

The Expected Values of Hosoya Index and Merrifield-Simmons Index of Random Hexagonal Cacti

Moe Moe Oo^{a,c}, Nathakhun Wiroonsri^{a,c}, Natawat Klamsakul^{a,c}
Thiradet Jiarasuksakun^{b,c}, Pawaton Kaemawichanurat^{a,c}

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,
King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi,
Bangkok, Thailand

^bThe Institute for the Promotion of Teaching Science and Technology (IPST),
Bangkok, Thailand

^cMathematics and Statistics with Applications (MaSA)

moe.oo01@mail.kmutt.ac.th, nathakhun.wir@mail.kmutt.ac.th, natawat.kla@kmutt.ac.th,
thiradet@ipst.ac.th, pawaton.kae@kmutt.ac.th

Abstract

Hosoya index and Merrifield-Simmons index are two well-known topological descriptors that reflex some physical properties, such as boiling points and heat of formation, of benzenoid hydrocarbon compounds. In this paper, we establish the generating functions of the expected values of these two indices of random hexagonal cacti. This generalizes the results of Doslic and Maloy, published in Discrete Mathematics in 2010. By applying the ideas on meromorphic functions and the growth of power series coefficients, the asymptotic behaviors of these indices on the random cacti have been established.

Keywords: Matching Polynomial; Independence Polynomial; Random Cactus Graphs; Asymptotic Behavior

AMS subject classification: 05C69; 05A15; 05C30; 05C31; 05C92; 05C80; 30E15

1 Introduction and Motivation

Throughout this paper, we may let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph having the vertex set V and the edge set E . For a vertex $v \in V$, we say that v is a *cut vertex* of G if $G - v$ has more components than G . A maximal connected subgraph H of G such that H does not have a cut vertex is called a *block*. Hence, if G has a cut vertex, then H contains a cut vertex of G . A block B is an *end block* if B has exactly one cut vertex of G . A vertex subset $I \subseteq V$ is *independent* if any pair of vertices in I are not adjacent in G . An edge subset $M \subseteq E$ is *matching* if any two edges in M are not adjacent to a common vertex. A *cycle* of length k is denoted by C_k . A *regular hexagonal cactus* is a graph that has exactly two end blocks and

all the blocks are C_6 , hexagons. A regular hexagonal cactus G is said to be *ortho* if the two cut vertices of G that belong to the same non-end block are adjacent. A regular hexagonal cactus G is said to be *meta* if the two cut vertices of G that belong to the same non-end block are a pair of vertices at distance two. Further, a regular hexagonal cactus G is said to be *para* if the two cut vertices of G that belong to the same non-end block is a pair of vertices at distance three. For a non-negative integer n and non-negative real numbers a, b, c such that $a + b + c = 1$, a *random hexagonal cactus* $R_n(a, b, c)$ with n hexagons is defined as follows: when $n = 0$, $R_0(a, b, c)$ is the empty graph. When $n = 1$, $R_1(a, b, c)$ is a hexagon and, when $n = 2$, $R_2(a, b, c)$ is obtained from two hexagons by identifying one vertex of each. For $n \geq 3$, renaming hexagons if necessary, we label the names of hexagons of $R_{n-1}(a, b, c)$ by $1, \dots, n - 1$ consecutively along the cactus. Further, the graph $R_n(a, b, c)$ is obtained from $R_{n-1}(a, b, c)$ and a hexagon H by identifying a vertex of H with a vertex at distance one with the probability a or a vertex at distance two with the probability b or the vertex at distance three with the probability c from the cut vertex of the $(n - 1)^{th}$ hexagon of $R_{n-1}(a, b, c)$.

Husimi [11] expanded Mayer and Mayer [12]’s book of Statistical Mechanics by generalizing cluster and irreducible integrals for the Theory of Condensation in the year 1952. Husimi’s integrals can be represented using graphs by indication of Uhlenbeck [20] in the following same year. These kinds of graphs were known as Husimi trees which are graphs with each edge is in at most one cycle. In the fact that Husimi trees can clarify many of condensation phenomena, for example of the studies see [6, 8, 18], they have been drawn a lot of attention. Cacti (Husimi trees) were first described in graph theory literature in 1973 which was 20 years since initial introduction after Harary and Palmer [7] printed their classical book on graph enumeration

In 1971, Hosoya introduced, in his classical paper [9], a graph parameter called Z -index which is the total number of matchings of the graphs. Hosoya found that Z -index relates with boiling point of the graphs representing saturated hydrocarbons. Interestingly, Gutman et. al. [4] found further that Z -index also relates with the importance molecular graph descriptor called *graph energy*. This has attracted much attentions of graph theorists and has resulted in many studies to evaluate this index of graphs which has been well known in Hosoya index later. For some example of studies to find Hosoya index see [5, 21].

From Merrifield and Simmons [13, 14, 15, 16]’s observation, physical properties of hydrocarbons are related to topological indices on graphs applied in chemistry which describe molecular structures such as the number of independent sets and irredundant sets. Specifically, in [13], the observation from Merrifield and Simmons is that the numbers of independent sets of graphs representing Alkanes which varies inversely to the boiling points and heat of formations of the compounds. From then on, Merrifield-Simmons index are recognized as the number of independent sets of graphs representing molecular structures which have been researched by several graph theorists, see [2, 3, 17] for example.

In 2010, Doslic and Maloy [3] established the generating functions of Hosoya index and Merrifield-Simmons index of ortho-, meta, and para hexagonal cacti as follows.

Theorem 1 [3] For a non-negative integer n , let $O(x)$, $M(x)$ and P_x be the generating functions of the number of matchings of ortho-, meta-, para-hexagonal cacti, respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} O(x) &= \frac{1 + 7x}{1 - 11x - 26x^2} \\ M(x) &= \frac{1 + 5x}{1 - 13x + 10x^2} \\ P(x) &= \frac{1 + 6x}{1 - 12x - 8x^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2 [3] For a non-negative integer n , let $\overline{O}(x)$, $\overline{M}(x)$ and \overline{P}_x be the generating functions of the number of independent sets of ortho-, meta-, para-hexagonal cacti, respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{O}(x) &= \frac{2 + 2x}{1 - 8x - 25x^2} \\ \overline{M}(x) &= \frac{2 - 6x}{1 - 12x + 11x^2} \\ \overline{P}(x) &= \frac{2 - 2x}{1 - 10x - 7x^2}. \end{aligned}$$

For related works on finding Hosoya Index and Merrifield-Simmons Index in random chain, Huang et.al.[10] described exact formulas for the expected values of these indices of a random polyphenylene chain including n octagons. Chen et.al.[1] established explicit expressions for the expected value of Merrifield-Simmons index of a random phenylene chain $PH_{n,p}$ and a random hexagonal chain HS_{np} by using the method of the generating functions. The corresponding entropy constants are computed and the maximum and minimum values are obtained in both random systems. Very recently in 2022, Sun et.al. [19] found the recurrence relations of the expected values of Hosoya Index and Merrifield-Simmons Index of a random cyclooctylene chains containing n octagons. By solving these recurrence relations, the formula of expected values of these indices were established.

In this paper, we establish generating function of the expected values of Hosoya index and Merrifield-Simmons index of random hexagonal cacti. Some special cases of our results prove Theorems 1 and 2.

2 Main Results

In this section, we present our theorems related to the expected values of Hosoya Index and Merrifield-Simmons Index of random hexagonal cacti. Our first theorem establishes generating function of expected values of Hosoya index of random hexagonal cacti

Theorem 3 For a non-negative integer n and non-negative real numbers a, b, c such that $a + b + c = 1$, we let $R_n(a, b, c)$ be a random hexagonal chain cactus with n hexagons. Further,

we let $E(m_n(a, b, c))$ be the expected value of the number of matchings of $R_n(a, b, c)$ and $M_{a,b,c}(x)$ be the generating function of $E(m_n(a, b, c))$. Then

$$M_{a,b,c}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E(m_n(a, b, c))x^n = \frac{1 + 10x - 3ax - 5bx - 4cx}{1 - 8x - 3ax - 5bx - 4cx - 26ax^2 + 10bx^2 - 8cx^2}.$$

By letting $a = 1, b = c = 0$ and $a = c = 0, b = 1$ and $a = b = 0, c = 1$ the graph $R_n(a, b, c)$ becomes ortho-, meta- and para-hexagonal cacti, respectively. Thus, we have the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} M_{1,0,0}(x) = O(x) &= \frac{1 + 7x}{1 - 11x - 26x^2} \\ M_{0,1,0}(x) = M(x) &= \frac{1 + 5x}{1 - 13x + 10x^2} \\ M_{0,0,1}(x) = P(x) &= \frac{1 + 6x}{1 - 12x - 8x^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, Theorem 3 generalizes Theorem 1.

