

ON THE DUALS OF SMOOTH PROJECTIVE COMPLEX HYPERSURFACES

ALEXANDRU DIMCA¹ AND GIOVANNA ILARDI

ABSTRACT. We show first that a generic hypersurface V of degree $d \geq 3$ in the complex projective space \mathbb{P}^n of dimension $n \geq 3$ has at least one hyperplane section $V \cap H$ containing exactly n ordinary double points, alias A_1 singularities, in general position, and no other singularities. Equivalently, the dual hypersurface V^\vee has at least one normal crossing singularity of multiplicity n . Using this result, we show that the dual of any smooth hypersurface with $n, d \geq 3$ has at least a very singular point q , in particular a point q of multiplicity $\geq n$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $S = \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ be the graded polynomial ring in $n+1$ variables with complex coefficients, with $n \geq 2$. Let $f \in S_d$ be a homogeneous polynomial such that the hypersurface $V = V(f) : f = 0$ in the projective space \mathbb{P}^n is smooth. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *For any $d \geq 3$ and $n \geq 3$, a generic hypersurface $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of degree d has at least a hyperplane section $V \cap H$, which has exactly n nodal singularities in general position.*

Here a node is a non-degenerate quadratic singularity, in Arnold notation an A_1 singularity. A nodal hypersurface is a hypersurface having only such nodes as singularities. As usual, a property is generic if it holds for a Zariski open and dense subset of the parameter space, which is in this case $\mathbb{P}(S_d)$. For any smooth hypersurface V and any hyperplane H , the singularities of the hyperplane section $V \cap H$ are exactly the points where H is tangent to V . The fact that a generic hypersurface has the tangency points in general position was established in [3]. This means that for a generic, smooth hypersurface $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ and any hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, the singularities of the section $V \cap H$ are points in general position in H , i.e. the corresponding vectors in the vector space associated to H are linearly independent. In particular, there are at most n singularities in any such section $V \cap H$ when V is generic.

For a smooth hypersurface $V(f)$, consider the corresponding dual mapping

$$\phi_f : V(f) \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^n)^\vee, \quad x \mapsto (f_0(x) : f_1(x) : \dots : f_n(x)),$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32S25; Secondary 14B05, 13A02, 13A10, 13D02.

Key words and phrases. hypersurface, dual hypersurface, Lefschetz properties, hyperplane section, singularities.

¹This work has been partially supported by the Romanian Ministry of Research and Innovation, CNCS - UEFISCDI, grant PN-III-P4-ID-PCE-2020-0029, within PNCDI III.

where we set

$$f_j = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \text{ for } j = 0, \dots, n.$$

Then the dual hypersurface

$$V(f)^\vee = \phi_f(V(f))$$

has a normal crossing singularity of multiplicity n at the point of the dual projective space $(\mathbb{P}^n)^\vee$ corresponding to the hyperplane H if and only if $V(f) \cap H$ has exactly n singularities of type A_1 in general position. To prove this, note that $V(f) \cap H$ has a node A_1 at a point p if and only if the dual mapping ϕ_f is an immersion at p , see for instance the equivalences (11.33) in [6]. Moreover, the tangent space to the corresponding branch $\phi_f(V(f), p)$ of $V(f)^\vee$ at $H = \phi_f(p)$ is given by

$$p \in \mathbb{P}^n = ((\mathbb{P}^n)^\vee)^\vee.$$

It follows that Theorem 1.1 can be reformulated as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *For any dimension $n \geq 3$ and degree $d \geq 3$, the dual hypersurface V^\vee of a generic hypersurface $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of degree d has at least one normal crossing singularity of multiplicity n .*

Remark 1.3. (i) For any smooth hypersurface V and any hyperplane H , the hyperplane section $V \cap H$ has only isolated singularities. Conversely, any hypersurface $W \subset H$ with only isolated singularities may occur as a section $W = V \cap H$ for a certain smooth hypersurface V , see [6, Proposition (11.6)].

