

A geometric realization of Catalan functions*

Syu KATO[†]

April 23, 2024

Abstract

We construct a smooth projective algebraic variety X_Ψ that compactifies an equivariant vector subbundle of the cotangent bundle of the flag variety of $GL(n)$ (corresponding to a root ideal Ψ). The variety X_Ψ carries natural class of line bundles whose spaces of global sections realize the Catalan functions defined in Chen-Haiman [thesis, UC Berkeley] and studied in Blasiak-Morse-Pun-Summers [J. Amer. Math. Soc. (2019), Invent. Math. (2024)]. We prove the vanishing conjectures of Chen-Haiman and (the tame case of that of) Blasiak-Morse-Pun, as well as the monotonicity conjectures of Shimozono-Weyman [Electronic J. Combin. (2000)] using geometry of X_Ψ .

Introduction

In search of better understanding of the internal structure of Macdonald polynomials ([25]) after Haiman's solution ([13]) of the Macdonald positivity conjecture, LaPointe-Lascoux-Morse [22] proposed the notion of k -Schur functions. They are shown to represent Schubert classes of affine Grassmannians ([20]), and hence has a rôle in the description of the quantum cohomology of the flag variety X of $G = GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ ([32, 21]). However, we still do not fully understand its precise relationship with the Macdonald polynomials (without specializations) and computations in quantum cohomology.

Chen-Haiman [8] made remarkable conjectures on the internal structure of k -Schur functions and its generalizations, sometimes called the Catalan functions, by offering their geometric interpretations using certain vector bundles on X . Their conjectures include the conjectures posed by Broer [5, 3.16] (for type A) and Shimozono-Weyman [33] as their particular cases. Although the numerical portion of their conjectures are established by Blasiak-Morse-Pun-Summers [3, 2], we still do not know some cohomology vanishing conjectures, that are further refined in [2]. Since these conjectures are central in the geometric picture in [8] and also in the logic in the monotonicity conjectures in [33, §2.10], we might say that they are the final missing pieces to establish the framework anticipated by many people for more than 30 years.

In this paper, we define and study a compactification X_Ψ of a G -equivariant vector subbundle $T_\Psi^* X \subset T^* X$ employed by Chen-Haiman [8] indexed by a Dyck

*MSC2010: 14N15, 20G44

[†]Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University, Oiwake Kita-Shirakawa Sakyo Kyoto 606-8502 JAPAN E-mail: syuchan@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

path Ψ of size n . Let $\mathbf{a}(\Psi)$ denote the area statistic of Ψ . Let \mathcal{P}_n denote the set of partitions of length $\leq n$. The set of irreducible polynomial representations of G is parametrized by \mathcal{P}_n as $\mathcal{P}_n \ni \lambda \mapsto V(\lambda)$ (up to isomorphisms). The character of $V(\lambda)$ is the Schur polynomial s_λ . By recording the character of \mathbb{C}^\times by q^\bullet , we have the notion of the graded character $\text{gch } V$ of a rational $(G \times \mathbb{C}^\times)$ -module V . Then, the main results of this paper are summarized as follows:

Theorem A (\doteq Theorems 3.4 and 4.1 + Corollary 3.7). *There exists a projective variety X_Ψ equipped with a $(G \times \mathbb{C}^\times)$ -action with the following properties:*

1. *It is smooth of dimension $2 \dim X - \mathbf{a}(\Psi)$;*
2. *We have an open embedding $T_\Psi^* X \subset X_\Psi$;*
3. *For each $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$, we have a $(G \times \mathbb{G}_m)$ -equivariant line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi}(\lambda)$ on X_Ψ such that*

$$H^{>0}(X_\Psi, \mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi}(\lambda)) = 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$\text{gch } H^0(X_\Psi, \mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi}(\lambda))^* = \left[H_\Psi(\lambda) \right]_{q \rightarrow q^{-1}},$$

where $H_\Psi(\lambda)$ is the Catalan polynomial ([3, (2.2)]);

4. *There is a $(G \times \mathbb{C}^\times)$ -equivariant effective Cartier divisor ∂ supported on $X_\Psi \setminus T_\Psi^* X$ such that we have*

$$H^{>0}(X_\Psi, \mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi}(\lambda + m\partial)) = 0 \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

In particular, we have

$$H^{>0}(T_\Psi^* X, \mathcal{O}_{T_\Psi^* X}(\lambda)) = \lim_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ m}} H^{>0}(X_\Psi, \mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi}(\lambda + m\partial)) = 0 \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n.$$

Theorem A 4) resolves the vanishing conjecture of Chen-Haiman [8, Conjecture 5.4.3 2)]. In conjunction with [2, Theorem 2.18], this establishes [8, Conjecture 5.4.3] in its full generality. As [8, Conjecture 5.4.3] include conjectures by Broer [5, 3.16] (for type A, in the form that the desired supports are always empty) and Shimozono-Weyman [33, §2.4], our consideration resolves these conjectures as well (see also Remark 4.2). When $T_\Psi^* X = T^* X$, our variety X_Ψ recovers a smooth resolution ([30, 27]) of the compactification ([24]) of the nilpotent cone of $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$.

The Catalan polynomials in Theorem A are the polynomial truncations of the Hall-Littlewood polynomials ([8, Corollary 5.4.4]) when $T_\Psi^* X = T^* X$. To be more precise, Theorem A affords the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gch } H^0(X_\Psi, \mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi}(\lambda))^* &= \left[H_\Psi(\lambda) \right]_{q \rightarrow q^{-1}} = \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_n} K_{\mu, \lambda}^\Psi(q^{-1}) s_\mu \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n \quad (0.1) \\ &= \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}} q^m s_\mu \dim \text{Hom}_{G \times \mathbb{C}^\times} (V(\mu) \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{m\delta}, H^0(T_\Psi^* X, \mathcal{O}_{T_\Psi^* X}(\lambda))^\vee), \end{aligned}$$

where $K_{\mu, \lambda}^\Psi(q)$ is a Kostka polynomial associated to Ψ ([2, Introduction]), that reduces to a usual Kostka-Foulkes polynomial ([25]) when $T_\Psi^* X = T^* X$. We remark that the last two terms in (0.1) are finite sums, while we have

$$\dim H^0(T_\Psi^* X, \mathcal{O}_{T_\Psi^* X}(\lambda)) = \infty$$

in general. Thus, most of the irreducible rational representations of G appearing in $H^0(T_\Psi^*X, \mathcal{O}_{T_\Psi^*X}(\lambda))$ are not counted in (0.1), and they are precisely rational but not polynomial representations of G .

As a corollary of Theorem A, we find:

Corollary B (\doteq Corollary 4.4). *There exists an action of $GL(n, \mathbb{C}[[z]]) \rtimes \mathbb{G}_m$ on X_Ψ that makes*

$$H^0(T_\Psi^*X, \mathcal{O}_{T_\Psi^*X}(\lambda))^\vee \twoheadrightarrow H^0(X_\Psi, \mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi}(\lambda))^*, \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$$

into a quotient as (graded) representations of $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}[[z]])$.

Analysis of the local chart of X_Ψ further yields:

Theorem C (\doteq Theorem 4.6). *For each $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$, the space $H^0(X_\Psi, \mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi}(\lambda))$ has a simple head as a (graded) $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}[[z]])$ -module.*

As a bonus of our consideration, we have:

Corollary D (\doteq Corollary 4.10). *Let $\Psi' \subset \Psi$ be an inclusion of Dyck paths that yields $T_{\Psi'}^*X \subset T_\Psi^*X$. For each $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$, the restriction map*

$$H^0(T_\Psi^*X, \mathcal{O}_{T_\Psi^*X}(\lambda)) \longrightarrow H^0(T_{\Psi'}^*X, \mathcal{O}_{T_{\Psi'}^*X}(\lambda))$$

is surjective.

Corollary D resolves conjectures in [33, §2.10] as explained in Remark 4.12.

Our construction of the variety X_Ψ is an explicit construction of its coordinate ring. The crucial idea behind the construction is that a natural categorification of the construction in [2] can be arranged into an algebraic variety, but the structure of the resulting variety looks rather complicated in general. However, if we seek a suitable reformulation of their expression (Proposition 2.8), then we find an expression that is more suitable to analyze the resulting variety (Theorem 3.4). Nevertheless, our exposition is logically independent of [2] except for numerical assertions like (0.1) imported through Proposition 2.8.

The organization of this paper is as follows: We fix notations and record basic results §1. In §2, we exhibit another presentation of the rotation theorem in [2]. In §3, we construct our variety X_Ψ , and establish the basic portion of Theorem A. We discuss consequences of our construction, including the remaining parts of Theorem A, Corollary B, Theorem C, and Corollary D in §4.

A previous version of this paper contained claims on the full account of two conjectures of Blasiak-Morse-Pun. Here we drop one portion ([3, Conjecture 3.4 ii]) except for the tame case (Theorem 4.1) as its proof contained a gap, while we make the other portion ([3, Conjecture 3.4 iii]) explicit as Corollary 4.3.

So far, we have introduced algebraic varieties that are natural geometric counterparts of the Catalan functions. Here obvious questions include how to place them in the context of topological field theories and geometric realizations of Macdonald polynomials arising from $G = GL(n)$. We hope to answer these questions in the sequel.

1 Preliminaries

We work over the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers. A variety means a separated (integral) normal scheme of finite type over \mathbb{C} , and we may not distinguish a variety \mathcal{X} with its set of \mathbb{C} -valued points $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C})$ (whenever the meaning is clear from the context). In particular, the algebraic groups \mathbb{G}_m and \mathbb{G}_a are the multiplicative group \mathbb{C}^\times and the additive group \mathbb{C} , respectively.

For a \mathbb{C} -vector space V , let $S^\bullet V = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} S^i V$ denote its symmetric power ring. Let L be an abelian free monoid. A L -graded \mathbb{C} -vector space V is a \mathbb{C} -vector space V equipped with a direct sum decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{a \in L} V_a$ such that $\dim V_a < \infty$ for each $a \in L$. For a L -graded vector space $V = \bigoplus_{a \in L} V_a$, we set

$$V^\vee := \bigoplus_{a \in L} V_a^*.$$

A L -graded ring R is an unital \mathbb{C} -algebra that is a L -graded \mathbb{C} -vector space such that $\mathbb{C}1 = R_0$ and $R_a \cdot R_{a'} \subset R_{a+a'}$ ($a, a' \in L$).

In case R is commutative, we set

$$\mathrm{Proj}_L R := (\mathrm{Spec} R \setminus \mathfrak{J}) / (\mathbb{G}_m)^{\mathrm{rank} L}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\mathfrak{J} \subset \mathrm{Spec} R$ is the closed subscheme consisting of points on which the action of $(\mathbb{G}_m)^{\mathrm{rank} L}$ is not free.

For a representation M , its head is understood to be its largest semi-simple quotient module.

General references of this section are Kumar [19] and Chriss-Ginzburg [9].

1.1 Algebraic Groups

We fix an integer $n > 0$ and consider the algebraic group

$$G = GL(n) \subset M_n \cong \mathbb{C}^{n^2}.$$

We also define a (pro-)algebraic group $\mathbf{G} = GL(n, \mathbb{C}[[z]])$ over \mathbb{C} . We set

$$G((z)) := GL(n, \mathbb{C}((z)))$$

and regard it as a (topological) group. Let $E_{ij} \in M_n$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq n$) be the matrix unit. Let $T \subset G$ be the diagonal torus and let $B \subset G$ (resp. $B^- \subset G$) be the upper (resp. the lower) triangular part of G . The group $N := [B, B] \subset B$ is the group of upper unitriangular matrices. We have the evaluation map

$$\mathrm{ev}_0 : \mathbf{G} \longrightarrow G \quad z \mapsto 0.$$

We set $\mathbf{B} := \mathrm{ev}_0^{-1}(B)$. For each $1 \leq i < n$, we set $P_i \subset G$ (resp. $\mathbf{P}_i \subset \mathbf{G}$) as the (algebraic or pro-algebraic) subgroup generated by B (resp. \mathbf{B}) and $\mathrm{Id} + \mathbb{C}E_{i+1,i}$ inside G (resp. \mathbf{G}). We set \mathbf{P}_0 as the (pro-)algebraic group generated by \mathbf{B} and $\mathrm{Id} + \mathbb{C}z^{-1}E_{1,n}$ inside $G((z))$. Note that we have the extra loop rotation \mathbb{G}_m -action on each of $\mathbf{B} \subset \mathbf{P}_i$ and \mathbf{G} (that we denote by $\mathbb{G}_m^{\mathrm{rot}}$). We denote by $\widehat{\mathbf{B}}, \widehat{\mathbf{P}}_i$, and $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ the semi-direct products of \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{P}_i , and \mathbf{G} with $\mathbb{G}_m^{\mathrm{rot}}$, respectively. In addition, the group $G((z))$ admits a central extension by \mathbb{C}^\times , that induces a (trivial) central extension $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_i$ ($0 \leq i < n$) of $\widehat{\mathbf{P}}_i$ by \mathbb{G}_m (that we denote by \mathbb{G}_m^c).

Let $SL(2, i)$ ($0 \leq i < n$) be the unique \tilde{T} -stable algebraic subgroup of $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_i$ that is isomorphic to $SL(2)$. We define extended tori

$$\hat{T} := T \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{rot}} \times \{1\} \subset T \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{rot}} \times \mathbb{G}_m^c =: \tilde{T}$$

such that $\tilde{\mathbf{B}} := \hat{\mathbf{B}} \times \mathbb{G}_m^c$ contains \tilde{T} and $\hat{\mathbf{B}} \cap \tilde{T} = \hat{T}$. We also set $\tilde{\mathbf{G}} := \hat{\mathbf{G}} \times \mathbb{G}_m^c \supset \tilde{\mathbf{B}}, \hat{\mathbf{G}}$ such that $\tilde{\mathbf{B}} \cap \hat{\mathbf{G}} = \hat{\mathbf{B}}$. We have $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_i \cap \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_j = \tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ when $i \neq j$. For each $0 \leq i < n$, we define a map of algebraic groups

$$u_i : \mathbb{G}_a = \mathbb{C} \ni x \mapsto 1 + xE_i \in \tilde{\mathbf{B}} \quad \text{where} \quad E_i := \begin{cases} E_{i,i+1} & (i \neq 0) \\ zE_{n,1} & (i = 0) \end{cases}.$$

We define

$$\tilde{G}((z)) := \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{rot}} \times G((z)) \times \mathbb{G}_m^c$$

as a group. Let $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^- \subset \tilde{G}((z))$ be the subgroup generated by $(\tilde{T} \cdot G)$ and $\text{Id} + \mathbb{C}z^{-1}E_{1,n}$. We warn that the groups $\tilde{G}((z))$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}^-$ are not algebraic.

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have a(n algebraic) character $\epsilon_i : T \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ that extracts the i -th (diagonal) entry of T . We set $\mathbf{P} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}\epsilon_i$ and consider its subsets

$$\mathbf{P} := \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\epsilon_i, \quad \mathbf{P}^+ := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \epsilon_i \in \mathbf{P} \mid \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \right\}.$$

For $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \epsilon_i \in \mathbf{P}$, we set $|\lambda| := \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The permutation of indices define \mathfrak{S}_n -actions on \mathbf{P} and \mathbf{P}^+ . We set $\mathbf{P}^+ := (\mathbf{P}^+ \cap \mathbf{P})$ and identify it with the set of partitions with its length at most n . The semi-group \mathbf{P}^+ is generated by

$$\varpi_i := \epsilon_1 + \dots + \epsilon_i \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

For $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}^+$, we may write $\lambda \gg 0$ whenever all the expansion coefficients of $\varpi_1, \dots, \varpi_n$ are sufficiently large. We may regard ϖ_i as a character of \tilde{T} through the projection to T . Let \wp and δ denote the degree one character of \mathbb{G}_m^c and $\mathbb{G}_m^{\text{rot}}$ extended to \tilde{T} trivially, respectively. We define another (non-standard) lift of ϖ_i to \tilde{T} as:

$$\Lambda_i := \varpi_i + \wp \quad (1 \leq i < n), \quad \varpi_n + \wp \quad (i = 0).$$

Extending by linearity defines a non-standard lift of a character of T to \tilde{T} in general. We set $\mathbf{I}_{\text{af}} := \{0, 1, \dots, (n-1)\}$ and $\mathbf{I} := \{1, 2, \dots, (n-1)\}$. We frequently identify the index 0 with n in the sequel, and hence $\{\varpi_i\}_i$ is indexed by \mathbf{I}_{af} . Note that $\{\varpi_i\}_{i \in \mathbf{I}_{\text{af}}}$ and $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in \mathbf{I}_{\text{af}}}$ corresponds to each other by restriction. We set

$$\mathbf{P}_{\text{af}} := \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}\varpi_i \right) \oplus \mathbb{Z}\wp \oplus \mathbb{Z}\delta \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{P}_{\text{af}}^+ := \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbf{I}_{\text{af}}} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\Lambda_i \right) + \mathbb{Z}\varpi_n + \mathbb{Z}\delta \subset \mathbf{P}_{\text{af}}.$$

The set \mathbf{P}_{af} is the character group of \tilde{T} .