Further, by considering the principal part of the expansion of the generating function in Theorem 3 around the singularity, we have the asymptotic behavior of $E(m_n(a, b, c))$ as follows:

Theorem 4 *If $E(m_n(a, b, c))$ is the expected value of the number of matchings of $R_n(a, b, c)$. Then,*

$$E(m_n(a, b, c)) \approx \frac{\frac{1}{(\frac{1}{4})^{n+1}}(\sigma_2 - 3a\sigma_1 - 5b\sigma_1 - 4c\sigma_1 + 9a^2 + 25b^2 + 16c^2 + 10\sigma_1 - 80)}{(-\frac{3a+5b+4c-\sigma_1+8}{13a-5b+4c})^{n+1}(52a - 20b + 16c)\sigma_1}.$$

where $\sigma_1 = \sqrt{9a^2 + 30ab + 24ac + 152a + 25b^2 + 40bc + 40b + 16c^2 + 96c + 64}$ and $\sigma_2 = 46a - 30b + 8c + 30ab + 24ac + 40bc$.

Theorem 5 *For a non-negative integer n and non-negative real numbers a, b, c such that $a + b + c = 1$, we let $R_n(a, b, c)$ be a random hexagonal chain cactus with n hexagons. Further, we let $E(i_n(a, b, c))$ be the expected value of the number of independent sets of $R_n(a, b, c)$ and $I_{a,b,c}(x)$ be the generating function of $E(i_n(a, b, c))$. Then*

$$I_{a,b,c}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E(i_n(a, b, c))x^n = \frac{1 + 13x - 3ax - 7bx - 5cx + 25ax^2 - 11bx^2 + 7cx^2}{1 - 5x - 3ax - 7bx - 5cx - 25ax^2 + 11bx^2 - 7cx^2}.$$

By letting $a = 1, b = c = 0$ and $a = c = 0, b = 1$ and $a = b = 0, c = 1$ the graph $R_n(a, b, c)$ becomes ortho-, meta- and para-hexagonal cacti, respectively. Thus, we have the following

equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{1,0,0}(x) &= \overline{O}(x) = \frac{1 + 10x + 25x^2}{1 - 8x - 25x^2} \\
I_{0,1,0}(x) &= \overline{M}(x) = \frac{1 + 6x - 11x^2}{1 - 12x + 11x^2} \\
I_{0,0,1}(x) &= \overline{P}(x) = \frac{1 + 8x + 7x^2}{1 - 10x - 7x^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, Theorem 5 completes Theorem 2.

Further, by considering the principal part of the expansion of the generating function in Theorem 5 around the singularity, we have the asymptotic behavior of $E(i_n(a, b, c))$ as follows:

Theorem 6 *If $E(i_n(a, b, c))$ is the expected value of the number of independent sets of $R_n(a, b, c)$. Then,*

$$E(i_n(a, b, c)) \approx \frac{\frac{1}{0.5^{n+1}}(-3a\sigma_1 - 7b3a\sigma_1 - 5c3a\sigma_1 + 42ab + 30ac + 70bc + 4\sigma_1 + \sigma_3)}{\left(-\frac{3a+7b+5c-\sigma_1+5}{\sigma_2}\right)^{n+1}\sigma_1\sigma_2}.$$

where $\sigma_1 = \sqrt{9a^2 + 42ab + 30ac + 130a + 49b^2 + 70bc + 26b + 25c^2 + 78c + 25}$ and $\sigma_2 = 25a - 11b + 7c$ and $\sigma_3 = 9a^2 + 49b^2 + 25c^2 - 20 + 53a - 15b + 19c$.

3 Proofs

In this section, we prove Theorems 3 and 5. Firstly, we recall that, for non-negative real numbers a, b, c such that $a + b + c = 1$, the graph $R_0(a, b, c)$ is empty, the graph $R_1(a, b, c)$ is a hexagon and the graph $R_2(a, b, c)$ is obtained from two hexagons by identifying one vertex of each. For $n \geq 3$, the graph $R_n(a, b, c)$ is obtained from $R_{n-1}(a, b, c)$ and a hexagon H by identifying a vertex of H with a vertex at distance one with the probability a or a vertex at distance two with the probability b or the vertex at distance three with the probability c from the cut vertex of the $(n-1)^{th}$ hexagon of $R_{n-1}(a, b, c)$. Figure 1 shows examples of $R_n(a, b, c)$.




Figure 1: The graphs $R_n(a, b, c)$

In the figure, from left to right, a vertex of the hexagon H_n is identified with a vertex of the hexagon H_{n-1} with the probabilities a, b and c , respectively.

We further define three auxiliary graphs as follows. The graph $R'_n(a, b, c)$ is obtained from $R_n(a, b, c)$ and a path of length four $P_5 = x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5$ by identifying x_1 of P_5 to a vertex at distance one with the probability a , a vertex at distance two with the probability b and the vertex at distance three with the probability c from the cut vertex of the n^{th} hexagon of $R_n(a, b, c)$. Figure 2 illustrates examples of $R'_n(a, b, c)$. From left to right, x_1 of the path $x_1\dots x_5$ is identified with a vertex of the hexagon H_n with the probabilities a, b and c , respectively.




Figure 2: The graphs $R'_n(a, b, c)$

The graph $\tilde{R}_n(a, b, c)$ is obtained from $R_n(a, b, c)$ and a path of length four $P_5 = x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5$ by identifying x_2 of P_5 to a vertex at distance one with the probability a , a vertex at distance two with the probability b and the vertex at distance three with the probability c from the cut vertex of the n^{th} hexagon of $R_n(a, b, c)$. Figure 3 shows examples of $\tilde{R}_n(a, b, c)$. From left to right, x_2 of the path $x_1\dots x_5$ is identified with a vertex of the hexagon H_n with the probabilities a, b and c , respectively.




Figure 3: The graphs $\tilde{R}_n(a, b, c)$

The graph $\hat{R}_n(a, b, c)$ is obtained from $R_n(a, b, c)$ and a path of length four $P_5 = x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5$ by identifying x_3 of P_5 to a vertex at distance one with the probability a , a vertex at distance two with the probability b and the vertex at distance three with the probability c from the cut vertex of the n^{th} hexagon of $R_n(a, b, c)$. Figure 4 illustrates examples of $\hat{R}_n(a, b, c)$. From left to right, x_3 of the path $x_1\dots x_5$ is identified with a vertex of the hexagon H_n with the probabilities a, b and c , respectively.




Figure 4: The graphs $\hat{R}_n(a, b, c)$

3.1 Hosoya Index of Random Hexagonal Chain Cacti

In this subsection, we prove Theorem 3. Recall that

$E(m_n(a, b, c))$ is the expected value of the number of matchings of $R_n(a, b, c)$

$M_{a,b,c}(x)$ is the generating function of $E(m_n(a, b, c))$.

When there is no danger of confusion, we let $E(m_n)$ to denote $E(m_n(a, b, c))$ and let $M(x)$ to denote $M_{a,b,c}(x)$. Thus,

$$M(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E(m_n)x^n.$$

Similarly, we let $E(m'_n)$, $E(\tilde{m}_n)$ and $E(\hat{m}_n)$ be the expected values of the number of matchings of $R'_n(a, b, c)$, $\tilde{R}_n(a, b, c)$ and $\hat{R}_n(a, b, c)$, respectively. Further, we let $M'(x)$, $\tilde{M}(x)$ and $\hat{M}(x)$ be the generating functions of $E(m'_n)$, $E(\tilde{m}_n)$ and $E(\hat{m}_n)$, respectively. Therefore,

$$M'(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E(m'_n)x^n$$

$$\tilde{M}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E(\tilde{m}_n)x^n$$

$$\hat{M}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E(\hat{m}_n)x^n.$$

Now, to prove Theorem 3, we will prove the following equations.

$$(1 - 8x)M(x) = 1 + 10x + 10ax^2M'(x) + 10bx^2\tilde{M}(x) + 10cx^2\hat{M}(x) \quad (1)$$

$$(1 - 3ax)M'(x) = 3 + 5M(x) + 3bx\tilde{M}(x) + 3cx\hat{M}(x) \quad (2)$$

$$(1 - 5bx)\tilde{M}(x) = 5 + 3M(x) + 5axM(x) + 3cx\hat{M}(x) \quad (3)$$

$$(1 - 4cx)\hat{M}(x) = 4 + 4M(x) + 4axM(x) + 4bx\tilde{M}(x) \quad (4)$$

Proof of Equation (1). We name the vertices of the n^{th} hexagon H_n of $R_n(a, b, c)$ by h_1, h_2, \dots, h_6 clockwise with h_1 is the cut vertex containing in H_n (and in the $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$ hexagon H_{n-1}). Similarly, we name the vertices of H_{n-1} by k_1, k_2, \dots, k_6 clockwise with k_1 is the cut vertex containing in H_{n-1} . Because $R_n(a, b, c)$ is a chain, $k_1 \neq h_1$. We distinguish three cases depending on the distance between h_1 and k_1 on H_{n-1} .

Case 1: h_1 is adjacent to k_1 .

Thus, h_1 is either k_2 or k_6 . Without loss of generality, we let $h_1 = k_2$. This case occurs with the probability a . We count the number of matchings due to the following subcases.

Subcase 1.1: The matchings that contain h_1h_2 .

For any matching that contains h_1h_2 , it cannot contain k_2k_3, k_1k_2, h_2h_3 and h_1h_6 . Thus, the number of matchings in this subcase equals the number of matchings of the union of $R'_{n-2}(a, b, c)$ and the path $P_4 = h_3h_4h_5h_6$. Clearly, P_4 has 5 matchings. So, the expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $5E(m'_{n-2})$.

Subcase 1.2: The matchings that contain h_1h_6 .

