# Sequencings in Semidirect Products via the Polynomial Method

S. Costa<sup>1</sup>, S. Della Fiore<sup>2</sup> and M. A. Ollis<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>DICATAM, Sez. Matematica, Università degli Studi di Brescia, Via Branze 43, I 25123 Brescia, Italy

<sup>2</sup>DI, Università degli Studi di Salerno, Via Papa Giovanni Paolo II 132, I 84084 Fisciano, Italy,

<sup>3</sup>Emerson College, 120 Boylston Street, Boston, MA 02116, USA

#### Abstract

The partial sums of a sequence  $\mathbf{x} = x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k$  of distinct non-identity elements of a group  $(G, \cdot)$  are  $s_0 = id_G$  and  $s_j = \prod_{i=1}^j x_i$  for  $0 < j \le k$ . If the partial sums are all different then  $\mathbf{x}$  is a *linear sequencing* and if the partial sums are all different when  $|i-j| \le t$  then  $\mathbf{x}$  is a *t-weak sequencing*. We investigate these notions of sequenceability in semidirect products using the polynomial method. We show that every subset of order k of the non-identity elements of the dihedral group of order 2m has a linear sequencing when  $k \le 12$  and either m > 3 is prime or every prime factor of m is larger than k!, unless  $s_k$  is unavoidably the identity; that every subset of order k of a non-abelian group of order three times a prime has a linear sequencing when  $5 < k \le 10$ , unless  $s_k$  is unavoidably the identity; and that if the order of a group is pe then all sufficiently large subsets of the non-identity elements are t-weakly sequenceable when p > 3 is prime,  $e \le 3$  and  $t \le 6$ .

### 1 Introduction

Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be a group. We continue the investigation of when the elements of a subset of G may be ordered in such a way that their partial sums are distinct. We set up a general framework for investigating semidirect product groups and look in detail at the dihedral groups of order twice a prime and non-abelian groups of order three times a prime.

**Definition 1.1.** Let S be a subset of the non-identity elements of a group G with |S| = k. If  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k$  is an ordering of the elements of S, define the *j*th partial sum of the ordering by  $s_0 = id_G$  and  $s_j = \prod_{i=1}^j x_i$  for  $0 < j \le k$ . The ordering of the subset S is a *linear sequencing* if the partial sums  $s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_k$  are all different. It is a *cyclic sequencing* if the partial sums are different with the exception that  $s_0 = s_k = id_G$ . If S has a linear or cyclic sequencing then it is *sequenceable*.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics subject classification. 05C25, 05C38, 05D40

Keywords and phrases. Combinatorial Nullstellensatz, Sequenceability.

If every subset of the non-identity elements of a group is sequenceable then the group is *strongly sequenceable*. Combining several results and conjectures concering subsets of abelian groups dating back to 1961 [8], we have the conjecture that all abelian groups are strongly sequenceable. See [2, 5, 18] for details of the history, motivation and current state of this conjecture.

The question for non-abelian groups is much more widely open. In [6, 16] small counterexamples are presented to show that the potential conjecture that all non-abelian groups are strongly sequenceable is false.

Keedwell's Conjecture [12] is that if S contains all the non-identity elements of a non-abelian group G, then a linear sequencing exists if and only if  $|G| \ge 10$ . This known to hold for many groups, including dihedral groups [11, 13], soluble groups with a single involution [3], at least one group of every odd order at which a non-abelian group exists [17] and all groups of order at most 255 [15].

Let S be a subset of size k of  $G \setminus \{id_G\}$  whose elements can be ordered so that their total sum is not the identity. Then S is known to have a linear sequencing in the following situations [16]:

- $k \leq 4$  and S does not have one of two specific structures,
- G is dihedral of order 2m for prime m > 3 and  $k \le 9$ ,
- G is dihedral of order 2m for even or prime m > 4 and k = 2m 2.

In this paper we make two contributions to finding linear sequencings in non-abelian groups. First, we improve the second item above, showing that it holds up to k = 12and also for m whose prime factors are each larger than k!. (The result that allows for composite m with large prime factors in fact applies more widely than dihedral groups; see Section 3.) The second contribution concerns non-abelian groups of order three times a prime p; there is at most one such group for any p and we denote it  $G_{3p}$ . In this case we show that subsets S of size  $5 < k \leq 10$  are sequenceable and, further, that we can always find a linear sequencing for these subsets when the sum of all the elements is not unavoidably the identity.

In [4] a generalization of sequenceability is introduced.

**Definition 1.2.** Let S be a subset of the non-identity elements of a group G with |S| = kand let  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k$  be an ordering of the elements of S with partial sums  $s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_k$ . If  $s_i \neq s_j$  for any distinct pair of indices i, j with  $|i - j| \leq t$  then the ordering is a *t*-weak sequencing of S and S is *t*-weakly sequenceable.

Observe that a k-weak sequencing is exactly a linear sequencing and that a (k-1)-weak sequencing is either a linear or cyclic sequencing.

In [4] it is shown that a subset of non-identity elements of the cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  of prime order p is t-weak sequenceable when  $t \leq 6$  and, with the additional condition that there are no pairs of the form  $\{x, -x\}$  in the subset, when t = 7. We extend the methodology to apply to groups G that are not cyclic of prime order, showing that if |G| = pe then all sufficiently large subsets of  $G \setminus \{id_G\}$  are t-weakly sequenceable when p > 3 is prime,  $e \leq 3$  and  $t \leq 6$ .

In the next section we describe the polynomial method and how it can be implemented for groups that are semidirect products. In Subsection 2.1 and Section 3 we apply the method to obtain the results on sequenceability and in Section 4 we apply it to obtain the results on weak sequenceability.

## 2 Polynomial Method in Semidirect Products

In this section, we apply a method that relies on the Non-Vanishing Corollary of the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz, see [1, 14]. Given a prime p (in the following p will be always assumed to be a prime), this corollary allows us to obtain a nonzero point to suitable polynomials on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  derived starting from the ones defined in [10] and in [16].

**Theorem 2.1.** (Non-Vanishing Corollary) Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a finite field, and let  $f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k)$  be a polynomial in  $\mathbb{F}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k]$ . Suppose the degree deg(f) of f is  $\sum_{i=1}^k \gamma_i$ , where each  $\gamma_i$  is a nonnegative integer, and suppose the coefficient of  $\prod_{i=1}^k x_i^{\gamma_i}$  in f is nonzero. If  $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_k$  are subsets of  $\mathbb{F}$  with  $|C_i| > \gamma_i$ , then there are  $c_1 \in C_1, \ldots, c_k \in C_k$  such that  $f(c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k) \neq 0$ .

In the notation of the Non-Vanishing Corollary, we call the monomial  $x_1^{|C_1|-1} \cdots x_k^{|C_k|-1}$  the bounding monomial. The corollary can be rephrased as requiring the polynomial to include a monomial of maximum degree that divides the bounding monomial (where by "include" we mean that it has a nonzero coefficient).

To use the Non-Vanishing Corollary we require a polynomial for which the nonzeros correspond to successful solutions to the case of the problem under consideration. Here, we will consider subsets S of a group  $(G, \cdot_G)$  that is the semidirect product of  $(\mathbb{Z}_p, +)$  and another group  $(H, \cdot_H)$ . For such groups we will use the notation  $G = \mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes_{\varphi} H$  for some group homomorphism  $\varphi : H \to Aut(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ .

**Remark 2.2.** These kind of semidirect products define a wide class of groups. Our hypotheses are, indeed, equivalent to consider groups  $(G, \cdot)$  that admit an isomorphic copy of  $(\mathbb{Z}_p, +)$  as a normal subgroup N and whose cosets are of the form  $N \cdot id, N \cdot c_1, \ldots, N \cdot c_{e-1}$  where e = |G/N| and  $\{c_0 = id, \ldots, c_{e-1}\}$  is a subgroup of G.

Now revisit the polynomial method introduced in [16] for dihedral groups and in [5] for groups of type  $\mathbb{Z}_p \times H$ . To avoid confusion, from now on, the symbol + will be used only between elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Let  $\pi_2 : \mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes_{\varphi} H \to H$  be the projection map that picks out the second coordinate of an element and for a subset  $S \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes_{\varphi} H) \setminus \{(0,0)\}$  let  $\pi_2(S)$ be the multiset  $\{\pi_2(s) : s \in S\}$ . Also, the generic element of  $\mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes_{\varphi} H$  will be indicated by  $x \cdot_G a$  (or  $y \cdot b$ ) implying that  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $a \in H$ . We define the *type* of S to be the sequence  $\lambda = (\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_{e-1})$ , where  $\lambda_i$  is the number of times that i appears in  $\pi_2(S)$ .

Let T be a multiset of elements from  $H \setminus \{0\}$  with size k. Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_k)$  be an arrangement of the elements of T with partial sums  $\mathbf{b} = (b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_k)$ . If, for each *i*, the element *i* appears at most r times in **b**, then **a** is a quotient sequencing of T with respect to r. In our setting, given some  $S \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes_{\varphi} H) \setminus \{(0,0)\}$  for which we wish to find a sequencing, we shall be interested in quotient sequencings of  $\pi_2(S)$  with respect to p.

Given  $S \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes_{\varphi} H) \setminus \{(0,0)\}$  we first construct a quotient sequencing of  $\pi_2(S)$  with respect to p. We then use the polynomial method to show that there is a sequencing for S that projects elementwise onto that quotient sequencing. Given such a quotient sequencing  $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, \ldots a_k)$  with partial sums  $\boldsymbol{b} = (b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_k)$ , let

$$\boldsymbol{x_a} = (x_1 \cdot_G a_1, x_2 \cdot_G a_2, \dots, x_k \cdot_G a_k)$$

be a putative arrangement of the elements of S with partial sums

$$\boldsymbol{y_a} = (s_0, \ldots, s_k) = (y_0 \cdot_G b_0, y_1 \cdot_G b_1, \ldots, y_k \cdot_G b_k).$$

We will need the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $(G, \cdot_G)$  be a group of type  $\mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes_{\varphi} H$ . Then, considering  $x_1 \cdot_G a_1$  and  $x_2 \cdot_G a_2$  in G, there exists  $\varphi_{a_1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  such that:

$$(x_1 \cdot_G a_1) \cdot_G (x_2 \cdot_G a_2) = (x_1 + (\varphi_{a_1} x_2)) \cdot_G (a_1 \cdot_H a_2)$$

where  $\varphi_{a_1} x_2$  represent the product between the elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $\varphi_{a_1}$  and  $x_2$ .

*Proof.* Due to the definition, we have that

$$(x_1 \cdot_G a_1) \cdot_G (x_2 \cdot_G a_2) = (x_1 + \varphi(a_1)(x_2)) \cdot_G (a_1 \cdot_H a_2)$$

Here the map

$$x \to \varphi(a_1)(x)$$

is an automorphism of  $(\mathbb{Z}_p, +)$ . It is well known that the automorphism of  $(\mathbb{Z}_p, +)$  are the multiplications and hence there exists  $\varphi_{a_1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  such that

$$\varphi(a_1)(x) = \varphi_{a_1} x.$$

Hence we have that

$$(x_1 + \varphi(a_1)(x_2)) \cdot_G (a_1 \cdot_H a_2) = (x_1 + (\varphi_{a_1} x_2)) \cdot_G (a_1 \cdot_H a_2)$$

which implies the thesis.

From now on, when it is clear from the context, the index G or H in the products will be omitted.

Here we note that, if j > i,  $s_i = s_j$  only when

$$(x_{i+1} \cdot a_{i+1}) \cdot (x_{i+2} \cdot a_{i+2}) \cdot \ldots \cdot (x_j \cdot a_j) = id.$$

Applying Lemma 2.3, this equality can be written as

$$(x_{i+1} + \varphi_{a_{i+1}} x_{i+2}) \cdot (a_{i+1} \cdot a_{i+2}) \cdot \ldots \cdot (x_j \cdot a_j) = id$$

and, reiterating it, as

$$(x_{i+1} + \varphi_{a_{i+1}}x_{i+2} + \varphi_{a_{i+1} \cdot a_{i+2}}x_{i+3} + \dots + \varphi_{a_{i+1} \dots a_{j-1}}x_j) \cdot (a_{i+1} \cdot a_{i+2} \cdot \dots \cdot a_j) = id$$

This implies that

$$a_{i+1} \cdot a_{i+2} \cdot \ldots \cdot a_j = id$$

which is  $b_i = b_j$ , and

$$x_{i+1} + \varphi_{a_{i+1}} x_{i+2} + \varphi_{a_{i+1} \cdot a_{i+2}} x_{i+3} + \dots + \varphi_{a_{i+1} \dots a_{j-1}} x_j = 0.$$

Hence, reasoning as in [5], we can consider the following polynomial in the variables  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k$ 

$$p_{a} = \prod_{\substack{1 \le i < j \le k \\ a_{i} = a_{j}}} (x_{j} - x_{i}) \prod_{\substack{0 \le i < j \le k \\ b_{i} = b_{j} \\ j \neq i+1}} (x_{i+1} + \varphi_{a_{i+1}} x_{i+2} + \varphi_{a_{i+1} \cdot a_{i+2}} x_{i+3} + \dots + \varphi_{a_{i+1} \dots a_{j-1}} x_{j}) \quad (1)$$

where the variable  $x_i$  ranges over the values  $\{c : c \cdot a_i \in S\}$  for each *i*. Note that, if G is non-abelian, there is no reason to exclude the pair (0, k) in the second product of Equation (1). Indeed we can even ask that  $s_0 \neq s_k$ . Now, it is clear that, given  $S = \{x_1 \cdot a_1, x_2 \cdot a_2, \ldots, x_k \cdot a_k\} \subseteq G \setminus \{0\}$  of size  $k, p_a(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \neq 0$  only if the sequence  $(x_1 \cdot a_1, x_2 \cdot a_2, \ldots, x_k \cdot a_k)$  is a sequencing for the set S.

