

Cosmological analogies for geophysical flows, Lagrangians, and new analogue gravity systems

Valerio Faraoni^{a,1}, Sonia Jose^{b,1}

¹Department of Physics & Astronomy, Bishop's University, 2600 College Street, Sherbrooke, Québec, Canada J1M 1Z7

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract Formal analogies between the ordinary differential equations describing geophysical flows and Friedmann cosmology are developed. As a result, one obtains Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formulations of these equations, while laboratory experiments aimed at testing geophysical flows are shown to constitute analogue gravity systems for cosmology.

Keywords Cosmological analogies · Friedmann cosmology · geophysical flow · analogue gravity

1 Introduction

There are unexpected formal analogies between cosmology and geophysical flows. Geophysical flows encompass many natural phenomena, including lava flows, the creep of glacier ice, avalanches, and mud slides. In particular, the analogy between early models of ice caps on a horizontal bed (based on an incorrect ice rheology) [1] and lava domes is well known [2, 3]. These early models of ice caps treated glacier ice as a perfectly plastic material, *i.e.*, a non-Newtonian fluid that does not yield under stress until a certain threshold (“yield stress”) is reached, at which point the material deforms abruptly. This kind of material is nowadays referred to as a Bingham fluid. It is now established that glacier ice instead deforms under stress according to the non-linear Glen law relating the strain rate tensor $\dot{\epsilon}_{ij}$ and the deviatoric stresses $\hat{s} = (s_{ij})$ [4]

$$\dot{\epsilon}_{ij} = \mathcal{A} \sigma_{\text{eff}}^{n-1} s_{ij}, \quad (1)$$

where \mathcal{A} is a constant (that depends on the temperature, crystal orientation, and impurities [5, 6, 7, 8]) and

$$\sigma_{\text{eff}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\hat{s}^2)} \quad (2)$$

^ae-mail: vfaraoni@ubishops.ca

^be-mail: sjose21@ubishops.ca

is the effective stress [5, 6, 7, 8]. However, Nye’s discussion applies without change to a Bingham fluid on a horizontal bed, which is a good model for lava flow, and gives the parabolic profile for a lava dome on horizontal bed [2, 9, 3]. (The Bingham fluid is the most common non-Newtonian fluid model to describe lava flows [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17].) Similarly, the discussion of perfectly plastic glacier ice on a slope, although inadequate to describe an alpine glacier because of the wrong rheology, describes a lava flow on a slope. The corresponding analytical solution of the relevant fluid-mechanical equations appears in the pedagogical literature as a simple example of how different ice rheologies produce different macroscopic glacier profiles [18]. Unbeknownst to the author of [18], this solution is perfectly adequate to describe the flow of lava (a Bingham fluid) on a slope [3]. Below, we elaborate on this analogy.

The ordinary differential equations ruling the longitudinal profiles of glaciers, ice caps, lava flows, or lava domes lend themselves to analogies with the Einstein-Friedmann equations of cosmology. These equations describe the evolution of a spatially homogeneous and isotropic universe in general relativity and constitute the basis of modern (or Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker, in short “FLRW”) cosmology. The various solutions describing geophysical flows correspond to different matter contents and curvatures for these universes. Since Lagrangian and Hamiltonians for the equations of FLRW cosmology are known, the cosmic analogy provides a way to identify Lagrangians and Hamiltonians for the differential equations describing the analogous geophysical flows. While analogies between geophysical (and other) systems have been explored in the literature (see [19] for a review), the ones that we examine here are novel.

In the next section we recall the basics of FLRW cosmology for the reader unfamiliar with it. Sec. 3 discusses a Newtonian fluid model of a lava front and the relevant cosmic analogy, Lagrangian, and Hamiltonian. Section 4 stud-

ies a Bingham fluid model of a lava front; Sec. 5 discusses Bingham models of lava domes and ice caps on horizontal beds and their cosmic analogues, while Sec. 6 extends the discussion to flows on a slope and Sec. 7 contains the conclusions.

2 Basics of FLRW cosmology

We follow the notation of Refs. [20,21] and use units in which the speed of light is unity. G is Newton's constant and the four-dimensional metric tensor has signature $-+++$.

Under the strong mathematical requirements of spatial homogeneity and isotropy motivated by observations of the cosmic microwave background permeating our universe and by large-scale structure surveys, the four-dimensional geometry of the universe is necessarily given by the FLRW line element, which reads [22,20,21,23,24]

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu$$

$$= -dt^2 + a^2(t) \left[\frac{dr^2}{1-Kr^2} + r^2 (d\vartheta^2 + \sin^2 \vartheta d\varphi^2) \right] \quad (3)$$

in comoving polar coordinates $x^\mu = (t, r, \vartheta, \varphi)$, where $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the metric tensor. The scale factor $a(t)$ describes the expansion history of the universe, while the constant K describes the curvature of the 3-dimensional spatial sections (the 3-geometries obtained by setting $dt = 0$). If $K > 0$ the line element (3) describes closed universes; if $K = 0$ it corresponds to Euclidean (flat) spatial sections and, if $K < 0$, it describes hyperbolic 3-spaces [20,21,24,23]. All the possible FLRW geometries fall in these three categories classified by the sign of the curvature index K .

