

FANS AND POLYTOPES IN TILTING THEORY II: g -FANS OF RANK 2

TOSHITAKA AOKI, AKIHIRO HIGASHITANI, OSAMU IYAMA, RYOICHI KASE, AND YUYA MIZUNO

ABSTRACT. The g -fan of a finite dimensional algebra is a fan in its real Grothendieck group defined by tilting theory. We give a classification of complete g -fans of rank 2. More explicitly, our first main result asserts that every complete sign-coherent fan of rank 2 is a g -fan of some finite dimensional algebra. Our proof is based on three fundamental results, Gluing Theorem, Rotation Theorem and Subdivision Theorem, which realize basic operations on fans in the level of finite dimensional algebras. For each of 16 convex sign-coherent fans Σ of rank 2, our second main result gives a characterization of algebras A of rank 2 satisfying $\Sigma(A) = \Sigma$. As a by-product of our method, we prove that for each positive integer N , there exists a finite dimensional algebra A of rank 2 such that the Hasse quiver of the poset of 2-term silting complexes of A has precisely N connected components.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	6
3. Basic results in silting theory	10
4. Gluing, Rotation and Subdivision of fans	15
5. g -Convex algebras of rank 2	25
6. Gluing fans II and Application	29
Acknowledgments	35
References	35

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of tilting complexes is central to control equivalences of derived categories. The class of silting complexes [KV] gives a completion of the class of tilting complexes with respect to mutation, which is an operation to replace a direct summand of a given silting complex to construct a new silting complex [AiI]. The subclass of 2-term silting complexes enjoys remarkable properties [AIR, DF]. They give rise to a fan $\Sigma(A)$ called the g -fan in the real Grothendieck group of a finite dimensional algebra A , see e.g. [H1, H2, DIJ, BST, AY, AsI, M, PY, P, B2, As]. In our previous article [AHIKM1], we developed a basic theory of g -fans and the associated g -polytopes (see Section 3.1). In particular, the following class of fans was introduced.

Definition 1.1. A *sign-coherent fan* is a pair (Σ, σ_+) satisfying the following conditions.

- Σ is a nonsingular fan in \mathbb{R}^d , and $\sigma_+, -\sigma_+ \in \Sigma_d$.
- Take $e_1, \dots, e_d \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\sigma_+ = \text{cone}\{e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq d\}$. Then for each $\sigma \in \Sigma$, there exists $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_d \in \{1, -1\}$ such that $\sigma \subseteq \text{cone}\{\epsilon_1 e_1, \dots, \epsilon_d e_d\}$.
- Each cone of dimension $d - 1$ is contained in precisely two cones of dimension d .
- Each maximal cone has dimension d .

The g -fan $\Sigma(A)$ of a finite dimensional algebra A over a field k is sign-coherent [AHIKM1], and $\Sigma(A)$ is complete if and only if A is g -finite [As, DIJ] (Proposition 3.4). The following problem is central in the study of g -fans.

Problem 1.2. *Characterize complete sign-coherent fans in \mathbb{R}^d which can be realized as g -fans of some finite dimensional algebras.*

The main aim of this paper is to give a complete answer to this problem for the case $d = 2$. We denote by $\text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}(2)$ (respectively, $\text{c-Fan}_{\text{sc}}(2)$) the set of sign-coherent fans (respectively, the set of complete sign-coherent fans) in \mathbb{R}^2 (Definition 2.6), and by $\text{Fan}_k(2)$ (respectively, $\text{c-Fan}_k(2)$) the set of g -fans (respectively, the set of complete g -fans) of some finite dimensional k -algebras A of rank 2 (Definition 3.3). Identifying $K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}}$ with \mathbb{R}^2 by $P_1 \mapsto (1, 0)$ and $P_2 \mapsto (0, 1)$ where P_1 and P_2 are indecomposable projective A -modules, we obtain inclusions

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}(2) & \supset & \text{Fan}_k(2) \\ \cup & & \cup \\ \text{c-Fan}_{\text{sc}}(2) & \supset & \text{c-Fan}_k(2). \end{array}$$


The following answer to Problem 1.2 for the case $d = 2$ was very simple and a surprise to us. We state it as our first main result.

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 4.16). *Let k be an arbitrary field. Then we have*

$$\text{c-Fan}_{\text{sc}}(2) = \text{c-Fan}_k(2).$$

Thus any complete sign-coherent fan in \mathbb{R}^2 can be realized as a g -fan of some finite dimensional k -algebra.


We explain our method to prove Theorem 1.3. Each sign-coherent fan of rank 2 is obtained by gluing two fans of the following form, where each triangle represents a cone in the fan, and a triangle containing $+$ (respectively, $-$) represents the cone σ_+ (respectively, $-\sigma_+$).



Recall that a finite dimensional k -algebra A is *elementary* if the k -algebra A/J_A is isomorphic to a product of k . This is automatic if A is basic and k is algebraically closed. We prove

- *Gluing Theorem 4.2*, which asserts that if both Σ and Σ' are g -fans of finite dimensional elementary k -algebras, then so is their gluing.

Therefore by symmetry, it suffices to consider sign-coherent fans Σ of the form above. Now such Σ can be obtained from the fan



by applying subdivision in the fourth quadrant repeatedly. We prove

- *Rotation Theorem 4.6* and
- *Subdivision Theorem 4.10*,

which imply that if Σ is a g -fan of a finite dimensional k -algebra, then so are the subdivisions of Σ in the fourth quadrant. We denote by $\text{c-Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2)$ the subsets of $\text{c-Fan}_{\text{sc}}(2)$ respectively which consist of fans containing cone $\{(1, 0), (0, -1)\}$. Figure 1 gives fans in $\text{c-Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2)$ with at most 8 facet, where each edge shows a subdivision. Figure 2 gives examples of algebras whose g -fans are given in Figure 1 (see Subsection 2.2 for the parametrization of fans).

Since the g -fan $\Sigma(A)$ gives a combinatorial invariant of the tilting theory of A , it is important to study the following problem.

Problem 1.4. *Let Σ be a sign-coherent fan. Give a characterization of algebras A satisfying $\Sigma(A) = \Sigma$.*




FIGURE 1. Fans in $\text{c-Fan}_{sc}^{+-}(2)$ with at most 8 facets

For a nonsingular fan Σ , we denote by $P(\Sigma)$ the gluing of each simplex associated with the cones in Σ (see Definition 2.4). If $P(\Sigma)$ is convex, we call Σ *convex*. In Theorem 1.6 below, we give a complete answer to Problem 1.4 when Σ is rank 2 and convex. A finite dimensional algebra A is called *g -convex* if $\Sigma(A)$ is convex. For example, Brauer tree algebras A are *g -convex*, and this fact plays an important role in the classification of 2-term tilting complexes of A [AMN]. From tilting theoretic point of view, *g -convex* algebras can be regarded as one of the most fundamental classes. Therefore it is important to study the following special case of Problem 1.2.

Problem 1.5. *Classify convex g -fans in \mathbb{R}^d .*





FIGURE 2. Algebras whose g -fans are given in Figure 1

An answer to the case $d = 2$ was given in [AHKM1, Theorem 6.3]: There are precisely 7 convex g -fans up to isomorphism of g -fans.




In Section 5, we give a more explicit result. Our second main result below shows that there are 16 convex g -fans $\Sigma_{a;b}$ with $a, b \in \{(0, 0), (1, 1, 1), (1, 2, 1, 2), (2, 1, 2, 1)\}$ in $\mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{\text{sc}}(2)$, and also gives a characterization of algebras whose g -fans are one of them. Here we denote by $t(M)_A$ (respectively, $t_A(M)$) the minimal number of generators of a right (respectively, left) A -module M , and ${}^t\Sigma$ is the transpose of Σ (Lemma 2.7).

Theorem 1.6 (Theorem 5.1). *Let A be a basic finite dimensional algebra, $\{e_1, e_2\}$ a complete set of primitive orthogonal idempotents in A , and $P_i = e_i A$ ($i = 1, 2$).*


(a) *A is g -convex if and only if*

$$\Sigma(A) = \Sigma_{a;b} \text{ for some } a, b \in \{(0, 0), (1, 1, 1), (1, 2, 1, 2), (2, 1, 2, 1)\}.$$

(b) *Let $a \in \{(0, 0), (1, 1, 1), (1, 2, 1, 2), (2, 1, 2, 1)\}$. Then $\Sigma(A) = \Sigma_a * \Sigma$ holds for some $\Sigma \in \mathbf{Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2)$ if and only if certain explicit conditions on $t_{e_1 A e_1}(e_1 A e_2)$, $t(e_1 A e_2)_{e_2 A e_2}$ and some other invariant is satisfied (see Theorem 5.1(b) for details).*



(c) *Let $b \in \{(0, 0), (1, 1, 1), (1, 2, 1, 2), (2, 1, 2, 1)\}$. Then $\Sigma(A) = \Sigma * {}^t\Sigma_b$ holds for some $\Sigma \in \mathbf{Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2)$ if and only if certain explicit conditions on $t_{e_2 A e_2}(e_2 A e_1)$, $t(e_2 A e_1)_{e_1 A e_1}$ and some other invariant is satisfied (see Theorem 5.1(c) for details).*



Further, in a forthcoming paper [AHKM2], we will give a complete answer to Problem 1.5 for $d = 3$.

In Section 6, we give another application of methods developed in this paper. For a finite dimensional k -algebra A , we denote by $\text{Hasse}(2\text{-silt}A)$ the Hasse quiver of 2-term basic sifting complexes of A . It is important to study the following problem.

Problem 1.7. *For a given finite dimensional algebra A , determine the number of connected components of $\text{Hasse}(2\text{-silt}A)$.*

This question is a 2-term version of transitivity problem of iterated sifting mutation [AiI, D] and also related to connectedness of the space of Bridgeland stability conditions [B2], e.g. [AMY, BPP, QW].

If A is either hereditary [BMRRT] or g -finite [AIR], then $\text{Hasse}(2\text{-silt}A)$ is connected. There are several examples of A such that $\text{Hasse}(2\text{-silt}A)$ has precisely 2 connected components [DIJ, Theorems 6.17, 6.18][T, KM]. There are examples of A such that $\text{Hasse}(2\text{-silt}A)$ has at least 4

connected components [T]. The following our third main result of this paper shows that the number of connected components of $\text{Hasse}(2\text{-silt}A)$ can be arbitrary large.

Theorem 1.8 (Theorem 6.7). *Let k be an arbitrary field. For each positive integer $N \geq 1$, there exists a finite dimensional k -algebra A_N with $|A_N| = 2$ such that $\text{Hasse}(2\text{-silt}A_N)$ has precisely N connected components.*

We do not know if there exists a finite dimensional algebra A such that $\text{Hasse}(2\text{-silt}A)$ has infinitely many connected components.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Preliminaries on fans. We recall some fundamental materials on fans. We refer the reader to e.g. [F, BR, BP] for these materials.

Let \mathbb{R}^d be an Euclidean space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. A *convex polyhedral cone* σ is a set of the form

$$\sigma = \text{cone}\{v_1, \dots, v_s\} := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^s r_i v_i \mid r_i \geq 0 \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $v_1, \dots, v_s \in \mathbb{R}^d$. For example, $\{0\}$ is a convex polyhedral cone. We collect some notions concerning convex polyhedral cones. Let σ be a convex polyhedral cone.

- The dimension of σ is the dimension of the linear space generated by σ .
- We say that σ is *strongly convex* if $\sigma \cap (-\sigma) = \{0\}$ holds, i.e., σ does not contain a linear subspace of positive dimension.
- We call σ *rational* if each v_i can be taken from \mathbb{Q}^d .
- A *supporting hyperplane* of σ is a hyperplane $\{v \in \sigma \mid \langle u, v \rangle = 0\}$ in \mathbb{R}^d given by some $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying $\sigma \subset \{v \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \langle u, v \rangle \geq 0\}$.
- A *face* of σ is the intersection of σ with a supporting hyperplane of σ .

In what follows, a cone means a strongly convex rational polyhedral cone for short.

Definition 2.1. A *fan* Σ in \mathbb{R}^d is a collection of cones in \mathbb{R}^d such that

- each face of a cone in Σ is also contained in Σ , and
- the intersection of two cones in Σ is a face of each of those two cones.

For each $i \geq 0$, we denote by Σ_i the subset of cones of dimension i . For example, Σ_0 consists of the trivial cone $\{0\}$. We call each element in Σ_1 a *ray* of Σ .

We collect some notions concerning fans used in this paper. Let Σ be a fan in \mathbb{R}^d .

- We call Σ *finite* if it consists of a finite number of cones.
- We call Σ *complete* if $\bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \sigma = \mathbb{R}^d$.
- We call Σ *nonsingular* (or *smooth*) if each maximal cone in Σ is generated by a \mathbb{Z} -basis for \mathbb{Z}^d .

We prepare some notions which will be used in this paper.

Definition 2.2. Let Σ be a nonsingular fan in \mathbb{R}^d . We call Σ *pairwise positive* if the following condition is satisfied.

- For each two adjacent maximal cones $\sigma, \tau \in \Sigma_d$, take \mathbb{Z} -basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_{d-1}, v_d\}$ and $\{v_1, \dots, v_{d-1}, v'_d\}$ of \mathbb{Z}^d such that $\sigma = \text{cone}\{v_1, \dots, v_{d-1}, v_d\}$ and $\tau = \text{cone}\{v_1, \dots, v_{d-1}, v'_d\}$. Then $v_d + v'_d$ belongs to $\text{cone}\{v_1, \dots, v_{d-1}\}$.

Definition 2.3. Let Σ and Σ' be fans in \mathbb{R}^d and $\mathbb{R}^{d'}$ respectively.

- An *isomorphism* $\Sigma \simeq \Sigma'$ of fans is an isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}^d \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{d'}$ of abelian groups such that the induced linear isomorphism $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d'}$ gives a bijection $\Sigma \simeq \Sigma'$ between cones.
- Let (Σ, σ_+) and (Σ', σ'_+) be sign-coherent fans in \mathbb{R}^d and $\mathbb{R}^{d'}$ respectively. An *isomorphism of sign-coherent fans* is an isomorphism $f : \Sigma \simeq \Sigma'$ of fans such that $\{f(\sigma_+), f(-\sigma_+)\} = \{\sigma'_+, -\sigma'_+\}$.

Definition 2.4. Let Σ be a nonsingular fan in \mathbb{R}^d . For each $\sigma \in \Sigma_d$, take a basis v_1, \dots, v_d of \mathbb{Z}^d such that $\sigma = \text{cone}\{v_1, \dots, v_d\}$, and let $\sigma_{\leq 1} := \text{conv}\{0, v_1, \dots, v_d\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Define a (not necessarily convex) polytope in \mathbb{R}^d by

$$P(\Sigma) := \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma_d} \sigma_{\leq 1}.$$

We say that Σ is *convex* if $P(\Sigma)$ is convex.

2.2. Sign-coherent fans of rank 2. In this subsection, we introduce some terminologies of sign-coherent fans of rank 2, and discuss some fundamental properties.

Let Σ be a complete nonsingular fan of rank 2. We denote the rays of Σ by

$$v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}, v_n = v_0 \quad (2.1)$$

which are indexed in a clockwise orientation. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, since Σ is nonsingular, there exists an integer a_i satisfying

$$a_i v_i = v_{i-1} + v_{i+1} \quad \text{for each } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Following the terminology of frieze by [CC1, CC2], we call the sequence of integers

$$s(\Sigma) = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \quad (2.2)$$

the *quiddity sequence* of Σ . In fact, Σ is uniquely determined by its quiddity sequence. A fan with quiddity sequence (a_1, \dots, a_n) is denoted by

$$\Sigma(a_1, \dots, a_n).$$

Remark 2.5. [F, Section 2.5] An integer sequence (a_1, \dots, a_n) is a quiddity sequence of nonsingular complete fan of rank 2 if and only if it satisfies

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 3n - 12 \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & a_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & a_2 \end{bmatrix} \cdots \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & a_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Definition 2.6. We denote by $\text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}(2)$ the set of all (possibly infinite) fans Σ satisfying that

- Σ is a sign-coherent fans (Definition 1.1) of rank 2 with positive and negative cones

$$\sigma_+ := \text{cone}\{(1, 0), (0, 1)\} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_- := \text{cone}\{(-1, 0), (0, -1)\} \quad \text{respectively,}$$

- each ray is a face of precisely two facets.


We denote the subset of complete fans by

$$\text{c-Fan}_{\text{sc}}(2) \subset \text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}(2).$$

For $\Sigma \in \text{c-Fan}_{\text{sc}}(2)$, we denote the rays of Σ in a clockwise orientation by

$$\Sigma_1 = \{v_1 := (1, 0), v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}, v_n = v_0 := (0, 1)\}.$$

Then there exists $2 \leq i \leq n - 2$ such that $v_i = (0, -1)$ and $v_{i+1} = (-1, 0)$.



