{\pi g(-\frac{1}{\beta}) \beta^{1/3}}{\sin(\pi/3)}.$$

The result for computing $E^{(2)}(\beta)$ across all coupling regimes is summarized in Table 3. We reproduced the first few digits of the result derived from the strong-coupling expansion (3.20) which is obtained in [16] using a significantly more complex algorithm. For various small and intermediate values of β , we computed the error of our result against the Padé approximant $P_{1001}^{1000}(\beta)$. For values of β up to $\sim 10^2$, we see an excellent agreement between the result of our prescription and that of the Padé approximant. For stronger couplings, the latter becomes unreliable.

3.1.3. *Funnel Potential.* The Hamiltonian with a linear confining potential,

$$(3.34) \quad H(\beta) = \frac{p^2}{2} - \frac{1}{r} + \beta r, \quad p = -i\nabla,$$

Moments	$\beta = 10^2$	$\beta = 10^3$	$\beta = 10^7$	$\beta = 10^8$	$\beta = 10^9$	$\beta = 10^{19}$
10	4.956457	10.46254	222.097	478.313	1030.313	2219404
100	4.997441	10.61987	227.111	489.212	1053.891	2270382
500	4.999350	10.63710	228.008	491.178	1058.161	2279646
1000	4.999409	10.63887	228.178	491.554	1058.982	2281434
2000	4.9994169	10.63953	228.283	491.790	1059.498	2282561
$\mathcal{E}(\beta)$	4.9994175	10.63979	228.450	492.177	1060.362	2284481
$P_{1001}^{1000}(\beta)$	4.9991097	9.999928	13.9598	13.9604	13.9605	13.9605
Moments used	$\beta = 0.1$	$\beta = 0.2$	$\beta = 1.0$	$\beta = 4$	$\beta = 10$	
10	10^{-9}	10^{-7}	10^{-4}	10^{-4}	10^{-3}	
500	10^{-48}	10^{-28}	10^{-19}	10^{-12}	10^{-9}	
1000	10^{-66}	10^{-48}	10^{-25}	10^{-15}	10^{-11}	
1500	10^{-80}	10^{-60}	10^{-29}	0^{-17}	10^{-13}	
2000	10^{-92}	10^{-67}	10^{-33}	10^{-19}	10^{-14}	
3000	10^{-115}	10^{-83}	10^{-38}	10^{-22}	10^{-16}	

TABLE 3. Convergence of the expansion (3.33) for the ground-state energy of the quartic anharmonic oscillator across all coupling regimes. The order of magnitude of the error is computed against the Padé approximant $P_{1001}^{1000}(\beta)$ for $\beta = 0.1 - 10$ and $\mathcal{E}(\beta)$ is obtained using the result in [16].

is relevant in quantum chromodynamics to model heavy quarkonium states [43]. The spectrum can be obtained perturbatively using the method of logarithmic perturbation [44]. The perturbation expansion for the ground-state energy in particular,

$$(3.35) \quad E(\beta) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_k (-\beta)^k, \quad \epsilon_0 = 1$$

diverges for all values of the perturbing parameter $\beta > 0$ as evident in the factorial growth,

$$(3.36) \quad \epsilon_k \sim \frac{36}{\pi e^3} k! \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^k, \quad k \rightarrow \infty.$$

of the coefficients ϵ_k . We sum the expansion as a once-subtracted Stieltjes series by mapping the coefficients as positive-power moments

$$(3.37) \quad \epsilon_{k+1} = \mu_k = \int_0^{\infty} x^k \rho(x) dx, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

Hence, the ground-state energy assumes a once-subtracted Stieltjes integral representation [44]

$$E(\beta) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \beta \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k (-\beta)^k \right) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \beta \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\rho(x)}{1 + \beta x} dx \right).$$

Symanzik scaling $r \rightarrow \beta^{-1/3} r$ yields $H(\beta) = \beta^{2/3} H(\beta^{-1/3})$ so that the ground-state energy exhibits a leading-order behavior $E(\beta) \sim \beta^{2/3}$ in the strong-coupling regime. By setting $\nu = 2/3$ so that the reconstruction takes the form $\rho(x) = x^{-2/3} g(x)$ with $g(x)$ given by equation (3.2), we incorporate this leading-order

