

THE QUOTIENT SET OF THE QUADRATIC DISTANCE SET OVER FINITE FIELDS

ALEX IOSEVICH, DOOWON KOH, AND FIRDAVS RAKHMONOV

ABSTRACT. Let \mathbb{F}_q^d be the d -dimensional vector space over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q with q elements. For each non-zero r in \mathbb{F}_q and $E \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$, we define $W(r)$ as the number of quadruples $(x, y, z, w) \in E^4$ such that $Q(x - y)/Q(z - w) = r$, where Q is a non-degenerate quadratic form in d variables over \mathbb{F}_q . When $Q(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i^2$ with $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{F}_q^d$, Pham (2022) recently used the machinery of group actions and proved that if $E \subset \mathbb{F}_q^2$ with $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $|E| \geq Cq$, then we have $W(r) \geq c|E|^4/q$ for any non-zero square number $r \in \mathbb{F}_q$, where C is a sufficiently large constant, c is some number between 0 and 1, and $|E|$ denotes the cardinality of the set E .

In this article, we improve and extend Pham's result in two dimensions to arbitrary dimensions with general non-degenerate quadratic distances. As a corollary of our results, we also generalize the sharp results on the Falconer type problem for the quotient set of distance set due to the first two authors and Parshall (2019). Furthermore, we provide improved constants for the size conditions of the underlying sets.

The key new ingredient is to relate the estimate of the $W(r)$ to a quadratic homogeneous variety in $2d$ -dimensional vector space. This approach is fruitful because it allows us to take advantage of Gauss sums which are more handleable than the Kloosterman sums appearing in the standard distance type problems.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{F}_q^d , $d \geq 2$, be the d -dimensional vector space over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q with q elements. Throughout this paper, we assume that q is a power of odd prime p . Given a set E in \mathbb{F}_q^d , the distance set $\Delta(E)$ is defined by

$$\Delta(E) := \{ \|x - y\| \in \mathbb{F}_q : x, y \in E \},$$

where $\|\alpha\| = \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i^2$ for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{F}_q^d$.

In the finite field setting, the Falconer distance problem asks for the minimal exponent $\alpha > 0$ such that for any subset E of \mathbb{F}_q^d with $|E| \geq Cq^\alpha$, we have $|\Delta(E)| \geq cq$. Here, and throughout this paper, $C > 1$ denotes a sufficiently large constant, and $0 < c \leq 1$ denotes some constant independent of q and $|E|$, where $|E|$ denotes the cardinality of E . This problem was initially proposed by the first listed author and Rudnev [20] as a finite field analogue of the Falconer distance problem in the Euclidean space. We notice that the formulation of the finite field Falconer problem was also motivated on the Erdős distinct distances problem over finite fields due to Bourgain, Katz and Tao [5]. Hence, the problem is also called the Erdős-Falconer distance problem. We refer readers to [13, 18, 34, 16, 14, 15, 11, 12, 10] for precise definition, background knowledge, and recent progress on the Erdős distinct distances problem and the Falconer distance problem in the Euclidean setting.

As a strong version of the Erdős-Falconer distance problem, one can consider the Mattila-Sjölin distance problem over finite fields which is to determine the smallest threshold $\beta > 0$ such that any subset E of \mathbb{F}_q^d with $|E| \geq Cq^\beta$ generates all possible distances, namely, $\Delta(E) = \mathbb{F}_q$. Using Fourier analytic machinery and the Kloosterman sum estimate, the first listed author and Rudnev obtained the threshold $(d + 1)/2$ for all dimensions $d \geq 2$.

Theorem 1.1 (Iosevich and Rudnev, [20]). *Suppose that $E \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$, $d \geq 2$, and $|E| > 2q^{(d+1)/2}$. Then we have $\Delta(E) = \mathbb{F}_q$.*

The threshold $(d + 1)/2$ in Theorem 1.1 is the best currently known result on the Mattila-Sjölin distance problem over finite fields for all dimensions $d \geq 2$. It is considered as an extremely hard problem to improve

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 52C10, 05D99, 11T23.

Key words and phrases: Finite field, Quadratic distance, Quotient set

The research of the first listed author was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grant no. HDR TRIPODS -1934962 and by the NSF DMS-2154232. The second listed author was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (NO. RS-2023-00249597).

the $(d+1)/2$ result. Moreover, in the general setting of odd dimensions, it gives the optimal threshold, which was proven in [19].

However, in even dimensions, it has been believed that the exponent $(d+1)/2$ can be improved but a reasonable evidence or conjecture has not been stated in literature. In two dimensions, Murphy and Petridis [27] showed that the threshold cannot be lower than $4/3$ for the Mattila-Sjölin distance problem over finite fields.

On the other hand, the first listed author and Rudnev [20] conjectured that the threshold $(d+1)/2$ can be lower to $d/2$ for the Erdős-Falconer distance problem in even dimensions, and in two dimensions the threshold $4/3$ was proven by the authors in [6] (see also [3]). Furthermore, when q is prime, the exponent $4/3$ was improved to $5/4$ by Murphy, Petridis, Pham, Rudnev, and Stevenson [28]. We also notice that the threshold $(d+1)/2$ cannot be improved for the Erdős-Falconer distance problem in general odd dimensions (see also [19]).

The distance problems over finite fields have been extended in various directions. For example, Shparlinski [32] studied the size of the distance set between two sets in \mathbb{F}_q^d (see also [23, 25]). The generalized distance problems with polynomial distances were investigated by the second listed author and Shen [24] and Vinh [36]. Covert, the second listed author, and Pi [8] studied the size of the k -distance set. Hart and the first listed author [9] extended the distance problem to the k -simplices problem over finite fields (see also [2, 4, 29, 31, 35]). Shparlinski [33] addressed the Erdős-Falconer distance problem for the sum of the distance set, namely $\Delta(E) + \Delta(E)$ (see also [7, 22]).

Although lots of variants of the distance problems were extensively studied, the threshold $d/2$ for the set E in \mathbb{F}_q^d had not been addressed for any distance type problems until the first two authors and Parshall [21] studied the following Mattila-Sjölin problem for the quotient set of the distance set over finite fields:

Problem 1.2 (The Mattila-Sjölin problem for the quotient set of the distance set). Given a set E in \mathbb{F}_q^d , $d \geq 2$, the quotient set of the distance set, denoted by $\frac{\Delta(E)}{\Delta(E)}$, is defined by

$$\frac{\Delta(E)}{\Delta(E)} := \left\{ \frac{\|x-y\|}{\|z-w\|} : x, y, z, w \in E, \|z-w\| \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Determine the smallest exponent $\gamma > 0$ such that, for any set $E \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$ with $|E| \geq Cq^\gamma$, we have

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{\Delta(E)}{\Delta(E)} = \mathbb{F}_q.$$

The aforementioned authors obtained the threshold $d/2$ in even dimensions on the Mattila-Sjölin problem for the quotient set of the distance set over finite fields. More precisely, they proved the following result.

Theorem 1.3 (Theorems 1.1, 1.2, [21]). *Let $E \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$, $d \geq 2$. Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) *If $d \geq 2$ is even and $|E| \geq 9q^{d/2}$, then $\frac{\Delta(E)}{\Delta(E)} = \mathbb{F}_q$.*
- (ii) *If $d \geq 3$ is odd and $|E| \geq 6q^{d/2}$, then $\frac{\Delta(E)}{\Delta(E)} \supseteq (\mathbb{F}_q)^2$, where $(\mathbb{F}_q)^2 := \{a^2 : a \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$.*

We shall write \mathbb{F}_q^* for the set of all non-zero elements in \mathbb{F}_q .

As the main idea to deduce Theorem 1.3, the authors [21] first observed that for any $r \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, we have

$$r \in \frac{\Delta(E)}{\Delta(E)} \quad \text{if} \quad \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q} v(t)v(rt) > v^2(0),$$

where $v(t)$ is the number of the pairs $(x, y) \in E \times E$ such that $\|x-y\| = t$, namely,

$$(1.2) \quad v(t) := \sum_{\substack{x, y \in E: \\ \|x-y\|=t}} 1.$$

Next, using the discrete Fourier analysis, they estimated a lower bound of $\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q} v(t)v(rt)$ and an upper bound of $v^2(0)$. Finally, the required size condition on the sets E was obtained by comparing them. Although the method of the proof led to the optimal threshold result on the Mattila-Sjölin problem for the quotient set of the distance set, it has two drawbacks below, as mentioned by Pham [30].

- The proof is too sophisticated and it requires large amount of calculation.
- It is not clear from the proof that how many quadruples $(x, y, z, w) \in E^4$ contribute to producing each element $r \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ such that $\frac{\|x-y\|}{\|z-w\|} = r$, namely, $r \in \frac{\Delta(E)}{\Delta(E)}$.

As a way to overcome the above issues, Pham [30] utilized the machinery of group actions in two dimensions and obtained a lower bound of $V(r)$ for any square number r in \mathbb{F}_q^* , where $V(r)$ denotes the number of the quadruples $(x, y, z, w) \in E^4$ such that $\frac{\|x-y\|}{\|z-w\|} = r$, namely,

$$(1.3) \quad V(r) := \sum_{\substack{x, y, z, w \in E: \\ \frac{\|x-y\|}{\|z-w\|} = r}} 1.$$

As a consequence, he provided a short proof to deduce the following lower bound of $V(r)$ in two dimensions.