The cosmological spacetime is curved by its mass-energy content and different matter contents produce different cosmic histories $a(t)$. The cosmic matter is usually described by a perfect fluid with energy density $\rho(t)$ and isotropic pressure $P(t)$ related by a barotropic equation of state $P = P(\rho)$. The scale factor $a(t)$ and the matter variables $\rho(t), P(t)$ satisfy the Einstein-Friedmann equations (*i.e.*, the Einstein equation of general relativity adapted to the symmetric line element (3) [20,21,24,23])

$$H^2 \equiv \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho - \frac{K}{a^2}, \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3} (\rho + 3P), \quad (5)$$

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H(P + \rho) = 0, \quad (6)$$

where an overdot denotes differentiation with respect to the comoving time t while $H(t) \equiv \dot{a}/a$ is the Hubble function [20,21,24,23]. Given any two of these equations, the third

one can be derived from them so that only two equations are independent. For convenience, here we choose the Friedmann equation (4) and the energy conservation equation (6) as primary, regarding the acceleration equation (5) as derived. Therefore, our analogies between geophysical flows and FLRW cosmology will be valid only if the energy conservation equation (6) is satisfied in addition to the Friedmann equation (4) (which is easy to verify). This happens when a cosmological fluid satisfying the covariant conservation equation (6) fills the analogous universe. If this fluid has barotropic equation of state $P = w\rho$ with $w = \text{const.}$, the conservation equation (6) corresponds to an energy density scaling as

$$\rho(t) = \frac{\rho^{(0)}}{[a(t)]^{3(w+1)}}, \quad (7)$$

where $\rho^{(0)}$ is a positive integration constant determined by the initial conditions [22,20,21,24,23]. Therefore, to establish the validity of an analogy, it is sufficient to establish the validity of an equation of the form of the Friedmann equation with a fluid source satisfying Eq. (7), or sourced by a mixture of (mutually decoupled) fluids each satisfying Eq. (7).

The Lagrangian and Hamiltonian reproducing the Einstein-Friedmann equation through the Euler-Lagrange or the Hamilton equations are obtained from the action of general relativity with a perfect fluid [20,21]

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g^{(4)}} \left(\frac{R}{16\pi G} + \rho \right)$$

$$= 4\pi \int dr \frac{r^2}{\sqrt{1-Kr^2}} \int dt L(a, \dot{a}) \quad (8)$$

(where $g^{(4)}$ is the determinant of the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$), which yields

$$L(a, \dot{a}) = \frac{3}{8\pi G} (a\dot{a}^2 - Ka) + a^3 \rho, \quad (9)$$

$$\mathcal{H}(a, \dot{a}) = \frac{3}{8\pi G} (a\dot{a}^2 + Ka) - a^3 \rho, \quad (10)$$

where the dynamics is constrained and the “scalar” or “Hamiltonian” constraint $\mathcal{H} = 0$ must be satisfied [22,20,21]. We are now ready to build analogies between geophysical flows and FLRW cosmology.

3 Newtonian model of a lava front

Lava behaves as a Newtonian fluid near a vent, where it is hotter, but sometimes also the front of a lava flow is modelled as a Newtonian fluid [3]. An analytical Newtonian lava flow model is given in [25] and its analogy with FLRW cosmology was mentioned in Ref. [19], which we report and complete here.

Assume that the lava front is homogeneous and isothermal, that it moves at constant velocity on an inclined plane, and that it extends indefinitely in the transversal (y -) direction. Let x be a coordinate down-slope, $h(x)$ be the lava thickness measured along an axis perpendicular to the bed, and L be the length of the flow, and assume $h \ll L$ (this shallow fluid approximation is common in glaciology and in the study of geophysical flows). Let ρ and η be the lava density and dynamic viscosity coefficient, g the acceleration of gravity, β the slope of the plane lava bed, and v_0 the (constant) velocity of the lava in a reference frame fixed to the ground [25]. When lava is described a Newtonian fluid, the Navier-Stokes equations for laminar flow provide the differential equation for the lava flow profile $h(x)$ [25]

$$b_0 h^3 h' - a_0 h^3 + v_0 h = 0 \quad (11)$$

where $h' \equiv dh/dx$ and

$$a_0 = \frac{\rho g \sin \beta}{3\eta}, \quad (12)$$

$$b_0 = \frac{\rho g \cos \beta}{3\eta}. \quad (13)$$

The analogy with FLRW cosmology follows from rewriting this equation as

$$\left(\frac{h'}{h}\right)^2 = \frac{a_0^2}{b_0^2 h^2} + \frac{v_0^2}{b_0^2 h^6} - \frac{2a_0 v_0}{b_0^2 h^4}, \quad (14)$$

which is analogous to the Friedmann equation

$$H^2 = -\frac{K}{a^2} + \frac{8\pi G \rho_{(\text{stiff})}}{3a^6} + \frac{8\pi G \rho_{(\text{rad})}}{3a^4} \quad (15)$$

for a universe with negative curvature filled by a stiff fluid with equation of state $P_{(\text{stiff})} = \rho_{(\text{stiff})}$ and a radiation fluid with $P_{(\text{rad})} = \rho_{(\text{rad})}/3$ (which has negative energy density, a fact that would be unacceptable in realistic cosmology but is rather immaterial in our formal analogy). The map between lava front and cosmology reads

$$K = -\left(\frac{a_0}{b_0}\right)^2 = -\tan^2 \beta, \quad (16)$$

$$8\pi G \rho_{(\text{stiff})} = \frac{3v_0^2}{b_0^2} = 3\left(\frac{3\eta v_0}{\rho g \cos \beta}\right)^2, \quad (17)$$

$$8\pi G \rho_{(\text{rad})} = -\frac{6a_0 v_0}{b_0^2} = -\frac{18v_0 \eta \sin \beta}{\rho g \cos^2 \beta} < 0. \quad (18)$$

The well-known Lagrangian of the analogous FLRW universe indicates the Lagrangian for the lava flow problem

$$L(h, h') = h h'^2 - \frac{2a_0 v_0}{b_0^2 h} + \frac{v_0^2}{b_0^2 h^3} + \left(\frac{a_0}{b_0}\right)^2 h. \quad (19)$$

Since L does not depend explicitly on x , the corresponding Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = h h'^2 + \frac{2a_0 v_0}{b_0^2 h} - \frac{v_0^2}{b_0^2 h^3} - \left(\frac{a_0}{b_0}\right)^2 h \quad (20)$$

is conserved. Equation (14) for the lava flow profile is recovered by setting $\mathcal{H} = 0$ (this is the Hamiltonian constraint of the Einstein equations).

