

Ten Compatible Poisson Brackets on \mathbb{P}^5

Ville NORDSTROM^a and Alexander POLISHCHUK^{ab}

a) Department of Mathematics, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403, USA
E-mail: villen@uoregon.edu, apolish@uoregon.edu

b) National Research University Higher School of Economics, Moscow, Russia

Received February 18, 2023, in final form August 03, 2023; Published online August 13, 2023
<https://doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2023.059>

Abstract. We give explicit formulas for ten compatible Poisson brackets on \mathbb{P}^5 found in [arXiv:2007.12351](https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.12351).

Key words: compatible Poisson brackets; homological perturbation; Massey products

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53D17; 18G70; 14H52

1 Introduction

The goal of this paper is to present explicit formulas for certain algebraic Poisson brackets on \mathbb{P}^5 .

Recall that two Poisson brackets $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_1, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_2$ are called *compatible* if any linear combination $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_1 + \lambda \cdot \{\cdot, \cdot\}_2$ is still a Poisson bracket (i.e., satisfies the Jacobi identity). Pairs of compatible Poisson brackets play an important role in the theory of integrable systems.

With every normal elliptic curve C in \mathbb{P}^n one can associate naturally a Poisson bracket on \mathbb{P}^n , called a *Feigin–Odesskii bracket of type $q_{n+1,1}$* . The corresponding quadratic Poisson brackets on \mathbb{A}^{n+1} arise as quasi-classical limits of Feigin–Odesskii elliptic algebras. On the other hand, they can be constructed using the geometry of vector bundles on C (see [2, 8]).

It was discovered by Odesskii–Wolf [6] that for every n there exists a family of 9 linearly independent mutually compatible Poisson brackets on \mathbb{P}^n , such that their generic linear combinations are Feigin–Odesskii brackets of type $q_{n+1,1}$. In [3], this construction was explained and extended in terms of anticanonical line bundles on del Pezzo surfaces. It was observed in [3, Example 4.6] that in this framework one also obtains 10 linearly independent mutually compatible Poisson brackets on \mathbb{P}^5 . In this paper, we will produce explicit formulas for these 10 brackets (see Theorem 3.2).

2 Homological perturbation for \mathbb{P}^n

2.1 Formula for the homotopy

Let

$$H = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0, q \in \mathbb{Z}} H^p(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(q))$$

be the cohomology algebra of line bundles on \mathbb{P}^n , and

$$A = \left(\bigoplus_{p \geq 0, q \in \mathbb{Z}} C^p(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(q)), d \right)$$

the Čech complex with respect to the standard open covering $U_i = (x_i \neq 0)$ of \mathbb{P}^n . There is a natural dg-algebra structure on A , such that the corresponding cohomology algebra is H . The

multiplication on A is defined as follows. For $\alpha \in C^p(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(q))$ and $\beta \in C^{p'}(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(q'))$, we define $\alpha\beta \in C^{p+p'}(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(q+q'))$ by

$$(\alpha\beta)_{i_0 i_1 \dots i_{p+p'}} := \alpha_{i_0 \dots i_p} |_{U_{i_0 \dots i_{p+p'}}} \cdot \beta_{i_p \dots i_{p+p'}} |_{U_{i_0 \dots i_{p+p'}}},$$

where on the right hand side we use the multiplication map $\mathcal{O}(q) \otimes \mathcal{O}(q') \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(q+q')$.

The homological perturbation lemma equips H with a minimal A_∞ -structure (m_n) , where m_2 is the usual product on H . We will use the form of this lemma due to Kontsevich–Soibelman [5], which gives formulas for m_n as sums over trees. To apply homological perturbation, we need the following data:

- a projection $\pi: A \rightarrow H$,
- an inclusion $\iota: H \rightarrow A$, and
- a homotopy Q such that $\pi\iota = \text{id}_H$ and $\text{id}_A - \iota\pi = \text{d}Q + Q\text{d}$.

