

SEMICONTINUOUS MAPS ON MODULE VARIETIES

CHRISTOF GEISS, DANIEL LABARDINI-FRAGOSO, AND JAN SCHRÖER

ABSTRACT. We study semicontinuous maps on varieties of modules over finite-dimensional algebras. We prove that truncated Euler maps are upper or lower semicontinuous. This implies that g -vectors and E -invariants of modules are upper semicontinuous. We also discuss inequalities of generic values of some upper semicontinuous maps.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and main results	1
2. Known results on varieties of modules and semicontinuous maps	5
3. Proofs of the main results	10
4. Examples	15
References	16

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

1.1. Irreducible components of module varieties. Let A be a finite-dimensional K -algebra, where K is an algebraically closed field. Let $\text{mod}(A)$ be the category of finite-dimensional left A -modules.

For $d \geq 0$ let $\text{mod}(A, d)$ be the affine variety of A -modules with dimension d . The general linear group $G_d := \text{GL}_d(K)$ acts on $\text{mod}(A, d)$ by conjugation. The orbits correspond to the isomorphism classes of d -dimensional modules. The orbit of $M \in \text{mod}(A, d)$ is denoted by \mathcal{O}_M . Let $\text{Irr}(A, d)$ be the set of irreducible components of $\text{mod}(A, d)$, and let $\text{Irr}(A)$ be the union of the sets $\text{Irr}(A, d)$ where d runs over all dimensions. We say that $Z \in \text{Irr}(A, d)$ contains a dense orbit if there is some $M \in \text{mod}(A, d)$ with $Z = \overline{\mathcal{O}_M}$.

1.2. Main results. For $M, M' \in \text{mod}(A)$ and $i \geq 0$ let

$$\text{ext}_A^i(M, M') := \dim \text{Ext}_A^i(M, M').$$

We have $\text{Ext}_A^0(M, M') = \text{Hom}_A(M, M')$. Let $\text{hom}_A(M, M') := \text{ext}_A^0(M, M')$.

Date: June 10, 2024.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14M99, 16E30, 16G70; Secondary 16G60, 13F60.

For $d, d', i \geq 0$ define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ext}_A^i(-, ?) : \text{mod}(A, d) \times \text{mod}(A, d') &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, & (M, M') &\mapsto \text{ext}_A^i(M, M'), \\ \text{ext}_A^i(-) : \text{mod}(A, d) &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, & M &\mapsto \text{ext}_A^i(M, M). \end{aligned}$$

It is well known that the above maps are upper semicontinuous.

Theorem 1.1. *For $d, d', t \geq 0$ the map*

$$\eta_t(-, ?) : \text{mod}(A, d) \times \text{mod}(A, d') \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad (M, M') \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^t (-1)^i \text{ext}_A^i(M, M')$$

is upper semicontinuous for t even and lower semicontinuous for t odd.

We call $\eta_t(-, ?)$ a *truncated Euler map*.

If $\text{gl. dim}(A) = t$, then Theorem 1.1 says that $\eta_t(-, ?) = \eta_{t+1}(-, ?)$ is upper and lower semicontinuous. This implies the well known result that in this case the value $\eta_t(M, M')$ depends only on the dimension vectors of M and M' . The associated bilinear form $\mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is the *Euler form* of A . (Here n is the number of simple A -modules, up to isomorphism.)

For $Z \in \text{Irr}(A, d)$ and $Z' \in \text{Irr}(A, d')$ let $\text{ext}_A^i(Z, Z')$ (resp. $\text{ext}_A^i(Z)$) be the generic value of $\text{ext}_A^i(-, ?)$ (resp. $\text{ext}_A^i(-)$) on $Z \times Z'$ (resp. Z). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ext}_A^i(Z, Z') &= \min\{\text{ext}_A^i(M, M') \mid (M, M') \in Z \times Z'\}, \\ \text{ext}_A^i(Z) &= \min\{\text{ext}_A^i(M, M) \mid M \in Z\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\text{hom}_A(Z, Z') := \text{ext}_A^0(Z, Z')$ and $\text{end}_A(Z) := \text{ext}_A^0(Z)$.

For $Z \in \text{Irr}(A, d)$ and $Z' \in \text{Irr}(A, d')$ let $\eta_t(Z, Z')$ be the generic value of $\eta_t(-, ?)$ on $Z \times Z'$. The upper semicontinuity of $\text{ext}_A^i(-, ?)$ implies that

$$\eta_t(Z, Z') = \sum_{i=0}^t (-1)^i \text{ext}_A^i(Z, Z').$$

Theorem 1.1 implies that this generic value is in fact the minimal (for t even) or maximal (if t is odd) value of $\eta_t(-, ?)$ on $Z \times Z'$.

For $M, M' \in \text{mod}(A)$, $i \geq 1$ and $j \geq 0$ we have

$$\text{Ext}_A^i(\Omega^j(M), M') \cong \text{Ext}_A^{i+j}(M, M')$$

where $\Omega^j(M)$ is the j -th syzygy module of M . For the definition of $\Omega^j(-)$ we use minimal projective resolutions.

Corollary 1.2. *For $j \geq 0$ the map*

$$\text{hom}_A(\Omega^j(-), ?) : \text{mod}(A, d) \times \text{mod}(A, d') \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad (M, M') \mapsto \text{hom}_A(\Omega^j(M), M')$$

is upper semicontinuous.

Let $S(1), \dots, S(n)$ be the simple A -modules, up to isomorphism. For $M \in \text{mod}(A)$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$ let

$$g_i := g_i(M) := -\text{hom}_A(M, S(i)) + \text{ext}_A^1(M, S(i)).$$

Then $g(M) := (g_1, \dots, g_n)$ is the *g -vector* of M .

Corollary 1.3. *For $d \geq 0$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$ the map*

$$g_i(-): \text{mod}(A, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad M \mapsto g_i(M)$$

is upper semicontinuous.

Corollary 1.3 is already proved in our abandoned preprint [GLFS20].

For $M, N \in \text{mod}(A)$ let

$$E(M, N) := \text{hom}_A(N, \tau(M)) \quad \text{and} \quad E(M) := E(M, M)$$

be the E -invariant of (M, N) and M , respectively. Here τ is the Auslander-Reiten translation for $\text{mod}(A)$. (For an introduction to Auslander-Reiten theory we refer to [ASS06, ARS97, R84].)

E -invariants and g -vectors appear in Derksen, Weyman and Zelevinsky's seminal work [DWZ10] on the additive categorification of Fomin-Zelevinsky cluster algebras via Jacobian algebras. They also feature in τ -tilting theory [AIR14].

