

On the Deepest Cycle of a Random Mapping

LJUBEN MUTAFCHIEV^{*†}

American University in Bulgaria, 2700 Blagoevgrad, Bulgaria

STEVEN FINCH

MIT Sloan School of Management, Cambridge, MA 02142, USA

Abstract

Let \mathcal{T}_n be the set of all mappings $T : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. The corresponding graph of T is a union of disjoint connected unicyclic components. We assume that each $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$ is chosen uniformly at random (i.e., with probability n^{-n}). The cycle of T contained within its largest component is called the *deepest* one. For any $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$, let $\nu_n = \nu_n(T)$ denote the length of this cycle. In this paper, we establish the convergence in distribution of ν_n/\sqrt{n} and find the limits of its expectation and variance as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For n large enough, we also show that nearly 55% of all cyclic vertices of a random mapping $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$ lie in its deepest cycle and that a vertex from the longest cycle of T does not belong to its largest component with approximate probability 0.075.

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 60C05, 05C80

Key words: random functional graph, deepest cycle, largest component, longest cycle, limit distribution

1 Introduction and Statement of the Main Result

We start with some notation that will be used freely in the text.

For a positive integer n , let \mathcal{T}_n denote the set of all mappings $T : [n] \rightarrow [n]$, where $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. It is clear that the cardinality $|\mathcal{T}_n|$ of \mathcal{T}_n is n^n . A mapping $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$ corresponds to a directed graph G_T , called a functional digraph, with edges $(i, T(i)), i \in [n]$, where every vertex $i \in [n]$ has out-degree 1. G_T is a union of disjoint connected components. A vertex i is called cyclic if, for the m -fold composition $T^{(m)}$ of T , we have $T^{(m)}(i) = i$ for some $m \geq 1$. Since the

^{*}Corresponding author; E-mail: Ljuben@aubg.edu

[†]Also at: Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia 1113, Bulgaria

vertices of G_T have out-degree 1, each component contains a unique directed cycle and directed trees attached to the cyclic vertices. Let $\lambda_n = \lambda_n(T), T \in \mathcal{T}_n$, denote the number of the cyclic vertices in G_T . We introduce the uniform probability measure \mathbb{P} on the set \mathcal{T}_n . That is, we assign the probability n^{-n} to each $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$. In this way, λ_n , as well as any other numerical characteristic of G_T , becomes a random variable (or, a statistic in the sense of random generation of mappings from \mathcal{T}_n). The size of the largest component of G_T will be further denoted by $\mu_n = \mu_n(T)$. The cycle contained within the largest component of G_T is called the *deepest* one. Let $\nu_n = \nu_n(T)$ denote its length. In [7], Finch suggests to study the asymptotic behavior of ν_n as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The main goal of this work is to establish the limiting distribution of ν_n/\sqrt{n} and find the asymptotics of its mean and variance as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

There is a substantial probabilistic literature on random mappings. Here we give only references to the popular monographs [19, 13, 2]. For large n , some properties of the functional digraphs $G_T, T \in \mathcal{T}_n$, are also used in the analysis of algorithms. For example, the cyclic structure of random mappings is closely related to algorithms for integer factorization and, in particular, to the Pollard's ρ -algorithm; see, e.g., [16, 4, 8, 14]. A comprehensive exposition on the algorithms of factorization of integers and other related topics may be found in [11, Section 4.5.4]. Random mapping statistics are also relevant to some algorithms for generic attacks on iterated hash constructions; see, e.g., [3].

Throughout the paper, the notation \mathbb{E} and Var stand for the expectation and variance with respect to the uniform probability measure \mathbb{P} on the set \mathcal{T}_n , respectively. We also denote the convergence in distribution by \rightarrow_d . To state our main results, we need to introduce the distribution functions of some random variables that will be used further.