This subcase is symmetric to Subcase 1.1. Thus, the expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $5E(m'_{n-2})$.

Subcase 1.3: The matchings that contain neither h_1h_2 nor h_1h_6 .

The number of matchings in this subcase equals the number of matchings of the union of $R_{n-1}(a, b, c)$ and the path $P_5 = h_2h_3h_4h_5h_6$. Clearly, P_5 has 8 matchings. So, the expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $8E(m_{n-1})$.

From the three subcases, we have that there are $10aE(m'_{n-2}) + 8aE(m_{n-1})$.

Case 2: h_1 is at distance two from k_1 .

Thus, h_1 is either k_3 or k_5 . Without loss of generality, we let $h_1 = k_3$. This case occurs with the probability b . We count the number of matchings due to the following subcases.

Subcase 2.1: The matchings that contain h_1h_2 .

For any matching that contains h_1h_2 , it cannot contain k_2k_3, k_3k_4, h_2h_3 and h_1h_6 . Thus, the number of matchings in this subcase equals the number of matchings of the union of $\tilde{R}_{n-2}(a, b, c)$ and the path $P_4 = h_3h_4h_5h_6$. Clearly, P_4 has 5 matchings. So, the expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $5E(\tilde{m}_{n-2})$.

Subcase 2.2: The matchings that contain h_1h_6 .

This subcase is symmetric to Subcase 2.1. Thus, the expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $5E(\tilde{m}_{n-2})$.

Subcase 2.3: The matchings that contain neither h_1h_2 nor h_1h_6 .

The number of matchings in this subcase equals the number of matchings of the union of $R_{n-1}(a, b, c)$ and the path $P_5 = h_2h_3h_4h_5h_6$. Clearly, P_5 has 8 matchings. So, the expected value of the number of matching in this subcase is $8E(m_{n-1})$.

From the three subcases, we have that there are $10bE(\tilde{m}_{n-2}) + 8bE(m_{n-1})$.

Case 3: h_1 is at distance three from k_1 .

Thus, h_1 is k_4 . This case occurs with the probability c . We count the number of matchings due to the following subcases.

Subcase 3.1: The matchings that contain h_1h_2 .

For any matching that contains h_1h_2 , it cannot contain k_4k_5, k_3k_4, h_2h_3 and h_1h_6 . the number of matchings in this subcase equals the number of matchings of the union of $\hat{R}_{n-2}(a, b, c)$ and a path $P_4 = h_3h_4h_5h_6$. Clearly, P_4 has 5 matchings. So, the expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $5E(\hat{m}_{n-2})$.

Subcase 3.2: The matchings that contain h_1h_6 .

This subcase is symmetric to Subcase 3.1. Thus, the expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $5E(\hat{m}_{n-2})$.

Subcase 3.3: The matchings that contain neither h_1h_2 nor h_1h_6 .

The number of matchings in this subcase equals the number of matchings of the union of $R_{n-1}(a, b, c)$ and the path $P_5 = h_2h_3h_4h_5h_6$. Clearly, P_5 has 8 matchings. So, the expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $8E(m_{n-1})$.

From the three subcases, we have that there are $10cE(\hat{m}_{n-2}) + 8cE(m_{n-1})$. Therefore, from Cases 1, 2 and 3, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} E(m_n) &= 10aE(m'_{n-2}) + 8aE(m_{n-1}) + 10bE(\tilde{m}_{n-2}) + 8bE(m_{n-1}) + 10cE(\hat{m}_{n-2}) + 8cE(m_{n-1}) \\ &= 8(a + b + c)E(m_{n-1}) + 10aE(m'_{n-2}) + 10bE(\tilde{m}_{n-2}) + 10cE(\hat{m}_{n-2}) \\ &= 8E(m_{n-1}) + 10aE(m'_{n-2}) + 10bE(\tilde{m}_{n-2}) + 10cE(\hat{m}_{n-2}). \end{aligned}$$

For $n \geq 2$, we multiply x^n throughout the above equation and sum over all n . We have that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} E(m_n)x^n = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 8E(m_{n-1})x^n + 10a \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} E(m'_{n-2})x^n + 10b \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} E(\tilde{m}_{n-2})x^n + 10c \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} E(\hat{m}_{n-2})x^n$$

which implies that

$$M(x) - E(m_0) - E(m_1)x = 8x(M(x) - E(m_0)) + 10ax^2M'(x) + 10bx^2\tilde{M}(x) + 10cx^2\hat{M}(x).$$

It can be checked that $E(m_0) = 1$ and $E(m_1) = 18$. Hence,

$$M(x) = 1 + 10x + 8xM(x) + 10ax^2M'(x) + 10bx^2\tilde{M}(x) + 10cx^2\hat{M}(x).$$

This proves Equation (1). \square

Proof of Equation (2). Recall that $R'_n(a, b, c)$ is obtained from $R_n(a, b, c)$ and a path $P_5 = x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5$ by identifying x_1 with a vertex of the n^{th} hexagon of $R_n(a, b, c)$. We name the vertices of the n^{th} hexagon H_n of $R'_n(a, b, c)$ by h_1, h_2, \dots, h_6 clockwise with h_1 is the cut vertex containing in H_n (and in the $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$ hexagon H_{n-1}). By the definition of $R'_n(a, b, c)$, we have that $x_1 \neq h_1$. We distinguish three cases due to the distance between x_1 and h_1 .

Case 1: x_1 is adjacent to h_1 .

Thus, x_1 is either h_2 or h_6 . Without loss of generality, we let $x_1 = h_2$. This case occurs with the probability a . We count the number of matchings due to the following subcases.

Subcase 1.1: The matchings that contain x_1x_2 .

For any matching that contains x_1x_2 , it cannot contain x_2x_3, h_1h_2 and h_2h_3 . Thus,

the number of matchings in this subcase equals the number of matchings of the union of $R'_{n-1}(a, b, c)$ and the path $x_3x_4x_5$. Clearly, $x_3x_4x_5$ has 3 matchings. So, the expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $3E(m'_{n-1})$.

Subcase 1.2: The matchings that do not contain x_1x_2 .

The number of matchings in this subcase equals the number of matchings of the union of $R_n(a, b, c)$ and the path $x_2x_3x_4x_5$. Clearly, $x_2x_3x_4x_5$ has 5 matchings. So, the expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $5E(m_n)$.

From the two subcases, we have that there are $3aE(m'_{n-1}) + 5aE(m_n)$ from Case 1.

Case 2: x_1 is at distance two from h_1 .

Thus, x_1 is either h_3 or h_5 . Without loss of generality, we let $x_1 = h_3$. This case occurs with the probability b . We count the number of matchings due to the following subcases.

Subcase 2.1: The matchings that contain x_1x_2 .

For any matching that contains x_1x_2 , it cannot contain x_2x_3, h_3h_4 and h_2h_3 . Thus, the number of matchings in this subcase equals the number of matchings of the union of $\tilde{R}_{n-1}(a, b, c)$ and the path $x_3x_4x_5$. Clearly, $x_3x_4x_5$ has 3 matchings. So, the expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $3E(\tilde{m}_{n-1})$.

Subcase 2.2: The matchings that do not contain x_1x_2 .

The number of matchings in this subcase equals the number of matchings of the union of $R_n(a, b, c)$ and the path $x_2x_3x_4x_5$. Clearly, $x_2x_3x_4x_5$ has 5 matchings. So, the expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $5E(m_n)$.

From the two subcases, we have that there are $3bE(\tilde{m}_{n-1}) + 5bE(m_n)$ from Case 2.

Case 3: x_1 is at distance three from h_1 .

Thus, x_1 is h_4 . This case occurs with the probability c . We count the number of matchings due to the following subcases.

Subcase 3.1: The matchings that contain x_1x_2 .

For any matching that contains x_1x_2 , it cannot contain x_2x_3, h_3h_4 and h_4h_5 . Thus, the number of matchings in this subcase equals the number of matchings of the union of $\hat{R}_{n-1}(a, b, c)$ and the path $x_3x_4x_5$. Clearly, $x_3x_4x_5$ has 3 matchings. So, the expected value of the number of matching in this subcase is $3E(\hat{m}_{n-1})$.

Subcase 3.2: The matchings that do not contain x_1x_2 .

The number of matchings in this subcase equals the number of matchings of the union of $R_n(a, b, c)$ and the path $x_2x_3x_4x_5$. Clearly, $x_2x_3x_4x_5$ has 5 matchings. So, the expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $5E(m_n)$.