Corollary 1.4. *For $d, d' \geq 0$ the maps*

$$\begin{aligned} E(-, ?): \text{mod}(A, d) \times \text{mod}(A, d') &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, & (M, M') &\mapsto E(M, M'), \\ E(-): \text{mod}(A, d) &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, & M &\mapsto E(M) \end{aligned}$$

are upper semicontinuous.

Corollary 1.4 is closely related to [DF15, Corollary 3.7]. Note however that the map in [DF15] is defined on another variety.

For $Z \in \text{Irr}(A, d)$ and $Z' \in \text{Irr}(A, d')$ the generic value of $E(-, ?)$ (resp. $E(-)$) on $Z \times Z'$ (resp. Z) is denoted by $E(Z, Z')$ (resp. $E(Z)$).

For $Z, Z' \in \text{Irr}(A)$ it is often crucial to know when $E(Z, Z') = 0$. Corollary 1.4 implies that it is enough to find some $(M, M') \in Z \times Z'$ with $E(M, M') = 0$.

By upper semicontinuity the inequalities

$$\text{ext}_A^i(Z, Z) \leq \text{ext}_A^i(Z) \quad \text{and} \quad E(Z, Z) \leq E(Z)$$

hold for all $Z \in \text{Irr}(A)$ and $i \geq 0$.

Theorem 1.5. *For $Z \in \text{Irr}(A)$ the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $\text{hom}_A(Z, Z) < \text{end}_A(Z)$;
- (ii) $\text{ext}_A^1(Z, Z) < \text{ext}_A^1(Z)$;
- (iii) $E(Z, Z) < E(Z)$;
- (iv) Z does not contain a dense orbit.

If Z does not contain a dense orbit, the inequality $\text{ext}_A^i(Z, Z) \leq \text{ext}_A^i(Z)$ is not necessarily strict for $i \geq 2$. Some examples can be found in Section 4. In general, it might be interesting to find a necessary and sufficient condition for $\text{ext}_A^i(Z, Z) < \text{ext}_A^i(Z)$.

Recall that $M \in \text{mod}(A)$ is a *brick* if $\text{End}_A(M) \cong K$. For $Z \in \text{Irr}(A)$ let $\text{brick}(Z) := \{M \in Z \mid M \text{ is a brick}\}$. Then Z is a *brick component* if $\text{brick}(Z) \neq \emptyset$. This is the case if and only if $\text{end}_A(Z) = 1$ if and only if $\overline{\text{brick}(Z)} = Z$.

Corollary 1.6. *Let $Z \in \text{Irr}(A)$ be a brick component which does not contain a dense orbit. Then $\text{hom}_A(Z, Z) = 0$.*

Corollary 1.6 generalizes one part of [S92, Theorem 3.5] from hereditary algebras to arbitrary algebras. It can also be extracted from the proof of [PY20, Theorem 3.8].

For $Z \in \text{Irr}(A)$ let

$$c(Z) := \min\{\dim(Z) - \dim \mathcal{O}_M \mid M \in Z\}$$

be the *number of parameters* of Z . It follows that $c(Z) \leq E(Z)$. Let

$$\text{Irr}^\tau(A) := \{Z \in \text{Irr}(A) \mid c(Z) = E(Z)\}$$

be the set of *generically τ -reduced* components. These components were introduced and studied in [GLS12] (where they ran under the name *strongly reduced components*). A parametrization of $\text{Irr}^\tau(A)$ via generic g -vectors is due to Plamondon [P13, Theorem 1.2].

The following result is useful for studying direct sum decompositions of generically τ -reduced components for tame algebras, see Section 2.6 for more details. It follows from Corollary 1.6 combined with some well known results on tame algebras.

Corollary 1.7 (Plamondon, Yurikusa [PY20]). *Assume that A is tame. For each $Z \in \text{Irr}^\tau(A)$ we have $E(Z, Z) = 0$.*

Corollary 1.7 appeared already as part of [PY20, Theorem 3.8] where it was mistakenly attributed to [GLFS22].

Generically τ -reduced components play a crucial role in the construction of well behaved bases for Fomin-Zelevinsky cluster algebras. Given a 2-acyclic quiver Q and a non-degenerate potential W for Q let $A = \mathcal{P}(Q, W)$ be the associated Jacobian algebra and let $\mathcal{A}(Q)$ (resp. $\mathcal{U}(Q)$) be the Fomin-Zelevinsky cluster algebra (resp. upper cluster algebra) associated with Q , see [DWZ08, DWZ10] for details. Recall that $\mathcal{A}(Q) \subseteq \mathcal{U}(Q)$. For each $Z \in \text{Irr}^\tau(A)$ there is a *generic Caldero-Chapoton function* $C_Z \in \mathcal{U}(Q)$. The set $\mathcal{B} := \{C_Z \mid Z \in \text{Irr}^\tau(A)\}$ often forms a basis of $\mathcal{A}(Q)$, see [GLS12, Q19]. It is proved in [DWZ10] that all cluster monomials of $\mathcal{A}(Q)$ are contained in \mathcal{B} . The tame Jacobian algebras were classified in [GLFS16] and include all Jacobian algebras arising from triangulations of marked surfaces. In this case, Corollary 1.7 implies that for each $C_Z \in \mathcal{B}$ we have $C_Z^m \in \mathcal{B}$ for all $m \geq 1$.

In [GLFS24] we construct a geometric version of the Derksen-Weyman-Zelevinsky mutation of modules over Jacobian algebras and generalize the mutation invariance of generically τ -reduced components (see [P13, Theorem 1.3]) from finite-dimensional Jacobian algebras to arbitrary ones. Our proof of the mutation invariance uses Corollary 1.4.

For the inverse Auslander-Reiten translation τ^- there are some obvious dual definitions and statements.

1.3. Organization of the paper. In Section 2 we recall some definitions and well known results. Section 2.1 contains a few facts on varieties of modules and their connected components. Section 2.2 recalls the definition of upper and lower semicontinuous maps and lists their basic properties. Section 2.3 contains the definition of the bar resolution of A . In Section 2.4 we use the bar resolution to show that $\text{ext}_A^i(-, ?)$ is upper semicontinuous. Section 2.5 explains the relation between g -vectors and E -invariants. Section 2.6 recalls the decomposition theorems on direct sums of irreducible components of module varieties. Section 3 contains the proofs of all results mentioned in the introduction. Our first main result Theorem 1.1 is proved in Section 3.1. Corollary 1.2 is proved in Section 3.2. Section 3.3 contains the proofs of Corollaries 1.3 and 1.4. The proof of the second main result Theorem 1.5 and of Corollary 1.6 is in Section 3.4. Section 3.5 contains the proof of Corollary 1.7. Section 4 consists of a collection of examples.