Let $\chi^2(1)$ be a chi-squared distributed random variable with one degree of freedom, that is, $\chi^2(1) = \xi^2$, where ξ has the standard normal distribution function

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-u^2/2} du.$$

It can be easily checked that the distribution function of $\chi^2(1)$ is given by

$$G(x) = 2\Phi(\sqrt{x}) - 1, \quad x > 0, \quad (1)$$

and $G(x) = 0$ elsewhere. More details on the chi-squared distribution may be found, e.g., in [6, Chapter II, Section 3]. We now turn to the size μ_n of the largest component in a random mapping $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$. The limiting distribution function of μ_n/n was first determined by Kolchin [12] (see also [13, Section 1.13]). To present the result, we shall use some notation and facts from [2, Section 5.5]. Consider first a random variable η whose probability density function $p(x), x > 0$, is given by

$$p(x) = \frac{e^{-\gamma/2}}{\sqrt{\pi x}} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{2^k k!} \int \cdots \int_{I_k(x)} \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^k y_j \right)^{-1/2} \frac{dy_1 \cdots dy_k}{y_1 \cdots y_k} \right), \quad (2)$$

where

$$I_k(x) := \{(y_1, \dots, y_k) : y_1 > x^{-1}, \dots, y_k > x^{-1}, \sum_{j=1}^k y_j < 1\}, \quad (3)$$

and $\gamma \approx 0.5772$ denotes Euler's constant. The integral limit theorem for the size of the largest component of a random mapping states that

$$\frac{\mu_n}{n} \rightarrow_d \mu \quad (4)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where the random variable μ has the distribution function F given by

$$F(x) = e^{\gamma/2} \sqrt{\pi/x} p(1/x), \quad x > 0 \quad (5)$$

and $p(x)$ is as defined in (2); see [2, Lemma 5.7].

We are now ready to state our results on the deepest cycle of a random mapping.

Theorem 1 (i) *As $n \rightarrow \infty$, $n^{-1/2} \nu_n \rightarrow_d \sqrt{\chi^2(1)} \mu$, where $\chi^2(1)$ and μ are independent random variables with distribution functions $G(x)$ and $F(x)$ given by (1) and (5), respectively.*

(ii) *Let $E_1(s) = \int_s^\infty \frac{e^{-t}}{t} dt, s > 0$, be the exponential integral function. Then we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbb{E}(\nu_n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^\infty \frac{\exp(-s - \frac{1}{2} E_1(s))}{\sqrt{s}} ds \approx 0.6884.$$

(iii) *We also have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \text{Var}(\nu_n) \approx 0.2839.$$

The proof of this theorem is given in Section 3. Section 2 contains some preliminary results. In Section 4, we consider a sampling experiment: we assume that a vertex of the random functional digraph $G_T, T \in \mathcal{T}_n$, is chosen uniformly at random from the set $[n]$. We give interpretations arising from this random choice. We conclude Section 4 with an open problem.

2 Preliminary Results

A mapping is *indecomposable* (or *connected*) if it possesses exactly one component. Let $\mathcal{T}'_n \subset \mathcal{T}_n$ be the subset of indecomposable mappings of $[n]$ into itself. The cardinality $|\mathcal{T}'_n|$ of the set \mathcal{T}'_n was determined by Katz [10], who showed that

$$|\mathcal{T}'_n| = (n-1)! \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{n^k}{k!}.$$

For the sake of convenience, we set

$$A_n := \frac{|\mathcal{T}'_n|}{(n-1)!} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{n^k}{k!}. \quad (6)$$

Multiplying the right-hand side of (6) by e^{-n} , we observe that it represents a sum of probabilities of a Poisson-distributed random variable with mean n . Applying the normal approximation of the Poisson distribution in conjunction with the Berry-Esseen bound on the rate of convergence in this approximation (see, e.g., [6, Chapter XVI, Section 5]), we conclude that

$$e^{-n} A_n = \frac{1}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (7)$$

Now, we introduce the uniform probability measure \mathcal{P} on the set \mathcal{T}'_n . Let $\nu'_n = \nu'_n(T)$, $T \in \mathcal{T}'_n$, denote the count of the cyclic vertices in T . Rényi [18] showed that, with respect to \mathcal{P} , ν'_n/\sqrt{n} converges in distribution to the random variable $|\xi|$, where ξ has a standard normal distribution. In addition, he also established a local limit theorem for ν'_n/\sqrt{n} and showed that $\mathcal{E}(\nu'_n) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2n}{\pi}}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where \mathcal{E} denotes the expectation with respect to the probability measure \mathcal{P} . The next lemma establishes a more precise estimate for this expectation.