Recall that $H^0 = \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$,

$$H^n \simeq \bigoplus_{e_0, \dots, e_n < 0} \mathbf{k} \cdot x_0^{e_0} x_1^{e_1} \cdots x_n^{e_n} \subset A^n,$$

and $H^i = 0$ for $i \neq 0, n$. We define ι in degree zero by $\iota(f)_k = f$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$. We define ι in degree n by $\iota(g)_{0 \dots n} = g$. We define the projection in degree zero to be

$$\pi(\gamma) = \begin{cases} \gamma_n & \text{if } \gamma_n \in \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n], \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

To define π in degree n , we observe that

$$A^n = \bigoplus_{e_0, \dots, e_n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{k} \cdot x_0^{e_0} x_1^{e_1} \cdots x_n^{e_n},$$

and we let π be the natural projection to H^n .

To define the homotopy, we use that A decomposes as a direct sum of chain complexes

$$A = \bigoplus_{\vec{e} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}} A(\vec{e}),$$

where $A(\vec{e})$ consists of all elements in A whose components are scalar multiples of $x^{\vec{e}} := x_0^{e_0} x_1^{e_1} \cdots x_n^{e_n}$. In other words, $A(\vec{e})$ is the \vec{e} -isotypical summand with respect to the action of the group \mathbb{G}_m^{n+1} .

Let us set for $\vec{e} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$,

$$k(\vec{e}) := \max\{i \mid e_i \geq 0\}$$

(which is equal to $-\infty$ if all e_i are negative). There is then a standard homotopy Q defined on an element $\gamma \in A(\vec{e})^p$ by $Q(\gamma)_{i_0 i_1 \dots i_{p-1}} = \gamma_{k(\vec{e}) i_0 \dots i_{p-1}}$ if $k(\vec{e}) > -\infty$ and $Q(\gamma)_{i_0 i_1 \dots i_{p-1}} = 0$ otherwise (i.e., if all e_i are negative).

For a Laurent monomial $x^{\vec{e}}$ and a subset $I = \{i_0, \dots, i_p\} \subset \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ such that $I \supset \{0 \leq i \leq n \mid e_i < 0\}$, let us denote by $x_I^{\vec{e}}$ the element of A^p given by

$$(x_I^{\vec{e}})_{j_0 \dots j_p} = \begin{cases} x^{\vec{e}} & \text{if } \{j_0, \dots, j_p\} = I, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that the condition $I \supset \{0 \leq i \leq n \mid e_i < 0\}$ guarantees that $x^{\vec{e}}$ is a regular section of the appropriate line bundle over $U_{i_0 \dots i_p}$. Clearly, these elements form a basis for A and our homotopy operator Q is given by

$$Q(x_I^{\vec{e}}) = \begin{cases} (-1)^j x_{I \setminus k(\vec{e})}^{\vec{e}} & \text{if } k(\vec{e}) = i_j \in I, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

With these data one can in principle calculate all the higher products on the cohomology algebra H . Below, we will get explicit formulas in the case we need.

2.2 Calculation of m_4 for \mathbb{P}^2

We now specialize to the case of the projective plane \mathbb{P}^2 . We have no higher products of odd degree because H and $H^{\otimes n}$ only live in even degrees. Also, for degree reasons the product m_4 will only be non-zero on elements $e \otimes f \otimes g \otimes h \in H^{\otimes 4}$ where one or two of the arguments lie in H^2 and the rest in H^0 . Below, we will explicitly compute the product m_4 involving one argument in H^2 . Thus, the following special case of the multiplication in A will be relevant: for a monomial $x^{\vec{e}}$ and a Laurent monomial $x^{\vec{e}'}$, we have


$$\iota_0(x^{\vec{e}}) \cdot x_I^{\vec{e}'} = x_I^{\vec{e}'} \cdot \iota_0(x^{\vec{e}}) = x_I^{\vec{e} + \vec{e}'}$$

We use the formula

$$m_4(e, f, g, h) = - \sum_T \epsilon(T) m_T(e, f, g, h),$$

where the sum runs over all rooted binary trees with 4 leaves labeled e, f, g and h (from left to right). For each such tree T the expression $m_T(e, f, g, h)$ is computed by moving the inputs through that tree, applying ι at the leaves, applying the homotopy Q on each interior edge, multiplying elements of A at each inner vertex and finally applying the projection π at the bottom.

