# Generalized Turán problem with bounded matching number \*

Yue Ma<sup>a</sup>, Xinmin Hou<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Mathematical Sciences

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China.<br/>  $^b$  CAS Key Laboratory of Wu Wen-Tsun Mathematics

University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China.

#### Abstract

For a graph T and a set of graphs  $\mathcal{H}$ , let  $ex(n, T, \mathcal{H})$  denote the maximum number of copies of T in an n-vertex  $\mathcal{H}$ -free graph. Recently, Alon and Frankl (arXiv2210.15076) determined the exact value of  $ex(n, K_2, \{K_{k+1}, M_{s+1}\})$ , where  $K_{k+1}$  and  $M_{s+1}$  are complete graph on k + 1 vertices and matching of size s + 1, respectively. Soon after, Gerbner (arXiv2211.03272) continued the study by extending  $K_{k+1}$  to general fixed graph H. In this paper, we continue the study of the function  $ex(n, T, \{H, M_{s+1}\})$ when  $T = K_r$  for  $r \geq 3$ . We determine the exact value of  $ex(n, K_r, \{K_{k+1}, M_{s+1}\})$  and give the value of  $ex(n, K_r, \{H, M_{s+1}\})$  for general H with an error term O(1).

## 1 Introduction

Let G = (V, E) be a graph with vertex set V = V(G) and edge set  $E = E(G) \subset {\binom{V}{2}}$ . We may write G instead of E(G).

Let T be a fixed graph and  $\mathcal{H}$  be a set of given graphs. A graph G is called  $\mathcal{H}$ -free if G contains no copy of any member in  $\mathcal{H}$  as its subgraph. Write N(G,T) for the number of copies of T in a graph G. Define the generalized Turán number as

 $ex(n, T, \mathcal{H}) = \max\{N(G, T) : G \text{ is an } n \text{-vertex } \mathcal{H} \text{-free graph}\}.$ 

We call an *n*-vertex graph G with N(G,T) attaining the maximum an *extremal graph* of  $\mathcal{H}$ . This function has been systematically studied by Alon and Shikhelman [2] and has received

<sup>\*</sup>The work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12071453), the National Key R and D Program of China(2020YFA0713100), the Anhui Initiative in Quantum Information Technologies (AHY150200) and the Innovation Program for Quantum Science and Technology, China (2021ZD0302904).

much attention, for example, in [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 19]. When  $T = K_2$ , it is the classical Turán number  $ex(n, \mathcal{H})$ .

Let  $K_r$  denote a complete graph on r vertices for some integer r. For a set U, write K[U] for a complete graph on vertex set U. Let  $U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_r$  be disjoint sets and  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \ldots, U_r\}$ , write  $K[\mathcal{U}] = K[U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_r]$  for a complete r-partite graph with partition sets  $U_1, \ldots, U_r$ . Let G = (V, E) be a graph. For a set  $U \subseteq V$ , write G[U] for the subgraph induced by U. For disjoint sets  $U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_r \subseteq V$ , write  $G[U_1, \cdots, U_r]$  for the induced r-partite subgraph of G, i.e.  $G[U_1, \cdots, U_r] = K[U_1, \cdots, U_r] \cap G$ . Let  $K_{a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_r}$  denote the complete r-partite graph with partition sets of size  $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_r$ . For graphs  $G_1, \cdots, G_r$ , let  $\sum_{i=1}^r G_1 + \cdots + G_r$  be the union of vertex-disjoint copies of  $G_1, \cdots, G_r$ .

A Turán graph  $T_k(n)$  is a complete k-partite graph on n vertices whose partition sets have sizes as equal as possible. Let  $t_k(n) = |T_k(n)| = N(T_k(n), K_2)$  be the Turán number.

The famous Turán Theorem [4] states that  $ex(n, K_2, K_{k+1}) = t_k(n)$ . Erdős [5] gave the generalized version of Turán Theorem as follows.

**Theorem 1.1** ([5]). For all  $n \ge k \ge r \ge 2$ ,

$$ex(n, K_r, K_{k+1}) = N(T_k(n), K_r),$$

and  $T_k(n)$  is the unique extremal graph.

Write  $\chi(G)$  for the chromatic number of graph G. We say a graph is *edge-critical* if there exists some edge whose deletion reduces its chromatic number. Simonovits [18] proved that for any edge-critical graph H with  $\chi(H) = k + 1 \ge 3$ ,  $ex(n, K_2, H) = t_k(n)$  for sufficiently large n, and  $T_k(n)$  is the unique extremal graph. This result was extended by Ma and Qiu [17] as follows: For sufficiently large n,  $ex(n, K_r, H) = N(T_k(n), K_r)$ , and  $T_k(n)$  is the unique extremal graph, where H is an edge-critical graph with  $\chi(H) = k + 1 > r \ge 2$ .

