

The super restricted edge-connectedness of direct product graphs*

Jiaqiong Yin, Yingzhi Tian[†]

College of Mathematics and System Sciences, Xinjiang University, Urumqi, Xinjiang, 830046, PR China

Abstract Let G be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. An edge subset $F \subseteq E(G)$ is called a restricted edge-cut if $G - F$ is disconnected and has no isolated vertices. The restricted edge-connectivity $\lambda'(G)$ of G is the cardinality of a minimum restricted edge-cut of G if it has any; otherwise $\lambda'(G) = +\infty$. If G is not a star and its order is at least four, then $\lambda'(G) \leq \xi(G)$, where $\xi(G) = \min\{d_G(u) + d_G(v) - 2 : uv \in E(G)\}$. The graph G is said to be maximally restricted edge-connected if $\lambda'(G) = \xi(G)$; the graph G is said to be super restricted edge-connected if every minimum restricted edge-cut isolates an edge from G . The direct product of graphs G_1 and G_2 , denoted by $G_1 \times G_2$, is the graph with vertex set $V(G_1 \times G_2) = V(G_1) \times V(G_2)$, where two vertices (u_1, v_1) and (u_2, v_2) are adjacent in $G_1 \times G_2$ if and only if $u_1u_2 \in E(G_1)$ and $v_1v_2 \in E(G_2)$. In this paper, we give a sufficient condition for $G \times K_n$ to be super restricted edge-connected, where K_n is the complete graph on n vertices.

Keywords: Edge-connectivity; Restricted edge-connectivity; Super restricted edge-connectedness; Direct product

1 Introduction

It is well known that the underlying topology of an interconnection network can be modeled by a graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$, where $V(G)$ represents the nodes of the network and $E(G)$ represents the links between nodes. Under the assumption that the nodes are reliable and the links may be destroyed. The edge-connectivity of the graph G is used to measure the reliability of the corresponding network.

For a vertex $u \in V(G)$, the *neighborhood* $N_G(u)$ of u is the set of vertices adjacent to u in G , and the degree $d_G(u)$ of u is $|N_G(u)|$. For an edge $e = uv \in E(G)$, the *edge-degree* $\xi_G(e)$ of e is $d_G(u) + d_G(v) - 2$. The *minimum degree* $\delta(G)$ and the *minimum edge-degree* $\xi(G)$ of G are defined by $\min\{d_G(u) : u \in V(G)\}$ and $\min\{d_G(u) + d_G(v) - 2 : uv \in E(G)\}$, respectively. A graph is nontrivial if it has at least two vertices.

An edge subset $F \subseteq E(G)$ is called an *edge-cut* if $G - F$ is not connected. The *edge-connectivity* of G , denoted by $\lambda(G)$, is the cardinality of a minimum edge-cut of G . It is well-known that $\lambda(G) \leq \delta(G)$. So G is said to be *maximally edge-connected*, or simply *λ -optimal*, if $\lambda(G) = \delta(G)$. In addition, G is said to be *super edge-connected*, or simply *super- λ* , if every *minimum edge-cut* isolates a vertex from G .

*The research is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (12261086, 11861066).

[†]Corresponding author. E-mail: tianyzhxj@163.com.

One deficiency of the edge-connectivity is that it only considers when the remaining graph is not connected, but not the properties of the components. For compensating this deficiency, Esfahanian and Hakimi [3] introduced the notion of restricted edge-connectivity, which is a kind of conditional edge-connectivity introduced by Harary [4]. An edge-cut F is called a *restricted edge-cut* if each component of $G - F$ has at least two vertices. The *restricted edge-connectivity* of G , denoted by $\lambda'(G)$, is the cardinality of a minimum restricted edge-cut of G if it has any; otherwise $\lambda'(G) = +\infty$. If G is not a star and its order is at least four, then $\lambda'(G) \leq \xi(G)$. So the graph G is called *maximally restricted edge-connected*, or simply λ' -*optimal*, if $\lambda'(G) = \xi(G)$; and the graph G is called *super restricted edge-connected* or simply *super λ'* , if every minimum *restricted edge-cut* isolates an edge from G . Clearly, super edge-connected and super restricted edge-connected graphs obtain the maximum edge-connectivity and restricted edge-connectivity among the graphs with given minimum degree and minimum edge-degree, and only have trivial minimum edge-cuts and minimum restricted edge-cuts, respectively.

