

EQUIVARIANT ORIENTED HOMOLOGY OF THE AFFINE GRASSMANNIAN

CHANGLONG ZHONG

ABSTRACT. We generalize the property of small-torus equivariant K-homology of the affine Grassmannian to general oriented (co)homology theory in the sense of Levine and Morel. The main tool we use is the formal affine Demazure algebra associated to the affine root system. More precisely, we prove that the small-torus equivariant oriented cohomology of the affine Grassmannian satisfies the GKM condition. We also show that its dual, the small-torus equivariant homology, is isomorphic to the centralizer of the equivariant oriented cohomology of a point in the formal affine Demazure algebra.

0. INTRODUCTION

Let h be an oriented cohomology theory in the sense of Levine and Morel. Let G be a semi-simple linear algebraic group over \mathbb{C} with maximal torus T and a Borel subgroup B . Let Gr_G be the affine Grassmannian of G . T is called the small torus, in contrary to the big torus T_a of Gr_G . The theory of $h_{T_a}(\text{Gr}_G)$ when h is the equivariant cohomology or the K-theory, is studied by Kostant and Kumar in [KK86, KK90]. It is dual to the so-called affine nil-Hecke algebra (equivariant cohomology case) or the affine 0-Hecke algebra. Alternatively, the affine nil-Hecke algebra and the affine 0-Hecke algebra can be called the equivariant homology and the equivariant K-homology theory.

The small torus equivariant homology theory $H_T(\text{Gr}_G)$ of the affine Grassmannian was first studied by Peterson [P97]. Moreover, he raised a conjecture (without a proof) saying that $H_T(\text{Gr}_G)$ is isomorphic to the quantum cohomology $QH_T(G/B)$ of G/B . This conjecture, together with its partial flag variety version, is proved by Lam-Shimozono in [LS10]. One key step is the identification of $H_T(G/B)$ with the centralizer of $H_T(\text{pt})$ in $H_T(G_a/B_a)$ where G_a is the Kac-Moody group associated to the affine root system and B_a is its Borel subgroup.

For K-theory, similar property was expected to hold. In [LSS10], the authors study the K-theoretic Peterson subalgebra, i.e., the centralizer of the ring $K_T(\text{pt})$ in the small-torus affine 0-Hecke algebra, i.e., the equivariant K-homology $K_T(G_a/B_a)$. It is proved that this algebra is isomorphic to $K_T(\text{Gr}_G)$. One of the main tools is the small-torus GKM condition of the T -equivariant K-cohomology. In [LLMS18], some evidence was provided in supporting the K-theory Peterson Conjecture. In [K18], using the study of semi-infinite flag variety, Kato proves this conjecture. More precisely, he embeds quantum K-theory of flag variety and certain localization of the Peterson subalgebra into T -equivariant K-theory of semi-infinite flag variety, and proves that their image coincide.

In all the work mentioned above, the Peterson subalgebra plays key roles. In this paper, we generalize the construction of the Peterson subalgebra into general oriented cohomology theory h . Associated to such theory, there is a formal group law F over the coefficient ring $R = h(\text{pt})$. Associated to F and a Kac-Moody root system, in [CZZ16, CZZ19, CZZ15, CZZ20], the author generalized Kostant-Kumar's construction and defined the formal affine Demazure algebra (FADA). It is a non-commutative algebra generated by the divided difference operators. Its dual give an algebraic model for $h_{T_a}(G_a/B_a)$. Since Levine-Morel's oriented cohomology theory is only defined

for smooth projective varieties, in this paper we do not intend to generalize the geometric theory. Instead, we only work with the algebraic model, i.e., the FADA associated to h .

Following the same idea as the work mentioned above in cohomology and K-theory, we look at the small-torus (the torus T) version, which is very similar as the big torus case T_a . We define the small torus FADA, \mathbf{D}_{W_a} . In this paper, our first main result (Theorem 4.3) shows that the algebraic models for $h_T(G_a/B_a)$ and $h_T(\text{Gr}_G)$, i.e., $\mathbf{D}_{W_a}^*$ and $(\mathbf{D}_{W_a}^*)^W$, satisfy the small torus GKM condition. Based on that, we prove the second main result (Theorem 5.5), which shows that the dual of $h_T(\text{Gr}_G)$, denoted by \mathbf{D}_{Q^\vee} (Q^\vee being the coroot lattice), coincides with the centralizer of $h_T(\text{pt})$ in the FADA \mathbf{D}_{W_a} . This defines the Peterson subalgebra associated to h .

Our result generalizes and extends properties for equivariant cohomology and K-theory. Moreover, our method is uniform and does not rely on the specific oriented cohomology theory. As an application of this construction, we define actions of the FADA (of the big and small torus) on the algebraic models for $h_{T_a}(\text{Gr}_G)$ and $h_T(\text{Gr}_G)$. This is called the left Hecke action. For finite flag varieties case it is studied in [MNS22] using geometric arguments (see also [B97, K03, T09, LZZ20]). For connective K-theory (which specializes to cohomology and K-theory), we compute the recursive formulas for certain basis in $h_T(\text{Gr}_G)$ (Theorem 2.3).

It is natural to consider generalizing Kato's construction to this case, that is, invert Schubert classes in \mathbf{D}_{Q^\vee} corresponding to $t_\lambda \in Q_{<}^\vee$. This localization for K-theory was proved to be isomorphic to $QK_T(G/B)$. For h beyond singular cohomology and K-theory, however, the first obstruction is that there is no 'quantum' oriented cohomology theory defined. The other obstruction is that the divided difference operators do not satisfy braid relations. This was a key step in Kato's construction (see [K18, Theorem 1.7]). The author plans to investigate this in a future paper.

This paper is organized as follows: In §1 we recall the construction of the FADA for the big torus T_a , and in §2 we compute the recursive formulas via the left Hecke action. In §3 we repeat the construction for the small torus and indicate the difference from the big torus case. In §4 we prove that dual of the small torus FADA satisfies the small torus GKM condition, and in §5 we define the Peterson subalgebra and show that it coincides with the centralizer of $h_T(\text{pt})$. In the appendix we provide some computational result in the \hat{A}_1 case.

Notations. Let $G \supset B \supset T$ be such that G is simple, simply connected algebraic group over \mathbb{C} with a Borel subgroup B and a torus T . Let $G_a \supset B_a \supset T_a$ where G_a is the affine Kac-Moody group with Borel subgroup B_a and the affine torus T_a . Let P be the maximal parabolic group scheme so that $G_a/P = \text{Gr}_G$ is the affine Grassmannian. Let T^* (resp. T_a^*) be the group of characters of T (resp. T_a), then $T_a^* = T^* \oplus \mathbb{Z}\delta$.

Let W be the Weyl group of G , $I = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ be the simple roots, $Q = \oplus_i \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i \subset T^*$ be the root lattice, $Q^\vee = \oplus_i \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i^\vee$ be the coroot lattice, θ be the longest element, δ is the null root, $\alpha_0 = -\theta + \delta$ be the extra simple root. Denote $I_a = \{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n\}$. For each $\lambda \in Q^\vee$, let t_λ be the translation acting on Q . We then have $t_{\lambda_1}t_{\lambda_2} = t_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}$, and $wt_\lambda w^{-1} = t_{w(\lambda)}$, $w \in W$. Let Q_{\leq}^\vee be the set of antidominant coroots, $Q_{<}^\vee$ be the set of strictly antidominant coroots (i.e., $(\lambda, \alpha_i) < 0 \forall i \in I$). Let $W_a = W \ltimes Q^\vee$ be the affine Weyl group, ℓ be the length function on W_a , and $w_0 \in W$ be the longest element.

Let Φ be the set of roots for W , $\Phi_a = \mathbb{Z}\delta + \Phi$ be the set of real affine roots, and Φ_a^\pm, Φ^\pm be the corresponding set of positive/negative roots for the corresponding systems. Let $\text{inv}(w) = w^{-1}\Phi_a^+ \cap \Phi_a^-$. We have

$$\Phi_a^+ = \{\alpha + k\delta \mid \alpha \in \Phi^+, k = 0 \text{ or } \alpha \in \Phi, k > 0\}.$$

Let W_a^- be the minimal length representatives of W_a/W . There is a bijection

$$W_a^- \rightarrow Q^\vee, \quad w \mapsto \lambda, \text{ if } wW = t_\lambda W.$$

Moreover, $W_a^- \cap Q^\vee = \{t_\lambda | \lambda \in Q_{\leq}\}$. The action of $\alpha + k\delta$ on $\mu + m\delta \in Q \oplus \mathbb{Z}\delta$ is given by

$$s_{\alpha+k\delta}(\mu + m\delta) = \mu + m\delta - \langle \mu, \alpha^\vee \rangle (\alpha + k\delta).$$

In particular, for $\lambda \in Q^\vee, w \in W, \mu \in Q$, we have $s_{\alpha+k\delta} = s_\alpha t_{k\alpha^\vee}$, $wt_\lambda(\mu) = w(\mu)$.

We say the set of reduced sequences $I_w, w \in W_a$ is W -compatible if $I_w = I_u \cup I_v$ for $w = uv, u \in W_a^-, v \in W$.

1. FADA FOR THE BIG TORUS

In this section, we recall the construction of the formal affine Demazure algebra (FADA) for the affine root system. All the construction can be found in [CZZ20].

1.1. Let F be a one dimensional formal group law over a domain R with characteristic 0. Following from [LM07] that there is an oriented cohomology h whose associated formal group law is F . In this paper we won't need any geometric property of this h , since our treatment is pure algebraic and self-contained.

Example 1.1. Let $F = F_c = x + y - cxy$ be the connective formal group law (for connective K-theory) over $R = \mathbb{Z}[\mathfrak{c}]$. Specializing to $\mathfrak{c} = 0$ or $\mathfrak{c} = 1$, one obtains the additive or multiplicative formal group law. One of the simplest formal group laws beyond F_c is the hyperbolic formal group law considered in [LZZ20]:

$$F(x, y) = \frac{x + y - cxy}{1 + axy}, \quad R = \mathbb{Z}[\mathfrak{c}, a].$$

Let \hat{S} be the formal group algebra of T_a^* defined in [CPZ13]. That is,

$$\hat{S} = R[[x_\mu | \mu \in T_a^*]] / \mathcal{J}_F,$$

where \mathcal{J}_F is the closure of the ideal generated x_0 and $x_{\mu_1+\mu_2} - F(x_{\mu_1}, x_{\mu_2}), \mu_1, \mu_2 \in T_a^*$. Indeed, after fixing a basis of $T_a^* \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$, \hat{S} is isomorphic to the power series ring $R[[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}]]$.