### 2.1 Computational Results

The method introduced in the previous paragraph generalizes that used in [16] to investigate the problem for dihedral groups. We recall the definition of these groups.

**Definition 2.4.** The dihedral group  $D_{2n}$  is the semidirect product  $\mathbb{Z}_n \rtimes_{\varphi} \mathbb{Z}_2$  where

$$\varphi(0) = id$$
 and  $\varphi(1)(x) = -x$ .

In the following example, we see how the coefficients of Equation (1) behave for such groups.

**Example 2.5.** Example Let p be a prime, p > 3, and suppose  $S \subseteq D_{2p} \setminus \{0\}$  with |S| = 5 and of type (3, 2). The sequence  $\mathbf{a} = (0, 1, 0, 0, 1)$  has partial sums (0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0) and so is a quotient sequencing of  $\pi_2(S)$  with respect to p. We desire a sequencing of S of the form

$$(x_1 \cdot 0, x_2 \cdot 1, x_3 \cdot 0, x_4 \cdot 0, x_5 \cdot 1).$$

The polynomial is

$$p_{a} = (x_{3} - x_{1})(x_{4} - x_{1})(x_{5} - x_{2})(x_{4} - x_{3})(x_{1} + x_{2} - x_{3} - x_{4} - x_{5})(x_{2} - x_{3} - x_{4} - x_{5})(x_{3} + x_{4}).$$

To apply the Non-Vanishing Corollary we need a monomial of this polynomial which divides the bounding monomial  $x_1^2 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^2 x_5$  with a nonzero coefficient. One such is  $x_1^2 x_2 x_3^2 x_4 x_5^2$ , which has coefficient 6. Hence whenever S has this form it has a sequencing.

Another class of groups that we will consider in this discussion is that of  $G_{3p}$ .

**Definition 2.6.** Given  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$  and r such that  $r^3 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and  $r \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ ,  $G_{3p}$  is defined as  $\mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes_{\varphi} \mathbb{Z}_3$  where

$$\varphi(i)(x) = r^i x \text{ for any } i \in [0, 1, 2].$$

In case  $r \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , using the same construction we would obtain the direct product  $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ .

In the following example, we see how the coefficients of Equation (1) behave for such groups.

**Example 2.7.** Example Let p be a prime, p > 3, and suppose  $S \subseteq G_{3p} \setminus \{0\}$  with |S| = 5 and of type (1,3,1). The sequence  $\mathbf{a} = (1,1,0,2,1)$  has partial sums (0,1,2,2,1,2) and so is a quotient sequencing of  $\pi_2(S)$  with respect to p. We desire a sequencing of S of the form

$$(x_1 \cdot 1, x_2 \cdot 1, x_3 \cdot 0, x_4 \cdot 2, x_5 \cdot 1).$$

The polynomial is

$$p_{a} = (x_{2} - x_{1})(x_{5} - x_{1})(x_{5} - x_{2})(x_{2} + rx_{3} + rx_{4})(x_{3} + x_{4} + r^{2}x_{5})(x_{4} + r^{2}x_{5}).$$

To apply the Non-Vanishing Corollary we need a monomial of this polynomial which divides the bounding monomial  $x_1^2 x_2^2 x_5^2$  with a nonzero coefficient. One such is  $x_1^2 x_2^2 x_5^2$ , which has coefficient  $-r^4 = -r$ . Hence whenever S has this form it has a sequencing.

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let n = pe with p prime and let G be a group of size n. Then subsets S of size k of G are sequenceable in the following cases:

- 1.  $k \neq 4, 5$  such that  $k \leq 10$  and  $e \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ ;
- 2.  $k \leq 12, p > 3$  and  $e \in \{1, 2\}$ .

*Proof.* The case e = 1 follows from the results of [10] and [5]. Since  $\mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes_{\varphi} \{0\}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , from the same papers, it follows the sequenceability of subsets S of  $D_{2p}$  (resp.  $G_{3p}$ ) whose type is (k, 0) (resp. (k, 0, 0)).

Note that a group of size 2p is either  $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  or the dihedral group  $D_{2p}$ . Analogously, a group of size 3p is either  $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_3$  or  $G_{3p}$  for some r. Since the direct product case has already been considered in [5], we consider here just the cases of  $D_{2p}$  and  $G_{3p}$ . Also, for the dihedral groups, we can suppose that  $k \geq 10$  since by [16] we know that subsets Sof size  $k \leq 9$  in  $D_{2p}$  (with p > 3) are sequenceable. We also know from [16, Lemma 3.1] that subsets  $S \subseteq D_{2p}$  of size k and of type (0, k) are sequenceable for every k. Then, in all of the other cases stated in the theorem, we can use the Non-Vanishing Corollary for suitable quotient sequences and nonzero monomial (in Tables 1 and 2 below we report the extreme cases). These results are obtained using the Python framework SageMath [19].

Here, when considering  $G_{3p}$ , for each type we provide a set of coefficients whose expressions depend on r. We have checked that, for any prime  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ , at least one of these coefficients is nonzero proceeding as follows. The coefficients can be written in the form ar + b for some a, b whose expression do not depend on p. If  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , the coefficient is zero if and only if  $r \equiv -b \cdot a^{-1} \pmod{p}$ . Recalling that r satisfies  $r^2 + r + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  we have that  $a^{-2}(b^2 - ab + a^2) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and hence,

$$b^2 - ab + a^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$
 (2)

On the other hand, if  $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  the coefficient is zero only when also b is zero modulo p and hence Equation (2) is satisfied also in this case. Since Equation (2) is satisfied for finitely many p, one can check that, for any prime p, at least one of the coefficients presented in Table 2 is nonzero.

**Remark 2.9.** Since in Equation (1) we have imposed also  $s_0 \neq s_k$ , we have that in case  $G = D_{2p}$  (resp.  $G = G_{3p}$ ), S is not of type (k, 0) (resp. (k, 0, 0)) and k satisfies the hypotheses of the previous theorem, then S admits a linear sequencing.

This implies that, under the hypothesis of Theorem 2.8, S admits a linear sequencing unless  $s_k$  is unavoidably the identity.

The following Tables 1 and 2 contain the required monomials and their coefficients for the proof of Theorem 2.8 in the cases  $(G, k) = (D_{2p}, 12)$  and  $(G, k) = (G_{3p}, 10)$ .

			*	
λ	a	deg	monomial/s	coefficient/s
(11, 1)	(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	71	$\begin{array}{c} x_2^3 x_5^5 x_4^7 x_5^9 x_9^9 x_9^9 x_{10}^{9} x_{10}^{10} x_{12}^{10} \\ x_2^3 x_5^3 x_4^8 x_5^8 x_7^9 x_8^9 x_9^9 x_{10}^9 x_{11}^{10} x_{12}^{10} \end{array}$	$ \frac{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 131}{2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 593} $
(10, 2)	(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)	64	$\begin{array}{c} x_2^4 x_3^5 x_4^9 x_5^8 x_6 x_7^8 x_8^9 x_9^9 x_{10}^9 x_{11}^9 x_{12} \\ x_2^4 x_3^5 x_4^8 x_5^9 x_6 x_7^8 x_8^9 y_9^9 x_{10}^9 x_{11}^9 x_{12} \end{array}$	$-2^{7} \cdot 3 \cdot 29 \cdot 41 \\ -2^{5} \cdot 3 \cdot 101 \cdot 227$
(9, 3)	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	57	$\begin{array}{c} x_2^2 x_3^8 x_4^8 x_5^2 x_6^2 x_7^2 x_8^8 x_9^8 x_{10}^8 x_{11}^8 x_{12}^8 \\ x_2^3 x_3^7 x_4^8 x_5^2 x_6^2 x_7^2 x_8^8 x_9^8 x_{10}^8 x_{11}^8 x_{12}^8 \end{array}$	$-2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 31 \\ -2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 61 \cdot 73$
(8, 4)	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)	54	$x_2^6 x_3^7 x_4^7 x_5^3 x_6^3 x_7^3 x_8^7 x_9^7 x_{10}^7 x_{11}^7 x_{12}^3 \ x_2^7 x_3^7 x_4^7 x_5^3 x_6^3 x_7^7 x_8^7 x_8^7 x_9^7 x_{10}^7 x_{11}^7 x_{12}^3$	$2^6 \cdot 7 \cdot 7669 \\ -2^6 \cdot 11 \cdot 28591$
(7, 5)	(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)	51	$\begin{array}{c} x_{2}^{6}x_{3}^{6}x_{4}^{4}x_{5}^{4}x_{6}^{4}x_{7}^{4}x_{8}^{4}x_{9}^{6}x_{10}^{6}x_{11}^{6}x_{12}^{6} \\ x_{1}^{6}x_{3}^{6}x_{4}^{4}x_{5}^{4}x_{6}^{4}x_{7}^{4}x_{8}^{4}x_{9}^{6}x_{10}^{6}x_{11}^{6}x_{12}^{6} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 401 \\ -2^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 179 \cdot 389 \end{array}$
(6, 6)	(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1)	52	$\begin{array}{c} x_1^2 x_2^4 x_3^5 x_5^4 x_5^5 x_6^5 x_7^5 x_8^5 x_9^5 x_{10}^5 x_{11}^5 x_{12}^5 \\ x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_5^5 x_5^5 x_5^5 x_6^5 x_7^5 x_8^5 x_9^5 x_{10}^5 x_{11}^5 x_{12}^5 \end{array}$	$2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 181 \cdot 481373 -2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 13 \cdot 22399121$
(4, 8)	(0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1)	58	$\begin{array}{c} x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^5 x_5^7 x_6^7 x_7^7 x_8^7 x_9^7 x_{10}^3 x_{11}^3 x_{12}^7 \\ x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^6 x_5^6 x_6^7 x_7^7 x_8^7 x_9^7 x_{10}^3 x_{11}^3 x_{12}^7 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 79 \cdot 179 \cdot 18979 \\ -2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 109 \cdot 119839 \end{array}$
(3, 9)	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0)	63	$\begin{array}{c} x_1^2 x_2^4 x_3^6 x_4^8 x_5^8 x_7^8 x_8^8 x_9^8 x_{10}^8 x_{11}^2 x_{12}^2 \\ x_1^2 x_2^5 x_3^5 x_4^8 x_5^8 x_7^8 x_8^8 x_9^8 x_{10}^8 x_{11}^2 x_{12}^2 \end{array}$	$3 \cdot 62701 \cdot 131111 \\ -2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 3699679$
(2, 10)	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1)	72	$ \begin{array}{c} x_1 x_2^4 x_3^4 x_8^4 x_5^9 x_7^9 x_8^9 x_9^9 x_{10}^9 x_{11} x_{12}^9 \\ x_1 x_2^4 x_3^5 x_4^7 x_5^9 x_7^9 x_8^9 x_9^9 x_{10}^9 x_{11} x_{12}^9 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 71 \cdot 1427 \cdot 1033463 \\ -2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 23 \cdot 215947813 \end{array}$
(1, 11)	(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0)	80	$\begin{array}{c} x_2^5 x_3^5 x_4^7 x_5^7 x_6^8 x_7^8 x_8^{10} x_{10}^{10} x_{10}^{10} x_{11}^{10} \\ x_2^4 x_3^6 x_4^7 x_5^7 x_6^8 x_8^8 x_8^{10} x_{10}^{10} x_{10}^{10} x_{11}^{10} \end{array}$	$2^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 101 \cdot 81083329 -2^5 \cdot 5 \cdot 31 \cdot 3557 \cdot 21647$

Table 1: Monomials and their coefficients for the proof of Theorem 2.8 in the case |S| = 12 and  $G = D_{2p}$ .

Table 2: Monomials and their coefficients for the proof of Theorem 2.8 in the case |S| = 10 and  $G = G_{3p}$ .

