

ON G -BIRATIONAL RIGIDITY OF DEL PEZZO SURFACES

EGOR YASINSKY

ABSTRACT. Let G be a finite group and $H \subseteq G$ be its subgroup. We prove that if a smooth del Pezzo surface over an algebraically closed field is H -birationally rigid then it is also G -birationally rigid, answering a geometric version of Kollár’s question in dimension 2 by positive. On our way, we also investigate G -birational rigidity of two-dimensional quadrics and del Pezzo surfaces of degree 6.

1. BIRATIONAL RIGIDITY

The present note is motivated by J. Kollár’s paper [Kol09] which studies the behavior of birational rigidity of Fano varieties under extensions of algebraically closed fields. Let \mathbf{k} be a field. Recall that a *Mori fiber space* is a projective morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ of algebraic varieties over \mathbf{k} , such that X is \mathbb{Q} -factorial with terminal singularities, $\mathrm{rk} \mathrm{Pic}(X/Y) = 1$ and $-K_X$ is π -ample. Once $Y = \mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{k}$, the variety X is simply a \mathbb{Q} -factorial terminal Fano variety of Picard rank 1. Roughly speaking, it is called *birationally rigid* if X is not birational to the total space of any other Mori fiber space; see Definition 1.3 below for the details. In [Kol09] the following question was raised:

Question (Kollár). Let X be a Fano variety over a field \mathbf{k} such that X is birationally rigid over the algebraic closure $\bar{\mathbf{k}}$. Is X birationally rigid over \mathbf{k} ?

From the modern point of view, one can naturally formulate the Minimal Model Program in *equivariant* setting. We refer to [Pro21] for an overview and basic definitions used in this note. Let X be an algebraic variety over a field \mathbf{k} and G be a group. Following Yu. Manin [Man66], one calls X a *geometric G -variety* if $\mathbf{k} = \bar{\mathbf{k}}$ and there is an injective homomorphism $G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(X)$. Another instance of this concept is an *arithmetic G -variety*, for which $\mathbf{k} \neq \bar{\mathbf{k}}$ and G is the Galois group of the extension $\bar{\mathbf{k}}/\mathbf{k}$ acting on $X \times_{\mathrm{Spec} \mathbf{k}} \mathrm{Spec} \bar{\mathbf{k}}$ through the second factor. In both cases, we refer to X as to *G -variety*, if no confusion arises.

Remark 1.1. Furthermore, one can consider the “mixed” case, when \mathbf{k} is not assumed to be algebraically closed and G acts by biregular automorphisms of X , i.e. one considers the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbf{k}}/\mathbf{k}) \times G$. For some results in this setting see [DI09b, Tre16, Tre18, Tre19, RZ18, Yas22, CMYZ22, Avi20] and Remark 2.15 below.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14E07, 14E05, 14E30, 14J45, 14M22.

Now, let us give some precise definitions.

Definition 1.2. A G -Mori fiber space is a projective morphism $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ of G -varieties, such that X has terminal singularities, all G -invariant Weil divisors on X are \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisors, $\mathrm{rk} \mathrm{Pic}(X)^G - \mathrm{rk} \mathrm{Pic}(Y)^G = 1$ and $-K_X$ is π -ample. If Y is a point, we say that X is a G -Fano variety.

Definition 1.3 (see e.g. [CS16, Definition 3.1.1]). A G -Fano variety is called G -birationally rigid if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (1) there is no G -birational map $X \dashrightarrow X'$ such that X' is a G -Mori fiber space over a positive dimensional variety;
- (2) if there is a G -birational map $\varphi: X \dashrightarrow X'$ such that X' is a G -Fano variety, then $X \simeq X'$ and there is a G -birational self-map $\tau \in \mathrm{Bir}(X)$ such that $\varphi \circ \tau$ is a biregular G -morphism.

Assume, moreover, that the following holds:

- (3) Every G -birational self-map $X \dashrightarrow X$ is actually G -biregular.

Then X is called G -birationally superrigid.

One can then generalize the initial question as follows:

Question (Cheltsov-Kollár). Let G be a group and $H \subseteq G$ be its subgroup. Assume that X is an H -birationally rigid H -Fano variety. Further, assume that X is G -Fano. Is X then G -birationally rigid?

Informally speaking, the non-triviality of this question lies e.g. in the fact, that *a priori* X may admit only H -birational maps to Fano varieties X' with $\mathrm{rk} \mathrm{Pic}(X')^H > 1$, while enlarging the group to G forces $\mathrm{Pic}(X')^G$ to be of rank 1. The original Kollár's question addresses arithmetic G -varieties. The goal of this note is to answer its *geometric* counterpart in dimension 2 by positive:

Theorem. Let \mathbf{k} be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and G be a finite group. Let S be a two-dimensional geometric G -Fano variety over \mathbf{k} , i.e. a smooth del Pezzo surface on which G acts faithfully by automorphisms, so that $\mathrm{Pic}(S)^G \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. Assume that $H \subseteq G$ is a subgroup and S is an H -birationally rigid. Then S is G -birationally rigid.

At the moment, there are no known counter-examples to the Cheltsov-Kollár's question, neither in geometric nor in arithmetic setting, although it is highly possible such counter-example exists. On the other hand, the results [CS19] of I. Cheltsov and C. Shramov imply that the answer to the Question is positive when $X = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3$, see Section 2.D below. Note that an analogous question with rigidity replaced by *superrigidity* is easy to answer positively, i.e. one has the following

Proposition 1.4. If X is H -birationally superrigid then X is G -birationally superrigid.

Proof. Recall [CS16, 3.3] that X is G -birationally superrigid if and only if for every G -invariant mobile linear system \mathcal{M}_X on X the pair $(X, \lambda \mathcal{M}_X)$ is canonical for $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ such that

$$\lambda \mathcal{M}_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -K_X.$$

Since a G -invariant linear system \mathcal{M}_X is also H -invariant, the result follows. \square

Remark 1.5. The analogue of Proposition 1.4 holds when the notion of superrigidity is replaced with the one of *solidity*. Recall [AO18] that a G -Fano variety X is called G -*solid* if X is not G -birationally to a G -Mori fibre space with positive dimensional base, i.e. only condition (1) of Definition 1.3 is required, see [Cor00, CS16, CS19, CS22].

Notation. We denote by S_n the symmetric group on n letters and by A_n its alternating subgroup. Further, D_n denotes the dihedral group of order $2n$ with presentation

$$D_n = \langle r, s : r^n = s^2 = (sr)^2 = \text{id} \rangle,$$

and C_n denotes the cyclic group of order n . We denote by V_4 the Klein four-group, isomorphic to $C_2 \times C_2$. Finally, $A \bullet B$ denotes an extension of B by A , not necessarily split.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank Ivan Cheltsov for bringing my attention to this problem. I am very grateful to Andrey Trepalin for useful remarks and for pointing out some gaps in the first version of this text.

2. SARKISOV LINKS ON DEL PEZZO SURFACES

2.A. Geometric G -surfaces. In what follows, all surfaces are assumed smooth, projective and defined over an algebraically closed field \mathbf{k} of characteristic zero. Given a finite group G , a (geometric) G -*surface* is a triple (S, G, ι) , where S is a surface over \mathbf{k} and $\iota : G \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(S)$ is a faithful G -action. A G -*morphism* of G -surfaces $(S_1, G, \iota_1) \rightarrow (S_2, G, \iota_2)$ is a morphism $f : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ such that for each $g \in G$ the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & S_2 \\ \iota_1(g) \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_2(g) \\ S_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & S_2 \end{array}$$

Similarly, one defines G -rational maps and G -birational maps. In what follows, we consider only rational G -surfaces equipped with a structure of G -Mori fiber space, i.e. G -del Pezzo surfaces and G -conic bundles, whose G -invariant Picard rank is 1 and 2, respectively.

2.B. Sarkisov program. The proof of the main theorem will be based on explicit geometry of del Pezzo surfaces (for which we refer to [Dol12, Chapter 8]) and, most importantly, the *Sarkisov program* in dimension 2. We work in the category of (geometric) G -surfaces over \mathbf{k} . Any G -birational map between two G -surfaces can be decomposed into a sequence of birational G -morphisms and their inverses. A birational G -morphism $S \rightarrow T$ is a blow-up of a closed G -invariant 0-dimensional subscheme \mathfrak{p} of T . Recall that $\deg(\mathfrak{p}) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}})$. If \mathfrak{p} is reduced and consists of closed points y_1, \dots, y_n with residue fields $\kappa(y_i)$, then $\deg \mathfrak{p} = \sum \deg y_i$ where $\deg y_i = [\kappa(y_i) : \mathbf{k}]$. If \mathfrak{p} is G -invariant, then it is a union of G -orbits. A G -birational map φ between G -Mori fiber spaces $\pi : S \rightarrow B$ and $\pi' : S' \rightarrow B'$ is a diagram of G -equivariant maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & S' \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi' \\ B & & B' \end{array}$$

Recall that in dimension 2, a rational G -Mori fiber space $\pi : S \rightarrow B$ is either a G -del Pezzo surface (if B is a point), or a G -conic bundle (if $B = \mathbb{P}^1$). According to the equivariant version of Sarkisov program, every G -birational map $\varphi : S \dashrightarrow S'$ of G -Mori fiber spaces is factorized into a composition of *elementary Sarkisov G -links* of four types. Their complete description was given by Iskovskikh in [Isk96, Theorem 2.6] in the arithmetic case (also see a recent exposition [LS21] by Lamy and Schneider) and restated in [DI09a, Section 7] in the geometric case. The following claim will be used systematically throughout the paper and is an immediate consequence of the Sarkisov program:

Proposition 2.1. A del Pezzo surface S is G -birationally rigid if and only if for every Sarkisov G -link $S \dashrightarrow S'$ the surfaces S and S' are G -equivariantly isomorphic.

2.C. Del Pezzo surfaces of degree less than eight. In what follows, S denotes a smooth del Pezzo surface over an algebraically closed field \mathbf{k} of characteristic zero. Recall that $K_S^2 \in \{1, 2, \dots, 9\}$. Let $G \subseteq \text{Aut}(S)$ be a finite group and $H \subsetneq G$ be its subgroup (we always stick to this notation in what follows). Assume that $\text{Pic}(S)^H \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, so in particular $\text{Pic}(S)^G \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. Note that the condition $\text{Pic}(S)^H \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ immediately excludes two cases: first, when $K_S^2 = 7$, and second, when $K_S^2 = 8$ and S is a blow up of \mathbb{P}^2 in one point. Indeed, in both cases, there exists an H -invariant (-1) -curve on S which can be H -equivariantly contracted, so $\text{rk Pic}(S)^H > 1$.

Proposition 2.2. Assume that $K_S^2 \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $\text{Pic}(S)^G \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. Then S is G -birationally rigid.