Theorem 1.4 (Theorem 1.2, [30]). *Let E be a subset of \mathbb{F}_q^2 . Suppose that $|E| \geq Cq$ with $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Then, for any non-zero square number r in \mathbb{F}_q^* , we have*

$$V(r) \geq \frac{c|E|^4}{q}.$$

In particular, we have $\frac{\Delta(E)}{\Delta(E)} \supseteq (\mathbb{F}_q)^2 := \{a^2 : a \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$.

Pham's approach, based on the group action, is powerful in the sense that it gives a simple proof and an information about a lower bound of $V(r)$. However, his result, Theorem 1.4, is limited to two dimensions with -1 non-square in \mathbb{F}_q , and it gives us no information about $V(r)$ for a non-square r in \mathbb{F}_q^* .

One of the main contributions of this paper is to improve and extend Pham's result to all dimensions for arbitrary finite fields. In particular, we will work on the problem with the non-degenerate quadratic distances which generalize the usual distance.

The other contribution is to provide significantly improved explicit constants for size conditions on the underlying sets. These are mainly based on our approach to view the problem for the set E in \mathbb{F}_q^d as that for the product set $E \times E$ associated with certain homogeneous variety of degree two in \mathbb{F}_q^{2d} (see Section 5). As a direct consequence of this method, we will also address a generalized version of Theorem 1.3, with more accurate constants.

To precisely state our main results, let us set up some definitions and notations related to the quadratic distance.

Definition 1.5 (Non-degenerate quadratic form). We identify a vector $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{F}_q^d$ with a $d \times 1$ matrix over \mathbb{F}_q . A non-degenerate quadratic form $Q(x)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 2 in $\mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_d]$, and is written by $Q(x) = x^\top A x = \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij} x_i x_j$ for some $d \times d$ symmetric matrix $A = [a_{ij}]$ with $\det(A) \neq 0$, where x^\top denotes the transpose of the column vector x and each entry a_{ij} of A belongs to \mathbb{F}_q .

Note that if A is the identity matrix, then $Q(x) = \|x\|$. Hence, $Q(x)$ generalizes the distance function $\|\cdot\|$. For $E \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$, the non-degenerate quadratic distance set $\Delta_Q(E)$ is defined by

$$\Delta_Q(E) := \{Q(x-y) : x, y \in E\} \subset \mathbb{F}_q.$$

The quotient set of the quadratic distance set $\Delta_Q(E)$ is defined as

$$\frac{\Delta_Q(E)}{\Delta_Q(E)} := \left\{ \frac{b}{a} \in \mathbb{F}_q : a, b \in \Delta_Q(E), a \neq 0 \right\}.$$

For $r \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, and $E \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$, define $W(r)$ as the number of quadruples $(x, y, z, w) \in E^4$ such that $\frac{Q(x-y)}{Q(z-w)} = r$, namely,

$$(1.4) \quad W(r) := \sum_{\substack{x, y, z, w \in E: \\ \frac{Q(x-y)}{Q(z-w)} = r}} 1.$$

Our main result is as follows.

Theorem 1.6. For $E \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d, d \geq 2$, let $W(r)$ be defined as in (1.4).

- (i) If d is even and $|E| \geq 4q^{\frac{d}{2}}$, then $W(r) \geq \frac{5|E|^4}{48q}$ for all r in \mathbb{F}_q^* . Moreover, the threshold $d/2$ is sharp for any finite field \mathbb{F}_q and all even dimensions $d \geq 2$.
- (ii) If d is odd and $|E| \geq 3q^{\frac{d}{2}}$, then $W(r) \geq \frac{2|E|^4}{45q}$ for all non-zero square numbers r in \mathbb{F}_q^* . Furthermore, the threshold $d/2$ cannot be lower for any finite field \mathbb{F}_q and all odd dimensions $d \geq 3$.
- (iii) If d is odd and $|E| \geq \frac{11}{6}q^{\frac{d+1}{2}}$, then $W(r) \geq \frac{2|E|^4}{363q}$ for all r in \mathbb{F}_q^* . In addition, the threshold $(d+1)/2$ cannot be improved for any finite field \mathbb{F}_q and all odd dimensions $d \geq 3$.

As a consequence of Theorem 1.6, we generalize Theorem 1.3 with accurate constants improved for the cardinality of sets.

Corollary 1.7. Let $E \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d, d \geq 2$, and $Q \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ be a non-degenerate quadratic form. Then the following statements are valid:

- (i) If d is even and $|E| \geq 4q^{d/2}$, then $\frac{\Delta_Q(E)}{\Delta_Q(E)} = \mathbb{F}_q$. Moreover, the exponent $d/2$ cannot be improved for arbitrary finite field \mathbb{F}_q and all even dimensions $d \geq 2$.
- (ii) If d is odd and $|E| \geq 3q^{d/2}$, then $\frac{\Delta_Q(E)}{\Delta_Q(E)} \supseteq (\mathbb{F}_q)^2$, where $(\mathbb{F}_q)^2 := \{a^2 : a \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$. In addition, the exponent $d/2$ is optimal for arbitrary finite field \mathbb{F}_q and all odd dimensions $d \geq 3$.
- (iii) If d is odd and $|E| \geq \frac{11}{6}q^{(d+1)/2}$, then $\frac{\Delta_Q(E)}{\Delta_Q(E)} = \mathbb{F}_q$. Furthermore, the threshold $(d+1)/2$ cannot be lower for any finite field \mathbb{F}_q and all odd dimensions $d \geq 3$.

Proof. We claim that the statements (i), (ii), (iii) of the corollary directly follow from those (i), (ii), (iii) of Theorem 1.6, respectively. To prove our claim, first observe that if $r \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, then $W(r) > 0$ if and only if $r \in \frac{\Delta_Q(E)}{\Delta_Q(E)}$. Combining this observation with Theorem 1.6 (i), (ii), (iii), the proof of the corollary is reduced to showing that $0 \in \frac{\Delta_Q(E)}{\Delta_Q(E)}$ under each assumption of Corollary (i), (ii), (iii). Since $r \in \frac{\Delta_Q(E)}{\Delta_Q(E)}$ for some non-zero r in \mathbb{F}_q^* , we can choose x, y, z, w in E such that $Q(x-y) \neq 0$ and $Q(z-w) \neq 0$. Hence, $0 = \frac{Q(x-x)}{Q(z-w)} \in \frac{\Delta_Q(E)}{\Delta_Q(E)}$, as required. \square

We have the following few comments on our results, Theorem 1.6 and Corollary 1.7.

- The results on Theorem 1.6 and Corollary 1.7 are independent of the choice of non-degenerate quadratic forms Q .
- Theorem 1.6 (i) improves and extends Theorem 1.4 to all even dimensions and arbitrary finite fields with the general non-degenerate quadratic distances. For instance, the theorem holds true and sharp without any further assumption such as the condition $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, which was necessary in proving Theorem 1.4 by using the group actions. In addition, our theorem provides explicit constants with small numbers, which are based on our different approaches to estimate the counting functions.
- Corollary 1.7 (i), (ii) clearly generalize Theorem 1.3 (i), (ii), respectively, with the improved constants. Notice that when $Q(x) = \|x\|^2$, the usual distance, the threshold $(d+1)/2$ in Corollary 1.7 (iii) follows immediately from Theorem 1.1. However, the constant $11/6$ for the set size in Corollary 1.7 cannot be obtained from it since $2 > 11/6$.
- In Section 4, we shall provide the sharpness examples for Theorem 1.6. In particular, we shall show that the thresholds in the statements (i), (ii), (iii) of Theorem 1.6 are sharp for any finite field without any further assumptions on the size of the ground field \mathbb{F}_q . In [21], some sharpness examples for Theorem 1.3 (i), (ii) were given but they work with some specific restriction to the size of \mathbb{F}_q .
- In the proof of Theorem 1.6, to efficiently estimate a lower bound of $W(r), r \neq 0$, we will work on the product set $E \times E$ in $2d$ -dimensions instead of the set $E \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$, and reduce our problem to

the estimate of the explicit Fourier transform on quadratic homogeneous varieties in $2d$ -dimensional vector spaces over \mathbb{F}_q . This method enables us to obtain improved constants on the size conditions of sets (see, for example, Section 5).

The rest of this paper will be organized to prove Theorem 1.6.

2. EQUIVALENT FORMS OF NON-DEGENERATE QUADRATIC FORMS

Let $Q(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ be a non-degenerate quadratic form. Then we can write $Q(x) = x^\top A x$ for some $d \times d$ matrix A with $\det(A) \neq 0$. Using a change of variables, by letting $x = Cy$ for some non-singular $d \times d$ matrix C , it follows that

$$Q(x) = Q(Cy) = (Cy)^\top A (Cy) = y^\top (C^\top A C) y.$$

Letting $B = C^\top A C$, we obtain a non-degenerate quadratic form $Q'(y) := Q(Cy) = y^\top B y$. In summary, after a non-singular change of variables, the non-degenerate quadratic form $Q(x)$ can be transformed to another non-degenerate quadratic form $Q'(x)$. In this case, we say that $Q(x)$ is equivalent to $Q'(x)$. Furthermore, it is not hard to observe that

$$\Delta_Q(E) = \Delta_{Q'}(E'),$$

where $E \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$ and $E' := \{C^{-1}x : x \in E\}$. In addition, note that $|E| = |E'|$, and the size assumption of any sets E is only considered as the main hypothesis for the distance type problems. Hence, without loss of generality, in the proof of Theorem 1.6 we may choose any non-degenerate quadratic form $Q'(x)$, which is equivalent to $Q(x)$, as a distance set.