$\overline{\lambda}$	a	deg	monomial/s	coefficient/s
(9, 1, 0)	(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)	52	$x_2^2 x_3^4 x_4^7 x_5^8 x_7^7 x_8^8 x_9^8 x_{10}^8$	$-2^{2}$
(8, 2, 0)	(0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0)	36	$x_2^2 x_3^4 x_5^3 x_6^6 x_7^7 x_8 x_9^6 x_{10}^7$	-2
(8,1,1)	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 2)	45	$x_1 x_2^4 x_3^6 x_4^7 x_6^6 x_7^7 x_8^7 x_9^7 \ x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^6 x_4^7 x_6^6 x_7^7 x_8^7 x_9^7$	-1791r + 573 -235r - 746

$\overline{\lambda}$	a	deg	monomial/s	coefficient/s
(7, 3, 0)	(0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1)	32	$x_1^2 x_2^3 x_4^2 x_5^5 x_6^6 x_7 x_8^5 x_9^6 x_{10}^2 \ x_1^2 x_2^2 x_4^3 x_5^5 x_6^6 x_7 x_8^5 x_9^6 x_{10}^2$	14r + 73 12r + 21
(7, 2, 1)	(0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 2)	30	$x_1 x_2^2 x_3^4 x_4 x_5^5 x_6^5 x_7 x_8^5 x_9^6$	1
(6, 4, 0)	(0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1)	30	$\begin{array}{c} x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^4 x_5^5 x_6^2 x_7^4 x_5^8 x_9^3 x_{10}^3 \\ x_1 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^3 x_5^5 x_6^2 x_7^4 x_8^5 x_9^3 x_{10}^3 \end{array}$	-1168r + 72 -436r - 1078
(6, 3, 1)	(0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 2, 1)	27	$x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4 x_5^5 x_6^5 x_7^2 x_8^5 x_{10}^2$	r + 1
(6, 2, 2)	(0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 2, 2)	26	$\begin{array}{c} x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3 x_4^3 x_5^4 x_6 x_7^4 x_8^5 x_9 x_{10} \\ x_1^2 x_2^5 x_4^3 x_5^4 x_6 x_7^4 x_8^5 x_9 x_{10} \end{array}$	10r - 64 155r + 583
(5, 5, 0)	(0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1)	30	$\begin{array}{c} x_2^2 x_3 x_4^3 x_5^4 x_6^4 x_7^4 x_8^4 x_9^4 x_{10}^4 \\ x_1 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^2 x_5^3 x_6^4 x_7^4 x_8^4 x_9^4 x_{10}^4 \end{array}$	1965r + 2715 385r - 190
(5, 4, 1)	(0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 2, 1, 1)	26	$\begin{array}{c} x_1 x_2^4 x_3 x_3^4 x_5^4 x_6^3 x_7^4 x_9^3 x_{10}^3 \\ x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3 x_4^3 x_5^4 x_6^3 x_7^4 x_9^3 x_{10}^3 \end{array}$	30r + 156 42r + 72
(5, 3, 2)	(0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 2, 1, 2)	24	$x_1^4 x_2^4 x_3^3 x_4^2 x_5^4 x_6^2 x_7^2 x_8 x_9^2$	2
(4, 6, 0)	(0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1)	32	$\begin{array}{c} x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^5 x_6^3 x_7^4 x_8^4 x_9 x_{10} \\ x_1^2 x_2^5 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^5 x_6^3 x_7^5 x_8^4 x_9 x_{10} \end{array}$	$-11377r + 11374 \\ -21587r - 27358$
(4, 5, 1)	(0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 2)	27	$\begin{array}{c} x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^4 x_6^3 x_7^4 x_8^4 x_9^4 \\ x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^3 x_6^3 x_7^3 x_8^4 x_9^4 \end{array}$	-1286r + 68 -333r - 119
(4, 4, 2)	(0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1)	24	$x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^3 x_6^3 x_7 x_8^3 x_9 x_{10}^3$	-2r
(4, 3, 3)	(0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 2, 1, 2, 2)	23	$\begin{array}{c} x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4^3 x_5^2 x_6^3 x_7^2 x_8^2 x_9^2 x_{10}^2 \\ x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4^3 x_5^2 x_6^2 x_7^2 x_8^2 x_9^2 x_{10}^2 \end{array}$	-1452r + 1686 -759r - 348
(3, 7, 0)	(0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)	36	$\begin{array}{c} x_2 x_3^2 x_4 x_5^2 x_6^6 x_7^6 x_8^6 x_9^6 x_{10}^6 \\ x_2 x_3^2 x_4^3 x_5^2 x_6^4 x_7^6 x_8^6 x_9^6 x_{10}^6 \end{array}$	-19616r + 331264 802469r - 381850
(3, 6, 1)	(0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1)	30	$\begin{array}{c} x_1^2 x_2 x_3^5 x_4^2 x_5^5 x_6^5 x_7^5 x_8^4 x_{10} \\ x_1^2 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^2 x_5^5 x_6^5 x_7^5 x_8^4 x_{10}^3 \end{array}$	3396r - 230 133r + 344
(3, 5, 2)	(0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 1)	26	$\begin{array}{c} x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^2 x_5^4 x_6 x_7^4 x_8 x_9^4 x_{10}^4 \\ x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^2 x_5^3 x_6 x_7^4 x_8 x_9^4 x_{10}^4 \end{array}$	-3r + 24 $21r + 21$
(3, 4, 3)	(0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 2) (0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 2, 2, 1, 2)	$\frac{24}{24}$	$\begin{array}{c} x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^2 x_5^3 x_6^3 x_7^2 x_8^2 x_9^3 x_{10}^2 \\ x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^2 x_6^3 x_7^2 x_8^2 x_9^3 x_{10}^2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1358r + 1812 \\ -1742r - 1035 \end{array}$
(2, 8, 0)	(0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)	42	$x_3 x_4^2 x_5^4 x_6^7 x_7^7 x_8^7 x_9^7 x_{10}^7 \ x_3 x_4^2 x_5^5 x_6^6 x_7^7 x_8^7 x_9^7 x_{10}^7$	$\begin{array}{c} 65374r + 67999 \\ -160333r - 7057 \end{array}$
(2, 7, 1)	(0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1)	35	$x_1x_3x_4^3x_5^6x_6^6x_7^6x_9^6x_{10}^6\ x_1x_3x_4^4x_5^5x_6^6x_7^6x_9^6x_{10}^6$	$\frac{17861r - 27263}{12821r + 26143}$
(2, 6, 2)	(2, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 0)	30	$x_3^3 x_4^5 x_5^5 x_6^5 x_7^5 x_8 x_9^5 x_{10} \ x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^5 x_6^5 x_7^5 x_8 x_9^5 x_{10}$	-292r + 246 85r + 126

$\lambda$	a	deg	monomial/s	coefficient/s
(2, 5, 3)	(2, 1, 1, 1, 0, 2, 2, 1, 0, 1)	27	$\begin{array}{c} x_1^2 x_2^4 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_6^2 x_7^2 x_8^4 x_9 x_{10}^4 \\ x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5 x_6^2 x_7^2 x_8^4 x_9 x_{10}^4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 17651r - 23546 \\ -10860r - 152465 \end{array}$
(2, 4, 4)	$\begin{array}{c} (0,1,0,1,1,2,2,1,2,2)\\ (0,1,1,0,1,2,2,1,2,2) \end{array}$	26 26	$\begin{array}{c} x_1 x_2^3 x_3 x_4^3 x_5^3 x_6^3 x_7^3 x_8^3 x_9^3 x_{10}^3 \\ x_1 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4 x_5^3 x_6^3 x_7^3 x_8^3 x_9^3 x_{10}^3 \end{array}$	$\frac{136392r + 247068}{-175716r - 167652}$
(1, 9, 0)	(1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)	50	$x_3^2 x_4^4 x_5^5 x_6^7 x_7^8 x_8^8 x_9^8 x_{10}^8 \ x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^5 x_6^7 x_7^8 x_8^8 x_9^8 x_{10}^8$	$\begin{array}{c} 992906r + 1427608 \\ -1815167r - 733348 \end{array}$
(1, 8, 1)	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2)	42	$x_2 x_3^2 x_4^4 x_5^7 x_6^7 x_7^7 x_8^7 x_9^7 \ x_2 x_3^2 x_5^4 x_5^6 x_6^7 x_7^7 x_8^7 x_9^7$	63854r - 6907 38518r + 68818
(1, 7, 2)	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1)	36	$\begin{array}{c} x_3^4 x_4^6 x_5^6 x_6^6 x_7^6 x_8 x_9 x_{10}^6 \\ x_5^5 x_5^5 x_5^6 x_6^6 x_6^6 x_8 x_9 x_{10}^6 \end{array}$	-16124r - 27940 3718r + 13449
(1, 6, 3)	(1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 2, 2)	32	$\begin{array}{c} x_1 x_2^5 x_5^4 x_5^5 x_6^5 x_7^2 x_8^5 x_9^2 x_{10}^2 \\ x_1^2 x_2^4 x_5^4 x_5^5 x_6^5 x_7^2 x_8^5 x_9^2 x_{10}^2 \end{array}$	31065r + 30039 1764r + 9147
(1, 5, 4)	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2)	30	$\begin{array}{c} x_2^4 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^4 x_6^4 x_7^3 x_8^3 x_9^3 x_{10} \\ x_2^2 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^4 x_6^4 x_7^3 x_8^3 x_9^3 x_{10}^3 \end{array}$	$\frac{211692r + 376028}{78700r - 731650}$
(0, 10, 0)	(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)	60	$\begin{array}{c} x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3^4 x_4^7 x_5^9 x_9^9 x_9^9 x_{99}^9 x_{10}^9 \\ x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4^6 x_5^9 x_9^9 x_8^9 x_9^9 x_{10}^9 \end{array}$	-250444r - 433547 1040607r + 497898
(0, 9, 1)	(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)	51	$\begin{array}{c} x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^8 x_7^8 x_8^8 x_9^8 x_{10}^8 \\ x_2^2 x_3^4 x_5^4 x_5^8 x_8^8 x_8^8 x_9^8 x_{10}^8 \end{array}$	$\frac{21957r - 14546}{37855r + 47642}$
(0, 8, 2)	(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2)	44	$x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^7 x_5^7 x_6^7 x_7^7 x_8^7 x_9 x_{10} \ x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^6 x_5^7 x_6^7 x_7^7 x_8^7 x_9 x_{10}$	$\frac{1698588r + 406932}{201528r + 1213386}$
(0, 7, 3)	(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2)	39	$\begin{array}{c} x_1 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^6 x_5^6 x_6^6 x_6^7 x_8^6 x_9^2 x_{10}^2 \\ x_1 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^5 x_5^6 x_6^6 x_7^6 x_8^6 x_9^2 x_{10}^2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 824103r + 258808 \\ 89629r + 972050 \end{array}$
(0, 6, 4)	(2, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2)	38	$x_1 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_5^4 x_5^5 x_6^5 x_7^5 x_8^5 x_9^3 x_{10}^3 \ x_2^4 x_3^3 x_5^4 x_5^5 x_6^5 x_7^5 x_8^5 x_9^3 x_{10}^3$	$\begin{array}{c} 1390432r + 1536910 \\ -792953r - 108625 \end{array}$
(0, 5, 5)	(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2)	35	$\begin{array}{c} x_1 x_2^2 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^4 x_6^4 x_7^4 x_8^4 x_9^4 x_{10}^4 \\ x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^4 x_5^4 x_6^4 x_7^4 x_8^4 x_9^4 x_{10}^4 \end{array}$	-710283r + 3022047 2272296r + 657732

# 3 To Infinity and Back

In this section we apply the method of [7] (see also [5]) to generalize the sequenceability results here obtained to a more general class of semidirect products.

First, given a subset S of a group G, we define the set

$$\Upsilon(S) = S \cup \Delta(S).$$

Then we can adapt [7, Lemma 2.1] as

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be groups. Given a subset S of  $G_1 \setminus \{0_{G_1}\}$  of size k, suppose there exists a homomorphism  $\phi : G_1 \to G_2$  such that  $\ker(\phi) \cap \Upsilon(S) = \emptyset$ . Then  $\phi(S)$ 

is a subset of  $G_2 \setminus \{0_{G_2}\}$  of size k and the subset S is sequenceable whenever  $\phi(S)$  is sequenceable.

In the following, we consider groups of the form  $(G \rtimes_{\varphi} H, \cdot)$  where (G, +) is abelian,  $H = \{h_0 = 0_H, h_1, \ldots, h_{e-1}\}$  is finite,  $(H, \cdot_H)^1$  is not necessarily abelian and where  $\varphi$  is a group homomorphism  $\varphi : H \to Aut(G)$ . We will also assume that the homomorphism  $\varphi$ satisfies the following property:

(\*) given  $h \in H, \varphi(h)$  is either the identity or  $\varphi(h)(x) = -x$  for any  $x \in G$ .

Note that the latter is an automorphism of G because G is abelian.

**Remark 3.2.** Two classes of semidirect product that satisfy property (\*) are the generalized dihedral groups and the standard direct product. Indeed:

1) The generalized dihedral group Dih(G) can be defined as  $G \rtimes_{\varphi} \mathbb{Z}_2$  where

$$\varphi(0) = id \text{ and } \varphi(1)(x) = -x.$$

2) Any direct product  $G \times H$  can be defined as  $G \rtimes_{\varphi} H$  where

$$\varphi(h) = id$$
 for any  $h \in H$ .

Given a multiset S of elements of  $G \rtimes_{\varphi} H$ , we can define the *type* of S also when G is a generic group. It is the sequence  $\lambda = (\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_{e-1})$ , where  $\lambda_i$  is the number of times that  $h_i$  appears in  $\pi_2(S)$  and  $\pi_2$  is the projection over H. Note that two multisets  $S_1$  of  $G_1 \rtimes_{\varphi} H$  and  $S_2$  of  $G_2 \rtimes_{\varphi} H$  can have the same type even though  $G_1$  is not equal to  $G_2$ .

Given a semidirect product  $G_1 \rtimes_{\varphi} H$ , we can define the corresponding product  $G_2 \rtimes_{\varphi'} H$ where  $\varphi'(h)$  is the identity on  $G_2$  (resp  $\varphi'(h)(x) = -x$  for any  $x \in G_2$ ) if and only if  $\varphi(h)$ is the identity on  $G_1$  (resp  $\varphi(h)(x) = -x$  for any  $x \in G_1$ ). For simplicity, we will denote, with abuse of notation, by  $G_2 \rtimes_{\varphi} H$  this semidirect product.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let H be a finite group, let  $\varphi$  satisfy property (\*), and suppose that, for infinitely many primes p, any subset of a given type  $\lambda = (\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_{e-1})$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes_{\varphi} H \setminus \{0_{\mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes_{\varphi} H}\}$  is sequenceable. Then also any subset of type  $\lambda$  of  $\mathbb{Z} \rtimes_{\varphi} H \setminus \{0_{\mathbb{Z} \rtimes_{\varphi} H}\}$  is sequenceable.

