

A characterization of regular partial cubes whose all convex cycles have the same lengths

Yan-Ting Xie¹, Yong-De Feng^{1, 2}, Shou-Jun Xu^{1, *}

¹ School of Mathematics and Statistics, Gansu Center for Applied Mathematics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, Gansu 730000, China

² College of Mathematics and Systems Science, Xinjiang University, Urumqi, Xinjiang 830046, P.R. China

Abstract

Partial cubes are graphs that can be isometrically embedded into hypercubes. Convex cycles play an important role in the study of partial cubes. In this paper, we prove that a regular partial cube is a hypercube (resp., a doubled Odd graph, an even cycle of length $2n$ where $n \geq 4$) if and only if all its convex cycles are 4-cycles (resp., 6-cycles, $2n$ -cycles). In particular, the partial cubes whose all convex cycles are 4-cycles are equivalent to almost-median graphs, so we obtain that regular almost-median graphs are exactly hypercubes, which generate the result by Mulder [J. Graph Theory, 4 (1980) 107–110]—regular median graphs are hypercubes.

Keywords: Hypercubes; Partial cubes; Almost-median graphs; Regularity; Convex cycles.

1 Introduction

A graph is called a *partial cube* if it can be embedded into a hypercube isometrically, which is introduced by Graham and Pollak [6] as a model for interconnection networks and later for other applications. We refer the readers to two books [7, 10] and a semi-survey [20] for research on partial cubes.

Regular graphs are graphs that each of its vertices has the same degree. Many important graph classes are subclasses of regular graphs, such that vertex-transitive graphs and distance-regular graphs, etc. Moreover, many models for interconnection networks can be understood as regular graphs, like hypercubes. Since partial cubes are graphs inheriting metric properties from hypercubes, finding the regular partial cubes is an interesting problem. For the general case, Klavžar and Shpectorov [11] showed that the variety of regular partial cubes, even the variety of cubic ones, is too big to allow an explicit classification, so it's necessary to find the regular partial cubes under some given conditions. In 1992, Weichsel [22] studied the problem among distance-regular graphs and characterized all distance-regular partial cubes. For vertex-transitive graphs, Marc [15] focused on cubic vertex-transitive graphs and gave the characterization of cubic vertex-transitive partial cubes. Then, Marc [16] studied on

¹The work is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11571155, 12071194, 11961067).

*Corresponding author. E-mail address: shjxu@lzu.edu.cn (S.-J. Xu).

mirror graphs, a class of vertex-transitive partial cubes, and proved that the mirror graphs are equivalent to the Cayley graphs of a finite Coxeter group with canonical generators and the tope graphs of a reflection arrangement. Most recently, we studied this problem among Cayley graphs generated by transpositions and proved bubble sort graphs are the only graph class of partial cubes in these Cayley graphs [23]. We also characterized regular 2-arc-transitive partial cubes in [24].

First, we give the definition of a class of graphs—doubled Odd graphs. Denote $[n]$ as the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\binom{[n]}{k}$ ($k \leq n$) as the set of all k -subsets in $[n]$. For $k \geq 1$, the *doubled Odd graph* [4], denoted by \tilde{O}_k , is the bipartite graph with two parts $\binom{[2k-1]}{k-1}$ and $\binom{[2k-1]}{k}$, where two vertices $u \in \binom{[2k-1]}{k-1}$ and $v \in \binom{[2k-1]}{k}$ are adjacent if and only if $u \subset v$. It's easy to see \tilde{O}_k is a k -regular partial cube.

In a general graph G , every cycle of G can be obtained as a symmetric difference of isometric cycles [17]. For partial cubes, there is a stronger property stating that convex cycles in a partial cube form a basis of its cycle space [8]. Therefore, convex cycles play an important role in the study of partial cubes. Klavžar and Shpectorov [13] studied the density of convex cycles in partial cubes and obtained an Euler-type inequality related with the number of vertices and edges, isometric dimension and lengths of every convex cycles.