Proof. This is essentially the content of the so-called *Segre-Manin theorem* (which follows from classification of Sarkisov links nowadays). If $S \dashrightarrow S'$ is a G -birational map to another

G -Mori fibre space S' , then it decomposes into G -equivariant Sarkisov links of type II and isomorphisms, and for any such link $\chi: S \dashrightarrow S'$, there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & T & \\
 \eta \swarrow & & \searrow \eta' \\
 S & \overset{\chi}{\dashrightarrow} & S' \\
 \searrow & & \swarrow \\
 & \text{pt} &
 \end{array} \tag{2.3}$$

where η, η' are birational morphisms and S', T are del Pezzo surfaces as well. This immediately implies that S is even G -superrigid when $K_S^2 = 1$. If $K_S^2 \in \{2, 3\}$, then up to automorphisms of S , any such link is a birational Bertini or Geiser involution, i.e. there exists a biregular involution $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(T)$ and an automorphism $\delta \in \text{Aut}(S)$ such that $\chi = \eta' \circ \sigma \circ \eta^{-1} \circ \delta$. Thus, in particular, we have $S' \simeq S$. Since σ centralizes G , we conclude that S' is G -equivariantly isomorphic to S . \square

Remark 2.4. In [dDM19] M. Mauri and L. das Dores classify completely those del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 and 3 which are G -birationally superrigid.

Proposition 2.5. Let $K_S^2 = 4$. Then S is G -birationally rigid if and only if there is no G -fixed points on S . In particular, if S is H -birationally rigid then it is G -birationally rigid as well.

Proof. By [DI09a, Propositions 7.12, 7.13], every Sarkisov G -link χ starting from S is either of type I and leads to a cubic surface equipped with a structure of G -conic bundle, or is of type II. In the former case, χ is centred at a G -point of degree 1, i.e. a G -fixed point on S . So, if such point exists, S is G -birational to a G -Mori fiber space over a curve and hence is not G -birationally rigid. Suppose there are no G -fixed points on S . Then by loc. cit., any Sarkisov G -link of type II starting from S is either a birational Bertini involution (centred at a point of degree 3), or a birational Geiser involution (centred at a point of degree 2). In both cases, it leads to a G -isomorphic surface $S' \simeq S$, as in the proof of Proposition 2.2. Thus, S is G -birationally rigid. \square

Before going to the next case, let us recall the following useful statement:

Lemma 2.6 ([BB73, Lemma 2.4]). Let X be an irreducible algebraic variety and $G \subset \text{Aut}(X)$ be a finite group. If G fixes a point $p \in X$ then there is a faithful linear representation $G \hookrightarrow \text{GL}(T_p X)$.

Remark 2.7. Note that a cyclic group always has a fixed point on a rational variety over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. This follows from the holomorphic Lefschetz fixed-point formula.

We now proceed with del Pezzo surfaces of degree 5.

Lemma 2.8 ([DI09a, Theorem 6.4]). Let S be a del Pezzo surface of degree 5 and $G \subset \text{Aut}(S)$ be a group such that $\text{Pic}(S)^G \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. Then G is isomorphic to one of the following five groups:

$$S_5, A_5, \text{AGL}_1(\mathbb{F}_5), D_5, C_5.$$

Here, $\text{AGL}_1(\mathbb{F}_5)$ denotes the general affine group of degree one over \mathbb{F}_5 , defined by the presentation $\langle a, b \mid a^5 = b^4 = \text{id}, bab^{-1} = a^3 \rangle$; it has a structure of a semidirect product $C_5 \rtimes C_4$.

Proposition 2.9. Let $K_S^2 = 5$. If S is H -birationally rigid then it is G -birationally rigid.

Proof. We use Lemma 2.8. By [DI09a, Propositions 7.12, 7.13], every Sarkisov G -link starting from S is of type II, i.e. it is a diagram (2.3) where η blows up a G -point of degree d , and one of the following holds:

- (1) $S \simeq S'$, $d = 4$, χ is a birational Bertini involution;
- (2) $S \simeq S'$, $d = 3$, χ is a birational Geiser involution;
- (3) $S' \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, $d = 2$;
- (4) $S' \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$, $d = 1$.

Recall that A_5 (and hence S_5) has no faithful representations of degree 2. Therefore, neither A_5 nor S_5 can have an orbit of size 1 or 2 on S by Lemma 2.6. Further, S_5 and A_5 have no subgroups of index 3 or 4, hence there are no equivariant birational Bertini or Geiser involutions for these groups. We conclude that S is G -birationally superrigid for $G \in \{A_5, S_5\}$.

So, it remains to verify the statement for the following pairs (G, H) :

$$(C_5 \rtimes C_4, D_5), (C_5 \rtimes C_4, C_5), (D_5, C_5).$$

Note that S is never H -birationally rigid for $H \in \{C_5, D_5\}$. Indeed, it is easy to show (using a holomorphic Lefschetz fixed point formula, as was mentioned in Remark 2.7), that C_5 has exactly *two* fixed points on S and these points do not lie on (-1) -curves, see e.g. [Yas16, Lemma 4.16]. Therefore, there is a Sarkisov link (4) from above, which leads to \mathbb{P}^2 . Furthermore, these two fixed points form an orbit under the action of the dihedral group D_5 , containing C_5 . So, there is an equivariant link (3) leading to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. \square

2.D. The projective plane, the projective space of dimension 3, and the “mixed” arithmetico-geometric case. Let us treat the del Pezzo surface of degree 9, i.e. the

projective plane $S = \mathbb{P}^2$. We stick to the following classical (although perhaps outdated) terminology:

Definition 2.10 ([Bli17]). We call a subgroup $\iota : G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ *intransitive* if the representation ι is reducible, and *transitive* otherwise. Further, a transitive group G is called *imprimitive* if there is a decomposition $\mathbb{C}^n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m V_i$ into a direct sum of subspaces and G transitively acts on the set $\{V_i\}$. A transitive group G is called *primitive* if there is no such decomposition. Finally, we say that $G \subset \mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is (in)transitive or (im)primitive if its lift to $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is such a group.

The following is due to D. Sakovics:

Theorem 2.11 ([Sak19, Theorem 1.3]). The projective plane \mathbb{P}^2 is G -birationally rigid if and only if G is transitive and G is not isomorphic to A_4 or S_4 .

Corollary 2.12. If \mathbb{P}^2 is H -birationally rigid then it is G -birationally rigid as well.

Proof. Indeed, G is transitive, since H is. Assume that $G \simeq A_4$ or $G \simeq S_4$. If $H \neq A_4$ then it must be one of the following groups: $C_2, C_3, C_4, C_2 \times C_2, S_3, D_4$. But the irreducible representations of these groups are of degrees 1 or 2, hence they fix a point on \mathbb{P}^2 and are not transitive, a contradiction. \square

Inspired by Blichfeldt's classification [Bli17] of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{PGL}_4(\mathbb{C})$, I. Cheltsov and C. Shramov managed to describe all the cases, in which $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^3$ is G -birationally rigid:

Theorem 2.13 ([CS19, Theorem 1.1]). The 3-dimensional complex projective space \mathbb{P}^3 is G -birationally rigid if and only if G is primitive and not isomorphic to A_5 and S_5 .

This immediately implies a positive answer to Cheltsov-Kollár's question for $X = \mathbb{P}^3$:

Corollary 2.14. Let G be a finite group and $H \subset G$ be its subgroup. If \mathbb{P}^3 is H -birationally rigid then \mathbb{P}^3 is G -birationally rigid.

Proof. Recall (see Definition 2.10) that finite subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^3) \simeq \mathrm{PGL}_4(\mathbb{C})$ are either transitive (i.e. do not fix any point and do not leave any line invariant) or intransitive. Transitive groups are either imprimitive (i.e. leave a union of two skew lines invariant or have an orbit of length 4), or primitive.

Now, if \mathbb{P}^3 is H -birationally rigid then H is transitive, and hence G is transitive as well. Clearly, if G leaves a union of two skew lines invariant, then the same is true for H . If G has an orbit of length 4 and H has no orbit of length 4, then H either fixes a point, or permutes two points in \mathbb{P}^3 and hence has an invariant line. Both cases are not possible, as H is transitive. So, G is primitive. It remains to notice that G is not isomorphic to A_5 or S_5 , as all proper subgroups of S_5 , not isomorphic to A_5 , are not primitive, see e.g. [CS19, Appendix]. \square

Remark 2.15. Suppose we are in the “mixed” setting of Remark 1.1. Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ be a prime number, fix a non-trivial homomorphism $C_3 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_p)$ and consider the corresponding semidirect product $G = C_p \rtimes C_3$. By the result of C. Shramov [Shr21, Theorem 1.3(ii)], there exists a field \mathbf{k} (of characteristic zero) and a non-trivial Severi-Brauer surface S over \mathbf{k} , such that $G \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(S)$. Any Sarkisov G -link $\chi: S \dashrightarrow S'$ centred at a point of degree 3 leads to the *opposite* Severi-Brauer surface $S' = S^{\text{op}}$; if S corresponds to a central simple \mathbf{k} -algebra A then, by definition, S^{op} is the unique Severi-Brauer surface corresponding to A^{op} , the inverse of A in the Brauer group $\text{Br}(\mathbf{k})$. Since S^{op} is never isomorphic to S , we conclude that S is not G -birationally rigid. However, passing to the algebraic closure of \mathbf{k} , one has $S_{\overline{\mathbf{k}}} \simeq \mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbf{k}}}^2$ which is G -birationally rigid by Sakovics’ theorem 2.11. For more recent results on birational and biregular self-maps of Severi-Brauer surfaces see [Shr20, Tre21, BSY22].

3. DEL PEZZO SURFACES OF DEGREE 6

Let S be a del Pezzo surface of degree $K_S^2 = 6$. Recall that S is a blow up of \mathbb{P}^2 in three noncollinear points p_1, p_2, p_3 , which we may assume to be $[1 : 0 : 0]$, $[0 : 1 : 0]$ and $[0 : 0 : 1]$, respectively. The set of (-1) -curves on S consists of six curves: the exceptional divisors of blow-up $e_i = \pi^{-1}(p_i)$ and the strict transforms of the lines d_{ij} passing through p_i, p_j . In the anticanonical embedding $S \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^6$ these exceptional curves form a “regular hexagon” Σ . This yields a homomorphism to the symmetry group of this hexagon

$$\rho: \text{Aut}(S) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Sigma) \simeq D_6 = \langle r, s : r^6 = s^2 = 1, sr s^{-1} = r^{-1} \rangle,$$

where r is a counter clockwise rotation by $\pi/3$ and s is a reflection, shown on Figure 1. The surface S can be given as

$$\{([x_0 : x_1 : x_2], [y_0 : y_1 : y_2]) \in \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 : x_0 y_0 = x_1 y_1 = x_2 y_2\}, \quad (3.1)$$

The projection to the first factor \mathbb{P}^2 is the blow down of three lines $\{x_1 = x_2 = 0\}$, $\{x_0 = x_2 = 0\}$ and $\{x_0 = x_1 = 0\}$ onto p_1, p_2 and p_3 , respectively, while the projection to the second factor is the blow down of $\{y_1 = y_2 = 0\}$, $\{y_0 = y_2 = 0\}$ and $\{y_0 = y_1 = 0\}$.