We have to sum over the following five trees, which we denote T_1, \dots, T_5 , respectively,




Let us first consider the case $e \in H^2$ and $f, g, h \in H^0$ and let's take them all to be basis elements of H^2 and H^0 :

$$e = (x_0^{\alpha_0} x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2})_{\{0,1,2\}}, \quad f = x_0^{a_0} x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2}, \quad g = x_0^{b_0} x_1^{b_1} x_2^{b_2}, \quad h = x_0^{c_0} x_1^{c_1} x_2^{c_2},$$

where $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 < 0$ and $a_i, b_i, c_i \geq 0$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$. In this case only one of the trees above can be non-zero in the expression for $m_4(e, f, g, h)$, namely T_5 , because in all other trees at some point the homotopy Q will be applied to an element of A^0 . Below is a picture of the different summands in A^\bullet and the possible ways the homotopy Q can map a monomial element in each

summand:



When computing $m_{T_5}(e, f, g, h)$ we should move e through this diagram; at every node it gets multiplied by one of the other arguments and then it moves downwards along one of the arrows. We see that we get non-zero result if we move either along (1) followed by (2) or along (3) followed by (4) (so that we land in \bullet_2). We claim that only the second route is possible. The reason is that at each node we multiply e by a monomial, so the exponents of x_0, x_1, x_2 will not decrease at any time. By the definition of Q , if e gets moved along (1) then after the multiplication at $\bullet_{0,1,2}$ the exponent of x_1 is non-negative while the exponent of x_2 is negative. Hence, after performing the multiplication at $\bullet_{0,2}$ the exponent of x_1 is still non-negative. It follows then from the definition of Q that e cannot move along (2) after moving along (1).

Now comes the computation of $m_{T_5}(e, f, g, h)$. Below, we denote by μ the multiplication in A . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 m_{T_5}(e, f, g, h) &= \pi\mu(Q\mu(Q\mu(e, f), g), h) \\
 &= \pi\mu(Q\mu(Q(x_0^{\alpha_0+a_0}x_1^{\alpha_1+a_1}x_2^{\alpha_2+a_2})_{\{0,1,2\}}, g), h) \\
 &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \pi\mu(Q\mu((x_0^{\alpha_0+a_0}x_1^{\alpha_1+a_1}x_2^{\alpha_2+a_2})_{\{1,2\}}, g), h) \\
 &= \pi\mu(Q(x_0^{\alpha_0+a_0+b_0}x_1^{\alpha_1+a_1+b_1}x_2^{\alpha_2+a_2+b_2})_{\{1,2\}}, h) \\
 &\stackrel{(**)}{=} \pi(\mu((x_0^{\alpha_0+a_0+b_0}x_1^{\alpha_1+a_1+b_1}x_2^{\alpha_2+a_2+b_2})_{\{2\}}, h)) \\
 &= \pi((x_0^{\alpha_0+a_0+b_0+c_0}x_1^{\alpha_1+a_1+b_1+c_1}x_2^{\alpha_2+a_2+b_2+c_2})_{\{2\}}) \\
 &\stackrel{(***)}{=} x_0^{\alpha_0+a_0+b_0+c_0}x_1^{\alpha_1+a_1+b_1+c_1}x_2^{\alpha_2+a_2+b_2+c_2},
 \end{aligned}$$

where the symbols $(*)$, $(**)$ are $(***)$ mean that we get zero unless the following conditions hold:

$$(*) \begin{cases} \alpha_0 + a_0 \geq 0, \\ \alpha_1 + a_1 < 0, \\ \alpha_2 + a_2 < 0, \end{cases} \quad (**) \begin{cases} \alpha_1 + a_1 + b_1 \geq 0, \\ \alpha_2 + a_2 + b_2 < 0, \end{cases} \quad (***) \begin{cases} \alpha_0 + a_0 + b_0 + c_0 \geq 0, \\ \alpha_1 + a_1 + b_1 + c_1 \geq 0, \\ \alpha_2 + a_2 + b_2 + c_2 \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