In this case, it is more convenient to rewrite (2.2) as

$$s(\Sigma) = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_i; a_n, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_{i+1}).$$

Thus we mainly use the notation


$$\Sigma(a_1, \dots, a_i; a_n, \dots, a_{i+1}) = \Sigma_{a_1, \dots, a_i; a_n, \dots, a_{i+1}}$$

instead of $\Sigma(a_1, \dots, a_n)$.

We consider subsets

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2) & \subset & \text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}(2) \\ \cup & & \cup \\ \text{c-Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2) & \subset & \text{c-Fan}_{\text{sc}}(2) \end{array}$$

which consist of fans Σ containing $\sigma_{-+} := \text{cone}\{(-1, 0), (0, 1)\}$, i.e. Σ has the following form.



Thus the rays and the facets of $\Sigma \in \text{c-Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2)$ are written as

$$\Sigma_1 = \{v_1 = (1, 0), v_2, \dots, v_{n-2} = (0, -1), v_{n-1} = (-1, 0), v_n = v_0 = (0, 1)\}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\Sigma_2 = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-3}, \sigma_{n-2} = \sigma_-, \sigma_{n-1} = \sigma_{-+}, \sigma_n = \sigma_+\}. \quad (2.4)$$

Similarly, we define $\text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{-+}(2)$ and $\text{c-Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{-+}(2)$ as the subsets of $\text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}(2)$ and $\text{c-Fan}_{\text{sc}}(2)$ respectively which consist of fans containing $\sigma_{+-} := \text{cone}\{(1, 0), (0, -1)\}$. The following observations are clear.

Lemma 2.7. *The following assertions hold.*

- (a) *The correspondence $\Sigma \mapsto {}^t\Sigma := \{{}^t\sigma \mid \sigma \in \Sigma\}$ gives bijections $\text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2) \rightarrow \text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{-+}(2)$ and $\text{c-Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2) \rightarrow \text{c-Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{-+}(2)$, where ${}^t(-) : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is an isomorphism $(x, y) \mapsto (y, x)$.*
- (b) *Let $\Sigma \in \text{c-Fan}_{\text{sc}}(2)$. Then $\Sigma \in \text{c-Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2)$ (respectively, $\Sigma \in \text{c-Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{-+}(2)$) holds if and only if $s(\Sigma)$ has the form*

$$(b_1, \dots, b_m; 0, 0) \quad (\text{respectively, } (0, 0; b_1, \dots, b_m)).$$


In this case, $b_i \geq 0$ holds for any $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Let us consider the following elementary operation of fans of rank 2.

Definition 2.8. Let Σ be a (possibly infinite) nonsingular fan of rank 2. For a cone $\sigma := \text{cone}\{u, v\}$ of Σ , we define a new nonsingular fan $D_\sigma(\Sigma)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} D_\sigma(\Sigma)_1 &= \Sigma_1 \sqcup \{\text{cone}\{u + v\}\}, \\ D_\sigma(\Sigma)_2 &= (\Sigma_2 \setminus \{\sigma\}) \sqcup \{\text{cone}\{u, u + v\}, \text{cone}\{v, u + v\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

We call $D_\sigma(\Sigma)$ the *subdivision* of Σ at σ .



For a sequence $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$, we define a new sequence by

$$D_i(a) = (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_i + 1, 1, a_{i+1} + 1, a_{i+2}, \dots, a_n). \quad (2.5)$$

For a complete nonsingular fan Σ with rays (2.1) and $\sigma_i := \text{cone}\{v_i, v_{i+1}\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have

$$s \circ D_{\sigma_i}(\Sigma) = D_i \circ s(\Sigma). \quad (2.6)$$


Example 2.9. Figure 1 gives fans in $\text{c-Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2)$ with at most 8 facets, where

$$\Sigma_{a_1, \dots, a_n} := \Sigma(a_1, \dots, a_n; 0, 0)$$

and each edge shows a subdivision. Figure 2 gives examples of algebras whose g -fans are given in Figure 1. For example, Σ_{111} is the g -vector fan of a cluster algebra of type A_2 [FZ1, FZ2]. Similarly, Σ_{1212} and Σ_{2121} are the g -vector fans of cluster algebras of type B_2 , and Σ_{131313} and Σ_{313131} are the g -vector fans of cluster algebras of type G_2 .

Later we need the following observation (cf. [F, Section 4.3]).

Proposition 2.10. *Each fan in $\mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2)$ can be obtained from $\Sigma(0, 0; 0, 0)$ by a sequence of subdivisions.*



To prove this, we need the following preparation.

Lemma 2.11 (cf. [F, p.43]). *Let $\Sigma \in \mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2)$ and $s(\Sigma) = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}; 0, 0)$. If $n \geq 5$, then there exists $2 \leq i \leq n - 3$ satisfying $a_i = 1$.*

Proof. Let $v_i = (x_i, y_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Assume that $n \geq 5$ and $a_i \geq 2$ for any $2 \leq i \leq n - 3$. We claim that $x_{i+1} \geq x_i$ holds for each $1 \leq i \leq n - 3$. In fact, $n \geq 5$ implies $x_2 \geq 1 = x_1$. Then we have

$$x_{i+1} = a_i x_i - x_{i-1} \geq 2x_i - x_{i-1} \geq x_i$$

for each $2 \leq i \leq n - 3$, and the claim follows inductively. Consequently $1 = x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_{n-2} = 0$ holds, a contradiction. \square

We are ready to prove Proposition 2.10.

Proof of Proposition 2.10. Let $F \subset \mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2)$ be the set of fans obtained from $\Sigma(0, 0; 0, 0)$ by a sequence of subdivisions. It suffices to show $\mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2) = F$.

We will show that each $\Sigma \in \mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2)$ belongs to F by using induction on $n = \#\Sigma_2$.

Clearly $n \geq 4$ holds. If $n = 4$, then $\Sigma = \Sigma(0, 0; 0, 0) \in F$.

Suppose that Σ with $\#\Sigma_2 = n \geq 5$ belongs to $\mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2)$. In terms of (2.3) and (2.4), there exists $2 \leq i \leq n - 3$ satisfying $v_i = v_{i-1} + v_{i+1}$ by Lemma 2.11. Since v_{i-1}, v_{i+1} forms a \mathbb{Z} -basis of \mathbb{Z}^2 , we obtain a new fan $\Sigma' \in \mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma'_1 &:= \Sigma_1 \setminus \{v_i\}, \\ \Sigma'_2 &:= (\Sigma_2 \setminus \{\sigma_{i-1}, \sigma_i\}) \cup \{\sigma\} \text{ for } \sigma := \text{cone}\{v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\#\Sigma'_2 = n - 1$, the induction hypothesis implies $\Sigma' \in F$. Thus $\Sigma = D_\sigma(\Sigma') \in F$ holds. \square

Remark 2.12. (a) For each $n \geq 1$, we have a bijection

$$\{\Sigma \in \mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2) \mid \#\Sigma_2 = n + 3\} \simeq \{\text{the ways to parenthesize } n \text{ factors completely}\},$$

where parentheses show how cones in the fourth quadrant are obtained by iterated subdivisions.


For example, Σ_{141222} in Figure 1 has 5 cones $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_5$ in the fourth quadrant in terms of (2.4), and they are parenthesized as $\sigma_1(((\sigma_2\sigma_3)\sigma_4)\sigma_5)$. In particular, we have

$$\#\{\Sigma \in \mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2) \mid \#\Sigma_2 = n + 3\} = \frac{1}{n} \binom{2n - 2}{n - 1}.$$

(b) We also have a bijection

$$\{\Sigma \in \mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2) \mid \#\Sigma_2 = n + 3\} \simeq \{\text{Triangulations of a regular } (n + 1)\text{-gon}\},$$

where $\Sigma_{a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}}$ corresponds to a triangulation satisfying the following condition: Let $1, 2, \dots, n + 1$ be the vertices of the regular $(n + 1)$ -gon in a clockwise direction, and a_i ($1 \leq i \leq n + 1$) the number of triangles containing the vertex i in the triangulation. For example, Σ_{141222} corresponds to the following triangulation, where 1 is the top vertex.



- (c) It is well-known that the triangulations of a regular polygon give rise to Conway-Coxeter friezes [CC1, CC2] (see also [BFGST, BPT]). Thus we have a certain bijection between $\mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{\pm}(2)$ and Conway-Coxeter friezes which preserves the quiddity sequences.

3. BASIC RESULTS IN SILTING THEORY

3.1. Preliminaries. Let A be a finite dimensional algebra over a field k . Let $K_0(\text{proj } A)$ be the Grothendieck group of the additive category $\text{proj } A$, which is identified with the Grothendieck group of the triangulated category $\mathbf{K}^b(\text{proj } A)$. We recall basic results on silting theory from [AiI, AIR, AHIKM1]. First we recall the definition of 2-term silting complexes.

Definition 3.1. Let $T = (T^i, d^i) \in \mathbf{K}^b(\text{proj } A)$.

- (a) T is called *presilting* if $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}^b(\text{proj } A)}(T, T[\ell]) = 0$ for all positive integers ℓ .
- (b) T is called *silting* if it is presilting and $\mathbf{K}^b(\text{proj } A) = \text{thick } T$.
- (c) T is called *2-term* if $T^i = 0$ for all $i \neq 0, -1$. In this case, the class $[T] = [T^0] - [T^{-1}] \in K_0(\text{proj } A)$ of T is called the *g-vector* of T .
- (d) An element of $K_0(\text{proj } A)$ is *rigid* if it is a *g-vector* of some 2-term presilting complex.

We denote by $\text{silt } A$ (respectively, $\text{psilt } A$, $2\text{-silt } A$, $2\text{-psilt } A$) the set of isomorphism classes of basic silting (respectively, presilting, 2-term silting, 2-term presilting) complexes of $\mathbf{K}^b(\text{proj } A)$. Note that a 2-term presilting complex T is silting if and only if $|T| = |A|$ holds.

For $T, U \in \text{silt } A$, we write $T \geq U$ if $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}^b(\text{proj } A)}(T, U[\ell]) = 0$ holds for all positive integers ℓ . Then $(\text{silt } A, \geq)$ is a partially ordered set [AiI].

In this paper, the subposet $(2\text{-silt } A, \geq)$ of $(\text{silt } A, \geq)$ plays a central role. It is known that $\text{Hasse}(2\text{-silt } A)$ is n -regular for $n := |A|$. More precisely, let $T = T_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus T_n \in 2\text{-silt } A$ with indecomposable T_i . For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, there exists precisely one $T' \in 2\text{-silt } A$ such that $T' = T'_i \oplus (\bigoplus_{j \neq i} T_j)$ for some $T'_i \neq T_i$. In this case, we call T' *mutation* of T at T_i and write

$$T' = \mu_{T_i}(T) = \mu_i(T).$$

In this case, either $T > T'$ or $T' < T$ holds. We denote T' by $\mu_i^-(T)$ (respectively, $\mu_i^+(T)$) if $T > T'$ and call it *left mutation* (respectively, *right mutation*). The following result is fundamental in silting theory.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $T, T' \in 2\text{-silt } A$. Take a decomposition $T = T_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus T_n$ with indecomposable T_i . Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) $T > T'$, and T and T' are mutation of each other.
- (b) There is an arrow $T \rightarrow T'$ in $\text{Hasse}(2\text{-silt } A)$.
- (c) $T' = T'_i \oplus (\bigoplus_{j \neq i} T_j)$ and there is a triangle

$$T_i \xrightarrow{f} U_i \rightarrow T'_i \rightarrow T_i[1]$$

such that f is a minimal left $(\text{add } \bigoplus_{j \neq i} T_j)$ -approximation.

- (d) $T' = T'_i \oplus (\bigoplus_{j \neq i} T_j)$ and there is a triangle

$$T_i \rightarrow U_i \xrightarrow{g} T'_i \rightarrow T_i[1]$$

such that g is a minimal right $(\text{add } \bigoplus_{j \neq i} T_j)$ -approximation.

The triangles in (c) and (d) are isomorphic, and called an exchange triangle.

To introduce the g -fan of a finite dimensional k -algebra A , we consider the real Grothendieck group of A :

$$K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}} := K_0(\text{proj } A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{|A|}.$$

Definition 3.3. For $T = T_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus T_\ell \in 2\text{-psilt } A$ with indecomposable T_i , let

$$C(T) := \text{cone}\{[T_1], \dots, [T_\ell]\} \subset K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

The g -fan of A is the set of cones:

$$\Sigma(A) := \{C(T) \mid T \in 2\text{-psilt}A\}.$$

We say that A is g -convex if $\Sigma(A)$ is convex (see Definition 2.4).

We say that A is g -finite (also τ -tilting finite) if $\#2\text{-silt}A < \infty$. We give the following basic properties of g -fans.

Proposition 3.4. [AIR, As, DIJ, DF] *Let A be a finite dimensional algebra over a field k and $n := |A|$.*


- (a) *Any cone in $\Sigma(A)$ is a face of a cone of dimension n .*
- (b) *Any cone in $\Sigma(A)$ of dimension $n - 1$ is a face of precisely two cones of dimension n .*
- (c) *$(\Sigma(A), C(A))$ is a pairwise positive sign-coherent fan.*
- (d) *A is g -finite (or equivalently, $\Sigma(A)$ is finite) if and only if $\Sigma(A)$ is complete.*

The following basic observation will be used frequently.

Proposition 3.5. *Let Λ be a finite dimensional algebra with orthogonal primitive idempotents $1 = e_1 + e_2$. Under the identification $P_1 = (1, 0)$ and $P_2 = (0, 1)$, the following assertions hold.*

- (a) *$\text{cone}\{(-1, 0), (0, 1)\} \in \Sigma(\Lambda)$ if and only if $e_2\Lambda e_1 = 0$.*
- (b) *$\text{cone}\{(1, 0), (0, -1)\} \in \Sigma(\Lambda)$ if and only if $e_1\Lambda e_2 = 0$.*

Proposition 3.5 is explained by the following picture.



Proof. We only prove (a): $\Sigma(A) \in \mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{\pm}(2)$ if and only if $P_1[1] \oplus P_2 \in 2\text{-silt}A$ if and only if $\text{Hom}_{K^b(\text{proj } A)}(P_1, P_2) = 0$ if and only if $e_2\Lambda e_1 = 0$. \square

We end this subsection with recalling the sign decomposition technique studied in [Ao, AHIKM1]. We have to introduce the following notations.

Definition 3.6. Let A be a basic finite dimensional algebra over a field k with $|A| = n$, and $1 = e_1 + \dots + e_n$ the orthogonal primitive idempotents. For $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}^n$, we define

$$K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\epsilon, \mathbb{R}} := \text{cone}(\epsilon_i[e_i A] \mid i \in \{1, \dots, n\})$$

and a subfan of $\Sigma(A)$ by

$$\Sigma_{\epsilon}(A) := \{\sigma \in \Sigma(A) \mid \sigma \subset K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\epsilon, \mathbb{R}}\}.$$

Define idempotents of A by

$$e_{\epsilon}^{+} := \sum_{\epsilon_i=1} e_i \quad \text{and} \quad e_{\epsilon}^{-} := \sum_{\epsilon_i=-1} e_i.$$

We denote by A_{ϵ} the subalgebra of A given by

$$A_{\epsilon} := \begin{bmatrix} e_{\epsilon}^{+} A e_{\epsilon}^{+} & e_{\epsilon}^{+} A e_{\epsilon}^{-} \\ 0 & e_{\epsilon}^{-} A e_{\epsilon}^{-} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Define an ideal I_{ϵ} of A_{ϵ} by

$$I_{\epsilon} := \begin{bmatrix} \text{rad}(e_{\epsilon}^{+} A e_{\epsilon}^{+}) \cap \text{Ann}_{e_{\epsilon}^{+} A e_{\epsilon}^{+}}(e_{\epsilon}^{+} A e_{\epsilon}^{-}) & 0 \\ 0 & \text{rad}(e_{\epsilon}^{-} A e_{\epsilon}^{-}) \cap \text{Ann}_{e_{\epsilon}^{-} A e_{\epsilon}^{-}}(e_{\epsilon}^{+} A e_{\epsilon}^{-}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

The following result is often very useful to calculate $\Sigma_{\epsilon}(A)$.