The set of positive roots Δ^+ of G is $\Delta^+ := \{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \subset \mathbf{P}$. We set $\alpha_i := (\epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1})$ for $1 \leq i < n$, and $\alpha_0 := -\vartheta + \delta$ with $\vartheta := \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_n$. We define an inner form on \mathbf{P}_{af} as:

$$\langle \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}, \quad \wp, \delta \in \text{Rad} \langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle.$$

For $\alpha = (\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j) \in \Delta^+$, we set

$$\mathfrak{g}_\alpha := \mathbb{C}E_{ij} \subset \mathfrak{n} := \text{Lie } N \subset M_n.$$

We set $Q := \sum_{\beta \in \Delta^+} \mathbb{Z}\beta \subset P$ and call it the root lattice. The permutation \mathfrak{S}_n -action on P restricts to Q , and we set

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n := \mathfrak{S}_n \times Q.$$

We have an embedding $\mathfrak{S}_n \subset G$ via the permutation matrices, that is prolonged to $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n \hookrightarrow G((z))$ through

$$Q \ni \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \epsilon_i \mapsto z^\mu := \begin{pmatrix} z^{\mu_1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & z^{\mu_2} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & z^{\mu_n} \end{pmatrix} \in G((z)) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i = 0.$$

The group $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n$ is generated by $\{s_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{I}_{\text{af}}}$, where $s_i = (i, i+1) \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ for $1 \leq i < n$ and $s_0 = (1, n) \times z^{-\vartheta}$. We have $s_i \in \tilde{P}_i$ for each $i \in \mathbb{I}_{\text{af}}$. We have an action of $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n$ on P_{af} as:

$$s_i(\Lambda) := \Lambda - (\langle \alpha_i, \Lambda \rangle + \delta_{i0} \Lambda(K)) \alpha_i \quad i \in \mathbb{I}_{\text{af}},$$

where $K \in \text{Hom}(P_{\text{af}}, \mathbb{Z})$ is defined as

$$\varpi_i(K) = 0 \quad (i \in \mathbb{I}_{\text{af}}), \quad \delta(K) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \wp(K) = 1.$$

We refer an element of $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n \{ \alpha_i \}_{i \in \mathbb{I}_{\text{af}}} \subset P_{\text{af}}$ as an affine root.

For each $w \in \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n$, we find an expression

$$w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_\ell} \quad i_1, i_2, \dots, i_\ell \in \mathbb{I}_{\text{af}} \quad (1.2)$$

and form a sequence $\mathbf{i} := (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_\ell)$. If the length ℓ of \mathbf{i} attains its minimal among all possible expressions as in (1.2), then we call \mathbf{i} a reduced expression of w and call ℓ the length of w . We define $w < v$ for $w, v \in \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n$ if a(n ordered) subsequence of a reduced expression of v yields a reduced expression of w . We denote the length of $w \in \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n$ by $\ell(w)$.

Let $w_0 \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ denote the longest element, i.e. $w_0(i) = n - i + 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

1.2 Recollection on root ideals

Definition 1.1 (Root ideals). A subset $\Psi \subset \Delta^+$ is called a root ideal if and only if

$$(\Psi + \Delta^+) \cap \Delta^+ \subset \Psi.$$

It is easy to find that Ψ is a root ideal if and only if $(\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j) \in \Psi$ implies $(\epsilon_{i'} - \epsilon_j), (\epsilon_i - \epsilon_{j'}) \in \Psi$ for $i' < i$ and $j < j'$. For a root ideal $\Psi \subset \Delta^+$, we define

$$\mathfrak{n}(\Psi) := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Psi} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{n}.$$

Definition 1.2. For a root ideal $\Psi \subset \Delta^+$ and $1 \leq i < n$, we define

$$d_i(\Psi) := \#\{i \leq j \leq n \mid E_{ij} \notin \mathfrak{n}(\Psi)\}, \quad e_i(\Psi) := i + d_i(\Psi), \quad \text{and} \\ \mathbf{I}(\Psi) := \{1 \leq i < n \mid e_i(\Psi) \leq n, d_i(\Psi) \leq d_{i+1}(\Psi)\}.$$

We set $\ell(\Psi) := |\mathbf{I}(\Psi)|$, enumerate as

$$\{e_i(\Psi)\}_{i \in \mathbf{I}(\Psi)} = \{\mathbf{e}_1(\Psi) < \mathbf{e}_2(\Psi) < \cdots < \mathbf{e}_\ell(\Psi)\} \quad \text{where } \ell = \ell(\Psi),$$

and set $\mathbf{e}_{\ell+1}(\Psi) = e_{n+1}(\Psi) := (n+1)$. For each $1 \leq j \leq \ell$, we find a unique $i \in \mathbf{I}(\Psi)$ such that $\mathbf{e}_j(\Psi) = e_i(\Psi)$ and set $\mathbf{i}_j(\Psi) := i$. We also set $\mathbf{i}_0(\Psi) = 0$ and $e_0(\Psi) = 1$ by convention. We say that $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ is Ψ -tame if $ws_i < w$ for each $d_1(\Psi) < i < n$. We set w_0^Ψ to be the longest element in

$$\mathfrak{S}_{n-d_1(\Psi)} \cong \langle s_{e_1(\Psi)}, s_{e_1(\Psi)+1}, \dots, s_{(n-1)} \rangle \subset \mathfrak{S}_n.$$

Example 1.3. Assume that $n = 6$, and

$$\Psi = \{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_3, \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_4, \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_5, \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_6, \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3, \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_4, \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_5, \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_6, \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_6\}.$$

We have $d_1(\Psi) = 2, d_2(\Psi) = 1, d_3(\Psi) = 3, d_4(\Psi) = 3, d_5(\Psi) = 2, d_6(\Psi) = 1$, and hence

$$e_1(\Psi) = 3, e_2(\Psi) = 3, e_3(\Psi) = 6, e_4(\Psi) = 7 \Rightarrow \mathbf{e}_1(\Psi) = 3, \mathbf{e}_2(\Psi) = 6, \ell(\Psi) = 2.$$

In addition, we have $\mathbf{i}_1(\Psi) = 2, \mathbf{i}_2(\Psi) = 3$.

Let us summarize basic properties of our invariants associated to the root ideal Ψ .

Lemma 1.4 (Cellini [6] §3). *For a root ideal $\Psi \subset \Delta^+$, the subspace $\mathfrak{n}(\Psi) \subset \mathfrak{n}$ is B -stable. In addition, every B -stable subspace of \mathfrak{n} arises in this way. \square*

Lemma 1.5. *For a root ideal $\Psi \subset \Delta^+$ and $1 \leq i < n$, we have*

$$d_i(\Psi) \leq d_{i+1}(\Psi) + 1, \quad \text{and} \quad i < e_i(\Psi) \leq e_{i+1}(\Psi) \leq (n+1).$$

In addition, we have $\mathbf{i}_{j-1}(\Psi) < \mathbf{i}_j(\Psi)$ and $\mathbf{i}_j(\Psi) < \mathbf{e}_j(\Psi)$ for $1 \leq j \leq \ell(\Psi)$.

Proof. Straight-forward. \square

1.3 Representations

For a finite-dimensional rational representation V of T , we define its character as:

$$\text{ch } V := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{P}} e^\lambda \cdot \dim \text{Hom}_T(\mathbb{C}_\lambda, V).$$

In particular, the character of a rational representation of G or P_i makes sense through restrictions. For a rational representation V of \tilde{T} , we define

$$\text{gch } V := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{P}, m \in \mathbb{Z}} q^m e^\lambda \cdot \dim \text{Hom}_{T \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{rot}}}(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda+m\delta}, V).$$

For two rational \tilde{T} -representations V and V' , we denote $\text{gch } V \leq \text{gch } V'$ if and only if the inequality holds coefficientwise, namely:

$$\dim \text{Hom}_{T \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{rot}}}(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda+m\delta}, V) \leq \dim \text{Hom}_{T \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{rot}}}(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda+m\delta}, V') \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{P}, m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

A rational representation of $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ (resp. $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_i$ for $i \in \mathbf{I}_{\text{af}}$) is understood to be a representation V of $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ (resp. $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_i$) such that the $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -action (resp. the $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_i$ -action) factors through a finite-dimensional quotient, that yields a rational representation of an algebraic group.

For each $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}^+$, we have an irreducible finite dimensional module $V(\lambda)$ of G generated by a B -eigenvector \mathbf{v}_λ of T -weight λ . By the natural \mathfrak{S}_n -action on $V(\lambda)$, we have a T -eigenvector $\mathbf{v}_{w\lambda} \in V(\lambda)$ of weight $w\lambda \in \mathbf{P}$ for each $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. For each $\Lambda \in \mathbf{P}_{\text{af}}^+$, we have an integrable highest weight module $L(\Lambda)$ of $\tilde{G}((z))$ generated by a $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -eigenvector \mathbf{v}_Λ of \tilde{T} -weight Λ . By the natural $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n$ -action on $L(\Lambda)$, we have a \tilde{T} -eigenvector $\mathbf{v}_{w\Lambda} \in L(\Lambda)$ of weight $w\Lambda$ for each $w \in \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n$.

For $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}^+$ and $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we define a Demazure module of $V(\lambda)$ as:

$$V_w(\lambda) := \text{Span} \langle B\mathbf{v}_{w\lambda} \rangle \subset V(\lambda).$$

For $\Lambda \in \mathbf{P}_{\text{af}}^+$ and $w \in \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n$, we define a (thin) Demazure module of $L(\Lambda)$ as:

$$L_w(\Lambda) := \text{Span} \langle \tilde{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{v}_{w\Lambda} \rangle \subset L(\Lambda).$$

1.4 Flag varieties and Demazure functors

We set $X := G/B$ and call it the flag manifold of G . For each $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}$, we set $\mathcal{O}_X(\lambda)$ the G -equivariant line bundle on X whose fiber at the point $B/B \in X$ is $\mathbb{C}_{-\lambda}$. We set $X(w) := \overline{BwB}/B \subset X$ for each $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and call it the Schubert subvariety of X attached to w . The restriction of $\mathcal{O}_X(\lambda)$ to $X(w)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{O}_{X(w)}(\lambda)$.

Using Lemma 1.4, we define a $(G \times \mathbb{G}_m)$ -equivariant vector subbundle

$$T_\Psi^* X := G \times^B \mathfrak{n}(\Psi) \subset G \times^B \mathfrak{n} \cong T^* X$$

for a root ideal $\Psi \subset \Delta^+$, where the \mathbb{G}_m -actions are given by the dilation of the fibers of vector bundles. Let $\pi_\Psi : T_\Psi^* X \rightarrow X$ be the projection map. We set

$$T_\Psi^* X(w) := \pi_\Psi^{-1}(X(w)) \quad w \in \mathfrak{S}_n.$$

By abuse of notation, we might denote the restriction of π_Ψ to $T_\Psi^* X(w)$ by the same letter.

For a sequence $\mathbf{i} := (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_\ell)$ of elements of \mathbf{I}_{af} , we define schemes with $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -actions:

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{i}) := \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{i_1} \times^{\tilde{\mathbf{B}}} \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{i_2} \times^{\tilde{\mathbf{B}}} \dots \times^{\tilde{\mathbf{B}}} \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{i_\ell} \quad \text{and} \quad X(\mathbf{i}) := \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{i})/\tilde{\mathbf{B}}. \quad (1.3)$$

We understand that $X(\emptyset) = \text{pt}$.

Lemma 1.6 (Kumar [19] §7.1). *Let $\mathbf{i} := (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_\ell)$ be a sequence of elements of \mathbf{I}_{af} . It holds:*

1. Let \mathbf{i}^\flat be the sequence obtained by forgetting the last element i_ℓ in \mathbf{i} . Then, $X(\mathbf{i})$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -fibration over $X(\mathbf{i}^\flat)$ with its fiber isomorphic to $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{i_\ell}/\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$;

2. Let $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_m \leq \ell$. We set $\mathbf{i}' := (i_{j_1}, i_{j_2}, \dots, i_{j_m})$. Then, we have a $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -equivariant embedding $X(\mathbf{i}') \subset X(\mathbf{i})$ induced from the group homomorphism

$$\prod_{t=1}^m \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{j_t} \ni (g_{j_t}) \mapsto (g_j) \in \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_j,$$

where $g_j = 1 \in \tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ if $j \neq j_t$ for $1 \leq t \leq m$. \square

For a rational $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -module M , we have a vector bundle

$$\mathcal{E}_i(M) := \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{i}) \times^{\tilde{\mathbf{B}}} M^\vee \longrightarrow X(\mathbf{i}).$$

In case $M \cong \mathbb{C}_\Lambda$ for a \tilde{T} -weight Λ , we set $\mathcal{O}_i(\Lambda) := \mathcal{E}_i(\mathbb{C}_\Lambda)$. In view of Lemma 1.6 2), $\mathcal{E}_i(M)$ restricts to $\mathcal{E}_{i'}(M)$ as a $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -equivariant vector bundle.

Definition 1.7 (Demazure functors). The (covariant) functor assigning a rational $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -module M to $\Gamma(X(\mathbf{i}), \mathcal{E}_i(M))^\vee$ is called the Demazure functor associated to the sequence \mathbf{i} , that we denote by \mathcal{D}_i . In particular, \mathcal{D}_i denotes the Demazure functor corresponding to $i \in \mathbf{I}_{\text{af}}$. We also define the covariant functor $\mathcal{D}_i^\dagger(\bullet) := \mathcal{D}_i(\bullet^\vee)^\vee$.

In case a sequence \mathbf{i} in \mathbf{I}_{af} is the concatenation of a sequence \mathbf{i}_1 followed by \mathbf{i}_2 , we have $\mathcal{D}_i \cong \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{i}_1} \circ \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{i}_2}$ by repeated applications of Lemma 1.6 1).

Definition 1.8. Let \mathbf{L} be a free abelian monoid, and let R be a \mathbf{L} -graded \mathbb{C} -algebra. We say that R is $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -equivariant if each R_a ($a \in \mathbf{L}$) admits a rational $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -action such that the multiplication maps

$$R_a \otimes R_b \longrightarrow R_{a+b} \quad a, b \in \mathbf{L}$$

are maps of $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -modules, and $R_0 = \mathbb{C}$ is the trivial representation of $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$.

Lemma 1.9. Let \mathbf{L} be a free abelian monoid, and let R be a $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -equivariant \mathbf{L} -graded \mathbb{C} -algebra. For each $i \in \mathbf{I}_{\text{af}}$, the \mathbf{L} -graded $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -module $\mathcal{D}_i^\dagger(R)$ is again a $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -equivariant \mathbf{L} -graded \mathbb{C} -algebra. In addition, $\mathcal{D}_i^\dagger(R)$ is commutative if R is commutative.

