

**REALIZATIONS OF INNER AUTOMORPHISMS
OF ORDER FOUR AND FIXED POINTS SUBGROUPS
BY THEM ON THE CONNECTED COMPACT
EXCEPTIONAL LIE GROUP E_8 , PART III**

By

Toshikazu MIYASHITA

Abstract. The compact simply connected Riemannian 4-symmetric spaces were classified by J.A. Jiménez according to type of the Lie algebras. As homogeneous manifolds, these spaces are of the form G/H , where G is a connected compact simple Lie group with an automorphism $\tilde{\gamma}$ of order four on G and H is a fixed points subgroup G^γ of G . According to the classification by J.A. Jiménez, there exist seven compact simply connected Riemannian 4-symmetric spaces G/H in the case where G is of type E_8 . In the present article, we give the explicit form of automorphisms $\tilde{\omega}_4, \tilde{\kappa}_4$ and $\tilde{\varepsilon}_4$ of order four on E_8 induced by the C -linear transformations ω_4, κ_4 and ε_4 of the 248-dimensional C -vector space \mathfrak{e}_8^C , respectively. Further, we determine the structure of these fixed points subgroups $(E_8)^{\omega_4}, (E_8)^{\kappa_4}$ and $(E_8)^{\varepsilon_4}$ of E_8 . These amount to the global realizations of three spaces among seven Riemannian 4-symmetric spaces G/H above corresponding to the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus i\mathbf{R} \oplus \mathfrak{e}_6, i\mathbf{R} \oplus \mathfrak{so}(14)$ and $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus i\mathbf{R} \oplus \mathfrak{so}(12)$, where $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Lie}(H)$. With this article, the all realizations of inner automorphisms of order four and fixed points subgroups by them have been completed in E_8 .

1. Introduction

Let G be a Lie group and H a compact subgroup of G . A homogeneous space G/H with G -invariant Riemannian metric g is called a Riemannian 4-symmetric space if there exists an automorphism $\tilde{\gamma}$ of order four on G such that $(G^\gamma)_0 \subset H \subset G^\gamma$, where G^γ and $(G^\gamma)_0$ are the fixed points subgroup of G by $\tilde{\gamma}$ and its identity component, respectively.

Now, for the exceptional compact Lie group of type E_8 , as in Table below, there exist seven cases of the compact simply connected Riemannian 4-symmetric spaces which were classified by J.A. Jiménez as mentioned in abstract ([2]). Accordingly, our interest is to realize the groupification for the classification as Lie algebra.

Our results of groupification corresponding to the Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} in Table are given as follows.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C30, 53C35, 17B40.

Key words. 4-symmetric spaces, exceptional Lie groups.

Case	\mathfrak{h}	$\tilde{\gamma}$	$H = G^\gamma$
1	$\mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(10)$	$\tilde{\sigma}'_4$	$(Spin(6) \times Spin(10))/\mathbf{Z}_4$
2	$i\mathbf{R} \oplus \mathfrak{su}(8)$	\tilde{w}_4	$(U(1) \times SU(8))/\mathbf{Z}_{24}$
3	$i\mathbf{R} \oplus \mathfrak{e}_7$	\tilde{v}_4	$(U(1) \times E_7)/\mathbf{Z}_2$
4	$\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(8)$	$\tilde{\mu}_4$	$(SU(2) \times SU(8))/\mathbf{Z}_4$
5	$\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus i\mathbf{R} \oplus \mathfrak{e}_6$	$\tilde{\omega}_4$	$(SU(2) \times U(1) \times E_6)/(\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_3)$
6	$i\mathbf{R} \oplus \mathfrak{so}(14)$	$\tilde{\kappa}_4$	$(U(1) \times Spin(14))/\mathbf{Z}_4$
7	$\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus i\mathbf{R} \oplus \mathfrak{so}(12)$	$\tilde{\varepsilon}_4$	$(SU(2) \times U(1) \times Spin(12))/(\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2)$

In [3] and [4], the author has already realized the groupfication for Case 1 and Cases 2, 3, 4 in Table, respectively. In the present article, we state the realizations of the group H for Cases 5,6 and Case 7.

Finally, the author would like to say that the feature of this article is to give elementary proofs of the isomorphism of groups by using the homomorphism theorem, and the all global realizations have been completed in E_8 .

This article is a continuation of [4], hence we start from Section 7. The readers refer to [3] for preliminary results and also to [3],[4],[5] or [7] for notations. Note that we change the numbering of Case 5 and Case 6 in [3] to the numbering of Case 3 and Case 4 in the present article, respectively.