Motivated by the idea about convex cycles in partial cubes and the fact that all convex cycles in hypercubes (resp., doubled Odd graphs) are 4-cycles (resp., 6-cycles), we obtain the following result in the present paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a finite, regular partial cube other than K_1, K_2 . Then*

- (i) *all convex cycles of G are 4-cycles if and only if G is isomorphic to a hypercube Q_k ($k \geq 2$);*
- (ii) *all convex cycles of G are 6-cycles if and only if G is isomorphic to a doubled Odd graph \tilde{O}_k ($k \geq 2$);*
- (iii) *all convex cycles of G are $2n$ -cycles if and only if G is isomorphic to an even cycle C_{2n} ($n \geq 4$).*

A corollary of (i) of Theorem 1.1 is about almost-median graph, which is a natural generalization of median graphs. A graph G is called a *median graph* if, for every three vertices u, v, w of G , there exists a unique vertex, called the *median* of u, v, w , that lies on the shortest paths between each pair of u, v, w simultaneously. Median graphs can also be characterized as partial cubes for which, for each edge uv , two associated vertex subsets U_{uv} and U_{vu} are convex (see Section 2 for the detail definition of U_{uv}). *Almost-median graphs* (resp., *semi-median graphs*) were introduced in [9] as those partial cubes for whose all vertex subsets U_{uv} and U_{vu} mentioned above are isometric (resp., connected).

Let's denote $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{P}$ as the classes of hypercubes, median graphs, almost-median graphs, semi-median graphs and partial cubes, respectively. Then we have

$$\mathcal{H} \subsetneq \mathcal{M} \subsetneq \mathcal{A} \subsetneq \mathcal{S} \subsetneq \mathcal{P}.$$

In the study of the relations between the five graph classes, Imrich et al. [3] proved that a semi-median graph is a median graph if and only if it contains no Q_3^- as a convex subgraph, where Q_3^- is the graph obtained by removing one vertex from the cube graph Q_3 . Brešar [2] obtained that a semi-median graph is an almost-median graph if and only if all its convex cycles are 4-cycles. Klavžar and Shpectorov extended Brešar's result to the class of partial cubes, i.e.,

Proposition 1.2. [12] *Let G be a partial cube. G is an almost-median graph if and only if every convex cycle of G is 4-cycle.*

About the relation between \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{M} , Mulder [19] proved that a median graph G is regular if and only if G is a hypercube in 1980s. Combined with (i) of Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.2, we obtain the following result, which is a generalization of Mulder's result.

Theorem 1.3. *Let G be a finite, almost-median graph. G is k -regular if and only if $G \cong Q_k$.*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some terminology and lemmas. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we conclude the paper by giving some future problems.

2 Preliminaries

In this paper all graphs are undirected, finite and simple. Let G be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$, the degree of v , denoted by $\deg(v)$, is the number of the neighbors of v in G . If $\deg(v) = k$ for all $v \in V(G)$, we say G is k -regular.

The *length* of a path (resp., a cycle) is its number of edges. A path (resp., a cycle) of length l is called l -path (resp., l -cycle). The length of the shortest cycle in G is called the *girth* of G , denoted by $g(G)$. Let $P = v_0v_1 \cdots v_n$ be a path and $C = u_0u_1 \cdots u_mu_0$ a cycle of G . Denote v_iPv_j ($0 \leq i < j \leq n$) (resp., $u_iu_{i+1}Cu_j$ ($0 \leq i, j \leq m$)) as the subpath $v_iv_{i+1} \cdots v_j$ (resp., $u_iu_{i+1} \cdots u_j$) on P (resp., C).

For $u, v \in V(G)$, the *distance* $d_G(u, v)$ (We will drop the subscript G if no confusion can occur) is the length of the shortest path between u and v in G . We call a shortest path from u to v a u, v -geodesic. A subgraph H of G is called *isometric* if for any $u, v \in V(H)$, $d_H(u, v) = d_G(u, v)$, and further, if for any $u, v \in V(H)$, all u, v -geodesics are contained in H , we call H a *convex* subgraph of G . Obviously, the convex subgraph of G is isometric, and the isometric subgraph of G is induced.

A *hypercube of dimension n* (or n -cube for short), denoted by Q_n , is a graph whose vertex set is corresponding to the set of 0-1 sequences $a_1a_2 \dots a_n$ with $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Two vertices are adjacent if the corresponding 0-1 sequences differ in exactly one digit. A graph G is called *partial cube* if it is isomorphic to an isometric subgraph of Q_n for some n .