Proof. Note that R defines a \mathbf{L} -graded $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_i$ -equivariant sheaf $\mathcal{E}_i(R)$ of algebras over $X(i) = \mathcal{X}(i)/\tilde{\mathbf{B}} = \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_i/\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$. Hence, its global section gives rise to a \mathbf{L} -graded algebra equipped with degreewise rational $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_i$ -action, that is compatible with the multiplication. The degree zero part of $\mathcal{D}_i^\dagger(R)$ is

$$\mathbb{C} = \Gamma(X(i), \mathcal{O}_{X(i)}) = \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}).$$

If R is commutative, then the sheaf $\mathcal{E}_i(R)$ is a commutative sheaf of algebras, and hence $\mathcal{D}_i^\dagger(R)$ is also commutative. These complete the proof. \square

Theorem 1.10 (Joseph [15]). For each $i \in \mathbf{I}_{\text{af}}$, it holds:

1. We have a natural transformation $\text{Id} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_i$;
2. We have an isomorphism of functors $\mathcal{D}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_i \circ \mathcal{D}_i$;

3. For a rational $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_i$ -module M , we have an isomorphism of functors

$$\mathcal{D}_i(M \otimes \bullet) \cong M \otimes \mathcal{D}_i(\bullet);$$

4. For $w \in \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n$ and two of its reduced expressions \mathbf{i} and \mathbf{i}' connected by a sequence of braid relations, we have an isomorphism $\mathcal{D}_i \cong \mathcal{D}_{i'}$ of functors.

In addition, \mathcal{D}_i sends a finite-dimensional rational $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -module to a finite-dimensional rational $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_i$ -module, that we may regard as a $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -module by restriction.

By Theorem 1.10 4), we set $\mathcal{D}_w := \mathcal{D}_i$ for a reduced expression \mathbf{i} of $w \in \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n$. Then, we have a natural transformation $\mathcal{D}_w \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_v$ when $w < v$ in $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n$ by Theorem 1.10 1).

Theorem 1.11 (Demazure character formula, see e.g. [19]). *It holds:*

1. Let $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}^+$ and $w \in \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n$. Let \mathbf{i} be a reduced expression of w . We have

$$H^m(X(w), \mathcal{O}_{X(w)}(\lambda))^* \cong H^m(X(\mathbf{i}), \mathcal{O}_i(\lambda))^* \cong \mathbb{L}^{-m} \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{C}_\lambda) \cong \begin{cases} V_w(\lambda) & (m = 0) \\ 0 & (m \neq 0) \end{cases};$$

2. Let $\Lambda \in \mathbf{P}_{\text{af}}^+$. Let \mathbf{i} be a sequence of elements of \mathbf{I}_{af} . Then, there exists $w \in \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n$ such that

$$H^m(X(\mathbf{i}), \mathcal{O}_i(\Lambda))^* \cong \mathbb{L}^{-m} \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{C}_\Lambda) \cong \begin{cases} L_w(\Lambda) & (m = 0) \\ 0 & (m \neq 0) \end{cases};$$

3. The line bundle $\mathcal{O}_i(\Lambda)$ on $X(\mathbf{i})$ is base-point-free when $\Lambda \in \mathbf{P}_{\text{af}}^+$.

Proof. The first two assertions are special cases of [19, 8.1.26 Corollary], while the last one follows from the second assertion and [19, 7.1.15 Proposition]. \square

1.5 Affine Demazure modules

For each $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we find $w \in \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n$ such that

$$\lambda + k\Lambda_0 = w\Lambda \in \mathbf{P}_{\text{af}}^+ \tag{1.4}$$

by [17, Corollary 10.1]. We set

$$D_\lambda^{(k)} := \mathcal{D}_w(\mathbb{C}_\Lambda) \equiv L_w(\Lambda) \subset L(\Lambda)$$

and call it the Demazure module (of level k). They are finite-dimensional rational $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -modules, and does not depend on the choice of w in (1.4).

Definition 1.12. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. A finite-dimensional $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -module M is said to be $D^{(k)}$ -filtered if it admits a finite filtration whose associated graded is the direct sum of Demazure modules of level k .

Theorem 1.13 (Joseph [16], see also [29, 18]). *For each $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, it holds:*

1. For each $i \in \mathbf{I}_{\text{af}}$, the module $D_\lambda^{(k)} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\Lambda_i}$ is $D^{(k+1)}$ -filtered;
2. For a $D^{(k)}$ -filtered module M and $i \in \mathbf{I}_{\text{af}}$, we have $\mathbb{L}^{<0} \mathcal{D}_i(M) = 0$ and $\mathcal{D}_i(M)$ is $D^{(k)}$ -filtered.

Proof. The first assertion is a special case of [16, 5.22 Theorem] ([29, Remark 4.15] for the $n = 2$ case, and [18] for another proof). In view of the first assertion, the second assertion follows from repeated applications of Theorem 1.11 2) using short exact sequences arising from the $D^{(k)}$ -filtration. \square

Corollary 1.14. *Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $w \in \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n$. For a $D^{(k)}$ -filtered module M and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we have $\mathbb{L}^{<0} \mathcal{D}_w(\mathbb{C}_{m\Lambda_i} \otimes M) = 0$, and the $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -module $\mathcal{D}_w(\mathbb{C}_{m\Lambda_i} \otimes M)$ is $D^{(m+k)}$ -filtered. In addition, we have*

$$\mathbb{C}_{m\Lambda_i} \otimes M \subset \mathcal{D}_w(\mathbb{C}_{m\Lambda_i} \otimes M). \quad (1.5)$$

Proof. Consider a finite-dimensional \tilde{T} -semisimple $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -module N that fits into a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N_1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow N_2 \rightarrow 0$$

such that N_2 is a Demazure module and $N_1 \subset \mathcal{D}_w(N_1)$. Applying the Leray spectral sequence to $\mathbb{L}^\bullet \mathcal{D}_i$ for a reduced expression \mathbf{i} of w , we deduce

$$\mathbb{L}^{<0} \mathcal{D}_w(N_2) = 0$$

by Theorem 1.13 2). We have a commutative diagram of short exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & N_1 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & N_2 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota & & \\ 0 & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbb{L}^{-1} \mathcal{D}_w(N_2) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_w(N_1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_w(N) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_w(N_2) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (1.6)$$

The map ι is injective by Theorem 1.11 2) and the inclusion relations of Demazure modules. Thus, the five lemma implies $N \subset \mathcal{D}_w(N)$.

If we have $\mathbb{L}^{<0} \mathcal{D}_w(N_1) = 0$ in addition, then we have $\mathbb{L}^{<0} \mathcal{D}_w(N) = 0$ by the long exact sequence associated to the bottom line of (1.6).

Therefore, we apply Theorem 1.13 1) m -times to obtain all the assertions by induction on the length of the filtration by Demazure modules via the above discussion. \square

Proposition 1.15 (Joseph, see also [18] Lemma 4.1). *For each $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we have*

$$\text{gch } D_\lambda^{(k)} \in \mathbb{Z}[q][X_1, \dots, X_n],$$

where we set $X_i = e^{\epsilon_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. We set λ_+ to be the unique element in $(\mathfrak{S}_n \lambda \cap \mathbf{P}^+)$, and set $\lambda_- := w_0 \lambda_+$. By the comparison of defining equations of $D_{\lambda_-}^{(\bullet)}$ ([15, 3.5], see [11, Theorem 1] or [18, Proof of Lemma 4.1] for explicit equations), we find that $D_{\lambda_-}^{(k)}$ is a quotient of $D_{\lambda_-}^{(1)}$. Moreover, $D_{\lambda_-}^{(1)}$ is the local Weyl module whose highest weight is λ_+ by [7, Corollary 1.5.1]. We have

$$[D_{\lambda_-}^{(1)} : V_\mu] \neq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lambda_+ - \mu \in \sum_{i \in \mathbf{I}} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \alpha_i \quad (1.7)$$

by [7, Definition 1.2.1]. It follows that $\mu \in \mathbf{P}^+$ (and $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}$) implies $\mu \in \mathbf{P}^+$ under the situation of (1.7). Note that e^μ ($\mu \in \mathbf{P}$) is a monomial in X_1, \dots, X_n if and only if $\mu \in \mathbf{P}$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\text{gch } D_{\lambda_-}^{(1)} \in \mathbb{Z}[q][X_1, \dots, X_n]^{\mathfrak{S}_n}.$$

Taking Theorem 1.11 2) into account, we deduce $D_{\lambda}^{(k)} \subset D_{\lambda_-}^{(k)}$ as the inclusion relation of Demazure modules. Hence, we have

$$\text{gch } D_{\lambda_-}^{(1)} \geq \text{gch } D_{\lambda_-}^{(k)} \geq \text{gch } D_{\lambda}^{(k)}.$$

Therefore, we conclude the assertion. \square

2 An interpretation of the rotation theorem

Keep the setting of §1. For each $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}^+$, we define

$$m_1(\lambda) := \lambda_1 - \lambda_2, m_2(\lambda) := \lambda_2 - \lambda_3, \dots, m_{n-1}(\lambda) := \lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_n, m_n(\lambda) := \lambda_n.$$

For each $1 \leq i < n$ and $1 \leq e \leq n$, we define the composition functors as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{i,e} &:= (\mathcal{D}_{i-1} \circ \mathcal{D}_{i-2} \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{D}_e) \\ \mathcal{C}_{i,e}(\lambda)(\bullet) &:= (\mathcal{D}_{i-1} \circ \mathcal{D}_{i-2} \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{D}_e)(\mathbb{C}_{m_e(\lambda)\Lambda_e} \otimes \bullet), \end{aligned}$$

where the indices of \mathcal{D} are understood to be modulo n , and the number of \mathcal{D} 's in each definition is $(i+n-e)$ in total when $i \leq e \leq n$, and $(i-e)$ in total when $0 < e < i$.

For a root ideal $\Psi \subset \Delta^+$ and $1 \leq j \leq \ell(\Psi)$, we define

$$\mathcal{C}_j^\Psi(\lambda, \bullet) := \left(\mathcal{C}_{i_j(\Psi), e_j(\Psi)}(\lambda) \circ \mathcal{C}_{i_j(\Psi), e_j(\Psi)+1}(\lambda) \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{C}_{i_j(\Psi), e_{(j+1)}(\Psi)-1}(\lambda) \right) (\bullet).$$

We also set

$$\lambda(\Psi) := \sum_{j=1}^{d_1(\Psi)} m_j(\lambda)\Lambda_j.$$

Using these, we define

$$N_w^\Psi(\lambda) := \mathcal{D}_w \left(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda(\Psi)} \otimes (\mathcal{C}_1^\Psi(\lambda) \circ \mathcal{C}_2^\Psi(\lambda) \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{C}_{\ell(\Psi)}^\Psi(\lambda))(\mathbb{C}) \right), \quad \text{and} \quad (2.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_w^\Psi(\lambda) &:= \mathcal{D}_w \left(\mathbb{C}_{m_1(\lambda)\Lambda_1} \otimes (\mathcal{C}_{1,e_1(\Psi)}(\mathbb{C}_{m_2(\lambda)\Lambda_2} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{2,e_2(\Psi)}(\mathbb{C}_{m_3(\lambda)\Lambda_3} \otimes \right. \\ &\quad \left. \mathcal{C}_{3,e_3(\Psi)}(\dots (\mathbb{C}_{m_{n-1}(\lambda)\Lambda_{n-1}} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{n-1,e_{n-1}(\Psi)}(\mathbb{C}_{m_n(\lambda)\Lambda_n} \dots))) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

for each $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\Psi \subset \Delta^+$ be a root ideal, $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, and $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}^+$. We have the following vanishing of the total homology complex:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}^{<0} \left(\mathcal{D}_w \left(\mathbb{C}_{m_1(\lambda)\Lambda_1} \otimes (\mathcal{C}_{1,e_1(\Psi)}(\mathbb{C}_{m_2(\lambda)\Lambda_2} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{2,e_2(\Psi)}(\mathbb{C}_{m_3(\lambda)\Lambda_3} \otimes \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \mathcal{C}_{3,e_3(\Psi)}(\dots (\mathbb{C}_{m_{n-1}(\lambda)\Lambda_{n-1}} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{n-1,e_{n-1}(\Psi)}(\mathbb{C}_{m_n(\lambda)\Lambda_n} \dots))) \right) \right) \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The assertion follows from the Leray spectral sequence applied to repeated applications of Corollary 1.14. \square

Theorem 2.2 (Blasiak-Morse-Pun [2] Theorem 2.2). *Let $\Psi \subset \Delta^+$ be a root ideal. Assume that $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ is Ψ -tame. Then, we have*

$$H(\Psi; \lambda; w) = \left[\text{gch } M_w^\Psi(\lambda) \right]_{q \mapsto q^{-1}} \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{P}^+,$$

where $H(\Psi; \lambda; w)$ is borrowed from [2, (2.2)].

Remark 2.3. The automorphism Φ in [2, (2.4)] is a lift of the affine Dynkin diagram automorphism (of type $A_{\ell-1}^{(1)}$) that satisfies

$$\Phi \circ \pi_i = \pi_{i+1} \circ \Phi \quad 0 \leq i < \ell,$$

where π_i ($1 \leq i < \ell$) is the Demazure operator (the graded character counterpart of the functor \mathcal{D}_i) borrowed from [2, (2.1)] (see also [19, 8.2.7]), and $\pi_0 = \pi_\ell$ is defined here for the first time. Thus, we put all Φ to the right to transform the RHS of [2, (2.5)] into

$$\text{gch } M_w^\Psi(\lambda) = \text{gch } \mathcal{D}_w \left(\mathbb{C}_{m_1(\lambda)\Lambda_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}_{m_{n-1}(\lambda)\Lambda_{n-1}} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{n-1, e_{n-1}(\Psi)}(\mathbb{C}_{m_n(\lambda)\Lambda_n}) \cdots \right)$$

obtained from (2.2) and Proposition 2.1 (up to substitution $q \mapsto q^{-1}$). Here we warn that $\Phi(x_\ell) = qx_1$ in [2, (2.4)] should be interpreted as $\Phi(X_\ell) = q^{-1}X_1$ in the convention of Proposition 1.15 with $n = \ell$, that explains the substitution $q \mapsto q^{-1}$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $1 \leq i < e \leq n$. If $\mathcal{D}_j(M) \cong M$ for each $0 < j < i$, then we have $\mathcal{D}_j(\mathcal{C}_{i,e}(M)) \cong \mathcal{C}_{i,e}(M)$ for each $0 \leq j < i$.*

Proof. Let v' and v be the longest elements in

$$\langle s_{i-1}, s_{i-2}, \dots, s_1 \rangle \subset \langle s_{i-1}, s_{i-2}, \dots, s_0 \rangle \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n,$$

respectively, i.e. v' is the longest element in \mathfrak{S}_i and v is the longest element of \mathfrak{S}_{i+1} . We have $\mathcal{D}_{v'}(M) \cong M$ by assumption, and hence $\mathcal{C}_{i,e}(\mathcal{D}_{v'}(M)) \cong \mathcal{C}_{i,e}(M)$. We have $vs_j < v$ for $0 \leq j < i$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{i,e} \circ \mathcal{D}_{v'} &\cong (\mathcal{D}_{i-1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{D}_1 \circ \mathcal{D}_0) \circ (\mathcal{D}_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{D}_e) \circ \mathcal{D}_{v'} \\ &\cong \mathcal{D}_v \circ (\mathcal{D}_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{D}_e) \cong \mathcal{D}_v \circ \mathcal{C}_{i,e} \end{aligned}$$

by inspection using Theorem 1.10 4) and 2). We have $s_j v < v$ for $0 \leq j < i$. Thus, we deduce $\mathcal{D}_j \circ \mathcal{D}_v \cong \mathcal{D}_v$ by Theorem 1.10 4) and 2). This yields the result. \square

Corollary 2.5. *Let $1 \leq i < e \leq e' \leq n$. If $\mathcal{D}_j(M) \cong M$ for each $0 \leq j < i$ and each $e' < j < n$, then we have $\mathcal{D}_j(\mathcal{C}_{i,e}(M)) \cong \mathcal{C}_{i,e}(M)$ for each $0 \leq j < i$ and $e' \leq j < n$.*