Remark 1.2. If $F = F_c$ is the connective formal group law, one can just replace \hat{S} by $R[x_\mu | \mu \in T_a^*] / \mathcal{J}_F$. In other words, in this case one can use the polynomial ring instead of the power series ring. For instance, if $\mathfrak{c} = 0$, then $\hat{S} \cong \text{Sym}_R(T_a^*), x_\mu \mapsto \mu$. If $\mathfrak{c} \in R^\times$, then $\hat{S} \cong R[T_a^*], x_\mu \mapsto \mathfrak{c}^{-1}(1 - e^{-\mu})$. Throughout this paper, whenever we specializes to F_c , we assume that \hat{S} is the polynomial version.

1.2. Define $\hat{Q} = \hat{S}[\frac{1}{x_\alpha}, \alpha \in \Phi_a]$. The Weyl groups W_a acts on \hat{Q} , so we can define the twisted group algebra $\hat{Q}_{W_a} := \hat{Q} \rtimes R[W_a]$, which is a free left \hat{Q} -module with basis denoted by $\eta_w, w \in W_a$ and the product $c\eta_w c' \eta_{w'} = cw(c')\eta_{ww'}, c, c' \in \hat{Q}$.

For each $\alpha \in \Phi_a$, define $\kappa_\alpha = \frac{1}{x_\alpha} + \frac{1}{x_{-\alpha}} \in \hat{S}$. If $F = F_c$, then $\kappa_\alpha = \mathfrak{c}$. For each simple root α_i , we define the Demazure element $\hat{X}_{\alpha_i} = \frac{1}{x_{\alpha_i}}(1 - \eta_{s_i})$. It is easy to check that $\hat{X}_\alpha^2 = \kappa_\alpha \hat{X}_\alpha$. For simplicity, denote $\eta_i = \eta_{\alpha_i} = \eta_{s_i}, x_{\pm i} = x_{\pm \alpha_i}, \hat{X}_i = \hat{X}_{\alpha_i}, i \in I_a$. If $I_w = (i_1, \dots, i_k), i_j \in I_a$ is a reduced sequence of $w \in W_a$, we define \hat{X}_{I_w} correspondingly. It is well known that they depends on the choice of I_w , unless $F = F_c$.

Write

$$(1) \quad \hat{X}_{I_w} = \sum_{v \leq w} \hat{a}_{I_w, v} \eta_v, \quad \eta_w = \sum_{v \leq w} \hat{b}_{w, I_v} \hat{X}_{I_v}, \quad \hat{a}_{I_w, v} \in \hat{Q}, \hat{b}_{w, I_v} \in \hat{S},$$

then we have $\hat{b}_{w,I_w} = \prod_{\alpha \in \text{inv}(w)} x_\alpha = \frac{1}{\hat{a}_{I_w,w}}$.

Let $\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}$ be the subalgebra of $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{W_a}$ generated by \hat{S} and $\hat{X}_i, i \in I_a$. This is called the formal affine Demazure algebra (FADA) for the big torus. It is easy to see that $\hat{X}_{I_w}, w \in W_a$ is a $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}$ -basis of $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{W_a}$, and it is proved in [CZZ20] that it is also a basis of the left \hat{S} -module $\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}$. Note that $W \subset \hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}$ via the map $s_i \mapsto \eta_i = 1 - x_i \hat{X}_i \in \hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}$.

Remark 1.3. It is not difficult to derive that there is a residue description of the coefficients in the expression of elements of $\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}$ as linear combinations of η_w . Such description was first given in [GKV97]. See [ZZ17] for more details.

1.3. We define the duals of left modules:

$$\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{W_a}^* = \text{Hom}_{\hat{\mathcal{Q}}}(\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{W_a}, \hat{\mathcal{Q}}) = \text{Hom}(W_a, \hat{\mathcal{Q}}), \quad \hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^* = \text{Hom}_{\hat{S}}(\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}, \hat{S}).$$

Dual to the elements $\eta_w, \hat{X}_{I_w} \in \hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a} \subset \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{W_a}$, we have $\hat{f}_w, \hat{X}_{I_w}^* \in \hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^* \subset \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{W_a}^*$. The product structure on $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{W_a}^*$ is defined by $\hat{f}_w \hat{f}_v = \delta_{w,v} \hat{f}_w$, with the unit given by $\mathbf{1} = \prod_{w \in W_a} \hat{f}_w$. Note that here we usually use \prod to denote a sum of (possibly) infinitely many terms, and \sum to denote a finite sum.

Lemma 1.4. *We have*

$$\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^* = \{\hat{f} \in \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{W_a}^* \mid \hat{f}(\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}) \subset \hat{S}\}.$$

Proof. Denote the RHS by \mathcal{Z}_1 . It is clear that $\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^*$ is contained in \mathcal{Z}_1 since \hat{X}_{I_w} generate $\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}$, $\hat{X}_{I_w}^*$ generate $\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^*$, and $\hat{X}_{I_w}^*(\hat{X}_{I_w}) = \delta_{w,v}$. Conversely, let $\hat{f} = \prod_{\ell(w) \geq k} c_w \hat{f}_w \in \mathcal{Z}_1$. If $\ell(u) = k$, then from (1), we have

$$\hat{f}(\hat{X}_{I_u}) = \prod_{\ell(w) \geq k} c_w \hat{f}_w \left(\sum_{v \leq u} \hat{a}_{I_u,v} \eta_v \right) = c_u \hat{a}_{I_u,u} \in \hat{S}.$$

Denote $\hat{f}' := \hat{f} - \sum_{\ell(u)=k} c_u \hat{a}_{I_u,u} \hat{X}_{I_u}^*$. Note that $\hat{X}_{I_u}^* = \prod_{w \in W_a} \hat{b}_{w,I_u} \hat{f}_w$ and $\hat{b}_{w,I_u} \hat{a}_{I_u,u} = 1$, so for any u with $\ell(u) = k$, we have $\hat{f}'(\eta_u) = c_u - c_u \hat{a}_{I_u,u} \hat{X}_{I_u}^*(\eta_u) = c_u - c_u = 0$, so \hat{f}' is a linear combination of $\hat{f}_w, \ell(w) \geq k+1$. Repeating this process, we get that $\hat{f} \in \hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^*$. \square

1.4. There is an $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}$ -linear action of $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{W_a}$ on $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{W_a}^*$, defined by

$$(z \bullet \hat{f})(z') = \hat{f}(z'z), \quad z, z' \in \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{W_a}, \hat{f} \in \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{W_a}^*.$$

This is called the right Hecke action. We have

$$c\eta_w \bullet c' \hat{f}_{w'} = c' w' w^{-1}(c) \hat{f}_{w'w^{-1}}, \quad c, c' \in \hat{\mathcal{Q}}.$$

It follows from Lemma 1.4 and similar reason as in [CZZ19, §10] that this induces an action of $\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}$ on $\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^*$. Moreover, it induces an action of $W \subset \hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}$ on $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{W_a}^*$ and $\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^*$. By definition it is easy to get

$$(2) \quad \hat{X}_\alpha \bullet \prod_{w \in W_a} c_w \hat{f}_w = \prod_{w \in W_a} \frac{c_w - c_{s_{w(\alpha)}w}}{x_{w(\alpha)}} \hat{f}_w.$$

The following proposition is proved in the finite case in [CZZ19, Lemma 10.2, Theorem 10.7].

Proposition 1.5. *The subset $\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^* \subset \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{W_a}^*$ satisfies the following (big-torus) GKM condition:*

$$\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^* = \{\hat{f} \in \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{W_a}^* \mid \hat{f}(\eta_w) \in \hat{S} \text{ and } \hat{f}(\eta_w - \eta_{s_\alpha w}) \in x_\alpha \hat{S}, \forall \alpha \in \Phi_a\}.$$

Proof. Denote the RHS by \mathcal{Z}_2 . Let $\hat{f} \in \hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^*$, we know $\hat{X}_\alpha \bullet \hat{f} \in \hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^*$. Then (2) implies that \hat{f} satisfies the condition defining \mathcal{Z}_2 , so $\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^* \subset \mathcal{Z}_2$.

For the other direction, we first show that $\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^*$ is a maximal $\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}$ -submodule of $\hat{S}_{W_a}^* := \text{Hom}(W_a, \hat{S})$. This can be proved as follows: if $M \subset \hat{S}_{W_a}^*$ is a $\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}$ -module, for any $\hat{f} \in M$, we have $\hat{X}_I \bullet \hat{f} \in M \subset \hat{S}_{W_a}^*$, so $\hat{X}_I \bullet \hat{f}(\eta_e) = \hat{f}(\hat{X}_I) \in \hat{S}$, so $\hat{f} \in \hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^*$. One then can show that the subset \mathcal{Z}_2 is a $\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}$ -module, which follows from the same proof as in the finite case in [CZZ19, Theorem 10.2]. Since $\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^*$ is a maximal submodule, we have $\mathcal{Z}_2 \subset \hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^*$. The proof is finished. \square

1.5. We can similarly define the non-commutative ring $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{Q^\vee} = \hat{\mathcal{Q}} \times R[Q^\vee]$ with a $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}$ -basis $\eta_{t_\lambda}, \lambda \in Q^\vee$. Then there is a canonical map of left $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}$ -modules:

$$\text{pr} : \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{W_a} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{Q^\vee}, \quad c\eta_{t_\lambda w} \mapsto c\eta_{t_\lambda}, \quad w \in W, \lambda \in Q^\vee, c \in \hat{\mathcal{Q}}.$$

Define $\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a/W} = \text{pr}(\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}) \subset \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{Q^\vee}$. Indeed, this is the same as the relative Demazure module defined in [CZZ19, §11].