1.4. Conventions. Throughout, let K be an algebraically closed field. By A we always denote a finite-dimensional algebra over K . By a *module* we mean a finite-dimensional left A -module. Let $n(A)$ be the number of isomorphism classes of simple A -modules. (This number is finite.)

All varieties are defined over K . For a subset U of an affine variety X let \overline{U} be the Zariski closure of U in X .

For $d \geq 0$ let $M_d(K)$ be the set of $(d \times d)$ -matrices with entries in K .

Let \mathbb{N} be the set of natural numbers including 0, and let $K^\times := K \setminus \{0\}$.

The cardinality of a set X is denoted by $|X|$.

For maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow Z$, the composition is denoted by $gf: X \rightarrow Z$.

2. KNOWN RESULTS ON VARIETIES OF MODULES AND SEMICONINUOUS MAPS

2.1. Varieties of modules. For $d \geq 0$ let $\text{mod}(A, d)$ be the affine variety of K -algebra homomorphisms $M: A \rightarrow M_d(K)$. The general linear group $G_d = \text{GL}_d(K)$ acts by conjugation on $\text{mod}(A, d)$. (For $g \in G_d$ and $M \in \text{mod}(A, d)$ let $gM: A \rightarrow M_d(K)$ be defined by $a \mapsto g^{-1}M(a)g$.)

The *orbit* of M is $\mathcal{O}_M := \{gM \mid g \in G_d\}$. Its dimension is $\dim G_d - \dim \text{End}_A(M)$. The orbits in $\text{mod}(A, d)$ correspond to the isomorphism classes of d -dimensional A -modules.

Let $n = n(A)$, and let $S(1), \dots, S(n)$ be the simple A -modules, up to isomorphism. For a simple A -module S and $M \in \text{mod}(A)$ let $[M : S]$ be the Jordan-Hölder multiplicity of S in a (and therefore in all) composition series of M . Then $\underline{\dim}(M) := ([M : S(1)], \dots, [M : S(n)]) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ is the *dimension vector* of M . Let d_S be the K -dimension of S .

For $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $d = d_1 d_{S(1)} + \dots + d_n d_{S(n)}$ let

$$\text{mod}(A, \mathbf{d}) := \{M \in \text{mod}(A, d) \mid \underline{\dim}(M) = \mathbf{d}\}.$$

This is a connected component of $\text{mod}(A, d)$, and all connected components of $\text{mod}(A, d)$ arise in this way, see [Ga74, Corollary 1.4].

Let $\text{Irr}(A, d)$ be the set of irreducible components of the variety $\text{mod}(A, d)$, and let $\text{Irr}(A)$ be the union of all $\text{Irr}(A, d)$ for $d \geq 0$.

For $Z \in \text{Irr}(A)$ let $\underline{\dim}(Z) := \underline{\dim}(M)$ where M is any module in Z , and let $\underline{\dim}_i(Z) := [M : S(i)]$ be the i -th entry of $\underline{\dim}(Z)$.

2.2. Semicontinuous maps. Let X be an affine variety. A map $\eta: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is *upper semicontinuous* (resp. *lower semicontinuous*) if for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the set $X_{\leq n} := \{x \in X \mid \eta(x) \leq n\}$ (resp. $X_{\geq n} := \{x \in X \mid \eta(x) \geq n\}$) is open in X . Obviously, η is upper semicontinuous if and only if $-\eta$ is lower semicontinuous.

Let $\eta: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be upper semicontinuous (resp. lower semicontinuous), and let Z be an irreducible component of X . Let $\eta(Z) := \min\{\eta(x) \mid x \in Z\}$ (resp. $\eta(Z) := \max\{\eta(x) \mid x \in Z\}$). Then $\{x \in Z \mid \eta(x) := \eta(Z)\}$ is a dense open subset of Z . We call $\eta(Z)$ the *generic value* of η on Z .

Lemma 2.1. *For affine varieties X and Y let $\eta: X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be upper or lower semicontinuous. Then for $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ the maps*

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(x, -): Y &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, & y &\mapsto \eta(x, y), \\ \eta(-, y): X &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, & x &\mapsto \eta(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

are upper or lower semicontinuous, respectively.

Lemma 2.2. *For an affine variety X let $\eta: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be upper or lower semicontinuous. Then the map*

$$\eta(-): X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad x \mapsto \eta(x, x),$$

is upper or lower semicontinuous, respectively.

Note that the converses of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 are usually wrong.

Lemma 2.3. *For an affine variety X let X_1, \dots, X_t be the connected components of X . A map $\eta: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is upper or lower semicontinuous if and only if all restrictions $\eta_{X_i}: X_i \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ are upper or lower semicontinuous, respectively.*

The following lemma is well known.

Lemma 2.4. *Let X be an affine variety, let V be a finite-dimensional K -vector space, and let Z be a closed subset of $X \times V$. Assume that for each $x \in X$ the set*

$$Z_x := \{v \in V \mid (x, v) \in Z\}$$

is a subspace of V . Then

$$\eta: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad x \mapsto \dim(Z_x)$$

is upper semicontinuous.

2.3. Bar resolution. In the following all tensor products $\otimes = \otimes_K$ are over K if not indicated otherwise. For $n \geq 1$ let

$$A^{\otimes n} := A \otimes \cdots \otimes A$$

be the n -fold tensor product.

The *standard complex*

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{p_2} A^{\otimes 3} \xrightarrow{p_1} A^{\otimes 2} \xrightarrow{p_0} A \rightarrow 0$$

is defined by

$$p_i: a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i+1} \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^k a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k a_{k+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i+1}$$

for $i \geq 0$. The standard complex is a free A - A -bimodule resolution of A .

Let $M \in \text{mod}(A)$. Applying the tensor functor $- \otimes_A M$ to the standard complex gives a projective resolution

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{d_3^M} A^{\otimes 3} \otimes M \xrightarrow{d_2^M} A^{\otimes 2} \otimes M \xrightarrow{d_1^M} A \otimes M \xrightarrow{d_0^M} M \rightarrow 0$$

of M , where $d_i^M := p_i \otimes_A M$ for $i \geq 0$. Note that we identified the (left) A -modules $A \otimes_A M$ and M . Thus all tensor products in the resolution of M are over K .