Moments	$\beta = 1$			$\beta = 10$	$\beta = 18$
10	0.577313			6.053	9.7803
100	0.5779213441			6.14241	9.99991
200	0.5779213519479			6.143333	10.0049751
500	0.577921351961593503			6.1434332	10.00592966
1000	0.5779213519615935659650094			6.14343459	10.00596453
1250	0.57792135196159356596508592			6.1434346068578	10.00596532
$E^*(\beta)$	0.57792135			6.1434346	10.005967
$P_{625}^{624}(\beta)$	0.57792135196159356596508625			6.1434346088273	10.00596539
Moments	$\beta = 62.5$	$\beta = 100$	$\beta = 10^3$	$\beta = 10^6$	$\beta = 10^{11}$
10	23.833	33.20	160.6324	16264.15	35044767.8
100	24.77139	34.71469	171.2058	17487.35	37684845.6
200	24.82973	34.83425	172.7231	17706.17	38158567.2
500	24.85288	34.8919	173.9565	17926.99	38638242.3
1000	24.85589	34.90229	174.4599	18053.81	38915266.1
1250	24.856117	34.90335	174.5643	18088.61	38991664.5
$E^*(\beta)$	24.856630	34.90444	-	-	-
$P_{625}^{624}(\beta)$	24.854283	34.88143	148.0630	286.9456	289.84609

TABLE 4. Convergence of the expansion (3.38) by finite part integration of the ground-state energy for the funnel potential across all coupling regimes. $E^*(\beta)$ are quoted from [45, 46] while $P_{625}^{624}(\beta)$ is a Padé approximant constructed from the PT series (3.35) for the energy correction.

behavior in the expansion (2.8) of the Stieltjes integral so that we obtain the strong-coupling expansion,

$$(3.38) \quad E(\beta) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \mu_{-(k+1)}}{\beta^k} - \frac{\pi g(-\frac{1}{\beta}) \beta^{2/3}}{\sin(2\pi/3)} \right).$$

We tabulated the result of computing the ground-state energy $E(\beta)$ using the expansion (3.38) across all coupling regimes in Table 4. We also quoted the results from [45, 46] and the Padé approximant $P_{1001}^{1000}(\beta)$ for comparison. As in the previous systems, our results are in excellent agreement with those derived from other methods in the weak-coupling regimes. Furthermore, armed with mostly the same information as that in Padé approximants, we were also able to access a wider set of parametric regimes especially the strong-coupling domain where the Padé approximant breaks down.

3.1.4. *Sextic Anharmonic Oscillator.* Unlike the previous systems, the coefficients $b_3^{(k)}$ of the divergent weak-coupling expansion

$$(3.39) \quad E^{(3)}(\beta) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_3^{(k)} \beta^k$$

for the correction to the ground-state energy of the sextic anharmonic oscillator

$$(3.40) \quad H(\beta) = \hat{p}^2 + \hat{x}^2 + \beta x^6, \quad \beta > 0.$$

Terms used	$\beta = 10^{-3}$	$\beta = 10^{-2}$	$\beta = 10^{-1}$
1	1.0018750	1.01875	1.187500
5	1.00184881776	1.017593128	1.302(10 ²)
10	1.00184881554160	3.56(10 ⁻¹)	-7.57(10 ⁹)
20	1.0018488139131	-2.22(10 ¹¹)	
$E^{(3)}(\beta)$	1.00184881557231	1.016741136375	1.109087078466

TABLE 5. Convergence of the partial sums of the divergent weak-coupling PT expansion (3.39) for the ground-state energy of the sextic anharmonic oscillator. $E^{(3)}(\beta)$ is obtained from our result in expansion (3.46).

exhibits a more pathological rate of divergence [8, 16],

$$(3.41) \quad b_3^{(k)} \sim (-1)^{k+1} \frac{\sqrt{128}}{\pi^2} \Gamma\left(2k + \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{2k}, \quad r \rightarrow \infty.$$

In Table 5, we tabulated the result for approximating the ground-state energy from a sequence of partial sums of the divergent RS weak-coupling expansion (3.39). This table shows that due to the rate by which the expansion (3.39) diverges, summation procedure is necessary even in the weak-coupling regime. As remarked in [8], the growth rate (3.41) can be simulated by a Poincaré-type asymptotic expansion of a generalized Stieltjes integral

$$(3.42) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{-1/2} e^{-t} dt}{1 + (64\beta t^2/45\pi^2)} \sim \pi^{1/2} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{2k} \left(\frac{-64\beta}{45\pi^2}\right)^k.$$

