

# GENERATING FUNCTIONS OF DUAL $K$ -THEORETIC $P$ - AND $Q$ -FUNCTIONS AND BOSON-FERMION CORRESPONDENCE

SHINSUKE IWAO

ABSTRACT. We present a new algebraic description of the dual  $K$ -theoretic  $P$ -function  $gq_\lambda$  and the dual  $K$ -theoretic  $Q$ -function  $gp_\lambda$ . Using a “ $\beta$ -deformed version” of the boson-fermion correspondence, these functions are realized as the bosonic counterparts to certain bases of the neutral fermion Fock space. This result generalizes Date-Jimbo-Miwa’s neutral-fermionic presentation of the Schur  $P$ -function and the  $Q$ -function, developed in their study of the BKP-equation. As a corollary, we derive a generating function of  $gq_\lambda$ , which was previously conjectured by Nakagawa-Naruse. Additionally, we provide a generating function of  $gp_\lambda$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is a continuation of the author’s previous work [8], in which he provided a fermionic description of the  $K$ - $Q$ -function  $GQ_\lambda$  (see §1.1). In [8], the author also attempted to give a similar description of the dual function  $gp_\lambda$ , but he only obtained some implicit formulas. In this paper, we address the issue and give a new fermionic description of  $gp_\lambda$ . We also apply our method to the  $K$ - $P$ -function  $GP_\lambda$  and its dual  $gq_\lambda$ .

**1.1. Overview.** The factorial  $K$ - $P$ -function and the factorial  $K$ - $Q$ -function [5] are a distinguished family of symmetric functions that represent a Schubert class in the torus equivariant  $K$ -theory of the maximal isotropic symplectic and orthogonal Grassmannians. In a special case where the torus equivariant  $K$ -theory reduces to the (non-equivariant)  $K$ -theory, these functions reduce to their non-factorial versions.

The non-factorial  $K$ - $P$ -function  $GP_\lambda(x)$  and the  $K$ - $Q$ -function  $GQ_\lambda(x)$  are families of symmetric functions in the variables  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ , parametrized by a strict partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_r > 0)$ . Their coefficients are in  $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ , where  $\beta$  is a formal parameter. (They are also referred to as shifted stable Grothendieck polynomials [12].) At  $\beta = 0$  limit,  $GP_\lambda$  and  $GQ_\lambda$  reduce to the Schur  $P$ -function and the  $Q$ -function [13, §III.8] respectively.

Both of  $GP_\lambda$  and  $GQ_\lambda$  are elements of  $G\Gamma$ , the  $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ -algebra characterized by the  $K$ - $Q$ -cancellation property [5, Definition 1.1]. Any element of  $G\Gamma$  is expressed as an infinite  $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ -linear combination of  $GP_\lambda$ . On the other hand, all infinite  $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ -linear combinations of  $GQ_\lambda$  form a proper subalgebra  $G\Gamma_+ \subsetneq G\Gamma$ . It was shown in [5] that, at  $\beta = -1$  limit,  $G\Gamma$  (*resp.*  $G\Gamma_+$ ) is isomorphic to the  $K$ -theory of the Grassmannian of type  $B$  and  $D$  (*resp.* type  $C$ ). Under these isomorphisms,  $GP_\lambda$  and  $GQ_\lambda$  represent the Schubert class  $[\mathcal{O}_{\Omega_\lambda}]$ .

In the previous paper [8], the author of the paper presented an algebraic characterization of  $GQ_\lambda$  in terms of the *boson-fermion correspondence* [3, 11]. The main idea of the construction was the

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05E05, 05E14, 13M10, 14N15.

*Key words and phrases.*  $K$ -theoretic  $Q$ -function, boson-fermion correspondence, neutral fermion, dual symmetric function.

use of “ $\beta$ -deformed neutral fermions”  $\phi_n^{(\beta)}, \phi_n^{[\beta]}$  (Definition 3.1) and  $\beta$ -deformed operators listed in Figure 1.

$\beta$ -deformed operators		$\beta \rightarrow 0$	notable relations
$\phi_n^{(\beta)}$	$\phi_n^{[\beta]}$	$\phi_n$ (neutral fermion)	$\phi_n^{[\beta]} = (-1)^n (\phi_{-n}^{(-\beta)})^*$
$\Phi_n^{(\beta)}$	$\Phi_n^{[\beta]}$	$\frac{1}{2}\phi_n$	$[(\Phi_m^{(\beta)})^*, \phi_n^{[\beta]}]_+$ $= [(\Phi_m^{[\beta]})^*, \phi_n^{(\beta)}]_+ = \delta_{m,n}$
$b_n^{(\beta)}$	$b_n^{[\beta]}$	$b_n$ (current)	$b_n^{[\beta]} = (b_{-n}^{(\beta)})^*$
$\mathcal{H}^{(\beta)}(x)$	$\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}(x)$	$\mathcal{H}(x)$ (Hamiltonian)	
$e^\Theta$	$e^\theta$	1	$\theta = \Theta^*$

FIGURE 1. ( $\beta$ -deformed operators.) Operators with a superscript  $(\beta)$  are used to construct  $GP_\lambda$  and  $GQ_\lambda$ , and operators with a superscript  $[\beta]$  are used to construct  $gp_\lambda$  and  $gq_\lambda$ .

Our aim is to extend the result in [8] to the  $K$ - $P$ -function, the dual  $K$ - $P$ -function, and the dual  $K$ - $Q$ -function. The dual  $K$ - $P$ -function  $gq_\lambda$  and the dual  $K$ - $Q$ -function  $gp_\lambda$  are unique symmetric polynomials which satisfy the Cauchy identity [14, Definition 5.3] (see also [12, Definition 1.2])

$$(1) \quad \sum_{\lambda:\text{strict}} GQ_\lambda(x)gp_\lambda(y) = \sum_{\lambda:\text{strict}} GP_\lambda(x)gq_\lambda(y) = \prod_{i,j} \frac{1 - \bar{x}_i y_j}{1 - x_i y_j}, \quad \text{where } \bar{x}_i = \frac{-x_i}{1 + \beta x_i}.$$

Our main results, Theorems 5.2, 6.1, and 6.4, are the construction of the three types of vectors  $|\lambda\rangle_P$ ,  $|\lambda\rangle_q$ , and  $|\lambda\rangle_p$  satisfying

$$(2) \quad GP_\lambda = \Omega(|\lambda\rangle_P), \quad gq_\lambda = \chi(|\lambda\rangle_q), \quad gp_\lambda = \chi(|\lambda\rangle_p),$$

where  $\Omega$  and  $\chi$  are the  $\beta$ -deformed boson-fermion correspondences (see §3.6). When  $\beta \rightarrow 0$ , (2) reduces to Date-Jimbo-Miwa’s neutral-fermionic presentation of the Schur  $P$ -function and the  $Q$ -function [3, 11].

**1.2. Outline of the paper.** In Section 2, we briefly review fundamental facts regarding the neutral fermion Fock space. In Section 3, we introduce and define the  $\beta$ -deformed operators listed in Figure 1. Each of  $\phi_n^{(\beta)}, \phi_n^{[\beta]}, \Phi_n^{(\beta)}, \Phi_n^{[\beta]}$  (§3.1–3.2) can be written as an infinite  $\mathbb{Q}[\beta]$ -linear combination of the neutral fermions  $\phi_n$  (§2.1). They satisfy the anti-commutation relation  $[(\Phi_m^{(\beta)})^*, \phi_n^{[\beta]}]_+ = [(\Phi_m^{[\beta]})^*, \phi_n^{(\beta)}]_+ = \delta_{m,n}$  (Lemma 3.4), which is a  $\beta$ -deformed variant of the basic anti-commutation relation  $[(\frac{1}{2}\phi_m)^*, \phi_n]_+ = \delta_{m,n}$ . We also define the  $\beta$ -deformed power sums  $p_n^{(\beta)}, p_n^{[\beta]}$  (§3.4), which satisfy the Cauchy identity (Lemma 3.6):

$$(3) \quad \sum_{\lambda:\text{odd}} 2^{\ell(\lambda)} z_\lambda^{-1} p_\lambda^{(\beta)}(x) p_\mu^{[\beta]}(y) = \prod_{i,j} \frac{1 - \bar{x}_i y_j}{1 - x_i y_j}, \quad z_\lambda = \prod_{i \geq 1} i^{m_i} \cdot m_i!,$$

where  $m_i(\lambda) = \#\{k \mid \lambda_k = i\}$ . Comparing (1) with (3), we show the existence of the non-degenerate inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  satisfying  $\langle p_\lambda^{(\beta)}, p_\mu^{[\beta]} \rangle = 2^{\ell(\lambda)} z_\lambda \delta_{\lambda,\mu}$  for odd partitions  $\lambda, \mu$ , and  $\langle GQ_\lambda, gp_\mu \rangle = \langle GP_\lambda, gq_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda,\mu}$  for strict partitions  $\lambda, \mu$  (Eq. (15)). By virtue of these relations, the  $\beta$ -deformed boson-fermion correspondences  $\Omega, \chi$  preserve the inner product (Eq. (17)). We show commutation relations between these  $\beta$ -deformed operators in Section 4.

In Section 5, we present a neutral-fermionic presentation of the  $K$ - $Q$ -function and the  $K$ - $P$ -function. The main results (Theorems 5.1, 5.2) are shown by comparing  $\Omega(|\lambda\rangle_P)$ ,  $\Omega(|\lambda\rangle_Q)$  with Nakagawa-Naruse's generating functions, developed in their paper [16].

In Section 6, we present a neutral-fermionic presentation of the dual functions. We show  $gq_\lambda = \chi(|\lambda\rangle_q)$  (Theorem 6.1) and  $gp_\lambda = \chi(|\lambda\rangle_p)$  (Theorem 6.4) by proving the orthonormality  ${}_Q\langle\mu|\lambda\rangle_p = {}_P\langle\mu|\lambda\rangle_q = \delta_{\lambda,\mu}$ . Additionally, we present a generating function of  $gq_\lambda$  (Eq. (28)) and  $gp_\lambda$  (Eq. (34)).

**1.3. Related works.** A Pfaffian formula of  $GP_\lambda$  and  $GQ_\lambda$  was first given in [4] in the context of the connective  $K$ -theory of Grassmann bundles. Nakagawa-Naruse [14, 15, 16] introduced universal cohomology versions of these functions. The generating function of  $gq_\lambda$  (28) was first conjectured in [15]. In [16], they conjectured a combinatorial description of  $gq_\lambda$  and  $gp_\lambda$  in terms of shifted plane partitions, which was proved by Lewis-Marberg [12]. In [2], Chiu-Marberg showed that  $\bigoplus_\lambda \mathbb{Z}[\beta] \cdot GP_\lambda \subsetneq G\Gamma$ , the subspace of *finite* linear combinations of  $GP_\lambda$ , is closed under the multiplication. The same property for  $GQ_\lambda$  was proved by Lewis-Marberg [12].