Let G_1 and G_2 be two graphs. The *direct product* (also named kronecker product, tensor product and cross product et al.) $G_1 \times G_2$ has vertex set $V(G_1 \times G_2) = V(G_1) \times V(G_2)$ and edge set $E(G_1 \times G_2) = \{(u_1, v_1)(u_2, v_2) : u_1u_2 \in E(G_1) \text{ and } v_1v_2 \in E(G_2)\}$. Weichsel [7] proved that the direct product of two nontrivial graphs is connected if and only if both graphs are connected and at least one of them is not bipartite.

Brešar and Špacapan [1] obtained some bounds on the edge-connectivity of the direct product of graphs. Cao, Brglez, Špacapan and Vumar [2] determined the edge-connectivity of direct product of a nontrivial graph and a complete graph. In [6], Špacapan not only obtained the edge-connectivity of direct product of two graphs, but also characterized the structure of a minimum edge-cut in the direct product of two graphs.

The complete graph on n vertices is denoted by K_n . The total graph, denoted by T_n , is the graph obtained from K_n by adding a loop to each vertex of K_n . In [5], Ma, Wang and Zhang studied the restricted edge-connectivity of directed product of a nontrivial graph with a complete graph or a total graph.

Theorem 1.1. ([5]) *For any nontrivial connected graph G and any integer $n \geq 3$,*

$$\lambda'(G \times K_n) = \min\{(n-1)\xi(G) + 2(n-2), n(n-1)\lambda'(G)\}.$$

Theorem 1.2. ([5]) *For any nontrivial connected graph G and any integer $n \geq 3$,*

$$\lambda'(G \times T_n) = \min\{n\xi(G) + 2(n-1), n^2\lambda'(G)\}.$$

In this paper, we study the super restricted edge-connectedness of the direct product of a nontrivial connected graph with a complete graph or a total graph. In the next section, some notation and preliminary results will be given. The main results will be presented in Section 3.

2 Preliminary

For a vertex subset $S \subseteq V(G)$, the neighborhood of S in G is $N_G(S) = (\cup_{u \in S} N_G(u)) \setminus S$; the induced subgraph $G[S]$ of S in G has vertex set S and edge set $\{e = uv \in E(G) : u, v \in S\}$. For

two vertex subsets $A, B \subseteq V(G)$, denote by $[A, B]_G$ the set of edges in G with one end-vertex in A and the other in B .

Let G_1 and G_2 be two graphs. Define two natural projections p_1 and p_2 on $V(G_1) \times V(G_2)$ as follows: $p_1(x, y) = x$ and $p_2(x, y) = y$ for any $(x, y) \in V(G_1) \times V(G_2)$. Denote $\mathcal{G} = G_1 \times G_2$. For any vertex $u \in V(G_1)$, let $G_2^u = \{(u, v) \in V(G_1 \times G_2) : v \in V(G_2)\}$ and call it the G_2 -layer of \mathcal{G} with respect to u . It is easy to see that $[G_2^{u_1}, G_2^{u_2}]_{\mathcal{G}} \neq \emptyset$ in \mathcal{G} if and only if $u_1 u_2 \in E(G_1)$. Define $G_2^S = \cup_{u \in S} G_2^u$ for any vertex subset $S \subseteq V(G_1)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let A be a vertex subset of the graph $\mathcal{G} = K_2 \times K_n$ ($n \geq 5$). Assume $2 \leq |A| \leq 2n - 2$. Then $|[A, V(\mathcal{G}) \setminus A]_{\mathcal{G}}| \geq 2(n - 2)$, and the equality holds if and only if (i) $|A| = 2$ and $\mathcal{G}[A]$ is isomorphic to K_2 , or (ii) $|A| = 2n - 2$ and $\mathcal{G}[V(\mathcal{G}) \setminus A]$ is isomorphic to K_2 .*