Recently, Alon and Frankl [1] studied the function  $ex(n, K_2, \mathcal{H})$  when  $\mathcal{H} = \{K_{k+1}, M_{s+1}\}$ . Let  $G_k(n, s) = \overline{K_{n-s}} \vee T_{k-1}(s)$ , the join of an empty graph  $\overline{K_{n-s}}$  and Turán graph  $T_{k-1}(s)$ , i.e. a complete k-partite graph on n vertices with one partition set of size n-s and the others having sizes as equal as possible. Given a graph H with  $\chi(H) \geq 3$ , define  $\mathcal{H}(H)$  to be the family of graphs obtained by deleting a color class from H. Define  $D_H(n,s) = D \vee \overline{K_{n-s}}$ , where D is a copy of extremal graph of  $\mathcal{H}(H)$  on s vertices. Write  $M_k$  for a matching consisting of k edges.

**Theorem 1.2** ([1]). (1) For  $n \ge 2s + 1$  and  $k \ge 2$ ,

$$ex(n, K_2, \{K_{k+1}, M_{s+1}\}) = \max\{|T_k(2s+1)|, |G_k(n, s)|\}.$$

(2) Let H be an edge-critical graph with  $\chi(H) = k + 1 > 2$ . Then, for sufficiently large s and  $n \gg s$ ,

$$ex(n, K_2, \{H, M_{s+1}\}) = |G_k(n, s)|.$$

Theorem 1.2 (2) was soon strengthened by Gerbner [6] in the following theorem. For a bipartite graph H, let p = p(H) denote the smallest possible number of a color class in a proper 2-coloring of H.

**Theorem 1.3** ([6]). Let H be a fixed graph.

(1) Suppose  $\chi(H) \geq 3$  and n is large enough. Then

$$ex(n, K_2, \{H, M_{s+1}\}) = s(n-s) + ex(s, K_2, \mathcal{H}(H)) = sn + O(1),$$

and the graph  $D_H(n,s)$  is an extremal graph of  $\{H, M_{s+1}\}$ .

(2) Suppose  $\chi(H) = 2$ . The following holds.

(i) If p > s, then

$$ex(n, K_2, \{H, M_{s+1}\}) = ex(n, K_2, M_{s+1}),$$

and  $K_{2s+1}$  and  $G_{s+1}(n,s)$  are extremal graphs.

(ii) If  $p \leq s$ , then

$$ex(n, K_2, \{H, M_{s+1}\}) = (p-1)n + O(1),$$

and  $G_p(n, p-1)$  is an asymptotically optimal graph up to additive error of O(1).

In this article, we first extend the result of Alon and Frankl [1] as shown in the following.

**Theorem 1.4.** For  $n \ge 2s + 1$  and  $k \ge r \ge 3$ ,

 $ex(n, K_r, \{K_{k+1}, M_{s+1}\}) = \max\{N(T_k(2s+1), K_r), N(G_k(n, s), K_r)\}.$ 

Second, we extend Gebner's result [6] with a similar way.

**Theorem 1.5.** (I) For every graph H with  $\chi(H) \ge 3$ ,  $r \ge 3$  and sufficiently large n,

$$ex(n, K_r, \{H, M_{s+1}\}) = ex(s, K_{r-1}, \mathcal{H}(H))n + O(1) = N(D_H(n, s), K_r) + O(1).$$

- (II) For every graph H with  $\chi(H) = 2$  and  $r \ge 3$ , the following holds.
- (i) If p > s, then

$$ex(n, K_r, \{H, M_{s+1}\}) = ex(n, K_r, M_{s+1}),$$

and  $K_{2s+1}$  and  $G_{s+1}(n,s)$  are extremal graphs.

(ii) If  $p \leq s$ , then

$$ex(n, K_r, \{H, M_{s+1}\}) = N(G_p(n, p-1), K_r) + O(1) = {\binom{p-1}{r-1}}n + O(1),$$

and  $G_p(n, p-1)$  is an asymptotically optimal graph up to additive error of O(1).

The rest of the article is arranged as follows. We give the proofs of Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 in Sections 2 and 3. We give some discussions in the last section.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.4

We need the following fundamental theorem in graph theory.