The kernel of ρ is the maximal torus T of $\text{PGL}_3(\mathbf{k})$, isomorphic to $(\mathbf{k}^*)^3/\mathbf{k}^* \simeq (\mathbf{k}^*)^2$ and acting on S by

$$(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \cdot ([x_0 : x_1 : x_2], [y_0 : y_1 : y_2]) = ([\lambda_0 x_0 : \lambda_1 x_1 : \lambda_2 x_2], [\lambda_0^{-1} y_0 : \lambda_1^{-1} y_1 : \lambda_2^{-1} y_2]) \quad (3.2)$$

Moreover, the action of T on $S \setminus \Sigma$ is faithful and transitive. The automorphism group of $\text{Aut}(S)$ fits into the short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow (\mathbf{k}^*)^2 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(S) \xrightarrow{\rho} D_6 \rightarrow 1.$$

with $\rho(\text{Aut}(S)) \simeq D_6 \simeq S_3 \times C_2$, where C_2 acts by

$$([x_0 : x_1 : x_2], [y_0 : y_1 : y_2]) \mapsto ([y_0 : y_1 : y_2], [x_0 : x_1 : x_2]) \quad (3.3)$$

and S_3 acts naturally by permutations on each of the two triples x_0, x_1, x_2 and y_0, y_1, y_2 . In what follows, we will denote

$$\theta: ([x_0 : x_1 : x_2], [y_0 : y_1 : y_2]) \mapsto ([x_1 : x_2 : x_0], [y_1 : y_2 : y_0]). \quad (3.4)$$

the generator of $C_3 \subset S_3$.




FIGURE 1. Action of s on Σ

Lemma 3.5. Let S be a del Pezzo surface of degree 6 and $G \subset \text{Aut}(S)$ be a finite group such that $\text{Pic}(S)^G \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. If G fixes a point on S then $G \cap T = \text{id}$.

Proof. Assume $G \cap T \neq \text{id}$. Note that T can be identified with a subgroup of $\text{PGL}_3(\mathbf{k})$ which fixes 3 points p_1, p_2 and p_3 . In particular, an element $t \in T$ fixing a point on $S \setminus \Sigma$ is necessarily trivial (of course, one can also deduce that from the explicit action of T given above). Therefore, a fixed point $p \in S$ of G lies on Σ . Note that it must be the intersection of two sides of Σ , as otherwise we have a G -invariant (-1) -curve contradicting the minimality condition $\text{Pic}(S)^G \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. Similarly, if $p = \ell_1 \cap \ell_2$, where ℓ_1, ℓ_2 are some sides of Σ , then either G preserves both ℓ_i and hence $\text{rk Pic}(S)^G > 1$, or G switches ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 . Denoting ℓ'_1 and ℓ'_2 the other two sides which intersect ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 , respectively, we easily see that ℓ'_1 and ℓ'_2 form a G -orbit of non-intersecting (-1) -curves, hence $\text{rk Pic}(S)^G > 1$. \square

The following elementary group-theoretic fact will be used several times below, we state it only for the reader's convenience.

Lemma 3.6. Subgroups of the dihedral group $D_n = \langle r, s : r^n = s^2 = (sr)^2 = \text{id} \rangle$ are the following:

Cyclic: $\langle r^d \rangle \simeq C_{n/d}$, and $\langle r^k s \rangle$, where d divides n and $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$.

Dihedral: $\langle r^d, r^k s \rangle \simeq D_{n/d}$, where $d < n$ divides n , and $0 \leq k \leq d - 1$.

Moreover, all cyclic subgroups $\langle r^d \rangle$ are normal, one has $D_n / \langle r^d \rangle \simeq D_d$, and these are all normal subgroups when n is odd. When n is even, there are two more normal dihedral subgroups of index 2, namely $\langle r^2, s \rangle$ and $\langle r^2, rs \rangle$.

Lemma 3.7. Let S be a del Pezzo surface of degree 6. If $\text{Pic}(S)^G \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ then G is of the form

$$N_\bullet \langle r \rangle \simeq N_\bullet C_6, \quad N_\bullet \langle r^2, s \rangle \simeq N_\bullet S_3, \quad \text{or} \quad N_\bullet \langle r, s \rangle \simeq N_\bullet D_6,$$

where $N \simeq C_n \times C_m$ is a subgroup of $\text{Ker } \rho \simeq (\mathbf{k}^*)^2$. In particular, if G fixes a point on S then it is one of the following subgroups of $\text{Im } \rho = \text{Aut}(\Sigma)$: C_6 , S_3 or D_6 .

Proof. Let $G \subset \text{Aut}(S)$ be a finite group. Assume that $\rho(G)$ is cyclic. In all the cases, described in Lemma 3.6, except $\rho(G) = \langle r \rangle$, we clearly have a G -orbit of pairwise non-intersecting sides of the hexagon, which correspond to (-1) -curves. Hence $\text{rk Pic}(S)^G > 1$.

Let $\rho(G)$ be dihedral, i.e. $\langle r, s \rangle$, $\langle r^2, s \rangle$, $\langle r^2, rs \rangle$, $\langle r^3, s \rangle$, $\langle r^3, rs \rangle$, $\langle r^3, r^2 s \rangle$. In the last three cases, one can always find a G -orbit of 2 disjoint (-1) -curves (a pair of opposite sides of the hexagon). Similarly, if $\rho(G) = \langle r^2, rs \rangle$ then we have a G -orbit consisting of pairwise non-intersecting three (-1) -curves. In the first two cases, one has $\text{Pic}(S)^G \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. Finally, the claim about fixed points follows from Lemma 3.5. \square

Remark 3.8. Note that, although $D_6 = \langle r, s \rangle$ contains two groups isomorphic to S_3 , only one of them gives G -equivariant Picard number 1, namely $\langle r^2, s \rangle$, which we denote S_3^{min} . The group $\langle r^2, rs \rangle$ will be denoted S_3^{nmin} .

By [Isk96, Theorem 2.6] every Sarkisov link starting from S is of type II and is represented by the diagram (2.3), where η blows up a point of degree d and one of the following holds:

- (1) $S \simeq S'$, $d = 5$, χ is a birational Bertini involution;
- (2) $S \simeq S'$, $d = 4$, χ is a birational Geiser involution;
- (3) $d = 3$, $K_{S'}^2 = 6$;
- (4) $d = 2$, $K_{S'}^2 = 6$;
- (5) $d = 1$, $S' \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

Let us emphasize that in the cases (3) and (4) the surfaces S' does not have to be G -isomorphic¹ to S , as the following example shows. I am grateful to Andrey Trepalin for pointing this out.

¹Therefore, the user should be careful when using the statement of [DI09a, Proposition 7.13], which is a bit misleading, in our opinion. For example, in the case of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 6 and links at points of degrees 3 and 2, the authors write $S' \simeq S$, which might create an impression that this is an isomorphism in the category of G -surfaces; compare with the case of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 8 and points of degree 4, where it is not written that $S' \simeq S$.

Example 3.9. Let ω be a primitive 3rd root of unity and consider the finite subgroup $G \subset \text{Bir}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^2)$ generated by the following 3 elements:

$$\alpha: [x : y : z] \mapsto [x : \omega y : \omega^2 z], \quad \beta: [x : y : z] \mapsto [y : z : x], \quad \gamma: [x : y : z] \mapsto [yz : xz : xy].$$

One has

$$G = \langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma \mid \alpha^3 = \beta^3 = \gamma^2 = \text{id}, \alpha\beta = \beta\alpha, \beta\gamma = \gamma\beta, \gamma\alpha\gamma = \alpha^{-1} \rangle \simeq (C_3 \times C_3) \rtimes C_2,$$

where the copies of C_3 are generated by α and β , and C_2 is generated by the Cremona involution γ and acts on $C_3 \times C_3$ by coordinate exchange (wreath product). The group G is regularized on a del Pezzo surface S of degree 6 given by the equation (3.1), which we identify with the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 in $p_1 = [1 : 0 : 0]$, $p_2 = [0 : 1 : 0]$ and $p_3 = [0 : 0 : 1]$. The homomorphism $\rho: \text{Aut}(S) \rightarrow D_6$ induces a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \langle \alpha \rangle \rightarrow G \rightarrow \langle \beta, \gamma \rangle \rightarrow 1, \quad (3.10)$$

where β acts by permutation of coordinates in each pair x_0, x_1, x_2 and y_0, y_1, y_2 , the involution γ acts as in (3.3) and α acts as in (3.2). In particular, $\rho(G) \simeq C_6$. Consider the lift of the three points $p_4 = [1 : 1 : 1]$, $p_5 = [1 : \omega : \omega^2]$ and $p_6 = [1 : \omega^2 : \omega]$ on S . They form a G -orbit in general position on S . A Sarkisov link (2.3) centred at these points leads to a del Pezzo surface S' of degree 6. Let us denote by L_{ij} the strict transforms on the cubic surface T of the lines on \mathbb{P}^2 passing through p_i and p_j . Similarly, Q_{ijklr} will denote the strict transform of the conic passing through p_i, p_j, p_k, p_l, p_r . Then the morphism η' blows down Q_{12456} , Q_{23456} and Q_{13456} . The hexagon of (-1) -curves on S' consists of the η' -images of L_{45} , L_{46} , L_{56} , Q_{12346} , Q_{12345} and Q_{12356} . Hence the homomorphism $\rho': \text{Aut}(S') \rightarrow D_6$ induces a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \langle \beta \rangle \rightarrow G \rightarrow \langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle \rightarrow 1. \quad (3.11)$$

In particular, $\rho'(G) \simeq S_3$. But this clearly implies that S and S' cannot be G -isomorphic.

So, one has to pay a special attention to Sarkisov links centred at points of degree 3 and 2. The next proposition shows that an extra-condition of being H -isomorphic eliminates the phenomena described in Example 3.9.

Proposition 3.12. Let S_1 be a del Pezzo surface of degree 6 and $\chi: S_1 \dashrightarrow S_2$ be a Sarkisov H -link of type II, centred at an H -point of degree d , where $d \in \{2, 3\}$. Let $G \supset H$ be another group and assume there is a G -link at the same point. If S_2 is H -isomorphic to S_1 , then it is also G -isomorphic to S_1 .

Proof. We denote by $G_1 = \iota_1(G)$ and $H_1 = \iota_1(H)$ the embeddings of G and H into $\text{Aut}(S_1)$, and by $G_2 = \iota_2(G)$ and $H_2 = \iota_2(H)$ the embeddings of G and H into $\text{Aut}(S_2)$ induced by the map χ . For each $i \in \{1, 2\}$, we denote by $\rho_i: \text{Aut}(S_i) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Sigma_i) \simeq D_6$ the homomorphisms

described above with $T_i = \ker \rho_i$; further, set $G_{i,T} = G_i \cap T_i$, $H_{i,T} = H_i \cap T_i$, $\widehat{G}_i = \rho_i(G_i)$ and $\widehat{H}_i = \rho_i(H_i)$. We have $H_{i,T} \subset G_{i,T}$, $\widehat{H}_i \subset \widehat{G}_i$ for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Since each S_i is H -del Pezzo, by Lemma 3.7 the pair $(\widehat{H}_i, \widehat{G}_i)$ must be one of the following: (C_6, C_6) , (S_3, S_3) , (D_6, D_6) , (C_6, D_6) , (S_3, D_6) . Furthermore, since S_i admits an H_i -orbit of degree d (which is also a G_i -orbit), both H_i and G_i have index d subgroups which fix a point in general position on S_i , and thus do not intersect $H_{i,T}$ and $G_{i,T}$, respectively. Therefore, $H_{i,T}$ and $G_{i,T}$ are either trivial or of order d , i.e. for each i we have the following possibilities:

- (1) $H_{i,T} = G_{i,T} = \text{id}$;
- (2) $H_{i,T} = \text{id} \subset G_{i,T} \simeq C_d$.
- (3) $H_{i,T} = G_{i,T} \simeq C_d$.