Our results can be regarded as a variant of the free-fermionic description of  $K$ -theoretic functions of type  $A$ , such as the stable Grothendieck polynomials [6, 7], the multi-Schur functions [9], and the canonical Grothendieck functions [10].

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank Prof. Takeshi Ikeda and Prof. Hiroshi Naruse for their valuable comments on the earlier version. This work was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 19K03065, 22K03239, and 23K03056.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES: NEUTRAL FERMION FOCK SPACE

This section provides a brief review of the neutral fermion Fock space. For readers who are interested in this topic, we recommend Baker's paper [1] and Jimbo-Miwa's paper [11]. Let  $[A, B] = AB - BA$  be the commutator and  $[A, B]_+ = AB + BA$  be the anti-commutator.

**2.1. Fock space.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ -algebra of *neutral fermions* generated by  $\{\phi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  satisfying the anti-commutation relation  $[\phi_m, \phi_n]_+ = 2(-1)^m \delta_{m+n, 0}$ . In particular, we have  $\phi_0^2 = 1$  and  $\phi_n^2 = 0$  for  $n \neq 0$ .

Let  $|0\rangle$  and  $\langle 0|$  denote the *vacuum vectors*:

$$\phi_{-n}|0\rangle = 0, \quad \langle 0|\phi_n = 0, \quad (n > 0).$$

The *Fock space*  $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{A} \cdot |0\rangle$  is the left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module generated by  $|0\rangle$ , and the *dual Fock space*  $\mathcal{F}^* := \langle 0| \cdot \mathcal{A}$  is the right  $\mathcal{A}$ -module generated by  $\langle 0|$ . The *vacuum expectation value* is the unique bilinear form

$$\mathcal{F}^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\beta)} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\beta); \quad \langle u| \otimes |v\rangle \mapsto \langle u|v\rangle$$

that satisfies (i)  $\langle 0|0\rangle = 1$ , (ii)  $\langle 0|\phi_0|0\rangle = 0$ , and (iii)  $(\langle u|\phi_n|v\rangle = \langle u|(\phi_n|v\rangle))$ . We often use the abbreviations  $\langle u|X|v\rangle := (\langle u|X)|v\rangle = \langle u|(X|v\rangle)$  and  $\langle X\rangle := \langle 0|X|0\rangle$  for any  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ .

The Fock space  $\mathcal{F}$  is split into two subspaces as  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\text{odd}} \oplus \mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{odd}}$  (*resp.*  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}$ ) is the subspace generated by all vectors obtained from  $|0\rangle$  by applying odd (*resp.* even) numbers of  $\phi_n$  ( $n \geq 0$ ). The dual Fock space is also split as  $\mathcal{F}^* = \mathcal{F}_{\text{odd}}^* \oplus \mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}^*$ . If  $\langle u| \otimes |v\rangle$  is in  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}^* \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\text{odd}}$  or in  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{odd}}^* \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}$ , the vacuum expectation value  $\langle v|u\rangle$  annihilates automatically. By restriction, we obtain the nondegenerate bilinear form

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\beta)} \mathcal{F}_{\text{even}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\beta).$$

There exists an anti-algebra isomorphism  $\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ ;  $x \leftrightarrow x^*$  defined by  $\phi_n^* = (-1)^n \phi_{-n}$  and  $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$ . It induces the involution  $\mathcal{F} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{F}^*$ ;  $v \leftrightarrow v^*$  with  $|0\rangle^* = \langle 0|$ .

**2.2. Wick's theorem.** For a  $2r \times 2r$  matrix  $X$ ,  $\text{Pf}(X)$  denotes the *Pfaffian*

$$\text{Pf}(X) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma(1) < \sigma(3) < \dots < \sigma(2r-1) \\ \sigma(1) < \sigma(2), \sigma(3) < \sigma(4), \dots, \sigma(2r-1) < \sigma(2r)}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) a_{\sigma(1), \sigma(2)} a_{\sigma(3), \sigma(4)} \cdots a_{\sigma(2r-1), \sigma(2r)}.$$

For  $n_1, \dots, n_{2r} \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have *Wick's theorem*

$$(5) \quad \langle \phi_{n_1} \phi_{n_2} \cdots \phi_{n_{2r}} \rangle = \text{Pf} \left( \langle \phi_{n_i} \phi_{n_j} \rangle \right)_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2r}.$$

For a strict partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \cdots > \lambda_r > 0)$  of length  $r$ , we define  $|\lambda\rangle \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}$  as

$$|\lambda\rangle = \begin{cases} \phi_{\lambda_1} \phi_{\lambda_2} \cdots \phi_{\lambda_r} |0\rangle & (r : \text{even}) \\ \phi_{\lambda_1} \phi_{\lambda_2} \cdots \phi_{\lambda_r} \phi_0 |0\rangle & (r : \text{odd}) \end{cases}.$$

The orthogonality  $\langle \mu | \lambda \rangle = \delta_{\lambda, \mu}$  is derived directly from Wick's theorem (5).

### 3. $\beta$ -DEFORMED OPERATORS

**3.1.  $\beta$ -deformed fermion fields I.** The *neutral fermion field*  $\phi(z)$  is the formal series  $\phi(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_n z^n$ , which determines a  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ -linear map  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}((z))$  by left multiplication, and a  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ -linear map  $\mathcal{F}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^*((z^{-1}))$  by right multiplication.

**Definition 3.1.** We define the  $\beta$ -deformed fermion fields  $\phi^{(\beta)}(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_n^{(\beta)} z^n$  and  $\phi^{[\beta]}(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_n^{[\beta]} z^n$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n^{(\beta)} z^n &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n \left( z + \frac{\beta}{2} \right)^n, & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_{-n}^{(\beta)} z^{-n} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_{-n} \left( \frac{z^{-1}}{1 + \frac{\beta}{2} z^{-1}} \right)^n, \\ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n^{[\beta]} z^n &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n \left( \frac{z}{1 + \frac{\beta}{2} z} \right)^n, & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_{-n}^{[\beta]} z^{-n} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_{-n} \left( z^{-1} + \frac{\beta}{2} \right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

$\phi^{(\beta)}(z)$  determines a  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ -linear map  $\mathcal{F}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^*((z^{-1}))$  by right multiplication, and  $\phi^{[\beta]}(z)$  determines a  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ -linear map  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}((z))$  by left multiplication.

The following informal expressions are helpful in understanding what Definition 3.1 describes:

$$(6) \quad \phi^{(\beta)}(z) = \phi\left(z + \frac{\beta}{2}\right), \quad \phi^{[\beta]}(z) = \phi\left(\frac{z}{1 + \frac{\beta}{2} z}\right).$$

For a positive integer  $n$ ,  $\phi_n^{(\beta)}$  and  $\phi_n^{[\beta]}$  are infinite  $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2}[\beta]$ -linear combinations of  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \dots$ . For example, we have  $\phi_1^{(\beta)} = \phi_1 + \beta\phi_2 + \frac{3\beta^2}{4}\phi_3 + \dots$ . On the other hand,  $\phi_{-n}^{(\beta)}$  and  $\phi_{-n}^{[\beta]}$  are infinite  $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2}[\beta]$ -linear combinations of  $\phi_{-1}, \phi_{-2}, \phi_{-3}, \dots$ . Hence, the  $\beta$ -deformed fermions satisfy the annihilation rule

$$(7) \quad \langle 0 | \phi_n^{(\beta)} = \langle 0 | \phi_n^{[\beta]} = 0, \quad \phi_{-n}^{(\beta)} | 0 \rangle = \phi_{-n}^{[\beta]} | 0 \rangle = 0, \quad (n > 0).$$

The actions of the zero-th fermions  $\phi_0^{(\beta)}$  and  $\phi_0^{[\beta]}$  on the vacuum vectors are simple:

$$(8) \quad \langle 0 | \phi_0^{(\beta)} = \langle 0 | \phi_0, \quad \langle 0 | \phi_0 \phi_0^{(\beta)} = \langle 0 |, \quad \phi_0^{[\beta]} | 0 \rangle = \phi_0 | 0 \rangle, \quad \phi_0^{[\beta]} \phi_0 | 0 \rangle = | 0 \rangle.$$

**Lemma 3.2** ([8, §9.1]). *We have the anti-commutation relation:*

$$[(\phi_m^{(\beta)})^*, \phi_n^{[\beta]}]_+ = \begin{cases} 2 & (m = n) \\ \beta & (m = n - 1) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases}.$$

*Proof.* This lemma is derived directly from  $[(\phi_m)^*, \phi_n]_+ = 2\delta_{m,n}$  and Definition 3.1. For details of the proof, see [8, §9.1].  $\square$

**3.2.  $\beta$ -deformed fermion fields II.** We next define another two  $\beta$ -deformed fermion fields  $\Phi^{(\beta)}(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Phi_n^{(\beta)} z^n$  and  $\Phi^{[\beta]}(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Phi_n^{[\beta]} z^n$ .

**Definition 3.3.** We define the fields  $\Phi^{(\beta)}(z)$  and  $\Phi^{[\beta]}(z)$  by

$$\Phi^{(\beta)}(z) := \frac{1}{2 + \beta z^{-1}} \phi^{(\beta)}(z), \quad \Phi^{[\beta]}(z) := \frac{1}{2 + \beta z} \phi^{[\beta]}(z).$$

$\Phi^{(\beta)}(z)$  determines a  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ -linear map  $\mathcal{F}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^*((z^{-1}))$  by right multiplication, and  $\Phi^{[\beta]}(z)$  determines a  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ -linear map  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}((z))$  by left multiplication.