We can also consider the $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}$ -dual $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{Q^\vee}^*$ and the \hat{S} -dual $\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a/W}^*$. The elements dual to $\eta_{t_\lambda} \in \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{Q^\vee}$ are denoted by \hat{f}_{t_λ} . The projection pr then induces embeddings $\text{pr}^* : \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{Q^\vee}^* \hookrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{W_a}^*$ and $\text{pr}^* : \hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a/W} \hookrightarrow \hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^*$. It is easy to see that

$$\text{pr}^*(\hat{f}_{t_\lambda}) = \sum_{v \in W} \hat{f}_{t_\lambda v}.$$

Moreover, similar as in the finite case [CZZ19, Lemma 11.7], we have

$$\text{pr}^*(\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{Q^\vee}^*) = (\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{W_a}^*)^W, \quad \text{pr}^*(\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a/W}^*) = (\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^*)^W.$$

Indeed, elements of $\text{pr}^*(\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{Q^\vee}^*) = (\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{W_a}^*)^W$ are precisely the elements $\hat{f} \in \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{W_a}^*$ satisfying $\hat{f}(\eta_{t_\lambda w} - \eta_{t_\lambda}) = 0$ for any $\lambda \in Q^\vee, w \in W$. It follows from similar reason as [CZZ19, Corollary 8.5, Lemma 11.5] that if $I_w, w \in W_a$ is W -compatible, then $\hat{b}_{uv, I_w} = \hat{b}_{u, I_w}$ for any $v \in W$. We then have

Lemma 1.6. *Assume the sequences $I_w, w \in W_a$ is W -compatible, then $\text{pr}(X_{I_w}), w \in W_a^-$ is a basis of $\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a/W}$, and $\{\hat{X}_{I_w}^*, w \in W_a^-\}$ is a $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}$ -basis of $(\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{W_a}^*)^W$ and a \hat{S} -basis of $(\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^*)^W$.*

Note that $(\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^*)^W$ is the algebraic model for $h_{T_a}(\text{Gr}_G)$ and the embedding $(\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^*)^W \subset \hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^*$ is the algebraic model for the pull-back $h_{T_a}(\text{Gr}_G) \rightarrow h_{T_a}(G_a/B_a)$.

1.6. Similar as the finite case in [LZZ20, §3], there is another action of $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{W_a}$ on $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{W_a}^*$ by

$$a\eta_v \odot b\hat{f}_w = av(b)\hat{f}_{vw}, \quad a, b \in \hat{\mathcal{Q}}, w, v \in W_a.$$

This is called the left Hecke action. It is easy to see that it commutes with the \bullet -action. Note however that the \odot -action is not $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}$ -linear.

Lemma 1.7. *The \odot action of $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{W_a}$ on $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{W_a}^*$ induces an action of $\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}$ on $\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^*$.*

Proof. We have

$$\hat{X}_\alpha \odot \prod_w c_w \hat{f}_w = \frac{1}{x_\alpha} (1 - \eta_\alpha) \odot \prod_w c_w \hat{f}_w = \prod_w \frac{c_w - s_\alpha(c_{s_\alpha w})}{x_\alpha} \hat{f}_w.$$

let $d_{w, \alpha} = \frac{c_w - s_\alpha(c_{s_\alpha w})}{x_\alpha}$. We show that $d_{w, \alpha}$ satisfy the big-torus GKM condition, that is, $d_{w, \alpha} - d_{s_\beta w, \alpha} \in x_\beta \hat{S}$ for any β .

Denote $c_w - c_{s_\alpha w} = x_\alpha p$, $p \in \hat{S}$ and $x_{-\alpha} = -x_\alpha + x_\alpha^2 q$, $q \in \hat{S}$. If $\beta = \alpha$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{w,\alpha} - d_{s_\beta w,\alpha} &= \frac{c_w - s_\alpha(c_{s_\alpha w}) - c_{s_\alpha w} + s_\alpha(c_w)}{x_\alpha} = \frac{x_\alpha p + s_\alpha(c_w) - s_\alpha(c_w - x_\alpha p)}{x_\alpha} \\ &= p + \frac{x_{-\alpha} s_\alpha(p)}{x_\alpha} = p - s_\alpha(p) + x_\alpha q, \end{aligned}$$

which is clearly a multiple of x_α . If $\beta \neq \alpha$, then

$$d_{w,\alpha} - d_{s_\beta w,\alpha} = \frac{c_w - s_\alpha(c_{s_\alpha w}) - (c_{s_\beta w} - s_\alpha(c_{s_\alpha s_\beta w}))}{x_\alpha} = \frac{c_w - s_\alpha(c_{s_\alpha w}) - c_{s_\beta w} + s_\alpha(c_{s_\alpha s_\beta w})}{x_\alpha}.$$

Since x_α, x_β are coprime [CZZ20, Lemma 2.2], it suffices to prove the numerator is divisible by x_β . Note $c_w - c_{s_\beta w}$ is already divisible by x_β . Furthermore, $c_w - c_{s_\alpha s_\beta w} = c_w - c_{s_{s_\alpha(\beta)} s_\alpha w}$, so it is divisible by $s_{s_\alpha(\beta)}$. Therefore, $-s_\alpha(c_{s_\alpha w}) + s_\alpha(c_{s_\alpha s_\beta w})$ is divisible by $s_\alpha(x_{s_\alpha(\beta)}) = x_\beta$. The proof is finished. \square

Consequently, the \odot -action of $\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}$ on $\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^*$ restricts to an action on $(\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^*)^W$.

1.7. Indeed, there is a characteristic map

$$\mathbf{c} : \hat{S} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^*, \quad z \mapsto z \bullet \mathbf{1},$$

whose geometric model is the map sending a character of the torus to the first Chern class of the associated line bundle over the flag variety [CZZ15, §10]. We then have a map

$$\phi : \hat{S} \otimes_{\hat{S}W_a} \hat{S} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^*, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto \mathbf{ac}(b) = \prod_w aw(b) \hat{f}_w.$$

This is proved to be an isomorphism in some cases. It is easy to see that for any $z \in \mathbf{D}_{W_a}$, there are the following commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{S} \otimes_{\hat{S}W_a} \hat{S} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^* \\ \downarrow z \cdot \text{id} & & \downarrow z \odot - \\ \hat{S} \otimes_{\hat{S}W_a} \hat{S} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^* \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \hat{S} \otimes_{\hat{S}W_a} \hat{S} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^* \\ \downarrow \text{id} \otimes z \cdot - & & \downarrow z \bullet - \\ \hat{S} \otimes_{\hat{S}W_a} \hat{S} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^* \end{array}.$$

2. EQUIVARIANT CONNECTIVE K-THEORY OF THE AFFINE GRASSMANNIAN

As an application of the left Hecke action, we derive the recursive formulas for this action on bases in connective K-theory of Gr_G . In this section only, assume $F = F_\mathbf{c}$. Our results specialize to equivariant K-theory (resp. equivariant cohomology) by letting $\mathbf{c} = 1$ (resp. $\mathbf{c} = 0$). In both cases, our results are only known for flag varieties of finite root systems. Since \hat{X}_i do not satisfy the braid relations, the result of this section do not generalize to general F .

2.1. Denote $\epsilon_w = (-1)^{\ell(w)}$ and $\mathbf{c}_w = c^{\ell(w)}$. We have $x_{-\alpha} = \frac{x_\alpha}{\mathbf{c}x_\alpha - 1}$ and $\kappa_\alpha = \mathbf{c}$ for any α , and \hat{X}_{I_w} can be denoted by \hat{X}_w .

Note that there is another operator $\hat{Y}_i = \hat{Y}_{\alpha_i} = \mathbf{c} - \hat{X}_{\alpha_i}$ such that $\hat{Y}_{\alpha_i}^2 = \mathbf{c}\hat{Y}_{\alpha_i}$ and braid relations are satisfied. This is the algebraic model of the composition $h_{T_a}(G_a/B_a) \rightarrow h_{T_a}(G_a/P_i) \rightarrow h_{T_a}(G_a/B_a)$ where P_i is the minimal parabolic subgroup corresponding to $\alpha_i \in I_a$. Moreover, we have

$$\hat{X}_w = \sum_{v \leq w} \epsilon_v \mathbf{c}_w \mathbf{c}_v^{-1} \hat{Y}_v.$$

Most properties of \hat{X}_w are also satisfied by \hat{Y}_w , except for Lemma 1.6. Indeed, $\hat{Y}_w^*, w \in W_a^-$ is not W -invariant.

Denote $x_\Phi = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^-} x_\alpha$. It is well known that $Y_{w_0} = \sum_{w \in W} \eta_w \frac{1}{x_\Phi}$. Moreover, the map $Y_{w_0} \bullet - : \hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^* \rightarrow (\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^*)^W$ is the algebraic model for the map $h_{T_a}(G_a/B_a) \rightarrow h_{T_a}(\text{Gr}_G)$. We first compute the image of the two bases via this map.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $F = F_c$. For any $w \in W_a$ and $u = u_1 u_2, u_1 \in W_a^-, u_2 \in W$, we have*

$$Y_{w_0} \bullet \hat{X}_{u_1 u_2}^* = \epsilon_{u_2} \mathbf{c}_{w_0} \mathbf{c}_{u_2}^{-1} \hat{X}_{u_1}^*, \quad Y_{w_0} \bullet \hat{Y}_w^* = \sum_{v_1 v_2 \geq w, v_1 \in W_a^-, v_2 \in W} \epsilon_w \epsilon_{v_2} \mathbf{c}_{v_1 w_0} \mathbf{c}_w^{-1} \hat{X}_{v_1}^*.$$

In particular, $Y_{w_0} \bullet \hat{Y}_w^*, w \in W_a^-$ is a basis of $(\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^*)^W$ if and only if $\mathbf{c} \in R^\times$.