**Theorem 2.1** (Tutte-Berge Theorem [3], see also [16]). A graph G is  $M_{s+1}$ -free if and only if there is a set  $B \subset V(G)$  such that all the components  $G_1, \ldots, G_m$  of G - B are odd (i.e.  $|V(G_i)| \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  for  $i \in [m]$ ), and

$$|B| + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{|V(G_i)| - 1}{2} = s.$$

Let graph G = (V, E) and integer  $r \ge 1$ . For a vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , define  $N_G^{(r)}(v) = \{U \in \binom{V}{r} : G[U \cup \{v\}] \cong K_{r+1}\}$  be the *r*-clique neighborhood of v and  $d_G^{(r)}(v) = |N_G^{(r)}(v)|$  be the *r*-clique-degree of v. For a set  $U \subset V(G)$ , write  $d_G^{(r)}(U) = \sum_{u \in U} d_G^{(r)}(u)$ . As usual, write neighborhood  $N_G(v)$  and degree  $d_G(v)$  instead of 1-clique neighborhood  $N_G^{(1)}(v)$  and 1-clique-degree  $d_G^{(1)}(v)$  for short.

For two non-adjacent vertices u, v in a graph G, we define the switching operation  $u \to v$ as deleting the edges joining u to its neighbors and adding new edges connecting u to vertices in  $N_G(v)$ . Let  $G_{u\to v}$  to be the graph obtained from G by the switching operation  $u \to v$ , that is  $V(G_{u\to v}) = V(G)$  and

$$E(G_{u \to v}) = (E(G) \setminus E_G(u, N_G(u))) \cup E_G(u, N_G(v)),$$

where  $E_G(S,T) = E(G[S,T])$  for disjoint subsets  $S, T \subset V(G)$ . Note that the edges between u and the common neighbors of u and v remain unchanged by the definition of  $G_{u\to v}$ . For two disjoint independent sets S and T in a graph G, if all of vertices in S (resp. T) have the same neighborhood  $N_G(S)$  (resp.  $N_G(T)$ ), we similarly define  $G_{S\to T}$  to be the graph obtained from G by deleting the edges between S and  $N_G(S)$  and adding new edges connecting S and  $N_G(T)$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** For  $r \ge 2$  and two disjoint independent sets S and T in a graph G, if all of vertices in S (resp. T) have the same neighborhood  $N_G(S)$  (resp.  $N_G(T)$ ) and  $E_G(S,T) = \emptyset$ , then either  $G' = G_{S \to T}$  or  $G' = G_{T \to S}$  has the property that  $N(G', K_r) \ge N(G, K_r)$ , the equality holds if and only if  $d_G^{(r-1)}(S) = d_G^{(r-1)}(T)$ .

*Proof.* Let S and T be two such independent sets of G. Without loss of generality, suppose  $d_G^{(r-1)}(T) \ge d_G^{(r-1)}(S)$ . Let  $G' = G_{S \to T}$ . Then

$$N(G', K_r) = N(G, K_r) - d_G^{(r-1)}(S) + d_G^{(r-1)}(T) \ge N(G, K_r),$$

the equality holds if and only if  $d_G^{(r-1)}(T) = d_G^{(r-1)}(S)$ .

4

Let  $\Delta_{t,k}^r = N(T_k(t), K_r)$  for some integers  $t \ge k \ge r$ .

**Observation 2.3.** (1) For positive integers  $t \ge k \ge r \ge 2$ ,

$$\Delta_{t+1,k}^r - \Delta_{t,k}^r = \Delta_{t-\lfloor \frac{t}{k} \rfloor,k-1}^{r-1} \text{ and } \Delta_{t,k}^r = \Delta_{t-\lfloor \frac{t}{k} \rfloor,k-1}^r + \left\lfloor \frac{t}{k} \right\rfloor \Delta_{t-\lfloor \frac{t}{k} \rfloor,k-1}^{r-1}$$

(2) For  $n \ge 2s+1$  and  $s \ge t \ge k \ge r \ge 3$ , define

$$g_{n,k,r}(t) := (n-t)\Delta_{t,k-1}^{r-1} + \Delta_{t,k-1}^{r}.$$

Then  $g_{n,k,r}(t)$  is a strictly increasing function of t. In particular,  $g_{n,k,r}(s) = N(G_k(n,s), K_r)$ .

*Proof.* (1) can be checked directly by the definitions of  $\Delta_{t,k}^r$  and the Turán graph.

(2) By (1), for  $t \le s - 1$ ,

$$g_{n,k,r}(t+1) - g_{n,k,r}(t) = (n-t-1)\Delta_{t-\lfloor\frac{t}{k-1}\rfloor,k-2}^{r-2} - \Delta_{t,k-1}^{r-1} + \Delta_{t-\lfloor\frac{t}{k-1}\rfloor,k-2}^{r-1}$$
$$= (n-t-1)\Delta_{t-\lfloor\frac{t}{k-1}\rfloor,k-2}^{r-2} - \left\lfloor\frac{t}{k-1}\right\rfloor\Delta_{t-\lfloor\frac{t}{k-1}\rfloor,k-2}^{r-2}$$
$$= \left(n-1-t-\left\lfloor\frac{t}{k-1}\right\rfloor\right)\Delta_{t-\lfloor\frac{t}{k-1}\rfloor,k-2}^{r-2} > 0.$$

This completes the proof.