For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , these new  $\beta$ -deformed fermions can expand as

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_n^{(\beta)} &= \frac{1}{2} \phi_n^{(\beta)} - \frac{\beta}{4} \phi_{n+1}^{(\beta)} + \frac{\beta^2}{8} \phi_{n+2}^{(\beta)} - \cdots, \\ \Phi_n^{[\beta]} &= \frac{1}{2} \phi_n^{[\beta]} - \frac{\beta}{4} \phi_{n-1}^{[\beta]} + \frac{\beta^2}{8} \phi_{n-2}^{[\beta]} - \cdots. \end{aligned}$$

From (9), we obtain the annihilation rule

$$(10) \quad \langle 0 | \Phi_n^{(\beta)} = 0, \quad \Phi_{-n}^{[\beta]} | 0 \rangle = 0, \quad (n > 0).$$

However, this is not the case for  $\langle 0 | \Phi_n^{[\beta]}$  and  $\Phi_{-n}^{(\beta)} | 0 \rangle$ . In fact, using

$$\phi_0 = \phi_0^{(\beta)} - \frac{\beta}{2} \phi_1^{(\beta)} + \frac{\beta^2}{4} \phi_2^{(\beta)} - \cdots = \phi_0^{[\beta]} - \frac{\beta}{2} \phi_{-1}^{[\beta]} + \frac{\beta^2}{4} \phi_{-2}^{[\beta]} - \cdots,$$

we can rewrite (9) as

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_{-n}^{(\beta)} &= \frac{1}{2} \phi_{-n}^{(\beta)} - \frac{\beta}{4} \phi_{-n+1}^{(\beta)} + \frac{\beta^2}{8} \phi_{-n+2}^{(\beta)} - \cdots + \frac{(-\beta)^n}{2^{n+1}} \phi_0, \\ \Phi_n^{[\beta]} &= \frac{1}{2} \phi_n^{(\beta)} - \frac{\beta}{4} \phi_{n-1}^{(\beta)} + \frac{\beta^2}{8} \phi_{n-2}^{(\beta)} - \cdots + \frac{(-\beta)^n}{2^{n+1}} \phi_0 \end{aligned}$$

for non-negative  $n \geq 0$ . From (7), we obtain

$$(12) \quad \langle 0 | \Phi_n^{[\beta]} = \frac{(-\beta)^n}{2^{n+1}} \cdot \langle 0 | \phi_0 \neq 0, \quad \Phi_{-n}^{(\beta)} | 0 \rangle = \frac{(-\beta)^n}{2^{n+1}} \cdot \phi_0 | 0 \rangle \neq 0,$$

which implies that the operators  $\Phi_n^{[\beta]}, \Phi_{-n}^{(\beta)}$  fail to annihilate the vacuum vectors.

**Lemma 3.4.** We have the following commutation relation:

$$[(\Phi_m^{(\beta)})^*, \phi_n^{[\beta]}]_+ = [(\Phi_m^{[\beta]})^*, \phi_n^{(\beta)}]_+ = \delta_{m,n}.$$

*Proof.* It is obtained from Lemma 3.2 and (9) by straightforward calculations.  $\square$

**3.3.  $\beta$ -deformed current and Hamiltonian operators.** For an odd integer  $m$ , we define the current operator  $b_m$  as  $b_m = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^i \phi_{-i-m} \phi_i$ .

**Definition 3.5.** For any non-zero integer  $m$  (possibly even), we define the  $\beta$ -deformed current operators  $b_m^{(\beta)}, b_m^{[\beta]}$  by

$$b_m^{(\beta)} = \frac{(X - \frac{\beta}{2})^m - (-X - \frac{\beta}{2})^m}{2} \Bigg|_{X^k \mapsto b_k} \quad \text{and} \quad b_m^{[\beta]} = (b_{-m}^{(\beta)})^*,$$

where  $\frac{(X-\frac{\beta}{2})^m - (-X-\frac{\beta}{2})^m}{2}$  is expanded as a polynomial in  $X$  if  $m > 0$ , and as a power series of  $X^{-1}$  if  $m < 0$ . For example, we have  $b_1^{(\beta)} = b_1$ ,  $b_2^{(\beta)} = -\beta b_1$ ,  $b_3^{(\beta)} = b_3 + \frac{3\beta^2}{4}b_1$ ,  $b_{-1}^{(\beta)} = b_{-1} + \frac{\beta^2}{4}b_{-3} + \frac{\beta^4}{16}b_{-5} + \dots$ .

Let  $p_n(x) = x_1^n + x_2^n + \dots$  denote the  $n$ -th power sum in  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ . The *Hamiltonian operator*  $\mathcal{H}(x)$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{H}(x) = 2 \sum_{n=1,3,5,\dots} \frac{p_n(x)}{n} b_n.$$

Deforming the currents as  $b_n \mapsto b_n^{(\beta)}$  (resp.  $b_n \mapsto b_n^{[\beta]}$ ), we have the  $\beta$ -deformed *Hamiltonian operator*  $\mathcal{H}^{(\beta)}(x)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}(x)$ ) by

$$\mathcal{H}^{(\beta)}(x) = 2 \sum_{n=1,3,5,\dots} \frac{p_n(x)}{n} b_n^{(\beta)}, \quad \mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}(x) = 2 \sum_{n=1,3,5,\dots} \frac{p_n(x)}{n} b_n^{[\beta]}.$$

For brevity, we often use the abbreviations  $\mathcal{H}^{(\beta)} = \mathcal{H}^{(\beta)}(x)$  and  $\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]} = \mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}(x)$ .

**3.4.  $\beta$ -deformed power sums.** The  $\beta$ -deformed *power sums*  $p_n^{(\beta)}(x), p_n^{[\beta]}(x)$  are defined by

$$(13) \quad p_n^{(\beta)}(x) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{-n}{i} \left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^i p_{n+i}(x), \quad p_n^{[\beta]}(x) := \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} \left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^i p_i(x).$$

The following informal expressions are helpful in understanding (13):

$$p_n^{(\beta)}(x) = p_n\left(\frac{x}{1+\frac{\beta}{2}x}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad p_n^{[\beta]}(x) = p_n\left(x + \frac{\beta}{2}\right) - p_n\left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right).$$

For any partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots)$ , we put  $p_\lambda^{(\beta)} := p_{\lambda_1}^{(\beta)} p_{\lambda_2}^{(\beta)} \dots$  and  $p_\lambda^{[\beta]} := p_{\lambda_1}^{[\beta]} p_{\lambda_2}^{[\beta]} \dots$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{G}\Gamma &= \mathbb{Q}(\beta)[[p_1^{(\beta)}, p_3^{(\beta)}, p_5^{(\beta)}, \dots]], \\ g\Gamma &= \mathbb{Q}(\beta)[p_1^{[\beta]}, p_3^{[\beta]}, p_5^{[\beta]}, \dots]. \end{aligned}$$

Any element of  $\widehat{G}\Gamma$  is expressed as a (possibly infinite)  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ -linear combination of  $p_\lambda^{(\beta)}$ , where  $\lambda$  runs over all odd partitions. There exist two natural isomorphisms

$$\iota^{(\beta)} : \widehat{\Gamma} \rightarrow \widehat{G}\Gamma; \quad p_n \mapsto p_n^{(\beta)}, \quad \iota^{[\beta]} : \Gamma \rightarrow g\Gamma; \quad p_n \mapsto p_n^{[\beta]},$$

where  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Q}(\beta)[p_1, p_3, \dots]$  and  $\widehat{\Gamma} = \mathbb{Q}(\beta)[[p_1, p_3, \dots]]$ . The map  $\iota^{(\beta)}$  is nothing but the substitution  $x_i \mapsto \frac{x_i}{1+\frac{\beta}{2}x_i}$ .

Let  $Q_\lambda \in \Gamma$  be the *Schur  $Q$ -function* [13, §III.8], where  $\lambda$  is a strict partition. The  $\beta$ -deformed Hamiltonians satisfy the equations

$$(14) \quad \iota^{(\beta)}(Q_\lambda) = \langle 0 | e^{\mathcal{H}^{(\beta)}} | \lambda \rangle, \quad \iota^{[\beta]}(Q_\lambda) = \langle 0 | e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} | \lambda \rangle.$$

Set  $Q_\lambda^{(\beta)} := \iota^{(\beta)}(Q_\lambda)$  and  $Q_\lambda^{[\beta]} := \iota^{[\beta]}(Q_\lambda)$ .

**3.5.  $\beta$ -deformed bilinear form.** We define the bilinear form

$$(15) \quad \widehat{G}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\beta)} g\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\beta); \quad f \otimes g \mapsto \langle f, g \rangle$$

by  $\langle p_\lambda^{(\beta)}, p_\mu^{[\beta]} \rangle = 2^{-\ell(\lambda)} z_\lambda \delta_{\lambda, \mu}$  for any odd partitions  $\lambda, \mu$ .

**Lemma 3.6** ([8, §8]). *We have the  $\beta$ -deformed Cauchy identity*

$$\sum_{\lambda:\text{odd}} 2^{\ell(\lambda)} z_\lambda^{-1} p_\lambda^{(\beta)}(x) p_\mu^{[\beta]}(y) = \prod_{i,j} \frac{1 - \bar{x}_i y_j}{1 - x_i y_j}, \quad \text{where } \bar{x} = -\frac{x}{1 + \beta x}.$$

*Proof.* This lemma is obtained from the equation

$$\sum_{\lambda:\text{odd}} 2^{\ell(\lambda)} z_\lambda^{-1} p_\lambda(x) p_\mu(y) = \prod_{i,j} \frac{1 + x_i y_j}{1 - x_i y_j}$$

by substituting  $x_i \mapsto \frac{x_i}{1 + \frac{\beta}{2} x_i}$  and  $p_n(y) \mapsto p_n(y + \frac{\beta}{2}) - p_n(\frac{\beta}{2})$ . For details, see [8, §8].  $\square$

**Lemma 3.7.** *For any strict partitions  $\lambda, \mu$ , we have  $\langle Q_\lambda^{(\beta)}, Q_\mu^{[\beta]} \rangle = 2^{\ell(\lambda)} \delta_{\lambda, \mu}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\langle f, g \rangle' := \langle \iota^{(\beta)}(f), \iota^{[\beta]}(g) \rangle$  for  $f, g \in \Gamma$ . Then, the bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'$  coincides with the Hall inner product on  $\Gamma$  [13, §III.8]. The lemma follows from the orthogonality  $\langle Q_\lambda, Q_\mu \rangle' = 2^{\ell(\lambda)} \delta_{\lambda, \mu}$ .  $\square$

Through the non-degenerate bilinear form (15), we regard  $\widehat{G\Gamma}$  as a subspace of  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}(\beta)}(g\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}(\beta))$ . Since any element of  $\widehat{G\Gamma}$  is expressed as an infinite  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ -linear combination of  $p_\lambda^{(\beta)}$ , they are in fact isomorphic:

$$(16) \quad \widehat{G\Gamma} \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}(\beta)}(g\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}(\beta)).$$

**3.6.  $\beta$ -deformed boson-fermion correspondence.** Let  $\Omega_0, \chi$  be the  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ -linear maps defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_0 : \mathcal{F}_{\text{even}} &\rightarrow \widehat{G\Gamma}; & |v\rangle &\mapsto \langle 0 | e^{\mathcal{H}^{(\beta)}} |v\rangle, \\ \chi : \mathcal{F}_{\text{even}} &\rightarrow g\Gamma; & |v\rangle &\mapsto \langle 0 | e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} |v\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

From (14) and Lemma 3.7, we show that they preserve the inner products:  $\langle u^* | v \rangle = \langle \Omega_0(|u\rangle), \chi(|v\rangle) \rangle$ , where  $\langle u^* | = |u\rangle^*$ .