2.4. Upper semicontinuity of $\text{ext}_A^i(-, ?)$. We keep the notation from Section 2.3. Let $i \geq 1$. For simplification we define

$$\begin{aligned} k_i^{M,N} &:= \dim \text{Ker}(\text{Hom}_A(d_i^M, N)), \\ c_i^{M,N} &:= \dim \text{Hom}_A(A^{\otimes i} \otimes M, N). \end{aligned}$$

The value $c_i^{M,N}$ depends only on $\dim(M)$ and $\dim(N)$ since

$$A^{\otimes i} \otimes M \cong A^{\dim(A^{\otimes(i-1)}) \dim(M)}$$

is free projective and therefore $c_i^{M,N} = \dim(A^{\otimes(i-1)}) \dim(M) \dim(N)$. (We use the convention $A^{\otimes 0} = K$.) Applying $\text{Hom}_A(-, N)$ to the projective resolution of M we have

$$\text{Ext}_A^i(M, N) = \text{Ker}(\text{Hom}_A(d_{i+1}^M, N)) / \text{Im}(\text{Hom}_A(d_i^M, N))$$

and $\text{Ext}_A^0(M, N) = \text{Ker}(\text{Hom}_A(d_1^M, N))$. Since

$$c_i^{M,N} = \dim \text{Im}(\text{Hom}_A(d_i^M, N)) + \dim \text{Ker}(\text{Hom}_A(d_i^M, N))$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ext}_A^i(M, N) &= \dim \text{Ker}(\text{Hom}_A(d_{i+1}^M, N)) - \dim \text{Im}(\text{Hom}_A(d_i^M, N)) \\ &= k_{i+1}^{M,N} + k_i^{M,N} - c_i^{M,N}. \end{aligned}$$

and $\text{ext}_A^0(M, N) = k_1^{M,N}$.

The following result is very useful, e.g. we need it for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.5. For $d, d' \geq 0$ and $i \geq 1$ the map

$$\eta: \text{mod}(A, d) \times \text{mod}(A, d') \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad (M, M') \mapsto k_i^{M, M'}$$

is upper semicontinuous.

Proof. Let

$$X := \text{mod}(A, d) \times \text{mod}(A, d'),$$

$$V := \text{Hom}_K(A^{\otimes i} \otimes K^d, K^{d'}),$$

$$Z := \{((M, M'), f) \in X \times V \mid f \in \text{Hom}_A(A^{\otimes i} \otimes M, M'), fd_i^M = 0\}.$$

Then Z is closed in $X \times V$.

For $(M, M') \in X$ let $Z_{(M, M')} := \{f \in V \mid ((M, M'), f) \in Z\}$. This is obviously a subspace of V which is isomorphic to $\text{Ker}(\text{Hom}_A(d_i^M, M'))$. Thus we can apply Lemma 2.4 and get that η is upper semicontinuous. \square

Corollary 2.6. *For $d, d', i \geq 0$ the map*

$$\text{mod}(A, d) \times \text{mod}(A, d') \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad (M, M') \mapsto \text{ext}_A^i(M, M')$$

is upper semicontinuous.

Proof. This follows directly from Lemma 2.5 and the considerations at the beginning of Section 2.4. \square

2.5. g -vectors and E -invariants. Let $n = n(A)$, and let $S(1), \dots, S(n)$ be the simple A -modules, up to isomorphism. For $M \in \text{mod}(A)$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$ recall that

$$g_i(M) = -\text{hom}_A(M, S(i)) + \text{ext}_A^1(M, S(i)).$$

Let $P(1), \dots, P(n)$ be the indecomposable projective A -modules with $\text{top}(P(i)) \cong S(i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Note that for $M \in \text{mod}(A)$ we have

$$\text{hom}_A(P(i), M) = [M : S(i)].$$

Each finite-dimensional projective A -module P is of the form

$$P \cong P(1)^{a_1} \oplus \dots \oplus P(n)^{a_n}$$

for some uniquely determined $a_1, \dots, a_n \geq 0$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$ let

$$[P : P(i)] := a_i.$$

For $M \in \text{mod}(A)$ let

$$P_1 \xrightarrow{p_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{p_0} M \rightarrow 0$$

be a minimal projective presentation of M .

The following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 2.7. *For $1 \leq i \leq n$ we have*

$$g_i(M) = [P_1 : P(i)] - [P_0 : P(i)].$$

Let $Z \in \text{Irr}(A)$. By the upper semicontinuity of the maps $\text{hom}_A(-, S(i))$ and $\text{ext}_A^1(-, S(i))$, there is a dense open subset U of Z such the restriction of $g_i(-)$ is constant on U for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. We denote this generic value by $g_i(Z)$.

For $M, N \in \text{mod}(A)$ recall that

$$E(M, N) = \text{hom}_A(N, \tau(M)) \quad \text{and} \quad E(M) = E(M, M).$$

Applying $\text{Hom}_A(-, N)$ to the minimal projective presentation of M (see above) we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, N) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_A(p_0, N)} \text{Hom}_A(P_0, N) \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_A(p_1, N)} \text{Hom}_A(P_1, N).$$

By [ARS97, Chapter IV, Corollary 4.3] we have

$$\text{Coker}(\text{Hom}_A(p_1, N)) \cong \text{Hom}_A(N, \tau_A(M)).$$

In particular, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E(M, N) &= \text{hom}_A(M, N) - \text{hom}_A(P_0, N) + \text{hom}_A(P_1, N) \\ &= \text{hom}_A(M, N) - \sum_{i=1}^n [P_0 : P(i)][N : S(i)] + \sum_{i=1}^n [P_1 : P(i)][N : S(i)] \\ &= \text{hom}_A(M, N) + \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(M)[N : S(i)]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $Z, Z' \in \text{Irr}(A)$. By the upper semicontinuity of $\text{hom}_A(-, ?)$, there is a dense open subset U of $Z \times Z'$ (resp. Z) such the restriction of $E(-, ?)$ (resp. $E(-)$) is constant on U . We denote this generic value by $E(Z, Z')$ (resp. $E(Z)$).

2.6. Direct sums of irreducible components. For $1 \leq i \leq t$ let $Z_i \in \text{Irr}(A, d_i)$. Let $d = d_1 + \cdots + d_t$ and define

$$\begin{aligned} \eta : G_d \times Z_1 \times \cdots \times Z_t &\rightarrow \text{mod}(A, d) \\ (g, M_1, \dots, M_t) &\mapsto g.(M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_t). \end{aligned}$$

The image of η is denoted by $Z_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Z_t$. The Zariski closure $\overline{Z_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Z_t}$ is an irreducible closed subset of $\text{mod}(A, d)$, but in general it is not an irreducible component of $\text{mod}(A, d)$.

