

THE VIRTUAL EULER CHARACTERISTIC FOR BINARY MATROIDS

MADELINE BRANDT, JULIETTE BRUCE, AND DANIEL COREY

ABSTRACT. Inspired by Kontsevich’s graphic orbifold Euler characteristic we define a virtual Euler characteristic for any finite set of isomorphism classes of matroids of rank r . Our main result provides a simple formula for the virtual Euler characteristic for the set of isomorphism classes of matroids of rank r realizable over \mathbb{F}_2 (i.e., binary matroids). We prove this formula by relating the virtual Euler characteristic for binary matroids to the point counts of certain subsets of Grassmanians over finite fields. We conclude by providing several follow-up questions in relation to matroids realizable over other finite prime fields, matroid homology, and beta invariants.

MSC 2020: 05B35 (primary), 05A10, 14M15, 15B33 (secondary)

Keywords: Euler characteristic, finite field, Grassmannian, matroid

1. INTRODUCTION

As part of his work on graph chain complexes [9], Kontsevich stated the following fascinating formula concerning graphs:

$$\sum_{G \in \Gamma_g} \frac{(-1)^{|E(G)|}}{|\text{Aut}(G)|} = \frac{B_g}{g(g-1)} \quad (1)$$

where B_g denotes the g -th Bernoulli number and Γ_g is the set of isomorphism classes of connected genus g graphs whose vertices are at least trivalent. See [2, 6, 13] for further discussion and proofs of the above formula, and [4, § 10] for an analogous formula for graphs with markings. In this paper we consider the question of whether similar formulas might exist for matroids. More specifically, suppose $\mathcal{C}(r)$ is a finite set of isomorphism classes of matroids of rank r , and let $\mathcal{C}(r, n) \subset \mathcal{C}(r)$ be those (isomorphism classes of) matroids in $\mathcal{C}(r)$ whose ground set has n elements. We define the *virtual Euler characteristic* of $\mathcal{C}(r)$ to be

$$\chi(\mathcal{C}(r)) = \sum_{n \geq r} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{C}(r, n)} \frac{(-1)^n}{|\text{Aut}(Q)|}.$$

Here $\text{Aut}(Q)$ denotes the automorphism group of the matroid Q , which is the group of bijections of the ground set of Q preserving the bases of Q . The extent to which this virtual Euler characteristic estimates the actual Euler characteristic of the corresponding matroid homology chain complex in the sense of [1] depends on the asymptotic behavior of $|\text{Aut}(Q)|$ as the size of the ground set of Q grows. We discuss this further in §§4.1-2.

There are many natural classes of matroids one might take for $\mathcal{C}(r)$ in attempting to generalize Equation (1), for instance, rank r simple matroids realizable over a fixed finite field, rank r graphic matroids, rank r cographic matroids, and rank r regular matroids. In this paper, we focus our attention to the case of binary matroids (i.e., matroids realizable

over the finite field \mathbb{F}_2). Let $\mathcal{B}(r)$ be the set of isomorphism classes of simple binary matroids of rank r . We prove the following Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.1. *The virtual Euler characteristic for binary matroids is given by*

$$\chi(\mathcal{B}(r)) = \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{(1-2^i)}. \quad (2)$$

Example 1.2. We verify the formula in Theorem 1.1 directly for the case $r = 3$. There are 7 matroids in $\mathcal{B}(3)$, pictured in Figure 1. Denote by \mathfrak{S}_n the symmetric group on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. The automorphism groups of these matroids are, left to right, \mathfrak{S}_3 , \mathfrak{S}_4 , \mathfrak{S}_3 , D_8 (the dihedral group with 8 elements), \mathfrak{S}_4 , and $\text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{F}_2)$, which have orders 6, 24, 6, 8, 24, and 168, respectively. Using this, one readily calculates $\chi(\mathcal{B}(3)) = -1/21$, and verifies Theorem 1.1 in this case.