Now we introduce a concrete quadratic form $Q'(x)$ equivalent to any non-degenerate quadratic form $Q(x)$. Let η denote the quadratic character of \mathbb{F}_q^* , that is, $\eta(t) = 1$ if t is a non-zero square number of \mathbb{F}_q , and $\eta(t) = -1$ if t is a non-square number of \mathbb{F}_q .

Lemma 2.1 ([1], Theorem 1 and [17], P.79). *Let A be a $d \times d$ symmetric matrix over \mathbb{F}_q with $\det(A) \neq 0$ and it is associated with a non-degenerate quadratic form $Q(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_d]$. Then the following statements are true:*

(i) *If d is even, then the $Q(x)$ is equivalent to*

$$(2.1) \quad Q'(x) := \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (-1)^{i+1} x_i^2 - \varepsilon x_d^2 = x_1^2 - x_2^2 + \dots + x_{d-1}^2 - \varepsilon x_d^2,$$

where the ε is taken as any element in \mathbb{F}_q^ such that $\eta((-1)^{\frac{d}{2}} \varepsilon) = \eta(\det(A))$.*

(ii) *If d is odd, then the $Q(x)$ is equivalent to*

$$(2.2) \quad Q'(x) := \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (-1)^{i+1} x_i^2 + \varepsilon x_d^2 = x_1^2 - x_2^2 + \dots + x_{d-2}^2 - x_{d-1}^2 + \varepsilon x_d^2,$$

where the ε is taken as any element in \mathbb{F}_q^ such that $\eta((-1)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \varepsilon) = \eta(\det(A))$.*

In the above lemma, note that if $\eta(\varepsilon) = 1$, we can simply choose $\varepsilon = 1$. On the other hand, if $\eta(-\varepsilon) = 1$, then we can take $-\varepsilon = 1$, namely, $\varepsilon = -1$.

Example 2.2. When $Q(x) = \|x\|$, it is clear that $\det(A) = 1$. If $d \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then, by Lemma 2.1 (i), $\eta(-\varepsilon) = 1$ and so $Q(x) = \|x\|$ can be transformed to the following form:

$$x_1^2 - x_2^2 + \dots + x_{d-1}^2 + x_d^2.$$

If $d \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then, by Lemma 2.1 (i), $\eta(\varepsilon) = 1$ and so $Q(x) = \|x\|$ can be transformed to the form below:

$$x_1^2 - x_2^2 + \dots + x_{d-1}^2 - x_d^2.$$

On the other hand, when d is odd, we can apply Lemma 2.1 (ii) to conclude that $Q(x) = \|x\|$ can be transformed to the quadratic form $x_1^2 - x_2^2 + \dots + x_{d-2}^2 - x_{d-1}^2 - x_d^2$ for $d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, and to the quadratic form $x_1^2 - x_2^2 + \dots + x_{d-2}^2 - x_{d-1}^2 + x_d^2$ for $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

For instance, we can consider the following simple, concrete example.

Example 2.3. Let $Q(x) = \|x\| \in \mathbb{F}_3[x_1, x_2, x_3]$. Then, by the above example, we know that $Q(x) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2$ is equivalent to $x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^2$. Now let us prove this fact by a direct non-singular linear substitution. To do this, we note that $Q(x) = x^\top I_3 x$, where I_3 denotes the 3×3 identity matrix. We use a change of variables, by letting $x = Cy$, where C is the 3×3 non-singular symmetric matrix defined as below:

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = C^\top.$$

It follows that

$$Q(x) = Q(Cy) = y^\top (C^\top I_3 C) y = y^\top (C^\top C) y = y^\top \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} y.$$

Since $2 \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$, we conclude that $Q(x) = \|x\|$ is equivalent to $x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^2$, as required.

As mentioned in the beginning of this section, we may assume that any non-degenerate quadratic form $Q(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ can be identified with $Q'(x)$ defined as in Lemma 2.1 (i), (ii). Thus, from now on, we fix the definition of the non-degenerate quadratic form $Q(x)$, which we will use as the standard distance for the non-degenerate quadratic form $Q(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_d]$.

Definition 2.4. (Standard distance functions and its dual functions) Let $Q(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ be a non-degenerate quadratic form and A be its associated matrix. Then we define the standard distance function $\|\cdot\|_Q$ and its dual function $\|\cdot\|_{Q^*}$ on \mathbb{F}_q^d as follows:

(i) If d is even, then

$$\|x\|_Q := \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (-1)^{i+1} x_i^2 - \varepsilon x_d^2 = x_1^2 - x_2^2 + \dots + x_{d-1}^2 - \varepsilon x_d^2,$$

$$\|m\|_{Q^*} := \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (-1)^{i+1} m_i^2 - \varepsilon^{-1} m_d^2 = m_1^2 - m_2^2 + \dots + m_{d-1}^2 - \varepsilon^{-1} m_d^2,$$

where the ε can be taken as any element in \mathbb{F}_q^* such that $\eta((-1)^{\frac{d}{2}} \varepsilon) = \eta(\det(A))$.

(ii) If d is odd, then

$$\|x\|_Q := \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (-1)^{i+1} x_i^2 + \varepsilon x_d^2 = x_1^2 - x_2^2 + \dots + x_{d-2}^2 - x_{d-1}^2 + \varepsilon x_d^2,$$

$$\|m\|_{Q^*} := \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (-1)^{i+1} m_i^2 + \varepsilon^{-1} m_d^2 = m_1^2 - m_2^2 + \dots + m_{d-2}^2 - m_{d-1}^2 + \varepsilon^{-1} m_d^2,$$

where the ε is taken as any element in \mathbb{F}_q^* such that $\eta((-1)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \varepsilon) = \eta(\det(A))$.

We also define the spheres with respect to the distances $\|\cdot\|_Q$ and $\|\cdot\|_{Q^*}$.

Definition 2.5. Let $t \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $Q(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ be a non-degenerate quadratic form. We define

$$(S_Q)_t := \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : \|x\|_Q = t\} \quad \text{and} \quad (S_{Q^*})_t := \{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : \|m\|_{Q^*} = t\}.$$

The variety $(S_Q)_t$ can be regarded as a sphere of radius t with the standard distance function $\|\cdot\|_Q$. The variety $(S_{Q^*})_t$ can be called the dual sphere of $(S_Q)_t$.

3. BASICS ON THE DISCRETE FOURIER ANALYSIS AND RELATED LEMMAS

The discrete Fourier analysis machinery will function as a main tool in proving Theorem 1.6. In this section, we introduce some basics on it without proofs and review main properties of the Gauss sum. In addition, we deduce a general counting lemma which will play a key role in the proof of our main theorem, Theorem 1.6.

3.1. Discrete Fourier analysis and Gauss sums. We begin by defining the canonical additive character of \mathbb{F}_q . Let q be a power of prime p , say that $q = p^\ell$. The absolute trace function $\text{Tr} : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ is well defined as $\text{Tr}(t) = t + t^p + t^{p^2} + \cdots + t^{p^{\ell-1}}$ (for example, see Section 3 of [26]).

Definition 3.1. (Canonical additive character and the quadratic character, [26]) The function χ defined by

$$\chi(c) = e^{2\pi i \text{Tr}(c)/p} \quad \text{for } c \in \mathbb{F}_q$$

is called the canonical additive character of \mathbb{F}_q . On the other hand, the multiplicative character η is a function $\mathbb{F}_q^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$\eta(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \text{ is a square number of } \mathbb{F}_q^*, \\ -1 & \text{if } t \text{ is not a square number of } \mathbb{F}_q^*. \end{cases}$$

Recall that $\eta(-1) = -1 \iff q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, and $\eta(-1) = 1 \iff q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ (Remark 5.13, [26]).

Both the additive character χ and the multiplicative character ψ enjoy the orthogonality property: For any $m \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, $n \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n} \chi(m \cdot x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \neq (0, \dots, 0) \\ q^n & \text{if } m = (0, \dots, 0), \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \eta(at) = 0 \quad \text{if } a \neq 0.$$

where $m \cdot x$ denotes the usual dot product notation.

For a function $f : \mathbb{F}_q^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the Fourier transform of f is defined as

$$\widehat{f}(m) := q^{-n} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n} \chi(-m \cdot x) f(x)$$

and the Fourier inversion theorem states that

$$f(x) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^n} \chi(m \cdot x) \widehat{f}(m).$$

The Plancherel theorem in this context says that

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^n} |\widehat{f}(m)|^2 = \frac{1}{q^n} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n} |f(x)|^2.$$

In particular, for any set $E \subset \mathbb{F}_q^n$, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^n} |\widehat{E}(m)|^2 = \frac{|E|}{q^n} = \widehat{E}(0, \dots, 0).$$

Here, and throughout this paper, we identify the set $E \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$ with the indicator function 1_E of the set E . In particular, when $E = (0, \dots, 0)$, we write $\delta_0(x)$ for the indicator function $1_E(x)$.

We now introduce Gauss sums.

Definition 3.2. Let χ, η denote the canonical additive character and the quadratic character of \mathbb{F}_q^* . The standard Gauss sum determined by χ and η is defined by

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(\eta, \chi) := \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \eta(t) \chi(t).$$

The following estimate is well-known (see [26]):

$$|\mathcal{G}| = \sqrt{q}.$$

The following theorem is very useful in finding an explicit value of the Fourier transform on the homogeneous variety.