The *Djoković-Winkler relation* (see [5, 21]) Θ is a binary relation on $E(G)$ defined as follows: Let $e := uv$ and $f := xy$ be two edges in G , $e \Theta f \iff d(u, x) + d(v, y) \neq d(u, y) + d(v, x)$. If G is bipartite, there is another equivalent definition of Djoković-Winkler relation: $e \Theta f \iff d(u, x) = d(v, y)$ and $d(v, x) = d(u, y)$. Winkler proved that

Proposition 2.1. [21] *Let G be a connected graph. G is a partial cube if and only if G is bipartite and Θ is an equivalence relation on $E(G)$.*

Let G be a partial cube. We call the equivalence class on $E(G)$ Θ -class. For $e = uv \in E(G)$, let F_{uv} (it can also be written as F_e) be the Θ -class containing uv . Denote $W_{uv} := \{w \in V(G) | d(u, w) < d(v, w)\}$ and $U_{uv} := \{w \in V(G) | w \in W_{uv} \text{ and } w \text{ is incident with an edge in } F_{uv}\}$.

The following simple propositions about partial cubes will be useful:

Proposition 2.2. [18] *Let G be a graph and C an isometric even cycle in it. Then each pair of antipodal edges of C are in relation Θ .*

Proposition 2.3. [10] *Let G be a partial cube with a path P in it. P is a geodesic in G if and only if no two distinct edges on it are in relation Θ . In particular, two adjacent edges in a partial cube can't be in relation Θ .*

Proposition 2.4. [24] *Let G be a partial cube and C a shortest cycle in it. Then C is convex.*

In what follows, we introduce some terminology and a lemma about partial cubes further, which originate from [14].

Definition 2.5. [14] Let $v_1u_1 \Theta v_2u_2$ in partial cube G with $u_2 \in U_{u_1v_1}$. Let C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n be a sequence of isometric cycles such that u_1v_1 lies in C_1 , u_2v_2 lies in C_n , each pair C_i and C_{i+1} ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$) intersects exactly one edge which is in $F_{u_1v_1}$ and all other pairs don't intersect. If the shortest path from v_1 to v_2 on the union of C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n is a shortest v_1, v_2 -path in G , we call the sequence of cycles C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n the *traverse* from u_1v_1 to u_2v_2 . We call the shortest v_1, v_2 -path (resp., u_1, u_2 -path) on the union of C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n the v_1, v_2 -*side* (resp., u_1, u_2 -*side*) of the traverse. If all cycles on a traverse are convex, we call the traverse *convex traverse*.

Lemma 2.6. [14] Let $u_0v_0 \Theta u_mv_m$ hold in partial cube G . If $P = u_0u_1u_2 \dots u_m$ is a geodesic, then either P is the u_0, u_m -side of a convex traverse from u_0v_0 to u_mv_m , or there exists a convex cycle C of the form $u_iw_{i+1}w_{i+2} \dots w_{j-1}u_ju_{j-1} \dots u_{i+1}u_i$ for some $0 \leq i < j-1 \leq m-1$ and the vertices $w_{i+1}, w_{i+2}, \dots, w_{j-1}$ not on P .

Remark. u_i, u_j are a pair of antipodal vertices of C in Lemma 2.6. We say that u_iPu_j is one half of C and the other half of C is not on P .

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Now, we prove Theorem 1.1.

3.1 Proof of (i) of Theorem 1.1

Firstly, in order to prove (i) of Theorem 1.1, we need some new definitions. Let G be a partial cube and v a vertex in G . We define $\mathcal{F}(v)$ as the family of Θ -classes which contain an edge incident with v , that is, $\mathcal{F}(v) := \{F_e | e \text{ is incident with } v\}$.

Definition 3.1. Let G be a partial cube, $P := v_0v_1 \dots v_l$ ($l \geq 1$) a path in G and $e_i := v_{i-1}v_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$. We call P of *type-I* if it satisfies that $\mathcal{F}(v_0) \setminus \mathcal{F}(v_1) \neq \emptyset$, $\mathcal{F}(v_l) \setminus \mathcal{F}(v_{l-1}) \neq \emptyset$ and $F_{e_{i+1}} \not\subseteq \mathcal{F}(v_{i-1})$, $F_{e_i} \not\subseteq \mathcal{F}(v_{i+1})$ for $1 \leq i \leq l-1$.

Secondly, we obtain the following lemma about paths of type-I.