FIGURE 1. Rank 3 simple binary matroids

The proof of Theorem 1.1 revolves around the idea that counting isomorphism classes of binary matroids can be phrased as a question of counting points of certain subsets of Grassmanians. In particular, the key element of our proof is the following equality

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{B}(r,n)} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(Q)|} = \frac{|\text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r,n;\mathbb{F}_2)|}{n!} \quad (3)$$

where $\text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r,n;\mathbb{F}_2) \subset \text{Gr}(r,n;\mathbb{F}_2)$ consists of those r -dimensional linear spaces in $(\mathbb{F}_2)^n$ realizing a simple binary matroid; see Proposition 2.2. Theorem 1.1 then follows from computing the point count on the right-hand side above, which we do for \mathbb{F}_q where q is a prime power. In addition to pointing counting $\text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r,n;\mathbb{F}_q)$ we give a recursive formula for the class of $\text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r,n;\mathbb{F}_q)$ in Grothendieck ring of varieties (see Proposition 4.2).

Remark 1.3. A p -matroid is a matroid realizable over \mathbb{F}_p . It would be interesting to compute the virtual Euler characteristic for the set of p -matroids for primes $p \neq 2$. The main stumbling block in generalizing our arguments to other primes is that equation (3) fails for $p \neq 2$ (see § 5.3).

Acknowledgements. We thank Melody Chan for her thoughtful conversations on graph complexes as well as her thoughtful feedback throughout the course of this project. We also thank Shiyue Li for helpful conversations during the beginning of this project and for suggesting the potential connection to β -invariants. The first author is supported by the National Science Foundation under Award No. 2001739. The second author is grateful

for the support of the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute in Berkeley, California, where she was in residence for the 2020–2021 academic year. The second author is partially supported by the National Science Foundation under Award Nos. DMS-1440140, NSF FRG DMS-2053221, and NSF MSPRF DMS-2002239. The third author is supported by NSF-RTG grant 1502553 and the SFB-TRR project “Symbolic Tools in Mathematics and their Application” (project-ID 286237555).

2. COUNTING BINARY MATROIDS VIA THE GRASSMANNIAN

Throughout we let $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and write $\binom{[n]}{r}$ for the set of subsets of $[n]$ of size r . We assume familiarity with matroids, and we point the unfamiliar reader to [11] as a good reference. From the perspective of *bases*, a (r, n) -*matroid* is a nonempty subset $Q \subset \binom{[n]}{r}$ that satisfies the basis-exchange axiom. Here, the number r is the *rank* of Q , and $[n]$ is its ground set. We are primarily interested in matroids arising from matrices in the following way. Denote by $M_{r,n}(F)$ the set of full-rank $r \times n$ matrices with entries in the field F , and let $A \in M_{r,n}(F)$. Given a subset $I \in \binom{[n]}{r}$, denote by A_I the $r \times r$ submatrix formed by the columns of A indexed by I (preserving their order). The matroid of A is

$$Q(A) = \left\{ I \in \binom{[n]}{r} \mid \det A_I \neq 0 \right\}.$$

A (r, n) -matroid Q is *realizable over F* if it is of the form $Q(A)$ for some $A \in M_{r,n}(F)$. In this case, the matrix A is a *realization* of Q . A *binary matroid* is a matroid realizable over \mathbb{F}_2 .

The assignment $A \mapsto Q(A)$ is constant on orbits of the action $\mathrm{GL}_r(F) \curvearrowright M_{r,n}(F)$ by left multiplication, so $Q(A)$ depends only on the row span of A . The orbit space $\mathrm{GL}_r(F) \backslash M_{r,n}(F)$ is known as the *Grassmannian* $\mathrm{Gr}(r, n; F)$, and it is a projective algebraic variety that parameterizes the r -dimensional linear subspaces of F^n . Given $L \in \mathrm{Gr}(r, n; F)$, its matroid $Q(L)$ is $Q(A)$ where L is the row span of A .