Hence, we sum the weak-coupling expansion (3.39) for the energy correction to a generalized Stieltjes integral (2.9) by mapping the RS expansion coefficients $b_3^{(k)}$ to the μ_{2k} positive power moments of some positive function $\rho(x)$,

$$(3.43) \quad b_3^{(k+1)} = (-1)^k \mu_{2k} = (-1)^k \int_0^\infty x^{2k} \rho(x) dx, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

so that the energy correction in equation (3.39) is summed as

$$(3.44) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta \sum_{k=0}^\infty b_3^{(k+1)} \beta^k &= \beta \sum_{k=0}^\infty (-1)^k \beta^k \int_0^\infty x^{2k} \rho(x) dx \\ &= \beta \int_0^\infty \rho(x) \left(\sum_{k=0}^\infty (-1)^k \beta^k x^{2k} \right) dx \\ &= \beta \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho(x)}{1 + \beta x^2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the ground-state energy admits a generalized Stieltjes integral representation

$$(3.45) \quad E^{(3)}(\beta) = 1 + \beta \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho(x)}{1 + \beta x^2} dx, \quad \beta > 0.$$

We then set $\rho(x) = x^{-\nu}g(x)$, $|\nu| < 1$ and $g(0) \neq 0$ so that the ground-state energy possesses the following strong-coupling expansion from the result in equation (2.9),

$$(3.46) \quad E^{(3)}(\beta) = 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{\beta^k} \mu_{-(2k+2)} + \Delta(\beta)$$

where the missed term is given by equation (2.10) ,

$$(3.47) \quad \Delta(\beta) = \frac{\pi \beta^{(1+\nu)/2}}{\sin(\pi\nu)} \left(\cos\left(\frac{\pi\nu}{2}\right) \operatorname{Im} g\left(i/\sqrt{\beta}\right) + \sin\left(\frac{\pi\nu}{2}\right) \operatorname{Re} g\left(i/\sqrt{\beta}\right) \right),$$

and the divergent negative-power moments $\mu_{-(2k+2)}$ are the Hadamard's finite part integrals

$$(3.48) \quad \mu_{-(2k+2)} = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{-\nu}g(x)}{x^{2k+2}} dx, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

From equation (3.20), the ground-state energy exhibit a leading-order behavior $E^{(3)} \sim \beta^{1/4}$ which we can incorporate in the term (3.47) by setting $\nu = -1/2$. As in equation (3.2), the function $\rho(x) = x^{1/2}g(x)$, is reconstructed as a generalized Fourier series expansion in terms of the Laguerre polynomials,

$$(3.49) \quad \rho(x) = x^{1/2} e^{-x/2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m m! \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{(-x)^k}{(k!)^2 (m-k)!}.$$

In the present case, the first $d+1$ expansion coefficients c_m are computed by imposing equation (3.43). This leads to a system of linear equations for the expansion coefficients c_m , given the first $d+1$ coefficients $b_3^{(k+1)}$,

$$(3.50) \quad b_3^{(k+1)} = \sum_{m=0}^d c_m P(2k, m)$$

where again the matrix $P(n, m)$ is given by equation (3.5). We then substitute the reconstruction (3.49) to the expansion (3.46) and compute the finite part integrals (3.48) occurring in the second term of equation (3.46),

$$(3.51) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \mu_{-(2k+2)}}{\beta^k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor - 1} \frac{(-1)^k}{\beta^k} (A_{2k} + B_{2k} + C_{2k}) + \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{\beta^k} D_{2k},$$

where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is the floor function and the terms A_k, B_k, C_k , and D_k are given by equations (3.7)-(3.11).

We tabulated the result of using the expansion (3.46) to compute the ground-state energy in the strong-coupling regime in Table 6. We included the results of the Padé approximant $P_{26}^{25}(\beta)$ constructed from the PT series (3.39) as well as the strong-coupling expansion (3.20) from [16] for comparison. Our result exhibits an excellent rate of convergence for coupling orders $\sim 10^{-2} - 10^{-1}$ where even higher-order Padé approximants and the strong-coupling expansion (3.20) becomes unreliable. In the strong-coupling regime, our result successfully reproduces the first few digits of the result obtained from [16].