Lemma 3.2. Let G be a partial cube whose all convex cycles are 4-cycles, $P := v_0v_1 \dots v_l$ ($l \geq 1$) a path in G and $e_i := v_{i-1}v_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$. If P is of type-I, then P is a geodesic.

Proof. When $l = 1$, it is trivial. Now we prove the lemma when $l \geq 2$.

By contradiction. Assume P is not a geodesic. By Proposition 2.3, there exist integers s, t such that $e_s \Theta e_t$ for $1 \leq s < t \leq l$. Choose s, t such that $t - s$ is as small as possible, then $t - s \neq 1$, by Proposition 2.3. In fact, $t - s \neq 2$, otherwise $F_{e_s} \in \mathcal{F}(v_{s+1})$ and $F_{e_{s+2}} \in \mathcal{F}(v_s)$, a contradiction with the definition of path of type-I. Thus, $t - s \geq 3$ and $l \geq 4$. By the choice of s, t , $F_{e_i} \neq F_{e_j}$ for any $s+1 \leq i < j \leq t-1$, so $P' := v_s P v_{t-1}$ is a v_s, v_{t-1} -geodesic by Proposition 2.3. According to Lemma 2.6, we consider two cases.

Case 1. P' is the v_s, v_{t-1} -side of a convex traverse T from e_s to e_t .

In this case, assume $T = (C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m)$, then all of C_i ($1 \leq i \leq m$) are 4-cycles and further v_{s-1}, v_s, v_{s+1} are on C_1 . Assume $C_1 = v_{s-1}v_s v_{s+1}xv_{s-1}$. By Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 2.4, $e_s \Theta xv_{s+1}$. Thus, $F_{e_s} \in \mathcal{F}(v_{s+1})$, a contradiction with the definition of path of type-I.

Case 2. There exists a convex cycle C such that exactly one half of C is on P' .

Since C is convex, C is a 4-cycle. Assume $C = v_{p-1}v_p v_{p+1}yv_{p-1}$ for some $s+1 \leq p \leq t-2$, then $e_p \Theta v_{p+1}y$, $e_{p+1} \Theta v_{p-1}y$. Thus, $F_{e_p} \in \mathcal{F}(v_{p+1})$, $F_{e_{p+1}} \in \mathcal{F}(v_{p-1})$, a contradiction with the definition of path of type-I. \square

Finally, we prove (i) of Theorem 1.1.

Proof (i) of Theorem 1.1. Sufficiency. Since Q_k is an almost-median graph, every convex cycle of Q_k is a 4-cycle by Proposition 1.2.

Necessity. Assume G is k -regular. Since G is not K_1 or K_2 , we obtain that $k \geq 2$. When $k = 2$, it is trivial. Now, we prove the case of $k \geq 3$. First, we prove $\text{idim}(G) = k$.

Let v be a vertex in G . By Proposition 2.3, $|\mathcal{F}(v)| = \deg(v) = k$, so there are at least k different Θ -classes and further $\text{idim}(G) \geq k$. By contradiction assume $\text{idim}(G) > k$, that is, there are at least $k + 1$ different Θ -classes. In this case, there must exist two vertices v_0, v_1 such that $\mathcal{F}(v_0) \neq \mathcal{F}(v_1)$. Since G is connected, we can assume that v_0, v_1 are adjacent. Since $|\mathcal{F}(v_0)| = |\mathcal{F}(v_1)| = k$, we have $\mathcal{F}(v_0) \setminus \mathcal{F}(v_1) \neq \emptyset$, $\mathcal{F}(v_1) \setminus \mathcal{F}(v_0) \neq \emptyset$, and further the path v_0v_1 is a path of type-I of length 1. Therefore, paths of type-I must exist in G .