In the end, we have

$$m_4(e, f, g, h) = -m_{T_5}(e, f, g, h) = -\rho(\vec{\alpha}; \vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}) \cdot x^{\vec{\alpha} + \vec{a} + \vec{b} + \vec{c}},$$

where

$$\rho(\vec{\alpha}; \vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha_0 + a_0 \geq 0, \alpha_1 + a_1 < 0, \alpha_1 + a_1 + b_1 \geq 0, \\ & \alpha_2 + a_2 + b_2 < 0, \alpha_2 + a_2 + b_2 + c_2 \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Similarly, we compute m_4 applied to e, f, g, h in any given order. We have

$$\begin{aligned} m_4(e, f, g, h) &= -\rho(\vec{\alpha}; \vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}) \cdot x^{\vec{\alpha}+\vec{a}+\vec{b}+\vec{c}}, \\ m_4(f, e, g, h) &= [-\rho(\vec{\alpha}; \vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}) + \rho(\vec{\alpha}; \vec{b}, \vec{a}, \vec{c}) - \rho(\vec{\alpha}; \vec{b}, \vec{c}, \vec{a})] \cdot x^{\vec{\alpha}+\vec{a}+\vec{b}+\vec{c}}, \\ m_4(f, g, e, h) &= [\rho(\vec{\alpha}; \vec{b}, \vec{a}, \vec{c}) - \rho(\vec{\alpha}; \vec{b}, \vec{c}, \vec{a}) + \rho(\vec{\alpha}; \vec{c}, \vec{b}, \vec{a})] \cdot x^{\vec{\alpha}+\vec{a}+\vec{b}+\vec{c}}, \\ m_4(f, g, h, e) &= \rho(\vec{\alpha}; \vec{c}, \vec{b}, \vec{a}) \cdot x^{\vec{\alpha}+\vec{a}+\vec{b}+\vec{c}}. \end{aligned}$$

3 Feigin–Odesskii brackets

3.1 Bivectors on projective spaces

It is well known that every \mathbb{G}_m -invariant bivector on a vector space V leads to a bivector on the projective space $\mathbb{P}V$. A bivector on V can be thought of as a skew-symmetric bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ on the polynomial algebra $S(V^*)$, which is a biderivation. Such a bracket is \mathbb{G}_m -invariant if and only if the bracket of two linear forms is a quadratic form. In other words, such a bracket can be viewed as a skew-symmetric pairing

$$b: V^* \times V^* \rightarrow S^2(V^*).$$

The corresponding bivector Π on the projective space $\mathbb{P}V$ is determined by the skew-symmetric forms Π_v on $T_v^*\mathbb{P}V$ for each point $\langle v \rangle \in \mathbb{P}V$. We have an identification

$$T_v^*\mathbb{P}V = \langle v \rangle^\vee \subset V^*.$$

It is easy to see that under this identification we have

$$\Pi_v(s_1 \wedge s_2) = b(s_1, s_2)(v), \tag{3.1}$$

where $s_1, s_2 \in \langle v \rangle^\vee$. Here we take the value of the quadratic form $b(s_1 \wedge s_2)$ at v .

We can use the above formula in reverse. Namely, suppose for some bivector Π on $\mathbb{P}V$ we found a skew-symmetric pairing b such that (3.1) holds. Then the \mathbb{G}_m -invariant bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ on $S(V)$ given by b induces the bivector Π on $\mathbb{P}V$. Note that if Π is a Poisson bivector on $\mathbb{P}V$, it is not guaranteed that the \mathbb{G}_m -invariant bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ on $S(V)$ is also Poisson, i.e., satisfies the Jacobi identity (but it is known that $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ can be chosen to be Poisson, see [1, 7]).

3.2 Recollections from [3]

Below, we will denote simply by $L_1 L_2$ the tensor product of line bundles L_1 and L_2 .

Let ξ be a line bundle of degree n on an elliptic curve C . We fix a trivialization $\omega_C \simeq \mathcal{O}_C$. Then the associated Feigin–Odesskii Poisson structure Π (to which we will refer as *FO bracket*) on $\mathbb{P}H^1(\xi^{-1}) \simeq \mathbb{P}H^0(\xi)^*$ is given by the formula (see [3, Lemma 2.1])

$$\Pi_\phi(s_1 \wedge s_2) = \langle \phi, \text{MP}(s_1, \phi, s_2) \rangle, \tag{3.2}$$

where $\langle \phi \rangle \in \mathbb{P}\text{Ext}^1(\xi, \mathcal{O})$, and $s_1, s_2 \in \langle \phi \rangle^\perp$. Here we use the Serre duality pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ between $H^0(\xi)$ and $H^1(\xi^{-1})$ and the triple Massey product

$$\text{MP}: H^0(\xi) \otimes H^1(\xi^{-1}) \otimes H^0(\xi) \rightarrow H^0(\xi)$$

that also agrees with the triple product m_3 obtained by homological perturbation from the natural dg enhancement of the derived category of coherent sheaves on C . There is some

ambiguity in a choice of m_3 but for $s_1, s_2 \in \langle \phi \rangle^\perp$, the expression in the right-hand side of (3.2) is well defined.