An analytic solution of Eq. (11) is [25]

$$x_0 - x = H_0 \cot \beta \left[\tanh^{-1} \left(\frac{h}{H_0} \right) - \frac{h}{H_0} \right], \quad (21)$$

where $0 \leq x \leq x_0$ and

$$H_0 = \sqrt{\frac{3\eta v_0}{\rho g \sin \beta}} = \sqrt{\frac{v_0}{a_0}} = \sqrt{\frac{2\rho_{(\text{stiff})}}{|\rho_{(\text{rad})}|}}. \quad (22)$$

This equation provides the scale factor $a(t)$ of the spatially curved analogous universe

$$\frac{t_0 - t}{\tau} = \tanh^{-1} \left(\frac{a}{a_*} \right) - \frac{a}{a_*} \quad (23)$$

for $0 \leq t \leq t_0$, where

$$\tau = \sqrt{\frac{2\rho_{(\text{stiff})}}{|\rho_{(\text{rad})}|}} \cot \beta = \sqrt{\frac{2\rho_{(\text{stiff})}}{|\rho_{(\text{rad})}|K}} \quad (24)$$

and $a_* = \sqrt{2\rho_{(\text{stiff})}/|\rho_{(\text{rad})}|}$. In the limit $v_0 \rightarrow 0$, the solution for the (now solidified) lava front degenerates into the trivial straight line $h(x) = (x - x_0) \tan \beta$. The analogous FLRW universe is empty and has hyperbolic three-dimensional spatial sections, according to

$$H^2 = -\frac{K}{a^2}, \quad (25)$$

and linear scale factor $a(t) = \sqrt{|K|}t$. This is empty Minkowski spacetime in a hyperbolic foliation (*i.e.*, in accelerated coordinates) in which the three-dimensional space is curved, while the four-dimensional curvature is identically zero [26, 24, 23].

The other limit of the solution (21) for $\beta \rightarrow 0$, in which the bed becomes horizontal, corresponds to zero spatial curvature and the stiff fluid as the only matter source in the cosmic analogy. This limit is interesting because it reproduces the shape of an accretionary wedge in the oceanic crust [27]. Setting $\beta = 0$ gives [25] the profile

$$h(x) \simeq \left[\frac{9\eta v_0}{\rho g} (x_0 - x) \right]^{1/3} \quad (26)$$

for $0 \leq x \leq x_0$. The scale factor of the analogous spatially flat expanding universe reduces to the well-known power-law $a(t) \simeq a_*(t - t_0)^{1/3}$ (with a_* a positive constant) caused by a stiff fluid or a free scalar field [28].

4 Bingham fluid model of a lava front

Away from a vent, where lava is cooler and more viscous, it behaves more like a Bingham fluid. Consider now a Bingham model of a lava front flowing down an incline with constant slope β . Let ρ, η, σ_0, g , and v_0 be the lava density, viscosity coefficient, yield stress, the acceleration of gravity,

and the speed of the front, respectively. Then the Navier-Stokes equations give [25]

$$h' = \left(1 - \frac{3H_p}{2h} - \frac{H_N^2}{h^2}\right) \tan \beta, \quad (27)$$

where

$$H_p = \frac{\sigma_0}{\rho g \sin \beta}, \quad H_N = \sqrt{\frac{3\eta v_0}{\rho g \sin \beta}}. \quad (28)$$

Rearranging this equation one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{h'}{h}\right)^2 &= \frac{\tan^2 \beta}{h^2} + \left(\frac{9H_p^2}{4} - 2H_N^2\right) \frac{\tan^2 \beta}{h^4} + \frac{H_N^4 \tan^2 \beta}{h^6} \\ &\quad + \frac{3H_p H_N^2 \tan^2 \beta}{h^5} - \frac{3H_p \tan^2 \beta}{h^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

In the analogous FLRW cosmos, the various terms in the right hand side of Eq. (29) describe, respectively, hyperbolic curvature, radiation with energy density $\rho_{(\text{rad})} = \rho_{(\text{rad})}^{(0)}/a^4$, a stiff fluid with $\rho_{(\text{stiff})} = \rho_{(\text{stiff})}^{(0)}/a^6$, a fluid with $w = 2/3$, and a dust with zero pressure and $\rho_{(\text{dust})} = \rho_{(\text{dust})}^{(0)}/a^3$, where

$$K = -\tan^2 \beta < 0, \quad (30)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho_{(\text{rad})}^{(0)} &= \left(\frac{9H_p^2}{4} - 2H_N^2\right) \tan^2 \beta \\ &= \frac{3(3\sigma_0^2 - 8\eta v_0 \rho g \sin \beta)}{4\rho^2 g^2 \cos^2 \beta}, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

$$\frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho_{(\text{stiff})}^{(0)} = H_N^4 \tan^2 \beta = \left(\frac{3\eta v_0}{\rho g \cos \beta}\right)^2, \quad (32)$$

$$\frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho_{(2/3)}^{(0)} = 3H_p H_N \tan^2 \beta = \frac{3\sigma_0}{\cos^2 \beta} \sqrt{\frac{3\eta v_0 \sin \beta}{(\rho g)^3}}, \quad (33)$$

$$\frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho_{(\text{dust})}^{(0)} = -3H_p \tan^2 \beta = -\frac{3\sigma_0 \sin \beta}{\rho g \cos^2 \beta} < 0. \quad (34)$$

Using the common values for lava $\sigma_0 \simeq 2000$ Pa, $\eta \simeq 10^6$ Pa·s, $v_0 \simeq 10^{-2}$ m/s, one obtains $3\sigma_0^2 - 8\eta v_0 \rho g \sin \beta \simeq 1.2 \cdot 10^7$ Pa, making $\rho_{(\text{rad})}^{(0)}$ positive. However the dust fluid always has negative energy density.