By Lemma 3.2, every path of type-I is a geodesic. Let $P := v_0v_1 \cdots v_l$ ($l \geq 1$) be a longest geodesic of type-I in G and $e_i := v_{i-1}v_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$. Since G is finite, l is finite. By the definition of path of type-I, there exists an edge e incident with v_l where $F_e \notin \mathcal{F}(v_{l-1})$. Let the other endpoint of e be v_{l+1} . Then $v_{l+1} \neq v_i$ ($0 \leq i \leq l-2$) because P is a geodesic. By our hypothesis, the path $v_0v_1 \cdots v_lv_{l+1}$ is not of type-I, then either $\mathcal{F}(v_{l+1}) = \mathcal{F}(v_l)$, or $\mathcal{F}(v_{l+1}) \neq \mathcal{F}(v_l)$ but $F_{e_l} \in \mathcal{F}(v_{l+1})$. Whichever the case is, $F_{e_l} \in \mathcal{F}(v_{l+1})$. Let e' be the edge incident with v_{l+1} such that $e' \Theta e_l$, and v_{l+2} the other endpoint of e' . Then $d(v_{l-1}, v_{l+2}) = d(v_l, v_{l+1}) = 1$, that is, $v_{l-1}, v_l, v_{l+1}, v_{l+2}$ compose a 4-cycle and further $e \Theta v_{l-1}v_{l+2}$, yielding the contradiction of $F_e \notin \mathcal{F}(v_{l-1})$. Thus, for all $v \in V(G)$, $\mathcal{F}(v)$ are equal, and further $\text{idim}(G) = k$.

Thus, G can be embedded in Q_k isometrically. Since Q_k is also k -regular, $G \cong Q_k$. \square

3.2 Proof of (ii) of Theorem 1.1

Firstly, we give a definition of paths of type-II, similar to paths of type-I.

Definition 3.3. Let G be a partial cube, $P := v_0v_1 \cdots v_l$ ($l \geq 3$) a path in G and $e_i := v_{i-1}v_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$. We call P of *type-II* if it satisfies that $F_{e_i} \notin \mathcal{F}(v_{i+2})$ and $F_{e_{i+2}} \notin \mathcal{F}(v_{i-1})$ for $1 \leq i \leq l-2$.

Secondly, we obtain the following lemma about paths of type-II. Note that if all convex cycles in a partial cube G are 6-cycles, then $g(G) = 6$ by Proposition 2.4.

Lemma 3.4. *Let G be a partial cube whose all convex cycles are 6-cycles and $P := v_0v_1 \cdots v_l$ ($l \geq 3$) a path in G . If P is a path of type-II, then P is a geodesic.*

Proof. When $l = 3$, P is a geodesic by $g(G) = 6$. Now, let's assume $l \geq 4$.

Firstly, we prove no subpath of P of length 3 is in a 6-cycle. By contradiction assume the 3-path $v_iv_{i+1}v_{i+2}v_{i+3}$ ($0 \leq i \leq l-3$) in a 6-cycle, denote as $v_iv_{i+1}v_{i+2}v_{i+3}y xv_i$. Then $v_{i+3}y \Theta v_{i+1}v_i$ and $v_ix \Theta v_{i+2}v_{i+3}$ by Proposition 2.2, a contradiction with the definition of path of type-II.

By contradiction assume P is not a geodesic. By Proposition 2.3, there exist e_s, e_t satisfying $e_s \Theta e_t$ for $1 \leq s < t \leq l$. We select s, t such that $e_s \Theta e_t$ and $t - s$ is as small as possible. If $t - s \leq 2$, it's a contradiction with Proposition 2.3 or $g(G) = 6$. Thus, $t - s \geq 3$. By the choice of s, t , $F_{e_i} \neq F_{e_j}$ for any $s+1 \leq i < j \leq t-1$, so $P' := v_s P v_{t-1}$ is a v_s, v_{t-1} -geodesic by Proposition 2.3. According to Lemma 2.6, we consider two cases.

Case 1. P' is the v_s, v_{t-1} -side of a convex traverse T from e_s to e_t .

Assume $T = (C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m)$ where C_i is 6-cycle for any $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then the subpath $v_{s-1}v_s v_{s+1}v_{s+2}$ is in C_1 , a contradiction.

Case 2. There exists a convex cycle C such that exactly one half of C is on P' .

Since C is convex, it's a 6-cycle. The half of C on P' is a subpath of P of length 3, a contradiction.

In conclusion, P is a geodesic. \square

Finally, we prove (ii) of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of (ii) of Theorem 1.1. Sufficiency. We can know that all convex cycles in a doubled Odd graph are 6-cycles in [24].

Necessity. Assume G is k -regular. we obtain that $k \geq 2$ similar to the proof of (ii) of Theorem 1.1. When $k = 2$, $G \cong C_6$. By definition of \tilde{O}_k , It's easy to see $\tilde{O}_2 \cong C_6$. The theorem is true. Now, we set $k \geq 3$.