From the two subcases, we have that there are $3cE(\hat{m}_{n-2}) + 5cE(m_n)$ from Case 3. There-

fore, from Cases 1, 2 and 3, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} E(m'_n) &= 3aE(m'_{n-1}) + 5aE(m_n) + 3bE(\tilde{m}_{n-1}) + 5bE(m_n) + 3cE(\hat{m}_{n-1}) + 5cE(m_n) \\ &= 5(a+b+c)E(m_n) + 3aE(m'_{n-1}) + 3bE(\tilde{m}_{n-1}) + 3cE(\hat{m}_{n-1}) \\ &= 5E(m_n) + 3aE(m'_{n-1}) + 3bE(\tilde{m}_{n-1}) + 3cE(\hat{m}_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

For $n \geq 1$, we multiply x^n throughout the above equation and sum over all n . We have that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(m'_n)x^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 5E(m_n)x^n + 3a \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(m'_{n-1})x^n + 3b \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(\tilde{m}_{n-1})x^n + 3c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(\hat{m}_{n-1})x^n$$

which implies that

$$M'(x) - E(m'_0) = 5(M(x) - E(m_0)) + 3axM'(x) + 3bx\tilde{M}(x) + 3cx\hat{M}(x).$$

It can be checked that $E(m'_0) = 8$ and $E(m_0) = 1$. Hence,

$$M'(x) = 3 + 5M(x) + 3axM'(x) + 3bx\tilde{M}(x) + 3cx\hat{M}(x).$$

This proves Equation (2). \square

Proof of Equation (3). Recall that $\tilde{R}_n(a, b, c)$ is obtained from $R_n(a, b, c)$ and a path $P_5 = x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5$ by identifying x_2 with a vertex of the n^{th} hexagon of $R_n(a, b, c)$. We name the vertices of the n^{th} hexagon H_n of $\tilde{R}_n(a, b, c)$ by h_1, h_2, \dots, h_6 clockwise with h_1 is the cut vertex containing in H_n (and in the $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$ hexagon H_{n-1}). By the definition of $\tilde{R}_n(a, b, c)$, we have that $x_2 \neq h_1$. We distinguish three cases due to the distance between x_2 and h_1 .

Case 1: x_2 is adjacent to h_1 .

Thus, x_2 is either h_2 or h_6 . Without loss of generality, we let $x_2 = h_2$. This case occurs with the probability a . We count the number of matchings due to the following subcases.

Subcase 1.1: The matchings that contain x_1x_2 .

For any matching that contains x_1x_2 , it cannot contain x_2x_3, h_1h_2 and h_2h_3 . Thus, the number of matchings in this subcase equals the number of matchings of the union of $R'_{n-1}(a, b, c)$ and the path $P_3 = x_3x_4x_5$. Clearly, P_3 has 3 matchings. So, the expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $3E(m'_{n-1})$.

Subcase 1.2: The matchings that contain x_2x_3 .

For any matching that contains x_2x_3 , it cannot contain x_1x_2, x_3x_4, h_1h_2 and h_2h_3 . Thus, the number of matchings in this subcase equals the number of matchings of the union of $R'_{n-1}(a, b, c)$, the vertex x_1 and the path $P_2 = x_4x_5$. Clearly, P_2 has 2 matchings. So, the expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $2E(m'_{n-1})$.

Subcase 1.3: The matchings that contain neither x_1x_2 nor x_2x_3 .

The number of matchings in this subcase equals the number of matchings of the union of $R_n(a, b, c)$ and the path $P_3 = x_3x_4x_5$. Clearly, P_3 has 3 matchings. So, the expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $3E(m_n)$.

From the three subcases, we have that there are $5aE(m'_{n-1}) + 3aE(m_n)$.

Case 2: x_2 is at distance two from h_1 .

Thus, x_2 is either h_3 or h_5 . Without loss of generality, we let $x_2 = h_3$. This case occurs with the probability b . We count the number of matchings due to the following subcases.

Subcase 2.1: The matchings that contain x_1x_2 .

For any matching that contains x_1x_2 , it cannot contain x_2x_3, h_2h_3 and h_3h_4 . Thus, the number of matchings in this subcase equals the number of matchings of the union of $\tilde{R}_{n-1}(a, b, c)$ and the path $P_3 = x_3x_4x_5$. Clearly, P_3 has 3 matchings. So, the expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $3E(\tilde{m}_{n-1})$.

Subcase 2.2: The matchings that contain x_2x_3 .

For any matching that contains x_2x_3 , it cannot contain x_1x_2, x_3x_4, h_3h_4 and h_2h_3 . Thus, the number of matchings in this subcase equals the number of matchings of the union of $\tilde{R}_{n-1}(a, b, c)$, the vertex x_1 and the path $P_2 = x_4x_5$. Clearly, P_2 has 2 matchings. So, the expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $2E(\tilde{m}_{n-1})$.

Subcase 2.3: The matchings that contain neither x_1x_2 nor x_2x_3 .

The number of matchings in this subcase equals the number of matchings of the union of $R_n(a, b, c)$ and the path $P_3 = x_3x_4x_5$. Clearly, P_3 has 3 matchings. So, the expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $3E(m_n)$.

From the three subcases, we have that there are $5bE(\tilde{m}_{n-1}) + 3bE(m_n)$.

Case 3: x_2 is at distance three from h_1 .

Thus, x_2 is h_4 . This case occurs with the probability c . We count the number of matchings due to the following subcases.

Subcase 3.1: The matchings that contain x_1x_2 .

For any matching that contains x_1x_2 , it cannot contain x_2x_3, h_3h_4 and h_4h_5 . Thus, the number of matchings in this subcase equals the number of matchings of the union of $\hat{R}_{n-1}(a, b, c)$ and the path $P_3 = x_3x_4x_5$. Clearly, P_3 has 3 matchings. So, the expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $3E(\hat{m}_{n-1})$.

Subcase 3.2: The matchings that contain x_2x_3 .

For any matching that contains x_2x_3 , it cannot contain x_1x_2, x_3x_4, h_3h_4 and h_4h_5 . Thus, the number of matchings in this subcase equals the number of matchings of the union of $\hat{R}_{n-1}(a, b, c)$, the vertex x_1 and the path $P_2 = x_4x_5$. Clearly, P_2 has 2 matchings. So, the expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $2E(\hat{m}_{n-1})$.

Subcase 3.3: The matchings that contain neither x_1x_2 nor x_2x_3 .

The number of matchings in this subcase equals the number of matchings of the union of $R_n(a, b, c)$ and the path $P_3 = x_3x_4x_5$. Clearly, P_3 has 3 matchings. So, the expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $3E(m_n)$.

From the three subcases, we have that there are $5cE(\hat{m}_{n-1}) + 3cE(m_n)$. Therefore, from Cases 1, 2 and 3, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} E(\tilde{m}_n) &= 5aE(m'_{n-1}) + 3aE(m_n) + 5bE(\tilde{m}_{n-1}) + 3bE(m_n) + 5cE(\hat{m}_{n-1}) + 3cE(m_n) \\ &= 3(a+b+c)E(m_n) + 5aE(m'_{n-1}) + 5bE(\tilde{m}_{n-1}) + 5cE(\hat{m}_{n-1}) \\ &= 3E(m_n) + 5aE(m'_{n-1}) + 5bE(\tilde{m}_{n-1}) + 5cE(\hat{m}_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

For $n \geq 1$, we multiply x^n throughout the above equation and sum over all n . We have that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(\tilde{m}_n)x^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 3E(m_n)x^n + 5a \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(m'_{n-1})x^n + 5b \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(\tilde{m}_{n-1})x^n + 5c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(\hat{m}_{n-1})x^n$$

which implies that

$$\tilde{M}(x) - E(\tilde{m}_0) = 3(M(x) - E(m_0)) + 5axM'(x) + 5bx\tilde{M}(x) + 5cx\hat{M}(x).$$

It can be checked that $E(\tilde{m}_0) = 8$ and $E(m_0) = 1$. Hence,

$$\tilde{M}(x) = 5 + 3M(x) + 5axM'(x) + 5bx\tilde{M}(x) + 5cx\hat{M}(x).$$

This proves Equation (3). \square

Proof of Equation (4). Recall that $\hat{R}_n(a, b, c)$ is obtained from $R_n(a, b, c)$ and a path $P_5 = x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5$ by identifying x_3 with a vertex of the n^{th} hexagon of $R_n(a, b, c)$. We name the vertices of the n^{th} hexagon H_n of $\hat{R}_n(a, b, c)$ by h_1, h_2, \dots, h_6 clockwise with h_1 is the cut vertex containing in H_n (and in the $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$ hexagon H_{n-1}). By the definition of $\hat{R}_n(a, b, c)$, we have that $x_3 \neq h_1$. We distinguish three cases due to the distance between x_3 and h_1 .

Case 1: x_3 is adjacent to h_1 .

Thus, x_3 is either h_2 or h_6 . Without loss of generality, we let $x_3 = h_2$. This case occurs with the probability a . We count the number of matchings due to the following subcases.

Subcase 1.1: The matchings that contain x_2x_3 .

For any matching that contains x_2x_3 , it cannot contain x_1x_2, x_3x_4, h_1h_2 and h_2h_3 . Thus, the number of matchings in this subcase equals the number of matchings of the union of $R'_{n-1}(a, b, c)$, the vertex x_1 and the path $P_2 = x_4x_5$. Clearly, P_2 has 2 matchings. So, the expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $2E(m'_{n-1})$.

Subcase 1.2: The matchings that contain x_3x_4 .

This subcase is symmetric to Subcase 1.1. Thus, the expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $2E(m'_{n-1})$.

Subcase 1.2: The matchings that contain x_3x_4 .

For any matching that contains x_3x_4 , it cannot contain x_2x_3, x_4x_5, h_1h_2 and h_2h_3 . Thus,

the number of matchings in this subcase equals the number of matchings of the union of $R'_{n-1}(a, b, c)$, the vertex x_5 and the path x_1x_2 . Clearly, x_1x_2 has 2 matchings. So, the expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $2E(m'_{n-1})$.

