### 2-tough 4-regular graphs with claws

Wayne Goddard, Clemson University

# 1 Introduction

In 1973, Chvátal  $[3]$  defined the toughness of a graph G to be the minimum value of  $|S|/k(G - S)$  where  $k(G - S)$  denotes the number of components of  $G - S$ and the minimum is taken over all cut-sets  $S \subseteq V(G)$ . It is immediate that the toughness is at most half the connectivity. Matthews and Sumner [\[11\]](#page-3-1) showed that there is equality if the graph is claw-free, where a claw is an induced copy of  $K_{1,3}$ .

We say that such a graph is supertough if its toughness is half its degree. Chvatal [\[3\]](#page-3-0) considered this question in the original paper. For example, he noted that for  $r$  even there is no restriction on the order for an  $r$ -regular graph to exist, and the power of the cycle  $C_n^{r/2}$  (sometimes called a Harary graph) is  $r/2$ -tough.

Chvátal provided examples of supertough 3-regular graphs when  $n$  is a multiple of 6 and showed that when  $n$  is not a multiple of 6 that such graphs do not exist. He expressed the opinion that this behavior was likely for odd  $r$  and order sufficiently large. This was shown to be false by Doty [\[4\]](#page-3-2). For 3-regular graphs, Jackson and Katerinis [\[10\]](#page-3-3) showed that being claw-free is also necessary for the graph to have toughness  $\frac{3}{2}$ . We wrote about the question for r-regular graphs for larger r: in  $[8]$  we conjectured that the analogous result holds for all r, while in [\[9\]](#page-3-5) we expressed the opposite belief that almost all r-regular graphs are supertough. And the question was listed as unresolved in the survey [\[1\]](#page-3-6).

It turns out that we were wrong in both cases. Doty and Ferland [\[5\]](#page-3-7) gave the first example of an r-regular graph that has toughness  $r/2$  and claws, and in [\[6\]](#page-3-8) they provided an infinite family for  $r = 5$ . Further, supertough r-regular graphs have independence number at most  $2n/(r+2)$ , but Bollobás [\[2\]](#page-3-9) showed that the independence number of a random r-regular graph is at least of the order of  $n \log r/r$ .

There are two supertough 4-regular graphs of order 10. Here is one of them.



## 2 Construction for 4-regular with Claws

For  $m \geq 3$ , define a graph  $J_m$  on  $3m-1$  vertices as follows. Take two disjoint copies of the *m*-cycle, say with vertices  $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$  and  $B = \{b_1, \ldots, b_m\}.$ Then add vertices  $C = \{c_1, \ldots, c_{m-1}\}\$  and join each  $c_i$  to each of  $a_i, a_{i+1}, b_i, b_{i+1}.$ Finally add edges  $a_1b_1$  and  $a_mb_m$ . The result is 4-regular. The graph  $J_5$  is shown here. Note that for  $m \geq 4$  the vertices  $a_1, a_m, b_1, b_m$  are centers of claws.



**Lemma 1** (a) The graph  $J_m$  has connectivity 4. (b) For  $m \geq 5$ , a cut-set of size 4 either isolates a vertex of  $A \cup B$  or is of the form  $\{a_i, a_j, b_i, b_j\}$ .

**PROOF.** (a,b) Consider a cut-set S of size at most 4. Suppose first that S does not contain two vertices of A. Then the vertices of A in  $J_m - S$  are connected, and any remaining vertex of  $C$  is part of that component. If  $S$  also does not contain two vertices of B, it follows that  $J_m - S$  is connected, while if S does contain two vertices of  $B$ , then to be a cut-set it must contain two vertices of  $C$ and one vertex of B is isolated.

The situation where  $S$  does not contain two vertices of  $B$  is similar. So suppose that S contains two vertices of both A and B. Then it contains no vertex of  $C$ and it is readily argued that the vertices of  $S \cap A$  and  $S \cap B$  must align.

<sup>(</sup>c) For m odd, the graph  $J_m$  has independence number  $m-1$ .