Suppose we are in case (1), then $(H_1, G_1) = (\widehat{H}_1, \widehat{G}_1)$ and the statement is tautological when $\widehat{H}_1 = \widehat{G}_1$. If $\widehat{H}_1 \neq \widehat{G}_1$ then $G_1 = \widehat{G}_1 = \rho_1(\text{Aut}(S_1)) = D_6$. Since $G_1 \simeq G_2$, we must have $G_2 = \rho_2(\text{Aut}(S_2)) = D_6$. Indeed, otherwise $G_{2,T} = G_2 \cap T_2 \neq \text{id}$ and thus $G_{2,T} \simeq C_d$. But then $\widehat{G}_2 \simeq C_2 \times C_2$ (if $d = 3$) or $\widehat{G}_2 \simeq S_3$ (if $d = 2$). In the former case S_2 is not G -del Pezzo, while in the latter $G_{2,T} \simeq C_2$ is necessarily the centre of $G_2 \simeq C_2 \times S_3$, which is not possible, as an involution from T_2 cannot commute with the automorphism θ , given by (3.4). Now, having $G_1 = \rho_1(\text{Aut}(S_1))$ and $G_2 = \rho_2(\text{Aut}(S_2))$, any isomorphism $S_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} S_2$ (recall that there is single isomorphism class of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 6), possibly composed with an automorphism of S_2 , is G -equivariant.

So, in what follows we assume $G_{1,T} \simeq C_d$. This implies $G_{2,T} \simeq C_d$. Indeed, otherwise $G_{2,T} = \text{id}$, hence $G_1 \simeq G_2 = \widehat{G}_2 \in \{C_6, S_3, D_6\}$. If $d = 3$, then $\widehat{G}_1 \in \{C_2, C_2^2\}$ and thus S_1 is not G -del Pezzo. If $d = 2$, then $\widehat{G}_1 \simeq C_3$ or $G_{1,T} \simeq C_2$ is the centre of $G_1 \simeq C_2 \times S_3$; in the first case, S_1 is not G -del Pezzo, while the second case is impossible, as was noticed before.

Let $\alpha: S_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} S_2$ be an H -isomorphism from the statement; it maps $T_1 = S_1 \setminus \Sigma_1$ isomorphically onto $T_2 = S_2 \setminus \Sigma_2$ and induces isomorphisms $H_{1,T} \simeq H_{2,T}$ and $\widehat{H}_1 \simeq \widehat{H}_2$. Define $G'_2 = \alpha \circ G_1 \circ \alpha^{-1} \subset \text{Aut}(S_2)$. We claim that $G'_2 = \gamma^{-1} \circ G_2 \circ \gamma$ for some $\gamma \in \text{Aut}(S_2)$. This will finish the proof, as the surfaces S_1 and S_2 are then G -isomorphic via the map $\gamma \circ \alpha$.

Set $\widehat{G}'_2 = \rho_2(G'_2)$, $G'_{2,T} = G'_2 \cap T_2$. Firstly, note that $\widehat{G}_2 = \widehat{G}'_2$. Since $\widehat{G}'_2 \simeq \widehat{G}_1$ and there is only one subgroup of D_6 , in each isomorphism class, for which S_2 is G -del Pezzo, it is enough to show that $\widehat{G}_2 \simeq \widehat{G}_1$. Both are subgroups of D_6 of same order, as $G_1 \simeq G_2$ and $G_{1,T} \simeq G_{2,T}$, hence it remains to see that one cannot have $\widehat{G}_1 \simeq C_6$ and $\widehat{G}_2 \simeq S_3$ (or vice versa); but this is implied by $\widehat{H}_1 \simeq \widehat{H}_2$.

Secondly, we claim that $G_{2,T} = G'_{2,T}$. As always, we assume that S_2 is given by the equation (3.1), the group $\rho_2(\text{Aut}(S_2))$ is isomorphic to $S_3 \times C_2$, where S_3 acts by permutations in each triple of coordinates x_0, x_1, x_2 and y_0, y_1, y_2 (so there is an element θ of the form (3.4)) and C_2 acts as in (3.3). Further, S_3 acts on the torus $T_2 \simeq (\mathbf{k}^*)^3 / \mathbf{k}^*$ by permuting the

coordinates, and the action of C_2 is the inversion; we denote this action of D_6 on T_2 by φ . The groups \widehat{G}'_2 and \widehat{G}_2 , being both S_3^{\min} , C_6 or D_6 , contain θ . Let $\tau = (t, \text{id}) \in T_2 \rtimes \rho_2(\text{Aut}(S_2))$ be an element of order $d \in \{2, 3\}$ which generates $G_{2,T}$ (or $G'_{2,T}$), and (u, θ) be an element of G_2 (respectively, of G'_2) which is mapped to (id, θ) by ρ_2 . Then $(u, \theta)^{-1} = (\varphi_{\theta^{-1}}(u^{-1}), \theta^{-1})$. Therefore, $(\varphi_{\theta^{-1}}(u^{-1}), \theta^{-1})(t, \text{id})(u, \theta) = (\varphi_{\theta^{-1}}(u^{-1}tu), 1) = (\varphi_{\theta^{-1}}(t), 1)$ is a power of $\tau = (t, \text{id})$. If $t = [(1, a, b)] \in T_2 \simeq (\mathbf{k}^*)^3/\mathbf{k}^*$, we must have $[(a, b, 1)] = [(1, a, b)]$ or $[(a, b, 1)] = [(1, a^2, b^2)]$, where a and b are primitive d -th roots of unity. This implies that $d = 3$ and $\tau = ((1, \omega, \omega^2), \text{id})$ or $\tau = ((1, \omega^2, \omega), \text{id})$, which both generate the same subgroup of T_2 .

Finally, let us show that G'_2 is conjugate to G_2 . Let $\varrho = \iota \circ \theta$ be a generator of the cyclic subgroup of order 6 in $\rho_2(\text{Aut}(S_2)) \simeq D_6$, where ι is the lift of the standard Cremona involution (3.3). Further, let σ be the reflection

$$\sigma: ([x_0 : x_1 : x_2], [y_0 : y_1 : y_2]) \mapsto ([y_0 : y_2 : y_1], [x_0 : x_2 : x_1]),$$

shown on Figure 1, so that σ and ϱ generate D_6 ; note that θ and σ generate S_3^{\min} . We claim that every subgroup $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(S_2)$ which fits into the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \langle \tau \rangle \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow \rho_2(\text{Aut}(S_2)) = \langle \varrho, \sigma \rangle \longrightarrow 1$$

is actually conjugate in $\text{Aut}(S_2)$ to the subgroup $\Gamma_0 \subset \text{Aut}(S_2)$ generated by τ , ϱ and σ .

Indeed, let $\bar{\varrho} \in \Gamma$ and $\bar{\sigma} \in \Gamma$ be such that $\rho_2(\bar{\varrho}) = \varrho$ and $\rho_2(\bar{\sigma}) = \sigma$. Then Γ is generated by τ , $\bar{\varrho}$ and $\bar{\sigma}$. The map $\bar{\varrho}$ is given by

$$\bar{\varrho}: ([x_0 : x_1 : x_2], [y_0 : y_1 : y_2]) \mapsto ([ay_1 : by_2 : y_0], [a^{-1}x_1 : b^{-1}x_2 : x_0]) \quad (3.13)$$

for some $a, b \in \mathbf{k}^*$. The map

$$\beta: ([x_0 : x_1 : x_2], [y_0 : y_1 : y_2]) \mapsto ([x_0 : b^{-1}x_1 : ab^{-1}x_2], [y_0 : by_1, ba^{-1}y_2])$$

commutes with $\tau = (t, \text{id})$ and satisfies $\beta \circ \bar{\varrho} \circ \beta^{-1} = \varrho$. Let $\tilde{\varrho} = \beta \circ \bar{\varrho} \circ \beta^{-1} = \varrho$, $\tilde{\sigma} = \beta \circ \bar{\sigma} \circ \beta^{-1}$. Then τ , $\tilde{\varrho}$, $\tilde{\sigma}$ generate the group $\beta \circ \Gamma \circ \beta^{-1}$. Since $\beta \in \ker \rho_2 = T_2$, we have $\rho_2(\tilde{\sigma}) = \sigma$ and thus

$$\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma \circ \mu: ([x_0 : x_1 : x_2], [y_0 : y_1 : y_2]) \mapsto ([y_0 : b^{-1}y_2 : a^{-1}y_1], [x_0 : bx_2 : ax_1]) \quad (3.14)$$

for some $\mu = [(1, a, b)] \in T_2$. Therefore

$$\tilde{\sigma}^2: ([x_0 : x_1 : x_2], [y_0 : y_1 : y_2]) \mapsto ([x_0 : ab^{-1}x_1 : a^{-1}bx_2], [y_0 : a^{-1}by_1 : ab^{-1}y_2])$$

is a power of $((1, \omega, \omega^2), \text{id})$; hence $a = b\omega^i$, $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Since $(\sigma \circ \varrho)^2 = \text{id}$, we have that

$$(\tilde{\sigma} \circ \tilde{\varrho})^2: ([x_0 : x_1 : x_2], [y_0 : y_1 : y_2]) \mapsto ([b^{-1}x_0 : b^{-1}x_1 : a^{-2}x_2], [by_0 : by_1 : a^2y_2])$$

is a power of $\tau = ((1, \omega, \omega^2), \text{id})$, hence $b = a^2$. Since $a = b\omega^i$, we conclude that $\mu = \tau^k$ for some $k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, i.e. $\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma \circ \tau^k$. Therefore, $\beta \circ \Gamma \circ \beta^{-1} = \langle \tau, \varrho, \sigma \circ \tau^k \rangle = \Gamma_0$, as was

claimed. This shows that G_2 and G'_2 are conjugate (to Γ_0) when $\widehat{G}_2 = \widehat{G}'_2 = D_6$. It remains to consider index 2 subgroups of Γ_0 .

Note that $\sigma^2 = \tau^3 = \varrho^6 = \text{id}$, $\sigma \circ \varrho \circ \sigma = \varrho^{-1}$, $\tau \circ \varrho \circ \tau = \varrho$, $\sigma \circ \tau = \tau \circ \sigma$, hence $\Gamma_0 \simeq \langle \tau \rangle \rtimes_{\psi} \langle \varrho, \sigma \rangle$, where $\psi: \langle \varrho, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\langle \tau \rangle)$ is trivial on the subgroup $\langle \varrho^2, \sigma \rangle \simeq S_3^{\text{min}}$ and $\psi(\varrho)$ sends τ to τ^{-1} . Furthermore, $\Gamma_0 \simeq \langle \theta, \sigma \rangle \times \langle \tau, \iota \rangle \simeq S_3^{\text{min}} \times S_3$. Let $\Delta_0 \subset \Gamma_0$ be a subgroup of index 2. Then Δ_0 contains $\langle \theta \rangle \times \langle \tau \rangle$ as a Hall subgroup and by the Schur–Zassenhaus theorem $\Delta_0 = (\langle \theta \rangle \times \langle \tau \rangle) \rtimes_{\varphi} \langle \delta \rangle$, where $\delta = \{\iota, \sigma, \iota \circ \sigma\}$. In the first two cases, Δ_0 is either $\Delta'_0 = \langle \theta \rangle \times \langle \tau, \iota \rangle$ or $\Delta''_0 = \langle \tau \rangle \times \langle \theta, \sigma \rangle$, respectively, and in both cases the action $\varphi: C_2 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_3 \times C_3)$ is the coordinate exchange (i.e. Δ_0 is the wreath product $C_3 \wr C_2$). In the third case $\delta = \iota \circ \sigma$, the action of φ is by inversion; we denote this group by Δ'''_0 . Note that $\rho_2(\Delta'_0) = \langle \theta \circ \iota \rangle \simeq C_6$, $\rho_2(\Delta''_0) = S_3^{\text{min}}$, while $\rho_2(\Delta'''_0) = \langle \theta, \iota \circ \sigma \rangle = S_3^{\text{min}}$. This shows that groups G_2 and G'_2 are either both conjugate to Δ'_0 or to Δ'''_0 . □

Proposition 3.15. If a del Pezzo surface of degree 6 is H -birationally rigid then it is also G -birationally rigid.