Moments	$\beta = 0.01$					
10	1.01 674 117					
100	1.01 674 136 375 472 059					
200	1.01 674 136 375 473 202 826					
500	1.01 674 136 375 473 203 167 183 427					
1000	1.01 674 136 375 473 203 167 181 798 146 029					
1500	1.01 674 136 375 473 203 167 181 798 150 975 360					
2000	1.01 674 136 375 473 203 167 181 798 150 975 912 655					
2500	1.01 674 136 375 473 203 167 181 798 150 975 913 335 65					
$\mathcal{E}(\beta)$	0.821 842 620 537 508					
$P_{26}^{25}(\beta)$	1.01 674 136 331 858 137 175 447 611					
Moments	$\beta = 0.1$			$\beta = 1.0$		
10	1.10 893			1.43 049		
100	1.10 908 705 248			1.43 558 957		
200	1.10 908 707 808			1.43 562 470		
500	1.10 908 707 846 624			1.43 562 470		
1000	1.10 908 707 846 558 285			1.43 562 461 738		
1500	1.10 908 707 846 558 369			1.43 562 461 895		
2000	1.10 908 707 846 558 370 253			1.43 562 461 898		
2500	1.10 908 707 846 558 370 280 768			1.43 562 461 900		
$\mathcal{E}(\beta)$	1.10 907 981 161 923			1.43 562 461 87		
$P_{26}^{25}(\beta)$	1.10 864 422 246 116			1.33 992 038 209		
Moments	$\beta = 100$	$\beta = 10^3$	$\beta = 10^4$	$\beta = 10^5$	$\beta = 10^6$	
10	3.58541	6.15915	10.7643	18.9688	33.5672	
100	3.70518	6.44745	11.3605	20.1160	35.6959	
200	3.71338	6.47582	11.4310	20.2654	35.9878	
500	3.71758	6.49604	11.4899	20.4025	36.2722	
1000	3.71690	6.49176	11.4765	20.3693	36.1989	
1500	3.71697123	6.49232	11.4787086	20.3748	36.2111	
$\mathcal{E}(\beta)$	3.71697473	6.49235	11.4787980	20.3750	36.2115	
$P_{26}^{25}(\beta)$	1.46684099	1.46847	1.46863451	1.46865	1.46865	
Moments	$\beta = 10^8$	$\beta = 10^9$	$\beta = 10^{10}$	$\beta = 10^{11}$	$\beta = 2(10^{20})$	
50	116.073	206.457	367.188	653.014	138110	
100	112.687	200.432	356.167	633.30	133909	
200	113.689	202.132	359.412	639.10	135144	
300	114.854	204.255	363.237	645.95	136607	
500	114.696	204.025	362.723	645.03	136411	
1000	114.436	203.969	361.861	643.49	136080	
1500	114.482	203.576	362.014	643.76	136139	
$\mathcal{E}(\beta)$	114.483	203.579	362.019	643.77	136141	
$P_{26}^{25}(\beta)$	1.46865	1.46865	1.46865	1.46865	1.46865	

TABLE 6. Convergence of the expansion (3.46) by finite-part integration of the ground-state energy of the sextic anharmonic oscillator across all coupling regimes. All relevant computations are performed up to 3000-digit precision. We obtained $\mathcal{E}(\beta)$ from the result of [16]. $P_{26}^{25}(\beta)$ is a Padé approximant.

4. SUMMARY, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND CONCLUSION

In this paper we proposed a prescription for applying the method of finite-part integration by summing divergent Stieltjes series to a Stieltjes integral or one of its generalizations. We applied the procedure on divergent PT series solutions for the ground-state energy of various quantum mechanical systems. The procedure allowed us to transform the divergent weak-coupling expansion into a novel convergent strong-coupling expansion in inverse powers of the coupling parameter plus a correction term that led us to naturally incorporate the known non-integer algebraic leading-order behavior of the energy eigenvalues in the strong-coupling regime. We then computed approximations to the ground-state energies across all perturbation regimes with considerable accuracy even well into the strong-coupling regime.