7. Case 5. The automorphism $\tilde{\omega}_4$ of order four and the group $(E_8)^{\omega_4}$

In this section (also in Section 8 and Section 9), we use the 248-dimensional C -vector space \mathfrak{e}_8^C used in Case 1 ([3]) and the simply connected compact exceptional Lie group of type E_8 constructed by T. Imai and I. Yokota ([1]).

We define a C -linear transformation ω_4 of \mathfrak{e}_8^C by

$$\omega_4(\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t) = (\iota\Phi\iota^{-1}, -\iota P, -\iota Q, r, s, t),$$

where ι on the right hand side is the C -linear transformation of \mathfrak{B}^C defined in [7, Definition of Subsection 4.10 (p.131)] (the space \mathfrak{B}^C , called the Freudenthal C -vector space, is defined in [3, Preliminaries (p.94)]). Note that ω_4 is the composition transformation of $\iota, \nu \in E_7 \subset E_8$, where ν is the C -linear transformation of \mathfrak{e}_8^C defined in [7, Definition of Subsection 5.7(p.174)]. Moreover since ι, ν are expressed as elements of E_8 by

$$\begin{aligned} \iota &= \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{4}\text{ad}(\Phi(0, 0, 0, 3), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)\right), \\ \nu &= \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{4}\text{ad}(\Phi(0, 0, 0, 6), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)\right), \end{aligned}$$

respectively and together with $[\Phi(0, 0, 0, 3), \Phi(0, 0, 0, 6)] = 0$, we have

$$\omega_4 = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{4}\text{ad}(\Phi(0, 0, 0, 9), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)\right).$$

Hence it follows from above that $\omega_4 \in E_8$ and $(\omega_4)^4 = 1$, $(\omega_4)^2 = \nu$, so that ω_4 induces the inner automorphism $\tilde{\omega}_4$ of order four on E_8 : $\tilde{\omega}_4(\alpha) = \omega_4\alpha\omega_4^{-1}$, $\alpha \in E_8$.

Now, we will study the subgroup $(E_8)^{\omega_4}$ of E_8 :

$$(E_8)^{\omega_4} = \{\alpha \in E_8 \mid \omega_4\alpha = \alpha\omega_4\}.$$

The aim of this section is to determine the structure of the group $(E_8)^{\omega_4}$. Before that, we prove the lemma and propositions needed later.

LEMMA 7.1. *The Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{e}_8)^{\omega_4}$ of the group $(E_8)^{\omega_4}$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{e}_8)^{\omega_4} &= \{\text{ad}(R) \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{e}_8) \mid \omega_4\text{ad}(R) = \text{ad}(R)\omega_4, R \in \mathfrak{e}_8\} \\ &\cong \{R \in \mathfrak{e}_8 \mid \omega_4R = R\} \\ &= \{R = (\Phi, 0, 0, r, s, -\tau s) \mid \Phi \in (\mathfrak{e}_7)^t \cong \mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{e}_6, r \in i\mathbf{R}, s \in C\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $(\mathfrak{e}_7)^t$ is the Lie algebra of the group $(E_7)^t := \{\alpha \in E_7 \mid \iota\alpha = \alpha\iota\}$.

In particular, we have $\dim((\mathfrak{e}_8)^{\omega_4}) = (1 + 78) + 1 + 2 = 82$.

PROOF. By doing straightforward computation, we can obtain the required results. The isomorphism $(\mathfrak{e}_7)^t \cong \mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{e}_6$ as Lie algebras follows from [7, Theorem 4.10.2]. \square

PROPOSITION 7.2. *The group $(E_8)^{\omega_4}$ contains the group $(E_7)^t$ which is isomorphic to the group $(U(1) \times E_6)/\mathbf{Z}_3$, $\mathbf{Z}_3 = \{(1, 1), (\omega, \phi(\omega^2)), (\omega^2, \phi(\omega))\}$: $(E_8)^{\omega_4} \supset (E_7)^t \cong (U(1) \times E_6)/\mathbf{Z}_3$, where $\omega = (-1/2) + (\sqrt{3}/2)i$.*

PROOF. Immediately, we have $(\mathfrak{e}_7)^t \subset (\mathfrak{e}_8)^{\omega_4}$ from Lemma 7.1. Moreover since both of the groups $(E_8)^{\omega_4}$ and $(E_7)^t$ are connected, we have $(E_7)^t \subset (E_8)^{\omega_4}$. However, we will prove it directly. Let $\alpha \in (E_7)^t$. Note that $-1 \in z(E_7)$ (the center of E_7), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_4\alpha(\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t) &= \omega_4(\alpha\Phi\alpha^{-1}, \alpha P, \alpha Q, r, s, t) \\ &= (\iota\alpha\Phi\alpha^{-1}\iota^{-1}, -\iota\alpha P, -\iota\alpha Q, r, s, t) \\ &= (\alpha(\iota\Phi\iota^{-1})\alpha^{-1}, \alpha(-\iota P), \alpha(-\iota Q), r, s, t) \\ &= \alpha\omega_4(\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t), \quad (\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t) \in \mathfrak{e}_8^C, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $\omega_4\alpha = \alpha\omega_4$. Hence we have $\alpha \in (E_8)^{\omega_4}$, so that the first half is proved.