5 Bingham fluid models of lava domes and ice caps on horizontal beds

A Bingham fluid on a horizontal bed assumes a well-known parabolic profile found by Nye in early studies of ice caps [1]. Nye used the incorrect Bingham (or “perfectly plastic”) rheology for ice, which was later superseded by Glen’s

law (1) [4], however the discussion applies without modification to Bingham fluids spreading on a horizontal background, such as a lava dome, and is confirmed by experiments [2, 3].

Consider an axisymmetric flow and let x point in the radial direction, while $h(x)$ is the thickness of the (incompressible) material of density ρ at x . The simplified Navier-Stokes equations for stationary state in the thin lava approximation give

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial x} = \rho g \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}, \quad (35)$$

where g is the acceleration of gravity. The basal stress $\tau_b = -\rho g dh/dx$ is equated to the yield stress σ_0 everywhere [5, 6, 7], giving

$$\rho g \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} = \frac{\sigma_0}{h}, \quad (36)$$

which has as a solution the parabolic Nye profile [1, 5, 6, 7]

$$h(x) = H \sqrt{1 - \frac{x}{L}}, \quad H = \sqrt{\frac{2\sigma_0 L}{\rho g}}. \quad (37)$$

By squaring, Eq. (36) is written as

$$\left(\frac{h'}{h}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{\sigma_0}{\rho g}\right)^2 \frac{1}{h^4}, \quad (38)$$

which is analogous to the Friedmann equation (4) for a spatially flat ($K = 0$) FLRW universe filled with blackbody radiation with equation of state $P_{(\text{rad})} = \rho_{(\text{rad})}/3$ and energy density $\rho_{(\text{rad})}(t) = \rho_{(\text{rad})}^{(0)}/a^4$, in the correspondence

$$h(x) \longleftrightarrow a(t), \quad \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho_{(\text{rad})}^{(0)} = \left(\frac{\sigma_0}{\rho g}\right)^2. \quad (39)$$

This energy density is positive-definite. In the standard cosmological description, the scale factor is $a(t) = a_0 \sqrt{t - t_0}$ with an increasing function $a(t)$; for the ice cap model of Nye, the downward slope of the ice corresponds to $h'(x) < 0$ and $0 \leq x \leq L$. This profile is reflected about the $x = 0$ axis to produce an overall profile symmetric under the reflection $x \rightarrow -x$ and with a cusp at $x = 0$, where the left and right derivatives have opposite signs [5, 6, 7, 8]. The ice cap model corresponding to the correct Glen law (1) for ice rheology satisfies instead the Vialov equation [29, 5, 6, 7, 8]

$$xc(x) = \frac{2\mathcal{A}}{n+2} \left(\rho g h \left|\frac{dh}{dx}\right|\right)^n h^2 \quad (40)$$

where $c(x)$ describes the accumulation rate of ice per unit of area normal to the vertical direction and per unit time (volume of ice added per unit time and per square meter, *i.e.*, a flux density), $n = 3$ for ice creep, and \mathcal{A} is the constant appearing in the Glen law (1). The Vialov profile is obtained for $c = \text{const.} \equiv c_0$,

$$h(x) = H \left[1 - \left(\frac{x}{L}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{n}}\right]^{\frac{n}{2(n+1)}}, \quad (41)$$

where $H = h(0)$, $h(L) = 0$, and

$$L = \frac{H^2}{2^{\frac{n}{n+1}}} \left[\frac{2\mathcal{A}}{(n+2)c_0} (\rho g)^n \right]^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \quad (42)$$

(the Lagrangian formulation and cosmic analogy for this equation are presented in [30, 19]).

In the limit $n \rightarrow +\infty$ of plastic ice, the Vialov equation (40) reduces to (38) while the Vialov profile (41) becomes the parabolic Nye profile (37) [29, 5].

6 Lava dome on a uniform slope

Consider now the flow of a Bingham fluid over a plane of uniform slope β , in stationary state and in the shallow lava approximation, building a dome on this slope [9]. The same problem has been approached in glaciology by studying an ice sheet made of plastic ice on a slope [18], although for purely pedagogical purposes since the correct rheology is given by Glen's law (1) instead of plastic ice. The result of [18] is

$$hh' - h \sin \beta + h_0 = 0, \quad h_0 = \frac{\tau_b}{\rho g}. \quad (43)$$

This equation has the analytical solution (for arbitrary large slope angles β) [18]

$$x(h) = L + \frac{h}{\sin \beta} + \frac{h_0}{\sin^2 \beta} \ln \left(1 - \frac{h}{h_0} \sin \beta \right), \quad (44)$$

which satisfies the boundary condition $h = 0$ at $x = L$.