Subcase 1.3: The matchings that contain neither x_2x_3 nor x_3x_4 .

The number of matchings in this subcase equals the number of matchings of the union of $R_n(a, b, c)$, the paths x_1x_2 and x_4x_5 . Clearly, x_1x_2 and x_4x_5 has 4 matchings. So, the expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $4E(m_n)$.

From the three subcases, we have that there are $4aE(m'_{n-1}) + 4aE(m_n)$.

Case 2: x_3 is at distance two from h_1 .

Thus, x_3 is either h_3 or h_5 . Without loss of generality, we let $x_3 = h_3$. This case occurs with the probability b . We count the number of matchings due to the following subcases.

Subcase 2.1: The matchings that contain x_2x_3 .

For any matching that contains x_2x_3 , it cannot contain x_1x_2, x_3x_4, h_2h_3 and h_3h_4 . Thus, the number of matchings in this subcase equals the number of matchings of the union of $\tilde{R}_{n-1}(a, b, c)$, the vertex x_1 and the path x_4x_5 . Clearly, x_4x_5 has 2 matchings. So, the expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $2E(\tilde{m}_{n-1})$.

Subcase 2.2: The matchings that contain x_3x_4 .

This subcase is symmetric to Subcase 2.1. Thus, the expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $2E(\tilde{m}_{n-1})$.

Subcase 2.2: The matchings that contain x_3x_4 .

For any matching that contains x_3x_4 , it cannot contain x_2x_3, x_4x_5, h_2h_3 and h_3h_4 . Thus, the number of matchings in this subcase equals the number of matchings of the union of $\tilde{R}_{n-1}(a, b, c)$, the vertex x_5 and the path x_1x_2 . Clearly, x_1x_2 has 2 matchings. So, the expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $2E(\tilde{m}_{n-1})$.

Subcase 2.3: The matchings that contain neither x_2x_3 nor x_3x_4 .

The number of matchings in this subcase equals the number of matchings of the union of $R_n(a, b, c)$, the paths x_1x_2 and x_4x_5 . Clearly, x_1x_2 and x_4x_5 has 4 matchings. So, the expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $4E(m_n)$.

From the three subcases, we have that there are $4bE(\tilde{m}_{n-1}) + 4bE(m_n)$.

Case 3: x_3 is at distance three from h_1 .

Thus, x_3 is h_4 . This case occurs with the probability c . We count the number of matchings due to the following subcases.

Subcase 3.1: The matchings that contain x_2x_3 .

For any matching that contains x_2x_3 , it cannot contain x_1x_2, x_3x_4, h_3h_4 and h_4h_5 . Thus, the number of matchings in this subcase equals the number of matchings of the union of $\hat{R}_{n-1}(a, b, c)$, the vertex x_1 and the path x_4x_5 . Clearly, x_4x_5 has 2 matchings. So, the

expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $2E(\hat{m}_{n-1})$.

This subcase is symmetric to Subcase 3.1. Thus, the expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $2E(\hat{m}_{n-1})$.

Subcase 3.2: The matchings that contain x_3x_4 .

For any matching that contains x_3x_4 , it cannot contain x_2x_3, x_4x_5, h_3h_4 and h_4h_5 . Thus, the number of matchings in this subcase equals the number of matchings of the union of $\hat{R}_{n-1}(a, b, c)$, the vertex x_5 and the path x_1x_2 . Clearly, x_1x_2 has 2 matchings. So, the expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $2E(\hat{m}_{n-1})$.

Subcase 3.3: The matchings that contain neither x_2x_3 nor x_3x_4 .

The number of matchings in this subcase equals the number of matchings of the union of $R_n(a, b, c)$, the paths x_1x_2 and x_4x_5 . Clearly, x_1x_2 and x_4x_5 has 4 matchings. So, the expected value of the number of matchings in this subcase is $4E(m_n)$.

From the three subcases, we have that there are $4cE(\hat{m}_{n-2}) + 4cE(m_n)$. Therefore, from Cases 1, 2 and 3, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} E(\hat{m}_n) &= 4aE(m'_{n-1}) + 4aE(m_n) + 4bE(\tilde{m}_{n-1}) + 4bE(m_n) + 4cE(\hat{m}_{n-1}) + 4cE(m_n) \\ &= 4(a + b + c)E(m_n) + 4aE(m'_{n-1}) + 4bE(\tilde{m}_{n-1}) + 4cE(\hat{m}_{n-1}) \\ &= 4E(m_n) + 4aE(m'_{n-1}) + 4bE(\tilde{m}_{n-1}) + 4cE(\hat{m}_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

For $n \geq 1$, we multiply x^n throughout the above equation and sum over all n . We have that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(\hat{m}_n)x^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 4E(m_n)x^n + 4a \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(m'_{n-1})x^n + 4b \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(\tilde{m}_{n-1})x^n + 4c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(\hat{m}_{n-1})x^n$$

which implies that

$$\hat{M}(x) - E(\hat{m}_0) = 4(M(x) - E(m_0)) + 4axM'(x) + 4bx\tilde{M}(x) + 4cx\hat{M}(x).$$

It can be checked that $E(\hat{m}_0) = 8$ and $E(m_0) = 1$. Hence,

$$\hat{M}(x) = 4 + 4M(x) + 4axM'(x) + 4bx\tilde{M}(x) + 4cx\hat{M}(x).$$

This proves Equation (4). \square

By Equations (1), (2), (3) and (4), it can be solved that

$$M(x) = \frac{1 + 10x - 3ax - 5bx - 4cx}{1 - 8x - 3ax - 5bx - 4cx - 26ax^2 + 10bx^2 - 8cx^2}.$$

This proves Theorem 3.

3.2 Merrifield-Simmons Index of Random Hexagonal Chain Cacti

In this subsection, we prove Theorem 5. Recall that

$E(i_n(a, b, c))$ is the expected value of the number of independent sets of $R_n(a, b, c)$

$I_{a,b,c}(x)$ is the generating function of $E(i_n(a, b, c))$.

When there is no danger of confusion, we let $E(i_n)$ to denote $E(i_n(a, b, c))$ and let $I(x)$ to denote $I_{a,b,c}(x)$. Thus,

$$I(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E(i_n)x^n.$$

Similarly, we let $E(i'_n), E(\tilde{i}_n)$ and $E(\hat{i}_n)$ be the expected values of the number of independent sets of $R'_n(a, b, c), \tilde{R}_n(a, b, c)$ and $\hat{R}_n(a, b, c)$, respectively. Further, we let $I'(x), \tilde{I}(x)$ and $\hat{I}(x)$ be the generating functions of $E(i'_n), E(\tilde{i}_n)$ and $E(\hat{i}_n)$, respectively. Therefore,

$$I'(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E(i'_n)x^n$$

$$\tilde{I}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E(\tilde{i}_n)x^n$$

$$\hat{I}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E(\hat{i}_n)x^n.$$

Now, to prove Theorem 5, we will prove the following equations.

$$(1 - 5x)I(x) = 1 + 13x + 8ax^2I'(x) + 8bx^2\tilde{I}(x) + 8cx^2\hat{I}(x) \quad (5)$$

$$(1 - 3ax)I'(x) = 8 + 5I(x) + 3bx\tilde{I}(x) + 3cx\hat{I}(x) \quad (6)$$

$$(1 - 7bx)\tilde{I}(x) = 10 + 3I(x) + 7axI'(x) + 7cx\hat{I}(x) \quad (7)$$

$$(1 - 5cx)\hat{I}(x) = 9 + 4I(x) + 5axI'(x) + 5bx\tilde{I}(x) \quad (8)$$

Proof of Equation (5). We name the vertices of the n^{th} hexagon H_n of $R_n(a, b, c)$ by h_1, h_2, \dots, h_6 clockwise with h_1 is the cut vertex containing in H_n (and in the $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$ hexagon H_{n-1}). Similarly, we name the vertices of H_{n-1} by k_1, k_1, \dots, k_6 clockwise with k_1 is the cut vertex containing in H_{n-1} . Because $R_n(a, b, c)$ is a chain, $k_1 \neq h_1$. We distinguish three cases depending on the distance between h_1 and k_1 on H_{n-1} .

Case 1: h_1 is adjacent to k_1 .

Thus, h_1 is either k_2 or k_6 . Without loss of generality, we let $h_1 = k_2$. This case occurs with the probability a . We count the number of independent sets due to the following subcases.

Subcase 1.1: The independent sets that contain h_2 and do not contain h_6 .

For any independent set that contains h_2 and does not contain h_6 , it cannot contain h_1 and h_3 . Thus, the number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $R'_{n-2}(a, b, c)$ and the path $P_2 = h_4h_5$. Clearly, P_2 has 3 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $3E(i'_{n-2})$.

Subcase 1.2: The independent sets that contain h_6 and do not contain h_2 .

For any independent set that contains h_6 and does not contain h_2 , it cannot contain h_1 and h_5 . Thus, the number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $R'_{n-2}(a, b, c)$ and the path $P_2 = h_3h_4$. Clearly, P_2 has 3 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $3E(i'_{n-2})$.

Subcase 1.3: The independent sets that contain both h_2 and h_6 .