Through the inner product (4),  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}$  is regarded as a subspace of  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\text{even}} := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}(\beta)}(\mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}^*, \mathbb{Q}(\beta))$ . For any  $\varphi \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\text{even}}$ , we let  $[\varphi]$  denote the unique element of  $\widehat{G\Gamma}$  that satisfies

$$\langle [\varphi], \chi(|v\rangle) \rangle = \varphi(\langle v^* |), \quad \forall |v\rangle \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}.$$

Then, the linear map  $\Omega_0$  naturally extends to

$$\Omega : \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\text{even}} \rightarrow \widehat{G\Gamma}; \quad \varphi \mapsto [\varphi],$$

which satisfies

$$(17) \quad \langle u^* | v \rangle = \langle \Omega(|u\rangle), \chi(|v\rangle) \rangle$$

for any  $|u\rangle \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\text{even}}$  and  $|v\rangle \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}$ . One can easily check that  $\Omega$  and  $\chi$  are bijective. We call these linear isomorphisms  $\Omega, \chi$  the  $\beta$ -deformed boson-fermion correspondences.

4. COMMUTATION RELATIONS INVOLVING  $\beta$ -DEFORMED OPERATORS

In this section, we provide a list of useful commutation relations involving  $\beta$ -deformed operators. Most of the proofs are given in the author's earlier paper [8].

Define the binary operators  $\oplus$  and  $\ominus$  by

$$x \oplus y = x + y + \beta xy, \quad x \ominus y = \frac{x - y}{1 + \beta y}.$$

Let  $\bar{x} = 0 \ominus x = \frac{-x}{1 + \beta x}$  denote the additive inverse of  $x$ . Put

$$\Theta = 2 \sum_{n=1,3,5,\dots} \left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^n \frac{b_{-n}}{n}, \quad \theta = \Theta^* = 2 \sum_{n=1,3,5,\dots} \left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^n \frac{b_n}{n}.$$

**Lemma 4.1.** *We have the following commutation relations:*

- (1)  $\langle 0 | e^\Theta = \langle 0 |$ .
- (2)  $e^{-\Theta} \phi^{(\beta)}(z) e^\Theta = \frac{1}{1 + \beta z^{-1}} \cdot \phi^{(\beta)}(z)$ .
- (3)  $e^{-\Theta} e^{\mathcal{H}^{(\beta)}} e^\Theta = \prod_i (1 + \beta x_i) \cdot e^{\mathcal{H}^{(\beta)}}$ .
- (4)  $e^{\mathcal{H}^{(\beta)}} \phi^{(\beta)}(z) e^{-\mathcal{H}^{(\beta)}} = \prod_i \frac{z^{-1} \oplus x_i}{z^{-1} - x_i} \cdot \phi^{(\beta)}(z)$ .
- (5)  $\langle \phi^{(\beta)}(z) \phi^{(\beta)}(w) \rangle = \frac{w^{-1} - z^{-1}}{w^{-1} \oplus z^{-1}}$ , where  $\frac{w^{-1} - z^{-1}}{w^{-1} \oplus z^{-1}}$  expands as

$$\frac{1 - wz^{-1}}{1 + wz^{-1} + \beta z^{-1}} = 1 - (2w + \beta)z^{-1} + (2w^2 + 3\beta w + \beta^2)z^{-2} - \dots$$

in the field  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)((w^{-1}))((z^{-1}))$  of formal Laurent series<sup>1</sup>.

*Proof.* (1) follows from  $\langle 0 | b_{-n} = 0$  for  $n > 0$ . (2) and (3) are shown in [8, §4.3]. (4) follows from

$$e^{\mathcal{H}^{(\beta)}} \phi^{(\beta)}(z) e^{-\mathcal{H}^{(\beta)}} = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n(x)}{n} (z^n - (-z - \beta)^n)\right) \phi^{(\beta)}(z),$$

which is given in [8, §4.2], and  $\exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n(x)}{n} A^n\right) = \prod_i \frac{1}{1 - x_i A}$ . (5) is shown in [8, §3.2].  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *We have the following commutation relations:*

- (1)  $e^\theta |0\rangle = |0\rangle$ .
- (2)  $e^{-\theta} \phi^{[\beta]}(z) e^\theta = \frac{1}{1 + \beta z} \cdot \phi^{[\beta]}(z)$ .
- (3)  $e^\theta$  and  $e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}}$  commute with each other.
- (4)  $e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} \phi^{[\beta]}(z) e^{-\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} = \prod_i \frac{1 - x_i \bar{z}}{1 - x_i z} \cdot \phi^{[\beta]}(z)$ .
- (5)  $\langle \phi^{[\beta]}(z) \phi^{[\beta]}(w) \rangle = \frac{z - w}{z \oplus w}$ , where  $\frac{z - w}{z \oplus w}$  expands as

$$\frac{1 - wz^{-1}}{1 + wz^{-1} + \beta w} = 1 - (2z^{-1} + \beta)w + (2z^{-2} + 3\beta z^{-1} + \beta^2)w^2 - \dots$$

in  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)((z))((w))$ .

*Proof.* (1) follows from  $b_n |0\rangle = 0$  for  $n > 0$ . (2) and (5) are shown in [8, §10.1]. (3) follows from  $[b_m, b_n] = 0$  for  $m, n > 0$ . (4) is shown in [8, §9.1].  $\square$

**Corollary 4.3.** *We have*

- (1)  $e^\theta \phi_n^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} = \phi_n^{[\beta]} + \beta \phi_{n-1}^{[\beta]}$ ,
- (2)  $e^{-\theta} \phi_n^{[\beta]} e^\theta = \phi_n^{[\beta]} - \beta \phi_{n-1}^{[\beta]} + \beta^2 \phi_{n-2}^{[\beta]} - \dots$ ,

<sup>1</sup>We should note that  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)((w^{-1}))((z^{-1})) = \{\mathbb{Q}(\beta)((w^{-1}))\}((z^{-1}))$  is not the same as  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)((z^{-1}))((w^{-1}))$ . In fact, the series  $1 + wz^{-1} + w^2 z^{-2} + w^3 z^{-3} + \dots$  is in  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)((w^{-1}))((z^{-1}))$ , but it is not in  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)((z^{-1}))((w^{-1}))$ .



$$(3) \quad e^\theta (\phi_n^{(\beta)})^* e^{-\theta} = (\phi_n^{(\beta)} - \beta \phi_{n+1}^{(\beta)} + \beta^2 \phi_{n+2}^{(\beta)} - \dots)^*.$$

### 5. $GP_\lambda$ AND $GQ_\lambda$

5.1. **Fermionic presentation of  $GQ_\lambda$ .** Define the vector  $|\lambda\rangle_Q$  by

$$(18) \quad |\lambda\rangle_Q = \begin{cases} \phi_{\lambda_1}^{(\beta)} e^\Theta \phi_{\lambda_2}^{(\beta)} e^\Theta \dots \phi_{\lambda_r}^{(\beta)} e^\Theta |0\rangle & (r : \text{even}) \\ \phi_{\lambda_1}^{(\beta)} e^\Theta \phi_{\lambda_2}^{(\beta)} e^\Theta \dots \phi_{\lambda_r}^{(\beta)} e^\Theta \phi_0^{(\beta)} e^\Theta |0\rangle & (r : \text{odd}) \end{cases}.$$

Note that  $|\lambda\rangle_Q$  is an element of  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\text{even}}$ , but not an element of  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}$ . In terms of the  $\beta$ -deformed boson-fermion correspondence, the main theorem of the previous paper [8] can be described as follows:

**Theorem 5.1** ([8, §7]). *The  $K$ -theoretic  $Q$ -function  $GQ_\lambda(x)$  is expressed as*

$$GQ_\lambda(x) = \langle 0 | e^{\mathcal{H}(\beta)} |\lambda\rangle_Q = \Omega(|\lambda\rangle_Q).$$

In [8], the author proved Theorem 5.1 by comparing the vacuum expectation value  $\langle 0 | e^{\mathcal{H}(\beta)} |\lambda\rangle_Q$  with Hudson-Ikeda-Matsumura-Naruse's Pfaffian formula [4]. For later use, we give another proof using the generating function

$$(19) \quad GQ_\lambda(x) = [u_1^{-\lambda_1} \dots u_r^{-\lambda_r}] \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{1 + \beta u_i} \prod_{i,j} \frac{u_i \oplus x_j}{u_i \ominus x_j} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \frac{u_j \ominus u_i}{u_j \oplus u_i},$$

which was introduced by Nakagawa-Naruse [16, §5.2]. Here, the right hand side of (19) expands in the field

$$(20) \quad \mathbb{Q}(\beta)((u_r)) \dots ((u_2))((u_1))[[x_1, x_2, \dots]],$$

and  $[u_1^{n_1} \dots u_r^{n_r}] F(u_1, \dots, u_r)$  denotes the coefficient of the monomial  $u_1^{n_1} \dots u_r^{n_r}$  in  $F$ .

These expansions in the field (20) coincide with the Laurent expansions on the domain  $D_{(r)} := \{|x_j| < |u_1| < |u_2| < \dots < |u_r| < |\beta^{-1}|; \forall j\}$ . When substituting  $\lambda_{r+1} = 0$  to (19) formally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} GQ_{(\lambda,0)} &= [u_1^{-\lambda_1} \dots u_r^{-\lambda_r} u_{r+1}^0] \prod_{i=1}^{r+1} \frac{1}{1 + \beta u_i} \prod_{i,j} \frac{u_i \oplus x_j}{u_i \ominus x_j} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r+1} \frac{u_j \ominus u_i}{u_j \oplus u_i} \\ &= [u_1^{-\lambda_1} \dots u_r^{-\lambda_r}] \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{1 + \beta u_i} \prod_{i,j} \frac{u_i \oplus x_j}{u_i \ominus x_j} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \frac{u_j \ominus u_i}{u_j \oplus u_i} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{u_{r+1} \in D_{(r+1)}} \frac{1}{1 + \beta u_{r+1}} \prod_j \frac{u_{r+1} \oplus x_j}{u_{r+1} \ominus x_j} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{u_{r+1} \ominus u_i}{u_{r+1} \oplus u_i} \frac{du_{r+1}}{u_{r+1}} \\ &= [u_1^{-\lambda_1} \dots u_r^{-\lambda_r}] \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{1 + \beta u_i} \prod_{i,j} \frac{u_i \oplus x_j}{u_i \ominus x_j} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \frac{u_j \ominus u_i}{u_j \oplus u_i} \\ &\quad \times \operatorname{Res}_{w=-\beta} \left( -\frac{1}{1 + \beta w^{-1}} \prod_j \frac{w^{-1} \oplus x_j}{w^{-1} \ominus x_j} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{w^{-1} \ominus u_i}{w^{-1} \oplus u_i} \frac{dw}{w} \right). \quad (w^{-1} = u_{r+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Since the residue in the last expression equals to 1, we have  $GQ_{(\lambda,0)} = GQ_\lambda$ .