Next, assume that S is a smooth projective surface, L is a line bundle on S such that $H^*(S, LK_S) = 0$, and let $C \subset S$ be a smooth connected anticanonical divisor (which is an elliptic curve), so we have an exact sequence of coherent sheaves on S ,

$$0 \rightarrow K_S \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.3)$$

We have a natural restriction map

$$H^0(S, L) \rightarrow H^0(C, L|_C).$$

The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow LK_S \xrightarrow{F} L \rightarrow L_C \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.4)$$

shows that under our assumptions this restriction map is an isomorphism.

Thus, the FO bracket on $\mathbb{P}H^0(L|_C)^*$ associated with $(C, L|_C)$ (defined up to rescaling) can be viewed as a Poisson structure on a fixed projective space $\mathbb{P}V^*$, where

$$V := H^0(S, L).$$

By [3, Theorem 4.4], the Poisson brackets on $\mathbb{P}V^*$ associated with different anticanonical divisors are compatible. More precisely, we get a linear map from $H^0(S, K_S^{-1})$ to the space of bivectors on $\mathbb{P}V^*$, whose image lies in the space of Poisson brackets.

3.3 Feigin–Odesskii bracket for an anticanonical divisor

We keep the data (S, L) of the previous subsection. Let $i: C \hookrightarrow S$ be an anticanonical divisor in S , with the equation $F \in H^0(S, K_S^{-1})$. We want to write a formula for the FO bracket $\Pi = \Pi_F$ on $\mathbb{P}V^*$ in terms of higher products on the surface S and the equation F . For this we rewrite the right-hand side of formula (3.2). Let us write the triple product in this formula as MP^C to remember that it is defined for the derived category of C .

Proposition 3.1.

(i) *In the above situation, given $e \in V^*$ and $s_1, s_2 \in \langle e \rangle^\perp$, one has*

$$\langle e, \text{MP}^C(s_1|_C, e, s_2|_C) \rangle = \langle m_4(F, s_1, e, s_2) - m_4(s_1, F, e, s_2), e \rangle,$$

where we use the identification $V^* \simeq H^2(S, L^{-1}K_S)$ given by Serre duality and consider the A_∞ -products on S ,

$$\begin{aligned} m_4: H^0(K_S^{-1})H^0(L)H^2(L^{-1}K_S)H^0(L) &\rightarrow H^0(L), \\ H^0(L)H^0(K_S^{-1})H^2(L^{-1})H^0(L) &\rightarrow H^0(L), \end{aligned}$$

obtained by the homological perturbation.

(ii) *Assume that a generic anticanonical divisor is smooth (and connected). Then*

$$\Pi_F|_e(s_1 \wedge s_2) := \langle m_4(F, s_1, e, s_2) - m_4(s_1, F, e, s_2), e \rangle$$

gives a collection of compatible Poisson brackets on $\mathbb{P}V$ depending linearly on F .

Proof. (i) By Serre duality, $H^*(S, L^{-1}) = 0$, so the map

$$H^1(C, L^{-1}|_C) \rightarrow H^2(S, L^{-1}K_S),$$

induced by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L^{-1}K_S \rightarrow L^{-1} \rightarrow L^{-1}|_C \rightarrow 0,$$

is an isomorphism. It is a standard fact that this isomorphism is the dual to the isomorphism $H^0(S, L) \rightarrow H^0(C, L|_C)$ given by the restriction, via Serre dualities on S and C . Let us denote by $e_C \in H^1(C, L^{-1}|_C)$ the element corresponding to $e \in H^2(S, L^{-1}K_S)$ under the above isomorphism.