For any independent set that contains both h_2 and h_6 , it cannot contain h_1, h_3 and h_5 . Thus, the number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $R'_{n-2}(a, b, c)$ and the vertex h_4 . Clearly, the vertex h_4 has 2 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $2E(i'_{n-2})$.

Subcase 1.4: The independent sets that contain neither h_2 nor h_6 .

The number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $R_{n-1}(a, b, c)$ and the path $P_3 = h_3h_4h_5$. Clearly, P_3 has 5 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $5E(i_{n-1})$.

From the four subcases, we have that there are $8aE(i'_{n-2}) + 5aE(i_{n-1})$.

Case 2: h_1 is at distance two from k_1 .

Thus, h_1 is either k_3 or k_5 . Without loss of generality, we let $h_1 = k_3$. This case occurs with the probability b . We count the number of independent sets due to the following subcases.

Subcase 2.1: The independent sets that contain h_2 and do not contain h_6 .

For any independent sets that contain h_2 and does not contain h_6 , it cannot contain h_1 and h_3 . Thus, the number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $\tilde{R}_{n-2}(a, b, c)$ and the path $P_2 = h_4h_5$. Clearly, P_2 has 3 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $3E(\tilde{i}_{n-2})$.

Subcase 2.2: The independent sets that contain h_6 and do not contain h_2 .

For any independent sets that contain h_6 and does not contain h_2 , it cannot contain h_1 and h_5 . Thus, the number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $\tilde{R}_{n-2}(a, b, c)$ and the path $P_2 = h_3h_4$. Clearly, P_2 has 3 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $3E(\tilde{i}_{n-2})$.

Subcase 2.3: The independent sets that contain both h_2 and h_6 .

For any independent set that contains both h_2 and h_6 , it cannot contain h_1, h_3 and h_5 . Thus, the number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $\tilde{R}_{n-2}(a, b, c)$ and the vertex h_4 . Clearly, the vertex h_4 has 2 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $2E(\tilde{i}_{n-2})$.

Subcase 2.4: The independent sets that contain neither h_2 nor h_6 .

The number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $R_{n-1}(a, b, c)$ and the path $P_3 = h_3h_4h_5$. Clearly, P_3 has 5 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $5E(i_{n-1})$.

From the four subcases, we have that there are $8bE(\tilde{i}_{n-2}) + 5bE(i_{n-1})$.

Case 3: h_1 is at distance three from k_1 .

Thus, h_1 is k_4 . This case occurs with the probability c . We count the number of independent sets due to the following subcases.

Subcase 3.1: The independent sets that contain h_2 and do not contain h_6 .

For any independent sets that contain h_2 and does not contain h_6 , it cannot contain h_1 and h_3 . Thus, the number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $\hat{R}_{n-2}(a, b, c)$ and the path $P_2 = h_4h_5$. Clearly, P_2 has 3 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $3E(\hat{i}_{n-2})$.

Subcase 3.2: The independent sets that contain h_6 and do not contain h_2 .

For any independent sets that contain h_6 and does not contain h_2 , it cannot contain h_1 and h_5 . Thus, the number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $\hat{R}_{n-2}(a, b, c)$ and the path $P_2 = h_3h_4$. Clearly, P_2 has 3 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $3E(\hat{i}_{n-2})$.

Subcase 3.3: The independent sets that contain both h_2 and h_6 .

For any independent set that contains both h_2 and h_6 , it cannot contain h_1, h_3 and h_5 . Thus, the number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $\hat{R}_{n-2}(a, b, c)$ and the vertex h_4 . Clearly, the vertex h_4 has 2 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $2E(\hat{i}_{n-2})$.

Subcase 3.4: The independent sets that contain neither h_2 nor h_6 .

The number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $R_{n-1}(a, b, c)$ and the path $P_3 = h_3h_4h_5$. Clearly, P_3 has 5 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $5E(i_{n-1})$.

From the four subcases, we have that there are $8cE(\hat{i}_{n-2}) + 5cE(i_{n-1})$. Therefore, from Cases 1, 2 and 3, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} E(i_n) &= 8aE(i'_{n-2}) + 5aE(i_{n-1}) + 8bE(\tilde{i}_{n-2}) + 5bE(i_{n-1}) + 8cE(\hat{i}_{n-2}) + 5cE(i_{n-1}) \\ &= 5(a + b + c)E(i_{n-1}) + 8aE(i'_{n-2}) + 8bE(\tilde{i}_{n-2}) + 8cE(\hat{i}_{n-2}) \\ &= 5E(i_{n-1}) + 8aE(i'_{n-2}) + 8bE(\tilde{i}_{n-2}) + 8cE(\hat{i}_{n-2}). \end{aligned}$$

For $n \geq 2$, we multiply x^n throughout the above equation and sum over all n . We have that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} E(i_n)x^n = 5 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} E(i_{n-1})x^n + 8a \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} E(i'_{n-2})x^n + 8b \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} E(\tilde{i}_{n-2})x^n + 8c \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} E(\hat{i}_{n-2})x^n$$

which implies that

$$I(x) - E(i_0) - E(i_1)x = 5x(I(x) - E(i_0)) + 8ax^2I'(x) + 8bx^2\tilde{I}(x) + 8cx^2\hat{I}(x).$$

It can be checked that $E(i_0) = 1$ and $E(i_1) = 18$. Hence,

$$I(x) = 1 + 13x + 5xI(x) + 8ax^2I'(x) + 8bx^2\tilde{I}(x) + 8cx^2\hat{I}(x).$$

This proves Equation (5). \square

Proof of Equation (6). Recall that $R'_n(a, b, c)$ is obtained from $R_n(a, b, c)$ and a path $P_5 = x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5$ by identifying x_1 with a vertex of the n^{th} hexagon of $R_n(a, b, c)$. We name the vertices of the n^{th} hexagon H_n of $R'_n(a, b, c)$ by h_1, h_2, \dots, h_6 clockwise with h_1 is the cut vertex containing in H_n (and in the $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$ hexagon H_{n-1}). By the definition of $R'_n(a, b, c)$, we have that $x_1 \neq h_1$. We distinguish three cases due to the distance between x_1 and h_1 .

Case 1: x_1 is adjacent to h_1 .

Thus, x_1 is either h_2 or h_6 . Without loss of generality, we let $x_1 = h_2$. This case occurs with the probability a . We count the number of independent sets due to the following subcases.

Subcase 1.1: The independent sets that contain x_2 .

For any independent set that contains x_2 , it cannot contain x_1 and x_3 . Thus, the number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $R'_{n-1}(a, b, c)$ and the path x_4x_5 . Clearly, x_4x_5 has 3 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $3E(i'_{n-1})$.

Subcase 1.2: The independent sets that do not contain x_2 .

The number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $R_n(a, b, c)$ and the path $x_3x_4x_5$. Clearly, $x_3x_4x_5$ has 5 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $5E(i_n)$.

From the two subcases, we have that there are $3aE(i'_{n-1}) + 5aE(i_n)$ from Case 1.

Case 2: x_1 is at distance two from h_1 .

Thus, x_1 is either h_3 or h_5 . Without loss of generality, we let $x_1 = h_3$. This case occurs with the probability b . We count the number of independent sets due to the following subcases.

Subcase 2.1: The independent sets that contain x_2 .

For any independent set that contains x_2 , it cannot contain x_1 and x_3 . Thus, the number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $\tilde{R}_{n-1}(a, b, c)$ and the path x_4x_5 . Clearly, x_4x_5 has 3 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $3E(\tilde{i}_{n-1})$.

Subcase 2.2: The independent sets that do not contain x_2 .

The number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $R_n(a, b, c)$ and the path $x_3x_4x_5$. Clearly, $x_3x_4x_5$ has 5 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $5E(i_n)$.

From the two subcases, we have that there are $3bE(\tilde{i}_{n-1}) + 5bE(i_n)$ from Case 2.

Case 3: x_1 is at distance three from h_1 .

Thus, x_1 is h_4 . This case occurs with the probability c . We count the number of independent sets due to the following subcases.

Subcase 3.1: The independent sets that contain x_2 .

For any independent set that contains x_2 , it cannot contain x_1 and x_3 . Thus, the number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $\hat{R}_{n-1}(a, b, c)$ and the path x_4x_5 . Clearly, x_4x_5 has 3 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $3E(\hat{i}_{n-1})$.

Subcase 3.2: The independent sets that do not contain x_2 .

The number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $R_n(a, b, c)$ and the path $x_3x_4x_5$. Clearly, $x_3x_4x_5$ has 5 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $5E(i_n)$.