*Proof of Theorem 5.1.* We can assume  $r$  to be even without the loss of generality by adding  $\lambda_{r+1} = 0$  to the end of a strict partition if needed. The generating function of  $\langle 0|e^{\mathcal{H}^{(\beta)}}|\lambda\rangle_Q$  expands as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle e^{\mathcal{H}^{(\beta)}} \phi^{(\beta)}(z_1) e^\Theta \cdots \phi^{(\beta)}(z_r) e^\Theta \right\rangle \\
&= \prod_j (1 + \beta x_j)^r \prod_i \frac{1}{(1 + \beta z_i^{-1})^{r-i+1}} \prod_{i,j} \frac{z_i^{-1} \oplus x_j}{z_i^{-1} - x_j} \left\langle \phi^{(\beta)}(z_1) \cdots \phi^{(\beta)}(z_r) \right\rangle \\
&= \prod_j (1 + \beta x_j)^r \prod_i \frac{1}{(1 + \beta z_i^{-1})^{r-i+1}} \prod_{i,j} \frac{z_i^{-1} \oplus x_j}{z_i^{-1} - x_j} \cdot \text{Pf} \left( \langle \phi^{(\beta)}(z_i) \phi^{(\beta)}(z_j) \rangle \right)_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \quad (\text{Eq. (5)}) \\
&= \prod_j (1 + \beta x_j)^r \prod_i \frac{1}{(1 + \beta z_i^{-1})^{r-i+1}} \prod_{i,j} \frac{z_i^{-1} \oplus x_j}{z_i^{-1} - x_j} \cdot \text{Pf} \left( \frac{z_j^{-1} - z_i^{-1}}{z_j^{-1} \oplus z_i^{-1}} \right)_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \quad (\text{Lemma 4.1 (5)}) \\
&\stackrel{(*)}{=} \prod_j (1 + \beta x_j)^r \prod_i \frac{1}{(1 + \beta z_i^{-1})^{r-i+1}} \prod_{i,j} \frac{z_i^{-1} \oplus x_j}{z_i^{-1} - x_j} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \frac{z_j^{-1} - z_i^{-1}}{z_j^{-1} \oplus z_i^{-1}} \\
&= \prod_i \frac{1}{1 + \beta z_i^{-1}} \prod_{i,j} \frac{z_i^{-1} \oplus x_j}{z_i^{-1} \ominus x_j} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \frac{z_j^{-1} \ominus z_i^{-1}}{z_j^{-1} \oplus z_i^{-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the equality (\*), we use the following formula by Ikeda-Naruse [5, Lemma 2.4]:

$$(21) \quad \text{Pf} \left( \frac{T_i - T_j}{T_i \oplus T_j} \right)_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \frac{T_i - T_j}{T_i \oplus T_j}.$$

Putting  $z_i = u_i^{-1}$  and taking the coefficients of  $z_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots z_r^{\lambda_r}$ , we obtain  $GQ_\lambda(x) = \langle 0|e^{\mathcal{H}^{(\beta)}}|\lambda\rangle_Q$ .  $\square$

**5.2. Fermionic presentation of  $GP_\lambda$ .** Define  $|\lambda\rangle_P \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\text{even}}$  by

$$(22) \quad |\lambda\rangle_P = \begin{cases} \Phi_{\lambda_1}^{(\beta)} e^\Theta \Phi_{\lambda_2}^{(\beta)} e^\Theta \cdots \Phi_{\lambda_r}^{(\beta)} e^\Theta |0\rangle & (r : \text{even}) \\ \Phi_{\lambda_1}^{(\beta)} e^\Theta \Phi_{\lambda_2}^{(\beta)} e^\Theta \cdots \Phi_{\lambda_r}^{(\beta)} e^\Theta \phi_0^{(\beta)} e^\Theta |0\rangle & (r : \text{odd}) \end{cases}.$$

**Theorem 5.2.** *The  $K$ -theoretic  $P$ -function  $GP_\lambda(x)$  is expressed as*

$$GP_\lambda(x) = \langle 0|e^{\mathcal{H}^{(\beta)}}|\lambda\rangle_P = \Omega(|\lambda\rangle_P).$$

*Proof.* This theorem is given by comparing the definition of  $\Phi^{(\beta)}(z)$  (Definition 3.3) and the generating function

$$(23) \quad GP_\lambda(x) = [u_1^{-\lambda_1} \cdots u_r^{-\lambda_r}] \prod_i \frac{1}{2 + \beta u_i} \frac{1}{1 + \beta u_i} \prod_{i,j} \frac{u_i \oplus x_j}{u_i \ominus x_j} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \frac{u_j \ominus u_i}{u_j \oplus u_i},$$

which was introduced in [16, §4.1]<sup>2</sup>.  $\square$

<sup>2</sup>In [16, §4.1], Nakagawa-Naruse presented the generating function

$$HP_\lambda(x) = HP_\lambda(x|\mathbf{0}) = [\mathbf{u}^{-\lambda}] \prod_i \frac{u_i}{u_i +_{\mathbb{L}} [t] \bar{u}_i} \cdot \frac{1}{\mathcal{I}^{\mathbb{L}}(u_i)} \cdot \prod_{i,j} \frac{u_i +_{\mathbb{L}} [t] \bar{x}_j}{u_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \bar{x}_j} \cdot \prod_{j < i} \frac{u_i +_{\mathbb{L}} \bar{u}_j}{u_i +_{\mathbb{L}} [t] \bar{u}_j}$$

of the universal  $P$ -function  $HP_\lambda(x)$ . Here,  $HP_\lambda(x|b)$  is the universal factorial  $P$ -function. For the  $K$ -theory setting, we substitute  $HP_\lambda(x) \mapsto GP_\lambda(x)$ ,  $x +_{\mathbb{L}} y \mapsto x \oplus y$ ,  $\mathcal{I}^{\mathbb{L}}(u) \mapsto 1 + \beta u$ ,  $[t] \bar{u} \mapsto u$  to obtain (23).

6.  $gp_\lambda$  AND  $gq_\lambda$ 

In this section, we present a fermionic description of the dual functions  $gp_\lambda, gq_\lambda$ . Comparing (1) with Lemma 3.6, we find that  $gp_\lambda$  and  $gq_\lambda$  are unique elements of  $g\Gamma$  satisfying the duality

$$\langle GQ_\lambda, gp_\mu \rangle = \langle GP_\lambda, gq_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda, \mu}.$$

**6.1. Fermionic presentation of  $gq_\lambda$ .** Define  $|\lambda\rangle_q \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}$  by

$$|\lambda\rangle_q := \begin{cases} \phi_{\lambda_1}^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} \phi_{\lambda_2}^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} \dots \phi_{\lambda_r}^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} |0\rangle & (r : \text{even}) \\ \phi_{\lambda_1}^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} \phi_{\lambda_2}^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} \dots \phi_{\lambda_r}^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} \phi_0^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} |0\rangle & (r : \text{odd}) \end{cases}.$$

**Theorem 6.1.** *The dual  $K$ -theoretic  $Q$ -function  $gq_\lambda(x)$  is expressed as*

$$gq_\lambda(x) = \langle 0 | e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} | \lambda \rangle_q = \chi(|\lambda\rangle_q).$$

Let  ${}_P\langle \mu | := (|\mu\rangle_P)^*$  for a strict partition  $\mu$ . We prove Theorem 6.1 by showing the orthonormality  ${}_P\langle \mu | \lambda \rangle_q = \delta_{\lambda, \mu}$  (see (17)). For strictly decreasing sequences  $\lambda = (\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_r \geq 0)$  and  $\mu = (\mu_1 > \mu_2 > \dots > \mu_s \geq 0)$ , define the two vectors  $|\mu\rangle \in \mathcal{F}^*$  and  $|\lambda\rangle \in \mathcal{F}$  by

$$|\mu\rangle = \begin{cases} \langle 0 | e^\theta (\Phi_{\mu_r}^{(\beta)})^* \dots e^\theta (\Phi_{\mu_2}^{(\beta)})^* e^\theta (\Phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^* & (\mu_r > 0) \\ \langle 0 | e^\theta (\phi_0^{(\beta)})^* e^\theta (\Phi_{\mu_{r-1}}^{(\beta)})^* \dots e^\theta (\Phi_{\mu_2}^{(\beta)})^* e^\theta (\Phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^* & (\mu_r = 0) \end{cases},$$

$$|\lambda\rangle = \phi_{\lambda_1}^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} \phi_{\lambda_2}^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} \dots \phi_{\lambda_r}^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} |0\rangle.$$

The desired equation is now equivalent to

$$(24) \quad (\mu | \lambda) = \delta_{\lambda, \mu},$$

where  $\lambda, \mu$  are strictly decreasing sequences of non-negative integers.

**Lemma 6.2.** *We have the following:*

- (A) *If  $n > 0$ , then  $\langle 0 | e^\theta (\phi_0^{(\beta)})^* \cdot \phi_n^{[\beta]} = 0$ .*
- (B) *If  $\mu \neq \emptyset$  and  $n > \mu_1$ , then  $(\mu | \phi_n^{[\beta]}) = 0$ .*
- (C) *If  $\lambda \neq \emptyset$  and  $m > \lambda_1$ , then  $(\Phi_m^{(\beta)})^* | \lambda) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* (A): If  $n = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | e^\theta (\phi_0^{(\beta)})^* \cdot \phi_1^{[\beta]} &= \langle 0 | e^\theta \left\{ [(\phi_0^{(\beta)})^*, \phi_1^{[\beta]}]_+ - \phi_1^{[\beta]} (\phi_0^{(\beta)})^* \right\} \\ &= \beta \cdot \langle 0 | e^\theta - \langle 0 | e^\theta \phi_1^{[\beta]} (\phi_0^{(\beta)})^* \quad (\text{Lemma 3.2}) \\ &= \beta \cdot \langle 0 | e^\theta - \langle 0 | (\phi_1^{[\beta]} + \beta \phi_0^{[\beta]}) (\phi_0^{(\beta)} - \beta \phi_1^{(\beta)} + \beta^2 \phi_2^{(\beta)} - \dots)^* e^\theta \quad (\text{Cor. 4.3}) \\ &= \beta \cdot \langle 0 | e^\theta - \beta \cdot \langle 0 | e^\theta \quad (\text{Eqs. (7), (8)}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

For  $n > 1$  case, (A) follows immediately from  $[(\phi_0^{(\beta)})^*, \phi_n^{[\beta]}]_+ = 0$  and the annihilation rule (7).