*Proof.* Consider a subset S of  $\mathbb{Z} \rtimes_{\varphi} H \setminus \{0_{\mathbb{Z} \rtimes_{\varphi} H}\}$  of type  $\lambda$ . Let  $p > \max_{z \in \Upsilon(S)} |\pi_{\mathbb{Z}}(z)|$  be a prime such that any subset of  $\mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes_{\varphi} H \setminus \{0_{\mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes_{\varphi} H}\}$  of type  $\lambda$  is sequenceable.

Here we note that, since  $\mathbb{Z} \rtimes_{\varphi} H$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes_{\varphi} H$  are defined with corresponding maps  $\varphi$ , the map  $\pi_p \times id : \mathbb{Z} \rtimes_{\varphi} H \to \mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes_{\varphi} H$  is a group homomorphism. Indeed in this case we have that

$$(\pi_p \times id)(x_1, k_1) \cdot (\pi_p \times id)(x_2, k_2) = (x_1 \pmod{p}, k_1) \cdot (x_2 \pmod{p}, k_2) = \\ = ((x_1 + \varphi(k_1)(x_2)) \pmod{p}, k_1 \cdot_H k_2) = (\pi_p \times id)[(x_1, k_1) \cdot (x_2, k_2)].$$

Then  $\Upsilon(S)$  and the kernel of the map  $\pi_p \times id : \mathbb{Z} \rtimes_{\varphi} H \to \mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes_{\varphi} H$  are disjoint sets. Therefore, since  $\pi_p \times id$  is the identity on H and S is of type  $\lambda$ , we have  $(\pi_p \times id)(S)$  also is of type  $\lambda$ . It then follows from Lemma 3.1 that S also is sequenceable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Also in this section, when it is clear from the context, the index H will be omitted.

**Remark 3.4.** In the previous proposition, we can see why property (\*) is needed in this discussion. Indeed we need that  $\varphi(a)$  is a group automorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}$  and hence it maps 1 in a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . It follows that  $\varphi(a)(1) \in \{1, -1\}$  or, equivalently,  $\varphi$  satisfies property (\*).

We now consider the free abelian group  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  of rank n. We obtain the following proposition whose proof is omitted since it is the same as Proposition 4.3 of [5].

**Proposition 3.5.** Let H be a finite group, let  $\varphi$  satisfy property (\*), and suppose that any subset of a given type  $\lambda = (\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_{e-1})$  of  $\mathbb{Z} \rtimes_{\varphi} H \setminus \{0_{\mathbb{Z}^n \rtimes_{\varphi} H}\}$  is sequenceable. Then also any subset of type  $\lambda$  of  $\mathbb{Z}^n \rtimes_{\varphi} H \setminus \{0_{\mathbb{Z}^n \rtimes_{\varphi} H}\}$  (for any  $n \geq 2$ ) is sequenceable.

From the previous proposition, we deduce this result. Also in this case we omit the proof since it is the same as Theorem 4.4 of [5]

**Theorem 3.6.** Let H be a finite group, let  $\varphi$  satisfy property (\*), and suppose that, for infinitely many primes p, any subset of a given type  $\lambda = (\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_{e-1})$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes_{\varphi}$  $H \setminus \{0_{\mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes_{\varphi} H}\}$  is sequenceable. Then also any subset of  $G \rtimes_{\varphi} H \setminus \{0_{G \rtimes_{\varphi} H}\}$  of type  $\lambda$  is sequenceable provided that G is torsion-free and abelian.

**Corollary 3.7.** Let H be a finite group, let  $\varphi$  satisfy property (\*), k be a positive integer, and suppose that, for infinitely many primes p, any subset of size k of  $\mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes_{\varphi} H \setminus \{0_{\mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes_{\varphi} H}\}$  is sequenceable. Then also any subset of size k of  $G \rtimes_{\varphi} H \setminus \{0_{G \rtimes_{\varphi} H}\}$  is sequenceable provided that G is torsion-free and abelian.

Given an element g of an abelian group G, we denote by o(g) the cardinality of the cyclic subgroup  $\langle g \rangle$  generated by g. Furthermore, we set

$$\vartheta(G) = \min_{0_G \neq g \in G} o(g).$$

As a consequence of Theorem 3.6, and with essentially the same proof of Theorem 4.6 of [5], we can prove the following result.

**Theorem 3.8.** Let H be a finite group, let  $\varphi$  satisfy property (\*), and suppose that, for infinitely many primes p, any subset of  $\mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes_{\varphi} H \setminus \{0_{\mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes_{\varphi} H}\}$  of a given type  $\lambda = (\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_{e-1})$  is sequenceable. Then there exists a positive integer  $N(\lambda)$  such that any subset of type  $\lambda$  of  $G \rtimes_{\varphi} H \setminus \{0_{G \rtimes_{\varphi} H}\}$  is sequenceable provided that G is abelian and  $\vartheta(G) > N(\lambda)$ .

**Corollary 3.9.** Let H be a finite group, let  $\varphi$  satisfy property (\*), k be a positive integer, and suppose that, for infinitely many primes p, any subset of size k of  $\mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes_{\varphi} H \setminus \{0_{\mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes_{\varphi} H}\}$ is sequenceable. Then, there exists a positive integer N(k) such that any subset of size kof  $G \rtimes_{\varphi} H \setminus \{0_{G \rtimes_{\varphi} H}\}$  is sequenceable provided that G is abelian and  $\vartheta(G) > N(k)$ .

Therefore, as a consequence of Theorem 2.8, and recalling that the only exceptions to that theorem, in case of dihedral groups and  $k \leq 12$ , are for k = 4 and p = 3 (see Theorem 3.4 of [16]), we can prove our first asymptotic result.

**Theorem 3.10.** Let G be a group such that  $\vartheta(G) > N(k)$ . Then any subset S of Dih(G) such that  $|S| \leq 12$  is sequenceable.

**Remark 3.11.** Because of Remark 2.9, with essentially the same proof of Theorem 3.10, we can prove that if S is a subset of Dih(G) not of type (k, 0),  $k \leq 12$  and  $\vartheta(G) > N(k)$ , then S admits a linear sequencing.

This implies that, under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.10, S admits a linear sequencing unless  $s_k$  is unavoidably the identity.

#### 3.1 An Explicit Upper Bound

Now, in case  $G = \mathbb{Z}_m$ , and adapting again the procedure of [5], we provide an explicit upper bound on the numbers  $N(\lambda)$  and N(k). First, we need to recall some elementary facts about the solutions of a linear system over fields and commutative rings.

Theorem 3.12 (Corollary of Cramer's Theorem). Let

$$\begin{cases} m_{1,1}x_1 + \dots + m_{1,k}x_k = b_1 \\ \dots \\ m_{l,1}x_1 + \dots + m_{l,k}x_k = b_l \end{cases}$$
(3)

be a linear system over a commutative ring R whose associated matrix  $M = (m_{i,j})$  is an  $l \times k$  matrix over R. Let  $(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$  be a solution of the system and let M' be a  $k' \times k'$  square, nonsingular (i.e. det(M') is invertible in R), submatrix of M. We assume, without loss of generality, that M' is obtained by considering the first k' rows and the first k' columns of M. Then  $x_1, \ldots, x_k$  satisfy the following relation

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_{k'} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m_{1,1} & m_{1,2} & m_{1,3} & \dots & m_{1,k'} \\ m_{2,1} & m_{2,2} & m_{2,3} & \dots & m_{2,k'} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ m_{k',1} & m_{k',2} & m_{k',3} & \dots & m_{k',k'} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} b_1 - (m_{1,k'+1}x_{k'+1} + \dots + m_{1,k}x_k) \\ b_2 - (m_{2,k'+1}x_{k'+1} + \dots + m_{2,k}x_k) \\ \vdots \\ b_{k'} - (m_{k',k'+1}x_{k'+1} + \dots + m_{k',k}x_k) \end{bmatrix}$$

Equivalently, set

$$M'_{j} := \begin{bmatrix} m_{1,1} & m_{1,2} & \dots & m_{1,j-1} & b_{1} - (m_{1,k'+1}x_{k'+1} + \dots + m_{1,k}x_{k}) & \dots & m_{1,k'} \\ m_{2,1} & m_{2,2} & \dots & m_{2,j-1} & b_{2} - (m_{2,k'+1}x_{k'+1} + \dots + m_{2,k}x_{k}) & \dots & m_{2,k'} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ m_{k',1} & m_{k',2} & \dots & m_{k',j-1} & b_{k'} - (m_{k',k'+1}x_{k'+1} + \dots + m_{k',k}x_{k}) & \dots & m_{k',k'} \end{bmatrix}$$

we have that, for any  $j \in [1, k']$ 

$$x_j = \frac{\det(M'_j)}{\det(M')}.$$
(4)

Now assume that R is a field and that the system (3) admits at least one solution. Then, given a maximal square nonsingular submatrix M' of M, and fixed  $x_{k'+1}, \ldots, x_k$  in R, we have that  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k$  are a solution of the system (3) if and only if  $x_1, \ldots, x_{k'}$  satisfy the relation (4).

**Remark 3.13.** In case the system (3) of Theorem 3.12 is homogeneous (i.e.  $b_1 = b_2 = \cdots = b_l = 0$ ), due to the Laplace expansion of the determinant,  $\det(M'_j)$  can be written as a linear combination of  $x_{k'+1}, \ldots, x_k$ . More precisely, denoting by  $M'_{i,j}$  the matrix obtained by deleting the *i*-th row and the *j*-th column of M', we have that

$$\det(M'_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{k'} (-1)^{j+i+1} \det(M'_{i,j})(m_{i,k'+1}x_{k'+1} + \dots + m_{i,k}x_k).$$
(5)

Now we are ready to provide, in case of groups of type  $\mathbb{Z}_m \rtimes_{\varphi} H$  (where  $\varphi$  satisfies property (\*)), a quantitative version of Theorem 3.8. In the following proof, developing the notations of the previous sections, given a set S of size k and an ordering  $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_k)$  of S, we denote by  $s_i(\boldsymbol{x})$  the partial sum  $x_1 \cdot x_2 \ldots x_{i-1} \cdot x_i$  where  $s_0(\boldsymbol{x})$  is always zero.

**Theorem 3.14.** Let H be a finite group, let  $\varphi$  satisfy property (\*), and suppose that, for infinitely many primes p, any subset of a given type  $\lambda = (\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_{e-1})$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes_{\varphi} H \setminus \{0_{\mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes_{\varphi} H}\}$  is sequenceable. Then also any subset of type  $\lambda$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_m \rtimes_{\varphi} H \setminus \{0_{\mathbb{Z}_m \rtimes_{\varphi} H}\}$  is sequenceable provided that the prime factors of m are all greater than k! where  $k = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{e-1}$ .

*Proof.* Let us assume, by contradiction, that there exists a subset  $S = \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\}$  of type  $\lambda$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_m \rtimes_{\varphi} H \setminus \{0_{\mathbb{Z}_m \rtimes_{\varphi} H}\}$  that is not sequenceable and all the prime factors of m are larger than k!. Here S would be a set of type  $\lambda$  whose elements do not admit an ordering with different partial sums.

This implies that for any possible permutation  $\omega \in \text{Sym}(k)$ , considered the ordering

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{\omega} = (x_{\omega(1)}, x_{\omega(2)}, \dots, x_{\omega(k)})$$

there exist h and t, with  $h, t \in [0, k]$ ,  $\{h, t\} \neq \{0, k\}$  and  $h \neq t$ , such that  $s_h(\boldsymbol{x}_{\omega}) = s_t(\boldsymbol{x}_{\omega})$ , that is, assuming h < t,

$$x_{\omega(h+1)} \cdot x_{\omega(h+2)} \dots x_{\omega(t-1)} \cdot x_{\omega(t)} = 0.$$
(6)

Therefore we would have a solution  $(\pi_{\mathbb{Z}_m}(x_1), \ldots, \pi_{\mathbb{Z}_m}(x_k))^T$  to the system of equations derived from (6) by considering the projection over  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ . In the following, for simplicity, we will denote  $\pi_{\mathbb{Z}_m}(x_j)$  by  $x_j^1$  and, using this notation, we are considering the system:

$$x_{\omega(h+1)}^{1} + \varphi_{\pi_{H}(x_{\omega(h+1)})} x_{\omega(h+2)}^{1} + \dots + \varphi_{\pi_{H}(x_{\omega(h+1)})\dots\pi_{H}(x_{\omega(t-1)})} x_{\omega(t)}^{1} = 0$$
(7)

where, using the notation of the previous sections, and since  $\varphi$  satisfies property (\*), we set  $\varphi(a)(x) = \varphi_a x$  for some constant  $\varphi_a \in \{1, -1\}$ . Denoted by M the  $(k!) \times k$  matrix of the coefficients of this system, we note that, since  $\varphi$  satisfies property (\*), the coefficients of M belong to  $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ . It follows that the determinant of any square submatrix of M(that is big at most  $k \times k$ ) is a sum of at most k! terms that belong to  $\pm 1$ . Therefore, if all the prime factors of m are larger than k!, a square submatrix M' of M is nonsingular in  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  if and only if it is nonsingular in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Following the proof of Thorem 4.12 of [5] and assuming the existence a subset  $S = \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\}$  of type  $\lambda$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_m \rtimes_{\varphi} H \setminus \{0_{\mathbb{Z}_m \rtimes_{\varphi} H}\}$  that is not sequenceable, we find a subset

of the same type in  $\mathbb{Q} \rtimes_{\varphi} H \setminus \{0_{\mathbb{Q} \rtimes_{\varphi} H}\}$  that is also not sequenceable. However, because of Theorem 3.6 and since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a torsion-free abelian group, any subset  $\widetilde{S}$  of type  $\lambda$  of  $G \rtimes_{\varphi} H \setminus \{0_{G \rtimes_{\varphi} H}\}$  is sequenceable. But this is a contradiction and hence the thesis is verified.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 3.15.** Let H be a finite group, let  $\varphi$  satisfy property (\*), k be a positive integer, and suppose that, for infinitely many primes p, any subset of size k of  $\mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes_{\varphi} H \setminus \{0_{\mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes_{\varphi} H}\}$ is sequenceable. Then also any subset of size k of  $\mathbb{Z}_m \rtimes_{\varphi} H \setminus \{0_{\mathbb{Z}_m \rtimes_{\varphi} H}\}$  is sequenceable provided that the prime factors of m are all greater than k!.