Proof. For each $v \in W_a$, write $v = v_1 v_2, v_1 \in W_a^-, v_2 \in W$. From $\hat{X}_w Y_{w_0} = 0, w \in W$, we have

$$(Y_{w_0} \bullet \hat{X}_{u_1 u_2}^*)(\hat{X}_{v_1 v_2}) = \hat{X}_{u_1 u_2}^*(\hat{X}_{v_1 v_2} Y_{w_0}) = \delta_{v_2, e} \hat{X}_{u_1 u_2}^*(\hat{X}_{v_1} \sum_{w' \leq w_0} \epsilon_{w'} \mathbf{c}_{w_0} \mathbf{c}_{w'}^{-1} \hat{X}_{w'}) = \delta_{v_2, e} \delta_{v_1, u_1} \epsilon_{u_2} \mathbf{c}_{w_0} \mathbf{c}_{u_2}^{-1}.$$

This proves the first identity. For the second one, it is easy to see that $\hat{Y}_w^* = \sum_{v \geq w} \epsilon_w \mathbf{c}_v \mathbf{c}_w^{-1} \hat{X}_v^*$. So

$$Y_{w_0} \bullet \hat{Y}_w^* = Y_{w_0} \bullet \sum_{v \geq w} \epsilon_w \mathbf{c}_v \mathbf{c}_w^{-1} \hat{X}_v^* = \sum_{v_1 v_2 \geq w, v_1 \in W_a^-, v_2 \in W} \epsilon_w \epsilon_{v_2} \mathbf{c}_{v_1 w_0} \mathbf{c}_w^{-1} \hat{X}_{v_1}^*.$$

This proves the second identity.

The transition matrix between $\hat{X}_v^*, v \in W_a^-$ and $Y_{w_0} \bullet \hat{Y}_w^*, w \in W_a^-$ is upper triangular with diagonal entries $\epsilon_w \mathbf{c}_{w_0}$, so the last statement follows. \square

2.2. Before computing the \odot -action, we need to prove some identities in $\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $F = F_c$. Writing $\eta_u = \sum_{v \leq u} \hat{b}_{u,v} \hat{X}_v = \sum_{v \leq u} \hat{b}_{u,v}^Y \hat{Y}_v$, then*

$$\hat{b}_{s_i u, v} = \begin{cases} s_i(\hat{b}_{u,v}), & s_i v > v; \\ (1 - \mathbf{c} x_i) s_i(\hat{b}_{u,v}) - x_i s_i(\hat{b}_{u, s_i v}), & s_i v < v. \end{cases}$$

$$\hat{b}_{s_i u, v}^Y = \begin{cases} (1 - \mathbf{c} x_i) s_i(\hat{b}_{u,v}^Y), & s_i v > v; \\ x_i s_i(\hat{b}_{u, s_i v}^Y) + s_i(\hat{b}_{u,v}^Y), & s_i v < v. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We prove the first one, and the second one follows similarly. Denote ${}^i W_a = \{v \in W_a \mid s_i v > v\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{s_i u} &= \eta_i \eta_u = \eta_i \sum_{v \in {}^i W_a} \hat{b}_{u,v} \hat{X}_v + \hat{b}_{u, s_i v} \hat{X}_{s_i v} = \sum_{v \in {}^i W_a} s_i(\hat{b}_{u,v}) \eta_i \hat{X}_v + s_i(\hat{b}_{u, s_i v}) \eta_i \hat{X}_{s_i v} \\ &= \sum_{v \in {}^i W_a} s_i(\hat{b}_{u,v}) (1 - x_i \hat{X}_i) \hat{X}_v + s_i(\hat{b}_{u, s_i v}) (1 - x_i \hat{X}_i) \hat{X}_{s_i v} \\ &= \sum_{v \in {}^i W_a} s_i(\hat{b}_{u,v}) (\hat{X}_v - x_i \hat{X}_{s_i v}) + s_i(\hat{b}_{u, s_i v}) \hat{X}_{s_i v} - \mathbf{c} x_i s_i(\hat{b}_{u, s_i v}) \hat{X}_v \\ &= \sum_{v \in {}^i W_a} s_i(\hat{b}_{u,v}) \hat{X}_v + (s_i(\hat{b}_{u, s_i v}) (1 - \mathbf{c} x_i) - x_i s_i(\hat{b}_{u,v})) \hat{X}_{s_i v}. \end{aligned}$$

The conclusion then follows. \square

Note that if $v \in W_a^-$ and $s_i v < v$, then $s_i v \in W_a^-$. We have the following recursive formula, whose proof follows from the definition and Lemma 2.2.

Theorem 2.3. For $F = F_{\mathfrak{c}}$, with $i \in I_{\mathfrak{a}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{X}_{-i} \odot \hat{X}_v^* &= \begin{cases} 0, & s_i v > v, \\ \mathfrak{c} \hat{X}_v^* + \hat{X}_{s_i v}^*, & s_i v < v, \end{cases} \\ \hat{Y}_{-i} \odot \hat{Y}_v^* &= \begin{cases} 0, & s_i v > v, \\ \mathfrak{c} \hat{Y}_v^* + \hat{Y}_{s_i v}^*, & s_i v < v. \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\hat{X}_{-i} = \eta_{w_0} \hat{X}_i \eta_{w_0} = \frac{1}{x_{-i}} (1 - \eta_i), \quad \hat{Y}_{-i} = \eta_{w_0} \hat{Y}_i \eta_{w_0} = \frac{1}{x_i} + \frac{1}{x_{-i}} \eta_i.$$

Consequently, if $v \in W_{\mathfrak{a}}^-$, we have

$$\hat{Y}_{-i} \odot (Y_{w_0} \bullet Y_v^*) = \begin{cases} 0, & s_i v > v, \\ \mathfrak{c}(Y_{w_0} \bullet \hat{Y}_v^*) + (Y_{w_0} \bullet \hat{Y}_{s_i v}^*), & s_i v < v. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We have

$$\hat{X}_{-i} \odot \hat{X}_v^* = \left(\frac{1}{x_{-i}} - \frac{1}{x_{-i}} \eta_i \right) \odot \prod_{u \geq v} \hat{b}_{u,v} \hat{f}_u = \prod_u \frac{\hat{b}_{u,v}}{x_{-i}} \hat{f}_u - \prod_u \frac{s_i(\hat{b}_{u,v})}{x_{-i}} \hat{f}_{s_i u} = \prod_u \frac{\hat{b}_{u,v} - s_i(\hat{b}_{s_i u, v})}{x_{-i}} \hat{f}_u.$$

Plugging the formula in Lemma 2.2, we obtain the formula.

The formula for $\hat{Y}_{-i} \odot \hat{Y}_v^*$ follows similarly. From the commutativity of the two actions \bullet and \odot , one obtains the last statement. \square

3. FADA FOR THE SMALL TORUS

We repeat the construction of FADA for the small torus, which is very similar as above.

3.1. Let S be the formal group algebra associated to T^* , that is, it is (non-canonically) isomorphic a power series ring of rank n . When the formal group law $F = F_{\mathfrak{c}}$, we can again take the polynomial version, i.e., see Remark 1.2. Let $\mathcal{Q} = S[\frac{1}{x_{\alpha}}, \alpha \in \Phi]$, $\mathcal{Q}_{W_{\mathfrak{a}}} = \mathcal{Q} \rtimes R[W_{\mathfrak{a}}]$, $\mathcal{Q}_{Q^{\vee}} = \mathcal{Q} \rtimes R[Q^{\vee}]$. For any $\alpha \in \Phi$, let $\kappa_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{x_{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{x_{-\alpha}}$ and $\kappa_{\alpha_0} = \frac{1}{x_{-\theta}} + \frac{1}{x_{\theta}}$. We have the projection

$$\text{pr} : \mathcal{Q}_{W_{\mathfrak{a}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{Q^{\vee}}, \quad \eta_{t_{\lambda} w} \mapsto \eta_{t_{\lambda}}, \quad w \in W.$$

Define

$$X_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{x_{\alpha}} (1 - \eta_{\alpha}), \quad X_{\alpha_0} = \frac{1}{x_{-\theta}} (1 - \eta_{s_0}), \quad \alpha \in \Phi.$$

For simplicity, denote $x_{\pm i} = x_{\pm \alpha_i}$, $X_i = X_{\alpha_i}$, $\eta_i = \eta_{s_i}$, $X_0 = X_{\alpha_0}$. They satisfy relations similar as that of \hat{X}_i . One can define X_{I_w} for any reduced sequence I_w of w , which depends only on w if $F = F_{\mathfrak{c}}$.

Remark 3.1. Consider K-theory, in which case $F = F_{\mathfrak{c}}$ with $\mathfrak{c} = 1$. Our $-X_{-\alpha_i}$ is the T_i in [LSS10, LLMS18]. Our $1 - X_{\alpha_i}$ coincides with the D_i in [K18]. For cohomology, $\mathfrak{c} = 0$, $\kappa_{\alpha} = 0$, and our X_i is the A_i in [P97, Proposition 2.11] and [L06].

Lemma 3.2. We have $\text{pr}(zX_i) = 0$ if $z \in \mathcal{Q}_{W_{\mathfrak{a}}}$, $i \in I$.

Proof. Let $z = p\eta_w$, $p \in \mathcal{Q}$, $w \in W_{\mathfrak{a}}$, then

$$\text{pr}(zX_i) = \text{pr}(p\eta_w X_i) = \text{pr}\left(\frac{p}{w(x_i)}(\eta_w - \eta_{ws_i})\right) = \frac{p}{w(x_i)}(\text{pr}(\eta_w) - \text{pr}(\eta_{ws_i})) = 0.$$

\square

Define \mathbf{D}_{W_a} to be the subalgebra of \mathcal{Q}_{W_a} generated by S and $X_i, i \in I_a$, and $\mathbf{D}_{W_a/W} = \text{pr}(\mathbf{D}_{W_a})$. Then \mathbf{D}_{W_a} is a free left S -module with basis $X_{I_w}, w \in W_a$. Denote $\mathfrak{X}_{I_w} = \text{pr}(X_{I_w}), w \in W_a^-$.

Lemma 3.3. *If $I_w, w \in W_a$ are W -compatible, then the set $\{\mathfrak{X}_{I_w} | w \in W_a^-\}$ is a basis of the left S -module $\mathbf{D}_{W_a/W}$.*

Proof. They follow easily from Lemma 3.2. See [CZZ19, Lemma 11.3]. \square

The projection $\mathfrak{p} : T_a^* \rightarrow T^*, \mu + k\delta \mapsto \mu$ induces projections $\hat{S} \rightarrow S, \hat{\mathcal{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{W_a} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{W_a}$. Clearly $\mathfrak{p}(\hat{X}_{\alpha_i}) = X_{\alpha_i}$ and $\mathfrak{p}(\hat{X}_{I_w}) = X_{I_w}$, so $\mathfrak{p}(\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}) = \mathbf{D}_{W_a}$. More explicitly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{X}_{I_w} &= \sum_{v \leq w} \hat{a}_{I_w, v} \eta_v \in \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{W_a}, & X_{I_w} &= \sum_{v \leq w} a_{I_w, v} \eta_v \in \mathcal{Q}_{W_a}, & \mathfrak{p}(\hat{a}_{I_w, v}) &= a_{I_w, v} \in \mathcal{Q}, \\ \eta_w &= \sum_{v \leq w} \hat{b}_{w, I_v} \hat{X}_{I_v} \in \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{W_a}, & \eta_w &= \sum_{v \leq w} b_{w, I_v} X_{I_v} \in \mathcal{Q}_{W_a}, & \mathfrak{p}(\hat{b}_{w, I_v}) &= b_{w, I_v} \in S. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the embedding $i : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{Q}}$ induces a section $\mathcal{Q}_{W_a} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{W_a}$ of \mathfrak{p} . However, it does not map \mathbf{D}_{W_a} to $\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}$. For example, X_0 is mapped to $\frac{x-\theta+\delta}{x-\theta} \hat{X}_0$ which does not belong to $\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}$.