We pointed out how the point-wise convergence of the solution to the underlying Stieltjes moment problem near the origin could undermine the convergence of the expansion in the strong-coupling regime. In this regard, future work on the subject could investigate procedures for solving the Stieltjes moment problem that could offer better point-wise convergence with less number of positive-power moments used as inputs. This could enhance the potential of the prescription to take on problems in certain applications where the perturbation coefficients are scarce. In addition, the reconstruction must possess the required analytic property for finite part integration to apply and the appropriate form at the origin to guarantee that it can simulate the correct strong-coupling leading-order behavior of the problem. The maximum entropy approach [21] for instance, gives the reconstruction in the form

$$(4.1) \quad \rho(x) = \exp\left(-\sum_{n=0}^N \lambda_n x^n\right),$$

where λ_n are constants to be determined. This is not an ideal reconstruction scheme when used for the physical examples considered here. When $\rho(x)$ is given by (4.1), finite part integration gives the expansion for the Stieltjes integral as (see [22], eq. 3.3),

$$(4.2) \quad \beta \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho(x)}{1+\beta x} dx = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{\beta^k} \mu_{-(k+1)} - \rho\left(-\frac{1}{\beta}\right) \ln\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right).$$

Where the negative-power moments are the Hadamard's finite part integral (eq. 2.9 in [22])

$$(4.3) \quad \mu_{-(k+1)} = \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho(x)}{x^{k+1}} dx.$$

In the strong-coupling regime, this expansion will be dominated by the second term which exhibits a logarithmic behavior instead of the non-integer algebraic power exhibited in the spectra of the systems considered here.

The versatility and the comparative algorithmic simplicity gives the method of summation we presented here its obvious advantage. Through the most direct route, it allowed us to bring partial information from opposite asymptotic regimes and yield a single expansion which can be used to compute the solution across all parametric regimes. Moreover, as shown for the case of the spectrum of the sextic anharmonic oscillator, the prescription can also be applied efficiently to sum series

which exhibits divergence faster than a factorial growth for which standard Padé approximants could not handle effectively even in the small-coupling regime.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge the Computing and Archiving Research Environment (COARE) of the Department of Science and Technology's Advanced Science and Technology Institute (DOST-ASTI) for providing access to their High-Performance Computing (HPC) facility. This work was funded by the University of the Philippines System through the Enhanced Creative Work Research Grant (ECWRG 2019-05-R). C.D. Tica acknowledges the Department of Science and Technology-Science Education Institute (DOST-SEI) for the scholarship grant under DOST ASTHRDP-NSC.

APPENDIX A. HADAMARD'S FINITE PART INTEGRALS

In this section, we give a concise discussion on the computation of Hadamard's finite part of the divergent integrals with a non-integrable singularity at the origin,

$$(A.1) \quad \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{x^{m+\nu}} dx, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, |\nu| < 1,$$

where a can be taken to infinity. This is done by introducing an arbitrarily small cut-off parameter ϵ , $0 < \epsilon < a$, to replace the offending non-integrable origin. The resulting convergent integral is grouped into two sets of terms

$$(A.2) \quad \int_\epsilon^a \frac{f(x)}{x^{m+\nu}} dx = C_\epsilon + D_\epsilon,$$

where C_ϵ is the group of terms that possesses a finite limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, while D_ϵ diverges in the same limit. The finite part of the divergent integral is then obtained by dropping the diverging group of terms D_ϵ , leaving only the limit of C_ϵ and assigning the limit as the value of the divergent integral,

$$(A.3) \quad \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{x^{m+\nu}} dx = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} C_\epsilon.$$

The Hadamard's finite part integral may also be represented more rigorously as the complex contour integral given in equation (2.4) in Section 2. The details are given in [22, 26]. The starting point for the construction of a complex contour integral representation is the equivalent form,

$$(A.4) \quad \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{x^{m+\nu}} dx = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[\int_\epsilon^a \frac{f(x)}{x^{m+\nu}} dx - D_\epsilon \right].$$

The canonical representation (A.3), on the other hand is relevant for computing the values of the Hadamard's finite part explicitly. To demonstrate this, let $f(x)$ have an entire complex extension $f(z)$ such that it admits the expansion

$$(A.5) \quad f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k.$$

Substituting this expansion in equation (A.1), we see from equation (A.3) that

$$(A.6) \quad \int_0^a \frac{f(x)}{x^{m+\nu}} dx = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_k a^{k+1-m-\nu}}{(k+1-m-\nu)}.$$