We claim that the triple Massey product $\text{MP}^C(s_1|_C, e_C, s_2|_C) = m_3(s_1|_C, e_C, s_2|_C)$ corresponding to the arrows

$$\mathcal{O}_C \xrightarrow{s_2|_C} L|_C \xrightarrow{e_C} \mathcal{O}_C \xrightarrow{s_1|_C} L|_C$$

(where the middle arrow has degree 1) agrees with the corresponding triple Massey product on S ,

$$\mathcal{O}_S \xrightarrow{s_2} L \xrightarrow{e_C} \mathcal{O}_C \xrightarrow{s_1|_C} L|_C.$$

Indeed, the relevant spaces are identified via the restriction maps. Let

$$r: \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C, \quad r_L: L \rightarrow L|_C$$

be the natural maps. Then we have to check that for $s_1, s_2 \in \langle e \rangle^\perp \subset H^0(S, L)$, one has

$$m_3(s_1|_C, e_C, s_2|_C)r \equiv m_3(s_1|_C, e_C r_L, s_2) \pmod{\langle s_1|_C r, s_2|_C r \rangle},$$

where we view this as equality of cosets in $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_S, L|_C)$. The A_∞ -identities imply that

$$m_3(s_1|_C, e_C, s_2|_C)r = m_3(s_1|_C, e_C, s_2|_C r) \pm s_1|_C m_3(e_C, s_2|_C, r),$$

where $s_2|_C r = r_L s_2$, and

$$m_3(s_1|_C, e_C, r_L s_2) = m_3(s_1|_C, e_C r_L, s_2) \pm s_1|_C m_3(e_C, r_L, s_2) \pm m_3(s_1|_C, e_C, r_L) s_2.$$

Combining these two identities, we deduce our claim.

Thus, it is enough to calculate the Massey product $\text{MP}(s_1|_C, e_C r_L, s_2)$. Using the exact sequences (3.3) and (3.4), we can represent \mathcal{O}_C (resp. L_C) by the twisted complex $[K_S[1] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S]$ (resp. $[LK_S[1] \rightarrow L]$).

In terms of these resolutions, the elements of $\text{Ext}^1(L, \mathcal{O}_C)$ get represented by $\text{Ext}^2(L, K_S) \subset \text{hom}^\bullet(L, [K_S[1] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S])$, while the element of $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_C, L|_C)$ corresponding to $s \in H^0(S, L) \simeq H^0(C, L|_C)$ is given by the natural map of twisted complexes induced by the multiplication by s . The elements of $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_S, L|_C)$ are identified with $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_S, L) \simeq \text{hom}^0(\mathcal{O}_S, [LK_S[1] \rightarrow L])$. Thus, the m_3 product we are interested is given by the following triple product in the category

of twisted complexes over S :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{O}_S & & \\
\downarrow s_2 & & \\
L & & \\
\downarrow e & & \\
K_S[1] & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{O}_S \\
\downarrow s_1 & & \downarrow s_1 \\
LK_S[1] & \xrightarrow{F} & L,
\end{array}$$

where we view e as a morphism of degree 1 from L to $K_S[1]$. Now the formula for m_3 on twisted complexes (see [4, Section 7.6]) gives

$$m_4(F, s_1, e, s_2) - m_4(s_1, F, e, s_2)$$

(here the insertions of F correspond to insertions of the differentials in the twisted complexes).

(ii) It is clear that Π_F gives a linear map from $H^0(S, \omega_S^{-1})$ to the space of bivectors on $\mathbb{P}V$. By (i), for generic F we get a Poisson bracket. Hence, this is true for all F . \blacksquare

3.4 The case leading to 10 compatible brackets on \mathbb{P}^5

We can apply Proposition 3.1 to the case $S = \mathbb{P}^2$ and $L = \mathcal{O}(2)$. Note that the assumptions are satisfied in this case since $LK_S = \mathcal{O}(-1)$ has vanishing cohomology. Thus, for each $F \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(3))$ giving a smooth cubic, we get a formula for the FO-bracket Π_F on $\mathbb{P}H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(2))^* = \mathbb{P}^5$. Hence, we get a family of 10 (the dimension of $H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(3))$) compatible brackets on \mathbb{P}^5 (we also know this from [3, Proposition 4.7]). The fact that these 10 brackets are linearly independent follows from the compatibility of this construction with the GL_3 -action and is explained in [3, Proposition 4.7].

Now we will derive formulas for the brackets $\{, \}_F$ on the algebra of polynomials in 6 variables which induce the above Poisson brackets on $\mathbb{P}V \simeq \mathbb{P}^5$, where

$$V = H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(2))^*.$$

They depend linearly on F , so we will just give formulas for $\{, \}_{x^{\vec{c}}}$, where $x^{\vec{c}}$ runs through all 10 monomials of degree 3 in (x_0, x_1, x_2) .