Proof. By Lemma 3.7, we have to examine the following possibilities:

- (a) $H = N_{\bullet}C_6$ and $G = M_{\bullet}D_6$, or
- (b) $H = N_{\bullet}S_3$ and $G = M_{\bullet}D_6$, or
- (c) $H = N_{\bullet}B$ and $G = M_{\bullet}B$, with $B \in \{C_6, S_3, D_6\}$,

where $N \subseteq M$. Possible Sarkisov G -links were described before Example 3.9; recall that G -birational Bertini or Geiser involutions always lead to a G -isomorphic surface. Therefore, taking into consideration Proposition 3.12, it remains to investigate the links centred at a G -fixed point; they lead to $S' \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

If $N \neq \text{id}$ then $M \neq \text{id}$ and thus G has no fixed points on S by Lemma 3.5. Therefore, S is G -birationally rigid. Suppose that $N = \text{id}$, so $H \in \{C_6, S_3, D_6\}$. In all cases, H fixes the point $([1 : 1 : 1], [1 : 1 : 1]) \in S$ which does not lie on the (-1) -curves. Making a Sarkisov link centred at this point, we arrive to the quadric surface $S' = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ acted by H . Explicitly, one blows up the fixed point, and then blows down the preimages of the curves $d_{i,j}$, see [Isk08] for more details and related discussion. Thus, S is not H -birationally rigid. □

4. G -BIRATIONAL RIGIDITY OF QUADRIC SURFACES

In this section, we investigate carefully the case of $S = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. This will finish the proof of the main result. By [DI09a, Propositions 7.12, 7.13], every Sarkisov G -link starting

from S is either of type I and of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & T \\
 & \nearrow \eta & \downarrow \pi \\
 S & & \mathbb{P}^1 \\
 \downarrow & \nwarrow & \\
 \text{pt} & &
 \end{array} \tag{4.1}$$

where η is a blow up of a degree 2 point, $\pi: T \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a G -conic bundle with two singular fibers (in fact, it is necessarily a del Pezzo surface of degree 6, see [Isk80, Theorem 5]), or is of type II and is represented by the diagram (2.3), where η blows up a point of degree d , η' blows up a point of degree d' , and one of the following holds:

- (1) $S \simeq S'$, $d = d' = 7$, χ is a birational Bertini involution;
- (2) $S \simeq S'$, $d = d' = 6$, χ is a birational Geiser involution;
- (3) S' is a del Pezzo surface of degree 5, $d = 5$, $d' = 2$;
- (4) $S' \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, $d = d' = 4$;
- (5) S' is a del Pezzo surface of degree 6, $d = 3$, $d' = 1$;
- (6) $S' \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$, $d = 1$, $d' = 2$.

In particular, if G does not fix a point on S and is not isomorphic to any of the groups

$$C_5, C_6, S_3, D_5, D_6, \text{AGL}_1(\mathbb{F}_5), A_5, S_5, \tag{4.2}$$

then all G -links of type II from S lead to a quadric surface $S' \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ (recall Lemma 3.5). *A priori*, the surface S' does not have to be G -isomorphic to S (we saw such phenomena in Section 3), unless we deal with G -birational Bertini and Geiser involutions. It turns out that G -links centred at a point of degree 4 also lead to a G -isomorphic del Pezzo surface $S' \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. As was pointed out to me by Andrey Trepalin, this holds in the arithmetic [Tre23, Lemma 4.3] and even mixed settings; we limit ourselves to the geometric situation:

Proposition 4.3. Let $\chi: S \dashrightarrow S'$ be a Sarkisov G -link of type II centred at a point of degree 4. Then S' is G -isomorphic to S .

Proof. Assume that $\chi = \eta' \circ \eta^{-1}: S \dashrightarrow S'$ is given by the diagram (2.3), then T is a del Pezzo surface of degree 4. It is a blow-up of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^2$ in 5 points p_1, \dots, p_5 in general position (recall that we assume $\bar{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{k}$). Denote by E_1, \dots, E_5 the exceptional divisors of this blow-up, L_{ij} , $i, j \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$, $i < j$, the strict transforms of the lines through p_i and p_j , and by Q the strict transform of the conic through p_1, \dots, p_5 . These are sixteen (-1) -curves on T . Their intersection graph is the Clebsch strongly regular quintic graph on 16 vertices, shown on Figure 2. Up to renumbering the points, we may assume that the curves $\Sigma = \{E_1, E_2, E_3, L_{45}\}$

are the exceptional divisors of the blow-up η , while the curves $\Sigma' = \{L_{12}, L_{13}, L_{23}, Q\}$ are the exceptional divisors of η' . Recall [Dol12, 8.2.40] that $\text{Aut}(T)$ injects into the Weyl group $W(D_5) \simeq C_2^4 \times S_5$, the automorphism group of the Clebsch graph. The quartic del Pezzo surface T is isomorphic to an intersection of two quadrics

$$\sum_{i=1}^5 x_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^5 \lambda_i x_i^2 = 0$$

in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{k}}^4$. The group S_5 acts by permutation of coordinates (and naturally acts on the indexes of E_i and L_{jk}), while C_2^4 acts as a diagonal subgroup of $\text{PGL}_5(\mathbf{k})$. There are two types of involutions in this group, ι_{ij} and ι_{ijkl} , which switch the signs of x_i, x_j and x_i, x_j, x_k, x_l , respectively. Equivalently, ι_{ijkl} coincides with the automorphism j_t , $t \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \setminus \{i, j, k, l\}$, switching the sign of x_t . As explained in [DD16, 7], j_t are given by de Jonquières involutions of the plane model centred at p_t and interchange E_i with L_{it} and E_t with Q . To recover the action of j_t on the curves L_{ij} , $i, j \neq t$, we notice that 2 disjoint curves E_i and E_j are both intersected by exactly two others, L_{ij} and Q (in other words, every two non-adjacent vertices of the Clebsch graph 2 have 2 common neighbours). Therefore, $j_t(L_{ij})$ is the curve, which intersects L_{it} and L_{jt} , and is different from $j_t(Q) = E_t$; hence, it is L_{sr} , where $s, r \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \setminus \{i, j, t\}$.




FIGURE 2. The Clebsch graph

Now, one easily checks that involutions $\iota_{*5} = j_* \circ j_5$ and $\iota_{*4} = j_* \circ j_4$ do not preserve the set Σ . Hence, the subgroup of $W(D_5)$ which preserves Σ is generated by $(12), (123), \iota_{12}$ and (45) , and is isomorphic to $S_4 \times C_2$. The involution ι_{45} commutes with this group and maps Σ onto Σ' . Since ι_{45} actually corresponds to an automorphism of T , we conclude that the blow down η' yields a G -isomorphic del Pezzo surface S' . \square

Corollary 4.4. The surface $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is G -birationally rigid if and only if the size of every G -orbit in general position is 4 or ≥ 6 .

Remark 4.5. At the same time, it will be often possible to exclude the possibility of G -links $\chi: S \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ centred at a point of degree 4. If such link exists and is represented by the diagram (2.3) then T is a del Pezzo surface of degree 4, so a natural obstruction to existence of χ is the impossibility of embedding $G \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(T)$. Luckily, all possible automorphism groups $\text{Aut}(T)$ of smooth del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4 are classified [Hos96, Dol12] and they are the following (see the proof of Proposition 4.3 for the description of these semidirect products):

$$C_2^4, \quad C_2^4 \rtimes C_2, \quad C_2^4 \rtimes C_4, \quad C_2^4 \rtimes S_3, \quad C_2^4 \rtimes D_5.$$

Recall that

$$\text{Aut}(S) \simeq (\text{PGL}_2(\mathbf{k}) \times \text{PGL}_2(\mathbf{k})) \rtimes C_2,$$

where the action of C_2 is given by exchanging the factors. Finite subgroups of the direct product can be determined using so-called *Goursat's lemma*. Recall that the *fibred product* of two groups G_1 and G_2 over a group D is

$$G_1 \times_D G_2 = \{(g_1, g_2) \in G_1 \times G_2 : \alpha(g_1) = \beta(g_2)\},$$

where $\alpha: G_1 \rightarrow D$ and $\beta: G_2 \rightarrow D$ are some surjective homomorphisms. Although the notation does not reflect it, the data defining $G_1 \times_D G_2$ is not only the groups G_1 , G_2 and D but also the homomorphisms α , β .

Lemma 4.6 (Goursat's lemma, [Gou89, p. 47]). Let A and B be two groups. There is a bijective correspondence between subgroups $G \subset A \times B$ and 5-tuples $\{G_A, G_B, K_A, K_B, \varphi\}$, where G_A is a subgroup of A , K_A is a normal subgroup of G_A , G_B is a subgroup of B , K_B is a normal subgroup of G_B , and $\varphi: G_A/K_A \xrightarrow{\sim} G_B/K_B$ is an isomorphism. More precisely, the group corresponding to this 5-tuple is

$$G = \{(a, b) \in G_A \times G_B : \varphi(aK_A) = bK_B\}.$$

Conversely, let G be a subgroup of $A \times B$. Denote by $p_A: A \times B \rightarrow A$ and $p_B: A \times B \rightarrow B$ the natural projections, and set $G_A = p_A(G)$, $G_B = p_B(G)$. Further, let

$$K_A = \ker p_B|_G = \{(a, \text{id}) \in G, a \in A\}, \quad K_B = \ker p_A|_G = \{(\text{id}, b) \in G, b \in B\},$$

whose images by p_A and p_B define normal subgroups of G_A and G_B , respectively (denoted the same). Let $\pi_A: G_A \rightarrow G_A/K_A$ and $\pi_B: G_B \rightarrow G_B/K_B$ be the canonical projections. The map $\varphi: G_A/K_A \rightarrow G_B/K_B$, $\varphi(aK_A) = bK_B$, where $b \in B$ is any element such that $(a, b) \in G$, is an isomorphism. Furthermore, $G = G_A \times_D G_B$, where $D = G_A/K_A$, $\alpha = \pi_A$, and $\beta = \varphi^{-1} \circ \pi_B$.