Proposition 3.7. [AHIKM1, Example 4.26] *For each ideal I of A_{ϵ} contained in I_{ϵ} , the isomorphisms $- \otimes_{A_{\epsilon}} A : K_0(\text{proj } A_{\epsilon})_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq K_0(\text{proj } A)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $- \otimes_{A_{\epsilon}} (A_{\epsilon}/I) : K_0(\text{proj } A_{\epsilon})_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq K_0(\text{proj } A_{\epsilon}/I)_{\mathbb{R}}$ gives an isomorphism of fans*

$$\Sigma_{\epsilon}(A) \simeq \Sigma_{\epsilon}(A_{\epsilon}/I).$$

3.2. Silting complexes in terms of matrices. In this subsection, we give basic properties of 2-term presilting complexes. Throughout this subsection, we assume the following.

Assumption 3.8. For rings A and B and an $A^{\text{op}} \otimes_k B$ -module X which is finitely generated on both sides, let

$$\Lambda := \begin{bmatrix} A & X \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}.$$

Equivalently, Λ is a ring with orthogonal idempotents $1 = e_1 + e_2$ satisfying $e_2 \Lambda e_1 = 0$. In fact, we can recover Λ from $A := e_1 \Lambda e_1$, $B := e_2 \Lambda e_2$ and $X := e_1 \Lambda e_2$ by the equality above.

Consider projective Λ -modules

$$P_1 := [A \ X], \quad P_2 := [0 \ B] \in \text{proj } \Lambda.$$

For $s, t \geq 0$, we denote by $M_{s,t}(X)$ the set of $s \times t$ matrices with entries in X . Then we have an isomorphism

$$M_{s,t}(X) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P_2^{\oplus t}, P_1^{\oplus s})$$

sending $x \in M_{s,t}(X)$ to the left multiplication $x(\cdot) : P_2^{\oplus t} \rightarrow P_1^{\oplus s}$. Thus we have a 2-term complex

$$P_x := (P_2^{\oplus t} \xrightarrow{x(\cdot)} P_1^{\oplus s}) \in \text{per } \Lambda.$$

The following observation is basic.

Proposition 3.9. *Let $s, t, u, v \geq 0$, $x \in M_{s,t}(X)$ and $y \in M_{u,v}(X)$.*

(a) *Then we have an exact sequence*

$$M_{u,s}(A) \oplus M_{v,t}(B) \xrightarrow{[(\cdot)x \ y(\cdot)]} M_{u,t}(X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{per } \Lambda}(P_x, P_y[1]) \rightarrow 0.$$

(b) *In particular, P_x is presilting if and only if $M_{s,t}(X) = M_s(A)x + xM_t(B)$ holds.*

Proof. The assertion (a) follows from an exact sequence

$$\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P_1^{\oplus s}, P_1^{\oplus u}) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P_2^{\oplus t}, P_2^{\oplus v}) \xrightarrow{[(\cdot)x \ y(\cdot)]} \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P_2^{\oplus t}, P_1^{\oplus u}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{per } \Lambda}(P_x, P_y[1]) \rightarrow 0.$$


The assertion (b) is immediate from (a). \square

The following construction of silting complexes of Λ will be used frequently, where $t(X)_B$ (respectively, $t_A(X)$) is the minimal number of generators of X as a right B -module (respectively, left A -module).

Proposition 3.10. *In Assumption 3.8, assume that A and B are local k -algebras.*

- (a) $\Sigma(\Lambda)$ contains $\text{cone}\{(0, -1), (1, -r)\}$ for $r := t(X)_B = \dim(X/XJ_B)_{B/J_B}$.
- (b) $\Sigma(\Lambda)$ contains $\text{cone}\{(1, 0), (\ell, -1)\}$ for $\ell := t_A(X) = \dim_{A/J_A}(X/J_A X)$.
- (c) Let g_1, \dots, g_r be a minimal set of generators of the B -module X . Then $\mu_1^+(\Lambda[1]) = P_g \oplus P_2[1] \in 2\text{-silt } \Lambda$ holds for $g := [g_1 \ \cdots \ g_r] \in M_{1,r}(X)$.
- (d) Let h_1, \dots, h_{ℓ} a minimal set of generators of the A^{op} -module X . Then $\mu_2^-(\Lambda) = P_1 \oplus P_h \in 2\text{-silt } \Lambda$ holds for $h := \begin{bmatrix} h_1 \\ \vdots \\ h_{\ell} \end{bmatrix} \in M_{\ell,1}(X)$.

By Propositions 3.5 and 3.10, a part of $\Sigma(\Lambda)$ has the following form.



Proof. We only prove (a)(c) since (b)(d) are the duals. A minimal right $(\text{add } P_2[1])$ -approximation of $P_1[1]$ is given by

$$g(\cdot) : P_2[1]^{\oplus r} \rightarrow P_1[1].$$

Thus the mutation of $\Lambda[1]$ at $P_1[1]$ is $P_g \oplus P_2$. \square

Now we assume that B is a local algebra. We fix a minimal set of generators g_1, \dots, g_r of the right B -module X and set

$$g := [g_1 \ \cdots \ g_r] \in M_{1,r}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{g} := [\bar{g}_1 \ \cdots \ \bar{g}_r] \in M_{1,r}(X/XJ_B),$$

where $\bar{(\cdot)}$ is a canonical surjection $X \twoheadrightarrow X/XJ_B$. Then we have an isomorphism

$$\bar{g}(\cdot) : M_{r,1}(B/J_B) \simeq X/XJ_B,$$

and we define a map $\pi : X \rightarrow M_{r,1}(B/J_B)$ by

$$\pi := (X \xrightarrow{\bar{(\cdot)}} X/XJ_B \xrightarrow{(\bar{g}(\cdot))^{-1}} M_{r,1}(B/J_B)).$$

For each $s, t \geq 0$, an entry-wise application of π gives a map

$$\pi : M_{s,t}(X) \rightarrow M_{s,t}(M_{r,1}(B/J_B)) = M_{r,s,t}(B/J_B).$$

In other words, for the identity matrix $I_s \in M_s(k)$ and $\bar{g}I_s := \begin{bmatrix} \bar{g} & O \\ & \ddots \\ O & \bar{g} \end{bmatrix} \in M_s(M_{1,r}(k)) = M_{s,r_s}(k)$, we have

$$\bar{x} = (\bar{g}I_s)\pi(x) \quad \text{for each } x \in M_{s,t}(X). \quad (3.1)$$

Define a morphism of k -algebras

$$\phi : M_s(A) \rightarrow M_{r_s}(B/J_B) \quad \text{by} \quad a(\bar{g}I_s) = (\bar{g}I_s)\phi(a).$$

Later we will use the following observation.

Proposition 3.11. *In Assumption 3.8, assume that B is a local algebra. Let $s, t \geq 0$.*

- (a) $\pi : M_{s,t}(X) \rightarrow M_{r_s,t}(B/J_B)$ is a morphism of $M_s(A)^{\text{op}} \otimes_k M_t(B)$ -modules, where we regard $M_{r_s,t}(B/J_B)$ as an $M_s(A)^{\text{op}}$ -module via ϕ .
- (b) Let $x \in M_{s,t}(X)$. If P_x is presilting, then $\pi(x) \in M_{r_s,t}(B/J_B)$ has full rank.

Proof. (a) For any $a \in M_s(A)$, $x \in M_{s,t}(X)$ and $b \in M_t(B)$, we need to show $\pi(axb) = \phi(a)\pi(x)b$. In fact,

$$(\bar{g}I_s)\phi(a)\pi(x)b = a(\bar{g}I_s)\pi(x)b \stackrel{(3.1)}{=} a\bar{x}b = \overline{axb} \stackrel{(3.1)}{=} (\bar{g}I_s)\pi(axb)$$

gives the desired equality since $\bar{g}I_s(\cdot)$ is injective.

(b) By Proposition 3.9(b), we have $M_{s,t}(X) = M_s(A)x + xM_t(B)$. Applying π , we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_{r_s,t}(B/J_B) &= \pi(M_s(A)x + xM_t(B)) \stackrel{(a)}{=} \phi(M_s(A))\pi(x) + \pi(x)M_t(B) \\ &\subset M_{r_s}(B/J_B)\pi(x) + \pi(x)M_t(B/J_B). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the right-hand side is $M_{r_s,t}(B/J_B)$. This clearly implies that $\pi(x)$ has full rank. \square

For completeness, we also give the dual statement of Proposition 3.11. Now we assume that A is a local algebra. We fix a minimal set of generators h_1, \dots, h_ℓ of the left A -module X and set

$$h := \begin{bmatrix} h_1 \\ \vdots \\ h_\ell \end{bmatrix} \in M_{\ell,1}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{h} := \begin{bmatrix} \bar{h}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \bar{h}_\ell \end{bmatrix} \in M_{\ell,1}(X/J_AX),$$

where by abuse of notations, $\bar{(\cdot)}$ is a canonical surjection $X \twoheadrightarrow X/J_AX$. Then we have an isomorphism $(\cdot)\bar{h} : M_{1,\ell}(A/J_A) \simeq X/J_AX$. By abuse of notations, let

$$\pi := (X \xrightarrow{(\cdot)} X/J_AX \xrightarrow{((\cdot)\bar{h})^{-1}} M_{1,\ell}(A/J_A)).$$

For each $s, t \geq 0$, an entry-wise application of π gives a map

$$\pi : M_{s,t}(X) \rightarrow M_{s,t}(M_{1,\ell}(A/J_A)) = M_{s,\ell t}(A/J_A).$$

Define a morphism of k -algebras

$$\phi : M_t(B) \rightarrow M_{\ell t}(A/J_A) \quad \text{by } (\bar{h}I_t)b = \phi(b)(\bar{h}I_s).$$

We have the following dual of Proposition 3.11.

Proposition 3.12. *In Assumption 3.8, assume that A is a local algebra. Let $s, t \geq 0$.*

(a) $\pi : M_{s,t}(X) \rightarrow M_{s,\ell t}(A/J_A)$ is a morphism of $M_s(A)^{\text{op}} \otimes_k M_t(B)$ -modules, where we regard $M_{s,\ell t}(A/J_A)$ as an $M_t(B)$ -module via ϕ .

(b) Let $x \in M_{s,t}(X)$. If P_x is presilting, then $\pi(x) \in M_{s,\ell t}(A/J_A)$ has full rank.

3.3. Uniserial property of g -finite algebras. As an application of results in the previous subsection, we prove the following result, which is not used in the rest of this paper.

Theorem 3.13. *Let Λ be a finite dimensional elementary k -algebra, and $1 = e_1 + \cdots + e_n$ the orthogonal primitive idempotents. If Λ is g -finite, then for each $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, $e_i \Lambda e_j / e_i \Lambda e_j J_\Lambda e_j$ is a uniserial $(e_i \Lambda e_i)^{\text{op}}$ -module and $e_i \Lambda e_j / e_i J_\Lambda e_i J_\Lambda e_j$ is a uniserial $e_j \Lambda e_j$ -module.*

Thanks to sign decomposition, we can deduce Theorem 3.13 from the following result.

Theorem 3.14. *Let A and B be local k -algebras with $k \simeq A/J_A \simeq B/J_B$. If X is a $A^{\text{op}} \otimes_k B$ -module such that $\begin{bmatrix} A & X \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}$ is g -finite, then X/XJ_B is a uniserial A^{op} -module and $X/J_A X$ is a uniserial B -module.*

Proof of Theorem 3.14 \Rightarrow Theorem 3.13. Since Λ is g -finite, so is $\Gamma := (e_i + e_j)\Lambda(e_i + e_j)$. By Proposition 3.7, $\Gamma_{+-} = \begin{bmatrix} e_i \Lambda e_i & e_i \Lambda e_j \\ 0 & e_j \Lambda e_j \end{bmatrix}$ is also g -finite. Thus the assertion follows from Theorem 3.14. \square

In the rest of this subsection, we prove Theorem 3.14.

The following observation plays a key role in the proof, where we identify $K_0(\text{proj } \Lambda)$ with \mathbb{Z}^2 via $[A \ X] \mapsto (1, 0)$, $[0 \ B] \mapsto (0, 1)$.

Lemma 3.15. *Let $\Lambda := \begin{bmatrix} A & X \\ 0 & k \end{bmatrix}$. Assume that $(1, -1) \in K_0(\text{proj } \Lambda)$ is rigid.*

(a) *There exists $h \in X$ such that $X = Ah$.*

(b) *Let $\Lambda' := \begin{bmatrix} A & J_A X \\ 0 & k \end{bmatrix}$ and $t \geq 1$. If $(1, -t) \in K_0(\text{proj } \Lambda)$ is rigid, then $(1, 1-t) \in K_0(\text{proj } \Lambda')$ is rigid.*

Proof. (a) By Proposition 3.9(b), there exists $h \in X$ satisfying $X = Ah + hk = Ah$.

(b) By Proposition 3.9(b), there exists $[x_1 \ x_2 \ \cdots \ x_t] \in M_{1,t}(X)$ such that

$$M_{1,t}(X) = A[x_1 \ \cdots \ x_t] + [x_1 \ \cdots \ x_t]M_t(k). \quad (3.2)$$

As in Section 3.2, the element h gives surjections

$$\pi := (X \xrightarrow{(\cdot)} X/J_A X \xrightarrow{((\cdot)\bar{h})^{-1}} A/J_A = k) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi : M_{1,t}(X) \rightarrow M_{1,t}(k).$$

By Proposition 3.12, $\pi(x) \in M_{1,t}(k)$ has full rank. By changing indices if necessary, we can assume $x_1 \in A^\times h$. Multiplying an element in A^\times from left, we can assume $x_1 = h$. Multiplying an element in $\text{GL}_t(k)$ from right, we can assume $x_i \in J_A h$ for each $2 \leq i \leq t$. We claim

$$M_{1,t-1}(J_A X) = A[x_2 \ \cdots \ x_t] + [x_2 \ \cdots \ x_t]M_{t-1}(k).$$

In fact, fix any $[y_2 \ \cdots \ y_t] \in M_{1,t-1}(J_A X)$. By (3.2) there exist $a \in A$ and $b = [b_{ij}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq t} \in M_t(k)$ such that

$$[0 \ y_2 \ \cdots \ y_t] = a[h \ x_2 \ \cdots \ x_t] + [h \ x_2 \ \cdots \ x_t]b. \quad (3.3)$$

Applying π , we obtain

$$[0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0] = \bar{a}[1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0] + [1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0]b \text{ in } M_{1,t}(k).$$

Thus we obtain $b_{12} = \cdots = b_{1t} = 0$. Looking at the i -th entries for $2 \leq i \leq t$ of (3.3), we have

$$[y_2 \ \cdots \ y_t] = a[x_2 \ \cdots \ x_t] + [x_2 \ \cdots \ x_t][b_{ij}]_{2 \leq i, j \leq n}.$$

Thus the claim follows. \square

We are ready to prove Theorem 3.14.

Proof of Theorem 3.14. We prove that X/XJ_B is a uniserial A^{op} -module under a weaker assumption that $(1, -t) \in K_0(\text{proj } \Lambda)$ is rigid for each $t \geq 1$. Since $\bar{\Lambda} := \begin{bmatrix} A & X/XJ_B \\ 0 & k \end{bmatrix}$ is a factor algebra of Λ , the element $(1, -t) \in K_0(\text{proj } \bar{\Lambda})$ is rigid for each $t \geq 1$. Replacing Λ by $\bar{\Lambda}$, we can assume that

$$B = k \text{ and } \Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} A & X \\ 0 & k \end{bmatrix}.$$

We use induction on $\dim_k X$. By Lemma 3.15(a), the A^{op} -module X has a unique maximal submodule J_AX . Let $\Lambda' = \begin{bmatrix} A & J_AX \\ 0 & k \end{bmatrix}$. By Lemma 3.15(b), $(1, -t) \in K_0(\text{proj } \Lambda')$ is rigid for each $t \geq 1$. By induction hypothesis, J_AX is a uniserial A^{op} -module. Therefore X is also a uniserial A^{op} -module. \square

4. GLUING, ROTATION AND SUBDIVISION OF FANS

4.1. Gluing fans. We start with introducing the following operation for fans of rank 2.

Definition 4.1. For $\Sigma \in \text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2)$ and $\Sigma' \in \text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{-+}(2)$, we define $\Sigma * \Sigma' \in \text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}(2)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (\Sigma * \Sigma')_1 &:= \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma'_1 \\ (\Sigma * \Sigma')_2 &:= (\Sigma_2 \setminus \{\sigma_{-+}\}) \cup (\Sigma'_2 \setminus \{\sigma_{+-}\}). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}(2) &= \text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2) * \text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{-+}(2) := \{\Sigma * \Sigma' \mid \Sigma \in \text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2), \Sigma' \in \text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{-+}(2)\}, \\ \text{c-Fan}_{\text{sc}}(2) &= \text{c-Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2) * \text{c-Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{-+}(2) := \{\Sigma * \Sigma' \mid \Sigma \in \text{c-Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2), \Sigma' \in \text{c-Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{-+}(2)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Now we study an algebraic counterpart of the gluing fans. The following is a main result of this subsection, where we identify $K_0(\text{proj } \Lambda)$ and $K_0(\text{proj } \Lambda')$ with \mathbb{Z}^2 by $e_1\Lambda = (1, 0) = e'_1\Lambda'$ and $e_2\Lambda = (0, 1) = e'_2\Lambda'$.