Lemma 3.3. *Let \mathcal{G} denote the standard Gauss sum. Then we have*

$$\mathcal{G}^2 = \mathcal{G}(\eta, \chi)^2 = \eta(-1)q.$$

Proof. It is obvious that $\eta = \bar{\eta}$ and $\overline{\chi(t)} = \chi(-t)$. Therefore, it is seen by a change of variables that

$$\mathcal{G}(\eta, \chi) = \eta(-1)\overline{\mathcal{G}(\eta, \chi)}.$$

Hence, $\mathcal{G}(\eta, \chi)^2 = \eta(-1)|\mathcal{G}(\eta, \chi)|^2$. Since $|\mathcal{G}(\eta, \chi)| = \sqrt{q}$, we are done. \square

It is not hard to note that for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$,

$$\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi(at^2) = \eta(a)\mathcal{G}.$$

Completing the square and using a simple change of variables, the above formula can be generalized to the formula below: For any $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and any $b \in \mathbb{F}_q$, we have

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi(at^2 + bt) = \eta(a)\chi\left(\frac{b^2}{-4a}\right)\mathcal{G}.$$

By a change of variables and properties of the quadratic character η , it is not difficult to note that for $b \neq 0$, we have

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{s \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \eta(s)\chi(bs^{-1}) = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \eta(bs^{-1})\chi(s) = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \eta(bs)\chi(s) = \eta(b)\mathcal{G}.$$

3.2. General counting lemma. In order to prove Theorem 1.1, the first listed author and Rudnev [20] evaluated the values of the counting function $v(t)$ in (1.2) by relating it to the Fourier transform on $S_t := \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : \|x\| = t\}$, the sphere of radius $t \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. In this subsection, we formulate their work in the general setting, which will provide an initial step in estimating $W(r)$ in (1.4).

Now let us work on \mathbb{F}_q^n for an integer $n \geq 2$.

Lemma 3.4 (General counting lemma). *Let $P(\mathbf{x})$ be a polynomial function on \mathbb{F}_q^n . Consider an algebraic variety $V := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : P(\mathbf{x}) = 0\}$. Then, for every set $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^n$, we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{E}: \\ P(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})=0}} 1 = q^{2n} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n} \widehat{V}(\mathbf{m}) |\widehat{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{m})|^2.$$

Proof. The proof proceeds by modifying the method of the first listed author and Rudnev [20].

It follows that

$$\mathbf{w}(0) := \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{E}: \\ P(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})=0}} 1 = \sum_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n} V(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{x})\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{y}).$$

Applying the Fourier inversion theorem to the indicator function $V(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})$, we get

$$\mathbf{w}(0) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n} \widehat{V}(\mathbf{m})\chi(\mathbf{m} \cdot (\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}))\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{x})\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{y}).$$

Finally, applying the definition of the Fourier transform $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{m})$, the statement follows. \square

The following is a direct consequence of the general counting lemma.

Corollary 3.5. *Let $Q(x) = \|x\|_Q$ for $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^d$. Then, for every set $E \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$, we have*

$$w(0) := \sum_{\substack{x, y \in E: \\ Q(x-y)=0}} 1 = q^{2d} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} \widehat{(S_Q)_0}(m) |\widehat{E}(m)|^2.$$

Proof. By Definition 2.5, recall that

$$(S_Q)_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : Q(x) = 0\}.$$

Then the statement of the corollary immediately follows, because it is a special case of Lemma 3.4 when $n = d$, $V = (S_Q)_0$, $\mathcal{E} = E$, and $P = Q$. \square

4. SHARPNESS OF THEOREM 1.6

In this section, we provide sharpness examples for the threshold results on Theorem 1.6 (i), (ii), (iii). In order to construct such sets, it is enough to use the standard quadratic forms in Lemma 2.1 or Definition 2.4. This is because any non-degenerate quadratic forms that are equivalent yield the same result on the distance type problem.

4.1. The proof of sharpness of Theorem 1.6 (i). Let the dimension d be even. When $d \geq 4$, we define a set $E_1 \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{d-2}$ as

$$E_1 := \{(t_1, t_1, \dots, t_i, t_i, \dots, t_{(d-2)/2}, t_{(d-2)/2}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{d-2} : t_i \in \mathbb{F}_q, 1 \leq i \leq (d-2)/2\}.$$

Now if $d \geq 4$ is even, then define $E = E_1 \times \mathbb{F}_q \times \{0\} = \{(x', x_{d-1}, 0) \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : x' \in E_1, x_{d-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$, and if $d = 2$, then define $E = \mathbb{F}_q \times \{0\}$. Since d is even, the standard distance function is given by the form

$$Q(x) = \|x\|_Q = x_1^2 - x_2^2 + \dots + x_{d-1}^2 - \varepsilon x_d^2.$$

Now notice that $|E| = |E_1| |\mathbb{F}_q| = q^{d/2}$ and $\Delta_Q(E) = \{(a-b)^2 : a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q\} = (\mathbb{F}_q)^2$. Since the ratio of non-zero square numbers is also a square number in \mathbb{F}_q , it follows that $\frac{\Delta_Q(E)}{\Delta_Q(E)} = (\mathbb{F}_q)^2$. Since $(\mathbb{F}_q)^2 \subsetneq \mathbb{F}_q$, there is $r \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and a set $E \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$ with $|E| = q^{d/2}$ such that $r \notin \frac{\Delta_Q(E)}{\Delta_Q(E)}$, namely, $W(r) = 0$.

In short, the set E provides the desired sharpness example. Notice that, in our construction of the set E , we did not impose any specific assumptions on the underlying finite field \mathbb{F}_q .

4.2. The proof of sharpness of Theorem 1.6 (ii). Since $d \geq 3$ is odd, we adopt the following standard distance function in Definition 2.4:

$$(4.1) \quad Q(x) = \|x\|_Q = x_1^2 - x_2^2 + \dots + x_{d-2}^2 - x_{d-1}^2 + \varepsilon x_d^2.$$

We define a set $H \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{d-1}$ as

$$(4.2) \quad H := \{(t_1, t_1, \dots, t_i, t_i, \dots, t_{(d-1)/2}, t_{(d-1)/2}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{d-1} : t_i \in \mathbb{F}_q, 1 \leq i \leq (d-1)/2\}.$$

It is clear that $|H| = q^{(d-1)/2}$ and the Cartesian product of two sets H and $A \subset \mathbb{F}_q$ is defined by $H \times A := \{(x', x_d) \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : x' \in H, x_d \in A\}$. Letting $E = H \times A$, we see that $\Delta_Q(E) = \{\varepsilon(a-b)^2 : a, b \in A\}$ and so $\frac{\Delta_Q(E)}{\Delta_Q(E)} = \left\{ \frac{(a-b)^2}{(a'-b')^2} \in \mathbb{F}_q : a, b, a', b' \in A, a' \neq b' \right\} \subset (\mathbb{F}_q)^2$.

Let $q = p^\ell$ for an integer $\ell \geq 1$ and an odd prime p . Then one can consider the finite field \mathbb{F}_q as an ℓ -dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F}_p with a basis $\{1, \theta, \dots, \theta^{\ell-1}\}$, where θ is an algebraic element of degree ℓ over \mathbb{F}_p . For every $0 < \delta < 1/2$, we can choose an arithmetic progression $B_\delta \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ with $|B_\delta| \sim p^{1/2-\delta}$. Let us define the set $A_\delta := \{b_0 + b_1\theta + \dots + b_{\ell-1}\theta^{\ell-1} : b_0, \dots, b_{\ell-1} \in B_\delta\} \subset \mathbb{F}_q$ and it is easy to verify that $|A_\delta| = |B_\delta|^\ell \sim p^{\ell/2-\delta\ell} = q^{1/2-\delta}$. If we consider the difference set of A_δ which is defined as

$$A_\delta - A_\delta := \{(b_0 - b'_0) + (b_1 - b'_1)\theta + \dots + (b_{\ell-1} - b'_{\ell-1})\theta^{\ell-1} : b_0, b'_0, \dots, b_{\ell-1}, b'_{\ell-1} \in B_\delta\},$$

then $|A_\delta - A_\delta| = |B_\delta - B_\delta|^\ell \sim |B_\delta|^\ell \sim p^{\ell/2-\delta\ell}$. Here we have used the fact that $|B_\delta - B_\delta| \sim |B_\delta|$ since B_δ is an arithmetic progression. Therefore, we have shown that $|A_\delta - A_\delta| \sim |A_\delta|$.

Set $E_\delta = H \times A_\delta \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$. Then $|E_\delta| = |H| |A_\delta| \sim q^{\frac{d}{2}-\delta}$. Observe that $\Delta_Q(E_\delta) = \{\varepsilon(a-a')^2 \in \mathbb{F}_q : a, a' \in A_\delta\}$. Since $|A_\delta - A_\delta| \sim |A_\delta|$, we see that $|\Delta_Q(E_\delta)| \sim |A_\delta|$. Since $\left| \frac{\Delta_Q(E_\delta)}{\Delta_Q(E_\delta)} \right| \leq |\Delta_Q(E_\delta)|^2 \sim q^{1-2\delta}$ and $|(\mathbb{F}_q)^2| = \frac{q+1}{2}$, we conclude that $\frac{\Delta_Q(E_\delta)}{\Delta_Q(E_\delta)} \subsetneq (\mathbb{F}_q)^2$. Thus, for every $0 < \delta < 1/2$, there exists $E_\delta \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$ with $|E_\delta| \sim q^{\frac{d}{2}-\delta}$ such that $W(r) = 0$ for some non-zero $r \in (\mathbb{F}_q)^2$. Since δ can be taken as an arbitrary small positive number, the threshold $d/2$ cannot be smaller.