There is a difference between ice caps and lava flows. While precipitation on a glacier is distributed (as described by the function $c(x)$), lava erupts from a vent and one must describe both down-slope and up-slope flows from this vent, as is done in theoretical descriptions, which commonly leads to *two* solutions of the relevant differential equation [3]. Although not considered in [18], the up-slope solution can be recovered by changing the sign of the basal stress τ_b , therefore of h_0 , in Eq. (43). Osmond & Griffiths [9] study a silicic lava dome on a slope (silicic lava has relatively low temperature and high viscosity). The lava thickness $h(t, x, y)$ satisfies the equation [9, 3]

$$\left(\frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x} - \sin \beta \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial h_1}{\partial y} \right)^2 = \left(\frac{\sigma_0}{\rho g h \cos \beta} \right)^2 \quad (45)$$

where one assumes symmetry about the $y = 0$ line which, by continuity, results in $\partial h_1 / \partial y = 0$ on the line $x = 0$. Here $h_1(x)$ is the vertical position of the lava, not its thickness h [9, 3], to which it is related by $h = h_1 \cos \beta$. Solving for the longitudinal lava profile along the $x = 0$ line and using the rescaled variables

$$\bar{x} \equiv \left(\frac{\rho g}{\sigma} \sin^2 \beta \cos \beta \right) x, \quad (46)$$

$$\bar{y} \equiv \left(\frac{\rho g}{\sigma} \sin^2 \beta \cos \beta \right) y, \quad (47)$$

$$\bar{h}_1 \equiv \left(\frac{\rho g}{\sigma} \sin \beta \cos \beta \right) h, \quad (48)$$

Osmond & Griffiths find the solution [9, 3]

$$x(h) = \begin{cases} \bar{h}_1 - \bar{H}_1 + \ln \left(\frac{1 - \bar{h}_1}{1 - \bar{H}_1} \right) & \text{if } x \geq 0, \\ \bar{h}_1 - \bar{H}_1 - \ln \left(\frac{1 + \bar{h}_1}{1 + \bar{H}_1} \right) & \text{if } x \leq 0, \end{cases} \quad (49)$$

which satisfies the boundary condition $\bar{h}_1 = \bar{H}_1$ at $x = 0$, and where the flow has length $\bar{L}_1 = -\ln |1 - \bar{H}_1^2|$ and width $\bar{W}_1 \simeq 2 \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \bar{H}_1^2} \right)$ [9, 3]. This solution coincides with the solution (44) of [18] for perfectly plastic ice and was rediscovered in Ref. [31] together with the Nye profile (37).

The cosmic analogy is obtained by rewriting Eq. (44) as

$$\left(\frac{h'}{h} \right)^2 = \frac{\sin^2 \beta}{h^2} + \frac{h_0^2}{h^4} - \frac{2h_0 \sin \beta}{h^3}, \quad (50)$$

which is analogous to the Friedmann equation

$$H^2 = -\frac{K}{a^2} + \frac{8\pi G}{3} \left(\frac{\rho_{(\text{rad})}^{(0)}}{a^4} + \frac{\rho_{(\text{dust})}^{(0)}}{a^3} \right) \quad (51)$$

where

$$K = -\sin^2 \beta, \quad (52)$$

$$\frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho_{(\text{rad})}^{(0)} = h_0^2, \quad (53)$$

$$\frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho_{(\text{dust})}^{(0)} = -2h_0 \sin \beta < 0. \quad (54)$$

The effective Lagrangian and Hamiltonian for the lava flow obtained from the analogy are

$$L = hh'^2 + h \sin^2 \beta + \frac{h_0^2}{h} - 2h_0 \sin \beta, \quad (55)$$

$$\mathcal{H} = hh'^2 - h \sin^2 \beta - \frac{h_0^2}{h} + 2h_0 \sin \beta, \quad (56)$$

and Eq. (43) is obtained by imposing the Hamiltonian constraint of general relativity $\mathcal{H} = 0$.

The width of the lava flow in the transverse y -direction is obtained [9] for $\partial \bar{h}_1 / \partial \bar{x} \simeq 0$, which leads to

$$1 + \left(\frac{\partial \bar{h}_1}{\partial \bar{y}} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{\bar{h}_1^2} \quad (57)$$

and to another cosmic analogy through the analogue of the Friedmann equation

$$\left(\frac{\bar{h}_1'}{\bar{h}_1} \right)^2 = -\frac{1}{\bar{h}_1^2} + \frac{1}{\bar{h}_1^4} \quad (58)$$

(where now a prime denotes differentiation with respect to \bar{y}), which describes a spatially closed ($K = +1$) universe sourced by blackbody radiation. The solution of [9]

$$\bar{y}(\bar{h}_1) = \pm \left(\sqrt{1 - \bar{h}_1^2} - \sqrt{1 - \bar{H}_1^2} \right), \quad (59)$$

which can be inverted as

$$\bar{h}_1(\bar{y}) = \sqrt{1 - \left(\bar{y} \mp \sqrt{1 - \bar{H}_1^2} \right)^2}, \quad (60)$$

is a classic solution of FLRW cosmology [28] and can be rewritten in the parametric form

$$\bar{h}_1(\eta) = \sin \eta, \quad (61)$$

$$\bar{y}(\eta) = \pm \sqrt{1 - \bar{H}_1^2} + \cos \eta, \quad (62)$$

where the parameter η corresponds to the conformal time of FLRW cosmology defined by $dt = ad\eta$. This FLRW universe begins at a Big Crunch, reaches a maximum size, and then shrinks and collapses to a Big Crunch, mirroring the transverse profile of the lava dome of finite extension. The corresponding Lagrangian and Hamiltonian are

$$L_1(\bar{h}, \bar{h}') = \bar{h} \bar{h}'^2 - \bar{h} + \frac{1}{\bar{h}}, \quad (63)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_1(\bar{h}, \bar{h}') = \bar{h} \bar{h}'^2 + \bar{h} - \frac{1}{\bar{h}}. \quad (64)$$

7 Conclusions

The Friedmann equation (4) lends itself to various analogies [19], including the differential equations ruling lava flows, because it resembles the energy conservation equation for a one-dimensional motion. Analogue gravity, in which laboratory scale systems mimic gravitational systems such as black holes, wormholes, and universes that cannot be recreated in the lab, has become a mature area of science (*e.g.*, [64, 65, 66, 67, 68]). Analogue gravity systems comprise Bose-Einstein condensates and other condensed matter systems [32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45], fluids [46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58], optical systems [59, 60, 61, 62, 63] and even soap bubbles [73, 74] and capillary flow [75]. Specifically, analogues of FLRW cosmology have been found in Bose-Einstein condensates [69, 70, 71, 72]. Based on the cosmic analogies presented here, tabletop experiments studying the flow of Bingham fluids of interest in geophysics, which employ slurries descending inclines [3], can constitute analogue gravity systems for cosmology. The most interesting phenomena discovered in analogue gravity thus far involve wave propagation and perturbations, which have led to the discovery of analogue Hawking radiation [55], super-radiance [54], and cosmological particle production [35], predicted in quantum field theory in curved spacetime and not directly observable in nature, but other aspects may be disclosed by analogue gravity in the future.