As for the proof of the second half, we define a mapping $\varphi_\iota : U(1) \times E_6 \rightarrow (E_7)^t$ by

$$\varphi_\iota(\theta, \beta) = \phi(\theta)\beta,$$

where the mapping $\phi : U(1) = \{\theta \in C \mid (\tau\theta)\theta = 1\} \rightarrow E_7$ is defined by $\phi(\theta)(X, Y, \xi, \eta) = (\theta^{-1}X, \theta Y, \theta^3\xi, \theta^{-3}\eta)$, $(X, Y, \xi, \eta) \in \mathfrak{P}^C$.

Then the mapping φ_ι induces the required isomorphism (see [7, Theorem 4.10.2] in detail). \square

Let the special unitary group $SU(2) = \{A \in M(2, C) \mid (\tau^t A)A = E, \det A = 1\}$ and we define an embedding $\phi_\nu : SU(2) \rightarrow E_8$ by

$$\phi_\nu \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & -\tau b \\ b & \tau a \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tau a & b & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\tau b & a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (\tau a)a - (\tau b)b & (\tau a)(\tau b) & ab \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2(\tau a)b & (\tau a)^2 & -b^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2a(\tau b) & -(\tau b)^2 & a^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

PROPOSITION 7.3. [7, Theorem 5.7.4] *The group $(E_8)^{\omega_4}$ contains a subgroup*

$$\phi_\nu(SU(2)) = \{\phi_\nu(A) \in E_8 \mid A \in SU(2)\}$$

which is isomorphic to the group $SU(2) = \{A \in M(2, C) \mid (\tau^t A)A = E, \det A = 1\}$.

PROOF. For $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & -\tau b \\ b & \tau a \end{pmatrix} := \exp \begin{pmatrix} -i\nu & -\tau\rho \\ \rho & i\nu \end{pmatrix} \in SU(2)$, where $\begin{pmatrix} -i\nu & -\tau\rho \\ \rho & i\nu \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$, we have $\phi_\nu(A) = \exp(\text{ad}(0, 0, 0, i\nu, \rho, -\tau\rho)) \in (E_8)^{\omega_4}$ (Lemma 7.1). \square

Note that there are some errata in definition of $\varphi_3(A)$ of [7, Theorem 5.7.4].

Here we need the following result in the proof of Theorem 7.5 below.

THEOREM 7.4. ([7, Theorem 5.7.6]) *The group $(E_8)^\nu$ is isomorphic to the group $(SU(2) \times E_7)/\mathbf{Z}_2$, $\mathbf{Z}_2 = \{(E, 1), (-E, -1)\}$: $(E_8)^\nu \cong (SU(2) \times E_7)/\mathbf{Z}_2$.*

PROOF. We define a mapping $\varphi : SU(2) \times E_7 \rightarrow (E_8)^\nu$ by

$$\varphi(A, \delta) = \phi_\nu(A)\delta.$$

Then the mapping φ induces the required isomorphism. \square

Now, we determine the structure of the group $(E_8)^{\omega_4}$.

THEOREM 7.5. *The group $(E_8)^{\omega_4}$ is isomorphic to the group $(SU(2) \times U(1) \times E_6)/(\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_3)$, $\mathbf{Z}_2 = \{(E, 1, 1), (-E, -1, 1)\}$, $\mathbf{Z}_3 = \{(E, 1, 1), (E, \omega, \phi(\omega^2)), (E, \omega^2, \phi(\omega))\}$: $(E_8)^{\omega_4} \cong (SU(2) \times U(1) \times E_6)/(\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_3)$.*

PROOF. We define a mapping $\varphi_{\omega_4} : SU(2) \times U(1) \times E_6 \rightarrow (E_8)^{\omega_4}$ by

$$\varphi_{\omega_4}(A, \theta, \beta) = \phi_\nu(A)\varphi_\iota(\theta, \beta) (= \varphi(A, \varphi_\iota(\theta, \beta))),$$

where φ is defined in the proof of Theorem 7.4.