Corollary 4.7. In the notation from Goursat's lemma, the subgroup $G \subset A \times B$ fits into the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow K_A \times K_B \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow D \longrightarrow 1.$$

Proof. Indeed, the restriction of the homomorphism $\alpha \times \beta: G_A \times G_B \rightarrow D \times D$ to G has the kernel $K_A \times K_B$ and the image isomorphic to $\Delta = \{(t, t) \in D \times D\} \simeq D$. \square

Using Goursat's lemma, one can get the description of finite subgroups $G \subset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ for which $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)^G \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. Before doing that, let us recall the following classical result due to Felix Klein:

Proposition 4.8 ([Kle19]). If \mathbf{k} is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, then every finite subgroup of $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbf{k})$ is isomorphic to C_n , D_n (where $n \geq 1$), A_4 , S_4 or A_5 . Moreover, there is only one conjugacy class for each of these groups.

Every group $G \subset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ fits into the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow G_\circ \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \widehat{G} \longrightarrow 1,$$

where $G_\circ = G \cap (\text{PGL}_2(\mathbf{k}) \times \text{PGL}_2(\mathbf{k}))$ and $\widehat{G} \subseteq C_2$.

Proposition 4.9 (cf. [Tre18, Lemma 3.2]). Let $G \subset \text{Aut}(S)$ be a finite subgroup such that $\text{Pic}(S)^G \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. Then $G \simeq (F \times_D F) \bullet C_2$, where F is cyclic, dihedral, or one of the groups A_4 , S_4 , A_5 . Moreover, for every such group G we have $\text{Pic}(S)^G \simeq \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Since G_\circ preserves the factors of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, the condition $\text{Pic}(S)^G \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ forces $\widehat{G} = C_2$. If G_1 and G_2 are the images of G_\circ under the projections of $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbf{k}) \times \text{PGL}_2(\mathbf{k})$ onto its factors, the Goursat's lemma implies that $G_\circ = G_1 \times_D G_2$ for some D . Since $\widehat{G} \neq \text{id}$, we must have $G_1 \simeq G_2$. Combining this with Proposition 4.8, we get the result. \square

Corollary 4.10. In the notation of Proposition 4.9, for every finite subgroup $G \subset \text{Aut}(S)$ satisfying $\text{Pic}(S)^G \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ one has the following short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow K \times K \longrightarrow G_\circ \longrightarrow D \longrightarrow 1 \tag{4.11}$$

where K is a normal subgroup of F and $F/K \simeq D$.

Proof. We apply Corollary 4.7 and note that the action of C_2 in the semi-direct product $(\text{PGL}_2(\mathbf{k}) \times \text{PGL}_2(\mathbf{k})) \rtimes C_2$ induces an isomorphism² of the kernels $K_A \xrightarrow{\sim} K_B$. \square

Lemma 4.12. Let $G \subset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ be a finite subgroup. Then, the following holds:

(1) Assume there is a Sarkisov G -link $\chi: S \dashrightarrow T$ of type I as in (4.1). Then G_\circ has a subgroup of the form $C_n \times C_m$ which is of index at most 2 in G_\circ .

²In fact, for finite subgroups A, K_1, K_2 of $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbf{k})$ an isomorphism $A/K_1 \simeq A/K_2$ always implies $K_1 \simeq K_2$, unless $A = D_{2n}$; see Lemma 3.6.

(2) Assume there exists a Sarkisov G -link $S \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ of type II. Then G_\circ is isomorphic to a direct product of at most two cyclic groups. In particular, the abelian group G_\circ is generated by at most 2 elements.

Proof. (1) Recall that the centre of χ is a G -point $\{p, q\}$ of degree 2. Therefore, the stabilizer $G' \subset G$ of p is a normal subgroup of index 2 and $G'' = G_\circ \cap G'$ is of index at most 2 in G_\circ . Since G'' acts on S fiberwisely, the faithful representation $G'' \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(T_p S)$ is reducible, i.e. it is a direct sum of two 1-dimensional representations. Hence $G'' \simeq C_n \times C_m$. In the case (2) the group G fixes a point on S and the result follows similarly. \square

We now proceed with determining for which groups $G \simeq (F \times_D F)_\bullet C_2$ of Proposition 4.9 the surface $S = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is actually G -birationally rigid. In our analysis, we will often use Corollary 4.10 (including its notation), without explicitly mentioning it.

4.A. **Case $F = C_n$.** Denote $S = F_1 \times F_2$, where $F_1 \simeq F_2 \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$. Then $G_\circ \subseteq H_1 \times H_2$, $H_1 \simeq H_2 \simeq C_n$ are cyclic groups of order n , and H_i faithfully acts on F_i . Recall that each H_i fixes exactly two points on F_i . Hence, the group G_\circ fixes 4 points on S . Let $p \in S$ be one of these fixed points. The group G is a disjoint union of G_\circ and gG_\circ , where $g \notin G_\circ$. Consider the set $\Omega = \{p, g(p)\}$. Since $g^2 \in G_\circ$, this set is g -invariant. Furthermore, since $g^{-1}G_\circ g = G_\circ$, the point $g(p)$ is fixed by G_\circ . Therefore, Ω is G -invariant. If $|\Omega| = 1$ (i.e. $g(p) = p$), then the stereographic projection from p conjugates G to a group acting on \mathbb{P}^2 , hence S is not G -birationally rigid. Assume that $g(p) \neq p$. We claim that $g(p)$ and p are in general position on S . Indeed, the coordinates $[x : y]$ on F_1 and $[z : t]$ on F_2 can be chosen so that the fixed points of G_\circ are

$$([1 : 0], [1 : 0]), ([1 : 0], [0 : 1]), ([0 : 1], [1 : 0]), ([0 : 1], [0 : 1]).$$

The automorphism g is of the form $([x : y], [z : t]) \mapsto (A[z : t], B[x : y])$, where $A, B \in \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbf{k})$. Assume that g sends $([1 : 0], [1 : 0])$ onto $([1 : 0], [0 : 1])$, i.e. $A[1 : 0] = [1 : 0]$ and $B[1 : 0] = [0 : 1]$. Then, as discussed above, $g^2 : ([x : y], [z : t]) \mapsto (AB[x : y], BA[z : t])$ fixes $([1 : 0], [1 : 0])$, which is impossible, as $BA[1 : 0] = B[1 : 0] = [0 : 1]$. Similarly, g cannot send $([1 : 0], [1 : 0])$ onto $([0 : 1], [1 : 0])$. We conclude that p and $g(p)$ are the points on the diagonal of S . Their blow up gives a conic bundle $S' \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ with two singular fibers, hence S is not G -birationally rigid.

Remark 4.13. As we already noticed in Remark 2.7, a cyclic group always has a fixed point on a quadric. Blowing this point up and contracting the strict transforms of the lines passing through it, we arrive to \mathbb{P}^2 (one often says that the group is *linearizable* in this case).

4.B. **Case $F = D_n$.** Recall that $D_2 \simeq V_4 \simeq C_2^2$ and set $D_1 = C_2$. Assume $n \geq 3$. Recall from Lemma 3.6 that proper normal subgroups of D_n are cyclic groups of order n/d for each

d dividing n (of index $2d$) and, if n is even, dihedral of index 2. Therefore, we have the following two possibilities:

4.B.1. The group G_\circ fits into the short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow D_m \times D_m \rightarrow G_\circ \rightarrow C_2^i \rightarrow 1, \quad (4.14)$$

where either $i = 1$ and $n = 2m$, $m \geq 2$, or $i = 0$, $D = \text{id}$, $m = n \geq 3$.

4.B.2. The group G_\circ fits into the short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow C_m \times C_m \rightarrow G_\circ \rightarrow D_d \rightarrow 1, \quad (4.15)$$

where $n = md$, $m \geq 1$. Note that this covers the extremal case $m = 1$, $G_\circ = D_n$, $n \geq 3$.

Proposition 4.16. In the notation from above, one has the following:

(1) S is G -birationally rigid in the case 4.B.1.

(2) S may fail to be G -birationally rigid in the case 4.B.2. If $\chi: S \dashrightarrow S'$ is a Sarkisov G -link to a different G -Mori fiber space, then $S' = \mathbb{P}^2$; or S' is a G -del Pezzo surface of degree 6 and $G \simeq D_6$; or S' is a G -del Pezzo surface of degree 5 and $G \simeq \text{AGL}_1(\mathbb{F}_5)$; or S' admits the structure of a G -conic bundle with 2 singular fibers.

Proof. (1) First, there are no Sarkisov G -links of type I from S . Indeed, otherwise G_\circ has a subgroup $N_\circ \simeq C_k \times C_l$ of index at most 2 by Lemma 4.12. But then $N_\circ \cap (D_m \times D_m)$ must be an abelian group which can be generated by at most 2 elements and has index at most 2 in $D_m \times D_m$, which is not possible. Furthermore, G_\circ obviously does not embed into the groups from (4.2), so it remains to show that there are no Sarkisov G -links of type II centred at a point of degree 4. Assume that it exists and is given by the diagram (2.3). Then T is a del Pezzo surface of degree 4 in \mathbb{P}^4 . Note that $|G| = 4n^2$ if $i = 1$, and $|G| = 2n^2$ if $i = 0$. From Remark 4.5 we see that G does not embed into $\text{Aut}(T)$, unless $m = 2$; in particular, G must contain a subgroup of the form $\Delta = C_2^4$. It is easy to prove [Bea07, Lemma 3.1, Proposition 3.11] that such Δ is conjugate in $\text{PGL}_5(\mathbf{k})$ to a subgroup of the diagonal torus; it acts on T (which is an intersection of two quadrics in \mathbb{P}^4) by changing the signs of the ambient coordinates x_k and consists of the projective transformations id , j_i , $i = 1, \dots, 5$, $j_i \circ j_j$, $1 \leq i < j \leq 5$, where $j_k: x_k \mapsto -x_k$. The fixed point locus of each j_k is an elliptic curve cut out on T by the hyperplane $\{x_k = 0\}$, while the fixed point loci of other non-trivial involutions in Δ consist of exactly 4 points. If $\text{tr}(\delta)$ denotes the trace of the action of δ on $\text{Pic}(T) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and $\text{Eu}(\cdot)$ denotes the topological Euler characteristic, then $\text{Eu}(T^\delta) = \text{tr}(\delta) + 2$ by the Lefschetz fixed point formula (here T^δ denotes the fixed locus of δ). Since $\text{Eu}(T^{j_k}) = 0$ and $\text{Eu}(T^{j_k \circ j_l}) = 4$, we get $\text{tr}(j_k) = -2$ and $\text{tr}(j_k \circ j_l) = 2$. Therefore, $\text{rank Pic}(T)^\Delta = \frac{1}{|\Delta|} \sum_{\delta \in \Delta} \text{tr}(\delta) = 1$, which contradicts to existence of Sarkisov link; see

[DI09a, 6.1] or [Yas22, 2.1] for more details. We conclude that S admits only G -birational Bertini and Geiser involutions, and hence is G -birationally rigid.

