

The Thue-Morse sequence in base 3/2

F. M. Dekking
 CWI and Delft University of Technology
 Faculty EEMCS, P.O. Box 5031
 2600 GA Delft, The Netherlands
 F.M.Dekking@math.tudelft.nl

Abstract

We discuss the base 3/2 representation of the natural numbers. We prove that the sum of digits function of the representation is a fixed point of a 2-block substitution on an infinite alphabet, and that this implies that sum of digits function modulo 2 of the representation is a fixed point $x_{3/2}$ of a 2-block substitution on $\{0, 1\}$. We prove that $x_{3/2}$ is mirror invariant, and present a list of conjectured properties of $x_{3/2}$, which we think will be hard to prove. Finally, we make a comparison with a variant of the base 3/2 representation, and give a general result on p - q -block substitutions.

1 Introduction

A natural number N is written in base 3/2 if N has the form

$$N = \sum_{i \geq 0} d_i \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^i, \tag{1}$$

with digits $d_i = 0, 1$ or 2 .

Base 3/2 representations are also known as sesquinary representations of the natural numbers (see, e.g., [7]). We write these expansions as

$$\text{SQ}(N) = d_R(N) \dots d_1(N)d_0(N) = d_R \dots d_1 d_0.$$

We have, for example, $\text{SQ}(7) = 211$, since $2 \cdot (9/4) + (3/2) + 1 = 7$.

See A024629 in [6] for the continuation of the following table.

N	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$\text{SQ}(N)$	0	1	2	20	21	22	210	211	212	2100	2101

Ignoring leading 0's, the base 3/2 representation of a number N is unique (see Section 3).

Let for $N \geq 0$

$$s_{3/2}(N) := \sum_{i=0}^{i=R} d_i(N)$$

be the sum of digits function of the base 3/2 expansions. We have (see A244040 in [6])

$$s_{3/2} = 0, 1, 2, 2, 3, 4, 3, 4, 5, 3, 4, 5, 5, 6, 7, 4, 5, 6, 5, 6, 7, 7, 8, 9, 5, 6, 7, 5, 6, 7, 7, 8, 9, 8, 9, 10, \dots$$

In this note we study the base 3/2 analogue of the Thue-Morse sequence (where the base equals 2), i.e., the sequence (see A357448 in [6])

$$(x_{3/2}(N)) := (s_{3/2}(N) \bmod 2) = 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, \dots$$

The Thue Morse sequence is the fixed point starting with 0 of the substitution $0 \rightarrow 01, 1 \rightarrow 10$. This might be called a 1-2-block substitution. A *2-3-block substitution* κ on an alphabet A replaces blocks ab of length 2 by words $\kappa(ab)$ of length 3. Its action extends to infinite sequences x by defining $\kappa : x \mapsto y$ by $y_{3k} \cdots y_{3(k+1)-1} = \kappa(x_{2k}x_{2k+1})$, for $k = 0, 1, \dots$

Theorem 1. *The sequence $x_{3/2}$ is a fixed point of the 2-3-block substitution*

$$\kappa : \begin{cases} 00 \rightarrow 010 \\ 01 \rightarrow 010 \\ 10 \rightarrow 101 \\ 11 \rightarrow 101 \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1 will be proved in Section 2.2.

2 Sum of digits function and Thue-Morse in base 3/2

2.1 Sum of digits function in base 3/2

Let $s_{3/2} = (0, 1, 2, 2, 3, 4, 3, 4, 5, 3, 4, 5, 5, 6, 7, 4, 5, \dots)$ be the sum of digits function of the base 3/2 expansions. To describe this sequence we extend the notion of a p - q -block substitution to alphabets of infinite cardinality.

Theorem 2. *The sequence $s_{3/2}$ is the fixed point starting with 0 of the 2-3-block substitution given by*

$$a, b \mapsto a, a + 1, a + 2 \quad \text{for } a = 0, 1, 2, \dots \text{ and } b = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof. We have $d(0) = 0, d(1) = 1$ and from the uniqueness of the base 3/2 expansions it follows immediately that $d(3N + r) = d(2N) + r$ for $N \geq 0$ and $r = 0, 1, 2$.