Proposition 2.1. *The assignment $L \mapsto Q(L)$ defines a bijection between $\mathrm{Gr}(r, n; \mathbb{F}_2)$ and the set of all binary (r, n) -matroids.*

Proof. Surjectivity of this map is tautological, so it suffices to prove injectivity. That is, we must show that if $A, A' \in M_{r,n}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ are realizations of the same binary matroid Q , then there is a $T \in \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{F}_2)$ such that $TA = A'$. Without loss of generality, assume that $[r] := \{1, \dots, r\}$ is a basis of Q , and that A, A' are in row-reduced echelon form. That is, $A = [I_r | B]$ and $A' = [I_r | B']$ for matrices $B = [b_{ij}]$ and $B' = [b'_{ij}]$ in $M_{r,n-r}(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Then $b_{ij} = 1$ if and only if $[r] \Delta \{i, r+j\} \in Q$, if and only if $b'_{ij} = 1$; here $\lambda \Delta \lambda'$ denotes the symmetric difference of sets. Thus $A = A'$, as required. \square

Denote by $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{dc}}(r, n; F) \subset \mathrm{Gr}(r, n; F)$ the *distinct column* locus of the Grassmannian, i.e., the set of r -dimensional linear subspaces represented by matrices whose columns are distinct and not identically zero. This is an open subvariety of $\mathrm{Gr}(r, n; F)$, see Proposition 4.1. In view of Proposition 2.1, the set $\mathrm{Gr}^{\mathrm{dc}}(r, n; \mathbb{F}_2)$ may be identified with the set of matrices $A \in M_{r,n}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ in row-reduced echelon form, whose columns are distinct and not identically zero. Since two vectors in $(\mathbb{F}_2)^r$ are distinct if and only if they are not scalar multiples of each other, we see

that $\text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r, n)$ is the set of r -dimensional linear subspaces whose matroids are simple, i.e., have no loops or parallel elements. So Proposition 2.1 also implies that $|\text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r, n; \mathbb{F}_2)|$ equals the number of simple binary (r, n) -matroids.

The automorphism group of a matroid Q on $[n]$ is the subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_n consisting of the permutations on $[n]$ that preserve the bases of Q . Denote by $\mathcal{B}(r, n)$ the set of isomorphism classes of simple binary (r, n) -matroids.

Proposition 2.2. *We have*

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{B}(r, n)} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(Q)|} = \frac{|\text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r, n; \mathbb{F}_2)|}{n!}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{O}_Q = \{Q' : Q' \cong Q\}$. By the orbit-stabilizer formula, we have $|\mathfrak{S}_n| = |\text{Aut}(Q)| |\mathcal{O}_Q|$. Rearranging this identity and summing over all matroids $Q \in \mathcal{B}(r, n)$ gives

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{B}(r, n)} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(Q)|} = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{B}(r, n)} |\mathcal{O}_Q|.$$

The sum that appears on the right is exactly the number of simple binary (r, n) -matroids. As remarked above, this number is $|\text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r, n; \mathbb{F}_2)|$ by Proposition 2.1. \square

3. COUNTING POINTS IN THE DISTINCT COLUMN LOCUS OF THE GRASSMANNIAN

By Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\chi(\mathcal{B}(r)) = \sum_{n \geq r} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} |\text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r, n; \mathbb{F}_2)|.$$

The main result of this section is the following Theorem 3.1, in which we compute the analog of the right-hand side of this equation where \mathbb{F}_2 is replaced by any finite field \mathbb{F}_q where $q = p^e$ for any prime number p .

Theorem 3.1. *We have*

$$\sum_{n \geq r} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} |\text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r, n; \mathbb{F}_q)| = \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{(1 - q^i)}.$$

Remark 3.2. This result proves Theorem 1.1 by setting $q = 2$ and applying Proposition 2.2.