(ii) The fact that a generic curve C in \mathbb{P}^2 of degree $d \geq 4$ has only simple tangents, bitangents and simple flexes is known classically, and correspond to the claim that the dual curve C^\vee has only nodes A_1 and cusps A_2 as singularities. The number of bitangents of C , which is also the number of nodes A_1 of the dual curve, as a function of d is also known, see [8], page 277 for the classical approach using Plücker formulas, or [2] for a modern view-point. It follows from [9, Proposition 2.1] that *any smooth quartic curve has at least 16 bitangents* (which are called there simple bitangents) which correspond to the nodes of the dual curve.

(iii) The fact that a surface $S \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ of degree $d \geq 3$ admits tritangent planes H is well known, and there are formulas for the number of these planes in terms of the degree d , see for instance [13, Section (8.3)]. The fact that, for S generic of degree $d \geq 5$, the singularities of $S \cap H$ are exactly 3 nodes follows from [14, Proposition 3]. For a generic hypersurface $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, with $n \geq 4$ and $d = \deg V \geq n + 2$, it follows from [14, Proposition 4] that all the singularities of a hyperplane section $V \cap H$ are double points (not necessarily A_1 singularities) in number *at most* n .

Using the above results for generic hypersurfaces, one can prove the following result, *holding for any smooth hypersurface*.

Theorem 1.4. *For any dimension $n \geq 3$ and degree $d \geq 3$, the dual hypersurface V^\vee of a smooth hypersurface $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of degree d has either a singularity of multiplicity n with the corresponding tangent cone a union of hyperplanes, or a singularity of multiplicity $> n$. Moreover, a smooth hypersurface $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of degree d , where $n, d \geq$*

3, has at least one hyperplane section $V \cap H$ whose total Tjurina number $\tau(V \cap H)$ is at least n .

We recall that for an isolated hypersurface singularity $(X, 0) : g = 0$ defined by a germ $g \in R = \mathbb{C}[[y_1, \dots, y_n]]$, we define its Milnor number $\mu(X, 0)$ and its Tjurina number $\tau(X, 0)$ by the formulas

$$(1.1) \quad \mu(X, 0) = \dim R/J_g \text{ and } \tau(X, 0) = \dim R/(J_g + (g)),$$

where J_g is the Jacobian ideal of g in R . For a projective hypersurface W having only isolated singularities, we define its total Milnor number $\mu(W)$ and its total Tjurina number $\tau(W)$ by the formulas

$$(1.2) \quad \mu(W) = \sum_p \mu(W, p) \text{ and } \tau(W) = \sum_p \tau(W, p),$$

where both sums are over all the singular points $p \in W$. For any point $H \in V^\vee$ it is known that

$$(1.3) \quad \text{mult}_H(V^\vee) = \mu(V \cap H),$$

where $\text{mult}_p(Y)$ denotes the multiplicity of a variety Y at a point $p \in Y$, see [5]. Hence the first claim in Theorem 1.4 implies that

$$\mu(V \cap H) \geq n$$

if $\text{mult}_H(V^\vee) \geq n$. However, our second claim in Theorem 1.4 is a stronger version of this inequality, since $\mu(X, 0) \geq \tau(X, 0)$, with equality exactly when the singularity $(X, 0)$ is weighted homogeneous, see [12].

We would like to thank the referee for the very careful reading of our manuscript and for his suggestions to improve the presentation.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

The starting point is Remark 1.3 (i) above. We consider first the projective space \mathbb{P}^{n-1} and the subset $Z_n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1} = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ given by the classes p_i of the canonical basis e_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ of the vector space \mathbb{C}^n .

Proposition 2.1. *For any degree $d \geq 3$, there is a hypersurface $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, with $n \geq 3$, of degree d having as singularities n nodes A_1 , located at the points in Z_n .*

Proof. Let $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ be the coordinates on \mathbb{P}^{n-1} . We consider first the case $d = 3$ and take Y to be the hypersurface $g(y) = 0$, where

$$g(y) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} y_i y_j y_k.$$

It is easy to see that Y has an A_1 -singularity at each point p_i , for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Now we show that there are no other singularities. Note that for the partial derivative g_i of g with respect to y_i we have

$$(2.1) \quad g_i(y) = \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n, j \neq i, k \neq i} y_j y_k.$$