Theorem 2.8 ([CBS02, Theorem 1.2]). *For $Z_1, \dots, Z_t \in \text{Irr}(A)$ the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $\overline{Z_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Z_t} \in \text{Irr}(A)$;
- (ii) $\text{ext}_A^1(Z_i, Z_j) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ with $i \neq j$.

For $Z \in \text{Irr}(A)$ and $m \geq 1$ let $Z^m := Z \oplus \cdots \oplus Z$ be the m -fold direct sum of Z . One calls Z *indecomposable* if it contains a dense subset of indecomposable modules. Each $Z \in \text{Irr}(A)$ can be written (in a unique way) as a direct sum

$$Z = \overline{Z_1^{a_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus Z_t^{a_t}}$$

where Z_1, \dots, Z_t are pairwise different indecomposable components and $a_1, \dots, a_t \geq 1$. We refer to [CBS02] for details. Let $\Sigma(Z) := t$.

For $Z \in \text{Irr}(A)$ Voigt's Lemma (see for example [Ga74, Proposition 1.1]) and the Auslander-Reiten formulas (see for example [R84, Section 2.4]) imply that

$$c(Z) \leq \text{ext}_A^1(Z) \leq E(Z).$$

Recall that $\text{Irr}^\tau(Z) := \{Z \in \text{Irr}(A) \mid c(Z) = E(Z)\}$ are the *generically τ -reduced* components.

Recall that $M \in \text{mod}(A)$ is *rigid* (resp. τ -*rigid*) if $\text{Ext}_A^1(M, M) = 0$ (resp. $\text{Hom}_A(M, \tau_A(M)) = 0$). By the Auslander-Reiten formulas, any τ -rigid module is rigid, whereas the converse is wrong in general. If M is τ -rigid, then $Z = \overline{\mathcal{O}_M} \in \text{Irr}^\tau(A)$.

A systematic study of τ -rigid modules was initiated in [AIR14]. These modules are important for the additive categorification of Fomin-Zelevinsky cluster algebras and they also lead to a broad generalization of classical tilting theory.

Theorem 2.9 ([CLS15, Theorem 1.3]). *For $Z_1, \dots, Z_t \in \text{Irr}^\tau(A)$ the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $\overline{Z_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Z_t} \in \text{Irr}^\tau(A)$;
- (ii) $E(Z_i, Z_j) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ with $i \neq j$.

The proof of Theorem 2.9 relies on Theorem 2.8.

Note that in Theorems 2.8 and 2.9 we do not assume that the components Z_1, \dots, Z_t are pairwise different.

By the Auslander-Reiten formulas, the condition $E(Z_i, Z_j) = 0$ implies that $\text{ext}_A^1(Z_i, Z_j) = 0$.

Theorem 2.10 ([CLS15, Theorem 6.1]). *Let $Z \in \text{Irr}^\tau(A)$ with $E(Z, Z) = 0$. Then $\Sigma(Z) \leq n(A)$.*

It is an open problem if $\Sigma(Z) \leq n(A)$ for all $Z \in \text{Irr}^\tau(A)$.

3. PROOFS OF THE MAIN RESULTS

3.1. Semicontinuity of truncated Euler maps.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Recall that for $t \geq 0$ and $M, M' \in \text{mod}(A)$ we defined

$$\eta_t(M, M') = \sum_{i=0}^t (-1)^i \text{ext}_A^i(M, M').$$

We use the notation from Section 2.4. For convenience, we set $c_0^{M, M'} := 0$ and define

$$c^{M, M'} := \sum_{i=0}^t (-1)^{i+1} c_i^{M, M'}.$$

This is a constant if we fix $\dim(M)$ and $\dim(M')$.

We get

$$\eta_t(M, M') = \begin{cases} k_{t+1}^{M, M'} + c^{M, M'} & \text{if } t \text{ is even,} \\ -k_{t+1}^{M, M'} + c^{M, M'} & \text{if } t \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Now Lemma 2.5 implies that $\eta_t(-, ?)$ is upper semicontinuous if t is even and lower semicontinuous if t is odd. This finishes the proof. \square

3.2. Upper semicontinuity of $\text{hom}_A(\Omega^j(-), ?)$. This section contains the proof of Corollary 1.2. For $M \in \text{mod}(A)$ let

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{p_3} P_2 \xrightarrow{p_2} P_1 \xrightarrow{p_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{p_0} M \rightarrow 0$$

be a minimal projective resolution of M . For $j \geq 0$ we have $\text{Im}(p_j) = \Omega^j(M)$.

For $j \geq 0$ and $M' \in \text{mod}(A)$ define

$$p_j^{M, M'} := \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} (-1)^i \text{hom}_A(P_{j-1-i}, M').$$

(For $j = 0$ we have $p_j^{M, M'} = 0$.)

Lemma 3.1. *For $d, d', j \geq 0$ we have*

$$\text{hom}_A(\Omega^j(M), M') = p_j^{M, M'} + (-1)^j \eta_j(M, M').$$

Proof. Keeping the notation from the beginning of this section, there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^j(M) \rightarrow P_{j-1} \rightarrow \Omega^{j-1}(M) \rightarrow 0$$

for each $j \geq 1$. Applying $\text{Hom}_A(-, M')$ gives an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(\Omega^{j-1}(M), M') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(P_{j-1}, M') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(\Omega^j(M), M') \\ \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^1(\Omega^{j-1}(M), M') \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Keeping in mind that $\text{Ext}_A^1(\Omega^{j-1}(M), M') \cong \text{Ext}_A^j(M, M')$ we get the formula

$$\text{hom}_A(\Omega^j(M), M') = -\text{hom}_A(\Omega^{j-1}(M), M') + \text{hom}_A(P_{j-1}, M') + \text{ext}_A^j(M, M').$$

Resolving this recursion we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hom}_A(\Omega^j(M), M') &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{j-1} (-1)^i \text{hom}_A(P_{j-1-i}, M') \right) + (-1)^j \eta_j(M, M') \\ &= p_j^{M, M'} + (-1)^j \eta_j(M, M'). \end{aligned}$$

□

The next lemma is well known.