Proof. Let U_1 and U_2 be the bipartition of \mathcal{G} . Assume $A_i = A \cap U_i$ and $a_i = |A_i|$ for $i = 1, 2$. Without loss of generality, assume $|A| \leq |V(\mathcal{G}) \setminus A|$, that is $|A| \leq n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |[A, V(\mathcal{G}) \setminus A]_{\mathcal{G}}| &\geq a_1(n - 1 - a_2) + a_2(n - 1 - a_1) \\ &= (n - 1)(a_1 + a_2) - 2a_1 a_2 \\ &\geq (n - 1)|A| - 2\frac{|A|^2}{4} \\ &\geq 2(n - 2). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the equality holds if and only if $|A| = 2$ and $\mathcal{G}[A]$ is isomorphic to K_2 . \square

Corollary 2.2. *Assume $n \geq 5$. Then (i) $K_2 \times K_n$ is super- λ ; (ii) $K_2 \times K_n$ is super- λ' .*

Proof. Since $\lambda(K_2 \times K_n) \leq \delta(K_2 \times K_n) = n - 1$ and $2(n - 2) > n - 1$, we obtain that $K_2 \times K_n$ is super- λ by Lemma 2.1. For any vertex subset $A \subseteq V(K_2 \times K_n)$ with $3 \leq |A| \leq 2n - 3$, Lemma 2.1 shows that $|[A, V(K_2 \times K_n) \setminus A]_{K_2 \times K_n}| > 2(n - 2)$. Thus, by $\lambda'(K_2 \times K_n) \leq \xi(K_2 \times K_n) = 2(n - 2)$, we prove that $K_2 \times K_n$ is super- λ' . \square

By a similar argument as Lemma 2.1, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Let A be a vertex subset of the graph $\mathcal{G} = K_2 \times T_n$ ($n \geq 3$). Assume $2 \leq |A| \leq 2n - 2$. Then $|[A, V(\mathcal{G}) \setminus A]_{\mathcal{G}}| \geq 2(n - 1)$, and the equality holds if and only if (i) $|A| = 2$ and $\mathcal{G}[A]$ is isomorphic to K_2 , or (ii) $|A| = 2n - 2$ and $\mathcal{G}[V(\mathcal{G}) \setminus A]$ is isomorphic to K_2 .*

Corollary 2.4. *Assume $n \geq 3$. Then (i) $K_2 \times T_n$ is super- λ ; (ii) $K_2 \times T_n$ is super- λ' .*

3 Main Results

Theorem 3.1. *For any nontrivial connected graph G and any integer $n \geq 5$. If $n(n - 1)\lambda'(G) > (n - 1)\xi(G) + 2(n - 2)$, then $G \times K_n$ is super- λ' .*

Proof. Denote $\mathcal{G} = G \times K_n$. Since $n(n - 1)\lambda'(G) > (n - 1)\xi(G) + 2(n - 2)$, we have $\lambda'(\mathcal{G}) = (n - 1)\xi(G) + 2(n - 2)$ by Theorem 1.1. Assume to the contrary that \mathcal{G} is not super- λ' . Let F be a minimum restricted edge-cut of \mathcal{G} . Then $|F| = (n - 1)\xi(G) + 2(n - 2)$ and $\mathcal{G} - F$ has exactly two components C_1 and C_2 , where $|V(C_1)| \geq 3$ and $|V(C_2)| \geq 3$.

Case 1. Each edge $e = (u_1, v_1)(u_2, v_2) \in E(C_1)$ satisfies $K_n^{u_i} \cap V(C_2) = \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Let $p_1(C_i) = U_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. By the assumption, we have $V(C_i) = K_n^{U_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then $[U_1, U_2]_G$ is a restricted edge-cut of G and $|[U_1, U_2]_G| \geq \lambda'(G)$. Therefore

$$|F| = |[V(C_1), V(C_2)]_G| = n(n-1)|[U_1, U_2]_G| \geq n(n-1)\lambda'(G) > (n-1)\xi(G) + 2(n-2),$$

which is a contradiction.