Proof. Taking into account the fact that the affine Dynkin diagram of type $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ is invariant under the cyclic rotation, we simply add $(n - e')$ to all the indices (modulo n) to deduce the result from Lemma 2.4. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Let $1 \leq i < e \leq n$. For each $e \leq j < n$ or $0 \leq j < i - 1$, we have*

$$\mathcal{D}_j \circ \mathcal{C}_{i,e} \cong \mathcal{C}_{i,e} \circ \mathcal{D}_{j+1}.$$

Proof. By the isomorphism of functors

$$\mathcal{D}_j \circ \mathcal{D}_{j+1} \circ \mathcal{D}_j \cong \mathcal{D}_{j+1} \circ \mathcal{D}_j \circ \mathcal{D}_{j+1},$$

that is a special case of Theorem 1.10 4), the assertion reduces to the fact that $\mathcal{D}_{i-1}, \dots, \mathcal{D}_{j+2}$ commutes with \mathcal{D}_j , and $\mathcal{D}_{j-1}, \dots, \mathcal{D}_e$ commutes with \mathcal{D}_{j+1} . \square

Corollary 2.7. *Let $1 \leq i < e < n$. For each $e \leq e' < n$ or $0 \leq e' < i - 1$, we have*

$$\mathcal{C}_{i-1,e'} \circ \mathcal{C}_{i,e} \cong \mathcal{C}_{i,e} \circ \mathcal{C}_{i,e'+1}.$$

Proof. Apply Lemma 2.6 to $\mathcal{C}_{i-1,e'} \circ \mathcal{C}_{i,e} \equiv \mathcal{D}_{i-2} \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{D}_{e'} \circ \mathcal{C}_{i,e}$ repeatedly to deduce

$$\mathcal{D}_{i-2} \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{D}_{e'} \circ \mathcal{C}_{i,e} \cong \mathcal{C}_{i,e} \circ \mathcal{D}_{i-1} \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{D}_{e'+1},$$

that is equivalent to the assertion. \square

Proposition 2.8. *Let $\Psi \subset \Delta^+$ be a root ideal. Assume that $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ is Ψ -tame. Then, we have $N_w^\Psi(\lambda) \cong M_w^\Psi(\lambda)$ for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^+$.*

Example 2.9. Let us exhibit the contents of the proof of Proposition 2.8 in Example 1.3. We need to transform

$$\mathcal{C}_{2,3} \circ \mathcal{C}_{2,4} \circ \mathcal{C}_{2,5} \circ \mathcal{C}_{3,6} = (\mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_5 \mathcal{D}_4 \mathcal{D}_3)(\mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_5 \mathcal{D}_4)(\mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_5)(\mathcal{D}_2 \mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_0)$$

into

$$(\mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_5 \mathcal{D}_4 \mathcal{D}_3)(\mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_5 \mathcal{D}_4 \mathcal{D}_3)(\mathcal{D}_2 \mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_0)(\mathcal{D}_3 \mathcal{D}_2 \mathcal{D}_1)(\mathcal{D}_4 \mathcal{D}_3 \mathcal{D}_2 \mathcal{D}_1) \quad (2.3)$$

by applying a character \mathbb{C}_{Λ_0} from the RHS, and let $\mathcal{D}_3, \mathcal{D}_4, \mathcal{D}_5$ act freely from the LHS. Note that (2.3) can be transformed into

$$(\mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_5 \mathcal{D}_4 \mathcal{D}_3)(\mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_5 \mathcal{D}_4 \mathcal{D}_3)(\mathcal{D}_2 \mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_0) \quad (2.4)$$

as $\mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{C}_{\Lambda_0}) = \mathbb{C}_{\Lambda_0}$ for $i \neq 0$. Here, we have

$$\mathcal{D}_i(\mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_5 \mathcal{D}_4 \mathcal{D}_3) = (\mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_5 \mathcal{D}_4 \mathcal{D}_3) \mathcal{D}_{i+1} \quad i = 3, 4, 5, 0.$$

This transforms (2.4) into

$$(\mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_5 \mathcal{D}_4 \mathcal{D}_3)(\mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_5 \mathcal{D}_4)(\mathcal{D}_2 \mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_0). \quad (2.5)$$

For $i = 3, 4, 5$, we have

$$\mathcal{D}_i(\mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_5 \mathcal{D}_4 \mathcal{D}_3)(\mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_5 \mathcal{D}_4) = (\mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_5 \mathcal{D}_4 \mathcal{D}_3)(\mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_5 \mathcal{D}_4) \mathcal{D}_{i+2}.$$

Hence, the left actions of $\mathcal{D}_3, \mathcal{D}_4, \mathcal{D}_5$ allow us to rewrite (2.5) into

$$(\mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_5 \mathcal{D}_4 \mathcal{D}_3)(\mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_5 \mathcal{D}_4)(\mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_5)(\mathcal{D}_2 \mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_0) = \mathcal{C}_{2,3} \circ \mathcal{C}_{2,4} \circ \mathcal{C}_{2,5} \circ \mathcal{C}_{3,6}.$$

Proof of Proposition 2.8. By Theorem 1.10, we find an isomorphism $\mathbb{L}^\bullet \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{C}_{\Lambda_j} \otimes \bullet) \cong \mathbb{C}_{\Lambda_j} \otimes \mathbb{L}^\bullet \mathcal{D}_i(\bullet)$ for distinct $i, j \in \mathbf{I}_{\text{af}}$. In addition, we have $\mathbb{L}^\bullet \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}$. Using these two facts repeatedly, we shift the character twists to the left and discard \mathcal{D}_i 's with trivial effects repeatedly to obtain

$$M_w^\Psi(\lambda) \cong \mathcal{D}_w \left(\mathbb{C}_{\mu_0} \otimes (\mathbb{C}_{1, e_1(\Psi)}(\mathbb{C}_{\mu_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{2, e_2(\Psi)}(\cdots (\mathbb{C}_{\mu_{r-1}} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{r, e_r(\Psi)}(\mathbb{C}_{\mu_r}) \cdots)) \right), \quad (2.6)$$

where $r = \max\{1 \leq s < n \mid s + d(\Psi)_s \leq n\} = \mathbf{i}_{\ell(\Psi)}(\Psi)$, and

$$\mu_i = \sum_{j=e_i(\Psi)}^{e_{i+1}(\Psi)-1} m_j(\lambda) \Lambda_j.$$

For $0 \leq j \leq \ell(\Psi)$, the value $e_k(\Psi)$ is constant for $\mathbf{i}_j(\Psi) < k \leq \mathbf{i}_{j+1}(\Psi)$. In particular, we have $\mu_i \neq 0$ ($i \geq 1$) only if $i \in \mathbf{I}(\Psi)$.

In the below (during this proof), we drop Ψ from the notation of numbers presented by the typesetting fonts (i.e. \mathbf{i} and \mathbf{e}). We discard \mathbb{C}_{μ_i} with $\mu_i \equiv 0$ in (2.6). Then, we inductively transform the sequence of terms

$$\mathbb{C}_{\mu_{\mathbf{i}(j-1)}} \otimes (\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{i}(j-1)+1, \mathbf{e}_j} \circ \mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{i}(j-1)+2, \mathbf{e}_j} \circ \cdots \circ \mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{i}_j, \mathbf{e}_j})(\mathbb{C}_{\mu_{\mathbf{i}_j}} \otimes \bullet), \quad (2.7)$$

that is a part of (2.6), into

$$\mathbb{C}_{\mu_{\mathbf{i}(j-1)}} \otimes (\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{i}_j, \mathbf{e}_j} \circ \mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{i}_j, \mathbf{e}_j+1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{i}_j, \mathbf{i}_j-1})(\mathbb{C}_{\mu_{\mathbf{i}_j}} \otimes \bullet) \quad (2.8)$$

for each $1 \leq j \leq \ell(\Psi)$ provided if we can freely apply

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{i}(j-1)-1}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{i}(j-1)-2}, \dots, \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{e}_j} \quad (2.9)$$

to (2.7) from the LHS without modifying the total output (2.6). For the initial case $j = 1$, the functors in (2.9) arises from \mathcal{D}_w since we have $ws_i < w$ for $e_1(\Psi) \leq i < n$, that implies $\mathcal{D}_w \cong \mathcal{D}_w \circ \mathcal{D}_i$ for $e_1(\Psi) \leq i < n$ (and we have $\mathbf{i}_0 = 0$ by convention).

Note that each of the terms in (2.9) commute with $\mathbb{C}_{\mu_{\mathbf{i}(j-1)}}$ since we have

$$\mathbf{i}(j-1) - 1 < \mathbf{i}(j-1) + d_{\mathbf{i}(j-1)}(\Psi) = \mathbf{e}(j-1) < \mathbf{e}_j,$$

by Lemma 1.5. In particular, we can add each of (2.9) freely in front of $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{i}(j-1)+1, \mathbf{e}_j}$ in (2.7). Applying Lemma 2.6 repeatedly, this is the same as adding each of

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{i}_j-1}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{i}_j-2}, \dots, \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{e}_j + \mathbf{i}_j - \mathbf{i}(j-1)}. \quad (2.10)$$

freely just after $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{i}_j, \mathbf{e}_j}$ in (2.7) without modifying the output. Hence, we can freely insert

$$\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{i}_j, \mathbf{e}_j + \mathbf{i}_j - \mathbf{i}(j-1)}, \dots, \mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{i}_j, \mathbf{i}_j-1} \quad (2.11)$$

just after $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{i}_j, \mathbf{e}_j}$ in addition to (2.10).

By using Corollary 2.7 repeatedly, we have

$$\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{i}(j-1)+1, \mathbf{e}_j} \circ \mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{i}(j-1)+2, \mathbf{e}_j} \circ \cdots \circ \mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{i}_j, \mathbf{e}_j} \cong \mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{i}_j, \mathbf{e}_j} \circ \mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{i}_j, \mathbf{e}_j+1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{i}_j, \mathbf{e}_j + \mathbf{i}_j - \mathbf{i}(j-1) - 1}.$$

In conjunction with (2.11), we obtain (2.8). Here the product of \mathbb{C} 's in (2.8) gives a reduced expression of the longest element of

$$\langle s_{\mathbf{i}_j-1}, \dots, s_0, \dots, s_{\mathbf{e}_j} \rangle \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n. \quad (2.12)$$

Thus, we can add each of

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{i}_j-1}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{i}_j-2}, \dots, \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{e}_{(j+1)}}$$

just after $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}_j, \mathbf{i}_j-1}$ in (2.8) without modifying the output. This proceeds the induction on j . Hence, we can replace every (2.7) in (2.6) into (2.8) inductively.

The terms

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}_j, \mathbf{e}_{(j+1)}}, \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}_j, \mathbf{e}_{(j+1)}+1}, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}_j, \mathbf{i}_j-1} \quad (2.13)$$

in (2.6) commutes with $\mathbb{C}_{\mu_{\mathbf{i}_j}}$, and can be moved to the component (2.8) for j replaced with $(j+1)$. Each of (2.13) is the composition of Demazure functors corresponding to the simple reflections in (2.12) for j replaced with $(j+1)$ (as $\mathbf{i}_j < \mathbf{i}_{(j+1)}$). Thus, we can delete them making use of the expression (2.8) for j replaced with $(j+1)$ when $j < \ell(\Psi)$ and $\mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{C}_{m_k \Lambda_k}) = \mathbb{C}_{m_k \Lambda_k}$ for $1 \leq i < \mathbf{i}_{\ell(\Psi)}(\Psi)$ and $\mathbf{e}_{\ell(\Psi)} \leq k \leq n$ when $j = \ell(\Psi)$ coming from Theorem 1.10 3).

This procedure further replaces (2.7) in (2.6) with

$$\mathbb{C}_{\mu_{\mathbf{i}_{(j-1)}}} \otimes (\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}_j, \mathbf{e}_j} \circ \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}_j, \mathbf{e}_j+1} \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}_j, \mathbf{e}_{(j+1)}-1})(\mathbb{C}_{\mu_{\mathbf{i}_j}} \otimes \bullet).$$

This is isomorphic to the definition of $\mathcal{C}_j^\Psi(\lambda)$, tensored by $\mathbb{C}_{\mu_{\mathbf{i}_{(j-1)}}}$.

Therefore, we conclude the result. \square

Lemma 2.10. *Let $\Psi \subset \Delta^+$ be a root ideal, and let $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. We have*

$$N_w^\Psi(\lambda) \cong N_{ws_i}^\Psi(\lambda) \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{P}^+, e_1(\Psi) \leq i < n.$$

Proof. It suffices to prove $N_e^\Psi(\lambda) \cong N_{s_i}^\Psi(\lambda)$ for each $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^+$ and $e_1(\Psi) \leq i < n$ as the general case is obtained by the postcomposition of \mathcal{D}_w . By Theorem 1.10 3) and Lemma 2.4, we find that $\mathcal{C}_j^\Psi(\lambda)$ in the definition of $N_e^\Psi(\lambda)$ can be replaced with

$$\left(\mathcal{C}_j^\Psi(\lambda) \circ \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}_j(\Psi), \mathbf{e}_{(j+1)}(\Psi)} \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}_j(\Psi), \mathbf{i}_j(\Psi)-1} \right) (\bullet) \quad (2.14)$$

by using an induction on $1 \leq j \leq \ell(\Psi)$ from the initial case $j = \ell(\Psi)$ using the monotonicity of $\mathbf{i}_j(\Psi)$ and $\mathbf{e}_j(\Psi)$ as functions on j and the discussion around (2.8). We can further replace (2.14) with

$$\mathcal{D}_{w_j}(\mathbb{C}_{\mu_j} \otimes \bullet) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_j = \sum_{s=\mathbf{e}_j(\Psi)}^{\mathbf{e}_{j+1}(\Psi)-1} m_s(\lambda) \Lambda_s$$

without modifying the output, where

$$w_j \in \langle s_{\mathbf{i}_j(\Psi)-1}, \dots, s_0, \dots, s_{\mathbf{e}_j(\Psi)} \rangle = \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbf{i}_j+n-\mathbf{e}_j(\Psi)+1} \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n$$

is the longest element, using the commutativity of

$$\mathbb{C}_{m_s(\lambda) \Lambda_s} \quad (\mathbf{e}_j(\Psi) \leq s < \mathbf{e}_{(j+1)}(\Psi)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}_j(\Psi), t} \quad (s < t \leq n, 0 \leq t < \mathbf{i}_j(\Psi)).$$

The final case $j = 1$ implies that

$$N_e^\Psi(\lambda) \cong \mathcal{D}_{w_1}(N_e^\Psi(\lambda)) \cong (\mathcal{D}_i \circ \mathcal{D}_{w_1})(N_e^\Psi(\lambda)) \cong \mathcal{D}_i(N_e^\Psi(\lambda))$$

for $i = (n-1), (n-2), \dots, \mathbf{e}_1(\Psi)$ as required. \square

3 Construction of the variety X_Ψ

Keep the setting of the previous section.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\Psi \subset \Delta^+$ be a root ideal, $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^+$. We have the following vanishing of the total homology complex:*

$$\mathbb{L}^{<0} \left(\mathcal{D}_w \left(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda(\Psi)} \otimes (\mathcal{C}_1^\Psi(\lambda) \circ \mathcal{C}_2^\Psi(\lambda) \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{C}_{\ell(\Psi)}^\Psi(\lambda))(\mathbb{C}) \right) \right) = 0.$$

Proof. The assertion follows from the Leray spectral sequence applied to repeated applications of Corollary 1.14 (as in the proof of Proposition 2.1). \square

In the below, we sometimes identify $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^+$ with its non-standard lift $\Lambda \in \mathbb{P}_{\text{af}}^+$ in case the meaning is clear from the context.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\Psi \subset \Delta^+$ be a root ideal and let $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. Then, the \mathbb{P}^+ -graded vector space*

$$\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^+} N_w^\Psi(\lambda)^* \tag{3.1}$$

acquires the structure of a \mathbb{P}^+ -graded commutative \mathbb{C} -algebra equipped with a $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -action. In addition, this algebra is integral.