Lemma 3.2. *For $1 \leq i \leq n(A)$ and $j \geq 0$ we have*

$$\text{ext}_A^j(M, S(i)) = \text{hom}_A(P_j, S(i)) = [P_j : P(i)].$$

Lemma 3.3. *For $j \geq 1$ we have*

$$p_j^{M, M'} = \sum_{i=0}^{n(A)} (-1)^{j-1} \eta_{j-1}(M, S(i)) [M' : S(i)].$$

Proof. Recall that $\text{hom}_A(P(i), M') = [M' : S(i)]$. Now the claim follows from Lemma 3.2. □

Corollary 3.4. *The map*

$$p_j^{-,?}: \text{mod}(A, d) \times \text{mod}(A, d') \mapsto \mathbb{Z}, \quad (M, M') \mapsto p_j^{M, M'}$$

is upper semicontinuous.

Proof. Combine Lemmas 2.3, 3.1, 3.3 with Theorem 1.1. □

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Combining Lemma 3.1 with Corollary 3.4 and Theorem 1.1 we get that the map

$$\text{hom}_A(\Omega^j(-), ?): \text{mod}(A, d) \times \text{mod}(A, d') \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad (M, M') \mapsto \text{hom}_A(\Omega^j(M), M')$$

is upper semicontinuous. □

3.3. Upper semicontinuity of g -vectors and E -invariants. We prove Corollaries 1.3 and 1.4 (which correspond to the following two propositions).

Proposition 3.5. *For $1 \leq i \leq n(A)$ the map $g_i(-)$ is upper semicontinuous.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.1, the truncated Euler map

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1(-, ?): \text{mod}(A, d) \times \text{mod}(A, d') &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \\ (M, M') &\mapsto \text{hom}_A(M, M') - \text{ext}_A^1(M, M') \end{aligned}$$

is lower semicontinuous. Using Lemma 2.1 we get that $-\eta_1(-, S(i))$ is upper semicontinuous. Since

$$g_i(M) = -\text{hom}_A(M, S(i)) + \text{ext}_A^1(M, S(i)) = -\eta_1(M, S(i)),$$

the result follows. □

Proposition 3.6. *$E(-, ?)$ is upper semicontinuous.*

Proof. We know that

$$E(M, N) = \text{hom}_A(M, N) + \sum_{i=1}^{n(A)} g_i(M)[N : S(i)]$$

for $M, N \in \text{mod}(A)$. The upper semicontinuity of $\text{hom}_A(-, ?)$ and $g_i(-)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n(A)$ implies that $E(-, ?)$ is upper semicontinuous. □

3.4. Inequalities for $\text{hom}_A(-, ?)$, $\text{ext}_A^1(-, ?)$ and $E(-, ?)$. This section contains the proofs of Theorem 1.5 and Corollary 1.6.

For a constructible subset U of a variety X let $\text{codim}_X(U)$ be the codimension of U in X .

Lemma 3.7. *For $Z \in \text{Irr}(A)$ we have*

$$\text{codim}_{Z \times Z}(\{(M, M') \in Z \times Z \mid M \cong M'\}) = c(Z).$$

Proof. Recall that

$$c(Z) = \min\{\dim(Z) - \dim \mathcal{O}_M \mid M \in Z\}$$

and that $\dim \mathcal{O}_M = \dim G_d - \dim \text{End}_A(M)$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} f: Z \times G_d &\rightarrow Z \times Z \\ (M, g) &\mapsto (M, gM). \end{aligned}$$

This is a morphism of affine varieties with $\text{Im}(f) = \{(M, M') \in Z \times Z \mid M \cong M'\}$. Clearly, $\text{Im}(f)$ is irreducible, and $\{(M, M') \in \text{Im}(f) \mid \dim(Z) - \dim \mathcal{O}_M = c(Z)\}$ is a dense open subset of $\text{Im}(f)$. For (M, M') in this subset, Chevalley's Theorem says that

$$\dim \text{Im}(f) = \dim(Z \times G_d) - \dim f^{-1}(M, M').$$

We have $\dim f^{-1}(M, M') \cong \text{Aut}_A(M)$. We get

$$\dim \text{Im}(f) = \dim(Z) + \dim G_d - \dim \text{End}_A(M) = \dim(Z) + \dim \mathcal{O}_M.$$

Thus $\text{codim}_{Z \times Z}(\text{Im}(f)) = 2 \dim(Z) - \dim(Z) - \dim \mathcal{O}_M = c(Z)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. (i) \implies (ii): Assume that $\text{hom}_A(Z, Z) < \text{end}_A(Z)$. We choose $(M, M') \in Z \times Z$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hom}_A(M, M') &= \text{hom}_A(Z, Z), & \text{ext}_A^1(M, M') &= \text{ext}_A^1(Z, Z), \\ \text{end}_A(M) &= \text{end}_A(Z), & \text{ext}_A^1(M) &= \text{ext}_A^1(Z). \end{aligned}$$

The short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega(M) \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

yields equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ext}_A^1(M, M') &= \text{hom}_A(M, M') - \text{hom}_A(P_0, M') + \text{hom}_A(\Omega(M), M'), \\ \text{ext}_A^1(M) &= \text{end}_A(M) - \text{hom}_A(P_0, M) + \text{hom}_A(\Omega(M), M). \end{aligned}$$

The map $\text{hom}_A(P_0, -)$ is constant on Z . By the upper semicontinuity of the map $\text{hom}_A(\Omega(-), ?)$ (see Corollary 1.2) we can assume that $\text{hom}_A(\Omega(M), M') \leq \text{hom}_A(\Omega(M), M)$. Now $\text{hom}_A(Z, Z) < \text{end}_A(Z)$ implies $\text{ext}_A^1(Z, Z) < \text{ext}_A^1(Z)$.

(i) \implies (iv), (ii) \implies (iv) and (iii) \implies (iv): Assume that $Z \in \text{Irr}(A)$ contains a dense orbit, i.e. $Z = \overline{\mathcal{O}_M}$ for some $M \in Z$. Then \mathcal{O}_M and $\mathcal{O}_M \times \mathcal{O}_M$ are dense open subsets of Z and $Z \times Z$, respectively. We get $\text{ext}_A^i(Z, Z) = \text{ext}_A^i(Z)$ for all $i \geq 0$ and $E(Z, Z) = E(Z)$.

(iv) \implies (i): Assume that $Z \in \text{Irr}(A, d)$ does not contain a dense orbit. This implies $c(Z) \geq 1$. We want to show that $\text{hom}_A(Z, Z) < \text{end}_A(Z)$.