3.2. As before, we can take the duals, which will give us \mathcal{Q} -modules $\mathcal{Q}_{W_a}^*, \mathcal{Q}_{Q^\vee}^*$, and S -modules $\mathbf{D}_{W_a}^*, \mathbf{D}_{W_a/W}^*$. The elements dual to

$$\eta_w, X_{I_w} \in \mathbf{D}_{W_a} \subset \mathcal{Q}_{W_a}, \quad \eta_{t_\lambda}, \mathfrak{X}_{I_w} \in \mathbf{D}_{W_a/W} \subset \mathcal{Q}_{Q^\vee},$$

are denoted by

$$f_w, X_{I_w}^* \in \mathbf{D}_{W_a}^* \subset \mathcal{Q}_{W_a}^*, \quad f_{t_\lambda}, \mathfrak{X}_{I_w}^* \in \mathbf{D}_{W_a/W}^* \subset \mathcal{Q}_{Q^\vee}^*,$$

correspondingly. Note that the notation f_{t_λ} can be thought as in $\mathcal{Q}_{W_a}^*$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{Q^\vee}^*$, just like η_{t_λ} can be thought as in \mathcal{Q}_{W_a} and \mathcal{Q}_{Q^\vee} . Similar as Proposition 1.5, we have

$$(3) \quad \mathbf{D}_{W_a}^* = \{f \in \mathcal{Q}_{W_a}^* | f(\mathbf{D}_{W_a}) \subset S\}.$$

Moreover, by definition, the dual map $\text{pr}^* : \mathcal{Q}_{Q^\vee}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{W_a}^*$ satisfies

$$\text{pr}^*(f_{t_\lambda}) = \sum_{w \in W} f_{t_\lambda w}.$$

Following from the definition, we have

$$\hat{X}_{I_w}^* = \prod_{v \geq w} \hat{b}_{v, I_w} \hat{f}_v \in \hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^*, \quad X_{I_w}^* = \prod_{v \geq w} b_{v, I_w} f_v \in \mathbf{D}_{W_a}^*.$$

Since $\mathfrak{p}(\hat{b}_{v, I_w}) = b_{v, I_w}$, so the map $\mathfrak{q} : \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{W_a}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{W_a}^*, \prod_w a_w \hat{f}_w \mapsto \prod_w \mathfrak{p}(a_w) f_w$ induces a map $\mathfrak{q} : \hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{W_a}^*$ such that $\mathfrak{q}(\hat{X}_{I_w}^*) = X_{I_w}^*$. Moreover, since

$$\mathfrak{p}^*(X_{I_w}^*)(\hat{X}_{I_w}) = X_{I_w}^*(\mathfrak{p}(\hat{X}_{I_w})) = X_{I_w}^*(X_{I_w}) = \delta_{w, v},$$

so $\mathfrak{p}^*(X_{I_w}^*) = \hat{X}_{I_w}^*$. Note that neither \mathfrak{q} nor \mathfrak{p}^* are isomorphisms, since the domains and targets are modules over different rings.

Similar as Lemma 1.6, we have

Lemma 3.4. *If $I_w, w \in W_a$ are W -compatible, then the set $X_{I_w}^*, w \in W_a^-$ form a basis of $(\mathcal{Q}_{W_a}^*)^W$ and of $(\mathbf{D}_{W_a}^*)^W$, respectively.*

Lemma 3.5. *Assume that $\{I_w, w \in W_a\}$ is W -compatible. For any $w \in W, u \in W_a^-$, we have*

$$\mathfrak{X}_{I_u}^* = \prod_{\lambda \in Q^\vee} b_{t_\lambda w, I_u} f_{t_\lambda} \in \mathcal{Q}_{Q^\vee}^*.$$

Proof. For any $\lambda \in Q^\vee$, write

$$\eta_{t_\lambda w} = \sum_{u \in W_a^-, v \in W} b_{t_\lambda w, I_u \cup I_v} X_{I_u \cup I_v}.$$

By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\eta_{t_\lambda} = \text{pr}(\eta_{t_\lambda w}) = \sum_{u \in W_a^-, v \in W} b_{t_\lambda w, I_u \cup I_v} \text{pr}(X_{I_u \cup I_v}) = \sum_{u \in W_a^-} b_{t_\lambda w, I_u} \text{pr}(X_{I_u}) = \sum_{u \in W_a^-} b_{t_\lambda w, I_u} \mathfrak{X}_{I_u}.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathfrak{X}_{I_u}^* = \prod_{\lambda \in Q^\vee} b_{t_\lambda w, I_u} f_{t_\lambda} \in \mathcal{Q}_{Q^\vee}^*.$$

□

This lemma implies that we have $\text{pr}^*(\mathfrak{X}_{I_u}^*) = X_{I_u}^*, u \in W_a^-$.

3.3. There is a \bullet -action of \mathcal{Q}_{W_a} on $\mathcal{Q}_{W_a}^*$, defined similar as the big torus case.

Lemma 3.6. *The \bullet -action of \mathcal{Q}_{W_a} on $\mathcal{Q}_{W_a}^*$ restricts to an action of \mathbf{D}_{W_a} on $\mathbf{D}_{W_a}^*$.*

Proof. Since \mathbf{D}_{W_a} is a S -module with basis $X_{I_u}, u \in W_a$, so for any $w, v \in W_a, i \in I_a$, we have $X_{I_v} X_i = \sum_u c_{I_v \cup s_i, I_u} X_{I_u}$ with $c_{I_v \cup s_i, I_u} \in S$. We have

$$(X_i \bullet X_{I_w}^*)(X_{I_v}) = X_{I_w}^*(X_{I_v} X_i) = c_{I_v \cup s_i, I_w} \in S.$$

By (3), $X_i \bullet X_{I_w}^* \in \mathbf{D}_{W_a}^*$. □

Lemma 3.7. *We have*

$$\mathbf{D}_{W_a}^* \subset \{f \in \mathcal{Q}_{W_a}^* \mid f(\eta_w) \in S, \text{ and } f(\eta_w - \eta_{s_\alpha w}) \in x_\alpha S, \forall \alpha \in \Phi, w \in W_a\}.$$

One of the main results of this paper is to study how different the two sets are, that is, to derive the small torus GKM condition.

Proof. Since $\eta_w \in \mathbf{D}_{W_a}$, then it follows from (3) that $f(\eta_w) \in S$. Let $i \in I$ and $f = \prod_{w \in W_a} a_w f_w \in \mathbf{D}_{W_a}^*$ with $a_w = f(\eta_w) \in S$. We have

$$X_i \bullet f = \frac{1}{x_i} (1 - \eta_i) \bullet \prod_w a_w f_w = \prod_w \frac{a_w}{w(x_i)} f_w - \prod_w \frac{a_w}{ws_i(x_i)} f_{ws_i} = \prod_w \frac{a_w - a_{ws_i}}{w(x_i)} = \prod_w \frac{a_w - a_{s_w(\alpha_i)w}}{x_w(\alpha_i)} f_w.$$

By Lemma 3.6, $X_i \bullet f \in \mathbf{D}_{W_a}^*$, so $f(\eta_w - \eta_{s_\beta w}) = \frac{a_w - a_{s_\beta w}}{x_\beta} \in S$ for any $\beta \in \Phi$. □

3.4. We can similarly define the \odot action

$$a\eta_w \odot b f_v = aw(b) f_{wv}, \quad w, v \in W_a, a, b \in \mathcal{Q}.$$

It is easy to see that the \odot and the \bullet actions commute with each other.

Lemma 3.8. *For any $\hat{z} \in \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{W_a}, \hat{f} \in \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{W_a}^*$, we have*

$$\mathfrak{p}(\hat{z}) \odot \mathfrak{q}(\hat{f}) = \mathfrak{q}(\hat{z} \odot \hat{f}).$$

In particular, the \odot -action of \mathcal{Q}_{W_a} on $\mathcal{Q}_{W_a}^$ induces an action of \mathbf{D}_{W_a} on $\mathbf{D}_{W_a}^*$.*

Proof. Write $\hat{z} = \hat{a}\eta_v$, $\hat{f} = \hat{b}\hat{f}_w$, $\hat{a}, \hat{b} \in \hat{\mathcal{Q}}$, $w, v \in W_a$ and suppose $\mathfrak{p}(\hat{a}) = a$, $\mathfrak{p}(\hat{b}) = b$, then

$$\mathfrak{p}(\hat{z}) \odot \mathfrak{q}(\hat{f}) = a\eta_v \odot b\hat{f}_w = av(b)\hat{f}_{vw} = \mathfrak{q}(\hat{a}v(\hat{b})\hat{f}_{vw}) = \mathfrak{q}(\hat{a}\eta_v \odot \hat{b}\hat{f}_w) = \mathfrak{q}(\hat{z} \odot \hat{f}).$$

For the second part, note that $\mathfrak{p} : \hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{W_a}$ and $\mathfrak{q} : \hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{W_a}^*$ are both surjective. Given $z \in \mathbf{D}_{W_a}$ and $f \in \mathbf{D}_{W_a}^*$, suppose $z = \mathfrak{p}(\hat{z})$ and $f = \mathfrak{q}(\hat{f})$ for some $\hat{z} \in \hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}$ and $\hat{f} \in \hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^*$, then

$$z \odot f = \mathfrak{p}(\hat{z}) \odot \mathfrak{q}(\hat{f}) = \mathfrak{q}(\hat{z} \odot \hat{f}) \in \mathfrak{q}(\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}^*) = \mathbf{D}_{W_a}^*.$$

□

Remark 3.9. If $F = F_{\mathfrak{c}}$, then all results in §2 holds for X_w^* and the corresponding Y_w^* .