As seen above, negative energy densities for the cosmological fluids analogous to geophysical flows do occur sometimes and they are responsible for the appearance of hyper-

bolic functions in the scale factor $a(t)$ (cosmologists are familiar with hyperbolic functions in the presence of a negative cosmological constant or of positive curvature index K [22, 20, 21, 24, 23]). While these negative densities would be unacceptable for real fluids in Einstein gravity, they can be viable in alternative theories where extra degrees of freedom with respect to general relativity can be described as *effective* fluids not subject to the usual physical requirements imposed on ordinary fluids (*e.g.*, [76, 77, 78]). The extension of the cosmological analogies reported here to scalar-tensor and other theories of gravity alternative to general relativity will be pursued elsewhere.

Acknowledgements This work is supported by Bishop's University and by the Natural Sciences & Engineering Research Council of Canada (grant 2016-03803).

References

1. J. F. Nye, "The flow of glaciers and ice-sheets as a problem in plasticity", *Proc. R. Soc. London A* **207**, 554–72 (1951).
2. S. Blake, "Visco-plastic models of lava domes", in *Lava Flows and Domes: Emplacement Mechanisms and Hazard Implications*, IUGG Congress, Vancouver, Canada 1990, *Proc. Volcanol.* Vol. 2, J. H. Fink ed. (Springer, New York, 1990).
3. R. W. Griffiths, "The dynamics of lava flows", *Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech.* **32**, 477–518 (2000).
4. J. W. Glen, "The creep of polycrystalline ice", *Proc. R. Soc. London A* **228**, 519–38 (1958).
5. W. S. B. Paterson, *The Physics of Glaciers*, 3rd edition (Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 1994).
6. K. M. Cuffey and W. S. B. Paterson, *The Physics of Glaciers* (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2010).
7. R. L. B. Hooke, *Principles of Glacier Mechanics*, 2nd edition (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005).
8. R. Greve and H. Blatter, *Dynamics of Ice Sheets and Glaciers* (Springer, New York, 2009).
9. D. I. Osmond and R. W. Griffiths, "Silicic lava domes on slopes", *Proc. 13th Australasian Fluid Mech. Conf.*, M. C. Thomson and K. Hourigan eds. (Monash Univ., Melbourne, Australia, 1998), pp. 827–830.
10. G. R. Robson, "Thickness of Etna lavas", *Nature* **216**, 251–252 (1967).
11. A. M. Johnson, *Physical Processes in Geology* (W. H. Freeman, New York, 1970).
12. G. Hulme, "The interpretation of lava flow morphology", *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.* **39**, 361–383 (1974).
13. H. Pinkerton and R. S. J. Sparks, "Field measurements of the rheology of lava", *Nature* **276**, 383–385 (1978).
14. M. Dragoni, M. Bonafede, and E. Boschi, "Downslope flow models of a Bingham liquid: Implications for lava flows", *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* **30**, 305–325 (1986).
15. C. R. J. Kilburn and G. Luongo (eds.), *Active Lavas: Monitoring and Modelling* (Univ. Coll. London Press, London, UK, 1993).
16. A. Tallarico and M. Dragoni, "Viscous Newtonian laminar flow in a rectangular channel: Application to Etna lava flows", *Bull. Volcanol.* **61**, 40–47 (1999).
17. A. Tallarico and M. Dragoni, "A three-dimensional Bingham model for channelled lava flows", *J. Geophys. Res.* **105(B11)**, 969–980 (2000).
18. V. Faraoni, "Modelling the shapes of glaciers: an introduction", *Eur. J. Phys.* **40**, 025802 (2019).