If we consider direct products of type  $\mathbb{Z}_m \times H$ , we can improve the result of Theorem 3.14. Indeed, with essentially the same proof of Theorem 22 of [5], we can state the following.

**Theorem 3.16.** Let H be a finite group, k be a positive integer, and suppose that, for infinitely many primes p, any subset of size k of  $\mathbb{Z}_p \times H \setminus \{0_{\mathbb{Z}_p \times H}\}$  is sequenceable. Then also any subset of size k of  $\mathbb{Z}_m \times H \setminus \{0_{\mathbb{Z}_m \times H}\}$  is sequenceable provided that the prime factors of m are all greater than k!/2.

As regards dihedral groups, as a consequence of Theorem 2.8, we can prove Theorem 3.17.

**Theorem 3.17.** Let m be such that all its prime factors are larger than k!. Then any subset S of  $D_{2m}$  such that  $|S| \leq 12$  is sequenceable.

**Remark 3.18.** Because of Remark 2.9, with essentially the same proof of Theorem 3.17, we can prove that if S is a subset of  $D_{2m}$  not of type (k, 0),  $k \leq 12$  and all the prime factors of m are larger than k!, then S admits a linear sequencing.

This implies that, under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.17, S admits a linear sequencing unless  $s_k$  is unavoidably the identity.

### 4 Weak Sequenceability

In this section we consider again subsets S of a group  $(G, \cdot)$  of the form  $G = \mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes_{\varphi} H$ which is the semidirect product of  $(\mathbb{Z}_p, +)$  and another group  $(H, \cdot)$ . As in the previous section we indicate by  $x \cdot a$  (or by  $y \cdot b$ ), where  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $a \in H$ , a generic element of G. We investigate the *t*-weak sequenceability of subsets of semidirect products. Recall that in addition to requiring that  $x_i - x_j \neq 0$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$  and  $a_i = a_j$ , we seek an ordering having no two of its partial sums  $s_i, s_j$  equal for  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$  and  $|i - j| \leq t$ . Hence, modifying the expression of Equation (1), we define, for t < k, the following polynomial

$$q_{a} = \prod_{\substack{1 \le i < j \le k \\ a_{i} = a_{j}}} (x_{j} - x_{i}) \prod_{\substack{0 \le i < j \le k \\ b_{i} = b_{j} \\ j \neq i+1}} (x_{i+1} + \varphi_{a_{i+1}} x_{i+2} + \varphi_{a_{i+1} \cdot a_{i+2}} x_{i+3} + \dots + \varphi_{a_{i+1} \dots a_{j-1}} x_{j}).$$
(8)

In this case we have that a set  $S = \{x_1 \cdot a_1, x_2 \cdot a_2, \ldots, x_k \cdot a_k\} \subseteq G \setminus \{0\}$  of size k is t-weak sequenceable if there exists an ordering  $\mathbf{x}_a = (x_1 \cdot a_1, x_2 \cdot a_2, \ldots, x_k \cdot a_k)$  of its elements such that  $q_a(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \neq 0$ .

Now, given a set  $S = \{x_1 \cdot a_1, x_2 \cdot a_2, \dots, x_k \cdot a_k\}$  of k elements, the idea is to fix, a priori, the first h elements  $(x_1 \cdot a_1, x_2 \cdot a_2, \dots, x_h \cdot a_h)$ , where h is not too big, of the ordering in such a way that no of its partial sums  $s_i, s_j$  are equal when  $|i - j| \leq t$  and  $1 \leq i < j \leq h$ . This can be expressed by requiring that  $q_{a'}(x_1, \dots, x_h) \neq 0$  where  $a' = (a_1, \dots, a_h)$  and we show that this can be done due the following Proposition whose proof is inspired by that of Proposition 2.2 of [4].

**Proposition 4.1.** Let  $S = \{x_1 \cdot a_1, x_2 \cdot a_2, \dots, x_k \cdot a_k\} \subseteq G \setminus \{0\}$  be a set of size k and let h and t be positive integers such that  $k - h \ge 2(t - 1)$  and  $h \ge t - 1$ . Denoted by  $\bar{a}$ the element that appears the most in  $\pi_2(S)$ , we assume that at least 2(t - 1) elements of S have  $\bar{a}$  as second coordinate. Then there exists an ordering of h-elements of S that we denote, up to relabeling, with  $(x_1 \cdot a_1, x_2 \cdot a_2, \dots, x_h \cdot a_h)$ , such that

- (a)  $q_{a'}(x_1, \dots, x_h) \neq 0$  where  $a' = (a_1, \dots, a_h);$
- (b)  $a_h, a_{h-1}, \ldots, a_{h-t+2}$  are all equals to  $\bar{a}$ ;
- (c) at least t-1 elements of  $\{x_{h+1} \cdot a_{h+1}, \ldots, x_k \cdot a_k\}$  have  $\bar{a}$  as second coordinate.

*Proof.* Here we choose a set S' of 2(t-1) elements that have  $\bar{a}$  as the second coordinate, and we set  $S'' := S \setminus S'$ . Now we prove that we can choose, inductively on  $\ell$ ,  $(x_1 \cdot a_1, x_2 \cdot a_2, \ldots, x_{h-t} \cdot a_{h-t})$  in S'' and  $(x_{h-t+1} \cdot a_{h-t+1}, \ldots, x_h \cdot a_h)$  in S' such that, for any  $\ell \leq h$ , we have  $q_{(a_1,\ldots,a_\ell)}(x_1,\cdots,x_\ell) \neq 0$ . Then, properties (b) and (c) will be guaranteed by our choice for  $x_1 \cdot a_1, \ldots, x_h \cdot a_h$ .

BASE CASE: Let  $\ell = 1$ . Since  $q_{(a)}(x) = 1$  for any t and for any  $x \cdot a$  of both S' and S'', the statement is realized for  $\ell = 1$ .

INDUCTIVE CASE: Let us assume the statement for  $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$  and let us prove it for  $\ell = m + 1$  where  $m + 1 \leq h$ . Since the statement is true for  $\ell = m$ , there exists an *m*-tuple  $(x_1 \cdot a_1, \ldots, x_m \cdot a_m)$  such that

$$q_{(a_1,\ldots,a_m)}(x_1,\cdots,x_m)\neq 0$$

Given  $x \cdot a \in G$ , we set  $s_m := (x_1 \cdot a_1) \cdots (x_m \cdot a_m) = y_m \cdot b_m$  and we define y and s such that  $s = s_m \cdot (x \cdot a) = y \cdot b$  where  $b = b_m \cdot a$ . Then we have

$$\frac{q_{(a_1,\dots,a_m,a)}(x_1,\dots,x_m,x)}{q_{(a_1,\dots,a_m)}(x_1,\dots,x_m)} = \prod_{1 \le i < m+1, \ a=a_i} (x-x_i) \prod_{\substack{0 \le i < m\\ m+1-i \le t, \ b_i=b}} (x_{i+1} + \varphi_{a_{i+1}}x_{i+2} + \varphi_{a_{i+1}\cdot a_{i+2}}x_{i+3} + \dots + \varphi_{a_{i+1}\dots a_m}x).$$

Here, any element  $x \cdot a$  of  $S \setminus \{x_1 \cdot a_1, \ldots, x_m \cdot a_m\}$  satisfies  $\prod_{1 \leq i < m+1} (x - x_i) \neq 0$  if  $a = a_i$ . Hence, to have  $\frac{q_{(a_1,\ldots,a_m)}(x_1,\ldots,x_m,x)}{q_{(a_1,\ldots,a_m,a)}(x_1,\ldots,x_m)} \neq 0$ , it suffice to find x such that

$$\prod_{\max(0,m+1-t)\leq i< m, \ b_i=b} (x_{i+1} + \varphi_{a_{i+1}}x_{i+2} + \varphi_{a_{i+1}\cdot a_{i+2}}x_{i+3} + \dots + \varphi_{a_{i+1}\dots a_m}x) \neq 0.$$

Note that there is at most one  $x \cdot a \in S \setminus \{x_1 \cdot a_1, \ldots, x_m \cdot a_m\}$  such that

$$(x_{i+1} + \varphi_{a_{i+1}}x_{i+2} + \varphi_{a_{i+1} \cdot a_{i+2}}x_{i+3} + \dots + \varphi_{a_{i+1} \dots a_m}x) = 0$$

and  $b_i = b$ . Indeed this would imply that  $s_i = s$  in G that is  $(x_{i+1} \cdot a_{i+1}) \cdot \ldots \cdot (x_m \cdot a_m) \cdot (x \cdot a) = 0$  which is satisfied by exactly one element  $x \cdot a$  of G. Since those relations are at most t - 1, we have at most t - 1 values  $x \cdot a$  in  $S \setminus \{x_1 \cdot a_1, \ldots, x_m \cdot a_m\}$  such that

$$\prod_{\max(0,m+1-t)\leq i< m, \ b_i=b} (x_{i+1} + \varphi_{a_{i+1}}x_{i+2} + \varphi_{a_{i+1} \cdot a_{i+2}}x_{i+3} + \dots + \varphi_{a_{i+1} \dots a_m}x) = 0.$$

Here we have that either h - t + 1 > m or  $h - t + 1 \le m$ . In the first case, we have that

$$S'' \setminus \{x_1 \cdot a_1, \dots, x_m \cdot a_m\} = k - 2(t - 1) - m \ge t + 1$$

where the inequality holds since k - 2(t - 1) - m = (k - m) - 2(t - 1) and  $k - m \ge k - h \ge 2(t - 1)$ . It follows that there exists  $x \cdot a \in S'' \setminus \{x_1 \cdot a_1, \dots, x_m \cdot a_m\}$  such that

$$\prod_{\max(0,m+1-t)\leq i< m, \ b_i=b} (x_{i+1} + \varphi_{a_{i+1}}x_{i+2} + \varphi_{a_{i+1}\cdot a_{i+2}}x_{i+3} + \dots + \varphi_{a_{i+1}\dots a_m}x) \neq 0.$$

In the second case, we have that

$$|S' \setminus \{x_1 \cdot a_1, \dots, x_m \cdot a_m\}| = 2(t-1) - (m - (h - t + 1)) \ge t$$

where the last inequality holds since  $m \le h-1$  and hence  $2(t-1) - (m - (h - t + 1)) \ge 2(t-1) - (h-1 - (h-t+1)) = t$ . It follows that also in this case, there exists  $x \cdot a \in S' \setminus \{x_1 \cdot a_1, \ldots, x_m \cdot a_m\}$  such that

$$\prod_{\max(0,m+1-t)\leq i< m, \ b_i=b} (x_{i+1} + \varphi_{a_{i+1}}x_{i+2} + \varphi_{a_{i+1}\cdot a_{i+2}}x_{i+3} + \dots + \varphi_{a_{i+1}\dots a_m}x) \neq 0.$$

In both cases, we can find  $x_{m+1} \cdot a_{m+1}$  that satisfies property (b) and (c) and which it is such that

$$\frac{q_{(a_1,\dots,a_m,a_{m+1})}(x_1,\dots,x_m,x_{m+1})}{q_{(a_1,\dots,a_m)}(x_1,\dots,x_m)} = \prod_{1 \le i < m+1, a_{m+1}=a_i} (x_{m+1}-x_i) \cdot \prod_{1 \le i < m+1, a_{m+1}=a_i} (x_{i+1}+\varphi_{a_{i+1}}x_{i+2}+\varphi_{a_{i+1}\cdot a_{i+2}}x_{i+3}+\dots+\varphi_{a_{i+1}\dots a_m}x_{m+1})$$

 $\neq 0.$ 

 $\max(0,m+1-t) \le i < m, \ b_i = b_{m+1}$ 

Since  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a field and due to the inductive hypothesis  $q_{(a_1,\ldots,a_m,a)}(x_1,\ldots,x_m) \neq 0$ , we also have that

$$\frac{q_{(a_1,\dots,a_m,a_{m+1})}(x_1,\dots,x_m,x_{m+1})}{q_{(a_1,\dots,a_m)}(x_1,\dots,x_m)} \cdot q_{(a_1,\dots,a_m)}(x_1,\dots,x_m) = q_{(a_1,\dots,a_m,a_{m+1})}(x_1,\dots,x_{m+1}) \neq 0 \quad (9)$$

which completes the proof.