Lemma 1 *As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have*

$$\mathcal{E}(\nu'_n) = \sqrt{\frac{2n}{\pi}} + O(1).$$

Proof. To find the probability mass function of ν'_n , we notice first that each graph G_T , $T \in \mathcal{T}'_n$, is a cycle of trees rooted at the cyclic vertices of G_T . The number of forests on n vertices containing k rooted trees is $\binom{n-1}{k-1} n^{n-k}$, where $1 \leq k \leq n$ (see, e.g., [19, Chapter 6, formula (0.5)]. Multiplying this by $(k-1)!$ (the number of ways to construct a cycle on k vertices) and dividing the product by $|\mathcal{T}'_n|$, we see that

$$\mathcal{P}(\nu'_n = k) = \frac{n^{n-k}}{(n-k)!A_n}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n, \quad (8)$$

where A_n is the quantity given by (6). Since the probability distributions on \mathcal{T}_n and \mathcal{T}'_n are both uniform, we conclude that

$$\mathcal{E}(\nu'_n) = \frac{|\mathcal{T}_n|}{|\mathcal{T}'_n|}.$$

This simple intuitive formula is also confirmed by a straightforward computation which uses (8). It shows that

$$\mathcal{E}(\nu'_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k n^{n-k}}{(n-k)!A_n} = \frac{n^n}{(n-1)!A_n}. \quad (9)$$

Applying Stirling's formula and (7) to the right-hand side of (9), we obtain

$$\mathcal{E}(\nu'_n) = \sqrt{\frac{2n}{\pi}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \right) = \sqrt{\frac{2n}{\pi}} + O(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

as required. \square

We show next that an elementary argument leads to a surprisingly simple exact expression for the second moment of ν'_n .

Lemma 2 *For any fixed $m \geq 2$, we have $\mathcal{E}(\nu_m'^2) = m$.*

Proof. Direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(\nu_m'^2) &= \frac{1}{A_m} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{k^2 m^{m-k}}{(m-k)!} = \frac{1}{A_m} \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \frac{(m^2 - 2ml + l^2)m^l}{l!} \\ &= \frac{1}{A_m} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \frac{m^{l+2}}{l!} - 2 \sum_{l=1}^{m-1} \frac{m^{l+1}}{(l-1)!} + \sum_{l=2}^{m-1} \frac{m^l}{(l-2)!} + \sum_{l=1}^{m-1} \frac{m^l}{(l-1)!} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{A_m} \left(\frac{m^{m+1}}{(m-1)!} + \sum_{l=2}^m \frac{m^l}{(l-2)!} - 2 \sum_{l=2}^m \frac{m^l}{(l-2)!} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{A_m} \left(\sum_{l=2}^m \frac{m^l}{(l-2)!} - \frac{m^m}{(m-2)!} + \sum_{l=1}^{m-1} \frac{m^l}{(l-1)!} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{A_m} \left(\frac{m^m}{(m-1)!} + \sum_{l=1}^{m-1} \frac{m^l}{(l-1)!} \right) = \frac{1}{A_m} \sum_{l=1}^m \frac{m^l}{(l-1)!} \\ &= \frac{m}{A_m} \sum_{l=1}^m \frac{m^{l-1}}{(l-1)!} = \frac{m}{A_m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{m^k}{k!} = m, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we have used (6). This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Now, we return to the set \mathcal{T}_n of unrestricted mappings of $[n]$ into itself. In the computation of the first two moments of ν_n , we shall use conditional expectations. It is clear that, for $m \leq n$ and $j = 1, 2$, we have $\mathbb{E}(\nu_n^j | \mu_n = m) = \mathcal{E}(\nu_m^j)$, where μ_n stands for the largest component size of a mapping from \mathcal{T}_n . Decomposing $\mathbb{E}(\nu_n^j)$ into a weighted sum of conditional expectations, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(\nu_n^j) = \sum_{m=1}^n \mathbb{E}(\nu_n^j | \mu_n = m) \mathbb{P}(\mu_n = m) = \sum_{m=1}^n \mathcal{E}(\nu_m^j) \mathbb{P}(\mu_n = m). \quad (10)$$