4. THE SMALL-TORUS GKM CONDITION

In this section, we study the small-torus GKM condition on the equivariant oriented cohomology of the affine flag variety and of the affine Grassmannian.

4.1. For each $\alpha \in \Phi$, we define

$$Z_\alpha = \frac{1}{x_{-\alpha}}(1 - \eta_{t_{\alpha^\vee}}) \in \mathcal{Q}_{W_a}.$$

Lemma 4.1. *For each $\alpha \in \Phi$, we have $Z_\alpha \in \mathbf{D}_{W_a}$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that Z_α is contained in the subalgebra of \mathbf{D}_{W_a} generated by S and X_α . So we assume the root system is the affine root system of SL_2 with simple roots $\alpha_1 = \alpha$, $\alpha_0 = -\alpha + \delta$. Then $t_{\alpha^\vee} = s_0 s_1$. We have $\eta_{s_1} = 1 - x_\alpha X_1$, $\eta_{s_0} = 1 - x_{-\alpha} X_0$, so $\eta_{s_0 s_1} = 1 - x_{-\alpha} X_0 - x_{-\alpha} X_1 + x_{-\alpha}^2 X_0 X_1$. Therefore,

$$Z_\alpha = \frac{1}{x_{-\alpha}}(1 - \eta_{s_0 s_1}) = X_0 + X_1 - x_{-\alpha} X_0 X_1 \in \mathbf{D}_{W_a}.$$

□

Example 4.2. Suppose the root system is \hat{A}_1 with two simple roots $\alpha_1 = \alpha$, $\alpha_0 = -\alpha + \delta$.

- (1) If $F = F_{\mathfrak{c}}$ with $\mathfrak{c} = 0$, then we have $Z_\alpha = X_0 + X_1 + \alpha X_0 X_1$.
- (2) If $F = F_{\mathfrak{c}}$ with $\mathfrak{c} = 1$, then we have $Z_\alpha = X_0 + X_1 + (e^\alpha - 1)X_0 X_1$.

Since \mathbf{D}_{W_a} acts on $\mathbf{D}_{W_a}^*$, so we know that Z_α acts on $\mathbf{D}_{W_a}^*$. Note that

$$Z_\alpha^k = \frac{1}{x_\alpha^k}(1 - \eta_{t_{\alpha^\vee}})^k.$$

4.2. We are now ready to prove the first main result of this paper.

Theorem 4.3. (1) *The subset $\mathbf{D}_{W_a}^* \subset \mathcal{Q}_{W_a}^*$ consists of elements satisfying the following small-torus GKM condition:*

$$f \left((1 - \eta_{t_{\alpha^\vee}})^d \eta_w \right) \in x_\alpha^d S, \text{ and } f \left((1 - \eta_{t_{\alpha^\vee}})^{d-1} (1 - \eta_{s_\alpha}) \eta_w \right) \in x_\alpha^d S, \forall \alpha \in \Phi, w \in W_a, d \geq 1.$$

- (2) *The subset $(\mathbf{D}_{W_a}^*)^W \subset (\mathcal{Q}_{W_a}^*)^W$ consists of elements satisfying the following small-torus Grassmannian condition:*

$$f \left((1 - \eta_{t_{\alpha^\vee}})^d \eta_w \right) \in x_\alpha^d S, \forall \alpha \in \Phi, w \in W_a, d \geq 1.$$

Our proof follows similarly as that of [LSS10, Theorem 4.3]. The key improvement is that we don't need to prove Propositions 4.4 and 4.5 of loc.it., since we can use the operators Z_α . However, for the convenience of the readers, we include an appendix, which gives all coefficients of b_{w,I_v} in the \hat{A}_1 case. They can be used to show that $X_{I_w}^*$ satisfy the small torus GKM condition.

Proof. (1). We prove that elements of $\mathbf{D}_{W_a}^*$ satisfy the small-torus GKM condition. Let $f = \prod_w c_w f_w \in \mathbf{D}_{W_a}^*$, we have

$$Z_\alpha \bullet \prod_w c_w f_w = \prod_w \left(\frac{c_w}{w(x_{-\alpha})} f_w - \frac{c_w}{wt_{-\alpha^\vee}(x_{-\alpha})} f_{wt_{-\alpha^\vee}} \right) = \prod_w \frac{c_w - c_{t_{w(\alpha^\vee)}w}}{x_{-w(\alpha)}} f_w \in \mathbf{D}_{W_a}^*.$$

Note that $\frac{x_\alpha}{x_{-\alpha}}$ is invertible in S . Therefore, denoting $w(\alpha) = \beta$, by (3), we have $f((1 - \eta_{t_{\beta^\vee}})\eta_w) \in x_\beta S$ for any $\beta \in \Phi$.

Moreover, denote $d_w = \frac{c_w - c_{wt_{\alpha^\vee}}}{x_{-w(\alpha)}}$, then $d_{wt_{\alpha^\vee}} = \frac{c_{wt_{\alpha^\vee}} - c_{wt_{\alpha^\vee}t_{\alpha^\vee}}}{x_{-wt_{\alpha^\vee}(\alpha)}} = \frac{c_{wt_{\alpha^\vee}} - c_{wt_{2\alpha^\vee}}}{x_{-w(\alpha)}}$. Therefore, We have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_\alpha^2 \bullet f &= Z_\alpha \bullet Z_\alpha \bullet \prod_w c_w f_w = \prod_w \left(\frac{d_w - d_{wt_{\alpha^\vee}}}{w(x_{-\alpha})} \right) f_w = \prod_w \frac{c_w - 2c_{wt_{\alpha^\vee}} + c_{wt_{2\alpha^\vee}}}{w(x_{-\alpha})^2} f_w \\ &= \prod_w \frac{c_w - 2c_{t_{w(\alpha^\vee)}w} + c_{t_{2w(\alpha^\vee)}w}}{x_{-w(\alpha)}^2} f_w = \prod_w \frac{1}{x_{-w(\alpha)}^2} f((1 - \eta_{t_{w(\alpha^\vee)}})^2 \eta_w) f_w. \end{aligned}$$

Denoting $w(\alpha) = \beta$, we see that $f((1 - \eta_{t_{\beta^\vee}})^2 \eta_w) \in x_\beta^2 S$. Inductively, we see that $f((1 - \eta_{t_{\alpha^\vee}})^d \eta_w) \in x_\alpha^d S$ for all $d \geq 1$.

Similarly, if one applies $Z_\alpha^{d-1} X_\alpha \in \mathbf{D}_{W_a}$ on f , which gives $Z_\alpha^{d-1} X_\alpha \bullet f \in \mathbf{D}_{W_a}^*$, one will see that f satisfies the second condition.

For the rest of the proof and for that of (2), it is identical to that of [LSS10, Theorem 4.3], so it is skipped. \square

Corollary 4.4. *The subset $\mathbf{D}_{W_a/W}^* \subset \mathcal{Q}_{Q^\vee}^*$ consists of elements satisfying the following small torus Grassmannian condition:*

$$f((1 - \eta_{t_\lambda^\vee})^d \eta_{t_\lambda}) \in x_\alpha^d S, \quad \forall \alpha \in \Phi, d \geq 1, \lambda \in Q^\vee.$$

Proof. This follows from the identity $\text{pr}^*(f_{t_\lambda}) = \sum_{v \in W} f_{t_\lambda v}$. \square

5. THE PETERSON SUBALGEBRA

In this section, we embed $\mathbf{D}_{W_a/W}$ into \mathbf{D}_{W_a} and show that it coincides with the centralizer of S in \mathbf{D}_{W_a} . This is called the Peterson subalgebra, which gives the algebraic model for the equivariant oriented ‘homology’ of the affine Grassmannian.

5.1. We have a canonical ring embedding (and also a \mathcal{Q} -module embedding)

$$k : \mathcal{Q}_{Q^\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{W_a}, \quad p\eta_{t_\lambda} \mapsto p\eta_{t_\lambda},$$

such that $\text{pr} \circ k = \text{id}_{\mathcal{Q}_{W_a}}$. It is easy to see that the dual map $k^* : \mathcal{Q}_{W_a}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{Q^\vee}^*$ satisfies

$$(4) \quad k^*(f_{t_\lambda u}) = \delta_{u,e} f_{t_\lambda}, \quad u \in W.$$

For K-theory, our map k is the map $k : K_T(\text{Gr}_G) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ in [LSS10, §5.2], and k^* is the wrong-way map ϖ of [LSS10, §4.4].

The following lemma generalizes [P97], [L06, Theorem 4.4] for the cohomology case, and [LSS10, Lemma 4.6] for the K-theory case.

Lemma 5.1. *The map k^* induces a map $k^* : \mathbf{D}_{W_a}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{W_a/W}^*$. Consequently, the map k induces a map $k : \mathbf{D}_{W_a/W} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{W_a}$.*

Proof. Given $f \in \mathbf{D}_{W_a}^*$, then f satisfies the small-torus GKM condition Theorem 4.3, that is,

$$f((1 - \eta_{t_{\alpha^\vee}})^d \eta_{t_\lambda u}) \in x_\alpha^d S, \quad \forall u \in W, \lambda \in Q^\vee, \alpha \in \Phi, d \geq 1.$$

Therefore,

$$k^*(f)((1 - \eta_{t_{\alpha^\vee}})^d \eta_{t_\lambda}) = f\left(k((1 - \eta_{t_{\alpha^\vee}})^d \eta_{t_\lambda})\right) = f((1 - \eta_{t_{\alpha^\vee}})^d \eta_{t_\lambda}) \in x_\alpha^d S.$$

Therefore, by Corollary 4.4, $k^*(f) \in \mathbf{D}_{W_a/W}^*$. \square

Remark 5.2. It would be interesting to find a direct proof of the fact that k maps $\mathbf{D}_{W_a/W}$ to \mathbf{D}_{W_a} . One possible choice is to find the small torus residue condition of \mathbf{D}_{W_a} similar to the residue condition of [GKV97] (see [ZZ17]).