Proof. Since the character twists in the construction of the modules $N_w^\Psi(\lambda)$ are linear with respect to the monoid structure of \mathbb{P}^+ , we apply Lemma 1.9 repeatedly to deduce the first assertion from the definition of $N_w^\Psi(\lambda)$'s.

The ring (3.1) is obtained as repeated applications of a character twist, inflation to \mathbb{P}^1 from a point by the $SL(2)$ -action, and taking global sections. Since each of the operations preserves the integrality, we conclude the second assertion. \square

For a root ideal $\Psi \subset \Delta^+$ and $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we define a \mathbb{C} -scheme

$$X_\Psi(w) := \text{Proj}_{\mathbb{P}^+} \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^+} N_w^\Psi(\lambda)^*$$

following (1.1) equipped with an action of $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$. In view of Lemma 3.2, $X_\Psi(w)$ is an integral scheme.

The graded components of the ring (3.1) defines a $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -equivariant line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi(w)}(\lambda)$ on $X_\Psi(w)$ for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^+$, extended to $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}$ by taking the duals and tensor products.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $\Psi \subset \Delta^+$ be a root ideal. Then, we have a $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -equivariant morphisms*

$$X_\Psi(w_0^\Psi) \longrightarrow \prod_{j=e_1(\Psi)}^n \mathbb{P}(N_{w_0^\Psi}^\Psi(\varpi_j)) \hookrightarrow \prod_{j \in \mathbf{I}_{\text{af}}} \mathbb{P}(L(\Lambda_j)), \tag{3.2}$$

where the second map is the closed embedding.

Proof. For each $e_1(\Psi) \leq j \leq n$, the module $N_{w_0^\Psi}^\Psi(\varpi_j)$ is the (dual of the) space of the global sections of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{i}'}(\Lambda_j)$, where \mathbf{i}' is the sequence in \mathbf{I}_{af} read out from (2.1) as the index of \mathcal{D} 's in the definition of $N_e^\Psi(\varpi_j)$ (thanks to Lemma

2.10) until the character twist by \mathbb{C}_{Λ_j} . Let \mathbf{i} be the sequence \mathbf{i}' corresponding to the case $j = n$. Since \mathbf{i}' is a subsequence of \mathbf{i} consisting of some of its first entries, we have a map $f : X(\mathbf{i}) \rightarrow X(\mathbf{i}')$ by repeated applications of Lemma 1.6 1). By Theorem 1.11 3), the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{i}'}(\Lambda_j)$ is base-point-free over $X(\mathbf{i}')$. Hence, $f^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{i}'}(\Lambda_j)$ is base-point-free on $X(\mathbf{i})$. Here $X(\mathbf{i})$ maps onto $X_\Psi(w_0^\Psi)$ and $f^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{i}'}(\Lambda_j)$ is the pullback of a line bundle on $X_\Psi(w_0^\Psi)$ by the definition of \mathcal{D}_i and (2.1). Therefore, we find an induced map

$$X_\Psi(w_0^\Psi) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(N_{w_0^\Psi}^\Psi(\varpi_j)).$$

Collecting these yields the first map.

In view of Theorem 1.11 2), we have $N_{w_0^\Psi}^\Psi(\varpi_j) \subset L(\Lambda_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Moreover, we have $N_{w_0^\Psi}^\Psi(\varpi_j) = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{v}_{\Lambda_j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq d_1(\Psi)$. This yields the second embedding by sending all points to $[\mathbf{v}_{\Lambda_j}] \in \mathbb{P}(L(\Lambda_j))$ for $1 \leq j \leq d_1(\Psi)$. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\Psi \subset \Delta^+$ be a root ideal. The scheme $X_\Psi(w_0^\Psi)$ is a smooth variety, and we have*

$$\dim X_\Psi(w_0^\Psi) = \ell(w_0^\Psi) + |\Psi|. \quad (3.3)$$

For each $e_1(\Psi) \leq k \leq n$, we have a $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -equivariant morphism

$$\theta_\Psi^k : X_\Psi(w_0^\Psi) \longrightarrow \prod_{j=e_1(\Psi)}^k \mathbb{P}(L(\Lambda_j)), \quad (3.4)$$

that defines a smooth fibration over its image.

Proof. We drop $w = w_0^\Psi$ from the notation during this proof for the sake of simplicity. Note that $N_{w_0^\Psi}^\Psi(\lambda) \equiv N^\Psi(\lambda) \cong N_e^\Psi(\lambda)$ by Lemma 2.10. Here the $\mathbb{P}(L(\Lambda_j))$ -component of the image of (3.2) is $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{v}_{\Lambda_j} \subset L(\Lambda_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq d_1(\Psi)$. Thus, we obtain the $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -equivariant morphism (3.4) for $k = n$.

We construct a sequence of schemes $Y^{(r)}$ ($r \geq 0$) equipped with $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -actions from $Y^{(0)} := [\mathbf{v}_{\Lambda_0}] \in \mathbb{P}(L(\Lambda_0))$ by the following rule:

- We read the definition of $N_e^\Psi(\lambda)$ from the right, and count the appearance of the Demazure functor \mathcal{D}_j ($j \in \mathbf{I}_{\text{af}}$) and the character twist by $\mathbb{C}_{m_i\Lambda_i}$ ($e_1(\Psi) \leq i \leq n$):
 1. If the $(r+1)$ -th operation is the character twist by $\mathbb{C}_{m_i\Lambda_i}$, then we take the product with $\mathbb{P}(L(\Lambda_i))$ by adding a factor $[\mathbf{v}_{\Lambda_i}]$ to obtain an isomorphic scheme with a bigger ambient space;
 2. If the $(r+1)$ -th operation is the application of \mathcal{D}_j ($j \in \mathbf{I}_{\text{af}}$), then we set $Y^{(r+1)} := \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_j Y^{(r)}$ in the ambient space.

Here, the character twist by Λ_i appears only once in the definition of $N_e^\Psi(\lambda)$ for each $i \in \mathbf{I}_{\text{af}}$, and we apply additional Demazure functors only if $e_1(\Psi) \leq i \leq n$. Since $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_i \times^{\tilde{\mathbf{B}}} Y^{(r)}$ is integral and proper, we find that $Y^{(r+1)}$ is in fact an integral (closed) subscheme of the RHS of (3.4) for $k = n$ by induction.

As the definition of $N_e^\Psi(\lambda)$ consists of only finite steps, we obtain a closed \mathbb{C} -subscheme

$$Y = \bigcup_{r \geq 0} Y^{(r)} \subset \prod_{e_1(\Psi) \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{P}(L(\Lambda_i)).$$

Note that the application of each \mathbf{P}_0 enlarges the \mathbb{G}_m -grading of a finite-dimensional $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -module by a finite amplitude. Hence, we deduce that Y is of finite type.

In the process of the construction of Y , we have a (consecutive sub)sequence (of the definition of $N^\Psi(\lambda)$) for each $1 \leq j \leq \ell(\Psi)$:

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}_j(\Psi),k}(\lambda)(\bullet) = (\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{i}_j(\Psi)-1} \cdots \mathcal{D}_0 \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{D}_k)(\mathbb{C}_{m_k \Lambda_k} \otimes \bullet) \quad \mathbf{e}_j(\Psi) \leq k < \mathbf{e}_{j+1}(\Psi). \quad (3.5)$$

Here, we have $\mathbf{i}_j(\Psi) < \mathbf{e}_j(\Psi) \leq k$. The Dynkin subdiagram of the affine Dynkin diagram of type $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ formed by gathering indices in (3.5) yields the algebraic group

$$G(k) := \langle SL(2, i) \mid k \leq i \leq n \text{ or } 1 \leq i < \mathbf{i}_j(\Psi) \rangle \cong SL(\mathbf{i}_j(\Psi) + n - k + 1) \subset \tilde{G}((z))$$

for $\mathbf{e}_j(\Psi) \leq k < \mathbf{e}_{j+1}(\Psi)$ ($1 \leq j \leq \ell(\Psi)$). Therefore, the application of the corresponding $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_i$'s to $[\mathbf{v}_{\Lambda_k}] \in \mathbb{P}(L(\Lambda_k))$ yields $\mathbb{P}^{\mathbf{i}_j(\Psi) + n - k}$. In particular, we have

$$\dim Y \geq \sum_{j=1}^{\ell(\Psi)} \sum_{k=\mathbf{e}_j(\Psi)}^{\mathbf{e}_{j+1}(\Psi)-1} (\mathbf{i}_j(\Psi) + n - k) \quad (3.6)$$

by counting the dimension of the base direction for each $1 \leq j \leq \ell(\Psi)$. As the RHS of (3.6) is precisely the number of Demazure functors appearing in the construction of Y , and each application of $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_i$ for a $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -stable variety increases the dimension at most one, we deduce

$$\dim Y \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\ell(\Psi)} \sum_{k=\mathbf{e}_j(\Psi)}^{\mathbf{e}_{j+1}(\Psi)-1} (\mathbf{i}_j(\Psi) + n - k). \quad (3.7)$$

The comparison of (3.6) and (3.7) implies

$$\dim Y = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell(\Psi)} \sum_{k=\mathbf{e}_j(\Psi)}^{\mathbf{e}_{j+1}(\Psi)-1} (\mathbf{i}_j(\Psi) + n - k). \quad (3.8)$$

We have

$$\ell(w_0^\Psi) = \frac{(n - e_1(\Psi))(n - d_1(\Psi))}{2} = \sum_{k=e_1(\Psi)}^n (n - k) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell(\Psi)} \sum_{k=\mathbf{e}_j(\Psi)}^{\mathbf{e}_{j+1}(\Psi)-1} (n - k) \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi| &= \sum_{i=1}^n (n + 1 - e_i(\Psi)) = \sum_{i=1}^n (e_{i+1}(\Psi) - e_i(\Psi))i \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\ell(\Psi)} (\mathbf{e}_{j+1}(\Psi) - \mathbf{e}_j(\Psi)) \mathbf{i}_j(\Psi) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell(\Psi)} \sum_{k=\mathbf{e}_j(\Psi)}^{\mathbf{e}_{j+1}(\Psi)-1} \mathbf{i}_j(\Psi), \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where the second equality comes from changing the row-wise counting to the column-wise counting. The comparison of (3.8), (3.9), and (3.10) implies

$$\dim Y = \ell(w_0^\Psi) + |\Psi|.$$

For each $e_1(\Psi) \leq k \leq n$, we set $Y(k)$ to be $Y^{(r)}$ such that the r -th step (the last step) is the character twist by $\mathbb{C}_{m_{k-1} \Lambda_{k-1}}$. Consider the condition

(\star) $_k$ $Y(k) \subset \prod_{s=k}^n \mathbb{P}(L(\Lambda_s))$ is stable under the action of $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_i$ for $k \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq i < \mathbf{i}_j(\Psi)$ when $\mathbf{e}_j(\Psi) \leq k < \mathbf{e}_{j+1}(\Psi)$.

We have $Y(n+1) = Y^{(0)} = \text{pt} \subset \mathbb{P}(L(\Lambda_0))$, that is in fact invariant with respect to $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$, and hence (\star) $_{n+1}$ holds. We have

$$Y(k) \cong \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{i}_j(\Psi)-1} \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{i}_j(\Psi)-2} \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_0 \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_k Y(k+1) \subset \prod_{j=k}^n \mathbb{P}(L(\Lambda_j)),$$

where j is borrowed from (\star) $_k$. By induction hypothesis, we find that $Y(k+1)$ is stable under applications of $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{i}_j(\Psi)-1}, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{k+1}$. It follows that we have a diagram

$$Y(k) \xleftarrow{\pi_k} G(k) \times^{P(k)} Y(k+1) \longrightarrow G(k)/P(k) \cong \mathbb{P}^{\mathbf{i}_j(\Psi)+n-k}, \quad (3.11)$$

where π_k is the action map, and $P(k)$ is the algebraic subgroup of $G(k)$ generated by $\text{Im } u_k$ and $SL(2, i)$ for $k < i \leq n$ and $1 \leq i < \mathbf{i}_j(\Psi)$. Here π_k is an isomorphism of topological spaces. As the both of $Y(k)$ and $G(k) \times^{P(k)} Y(k+1)$ define integral subschemes of $\prod_{s=k}^n \mathbb{P}(L(\Lambda_s))$ (of finite types) with identical sets of closed points (as $Y(k+1)$ is integral), we conclude that π_k is an isomorphism of varieties. Therefore, $Y(k)$ satisfies (\star) $_k$ if $Y(k+1)$ satisfies (\star) $_{(k+1)}$, and hence (\star) holds by the downward induction from the case of $k = (n+1)$.

For each $1 \leq j \leq \ell(\Psi)$ and $\mathbf{e}_j(\Psi) \leq k < \mathbf{e}_{j+1}(\Psi)$, we set

$$\mathbf{i}\langle k \rangle := (\mathbf{i}_j(\Psi) - 1, \mathbf{i}_j(\Psi) - 2, \dots, 0, \dots, k).$$

We have a map $f_k : X(\mathbf{i}\langle k \rangle) \longrightarrow G(k)/P(k)$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{G(k)/P(k)} \cong (f_k)_* \mathcal{O}_{X(\mathbf{i}\langle k \rangle)}$ (see e.g. [19, 8.2.2 Theorem (c)]). The adjunction formula asserts that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{i}\langle k \rangle}^\dagger(R)$ for a $P(k)$ -stable ring R is the space of global sections of a sheaf of rings over $G(k)/P(k)$. We set \mathbf{i} to be the concatenation of $\mathbf{i}\langle e_1(\Psi) \rangle, \mathbf{i}\langle e_1(\Psi) + 1 \rangle, \dots, \mathbf{i}\langle n \rangle$. Note that \mathbf{i} is the same sequence as in the proof of Corollary 3.3. We interpret the construction of X_Ψ using (3.5) in a parallel way to the construction of Y (with repeated applications of Corollary 2.5 and $\mathcal{O}_{G(k)/P(k)} \cong (f_k)_* \mathcal{O}_{X(\mathbf{i}\langle k \rangle)}$ for $e_1(\Psi) \leq k \leq n$). Then, the set $X_\Psi(\mathbb{C})$ is the quotient of $X(\mathbf{i})(\mathbb{C})$ with respect to the equivalence relation that contains identification of points in $X(\mathbf{i}\langle k \rangle)(\mathbb{C})$ with $(G(k)/P(k))(\mathbb{C})$ through f_k for each k . Therefore, $Y(\mathbb{C})$ is obtained as taking the quotient of $X(\mathbf{i})(\mathbb{C})$ with respect to the equivalence relation that is contained in the equivalence relation that defines $X_\Psi(\mathbb{C})$. In particular, we have

$$Y \longrightarrow X_\Psi \longrightarrow \prod_{j=e_1(\Psi)}^n \mathbb{P}(L(\Lambda_j)),$$

where the first map yields a surjection of (closed) points, and the composition map is the closed embedding constructed in the above. Therefore, we conclude $Y \cong X_\Psi$ since X_Ψ is an integral scheme. This verifies (3.3), and also exhibits that X_Ψ is a projective variety.

From these, we find that the induced map from X_Ψ to $\text{Im } \theta_\Psi^k$ is a fibration whose fiber is isomorphic to $Y(k+1)$. As both of the base and the fiber are successive projective space fibrations, we conclude that θ_Ψ^k induces a smooth fibration. In particular, X_Ψ is a smooth variety.