(2) All possible Sarkisov G -links from S were described in the beginning of the Section; as we know, those centred at points of degrees 7, 6 and 4 lead to a G -isomorphic del Pezzo surface. Suppose that S' is a del Pezzo surface of degree 6. As χ^{-1} starts with blowing up a G -fixed point on S' , the group G must be isomorphic to C_6 , S_3 or D_6 and hence G_\circ is C_3 or S_3 . As we are in the setting of the exact sequence (4.14), we must have $G \simeq D_6$. Next, if S' is a del Pezzo surface of degree 5, then Lemma 2.8 and the exact sequence (4.14) show that we must have $m = 1$, $G_\circ \simeq D_5$ and hence $G \simeq \text{AGL}_1(\mathbb{F}_5)$. \square

In fact, all possibilities described in Proposition 4.16(2) do occur. For D_6 -links to del Pezzo surface of degree 6, this was already mentioned in the end of Section 3, see also [Isk08]. To construct $\text{AGL}_1(\mathbb{F}_5)$ -links to del Pezzo surface of degree 5, recall that $\text{AGL}_1(\mathbb{F}_5)$ has presentation $\langle \alpha, \beta \mid \alpha^5 = \beta^4 = \text{id}, \beta\alpha\beta^{-1} = \alpha^3 \rangle$. Let $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(S') \simeq S_5$ be an automorphism of order 5. It is easy to show [Yas16, Lemma 4.16], using the Lefschetz fixed point formula, that α has exactly 2 fixed points, say p and q , in general position on S' . Then $\alpha\beta \cdot p = \alpha^{-2}\beta\alpha \cdot p = \alpha^{-2}\beta \cdot p$, so $\beta \cdot p$ is a fixed point of α^3 and hence of α , i.e. $\beta \cdot p \in \{p, q\}$. We conclude that $\{p, q\}$ is an orbit of G and hence one can associate a link to it.

Next, let us provide an example of a link to a conic bundle and to \mathbb{P}^2 .

Example 4.17. Assume that $m = 1$ and that $G_\circ = D_n$ acts on $S = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ “diagonally”, i.e. by $([x : y], [z : t]) \mapsto (A[x : y], A[z : t])$, where $A \in \text{PGL}_2(\mathbf{k})$ are elements of D_n . Let us choose the coordinates so that the action of $D_n = \langle r, s : r^n = s^2 = \text{id}, srs = r^{-1} \rangle$ on each factor is given by $r : [x : y] \mapsto [x : \omega y]$, $s : [x : y] \mapsto [y : x]$, where ω denotes a primitive n -th root of unity. Consider two automorphisms of S

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 &: ([x : y], [z : t]) \mapsto ([z : t], [x : y]), \\ \tau_2 &: ([x : y], [z : t]) \mapsto ([t : z], [y : x]). \end{aligned}$$

Then τ_1 commutes with G_\circ , while τ_2 defines a semidirect product $G_\circ \rtimes \langle \tau_2 \rangle$, where τ_2 acts by the inversion of r and preserves s . Note that r has exactly two fixed points $[1 : 0]$ and $[0 : 1]$, which are permuted by s . Let

$$p_1 = ([1 : 0], [1 : 0]), \quad p_2 = ([1 : 0], [0 : 1]), \quad p_3 = ([0 : 1], [1 : 0]), \quad p_4 = ([0 : 1], [0 : 1]).$$

The sets $\Omega_1 = \{p_1, p_4\}$ and $\Omega_2 = \{p_2, p_3\}$ are invariant with respect to G_\circ , τ_1 and τ_2 . Therefore, the groups $G_1 = G_\circ \times \langle \tau_1 \rangle$ and $G_2 = G_\circ \rtimes \langle \tau_2 \rangle$ admit an orbit in general position whose blow up gives a conic bundle $S' \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ with two singular fibers (in fact, this is a del Pezzo surface of degree 6, see [Isk80, Theorem 5]).

Similarly, one can construct examples of links to \mathbb{P}^2 . Note that, if such link exists, Lemma 2.6 implies that G_o is an abelian group generated by at most 2 elements. In particular, $d \leq 2$ in (4.15). If $d = 1$ then $|G_o| = 2m^2$ and hence G_o is an index 2 subgroup of $D_m \times D_m$, which is impossible. If $d = 2$ then G_o is an index 4 subgroup and hence it coincides with $C_{2m} \times C_{2m} \subset D_{2m} \times D_{2m}$. Making this group act on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ diagonally, as above, and taking a direct product with τ_1 , we get a linearizable action.

Finally, if $n = 2$ then $G_o = V_4 \times_D V_4 \subset V_4 \times V_4 \simeq C_2^4$ and hence one has the following possibilities for G_o :

$$V_4 \times V_4 (D = \text{id}), \quad (C_2 \times C_2) \times C_2 \simeq C_2^3 (D = C_2), \quad V_4 (D = V_4).$$

When $G_o \simeq V_4 \times V_4 \simeq C_2^4$, the same arguments as in the proof of Proposition 4.16(1) show that S is G -birationally rigid. In the remaining two cases $D \simeq C_2$ and $D \simeq V_4$, one easily constructs the examples similar to Example 4.17.

4.C. **Case** $F = A_4$. If $K = A_4$ then $G_o = A_4 \times A_4$. If $K = V_4$ then we have a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow V_4 \times V_4 \rightarrow G_o \rightarrow C_3 \rightarrow 1, \tag{4.18}$$

while for $K = \text{id}$ we simply get $G_o = A_4$. Therefore, G is of the form

$$(A_4 \times A_4) \bullet C_2, \quad ((V_4 \times V_4) \bullet C_3) \bullet C_2, \quad \text{or} \quad A_4 \bullet C_2. \tag{4.19}$$

Note that none of the groups G_o admits a subgroup $C_n \times C_m$ of index at most 2, hence there are no Sarkisov G -links of type I on S and no G -links leading to \mathbb{P}^2 by Lemma 4.12. Clearly, none of the extensions (4.19) is isomorphic to a group from the list (4.2).

It remains to investigate the links of type II centred at a point of degree 4. If $G \simeq (A_4 \times A_4) \bullet C_2$ then there are no such G -links, as $|G|$ is divisible by 9 and hence G does not embed into automorphism groups of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4. If such G -link existed for $G \simeq ((C_2^4) \bullet C_3) \bullet C_2$, then G would embed into $\text{Aut}(T)$, where T is a quartic del Pezzo surface, and moreover $G = \text{Aut}(T) \simeq C_2^4 \rtimes S_3$. However, one has $\text{Pic}(T)^G \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ in this case [DI09a, Theorem 6.9], which is a contradiction.

Finally, if $K = \text{id}$, the group $G_o = A_4 \times_{A_4} A_4 \simeq A_4$ acts on S by

$$([x : y], [z : t]) \mapsto (g[x : y], \varphi(g)[z : t]), \quad g \in A_4,$$

where $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(A_4)$ is a fixed automorphism. The extension $A_4 \bullet C_2$ always splits and one has $G \simeq A_4 \rtimes_{\psi} \langle \tau \rangle$, where $\tau \notin G_o$. The latter automorphism is of the form

$$\tau : ([x : y], [z : t]) \mapsto (A[z : t], B[x : y]),$$

where $A, B \in \text{PGL}_2(\mathbf{k})$. Since $\tau^2 = \text{id}$, we find that $B = A^{-1}$. Let us choose the coordinates on the first factor of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ so that the derived subgroup V_4 of A_4 is generated by $[x :$

$y] \mapsto [x : -y]$, $[x : y] \mapsto [y : x]$. A direct computation then shows that an element of order 3 is represented by one of the following matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} i & i \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} i & -i \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -i & i \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -i & -i \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.20)$$

Suppose that $\psi = \text{id}$, so $G \simeq A_4 \times \langle \tau \rangle$. Below we give two examples which show that the existence of the Sarkisov G -link depends on the embedding of G into $\text{Aut}(S)$.

Example 4.21. Assume that $\varphi = \text{id}$, so the group G_\circ acts on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ “diagonally” by $([x : y], [z : t]) \mapsto (g \cdot [x : y], g \cdot [z : t])$, where $g \in \text{PGL}_2(\mathbf{k})$. Further, assume that τ is given by

$$([x : y], [z : t]) \mapsto ([z : t], [x : y]).$$

Obviously, it commutes with G_\circ . If $\Omega = G \cdot p$ is an orbit of cardinality 4 on S , then the stabilizer of p is a cyclic group $\langle \tau \rangle \times \langle \delta \rangle \simeq C_6$, where $\delta \in G_\circ$ is an element of order 3. As the fixed locus of τ is the diagonal $\Delta \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, we have $p \in \Delta$. But G_\circ preserves Δ , hence $\Omega \subset \Delta$. As $\Delta^2 = 2$, the orbit Ω is not in general position on S and hence there is no Sarkisov G -link starting from Ω .

Example 4.22. Now let us assume that $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(A_4)$ permutes the elements of $V_4 \subset A_4$ such that $V_4 = \langle \alpha \rangle \times \langle \beta \rangle$ acts by

$$\alpha: ([x : y], [z : t]) \mapsto ([x : -y], [t : z]), \quad \beta: ([x : y], [z : t]) \mapsto ([y : x], [t : -z]).$$

Set $M = \begin{pmatrix} i & i \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ and suppose that the element of order 3 of A_4 and τ are

$$\gamma: \left(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} z \\ t \end{bmatrix} \right) \mapsto \left(M \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}, M \begin{bmatrix} z \\ t \end{bmatrix} \right), \quad \tau: \left(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} z \\ t \end{bmatrix} \right) \mapsto \left(M \begin{bmatrix} z \\ t \end{bmatrix}, M^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \right),$$

respectively. One easily checks that α, β, γ generate the group $\langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma : \alpha^2 = \beta^2 = \gamma^3 = \text{id}, \gamma\alpha\gamma^{-1} = \alpha\beta = \beta\alpha, \gamma\beta\gamma^{-1} = \alpha \rangle \simeq A_4$, and τ commutes with this group. If $(1, a)^t$ is the eigenvector of M , then γ and τ both fix the point $([1 : a], [1 : a])$. Its G -orbit consists of 4 points

$$([1 : a], [1 : a]), \quad ([1 : -a], [1 : a^{-1}]), \quad ([1 : a^{-1}], [1 : -a^{-1}]), \quad ([1 : -a^{-1}], [1 : -a]),$$

which are in general position on S .

To sum up, when $G_\circ \simeq A_4$, the surface S may admit a Sarkisov G -link centred at a point of degree 4. Note, however, that this link yields a G -isomorphic surface $S' \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ by Proposition 4.3. Therefore, S is G -birationally rigid in any case.

4.D. **Case** $F = S_4$. If $K = S_4$ then $G_\circ = S_4 \times S_4$. If $K = \text{id}$ then $G_\circ = S_4$. In the remaining two cases $K = A_4$ and $K = V_4$, the group G_\circ fits into the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow A_4 \times A_4 \longrightarrow G_\circ \longrightarrow C_2 \longrightarrow 1 \quad (4.23)$$

or into the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow V_4 \times V_4 \longrightarrow G_\circ \longrightarrow S_3 \longrightarrow 1 \quad (4.24)$$

By Lemma 4.12, there are no Sarkisov G -links to \mathbb{P}^2 and no Sarkisov G -links of type I starting from S . Furthermore, none of the extensions

$$(S_4 \times S_4) \bullet C_2, \quad ((A_4 \times A_4) \bullet C_2) \bullet C_2, \quad ((V_4 \times V_4) \bullet S_3) \bullet C_2, \quad S_4 \bullet C_2 \quad (4.25)$$

of C_2 by G_\circ is isomorphic to a group from the list (4.2). Finally, there are no Sarkisov G -links of type II, starting at a point of degree 4. Indeed, otherwise G has a subgroup of index 4 which fixes a point on S and hence G_\circ has an abelian subgroup of the form $C_n \times C_m$ and of index 2 or 4, which is clearly not the case (alternatively, one can again argue using Remark 4.5). We conclude that S can admit only G -equivariant birational Bertini and Geiser involutions³, hence it is G -birationally rigid.