Hence, setting $j = 2$ and applying Lemma 2, we obtain, for all $n \geq 1$, the following curious identity:

$$\mathbb{E}(\nu_n^2) = \mathbb{E}(\mu_n). \quad (11)$$

Now, we proceed to the preliminaries concerning the largest component of a random mapping. Arratia et al. [2] developed a unifying approach to the

study of the component spectrum of a large parametric class of decomposable combinatorial structures, called logarithmic structures. These structures satisfy a condition, called there logarithmic. It introduces a dependency on a parameter $\theta > 0$. More precisely, this general approach employs a random variable η_θ (see [2, Lemma 4.7]). Its probability density function $p_\theta(x), x > 0$, is given by

$$p_\theta(x) = \frac{e^{-\gamma\theta}x^{\theta-1}}{\Gamma(\theta)} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{2^k k!} \int \cdots \int_{I_k(x)} \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^k y_j\right)^{-1/2} \frac{dy_1 \cdots dy_k}{y_1 \cdots y_k} \right), \quad (12)$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ denotes Euler's gamma function and $I_k(x)$ are defined by (3). Setting $\theta = 1/2$, we obviously obtain the expression for $p(x)$ given by (2). It is shown [2, Section 6.1] that random mappings satisfy the logarithmic condition with this value of θ . For the sake of simplicity, in formulas (2) and (5) given in the Introduction as well as in the material that we shall present further, we omit the index θ and restrict ourselves to the case of random mappings, where $\theta = 1/2$. For more details, we refer the reader to [2, Sections 4.2 and 5.5].

The next lemma provides a formula for the Laplace transform of the function $p(x)$; see (2). It is a particular case of Theorem 4.6 from [2].

Lemma 3 *We have*

$$\varphi(s) := \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} p(x) dx = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{1 - e^{-sy}}{y} dy\right) = \frac{e^{-\gamma/2}}{\sqrt{s}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}E_1(s)}, \quad (13)$$

where $p(x)$ is given by (2) and $E_1(s)$ denotes the exponential integral function introduced in Theorem 1(ii).

We only notice here that the last equality in (13) follows from the classical identity

$$\int_0^s \frac{1 - e^{-y}}{y} dy = E_1(s) + \log s + \gamma, \quad s > 0;$$

see, e.g., [1, Section 5.1].

Watterson [23] observed that $p(x)$ satisfies the delay differential equation

$$xp'(x) + \frac{1}{2}p(x) + \frac{1}{2}p(x-1) = 0 \quad \text{for } x > 1, \quad p(x) = \frac{e^{-\gamma/2}}{\sqrt{\pi x}} \quad \text{for } 0 < x \leq 1. \quad (14)$$

Remark. For decomposable combinatorial structures with parameter θ , the delay differential equation (14) becomes

$$xp'_\theta(x) + (1 - \theta)p_\theta(x) + \theta p_\theta(x - 1) = 0,$$

where $p_\theta(x)$ is the probability density function given by (12). If $\theta = 1$, then $p_1(1/x)$ is a distribution function which describes the asymptotic behavior of the largest prime factor of a random integer and the size of the longest cycle of a random permutation of n letters. For more details, we refer the reader to [21, 22].

From (5) and (14) one can easily deduce the limiting probability density function $f(x)$ of μ_n/n . We have

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}e^{\gamma/2}\sqrt{\pi}x^{-3/2}p\left(\frac{1}{x}-1\right), \quad 0 < x \leq 1. \quad (15)$$

In the Introduction, we already stated the integral limit theorem for μ_n/n ; see (4) and (5). Arratia et al. [2, Lemma 5.9] derived also a local limit theorem for μ_n , which we shall essentially use in the proof of Theorem 1. It is stated as follows.

Lemma 4 *Suppose that $m \leq n$ satisfies $\frac{m}{n} \rightarrow x \in (0, 1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then*

$$\mathbb{P}(\mu_n = m) = \frac{1}{n}f(x)(1 + o(1)),$$

where $f(x)$ is given by (15).

3 Proof of Theorem 1

Proof of part (i). The essential part of the argument in this proof is based on the relationship between the probabilities \mathbb{P} defined on the set \mathcal{T}_n , conditioned upon events $\{\mu_n = m\}$, and the unconditional probabilities \mathcal{P} defined on the subset $\mathcal{T}'_n \subset \mathcal{T}_n$. In particular, for any $1 \leq m \leq n$ and $1 \leq N \leq m$, we have $\mathbb{P}(\nu_n \leq N | \mu_n = m) = \mathcal{P}(\nu'_m \leq N)$. The total probability formula implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\nu_n \leq N) &= \sum_{m=1}^n \mathbb{P}(\nu_n \leq N | \mu_n = m) \mathbb{P}(\mu_n = m) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^n \mathcal{P}(\nu'_m \leq N) \mathbb{P}(\mu_n = m) = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where in the last equality we have decomposed the underlying probability into two sums in the following way. For a function $\omega(n)$ satisfying $\omega(n) \rightarrow \infty$ and $\omega(n) = o(n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we set

$$\Sigma_1 = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq \omega(n)} \mathcal{P}(\nu'_m \leq N) \mathbb{P}(\mu_n = m), \quad (17)$$

$$\Sigma_2 = \sum_{\omega(n) < m \leq n} \mathcal{P}(\nu'_m \leq N) \mathbb{P}(\mu_n = m). \quad (18)$$