4.3. The proof of sharpness of Theorem 1.6 (iii). Suppose that d is odd. Then, we can also use the standard distance function in (4.1). Let $H \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{d-1}$ be the set defined as in (4.2).

Set $E := H \times \mathbb{F}_q \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$. Then it is not hard to see that $|E| = q^{\frac{d+1}{2}}$ and $\Delta_Q(E) = \{\varepsilon(a-a')^2 : a, a' \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$. Also notice that

$$\frac{\Delta_Q(E)}{\Delta_Q(E)} = \left\{ \frac{(a-a')^2}{(b-b')^2} : a, a', b, b' \in \mathbb{F}_q, b \neq b' \right\} = (\mathbb{F}_q)^2.$$

Clearly, there is $r \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ such that $r \notin \frac{\Delta_Q(E)}{\Delta_Q(E)}$, i.e., $W(r) = 0$. Thus, the set E guarantees the sharpness of Theorem 1.6 (iii).

5. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM (THEOREM 1.6)

In this section we start proving Theorem 1.6. We may assume that $Q(x) = \|x\|_Q$ and $Q^*(m) = \|m\|_{Q^*}$ for $x, m \in \mathbb{F}_q^d$, where $\|x\|_Q$ and $\|m\|_{Q^*}$ are defined as in Definition 2.4. Let $E \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$. For each $r \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, we begin with estimating $W(r)$ defined as in (1.4). First observe that $W(r)$ can be written as

$$W(r) = \sum_{\substack{x,y,z,w \in E: \\ Q(x-y)=rQ(z-w)}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{x,y,z,w \in E: \\ Q(x-y)=0=Q(z-w)}} 1 =: M(r) - w^2(0),$$

where $w(0)$ is defined by

$$(5.1) \quad w(0) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \in E: \\ Q(\alpha-\beta)=0}} 1.$$

To estimate $M(r)$, the first sum above, we write it as

$$M(r) = \sum_{\substack{(x,z), (y,w) \in E \times E: \\ Q(x-y)-rQ(z-w)=0}} 1.$$

We now relate $M(r)$ to an estimate on a homogeneous variety of degree two in \mathbb{F}_q^{2d} . To this end, we need to introduce the following definition.

Definition 5.1. Let $r \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, $Q(x) = \|x\|_Q$, and $Q^*(m) = \|m\|_{Q^*}$ for $x, m \in \mathbb{F}_q^d$. Then, for $x, x', m, m' \in \mathbb{F}_q^d$, we define

$$\mathcal{Q}_r(x, x') := Q(x) - rQ(x') \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Q}_r^*(m, m') := Q^*(m) - r^{-1}Q^*(m').$$

In addition, we define algebraic varieties $V_{\mathcal{Q}_r}$ and $V_{\mathcal{Q}_r^*}$ lying in \mathbb{F}_q^{2d} as follows:

$$V_{\mathcal{Q}_r} := \{X \in \mathbb{F}_q^{2d} : \mathcal{Q}_r(X) = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad V_{\mathcal{Q}_r^*} := \{M \in \mathbb{F}_q^{2d} : \mathcal{Q}_r^*(M) = 0\}.$$

Letting $X = (x, z), Y = (y, w) \in E \times E$ and using the notation in the above definition, we have

$$(5.2) \quad M(r) = \sum_{\substack{X, Y \in E \times E: \\ \mathcal{Q}_r(X-Y)=0}} 1 = q^{4d} \sum_{M \in \mathbb{F}_q^{2d}} \widehat{V_{\mathcal{Q}_r}}(M) |\widehat{E \times E}(M)|^2,$$

where the last equality follows from the general counting lemma (see Lemma 3.4). We will invoke the following explicit formula for $\widehat{V_{\mathcal{Q}_r}}(M)$, whose proof will be given in the following section. For a moment, we accept the proposition below.

Proposition 5.2. For $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d), m = (m_1, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{F}_q^d$, let $Q(x) = \|x\|_Q$ and $Q^*(m) = \|m\|_{Q^*}$, where $\|x\|_Q$ and $\|m\|_{Q^*}$ are defined as in Definition 2.4. Then, for each $r \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $M \in \mathbb{F}_q^{2d}$, the Fourier transform $\widehat{V_{\mathcal{Q}_r}}(M)$ of the indicator function of the variety $V_{\mathcal{Q}_r}$ in \mathbb{F}_q^{2d} is as follows:

(i) Let d be even. Then we have

$$\widehat{V_{\mathcal{Q}_r}}(M) = \frac{\delta_0(M)}{q} + \frac{V_{\mathcal{Q}_r^*}(M)}{q^d} - \frac{1}{q^{d+1}}.$$

(ii) Let d be odd. Then we have

$$\widehat{V_{\mathcal{Q}_r}}(M) = \frac{\delta_0(M)}{q} + \frac{\eta(r)V_{\mathcal{Q}_r^*}(M)}{q^d} - \frac{\eta(r)}{q^{d+1}}.$$

After replacing $\widehat{V_{\mathcal{Q}_r}}(M)$ in (5.2) by its explicit value given in Proposition 5.2, we can easily get

$$M(r) = \frac{|E|^4}{q} + q^{3d} \sum_{M \in V_{\mathcal{Q}_r^*}} |\widehat{E \times E}(M)|^2 - q^{d-1}|E|^2 \quad \text{for } d \geq 2 \text{ even,}$$

and

$$M(r) = \frac{|E|^4}{q} + q^{3d}\eta(r) \sum_{M \in V_{\mathbb{Q}^*}} |\widehat{E \times E}(M)|^2 - q^{d-1}\eta(r)|E|^2 \quad \text{for } d \geq 3 \text{ odd.}$$

We also need the following proposition to estimate the quantity $w(0)$ in (5.1).

Proposition 5.3. *Let $E \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$. For $t \in \mathbb{F}_q$, we define $w(t)$ as the number of pairs $(x, y) \in E \times E$ such that $\|x - y\|_Q = t$, namely,*

$$w(t) := \sum_{\substack{x, y \in E: \\ \|x - y\|_Q = t}} 1,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_Q$ is the standard distance function in Definition 2.4. Then the following statements hold true:

(i) *If d is even and $\eta(\varepsilon) = 1$, then we have*

$$0 \leq w(0) \leq \frac{|E|^2}{q} + q^{\frac{3d}{2}} \sum_{m \in (S_{\mathbb{Q}^*})_0} |\widehat{E}(m)|^2.$$

(ii) *If d is even and $\eta(\varepsilon) = -1$, then we have*

$$0 \leq w(0) \leq \frac{|E|^2}{q} + q^{\frac{d-2}{2}} |E|.$$

(iii) *If d is odd, then we have*

$$0 \leq w(0) \leq \frac{|E|^2}{q} + q^{\frac{d-1}{2}} |E|.$$

We postpone the proof of Proposition 5.3 to the following section and let us accept it for a moment.

Since $W(r) = M(r) - w^2(0)$, invoking (i),(ii),(iii) of Proposition 5.3 together with the estimates of $M(r)$, lower bounds of $W(r)$ are obtained as follows:

(Case A) If $d \geq 2$ is even and $\eta(\varepsilon) = 1$, then

$$(5.3) \quad W(r) \geq \frac{|E|^4}{q} + q^{3d} \sum_{M \in V_{\mathbb{Q}^*}} |\widehat{E \times E}(M)|^2 - q^{d-1}|E|^2 - \left(\frac{|E|^2}{q} + q^{\frac{3d}{2}} \sum_{m \in (S_{\mathbb{Q}^*})_0} |\widehat{E}(m)|^2 \right)^2.$$

(Case B) If $d \geq 2$ is even and $\eta(\varepsilon) = -1$, then we have

$$(5.4) \quad W(r) \geq \frac{|E|^4}{q} + q^{3d} \sum_{M \in V_{\mathbb{Q}^*}} |\widehat{E \times E}(M)|^2 - q^{d-1}|E|^2 - \left(\frac{|E|^2}{q} + q^{\frac{d-2}{2}} |E| \right)^2.$$

(Case C) If $d \geq 3$ is odd, then we have

$$(5.5) \quad W(r) \geq \frac{|E|^4}{q} + q^{3d}\eta(r) \sum_{M \in V_{\mathbb{Q}^*}} |\widehat{E \times E}(M)|^2 - q^{d-1}\eta(r)|E|^2 - \left(\frac{|E|^2}{q} + q^{\frac{d-1}{2}} |E| \right)^2.$$

In the following subsections, we will complete the proof of Theorem 1.6 (i), (ii), (iii), by assuming that Propositions 5.2 and 5.3 hold true.

5.1. Proof of Theorem 1.6 (i). Let $d \geq 2$ be even. Suppose that $E \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$ with $|E| \geq 4q^{d/2}$. It is clear that $\eta(\varepsilon)$ is either 1 or -1 .