Now we are ready to give the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4: Let G be an extremal graph of  $\{K_{k+1}, M_{s+1}\}$  on  $n \ge 2s+1$  vertices. By Theorem 2.1, there is a vertex set  $B \subset V(G)$  such that G-B consists of odd components  $G_1, \ldots, G_m$ , and

$$|B| + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{|V(G_i)| - 1}{2} = s$$

Let  $A_i = V(G_i)$  and  $|A_i| = a_i$  for  $i \in [m]$ . Denote  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i$ . Let  $I_G(A) = \{i \in [m] : a_i = 1\}$ . We may choose G maximizing  $|I_G(A)|$  (assumption (\*)). Let |B| = b.

Define two vertices u and v in B are equivalent if and only if  $N_G(u) = N_G(v)$ . Clearly, it is an equivalent relation. Therefore, the vertices of B can be partitioned into equivalent classes according to the equivalent relation defined above. We may choose G (among graphs G satisfying assumption (\*)) with the minimum number of equivalent classes of B(assumption (\*\*)). Note that each equivalent class of B is an independent set of G by the definition of the equivalent relation. We first claim that every two non-adjacent vertices of B have the same neighborhood (a clique version of Lemma 2.1 of [1]), which is also a simple consequence of the Zykov symmetrization method introduced in [20], for completeness we include the proof.

### Claim 2.4. Every two non-adjacent vertices of B have the same neighborhood.

Proof. Suppose there are two non-adjacent vertices  $u, w \in B$  with  $N_G(u) \neq N_G(w)$ . Then u and w must be in distinct equivalent classes U and W by the definition of the equivalence. Since  $uw \notin E(G)$ , we have  $E_G(U, W) = \emptyset$ . Without loss of generality, suppose  $d_G^{(r-1)}(w) \geq d_G^{(r-1)}(u)$ . Let  $G' = G_{U \to W}$ . By Proposition 2.2,  $N(G', K_r) \geq N(G, K_r)$ . Now we show that G' is  $\{K_{k+1}, M_{s+1}\}$ -free too. Clearly, G' - B still consists of odd components  $G_1, \ldots, G_m$ . Hence G' is  $M_{s+1}$ -free by Theorem 2.1. If G' contains a copy T of  $K_{k+1}$ , we must have a vertex  $u \in V(T) \cap U$ . Choose a vertex  $w \in W$ . Since  $N_{G'}(u) = N_{G'}(w) = N_G(w)$ ,  $(V(T) \setminus \{u\}) \cup \{w\}$  induces a copy of  $K_{k+1}$  in G, a contradiction. Hence,  $G_{U \to W}$  is  $\{K_{k+1}, M_{s+1}\}$ -free. By the extremality of G, we have  $N(G', K_r) = N(G, K_r)$ . But the number of equivalent classes of G' (U and W merge into one class in G') is less than the one in G, a contradiction to assumption (\*\*).

By Claim 2.4 and G is  $K_{k+1}$ -free, G[B] is a complete  $\ell$ -partite graph with  $\ell \leq k$ . Let its partition sets be  $B_1, \ldots, B_\ell$  and let  $B_{\ell+1} = \cdots = B_k = \emptyset$  if  $\ell < k$ . Let  $b_i = |B_i|$  for  $i \in [k]$ . Without loss of generality, assume  $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \ldots \geq b_k \geq 0$ . Write  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_1, \ldots, B_{k-1}\}$ . Let  $\Delta_{\mathcal{B}}^{r-1} = N(K[\mathcal{B}], K_{r-1})$ . Since  $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} b_i = b - b_k$ , by Theorem 1.1,  $\Delta_{\mathcal{B}}^{r-1} \leq \Delta_{b-b_k,k-1}^{r-1}$ .

Recall that  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} A_i$ . For those isolated vertices in G[A], we have the following claim.

**Claim 2.5.** For  $v \in A$  with  $d_{G[A]}(v) = 0$ , we have  $d_G^{(r-1)}(v) \le \Delta_{\mathcal{B}}^{r-1} \le \Delta_{b-b_k,k-1}^{r-1}$ .

*Proof.* Let T be the vertex set of a copy of  $K_r$  covering v. Then  $T \cap A = \{v\}$  and  $|T \cap B| = r - 1$  because  $d_{G[A]}(v) = 0$ . Therefore,  $G[T \cap B] \cong K_{r-1}$ . Note that  $N_G(v) \subset B$ . We have  $d_G^{(r-1)}(v) \leq N(G[N_G(v)], K_{r-1})$ .