These complete the proof. \square

Corollary 3.5. *Keep the setting of Theorem 3.4. Let X_{Ψ}^k be the fiber of θ_{Ψ}^k in (3.4) for $\mathbf{e}_j(\Psi) \leq k < \mathbf{e}_{j+1}(\Psi)$, where $1 \leq j \leq \ell(\Psi)$. We understand that $X_{\Psi}^{d_1(\Psi)} = X_{\Psi}(w_0^{\Psi})$. Set $r := \mathbf{i}_j(\Psi) + n - k + 1$. We have:*

1. *There exist an embedding*

$$GL(r) \subset \tilde{G}((z))$$

such that $(\tilde{T} \cap GL(r))$ gives rise to a maximal torus of $GL(r)$, and we have

$$GL(r) \supset \{s_{\mathbf{i}_j(\Psi)-1}, \dots, s_1, s_0, s_{n-1}, \dots, s_k\} \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n.$$

It gives rise to an action of $GL(r)$ on X_{Ψ}^{k-1} through (3.4) that makes X_{Ψ}^{k-1} into a $GL(r)$ -equivariant X_{Ψ}^k -fibration over \mathbb{P}^{r-1} ;

2. *The intersections*

$$GL(r) \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}, \quad \text{and} \quad GL(r) \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}^-$$

define parabolic subgroups of $GL(r)$ with their common Levi subgroup

$$GL(\mathbf{i}_j(\Psi)) \times GL(n - k + 1)$$

that contains $\{s_{\mathbf{i}_j(\Psi)-1}, \dots, s_1, s_{n-1}, \dots, s_k\}$.

Proof. We borrow the convention of the proof of Theorem 3.4. The first assertion paraphrases (3.11) with the group SL enhanced into GL by adding $\text{Im } \epsilon_1$. The second assertion follows as $\text{Im } u_0$ is the only one-parameter subgroup (corresponding to a simple positive root) of $GL(r)$ that has non-zero \mathbb{G}_m -degree. \square

Corollary 3.6. *Let $\Psi \subset \Delta^+$ be a root ideal, and let $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ be Ψ -tame. We have the following G -equivariant closed embedding*

$$X_{\Psi}(w_0) \cong G \times^Q X_{\Psi}(w_0^{\Psi}) \hookrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(L(\Lambda_i)), \quad (3.12)$$

where $Q \subset G$ is the parabolic subgroup generated by P_i ($e_1(\Psi) \leq i < n$). We have

$$\dim X_{\Psi}(w) = \ell(w) + |\Psi|. \quad (3.13)$$

The variety $X_{\Psi}(w)$ is smooth if and only if $X(w)$ is smooth.

Proof. Since each $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_i$ ($e_1(\Psi) \leq i < n$) preserves $X_{\Psi}(w_0^{\Psi})$ by construction, we find that Q preserves $X_{\Psi}(w_0^{\Psi})$. Since Q preserves

$$\{[\mathbf{v}_{\Lambda_i}]\}_{i=1}^{d_1(\Psi)} \in \prod_{i=1}^{d_1(\Psi)} \mathbb{P}(L(\Lambda_i)),$$

and the effect of the application of $\mathcal{D}_{w_0 w_0^{\Psi}}^{\dagger}$ is the same as taking the space of global sections of the corresponding vector bundle on G/Q , we have an embedding

$$X_{\Psi}(w_0) \cong G \times^Q X_{\Psi}(w_0^{\Psi}) \hookrightarrow \prod_{i \in \mathbf{I}_{\text{af}}} \mathbb{P}(L(\Lambda_i))$$

induced from (3.2). In particular, the projection map

$$X_{\Psi}(w_0) \hookrightarrow \prod_{i \in \mathbf{I}_{\text{af}}} \mathbb{P}(L(\Lambda_i)) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{d_1(\Psi)} \mathbb{P}(L(\Lambda_i))$$

induces a locally trivial $X_{\Psi}(w_0^{\Psi})$ -fibration over the image G/Q of the composition map, that we denote by pr .

Since w is Ψ -tame, we have $w = vw_0^{\Psi}$ for some $v \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ such that $\ell(w) = \ell(v) + \ell(w_0^{\Psi})$. Let $v = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$ be a reduced expression of v , that we record as \mathbf{i} . We set

$$Y(w) := \overline{BwQ/Q} \subset G/Q.$$

The variety $Y(w)$ is normal of dimension $\ell(v)$, equipped with a resolution

$$X(\mathbf{i}) \xrightarrow{f} Y(w) \quad \text{such that} \quad \mathcal{O}_{Y(w)} \xrightarrow{\cong} f_* \mathcal{O}_{X(\mathbf{i})} \quad (3.14)$$

(see e.g. [19, 8.2.2 Theorem (c)]). By the locally trivial fibration structure of pr , we deduce that the subscheme $\text{pr}^{-1}(Y(w))$ is normal of dimension

$$\dim X_{\Psi}(w_0^{\Psi}) + \ell(v) = \ell(v) + \ell(w_0^{\Psi}) + |\Psi| = \ell(w) + |\Psi|.$$

It is smooth if and only if $Y(w)$ is smooth. Taking into account the locally trivial Q/B -fibration structure of $G/B \rightarrow G/Q$, it is also equivalent to $X(w)$ being smooth.

In view of Corollary 1.14, we find that the homogeneous coordinate ring of $X_{\Psi}(w)$ is a quotient of that of $X_{\Psi}(w_0)$. Hence, the image of

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{i}) \times^{\tilde{\mathbf{B}}} X_{\Psi}(w_0^{\Psi}) \cong X(\mathbf{i}) \times_{Y(w)} \text{pr}^{-1}(Y(w)) \longrightarrow \text{pr}^{-1}(Y(w)) \subset X_{\Psi}(w_0) \quad (3.15)$$

is identified with $X_{\Psi}(w)$ through the construction of its homogeneous coordinate ring via successive applications of \mathcal{D}_i^{\dagger} 's. Therefore, we conclude the result. \square

Corollary 3.7. *Let $\Psi \subset \Delta^+$ be a root ideal, and let $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ be Ψ -tame. For each $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}^+$, we have:*

1. $H^{>0}(X_{\Psi}(w), \mathcal{O}_{X_{\Psi}(w)}(\lambda)) = 0$;
2. $H^0(X_{\Psi}(w), \mathcal{O}_{X_{\Psi}(w)}(\lambda))^* \cong N_w^{\Psi}(\lambda)$ as $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -modules;
3. the module $N_w^{\Psi}(\lambda)$ admits a $D^{(\lambda_1)}$ -filtration, and $H^0(X_{\Psi}(w), \mathcal{O}_{X_{\Psi}(w)}(\lambda))$ admits an excellent filtration when regarded as a B -module in the sense of van der Kallen [34].

Proof. We replace w with $w(w_0^{\Psi})^{-1}$ by Lemma 2.10 to achieve

$$\ell(w w_0^{\Psi}) = \ell(w) + \ell(w_0^{\Psi})$$

with keeping $N_w^{\Psi}(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \in \mathbf{P}^+$) as the original. Let \mathbf{i} be the sequence in \mathbf{I}_{af} extracted from the definition of $N_w^{\Psi}(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \in \mathbf{P}^+$) by fixing a reduced expression of w . In particular, the length ℓ of \mathbf{i} is $\dim X_{\Psi}(w)$ by the definition of $N_w^{\Psi}(\lambda)$, Lemma 2.10, and (3.13).

By construction, we have a $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -equivariant surjection

$$\pi : X(\mathbf{i}) \longrightarrow X_\Psi(w)$$

of varieties. We have $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{X(\mathbf{i})} = \mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi(w)}$ by Lemma 3.2.

We assume $\mathbb{R}^{>0} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{X(\mathbf{i})} \neq 0$ to deduce contradiction. We have

$$H^{k'}(X_\Psi(w), (\mathbb{R}^k \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{X(\mathbf{i})}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi(w)}} \mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi(w)}(\lambda)) = 0 \quad \lambda \gg 0$$

for each $k' > 0$ by the Serre vanishing theorem. By the degeneration of the Leray spectral sequence, we find that

$$H^0(X_\Psi(w), (\mathbb{R}^k \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{X(\mathbf{i})}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi(w)}} \mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi(w)}(\lambda)) \neq 0 \quad \lambda \gg 0$$

yields

$$H^k(X(\mathbf{i}), \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi(w)}(\lambda)) \neq 0 \quad \lambda \gg 0,$$

that contradicts with Proposition 3.1. Therefore, we have necessarily

$$\mathbb{R}^{>0} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{X(\mathbf{i})} = 0.$$

By Proposition 3.1 and (2.1), we find

$$H^k(X_\Psi(w), \mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi(w)}(\lambda))^* \cong \begin{cases} N_w^\Psi(\lambda) & (k = 0) \\ 0 & (k > 0) \end{cases}.$$

for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}^+$. This proves the first two assertions. The $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -module $N_w^\Psi(\lambda)$ admits a $D^{(\lambda_1)}$ -filtration by applying Corollary 1.14 repeatedly to the definition of $N_w^\Psi(\lambda)$. Taking into account the fact that $D_\lambda^{(k)}$ admits a $D^{(k+1)}$ -filtration for each $k > 0$ (Theorem 1.13) and $D_\mu^{(k')}$ ($\mu \in \mathbf{P}$) is a Demazure module of G for $k' \gg 0$ (that can be read off from [15, 3.5] and [11, Theorem 1]), we conclude the third assertion (cf. [18, Theorem B]). \square

Corollary 3.8. *Let $\Psi \subset \Delta^+$ be a root ideal and let $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ be Ψ -tame. For each $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}^+$, we have*

$$\text{gch } H^0(X_\Psi(w), \mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi(w)}(\lambda))^* = [H(\Psi; \lambda; w)]_{q \rightarrow q^{-1}}.$$

Proof. Combine Corollary 3.7 with Theorem 2.2. \square

For each $i \in \mathbf{I}$, we have an embedding $V(\varpi_i) \subset L(\Lambda_i)$ of $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ -modules, that can be also understood to be the \mathbb{G}_m -fixed part of $L(\Lambda_i)$. We also have a $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ -module embedding $\mathbb{C} \cong V(0) \subset L(\Lambda_0)$. These induce a $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ -equivariant closed embedding

$$\prod_{i \in \mathbf{I}} \mathbb{P}(V(\varpi_i)) \hookrightarrow \prod_{i \in \mathbf{I}_{\text{af}}} \mathbb{P}(L(\Lambda_i)).$$

Lemma 3.9. *For a root ideal $\Psi \subset \Delta^+$ and $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ that is Ψ -tame, the intersection*

$$X_\Psi(w) \cap \prod_{j \in \mathbf{I}} \mathbb{P}(V(\varpi_j))$$

is isomorphic to $X(w)$.

Proof. Thanks to the construction of $X_\Psi(w_0^\Psi)$ in the proof of Theorem 3.4, we find that the image of the composition map

$$f_i : X_\Psi(w_0^\Psi) \hookrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(L(\Lambda_i)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(L(\Lambda_i)) \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

satisfies $(\text{Im } f_i \cap \mathbb{P}(V(\varpi_i))) = [\mathbf{v}_{\varpi_i}]$ for $1 \leq i \leq d_1(\Psi)$.

We prove:

$$(\spadesuit)_k \ GL(n - d_1(\Psi)) \{[\mathbf{v}_{\varpi_j}]\}_{j=e_1(\Psi)}^k = \left(\text{Im } \theta_\Psi^k \cap \prod_{j=e_1(\Psi)}^k \mathbb{P}(V(\varpi_j)) \right)$$

for $k \geq e_1(\Psi)$, where $GL(n - d_1(\Psi)) \subset G$ is borrowed from Corollary 3.5 2). The assertion $(\spadesuit)_{e_1(\Psi)}$ follows as the image is the projective space homogeneous under the action of $GL(\mathbf{i}_1(\Psi) + n - d_1(\Psi))$, and its \mathbb{G}_m -attracting fixed points are homogeneous under the action of $GL(n - d_1(\Psi))$ by Corollary 3.5. Then, we examine the fiber structure offered by Corollary 3.5 repeatedly to deduce that $(\spadesuit)_{k+1}$ holds when $(\spadesuit)_k$ holds.

Therefore, the induction proceeds and $(\spadesuit)_k$ holds for each $k \geq e_1(\Psi)$. In particular, we have

$$X_\Psi(w_0^\Psi) \cap \prod_{i \in \mathbf{I}} \mathbb{P}(V(\varpi_i)) = X(w_0^\Psi).$$

We have $X_\Psi(s_i w) = (\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_i \cap G) X_\Psi(w)$ when $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ is Ψ -tame and $s_i w > w$ by (3.15). Since $(\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_i \cap G)$ preserves $\prod_{j \in \mathbf{I}} \mathbb{P}(V(\varpi_j))$, we conclude

$$X_\Psi(s_i w) \cap \prod_{j \in \mathbf{I}} \mathbb{P}(V(\varpi_j)) = (\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_i \cap G) (X_\Psi(w) \cap \prod_{j \in \mathbf{I}} \mathbb{P}(V(\varpi_j)))$$

in this case. Therefore, we conclude the assertion by induction on w . \square

Theorem 3.10. *For a root ideal $\Psi \subset \Delta^+$, the \mathbb{G}_m -attracting set of $X = X(w_0) \subset X_\Psi(w_0)$ is open dense, and is isomorphic to $T_\Psi^* X$.*

Proof. Since $X_\Psi(w_0)$ is a connected smooth variety and $X \subset X_\Psi(w_0)$ is a connected component of its \mathbb{G}_m -fixed part, we find that the attracting locus $\check{X}_\Psi \subset X_\Psi(w_0)$ is defined as the intersection of the product of attracting loci of the spaces $\mathbb{P}(V(\varpi_i)) \subset \mathbb{P}(L(\Lambda_i))$ ($i \in \mathbf{I}_{\text{af}}$) and $X_\Psi(w_0)$ through the embedding (3.12). In particular, $\check{X}_\Psi(w_0)$ is a Zariski open subset of $X_\Psi(w_0)$.

By Białycki-Birula's theorem [1], we find that \check{X}_Ψ is an affine bundle over X , that admits an action of $(G \times \mathbb{G}_m)$. By $X \cong G/B$, we take a base point $p = X(e) = B/B$. We have a direct sum decomposition

$$T_p X_\Psi(w_0) \cong T_p X \oplus E, \tag{3.16}$$

where $T_p X$ admits trivial \mathbb{G}_m -action and E has strictly negative \mathbb{G}_m -degree. Note that each direct summand of (3.16) is B -stable. In view of the fiber bundle structure of $X_\Psi(w_0)$, the \hat{T} -character of E is calculated from the tangent spaces the projective spaces

$$\mathbb{P}(\overline{GL(\mathbf{i}_j(\Psi) + n - k + 1) \mathbf{v}_{\Lambda_k}}) \subset \mathbb{P}(L(\Lambda_k)) \quad \mathbf{e}_j(\Psi) \leq k < \mathbf{e}_{j+1}(\Psi), 1 \leq j \leq \ell(\Psi), \tag{3.17}$$

borrowed from Corollary 3.5. Here we note that

$$\overline{GL(\mathfrak{i}_j(\Psi) + n - k + 1)\mathfrak{v}_{\Lambda_k}} \subset L(\Lambda_k)$$

defines a vector subspace, that is in fact a subrepresentation of $GL(\mathfrak{i}_j(\Psi) + n - k + 1)$ isomorphic to its vector representation. In particular, we find

$$T_{[\mathfrak{v}_{\Lambda_k}]} \mathbb{P}(\overline{GL(\mathfrak{i}_j(\Psi) + n - k + 1)\mathfrak{v}_{\Lambda_k}}) \cong \left(\bigoplus_{k < s \leq n} \mathbb{C}_{\epsilon_s - \epsilon_k} \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{1 \leq t < \mathfrak{i}_j(\Psi)} \mathbb{C}_{\epsilon_t - \epsilon_k - \delta} \right) \quad (3.18)$$

by inspection. Let us denote by Π_k the set of \tilde{T} -weights appearing in (3.18). We find that the \tilde{T} -character contribution of E is precisely the \tilde{T} -weights of $\mathfrak{n}(\Psi) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\delta}$ counted with multiplicities.