Let us set

$$\Delta(n) := \begin{cases} \{(a_0, a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \mid a_0 + a_1 + a_2 = n, a_i \geq 0 \text{ for } i = 0, 1, 2\} & \text{if } n \geq 0, \\ \{(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \mid \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = n, \alpha_i < 0 \text{ for } i = 0, 1, 2\} & \text{if } n < 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\{x^{\vec{e}} \mid \vec{e} \in \Delta(n)\}$ forms a basis for $H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(n))$ when $n \geq 0$, while $\{x^{\vec{e}}_{\{0,1,2\}} \mid \vec{e} \in \Delta(n)\}$ is a basis for $H^2(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(n))$ when $n < 0$. In particular, we use $\{x^{\vec{a}} \mid \vec{a} \in \Delta(2)\}$ as a basis in $V^* = H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(2))$. Our brackets should associate to a pair of elements of this basis a quadratic form in the same variables.

Theorem 3.2. *One has for $\vec{a}, \vec{b} \in \Delta(2)$, $\vec{c} \in \Delta(3)$,*

$$\{x^{\vec{a}}, x^{\vec{b}}\}_{x^{\vec{c}}} := \sum_{\vec{a}', \vec{b}' \in \Delta(2)} \left[\sum_{\sigma} -\mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) \tilde{\rho}(\sigma \vec{a}, \sigma \vec{b}, \sigma \vec{c}, \vec{a}', \vec{b}') \right] x^{\vec{a}'} x^{\vec{b}'}, \quad (3.5)$$

where the second sum is over the symmetric group on the letters $\{a, b, c\}$ and

$$\tilde{\rho}(\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}, \vec{a}', \vec{b}') := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a'_0 \leq a_0 - 1, a'_1 > a_1 - 1, a'_1 \leq a_1 + b_1 - 1, \\ & a_2 + b_2 < a'_2 + 1, c_2 + a_2 + b_2 \geq a'_2 + 1, \\ & a'_0 + b'_0 = a_0 + b_0 + c_0 - 1, a'_1 + b'_1 = a_1 + b_1 + c_1 - 1, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Serre duality, we can identify $V = H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(2))^*$ with $H^2(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(-5))$. By Proposition 3.1, the bracket $\{x^{\vec{a}}, x^{\vec{b}}\}_{x^{\vec{c}}}$ is the quadratic form on $V \simeq H^2(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(-5))$ given by

$$Q(e) := \langle e, m_4(x^{\vec{c}}, x^{\vec{a}}, e, x^{\vec{b}}) - m_4(x^{\vec{a}}, x^{\vec{c}}, e, x^{\vec{b}}) \rangle.$$

We can write

$$e = \sum_{\vec{\alpha} \in \Delta(-5)} c_{\vec{\alpha}} x_{\{0,1,2\}}^{\vec{\alpha}} \in H^2(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(-5)).$$

Using the formulas for m_4 from the end of Section 2.2, we get

$$Q(e) = \sum_{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta} \in \Delta(-5)} \left[\sum_{\sigma} -\text{sgn}(\sigma) \rho(\vec{\alpha}; \sigma \vec{a}, \sigma \vec{b}, \sigma \vec{c}) \right] \delta(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}, \vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}) c_{\vec{\alpha}} c_{\vec{\beta}},$$

where the second sum runs over the symmetric group on the letters $\{a, b, c\}$ and

$$\delta(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}, \vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \vec{\alpha} + \vec{\beta} + \vec{a} + \vec{b} + \vec{c} = (-1, -1, -1), \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