Reviewing the proof of (ii) of Theorem 3.2 in [24], we can know that in order to prove $G \cong \tilde{O}_k$, it's only needed to prove every 3-path in G is in a 6-cycle. (In [24], we proved 'every 3-arc is in a 6-cycle'. The two claims are equivalent in bipartite graphs.)

By contradiction assume $v_0v_1v_2v_3$ is a 3-path not in any 6-cycles. Then $F_{v_0v_1} \notin \mathcal{F}(v_3)$ and $F_{v_2v_3} \notin \mathcal{F}(v_0)$, that is, $v_0v_1v_2v_3$ is a path of type-II. Thus, there exists at least one path of type-II in G . Since G is finite and the paths of type-II are geodesics by Lemma 3.4, we can choose $P := v_0v_1 \cdots v_l$ ($l \geq 3$) as the longest path of type-II and set $e_i := v_{i-1}v_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$. Obviously, l is finite. By definition of path of type-II, $F_{e_{l-2}} \notin \mathcal{F}(v_l)$. And further $F_{e_{l-1}} \notin \mathcal{F}(v_l)$ otherwise v_{l-2}, v_{l-1}, v_l are in a 4-cycle, a contradiction with $g(G) = 6$. Combined with the three conditions that $F_{e_{l-2}} \notin \mathcal{F}(v_l)$, $F_{e_{l-1}} \notin \mathcal{F}(v_l)$ and $|\mathcal{F}(v_{l-2})| = |\mathcal{F}(v_l)| = k$, there must exist an edge e incident with v_l besides e_l , which satisfies $F_e \notin \mathcal{F}(v_{l-2})$. Let v_{l+1} be the other endpoint of e . Then $v_{l+1} \neq v_i$ ($0 \leq i \leq l-1$) since P is a geodesic. Since P is the longest path of type-II, the path $v_0v_1 \cdots v_lv_{l+1}$ is not of type-II. By definition of path of type-II, we can obtain that $F_{e_{l-1}} \in \mathcal{F}(v_{l+1})$. Let e' be the edge incident with v_{l+1} satisfying that $e_{l-1} \Theta e'$ and the other endpoint v_{l+2} . Since P is a geodesic and $g(G) = 6$, $v_{l+2} \neq v_i$ ($0 \leq i \leq l$). Then $d(v_{l-2}, v_{l+2}) = d(v_{l-1}, v_{l+1}) = 2$. Set the common neighbor of v_{l-2}, v_{l+2} is v_{l+3} . Similarly, $v_{l+3} \neq v_i$ ($0 \leq i \leq l$ and $i \neq l-3$). Thus, $v_{l-2}v_{l-1}v_lv_{l+1}v_{l+2}v_{l+3}v_{l-2}$ is a 6-cycle, so it is convex by Proposition 2.4 and further $e \Theta v_{l+3}v_{l-2}$ by Proposition 2.2, a contradiction with $F_e \notin \mathcal{F}(v_{l-2})$.

Therefore, every 3-path in G is in a 6-cycle. Similar to the proof of (ii) of Theorem 3.2 in [24], we obtain that $G \cong \tilde{O}_k$. \square

3.3 Proof of (iii) of Theorem 1.1

Marc obtained the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5. [14] *Let Γ be a finite, regular partial cube with $g(\Gamma) > 6$, Then Γ is K_1 , K_2 or even cycle C_{2n} ($n \geq 4$).*

Now, we prove (iii) of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of (iii) of Theorem 1.1. By Proposition 2.4, $g(\Gamma) = 2n > 6$, thus (iii) can be obtained directly from Lemma 3.5. \square

4 Conclusion and problems

In this paper, we characterized the regular partial cubes whose all convex cycles have the same lengths as hypercubes. doubled Odd graphs and even cycles of lengths at least 8. As a corollary, we obtained that regular almost-median graphs are exactly hypercubes, which extended Mulder's result in [19], i.e., regular median graphs are hypercubes.