Theorem 4.2 (Gluing Theorem). *Let Λ and Λ' be elementary k -algebras of rank 2 with orthogonal primitive idempotents $1 = e_1 + e_2 \in \Lambda$ and $1 = e'_1 + e'_2 \in \Lambda'$. Assume $e_1\Lambda e_2 = 0$ and $e'_2\Lambda' e'_1 = 0$, or equivalently, $\Sigma(\Lambda) \in \text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2)$ and $\Sigma(\Lambda') \in \text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{-+}(2)$ (Proposition 3.5). Then, there exists an elementary k -algebra $\Lambda * \Lambda'$ such that*

$$\Sigma(\Lambda * \Lambda') = \Sigma(\Lambda) * \Sigma(\Lambda'). \quad (4.2)$$

Theorem 4.2 is explained by the following picture.

The construction of $\Lambda * \Lambda'$ is as follows: We can write

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} A & X \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda' = \begin{bmatrix} C & 0 \\ Y & D \end{bmatrix},$$

where A, B, C, D are local k -algebras, X is an $A^{\text{op}} \otimes_k B$ -module, and Y is an $D^{\text{op}} \otimes_k C$ -module. Since Λ and Λ' are elementary, we have $k \simeq A/J_A \simeq B/J_B \simeq C/J_C \simeq D/J_D$. Let $A \times_k C$ be a fiber product of canonical surjections $\overline{(\cdot)} : A \rightarrow k$ and $\overline{(\cdot)} : C \rightarrow k$, that is,

$$A \times_k C := \{(a, c) \in A \times C \mid \bar{a} = \bar{c}\}.$$

Let $B \times_k D$ be a fibre product of $\overline{(\cdot)} : B \rightarrow k$ and $\overline{(\cdot)} : D \rightarrow k$. Using the projections $A \times_k C \rightarrow A$ and $B \times_k D \rightarrow B$, we regard X as an $(A \times_k C)^{\text{op}} \otimes_k (B \times_k D)$ -module, and using the projections $A \times_k C \rightarrow C$ and $B \times_k D \rightarrow D$, we regard Y as an $(B \times_k D)^{\text{op}} \otimes_k (A \times_k C)$ -module.

We prove that the algebra

$$\Lambda * \Lambda' := \begin{bmatrix} A \times_k C & X \\ Y & B \times_k D \end{bmatrix}$$

satisfies $\Sigma(\Lambda * \Lambda') = \Sigma(\Lambda) * \Sigma(\Lambda')$, where the multiplication of the elements of X and those of Y are defined to be zero.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Let $\Gamma := \Lambda * \Lambda'$. It suffices to prove

$$\Sigma_{+-}(\Gamma) = \Sigma_{+-}(\Lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_{-+}(\Gamma) = \Sigma_{-+}(\Lambda').$$

For $\epsilon = (+, -)$, we have $\Gamma_\epsilon = \begin{bmatrix} A \times_k C & X \\ 0 & B \times_k D \end{bmatrix}$. The ideal $I := \begin{bmatrix} \text{rad } C & 0 \\ 0 & \text{rad } D \end{bmatrix}$ of Γ_ϵ is contained in I_ϵ , and we have an isomorphism $\Gamma_\epsilon/I \simeq \Lambda$ of k -algebras. Applying Proposition 3.7 to Γ , we get $\Sigma_{+-}(\Gamma) = \Sigma_{+-}(\Lambda)$. By the same argument, $\Sigma_{-+}(\Gamma) = \Sigma_{-+}(\Lambda')$ holds, as desired. \square

Example 4.3. Let $\Lambda = k[1 \xrightarrow{a} 2]$ and $\Lambda' = k[1 \xleftarrow{b} 2]$. Then these g -fans are $\Sigma_{111;00}$ and $\Sigma_{00;111}$ respectively.

$$\Sigma(\Lambda) = \Sigma_{111;00} = \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{+} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{-} \end{array} \quad \Sigma(\Lambda') = \Sigma_{00;111} = \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{+} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{-} \end{array}$$

Applying Gluing Theorem 4.2, the following algebra Γ has $\Sigma_{111;111} = \Sigma_{111;00} * \Sigma_{00;111}$ as its g -fan.

$$\Gamma = \frac{k \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \xrightarrow{a} 2 \\ \xleftarrow{b} \end{array} \right]}{\langle ab, ba \rangle} \quad \Sigma(\Gamma) = \Sigma_{111;111} = \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{+} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{-} \end{array}$$

In fact, we have $\Gamma \cong \Lambda * \Lambda'$.

4.2. Rotation and Mutation. We start with introducing a piecewise linear transformation of sign coherent fan of rank 2. This is a generalization of mutation of g -vectors of cluster algebras of rank 2 [FZ2, NZ], and also a special case of so called *combinatorial mutation* [ACGK, FH].

Definition 4.4. For $\Sigma \in \text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2)$ with $\sigma_+ = \text{cone}\{(0, 1), (1, 0)\}$, take $\sigma = \text{cone}\{(1, 0), (\ell, -1)\} \in \Sigma_2$. Define a new sign-coherent fan Σ' by

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma'_1 &:= (\Sigma_1 \setminus \{(0, 1)\}) \cup \{(-\ell, 1)\} \\ \Sigma'_2 &:= (\Sigma_2 \setminus \{\sigma_+, \sigma_-\}) \cup \{-\sigma, \text{cone}\{(-\ell, 1), (1, 0)\}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the positive and negative cones of Σ' are σ and $-\sigma$ respectively.

$$\Sigma = (-1, 0) \begin{array}{c} (0, 1) \\ \sigma_{-+} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{+} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{-} \\ (0, -1) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} (1, 0) \\ \sigma \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{+} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{-} \\ (\ell, -1) \end{array} \quad \rho(\Sigma) \simeq \Sigma' = \begin{array}{c} (-\ell, 1) \\ \text{-}\sigma \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{+} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{-} \\ (0, -1) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} (1, 0) \\ \sigma \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{+} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{-} \\ (\ell, -1) \end{array}$$

We define the *rotation* $\rho(\Sigma) \in \mathbf{Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2)$ of Σ as the image of Σ' by a linear transformation of \mathbb{R}^2 mapping $(1, 0) \mapsto (0, 1)$ and $(\ell, -1) \mapsto (1, 0)$.

We give basic properties of rotation, where the name ‘‘rotation’’ is explained by (a) below.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $\Sigma \in \mathbf{c-Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2)$ with facets (2.4) and $s(\Sigma) = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}; 0, 0)$.*

(a) *We have*

$$s(\rho(\Sigma)) = (a_2, \dots, a_{n-2}, a_1; 0, 0).$$

In particular, $\rho^{n-2}(\Sigma) = \Sigma$ holds, and therefore ρ is an invertible operation.

(b) *For each $1 \leq i \leq n-3$, we have*

$$D_{\sigma_i}(\Sigma) = \rho^{n-3-i} \circ D_{\sigma_{n-3}} \circ \rho^{i+1}(\Sigma).$$

Proof. (a) Recall $\Sigma_1 = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and $a_i v_i = v_{i-1} + v_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Moreover

$$\rho(\Sigma)_1 = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\} \quad \text{where } w_i := v_{i+1} \ (i \neq n-1), \ w_{n-1} := -v_2.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_{i-1} + w_{i+1} &= v_i + v_{i+2} = a_{i+1} v_{i+1} = a_{i+1} w_i \quad \text{for } i \neq n-2, n, \\ w_{n-1} + w_1 &= -v_2 + v_2 = 0 \cdot w_n, \\ w_{n-3} + w_{n-1} &= v_{n-2} - v_2 = -(v_n + v_2) = -a_1 v_1 = a_1 v_{n-1} = a_1 w_{n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $s(\rho(\Sigma)) = (a_2, \dots, a_{n-2}, a_1; 0, 0)$ as desired.

(b) By (a), we have $s \circ \rho^{i+1}(\Sigma) = (a_{i+2}, \dots, a_{n-2}, a_1, \dots, a_{i+1}; 0, 0)$. Thus

$$s \circ D_{\sigma_{n-3}} \circ \rho^{i+1}(\Sigma) \stackrel{(2.6)}{=} D_{n-3} \circ s \circ \rho^{i+1}(\Sigma) = (a_{i+2}, \dots, a_{n-2}, a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_i + 1, 1, a_{i+1} + 1; 0, 0).$$

By (a) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s \circ \rho^{n-3-i} \circ D_{\sigma_{n-3}} \circ \rho^{i+1}(\Sigma) &= (a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_i + 1, 1, a_{i+1} + 1, a_{i+2}, \dots, a_{n-2}; 0, 0) \\ &= D_i \circ s(\Sigma) \stackrel{(2.6)}{=} s \circ D_{\sigma_i}(\Sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Since a fan is uniquely determined by its quiddity sequence, the assertion follows. \square


The following main result of this section shows that mutation of algebras is an algebraic counterpart of rotation of fans, where we identify $K_0(\text{proj } \Lambda)$ with \mathbb{Z}^2 by $e_1 \Lambda = (1, 0)$ and $e_2 \Lambda = (0, 1)$.

Theorem 4.6 (Rotation Theorem). *Let Λ be a finite dimensional k -algebra of rank 2 with orthogonal primitive idempotents $1 = e_1 + e_2$. Assume $e_1 \Lambda e_2 = 0$, or equivalently, $\Sigma(\Lambda) \in \mathbf{Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2)$ (Proposition 3.5). Then, there exists a finite dimensional k -algebra $\rho(\Lambda)$ such that*

$$\Sigma(\rho(\Lambda)) = \rho(\Sigma(\Lambda)).$$

Furthermore, if Λ is elementary, then $\rho(\Lambda)$ is elementary.

Theorem 4.6 is explained by the following picture.



The algebra $\rho(\Lambda)$ is constructed by

$$E := \text{End}_{K^b(\text{proj } \Lambda)}(\mu_2^-(\Lambda)) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(\Lambda) := \begin{bmatrix} e_1 E e_1 & 0 \\ e_2 E e_1 & e_2 E e_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $e_1 \in E$ is an idempotent corresponding to the direct summand $e_1 \Lambda$ of $\mu_2^-(\Lambda)$ and $e_2 := 1 - e_1 \in E$. To prove Theorem 4.6, we need the following preparation.

Let A be a basic finite dimensional algebra over a field k with $|A| = n$, and $1 = e_1 + \dots + e_n$ the orthogonal primitive idempotents. For $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\delta \in \{\pm 1\}$, consider a half space

$$\mathbb{R}_{i,\delta}^n := \{x_1 e_1 + \dots + x_n e_n \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \delta x_i \geq 0\}$$

and define a subfan of Σ by

$$\Sigma_{i,\delta} := \{\sigma \in \Sigma \mid \sigma \subset \mathbb{R}_{i,\delta}^n\}.$$

On the other hand, for elements $T \geq T'$ in $\text{silt}A$, we consider the interval

$$[T', T] := \{U \in \text{silt}A \mid T \geq U \geq T'\}.$$

The following result provides a correspondence of a part of two g -fans.

Proposition 4.7. *For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $B := \text{End}_A(\mu_i^-(A))$, where $\mu_i^-(A) = T_i \oplus (\bigoplus_{j \neq i} P_j^A)$.*

(a) [AHIKM1, Theorem 4.26] *There exists a triangle functor $F : \mathbb{K}^b(\text{proj} A) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^b(\text{proj} B)$ which satisfies $F(T_i) \simeq P_i^B$ and $F(P_j^A) \simeq P_j^B$ for each $j \neq i$ and gives an isomorphism $K_0(\text{proj} A) \simeq K_0(\text{proj} B)$ and an isomorphism of fans*

$$\Sigma_{i,-}(A) \simeq \Sigma_{i,+}(B).$$

(b) *There are isomorphisms $(1 - e_i)A(1 - e_i) \simeq (1 - e_i)B(1 - e_i)$ and $A/(1 - e_i) \simeq B/(1 - e_i)$ of k -algebras.*

Proof. (b) Although this is known to experts, we give a proof for convenience of the reader. The first isomorphism is clear. To prove the second one, notice that $A/(1 - e_i) = \text{End}_{\mathbb{K}^b(\text{proj} A)}(P_i^A)/[A/P_i^A]$ and $B/(1 - e_i) = \text{End}_{\mathbb{K}^b(\text{proj} A)}(T_i)/[T/T_i]$ hold, where $[X]$ denotes the ideal consisting of morphisms factoring through $\text{add} X$. Let $P_i \xrightarrow{f} Q \xrightarrow{g} T_i \xrightarrow{h} P_i[1]$ be an exchange triangle. Let $a \in e_i A e_i = \text{End}_{\mathbb{K}^b(\text{proj} A)}(P_i)$. Since f is a minimal left $(\text{add} A/P_i)$ -approximation of P_i , we obtain the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} P_i & \xrightarrow{f} & Q & \xrightarrow{g} & T_i & \xrightarrow{h} & P_i[1] \\ \downarrow a & & \downarrow & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow a[1] \\ P_i & \xrightarrow{f} & Q & \xrightarrow{g} & T_i & \xrightarrow{h} & P_i[1] \end{array}$$

It is routine to check that the desired isomorphism $A/(1 - e_i)A = \text{End}_{\mathbb{K}^b(\text{proj} A)}(P_i^A)/[A/P_i^A] \simeq B/(1 - e_i) = \text{End}_{\mathbb{K}^b(\text{proj} A)}(T_i)/[T/T_i]$ is given by $a \mapsto b$. \square


We are ready to prove Theorem 4.6.

Proof of Theorem 4.6. Let $T = P_1^A \oplus T_2 := \mu_2^-(\Lambda)$. Then $E = \text{End}_{\mathbb{K}^b(\text{proj} \Lambda)}(T)$. By Proposition 4.7(a), we have a triangle functor $F : \mathbb{K}^b(\text{proj} \Lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^b(\text{proj} E)$ which satisfies

$$F(P_1^A) = P_1^E \quad \text{and} \quad F(T_2) = P_2^E$$

and induces an isomorphism $F : K_0(\text{proj} \Lambda) \simeq K_0(\text{proj} E)$ and an isomorphism of fans

$$F : \Sigma_{2,-}(\Lambda) \simeq \Sigma_{2,+}(E).$$



The algebra $\Gamma = \rho(\Lambda)$ is E_{-+} in terms of Definition 3.6. Thus Theorem 3.7 implies

$$\Sigma_{-+}(\Gamma) = \Sigma_{-+}(E).$$

Under the isomorphism $K_0(\text{proj} \Gamma) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$ given by $P_1^\Gamma \mapsto (0, 1)$ and $P_2^\Gamma \mapsto (1, 0)$, we obtain $\Sigma(\Gamma) = \rho(\Sigma(\Lambda))$. Therefore $\rho(\Lambda) := \Gamma$ satisfies the desired condition.

It remains to prove the last assertion. By Proposition 4.7(a), we have isomorphisms $e_1 E e_1 \simeq e_1 \Lambda e_1$ and $\Lambda/(e_1) \simeq E/(e_1)$ of k -algebras. Thus, if Λ is elementary, then so are E and Γ . \square

We give two examples of Theorem 4.6. The first one satisfies $E = \Gamma$.

Example 4.8. Let Λ be the following algebra. Then $\Sigma(\Lambda)$ is the following fan by Example 4.14 below.

$$\Lambda = \frac{k \left[\begin{array}{c} b \circlearrowleft 1 \xrightarrow{a} 2 \\ \langle b^2 \rangle \end{array} \right]}{\langle b^2 \rangle} \quad \Sigma(\Lambda) = \Sigma_{1212} = \img alt="A 3D fan diagram with a central point and four rays. The top-right ray is labeled '+', and the bottom-left ray is labeled '-'. The fan is shaded to show its depth." data-bbox="600 174 666 241"/>$$

We set $\mu_2(\Lambda) = T = T_1 \oplus T_2 := [e_2\Lambda \xrightarrow{a} e_1\Lambda] \oplus e_1\Lambda$ and $E := \text{End}_{\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj } \Lambda)}(T)$. Then, we have

$$\Gamma = E = \frac{k \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \xrightarrow{a} 2 \circlearrowright b \\ \langle b^2 \rangle \end{array} \right]}{\langle b^2 \rangle} \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma(\Gamma) = \rho(\Sigma(\Lambda)) = \Sigma_{2121} = \img alt="A 3D fan diagram similar to the one for Example 4.8, but with the top-right ray labeled '+' and the bottom-left ray labeled '-'. The fan is shaded to show its depth." data-bbox="650 275 745 323"/>$$

The second example satisfies $E \neq \Gamma$.