Let  $I(v) = \{i \in [k] : N_G(v) \cap B_i \neq \emptyset\}$ . Apparently,  $|I(v)| \leq k-1$ . Otherwise, I(v) = [k]. Note that  $G[B] = K[B_1, \ldots, B_k]$  in this case. Thus  $G[B \cup \{v\}] = K[\{v\}, B_1, \ldots, B_k]$  contains a copy of  $K_{k+1}$ , a contradiction. Now let  $\mathcal{B}' = \bigcup_{i \in I(v)} \{B_i\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ . Then

$$d_G^{(r-1)}(v) \le N(G[N_G(v)], K_{r-1}) \le N(K[\mathcal{B}'], K_{r-1}) \le N(K[\mathcal{B}], K_{r-1}) = \Delta_{\mathcal{B}}^{r-1}.$$

When A is an independent set of G, we have the following claim.

**Claim 2.6.** If A is an independent set of G, then  $G \cong G_k(n, s)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{B}_0 = \{B_1, \cdots, B_k\}$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_1, \ldots, B_{k-1}\}$ . Then

$$N(K[\mathcal{B}_0], K_r) = \Delta_{\mathcal{B}_0}^r = \Delta_{\mathcal{B}}^{r-1} |B_k| + \Delta_{\mathcal{B}}^r.$$

Note that  $b + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{a_i - 1}{2} = s$ . Hence when  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} A_i$  is an independent set, we have  $a_1 = \ldots = a_m = 1$  and b = s. By Claim 2.5,

$$N(G, K_r) \leq \Delta_{\mathcal{B}_0}^r + \sum_{v \in A} d_G^{r-1}(v)$$
  
$$\leq \Delta_{\mathcal{B}_0}^r + (n-s)\Delta_{\mathcal{B}}^{r-1}$$
  
$$= (n-s+|B_k|)\Delta_{\mathcal{B}}^{r-1} + \Delta_{\mathcal{B}}^r$$
  
$$\leq [n-(s-b_k)]\Delta_{s-b_k,k-1}^{r-1} + \Delta_{s-b_k,k-1}^r$$
  
$$= g_{n,k,r}(s-b_k).$$

By Observation 2.3 (2), we have

$$N(G, K_r) \le g_{n,k,r}(s - b_k) \le g_{n,k,r}(s) = N(G_k(n, s), s).$$

When the equality holds, we must have  $b_k = 0$ ,  $G[B] \cong T_{k-1}(s)$  by Theorem 1.1, and G[B, A] = K[B, A]. This implies that  $G \cong G_k(n, s)$ .

Claim 2.7.  $a_2 = a_3 = \cdots = a_m = 1$ .

*Proof.* If A is an independent set of G, then we are done. Now suppose |G[A]| > 0. Then b < s. Let  $v_0$  be a vertex in A with  $d_G^{(r-1)}(v_0) = \max_{v \in A} d_G^{(r-1)}(v)$ . Without loss of generality, suppose  $v_0 \in A_1$ .

If  $d_{G[A]}(v_0) = 0$ , let G' be the resulting graph by applying the switching operations  $u \to v_0$  for all vertices  $u \in A \setminus \{v_0\}$  one by one. Then we have |G'[A]| = 0. By Proposition 2.2,  $N(G', K_r) \ge N(G, K_r)$ . With the same discussion as in the proof of Claim 2.4, we have that G' is still  $\{K_{k+1}, M_{s+1}\}$ -free. But  $|I_{G'}(A)| = m > |I_G(A)|$ , a contradiction to the assumption (\*).

If  $d_{G[A]}(v_0) > 0$ , then  $a_1 \ge 3$ . If  $a_2 = \ldots = a_m = 1$ , we are done. Now, without loss of generality, assume  $a_2 \ge 3$ . Since  $G[A_2]$  is connected, we can pick two vertices, say  $u_1, u_2$  in A such that  $G[A_2 \setminus \{u_1, u_2\}]$  is still connected  $(u_1, u_2 \text{ exist, for example, we can$  $take two leaves of a spanning tree of <math>G[A_2]$ ). Let  $G_1$  be the resulting graph by applying the switching operations  $u_1 \to v_0$  and  $u_2 \to v_0$  one by one. With similar discussion as in the above case, we have  $N(G_1, K_r) \ge N(G, K_r)$  and  $G_1$  is  $\{K_{k+1}, M_{s+1}\}$ -free. Continue the process after  $t = \frac{a_2-1}{2}$  steps, we obtain a graph  $G_t$  with  $N(G_t, K_r) \ge N(G, K_r)$  and  $G_t$  is  $\{K_{k+1}, M_{s+1}\}$ -free. But  $|I_{G_t}(A)| = |I_G(A)| + 1$ , a contradiction to the assumption (\*).  $\Box$ 