For each $\beta \in \Pi_k$ ($e_1(\Psi) \leq k \leq n$), we have a \tilde{T} -stable connected one-dimensional unipotent subgroup $U_\beta \subset \tilde{G}((z))$ such that $\mathbb{C}_\beta \cong \text{Lie } U_\beta$ as \tilde{T} -modules. Each U_β ($\beta \in \Pi_k$) preserves X_Ψ^{k-1} by $U_\beta \subset GL(r)$, where X_Ψ^{k-1} and r are borrowed from Corollary 3.5. We have

$$U_\beta \mathfrak{v}_{\Lambda_k} = \mathfrak{v}_{\Lambda_k} \quad \beta \in \Pi_{k'} \quad \text{for } k' > k$$

since the \tilde{T} -weight $(\beta + \Lambda_k)$ -part of $L(\Lambda_k)$ is zero by inspection (e.g. $s_0 \Lambda_k = \Lambda_k$ for $1 \leq k < n$). Thus, we can apply U_γ 's ($\gamma \in \Pi_{k'}$) to $p = \{\{\mathfrak{v}_{\Lambda_i}\}\}_{i \in \mathbb{I}}$ from the case of $k' = n$ and then lowering k' consecutively to obtain a well-defined action map

$$\mathbb{A}^{\dim X_\Psi^k} \cong \left(\prod_{\beta \in \Pi_{k+1}} U_\beta \right) \left(\prod_{\beta \in \Pi_{k+2}} U_\beta \right) \cdots \left(\prod_{\beta \in \Pi_n} U_\beta \right) p \hookrightarrow X_\Psi^k, \quad (3.19)$$

for each $d_1(\Psi) \leq k < n$. We have $\sum_{k < k' \leq n} |\Pi_{k'}| = \dim X_\Psi^k$ by Corollary 3.5 for each $d_1(\Psi) \leq k < n$, and hence (3.19) defines an open subset of the RHS. Gathering these gives rise to a \tilde{T} -equivariant surjection

$$\text{Lie } N^- \oplus (\mathfrak{n}(\Psi) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\delta}) \twoheadrightarrow T_p X_\Psi(w_0)$$

by Corollary 3.6. Here B acts on $(\mathfrak{n}(\Psi) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\delta})$ viewed as a subspace of

$$\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}z^{-1} \cong \mathfrak{g} \otimes z^{-1} \mathbb{C}[z^{-1}] \quad \text{mod } \mathfrak{g} \otimes z^{-2} \mathbb{C}[z^{-1}]$$

since the Lie algebras of the unipotent groups in middle term of (3.19) generates a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[z^{-1}]$ that contains

$$\mathfrak{n}(\Psi) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\delta} \cong \mathfrak{n}(\Psi) \otimes \mathbb{C}z^{-1} \quad \text{mod } \mathfrak{g} \otimes z^{-2} \mathbb{C}[z^{-1}].$$

(We note that any \tilde{T} -module map $\mathfrak{g} \otimes z^{-2} \mathbb{C}[z^{-1}] \rightarrow T_p X_\Psi(w_0)$ is zero by the comparison of weights.) Thus, we have necessarily $E \cong \mathfrak{n}(\Psi) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\delta}$ as B -modules. Therefore, we conclude that

$$\hat{X}_\Psi \cong G \times^B (\mathfrak{n}(\Psi) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\delta}) = T_\Psi^* X$$

as required. \square

Corollary 3.11 (Corollary of the proof of Theorem 3.10). *Keep the setting of Theorem 3.10. The fiber of $T_\Psi^* X$ as a vector bundle on X injects into $\mathbb{P}(L(\Lambda_0))$ through the projection from the RHS of (3.12). \square*

By the comparison with Lusztig [24], we find:

Corollary 3.12 (Ngô [30] and Mirković-Vybrnov [27]). *The composition map*

$$X_{\Delta^+}(w_0) \hookrightarrow \prod_{i \in \mathbf{I}_{\text{af}}} \mathbb{P}(L(\Lambda_i)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(L(\Lambda_0))$$

defines a resolution of a compactification of the nilpotent cone of $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ realized in the affine Grassmannian of G . \square

For each $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}$, let $\mathcal{O}_{T_\Psi^* X}(\lambda)$ be the restriction of $\mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi(w_0)}(\lambda)$ through Theorem 3.10.

Corollary 3.13. *For each $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}$, the restriction of $\mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi(w_0)}(\lambda)$ to $T_\Psi^* X$ is isomorphic to $\pi_\Psi^* \mathcal{O}_X(\lambda)$.*

Proof. Since both of the line bundles are G -homogeneous, it suffices to compare them along the fiber of $T_\Psi^* X$ over $B/B \in X$ as \tilde{B} -equivariant line bundles. As a \tilde{B} -equivariant line bundle on the affine space $\mathfrak{n}(\Psi)$ is completely determined by the character at the \tilde{T} -fixed point, we conclude the result by the character comparison. \square

Let us record the nef cone (see [23, Definition 1.4.1] for definition) of $X_\Psi(w_0)$:

Corollary 3.14. *For a root ideal $\emptyset \neq \Psi \subset \Delta^+$, we have $\text{Pic } X_\Psi(w_0) \cong \mathbb{P}$. For each $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}$, the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi(w_0)}(\lambda)$ is nef if and only if $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^+$.*

Proof. Corollary 3.5 and Corollary 3.6 implies that $X_\Psi(w_0)$ is a n -times successive projective space fibration realized as the projectifications of vector bundles. Here each $\mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi(w_0)}(\varpi_i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) yields the primitive ample line bundle of the fiber at the i -th step. Hence, we find $\mathbb{P} \cong \text{Pic } X_\Psi(w_0)$ by repeated applications of [14, II Ex. 7.9].

The restriction of $\mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi(w_0)}(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{P}$) to X is $\mathcal{O}_X(\lambda)$, and hence it is nef if and only if $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \geq 0$ for each $1 \leq i < n$. Consider the subspace

$$Y := \mathbb{P}^{\mathbf{i}_\ell(\Psi)} \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}v_{\Lambda_0} \oplus \bigoplus_{t=1}^{\mathbf{i}_\ell(\Psi)} \mathbb{C}(z^{-1}E_{t,n})v_{\Lambda_0}) \subset \overline{\mathfrak{n}(\Psi)} \subset \mathbb{P}(L(\Lambda_0))$$

arising from the fiber direction of $T_\Psi^* X$ at B/B (cf. proof of Theorem 3.10). Then, the restriction of $\mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi(w_0)}(\lambda)$ to Y is $\mathcal{O}(\lambda_n)$ as $m_n(\lambda) = \lambda_n$ in the construction of $N_{w_0}^\Psi(\lambda)$ in (2.1) (cf. Corollary 3.7). Thus, the restriction of $\mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi(w_0)}(\lambda)$ to Y is nef only if $\lambda_n \geq 0$. Hence, $\mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi(w_0)}(\lambda)$ is nef only if $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^+$.

For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, the embedding (3.12) implies $\mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi(w_0)}(\varpi_i)$ is nef. Thus, $\mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi(w_0)}(\lambda)$ is nef if $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^+$ as required. \square

Corollary 3.15. *For a root ideal $\Psi \subset \Delta^+$ and $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ that is Ψ -tame, the \mathbb{G}_m -attracting set of $X(w) \subset X_\Psi(w)$ is isomorphic to $T_\Psi^* X(w)$.*

Proof. Since $X_\Psi(w)$ is realized as the restriction of (3.12) to $\overline{BwQ/Q} \subset G/Q$ in (the proof of) Corollary 3.6, we conclude the assertion from Theorem 3.10. \square

4 Consequences

Keep the setting of the previous section.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\Psi \subset \Delta^+$ be a root ideal. Then, the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi(w_0)}(\varpi_n)$ corresponds to an effective Cartier divisor D such that*

$$\text{supp } D = X_\Psi(w_0) \setminus T_\Psi^* X.$$

Moreover, we have

$$H^i(T_\Psi^* X(w), \mathcal{O}_{T_\Psi^* X(w)}(\lambda)) = \varinjlim_m H^i(X_\Psi(w), \mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi(w)}(\lambda + m\varpi_n)) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{m\varpi_n} \quad (4.1)$$

for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^+$ whenever w is Ψ -tame. In this case, we have

$$H^{>0}(T_\Psi^* X(w), \mathcal{O}_{T_\Psi^* X(w)}(\lambda)) = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. Let us fix D as the divisor realized by imposing zero in the coefficient of \mathbf{v}_{Λ_0} through the map

$$X_\Psi(w_0) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(L(\Lambda_0)).$$

Since D is \tilde{T} -stable, we have

$$\text{supp } D \cap T_\Psi^* X = \emptyset$$

by the description of $X_\Psi(w_0)$ near the \tilde{T} -fixed point $\{[\mathbf{v}_{\Lambda_i}]\}_{i \in \Gamma_{\text{af}}}$ in Theorem 3.10.

By Corollary 3.11, the boundary of the closure of a fiber of $T_\Psi^* X$ is contained in $\text{supp } D$. Taking the G -action into account, we conclude

$$\text{supp } D = X_\Psi(w_0) \setminus T_\Psi^* X,$$

that is the first assertion. It follows that the embedding $T_\Psi^* X \subset X_\Psi(w_0)$ is affine. Thus, so is $T_\Psi^* X(w) \subset X_\Psi(w)$ by (3.12) and Corollary 3.15. In particular, we have

$$H^i(T_\Psi^* X(w), \pi_\Psi^* \mathcal{O}_{X(w)}(\lambda)) = H^i(X_\Psi(w), j_* \pi_\Psi^* \mathcal{O}_{X(w)}(\lambda)) \quad i \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where $j : T_\Psi^* X(w) \hookrightarrow X_\Psi(w)$ is an inclusion. We have

$$j_* \pi_\Psi^* \mathcal{O}_{X(w)} = \varinjlim_m \mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi(w)}(\lambda + m\varpi_n) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{m\varpi_n},$$

where the transition maps in the RHS are the multiplications by the (homogeneous) coordinate function of \mathbf{v}_{Λ_0} . From these, we conclude that

$$H^i(T_\Psi^* X(w), \pi_\Psi^* \mathcal{O}_{X(w)}(\lambda)) = \varinjlim_m H^i(X_\Psi(w), \mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi(w)}(\lambda + m\varpi_n)) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{m\varpi_n}$$

for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ by the commutation of the cohomology with direct limits ([14, III, Proposition 2.9]). This is the second assertion.

We combine the second assertion with Corollary 3.7 to conclude the third assertion. These complete the proof. \square

Remark 4.2. The vanishing assertion (4.2) is precisely the tame case of the vanishing conjecture of Blasiak-Morse-Pun [2, Conjecture 3.4 ii)], that implies the vanishing conjectures of Chen-Haiman [8, Conjecture 5.4.3 2)] and Shimozono-Weyman [33, Conjecture 5]. It is previously known for sufficiently dominant λ ([31, 26]) or some other special cases ([4, 5, 12]). However, those results do not completely cover the case when $H(\Psi; \lambda; w_0)$ is a k -Schur polynomial ([3]) or the case when $\mathfrak{n}(\Psi)$ is the Lie algebra of the unipotent radical of a parabolic subgroup of G ([33]).

Corollary 4.3 (Conjecture 3.4 iii) in Blasiak-Morse-Pun [2]). *Let $\Psi \subset \Delta^+$ be a root ideal and let $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ be Ψ -tame. Then, the space*

$$H^0(T_{\Psi}^*X(w), \mathcal{O}_{T_{\Psi}^*X(w)}(\lambda)) \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{P}^+$$

admits an excellent filtration in the sense of van der Kallen [34].

Proof. In view of Corollary 3.7 3), each component of the RHS of (4.1) admits an excellent filtration. By [34, Corollary 1.8], the inductive limit of modules that admit excellent filtrations again admits an excellent filtration. \square

Corollary 4.4. *For a root ideal $\Psi \subset \Delta^+$, we have an infinitesimal action of $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}[[z]])$ on T_{Ψ}^*X . This equips $H^0(T_{\Psi}^*X, \mathcal{O}_{T_{\Psi}^*X}(\lambda))^\vee$ ($\lambda \in \mathbf{P}^+$) with the structure of a graded $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}[[z]])$ -module, and makes the quotient*

$$H^0(T_{\Psi}^*X, \mathcal{O}_{T_{\Psi}^*X}(\lambda))^\vee \twoheadrightarrow H^0(X_{\Psi}(w_0), \mathcal{O}_{X_{\Psi}(w_0)}(\lambda))^*$$

into the quotient of graded $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}[[z]])$ -modules.

Proof. The action of $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ on $X_{\Psi}(w_0)$ differentiates into the action of its Lie algebra, and this Lie algebra action is well-defined on an open subset T_{Ψ}^*X . Thus, we obtain the desired action and the quotient map. \square

Remark 4.5. We warn that the $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}[[z]])$ -action on

$$H^0(T_{\Psi}^*X, \mathcal{O}_{T_{\Psi}^*X}(\lambda)) \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{P}^+$$

is *not* compatible with (4.1). This is parallel to the fact that the \mathfrak{g} -module map

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\lambda)) \hookrightarrow H^0(w_0Bw_0B/B, \mathcal{O}_X(\lambda)) \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{P}^+,$$

is not compatible with the character twists as \mathfrak{n} -modules.

Theorem 4.6. *For a root ideal $\Psi \subset \Delta^+$, the $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}$ -module*

$$H^0(X_{\Psi}(w_0), \mathcal{O}_{X_{\Psi}(w_0)}(\lambda)) \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{P}^+$$

has a simple head.

Proof. Let $U[d_1(\Psi)]$ be the unipotent radical of Q borrowed from Corollary 3.6, and let $U^-[d_1(\Psi)]$ be its opposite unipotent subgroup in G (whose Lie algebra is dual to that of $U[d_1(\Psi)]$). For each $e_1(\Psi) \leq k \leq n$, let $U[k]$ be the unipotent radical of the parabolic subgroup of $GL(r)$ that stabilizes the $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -fixed point of the base space \mathbb{P}^{r-1} (that is the image of X_{Ψ}^k through the projection

$X_\Psi^{k-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$) in Corollary 3.5 1), and let $U^-[k]$ be its opposite subgroup. We have $U[k] \subset \tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ for $d_1(\Psi) \leq k \leq n$. We set

$$M(d_1(\Psi)) := H^0(X_\Psi(w_0), \mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi(w_0)}(\lambda)), \quad M(e_1(\Psi)) := H^0(X_\Psi(w_0^\Psi), \mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi(w_0^\Psi)}(\lambda)),$$

and $M(k)$ ($e_1(\Psi) < k \leq n+1$) to be the space of global sections of $\mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi(w_0)}(\lambda)$ restricted to X_Ψ^{k-1} in Corollary 3.5. The restriction map

$$M(k) \longrightarrow M(k+1) \quad d_1(\Psi) \leq k \leq n \quad (4.3)$$

is surjective by construction (see Corollary 1.14 and the proof of Theorem 3.4). The space $M(k)^{U^-[k]}$ consist of sections of the vector bundle associated to $M(k+1)$ over G/Q ($k = d_1(\Psi)$) or over \mathbb{P}^{r-1} borrowed from Corollary 3.5 1) ($k \geq e_1(\Psi)$) that are constant on its open dense $U^-[k]$ -stable subset. Let p denote the \tilde{T} -fixed point of the open dense $U^-[k]$ -stable affine subset of G/Q or \mathbb{P}^{r-1} . We refer these open dense $U^-[k]$ -stable neighborhoods of p by \mathcal{U} . We trivialize the vector bundle associated to $M(k+1)$ over \mathcal{U} and call it \mathcal{V} .

We suppose that an element $\psi \neq 0$ of $M(k)^{U^-[k]}$ defines $0 \in M(k+1)$ by the evaluation at p to deduce contradiction. Since ψ is $U^-[k]$ -invariant and \mathcal{U} is $U^-[k]$ -homogeneous, we find that ψ defines 0 at all points of \mathcal{U} . In other words, we have

$$0 = \psi \in \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{U}] \otimes M(k+1) \equiv \mathbb{C}[U^-[k]] \otimes M(k+1) \cong \Gamma(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}).$$

It follows that

$$0 = \psi \in M(k) \subset \Gamma(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}),$$

that is a contradiction. Thus, ψ defines a non-zero element in $M(k+1)$ by the evaluation at p .