4.E. **Case** $F = A_5$. Since A_5 is simple, one has $K = \text{id}$ or $K = A_5$, so either $G \simeq (A_5 \times_{A_5} A_5) \bullet C_2 \simeq A_5 \bullet C_2$ or $G \simeq (A_5 \times A_5) \bullet C_2$. Clearly, none of these groups is isomorphic to a group from (4.2), unless in the first extension we get $G \simeq S_5$. However, by Lemma 2.6, there exists⁴ no Sarkisov S_5 -link $\chi: S \dashrightarrow S'$ to a del Pezzo surface S' of degree 5, as the centre of $(\eta')^{-1}$ in (2.3) would be a point of degree 2. Further, none of the groups $G_\circ = A_5$ or $G_\circ = A_5 \times A_5$ has a subgroup of the form $C_n \times C_m$ of index at most 2 in G_\circ , so there are no G -links of type I and no G -links to \mathbb{P}^2 by Lemma 4.12. By Remark 4.5, S does not admit G -links centred at a point of degree 4. Similarly, G does not embed into automorphism group of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1 or 2 [Dol12, 8.7, 8.8]. We conclude that S is G -birationally superrigid.

End of the proof. The results of previous sections tell us that S may fail to be G -birationally rigid exactly in the following cases:

- (a) $G = (C_n \times_D C_n) \bullet C_2$ is a group from Section 4.A, $G_\circ = C_n \times_D C_n$.
- (b) $G = (D_n \times_D D_n) \bullet C_2$ is a group from Section 4.B, where G_\circ satisfies 4.B.2.
- (c) $G = (C_2^3) \bullet C_2$ or $G = V_4 \bullet C_2$ are groups from Section 4.B.

³In fact, classification of the automorphism groups of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1 and 2 [Dol12, DI09a] shows that there are no such links for most of G .

⁴Alternatively, if such link existed, then the surface T in the diagram (2.3) is a cubic surface acted by S_5 . It is well known that T must be the Clebsch diagonal cubic; one always has $\text{Pic}(T)^{S_5} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, see [DI09a, Theorem 6.14].

The groups G_\circ from (a) are abelian and generated by at most 2 elements, hence cannot contain any of the groups G_\circ of sections 4.B-4.E, unless $G_\circ = V_4$ is as in (c). However, in the latter case, S is not G -birationally rigid. The groups G_\circ from sections 4.B-4.E cannot embed into the groups G_\circ from (c) by the order reasons.

Suppose that G_\circ is a group from (b). Then there exists a Sarkisov G -link $\chi: S \dashrightarrow S'$ leading to a different G -Mori fiber space $S' \rightarrow Z$, where $Z = \text{pt}$ or $Z = \mathbb{P}^1$; all possibilities are given by Proposition 4.16. If $S' = \mathbb{P}^2$ then G fixes a point on S and hence the same is true for any subgroup $H \subset G$, showing that H is linearizable as well (see Remark 4.13). If $S' \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a G -conic bundle then G has an orbit of size 2 on S and hence H has an orbit of size 1 or 2; the result follows. If S' is a G -del Pezzo surface of degree 6 then $G \simeq G_\circ \times C_2 \simeq D_6$, where $G_\circ \simeq D_3$. Then $H_\circ \in \{\text{id}, C_2, C_3\}$ and $H \in \{C_2, C_2^2, C_6\}$, so S is not H -birationally rigid. Finally, if S' is a del Pezzo surface of degree 5 then $G \simeq \text{AGL}_1(\mathbb{F}_5)$ and $G_\circ \simeq D_5$; hence, $H_\circ \in \{\text{id}, C_2, C_5\}$ and then $H \in \{C_2, C_2^2, C_4, C_{10}, D_5\}$. But once again, such groups admit either an H -link to an H -conic bundle, or an H -link to \mathbb{P}^2 .

The Theorem is proven.

REFERENCES

- [AO18] H. Abban & T. Okada. Birationally rigid Pfaffian Fano 3-folds. *Algebr. Geom.*, 5(2):160–199, 2018. [3](#)
- [Avi20] A. A. Avilov. Forms of the Segre cubic. *Math. Notes*, 107(1):3–9, 2020. [1](#)
- [Bea07] A. Beauville. p -elementary subgroups of the Cremona group. *J. Algebra*, 314(2):553–564, 2007. [20](#)
- [BB73] A. Białynicki-Birula. Some theorems on actions of algebraic groups. *Ann. Math. (2)*, 98:480–497, 1973. [5](#)
- [BSY22] J. Blanc, J. Schneider & E. Yasinsky. Birational maps of Severi-Brauer surfaces, with applications to Cremona groups of higher rank, arxiv:2211.17123. 2022. [8](#)
- [Bli17] H. F. Blichfeldt. *Finite Collineation Groups*. University of Chicago Press, 1917. [7](#)
- [CMYZ22] I. Cheltsov, F. Mangolte, E. Yasinsky & S. Zimmermann. Birational involutions of the real projective plane, arxiv:2208.00217, 2022. [1](#)
- [CS22] I. Cheltsov & A. Sarikyan. Equivariant pliability of the projective space, arxiv:2202.09319. 2022. [3](#)
- [CS16] I. Cheltsov & C. Shramov. *Cremona groups and the icosahedron*. Monogr. Res. Notes Math. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 2016. [2](#), [3](#)
- [CS19] I. Cheltsov & C. Shramov. Finite collineation groups and birational rigidity. *Sel. Math., New Ser.*, 25(5):68, 2019. Id/No 71. [2](#), [3](#), [7](#)
- [Cor00] A. Corti. Singularities of linear systems and 3-fold birational geometry. In *Explicit birational geometry of 3-folds*, pages 259–312. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000. [3](#)
- [dDM19] L. das Dores & M. Mauri. G -birational superrigidity of Del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 and 3. *Eur. J. Math.*, 5(3):798–827, 2019. [5](#)

- [DD16] I. Dolgachev & A. Duncan. Fixed points of a finite subgroup of the plane Cremona group. *Algebr. Geom.*, 3(4):441–460, 2016. [16](#)
- [Dol12] I. V. Dolgachev. *Classical algebraic geometry. A modern view*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2012. [4](#), [16](#), [17](#), [24](#)
- [DI09a] I. V. Dolgachev & V. A. Iskovskikh. Finite subgroups of the plane Cremona group. In *Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry. In honor of Yu. I. Manin on the occasion of his 70th birthday. Vol. I*, pages 443–548. Boston, MA: Birkhäuser, 2009. [4](#), [5](#), [6](#), [10](#), [14](#), [21](#), [22](#), [24](#)
- [DI09b] I. V. Dolgachev & V. A. Iskovskikh. On elements of prime order in the plane Cremona group over a perfect field. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2009(18):3467–3485, 2009. [1](#)
- [Gou89] E. Goursat. Sur les substitutions orthogonales et les divisions régulières de l’espace. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (3)*, 6:9–102, 1889. [17](#)
- [Hos96] T. Hosoh. Automorphism groups of quartic del Pezzo surfaces. *J. Algebra*, 185(2):374–389, 1996. [17](#)
- [Isk80] V. A. Iskovskikh. Minimal models of rational surfaces over arbitrary fields. *Math. USSR, Izv.*, 14:17–39, 1980. [15](#), [21](#)
- [Isk96] V. A. Iskovskikh. Factorization of birational maps of rational surfaces from the viewpoint of Mori theory. *Russ. Math. Surv.*, 51(4):585–652, 1996. [4](#), [10](#)
- [Isk08] V. A. Iskovskikh. Two non-conjugate embeddings of $S_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ into the Cremona group. II. In *Algebraic geometry in East Asia—Hanoi 2005. Proceedings of the 2nd international conference on algebraic geometry in East Asia, Hanoi, Vietnam, October 10–14, 2005*, pages 251–267. Tokyo: Mathematical Society of Japan, 2008. [14](#), [21](#)
- [Kle19] F. Klein. *Lectures on the icosahedron and the solution of equations of the fifth degree. Translated by George Gavin Morrice. With a new introduction and commentaries by Peter Slodowy. Translated by Lei Yang*, volume 5 of *CTM, Class. Top. Math.* Beijing: Higher Education Press, reprint of the English translation of the 1884 German original edition, 2019. [18](#)
- [Kol09] J. Kollár. Birational rigidity of Fano varieties and field extensions. *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, 264:96–101, 2009. [1](#)
- [LS21] S. Lamy & J. Schneider. Generating the plane Cremona groups by involutions, arXiv:2110.02851. *arXiv e-prints*, 2021. [4](#)
- [Man66] Y. I. Manin. Rational surfaces over perfect fields. *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci.*, 30:55–97, 1966. [1](#)
- [Pro21] Y. G. Prokhorov. Equivariant minimal model program. *Russ. Math. Surv.*, 76(3):461–542, 2021. [1](#)
- [RZ18] M. F. Robayo & S. Zimmermann. Infinite algebraic subgroups of the real Cremona group. *Osaka J. Math.*, 55(4):681–712, 2018. [1](#)
- [Sak19] D. Sakovics. G -birational rigidity of the projective plane. *Eur. J. Math.*, 5(3):1090–1105, 2019. [7](#)
- [Shr20] C. A. Shramov. Birational automorphisms of Severi-Brauer surfaces. *Sb. Math.*, 211(3):466–480, 2020. [8](#)
- [Shr21] C. Shramov. Finite groups acting on Severi-Brauer surfaces. *Eur. J. Math.*, 7(2):591–612, 2021. [8](#)
- [Tre21] A. S. Trepalin. Quotients of Severi-Brauer surfaces. *Dokl. Math.*, 104(3):390–393, 2021. [8](#)
- [Tre16] A. Trepalin. Quotients of conic bundles. *Transform. Groups*, 21(1):275–295, 2016. [1](#)
- [Tre18] A. Trepalin. Quotients of del Pezzo surfaces of high degree. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 370(9):6097–6124, 2018. [1](#), [18](#)

- [Tre19] A. Trepalin. Quotients of del Pezzo surfaces. *Int. J. Math.*, 30(12):40, 2019. Id/No 1950068. [1](#)
- [Tre23] A. Trepalin. Birational classification of pointless del Pezzo surfaces of degree 8. *Eur. J. Math.*, 9(1):21, 2023. Id/No 2. [15](#)
- [Yas16] E. Yasinsky. Subgroups of odd order in the real plane Cremona group. *J. Algebra*, 461:87–120, 2016. [6](#), [21](#)
- [Yas22] E. Yasinsky. Automorphisms of real del Pezzo surfaces and the real plane Cremona group. *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, 72(2):831–899, 2022. [1](#), [21](#)

CMLS, CNRS, ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE, INSTITUT POLYTECHNIQUE DE PARIS, 91128 PALAISEAU
CEDEX, FRANCE, FMJH

Email address: yasinskyegor@gmail.com