We prove Theorem 3.1 by induction on r , starting with $r = 1$. The elements of $\text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(1, n; \mathbb{F}_q)$ are in bijection with ordered $(n - 1)$ -tuples of distinct elements of $\mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0, 1\}$, and so

$$\frac{|\text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(1, n; \mathbb{F}_q)|}{n!} = \frac{(q - 2)!}{n!(q - n - 1)!} = \frac{1}{n} \binom{q - 2}{n - 1}.$$

Summing over n and applying [14, Identity 24] yields the theorem for $r = 1$.

Then, it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{n=r+1}^{q^{r+1}-1} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} |\text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r+1, n; \mathbb{F}_q)| = \frac{-1}{q^{r+1}-1} \sum_{n=r}^{q^r-1} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} |\text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r, n; \mathbb{F}_q)|. \quad (4)$$

As a steps towards proving the identity in (4) we observe that

$$\left| \text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r+1, n; \mathbb{F}_q) \right| = \sum_{k=r+1}^n \left| \text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r, k-1; \mathbb{F}_q) \right| \prod_{j=k+1}^n (q^{r+1} - j). \quad (5)$$

To see this suppose $A \in M_{r+1, n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is in row-reduced echelon form. Denote by k the column index of $(0, \dots, 0, 1)^t$. The upper-left $r \times (k-1)$ submatrix of A represents an element of $\text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r, k-1; \mathbb{F}_q)$, and there are $\prod_{j=k+1}^n (q^{r+1} - j)$ many ways to fill in the remaining columns to the right of the k th column, and Equation (5) follows. See §4 for a promotion of Equation (5) to the Grothendieck ring of varieties.

Using (5) we may rewrite the left hand side of Equation (4) so that it is enough for us to show the following identity

$$\sum_{n=r+1}^{q^{r+1}-1} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left| \text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r+1, n; \mathbb{F}_q) \right| = \sum_{n=r+1}^{q^{r+1}-1} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \sum_{k=r+1}^n \left| \text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r, k-1; \mathbb{F}_q) \right| \prod_{j=k+1}^n (q^{r+1} - j).$$

Switching the indices and re-arranging terms, we have

$$\sum_{n=r+1}^{q^{r+1}-1} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left| \text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r+1, n; \mathbb{F}_q) \right| = \sum_{k=r+1}^{q^r} \left| \text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r, k-1; \mathbb{F}_q) \right| \sum_{n=k}^{q^{r+1}-1} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \prod_{j=k+1}^n (q^{r+1} - j). \quad (6)$$

Here, the upper bound on k is q^r because $\left| \text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r, k-1; \mathbb{F}_q) \right| = 0$ for k larger than q^r .

In Lemma 3.3 below, we compute the numerical sum in the right hand side of Equation 6. This allows us to write

$$\sum_{n=r+1}^{q^{r+1}-1} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left| \text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r+1, n; \mathbb{F}_q) \right| = \sum_{k=r+1}^{q^r} \left| \text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r, k-1; \mathbb{F}_q) \right| \frac{(-1)^k}{(q^{r+1}-1)(k-1)!}.$$

Re-indexing, we find

$$\sum_{n=r+1}^{q^{r+1}-1} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left| \text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r+1, n; \mathbb{F}_q) \right| = \sum_{k=r}^{q^r-1} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{(q^{r+1}-1)} \frac{\left| \text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r, k; \mathbb{F}_q) \right|}{k!}$$

as desired.