Case 2. There is an edge $e = (u_1, v_1)(u_2, v_2) \in E(C_1)$ such that $K_n^{u_i} \cap V(C_2) \neq \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Let $A_1 = K_n^{u_1} \cap V(C_1)$, $B_1 = K_n^{u_1} \cap V(C_2)$, $A_2 = K_n^{u_2} \cap V(C_1)$ and $B_2 = K_n^{u_2} \cap V(C_2)$. Then $|A_1| + |B_1| = |A_2| + |B_2| = n$ and $|A_i| \geq 1, |B_i| \geq 1$ for $i = 1, 2$. Since the induced subgraph $\mathcal{G}[K_n^{u_i} \cup K_n^u]$ is isomorphic to $K_2 \times K_n$ for any neighbor (u, v) of A_i in \mathcal{G} for $i = 1, 2$, we have $|[K_n^{u_i}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap F| \geq n-1$ by Lemma 2.1.

Assume $|A_1| + |A_2| = 2$. Since $|V(C_1)| \geq 3$, there is a vertex $(u', v') \in V(C_1)$ such that (u', v') is adjacent to the vertex in A_1 or A_2 . Without loss of generality, assume (u', v') is adjacent to the vertex in A_1 . By Lemma 2.1, we obtain $|[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{u_2}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap F| \geq 2(n-2)$ and $|[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{u'}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap F| \geq 2(n-2)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |F| &\geq \sum_{u \in N_G(u_1) \setminus \{u_2, u'\}} |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap F| + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_2) \setminus \{u_1\}} |[K_n^{u_2}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap F| \\ &\quad + |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{u_2}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap F| + |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{u'}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap F| \\ &\geq (n-1)(d(u_1) - 2) + (n-1)(d(u_2) - 1) + 2(n-2) + 2(n-2) \\ &= (n-1)(d(u_1) + d(u_2) - 2) + 2(n-2) + (n-3) \\ &\geq (n-1)\xi(G) + 2(n-2) + (n-3) \\ &> (n-1)\xi(G) + 2(n-2), \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts to the assumption. Similarly, the case $|B_1| + |B_2| = 2$ can also obtain a contradiction. So we assume $|A_1| + |A_2| \geq 3$ and $|B_1| + |B_2| \geq 3$. By Lemma 2.1, $|[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{u_2}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap F| > 2(n-2)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |F| &\geq \sum_{u \in N_G(u_1) \setminus \{u_2\}} |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap F| + \sum_{u \in N_G(u_2) \setminus \{u_1\}} |[K_n^{u_2}, K_n^u]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap F| + |[K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{u_2}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap F| \\ &> (n-1)(d(u_1) - 1) + (n-1)(d(u_2) - 1) + 2(n-2) \\ &= (n-1)(d(u_1) + d(u_2) - 2) + 2(n-2) \\ &\geq (n-1)\xi(G) + 2(n-2), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

Case 3. There is no edge $e' = (u', v')(u'', v'') \in E(C_1)$ such that $K_n^{u'} \cap V(C_2) \neq \emptyset$ and $K_n^{u''} \cap V(C_2) \neq \emptyset$. But there exists an edge $e = (u_1, v_1)(u_2, v_2) \in E(C_1)$ such that $K_n^{u_1} \cap V(C_2) \neq \emptyset$.

By this assumption, each vertex $w \in N_G(u_1)$ satisfies $K_n^w \subseteq V(C_1)$ or $K_n^w \subseteq V(C_2)$. Let $M_1 = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ be the neighbors of u_1 in G such that $K_n^{x_i} \subseteq V(C_1)$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$; and let $M_2 = \{y_1, \dots, y_h\}$ be the neighbors of u_1 in G such that $K_n^{y_j} \subseteq V(C_2)$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, h\}$,

Subcase 3.1. There is a vertex $x \in N_G(M_1)$ such that $K_n^x \subseteq V(C_1)$, and there is a vertex $y \in N_G(M_2)$ such that $K_n^y \subseteq V(C_2)$.