(B): We prove (B) by induction on  $s \geq 1$ . For  $s = 1$  and  $\mu_1 = 0$  case, (B) is nothing but (A). For  $s = 1$  and  $\mu_1 > 0$  case, (B) follows from

$$(\mu | \phi_n^{[\beta]}) = \langle 0 | e^\theta (\Phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^* \phi_n^{[\beta]} \stackrel{\text{Lemma 3.4}}{=} -\langle 0 | e^\theta \phi_n^{[\beta]} (\Phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^* \stackrel{\text{Cor 4.3}}{=} -\langle 0 | (\phi_n^{[\beta]} + \beta \phi_{n-1}^{[\beta]}) e^\theta (\Phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^* = 0,$$

where the last equality follows from (7). For general  $s > 1$ , let  $\mu' = (\mu_2 > \dots > \mu_s \geq 0)$ . Then, we have

$$(\mu | \phi_n^{[\beta]}) = (\mu' | e^\theta (\Phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^* \phi_n^{[\beta]} = -(\mu' | e^\theta \phi_n^{[\beta]} (\Phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^* = -(\mu' | (\phi_n^{[\beta]} + \beta \phi_{n-1}^{[\beta]}) e^\theta (\Phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^* = 0,$$

where the last equality follows from the induction hypothesis.

(C): (C) follows from the fact that  $|\lambda\rangle$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ -linear combination of elements of the form  $\phi_{n_1}^{[\beta]} \phi_{n_2}^{[\beta]} \dots \phi_{n_r}^{[\beta]} |0\rangle$  with  $m > n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_r \geq 0$  (see Corollary 4.3 (2)).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 6.1.* Let  $E = (\mu|\lambda)$ . Note that  $E$  is automatically 0 when  $r + s$  is odd.

(i) Assume  $s = 0$ . We have  $E = \langle 0|0\rangle = 1$  if  $r = 0$ . We have  $E = 0$  if  $r = 1$  since  $r + s$  is odd. If  $r \geq 2$ , since  $\lambda_1$  must be positive, we have  $E = (\emptyset|\lambda) = 0$  by the annihilation rule (7).

(ii) When  $s > 0$  and  $r = 0$ , we can show  $E = 0$  in a similar manner to (i).

(iii) For general  $r, s \geq 0$ , we prove the theorem by induction on  $s \geq 0$ . If  $\mu_1 < \lambda_1$ ,  $E = 0$  follows from Lemma 6.2 (B). If  $\mu_1 > \lambda_1$ ,  $E = 0$  follows from Lemma 6.2 (C). Assume  $\mu_1 = \lambda_1$ . If  $\mu_1 = \lambda_1 = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \langle 0|e^\theta (\phi_0^{(\beta)})^* \phi_0^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} |0\rangle \\ &\stackrel{\text{Cor. 4.3}}{=} \left\langle (\phi_0^{(\beta)} - \beta \phi_1^{(\beta)} + \beta^2 \phi_2^{(\beta)} - \dots)^* (\phi_0^{[\beta]} + \beta \phi_1^{[\beta]}) \right\rangle \stackrel{(7)}{=} \left\langle (\phi_0^{(\beta)})^* \phi_0^{[\beta]} \right\rangle = 1. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\mu_1 = \lambda_1 > 0$ , letting  $\lambda' = (\lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_r \geq 0)$  and  $\mu' = (\mu_2 > \dots > \mu_s \geq 0)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} E &= (\mu|\lambda) = (\mu'|e^\theta (\Phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^* \phi_{\lambda_1}^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} |\lambda') \\ &= (\mu'|e^\theta \{1 - \phi_{\lambda_1}^{[\beta]} (\Phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^*\} e^{-\theta} |\lambda') \quad (\text{Lemma 3.4}) \\ &= (\mu'|\lambda') - (\mu'|e^\theta \phi_{\lambda_1}^{[\beta]} (\Phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^* e^{-\theta} |\lambda') \\ &= (\mu'|\lambda') - (\mu'|e^\theta \phi_{\lambda_1}^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} (\Phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)} - \beta \Phi_{\mu_1+1}^{(\beta)} + \dots)^* |\lambda') \quad (\text{Cor. 4.3}). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6.2 (C), the second term of the last expression is 0. Therefore,  $E = (\mu'|\lambda')$ . By induction hypothesis, we conclude  $E = \delta_{\mu, \lambda}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 6.3.** Let  $gq_n(x) = gq_{(n)}(x)$  and  $gq(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} gq_n(x) z^n$ . Then, we have

$$(25) \quad gq(z) = \left\langle e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} \phi^{[\beta]}(z) \phi_0 \right\rangle = \prod_i \frac{1 - x_i \bar{z}}{1 - x_i z}.$$

Equivalently, we have

$$(26) \quad e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} \phi^{[\beta]}(z) e^{-\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} = gq(z) \cdot \phi^{[\beta]}(z).$$

**6.2. Generating function of  $gq_\lambda$ .** We can derive a generating function of  $gq_\lambda(x)$  from Theorem 6.1. Assume  $r$  to be even. From Theorem 6.1, we have

$$gq_\lambda = [z_1^{\lambda_1} \dots z_r^{\lambda_r}] \left\langle e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} \phi^{[\beta]}(z_1) e^{-\theta} \phi^{[\beta]}(z_2) e^{-\theta} \dots \phi^{[\beta]}(z_r) e^{-\theta} \right\rangle.$$

By Wick's theorem (5), the expectation value on the right hand side is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} &\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{(1 + \beta z_i)^{i-1}} \left\langle e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} \phi^{[\beta]}(z_1) \phi^{[\beta]}(z_2) \dots \phi^{[\beta]}(z_r) \right\rangle \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{(1 + \beta z_i)^{i-1}} \cdot \text{Pf} \left( \langle e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} \phi^{[\beta]}(z_i) \phi^{[\beta]}(z_j) \rangle \right)_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{(1 + \beta z_i)^{i-1}} \cdot \text{Pf} \left( gq(z_i) gq(z_j) \langle \phi^{[\beta]}(z_i) \phi^{[\beta]}(z_j) \rangle \right)_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \quad (\text{Eq. (26)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$(27) \quad = \text{Pf} \left( \frac{gq(z_i)}{(1 + \beta z_i)^{i-1}} \frac{gq(z_j)}{(1 + \beta z_j)^{j-1}} \cdot \frac{z_i - z_j}{z_i \oplus z_j} \right)_{1 \leq i < j \leq r}.$$

By using Ikeda-Naruse's formula (21), we find that the Pfaffian (27) equals to

$$\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{gq(z_i)}{(1 + \beta z_i)^{i-1}} \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \frac{z_i - z_j}{z_i \oplus z_j} = \prod_{i=1}^r gq(z_i) \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \frac{z_i \ominus z_j}{z_i \oplus z_j}.$$

Finally, we obtain the desired generating function

$$(28) \quad gq_\lambda = [z_1^{\lambda_1} \dots z_r^{\lambda_r}] \prod_{i=1}^r gq(z_i) \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \frac{z_i \ominus z_j}{z_i \oplus z_j},$$

where the rational function on the right hand side expands in the field

$$\mathbb{Q}(\beta)[x_1, x_2, \dots]((z_1))((z_2)) \cdots ((z_r)).$$

The generating function (28) was firstly conjectured in [15, Conjecture 5.3]. Since the right hand side of (28) admits the substitution  $\lambda_r = 0$ , we obtain  $gq_{(\lambda, 0)} = gq_\lambda$ .

**6.3. Fermionic description of  $gp_\lambda$ .** We now proceed to the dual  $K$ - $Q$ -function  $gp_\lambda$ . Unexpectedly, a fermionic description of  $gp_\lambda$  is significantly different from that of  $gq_\lambda$ . By analogy, one may expect the symmetric function

$$(29) \quad gp'_\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} \langle e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} \Phi_{\lambda_1}^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} \Phi_{\lambda_2}^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} \dots \Phi_{\lambda_r}^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} \rangle & (r : \text{even}) \\ \langle e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} \Phi_{\lambda_1}^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} \Phi_{\lambda_2}^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} \dots \Phi_{\lambda_r}^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} \phi_0^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} \rangle & (r : \text{odd}) \end{cases}$$

to be a possible candidate for  $gp_\lambda(x)$ . However, we have

$$(30) \quad \langle GQ_\lambda, gp'_\mu \rangle \neq \delta_{\lambda, \mu},$$

which contradicts the expectation. This difference is due to the fact that the operators  $\Phi_n^{[\beta]}, \Phi_{-n}^{(\beta)}$  ( $n > 0$ ) do *not* annihilate the vacuum vectors (see (12)). For instance, we have the inequality

$$\langle GQ_\emptyset, gp'_n \rangle = \langle e^\theta (\phi_0^{(\beta)})^* \Phi_n^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} \rangle = \frac{(-\beta)^n}{2^{n+1}} \langle e^\theta (\phi_0^{(\beta)})^* \phi_0^{[\beta]} e^{-\theta} \rangle = \frac{(-\beta)^n}{2^{n+1}} \neq 0.$$

To address the issue, we introduce the new vector  $|\lambda\rangle_p \in \mathcal{F}$  by

$$|\lambda\rangle_p = (\Phi_{\lambda_1}^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^{\lambda_1}) e^{-\theta} (\Phi_{\lambda_2}^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^{\lambda_2}) e^{-\theta} \dots e^{-\theta} (\Phi_{\lambda_r}^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^{\lambda_r}) (\phi_0 + 1) |0\rangle$$

for a strict partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_r > 0)$ . We should note that  $|\lambda\rangle_p$  is *not* an element of  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}$ .