Example 4.9. Let Λ be the following algebra. Then $\Sigma(\Lambda)$ is the following fan by Example 4.15 below.

$$\Lambda = \frac{k \left[\begin{array}{c} b \circlearrowleft 1 \xrightarrow{a} 2 \circlearrowright c \\ \langle b^2, c^2, bac \rangle \end{array} \right]}{\langle b^2, c^2, bac \rangle} \quad \Sigma(\Lambda) = \Sigma_{21312} = \img alt="A 3D fan diagram with a central point and five rays. The top-right ray is labeled '+', and the bottom-left ray is labeled '-'. The fan is shaded to show its depth." data-bbox="600 387 702 454"/>$$

We set $\mu_2(\Lambda) = T = T_1 \oplus T_2 := [e_2\Lambda \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ ac \end{pmatrix}} e_1\Lambda^{\oplus 2}] \oplus e_1\Lambda$ and $E := \text{End}_{\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj } \Lambda)}(T)$, where we switch the indices 1 and 2 unlike the proof of Theorem 4.6. Then, we have

$$E = \frac{k \left[\begin{array}{c} b \circlearrowleft 1 \xrightleftharpoons[a']{a} 2 \\ \langle b^2, a'b, a'aa' \rangle \end{array} \right]}{\langle b^2, a'b, a'aa' \rangle} \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma(E) = \Sigma_{13122;111} = \img alt="A 3D fan diagram with a central point and five rays. The top-right ray is labeled '+', and the bottom-left ray is labeled '-'. The fan is shaded to show its depth." data-bbox="610 506 707 598"/>$$

where new arrows a , a' and b are morphisms in $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj } \Lambda)$ given by commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & e_1\Lambda & & e_2\Lambda \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ ac \end{pmatrix}} e_1\Lambda^{\oplus 2} & & e_2\Lambda \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ ac \end{pmatrix}} e_1\Lambda^{\oplus 2} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \end{pmatrix} & & c \downarrow & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ e_2\Lambda & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ ac \end{pmatrix}} & e_1\Lambda^{\oplus 2} & & 0 & \longrightarrow & e_1\Lambda & & e_2\Lambda \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ ac \end{pmatrix}} e_1\Lambda^{\oplus 2} \end{array}$$

respectively. Let $\Gamma := E_{+-} = \begin{bmatrix} e_1 E e_1 & e_1 E e_2 \\ 0 & e_2 E e_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle e_1, b, aa', ba'a' \rangle_k & \langle a, ba, aa'a, ba'a'a \rangle_k \\ 0 & \langle e_2, a'a \rangle_k \end{bmatrix}$. Then, we have

$$\Gamma = \frac{k \left[\begin{array}{c} b' \circlearrowleft 1 \xrightarrow{a} 2 \circlearrowright c \\ b \circlearrowright 1 \end{array} \right]}{\langle b^2, b'^2, c^2, b'b, b'a - ac \rangle} \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma(\Gamma) = \rho(\Sigma(\Lambda)) = \Sigma_{13122} = \img alt="A 3D fan diagram with a central point and five rays. The top-right ray is labeled '+', and the bottom-left ray is labeled '-'. The fan is shaded to show its depth." data-bbox="660 770 754 860"/>$$


where $b' := aa'$ and $c := a'a$.

4.3. Subdivision and Extension. In this section, we realize subdivisions of g -fans of rank 2 by extensions of algebras. The following is a main result of this section, where we identify $K_0(\text{proj } \Lambda)$ with \mathbb{Z}^2 by $e_1\Lambda = (1, 0)$ and $e_2\Lambda = (0, 1)$.

Theorem 4.10 (Subdivision Theorem). *Let Λ be a finite dimensional elementary k -algebra with orthogonal primitive idempotents $1 = e_1 + e_2$. Assume $e_1\Lambda e_2 = 0$, or equivalently, $\Sigma(\Lambda) \in \text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{\pm}(2)$ (Proposition 3.5). Then, for $T \in \{\mu_1^+(\Lambda[1]), \mu_2^-(\Lambda)\}$, there exists a finite dimensional elementary k -algebra $D_T(\Lambda)$ such that*

$$\Sigma(D_T(\Lambda)) = D_{C(T)}(\Sigma(\Lambda)).$$

Theorem 4.10 is explained by the following picture, where $T = \mu_1^+(\Lambda[1])$ and $T' = \mu_2^-(\Lambda)$.



In the rest, we consider the case $T = \mu_1^+(\Lambda[1])$ since the case $T = \mu_2^-(\Lambda)$ is the dual. The construction of $D_T(\Lambda)$ for $T = \mu_1^+(\Lambda[1])$ is as follows:

Construction 4.11. By Proposition 3.5, we can write

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} A & X \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}.$$

where A, B are local k -algebras and X is an $A^{\text{op}} \otimes_k B$ -module. Since Λ is elementary, we have $k \simeq A/J_A \simeq B/J_B$. Let

$$\overline{X} := X/XJ_B.$$

Then the k -dual $D\overline{X}$ is an A -module, and we regard it as an A^{op} -module by using the action of k through the natural surjection $A \rightarrow k$. Let

$$C := A \oplus D\overline{X}$$

be a trivial extension algebra of A by $D\overline{X}$. Let

$$\overline{(\cdot)} : A \rightarrow k, \overline{(\cdot)} : B \rightarrow k \text{ and } \overline{(\cdot)} : X \rightarrow \overline{X}$$

be canonical surjections. We regard

$$Y := \begin{bmatrix} k \\ X \end{bmatrix}$$

as a $C^{\text{op}} \otimes_k B$ -module by

$$(a, f) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ x \end{bmatrix} \cdot b := \begin{bmatrix} \overline{a}\alpha\overline{b} + f(\overline{x})\overline{b} \\ axb \end{bmatrix} \text{ for } (a, f) \in C = A \oplus D\overline{X}, \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ x \end{bmatrix} \in Y = \begin{bmatrix} k \\ X \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } b \in B.$$

Then we set

$$D_T(\Lambda) := \begin{bmatrix} C & Y \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}.$$

In the rest of this subsection, we prove Theorem 4.10. For simplicity, we set

$$\Gamma := D_T(\Lambda) \text{ and } Q_1 := [C \ Y], \ Q_2 := [0 \ B] \in \text{proj } \Gamma.$$

For $y \in M_{s,t}(Y) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\Gamma}(Q_2^{\oplus t}, Q_1^{\oplus s})$, we define

$$Q_y := [Q_2^{\oplus t} \xrightarrow{y(\cdot)} Q_1^{\oplus s}] \in K^b(\text{proj } \Gamma).$$

We fix a minimal set of generators g_1, \dots, g_r of the B -module X . Then $(\overline{g}_1, \dots, \overline{g}_r)$ forms a k -basis of $\overline{X} = X/XJ_B$. Set

$$g := [g_1 \ \cdots \ g_r] \in M_{1,r}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{g} := [\overline{g}_1 \ \cdots \ \overline{g}_r] \in M_{1,r}(X/XJ_B).$$

We need the following easy observation.

Lemma 4.12. $\Sigma(\Gamma)$ contains $\text{cone}\{(0, 1), (1, -r-1)\}$ and $\text{cone}\{(1, -r-1), (1, -r)\}$. More explicitly, let

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ g \end{bmatrix} \in M_{1,r}(Y) \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ g & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in M_{1,r+1}(Y).$$

Then $Q \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ g & 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus Q_2[1]$ and $Q \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ g \end{bmatrix} \oplus Q \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ g & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ belong to $2\text{-silt}\Gamma$.

Proof. A minimal set of generators of the B -module Y is given by the $r+1$ columns of $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ g & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Thus $Q \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ g & 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus Q_2[1] \in 2\text{-silt}\Gamma$ holds by Proposition 3.10.

In the rest, we prove that $T := Q \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ g \end{bmatrix} \oplus Q \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ g & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is basic siltting. By the first statement, $Q \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ g & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is indecomposable. If $Q \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ g \end{bmatrix}$ is not indecomposable, then $|T|$ is bigger than two, a contradiction. Thus T is basic.

We will show that T is presilting by using Proposition 3.9. By our choice of g , we have

$$gM_{r,1}(B) = X \quad \text{and} \quad (D\overline{X})g = M_{1,r}(k).$$

Thus we have $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ g \end{bmatrix} M_r(B) = M_{1,r}(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ X \end{bmatrix})$ and $(D\overline{X}) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ g \end{bmatrix} = M_{1,r}(\begin{bmatrix} k \\ 0 \end{bmatrix})$, and hence

$$C \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ g \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ g \end{bmatrix} M_r(B) \supset (D\overline{X}) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ g \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ g \end{bmatrix} M_r(B) = M_{1,r}(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ X \end{bmatrix}) + M_{1,r}(\begin{bmatrix} k \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}) = M_{1,r}(Y).$$

This clearly implies

$$C \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ g \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ g & 0 \end{bmatrix} M_{r+1,r}(B) = M_{1,r}(Y),$$

and a similar argument implies

$$C \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ g & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ g \end{bmatrix} M_{r,r+1}(B) = M_{1,r+1}(Y).$$

Thus Proposition 3.9 implies that T is presilting, as desired. \square

As in Section 3.2, the element \overline{g} gives a surjection

$$\pi := (X \xrightarrow{\overline{(\cdot)}} \overline{X} \xrightarrow{(\overline{g}(\cdot))^{-1}} M_{r,1}(\overline{B}) = M_{r,1}(k)),$$

which extends to the map $\pi : M_{s,t}(X) \rightarrow M_{r,s,t}(k)$ for each $s, t \geq 0$.

The following observation is crucial.

Proposition 4.13. Let $s, t \geq 0$. For $x \in M_{s,t}(X)$, consider $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x \end{bmatrix} \in M_{s,t}(Y)$.

- (a) P_x is indecomposable in $\mathbb{K}^b(\text{proj } \Lambda)$ if and only if $Q \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x \end{bmatrix}$ is indecomposable in $\mathbb{K}^b(\text{proj } \Gamma)$.
- (b) If $Q \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x \end{bmatrix}$ is a presilting complex of Γ , then P_x is a presilting complex of Λ .
- (c) The converse of (b) holds if $t \leq rs$.
- (d) The restriction of $\Sigma(\Gamma)$ to $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 0 \leq -y \leq rx\}$ coincides with that of $\Sigma(\Lambda)$.

Proof. Notice that Γ is the trivial extension $\Lambda \oplus I$ of Λ by the following ideal I of Γ :

$$I := \begin{bmatrix} D\overline{X} & k \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(a) Since $P_x \simeq Q \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x \end{bmatrix} \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda$ and $Q \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x \end{bmatrix} \simeq P_x \otimes_{\Lambda} \Gamma$, the assertion follows immediately.

(b) Since $\Lambda = \Gamma/I$ and $Q \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x \end{bmatrix} \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda \simeq P_x$, the assertion follows.

(c) Assume that P_x is a presilting complex of Λ . Then by Proposition 3.9(b), we have

$$M_{s,t}(X) = M_s(A)x + xM_t(B). \tag{4.3}$$

Again by Proposition 3.9(b), it suffices to show the equality

$$V := M_s(C) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x \end{bmatrix} M_t(B) = M_{s,t}(\begin{bmatrix} k \\ X \end{bmatrix}).$$

Since $V \supset M_s(A) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x \end{bmatrix} M_t(B) \stackrel{(4.3)}{=} M_{s,t}(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ X \end{bmatrix})$ holds, it suffices to show

$$V \supset M_{s,t}(\begin{bmatrix} k \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}). \quad (4.4)$$

By our assumption $t \leq rs$ and Proposition 3.11(b), $\pi(x)$ has rank t and the map

$$(\cdot)\pi(x) : M_{s,rs}(k) \rightarrow M_{s,t}(k) \quad (4.5)$$

is surjective. We denote by g_1^*, \dots, g_r^* the basis of $D\bar{X}$ which is dual to g_1, \dots, g_r . Then the map

$$(\cdot) \begin{bmatrix} g_1^* \\ \vdots \\ g_r^* \end{bmatrix} : M_{1,r}(k) \simeq D\bar{X} \text{ is a bijection, and we denote its inverse by}$$

$$\pi' : D\bar{X} \simeq M_{1,r}(k).$$

It gives a bijection $\pi' : M_s(D\bar{X}) \simeq M_{s,rs}(k)$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_s(D\bar{X}) \times M_{s,t}(X) & \xrightarrow{\pi' \times \pi} & M_{s,rs}(k) \times M_{r,t}(k) \\ & \searrow \text{eval.} & \downarrow \text{mult.} \\ & & M_{s,t}(k) \end{array}$$

where eval. is given by the evaluation map $D\bar{X} \times X \rightarrow D\bar{X} \times \bar{X} \rightarrow k$. Thus the commutativity of the diagram above and the surjectivity of (4.5) shows that the map

$$(\cdot)x : M_s(D\bar{X}) \rightarrow M_{s,t}(k)$$

is also surjective. Therefore the desired claim (4.4) follows from

$$V \supset M_s(C) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x \end{bmatrix} \supset M_s(D\bar{X}) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x \end{bmatrix} = M_{s,t}(\begin{bmatrix} k \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}). \quad \square$$

(d) Immediate from (c).

We are ready to prove Theorem 4.10.

Proof of Theorem 4.10. The assertion follows from Lemma 4.12 and Proposition 4.13(d). \square

We give two examples of Subdivision Theorem 4.10.

Example 4.14. Let Λ be the following algebra. Then $\Sigma(\Lambda)$ is the following fan.

$$\Lambda = k[1 \rightarrow 2] \quad \Sigma(\Lambda) = \Sigma_{111} = \begin{array}{c} \text{+} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{---} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{-} \end{array}$$

Applying Theorem 4.10 to Λ , we get

$$\Gamma := \begin{bmatrix} k \oplus Dk & \begin{bmatrix} k \\ k \end{bmatrix} \\ 0 & k \end{bmatrix} = \frac{k \left[\begin{array}{c} b \text{ } \text{---} \text{ } 1 \rightarrow 2 \\ \text{ } \end{array} \right]}{\langle b^2 \rangle} \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma(\Gamma) = D_3(\Sigma(\Lambda)) = \Sigma_{1212} = \begin{array}{c} \text{+} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{---} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{-} \end{array}$$

Example 4.15. Let Λ be the following algebra. Then $\Sigma(\Lambda)$ is the following fan by Example 4.8.

$$\Lambda = \frac{k \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \xrightarrow{a} 2 \text{ } \text{---} \text{ } b \\ \text{ } \end{array} \right]}{\langle b^2 \rangle} \quad \Sigma(\Lambda) = \Sigma_{2121} = \begin{array}{c} \text{+} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{---} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{-} \end{array}$$

Applying Theorem 4.10 to Λ , we get

$$\Gamma := \begin{bmatrix} k \oplus D(ka) & \begin{bmatrix} \langle a, ab \rangle_k \\ \langle e_2, b \rangle_k \end{bmatrix} \\ 0 & \end{bmatrix} = \frac{k \left[\begin{array}{ccc} c & \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ 1 \end{array} & \xrightarrow{a} & \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ 2 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ b \end{array} \end{array} \right]}{\langle b^2, c^2, cab \rangle} \text{ and } \Sigma(\Gamma) = D_4(\Sigma(\Lambda)) = \Sigma_{21312} = \begin{array}{c} \text{+} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{---} \quad \text{---} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} \quad \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array}$$

4.4. Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let k be a field. For a finite dimensional k -algebra Λ of rank 2, we regard the g -fan $\Sigma(\Lambda)$ as a fan in \mathbb{R}^2 by isomorphism $K_0(\text{proj } \Lambda) \simeq \mathbb{R}^2$ given by $P_1 \mapsto (1, 0)$ and $P_2 \mapsto (0, 1)$. We denote by

$$\mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_k(2)$$

the subset of $\mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{\text{sc}}(2)$ consisting of g -fans of finite dimensional k -algebras of rank 2. Let $\mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{k,\text{el}}(2)$ be the subset of $\mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_k(2)$ consisting of g -fans of finite dimensional elementary k -algebras of rank 2.

The following is a main result of this paper.

Theorem 4.16. *For any field k , we have*

$$\mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{k,\text{el}}(2) = \mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_k(2) = \mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{\text{sc}}(2). \quad (4.6)$$

That is, any sign-coherent fan in \mathbb{R}^2 can be realized as a g -fan $\Sigma(\Lambda)$ of some finite dimensional elementary k -algebra Λ .