Lemma 3.3. *Fix a natural number $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. For any natural number k such that $r \leq k \leq q^{r+1} - 1$:*

$$\sum_{n=k}^{q^{r+1}-1} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \prod_{j=k+1}^n (q^{r+1} - j) = \frac{(-1)^k}{(q^{r+1}-1)(k-1)!}. \quad (7)$$

Proof. We proceed by descending induction upon k . For the base notice that when $k = q^{r+1} - 1$ we may directly evaluate the sum on the left-hand side of Equation (7):

$$\sum_{n=q^{r+1}-1}^{q^{r+1}-1} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \prod_{j=q^{r+1}}^n (q^{r+1} - j) = \frac{(-1)^{q^{r+1}-1}}{(q^{r+1}-1)!} = \frac{(-1)^{q^{r+1}-1}}{(q^{r+1}-1)((q^{r+1}-1)-1)!}.$$

We now show that if Equation (7) holds true for $k + 1$ then it holds true for k . Split-off the $n = k$ summand on the left hand side of (7) to get

$$\sum_{n=k}^{q^{r+1}-1} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \prod_{j=k+1}^n (q^{r+1} - j) = \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} + \sum_{n=k+1}^{q^{r+1}-1} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \prod_{j=k+1}^n (q^{r+1} - j)$$

and then factor out $(q^{r+1} - (k + 1))$ to get

$$= \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} + (q^{r+1} - (k + 1)) \sum_{n=k+1}^{q^{r+1}-1} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \prod_{j=k+2}^n (q^{r+1} - j).$$

Since Equation (7) holds true for $k + 1$ we may simplify the sum in the parentheses, giving

$$= \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} + \frac{(-1)^{k+1}(q^{r+1} - (k + 1))}{(q^{r+1} - 1)k!}.$$

Further simplifying gives the desired claim. \square

4. CONNECTIONS TO THE GROTHENDIECK RING OF VARIETIES

In this section we show that the formula in Equation (5) has a promotion to an equality in the Grothendieck ring of varieties. By a F -variety we mean a reduced separated finite type F -scheme. Denote by $K_0(\text{Var}_F)$ the Grothendieck ring of F -varieties. Given a F -variety X , we denote by $[X]$ the corresponding element of $K_0(\text{Var}_F)$. We may consider the class of $\text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r, n)$ in $K_0(\text{Var}_F)$ by the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *The subset $\text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r, n; F) \subset \text{Gr}(r, n; F)$ is an open subvariety.*

We use Plücker coordinates to prove this. Fix $L \in \text{Gr}(r, n; F)$ given by the row-span of a matrix $A \in M_{r,n}(F)$. For $I \in \binom{[n]}{r}$ Denote by A_I the submatrix of A formed by the columns indexed by I , in increasing order. The homogeneous Plücker coordinates of L are:

$$p_I(L) := \det A_I \quad \text{for} \quad I \in \binom{[n]}{r}.$$

These coordinates are well-defined up to multiplication by an element of $F \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof of Proposition 4.1. For a matrix A , denote by A_i the i th column of A . Given indices $i \neq j$, set

$$S_{ij} = \left\{ L = \text{rowspan}(A) \mid A_i = A_j \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad S_i = \left\{ L = \text{rowspan}(A) \mid A_i = 0 \right\}.$$

These are Zariski-closed subsets of $\text{Gr}(r, n; F)$ since

$$S_{ij} = \left\{ L \in \text{Gr}(r, n; F) \mid p_{I \cup i}(L) = p_{I \cup j}(L) \text{ for all } |I| = r - 1, \text{ with } i, j \notin I \right\}$$

$$S_i = \left\{ L \in \text{Gr}(r, n; F) \mid p_{I \cup i}(L) = 0 \text{ for all } |I| = r - 1, \text{ and } i \notin I \right\}.$$

The proposition now follows from the fact that $\text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r, n; F)$ is obtained from $\text{Gr}(r, n; F)$ by removing the loci S_{ij} and S_i . \square

Given a variety X denote by $\text{Conf}_m(X)$ the configuration space of *ordered* m distinct points in X . We promote Equation (5) to a recursive equality in $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{F}_q})$ that relates $[\text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r+1, n; \mathbb{F}_q)]$ to $[\text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r, k-1; \mathbb{F}_q)]$ and $[\text{Conf}_{n-k}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{r+1} \setminus \{k+1 \text{ points}\})]$.