First, we find a lower bound of $W(r)$ under the assumption of (Case A). To do this, we expand the last term in (5.3) and observe that

$$q^{3d} \sum_{M \in V_{\mathbb{Q}^*}} |\widehat{E \times E}(M)|^2 - \left(q^{\frac{3d}{2}} \sum_{m \in (S_{\mathbb{Q}^*})_0} |\widehat{E}(m)|^2 \right)^2 \geq 0$$

and

$$\sum_{m \in (S_{\mathbb{Q}^*})_0} |\widehat{E}(m)|^2 \leq \sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} |\widehat{E}(m)|^2 = \frac{|E|^2}{q^d}.$$

Combining these observations and the inequality (5.3), we get

$$W(r) \geq \frac{|E|^4}{q} - q^{d-1}|E|^2 - \frac{|E|^4}{q^2} - 2q^{\frac{d-2}{2}}|E|^3.$$

Since $1 = \frac{5}{48} + \frac{3}{48} + \frac{16}{48} + \frac{24}{48}$, we can write $\frac{|E|^4}{q} = \frac{5}{48} \frac{|E|^4}{q} + \frac{3}{48} \frac{|E|^4}{q} + \frac{16}{48} \frac{|E|^4}{q} + \frac{24}{48} \frac{|E|^4}{q}$. Hence, the lower bound of $W(r)$ can be written as

$$W(r) \geq \frac{5}{48} \frac{|E|^4}{q} + \left(\frac{3}{48} \frac{|E|^4}{q} - q^{d-1}|E|^2 \right) + \left(\frac{16}{48} \frac{|E|^4}{q} - \frac{|E|^4}{q^2} \right) + \left(\frac{24}{48} \frac{|E|^4}{q} - 2q^{\frac{d-2}{2}}|E|^3 \right).$$

Since $q \geq 3$ and $|E| \geq 4q^{d/2}$, each value in parentheses above is non-negative. Hence, we obtain the desired result:

$$W(r) \geq \frac{5}{48} \frac{|E|^4}{q}.$$

Next, let us find a lower bound of $W(r)$ under the assumption of (Case B). We also expand the last term in (5.4) and then observe that the sum in (5.4) can be ignored since it is positive. Thus, we get

$$W(r) \geq \frac{|E|^4}{q} - q^{d-1}|E|^2 - \frac{|E|^4}{q^2} - q^{d-2}|E|^2 - 2q^{\frac{d-4}{2}}|E|^3.$$

Since $1 = \frac{20}{48} + \frac{3}{48} + \frac{16}{48} + \frac{1}{48} + \frac{8}{48}$, we can write

$$W(r) \geq \frac{20}{48} \frac{|E|^4}{q} + \left(\frac{3}{48} \frac{|E|^4}{q} - q^{d-1}|E|^2 \right) + \left(\frac{16}{48} \frac{|E|^4}{q} - \frac{|E|^4}{q^2} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{48} \frac{|E|^4}{q} - q^{d-2}|E|^2 \right) + \left(\frac{8}{48} \frac{|E|^4}{q} - 2q^{\frac{d-4}{2}}|E|^3 \right).$$

Since $q \geq 3$ and $|E| \geq 4q^{d/2}$, it is not hard to notice that each value in parentheses above is non-negative. Hence, in this case we get a better lower bound:

$$W(r) \geq \frac{20}{48} \frac{|E|^4}{q} \geq \frac{5}{48} \frac{|E|^4}{q}.$$

This completes the proof of the first part of Theorem 1.6.

5.2. Proof of Theorem 1.6 (ii). Let $d \geq 3$ be odd and $E \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$. Assume that $r \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ is a non-zero square number. Then $\eta(r) = 1$. Thus, it follows from (5.5) of (Case C) that

$$W(r) \geq \frac{|E|^4}{q} + q^{3d} \sum_{M \in V_{\mathbb{Q}_*^*}} |\widehat{E \times E}(M)|^2 - q^{d-1}|E|^2 - \left(\frac{|E|^2}{q} + q^{\frac{d-1}{2}}|E| \right)^2.$$

Expand the above square term and notice that

$$\sum_{M \in V_{\mathbb{Q}_*^*}} |\widehat{E \times E}(M)|^2 \geq |\widehat{E \times E}(0, \dots, 0)|^2 = \frac{|E|^4}{q^{4d}}.$$

Then we see that

$$(5.6) \quad W(r) \geq \frac{|E|^4}{q} + \frac{|E|^4}{q^d} - q^{d-1}|E|^2 - \frac{|E|^4}{q^2} - q^{d-1}|E|^2 - 2q^{\frac{d-3}{2}}|E|^3.$$

We now prove the statement of Theorem 1.6 (ii). Suppose that $|E| \geq 3q^{d/2}$. Since the term $\frac{|E|^4}{q^d}$ in the RHS of (5.6) is positive, we can ignore it when we find a lower bound of $W(r)$. More precisely, we have

$$(5.7) \quad W(r) \geq \frac{|E|^4}{q} - 2q^{d-1}|E|^2 - \frac{|E|^4}{q^2} - 2q^{\frac{d-3}{2}}|E|^3.$$

Since $1 = \frac{2}{45} + \frac{10}{45} + \frac{15}{45} + \frac{18}{45}$, we can write $\frac{|E|^4}{q} = \frac{2}{45} \frac{|E|^4}{q} + \frac{10}{45} \frac{|E|^4}{q} + \frac{15}{45} \frac{|E|^4}{q} + \frac{18}{45} \frac{|E|^4}{q}$. Therefore, (5.7) becomes

$$W(r) \geq \frac{2}{45} \frac{|E|^4}{q} + \left(\frac{10}{45} \frac{|E|^4}{q} - 2q^{d-1}|E|^2 \right) + \left(\frac{15}{45} \frac{|E|^4}{q} - \frac{|E|^4}{q^2} \right) + \left(\frac{18}{45} \frac{|E|^4}{q} - 2q^{\frac{d-3}{2}}|E|^3 \right).$$

Since $q \geq 3$ and $|E| \geq 3q^{d/2}$, each value in parentheses above is non-negative. Thus, the required estimate is obtained:

$$W(r) \geq \frac{2}{45} \frac{|E|^4}{q}.$$

5.3. Proof of Theorem 1.6 (iii). Suppose that d is odd and $r \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ is not a square number, namely, $\eta(r) = -1$. By (5.5) of (Case C),

$$W(r) \geq \frac{|E|^4}{q} - q^{3d} \sum_{M \in V_{\mathbb{Q}_r^*}} |\widehat{E \times E}(M)|^2 + q^{d-1}|E|^2 - \left(\frac{|E|^2}{q} + q^{\frac{d-1}{2}}|E| \right)^2.$$

Expand the last square term above and note that

$$0 \leq \sum_{M \in V_{\mathbb{Q}_r^*}} |\widehat{E \times E}(M)|^2 \leq \sum_{M \in \mathbb{F}_q^{2d}} |\widehat{E \times E}(M)|^2 = \frac{|E|^2}{q^{2d}}.$$

When $r \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ is non-square, we therefore get

$$(5.8) \quad W(r) \geq \frac{|E|^4}{q} - q^d|E|^2 + q^{d-1}|E|^2 - \frac{|E|^4}{q^2} - q^{d-1}|E|^2 - 2q^{\frac{d-3}{2}}|E|^3.$$

On the other hand, when $r \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ is a square number, we already know from (5.6) that

$$(5.9) \quad W(r) \geq \frac{|E|^4}{q} + \frac{|E|^4}{q^d} - q^{d-1}|E|^2 - \frac{|E|^4}{q^2} - q^{d-1}|E|^2 - 2q^{\frac{d-3}{2}}|E|^3.$$

Now let us compare the above two lower bounds of $W(r)$. It suffices to compare only the second and third terms of them since the rest of the terms are equal. Since $q \geq 3$, it is not hard to see that

$$-q^d|E|^2 + q^{d-1}|E|^2 < \frac{|E|^4}{q^d} - q^{d-1}|E|^2.$$

This implies that the lower bound of $W(r)$ in (5.8) is much smaller than that in (5.9). Thus, the inequality (5.8) holds for all $r \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. In other words, for all $r \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$,

$$(5.10) \quad W(r) \geq \frac{|E|^4}{q} - q^d|E|^2 - \frac{|E|^4}{q^2} - 2q^{\frac{d-3}{2}}|E|^3.$$

Now we are ready to finish the proof of Theorem 1.6 (iii). Assume that $|E| \geq \frac{11}{6}q^{\frac{d+1}{2}}$. Since $1 = \frac{2}{363} + \frac{108}{363} + \frac{121}{363} + \frac{132}{363}$, we can write

$$\frac{|E|^4}{q} = \frac{2}{363} \frac{|E|^4}{q} + \frac{108}{363} \frac{|E|^4}{q} + \frac{121}{363} \frac{|E|^4}{q} + \frac{132}{363} \frac{|E|^4}{q}.$$

Combining this with the above inequality (5.10), we get

$$W(r) \geq \frac{2}{363} \frac{|E|^4}{q} + \left(\frac{108}{363} \frac{|E|^4}{q} - q^d|E|^2 \right) + \left(\frac{121}{363} \frac{|E|^4}{q} - \frac{|E|^4}{q^2} \right) + \left(\frac{132}{363} \frac{|E|^4}{q} - 2q^{\frac{d-3}{2}}|E|^3 \right).$$

Since $q \geq 3$ and $|E| \geq \frac{11}{6}q^{(d+1)/2}$, we see from a direct computation that each value in parentheses above is non-negative. Hence, we obtain that $W(r) \geq \frac{2}{363} \frac{|E|^4}{q}$, which proves Theorem 1.6 (iii).