From the two subcases, we have that there are $3cE(\hat{i}_{n-1}) + 5cE(i_n)$ from Case 3. Therefore, from Cases 1, 2 and 3, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} E(i'_n) &= 3aE(i'_{n-1}) + 5aE(i_n) + 3bE(\tilde{i}_{n-1}) + 5bE(i_n) + 3cE(\hat{i}_{n-1}) + 5cE(i_n) \\ &= 5(a + b + c)E(i_n) + 3aE(i'_{n-1}) + 3bE(\tilde{i}_{n-1}) + 3cE(\hat{i}_{n-1}) \\ &= 5E(i_n) + 3aE(i'_{n-1}) + 3bE(\tilde{i}_{n-1}) + 3cE(\hat{i}_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

For $n \geq 1$, we multiply x^n throughout the above equation and sum over all n . We have that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(i'_n)x^n = 5 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(i_n)x^n + 3a \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(i'_{n-1})x^n + 3b \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(\tilde{i}_{n-1})x^n + 3c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(\hat{i}_{n-1})x^n$$

which implies that

$$I'(x) - E(i'_0) = 5(I(x) - E(i_0)) + 3axI'(x) + 3bx\tilde{I}(x) + 3cx\hat{I}(x).$$

It can be checked that $E(i_0) = 1$ and $E(i'_0) = 13$. Hence,

$$I'(x) = 8 + 5I(x) + 3axI'(x) + 3bx\tilde{I}(x) + 3cx\hat{I}(x).$$

This proves Equation (6). \square

Proof of Equation (7). Recall that $\tilde{R}_n(a, b, c)$ is obtained from $R_n(a, b, c)$ and a path $P_5 = x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5$ by identifying x_2 with a vertex of the n^{th} hexagon of $R_n(a, b, c)$. We name the vertices of the n^{th} hexagon H_n of $\tilde{R}_n(a, b, c)$ by h_1, h_2, \dots, h_6 clockwise with h_1 is the cut vertex containing in H_n (and in the $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$ hexagon H_{n-1}). By the definition of $\tilde{R}_n(a, b, c)$, we have that $x_2 \neq h_1$. We distinguish three cases due to the distance between x_2 and h_1 .

Case 1: x_2 is adjacent to h_1 .

Thus, x_2 is either h_2 or h_6 . Without loss of generality, we let $x_2 = h_2$. This case occurs with the probability a . We count the number of independent sets due to the following subcases.

Subcase 1.1: The independent sets that contain x_1 and do not contain x_3 .

For any independent set that contains x_1 and does not contain x_3 , it cannot contain the vertex x_2 . Thus, the number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $R'_{n-1}(a, b, c)$ and the path x_4x_5 . Clearly, x_4x_5 has 3 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $3E(i'_{n-1})$.

Subcase 1.2: The independent sets that contain x_3 and do not contain x_1 .

For any independent set that contains x_3 and does not contain x_1 , it cannot contain the vertex x_2 and x_4 . Thus, the number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $R'_{n-1}(a, b, c)$ and the vertex x_5 . Clearly, the vertex x_5 has 2 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $2E(i'_{n-1})$.

Subcase 1.3: The independent sets that contain both x_1 and x_3 .

For any independent set that contains both x_1 and x_3 , it cannot contain the vertex x_2 and x_4 . Thus, the number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $R'_{n-1}(a, b, c)$ and the vertex h_5 . Clearly, the vertex h_5 has 2 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $2E(i'_{n-1})$.

Subcase 1.4: The independent sets that contain neither x_1 nor x_3 .

The number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $R_n(a, b, c)$ and the path $P_2 = x_4x_5$. Clearly, P_2 has 3 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $3E(i_n)$.

From the four subcases, we have that there are $7aE(i'_{n-1}) + 3aE(i_n)$.

Case 2: x_2 is at distance two from h_1 .

Thus, x_2 is either h_3 or h_5 . Without loss of generality, we let $x_2 = h_3$. This case occurs with the probability b . We count the number of independent sets due to the following subcases.

Subcase 2.1: The independent sets that contain x_1 and do not contain x_3 .

For any independent set that contains x_1 and does not contain x_3 , it cannot contain the vertex x_2 . Thus, the number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $\tilde{R}_{n-1}(a, b, c)$ and the path x_4x_5 . Clearly, x_4x_5 has 3 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $3E(\tilde{i}_{n-1})$.

Subcase 2.2: The independent sets that contain x_3 and do not contain x_1 .

For any independent set that contains x_3 and does not contain x_1 , it cannot contain the vertex x_2 and x_4 . Thus, the number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $\tilde{R}_{n-1}(a, b, c)$ and the vertex x_5 . Clearly, the vertex x_5 has 2 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $2E(\tilde{i}_{n-1})$.

Subcase 2.3: The independent sets that contain both x_1 and x_3 .

For any independent set that contains both x_1 and x_3 , it cannot contain the vertex x_2 and x_4 . Thus, the number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $\tilde{R}_{n-1}(a, b, c)$ and the vertex x_5 . Clearly, the vertex x_5 has 2 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $2E(\tilde{i}_{n-1})$.

Subcase 2.4: The independent sets that contain neither x_1 nor x_3 .

The number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $R_n(a, b, c)$ and the path $P_2 = x_4x_5$. Clearly, P_2 has 3 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $3E(i_n)$.

From the four subcases, we have that there are $7bE(i'_{n-1}) + 3bE(i_n)$.

Case 3: x_2 is at distance three from h_1 .

Thus, x_2 is h_4 . This case occurs with the probability c . We count the number of independent sets due to the following subcases.

Subcase 3.1: The independent sets that contain x_1 and do not contain x_3 .

For any independent set that contains x_1 and does not contain x_3 , it cannot contain the vertex x_2 . Thus, the number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $\hat{R}_{n-1}(a, b, c)$ and the path x_4x_5 . Clearly, x_4x_5 has 3 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $3E(\hat{i}_{n-1})$.

Subcase 3.2: The independent sets that contain x_3 and do not contain x_1 .

For any independent set that contains x_3 and does not contain x_1 , it cannot contain the vertex x_2 and x_4 . Thus, the number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $\hat{R}_{n-1}(a, b, c)$ and the vertex x_5 . Clearly, the vertex x_5 has 2 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $2E(\hat{i}_{n-1})$.

Subcase 3.3: The independent sets that contain both x_1 and x_3 .

For any independent set that contains both x_1 and x_3 , it cannot contain the vertex x_2 and x_4 . Thus, the number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $\hat{R}_{n-1}(a, b, c)$ and the vertex x_5 . Clearly, the vertex x_5 has 2 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $2E(\hat{i}_{n-1})$.

Subcase 3.4: The independent sets that contain neither x_1 nor x_3 .

The number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $R_n(a, b, c)$ and the path $P_2 = x_4x_5$. Clearly, P_2 has 3 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $3E(i_n)$.

From the four subcases, we have that there are $7cE(i'_{n-1}) + 3cE(i_n)$. Therefore, from Cases

1, 2 and 3, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
E(\tilde{i}_n) &= 7aE(i'_{n-1}) + 3aE(i_n) + 7bE(\tilde{i}_{n-1}) + 3bE(i_n) + 7cE(\hat{i}_{n-1}) + 3cE(i_n) \\
&= 3(a + b + c)E(i_n) + 7aE(i'_{n-1}) + 7bE(\tilde{i}_{n-1}) + 7cE(\hat{i}_{n-1}) \\
&= 3E(i_n) + 7aE(i'_{n-1}) + 7bE(\tilde{i}_{n-1}) + 7cE(\hat{i}_{n-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

For $n \geq 1$, we multiply x^n throughout the above equation and sum over all n . We have that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(\tilde{i}_n)x^n = 3 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(i_n)x^n + 7a \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(i'_{n-1})x^n + 7b \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(\tilde{i}_{n-1})x^n + 7c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(\hat{i}_{n-1})x^n$$

which implies that

$$\tilde{I}(x) - E(\tilde{i}_0) = 3(I(x) - E(i_0)) + 7axI'(x) + 7bx\tilde{I}(x) + 7cx\hat{I}(x).$$

It can be checked that $E(i_0) = 1$ and $E(\tilde{i}_0) = 13$. Hence,

$$\tilde{I}(x) = 10 + 3I(x) + 7axI'(x) + 7bx\tilde{I}(x) + 7cx\hat{I}(x).$$

This proves Equation (7). \square

Proof of Equation (8). Recall that $\hat{R}_n(a, b, c)$ is obtained from $R_n(a, b, c)$ and a path $P_5 = x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5$ by identifying x_3 with a vertex of the n^{th} hexagon of $R_n(a, b, c)$. We name the vertices of the n^{th} hexagon H_n of $\hat{R}_n(a, b, c)$ by h_1, h_2, \dots, h_6 clockwise with h_1 is the cut vertex containing in H_n (and in the $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$ hexagon H_{n-1}). By the definition of $\hat{R}_n(a, b, c)$, we have that $x_3 \neq h_1$. We distinguish three cases due to the distance between x_3 and h_1 .

Case 1: x_3 is adjacent to h_1 .

Thus, x_3 is either h_2 or h_6 . Without loss of generality, we let $x_3 = h_2$. This case occurs with the probability a . We count the number of independent sets due to the following subcases.

Subcase 1.1: The independent sets that contain x_2 and do not contain x_4 .

For any independent set that contains x_2 and does not contain x_4 , it cannot contain the vertices x_1 and x_3 . Thus, the number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $R'_{n-1}(a, b, c)$ and the vertex x_5 . Clearly, the vertex x_5 has 2 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $2E(i'_{n-1})$.

Subcase 1.2: The independent sets that contain x_4 and do not contain x_2 .

For any independent set that contains x_4 and does not contain x_2 , it cannot contain the vertices x_3 and x_5 . Thus, the number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $R'_{n-1}(a, b, c)$ and the vertex x_1 . Clearly, the vertex x_1 has 2 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $2E(i'_{n-1})$.

Subcase 1.3: The independent sets that contain both x_2 and x_4 .