Proposition 4.2. *For $r \geq 1$ and $n \geq r+1$, we have*

$$[\text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r+1, n; \mathbb{F}_q)] = \sum_{k=r+1}^n [\text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r, k-1; \mathbb{F}_q)][\text{Conf}_{n-k}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{r+1} \setminus \{k+1 \text{ points}\})]. \quad (8)$$

Proof of Proposition 4.2. For $r+1 \leq k \leq n$, define

$$Z_k = \left\{ L \in \text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r+1, n; \mathbb{F}_q) \mid p_I(L) = 0 \text{ for all } I \in \binom{[k-1]}{r+1} \right\}.$$

The Z_k 's are closed subsets of $\text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r+1, n; \mathbb{F}_q)$ and $\text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r+1, n; \mathbb{F}_q) = Z_{r+1} \supset Z_{r+2} \supset \cdots Z_{n-1} \supset Z_n = \emptyset$. Set $Y_k = Z_k \setminus Z_{k+1}$. In terms of matrices, given $L = \text{rowspan}(A)$ in $\text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r+1, n; \mathbb{F}_q)$ where A is in row-reduced echelon form, $L \in Z_k$ if the $(r+1)$ st pivot of A occurs in column $\geq k$, whereas $L \in Y_k$ if the $(r+1)$ st pivot of A occurs in column k . We claim that

$$Y_k \cong \text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r, k-1; \mathbb{F}_q) \times \text{Conf}_{n-k}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{r+1} \setminus \{k+1 \text{ points}\}).$$

Suppose $L \in Y_k$ is given by the row-span of $A \in M_{r+1, n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ which is in row-reduced echelon form. Then the k th row is the vector $(0, \dots, 0, 1)^t$. Therefore, the row-span of the upper-left $r \times (k-1)$ matrix is an element of $\text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r, k-1)$. The remaining columns can be any collection of distinct nonzero vectors in \mathbb{F}_q^{r+1} that are also different from the first k columns. This proves the claim. So, we have

$$[\text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r+1, n; \mathbb{F}_q)] = \sum_{k=r+1}^n [Y_k] = \sum_{k=r+1}^n [\text{Gr}^{\text{dc}}(r, k-1; \mathbb{F}_q)][\text{Conf}_{n-k}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{r+1} \setminus \{k+1 \text{ points}\})].$$

as required. □

Equation (5) follows from Proposition 4.2 by applying the ring homomorphism

$$K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{F}_p}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad [X] \mapsto |X(\mathbb{F}_q)|$$

to the right-hand-side of Equation (8).

5. FUTURE QUESTIONS

5.1. Homology of matroid complexes. Matroid complexes are defined in [1] as a generalization of Kontsevich's graph complex [9]. One may consider a variant of these complexes defined on specific minor closed classes of matroids. For example, we define the \mathbb{F}_p -realizable matroid complex $\mathcal{C}(p, r)$ in the following way. Let $\mathcal{C}(p, r)_n$ be the \mathbb{Q} -vector space with a generator $[Q, \nu]$ where Q is a simple (r, n) -matroid and $\nu : [n] \rightarrow [n]$ is a bijection, which is called an *orientation*. These are subject to the relation $[Q, \nu] \sim [Q', \nu']$ where $g : Q \rightarrow Q'$ is an isomorphism and $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ is the composition $\tau = \nu' \circ g \circ \nu^{-1}$. As in the graph complex case, the \mathbb{Q} -vector space $\mathcal{C}(p, r)_n$ is spanned by $[Q, \nu]$ as Q runs through (representatives of) isomorphism classes of *alternating* (r, n) -matroids, i.e., those whose automorphism group have no odd permutations (e.g., simple transpositions). There are two natural choices for the differential. One could define $\partial : \mathcal{C}(p, r)_n \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(p, r)_{n-1}$ by sending $[Q, \nu]$ to an alternating sum of

element deletions with induced orientations similar to the graph theoretic case. Compare to [5, §4]. Alternatively, the boundary map could be defined as an alternating sum of element contractions.