Proof. It suffices to show $\mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{\text{sc}}(2) = \mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{k,\text{el}}(2)$. Let

$$\mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{k,\text{el}}^{+-}(2) := \mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{k,\text{el}}(2) \cap \mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2) \text{ and } \mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{k,\text{el}}^{-+}(2) := \mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{k,\text{el}}(2) \cap \mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{-+}(2).$$

By Gluing Theorem 4.2, we have

$$\mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{k,\text{el}}(2) = \mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{k,\text{el}}^{+-}(2) * \mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{k,\text{el}}^{-+}(2).$$

By Rotation Theorem 4.6, $\mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{k,\text{el}}^{+-}(2)$ is closed under rotations. By Theorem 4.10 and Proposition 4.5(b), $\mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{k,\text{el}}^{+-}(2)$ is closed under subdivisions. Since $\Sigma(0, 0; 0, 0) = \Sigma(k \times k) \in \mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{k,\text{el}}^{+-}(2)$, Proposition 2.10 implies

$$\mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{k,\text{el}}^{+-}(2) = \mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2).$$

Similarly, we have $\mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{k,\text{el}}^{-+}(2) = \mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{-+}(2)$. Consequently, we have

$$\mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{\text{sc}}(2) \stackrel{(4.1)}{=} \mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2) * \mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{-+}(2) = \mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{k,\text{el}}^{+-}(2) * \mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{k,\text{el}}^{-+}(2) = \mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{k,\text{el}}(2). \quad \square$$


For given $\Sigma \in \mathbf{c}\text{-Fan}_{\text{sc}}(2)$, our proof of Theorem 4.16 gives a concrete algorithm to construct a finite dimensional k -algebra Λ satisfying $\Sigma(\Lambda) = \Sigma$. We demonstrate it in the following example.

Example 4.17. We construct a finite dimensional k -algebra Γ satisfying $\Sigma(\Gamma) = \Sigma_{13122;1212}$ by the following three steps.

(I) We obtain a finite dimensional k -algebra

$$\Lambda = \frac{k \left[\begin{array}{ccc} a_2 & \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ 1 \end{array} & \xrightarrow{a_3} & \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ 2 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ a_4 \end{array} \\ a_1 & \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ 1 \end{array} & & & \end{array} \right]}{\langle a_1^2, a_2^2, a_4^2, a_2a_1, a_2a_3 - a_3a_4 \rangle}$$

satisfying $\Sigma(\Lambda) = \Sigma_{13122;00}$ by using Rotation Theorem 4.6 and Subdivision Theorem 4.10 as follows.



(II) Similarly, we obtain a finite dimensional k -algebra


$$\Lambda' := \frac{k \left[\begin{array}{c} b_1 \quad \circlearrowleft \quad b_2 \\ 1 \longleftarrow 2 \end{array} \right]}{\langle b_2^2 \rangle}$$

satisfying $\Sigma(\Lambda') = \Sigma(0, 0; 1, 2, 1, 2)$.

(III) We obtain a finite dimensional k -algebra

$$\Gamma = \frac{k \left[\begin{array}{c} a_2 \quad \circlearrowleft \quad a_3 \quad \circlearrowleft \quad b_2 \\ 1 \rightleftharpoons 2 \\ a_1 \quad \circlearrowright \quad b_1 \quad \circlearrowright \quad a_4 \end{array} \right]}{\langle a_1^2, a_2^2, a_4^2, a_2a_1, a_2a_3 - a_3a_4, b_2^2 \rangle + \langle a_ib_j, b_ja_i \mid i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, j \in \{1, 2\} \rangle}$$


satisfying $\Sigma(\Gamma) = \Sigma(1, 3, 1, 2, 2; 1, 2, 1, 2)$ by applying Gluing Theorem 4.2 to Λ and Λ' , see Example 4.18.



Example 4.18. Let Λ and Λ' be the following algebras.

$$\Lambda := \frac{k \left[\begin{array}{c} a_2 \quad \circlearrowleft \quad a_3 \quad \circlearrowleft \quad a_4 \\ 1 \longrightarrow 2 \end{array} \right]}{\langle a_1^2, a_2^2, a_4^2, a_2a_1, a_2a_3 - a_3a_4 \rangle}, \quad \Lambda' := \frac{k \left[\begin{array}{c} b_1 \quad \circlearrowleft \quad b_2 \\ 1 \longleftarrow 2 \end{array} \right]}{\langle b_2^2 \rangle}$$

By Examples 4.9 and 4.14 below, we have




On the other hand, we have

$$\Lambda * \Lambda' = \frac{k \left[\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cc} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} a_2 \text{---} \\ \text{---} a_3 \text{---} \\ \text{---} b_2 \text{---} \\ \text{---} a_1 \text{---} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{---} a_3 \text{---} \\ \text{---} b_1 \text{---} \\ \text{---} a_4 \text{---} \end{array} \\ 1 \rightleftharpoons 2 \\ \end{array} \right]}{\langle a_1^2, a_2^2, a_4^2, a_2a_1, a_2a_3 - a_3a_4, b_2^2 \rangle + \langle a_i b_j, b_j a_i \mid i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, j \in \{1, 2\} \rangle}$$

By Gluing Theorem 4.2, we have

$$\Sigma(\Lambda * \Lambda') = \Sigma(\Lambda) * \Sigma(\Lambda') = \Sigma_{13122;1212} =$$



5. g -CONVEX ALGEBRAS OF RANK 2

In this section, we will characterize algebras of rank 2 which have convex g -polygons.

5.1. Characterizations of g -convex algebras of rank 2. Let e, e' be pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents in A and $x \in eAe'$. Then we use the following notations.

- $x \in eAe'$ is a *left generator* (respectively, *right generator*) of eAe' if $eAx = eAe'$ (respectively, $xAe' = eAe'$).
- Define subalgebras $L_x \subset eAe$ and $R_x \subset e'Ae'$ as follows (see Lemma 5.5).

$$L_x := \{a \in eAe \mid ax \in xAe'\} \quad \text{and} \quad R_x := \{a \in e'Ae' \mid xa \in eAx\}.$$

Recall that, for a ring Λ and a right (respectively, left) Λ -module M , we denote by $t(M)_\Lambda$ (respectively, $t_\Lambda(M)$) the minimal number of generators of M .


Theorem 5.1. *Let A be a basic finite dimensional algebra, $\{e_1, e_2\}$ a complete set of primitive orthogonal idempotents in A , and $P_i = e_i A$ ($i = 1, 2$).*

(a) A is g -convex if and only if

$$\Sigma(A) = \Sigma_{a;b} \quad \text{for some } a, b \in \{(0, 0), (1, 1, 1), (1, 2, 1, 2), (2, 1, 2, 1)\}.$$

(b) Let $(l, r) := (t_{e_1 A e_1}(e_1 A e_2), t_{e_1 A e_2}(e_2 A e_2))$. Then we have the following statements.


- $\Sigma(A) = \Sigma_{00} * \Sigma$ for some $\Sigma \in \text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2)$ if and only if $(l, r) = (0, 0)$.
- $\Sigma(A) = \Sigma_{111} * \Sigma$ for some $\Sigma \in \text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2)$ if and only if $(l, r) = (1, 1)$.
- $\Sigma(A) = \Sigma_{1212} * \Sigma$ for some $\Sigma \in \text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2)$ if and only if $(l, r) = (1, 2)$ and $t_{L_x}(e_1 A e_1) = 2$ hold for some left generator x of $e_1 A e_2$ and $L_x := \{a \in e_1 A e_1 \mid ax \in x A e_2\}$.
- $\Sigma(A) = \Sigma_{2121} * \Sigma$ for some $\Sigma \in \text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2)$ if and only if $(l, r) = (2, 1)$ and $t_{(e_2 A e_2)_{R_x}} = 2$ hold for some right generator x of $e_1 A e_2$ and $R_x := \{b \in e_2 A e_2 \mid xb \in e_1 A x\}$.



(c) Let $(l, r) := (t_{e_2 A e_2}(e_2 A e_1), t_{e_2 A e_1}(e_1 A e_1))$. Then we have the following statements.

- $\Sigma(A) = \Sigma * {}^t \Sigma_{00}$ for some $\Sigma \in \text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2)$ if and only if $(l, r) = (0, 0)$.
- $\Sigma(A) = \Sigma * {}^t \Sigma_{111}$ for some $\Sigma \in \text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2)$ if and only if $(l, r) = (1, 1)$.
- $\Sigma(A) = \Sigma * {}^t \Sigma_{1212}$ for some $\Sigma \in \text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2)$ if and only if $(l, r) = (1, 2)$ and $t_{L_x}(e_2 A e_2) = 2$ hold for some left generator x of $e_2 A e_1$ and $L_x := \{a \in e_2 A e_2 \mid ax \in x A e_1\}$.

- $\Sigma(A) = \Sigma * {}^t\Sigma_{2121}$ for some $\Sigma \in \text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2)$ if and only if $(l, r) = (2, 1)$ and $t(e_1Ae_1)_{R_x} = 2$ hold for some right generator x of e_2Ae_1 and $R_x := \{b \in e_1Ae_1 \mid xb \in e_2Ax\}$.



Remark 5.2. For a left (respectively, right) generator x of e_1Ae_2 , L_x (respectively, R_x) is unique up to conjugacy. In particular, $t_{L_x}(e_1Ae_1)$ (respectively, $t_{R_x}(e_2Ae_2)$) does not depend on the choice of a left (respectively, right) generator x .


Example 5.3. (a) For each $a, b \in \{(0, 0), (1, 1, 1), (1, 2, 1, 2), (2, 1, 2, 1)\}$, an algebra $A_{a,b}$ satisfying $\Sigma(A_{a;b}) = \Sigma_{a;b}$ can be defined as given in Table 1. Algebras $A_{00;00}$, $A_{11;00}$, $A_{1212;00}$ and $A_{2121;00}$ in the first row are taken from Figure 2, and we put $A_{00;111} := A_{111;00}^{\text{op}}$, $A_{00;1212} := A_{2121;00}^{\text{op}}$ and $A_{00;2121} := A_{1212;00}^{\text{op}}$ in the first column. Further, other algebras are constructed using Gluing Theorem 4.2, i.e.,

$$A_{a;b} := A_{a;00} * A_{00;b}.$$

(b) Let K/k be a field extension with degree two, and A be a k -algebra $\begin{bmatrix} k & K \\ 0 & K \end{bmatrix}$ with $e_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $e_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. We write $K = k(t)$ and set $x := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in e_1Ae_2$, $u = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{bmatrix} \in e_2Ae_2$. Then we have $R_x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k \end{bmatrix}$, $u \notin R_x$, and the following equations hold.

- $e_1Ae_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & K \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = xAe_2 = e_1Ax + e_1Axu$
- $e_2Ae_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & K \end{bmatrix} = R_x + uR_x$

Further, we have $e_2Ae_1 = 0$. Therefore, Theorem 5.1 implies that $\Sigma(A)$ has the following form.



5.2. Proof of Theorem 5.1. In this subsection, we prove Theorem 5.1. The following observation shows Theorem 5.1(a) and gives another proof of [AHIKM1, Theorem 6.3].

Proposition 5.4. *Let A be as in Theorem 5.1. Then A is g -convex if and only if $\Sigma(A) = \Sigma_{a;b}$ for some $a, b \in \{(0, 0), (1, 1, 1), (1, 2, 1, 2), (2, 1, 2, 1)\}$.*

Proof. The “if” part is clear. Conversely, assume that A is g -convex and $\Sigma(A) = \Sigma_{a;b}$ with $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $b = (b_1, \dots, b_m)$. Then $a_i \leq 2$ and $b_j \leq 2$ hold for each i, j . Using Proposition 2.10, it is easy to check that $a, b \in \{(0, 0), (1, 1, 1), (1, 2, 1, 2), (2, 1, 2, 1)\}$ holds (see Figure 1). \square

Next we show the following.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $x \in e_1Ae_2$. Then L_x is a subalgebra of e_1Ae_1 , and R_x is a subalgebra of e_2Ae_2 .*

Proof. This is a special case of the following easy fact: Let A, B be rings, M an (A, B) -module, and $x \in M$. Then $\{b \in B \mid xb \in Ax\}$ is a subring of B . \square

Now we give a key observation. As in Section 3.2, for $s, t \geq 0$, $x \in M_{s,t}(e_1Ae_2)$, we define

$$P_x := (e_2A^{\oplus t} \xrightarrow{x(\cdot)} e_1A^{\oplus s}) \in \text{K}^b(\text{proj } A).$$

















$b \setminus a$	00	111	1212	2121
00	$k[1 \rightarrow 2]$ 	$k[1 \xrightarrow{a} 2]$ 	$k \left[\begin{array}{c} c \curvearrowright 1 \xrightarrow{a} 2 \end{array} \right]$ $\langle c^2 \rangle$ 	$k \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \xrightarrow{a} 2 \curvearrowright c \end{array} \right]$ $\langle c^2 \rangle$ 
111	$k \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \xleftarrow{b} 2 \end{array} \right]$ 	$k \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \xleftrightarrow{a} 2 \\ \xleftarrow{b} \end{array} \right]$ $\langle ab, ba \rangle$ 	$k \left[\begin{array}{c} c \curvearrowright 1 \xleftrightarrow{a} 2 \\ \xleftarrow{b} \end{array} \right]$ $\langle ab, ba, c^2 \rangle$ 	$k \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \xleftrightarrow{a} 2 \curvearrowright c \\ \xleftarrow{b} \end{array} \right]$ $\langle ab, ba, cb, c^2 \rangle$ 
1212	$k \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \xleftarrow{b} 2 \curvearrowright d \end{array} \right]$ $\langle d^2 \rangle$ 	$k \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \xleftrightarrow{a} 2 \curvearrowright d \\ \xleftarrow{b} \end{array} \right]$ $\langle ab, ba, ad, d^2 \rangle$ 	$k \left[\begin{array}{c} c \curvearrowright 1 \xleftrightarrow{a} 2 \curvearrowright d \\ \xleftarrow{b} \end{array} \right]$ $\langle ab, ba, ad, bc, c^2, d^2 \rangle$ 	$k \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \xleftrightarrow{a} 2 \curvearrowright c \\ \xleftarrow{b} \curvearrowright d \end{array} \right]$ $\langle ab, ba, ad, cb, c^2, d^2, cd, dc \rangle$ 
2121	$k \left[\begin{array}{c} d \curvearrowright 1 \xleftarrow{b} 2 \end{array} \right]$ $\langle d^2 \rangle$ 	$k \left[\begin{array}{c} d \curvearrowright 1 \xleftrightarrow{a} 2 \\ \xleftarrow{b} \end{array} \right]$ $\langle ab, ba, da, d^2 \rangle$ 	$k \left[\begin{array}{c} c \curvearrowright 1 \xleftrightarrow{a} 2 \\ \xleftarrow{b} \curvearrowright d \end{array} \right]$ $\langle ab, ba, da, bc, c^2, d^2, cd, dc \rangle$ 	$k \left[\begin{array}{c} d \curvearrowright 1 \xleftrightarrow{a} 2 \curvearrowright c \\ \xleftarrow{b} \end{array} \right]$ $\langle ab, ba, da, cb, c^2, d^2 \rangle$ 

 TABLE 1. g -convex algebras $A_{a;b}$ and these g -fans

Proposition 5.6. Assume $t_{e_1 A e_1}(e_1 A e_2) = 1$. For a left generator $x \in e_1 A e_2$, the following conditions are equivalent.

- (a) $\Sigma(A)$ contains $\text{cone}\{(1, -1), (1, -2)\}$.
- (b) $t_{L_x}(e_1 A e_1) = 2$.
- (c) $e_1 A y + x M_{1,2}(e_2 A e_2) = M_{1,2}(e_1 A e_2)$ holds for some $u \in e_1 A e_1 \setminus L_x$ and $y := [x \ u x]$.

Proof. Notice that P_x is indecomposable presilting by Proposition 3.10.

(a) \Rightarrow (b) If $t_{L_x}(e_1 A e_1) = 1$, then $e_1 A e_1 = L_x$ holds. Thus $e_1 A e_2 = e_1 A x \subset x A e_2$ holds, and thus x is a right generator. By Proposition 3.10, $P_x \oplus P_2[1] \in 2\text{-silt}A$ holds, a contradiction to $\text{cone}\{(1, -1), (1, -2)\} \in \Sigma(A)$. Thus it suffices to prove $t_{L_x}(e_1 A e_1) \leq 2$.