For Σ_1 , we easily obtain the bound

$$\Sigma_1 \leq \sum_{1 \leq m \leq \omega(n)} \mathbb{P}(\mu_n = m) = \mathbb{P}(\mu_n \leq \omega(n)) = \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\mu_n}{n} \leq \frac{\omega(n)}{n}\right). \quad (19)$$

In view of (4) and (5), we approximate the last probability in (19) by $F(\omega(n)/n)$. To complete the estimate, we use that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} xp(x) = 0$; see [2, p. 85]. Setting in (5) $x = n/\omega(n)$, we obtain

$$F(\omega(n)/n) = \frac{e^{\gamma/2} \sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{n/\omega(n)}} (n/\omega(n)) p(n/\omega(n)) = o\left(\sqrt{\frac{\omega(n)}{n}}\right),$$

since $n/\omega(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Combining this with (19), we conclude that

$$\Sigma_1 = o\left(\sqrt{\frac{\omega(n)}{n}}\right) = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (20)$$

In the second sum Σ_2 , we replace first the probabilities $\mathcal{P}(\nu'_m = k)$ by their probability mass expressions given by (8). Thus Σ_2 is converted to

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_2 &= \sum_{\omega(n) < m \leq n} \mathbb{P}(\mu_n = m) \sum_{1 \leq k \leq N} \mathcal{P}(\nu'_m = k) \\ &= \sum_{\omega(n) < m \leq n} \mathbb{P}(\mu_n = m) \sum_{1 \leq k \leq N} \frac{m^{m-k}}{(m-k)! A_m} \\ &= \sum_{\omega(n) < m \leq n} \mathbb{P}(\mu_n = m) \sum_{m-N \leq l \leq m-1} \frac{m^l}{l! A_m}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Further on, for any $a > 0$, by $Po(a)$, we denote a Poisson-distributed random variable with mean a . Moreover, let \mathbf{P} be the Lebesgue measure on the Borel subsets of $[0, \infty)$. To estimate the inner sum in the last line of (21), we use (7) and apply again the normal approximation of the Poisson distribution in conjunction with the Berry-Esseen bound on the rate of convergence in this approximation (see, e.g., [6, Chapter XVI, Section 5]). Since $m > \omega(n) \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m-N \leq l \leq m-1} \frac{m^l e^{-m}}{l! A_m e^{-m}} &= \frac{1}{1/2 + O(1/\sqrt{m})} \mathbf{P}(m-N \leq Po(m) < m) \\ &= 2(1 + O(1/\sqrt{m})) \mathbf{P}\left(-\frac{N}{\sqrt{m}} \leq \frac{Po(m) - m}{\sqrt{m}} < 0\right) \\ &= (1 + o(1))(2\Phi(N/\sqrt{m}) - 1), \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where $\Phi(x)$ is the standard normal distribution function. Going back to (21), we apply the result of Lemma 4 and replace the inner sum of its last line by the right-hand side expression of (22). For any $y > 0$, we also set

$$N = \sqrt{yn}. \quad (23)$$

Thus, recalling (1), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_2 &= (1 + o(1)) \sum_{\omega(n)/n < m/n \leq 1} \frac{1}{n} f(m/n) (2\Phi(\sqrt{y}/\sqrt{m/n}) - 1) \\ &= \int_0^1 f(x) G(y/x) dx + o(1),\end{aligned}\tag{24}$$

since the sum in the middle of (24) is a Riemann sum with step size $1/n$ of the integral in its right-hand side. Now, combining (16) - (18), (20), (23) and (24), we observe that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\nu_n}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \sqrt{y}\right) = \int_0^1 f(x) G(y/x) dx + o(1).\tag{25}$$