Thus $s_{3/2}(3N) = s_{3/2}(2N), s_{3/2}(3N + 1) = s_{3/2}(2N) + 1$, and $s_{3/2}(3N + 2) = s_{3/2}(2N) + 2$. This gives the result. \square

2.2 Thue-Morse in base 3/2

Proof of Theorem 1. This follows directly from Theorem 2 by taking a and b modulo 2. \square

Although iterates of $\kappa : 00 \rightarrow 010, 01 \rightarrow 010, 10 \rightarrow 101, 11 \rightarrow 101$ are undefined, we can generate the fixed point $x_{3/2}$ by iteration of a map κ' defined by $\kappa'(w) = \kappa(w)$ if w has even length, and $\kappa'(v) = \kappa(w)$ if $v = w0$ or $v = w1$ has odd length.

The fact that the iterates of κ are undefined causes difficulty in establishing properties of $x_{3/2}$. This is similar to the lack of progress in the last 25 years to prove the conjectures on the Kolakoski sequence, which is also fixed point of a 2-block substitution (cf. [2], [3]). Here is a property that is open for the Kolakoski sequence, but can be proved for $x_{3/2}$.

Proposition 3. *If a word w occurs in $x_{3/2}$, then its binary complement w^* defined by $0^* = 1, 1^* = 0$, also occurs in $x_{3/2}$.*

Proof. First one checks this for all 16 words of length 6 that occur in $x_{3/2}$. Note that then also w^* occurs for all w with $|w| \leq 6$. Here $|w|$ denotes the length of w . Let u be a word of length $m \geq 7$. By adding at most 3 letters at the beginning and/or end of u one can obtain a word v with $|v| = 3n$ that occurs in $x_{3/2}$ at a position 0 modulo 3. But then Theorem 1 gives that $v = \kappa(w)$ for at least one word w occurring in $x_{3/2}$. The length of w is $|w| = 2n$. Since $\kappa(w^*) = (\kappa(w))^*$ the result follows by induction on $m = |u|$. For example, for $|u| = m = 7$, one has $|v| = 9$, and so $|w| = 6$. \square

Here are some conjectured properties of $x_{3/2}$.

Conjecture 4. $x_{3/2}$ is reversal invariant, i.e., if the word $w = w_1 \dots w_m$ occurs in $x_{3/2}$ then $\overleftarrow{w} = w_m \dots w_1$ occurs in $x_{3/2}$.

Conjecture 5. $x_{3/2}$ is uniformly recurrent, i.e., each word that occurs in $x_{3/2}$ occurs infinitely often, with bounded gaps.

Conjecture 6. The frequencies $\mu[w]$ of the words w occurring in $x_{3/2}$ exist. Two conjectured values: $\mu[00] = 1/10$, $\mu[01] = 4/10$.

Conjecture 7. μ is mirror invariant, i.e., $\mu[w] = \mu[w^*]$ for all words w .

Conjecture 8. μ is reversal invariant, i.e., $\mu[w] = \mu[\overleftarrow{w}]$ for all words w .

Conjecture 9. (J. Shallit) The critical exponent (=largest number of repeated blocks) of $x_{3/2}$ is 5.

3 Base 3/2 and base 1/2·3/2

Many authors refer to the paper [1] from Akiyama, Frougny, and Sakarovitch for the properties of base 3/2 expansions (see, e.g., [7], [8]). However, the p/q expansions studied in paper [1] are different from the 3/2 expansions that are usually considered as in Equation (1). In paper [1]:

$$N = \sum_{i \geq 0} d_i \frac{1}{q} \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^i, \quad (2)$$

with digits $d_i = 0, 1$ or 2 . We write $\text{AFS}(N)$ for the expansion of N .

Here is the table given in [1] for the case $p = 3, q = 2$.

N	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$\text{AFS}(N)$	ε	2	21	210	212	2101	2120	2122	21011	21200	21202

These expansions will not even be found in the OEIS (at the moment).

The situation is clarified in the paper [5] by Frougny and Klouda. Here both representations are considered and called respectively base p/q and base $1/q \cdot p/q$ representations.

A combination of the results in [1] and [5] yields a proof of the uniqueness of the base 3/2 expansions ($\text{QS}(N)$). There is also a direct proof of uniqueness in [4], Theorem 1.1.