First, it is clear that φ_{ω_4} is well-defined from Propositions 7.2, 7.3 and since the mapping φ_{ω_4} is the restriction of the mapping $\varphi : SU(2) \times E_7 \rightarrow (E_8)^\nu$, φ_{ω_4} is a homomorphism.

Next, we will prove that φ_{ω_4} is surjective. Let $\alpha \in (E_8)^{\omega_4}$. From $(\omega_4)^2 = \nu$, we easily see $(E_8)^{\omega_4} \subset (E_8)^\nu$. Hence there exist $A \in SU(2)$ and $\delta \in E_7$ such that $\alpha = \varphi(A, \delta)$ (Theorem 7.4). Moreover, from the condition $\omega_4 \alpha \omega_4^{-1} = \alpha$, that is, $\omega_4 \varphi(A, \delta) \omega_4^{-1} = \varphi(A, \delta)$, we have $\varphi(A, \iota \delta \iota^{-1}) = \varphi(A, \delta)$. Indeed, note that the formula $\omega_4 \delta \omega_4^{-1} = \iota \delta \iota^{-1}$

follows from $\delta \in E_7$, so that it follows from $\omega_4 \phi_v(A) \omega_4^{-1} = \phi_v(A)$ (Proposition 7.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_4 \varphi(A, \delta) \omega_4^{-1} &= \omega_4 (\phi_v(A) \delta) \omega_4^{-1} \\ &= (\omega_4 \phi_v(A) \omega_4^{-1}) (\omega_4 \delta \omega_4^{-1}) \\ &= \phi_v(A) (\iota \delta \iota^{-1}) \\ &= \varphi(A, \iota \delta \iota^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

that is, $\varphi(A, \iota \delta \iota^{-1}) = \varphi(A, \delta)$.

Thus, since $\text{Ker} \varphi = \{(E, 1), (-E, -1)\}$ (Theorem 7.4), we have the following

$$\begin{cases} A = A \\ \iota \delta \iota^{-1} = \delta \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} A = -A \\ \iota \delta \iota^{-1} = -\delta. \end{cases}$$

In the latter case, this case is impossible because of $A \neq O$, where O is the zero matrix. In the former case, $\delta \in (E_7)^\iota$ follows from the second condition, so that there exist $\theta \in U(1)$ and $\beta \in E_6$ such that $\delta = \varphi_\iota(\theta, \beta)$ (Proposition 7.2). Hence there exist $A \in SU(2)$, $\theta \in U(1)$ and $\beta \in E_6$ such that $\alpha = \varphi(A, \varphi_\iota(\theta, \beta)) = \varphi_{\omega_4}(A, \theta, \beta)$. The proof of surjective is completed.

Finally, we will determine $\text{Ker} \varphi_{\omega_4}$. From the definition of kernel, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker} \varphi_{\omega_4} &= \{(A, \theta, \beta) \in SU(2) \times U(1) \times E_6 \mid \varphi_{\omega_4}(A, \theta, \beta) = 1\} \\ &= \{(A, \theta, \beta) \in SU(2) \times U(1) \times E_6 \mid \varphi(A, \varphi_\iota(\theta, \beta)) = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the mapping φ_{ω_4} is the restriction of the mapping φ and together with $\text{Ker} \varphi = \{(E, 1), (-E, -1)\}$ (Theorem 7.4), we will find the elements $(A, \theta, \beta) \in SU(2) \times U(1) \times E_6$ satisfying the following

$$\begin{cases} A = E \\ \varphi_\iota(\theta, \beta) = 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} A = -E \\ \varphi_\iota(\theta, \beta) = -1. \end{cases}$$

In the former case, from $\text{Ker} \varphi_\iota = \{(1, 1), (\omega, \phi(\omega^2)), (\omega^2, \phi(\omega))\}$ (Proposition 7.2), we have the following

$$\begin{cases} A = E \\ \theta = 1 \\ \beta = 1, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} A = E \\ \theta = \omega \\ \beta = \phi(\omega^2) \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} A = E \\ \theta = \omega^2 \\ \beta = \phi(\omega). \end{cases}$$

In the latter case, the second condition can be rewritten as $\phi(-\theta)\beta = 1$ from $-1 = \phi(-1)$. Hence, as in the former case, we have the following

$$\begin{cases} A = -E \\ \theta = -1 \\ \beta = 1, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} A = -E \\ \theta = -\omega \\ \beta = \phi(\omega^2) \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} A = -E \\ \theta = -\omega^2 \\ \beta = \phi(\omega). \end{cases}$$