Moreover,

$$(A.7) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{x^{m+\nu}} dx = \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{c_k a^{k+1-m-\nu}}{(k+1-m-\nu)},$$

provided $f(x)x^{-m-\nu}$ is integrable at infinity or $f(x)x^{-m-\nu} = o(x^{-1})$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. We apply this to the computation of the finite part for which $f(x) = e^{-bx}$, $b > 0$. Substituting the coefficients $c_k = (-b)^k/k!$ back in equation (A.7), we obtain the finite part in limit form

$$(A.8) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-bx}}{x^{m+\nu}} dx = \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k b^k a^{k+1-m-\nu}}{k! (k+1-m-\nu)}.$$

To facilitate the calculation of the limit, we sum the series as a hypergeometric function,

$$(A.9) \quad \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k b^k a^{k+1-m-\nu}}{k! (k+1-m-\nu)} = \frac{(-1)^{m+1} a^{1-\nu} b^m}{m! (\nu-1)} {}_2F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} 1, 1-\nu \\ m+1, 2-\nu \end{matrix}; -ab \right],$$

and make use of its asymptotic expansion for large arguments for the case of simple poles in [47],

$$(A.10) \quad {}_2F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2 \\ b_1, b_2 \end{matrix}; z \right] = \frac{\Gamma(b_1) \Gamma(b_2) \Gamma(a_2 - a_1)}{\Gamma(a_2) \Gamma(b_1 - a_1) \Gamma(b_2 - a_1)} (-z)^{-a_1} (1 + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1})) \\ + \frac{\Gamma(b_1) \Gamma(b_2) \Gamma(a_1 - a_2)}{\Gamma(a_1) \Gamma(b_1 - a_2) \Gamma(b_2 - a_2)} (-z)^{-a_2} (1 + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1})) \\ + \frac{\Gamma(b_1) \Gamma(b_2)}{\Gamma(a_1) \Gamma(a_2)} e^z z^{a_1+a_2-b_1-b_2} (1 + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1}))$$

By inspection, the second term in this expansion dominates all the others for the given parameters in equation (A.9). Hence in the limit as $a \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(A.11) \quad {}_2F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} 1, 1-\nu \\ m+1, 2-\nu \end{matrix}; -ab \right] = \frac{\Gamma(m+1)\Gamma(2-\nu)\Gamma(\nu)}{\Gamma(m+\nu) (ab)^{1-\nu}}$$

so that we obtain the finite part integral (A.8)

$$(A.12) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-bx}}{x^{m+\nu}} dx = \frac{(-1)^m b^{m+\nu-1} \pi}{\sin(\pi\nu) \Gamma(m+\nu)}, \quad b > 0,$$

$m = 1, 2, \dots$ and $|\nu| < 1$.

Alternatively and more conveniently, the finite part (A.12) can also be extracted from the analytic continuation of the Mellin transform [48],

$$(A.13) \quad \mathcal{M}[e^{-bx}; 1-\lambda] = \int_0^\infty x^{-\lambda} e^{-bx} dx \\ = b^{\lambda-1} \Gamma(1-\lambda), \quad \text{Re } \lambda < 1.$$

As established in [28], the finite part of the divergent integral $\int_0^\infty x^{-\lambda} e^{-bx} dx$ is the analytic continuation of the right-hand side of equation (A.13) to $\text{Re } \lambda \geq 1$ provide λ is a regular point of the analytic continuation. The result (A.13) reduces to (A.12) by making use of the identity

$$(A.14) \quad \Gamma(1-\lambda) = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi\lambda)\Gamma(\lambda)}$$

and setting $\lambda = m + \nu$. In this particular case, the latter method is obviously more convenient for the computation of the finite part integral (A.12). But for cases when the Mellin transform does not exist, the canonical form (A.6) is a convenient alternative.