It is easily seen that the left-hand side probability in (25) represents the distribution function of the ratio ν_n^2/n . Furthermore, (1) and (15) imply that the integral in the right-hand side equals the distribution function of $\chi^2(1)\mu$, where the random variables in this product are independent. In other words, we find from (25) that $\nu_n^2/n \rightarrow_d \chi^2(1)\mu$, which obviously gives $\nu_n/\sqrt{n} \rightarrow_d \sqrt{\chi^2(1)\mu}$ and completes the proof of part (i). \square

Proof of part (ii). In (10) we first set $j = 1$ and then divide its first and third part by \sqrt{n} . Breaking up the range of summation in it, we write

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbb{E}(\nu_n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} (S_1 + S_2),\tag{26}$$

where

$$S_1 = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq \frac{1}{4} \log n} \mathcal{E}(\nu'_m) \mathbb{P}(\mu_n = m)\tag{27}$$

and

$$S_2 = \sum_{\frac{1}{4} \log n < m \leq n} \mathcal{E}(\nu'_m) \mathbb{P}(\mu_n = m).\tag{28}$$

As in the proof of part (i), $Po(m)$ denotes a Poisson-distributed random variable with mean m . Recall also that \mathbf{P} denotes the Lebesgue measure on the Borel subsets of $[0, \infty)$. Then, for any m satisfying $1 \leq m \leq n$, in view of (6) and (9) we have

$$\mathcal{E}(\nu'_m) = \frac{m^m e^{-m}}{(m-1)! \mathbf{P}(Po(m) \leq m-1)}.\tag{29}$$

To obtain an upper bound for $\mathcal{E}(\nu'_m)$, we proceed as follows. We multiply the numerator and the denominator in the right-hand side of (29) by $\sqrt{2\pi m} e^{1/(12m+1)}$ and apply the following well-known inequality for $m!$:

$$m! > m^m e^{-m} \sqrt{2\pi m} e^{1/(12m+1)};$$

see, for example, [5, Chapter II, Section 9]. Furthermore, note that, for $m \geq 1$, the Poisson probability in the denominator of (29) is uniformly bounded from

below by $\mathbf{P}(Po(m) = 0) = e^{-m}$. Hence, for all $m, 1 \leq m \leq n$, we have

$$\mathcal{E}(\nu'_m) < \frac{m!e^m}{(m-1)!\sqrt{2\pi m}e^{1/(12m+1)}} < \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi}}e^m.$$

It follows from this, for large enough n , that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}S_1 &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{m \leq \frac{1}{4} \log n} \sqrt{\frac{m}{n}} e^{\frac{1}{4} \log n} \mathbb{P}(\mu_n = m) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi n}} n^{1/4} \sqrt{\log n} \sum_{m \leq \frac{1}{4} \log n} 1 = O(n^{-1/4} \log^{3/2} n) = o(1). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

The estimate of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}S_2$ follows from Lemmas 1 and 4. For large enough n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}S_2 &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sum_{\frac{1}{4} \log n < m \leq n} \sqrt{\frac{m}{n}} \frac{1}{n} f\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) (1 + o(1)) \\ &+ O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{\frac{1}{4} \log n < m \leq n} \frac{1}{n} f\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)\right). \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

The sums in the right-hand side of (31) are Riemann sums of the integrals

$$I := \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^1 \sqrt{x} f(x) dx \quad (32)$$

and

$$\int_0^1 f(x) dx = 1 \quad (33)$$

with step size $1/n$. Hence, combining (26) - (28) and (30) - (33), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathbb{E}(\nu_n) = I + o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (34)$$

To complete the proof, it remains to evaluate the integral I . We first replace $f(x)$ by its expression (15). Then, we set in (32) $y = \frac{1}{x} - 1$. Recall that $p(x)$, defined in the Introduction by (2), is the probability density function of a random variable $\eta > 0$. Hence we can rewrite (32) as follows:

$$I = \frac{e^{\gamma/2}}{\sqrt{2}} \int_0^\infty \frac{p(y)}{1+y} dy = \frac{e^{\gamma/2}}{\sqrt{2}} \mathbf{E}((1+\eta)^{-1}). \quad (35)$$

The Laplace transform $\varphi(s)$ of η is given in Lemma 3 by both right side expressions of (13). Furthermore, an obvious computation shows that

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-s} \varphi(s) ds = \mathbf{E}((1+\eta)^{-1}). \quad (36)$$