6. PROOF OF PROPOSITIONS 5.2 AND 5.3

To efficiently prove the propositions, we begin by deducing a preliminary lemma, regarding the Fourier transform on the diagonal homogeneous variety of degree two in \mathbb{F}_q^n . Denote $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$. Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ such that $a_j \neq 0$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Consider an algebraic variety

$$H_{\mathbf{a}} := \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : \sum_{j=1}^n a_j x_j^2 = 0 \right\}.$$

We call this variety $H_{\mathbf{a}}$ the diagonal homogeneous variety of degree two with a coefficient vector \mathbf{a} in \mathbb{F}_q^n .

We also define the dual variety of $H_{\mathbf{a}}$, denoted by $H_{\mathbf{a}^*}$, as

$$H_{\mathbf{a}^*} := \left\{ \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^{-1} m_j^2 = 0 \right\}.$$

Lemma 6.1. *Let $H_{\mathbf{a}}$ be the diagonal homogeneous variety of degree two with a coefficient vector \mathbf{a} in \mathbb{F}_q^n . Then, for $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, the Fourier transform on $H_{\mathbf{a}}$ is given as follows:*

(i) *If n is even, then*

$$\widehat{H}_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{m}) = q^{-1}\delta_0(\mathbf{m}) + q^{-\frac{n}{2}}\eta\left((-1)^{n/2}\prod_{j=1}^n a_j\right)H_{\mathbf{a}^*}(\mathbf{m}) - q^{-(n+2)/2}\eta\left((-1)^{n/2}\prod_{j=1}^n a_j\right).$$

(ii) *If n is odd, then*

$$\widehat{H}_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{m}) = \begin{cases} q^{-1}\delta_0(\mathbf{m}) & \text{if } \mathbf{m} \in H_{\mathbf{a}^*}, \\ q^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}\eta\left((-1)^{(n+3)/2}\prod_{j=1}^n a_j\right)\eta\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^{-1}m_j^2\right) & \text{if } \mathbf{m} \notin H_{\mathbf{a}^*}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof uses the standard orthogonality argument of characters and Gauss sum estimates. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{H}_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{m}) &= q^{-n} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in H_{\mathbf{a}}} \chi(\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \\ &= q^{-n} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n} \left(q^{-1} \sum_{s \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi(s(a_1x_1^2 + \cdots + a_nx_n^2)) \right) \chi(\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \\ &= q^{-1}\delta_0(\mathbf{m}) + q^{-n-1} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n} \sum_{s \neq 0} \chi(s(a_1x_1^2 + \cdots + a_nx_n^2)) \chi(\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \\ &= q^{-1}\delta_0(\mathbf{m}) + q^{-n-1} \sum_{s \neq 0} \prod_{j=1}^n \sum_{x_j \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi(sa_jx_j^2 + m_jx_j). \end{aligned}$$

Summing over $x_j \in \mathbb{F}_q$ by the formula (3.2), we get

$$(6.1) \quad \widehat{H}_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{m}) = q^{-1}\delta_0(\mathbf{m}) + q^{-n-1}\mathcal{G}^n\eta(a_1 \cdots a_n) \sum_{s \neq 0} \eta^n(s)\chi\left(-\frac{1}{4s}\left(\frac{m_1^2}{a_1} + \cdots + \frac{m_n^2}{a_n}\right)\right).$$

(i) Suppose that n is even. Since $\eta^n(s) = 1$, the sum over $s \neq 0$ is $(qH_{\mathbf{a}^*}(\mathbf{m}) - 1)$ by application of the orthogonality of χ . By Lemma 3.3, note that $\mathcal{G}^n = (\eta(-1)q)^{n/2} = \eta((-1)^{n/2})q^{n/2}$. So we obtain that

$$\widehat{H}_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{m}) = q^{-1}\delta_0(\mathbf{m}) + q^{-\frac{n+2}{2}}\eta((-1)^{n/2}a_1 \cdots a_n)(qH_{\mathbf{a}^*}(\mathbf{m}) - 1).$$

Hence, the statement of Theorem (i) is proven.

(ii) Suppose that n is odd. Then $\eta^n = \eta$, and so, if $\frac{m_1^2}{a_1} + \cdots + \frac{m_n^2}{a_n} = 0$, namely $\mathbf{m} \in H_{\mathbf{a}^*}$, then $\widehat{H}_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{m}) = q^{-1}\delta_0(\mathbf{m})$ by the orthogonality of η . On the other hand, when $\mathbf{m} \notin H_{\mathbf{a}^*}$, note by (3.3) that the sum over $s \neq 0$ in (6.1) is equal to $\eta\left(-\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{m_1^2}{a_1} + \cdots + \frac{m_n^2}{a_n}\right)\right)\mathcal{G}$. Also note that $\eta(-4^{-1}) = \eta(-1)$. Then we get

$$\widehat{H}_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{m}) = q^{-n-1}\mathcal{G}^{n+1}\eta(-1)\eta(a_1 \cdots a_n)\eta\left(\frac{m_1^2}{a_1} + \cdots + \frac{m_n^2}{a_n}\right).$$

Since $\mathcal{G}^2 = \eta(-1)q$ by Lemma 3.3, we see that

$$\mathcal{G}^{n+1}\eta(-1) = (\eta(-1)q)^{(n+1)/2}\eta(-1) = \eta\left((-1)^{(n+3)/2}\right)q^{(n+1)/2}.$$

Inserting this into the above equation, we obtain the required value of $\widehat{H}_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{m})$. □

Now we are ready to prove Proposition 5.2, which will be a special case of Lemma 6.1 (i).

6.1. Proof of Proposition 5.2. Let $x, x' \in \mathbb{F}_q^d$. Then we see that

$$V_{\mathcal{Q}_r} = \{(x, x') \in \mathbb{F}_q^{2d} : \|x\|_Q - r\|x'\|_Q = 0\}.$$

- (i) Suppose that d is even. Then using Definition 2.4 (i), the coefficient vector of $\|x\|_Q$ is $\mathbf{a}' := (1, -1, \dots, 1, -\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{F}_q^d$ and that of $-r\|x'\|_Q$ is $\mathbf{a}'' := (-r, r, \dots, -r, r\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{F}_q^d$. Hence, $V_{\mathcal{Q}_r}$ is the diagonal homogeneous variety of degree two with a coefficient vector $\mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{a}', \mathbf{a}'')$ in \mathbb{F}_q^{2d} . Thus, with $n = 2d$ even, we can apply the part (i) of Lemma 6.1, where $\mathbf{m} = M$, $H_{\mathbf{a}} = V_{\mathcal{Q}_r}$, $H_{\mathbf{a}^*} = V_{\mathcal{Q}_r^*}$, and

$$\eta \left(\prod_{j=1}^n a_j \right) = \eta((-1)^d r^d \varepsilon^2) = 1.$$

Consequently we obtain that

$$\widehat{V_{\mathcal{Q}_r}}(M) = q^{-1} \delta_0(M) + q^{-d} \eta((-1)^d) \eta((-1)^d r^d \varepsilon^2) V_{\mathcal{Q}_r^*}(M) - q^{-(d+1)} \eta((-1)^d) \eta((-1)^d r^d \varepsilon^2).$$

Since d is even, each value of the above quadratic characters η is 1 and we complete the proof of Proposition 5.2 (i).

- (ii) Suppose that d is odd. By Definition 2.4 (ii), we see that $\mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{a}', \mathbf{a}'') \in \mathbb{F}_q^{2d}$ such that $\mathbf{a}' = (1, -1, \dots, 1, -1, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{F}_q^d$, and $\mathbf{a}'' = (-r, r, \dots, -r, r, -r\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{F}_q^d$. Hence, the proof is the same as the case of (i) except that for odd d ,

$$\eta \left(\prod_{j=1}^n a_j \right) = \eta((-1)^d r^d \varepsilon^2) = \eta(-r).$$

Thus, the conclusion of Proposition 5.2 (ii) follows.

6.2. Proof of Proposition 5.3. Since $w(0) := \sum_{\substack{x, y \in E: \\ \|x-y\|_Q=0}} 1$, it follows from the general counting lemma

(Lemma 3.4) that

$$(6.2) \quad w(0) = q^{2d} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} \widehat{(S_Q)_0}(m) |\widehat{E}(m)|^2,$$

where $(S_Q)_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : \|x\|_Q = 0\}$. We will invoke the following explicit estimate on the Fourier transform on $(S_Q)_0$, which can be proven by Lemma 6.1.

Corollary 6.2. Let $m \in \mathbb{F}_q^d$.

- (i) If d is even, then

$$\widehat{(S_Q)_0}(m) = q^{-1} \delta_0(m) + q^{-d/2} \eta(\varepsilon) (S_{Q^*})_0(m) - q^{-(d+2)/2} \eta(\varepsilon).$$

- (ii) If d is odd, then

$$\widehat{(S_Q)_0}(m) = \begin{cases} q^{-1} \delta_0(m) & \text{if } m \in (S_{Q^*})_0, \\ q^{-\frac{d+1}{2}} \eta(\varepsilon) \eta \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d-1} (-1)^{j+1} m_j^2 + \varepsilon^{-1} m_d^2 \right) & \text{if } m \notin (S_{Q^*})_0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{F}_q^d$ be the coefficient vector of $\|x\|_Q$, $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^d$. Then it is clear that $(S_Q)_0$ is the diagonal homogeneous variety of degree two with a coefficient vector \mathbf{a} in \mathbb{F}_q^d .