19. V. Faraoni, *Cosmic Analogies: How Natural Systems Emulate the Universe* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2022).
20. R. M. Wald, *General Relativity* (Chicago University Press, Chicago, 1984).
21. S. M. Carroll, *Spacetime and Geometry: An Introduction to General Relativity* (Addison Wesley, San Francisco, 2004).
22. C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation*. (Freeman, San Francisco, 1973).
23. E. W. Kolb and M. S. Turner, *The Early Universe* (Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, CA, 1990).
24. A. Liddle, *An Introduction to Modern Cosmology* (Wiley, Chichester, 2003).
25. M. Dragoni, I. Borsari, and A. Tallarico, "A model for the shape of lava flow fronts", *J. Geophys. Res: Solid Earth* **110**, B9 (2005).
26. V. Mukhanov, *Physical Foundations of Cosmology*. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005).
27. S. H. Emmerman and D. L. Turcotte, "A fluid model for the shape of accretionary wedges", *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **63**, 379–384 (1983).
28. V. Faraoni, S. Jose and S. Dussault, "Multi-fluid cosmology in Einstein gravity: analytical solutions," *Gen. Relat. Gravit.* **53**, no.12, 109 (2021) doi:10.1007/s10714-021-02879-z [arXiv:2107.12488 [gr-qc]].
29. S. S. Vialov, "Regularization of glacial shields movement and the theory of plastic viscous flow", *Symp. at Chamonix 1958 (IAHS Publ. 47, Physics of the Movement of the Ice) (IAHS Press, Wallingford, 1958)*.
30. V. Faraoni, "Lagrangian formulation, a general relativity analogue, and a symmetry of the Vialov equation of glaciology," *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **135**, no.11, 887 (2020) doi:10.1140/epjp/s13360-020-00909-4 [arXiv:2011.00356 [physics.geo-ph]].
31. K. O. F. Liu and C. C. Mei, "Slow spreading of a sheet of Bingham fluid on an inclined plane", *J. Fluid Mech.* **207**, 505-529 (1989).
32. C. Barcelo, S. Liberati and M. Visser, "Analog gravity from Bose-Einstein condensates," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **18**, 1137 (2001) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/18/6/312 [arXiv:gr-qc/0011026 [gr-qc]].
33. P. O. Fedichev and U. R. Fischer, "Gibbons-Hawking effect in the sonic de Sitter space-time of an expanding Bose-Einstein-condensed gas," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 240407 (2003) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.240407 [arXiv:cond-mat/0304342 [cond-mat]].
34. C. Barcelo, S. Liberati and M. Visser, "Analog models for FRW cosmologies," *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **12**, 1641-1650 (2003) doi:10.1142/S0218271803004092 [arXiv:gr-qc/0305061 [gr-qc]].
35. P. O. Fedichev and U. R. Fischer, "'Cosmological' quasi-particle production in harmonically trapped superfluid gases," *Phys. Rev. A* **69**, 033602 (2004) doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.69.033602 [arXiv:cond-mat/0303063 [cond-mat]].
36. U. R. Fischer and R. Schutzhold, "Quantum simulation of cosmic inflation in two-component Bose-Einstein condensates," *Phys. Rev. A* **70**, 063615 (2004) doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.70.063615 [arXiv:cond-mat/0406470 [cond-mat]].
37. S. Y. Chä and U. R. Fischer, "Probing the scale invariance of the inflationary power spectrum in expanding quasi-two-dimensional dipolar condensates," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, no.13, 130404 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.130404 [arXiv:1609.06155 [cond-mat.quant-gas]].
38. S. Eckel, A. Kumar, T. Jacobson, I. B. Spielman and G. K. Campbell, "A rapidly expanding Bose-Einstein condensate: an expanding universe in the lab," *Phys. Rev. X* **8**, no.2, 021021 (2018) doi:10.1103/PhysRevX.8.021021 [arXiv:1710.05800 [cond-mat.quant-gas]].
39. P. O. Fedichev and U. R. Fischer, "Observer dependence for the phonon content of the sound field living on the effective curved space-time background of a Bose-Einstein condensate," *Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 064021 (2004) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.69.064021 [arXiv:cond-mat/0307200 [cond-mat]].
40. G. E. Volovik, "Induced gravity in superfluid He-3," *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **113**, 667-680 (1997) doi:10.1023/A:1022545226102 [arXiv:cond-mat/9806010 [cond-mat]].
41. T. A. Jacobson and G. E. Volovik, "Effective space-time and Hawking radiation from moving domain wall in thin film of He-3-A," *JETP Lett.* **68**, 874-880 (1998) doi:10.1134/1.567808 [arXiv:gr-qc/9811014 [gr-qc]].
42. G. E. Volovik, "Links between gravity and dynamics of quantum liquids," *Grav. Cosmol. Suppl.* **6**, 187-203 (2000) [arXiv:gr-qc/0004049 [gr-qc]].
43. Volovik, G.E. Effective gravity and quantum vacuum in superfluids, in Novello, M.; Visser, M.; Volovik, G. (eds.), *Artificial Black Holes*. World Scientific, Singapore, 2002, pp. 127-177.
44. G. E. Volovik, "Black hole horizon and metric singularity at the brane separating two sliding superfluids," *Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **76**, 296-300 (2002) doi:10.1134/1.1520613 [arXiv:gr-qc/0208020 [gr-qc]].
45. O. K. Pashaev and J. H. Lee, "Resonance NLS solitons as black holes in Madelung fluid," *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **17**, 1601 (2002) doi:10.1142/S0217732302007995 [arXiv:hep-th/9810139 [hep-th]].
46. W. G. Unruh, "Experimental black hole evaporation," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **46**, 1351-1353 (1981) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.46.1351
47. W. G. Unruh, "Sonic analog of black holes and the effects of high frequencies on black hole evaporation," *Phys. Rev. D* **51**, 2827-2838 (1995) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.51.2827 [arXiv:gr-qc/9409008 [gr-qc]].
48. M. Visser, "Acoustic black holes: Horizons, ergospheres, and Hawking radiation," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **15**, 1767-1791 (1998) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/15/6/024 [arXiv:gr-qc/9712010 [gr-qc]].
49. L. J. Garay, J. R. Anglin, J. I. Cirac and P. Zoller, "Sonic black holes in dilute Bose-Einstein condensates," *Phys. Rev. A* **63**, 023611 (2001) doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.63.023611 [arXiv:gr-qc/0005131 [gr-qc]].
50. U. R. Fischer and M. Visser, "Riemannian geometry of irrotational vortex acoustics," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 110201 (2002) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.110201 [arXiv:cond-mat/0110211 [cond-mat]].
51. Schützhold, R.; Unruh, W.G. Gravity wave analogues of black holes. *Phys. Rev. D* **2002**, 66, 044019. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.66.044019
52. K. K. Nandi, Y. Z. Zhang and R. G. Cai, "Acoustic wormholes," [arXiv:gr-qc/0409085 [gr-qc]].
53. M. Visser and S. E. C. Weinfurter, "Vortex geometry for the equatorial slice of the Kerr black hole," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **22**, 2493-2510 (2005) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/22/12/011 [arXiv:gr-qc/0409014 [gr-qc]].
54. T. R. Slatyer and C. M. Savage, "Superradiant scattering from a hydrodynamic vortex," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **22**, 3833-3839 (2005) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/22/19/002 [arXiv:cond-mat/0501182 [cond-mat]].
55. S. Weinfurter, E. W. Tedford, M. C. J. Penrice, W. G. Unruh and G. A. Lawrence, "Measurement of stimulated Hawking emission in an analogue system," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 021302 (2011) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.021302 [arXiv:1008.1911 [gr-qc]].
56. T. Torres, S. Patrick, A. Coutant, M. Richartz, E. W. Tedford and S. Weinfurter, "Observation of superradiance in a vortex flow," *Nature Phys.* **13**, 833-836 (2017) doi:10.1038/nphys4151 [arXiv:1612.06180 [gr-qc]].
57. S. Patrick, A. Coutant, M. Richartz and S. Weinfurter, "Black hole quasibound states from a draining bathtub vortex flow," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, no.6, 061101 (2018) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.061101 [arXiv:1801.08473 [gr-qc]].
58. S. Patrick, H. Goodhew, C. Gooding and S. Weinfurter, "Back-reaction in an analogue black hole experiment," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, no.4, 041105 (2021) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.041105 [arXiv:1905.03045 [gr-qc]].