For any independent set that contains both x_2 and x_4 , it cannot contain the vertex x_3 ,

x_4 and x_5 . Thus, the number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of $R'_{n-1}(a, b, c)$. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $E(i'_{n-1})$.

Subcase 1.4: The independent sets that contain neither x_2 nor x_4 .

The number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $R_n(a, b, c)$ and the vertices x_1 and x_5 . Clearly, the vertices x_1 and x_5 has 4 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $4E(i_n)$.

From the four subcases, we have that there are $5aE(i'_{n-1}) + 4aE(i_n)$.

Case 2: x_3 is at distance two from h_1 .

Thus, x_3 is either h_3 or h_5 . Without loss of generality, we let $x_3 = h_3$. This case occurs with the probability b . We count the number of independent sets due to the following subcases.

Subcase 2.1: The independent sets that contain x_2 and do not contain x_4 .

For any independent set that contains x_2 and does not contain x_4 , it cannot contain the vertex x_1 and x_3 . Thus, the number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $\tilde{R}_{n-1}(a, b, c)$ and the vertex x_5 . Clearly, the vertex x_5 has 2 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $2E(\tilde{i}_{n-1})$.

Subcase 2.2: The independent sets that contain x_4 and do not contain x_2 .

For any independent set that contains x_4 and does not contain x_2 , it cannot contain the vertex x_3 and x_5 . Thus, the number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $\tilde{R}_{n-1}(a, b, c)$ and the vertex x_1 . Clearly, the vertex x_1 has 2 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $2E(\tilde{i}_{n-1})$.

Subcase 2.3: The independent sets that contain both x_2 and x_4 .

For any independent set that contains both x_2 and x_4 , it cannot contain the vertex x_3 , x_4 and x_5 . Thus, the number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of $\tilde{R}_{n-1}(a, b, c)$. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $E(\tilde{i}_{n-1})$.

Subcase 2.4: The independent sets that contain neither x_2 nor x_4 .

The number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $R_n(a, b, c)$ and the vertices x_1 and x_5 . Clearly, the vertices x_1 and x_5 has 4 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $4E(i_n)$.

From the four subcases, we have that there are $5bE(i'_{n-1}) + 4bE(i_n)$.

Case 3: x_3 is at distance three from h_1 .

Thus, x_3 is h_4 . This case occurs with the probability c . We count the number of indepen-

dent sets due to the following subcases.

Subcase 3.1: The independent sets that contain x_2 and do not contain x_4 .

For any independent set that contains x_2 and does not contain x_4 , it cannot contain x_1 and x_3 . Thus, the number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $\hat{R}_{n-1}(a, b, c)$ and the vertex x_5 . Clearly, the vertex x_5 has 2 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $2E(\hat{i}_{n-1})$.

Subcase 3.2: The independent sets that contain x_4 and do not contain x_2 .

For any independent set that contains x_4 and does not contain x_2 , it cannot contain the vertex x_3 and x_5 . Thus, the number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $\hat{R}_{n-1}(a, b, c)$ and the vertex x_1 . Clearly, the vertex x_1 has 2 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $2E(\hat{i}_{n-1})$.

Subcase 3.3: The independent sets that contain both x_2 and x_4 .

For any independent set that contains both x_2 and x_4 , it cannot contain the vertex x_3 , x_4 and x_5 . Thus, the number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of $\hat{R}_{n-1}(a, b, c)$. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $E(\hat{i}_{n-1})$.

Subcase 3.4: The independent sets that contain neither x_2 nor x_4 .

The number of independent sets in this subcase equals the number of independent sets of the union of $R_n(a, b, c)$ and the vertices x_1 and x_5 . Clearly, the vertices x_1 and x_5 has 4 independent sets. So, the expected value of the number of independent sets in this subcase is $4E(i_n)$.

From the four subcases, we have that there are $5cE(i'_{n-1}) + 4cE(i_n)$. Therefore, from Cases 1, 2 and 3, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} E(\hat{i}_n) &= 5aE(i'_{n-1}) + 4aE(i_n) + 5bE(\tilde{i}_{n-1}) + 4bE(i_n) + 5cE(\hat{i}_{n-1}) + 4cE(i_n) \\ &= 4(a + b + c)E(i_n) + 5aE(i'_{n-1}) + 5bE(\tilde{i}_{n-1}) + 5cE(\hat{i}_{n-1}) \\ &= 4E(i_n) + 5aE(i'_{n-1}) + 5bE(\tilde{i}_{n-1}) + 5cE(\hat{i}_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

For $n \geq 1$, we multiply x^n throughout the above equation and sum over all n . We have that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(\hat{i}_n)x^n = 4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(i_n)x^n + 5a \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(i'_{n-1})x^n + 5b \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(\tilde{i}_{n-1})x^n + 5c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E(\hat{i}_{n-1})x^n$$

which implies that

$$\hat{I}(x) - E(\hat{i}_0) = 4(I(x) - E(i_0)) + 5axI'(x) + 5bx\tilde{I}(x) + 5cx\hat{I}(x).$$

It can be checked that $E(i_0) = 1$ and $E(\hat{i}_0) = 13$. Hence,

$$\hat{I}(x) = 9 + 4I(x) + 5axI'(x) + 5bx\tilde{I}(x) + 5cx\hat{I}(x).$$

This proves Equation (8). \square

By Equations (5), (6), (7) and (8), it can be solved that

$$I(x) = \frac{1 + 13x - 3ax - 7bx - 5cx + 25ax^2 - 11bx^2 + 7cx^2}{1 - 5x - 3ax - 7bx - 5cx - 25ax^2 + 11bx^2 - 7cx^2}.$$

This proves Theorem 5.

Acknowledgment: The first author has been supported by Petchra Pra Jom Klao Master Scholarship from King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi (21/2565).

References

- [1] Chen, A., 2015 "Merrifield-Simmons Index in Random Phenylene Chains and Random Hexagon Chains", *Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc.* Vol. 2015, Article ID 568926
- [2] Došlić, T. and Litz, M. S., 2011, "Matchings and independent sets in polyphenylene chains", *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* Vol. 67, pp. 314-329.
- [3] Došlić, T. and Måløy, F., 2010, "Chain hexagonal cacti: Matching and independent sets", *Discrete Math.* Vol. 310, pp. 1676-1690.
- [4] Gutman, I., Vidović, D., Hosoya, H., 1986, "The relation between the eigenvalue sum and the topological index Z revisited", *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* Vol. 75, pp. 1723-1727.
- [5] Gutman, I., Zhang, I., 1986, "On the ordering of graphs with respect to their matching numbers", *Discret. Appl. Math.* Vol. 15, pp. 25-33.
- [6] Harary, F. and Norman, R., 1953, "The dissimilarity characteristic of Husimi trees", *Ann. Math.* Vol. 58, pp. 134-141.
- [7] Harary, F. and Palmer, E. M., 1973, "Graphical enumeration", Academic Press, New York.
- [8] Harary, F. and Uhlenbeck, G. E., 1953, "On the number of Husimi trees", *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* Vol. 39, pp. 315-322.
- [9] Hosoya, H., 1971 "Topological index. A newly proposed quantity characterizing the topological nature of structural isomers of saturated hydrocarbons", *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* Vol. 44, pp. 2332-2339.
- [10] Huang, G., Kuang, M., Deng, H., 2016 "The expected values of Hosoya index and Merrifield-Simmons index in a random polyphenylene chain", *J. Comb. Optim.* Vol. 32, pp. 550-562.
- [11] Husimi, K., 1950, "Note on Mayer's theory of cluster integrals", *Chem. Phys.* Vol. 18, pp. 682-684.

- [12] Mayer, J.E. and Mayer, M.G., 1940, "Statistical Mechanics", John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- [13] Merrifield, R. M. and Simmons, H. E., 1980, "The structure of molecular topological spaces", *Theor. Chim. Acta* Vol. 55, pp. 55-75.
- [14] Merrifield, R. M. and Simmons, H. E., 1981, "Enumeration of structure-sensitive graphical subsets: Theory", *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* Vol. 78, pp. 692-695.
- [15] Merrifield, R. M. and Simmons, H. E., 1981, "Enumeration of structure-sensitive graphical subsets: Calculations", *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* Vol. 78, pp. 1329-1332.
- [16] Merrifield, R. M. and Simmons, H. E., 1981, "Mathematical description of molecular Structure: Molecular topology", *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* Vol. 78, pp. 2616-2619.
- [17] Oz, M. S., Cangul, I. N., 2022, "Enumeration of independent sets in Benzenoid chains", *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* Vol. 88, pp. 93-107.
- [18] Riddell, R.J., 1951, "Contribution to the theory of condensation", *Doctoral Dissertation in Physics*, University of Michigan.
- [19] Sun, Y., Geng, X., 2022 "The Expected Value of Hosoya Index and Merrifield–Simmons Index in a Random Cyclooctylene Chain ", *National Science Foundation of China and Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Province*.
- [20] Uhlenbeck, G.E., 1950, "Some Basic Problems of Statistical Mechanics", *American Mathematical Society*, Gibbs Lecture.
- [21] Wagner, S., Gutman, I., 2010, "Maxima and minima of Hosoya index and Merrifield-Simmons index", *Acta Appl. Math.* Vol. 112, pp. 323-346.