Combining the last expression for $\varphi(s)$ in (13) with (35) and (36), we obtain the required representation of I . Using Mathematica, version 12.0, we obtain

$$I = 0.6884050874956\dots \quad (37)$$

Combining (34) and (37) completes the proof of part (ii). \square

Proof of part (iii). From (11) we see that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}(\nu_n^2) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}(\mu_n) = 0.7578230112\dots,$$

where the last numerical value was found by Gourdon [9, p. 152] (see also [2, Table 5.1]). Since $\frac{1}{n} \text{Var}(\nu_n) = \frac{1}{n} (\mathbb{E}(\nu_n^2) - (\mathbb{E}(\nu_n))^2)$, the numerical result of part (iii) follows again from (34) and (37). Thus the proof is complete. \square

4 Concluding Remarks

As a subject of further research, we propose possible extensions of both results of Theorem 1. First, the limit approximation of ν_n/\sqrt{n} obtained in part (i) suggests in a natural way the study of rate estimates. In our setting, this requires a sharper bound on the error term of Lemma 4 (see also the proof of Lemma 5.9 given in [2, p. 112]). Some facts from the theory of asymptotic decompositions related to the central limit theorem [6, Chapter XVI] could be also used. The result of part (ii) may be strengthened by establishing a uniform asymptotic expansion for $\mathbb{E}(\nu_n)$. Such an expansion allows one to compute this expectation with great accuracy even if n is not too large. The unifying approach developed by Gourdon [9] may be used here. The proof should also contain some necessary details on the singularity analysis of the underlying generating function. Both aforementioned extensions of Theorem 1 offer serious technical difficulties. These problems may be a subject of a subsequent study. In this paper, we prefer to keep the text as simple as possible in order to make it accessible to a wider audience.

We shall present now some interpretations which involve a sampling experiment. Suppose that a vertex $i \in [n]$ of the graph G_T , $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$, is chosen uniformly at random. The probability that i possesses a certain property (e.g., i is a cyclic vertex, i belongs to the largest component of G_T , etc.) can be computed directly, using the total probability formula. For example, the probability that a randomly chosen vertex is cyclic equals $\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k}{n} \mathbb{P}(\lambda_n = k) = \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}(\lambda_n)$ (recall that λ_n is the total number of cyclic vertices in G_T). In a similar way, one can interpret the ratio $\mathbb{E}(\nu_n)/\mathbb{E}(\lambda_n)$ as the limiting conditional probability that a randomly chosen cyclic vertex belongs to the largest component (deepest cycle). It is well-known that $\mathbb{E}(\lambda_n) \sim \sqrt{\pi n/2}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; for example, see [19, Section 6.3]. Combining this asymptotic equivalence with the numerical result of Theorem 1(i), we obtain the approximate value of this probability, namely,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}(\nu_n)}{\mathbb{E}(\lambda_n)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi n}} \mathbb{E}(\nu_n) \approx 0.5493. \quad (38)$$

Now, consider the length κ_n of the longest cycle of a random mapping from \mathcal{T}_n . Purdom and Williams [17] obtained a general asymptotic formula for the m th moment of κ_n , $m = 1, 2, \dots$, and computed the first five terms of the asymptotic expansion of $\mathbb{E}(\kappa_n)$. For $1 \leq n \leq 50$, they showed that the sum

$$0.7824816n^{1/2} + 0.104055 + 0.0652068n^{-1/2} - 0.1052117n^{-1} + 0.0416667n^{-3/2}$$

is a good approximation for $\mathbb{E}(\kappa_n)$ and that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbb{E}(\kappa_n) \approx 0.7825$. Hence, the limiting conditional probability that a randomly chosen cyclic vertex belongs to the longest cycle is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}(\kappa_n)}{\mathbb{E}(\lambda_n)} \approx 0.6243. \quad (39)$$

The difference between (39) and (38) is approximately equal to 0.075. It can be interpreted as the approximate limiting probability that the longest cycle and the largest component of G_T are disjoint. Finch [7] called the component containing the longest cycle of a random mapping *richest* component. In this terminology, the difference 0.075 equals the approximate limiting probability that the richest component is not the largest one. The problem concerning the average size of the richest component remains unsolved.