Example 5.3. Note that this result is not true for the big torus case, that is, $k(\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a/W})$ is not contained in $\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}$. For example, in the \hat{A}_1 case, we have

$$\text{pr}(X_0) = \text{pr}\left(\frac{1}{x_{-\alpha+\delta}}(1 - \eta_{t_{\alpha^\vee s_1}})\right) = \frac{1}{x_{-\alpha+\delta}}(1 - \eta_{t_{\alpha^\vee}}) \in \hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a/W},$$

and

$$k(\text{pr}(X_0)) = \frac{1}{x_{-\alpha+\delta}}(1 - \eta_{t_\alpha}) = \frac{1}{x_{-\alpha+\delta}}(1 - \eta_{s_0 s_1}) \notin \hat{\mathbf{D}}_{W_a}.$$

Lemma 5.4. *If $I_w, w \in W$ is W -compatible, then $k^*(X_{I_u}^*) = \mathfrak{X}_{I_u}^*$ for any $u \in W_a^-$.*

Proof. By (4) and Lemma 3.5, we have

$$k^*(X_{I_u}^*) = k^*\left(\prod_{\lambda \in Q^\vee, w \in W} b_{t_\lambda w, I_u} f_{t_\lambda w}\right) = \prod_{\lambda \in Q^\vee} b_{t_\lambda, I_u} f_{t_\lambda} = \mathfrak{X}_{I_u}^*.$$

\square

5.2. Let $C_{\mathbf{D}_{W_a}}(S)$ be the centralizer of S in \mathbf{D}_{W_a} . Our second main result is the following, which generalizes [LSS10, Lemma 5.2] in the K-theory case and [P97, §9.3] in the cohomology case (proved in [LS10, Theorem 6.2]).

Theorem 5.5. *We have $C_{\mathbf{D}_{W_a}}(S) = k(\mathcal{Q}_{Q^\vee}) \cap \mathbf{D}_{W_a} = k(\mathbf{D}_{W_a/W})$.*

Proof. We look at the first identity. Since $t_\lambda(p) = p$ for any $p \in S$, so it is clear that $\mathcal{Q}_{Q^\vee} \cap \mathbf{D}_{W_a} \subset C_{\mathbf{D}_{W_a}}(S)$. Conversely, let $z = \sum_{w \in W_a} c_w \eta_w \in C_{\mathbf{D}_{W_a}}(S)$, then for any $\mu \in T^*$, we have

$$0 = x_\mu z - z x_\mu = \sum_{w \in W_a} c_w (x_\mu - x_{w(\mu)}) \eta_w.$$

Therefore, for any $c_w \neq 0$, we have $\mu = w(\mu)$ for all $\mu \in T^*$. we can take μ to be W -regular, which shows that $c_w \neq 0$ only when $w = t_\lambda$ for some $\lambda \in Q^\vee$. So $z \in k(\mathcal{Q}_{Q^\vee})$. The first identity is proved.

We now look at the second identity. It follows from Lemma 5.1 that $k(\mathbf{D}_{W_a/W}) \subset k(\mathcal{Q}_{Q^\vee}) \cap \mathbf{D}_{W_a}$. For the other inclusion, note that $\eta_{t_\lambda} \in \mathbf{D}_{W_a}$ is a \mathcal{Q} -basis of $k(\mathcal{Q}_{Q^\vee})$. Given any $z = \sum_{\lambda \in Q^\vee} p_\lambda \eta_{t_\lambda} \in k(\mathcal{Q}_{Q^\vee}) \cap \mathbf{D}_{W_a}$, $p_\lambda \in \mathcal{Q}$, then $\text{pr}(z) \in \text{pr}(\mathbf{D}_{W_a}) = \mathbf{D}_{W_a/W}$, and

$$k \circ \text{pr}(z) = k \circ \text{pr}\left(\sum_{\lambda} p_\lambda \eta_{t_\lambda}\right) = k\left(\sum_{\lambda} p_\lambda \eta_{t_\lambda}\right) = \sum_{\lambda} p_\lambda \eta_{t_\lambda} = z.$$

Therefore, $k(\mathcal{Q}_{Q^\vee}) \cap \mathbf{D}_{W_a} \subset k(\mathbf{D}_{W_a/W})$. The second identity is proved. \square

Definition 5.6. We define the Peterson subalgebra to be $\mathbf{D}_{Q^\vee} = k(\mathbf{D}_{W_a/W})$.

Let $I_w, w \in W_a$ be W -compatible. Since $\mathbf{D}_{W_a/W}$ is a free S -module with basis $\mathfrak{X}_{I_w}, w \in W_a^-$, so $k(\mathfrak{X}_{I_w})$ form a basis of \mathbf{D}_{Q^\vee} . This is the algebraic model for the oriented homology of the affine Grassmannian Gr_G . The following result generalizes [LSS10, Theorem 5.3] in K-theory.

Theorem 5.7. *The ring \mathbf{D}_{Q^\vee} is a Hopf algebra, and the embedding $\mathbf{D}_{Q^\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{Q^\vee}$ is an Hopf-algebra homomorphism.*

Proof. The coproduct structure on \mathcal{Q}_{W_a} is defined as $\Delta : \mathcal{Q}_{W_a} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{W_a} \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{Q}_{W_a}, \eta_w \mapsto \eta_w \otimes \eta_w$. It is easy to see that this induces a coproduct structure on \mathcal{Q}_{Q^\vee} , and by [CZZ16], it induces a coproduct structure on \mathbf{D}_{W_a} . Therefore, it induces a coproduct structure on \mathbf{D}_{Q^\vee} . The product structure is induced by that of \mathcal{Q}_{Q^\vee} , The antipode is $\mathfrak{s} : \mathcal{Q}_{Q^\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{Q^\vee}, \eta_{t_\lambda} \mapsto \eta_{t_{-\lambda}}$. It is then routine to check that \mathbf{D}_{Q^\vee} is a Hopf algebra and the embedding to \mathcal{Q}_{Q^\vee} is an embedding of Hopf algebras. \square

Remark 5.8. For K-theory, we know the Hecke algebra is contained \mathbf{D}_{W_a} . It is proved by Berenstein-Kazhdan [BK19] that certain localization of the Hecke algebra is a Hopf algebra. It is not difficult to see that it is compatible with the Hopf algebra structure of \mathbf{D}_{Q^\vee} .

5.3. The following theorem generalizes [LSS10, Theorem 5.4] in the K-theory case and [LS10, Theorem 6.2] in the cohomology case.

Theorem 5.9. *Assume $I_w, w \in W_a$ is W -compatible. If $u \in W_a^-$, then we have*

$$k(\mathfrak{X}_{I_u}) = X_{I_u} + \sum_{v \in W_a \setminus W_a^-} c_{I_u, I_v} X_{I_v}, \quad c_{I_u, I_v} \in S.$$

Proof. If $w \in W_a^-$, by Lemma 5.4, we have

$$X_{I_w}^*(k(\mathfrak{X}_{I_u})) = k^*(X_{I_w}^*)((\mathfrak{X}_{I_u})) = \mathfrak{X}_{I_w}^*(\mathfrak{X}_{I_u}) = \delta_{w, u},$$

Therefore,

$$k(\mathfrak{X}_{I_u}) = \sum_{v \in W_a} X_{I_v}^*(k(\mathfrak{X}_{I_u})) X_{I_v} = X_{I_u} + \sum_{v \in W_a \setminus W_a^-} c_{I_u, I_v} X_{I_v}.$$

\square

Example 5.10. Consider the \hat{A}_1 case, then there are two simple roots $\alpha_1 = \alpha, \alpha_0 = -\alpha + \delta$. By direct computation, we have

- (1) $k(\mathfrak{X}_0) = X_0 + X_1 - x_{-\alpha} X_{01}$.
- (2) $k(\mathfrak{X}_{10}) = X_{10} - \frac{x_{-\alpha}}{x_\alpha} X_{01}$.
- (3) $k(\mathfrak{X}_{010}) = X_{010} + X_{101} - x_{-\alpha} X_{1010}$.

Corollary 5.11. *Assume $I_w, w \in W_a$ is W -compatible. Let $u, v \in W_a^-$. Write*

$$X_{I_u} X_{I_v} = \sum_{w \in W_a} d_{I_u, I_v}^w X_{I_w}, \quad \mathfrak{X}_{I_u} \mathfrak{X}_{I_v} = \sum_{w \in W_a^-} \mathfrak{d}_{I_u, I_v}^w \mathfrak{X}_{I_w},$$

then

$$\mathfrak{d}_{I_u, I_v}^{I_{w_3}} = \sum_{w_2 \in W_a} c_{I_u, I_{w_2}} d_{I_{w_2}, I_v}^{I_{w_3}}.$$

Proof. We have

$$k\left(\sum_{w \in W_a^-} \mathfrak{d}_{I_u, I_v}^w \mathfrak{X}_{I_w}\right) = k(\mathfrak{X}_{I_u} \mathfrak{X}_{I_v}) = k(\mathfrak{X}_{I_u}) k(\mathfrak{X}_{I_v}) = k(\mathfrak{X}_{I_u}) \sum_{w_1 \in W_a} c_{I_v, I_{w_1}} X_{I_{w_1}}$$

$$= \sum_{w_1 \in W_a} c_{I_v, I_{w_1}} k(\mathfrak{X}_{I_u}) X_{I_{w_1}} = \sum_{w_1, w_2 \in W_a} c_{I_v, I_{w_1}} c_{I_u, I_{w_2}} X_{I_{w_2}} X_{I_{w_1}}.$$

Let $w_3 \in W_a^-$. By [CZZ19, Theorem 8.2], we know that $X_{I_{w_3}}^*(X_{I_{w_2}} X_{I_{w_1}}) = 0$ unless $w_1 \in W_a^-$, in which case $c_{I_v, I_{w_1}} = \delta_{v, w_1}^{\text{Kr}}$ by Theorem 5.9. Therefore, applying $X_{I_{w_3}}^*$, $w_3 \in W_a^-$, and using Lemma 5.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{d}_{I_u, I_v}^{I_{w_3}} &= \mathfrak{X}_{I_{w_3}}^* \left(\sum_{w \in W_a^-} \mathfrak{d}_{I_u, I_v}^{I_w} \mathfrak{X}_{I_w} \right) = k^*(X_{I_{w_3}}^*) \left(\sum_{w \in W_a^-} \mathfrak{d}_{I_u, I_v}^{I_w} \mathfrak{X}_{I_w} \right) \\ &= X_{I_{w_3}}^* \left(k \left(\sum_{w \in W_a^-} \mathfrak{d}_{I_u, I_v}^{I_w} \mathfrak{X}_{I_w} \right) \right) = X_{I_{w_3}}^* \left(\sum_{w_1, w_2 \in W_a} c_{I_v, I_{w_1}} c_{I_u, I_{w_2}} X_{I_{w_2}} X_{I_{w_1}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{w_2 \in W_a} c_{I_u, I_{w_2}} X_{I_{w_3}}^* (X_{I_{w_2}} X_{I_v}) = \sum_{w_2 \in W_a} c_{I_u, I_{w_2}} d_{I_{w_2}, I_v}^{I_{w_3}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

6. APPENDIX: RESTRICTION FORMULA IN THE \hat{A}_1 CASE

In this Appendix, we perform some computation in the \hat{A}_1 case.