REFERENCES

- [1] Le Guillou, Jean-Claude, and Jean Zinn-Justin, eds. “Large-order behaviour of perturbation theory”. Elsevier, 2012.
- [2] Olver, Frank. “Asymptotics and special functions”. AK Peters/CRC Press, 1997.
- [3] Álvarez, Gabriel, and Harris J. Silverstone. “A new method to sum divergent power series: educated match.” *Journal of Physics Communications* 1.2 (2017): 025005.
- [4] Costin, Ovidiu, and Gerald V. Dunne. “Resurgent extrapolation: rebuilding a function from asymptotic data. Painlevé I.” *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* 52.44 (2019): 445205.
- [5] Bender, Carl M., Steven Orszag, and Steven A. Orszag. “Advanced mathematical methods for scientists and engineers I: Asymptotic methods and perturbation theory. Vol. 1.” Springer Science and Business Media, 1999.
- [6] Gilewicz, Jacek, et al. “Continued fractions, two-point Padé approximants and errors in the Stieltjes case.” *Journal of computational and applied mathematics* 145.1 (2002): 99-112.
- [7] Weniger, Ernst Joachim, and Jiří Čížek. “Rational approximations for the modified Bessel function of the second kind.” *Computer physics communications* 59.3 (1990): 471-493.
- [8] Weniger, Ernst Joachim, Jiří Čížek, and Francine Vinette. “The summation of the ordinary and renormalized perturbation series for the ground state energy of the quartic, sextic, and octic anharmonic oscillators using nonlinear sequence transformations.” *Journal of mathematical physics* 34.2 (1993): 571-609.
- [9] Mera, Héctor, Thomas G. Pedersen, and Branislav K. Nikolić. “Fast summation of divergent series and resurgent transseries from Meijer-G approximants.” *Physical Review D* 97.10 (2018): 105027.
- [10] Kazakov, D. I., and V. S. Popov. “On the summation of divergent perturbation series in quantum mechanics and field theory.” *Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics* 95.4 (2002): 581-600.
- [11] Baker, George A., et al. “Pade Approximants: Encyclopedia of Mathematics and It’s Applications, Vol. 59 George A. Baker, Jr., Peter Graves-Morris. Vol. 59.” Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- [12] Bender, Carl M., and Stefan Boettcher. “Determination of $f(\infty)$ from the asymptotic series for $f(x)$ about $x = 0$.” *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 35.4 (1994): 1914-1921.
- [13] Suslov, I. M. “Summing divergent perturbative series in a strong coupling limit. The Gell-Mann-Low function of the ϕ^4 theory.” *Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics* 93 (2001): 1-23.
- [14] Le Guillou, J. C., and J. Zinn-Justin. “The hydrogen atom in strong magnetic fields: summation of the weak field series expansion.” *Current Physics—Sources and Comments*. Vol. 7. Elsevier, 1990. 259-286.

- [15] Avellaneda, Marco, and Andrew J. Majda. "An integral representation and bounds on the effective diffusivity in passive advection by laminar and turbulent flows." *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 138.2 (1991): 339-391.
- [16] Weniger, Ernst Joachim. "Construction of the strong coupling expansion for the ground state energy of the quartic, sextic, and octic anharmonic oscillator via a renormalized strong coupling expansion." *Physical review letters* 77.14 (1996): 2859.
- [17] Grecchi, Vincenzo, Marco Maioli, and André Martinez. "Padé summability of the cubic oscillator." *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* 42.42 (2009): 425208.
- [18] Bender, Carl M., and Ernst Joachim Weniger. "Numerical evidence that the perturbation expansion for a non-Hermitian PT-symmetric Hamiltonian is Stieltjes." *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 42.5 (2001): 2167-2183.
- [19] Dhatt, Sharmistha, and Kamal Bhattacharyya. "Embedding scaling relations in Padé approximants: Detours to tame divergent perturbation series." *International Journal of Quantum Chemistry* 113.7 (2013): 916-924.
- [20] Bender, Carl M., Lawrence R. Mead, and N. Papanicolaou. "Maximum entropy summation of divergent perturbation series." *Journal of mathematical physics* 28.5 (1987): 1016-1018.
- [21] Mead, Lawrence R., and Nikos Papanicolaou. "Maximum entropy in the problem of moments." *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 25.8 (1984): 2404-2417.
- [22] Galapon, Eric A. "The problem of missing terms in term by term integration involving divergent integrals." *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* 473.2197 (2017): 20160567.
- [23] Tica, Christian D., and Eric A. Galapon. "Finite-part integration of the generalized Stieltjes transform and its dominant asymptotic behavior for small values of the parameter. I. Integer orders." *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 59.2 (2018): 023509.
- [24] Tica, Christian D., and Eric A. Galapon. "Finite-part integration of the generalized Stieltjes transform and its dominant asymptotic behavior for small values of the parameter. II. Non-integer orders." *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 60.1 (2019): 013502.
- [25] Monegato, G. "Definitions, properties and applications of finite-part integrals." *Journal of computational and applied mathematics* 229.2 (2009): 425-439.
- [26] Galapon, Eric A. "The Cauchy principal value and the Hadamard finite part integral as values of absolutely convergent integrals." *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 57.3 (2016): 033502.
- [27] Villanueva, Lloyd L., and Eric A. Galapon. "Finite-part integration in the presence of competing singularities: Transformation equations for the hypergeometric functions arising from finite-part integration." *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 62.4 (2021): 043505.
- [28] Galapon, Eric A. "Regularized Limit, analytic continuation and finite-part integration." *Analysis and Applications*, DOI: 10.1142/S021953052350001X (2023). arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.02013 (2021).
- [29] Strang, G. "Introduction to Linear Algebra, volume 5th Edition. Wellesley." Cambridge Press 174 (2016): 345-350.
- [30] Demmel, James W. "Applied numerical linear algebra." Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 1997.