Now by Claim 2.7 and Theorem 2.1, we have  $b + \frac{a_1-1}{2} = s$ . Thus,  $a_1 = 2s - 2b + 1$ and then  $|B \cup A_1| = a_1 + b = 2s - b + 1$ . Note that  $0 \le b \le s$ . By Claim 2.5, for vertex  $v \notin B \cup A_1$ ,  $d_G^{(r-1)}(v) \le \Delta_{b-b_k,k-1}^{r-1} \le \Delta_{b,k-1}^{r-1}$ . Also, since  $G[B \cup A_1]$  is  $K_{k+1}$ -free, by Theorem 1.1,  $N(G[B \cup A_1], K_r) \le \Delta_{2s-b+1,k}^r$ . Therefore,

$$N(G, K_r) \le \Delta_{2s-b+1,k}^r + (n-2s+b-1)\Delta_{b,k-1}^{r-1}.$$

Define  $f_{n,k,r,s}(b) := \Delta_{2s-b+1,k}^r + (n-2s+b-1)\Delta_{b,k-1}^{r-1}$ . If b = 0, then  $f_{n,k,r,s}(0) = N(T_k(2s+1), K_r)$ , and the proof is done. If b = s, then  $a_1 = 1$  and thus A is an independent set of G, the proof is done by Claim 2.6. In particular,  $f_{n,k,r,s}(s) \leq N(G_k(n,s), K_r)$ .

By Observation 2.3 (1), for  $0 \le b \le s - 1$ ,

$$f_{n,k,r,s}(b+1) - f_{n,k,r,s}(b) = -\Delta_{(2s-b)-\lfloor\frac{2s-b}{k}\rfloor,k-1}^{r-1} + (n-2s+b)\Delta_{b-\lfloor\frac{b}{k-1}\rfloor,k-2}^{r-2} + \Delta_{b,k-1}^{r-1}.$$

For fixed k and r,  $\Delta_{t,k}^r$  is an increasing function of t, and  $t - \lfloor \frac{t}{k} \rfloor$  is a non-decreasing function of t. It is easy to check that  $g(b) = f_{n,k,r,s}(b+1) - f_{n,k,r,s}(b)$  is an increasing function on  $0 \le b \le s - 1$ . This implies that  $f_{n,k,r,s}(b)$  is convex on [0, s - 1]. Therefore,

$$f_{n,k,r,s}(b) \le \max\{f_{n,k,r,s}(0), f_{n,k,r,s}(s)\} \le \max\{N(T_k(2s+1), K_r), N(G_k(n,s), K_r)\}.$$

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.5

Proof of Theorem 1.5 (I). Let H be a graph with  $\chi(H) \geq 3$  and let G be an extremal graph of  $\{H, M_{s+1}\}$  on sufficiently large n vertices. Let  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(H)$ . Let  $N = N(G, K_r)$ , we will prove  $N \leq ex(n, K_{r-1}, \mathcal{H})n + O(1)$ .

Since G is  $M_{s+1}$ -free, by Theorem 2.1, there is a vertex set  $B \subset V(G)$  such that  $G - B = G[A_1] + G[A_2] + \cdots + G[A_m]$  for some  $A_i \subset V(G)$   $(i \in [m])$  of odd sizes, and  $|B| + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|A_i|-1}{2} = s$ . Let  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i$ .

For integer  $0 \leq j \leq r$ , let  $N_j = |\{T \subset G : T \cong K_r, |V(T) \cap A| = j\}|$ , the number of copies of  $K_r$  with exactly j vertices in A. Apparently,  $N = \sum_{i=0}^r N_j$ .

Since  $|B| \leq s$ , we have  $N_0 \leq {s \choose r} = O(1)$ . Since  $\sum_{i=1}^m (|A_i| - 1) \leq 2s$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |G[A]| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} |K[A_i]| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( (|A_i| - 1)^2 + |A_i| - 1 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} (|A_i| - 1) \right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} (|A_i| - 1) \right] \\ &\leq 2s^2 + s. \end{aligned}$$

Since every copy of  $K_r$  with exactly j vertices in A must induce a copy of  $K_{r-j}$  in B and a copy of  $K_j$  in A for  $2 \le j \le r$ , we have

$$N_j \le N(G[B], K_{r-j}) \cdot N(G[A], K_j) \le {\binom{s}{r-j}} {\binom{2s^2+s}{\frac{j(j-1)}{2}}} = O(1).$$

Therefore,  $N = N_0 + N_1 + \sum_{j=2}^m N_j = N_1 + O(1).$ 

Now for any  $U \subset A$ , let

$$N_1(U) = |\{T \subset G : T \cong K_r, |V(T) \cap U| = |V(T) \cap A| = 1\}|.$$

For any  $W \subset B$ , let

$$A_W = \{ v \in A : N_G(v) \cap A = W \}.$$

Apparently,  $A = \bigcup_{W \subset B} A_W$  and  $N_1 = N_1(A) = \sum_{W \subset B} N_1(A_W)$ .