Without loss of generality, assume $x \in N_G(x_1)$ and $y \in N_G(y_1)$. Then $K_n^x \cup K_n^{x_1} \subseteq V(C_1)$ and $K_n^y \cup K_n^{y_1} \subseteq V(C_2)$. Since there are at least $\lambda'(G)$ edge-disjoint paths between $\{x, x_1\}$

and $\{y, y_1\}$ in G , we obtain that there are at least $n(n-1)\lambda'(G)$ edge-disjoint paths between $K_n^x \cup K_n^{x_1}$ and $K_n^y \cup K_n^{y_1}$ in \mathcal{G} . Thus $|F| \geq n(n-1)\lambda'(G) > (n-1)\xi(G) + 2(n-2)$, a contradiction.

Subcase 3.2. Every vertex $x \in N_G(M_1)$ satisfies $K_n^x \cap V(C_2) \neq \emptyset$, or every vertex $y \in N_G(M_2)$ satisfies $K_n^y \cap V(C_1) \neq \emptyset$.

Assume, without loss of generality, that every vertex $x \in N_G(M_1)$ satisfies $K_n^x \cap V(C_2) \neq \emptyset$.

If $|K_n^{u_1} \cap V(C_2)| \geq 2$, then $|(K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{x_1}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap F| > 2(n-2)$ by Lemma 2.1. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |F| &\geq \sum_{u \in N_G(u_1) \setminus \{x_1\}} |(K_n^u, K_n^{u_1}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap F| + \sum_{x \in N_G(x_1) \setminus \{u_1\}} |(K_n^x, K_n^{x_1}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap F| + |(K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{x_1}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap F| \\ &> (n-1)(d(u_1) - 1) + (n-1)(d(x_1) - 1) + 2(n-2) \\ &= (n-1)(d(u_1) + d(x_1) - 2) + 2(n-2) \\ &\geq (n-1)\xi(G) + 2(n-2), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

If $|K_n^{u_1} \cap V(C_2)| = 1$, then $|(K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{y_1}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap F| > 2(n-2)$ by Lemma 2.1. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |F| &\geq \sum_{u \in N_G(u_1) \setminus \{y_1\}} |(K_n^u, K_n^{u_1}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap F| + \sum_{x \in N_G(x_1) \setminus \{u_1\}} |(K_n^x, K_n^{x_1}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap F| + |(K_n^{u_1}, K_n^{y_1}]_{\mathcal{G}} \cap F| \\ &> (n-1)(d(u_1) - 1) + (n-1)(d(x_1) - 1) + 2(n-2) \\ &= (n-1)(d(u_1) + d(x_1) - 2) + 2(n-2) \\ &\geq (n-1)\xi(G) + 2(n-2), \end{aligned}$$

which is also a contradiction.

The proof is thus complete. \square

By Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following corollary immediately.

Corollary 3.2. *For any nontrivial graph G and any integer $n \geq 5$. If G is λ' -optimal, then $G \times K_n$ is super- λ' .*

Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can obtain the following result by using Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 2.3.

Theorem 3.3. *For any nontrivial graph G and any integer $n \geq 3$. If $n^2\lambda'(G) > n\xi(G) + 2(n-1)$, then $G \times T_n$ is super- λ' .*

Corollary 3.4. *For any nontrivial connected graph G and any integer $n \geq 3$. If G is λ' -optimal, then $G \times T_n$ is super- λ' .*

References

- [1] B. Brešar, S. Špacapan, On the connectivity of the direct product of graphs, Australas. J. Combin. 41 (2008) 45-56.

- [2] X. Cao, Š. Brglez, S. Špacapan, E. Vumar, On edge connectivity of direct products of graphs, Inform. Process. Lett. 111 (18) (2011) 899-902.
- [3] A. H. Esfahanian, S. L. Hakimi, On computing a conditional edge-connectivity of a graph, Infor. Process. Lett. 27 (1988) 195-199.
- [4] F. Harary, Conditional connectivity, Networks 13 (1983) 347-357.
- [5] T. L. Ma, J. L. Wang, M. Z. Zhang, The Restricted Edge-Connectivity of Kronecker Product Graphs, Parallel Process. Lett. 29(3) (2019) 1950012:1-1950012:7.
- [6] S. Špacapan, A characterization of the edge connectivity of direct products of graphs, Discrete Math. 313 (12) (2013) 1385-1393.
- [7] P. M. Weichsel, The Kronecker product of graphs, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (1962) 47-52.