Assume that $g_i(y) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and take the sum of all these equations. We get in this way

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} y_j y_k = 0.$$

Subtracting the equation (2.1) from (2.2) we get

$$(2.3) \quad y_i \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq i} y_j = 0.$$

If we assume that $y_{i_1} \neq 0$ and $y_{i_2} \neq 0$ for some indices $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq n$, the equation (2.3) implies that $y_{i_1} = y_{i_2}$. Hence, for any singular point y^0 of Y , there is an integer a with $1 \leq a \leq n$ such that a coordinates of y^0 are equal to 1, and the remaining $n - a$ coordinates are 0. The equation (2.3) implies that only the case $a = 1$ is possible, and hence y^0 is one of the points p_i . This completes the proof in the case $d = 3$.

Next we look at the case $d = 4$ and take Y to be the hypersurface $g(y) = 0$, where

$$g(y) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} y_i^2 y_j^2.$$

It is easy to see that Y has an A_1 -singularity at each point p_i , for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Now we show that there are no other singularities. In this case we have

$$(2.4) \quad g_i(y) = 2y_i \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq i} y_j^2.$$

If we assume $g_i(y) = 0$ for all i , and that $y_{i_1} \neq 0$ and $y_{i_2} \neq 0$ for some indices $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq n$, the equation (2.4) implies that $y_{i_1}^2 = y_{i_2}^2$. Hence, for any singular point y^0 of Y , there is an integer a with $1 \leq a \leq n$ such that a coordinates of y^0 are equal to ± 1 , and the remaining $n - a$ coordinates are 0. The equation (2.4) implies that only the case $a = 1$ is possible, and hence y^0 is one of the points p_i . This completes the proof in the case $d = 4$.

Finally, to treat the case $d > 4$, let

$$h_i(y) = y_i^{d-2} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq i} y_j^2.$$

Note that h_i has a singularity of type A_1 at p_i and vanishes of order $d - 2 > 2$ at the other points p_j , for $j \neq i$. Consider the linear system spanned by h_1, \dots, h_n . It is easy to see, repeating the argument already used twice above, that the base locus $h_1 = \dots = h_n = 0$ of this linear system is exactly the set Z_n . It follows, by Bertini's Theorem, that a generic member Y of this linear system is smooth except possibly at the points of Z_n . The choice of the h_i implies that Y has an A_1 singularity at each point in Z_n . □

Now we give a proof of Theorem 1.1 stated in the Introduction. Using Remark 1.3 (i) and Proposition 2.1, it follows that, in any dimension $n \geq 3$ and degree $d \geq 3$,

there are smooth hypersurfaces $V(f) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of degree d which have at least one nodal hyperplane section $V(f) \cap H$, with exactly n singularities in general position.

Let $B = \mathbb{P}(S_d)_0$ be the set of points in $\mathbb{P}(S_d)$ corresponding to polynomials $f \in S_d$ such that the hypersurface $V(f) : f = 0$ is smooth. Let $\mathcal{A}(n, d) \subset B$ be the subset of such hypersurfaces $V(f)$, which have at least one hyperplane section $V(f) \cap H$, with exactly n singularities A_1 in general position. We know already that $\mathcal{A}(n, d) \neq \emptyset$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{A}(n, d)$ is a constructible (or semialgebraic) subset in B . Indeed, consider the subset

$$\Gamma \subset B \times (\mathbb{P}^n)^n$$

consisting of pairs (f, q) , where $f \in B$, $q = (q^1, \dots, q^n) \in (\mathbb{P}^n)^n$ such that the points

$$q^j = (q_0^j : q_1^j : \dots : q_n^j) \in \mathbb{P}^n$$

are linearly independent, that is they span a hyperplane $H(q)$ in \mathbb{P}^n , and the following conditions hold