Let

$$U := \{(M, M', f) \in Z \times Z \times \text{Hom}_K(K^d, K^d) \mid f \in \text{Hom}_A(M, M')\}.$$

This is a closed subset of $Z \times Z \times \text{Hom}_K(K^d, K^d)$. For $i \geq 0$ let

$$U_i := \{(M, M', f) \in U \mid \text{hom}_A(M, M') = i\}.$$

This is a locally closed subset of U . Let $\pi'_i: U_i \rightarrow Z \times Z$ be the obvious projection, and define $V_i := \text{Im}(\pi'_i)$. We get a surjective map $\pi_i: U_i \rightarrow V_i$. By [B96, Lemma 2.1]

the map $\pi_i: U_i \rightarrow V_i$ is a vector bundle. In particular, π_i maps open subsets of U_i to open subsets of V_i .

Let $i_0 := \text{hom}_A(Z, Z)$. Then V_{i_0} is a dense open subset of $Z \times Z$ and therefore irreducible.

Let $M \in Z$ such that $\dim \text{End}_A(M) = \text{end}_A(Z)$. We know already that $i_0 = \text{hom}_A(Z, Z) \leq \text{end}_A(Z)$.

Let

$$U_{i_0}^\circ := \{(M, M', f) \in U_{i_0} \mid f \text{ is not an isomorphism}\}.$$

This is a closed subset of U_{i_0} . Thus $W_{i_0} := U_{i_0} \setminus U_{i_0}^\circ$ is open in U_{i_0} .

Suppose that $W_{i_0} \neq \emptyset$. Then $\pi_{i_0}(W_{i_0})$ is a dense open subset of V_{i_0} and therefore of $Z \times Z$.

Note that for all $(M, M') \in \pi_{i_0}(W_{i_0})$ we have $M \cong M'$. This is a contradiction, since by Lemma 3.7 we have

$$\text{codim}_{Z \times Z}(\{(M, M') \in Z \times Z \mid M \cong M'\}) = c(Z) \geq 1.$$

Thus we proved that $U_{i_0}^\circ = U_{i_0}$. In particular, if $(M, M') \in Z \times Z$ such that $\text{hom}_A(M, M') = i_0$, then $M \cong M'$. This implies $\text{hom}_A(Z, Z) < \text{end}_A(Z)$.

(iv) \implies (iii): Let $Z \in \text{Irr}(A)$. Assume that Z does not contain a dense orbit. We know already that (iv) implies (i), i.e. $\text{hom}_A(Z, Z) < \text{end}_A(Z)$. Now the two equations

$$E(Z, Z) = \text{hom}_A(Z, Z) + \sum_{i=1}^{n(A)} g_i(Z) \underline{\dim}_i(Z),$$

$$E(Z) = \text{end}_A(Z) + \sum_{i=1}^{n(A)} g_i(Z) \underline{\dim}_i(Z).$$

imply that $E(Z, Z) < E(Z)$.

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.5. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.6. Let $Z \in \text{Irr}(A)$ be a brick component. By definition we have $\text{end}_A(Z) = 1$. If Z does not have a dense orbit, Theorem 1.5 implies that $\text{hom}_A(Z, Z) = 0$. \square

3.5. Generically τ -reduced components for tame algebras. We prove Corollary 1.7.

For $Z \in \text{Irr}(A)$ we have $c(Z) = 0$ if and only if Z contains a dense orbit. Furthermore, we have $c(Z) = E(Z) = 0$ if and only if Z contains a τ -rigid module M . In this case, \mathcal{O}_M is dense in Z , and we have $E(Z, Z) = 0$.

From now on assume that A is a tame algebra, and let $Z \in \text{Irr}(A)$ be indecomposable. It follows that $c(Z) \leq 1$, see for example [CC15, Section 2.2]. In this situation, the following are equivalent:

- (i) $c(Z) = E(Z) = 1$;

(ii) Z is a brick component which does not contain a dense orbit.

For more details we refer to [GLFS22, Section 3]. In this case, Theorem 1.5 says that $E(Z, Z) = 0$. Now Theorem 2.9 implies Corollary 1.7.

4. EXAMPLES

4.1. Let $Z \in \text{Irr}(A)$. For $i \geq 2$ the inequalities $\text{ext}_A^i(Z, Z) \leq \text{ext}_A^i(Z)$ are in general not strict. As a trivial example, if $\text{gl. dim}(A) = t$, then for all $i \geq t+1$ and $Z \in \text{Irr}(A)$ we have $\text{ext}_A^i(Z, Z) = \text{ext}_A^i(Z) = 0$.

4.2. Let $A = KQ/I$ where Q is the quiver

$$a \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \\ \circlearrowright \end{array} 1 \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowright \\ \circlearrowleft \end{array} b$$

and I is generated by $\{ab - ba, a^2, b^2\}$. (If $\text{char}(K) = 2$, then this is the group algebra of the Kleinean four group.) For $\lambda \in K^\times$ let M_λ be the 2-dimensional A -module defined by

$$M_\lambda: A \rightarrow M_2(K), \quad a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hom}_A(M_\lambda, M_\mu) &= \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } \lambda = \mu, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ \text{ext}_A^1(M_\lambda, M_\mu) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \mu, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have $M_\lambda \cong M_\mu$ if and only if $\lambda = \mu$. Some easy computations show that $\tau(M_\lambda) \cong M_\lambda$ and $\Omega(M_\lambda) \cong M_{-\lambda^{-1}}$. Thus $\Omega^2(M_\lambda) \cong M_\lambda$. One easily checks that

$$Z := \overline{\bigcup_{\lambda \in K^\times} \mathcal{O}_{M_\lambda}} \in \text{Irr}(A, 2).$$

(In fact, we have $\text{mod}(A, 2) = Z$.) Note that Z does not contain a dense orbit. It follows that $\text{hom}_A(Z, Z) = 1$, $\text{end}_A(Z) = 2$, $\text{ext}_A^1(Z, Z) = 0$, $\text{ext}_A^1(Z) = 1$, $E(Z, Z) = 1$ and $E(Z) = 2$. For $i \geq 2$ we get $\text{ext}_A^i(Z, Z) = 0$ and

$$\text{ext}_A^i(Z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Here we used that $\text{Ext}_A^i(M_\lambda, M_\mu) \cong \text{Ext}_A^1(\Omega^{i-1}(M_\lambda), M_\mu)$.

4.3. The following example is due to Calvin Pfeifer [Pf22]. For $n \geq 2$ let $A = KQ/I$ where Q is the quiver

$$a \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \\ \circlearrowright \end{array} 1 \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{b_1} \\ \xrightarrow{b_2} \end{array} 2 \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowright \\ \circlearrowleft \end{array} c$$

and I is generated by $\{a^n, c^n, ab_i - b_i c \mid i = 1, 2\}$. This is the algebra $H(C, D, \Omega)$ from [GLS17] for

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} n & \\ & n \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Omega = \{(1, 2)\}.$$

Let $\mathbf{d} = (n, n)$. The locally free A -modules (in the sense of [GLS17]) in $\text{mod}(A, \mathbf{d})$ form a non-empty open irreducible subset of $\text{mod}(A, 2n)$. Let $Z \in \text{Irr}(A, 2n)$ be the closure of this subset. Then Z is indecomposable, $\text{hom}_A(Z, Z) = \text{ext}_A^1(Z, Z) = E(Z, Z) = 0$ and $\text{end}_A(Z) = \text{ext}_A^1(Z) = E(Z) = n$.