Apropos our last remark, we propose another open problem related to the size τ_n of the largest tree in a random mapping from \mathcal{T}_n . Since τ_n does not exceed the size of the component to which the largest tree belongs and μ_n is the maximum component size of $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$, for all $n \geq 1$, we have $\tau_n \leq \mu_n$. The limiting distribution function of τ_n/n as $n \rightarrow \infty$ was first determined by Stepanov [20]. There is another probabilistic proof of this result due to Pavlov [15] (see also [13, Section 3.3]). The following natural question arises: what can be said about the probability that the largest tree is a subgraph of the largest component of a random mapping? It seems the limit theorems from [20, 15] would be helpful to obtain an asymptotic estimate for this probability.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the anonymous referees for their valuable comments and suggestions on the earlier draft of this paper. The first author is also grateful to Emil Kamenov and Mladen Savov for the helpful discussions. He was partially supported by Project KP-06-N32/8 with the Bulgarian Ministry of Education and Science.

References

- [1] M. Abramovitz, I. A. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs and Mathematical Tables, Dover Publ. Inc., New York, 1965.
- [2] R. Arratia, A. Barbour, S. Tavaré, Logarithmic Combinatorial Structures: A Probabilistic Approach, European Mathematical Society, Zürich, 2003.

- [3] Z. Bao, J. Guo, L. Wang, Functional graphs and their applications in generic attacks on iterated hash constructions, *IACR Trans. on Symmetric Cryptology* 2018 (2018) 201–253.
- [4] R. P. Brent, J. M. Pollard, Factorization of the eighth Fermat number, *Math. Comput.* 36 (1981) 627–630.
- [5] W. Feller, *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications*, Volume I, John Wiley, New York, 1957.
- [6] W. Feller, *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications*, Volume II, 2nd edition, John Wiley, New York, 1972.
- [7] S. Finch, Components and cycles of random mappings, available at [arXiv:2205.05579](https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.05579) (2022).
- [8] P. Flajolet, A. M. Odlyzko, Random mapping statistics, *Advances in Cryptology – EUROCRYPT’89*, J.–J. Quisquater and J. Vanderwalle, eds., *Lect. Notes Comp. Sci.* 434 (1990) 329–354.
- [9] X. Gourdon, *Combinatoire, Algorithmique et Géométrie des Polynômes*, Ph. D. Thesis, École Polytechnique, 1996. Available at: https://www.mit.edu/~sfinch/Xavier_Gourdon_-_Combinatoire_algorithmique_et_geometrie_de
- [10] L. Katz, Probability of indecomposability of a random mapping function, *Ann. Math. Statist.* 26 (1955) 512–517.
- [11] D. E. Knuth, *The Art of Computer Programming*, Volume 2: Seminumerical Algorithms, 3rd edition, Addison–Wesley, Boston, MA, 1998.
- [12] V. F. Kolchin, A problem of the allocation of particles into cells and random mappings, *Theory Probab. Appl.* 21 (1976) 48–63.
- [13] V. F. Kolchin, *Random Mappings*, Optimization Software, Inc., New York, 1986.
- [14] S. V. Konyagin, F. Luca, B. Mans, L. Mathieson, M. Sha, I. E. Shparlinski, Functional graphs of polynomials over finite fields, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 116 (2016) 87–122.
- [15] Yu. L. Pavlov, The asymptotic distribution of the maximum tree size in a random forest, *Theory Probab. Appl.* 22 (1977) 509–520.
- [16] J. M. Pollard, A Monte Carlo method for factorization, *BIT Numerical Math.* 15 (1975) 331–334.
- [17] P. W. Purdom, J. H. Williams, Cycle length in a random mapping function, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 133 (1968) 547–551.
- [18] A. Rényi, On connected graphs I, *Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl.* 4 (1959) 385–388.

- [19] V. N. Sachkov, Probabilistic Methods in Combinatorial Analysis, Encyclopedia Math. Appl., Vol. 56, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [20] V. E. Stepanov, Limit distributions of certain characteristics of random mappings, Theory Probab. Appl. 14 (1969) 612–626.
- [21] A. M. Vershik, The asymptotic distributions of factorizations of natural numbers into prime divisors, Soviet Math. Doklady 34 (1986), 57–61.
- [22] A. M. Vershik, A. A. Shmidt, Limit measures arising in the asymptotic theory symmetric groups, I, Theory Probab. Appl. 22(1977), 70–85.
- [23] G. A. Watterson, The stationary distribution of the infinitely-many neutral alleles diffusion model, J. Appl. Probab. 13 (1976) 639–651.