For some  $W \subset B$ , if  $|A_W| \geq |V(H)|$ , then G[W] must be  $\mathcal{H}$ -free, otherwise, suppose G[W] contains some  $H' \in \mathcal{H}$ , then any |V(H)| - |V(H')| vertices in  $A_W$  together with H' would induce a copy of H in  $G[W \cup A_W]$ , a contradiction. Thus  $N(G[W], K_{r-1}) \leq \exp(|W|, K_{r-1}, \mathcal{H})$ . Therefore, for  $W \subset B$  with  $|A_W| \geq |V(H)|$ ,

 $N_1(A_W) \le \exp(|W|, K_{r-1}, \mathcal{H})|A_W| \le \exp(s, K_{r-1}, \mathcal{H})|A_W|.$ 

Let  $Q = \{ W \subset B : |A_W| \ge |V(H)| \}$ . Then

$$\sum_{W \in Q} N_1(A_W) \le \operatorname{ex}(s, K_{r-1}, \mathcal{H}) \sum_{W \in Q} |A_W|.$$

Let  $R = \{W \subset B : |A_W| < |V(H)|\}$ . Then  $R = 2^B - Q$ . Thus

$$\sum_{W \in R} |A_W| \le |R|(|V(H)| - 1) \le 2^s(|V(H)| - 1).$$

Hence, for every  $W \in R$ , we have

$$N_1(A_W) \le N(G[W], K_{r-1})|A_W| \le {|W| \choose r-1}|A_W| \le {s \choose r-1}|A_W|.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{W \in R} N_1(A_W) \le \binom{s}{r-1} \sum_{W \in R} |A_W| \le 2^s \binom{s}{r-1} (|V(H)| - 1) = O(1).$$

Finally, we have

$$N_{1} = \sum_{W \in 2^{B}} N_{1}(A_{W}) = \sum_{W \in Q} N_{1}(A_{W}) + \sum_{W \in R} N_{1}(A_{W})$$

$$\leq \operatorname{ex}(s, K_{r-1}, \mathcal{H}) \sum_{W \in Q} |A_{W}| + O(1)$$

$$\leq \operatorname{ex}(s, K_{r-1}, \mathcal{H}) |A| + O(1)$$

$$< \operatorname{ex}(s, K_{r-1}, \mathcal{H})n + O(1).$$

The proof is completed because of  $N(G, K_r) = N_1 + O(1)$ .

Now we give the proof of Theorem 1.5 (II).

Proof of Theorem 1.5 (II). Let H be a graph with  $\chi(H) = 2$ . Recall that p = p(H) is the smallest possible number of vertices of a color class in a proper 2-coloring of H. Suppose  $r \geq 3$  and G is an extremal graph of  $\{H, M_{s+1}\}$  on n vertices.

If p > s, then the result (i) follows the fact that  $ex(n, K_r, \{H, M_{s+1}\}) \le ex(n, K_r, M_{s+1})$ , where the equality can be obtained by  $max\{N(K_{2s+1}, K_r), N(G_{s+1}(n, s), K_r)\}$ .

Now, assume  $p \leq s$  and n is sufficiently large. We prove the result (ii). Pick a maximum matching M in G. Since G is  $M_{s+1}$ -free,  $|M| \leq s$ . Note that  $H \subseteq K_{p,|V(H)|-p}$ . This implies that for any  $W \in \binom{V(M)}{p}$ , we have

$$\left|\bigcap_{u\in W} N_G(u)\right| \le |V(H)| - p.$$

Let  $D_{\geq p} = \{v \in V(G) \setminus V(M) : d_G(v) \geq p\}$ . Note that  $N_G(v) \subseteq V(M)$ . Hence, for  $v \in D_{\geq p}$ and  $W \in \binom{N_G(v)}{p} \subseteq \binom{V(M)}{p}$ , we have  $v \in \bigcap_{u \in W} N_G(u)$ . Therefore,

$$|D_{\geq p}| \leq \left| \bigcup_{W \in \binom{V(M)}{p}} \left( \bigcap_{u \in W} N_G(u) \right) \right| \leq \binom{|V(M)|}{p} \left( |V(H)| - p \right).$$