We have to show that the element in $S^2(H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(2)))$ given by the right-hand side of (3.5) defines the same quadratic form Q on $H^2(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(-5))$. To see this, we apply it to the element $e = \sum_{\vec{\alpha} \in \Delta(-5)} c_{\vec{\alpha}} x_{\{0,1,2\}}^{\vec{\alpha}} \in H^2(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(-5))$. For $\vec{\alpha} \in \Delta(-5)$, we set $\vec{\alpha}^* := (-1, -1, -1) - \vec{\alpha}$ and then we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{\vec{a}', \vec{b}' \in \Delta(2)} \left[\sum_{\sigma} -\text{sgn}(\sigma) \tilde{\rho}(\sigma \vec{a}, \sigma \vec{b}, \sigma \vec{c}, \vec{a}', \vec{b}') \right] x^{\vec{a}'} x^{\vec{b}'} \right) (e) \\ &= \sum_{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta} \in \Delta(-5)} \sum_{\vec{a}', \vec{b}' \in \Delta(2)} \left[\sum_{\sigma} -\text{sgn}(\sigma) \tilde{\rho}(\sigma \vec{a}, \sigma \vec{b}, \sigma \vec{c}, \vec{a}', \vec{b}') \right] \langle x^{\vec{a}'}, x_{\{0,1,2\}}^{\vec{\alpha}} \rangle \langle x^{\vec{b}'}, x_{\{0,1,2\}}^{\vec{\beta}} \rangle c_{\vec{\alpha}} c_{\vec{\beta}} \\ &= \sum_{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta} \in \Delta(-5)} \left[\sum_{\sigma} -\text{sgn}(\sigma) \tilde{\rho}(\sigma \vec{a}, \sigma \vec{b}, \sigma \vec{c}, \vec{\alpha}^*, \vec{\beta}^*) \right] c_{\vec{\alpha}} c_{\vec{\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now it only remains to note that for any permutation σ , one has

$$\tilde{\rho}(\sigma \vec{a}, \sigma \vec{b}, \sigma \vec{c}, \vec{\alpha}^*, \vec{\beta}^*) = \rho(\vec{\alpha}; \sigma \vec{a}, \sigma \vec{b}, \sigma \vec{c}) \delta(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}, \vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c}),$$

for $\tilde{\rho}$ given in the formulation of the theorem. ■

Remarks 3.3.

1. Note that when we take $\vec{c} = (0, 0, 3)$ only two permutations σ , namely, $\sigma = 1$ and $\sigma = (a b)$, can give non-zero terms in the formula of Theorem 3.2. When $\vec{c} = (1, 2, 0)$ all permutations except $\sigma = 1$ and $\sigma = (a b)$ may give non-zero terms. When $\vec{c} = (1, 1, 1)$ all permutations can give non-zero terms.
2. It is not true that formulas (3.5) define compatible Poisson brackets on the algebra of polynomials in 6 variables: this is true only for the induced brackets on \mathbb{P}^5 (in other words, the relevant identities hold only for the ratios of coordinates x_i/x_j).

Acknowledgements

We thank the anonymous referee for useful remarks. A.P. is partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-2001224, and within the framework of the HSE University Basic Research Program and by the Russian Academic Excellence Project ‘5-100’.

References

- [1] Bondal A., Non-commutative deformations and Poisson brackets on projective spaces, Preprint MPI 93–67, Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, 1993.
- [2] Feigin B.L., Odesskii A.V., Vector bundles on an elliptic curve and Sklyanin algebras, in Topics in Quantum Groups and Finite-Type Invariants, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2*, Vol. 185, [American Mathematical Society](#), Providence, RI, 1998, 65–84, [arXiv:q-alg/9509021](#).
- [3] Hua Z., Polishchuk A., Elliptic bihamiltonian structures from relative shifted Poisson structures, [arXiv:2007.12351](#).
- [4] Keller B., Introduction to A -infinity algebras and modules, *Homology Homotopy Appl.* **3** (2001), 1–35, [arXiv:math.RA/9910179](#).
- [5] Kontsevich M., Soibelman Y., Homological mirror symmetry and torus fibrations, in Symplectic Geometry and Mirror Symmetry (Seoul, 2000), [World Scientific](#), River Edge, NJ, 2001, 203–263, [arXiv:math.SG/0011041](#).
- [6] Odesskii A., Wolf T., Compatible quadratic Poisson brackets related to a family of elliptic curves, *J. Geom. Phys.* **63** (2013), 107–117, [arXiv:1204.1299](#).
- [7] Polishchuk A., Algebraic geometry of Poisson brackets, *J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.)* **84** (1997), 1413–1444.
- [8] Polishchuk A., Poisson structures and birational morphisms associated with bundles on elliptic curves, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **1998** (1998), 683–703, [arXiv:alg-geom/9712022](#).