(c) The graph  $J_m - \{a_1, b_m\}$  has a spanning subgraph consisting of  $m - 1$ triangles:  $\{c_1, b_1, b_2\}, \{c_2, a_2, a_3\},$  and so on. Thus every independent set of size m contains at least one of  $\{a_1, b_m\}$ . By a symmetric argument, every such independent set must contain one of  $\{b_1, a_m\}$ ; but this is a contradiction of the independence.  $\Box$ 

#### **Theorem 1** For  $m \geq 3$  and odd, the graph  $J_m$  has toughness 2.

Proof. The value is trivially an upper bound. So we need to show that the graph is 2-tough. By the above lemma the graph is 4-connected. For  $m = 3$  the graph is claw-free, and so we are done. So assume  $m \geq 5$ . Note that each claw in  $J_m$  is centered at one of  $X = \{a_1, a_m, b_1, b_m\}.$ 

Suppose the toughness is less than 2. That is, there is a cut-set  $S$  such that  $J_m - S$  has less than  $|S|/2$  components. Out of all such cut-sets, choose one such that S is as large as possible. Assume the components of  $J_m - S$  are  $H_1, \ldots, H_k$ . Let P be the number of pairs  $(s, i)$  where  $s \in S$  and  $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$  and vertex s is adjacent to component  $H_i$ . By connectivity, each component  $H_i$  is adjacent to at least 4 vertices in S, and so  $A \geq 4k$ . Note that no vertex in X is the center of an induced  $K_{1,4}$ . Thus  $P \leq 2(|S|-t) + 3t = 2|S|+t$ , where t is the number of vertices of X that are in S and have neighbors in three components of  $J_m - S$ . Since  $X$  induces a 4-cycle, and every claw uses two of the edges of that cycle, it follows that  $t \leq 2$ . Since  $|S| \geq 2k - t/2$  but  $|S|/k < 2$ , it follows that  $t = 2$  and further that  $P = 4k$ .

By the above lemma it follows that every nontrivial component  $H_i$  is a subgraph that results when the set  $\{a_i, a_j, b_i, b_j\}$  is removed. Such a subgraph has a vertex  $c_{\ell}$  of degree 2. By adding to S the two neighbors of  $c_{\ell}$  in  $H_i$  we increase the number of components by 1, and thereby contradict the maximality of  $S$ . That is, every component is an isolated vertex. That is,  $S$  is a vertex cover. But by the above lemma the independence number is only  $m-1$ , and so  $|S|/k \geq 2m/(m-1) > 2$ , a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

The above construction was found by starting with a line graph and doing a local adjustment; maybe this works in general. It is also unclear what happens if one insists that every vertex is in a claw.

# References

- <span id="page-3-6"></span>[1] D. Bauer, H. Broersma, and E. Schmeichel, Toughness in graphs—a survey. Graphs Combin. 22 (2006), 1–35.
- <span id="page-3-9"></span>[2] B. Bollobás, Random Graphs. Academic Press, London, 1985.
- <span id="page-3-0"></span>[3] V. Chvátal. Tough graphs and Hamiltonian circuits. Discrete Math. 5 (1973), 215–28.
- <span id="page-3-2"></span>[4] L.L. Doty, A large class of maximally tough graphs OR Spektrum 13 (1991), 147–151.
- <span id="page-3-7"></span>[5] L.L. Doty and K.K. Ferland, Supertough graphs need not be  $K_{1,3}$ -free, Australas. J. Combin. 32 (2005), 91–103.
- <span id="page-3-8"></span>[6] L.L Doty and K.K. Ferland, Supertough 5-regular graphs. J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput. 64 (2008), 97–108.
- [7] L.L Doty and K.K. Ferland, Constructing a large class of supertough graphs Australas. J. Combin. 43 (2009), 191–196.
- <span id="page-3-4"></span>[8] W. Goddard and H.C. Swart. On the toughness of a graph. Quaestiones Math. 13 (1990), 217–232.
- <span id="page-3-5"></span>[9] W. Goddard. The toughness of cubic graphs. Graphs Combin. 12 (1996), 17– 22.
- <span id="page-3-3"></span>[10] B. Jackson and P. Katerinis. A characterization of  $\frac{3}{2}$ -tough cubic graphs. Ars Combin. 38 (1994), 145–148.
- <span id="page-3-1"></span>[11] M.M. Matthews and D.P. Sumner. Hamiltonian results in  $K_{1,3}$ -free graphs. J. Graph Theory 8 (1984), 139–146.