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{i=0}^n q_i^j f_i(q^k) = 0 \text{ for any } j, k = 1, \dots, n$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad \text{Hess}(f)(q^j) \neq 0 \text{ for any } j = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $\text{Hess}(f)$ is the Hessian polynomial of f . In fact, the equation (2.5) for $k = j$ tells us that $q^j \in V(f)$ for any $j = 1, \dots, n$. Moreover, it says that the point q^j is on the tangent space $T_{q^k}V(f)$. This implies that

$$(2.7) \quad T_{q^k}V(f) = H(q) \text{ for any } k = 1, \dots, n.$$

The equation (2.6) tells us that the singularity of $V(f) \cap H(q)$ at the point q^j is a node, see for instance [6, Equivalence (11.33)]. It is clear that Γ is a constructible set in $B \times (\mathbb{P}^n)^n$, since it is defined by finitely many algebraic equalities and inequalities. Let $p_1 : B \times (\mathbb{P}^n)^n \rightarrow B$ be the first projection and note that $\mathcal{A}(n, d) = p_1(\Gamma)$. Using Chevalley Theorem, see for instance [10], p. 395, we conclude that the set $\mathcal{A}(n, d)$ is constructible in B .

We show now that $\mathcal{A}(n, d)$ is a non empty Zariski open subset in B , and hence it is dense in B and in $\mathbb{P}(S)_d$, see [11, Theorem 2.33]. Let $Z = B \setminus \mathcal{A}(n, d)$. Then Z is also a constructible set, and [10, Proposition 2] page 394, implies that the closure of Z in B in the Zariski topology coincides with its closure in the strong complex topology. Hence, to show that $\mathcal{A}(n, d)$ is a Zariski open subset in B , it is enough to show that $\mathcal{A}(n, d)$ is an open subset of B in the strong complex topology.

We fix now one element $f \in \mathcal{A}(n, d)$ and show that $\mathcal{A}(n, d)$ contains a neighborhood of f in B . The set B is open, hence there are arbitrarily small open neighborhoods U of f with $f \in U \subset B$. For any polynomial $f' \in U$, we consider the gradient map

$$\Phi_{f'} : \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^n)^\vee \text{ given by } x \mapsto (f'_0(x) : f'_1(x) : \dots : f'_n(x))$$

and the corresponding dual mapping

$$\phi_{f'} = \Phi_{f'}|_{V(f')} : V(f') \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^n)^\vee.$$

Since $f \in \mathcal{A}(n, d)$, there is a hyperplane H such that $V(f) \cap H$ has n singularities A_1 in general position, say at the points $p_j \in \mathbb{P}^n$, for $j = 1, \dots, n$. It follows that the Hessian polynomial $Hess(f)$ of f satisfies $Hess(f)(p_j) \neq 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$ and hence each analytic germ

$$\Phi_f : (\mathbb{P}^n, p_j) \rightarrow ((\mathbb{P}^n)^\vee, H)$$

is invertible, see for instance [6, Equation (11.10)]. It follows that there is a neighborhood N of H in $(\mathbb{P}^n)^\vee$ and neighborhoods N_j of p_j in \mathbb{P}^n for $j = 1, \dots, n$ such that the restrictions

$$\Phi_f^{(j)} = \Phi_f|_{N_j} : N_j \rightarrow N$$

are analytic isomorphisms, with corresponding inverse mappings

$$\Psi_f^{(j)} = (\Phi_f^{(j)})^{-1} : N \rightarrow N_j.$$

Any polynomial $f' \in U$ can be regarded as a deformation of f , the parameters being the coefficients of f' . Since f depends analytically on these parameters, the inverse mapping $\Psi_f^{(j)}$ also depends analytically on these parameters. It follows that, by choosing small enough neighborhoods U , N and N_j for $j = 1, \dots, n$, we have inverse mappings as above

$$\Psi_{f'}^{(j)} : N \rightarrow N_j$$

for all $f' \in U$. Choose $g \in S_d$ a polynomial such that $g(p_j) \neq 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Then

$$h_{f'} = \frac{f'}{g}$$

is an analytic function defined on all N_j 's, if they are chosen small enough. Define now

$$\alpha_{f'} : N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \text{ given by } y \mapsto (h_{f'}(\Psi_{f'}^{(1)}(y)), \dots, h_{f'}(\Psi_{f'}^{(n)}(y))).$$