**Theorem 6.4.** *For a strict partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_r > 0)$ , we have*

$$(31) \quad gp_\lambda(x) = \langle 0 | e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} |\lambda\rangle_p.$$

Recall that, if  $\langle v | \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}^*$  and  $|w\rangle \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{odd}}$ , the expectation value  $\langle v | w \rangle$  vanishes automatically. Let  $|\lambda\rangle_p = |\lambda_{\text{even}}\rangle_p + |\lambda_{\text{odd}}\rangle_p$  be the unique decomposition satisfying  $|\lambda_{\text{even}}\rangle_p \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{even}}$  and  $|\lambda_{\text{odd}}\rangle_p \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{odd}}$ . As  $\langle 0 | e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} |\lambda_{\text{odd}}\rangle_p = 0$ , (31) is equivalent to  $gp_\lambda(x) = \langle 0 | e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} |\lambda_{\text{even}}\rangle_p$ . On the other hand, we have  $Q \langle \mu | \lambda \rangle_p = Q \langle \mu | \lambda_{\text{even}} \rangle_p$  by the same reason. Henceforth, Theorem 6.4 is equivalent to

$$(32) \quad Q \langle \mu | \lambda \rangle_p = \delta_{\lambda, \mu}, \quad (\forall \mu).$$

For a decreasing sequence  $\mu = (\mu_1 > \dots > \mu_s \geq 0)$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle \mu | &:= \langle 0 | (\phi_0 + 1) e^\theta (\phi_{\mu_s}^{(\beta)})^* \dots e^\theta (\phi_{\mu_2}^{(\beta)})^* e^\theta (\phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^*, \\ |\lambda\rangle\rangle &:= (\Phi_{\lambda_1}^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^{\lambda_1}) e^{-\theta} (\Phi_{\lambda_2}^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^{\lambda_2}) e^{-\theta} \dots (\Phi_{\lambda_r}^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^{\lambda_r}) |0\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\langle(\phi_0 + 1)X\rangle = \langle X(\phi_0 + 1)\rangle$  for any  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ , (32) is equivalent to

$$(33) \quad \langle\langle\mu|\lambda\rangle\rangle = \delta_{\lambda,\mu}.$$

**Lemma 6.5.** *We have the following:*

- (A). If  $\mu = \emptyset$  and  $n \geq 0$ , then  $\langle\langle\emptyset|(\Phi_n^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^n)\rangle\rangle = 0$ .
- (B). If  $\mu \neq \emptyset$  and  $n > \mu_1$ , then  $\langle\langle\mu|(\Phi_n^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^n)\rangle\rangle = 0$ .
- (C). If  $\lambda \neq \emptyset$  and  $m > \lambda_1$ , then  $\langle\langle\phi_m^{(\beta)*}|\lambda\rangle\rangle = 0$ .

*Proof.* (A): It is straightforward to derive

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle\emptyset|(\Phi_n^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^n)\rangle\rangle &= \langle 0|(\phi_0 + 1)(\Phi_n^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^n) \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^n \langle 0|(\phi_0 + 1)(\phi_0 - 1) \rangle \quad (\text{Eq. (11)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^n \langle 0|(\phi_0^2 - 1) \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

(B): For any  $s \geq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle\mu|(\Phi_n^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^n)\rangle\rangle &= \langle\langle\mu'|e^\theta(\phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^*(\Phi_n^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^n)\rangle\rangle = \langle\langle\mu'|e^\theta(-\Phi_n^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^n)(\phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^*\rangle\rangle \\ &= \langle\langle\mu'|(-\Phi_n^{[\beta]} - \beta\Phi_{n-1}^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^n)e^\theta(\phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^*\rangle\rangle \quad (\text{Cor. 4.3 (1)}). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$-\Phi_n^{[\beta]} - \beta\Phi_{n-1}^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^n = -(\Phi_n^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^n) - \beta(\Phi_{n-1}^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^{n-1}),$$

we know that  $\langle\langle\mu|(\Phi_n^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^n)\rangle\rangle$  is a linear combination of  $\langle\langle\mu'|(\Phi_n^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^n)\rangle\rangle$  and  $\langle\langle\mu'|(\Phi_{n-1}^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^{n-1})\rangle\rangle$ . We now prove (B) by induction on  $s \geq 1$ . For  $s = 1$ , the desired equation reduces to (A). For general  $s > 1$ , (B) immediately follows from the above fact and the induction hypothesis.

(C): This claim is proved in a similar way to the proof of Lemma 6.2 (C).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 6.4.* Let  $E = \langle\langle\mu|\lambda\rangle\rangle$ .

(i) Assume  $s = 0$ . If  $r = 0$ , we have  $E = \langle 0|(\phi_0 + 1)|0\rangle = 1$ . If  $r > 0$ , we have  $E = 0$  from Lemma 6.5 (A).

(ii) When  $r = 0$  and  $s > 0$ , we have  $E = 0$  from Lemma 6.5 (C).

(iii) For general  $s, r > 0$ , we prove (33) by induction on  $s$ . If  $\mu_1 < \lambda_1$ , then  $E = 0$  by Lemma 6.5 (B). If  $\mu_1 > \lambda_1$ , then  $E = 0$  by Lemma 6.5 (C). For the case when  $\mu_1 = \lambda_1 (> 0)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \langle\langle\mu'|e^\theta(\phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^*(\Phi_{\lambda_1}^{[\beta]} - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^{\lambda_1})e^{-\theta}|\lambda'\rangle\rangle \\ &= \langle\langle\mu'|e^\theta\left[(\phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^*, \Phi_{\lambda_1}^{[\beta]} \right]_+ e^{-\theta}|\lambda'\rangle\rangle - \langle\langle\mu'|e^\theta\Phi_{\lambda_1}^{[\beta]}(\phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^*e^{-\theta}|\lambda'\rangle\rangle - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^{\lambda_1} \langle\langle\mu'|e^\theta(\phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^*e^{-\theta}|\lambda'\rangle\rangle \\ &= \langle\langle\mu'|\lambda'\rangle\rangle - \langle\langle\mu'|e^\theta\Phi_{\lambda_1}^{[\beta]}(\phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^*e^{-\theta}|\lambda'\rangle\rangle - \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\beta}{2})^{\lambda_1} \langle\langle\mu'|e^\theta(\phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)})^*e^{-\theta}|\lambda'\rangle\rangle \quad (\text{Lemma 3.4}), \end{aligned}$$

in which the last two terms equal to 0 because

$$\langle\langle\phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)*}e^{-\theta}|\lambda'\rangle\rangle = e^{-\theta}(\phi_{\mu_1}^{(\beta)} - \beta\phi_{\mu_1+1}^{(\beta)} + \dots)^*|\lambda'\rangle \stackrel{\text{Lemma 6.5 (C)}}{=} 0.$$

Then, we have  $E = \langle\langle\mu'|\lambda'\rangle\rangle = \delta_{\lambda,\mu}$  by induction hypothesis.  $\square$

**6.4. Generating function of  $gp_\lambda$ .** From Theorem 6.4, we have

$$gp_\lambda = [z_1^{\lambda_1} \dots z_r^{\lambda_r}] \left\langle e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} \left( \Phi^{[\beta]}(z_1) - \frac{1}{2 + \beta z_1} \right) e^{-\theta} \dots e^{-\theta} \left( \Phi^{[\beta]}(z_r) - \frac{1}{2 + \beta z_r} \right) (\phi_0 + 1) \right\rangle.$$

By Wick's theorem (5), the expectation value on the right hand side is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{2 + \beta z_i} \left\langle e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} \left( \phi^{[\beta]}(z_1) - 1 \right) e^{-\theta} \dots e^{-\theta} \left( \phi^{[\beta]}(z_r) - 1 \right) (\phi_0 + 1) \right\rangle \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{2 + \beta z_i} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq a \leq r \\ i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_a}} (-1)^{r-a} \left\langle e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} e^{-(i_1-1)\theta} \phi^{[\beta]}(z_{i_1}) e^{-(i_2-i_1)\theta} \phi^{[\beta]}(z_{i_2}) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \dots e^{-(i_a-i_{a-1})\theta} \phi^{[\beta]}(z_{i_a}) (\phi_0 + 1) \right\rangle \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{2 + \beta z_i} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq a \leq r \\ i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_a}} (-1)^{r-a} \prod_{\kappa=1}^a \frac{1}{(1 + \beta z_{i_\kappa})^{i_\kappa-1}} \left\langle e^{\mathcal{H}^{[\beta]}} \phi^{[\beta]}(z_{i_1}) \dots \phi^{[\beta]}(z_{i_a}) (\phi_0 + 1) \right\rangle \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{2 + \beta z_i} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq a \leq r \\ i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_a}} (-1)^{r-a} \prod_{\kappa=1}^a \frac{gq(z_{i_\kappa})}{(1 + \beta z_{i_\kappa})^{i_\kappa-1}} \prod_{i_\kappa < i_\theta} \frac{z_{i_\kappa} - z_{i_\theta}}{z_{i_\kappa} \oplus z_{i_\theta}} \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{2 + \beta z_i} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq a \leq r \\ i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_a}} (-1)^{r-a} \prod_{\kappa=1}^a \frac{gq(z_{i_\kappa})}{(1 + \beta z_{i_\kappa})^{i_\kappa-\kappa}} \prod_{i_\kappa < i_\theta} \frac{z_{i_\kappa} \ominus z_{i_\theta}}{z_{i_\kappa} \oplus z_{i_\theta}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we obtain the desired generating function

$$(34) \quad gp_\lambda = [z_1^{\lambda_1} \dots z_r^{\lambda_r}] \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{2 + \beta z_i} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq a \leq r \\ i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_a}} (-1)^{r-a} \prod_{\kappa=1}^a \frac{gq(z_{i_\kappa})}{(1 + \beta z_{i_\kappa})^{i_\kappa-\kappa}} \prod_{1 \leq \kappa < \theta \leq a} \frac{z_{i_\kappa} \ominus z_{i_\theta}}{z_{i_\kappa} \oplus z_{i_\theta}}.$$

If we substitute  $\lambda_{r+1} = 0$  on the right hand side of (34), we obtain  $gp_{(\lambda,0)} = 0$ .

**Example 6.6.** Let  $gp_n = gp_{(n)}$  for the one-row partition  $\lambda = (n)$ . From (34), the generating function of  $gp_n$  is

$$(35) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} gp_n z^n = \frac{1}{2 + \beta z} (gq(z) - 1).$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] TH Baker. Symmetric function products and plethysms and the boson-fermion correspondence. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 28(3):589, 1995.
- [2] Yu-Cheng Chiu and Eric Marberg. Expanding  $K$ -theoretic Schur  $Q$ -functions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.08993*, 2021.
- [3] Etsuro Date, Michio Jimbo, and Tetsuji Miwa. Method for generating discrete soliton equations. V. *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan*, 52(3):766–771, 1983.
- [4] Thomas Hudson, Takeshi Ikeda, Tomoo Matsumura, and Hiroshi Naruse. Degeneracy loci classes in  $K$ -theory — determinantal and Pfaffian formula. *Advances in Mathematics*, 320:115–156, 2017.
- [5] Takeshi Ikeda and Hiroshi Naruse.  $K$ -theoretic analogues of factorial Schur  $P$ - and  $Q$ -functions. *Advances in Mathematics*, 243:22–66, 2013.
- [6] Shinsuke Iwao. Grothendieck polynomials and the boson-fermion correspondence. *Algebraic Combinatorics*, 3(5):1023–1040, 2020.
- [7] Shinsuke Iwao. Free-fermions and skew stable Grothendieck polynomials. *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics*, 56(2):493–526, 2022.
- [8] Shinsuke Iwao. Neutral-fermionic presentation of the  $K$ -theoretic  $Q$ -function. *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics*, 55(2):629–662, 2022.
- [9] Shinsuke Iwao. Free fermions and schur expansions of multi-schur functions. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 198:105767, 2023.