**Remark 4.2.** Note that the hypothesis that at least 2(t-1) elements of S has the same second coordinate is always satisfied when

$$k > (2t-3)\frac{|G|}{p} = (2t-3)|H|.$$

This means that the approach of Proposition 4.1 can be always tried assuming that k is big enough. For smaller values of k one could fix the first elements differently, as done for example in Proposition 2.2 of [4], but, since then one should consider a huge number of cases (see also the following Remark 4.3), we consider explicitly only this asymptotic case.

In the following we assume that we have fixed, according to Proposition 4.1,

$$\{x_1 \cdot a_1, x_2 \cdot a_2, \dots, x_h \cdot a_h\} \subseteq S$$

that satisfies properties (a), (b) and (c) of such proposition. We note that every  $x \cdot a \in S \setminus \{x_1 \cdot a_1, x_2 \cdot a_2, \ldots, x_h \cdot a_h\}$  is such that  $x - x_i \neq 0$  for any  $i \in \{1, \ldots, h\}$  such that  $a = a_i$ . Therefore, if **a** is a quotient sequencing that extends **a**'', it is left to find a nonzero point for the polynomial

$$\frac{q_{a}(x_{1},\ldots,x_{h},x_{h+1},\ldots,x_{k})}{q_{a'}(x_{1},\cdots,x_{h})\prod_{1\leq i\leq h< j\leq k, a_{j}=a_{i}}(x_{j}-x_{i})}.$$

Since the free variables are now  $x_{h+1}, \ldots, x_k$ , we set  $\ell := k - h$  and  $z_i := x_{i+h}$ ; here the constrain  $k - h \ge 2(t - 1)$  of Proposition 4.1 becomes  $\ell \ge 2(t - 1)$ . Then we denote by  $h_{a,\ell}$  the polynomial

$$h_{\boldsymbol{a},\ell}(z_1,\ldots,z_\ell) := \frac{q_{\boldsymbol{a}}(x_1,\ldots,x_h,z_1,\ldots,z_\ell)}{q_{\boldsymbol{a}'}(x_1,\cdots,x_h) \prod_{1 \le i \le 1 < j \le \ell, \ a_j = a_i}(z_j - x_i)}.$$
 (10)

Since we are also assuming that  $k - \ell \ge t - 1$ , that is,  $k - (t - 1) \ge \ell \ge 2(t - 1)$ , denoting by a'' the quotient sequencing given by the last  $\ell$  elements of a, we obtain the following expression

$$h_{a,\ell}(z_1, \cdots, z_{\ell}) = q_{a''}(z_1, \cdots, z_{\ell}) \cdot \prod_{\substack{0 \le i \le t-1; \\ 1 \le j \le t-i-1; \\ b_{k-\ell-i-1} = b_{(k-\ell)+j}}} (x_{k-\ell-i} + \varphi_{\bar{a}} x_{k-\ell-i+1} + \cdots + \varphi_{\bar{a}} x_{k-\ell} + \varphi_{\bar{a}} x_{k-\ell} + \varphi_{\bar{a}} x_{k-\ell+1} \cdots + \varphi_{\bar{a}} x_$$

Now we aim to apply the Non-Vanishing Corollary (of the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz) to the polynomial  $h_{a,\ell}$ . For this purpose, it is enough to consider the terms of  $h_{a,\ell}$  of maximal degree in the variables  $z_1, \ldots, z_\ell$  that are the ones where no  $x_i$  appears. We denote by  $r_{a,\ell}$  the polynomial given by those terms, that is

$$r_{\boldsymbol{a},\ell} := q_{\boldsymbol{a}''}(z_1, \cdots, z_{\ell}) \cdot \prod_{\substack{0 \le i \le t-1; \\ 1 \le j \le t-i-1; \\ b_{k-\ell-i-1} = b_{(k-\ell)+j}}} (\varphi_{\bar{a}^{i+1}} z_1 + \varphi_{\bar{a}^{i+1} \cdot a_{k-\ell+1}} z_2 + \cdots + \varphi_{\bar{a}^{i+1} \cdot a_{k-\ell+1} - 1} z_j).$$
(12)

Since  $b_{k-\ell-i-1} = b_{(k-\ell)+j}$  if and only if

$$a_{k-\ell-i} \cdot a_{k-\ell-1} \cdot \ldots \cdot a_{k-\ell} \cdot a_{k-\ell+1} \cdot \ldots \cdot a_{k-\ell+j} = id$$

and  $a_{k-\ell-i} = a_{k-\ell-1} = \ldots = a_{k-\ell} = \bar{a}$ , we can state the following, simple but very powerful, remark.

**Remark 4.3.** Since we are assuming that  $a_h, a_{h-1}, \ldots, a_{h-t+1}$  are all equals to  $\bar{a}$ , the expression of  $r_{a,\ell}$  does not depend on all the quotient sequence a but only by a'' and  $\bar{a}$ . Here property b of Proposition 4.1 is crucial since, otherwise, given a'', we would have  $|H|^{t-1}$  possibilities for the polynomial  $r_{a,\ell}(z_1, \cdots, z_\ell)$  according to the sequence  $a_h, a_{h-1}, \ldots, a_{h-t+1}$ .

In the following, we just denote this polynomial by  $r_{a'',\bar{a},\ell}$ .

Indeed Remark 4.3 means that, after these manipulations, we are left to consider a polynomial that does not depend on k = |S|, and hence we have chances to get a result that is very general on k.

To apply the Non-Vanishing Corollary, we also need to find, for any quotient sequencing  $\mathbf{a}''$  of length  $\ell$ , a nonzero coefficient in  $r_{\mathbf{a}'',\bar{a},\ell}$  that divides the bounding monomial. Moreover, due to property c of Proposition 4.1, we may assume that  $\bar{a}$  appears at least t-1 times in  $\mathbf{a}''$ .

#### 4.1 Computational Results

As done for the sequenceability problem in the more general setting, we consider here groups of type  $D_{2p}$  and  $G_{3p}$ . Also, since the case  $G = \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_e$  has not been considered in [4], we consider these groups here.

**Example 4.4.** Example Let t = 4,  $\ell = 2(t-1) = 6$  and  $\bar{a} = 0$ . Let p be a prime and suppose  $S \subseteq D_{2p} \setminus \{0\}$  with  $|S| = k \ge 11$  and suppose that S has at least 6 elements with coset  $\bar{a}$ . Let  $S' = S \setminus \{x_1 \cdot a_1, \ldots, x_{k-\ell} \cdot a_{k-\ell}\}$  be of type (3,3). The sequence a'' = (1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0) has partial sums (0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1).

By Proposition 4.1, we desire a sequencing of S' of the form

$$(z_1 \cdot 1, z_2 \cdot 0, z_3 \cdot 1, z_4 \cdot 0, z_5 \cdot 1, z_6 \cdot 0)$$
.

The polynomial we need to study is the one defined in (12). Hence we get

$$r_{\mathbf{a}'',\bar{a},\ell} = (z_1 - z_2 - z_3)(z_3 - z_1)(z_5 - z_1)(z_4 - z_2)(z_6 - z_2)(z_5 - z_3)(z_6 - z_4) (z_1 - z_2 - z_3)(z_1 - z_2 - z_3 + z_4)(z_2 + z_3 - z_4 - z_5)(z_3 - z_4 - z_5)(z_3 - z_4 - z_5 + z_6)$$

To apply the Non-Vanishing Corollary we need a monomial of this polynomial which divides the bounding monomial  $z_1^2 z_2^2 z_3^2 z_4^2 z_5^2 z_6^2$  with a nonzero coefficient. One such is  $z_1^2 z_2^2 z_3^2 z_4^2 z_5^2 z_6^2$ , which has coefficient -12. Hence whenever S has this form it is t-weakly sequenceable.

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

**Theorem 4.5.** Let n = pe with p > 3 prime and let G be a group of size n. Then subsets S of size k of G are t-weakly sequenceable whenever  $e \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , k is large enough and  $t \leq 6$ .

*Proof.* The case e = 1 follows from the results of [4].

As already noted in the proof of Theorem 2.8, a group of size 2p is either  $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  or the dihedral group  $D_{2p}$  and a group of size 3p is either  $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_3$  or  $G_{3p}$  for some value of r. Here, due to Remark 4.2, if  $k \ge (2t-3)e+1$ , S admits a coset whose size is at least 2(t-1) and hence, due to Proposition 4.1, we can apply Non-Vanishing Corollary to the polynomial of Equation (12) for suitable quotient sequences and nonzero monomial (see Tables 3, 4, 5 and 6 below). These results are obtained using SageMath [19].

Here we note that the coefficients presented in Table 6 can be zero only for finitely many primes p (see also the discussion in the proof of Theorem 2.8). On the other hand, since 3p > k, if k is large enough all these coefficients must be nonzero.

The following Tables 3, 4, 5 and 6 contain the required monomials and their coefficients for the proof of Theorem 4.5 in the cases  $G \in \{\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_3, D_{2p}, G_{3p}\}$ .

Table 3: Monomials and their coefficients sufficient for the proof of
Theorem 4.5 in the case $t = 6$ and $G = \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

$\bar{a}$	$\lambda$	$\mathbf{a}^{\prime\prime}$	$\operatorname{deg}$	monomial/s	$\operatorname{coefficient/s}$
0	(5, 5)	(0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1)	40	$x_1^4 x_2^4 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^4 x_6^4 x_7^4 x_8^4 x_9^4 x_{10}^4$	$-5 \cdot 467$
0	(6, 4)	(1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0)	38	$\begin{array}{c} x_2^4 x_3^5 x_5^4 x_3^5 x_6^5 x_7^3 x_8^5 x_9^3 x_{10}^5 \\ x_1 x_2^3 x_5^5 x_5^4 x_5^3 x_6^5 x_7^3 x_8^5 x_9^3 x_{10}^5 \end{array}$	$-1063 \\ 2^4 \cdot 503$
0	(7, 3)	(1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0)	36	$x_3^2 x_4^6 x_5^2 x_6^6 x_7^6 x_8^6 x_9^2 x_{10}^6 \\$	2
0	(8, 2)	(1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	45	$x_3^2 x_4^7 x_5 x_6^7 x_7^7 x_8^7 x_9^7 x_{10}^7$	$-2^{2}$
0	(9, 1)	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	66	$x_1^5 x_2^7 x_3^7 x_4^8 x_6^7 x_8^8 x_8^8 x_9^8 x_{10}^8$	$-2^{5} \cdot 3$
1	(4, 6)	(0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1)	42	$x_1^3 x_2^5 x_3^5 x_4^5 x_5^3 x_6^3 x_5^5 x_8^3 x_9^5 x_{10}^5$	-1187
1	(3,7)	(1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0)	47	$\begin{array}{c} x_1^5 x_2^2 x_3^6 x_4^6 x_5^6 x_6^6 x_7^6 x_8^2 x_9^6 x_{10}^2 \\ x_1^6 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^6 x_5^6 x_6^6 x_7^6 x_8^2 x_9^6 x_{10}^2 \end{array}$	$\frac{2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 1511}{23 \cdot 499}$
1	(2, 8)	(1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0)	54	$x_1^4 x_2 x_3^6 x_4^7 x_5^7 x_6^7 x_7^7 x_8^7 x_9^7 x_{10} \ x_1^5 x_2 x_3^5 x_4^7 x_5^7 x_6^7 x_7^7 x_8^7 x_9^7 x_{10}$	$-2^5 \cdot 5^2 -4337$
1	(1, 9)	(1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)	62	$x_1^5 x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^8 x_6^8 x_7^8 x_8^8 x_9^8 x_{10}^8$	-2
1	(0, 10)	(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)	75	$\begin{array}{c} x_1^3 x_2^4 x_5^3 x_9^4 x_5^9 x_9^9 x_9^9 x_9^9 x_{10}^9 \\ x_1^3 x_2^5 x_5^5 x_8^5 x_9^8 x_9^9 x_9^9 x_{9}^9 x_{10}^9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -2^4 \cdot 5^2 \\ -7 \cdot 853 \end{array}$

Table 4: Monomials and their coefficients sufficient for the proof of Theorem 4.5 in the case t = 6 and  $G = \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ .

$\bar{a}$	$\lambda$	$\mathbf{a}''$	$\operatorname{deg}$	monomial/s	$\operatorname{coefficient/s}$
0	(5, 3, 2)	(1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 2, 1, 2)	25	$x_1^2 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^2 x_5^4 x_6^4 x_7^4 x_8 x_9^2 x_{10}$	$2 \cdot 3^2$
0	(5, 4, 1)	(1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 2)	22	$x_3^4 x_4 x_5^3 x_6^4 x_7^4 x_8^3 x_9^3$	1
0	(5, 5, 0)	(0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1)	33	$x_1^2 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^4 x_6^4 x_7^4 x_8^2 x_9^4 x_{10}^4$	$3 \cdot 5^2$
0	(6, 2, 2)	(1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 2, 2)	25	$x_3^3 x_4 x_5^4 x_6^5 x_7^5 x_8^5 x_9 x_{10}$	-1
0	(6, 3, 1)	(1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 2)	30	$x_2 x_3^5 x_4^2 x_5^5 x_6^5 x_7^5 x_8^5 x_9^2$	$-2^{4}$
0	(6, 4, 0)	(1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1)	28	$x_3^3 x_4 x_5^4 x_6^5 x_7^5 x_8^5 x_9^2 x_{10}^3$	-1

ā	λ	$\mathbf{a}''$	deg	monomial/s	coefficient/s
0	(7, 2, 1)	(1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 2)	33	$x_3^3 x_4 x_5^5 x_6^6 x_7^6 x_8^6 x_9^6$	$-2^{2}$
0	(7, 3, 0)	(1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)	35	$x_3^3 x_4 x_5^5 x_6^6 x_7^6 x_8^6 x_9^6 x_{10}^2$	$-2^{2}$
0	(8, 1, 1)	(1, 0, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	44	$x_3^2 x_4^7 x_6^7 x_7^7 x_8^7 x_9^7 x_{10}^7$	$-2^{2}$
0	(8, 2, 0)	(1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	42	$x_3^2 x_4^5 x_5 x_6^6 x_7^7 x_8^7 x_9^7 x_{10}^7$	$-2^{2}$
0	(9, 1, 0)	(0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	66	$x_1^5 x_2^6 x_3^8 x_5^7 x_6^8 x_7^8 x_8^8 x_9^8 x_{10}^8$	$2^2 \cdot 7$
1	(3, 5, 2)	(0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 0, 2)	27	$\begin{array}{c} x_1 x_2^4 x_3^4 x_4^2 x_5^4 x_6^4 x_7^4 x_8 x_9^2 x_{10} \\ x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^2 x_5^4 x_6^4 x_7^4 x_8 x_9^2 x_{10} \end{array}$	$-73 \\ -233$
_1	(2, 5, 3)	(0, 1, 0, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2)	28	$x_1 x_2^4 x_3 x_4^4 x_5^2 x_6^4 x_7^4 x_8^4 x_9^2 x_{10}^2$	7
1	(4, 5, 1)	(0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 2)	31	$\begin{array}{c} x_1^2 x_2^4 x_3^4 x_4^3 x_5^4 x_6^4 x_7^4 x_8^3 x_9^3 \\ x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_3^3 x_4^4 x_5^3 x_6^4 x_7^4 x_8^3 x_9^3 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -5\cdot 43 \\ -3\cdot 13\cdot 17 \end{array}$
1	(1, 5, 4)	(1, 2, 2, 0, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)	32	$x_1^4 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_5^3 x_6^3 x_7^4 x_8^4 x_9^4 x_{10}^4$	-7
_1	(0, 5, 5)	(1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2)	38	$x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^4 x_6^3 x_7^4 x_8^4 x_9^4 x_{10}^4$	$-2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$
_1	(2, 6, 2)	(0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1)	30	$x_1 x_2^2 x_3 x_4^4 x_5^5 x_6 x_7 x_8^5 x_9^5 x_{10}^5$	-5
_1	(3, 6, 1)	(0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 2, 1, 1, 1)	32	$x_1 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^5 x_5^5 x_6^2 x_8^5 x_9^5 x_{10}^5$	$2^2$
_1	(1, 6, 3)	(0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 2, 1, 1, 1)	32	$x_1 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^5 x_5^5 x_6^2 x_8^5 x_9^5 x_{10}^5$	$2^2$
1	(4, 6, 0)	(0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0)	34	$x_1 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^5 x_6^5 x_7^5 x_8^5 x_9^3 x_{10}^3$	$-2 \cdot 3$
1	(0, 6, 4)	(2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2)	37	$x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3^4 x_4^3 x_5^5 x_6^5 x_7^5 x_8^5 x_9^3 x_{10}^3$	$2^{2}$
1	(2, 7, 1)	(0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2)	35	$x_1 x_2 x_3^3 x_4 x_5^5 x_6^6 x_7^6 x_8^6 x_9^6$	-2
1	(1, 7, 2)	(1, 0, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2)	40	$x_1^3 x_3^5 x_4 x_5^6 x_6^6 x_7^6 x_8^6 x_9^6 x_{10}^6$	$3^2$
1	(3, 7, 0)	(0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0)	36	$x_1 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^2 x_5^4 x_6^6 x_6^6 x_7^6 x_8^6 x_9^6 x_{10}^2$	1
1	(0, 7, 3)	(2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2)	40	$x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^2 x_5^5 x_6^6 x_7^6 x_8^6 x_9^6 x_{10}^2$	$-2^{2}$
_1	(1, 8, 1)	(1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2)	43	$x_1^3 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^6 x_6^7 x_7^7 x_8^7 x_9^7$	1
_1	(2, 8, 0)	(1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0)	43	$x_1^2 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^5 x_6^7 x_7^7 x_8^7 x_9^7 x_{10}^7$	-1
_1	(0, 8, 2)	(1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2)	47	$x_1^3 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^3 x_5^7 x_6^7 x_7^7 x_8^7 x_9^7 x_{10}^7$	$2^{2}$
1	(1, 9, 0)	(1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)	51	$x_1^3 x_3^2 x_4^4 x_5^5 x_6^5 x_7^8 x_8^8 x_9^8 x_{10}^8$	2
1	(0, 9, 1)	(1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)	54	$x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^5 x_6^6 x_7^6 x_8^9 x_9^9 x_{10}^9$	2
_1	(0, 10, 0)	(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)	65	$x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^6 x_6^8 x_7^9 x_8^9 x_9^9 x_{10}^9$	$2 \cdot 5$

ā	λ	a″	deg	monomial/s	coefficient/s
2	(3, 2, 5)	(0, 2, 2, 0, 2, 2, 2, 1, 0, 1)	27	$\begin{array}{c} x_1 x_2^4 x_3^4 x_4^2 x_5^4 x_6^4 x_7^4 x_8 x_9^2 x_{10} \\ x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^2 x_5^4 x_6^4 x_7^4 x_8 x_9^2 x_{10} \end{array}$	$-73 \\ -233$
2	(2, 3, 5)	(0, 2, 0, 2, 1, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1)	28	$x_1 x_2^4 x_3 x_4^4 x_5^2 x_6^4 x_7^4 x_8^4 x_9^2 x_{10}^2$	7
2	(4, 1, 5)	(0, 2, 2, 0, 2, 2, 2, 0, 0, 1)	31	$\begin{array}{c} x_1^2 x_2^4 x_3^4 x_4^3 x_5^4 x_6^4 x_7^4 x_8^3 x_9^3 \\ x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_3^3 x_4^4 x_5^3 x_6^4 x_7^4 x_8^3 x_9^3 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -5\cdot 43 \\ -3\cdot 13\cdot 17 \end{array}$
2	(1, 4, 5)	(2, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2)	32	$x_1^4 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_5^3 x_6^3 x_7^4 x_8^4 x_9^4 x_{10}^4$	-7
2	(5, 0, 5)	(0, 2, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0, 2, 2, 2)	33	$x_1^2 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^4 x_6^4 x_7^4 x_8^2 x_9^4 x_{10}^4$	$3 \cdot 5^2$
2	(2, 2, 6)	(0, 2, 0, 2, 2, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2)	30	$x_1 x_2^2 x_3 x_4^4 x_5^5 x_6 x_7 x_8^5 x_9^5 x_{10}^5$	-5
2	(3, 1, 6)	(0, 2, 0, 2, 2, 0, 1, 2, 2, 2)	32	$x_1 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^5 x_5^5 x_6^2 x_8^5 x_9^5 x_{10}^5$	$2^{2}$
2	(1, 3, 6)	(0, 2, 0, 2, 2, 0, 1, 2, 2, 2)	32	$x_1 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^5 x_5^5 x_6^2 x_8^5 x_9^5 x_{10}^5$	$2^{2}$
2	(4, 0, 6)	(0, 2, 2, 0, 2, 2, 2, 2, 0, 0)	34	$x_1 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^5 x_6^5 x_7^5 x_8^5 x_9^3 x_{10}^3$	$-2 \cdot 3$
2	(0, 4, 6)	(1, 2, 2, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1)	37	$x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3^4 x_4^3 x_5^5 x_6^5 x_7^5 x_8^5 x_9^3 x_{10}^3$	$2^2$
2	(2, 1, 7)	(0, 2, 2, 0, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1)	35	$x_1 x_2 x_3^3 x_4 x_5^5 x_6^6 x_7^6 x_8^6 x_9^6$	-2
2	(1, 2, 7)	(2, 0, 2, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1)	40	$x_1^3 x_3^5 x_4 x_5^6 x_6^6 x_7^6 x_8^6 x_9^6 x_{10}$	$3^2$
2	(3, 0, 7)	(0, 2, 2, 0, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 0)	36	$x_1 x_2 x_3^2 x_4^2 x_5^4 x_6^6 x_6^6 x_6^6 x_9^6 x_{10}^2$	1
2	(0, 3, 7)	(1, 2, 2, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1)	40	$x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^2 x_5^5 x_6^6 x_7^6 x_8^6 x_9^6 x_{10}^2$	$-2^{2}$
2	(1, 1, 8)	(2, 0, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1)	43	$x_1^3 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^6 x_5^7 x_7^7 x_8^7 x_9^7 \\$	1
2	(2, 0, 8)	(2, 0, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 0)	43	$x_1^2 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^5 x_6^7 x_7^7 x_8^7 x_9^7 x_{10}^7$	-1
2	(0, 2, 8)	(2, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1)	47	$x_1^3 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^3 x_5^7 x_6^7 x_7^7 x_8^7 x_9^7 x_{10}^7$	$2^2$
2	(1, 0, 9)	(2, 0, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2)	51	$x_1^3 x_3^2 x_4^4 x_5^5 x_6^5 x_7^8 x_8^8 x_9^8 x_{10}^8$	2
2	(0, 1, 9)	(2, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2)	54	$x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^5 x_6^6 x_7^6 x_8^9 x_9^9 x_{10}^9$	2
2	(0, 0, 10)	(2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2)	65	$x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^6 x_6^8 x_7^9 x_8^9 x_9^9 x_{10}^9$	$2 \cdot 5$

Table 5: Monomials and their coefficients sufficient for the proof of Theorem 4.5 in the case t = 6 and  $G = D_{2p}$ .

ā	$\lambda$	a″	deg	monomial/s	coefficient/s
0	(5, 5)	(1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0)	34	$\begin{array}{c} x_2^2 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^4 x_6^4 x_7^4 x_8^4 x_9^4 x_{10}^4 \\ x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^4 x_5^4 x_6^4 x_7^4 x_8^4 x_9^4 x_{10}^4 \end{array}$	$2^{6} \cdot 5 \cdot 13$ $2^{4} \cdot 17 \cdot 23$
0	(6, 4)	(1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0)	38	$x_2^4 x_3^5 x_4^5 x_5^3 x_6^5 x_7^3 x_8^5 x_9^3 x_{10}^5 \ x_1 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^5 x_5^3 x_6^5 x_7^3 x_8^5 x_9^3 x_{10}^5$	$-3 \cdot 41543$ $2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 31 \cdot 617$

ā	$\lambda$	a″	deg	monomial/s	coefficient/s
0	(7, 3)	(1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0)	36	$x_3^2 x_4^6 x_5^2 x_6^6 x_7^6 x_8^6 x_9^2 x_{10}^6$	$-2^{3} \cdot 3$
0	(8, 2)	(1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	45	$x_3^2 x_4^7 x_5 x_6^7 x_7^7 x_8^7 x_9^7 x_{10}^7$	$-2^{2}$
0	(9, 1)	(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	66	$x_1^5 x_2^7 x_3^7 x_4^8 x_6^7 x_7^8 x_8^8 x_9^8 x_{10}^8$	$-2^5 \cdot 3$
1	(5, 5)	(1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0)	39	$\begin{array}{c} x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^4 x_6^4 x_7^4 x_8^4 x_9^4 x_{10}^4 \\ x_1^4 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^4 x_6^4 x_7^4 x_8^4 x_9^4 x_{10}^4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 43 \cdot 97 \\ -2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 257 \end{array}$
1	(4, 6)	(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0)	39	$x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^5 x_4^5 x_5^5 x_6^5 x_7^3 x_8^3 x_9^5 x_{10}^3 \ x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^5 x_4^5 x_5^5 x_6^5 x_7^3 x_8^3 x_9^5 x_{10}^3$	$\begin{array}{c} -11 \cdot 23 \cdot 61 \\ -7^2 \cdot 953 \end{array}$
1	(3,7)	(1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0)	43	$\begin{array}{c} x_1^3 x_2^2 x_3^4 x_6^4 x_5^6 x_6^6 x_7^6 x_8^2 x_9^6 x_{10}^2 \\ x_1^4 x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4^6 x_5^6 x_6^6 x_7^6 x_8^2 x_9^6 x_{10}^2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -5\cdot23\cdot61\\ 2\cdot32117\end{array}$
1	(2, 8)	(1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0)	48	$\begin{array}{c} x_1^4 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^4 x_5^7 x_6^7 x_7^7 x_8^7 x_9^7 x_{10} \\ x_1^4 x_2 x_3^3 x_4^5 x_5^6 x_6^7 x_7^7 x_8^7 x_9^7 x_{10} \end{array}$	$\frac{-2^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 61}{2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 29}$
1	(1, 9)	(1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)	55	$\begin{array}{c} x_1^5 x_3^3 x_4^4 x_5^4 x_6^7 x_7^8 x_8^8 x_9^8 x_{10}^8 \\ x_1^5 x_3^3 x_4^4 x_5^5 x_6^6 x_7^8 x_8^8 x_9^8 x_{10}^8 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2\cdot 3\cdot 61 \\ -2^4\cdot 83 \end{array}$
1	(0, 10)	(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)	66	$\begin{array}{c} x_1^4 x_2^4 x_3^5 x_4^8 x_9^9 x_7^9 x_8^9 x_9^9 x_{10}^9 \\ x_1^4 x_2^4 x_3^5 x_4^9 x_8^9 x_7^9 x_8^9 x_9^9 x_{10}^9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -2\cdot 457 \\ -2^8\cdot 3^2 \end{array}$

Table 6: Monomials and their coefficients sufficient for the proof of Theorem 4.5 in the case t = 6 and  $G = G_{3p}$ .