- (i) Assume that d is even. Then, by definition of $\|x\|_Q$, we see that $\mathbf{a} = (1, -1, \dots, 1, -\varepsilon)$ in \mathbb{F}_q^d . Since

$$\prod_{j=1}^d a_j = (-1)^{d/2} \varepsilon, \text{ the first part of the corollary follows by applying Lemma 6.1 (i).}$$

- (ii) Assume that d is odd. Then $\mathbf{a} = (1, -1, \dots, 1, -1, \varepsilon)$ in \mathbb{F}_q^d and so

$$\prod_{j=1}^d a_j = (-1)^{(d-1)/2} \varepsilon.$$

Now applying Lemma 6.1 (ii), we obtain the statement of the second part of the corollary.

□

We are now ready to complete the proof of Proposition 5.3. By the definition of $w(0)$, it is clear that $w(0)$ is a non-negative integer, namely, $w(0) \geq 0$. So it remains to find the required upper bounds for $w(0)$.

6.2.1. *Proof of Proposition 5.3-(i), (ii).* Suppose that d is even. Then inserting the value of $\widehat{(S_Q)_0}(m)$ in Corollary 6.2 (i) into the formula (6.2), we see from a direct computation that

$$w(0) = \frac{|E|^2}{q} + q^{3d/2}\eta(\varepsilon) \sum_{m \in (S_{Q^*})_0} |\widehat{E}(m)|^2 - q^{(d-2)/2}|E|\eta(\varepsilon),$$

where we also used the Plancherel theorem (3.1) with the simple fact that $\widehat{E}(0, \dots, 0) = q^{-d}|E|$. Notice that the sign of the second term above is different from that of the third term since $\eta(\varepsilon) = \pm 1$ and $\sum_{m \in (S_{Q^*})_0} |\widehat{E}(m)|^2 \geq 0$. So, to estimate an upper bound of $w(0)$, we can ignore the negative term which is exactly one of them. By this way, we easily obtain the required upper bounds in Proposition 5.3 (i), (ii).

6.2.2. *Proof of Proposition 5.3-(iii).* Suppose that d is odd. It is clear from (6.2) that

$$0 \leq w(0) \leq q^{2d} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} \left| \widehat{(S_Q)_0}(m) \right| |\widehat{E}(m)|^2.$$

On the other hand, Corollary 6.2 (ii) implies that

$$\left| \widehat{(S_Q)_0}(m) \right| = \begin{cases} q^{-1}\delta_0(m) & \text{if } m \in (S_{Q^*})_0, \\ q^{-\frac{d+1}{2}} & \text{if } m \notin (S_{Q^*})_0. \end{cases}$$

Thus, combining the above facts, we obtain the desired estimate as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} w(0) &\leq q^{2d} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} q^{-1}\delta_0(m) |\widehat{E}(m)|^2 + q^{2d} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} q^{-\frac{d+1}{2}} |\widehat{E}(m)|^2 \\ &= q^{2d-1} |\widehat{E}(0, \dots, 0)|^2 + q^{2d} q^{-\frac{d+1}{2}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} |\widehat{E}(m)|^2 \\ &= \frac{|E|^2}{q} + q^{\frac{d-1}{2}} |E|. \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] O. Ahmadi and A. Mohammadian, *Sets with many pairs of orthogonal vectors over finite fields*, Finite Fields Appl. **37** (2016), 179-192.
- [2] M. Bennett, J. Chapman, D. Covert, D. Hart, A. Iosevich, and J. Pakianathan, *Long paths in the distance graph over large subsets of vector spaces over finite fields*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **53** (2016), no.1, 115-126.
- [3] M. Bennett, D. Hart, A. Iosevich, J. Pakianathan, and M. Rudnev, *Group actions and geometric combinatorics in \mathbb{F}_q^d* , Forum Math. **29** (2017), 91-110.
- [4] M. Bennett, A. Iosevich, and J. Pakianathan, *Three-point congruences determined by subsets of \mathbb{F}_q^2 via the Elekes-Sharir Paradigm*, Combinatorica, **34** (2014), no.6, 689-706.
- [5] J. Bourgain, N. Katz and T. Tao, *A sum-product estimate in finite fields, and applications*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **14** (2004), 27-57.
- [6] J. Chapman, M. Erdoğan, D. Hart, A. Iosevich, and D. Koh, *Pinned distance sets, Wolff's exponent in finite fields and sum-product estimates*, Math.Z. **271**, (2012), 63-93.
- [7] D. Cheong, D. Koh, and T. Pham, *An asymmetric bound for sum of distance sets*, (Russian) English version published in Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **314** (2021), no.1, 279-289. Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova 314 (2021), Analiticheskaya i Kombinatornaya Teoriya Chisel, 290-300.
- [8] D. Covert, D. Koh, and Y. Pi, *The k -resultant modulus set problem on algebraic varieties over finite fields*, Finite Fields Appl. **48** (2017), 68-86.
- [9] D. Hart, and A. Iosevich, *Ubiquity of simplices in subsets of vector spaces over finite fields*, Anal. Math. **34** (2008), no.1, 29-38.
- [10] X. Du, L. Guth, Y. Ou, H. Wang, B. Wilson and R. Zhang *Weighted restriction estimates and application to Falconer distance set problem*, Amer. J. Math. **143** (2021), no.1, 175-211.
- [11] X. Du, A. Iosevich, Y. Ou, H. Wang, and R. Zhang, *An improved result for Falconer's distance set problem in even dimensions*, Math. Ann. **380** (2021), no.3-4, 1215-1231.

- [12] X. Du and R. Zhang, *Sharp L^2 -estimates of the Schrödinger maximal function in higher dimensions*, Ann. of Math. **189** (2019), no.3, 837-861.
- [13] P. Erdős, *On sets of distances of n points*, Amer. Math. Monthly. **53** (1946), 248-250.
- [14] K. Falconer, *On the Hausdorff dimension of distance sets*, Mathematika, **32** (1985), 206-212.
- [15] L. Guth, A. Iosevich, Y. Ou, and H. Wang, *On Falconer's distance set problem in the plane*, Invent. Math, **219** (2022), no.3, 779-830.
- [16] J. Garibaldi, A. Iosevich, and S. Senger, *The Erdős Distance Problem*, Student Math. Library 56, Amer. Math. Soc. 2011.
- [17] L.C. Grove, *Classical Groups and Geometric Algebra*, Grad. Stud. Math. vol.39, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [18] L. Guth and N. H. Katz, *On the Erdős distinct distances problem in the plane*, Ann. of Math. (2) **181** (2015), no.1, 155-190.
- [19] D. Hart, A. Iosevich, D. Koh and M. Rudnev, *Averages over hyperplanes, sum-product theory in vector spaces over finite fields and the Erdős-Falconer distance conjecture*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), no.6, 3255-3275.
- [20] A. Iosevich, M. Rudnev, *Erdős distance problem in vector spaces over finite fields*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), no.12, 6127-6142.
- [21] A. Iosevich, D. Koh, and H. Parshall, *On the quotient set of the distance set*, Mosc. J. Comb. Number Theory **8** (2019), no.2, 103-115.
- [22] D. Koh, T. Pham, C-Y. Shen, L. A. Vinh, *A sharp exponent on sum of distance sets over finite fields*, Math. Z. **297** (2021), no.3-4, 1749-1765.
- [23] D. Koh and C. Shen, *Sharp extension theorems and Falconer distance problems for algebraic curves in two dimensional vector spaces over finite fields*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **28** (2012), no.1, 157-178.
- [24] D. Koh and C. Shen, *The generalized Erdős-Falconer distance problems in vector spaces over finite fields*, J. Number Theory **132** (2012), no.11, 2455-2473.
- [25] D. Koh and H. Sun, *Distance sets of two subsets of vector spaces over finite fields*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **143** (2015), no.4, 1679-1692.
- [26] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, *Finite fields*, Cambridge University Press, (1997).
- [27] B. Murphy and G. Petridis, *An example related to the Erdős-Falconer question over arbitrary finite fields*, Bull. Hellenic Math. Soc. **63** (2019), 38-39.
- [28] B. Murphy, G. Petridis, T. Pham, M. Rudnev, and S. Stevenson, *On the pinned distances problem in positive characteristic*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **105** (2022), no. 1, 469-499.
- [29] H. Parshall, *Simplices over finite fields*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **145** (2017), no.6, 2323-2334.
- [30] T. Pham, *Group action and L^2 -norm estimates of geometric problems*, preprint (2022), arXiv:2208.04827.
- [31] D. H. Pham, T. Pham, and L.A. Vinh, *An improvement on the number of simplices in \mathbb{F}_q^d* , Discrete Appl. Math. **221** (2017), 95-105.
- [32] I. E. Shparlinski, *On the set of distance between two sets over finite fields*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. Volume 2006, Article ID 59482, Pages 1-5.
- [33] I.E. Shparlinski, *On the additive energy of the distance set in finite fields*, Finite Fields Appl. **42** (2016), 187-199.
- [34] J. Solymosi and V. Vu, *Near optimal bounds for the number of distinct distances in high dimensions*, Combinatorica, **28**, no.1 (2008), 113-125.
- [35] L. A. Vinh, *On kaleidoscopic pseudo-randomness of finite Euclidean graphs*, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory **32** (2012), no.2, 279-287.
- [36] L. A. Vinh, *On the generalized Erdős-distance problems over finite fields*, J. Number Theory, **133** (2013), no.9, 2939-2947.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER, ROCHESTER, NY 14627 USA
Email address: iosevich@math.rochester.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHUNGBUK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, CHEONGJU, CHUNGBUK 28644 KOREA
Email address: koh131@chungbuk.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER, ROCHESTER, NY 14627 USA
Email address: frakhmon@ur.rochester.edu