Question 5.1. What is the homology of the complex $\mathcal{C}(p, g)$?

One could define similar complexes with p -matroids replaced by a different minor-closed collection of matroids, e.g., graphic, cographic, or regular. Denote the corresponding complexes by $\mathcal{G}(g)$, $\mathcal{G}'(g)$, and $\mathcal{R}(g)$, respectively.

Question 5.2. What are the homologies of $\mathcal{G}(g)$, $\mathcal{G}'(g)$, and $\mathcal{R}(g)$?

Remark 5.3. Note that a similar a complex very similar to $\mathcal{R}(g)$ was introduced in [3, § 5.2] in studying the top-weight cohomology of the moduli space of principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension g .

To get an estimate of the sizes of these homologies, one could study the Euler characteristic of these chain complexes. As remarked by Kontsevich in [9], it may be easier to evaluate the *orbifold* Euler characteristic of these chain complexes, where we count each matroid Q with weight $1/|\text{Aut}(Q)|$. This orbifold Euler characteristic differs from *the virtual Euler characteristic* defined in the introduction in that it only considers alternating matroids. Thus, understanding the asymptotic behavior of automorphism groups of matroids could shed light on the relationship between these two definitions of orbifold Euler characteristic.

5.2. Asymptotics of matroid automorphism groups. It is conjectured in [10] that asymptotically almost all matroids have a trivial automorphism group, and some progress on this is made in [12]; in particular, they show that asymptotically almost all sparse-paving matroids have trivial automorphism group (and it is conjectured in [10] that almost all matroids are sparse-paving).

Question 5.4. What is the asymptotic behavior of automorphism groups for specific minor closed classes of matroids, like binary, graphic, cographic, or regular matroids?

If, as expected in the collection of all matroids and proved in the class of sparse-paving matroids, these automorphism groups are asymptotically almost always trivial, then the virtual Euler characteristic defined in the introduction provides a good estimate of the Euler characteristic of the corresponding matroid homology chain complex. However, it is unclear if this expectation is true. In fact, the analogous statement for graphs that appear in Kontsevich's graph complex, see [2].

5.3. Extending to other primes. Given that Theorem 3.1 holds for all primes p , it is natural to wonder if we can compute analogous virtual Euler characteristics for arbitrary primes p . We make this question precise as follows. Recall that a p -matroid is a matroid that is realizable over \mathbb{F}_p . Let $\mathcal{B}_p(r)$ be the set of isomorphism classes of *simple* p -matroids of rank r , and $\mathcal{B}_p(r, n) \subset \mathcal{B}(r)$ those on the ground set $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$. Define the p -matroid

virtual Euler characteristic by

$$\chi(\mathcal{B}_p(r)) = \sum_{n \geq r} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{B}_p(r,n)} \frac{(-1)^n}{|\text{Aut}(Q)|}.$$

Question 5.5. Write a formula for $\chi(\mathcal{B}_p(r))$.

The main obstruction to proving an analogue of Theorem 1.1 using our methods is that Proposition 2.2 fails. Consider $p = 3$. There are four linear subspaces of $\text{Gr}(2,3;\mathbb{F}_3)$ that realize the uniform $(2,3)$ -matroid which are given by the row spans of the matrices:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

whereas Proposition 2.2 says that over \mathbb{F}_2 there is only one. Thus, to get a formula for $\chi(\mathcal{B}_p(r))$, we need to know the number of points the *matroid stratum* for each Q , i.e., the points in $\text{Gr}(r,n;\mathbb{F}_p)$ realizing Q . See [7, 8] for some results in this direction.