Notice that we have an obvious equality of (possibly non reduced) analytic spaces $\alpha_f^{-1}(0) = \{H\}$, where H is regarded as a point with its reduced structure. Indeed, $h_f = 0$ in N_j defines the intersection $V(f) \cap N_j$, and $\Phi_f^{(j)}(V(f) \cap N_j)$ is the trace on N of the irreducible smooth branch of the dual variety $V(f)^\vee$ at the point $H \in (\mathbb{P}^n)^\vee$, whose tangent space at $H = \Phi_f^{(j)}(p_j)$ corresponds to the point $p_j \in \mathbb{P}^n$. The intersection of these n smooth branches, meeting transversally at H , is exactly the simple point H . Let D be a small closed ball in N , centered at H and consider the restricted mapping

$$\beta_{f'} = \alpha_{f'}|_{\partial D} : \partial D = S^{2n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

Here ∂D is the boundary of the closed ball D . If D is small, it is clear by the above discussion that α_f has no zeros on the compact set ∂D . By continuity, the same is true for $\alpha_{f'}$, and hence $\beta_{f'}$ is correctly defined for f' close to f . Notice that the mapping β_f has degree one, see for instance [1, Section 5.4] or the topological interpretation of intersection multiplicity of n divisors in [8], p. 670. By continuity, it follows that $\deg \beta_{f'} = 1$ for any $f' \in U$. Therefore, for any $f' \in U$ there is a unique point $H' \in (\mathbb{P}^n)^\vee$ such that $\alpha_{f'}^{-1}(0) = \{H'\}$. As explained above, this is equivalent to the fact that the dual hypersurface $V(f')^\vee$ has a normal crossing singularity of

multiplicity n at the point H' . Hence H' corresponds to a hyperplane section of $V(f')$ with n nodes, that is $f' \in \mathcal{A}(n, d)$. Therefore $U \subset \mathcal{A}(n, d)$ and this completes our proof.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

Fix $V(f) : f = 0$ a smooth hypersurface of degree d in \mathbb{P}^n , with $d, n \geq 3$. In view of Theorem 1.1, there is a sequence of pairs $(V(f_m), H_m)$ such that f_m converges to f in the projective space $\mathbb{P}(S_d)$ and $V(f_m) \cap H_m$ has n nodes in general position. By passing to a subsequence, we can assume that $q_m = H_m$ converges to a hyperplane $q = H$ in $(\mathbb{P}^n)^\vee$. By passing to the dual hypersurfaces, we get a sequence of hypersurfaces $V(f_m)^\vee$ converging to $V(f)^\vee$, and a sequence of points $q_m \in V(f_m)^\vee$ converging to the point $q \in V(f)^\vee$ such that $(V(f_m)^\vee, q_m)$ is a normal crossing singularity of multiplicity n . If we consider the n -jet at q_m of a reduced defining equation F_m for $V(f_m)^\vee$ in $(\mathbb{P}^n)^\vee$, we see that

$$h_m = j_{q_m}^n F_m$$

is a degree n homogeneous polynomial which splits as a product of n linearly independent linear forms. Let F be a reduced defining equation for $V(f)^\vee$ in $(\mathbb{P}^n)^\vee$. Then F_m converges to F in $\mathbb{P}(S_D)$, where $D = d(d-1)^{n-1}$, see for instance [7, Theorem 1.2.5]. Hence for the n -jet

$$h = j_q^n F$$

there are the following two possibilities. Either $h \neq 0$, and then $h = \lim h_m$ in the corresponding projective space, and so h is a product of n (maybe non-distinct) linear forms, or $h = 0$ and then $\text{mult}_q V(f)^\vee \geq n + 1$. This proves the first claim in Theorem 1.4. To prove the second claim, note that for m large, we can identify the hyperplane H_m with H using a linear projection, and in this way $V(f_m) \cap H_m$ give rise to a sequence of hypersurfaces W_m in H converging to the intersection $W = V(f) \cap H$. Let G_m (resp. G) be the reduced defining equation of the hypersurface W_m (resp. W) in $H = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. If we choose a system of coordinates $y = (y_1 : \dots : y_n)$ and set $S' = \mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$, let $M(G_m) = S'/J(G_m)$ and $M(G) = S'/J(G)$ denote the corresponding Milnor (or Jacobian) algebras of G_m and G . Here $J(G_m)$ (resp. $J(G)$) denotes the Jacobian ideal of G_m (resp. G) spanned by all the first order partial derivatives of G_m (resp. G) with respect to the y_j 's. For $k > 0$ an integer, we set