6.1. In this case, there are two simple roots, $\alpha_1 = \alpha, \alpha_0 = -\alpha + \delta$, and any $w \in W_a$ has a unique reduced decomposition, so X_{I_w}, Y_{I_w} can be denoted by X_w, Y_w , respectively. Moreover, $X_i^2 = \kappa_\alpha X_i$. We use the notation as in [LSS10, §4.3]. Let

$$\sigma_0 = e, \sigma_{2i} = (s_1 s_0)^i = t_{-i\alpha^\vee}, \sigma_{-2i} = (s_0 s_1)^i = t_{i\alpha^\vee}, \sigma_{2i+1} = s_0 \sigma_{2i}, \sigma_{-(2i+1)} = s_1 \sigma_{-2i}, i \geq 1,$$

and $W_a^- = \{\sigma_i | i \geq 0\}$. Denote $\mu = -\frac{x-1}{x_1}$. So if $F = F_c$ with $\mathfrak{c} = 0$, then $\mu = 1$, and if $F = F_c$ with $\mathfrak{c} = 1$, then $\mu = e^\alpha$ if one identifies x_α with $1 - e^{-\alpha}$.

Let $S_{\leq a}$ be the sum $h_0 + h_1 + \cdots + h_a$ of homogeneous symmetric functions. Denote $S_{\leq a}^i$ to be $S_{\leq a}(x, x, \dots, x)$ where there are i copies of x . For instance, $S_{\leq 3}^3(x) = 1 + 3x + 6x^2 + 10x^3$. We have the following identities:

$$S_{\leq a}^i(x) = x S_{\leq a-1}^i(x) + S_{\leq a}^{i-1}(x), \quad S_{\leq a}^i(x) = \sum_{j=0}^a x^j \binom{j+i-1}{i-1}.$$

Then the following identities can be verified by direct computation for lower k and then continued with induction:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{\sigma_{2k}} &= 1 + x_1^{2k} X_{\sigma_{2k}} + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k-1} x_1^{2j} (S_{\leq k-j}^{2j}(\mu^{-1}) X_{\sigma_{2j}} + S_{\leq k-j-1}^{2j}(\mu^{-1}) X_{\sigma_{-2j}}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} x_1^{2i-1} S_{\leq k-i}^{2i-1}(\mu^{-1}) (X_{\sigma_{2i-1}} + X_{\sigma_{-2i+1}}), \\ \eta_{\sigma_{-2k}} &= 1 + x_{-1}^{2k} X_{\sigma_{-2k}} + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k-1} x_{-1}^{2j} (S_{\leq k-j-1}^{2j}(\mu) X_{\sigma_{2j}} + S_{\leq k-j}^{2j}(\mu) X_{\sigma_{-2j}}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} x_{-1}^{2i-1} S_{\leq k-i}^{2i-1}(\mu) (X_{\sigma_{2i-1}} + X_{\sigma_{-2i+1}}), \\ \eta_{\sigma_{-2k-1}} &= 1 - x_1^{2k+1} X_{\sigma_{-2k-1}} + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} x_1^{2j} S_{\leq k-j}^{2j}(\mu^{-1}) (X_{\sigma_{2j}} + X_{\sigma_{-2j}}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} x_1^{2i-1} \left(S_{\leq k-i}^{2i-1}(\mu^{-1}) X_{\sigma_{2i-1}} + S_{\leq k-i+1}^{2i-1}(\mu^{-1}) X_{\sigma_{-2i+1}} \right), \\
\eta_{\sigma_{2k+1}} & = 1 - x_{-1}^{2k+1} X_{\sigma_{2k+1}} + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} x_{-1}^{2j} S_{\leq k-j}^{2j}(\mu) (X_{\sigma_{2j}} + X_{\sigma_{-2j}}) \\
& - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} x_{-1}^{2i-1} \left(S_{\leq k-i+1}^{2i-1}(\mu) X_{\sigma_{2i-1}} + S_{\leq k-i}^{2i-1}(\mu) X_{\sigma_{-2i+1}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

For $F = F_{\mathfrak{c}}$ with $\mathfrak{c} = 1$, that is, in the K-theory case, these identities specializes to the corresponding ones in [LSS10, (4.5), (4.6)] after identifying our $-X_{-\alpha_i}$ with T_i in [LSS10] (see Remark 3.1). By using these identities, following the same idea as in [LSS10, §4.3], one can prove that $X_{I_w}^*$ satisfy the small torus GKM conditions in Theorem 4.3.

Acknowledge. The author would like to thank Cristian Lenart, Changzheng Li and Gufang Zhao for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [BK19] A. Berenstein, D. Kazhdan, *Hecke-Hopf algebras*, Advances in Mathematics, 353 (2019) 312-395. [5.8](#)
- [CPZ13] B. Calmès, V. Petrov, K. Zainoulline, *Invariants, torsion indices and oriented cohomology of complete flags*, Annales scientifiques de l' École normale supérieure (4) 46(3), 405–448 (2013). [1.1](#)
- [CZZ16] B. Calmès, K. Zainoulline, and C. Zhong, *A coproduct structure on the formal affine Demazure algebra*, Mathematische Zeitschrift, 282 (2016) (3), 1191-1218. [0](#), [5.2](#)
- [CZZ19] B. Calmès, K. Zainoulline, and C. Zhong, *Push-pull operators on the formal affine Demazure algebra and its dual*, Manuscripta Mathematica, 160 (2019), no. 1-2, 9-50. [0](#), [1.4](#), [1.4](#), [1.4](#), [1.5](#), [3.1](#), [5.3](#)
- [CZZ15] B. Calmès, K. Zainoulline, and C. Zhong, *Equivariant oriented cohomology of flag varieties*, Documenta Mathematica, Extra Volume: Alexander S. Merkurjev's Sixtieth Birthday (2015), 113-144. [0](#), [1.7](#)
- [CZZ20] B. Calmès, K. Zainoulline, and C. Zhong, *Formal affine Demazure and Hecke algebras associated to Kac-Moody root systems*, Algebra Representation Theory, 23 (2020), no.3, 1031-1050. [0](#), [1](#), [1.2](#), [1.6](#)
- [B97] M. Brion, *Equivariant Chow groups for torus actions*, Transformation Groups, 2(3): 225-267, 1997. [0](#)
- [GKV97] V. Ginzburg, M. Kapranov, and E. Vasserot, *Residue construction of Hecke algebras*, Advances in Mathematics 128 (1997), no. 1, 1-19. [1.3](#), [5.2](#)
- [K18] S. Kato, *Loop structure on equivariant K-theory of semi-infinite flag manifolds*, [arXiv:1805.01718](#). [0](#), [3.1](#)
- [KK86] B. Kostant and S. Kumar, *The nil Hecke ring and cohomology of G/P for a Kac-Moody group G^** , Advances in Mathematics. 62 (1986), no. 3, 187-237. [0](#)
- [KK90] B. Kostant and S. Kumar, *T-equivariant K-theory of generalized flag varieties*, Journal of Differential Geometry 32 (1990), 549–603.
- [K03] A. Knutson, *A Schubert calculus recurrence from the noncomplex W -action on G/B* , [arXiv:0306304](#). [0](#)
- [L06] T. Lam, *Schubert polynomials for the affine Grassmannian*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society, 21 (1), [3.1](#), [5.1](#)
- [LLMS18] T. Lam, C. Li, L. Mihalcea, M. Shimozono, *A conjectural Peterson isomorphism in K-theory*, Journal of Algebra, 513:326–343, 2018. [0](#), [3.1](#)
- [LSS10] T. Lam, A. Schilling, M. Shimozono, *K-theory Schubert calculus of the affine Grassmannian*, Compositio Mathematica, 146 (2010), no. 4, 811–852. [0](#), [3.1](#), [4.2](#), [5.1](#), [5.2](#), [5.2](#), [5.3](#), [6.1](#)
- [LS10] T. Lam, M. Shimozono, *Quantum cohomology of G/P and homology of affine Grassmannian*, Acta Mathematica, 204(1):49–90, 2010. [0](#), [5.2](#), [5.3](#)
- [LZZ20] C. Lenart, K. Zainoulline, C. Zhong, *Parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig basis, Schubert classes and equivariant oriented cohomology*, Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu, 19 (2020), no. 6, 1889-1929. [0](#), [1.1](#), [1.6](#)
- [LM07] M. Levine and F. Morel, *Algebraic cobordism*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007. [1.1](#)
- [MNS22] L.C. Mihalcea, H. Naruse, C. Su, *Left Demazure-Lusztig operators on equivariant (quantum) cohomology and K-theory*, International Mathematics Research Notices, 2022, no. 16, 12096–12147. [0](#)
- [P97] D. Peterson, *Quantum cohomology of G/P* , Lecture at MIT, 1997. [0](#), [3.1](#), [5.1](#), [5.2](#)
- (4) 53 (2020), no. 3, 663–711.

- [T09] J. Tymoczko, *Divided difference operators for partial flag varieties*, [arXiv:0912.2545](#) 0
- [ZZ17] G. Zhao and C. Zhong, *Geometric representations of the formal affine Hecke algebra*, *Advances in Mathematics*, 317 (2017), 50-90. [1.3](#), [5.2](#)

STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT ALBANY, 1400 WASHINGTON AVE, CK399, ALBANY, NY, 12222

Email address: czhong@albany.edu