59. R. Schutzhold, G. Plunien and G. Soff, “Dielectric black hole analogs,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 061101 (2002) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.061101 [arXiv:quant-ph/0104121 [quant-ph]].
60. W. G. Unruh and R. Schutzhold, “On slow light as a black hole analog,” *Phys. Rev. D* **68**, 024008 (2003) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.68.024008 [arXiv:gr-qc/0303028 [gr-qc]].
61. C. C. Davis, “Linear and nonlinear optics of surface plasmon toy models of black holes and wormholes,” *Phys. Rev. B* **69**, 205417 (2004) doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.69.205417 [arXiv:gr-qc/0311062 [gr-qc]].
62. R. Schutzhold and W. G. Unruh, “Hawking radiation in an electro-magnetic wave-guide?,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 031301 (2005) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.031301 [arXiv:quant-ph/0408145 [quant-ph]].
63. A. Prain, C. Maitland, D. Faccio and F. Marino, “Superradiant scattering in fluids of light,” *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, no.2, 024037 (2019) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.024037 [arXiv:1904.00684 [gr-qc]].
64. C. Barcelo, S. Liberati and M. Visser, “Analogue gravity,” *Living Rev. Rel.* **8**, 12 (2005) doi:10.12942/lrr-2005-12 [arXiv:gr-qc/0505065 [gr-qc]].
65. G. E. Volovik, “The Universe in a helium droplet,” *Int. Ser. Monogr. Phys.* **117** (Clarendon Press; Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003).
66. F. D. Belgiorno, S. L. Cacciatori and D. Faccio, —em Hawking Radiation: From Astrophysical Black Holes to Analogous Systems in the Lab, WSP, 2019, ISBN 978-981-4508-53-7, 978-981-4508-55-1 doi:10.1142/8812
67. M. Visser, C. Barcelo and S. Liberati, “Analog models of and for gravity,” *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **34**, 1719-1734 (2002) doi:10.1023/A:1020180409214 [arXiv:gr-qc/0111111 [gr-qc]].
68. S. Liberati, “Analogue gravity models of emergent gravity: lessons and pitfalls,” *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **880**, no.1, 012009 (2017) doi:10.1088/1742-6596/880/1/012009
69. Volovik, G.E. ^3He and Universe parallelism, in Bunkov, Y.M.; Godfrin, H. (eds.), *Topological defects and the non-equilibrium dynamics of symmetry breaking phase transitions* (Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2000), pp. 353-387.
70. G. E. Volovik, “Superfluid analogies of cosmological phenomena,” *Phys. Rept.* **351**, 195-348 (2001) doi:10.1016/S0370-1573(00)00139-3 [arXiv:gr-qc/0005091 [gr-qc]].
71. A. Prain, S. Fagnocchi and S. Liberati, “Analogue Cosmological Particle Creation: Quantum Correlations in Expanding Bose Einstein Condensates,” *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 105018 (2010) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.82.105018 [arXiv:1009.0647 [gr-qc]].
72. J. Braden, M. C. Johnson, H. V. Peiris, A. Pontzen and S. Weinert, “Nonlinear Dynamics of the Cold Atom Analog False Vacuum,” *JHEP* **10**, 174 (2019) doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2019)174 [arXiv:1904.07873 [hep-th]].
73. C. Criado and N. Alamo, “Solving the brachistochrone and other variational problems with soap films”, *Am. J. Phys.* **78**, 1400-1405 (2010), DOI: 10.1119/1.3483276
74. G. Rousseaux and S. C. Mancas, “Visco-elastic Cosmology for a Sparkling Universe?”, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **52**, 1-8 (2020) [arXiv:2002.12123].
75. D. Bini and S. Succi, “Analogy between capillary motion and Friedmann-Robertson-Walker cosmology”, *Europhys. Lett.* **82**, 34003 (2008), doi: 10.1209/0295-5075/82/34003
76. V. Faraoni and J. Côté, “Imperfect fluid description of modified gravities,” *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, no.8, 084019 (2018) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.98.084019 [arXiv:1808.02427 [gr-qc]].
77. A. Giusti, S. Zentarra, L. Heisenberg and V. Faraoni, “First-order thermodynamics of Horndeski gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, no.12, 124011 (2022) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.124011 [arXiv:2108.10706 [gr-qc]].
78. M. Miranda, D. Vernieri, S. Capozziello and V. Faraoni, “Fluid nature constrains Horndeski gravity,” [arXiv:2209.02727 [gr-qc]].