Since $\text{cone}\{(1, -1), (1, -2)\} \in \Sigma(A)$, there exists $y = [x_1 \ x_2] \in M_{1,2}(e_1Ae_2)$ such that $P_x \oplus P_y$ is silting. By Proposition 3.9, we have

$$M_{1,2}(e_1Ae_2) = e_1Ay + yM_{2,2}(e_2Ae_2), \quad (5.1)$$

$$M_{1,2}(e_1Ae_2) = e_1Ay + xM_{1,2}(e_2Ae_2). \quad (5.2)$$

Looking at the first entry of (5.1), at least one of x_1 and x_2 does not belong to $\text{rad}_{e_1Ae_1} e_1Ae_2$. Without loss of generality, assume $x_1 \notin \text{rad}_{e_1Ae_1} e_1Ae_2$. Then there exists $a \in (e_1Ae_1)^\times$ such that $x = ax_1$. Since $P_y \simeq P_{ay}$, we can assume $x_1 = x$ by replacing y by ay . Since x is a left generator, there exists $u \in e_1Ae_1$ such that $x_2 = ux$. Consequently, we can assume

$$y = [x \ ux].$$

For each $a \in e_1Ae_1$, (5.2) implies that there exist $a' \in e_1Ae_1$ and $b, b' \in e_2Ae_2$ such that

$$[0 \ ax] = a'[x \ ux] + x[b \ b'].$$

Then a' and $a - a'u$ are in L_x , and hence $a = a'u + (a - a'u) \in L_xu + L_x$. Thus $e_1Ae_1 = L_x + L_xu$ and $t_{L_x}(e_1Ae_1) \leq 2$ hold, as desired.

(b) \Rightarrow (c) Since $t_{L_x}(e_1Ae_1) = 2$ and $L_x \not\subset \text{rad}_{L_x} e_1Ae_1$, there exists $u \in e_1Ae_1 \setminus L_x$ such that

$$L_xu + L_x = e_1Ae_1.$$

Multiplying x from the right, we have $L_xux + L_xx = e_1Ax = e_1Ae_2$. Since $L_xx \subset xAe_2$, we have

$$L_xux + xAe_2 = e_1Ae_2. \quad (5.3)$$

To prove (c), take any $[z \ w] \in M_{1,2}(e_1Ae_2)$. Since x is a left generator, there exists $a \in e_1Ae_1$ such that $z = ax$. By (5.3), there exist $l \in L_x$ and $b \in e_2Ae_2$ such that $w - aux = lux + xb$. By definition of L_x , there exists $c \in e_2Ae_2$ such that $lx = xc$. Then we have

$$[z \ w] = (a + l)[x \ ux] + x[-c \ b] \in e_1Ay + xM_{1,2}(e_2Ae_2).$$

(c) \Rightarrow (a) By Proposition 3.9, the following assertions hold.

- P_x is presilting if and only if (i) $e_1Ax + xAe_2 = e_1Ae_2$.
- P_y is presilting if and only if (ii) $e_1Ay + yM_{2,2}(e_2Ae_2) = M_{1,2}(e_1Ae_2)$.
- $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj } A)}(P_x, P_y[1]) = 0$ if and only if (iii) $e_1Ax + yM_{2,1}(e_2Ae_2) = e_1Ae_2$.
- $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj } A)}(P_y, P_x[1]) = 0$ if and only if (iv) $e_1Ay + xM_{1,2}(e_2Ae_2) = M_{1,2}(e_1Ae_2)$.

It is clear that (iv) implies (ii), and (i) implies (iii). By looking at the first entry of the row vector, (iv) implies (i).

Our assumption (c) implies that (iv) holds, and hence (i)-(iii) also hold. Thus $P_x \oplus P_y$ is presilting. It remains to show that P_y is indecomposable. Suppose that P_y is decomposable. By considering the g -vectors, we have that $P_y \simeq e_2A[1] \oplus P_z$ for some $z \in e_1Ae_2$. Since $[P_z] = [P_x]$, we have $P_z \simeq P_x$ by [DIJ, Theorem 6.5(a)]. This shows that $e_2A[1] \oplus P_x$ is silting. By Proposition 3.10, we have $xAe_2 = e_1Ae_2$ and $L_x = e_1Ae_1$. This contradicts $u \notin L_x$. \square

We are ready to prove Theorem 5.1(b).

Proof of Theorem 5.1(b). The first and second statements follow from Proposition 3.5 and Proposition 3.10.

We prove the third statement. By Proposition 3.10, $\text{cone}\{(1, 0), (1, -1)\} \in \Sigma(A)$ if and only if $t_{e_1Ae_1}(e_1Ae_2) = 1$, and $\text{cone}\{(0, -1), (1, -2)\} \in \Sigma(A)$ if and only if $t_{(e_1Ae_2)_{e_2Ae_2}} = 2$. Thus the assertion follows from Proposition 5.6.

The fourth statement is the dual of the third statement. \square

6. GLUING FANS II AND APPLICATION

6.1. Gluing fans II. In this subsection, we study another type of gluing fans of rank 2. We start with introducing the following operation for fans of rank two.

Definition 6.1. For $\Sigma, \Sigma' \in \text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2)$ satisfying

$$\sigma = \text{cone}\{(0, -1), (1, -1)\} \in \Sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma' = \text{cone}\{(1, -1), (1, 0)\} \in \Sigma', \quad (6.1)$$

we define $\Sigma \circ \Sigma' \in \text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (\Sigma \circ \Sigma')_1 &:= \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma'_1 \\ (\Sigma \circ \Sigma')_2 &:= (\Sigma_2 \setminus \{\sigma\}) \cup (\Sigma'_2 \setminus \{\sigma'\}). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 6.2. When Σ and Σ' are complete, the assumption (6.1) is equivalent to that

$$\Sigma = \Sigma(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, 1; 0, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma' = \Sigma(1, b_2, \dots, b_m; 0, 0).$$

In this case, the above gluing is described as follows:

$$\Sigma \circ \Sigma' = \Sigma(a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}, a_{n-1} + b_2 - 1, b_3, \dots, b_m; 0, 0).$$

Now we study an algebraic counterpart of the gluing fans of this type.

Theorem 6.3. Let Λ and Λ' be elementary k -algebras of rank 2 with orthogonal primitive idempotents $1 = e_1 + e_2 \in \Lambda$ and $1 = e'_1 + e'_2 \in \Lambda'$ satisfying $e_1 \Lambda e_2 = 0$, $e'_1 \Lambda' e'_2 = 0$,

$$\sigma = \text{cone}\{(0, -1), (1, -1)\} \in \Sigma(\Lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma' = \text{cone}\{(1, -1), (1, 0)\} \in \Sigma(\Lambda').$$

Then, there exists an elementary k -algebra $\Lambda \circ \Lambda'$ such that

$$\Sigma_2(\Lambda \circ \Lambda') = \Sigma_2(\Lambda) \circ \Sigma_2(\Lambda').$$

Theorem 6.3 is explained by the following picture.

The construction of $\Lambda \circ \Lambda'$ is as follows: By our assumption, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &= \begin{bmatrix} A & X \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad P_1 := [A \ X], \quad P_2 := [0 \ B] \in \text{proj } \Lambda, \\ \Lambda' &= \begin{bmatrix} C & Y \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad P'_1 := [C \ Y], \quad P'_2 := [0 \ D] \in \text{proj } \Lambda', \end{aligned}$$

where

- A, B, C, D are local k -algebras such that $k \simeq A/J_A \simeq B/J_B \simeq C/J_C \simeq D/J_D$.
- X is an $A^{\text{op}} \otimes_k B$ -module and Y is an $C^{\text{op}} \otimes_k D$ -module.
- There exist $g \in X$ and $h \in Y$ such that $X = gB \neq 0$ and $Y = Ch \neq 0$ by Proposition 3.10.

Let $A \times_k C$ (respectively, $B \times_k D$) be a fibre product of canonical surjections $A \rightarrow k$ and $C \rightarrow k$ (respectively, $B \rightarrow k$ and $D \rightarrow k$). As in Section 3.2, we consider maps

$$\pi : X \rightarrow X/XJ_B \xrightarrow{(g(\cdot))^{-1}} B/J_B = k \quad \text{and} \quad \pi' : Y \rightarrow Y/J_C Y \xrightarrow{((\cdot)h)^{-1}} C/J_C = k. \quad (6.2)$$

Let $X \times_k Y$ be a fibre product of $\pi : X \rightarrow k$ and $\pi' : Y \rightarrow k$. Then $X \times_k Y$ is a $(A \times_k C)^{\text{op}} \otimes_k (B \times_k D)$ -module, and let

$$\Lambda \circ \Lambda' := \begin{bmatrix} A \times_k C & X \times_k Y \\ 0 & B \times_k D \end{bmatrix}.$$

The rest of this section is devoted to proving Theorem 6.3. For simplicity, let

$$\Gamma := \Lambda \circ \Lambda' \quad \text{and} \quad Q_1 := [A \times_k C \ X \times_k Y], \quad Q_2 := [0 \ B \times_k D] \in \text{proj} \Gamma.$$

Consider ideals of Γ by

$$I = \begin{bmatrix} J_C & J_C Y \\ 0 & J_D \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad I' = \begin{bmatrix} J_A & X J_B \\ 0 & J_B \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then there exist isomorphisms of k -algebras

$$\Gamma/I \simeq \Lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma/I' \simeq \Lambda'. \quad (6.3)$$

As in Section 3.2, for $s, t \geq 0$, $x \in M_{s,t}(X)$, $y \in M_{s,t}(Y)$ and $(x', y') \in M_{s,t}(X \times_k Y)$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} P_x &:= (P_2^{\oplus t} \xrightarrow{x(\cdot)} P_1^{\oplus s}) \in \text{per} \Lambda, \\ P'_y &:= (P_2'^{\oplus t} \xrightarrow{y(\cdot)} P_1'^{\oplus s}) \in \text{per} \Lambda' \\ Q_{(x,y)} &:= (Q_2^{\oplus t} \xrightarrow{(x',y')(\cdot)} Q_1^{\oplus s}) \in \text{per} \Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 6.4. *Let $s, t \geq 0$ and $(x, y) \in M_{s,t}(X \times_k Y)$. If $Q_{(x,y)}$ is a presilting complex of Γ , then P_x is a presilting complex of Λ and P'_y is a presilting complex of Λ' .*

Proof. By (6.3) and $Q_{(x,y)} \otimes_{\Gamma} \Lambda = P_x$, the complex P_x is presilting. The complex P'_y is presilting similarly. \square

Define maps $\overline{(\cdot)} : A \rightarrow C$ and $\overline{(\cdot)} : B \rightarrow D$ as the compositions of canonical maps

$$\overline{(\cdot)} : A \xrightarrow{(\cdot)} k \subset C \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{(\cdot)} : B \xrightarrow{(\cdot)} k \subset D.$$

Using π and π' in (6.2), define maps $\overline{(\cdot)} : X \rightarrow Y$ and $\overline{(\cdot)} : Y \rightarrow X$ by

$$\overline{(\cdot)} : X \xrightarrow{\pi} k \xrightarrow{(\cdot)h} kh \subset Y \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{(\cdot)} : Y \xrightarrow{\pi'} k \xrightarrow{(\cdot)g} kg \subset X. \quad (6.4)$$

Then the first projection $X \times_k Y \rightarrow X$, $(x, y) \mapsto x$ has a section given by

$$X \rightarrow X \times_k Y, \quad x \mapsto (x, \overline{x}),$$

and the second projection $X \times_k Y \rightarrow Y$, $(x, y) \mapsto y$ has a section given by

$$Y \rightarrow X \times_k Y, \quad y \mapsto (\overline{y}, y).$$

The following is a crucial result.

Proposition 6.5. *The following assertions hold.*

- (a) *Let $s \geq t$. For $x \in M_{s,t}(X)$, consider $(x, \overline{x}) \in M_{s,t}(X \otimes_k Y)$. Then P_x is a presilting complex of Λ if and only if $Q_{(x, \overline{x})}$ is a presilting complex of Γ .*
- (b) *Let $s \leq t$. For $y \in M_{s,t}(Y)$, consider $(\overline{y}, y) \in M_{s,t}(X \otimes_k Y)$. Then P'_y is a presilting complex of Λ' if and only if $Q_{(\overline{y}, y)}$ is a presilting complex of Γ .*

Proof. It suffices to prove (a) since (b) is dual to (a).

The “if” part is clear from Proposition 6.4.

We prove the “only if” part. By Proposition 3.9, it suffices to show

$$M_{s,t}(X \times_k Y) = M_s(A \times_k C)(x, \overline{x}) + (x, \overline{x})M_t(B \times_k D).$$

Since

$$X \times_k Y = \{(0, y) \mid y \in J_C Y\} + \{(z, \overline{z}) \mid z \in X\},$$

it suffices to show the following assertions.

- (i) For each $y \in M_{s,t}(J_C Y)$, we have $(0, y) \in M_s(A \times_k C)(x, \overline{x})$.
- (ii) For each $z \in M_{s,t}(X)$, we have $(z, \overline{z}) \in M_s(A \times_k C)(x, \overline{x}) + (x, \overline{x})M_t(B \times_k D)$.

We prove (i). Since P_x is presilting, $\pi(x) \in M_{s,t}(k)$ has full rank by Proposition 3.11. Since $s \geq t$, the map $(\cdot)\pi(x) : M_s(k) \rightarrow M_{s,t}(k)$ is surjective. Applying $J_C \otimes_k -$, the map $(\cdot)\pi(x) : M_s(J_C) \rightarrow M_{s,t}(J_C)$ is also surjective, and so is the composition

$$(\cdot)\bar{x} \stackrel{(6.4)}{=} (\cdot)\pi(x)h : M_s(J_C) \xrightarrow{(\cdot)\pi(x)} M_{s,t}(J_C) \xrightarrow{(\cdot)h} M_{s,t}(J_C Y).$$

Therefore there exists $c \in M_s(J_C)$ such that $y = c\bar{x}$. Then $(0, c) \in M_s(A \times_k C)$ satisfies

$$(0, c)(x, \bar{x}) = (0, y).$$

We prove (ii). Since P_x is presilting, we have $M_{s,t}(X) = M_s(A)x + xM_t(B)$ by Proposition 3.9. Thus there exist $a \in M_s(A)$ and $b \in M_t(B)$ such that $z = ax + xb$. Then

$$(a, \bar{a})(x, \bar{x}) + (x, \bar{x})(b, \bar{b}) = (ax + xb, \overline{ax + xb}) = (z, \bar{z}).$$

Thus the assertion follows. \square

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 6.3.

Proof of Theorem 6.3. By Proposition 3.5, each of $\Sigma(\Lambda)$, $\Sigma(\Lambda')$ and $\Sigma(\Gamma)$ contains $\text{cone}\{(-1, 0), (0, 1)\}$. By Propositions 6.4 and 6.5, the following assertions hold.

- (i) Let $s \geq t$. Then there exists $x \in M_{s,t}(X)$ such that P_x is presilting if and only if there exists $(x, y) \in M_{s,t}(X \times_k Y)$ such that $Q_{(s,t)}$ is presilting.
- (ii) Let $s \leq t$. Then there exists $y \in M_{s,t}(Y)$ such that P'_y is presilting if and only if there exists $(x, y) \in M_{s,t}(X \times_k Y)$ such that $Q_{(s,t)}$ is presilting.

Therefore the claim follows. \square

Example 6.6. Let Λ and Λ' be the following algebras.

$$\Lambda := \frac{k \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \xrightarrow{a} 2 \circlearrowleft b \end{array} \right]}{\langle b^2 \rangle} \quad \Lambda' := \frac{k \left[\begin{array}{c} c_1 \circlearrowleft 1 \xrightarrow{a} 2 \circlearrowleft d \\ c_2 \circlearrowleft 1 \end{array} \right]}{\langle c_1^2, c_2^2, d^2, c_1 c_2, c_1 a - ad \rangle}$$

By Examples 4.8 and 4.9, we have

$$\Sigma(\Lambda) = \Sigma_{2121} = \begin{array}{c} \text{+} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{-} \end{array} \quad \Sigma(\Lambda') = \Sigma_{13122} = \begin{array}{c} \text{+} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{-} \end{array}$$

Let $\Gamma := \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \Lambda e_1 \times_k e_1 \Lambda' e_1 & e_1 \Lambda e_2 \times_k e_1 \Lambda' e_2 \\ 0 & e_2 \Lambda e_2 \times_k e_2 \Lambda' e_2 \end{bmatrix}$. Then we have

$$\Gamma = \frac{k \left[\begin{array}{c} c_1 \circlearrowleft 1 \xrightarrow{a} 2 \circlearrowleft b \\ c_2 \circlearrowleft 1 \end{array} \right]}{\langle b^2, c_1^2, c_2^2, d^2, c_1 c_2, c_1 a - ad, c_2 ab, bd, db \rangle} \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma(\Gamma) = \Sigma_{214122} = \begin{array}{c} \text{+} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{-} \end{array}$$

6.2. Application to non-complete g -fans. Now we introduce a quiddity sequence of non-complete fans. We start with giving a general definition. For a totally ordered set I , let

$$\tilde{I} := (\{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) \sqcup (I \times \mathbb{Z}) \sqcup (\{\infty\} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}) \subset (\{0\} \sqcup I \sqcup \{\infty\}) \times \mathbb{Z},$$

which is regarded as a totally ordered set by the lexicographic order, and 0 is the minimum element and ∞ is the maximum element of $\{0\} \sqcup I \sqcup \{\infty\}$.