5.4. Beta invariants. The *characteristic polynomial* $\chi_Q(t)$ of a matroid Q is a well-known invariant of a matroid which generalizes the chromatic polynomial of a graph. Shiyue Li observed similarity between the numbers appearing in Theorem 1.1 and the characteristic polynomial of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^r$, which is is

$$\chi_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^r}(t) = \prod_{i=0}^r (t - 2^i),$$

see [15, Chapter 7]. In order to draw a precise connection to Theorem 1.1, we study a related but lesser-known invariant of a matroid called the *beta invariant*.

Definition 5.6 ([15], Chapter 7). The *beta invariant* $\beta(Q)$ of a rank- r matroid Q is the derivative of the characteristic polynomial of the matroid Q evaluated at 1.

Then, the beta invariant of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^r$ is $\beta(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^r) = (2-1)(2^2-1)\cdots(2^r-1)$. So,

$$\beta(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^r) = \frac{(-1)^r}{\chi(\mathcal{B}(r))}.$$

This equation relates an invariant of the matroid $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^r$ to a computation about all simple binary matroids. If there was a direct proof to this formula, it could perhaps generalize to give the p -matroid virtual Euler characteristic.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. V. Alekseyevskaya, A. V. Borovik, I. M. Gelfand, and N. White. Matroid homology. In *The Gelfand Mathematical Seminars, 1996–1999*, Gelfand Math. Sem., pages 1–13. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2000.
- [2] M. Borinsky and K. Vogtmann. Computing Euler characteristics using quantum field theory, 2022. arXiv:2202.08739, to appear in *Geometric methods in group theory: papers dedicated to Ruth Charney*.
- [3] M. Brandt, J. Bruce, M. Chan, M. Melo, G. Moreland, and C. Wolfe. On the top-weight rational cohomology of \mathcal{A}_g . *Geom. Topol.*, 2022. to appear.
- [4] M. Chan, C. Faber, S. Galatius, and S. Payne. The S_n -equivariant top weight Euler characteristic of $M_{g,n}$, 2019. arXiv:1904.06367.

- [5] M. Chan, S. Galatius, and S. Payne. Tropical curves, graph complexes, and top weight cohomology of \mathcal{M}_g . *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 34(2):565–594, 2021.
- [6] F. Gerlits. The Euler characteristic of graph complexes via Feynman diagrams, 2004. arXiv:0412094v2.
- [7] D. G. Glynn. Rings of geometries II. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 49(1):26–66, 1988.
- [8] A. Iampolskaia, A. Skorobogatov, and E. Sorokin. Formula for the number of [9,3] mds codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 41(6):1667–1671, 1995.
- [9] M. Kontsevich. Formal (non)commutative symplectic geometry. In *The Gelfand Mathematical Seminars, 1990–1992*, pages 173–187. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1993.
- [10] D. Mayhew, M. Newman, D. Welsh, and G. Whittle. On the asymptotic proportion of connected matroids. *European J. Combin.*, 32(6):882–890, 2011.
- [11] J. Oxley. *Matroid theory*. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1992.
- [12] R. Pendavingh and J. van der Pol. Asymptotics of symmetry in matroids. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 135:349–365, 2019.
- [13] R. C. Penner. Perturbative series and the moduli space of Riemann surfaces. *J. Differential Geom.*, 27(1):35–53, 1988.
- [14] M. Z. Spivey. *The art of proving binomial identities*. Discrete Mathematics and its Applications (Boca Raton). CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2019.
- [15] N. White, editor. *Combinatorial Geometries*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications. Cambridge University Press, 1987.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BROWN UNIVERSITY, PROVIDENCE, RI 02912

Email address: madeline_brandt@brown.edu

URL: <https://sites.google.com/view/madelinebrandt>

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BROWN UNIVERSITY, PROVIDENCE, RI 02912

Email address: juliette_bruce1@brown.edu

URL: <https://juliettebruce.github.io>

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT BERLIN, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, SEKR. MA 6-2, STRASSE DES 17 JUNI 136, 10623 BERLIN

Email address: corey@math.tu-berlin.de

URL: <https://www.danieljcorey.com>