$$M(G_m)^k = \frac{S'}{J(G_m) + M^{k+1}} \text{ and } M(G)^k = \frac{S'}{J(G) + M^{k+1}},$$

where M is the maximal ideal $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \subset S'$. Let $T = n(d-2)$ and recall that the homogeneous components of the Milnor algebras $M(G_m)$ and $M(G)$ satisfy

$$\dim M(G_m)_j = \tau(W_m) \text{ and } \dim M(G)_j = \tau(W),$$

for any $j > T$, see [4, Corollary 9]. It follows that

$$\dim M(G_m)^k = \dim M(G_m)^T + (k - T)\tau(W_m)$$

and

$$\dim M(G)^k = \dim M(G)^T + (k - T)\tau(W),$$

for any $k > T$. Using the semicontinuity of the dimension of a quotient space, we get

$$\dim M(G)^k \geq \dim M(G_m)^k,$$

for all $k > T$. This clearly implies

$$\tau(W) \geq \tau(W_m) = n$$

and this proves our second claim.

REFERENCES

- [1] V.I. Arnold, S.M. Gusein-Zade, S.M.,A.N. Varchenko, Singularities of Differentiable Maps, Vols. 1, Monographs in Mathematics 82, Birkhauser, Boston,1985. 2
- [2] D. Ayala, R. Cavalieri, Counting bitangents with stable maps, Expositiones Mathematicae 24 (2006), 307–335. 1.3
- [3] J. W. Bruce, The duals of generic hypersurfaces. Math. Scand., 49 (1981), 36–60. 1
- [4] A.D.R. Choudary, A.Dimca, Koszul complexes and hypersurface singularities, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 121 (1994), 1009–1016. 3
- [5] A. Dimca, Milnor numbers and multiplicities of dual varieties, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl. 31 (1986), 535–538. 1
- [6] A. Dimca, Topics on Real and Complex Singularities, Vieweg Advanced Lecture in Mathematics, Friedr. Vieweg und Sohn, Braunschweig, 1987. 1, 1.3, 2
- [7] I. Dolgachev, Classical Algebraic Geometry: a modern view, Cambridge University Press, 2012. 3
- [8] Ph. Griffiths, J. Harris, Principles of Algebraic Geometry, Wiley, 1994. 1.3, 2
- [9] M. Kuwata, Twenty-eight Double Tangent Lines of a Plane Quartic Curve with an Involution and the Mordell-Weil Lattices. Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli 54 (2005), 17–32. 1.3
- [10] S. Lojasiewicz, Introduction to Complex Analytic Geometry, Birkhäuser, 1991. 2
- [11] D. Mumford, Algebraic Geometry I, Complex Projective Varieties, Grundlehren der math. Wiss. 221, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976. 2
- [12] K. Saito, Quasihomogene isolierte Singularitäten von Hyperflächen, Invent. Math., 14 (1971), 123–142. 1
- [13] I. Vainsencher: Counting divisors with prescribed singularities, Transactions AMS, 267 (1981), 399–422. 1.3
- [14] Geng Xu, Subvarieties of general hypersurfaces in projective space, J. Diff. Geom. 39(1994), 139–172. 1.3

UNIVERSITÉ CÔTE D’AZUR, CNRS, LJAD, FRANCE AND SIMION STOILOW INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, P.O. BOX 1-764, RO-014700 BUCHAREST, ROMANIA

Email address: dimca@unice.fr

DIPARTIMENTO MATEMATICA ED APPLICAZIONI “R. CACCIOPPOLI” UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI NAPOLI “FEDERICO II” VIA CINTIA - COMPLESSO UNIVERSITARIO DI MONTE S. ANGELO 80126 - NAPOLI - ITALIA

Email address: giovanna.ilardi@unina.it