Note that $\text{AFS}(N) = \text{QS}(2N)$ for $N > 0$. So uniqueness of the base 3/2 representation implies immediately uniqueness of the 1/2·3/2 representation $\text{AFS}(N)$. This observation obviously extends to base p/q .

Next we consider the question whether also the sequence $y_{3/2}$, the sum of digits function modulo 2 of the base 1/2·3/2 representation, is fixed point of a 2-block substitution. This is indeed the case, and this 2-block substitution is given by Rigo and Stipulanti in [8].

Theorem 10. ([8]) $y_{3/2}$ is the fixed point with prefix 00 of the 2-3-block substitution

$$\kappa' : \begin{cases} 00 \rightarrow 001 \\ 01 \rightarrow 000 \\ 10 \rightarrow 111 \\ 11 \rightarrow 110 \end{cases}$$

In [8] the proof of Theorem 10 is based on a generalization of Cobham's theorem to what are called \mathcal{S} -automatic sequences built on tree languages with a periodic labeled signature. Here we consider a more direct route, based on a simple closure property of p - q -block-substitutions. Recall that a coding is a letter to letter map from one alphabet to another.

Theorem 11. *Let $x = (x(N))$ be a fixed point of a p - q -block substitution. Let r be a positive integer. Then $y = (x(rN))$ is a coding of a p - q -block substitution.*

Proof. If x is a fixed point of a p - q -block substitution, then x is also fixed point of a pr - qr -block substitution. As new alphabet, take the words of length r occurring in x . On this alphabet, the pr - qr -block substitution induces a p - q -block substitution in an obvious way. Mapping each word of length r to its first letter is a coding that gives the result. \square

Alternative proof of Theorem 10. We apply Theorem 11 with $r = 2$. The 4-6-block-substitution is given by

$$\begin{aligned} 0010 &\mapsto 010101, & 0100 &\mapsto 010010, & 0101 &\mapsto 010010, & 0110 &\mapsto 010101, \\ 1001 &\mapsto 101010, & 1010 &\mapsto 101101, & 1011 &\mapsto 101101, & 1101 &\mapsto 101010. \end{aligned}$$

Coding $00 \mapsto a, 01 \mapsto b, 10 \mapsto c, 11 \mapsto d$, this induces the 2-3-block substitution

$$ac \mapsto bbb, ba \mapsto bac, bb \mapsto bac, bc \mapsto bbb, cb \mapsto ccc, cc \mapsto cdb, cd \mapsto cdb, db \mapsto ccc.$$

If we code further $a, b \mapsto 0$, and $c, d \mapsto 1$, then we obtain κ' from Theorem 10.

Acknowledgement

I am grateful to Jean-Paul Allouche for several useful comments.

References

- [1] S. Akiyama, C. Frougny, and J. Sakarovitch, Powers of rationals modulo 1 and rational base number systems, *Israel J. Math.* 168 (2008), 53–91.
- [2] F. M. Dekking, What Is the Long Range Order in the Kolakoski Sequence?, in: *The mathematics of long-range aperiodic order* (Waterloo, ON, 1995), 115-125, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci. 489, (1997), Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht.
- [3] F. M. Dekking and M. Keane, Two-block substitutions and morphic words, arXiv:2202.13548 [math.CO], 2022.
- [4] T. Edgar, H. Olafson, and J. Van Alstine, Some combinatorics of rational base representations, preprint, 2014.
Available at <https://community.plu.edu/~edgartj/preprints/basepqrithmetic.pdf>
- [5] C. Frougny and K. Klouda, Rational base number systems for p -adic numbers, *RAIRO Theor. Inform. Appl.* 46 (2019), 87–106.
- [6] *On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, founded by N. J. A. Sloane, electronically available at <http://oeis.org>.
- [7] J. Propp, How do you write one hundred in base $3/2$?
<https://mathenchant.wordpress.com/2017/09/17/How-do-you-write-one-hundred-in-base-3/2/> Accessed in January 2023.
- [8] M. Rigo, M. Stipulanti, Automatic sequences: from rational bases to trees, *Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science DMTCS* 24 (2022), #25.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11B85, Secondary 68R15

Keywords: Base $3/2$, Thue-Morse sequence, sum of digits, two-block substitution