In other words, (4.3) is injective when restricted to $M(k)^{U^-[k]}$. Here $M(k)^{U^-[k]}$ is understood to be a direct summand of $M(k)$ and $M(k+1)$ as modules of the Levi subgroup of the parabolic subgroup of $(\tilde{T} \cdot G)$ ($k = d_1(\Psi)$) or $(\tilde{T} \cdot GL(r))$ ($k > d_1(\Psi)$) that contains \tilde{T} and its unipotent radical is $U[k]$. In particular, $M(k)^{U^-[k]}$ generates $M(k)$ by the action of $U[k]$. In other words, we find that the head of $M(k)$ as a $(\tilde{T} \cdot U[k])$ -module is contained in $M(k+1)$.

In view of (3.18), the \tilde{T} -weights of $\text{Lie } U[k]$ ($d_1(\Psi) \leq k \leq n$) are distinct. In addition, we find that a \tilde{T} -weight of $\text{Lie } U[k]$ cannot be obtained as the sum of \tilde{T} -weights of $\text{Lie } U[k']$ ($k < k' \leq n$) by inspection. Thus, we deduce that the head of $H^0(X_\Psi(w_0), \mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi(w_0)}(\lambda))$ as a $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -module is contained in $M(n+1)$ by induction using the above argument. Since $M(n+1)$ is one-dimensional (as X_Ψ^n is a point), we conclude the assertion by $\tilde{\mathbf{B}} \subset \tilde{\mathbf{G}}$. \square

Corollary 4.7. *Let $\Psi \subset \Delta^+$ be a root ideal and let $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ be Ψ -tame. For each $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^+$, the $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -module $H^0(X_\Psi(w), \mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi(w)}(\lambda))$ has a simple head.*

Proof. We employ the setting of the proof of Theorem 4.6. A reduced expression \mathbf{i}' of w can be complemented into a reduced expression \mathbf{i} of w_0 by adding letters in \mathbf{I} in front of \mathbf{i}' . By repeated applications of Corollary 1.14 to the presentations in (2.1), we find a surjective $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -module map

$$H^0(X_\Psi(w_0), \mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi(w_0)}(\lambda)) \twoheadrightarrow H^0(X_\Psi(w), \mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi(w)}(\lambda)). \quad (4.4)$$

By Theorem 4.6 (or the last paragraph in its proof), we find that the LHS of (4.4) has a simple head as a $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -module. Thus, so is the RHS of (4.4) as required. \square

Proposition 4.8. *Let $\Psi' \subset \Psi \subset \Delta^+$ be two root ideals, and let $w', w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ be Ψ -tame elements such that $X(w') \subset X(w)$. For each $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}^+$, we have an inclusion*

$$N_{w'}^{\Psi'}(\lambda) \subset N_w^\Psi(\lambda) \quad \text{as } \tilde{\mathbf{B}}\text{-modules.}$$

Proof. Note that a Ψ -tame element is automatically Ψ' -tame by $d_1(\Psi') \geq d_1(\Psi)$, and hence w' is Ψ' -tame. Thanks to Proposition 2.8, we can replace $N_w^\Psi(\lambda)$ and $N_{w'}^{\Psi'}(\lambda)$ with $M_w^\Psi(\lambda)$ and $M_{w'}^{\Psi'}(\lambda)$.

By interpreting (2.2) as successive applications of Demazure functors together with the character twists, we have a sequence \mathbf{i} of elements of \mathbf{I}_{af} of length ℓ such that

$$(M_w^\Psi(\lambda))^* = H^0(X(\mathbf{i}), \mathcal{L}_\lambda),$$

where $X(\mathbf{i})$ is defined in (1.3), and \mathcal{L}_λ is defined as: $\{\mathcal{L}_{\varpi_i}\}_{i \in \mathbf{I}_{\text{af}}}$ is a collection of $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ -equivariant line bundles on $X(\mathbf{i})$ obtained as the pullback of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{i}[i]}(\Lambda_i)$ on $X(\mathbf{i}[i])$ (using the maps offered in Lemma 1.6), where $\mathbf{i}[i]$ is the truncation of the sequence \mathbf{i} up to the place where $\mathbb{C}_{m_i \Lambda_i}$ appears in (2.2). For general $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}$, we extend this definition by tensor products to obtain \mathcal{L}_λ .

Examining the sequence offered in (2.2), we have its subsequence \mathbf{i}' that realizes $M_{w'}^{\Psi'}(\lambda)$. In particular, we have $X(\mathbf{i}') \subset X(\mathbf{i})$. Hence we have a restriction map

$$M_w^\Psi(\lambda)^* = H^0(X(\mathbf{i}), \mathcal{L}_\lambda) \longrightarrow H^0(X(\mathbf{i}'), \mathcal{L}_\lambda) = M_{w'}^{\Psi'}(\lambda)^*. \quad (4.5)$$

The \tilde{T} -weights of simple heads of the both sides of (4.5), provided by Corollary 4.7, are the same as they are realized as (the dual of) the fiber of \mathcal{L}_λ at the \tilde{T} -fixed point $X(\emptyset) \in X(\mathbf{i}') \subset X(\mathbf{i})$. Hence, we deduce that (4.5) is surjective. Therefore, we conclude the assertion by taking their duals. \square

Proposition 4.9. *Let $\Psi' \subset \Psi \subset \Delta^+$ be root ideals, and let $w', w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ be Ψ -tame elements such that $X(w') \subset X(w)$. Then, we have an inclusion $X_{\Psi'}(w') \subset X_\Psi(w)$ that induces a surjection*

$$H^0(X_\Psi(w), \mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi(w)}(\lambda)) \twoheadrightarrow H^0(X_{\Psi'}(w'), \mathcal{O}_{X_{\Psi'}(w')}(\lambda)) \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{P}^+.$$

Proof. Recall that the homogeneous coordinate ring of $X_\Psi(w)$ is $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbf{P}^+} (N_w^\Psi(\lambda))^*$. By Proposition 4.8, we find that the natural map

$$N_w^\Psi(\lambda)^* \longrightarrow N_{w'}^{\Psi'}(\lambda)^*$$

is surjective for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{P}^+$. This implies that the homogeneous coordinate ring of $X_{\Psi'}(w')$ is a quotient of that of $X_\Psi(w)$. In view of Corollary 3.7, we conclude the desired surjection. \square

Corollary 4.10. *Let $\Psi' \subset \Psi \subset \Delta^+$ be root ideals, and let $w', w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ be Ψ -tame elements such that $X(w') \subset X(w)$. The natural restriction map*

$$H^0(T_\Psi^* X(w), \mathcal{O}_{X_\Psi(w)}(\lambda)) \longrightarrow H^0(T_{\Psi'}^* X(w'), \mathcal{O}_{X_{\Psi'}(w')}(\lambda)) \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{P}^+$$

is surjective. In addition, we have

$$X_{\Psi'}(w') = \overline{T_{\Psi'} X(w')} \subset X_\Psi(w).$$

Proof. Note that w' is Ψ' -tame. By Proposition 4.9, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(T_{\Psi}^*X(w), \mathcal{O}_{X_{\Psi}(w)}(\lambda)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(T_{\Psi'}^*X(w'), \mathcal{O}_{X_{\Psi'}(w')}(\lambda)) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ H^0(X_{\Psi}(w), \mathcal{O}_{X_{\Psi}(w)}(\lambda + m\varpi_n)) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{m\varpi_n} & \twoheadrightarrow & H^0(X_{\Psi'}(w'), \mathcal{O}_{X_{\Psi'}(w')}(\lambda + m\varpi_n)) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{m\varpi_n} \end{array}$$

for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^+$ and $m \geq 0$. Thus, Theorem 4.1 yields the first assertion. The second assertion is immediate from the comparison of the homogeneous coordinate rings coming from the above commutative diagram. \square

For a root ideal $\Psi \subset \Delta^+$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{P}^+$, we set

$$K_{\lambda, \mu}^{\Psi}(q) := \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} q^m \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{G \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\operatorname{rot}}}(V(\lambda) \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_{-m\delta}, H^0(T_{\Psi}^*X, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\Psi}(w_0)}(\mu))^{\vee}) \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]].$$

Corollary 4.11. *Let $\Psi' \subset \Psi \subset \Delta^+$ be root ideals. We have*

$$K_{\lambda, \mu}^{\Psi'}(q) \leq K_{\lambda, \mu}^{\Psi}(q) \quad \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{P}^+.$$

Proof. The rational representations of $(G \times \mathbb{G}_m^{\operatorname{rot}})$ are completely reducible. Hence, $K_{\lambda, \mu}^{\Psi}(q)$ counts the graded multiplicities of $V(\lambda)$ in

$$H^0(T_{\Psi}^*X(w_0), \mathcal{O}_{X_{\Psi}(w_0)}(\mu))^{\vee}.$$

Therefore, the $w = w' = w_0$ case of Corollary 4.10 yields the assertion. \square

Remark 4.12. By Corollary 4.10, we find that the composition map

$$\operatorname{Spec} H^0(T_{\Psi}^*X, \mathcal{O}_{T_{\Psi}^*X}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} H^0(T^*X, \mathcal{O}_{T^*X}) \subset \mathfrak{sl}(n)$$

defines a closed subscheme¹ that is irreducible and reduced. Thus, it must be the closure of a nilpotent orbit that we denote by \mathbb{O}_{Ψ} .

Taking into account the fact that ϖ_n is the determinant character of G , we find that

$$\mathcal{O}_{X_{\Psi}(w_0)}(\varpi_n)|_{T_{\Psi}^*X} \cong \mathcal{O}_{T_{\Psi}^*X} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\varpi_n}$$

for each $\Psi \subset \Delta^+$.

From these, we have

$$K_{\lambda, k\varpi_n}^{\Psi'}(q) \leq K_{\lambda, k\varpi_n}^{\Psi}(q) \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda \in \mathbb{P}^+$$

if $\Psi, \Psi' \subset \Delta^+$ satisfies $\mathbb{O}_{\Psi'} \subset \overline{\mathbb{O}_{\Psi}}$ (and the equality holds when $\mathbb{O}_{\Psi'} = \mathbb{O}_{\Psi}$).

This is (a generalization of) the contents of [33, Conjecture 13] since the dominance ordering appearing there corresponds to $\mathbb{O}_{\Psi'} \subset \overline{\mathbb{O}_{\Psi}}$, and their condition $\mu = (k^n)$ corresponds to employ $\mathcal{O}_{T_{\Psi}^*X} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-k\varpi_n}$. In a similar manner, Corollary 4.11 provides a wide extension of the speculations in [33, §2.10], including [33, Conjecture 12].

Acknowledgement: This research is supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP19H01782. The author is indebted to Ben Elias and Shrawan Kumar whose comments make him possible to record [26, 30, 27, 12].

¹This feature no longer holds if we replace G with other type even if we employ an equivariant vector subbundle of $T^*(G/B)$ corresponding to the pullback of $T^*(G/P)$ for a parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$ (see e.g. [10, 28]).

References

- [1] Andrzej Białynicki-Birula. Some theorems on actions of algebraic groups. *Ann. of Math.* (2), 98:480–497, 1973.
- [2] Jonah Blasiak, Jennifer Morse, and Anna Pun. Demazure crystals and the Schur positivity of Catalan functions. *Invent. Math.*, 236:483–547, 2024.
- [3] Jonah Blasiak, Jennifer Morse, Anna Pun, and Daniel Summers. Catalan functions and k -Schur positivity. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 32(4):921–963, 2019.
- [4] Abraham Broer. Line bundles on the cotangent bundle of the flag variety. *Invent. Math.*, 113(1):1–20, 1993.
- [5] Abraham Broer. Normality of some nilpotent varieties and cohomology of line bundles on the cotangent bundle of flag variety. In *Lie theory and geometry*, volume 123 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 1–19, Boston, MA, 1994. Birkhäuser Boston.
- [6] Paola Cellini. ad -nilpotent ideals of a Borel subalgebra. *J. Algebra*, 225:130–141, 2000.
- [7] Vyjayanthi Chari and Sergei Loktev. Weyl, Demazure and fusion modules for the current algebra of \mathfrak{sl}_{r+1} . *Adv. Math.*, 207(2):928–960, 2006.
- [8] Li-Chung Chen. *Skew-Linked Partitions and a Representation-Theoretic Model for k -Schur Functions*. PhD thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 2010.
- [9] Neil Chriss and Victor Ginzburg. *Representation theory and complex geometry*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2010. Reprint of the 1997 edition.
- [10] David H. Collingwood and William M. McGovern. *Nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebras*. Van Nostrand Reinhold Mathematics Series. Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York, 1993.
- [11] G. Fourier and P. Littelmann. Weyl modules, Demazure modules, KR-modules, crystals, fusion products and limit constructions. *Adv. Math.*, 211(2):566–593, 2007.
- [12] Chuck Hague. Cohomology of flag varieties and the Brylinski-Kostant filtration. *J. Algebra*, 321(12):3790–3815, 2009.
- [13] Mark Haiman. Hilbert schemes, polygraphs and the Macdonald positivity conjecture. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 14(941–1006), 2001.
- [14] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry.*, volume 52 of *Graduate Text in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [15] Anthony Joseph. On the Demazure character formula. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 18(3):389–419, 1985.
- [16] Anthony Joseph. Modules with a Demazure flag. In *Studies in Lie theory*, volume 243 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2006.
- [17] Victor G. Kac. *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, third edition, 1990.
- [18] Syu Kato. Higher level BGG reciprocity for current algebras. arXiv:2207.07447, July 2022.
- [19] Shrawan Kumar. *Kac-Moody groups, their flag varieties and representation theory*, volume 204 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2002.
- [20] Thomas Lam. Schubert polynomials for the affine Grassmannian. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 21(4):259–281, 2006, 0603125.
- [21] Thomas Lam and Mark Shimozono. Quantum cohomology of G/P and homology of affine Grassmannian. *Acta Mathematica*, 204(1):49–90, 2010, arXiv:0705.1386v1.
- [22] L. Lapointe, A. Lascoux, and J. Morse. Tableau atoms and a new Macdonald positivity conjecture. *Duke Math. J.*, 116(1):103–146, 2003.
- [23] Robert Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in Algebraic Geometry I*. Number 48 in *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge*. Springer-Verlag, 2004.
- [24] George Lusztig. Green polynomials and singularities of unipotent classes. *Adv. in Math.*, 42(2):169–178, 1981.

- [25] I. G. Macdonald. *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, second edition, 1995. With contributions by A. Zelevinsky, Oxford Science Publications.
- [26] V.B. Mehta and Wilberd van der Kallen. A simultaneous Frobenius splitting for closures of conjugacy classes of nilpotent matrices. *Compositio Math.*, 84(2):211–221, 1992.
- [27] Ivan Mirković and Maxim Vybornov. On Quiver varieties and affine Grassmannians of type A. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 336(3):207–212, 3003.
- [28] Yoshinori Namikawa. Birational geometry of symplectic resolutions of nilpotent orbits. In Shigeru Mukai, Yoichi Miyaoka, Shigefumi Mori, Atsushi Moriwaki, and Iku Nakamura, editors, *Moduli Spaces and Arithmetic Geometry (Kyoto, 2004)*, volume 45, pages 75–116. Mathematical Society of Japan, 2006.
- [29] Katsuyuki Naoi. Weyl modules, Demazure modules and finite crystals for non-simply laced type. *Adv. in Math.*, 229(2):875–934, 2012.
- [30] Bao Chao Ngô. Faisceaux pervers, homomorphisme de changement de base et lemme fondamental de Jacquet et Ye. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 32:619–679, 1999.
- [31] Dmitri I. Panyushev. Generalised Kostka-Foulkes polynomials and cohomology of line bundles on homogeneous vector bundles. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 16(2):315–342, 2010.
- [32] Dale Peterson. Quantum cohomology of G/P . Lecture at MIT, 1997.
- [33] Mark Shimozono and Jerzy Weyman. Graded character of modules supported in the closure of a nilpotent conjugacy class. *European J. Combin.*, 21(2):257–288, 2000.
- [34] Wilberd van der Kallen. Longest weight vectors and excellent filtrations. *Math. Zeit.*, 201(no.1):19–31, 1989.