- [10] Shinsuke Iwao, Kohei Motegi, and Travis Scrimshaw. Free-fermions and canonical Grothendieck polynomials. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.05002*, 2022.
- [11] Michio Jimbo and Tetsuji Miwa. Solitons and infinite dimensional Lie algebras. *Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences*, 19(3):943–1001, 1983.
- [12] Joel Brewster Lewis and Eric Marberg. Combinatorial formulas for shifted dual stable Grothendieck polynomials. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.03551*, 2022.
- [13] Ian G Macdonald. *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*. Oxford university press, 1998.
- [14] Masaki Nakagawa and Hiroshi Naruse. Generalized (co)homology of the loop spaces of classical groups and the universal factorial Schur  $P$ - and  $Q$ -functions. *Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics*, 71:337–417, 12 2016.
- [15] Masaki Nakagawa and Hiroshi Naruse. The universal factorial Hall-Littlewood  $P$ - and  $Q$ -functions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.04791*, 2017.
- [16] Masaki Nakagawa and Hiroshi Naruse. Universal factorial Schur  $P, Q$ -functions and their duals. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1812.03328*, 2018.

#### APPENDIX A. EXAMPLES OF DUAL $K$ - $P$ -FUNCTIONS AND DUAL $K$ - $Q$ -FUNCTIONS

In this appendix, we demonstrate how to obtain  $gq_\lambda$  and  $gp_\lambda$  from our generating functions (28) and (34) for simplest cases. For  $N > 0$ , denote  $\mathbf{x}_N = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N, 0, 0, \dots)$ .

A.1.  $gq_n$ . For the single variable case  $x = (x, 0, 0, \dots)$ , (25) implies

$$(36) \quad gq_n(x) = 2x^n - \beta x^{n-1} + \beta^2 x^{n-2} - \dots + (-\beta)^{n-1} x, \quad gq_0(x) = 1.$$

For general  $\mathbf{x}_N = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N, 0, 0, \dots)$ ,  $gq_n(\mathbf{x}_N)$  is obtained by the branching rule

$$gq_n(\mathbf{x}_N) = \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_N = n} gq_{i_1}(x_1) \cdots gq_{i_N}(x_N),$$

which follows from (25). For example, when  $N = 2$ , we have

$$(37) \quad \begin{aligned} gq_1(x, y) &= 2x + 2y, \\ gq_2(x, y) &= 2x^2 + 4xy + 2y^2 - \beta x - \beta y, \\ gq_3(x, y) &= 2x^3 + 4x^2y + 4xy^2 + 2y^2 - \beta x^2 - 4\beta xy - \beta y^2 + \beta^2 x + \beta^2 y. \end{aligned}$$

A.2.  $gq_\lambda$  for  $\ell(\lambda) \leq 3$ . In the field  $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)((z))((w))((t))$ , the rational function  $\frac{z \ominus w}{z \oplus w} \frac{z \ominus t}{z \oplus t} \frac{w \ominus t}{w \oplus t}$  expands as

$$(38) \quad \begin{aligned} &1 - (2z^{-1} + 2\beta)w + (2z^{-2} + 5\beta z^{-1} + 3\beta^2)w^2 + \dots \\ &- \{2w^{-1} - 2z^{-1} - (2\beta z^{-1} + 2\beta^2)w + (4\beta z^{-2} + 8\beta^2 z^{-1} + 4\beta^3)w^2 + \dots\} t + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Let  $[l, m, n] = gq_l(\mathbf{x}_N) gq_m(\mathbf{x}_N) gq_n(\mathbf{x}_N)$ . From (28) and (38), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} gq_{(l, m, n)}(\mathbf{x}_N) &= [l, m, n] - 2[l + 1, m - 1, n] - 2\beta[l, m - 1, n] + 2[l + 2, m - 2, n] + 5\beta[l + 1, m - 2, n] \\ &+ 3\beta^2[l, m - 2, n] - 2[l, m + 1, n - 1] + 2[l + 1, m, n - 1] + 2\beta[l + 1, m - 1, n - 1] \\ &+ 2\beta^2[l, m - 1, n - 1] - 4\beta[l + 2, m - 2, n - 1] - 8\beta^2[l + 1, m - 2, n - 1] - 4\beta^3[l, m - 2, n - 1] + \dots \end{aligned}$$



By substituting (36) to this equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 gq_{(2,1)}(x, y) &= 4x^2y + 4xy^2 - 4\beta x^2 - 4\beta xy - 4\beta y^2, \\
 gq_{(3,1)}(x, y) &= 4x^3y + 8x^2y^2 + 4xy^3 - 4\beta x^3 - 10\beta x^2y - 10\beta xy^2 \\
 &\quad - 4\beta y^3 + 2\beta^2 x^2 + 2\beta^2 xy + 2\beta^2 y^2, \\
 (39) \quad gq_{(3,2)}(x, y) &= 4x^2y^3 + 4x^3y^2 - 10\beta x^3y - 16\beta x^2y^2 - 10\beta xy^3 + 6\beta^2 x^2y + 9\beta^2 xy^2 \\
 &\quad + 6\beta^2 x^3 - \beta^3 x^2 - \beta^3 xy - \beta^3 y^2, \\
 gq_{(3,2,1)}(x, y) &= -16\beta x^2y^3 - 16\beta x^3y^2 + 16\beta^2 x^3y + 16\beta^2 x^2y^2 + 16\beta^2 xy^3 \\
 &\quad - 8\beta^3 x^3 - 8\beta^3 x^2y - 8\beta^3 xy^2 - 8\beta^3 y^3.
 \end{aligned}$$

**Remark A.1.** Even when  $\lambda$  is not strict,  $gq_\lambda(x, y)$  can be non-trivial. For example, we have

$$gq_{(-1,1)}(x, y) = -2, \quad gq_{(0,1)}(x, y) = -2x - 2y - 2\beta, \quad gq_{(1,1)}(x, y) = -2\beta x - 2\beta y.$$

By degree counting, we can prove  $gq_{(l,m,n)} = 0$  unless  $l + m + n \geq 0$ .

A.3.  $gp_n$  for  $n \leq 3$ . From (35), we obtain

$$gp_n(\mathbf{x}_N) = \frac{1}{2}gq_n(\mathbf{x}_N) - \frac{\beta^2}{4}gq_{n-1}(\mathbf{x}_N) + \cdots + \left(-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^n gq_1(\mathbf{x}_N).$$

For  $N = 1, 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 gp_n(x) &= x^n - \beta x^{n-1} + \beta^2 x^{n-2} - \cdots + (-\beta)^{n-1} x, \\
 gp_1(x, y) &= x + y, \\
 gp_2(x, y) &= x^2 + 2xy + y^2 - \beta x - \beta y, \\
 gp_3(x, y) &= x^3 + 2x^2y + 2xy^2 + y^3 - \beta x^2 - 3\beta xy - \beta y^2 + \beta^2 x + \beta^2 y.
 \end{aligned}$$

A.4.  $gp_{(m,n)}$  for  $\ell(\lambda) \leq 2$ . From the generating function (34) for  $r = 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 gp_{(m,n)}(\mathbf{x}_N) &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{i+j} gq_{(m-i,n-j)}(\mathbf{x}_N) - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{n+i} gq_{m-i}(\mathbf{x}_N) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^m \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-\beta)^j \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{j+1}}\right) gq_{n-j}(\mathbf{x}_N) + \frac{1}{4} \left(-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{m+n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By substituting (39) to this equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (40) \quad gp_{(2,1)}(x, y) &= x^2y + xy^2 - \beta x^2 - \beta xy - \beta y^2, \\
 gp_{(3,1)}(x, y) &= x^3y + 2x^2y^2 + xy^3 - \beta x^3 - 3\beta x^2y - 3\beta xy^2 - \beta y^3, \\
 gp_{(3,2)}(x, y) &= x^3y^2 + x^2y^3 - 3\beta x^3y - 5\beta x^2y^2 - 3\beta xy^3 \\
 &\quad + 2\beta^2 x^3 + 4\beta^2 x^2y + 4\beta^2 xy^2 + 2\beta^2 y^3 - \beta^3 x^2 - \beta^3 xy - \beta^3 y^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

A.5.  $gp_{(3,2,1)}(x, y)$ . From the generating function (34) for  $r = 3$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
gp_{(l,m,n)}(\mathbf{x}_N) &= \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i,j,k=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{i+j+k} gq_{(l-i,m-j,n-k)}(\mathbf{x}_N) - \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{n+i+j} gq_{(l-i,m-j)}(\mathbf{x}_N) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,k=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{m+i} (-\beta)^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}\right) gq_{(l-i,n-k)}(\mathbf{x}_N) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^l \sum_{j,k=0}^{\infty} (-\beta)^{j+k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{j+1}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}\right) gq_{(m-j,n-k)}(\mathbf{x}_N) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{m+n+i} gq_{l-i}(\mathbf{x}_N) + \frac{1}{4} \left(-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{l+n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-\beta)^j \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{j+1}}\right) gq_{m-j}(\mathbf{x}_N) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4} \left(-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{l+m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-\beta)^k \left(k + \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}\right) gq_{n-k}(\mathbf{x}_N) - \frac{1}{8} \left(-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{l+m+n}.
\end{aligned}$$

By substituting (39), we obtain

(41)

$$gp_{(3,2,1)}(x, y) = -2\beta x^3 y^2 - 2\beta x^2 y^3 + 2\beta^2 x^3 y + 2\beta^2 x^2 y^2 + 2\beta^2 x y^3 - \beta^3 x^3 - \beta^3 x^2 y - \beta^3 x y^2 - \beta^3 y^3.$$

**Remark A.2.** *In the paper [12], Lewis-Marberg provided a combinatorial description of  $qp_\lambda$  and  $gq_\lambda$  using shifted set-valued tableaux. We can verify that the expressions (37), (39), (40), and (41) consistent with their combinatorial description.*

(S. Iwao) FACULTY OF BUSINESS AND COMMERCE, KEIO UNIVERSITY, HIYOSI 4-1-1, KOHOKU-KU, YOKOHAMA, KANAGAWA 223-8521, JAPAN

Email address: iwao-s@keio.jp