Assume that $\Sigma \in \text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2)$ is non-complete. Then there exists a totally ordered set I such that we denote the rays of Σ by

$$\Sigma_1 = \{v_{i,n} \mid (i,n) \in \tilde{I}\}$$

which are indexed in a clockwise orientation by the totally ordered set \tilde{I} such that

$$v_{0,0} = (0,1), v_{0,1} = (1,0), v_{\infty,-1} = (0,-1), v_{\infty,0} = (-1,0).$$

We define the *quiddity sequence* $s(\Sigma) = (a_{i,n})_{(i,n) \in \tilde{I} \setminus \{(0,0), (\infty,0)\}}$ of Σ by

$$v_{i,n-1} + v_{i,n+1} = a_{i,n} v_{i,n}.$$

Using the subsequence $s_i := (a_{i,n})_n$ of $s(\Sigma)$ for each $i \in \{0\} \sqcup I \sqcup \{\infty\}$, we often write $s(\Sigma)$ as

$$s(\Sigma) = (s_i)_{i \in \{0\} \sqcup I \sqcup \{\infty\}}.$$

For a finite dimensional algebra Λ such that $\Sigma(\Lambda) \in \text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2)$ is non-complete, we define $s(\Sigma(\Lambda))$ as above.

Now let $\Sigma, \Sigma' \in \text{Fan}_{\text{sc}}^{+-}(2)$ be non-complete fans satisfying (6.1). Let I and I' be totally ordered sets such that

$$\Sigma_1 = \{v_{i,n} \mid (i,n) \in \tilde{I}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma'_1 = \{v'_{i,n} \mid (i,n) \in \tilde{I}'\},$$

and we denote by $(a_{i,n})_{(i,n) \in \tilde{I} \setminus \{(0,0), (\infty,0)\}}$ and $(b_{i,n})_{(i,n) \in \tilde{I}' \setminus \{(0,0), (\infty,0)\}}$ the quiddity sequences of Σ and Σ' respectively. Then we have $a_{\infty,-1} = 1 = b_{0,1}$ and $v_{\infty,-2} = (1,-1) = v'_{0,2}$. We regard $I \sqcup \{x\} \sqcup I'$ be a totally ordered set such that $i < x < i'$ for all $i \in I$ and $i' \in I'$. Then

$$(\Sigma \circ \Sigma')_1 = \{w_{i,n} \mid (i,n) \in \widetilde{I \sqcup \{x\} \sqcup I'}\},$$

where

$$w_{i,n} = \begin{cases} v_{i,n} & \text{if } i \in \{0\} \sqcup I, \\ v_{\infty,n-2} & \text{if } i = x, n \leq -1, \\ (1,-1) & \text{if } i = x, n = 0, \\ v'_{0,n+2} & \text{if } i = x, n \geq 1, \\ v'_{i,n} & \text{if } i \in I' \sqcup \{\infty\}. \end{cases}$$

The quiddity sequence $(c_{i,n})_{(i,n) \in \widetilde{I \sqcup \{x\} \sqcup I'} \setminus \{(0,0), (\infty,0)\}}$ of $\Sigma \circ \Sigma'$ is given by

$$c_{i,n} = \begin{cases} a_{i,n} & \text{if } i \in \{0\} \sqcup I, \\ a_{\infty,n-2} & \text{if } i = x, n \leq -1, \\ a_{\infty,-2} + b_{0,2} - 1 & \text{if } i = x, n = 0, \\ b_{0,n+2} & \text{if } i = x, n \geq 1, \\ b_{i,n} & \text{if } i \in I' \sqcup \{\infty\}. \end{cases}$$

Now, for each positive integer N , we give explicitly a finite dimensional algebra Λ_N such that $\text{Hasse}(2\text{-silt}\Lambda_N)$ has precisely N connected components. Let

$$\Lambda := \frac{k \left[1 \rightarrow 2 \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \\ a \end{array} \right]}{\langle a^4 \rangle}$$

Then we have the following result.

Theorem 6.7. *Let N be a positive integer. Define a finite dimensional k -algebra Λ_N by*

$$\Lambda_1 := \Lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_{N+1} := \Lambda \circ \rho(\Lambda_N).$$

- (a) The complement of $\bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma(\Lambda_N)} \sigma$ in $K_0(\text{proj } \Lambda_N)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a disjoint union $\bigsqcup_{i=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{R}_{>0} u_i$ of half lines for $u_i := (2, -1) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}^i$.
- (b) We have $s(\Sigma(\Lambda_N)) = (s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{N-1}, s_{\infty})$, where


$$s_i = \begin{cases} (141414 \dots) & \text{if } i = 0, \\ (\dots 14141714141 \dots) & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq N-1, \\ (\dots 14141 \underbrace{44 \dots 4}_{N-1}) & \text{if } i = \infty. \end{cases}$$

- (c) $\text{Hasse}(2\text{-silt } \Lambda_N)$ has precisely N connected components.

The case $N = 1$ follows from a result of Wang [W].

Lemma 6.8. [W, Proof of Proposition 3.10]

- (a) The complement of $\bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma(\Lambda)} \sigma$ in $K_0(\text{proj } \Lambda)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a half line $\mathbb{R}_{>0}(2, -1)$.
- (b) We have $s(\Sigma(\Lambda)) = (s_0, s_{\infty})$, where $s_0 = (41414 \dots)$ and $s_{\infty} = (\dots 14141)$.



- (c) $\text{Hasse}(2\text{-silt } \Lambda)$ is connected.

We are ready to prove Theorem 6.7.


Proof of Theorem 6.7. By Theorem 4.6 and Lemma 6.8, the complement of $\Sigma(\rho(\Lambda))$ is $\mathbb{R}_{>0}(1, -2)$ and we have $s(\Sigma(\rho(\Lambda))) = (s_0, s_{\infty})$, where $s_0 = (14141 \dots)$ and $s_{\infty} = (\dots 41414)$.



Thus we can apply Theorem 6.3 to Λ and $\rho(\Lambda)$, and we get the algebra $\Lambda_2 := \Lambda \circ \rho(\Lambda)$. Then $s(\Sigma(\Lambda_2)) = (s_0, s_1, s_{\infty})$, where

$$s_i = \begin{cases} (41414 \dots) & \text{if } i = 0, \\ (\dots 14141714141 \dots) & \text{if } i = 1, \\ (\dots 41414) & \text{if } i = \infty, \end{cases}$$


and the complement of $\Sigma(\Lambda_2)$ is $\mathbb{R}_{>0}(2, -1) \sqcup \mathbb{R}_{>0}(1, -2)$. In particular, $\Sigma(\Lambda_2)$ has two connected components.



Next we apply the rotation theorem to Λ_2 and we get the algebra $\rho(\Lambda_2)$. Then we have $s(\Sigma(\rho(\Lambda_2))) = (s_0, s_1, s_\infty)$, where

$$s_i = \begin{cases} (14141 \cdots) & \text{if } i = 0, \\ (\cdots 14141714141 \cdots) & \text{if } i = 1, \\ (\cdots 1414144) & \text{if } i = \infty. \end{cases}$$

By Definition 4.4, ρ induces a linear transformation $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ of \mathbb{R}^2 and we have $(2, -1) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = (1, -2)$ and $(1, -2) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = (2, -7)$. Hence the complement of $\Sigma(\rho(\Lambda_2))$ is $\mathbb{R}_{>0}(1, -2) \sqcup \mathbb{R}_{>0}(2, -7)$.




Now we can again apply Theorem 6.3 to Λ and $\rho(\Lambda_2)$, and we get the algebra

$$\Lambda_3 := \Lambda \circ \rho(\Lambda_2).$$

Then we have $s(\Sigma(\Lambda_3)) = (s_0, s_1, s_2, s_\infty)$, where

$$s_i = \begin{cases} (14141 \cdots) & \text{if } i = 0, \\ (\cdots 14141714141 \cdots) & \text{if } i = 1, 2, \\ (\cdots 1414144) & \text{if } i = \infty, \end{cases}$$

and the complement of $\Sigma(\Lambda_3)$ is $\bigsqcup_{i=0}^2 \mathbb{R}_{>0} u_i$, where $u_i = (2, -1) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}^i$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2$. In particular, $\Sigma(\Lambda_3)$ has three connected components.



By applying the same argument repeatedly, we get the desired assertions. □

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

T.A is supported by JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research JP19J11408. A.H is supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientists Research (C) 20K03513. O.I is supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) 16H03923, (C) 18K3209 and (S) 15H05738. R.K is supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) 17K14169. Y.M is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 20K03539.

The authors would like to thank Karin Baur for useful discussions on fans and friezes.

REFERENCES

[ACGK] M. Akhtar, T. Coates, S. Galkin, and A. M. Kasprzyk. *Minkowski polynomials and mutations*. *SIGMA. Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications*, 8:094, 2012.

[AIR] T. Adachi, O. Iyama, I. Reiten, τ -tilting theory, *Compos. Math.* 150 (2014), no. 3, 415–452.

[AMY] T. Adachi, Y. Mizuno, D. Yang, *Discreteness of silting objects and t-structures in triangulated categories*, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) 118 (2019), no. 1, 1–42.

[Aii] T. Aihara, O. Iyama, *Silting mutation in triangulated categories*, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) 85 (2012), no. 3, 633–668.

[AMN] H. Asashiba, Y. Mizuno, K. Nakashima, *Simplicial complexes and tilting theory for Brauer tree algebras*, *J. Algebra* 551 (2020), 119–153.

[Ao] T. Aoki, *Classifying torsion classes for algebras with radical square zero via sign decomposition*, *J. Algebra* 610 (2022), 167–198.

[AHIKM1] T. Aoki, A. Higashitani, O. Iyama, R. Kase, Y. Mizuno, *Fans and polytopes in tilting theory I: Foundations*, arXiv:2203.15213

[AHIKM2] T. Aoki, A. Higashitani, O. Iyama, R. Kase, Y. Mizuno, *Fans and polytopes in tilting theory III: Classification of convex g-fans of rank 3*, in preparation.

- [AY] T. Aoki, T. Yurikusa, *Complete gentle and special biserial algebras are g -tame*, J. Algebra 610 (2022), 167–198.
- [As] S. Asai, *The wall-chamber structures of the real Grothendieck groups*, Adv. Math. 381 (2021), 107615.
- [AsI] S. Asai, O. Iyama, *Semistable torsion classes and canonical decompositions in Grothendieck groups*, arXiv:2112.14908.
- [BFGST] K. Baur, E. Faber, S. Gratz, K. Serhiyenko, G. Todorov, *Conway-Coxeter friezes and mutation: a survey*, Advances in the mathematical sciences, 47–68, Assoc. Women Math. Ser., 15, Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [BPT] K. Baur, M. J. Parsons, M. Tschabold, *Infinite friezes*, European J. Combin. 54 (2016), 220–237.
- [BP] V. Buchstaber, T. Panov, *Toric topology*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 204. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015.
- [BR] M. Beck, S. Robins, *Computing the continuous discretely. Integer-point enumeration in polyhedra*, Second edition. With illustrations by David Austin. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2015.
- [B1] T. Bridgeland, *Stability conditions on triangulated categories*, Ann. of Math. (2) 166 (2007), no. 2, 317–345.
- [B2] T. Bridgeland, *Scattering diagrams, Hall algebras and stability conditions*, Algebr. Geom. 4 (2017), no. 5, 523–561.
- [BPP] N. Broomhead, D. Pauksztello, D. Ploog, *Discrete derived categories II: the silting pairs CW complex and the stability manifold*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 93 (2016), no. 2, 273–300.
- [BST] T. Brüstle, D. Smith, H. Treffinger, *Wall and chamber structure for finite-dimensional algebras*, Adv. Math. 354 (2019), 106746.
- [BMRR] A. Buan, R. Marsh, M. Reineke, I. Reiten, G. Todorov, *Tilting theory and cluster combinatorics*, Adv. Math. 204 (2006), no. 2, 572–618.
- [CC1] J. Conway, H. Coxeter. *Triangulated polygons and frieze patterns*, Math. Gaz. 57 (1973), no. 400, 87–94.
- [CC2] J. Conway, H. Coxeter. *Triangulated polygons and frieze patterns*, Math. Gaz. 57 (1973), no. 401, 175–183.
- [DIJ] L. Demonet, O. Iyama, G. Jasso, *τ -tilting finite algebras, bricks, and g -vectors*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2019, no. 3, 852–892.
- [DF] H. Derksen, J. Fei, *General presentations of algebras*, Adv. Math. 278 (2015), 210–237.
- [D] A. Dugas, *Some algebras that are not silting connected*, J. Algebra 567 (2021), 371–385.
- [FZ1] S. Fomin, A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras. II. Finite type classification*, Invent. Math. 154 (2003), no. 1, 63–121.
- [FZ2] S. Fomin, A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras. IV. Coefficients*, Compos. Math. 143 (2007), no. 1, 112–164.
- [FH] N. Fujita, A. Higashitani, *Newton–Okounkov bodies of flag varieties and combinatorial mutations*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2021, no. 12, 9567–9607.
- [F] W. Fulton, *Introduction to Toric Varieties*, Ann of Math. Studies **131**, Princeton Univ. Press, 1993.
- [H1] L. Hille, *On the volume of a tilting module*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 76 (2006), 261–277.
- [H2] L. Hille, *Tilting Modules over the Path Algebra of Type A, Polytopes, and Catalan Numbers*, Lie algebras and related topics, 91–101, Contemp. Math., 652, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2015.
- [KV] B. Keller, D. Vossieck, *Aisles in derived categories*, Deuxième Contact Franco-Belge en Algèbre (Faulx-les-Tombes, 1987). Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. Sér. A 40 (1988), no. 2, 239–253.
- [KM] Y. Kimura, Y. Mizuno, *Two-term tilting complexes for preprojective algebras of non-Dynkin type*, Comm. Algebra 50 (2022), no. 2, 556–570.
- [NZ] T. Nakanishi, A. Zelevinsky, *On tropical dualities in cluster algebras*, Algebraic groups and quantum groups, 217–226, Contemp. Math., 565, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012.
- [M] Y. Mizuno, *Shard theory for g -fans*, arXiv:2212.10745.
- [P] P. Plamondon, *Generic bases for cluster algebras from the cluster category*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2013, no. 10, 2368–2420.
- [PY] P. Plamondon, T. Yurikusa, *Tame algebras have dense g -vector fans*, to appear in Int. Math. Res. Not.
- [QW] Y. Qiu, J. Woolf, *Contractible stability spaces and faithful braid group actions*, Geom. Topol. 22 (2018), no. 6, 3701–3760.
- [T] H. Terland, *Reduction Techniques to Identify Connected Components of Mutation Quivers*, arXiv:2109.11464.
- [W] Q. Wang, *τ -tilting finiteness of two-point algebras II*, arXiv:2206.00239.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF INFORMATION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, OSAKA UNIVERSITY, 1-5 YAMADAOKA, SUIA,
OSAKA 565-0871, JAPAN

Email address: `aoki-t@ist.osaka-u.ac.jp`

DEPARTMENT OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF INFORMATION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY,
OSAKA UNIVERSITY, 1-5 YAMADAOKA, SUIA, OSAKA 565-0871, JAPAN

Email address: `higashitani@ist.osaka-u.ac.jp`

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, 3-8-1 KOMABA MEGURO-KU TOKYO,
153-8914, JAPAN

Email address: `iyama@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp`

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE, 1-1 RIDAICHO,
KITA-KU, OKAYAMA 700-0005, JAPAN

Email address: `r-kase@ous.ac.jp`

FACULTY OF LIBERAL ARTS, SCIENCES AND GLOBAL EDUCATION / GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, OSAKA METROPOLITAN
UNIVERSITY, 1-1 GAKUEN-CHO, NAKA-KU, SAKAI, OSAKA 599-8531, JAPAN

Email address: `yuya.mizuno@omu.ac.jp`