Let  $B = D_{\geq p} \cup V(M)$  and  $A = V(G) \setminus B$ . Let  $N_0 = N(G[B], K_r)$ . Then

$$N(G, K_r) \le N_0 + \sum_{v \in A} d_G^{(r-1)}(v).$$

Since

$$|B| = |D_{\geq p}| + |V(M)| \le \binom{2s}{p}(|V(H)| - p) + 2s = O(1),$$

we have  $N_0 \leq {\binom{|B|}{r}} = O(1)$ . For any  $v \in A$ ,  $d_G(v) \leq p-1$  by the definition. Hence

$$d_G^{(r-1)}(v) = N(G[N_G(v)], K_{r-1}) \le \binom{d_G(v)}{r-1} \le \binom{p-1}{r-1}.$$

Therefore,

$$N(G, K_r) = N_0 + \sum_{v \in A} d_G^{(r-1)}(v) \le O(1) + {p-1 \choose r-1} |A| = {p-1 \choose r-1} n + O(1).$$

This completes the proof.

### 4 Concluding Remarks

In this paper, we determine the exact value of  $ex(n, K_r, \{K_{k+1}, M_{s+1}\})$  (Theorem 1.4) and give an asymptotic value of  $ex(n, K_r, \{H, M_{s+1}\})$  up to additive error of O(1) for general H (Theorem 1.5). There is a natural question that if the error term O(1) can be omitted? Unfortunately, the answer is no. For example, when  $H = C_5$  and for  $r \in \{3, 4\}$  and sufficiently large s,  $ex(s, K_{r-1}, \mathcal{H}) = 0$ , while apparently  $ex(n, K_r, \{C_5, M_{s+1}\}) > 0$ . It will be interesting to consider the following question.

**Question 4.1.** For every graph H with  $\chi(H) \geq 3$  and  $r \geq 3$ , if  $ex(s, K_{r-1}, \mathcal{H}(H)) > 0$ , then, for sufficiently large n,

$$ex(n, K_r, \{H, M_{s+1}\}) = N(D_H(n, s), K_r).$$

### References

- [1] N. Alon, P. Frankl, Turán graphs with bounded matching number, arXiv2210.15076.
- [2] N. Alon and C. Shikhelman. Many T copies in H-free graphs. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B, 121:146–172, 2016.
- [3] C. Berge, Sur le couplage maximum d'un graphe, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math, 247(1958), 258-259.
- [4] P. Turán, On an extremal problem in graph theory, Matematikaiés Fizikai Lapok (in Hungarian), 48(1941), 436-452.
- [5] P. Erdös, On the number of complete subgraphs contained in certain graphs, Magy. Tud. Akad. Mat. Kut. Intéz. Közl, 7(1962), 459-474.
- [6] D. Gerbner, On Turán problems with bounded matching number, arXiv2211.03272.
- [7] D. Gerbner, Generalized Turán problems for small graphs. Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory, 2021, 10.7151/dmgt.2388.
- [8] D. Gerbner, On Turán-good graphs. Discrete Mathematics. 344 (2021), 112445.
   10.1016/j.disc.2021.112445.
- [9] D. Gerbner, A non-aligning variant of generalized Turán problems, arXiv:2109.02181v1, 2021.
- [10] D. Gerbner, Generalized Turán problems for double stars, arXiv:2112.11144v2, 2022.

- [11] D. Gerbner, E. Győri, A. Methuku and M. Vizer, Generalized Turán problems for even cycles, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 145:169-213, 2020.
- [12] D. Gerbner, C. Palmer, Counting copies of a fixed subgraph in F-free graphs, European Journal of Combinatorics, 82(2019), pp 103001
- [13] D. Gerbner, C. Palmer, Some exact results for generalized Turán problems, European Journal of Combinatorics, Volume 103 (2022), 103519, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2022.103519.
- [14] D. Hei, X. Hou, B. Liu, Some exact results of the generalized Turán numbers for paths, European Journal of Combinatorics, Volume 110 (2023), 103682, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2022.103682.
- [15] J. Kritschgau, A. Methuku, M. Tait, and C. Timmons, Few H copies in F-saturated graphs, Journal of Graph Theory, 94(3):320–348, 2020.
- [16] L. Lovász, M.D. Plummer, Mathching theory, Ann. Discrete Math., 29(1986).
- [17] J. Ma, Y. Qiu, Some sharp results on the generalized Turán numbers, European J. Combin., 84(2020), 103026.
- [18] M. Simonovits, A method for solving extremal problems in graph theory, stability problems, in Theory of Graphs, Proc. Colloq., Tihany, 1966, Academic Press, New York, (1968), pp.279-319.
- [19] L. Shoham, Many H-Copies in Graphs with a Forbidden Tree, SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics, 33(4):2360-2368, 2019.
- [20] A.A. Zykov, On some properties of linear complexes, Mat. Sbornik N.S., 24(66)(1949), 163-188.