- [31] Schäling, Boris. “The boost C++ libraries.” Boris Schäling, 2011.
- [32] G. Guennebaud, B. Jacob et al., “Eigen v3,” <http://eigen.tuxfamily.org>, 2010.
- [33] MPFR extended precision data types, <http://www.holoborodko.com/pavel/mpfr/>, [Accessed 23-February-2022].
- [34] The GNU GMP library, <https://gmplib.org/>, [Accessed 23-February-2022].
- [35] The GNU MPFR library, <https://mpfr.org/>, [Accessed 23-February-2022].
- [36] Arfken, George B., and Hans J. Weber. “Mathematical methods for physicists 6th ed.” Mathematical methods for physicists 6th ed. by George B. Arfken and Hans J. Weber. Published: Amsterdam; Boston: Elsevier (2005).
- [37] Simon, Barry. “Tosio Kato’s Work on Non-Relativistic Quantum Mechanics: A Brief Report.” IAMP News Bulletin (2018): 6-25.
- [38] Simon, Barry. “The anharmonic oscillator: A singular perturbation theory.” Cargese lectures in physics 5 (1971): 383.
- [39] Loeffel, J. J., and A. Martin. “Cargese Lectures on Physics (1970), Vol. 5.” Gordon and Breach Publishers, New York (1972): 415.
- [40] Grecchi, Vincenzo, and André Martinez. “The spectrum of the cubic oscillator.” Communications in Mathematical Physics 319.2 (2013): 479-500.
- [41] Bender, Carl M., and Tai Tsun Wu. “Large-order behavior of perturbation theory.” Physical Review Letters 27.7 (1971): 461.
- [42] Simon, Barry, and A. Dicke. “Coupling constant analyticity for the anharmonic oscillator.” Annals of Physics 58.1 (1970): 76-136.
- [43] Eichten, E., et al. “Charmonium: the model.” Physical review D 17.11 (1978): 3090.
- [44] Eletsky, V. L., V. S. Popov, and V. M. Weinberg. “Logarithmic perturbation theory for the screened Coulomb potential.” Physics Letters A 84.5 (1981): 235-238.
- [45] Ganster, J., and B. Kohler. “A modified perturbation theory on the basis of spectrum generating algebras.” Journal of physics A: mathematical and general 19.8 (1986): 1291.
- [46] Arteca, Gustavo A., Francisco M. Fernández, and Eduardo A. Castro. “A method for summation of perturbation series.” Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications 128.3 (1984): 589-610.
- [47] For the asymptotic expansion of ${}_2F_2$ for the case of simple poles, <http://functions.wolfram.com/HypergeometricFunctions/Hypergeometric2F2/06/02/02/0003/>, [Accessed 23-February-2019].
- [48] Brychkov, Yu A., Oleg Igorevich Marichev, and Nikolay V. Svischenko. Handbook of Mellin transforms. Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2018.

THEORETICAL PHYSICS GROUP, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES, DILIMAN QUEZON CITY, 1101 PHILIPPINES

Email address: eagalapon@up.edu.ph