4.4. Let $A = KQ$ be a finite-dimensional path algebra. Then the irreducible components of $\text{mod}(A, d)$ are just the connected components of $\text{mod}(A, d)$. Furthermore, each indecomposable $Z \in \text{Irr}(A)$ is a brick component, see [K82, Proposition 1]. Let $Q_0 = \{1, \dots, n\}$ be the set of vertices of Q . Thus $n = n(A)$. Let $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ be an isotropic Schur root in the sense of [S92], and let $d = d_1 + \dots + d_n$. Let $Z = \text{mod}(A, \mathbf{d}) \in \text{Irr}(A, d)$. Then $\text{hom}_A(Z, Z) = \text{ext}_A^1(Z, Z) = E(Z, Z) = 0$ and $\text{end}_A(Z) = \text{ext}_A^1(Z) = E(Z) = 1$. If we assume additionally that Q is connected and wild, then for all bricks $M \in Z$ we have $\tau(M) \not\cong M$. As a concrete example, let Q be the quiver

$$1 \rightleftarrows 2 \longleftarrow 3$$

and let $\mathbf{d} = (1, 2, 1)$. Then Q is connected and wild, and \mathbf{d} is an isotropic Schur root.

REFERENCES

- [AIR14] T. Adachi, O. Iyama, I. Reiten, τ -tilting theory. *Compos. Math.* 150 (2014), no. 3, 415–452.
- [ASS06] I. Assem, D. Simson, A. Skowroński, *Elements of the representation theory of associative algebras*, Vol. 1. Techniques of representation theory. London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 65. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. x+458 pp.
- [ARS97] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, S. Smalø, *Representation theory of Artin algebras*. Corrected reprint of the 1995 original. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 36. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. xiv+425 pp.
- [B96] K. Bongartz, *On degenerations and extensions of finite-dimensional modules*. *Adv. Math.* 121 (1996), no. 2, 245–287.
- [CC15] A. Carroll, C. Chindris, *On the invariant theory for acyclic gentle algebras*. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 367 (2015), no. 5, 3481–3508.
- [CLS15] G. Cerulli Irelli, D. Labardini-Fragoso, J. Schröer, *Caldero-Chapoton algebras*. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 367 (2015), no. 4, 2787–2822.
- [CBS02] W. Crawley-Boevey, J. Schröer, *Irreducible components of varieties of modules*. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 553 (2002), 201–220.
- [DF15] H. Derksen, J. Fei, *General presentations of algebras*. *Adv. Math.* 278 (2015), 210–237.
- [DWZ08] H. Derksen, J. Weyman, A. Zelevinsky, *Quivers with potentials and their representations. I. Mutations*. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* 14 (2008), no. 1, 59–119.
- [DWZ10] H. Derksen, J. Weyman, A. Zelevinsky, *Quivers with potentials and their representations II: applications to cluster algebras*. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 23 (2010), no. 3, 749–790.
- [Ga74] P. Gabriel, *Finite representation type is open*. Proceedings of the International Conference on Rep-resentations of Algebras (Carleton Univ., Ottawa, Ont., 1974), Paper No. 10, 23pp. Carleton Math. Lecture Notes, No. 9, Carleton Univ., Ottawa, Ont., 1974.
- [GLFS16] C. Geiß, D. Labardini-Fragoso, J. Schröer, *The representation type of Jacobian algebras*. *Adv. Math.* 290 (2016), 364–452.

- [GLFS20] C. Geiß, D. Labardini-Fragoso, J. Schröer, *Generic Caldero-Chapoton functions with coefficients and applications to surface cluster algebras*. Abandoned Preprint (2020), 45 pp., [arXiv:2007.05483](https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.05483)
- [GLFS24] C. Geiß, D. Labardini-Fragoso, J. Schröer, *Geometrization of DWZ-mutations*. Preprint (2024), in preparation.
- [GLFS22] C. Geiß, D. Labardini-Fragoso, J. Schröer, *Schemes of modules over gentle algebras and laminations of surfaces*. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* 28 (2022), no. 1, Paper No. 8, 78 pp.
- [GLS12] C. Geiß, B. Leclerc, J. Schröer, *Generic bases for cluster algebras and the Chamber ansatz*. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 25 (2012), no. 1, 21–76.
- [GLS17] C. Geiß, B. Leclerc, J. Schröer, *Quivers with relations for symmetrizable Cartan matrices I: Foundations*. *Invent. Math.* 209 (2017), no. 1, 61–158.
- [K82] V. Kac, *Infinite root systems, representations of graphs and invariant theory. II*. *J. Algebra* 78 (1982), no. 1, 141–162.
- [Pf22] C. Pfeifer, Email communication.
- [P13] P.-G. Plamondon, *Generic bases for cluster algebras from the cluster category*. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2013, no. 10, 2368–2420.
- [PY20] P.-G. Plamondon, T. Yurikusa, *Tame algebras have dense g -vector fans*. With an appendix by Bernhard Keller. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*(2023), no. 4, 2701–2747. Preprint (2020), 34 pp., [arXiv:2007.04215](https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.04215)
- [Q19] F. Qin, *Bases for upper cluster algebras and tropical points*. Preprint (2019), 68 pp., [arXiv:1902.09507](https://arxiv.org/abs/1902.09507)
- [R84] C.M. Ringel, *Tame algebras and integral quadratic forms*. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 1099. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984. xiii+376 pp.
- [S92] A. Schofield, *General representations of quivers*. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* 65 (1992), no. 1, 46–64.

CHRISTOF GEISS
 INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS
 UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO
 CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA
 04510 MÉXICO D.F.
 MÉXICO

Email address: christof.geiss@im.unam.mx

DANIEL LABARDINI-FRAGOSO
 INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS
 UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO
 CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA
 04510 MÉXICO D.F.
 MÉXICO

Email address: labardini@im.unam.mx

JAN SCHRÖER
 MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT
 UNIVERSITÄT BONN
 ENDENICHER ALLEE 60
 53115 BONN
 GERMANY

Email address: schroer@math.uni-bonn.de