In [3], Brešar et al. introduced a class of graphs called *tiled graphs*: Let H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n be subgraphs of a graph G . The *symmetric sum* $H_1 \oplus H_2 \oplus \dots \oplus H_n$ is the subgraph of G induced by those edges of G that appear in an odd number of the subgraphs H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n . Let C be a cycle of G . Then a set of 4-cycles $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n\}$ is called the *tiling* of C if $C = C_1 \oplus C_2 \oplus \dots \oplus C_n$. A graph G is called *tiled* if every cycle of G has a tiling. It

was proved that almost-median graphs are tiled partial cubes and tiled partial cubes are semi-median graphs. A natural problem is whether regular tiled partial cubes or regular semi-median graphs are hypercubes.

Marc [15] conjectured that doubled Odd graphs are the only vertex-transitive partial cubes with girth 6. Combined with (ii) of Theorem 1.1 in the present paper, the future researchers only need to consider the following equivalent conjecture:

Conjecture 4.1. *If G is a vertex-transitive partial cube with $g(G) = 6$, then every convex cycle of G is 6-cycle.*

Acknowledgements: This work is partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants No. 12071194, 11571155, 11961067).

References

- [1] H. -J. Bandelt, H. M. Mulder, E. Wilkeit, Quasi-median graphs and algebras, *J. Graph Theory* 18 (1994) 681–703.
- [2] B. Brešar, Characterizing almost-median graphs, *European J. Combin.*, 28 (2007) 916–920.
- [3] B. Brešar, W. Imrich, S. Klavžar, H. M. Mulder, R. Škrekovski, Tiled partial cubes, *J. Graph Theory*, 40 (2002) 91–103.
- [4] A. E. Brouwer, A. M. Cohen, A. Neumaier, *Distance-Regular Graphs*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1989.
- [5] D. Ž. Djoković, Distance preserving subgraphs of hypercubes, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 14 (1973) 263–267.
- [6] R. L. Graham, H. Pollak, On the addressing problem for loop switching, *Bell System Tech. J.* 50 (1971) 2495–2519.
- [7] R. Hammack, W. Imrich, S. Klavžar, *Handbook of product graphs*, CRC press, 2011.
- [8] M. Hellmuth, J. Leydold, and P. Stadler, Convex cycle bases, *Ars Math. Contemp.*, 7 (2013) 123–140.
- [9] W. Imrich, S. Klavžar, A convexity lemma and expansion procedures for bipartite graphs, *European J. Combin.*, 19 (1998) 677–685.
- [10] W. Imrich, S. Klavžar, *Product Graphs: Structure and Recognition*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, USA, 2000.
- [11] S. Klavžar, S. Shpectorov, Tribes of cubic partial cubes, *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 9 (2007), 273–292.
- [12] S. Klavžar, S. Shpectorov, Characterizing almost-median graphs II, *Discrete Math.*, 312 (2012) 462–464.
- [13] S. Klavžar, S. Shpectorov, Convex excess in partial cubes, *J. Graph Theory*, 69 (2012) 356–369.
- [14] T. Marc, There are no finite partial cubes of girth more than 6 and minimum degree at least 3, *European J. Combin.*, 55 (2016) 62–72.
- [15] T. Marc, Classification of vertex-transitive cubic partial cubes, *J. Graph Theory*, 86 (2017) 406–421.
- [16] T. Marc, Mirror graphs: graph theoretical characterization of reflection arrangements and finite coxeter groups, *European J. Combin.*, 63 (2017) 115–123.
- [17] T. Marc, *Cycling in hypercubes*, PhD thesis, Univ. of Ljubljana, 2018.
- [18] M. Massow, *Linear extension graphs and linear extension diameter*, Dissertation, Technische Universität Berlin, 2009.

- [19] H. M. Mulder, n -cubes and median graphs, *J. Graph Theory*, 4 (1980) 107–110.
- [20] S. Ovchinnikov, Partial cubes: structures, characterizations, and constructions. *Discrete Math.* 308 (2008) 5597–5621.
- [21] P. M. Winkler, Isometric embedding in products of complete graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 7 (1984) 221–225.
- [22] P. M. Weichsel, Distance regular subgraphs of a cube, *Discrete Math.*, 109 (1992) 297–306.
- [23] Y.-T. Xie, Y.-D. Feng, S.-J. Xu, Hypercube embeddings and Cayley graphs generated by transpositions, *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.*, 45 (2022) 7227–7237.
- [24] Y.-T. Xie, Y.-D. Feng, S.-J. Xu, Characterization of 2-arc-transitive partial cubes, *Discrete Math*, 346 (2023) 113190.