ā	$\lambda$	a″	$\operatorname{deg}$	monomial/s	coefficient/s
0	(5, 3, 2)	(1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 2, 1, 2)	25	$x_1^2 x_2^4 x_3^4 x_4^2 x_5^2 x_6^4 x_7^4 x_9^2 x_{10}$	-r - 1
0	(5, 4, 1)	(1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 2)	22	$x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5 x_6^2 x_7^4 x_8 x_9$	1
0	(5, 5, 0)	(0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1)	33	$x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^3 x_5^4 x_5^4 x_6^4 x_7^4 x_8^4 x_9^4 x_{10}^4$	124r + 294
0	(6, 2, 2)	(1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 2, 2)	25	$x_1 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4 x_5^3 x_6^2 x_7^5 x_8^5 x_{10}$	1
0	(6, 3, 1)	(1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 2)	30	$x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4 x_5^5 x_6^5 x_7^4 x_8^4 x_9^2$	1
0	(6, 4, 0)	(1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1)	28	$x_2^2 x_3^3 x_4 x_5^4 x_6^4 x_7^5 x_8^4 x_9^2 x_{10}^3$	2
0	(7, 2, 1)	(1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 2)	33	$x_3^3 x_4 x_5^6 x_6^5 x_7^6 x_8^6 x_9^6$	2
0	(7, 3, 0)	(1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)	35	$x_3^3 x_4 x_5^6 x_6^6 x_7^6 x_8^5 x_9^6 x_{10}^2$	2
0	(8, 1, 1)	(1, 0, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	44	$x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^2 x_6^7 x_7^7 x_8^7 x_9^7 x_{10}^7$	12r + 12
0	(8, 2, 0)	(1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	42	$x_2^3 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5 x_6^5 x_7^5 x_8^7 x_9^7 x_{10}^6$	5
0	(9, 1, 0)	(0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	66	$x_1^5 x_2^7 x_3^7 x_5^8 x_6^8 x_7^7 x_8^8 x_9^8 x_{10}^8$	$2^2 \cdot 5^2$
_1	(3, 5, 2)	(0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 0, 2)	27	$x_1 x_2^4 x_3^4 x_4^2 x_5^4 x_6^4 x_7^4 x_8 x_9^2 x_{10}$	-3055r - 1872
_1	(2, 5, 3)	(0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2)	28	$x_1 x_2^4 x_3^4 x_4 x_5^4 x_6^4 x_7^4 x_8^2 x_9^2 x_{10}^2$	3993r + 2619

ā	λ	a″	deg	monomial/s	coefficient/s
1	(4, 5, 1)	(0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 2)	31	$x_1^2 x_2^4 x_3^4 x_4^3 x_5^4 x_6^4 x_7^4 x_8^3 x_9^3$	3130r + 21
1	(1, 5, 4)	(1, 2, 2, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0)	32	$x_1^4 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^4 x_5^3 x_6^3 x_7^4 x_8^4 x_9^4$	-4043r - 1625
1	(0, 5, 5)	(1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2)	38	$x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^4 x_5^4 x_5^4 x_6^4 x_7^4 x_8^4 x_9^4 x_{10}^4$	7128r - 12852
1	(2, 6, 2)	(0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1)	30	$x_1 x_2^3 x_3 x_4^3 x_5^5 x_6 x_7 x_8^5 x_9^5 x_{10}^5$	-424r - 595
1	(3, 6, 1)	(0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 2, 1, 1, 1)	36	$x_1^2 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^5 x_5^5 x_6^2 x_8^5 x_9^5 x_{10}^5$	-566r + 1653
1	(1, 6, 3)	(1, 0, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1)	34	$x_1^4 x_3^4 x_5^4 x_5^2 x_6^2 x_7^2 x_8^5 x_9^5 x_{10}^5$	61364r + 25951
1	(4, 6, 0)	(0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0)	34	$x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^3 x_6^3 x_7^5 x_8^5 x_9^3 x_{10}^3 \\$	-1272r + 653
1	(0, 6, 4)	(2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2)	37	$x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^4 x_5^5 x_6^5 x_7^5 x_8^5 x_9^3 x_{10}^3$	-5321r - 5949
1	(2, 7, 1)	(0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2)	35	$x_1 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4 x_5^3 x_6^6 x_7^6 x_8^6 x_9^6$	-291r + 1
1	(1, 7, 2)	(1, 0, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2)	40	$x_1^4 x_3^4 x_4 x_5^6 x_6^6 x_6^6 x_7^6 x_8^6 x_9^6 x_{10}$	11711r + 1287
1	(3, 7, 0)	(0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0)	36	$x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^2 x_5^3 x_6^3 x_6^6 x_7^6 x_8^6 x_{9}^2 x_{10}^2$	5839r + 1451
1	(0, 7, 3)	(2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2)	40	$x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^2 x_5^4 x_6^6 x_6^6 x_7^6 x_8^6 x_9^6 x_{10}^2$	-1365r - 1577
1	(1, 8, 1)	(1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2)	43	$x_1^4 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^4 x_6^6 x_7^7 x_8^7 x_9^7$	239r - 1811
1	(2, 8, 0)	(1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0)	43	$x_1^4 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^4 x_6^4 x_7^7 x_8^7 x_9^7 x_{10}^7$	-10919r - 9004
1	(0, 8, 2)	(1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2)	47	$x_1^4 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^5 x_6^7 x_7^7 x_8^7 x_9^7 x_{10}$	5278r + 39848
1	(1, 9, 0)	(1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)	51	$x_1^4 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^5 x_6^5 x_7^5 x_8^8 x_9^8 x_{10}^8$	-12212r - 8422
1	(0, 9, 1)	(1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)	54	$x_1^4 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^6 x_6^6 x_7^6 x_8^8 x_9^8 x_{10}^8$	-82561r - 26061
1	(0, 10, 0)	(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)	65	$x_1^2 x_2^4 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^6 x_9^9 x_9^9 x_9^9 x_{10}^9$	7028r + 8686
2	(3, 2, 5)	(0, 2, 2, 0, 2, 2, 2, 1, 0, 1)	27	$x_1 x_2^4 x_3^4 x_4^2 x_5^4 x_6^4 x_7^4 x_8 x_9^2 x_{10}$	3055r + 1183
2	(2, 3, 5)	(0, 2, 2, 0, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1)	28	$x_1 x_2^4 x_3^4 x_4 x_5^4 x_6^4 x_7^4 x_8^2 x_9^2 x_{10}^2$	-3993r - 1374
2	(4, 1, 5)	(0, 2, 2, 0, 2, 2, 2, 0, 0, 1)	31	$x_1^2 x_2^4 x_3^4 x_4^3 x_5^4 x_5^4 x_6^4 x_7^4 x_8^3 x_9^3$	-3130r - 3109
2	(1, 4, 5)	(2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 0)	32	$x_1^4 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^4 x_5^3 x_6^3 x_7^4 x_8^4 x_9^4$	4043r + 2418
2	(5, 0, 5)	(0, 2, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0, 2, 2, 2)	33	$x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^3 x_5^4 x_5^4 x_6^4 x_7^4 x_8^4 x_9^4 x_{10}^4$	-124r + 170
2	(2, 2, 6)	(0, 2, 0, 2, 2, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2)	30	$x_1 x_2^3 x_3 x_4^3 x_5^5 x_6 x_7 x_8^5 x_9^5 x_{10}^5$	424r - 171
2	(3, 1, 6)	(0, 2, 0, 2, 2, 0, 1, 2, 2, 2)	36	$x_1^2 x_2^5 x_3^2 x_4^5 x_5^5 x_6^2 x_8^5 x_9^5 x_{10}^5$	566r + 2219
2	(1, 3, 6)	(2, 0, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2)	34	$x_1^4 x_3^4 x_4^5 x_5^2 x_6^2 x_7^2 x_8^5 x_9^5 x_{10}^5$	-61364r - 35413

ā	λ	a″	deg	monomial/s	coefficient/s
2	(4, 0, 6)	(0, 2, 2, 0, 2, 2, 2, 2, 0, 0)	34	$x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^3 x_6^3 x_7^5 x_8^5 x_9^3 x_{10}^3$	1272r + 1925
2	(0, 4, 6)	(1, 2, 2, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1)	37	$x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^3 x_5^4 x_5^5 x_6^5 x_7^5 x_8^5 x_9^3 x_{10}^3$	5321r - 628
2	(2, 1, 7)	(0, 2, 2, 0, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1)	35	$x_1 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4 x_5^3 x_6^6 x_7^6 x_8^6 x_9^6$	291r + 29
2	(1, 2, 7)	(2, 0, 2, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1)	40	$x_1^4 x_3^4 x_4 x_5^6 x_6^6 x_7^6 x_7^6 x_9^6 x_{10}^6$	-11711r - 10424
2	(3, 0, 7)	(0, 2, 2, 0, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 0)	36	$x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^2 x_5^3 x_6^3 x_6^6 x_8^6 x_9^6 x_{10}^2$	-5839r - 4388
2	(0, 3, 7)	(1, 2, 2, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1)	40	$x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^3 x_4^2 x_5^4 x_6^6 x_6^6 x_8^6 x_9^6 x_{10}^2$	1365r - 212
2	(1, 1, 8)	(2, 0, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1)	43	$x_1^4 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^4 x_6^6 x_7^7 x_8^7 x_9^7$	-239r - 2050
2	(2, 0, 8)	(2, 0, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 0)	43	$x_1^4 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^4 x_6^4 x_7^7 x_8^7 x_9^7 x_{10}$	10919r + 1915
2	(0, 2, 8)	(2, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1)	47	$x_1^4 x_2 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^5 x_6^7 x_7^7 x_8^7 x_9^7 x_{10}$	-5278r + 34570
2	(1, 0, 9)	(2, 0, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2)	51	$x_1^4 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^5 x_6^5 x_7^5 x_8^8 x_9^8 x_{10}^8$	12212r + 3790
2	(0, 1, 9)	(2, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2)	54	$x_1^4 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^6 x_6^6 x_7^6 x_8^8 x_9^8 x_{10}^8$	82561r + 56500
2	(0, 0, 10)	(2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2)	65	$x_1^2 x_2^4 x_3^4 x_4^4 x_5^6 x_6^9 x_7^9 x_8^9 x_9^9 x_{10}^9$	-7028r + 1658

# References

- [1] N. Alon, Combinatorial Nullstellensatz, Combin. Probab. Comput. 8 (1999) 7–29.
- [2] B. Alspach and G. Liversidge, On strongly sequenceable abelian groups, Art Discrete Appl. Math. 3 (2020) 19pp.
- B. A. Anderson and E. C. Ihrig, Every finite solvable group with a unique element of order two, except the quaternion group, has a symmetric sequencing, J. Combin. Des. 1 (1993) 3–14.
- [4] S. Costa and S. Della Fiore, Weak sequenceability in cyclic groups, J. Combin. Des. 30 (2022) 735–751.
- [5] S. Costa, S. Della Fiore, M. A. Ollis and S. Z. Rovner-Frydman, On Sequences in Cyclic Groups with Distinct Partial Sums, *Electron. J. Combin.* 29 (2022) #P3.33.
- [6] S. Costa, F. Morini, A. Pasotti and M. A. Pellegrini, A problem on partial sums in abelian groups, *Discrete Math.* 341 (2018) 705–712.
- [7] S. Costa and M. A. Pellegrini, Some new results about a conjecture by Brian Alspach, Archiv der Mathematik, 115 (2020) 479–488.
- [8] B. Gordon, Sequences in groups with distinct partial products, *Pacific J. Math.* 11 (1961) 1309–1313.

- [9] R. L. Graham, On sums of integers taken from a fixed sequence, Proceedings, Washington State University Conference on Number Theory (1971) 22–40.
- [10] J. Hicks, M. A. Ollis, and J. R. Schmitt, Distinct partial sums in cyclic groups: polynomial method and constructive approaches, J. Combin. Des. 27 (2019) 369– 385.
- [11] J. Isbell, Sequencing certain dihedral groups, *Discrete Math.* 85 (1990), 323–328.
- [12] A. D. Keedwell, On the sequenceability of non-abelian groups of order pq, Discrete Math. 37 (1981) 203–216.
- [13] P. Li, Sequencing the dihedral groups  $D_{4k}$ , Discrete Math. 175 (1997) 271–276.
- M. Michałek, A short proof of Combinatorial Nullstellensatz, Amer. Math. Monthly 117 (2010) (821–823).
- [15] M. A. Ollis, Terraces for small groups, J. Combin. Math. Comput. 108 (2019) 231– 244.
- [16] M. A. Ollis, Sequences in dihedral groups with distinct partial products, Australas. J. Combin. 78 (2020) 35–60.
- [17] M. A. Ollis and C. R. Tripp, The spectrum of group-based complete latin squares, *Electron. J. Combin.* 26 #3.15 (2019) 13pp.
- [18] A. Pasotti and J. H. Dinitz, A survey of Heffter arrays, arXiv:2209.13879.
- [19] Sage Developers, Sage Mathematics Software (Version 9.5.0), The SAGE Group, 2022, http://www.sagemath.org.