Hence we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker } \varphi_{\omega_4} &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (E, 1, 1), (E, \omega, \phi(\omega^2)), (E, \omega^2, \phi(\omega)), \\ (-E, -1, 1), (-E, -\omega, \phi(\omega^2)), (-E, -\omega^2, \phi(\omega)) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \{(E, 1, 1), (-E, -1, 1)\} \times \{(E, 1, 1), (E, \omega, \phi(\omega^2)), (E, \omega^2, \phi(\omega))\} \\ &\cong \mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_3. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have the required isomorphism

$$(E_8)^{\omega_4} \cong (SU(2) \times U(1) \times E_6) / (\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_3)$$

□

8. Case 6. The automorphism $\tilde{\kappa}_4$ of order four and the group $(E_8)^{\kappa_4}$

In this section, our aim is to prove main theorem: $(E_8)^{\kappa_4} \cong (U(1) \times Spin(14)) / \mathbf{Z}_4$. In [5, Theorem 5.10], the author has proved that the group $(E_8^C)^{\kappa_3}$ is isomorphic to the group $(C^* \times Spin(14, C)) / \mathbf{Z}_4$ using the automorphism $\tilde{\kappa}_3$ of order three on E_8^C : $(E_8^C)^{\kappa_3} \cong (C^* \times Spin(14, C)) / \mathbf{Z}_4$, where the group $(E_8^C)^{\kappa_3}$ is defined as $(E_8^C)_0$ in [5, Theorem 5.10] and $\tilde{\kappa}_3, \tilde{\kappa}_4$ are defined later. As in the proof of [5, Theorem 5.10], using the automorphism $\tilde{\kappa}_4$ of order four on E_8^C , we first will prove that the group $(E_8^C)^{\kappa_4}$ is isomorphic to the group $(C^* \times Spin(14, C)) / \mathbf{Z}_4$ in Subsection 8.1: $(E_8^C)^{\kappa_4} \cong (C^* \times Spin(14, C)) / \mathbf{Z}_4$, and using the result above, the structure of the group $(E_8)^{\kappa_4}$ will be determined in Subsection 8.2. We often will use the results obtained and definitions in [5, Section 5.3], then note that we change several signs used in [5, Section 5.3]. For example, κ_3 in [5, Section 5.3 (p.37)] is changed to ν_3 in this section.

At the end of the preface of this section, since the content of this section is related to the subalgebra \mathfrak{g}_0 of the simple graded Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} of second kind

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2, \quad [\mathfrak{g}_k, \mathfrak{g}_l] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{k+l},$$

we will review about that. In a simple graded Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of second kind, we know that there exists $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_0$, called a characteristic element, such that each \mathfrak{g}_k is the k -eigenspace of $\text{ad } Z : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, so that

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid (\text{ad } Z)X = 0\}.$$

Here, set $\tilde{\gamma}_3 := \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{3} \text{ad } Z\right)$ as a inner automorphism of order three on \mathfrak{g} , then we have

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \tilde{\gamma}_3 X = X\} =: (\mathfrak{g})^{\gamma_3}.$$

Indeed, let $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. Then it follows from $(\text{ad } Z)X = 0$ that

$$\tilde{\gamma}_3 X = \left(\exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{3} \text{ad } Z\right) \right) X = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{2\pi i}{3} \text{ad } Z\right)^n \right) X = X.$$

Hence we have $X \in (\mathfrak{g})^{\gamma_3}$. Conversely, let $X \in (\mathfrak{g})^{\gamma_3}$. Then we easily see $X \in \mathfrak{g}_k$ for some $k \in \{-2, -1, 0, 1, 2\}$, so that $(\text{ad } Z)X = kX$ holds. Hence it follows from

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \tilde{\gamma}_3 X = \left(\exp \left(\frac{2\pi i}{3} \text{ad } Z \right) \right) X = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{2\pi i}{3} \text{ad } Z \right)^n \right) X \\ &= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{2\pi i}{3} k \right)^n \right) X = \left(\exp \left(\frac{2\pi i}{3} k \right) \right) X \end{aligned}$$

that $\exp \left(\frac{2\pi i}{3} k \right) = 1$. Thus we have $k = 3n, n \in \mathbf{Z}$, however since $|k| \leq 2$, we see $k = 0$, that is, $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. With above, $\mathfrak{g}_0 = (\mathfrak{g})^{\gamma_3}$ is proved. This result will be useful later.

8.1. The group $(E_8^C)^{\kappa_4}$

We define C -linear transformations κ_3 and κ_4 of \mathfrak{e}_8^C by

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_3(\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t) &= (\nu_3 \Phi \nu_3^{-1}, \omega^2 \nu_3 P, \omega \nu_3 Q, r, \omega s, \omega^2 t), \\ \kappa_4(\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t) &= (\nu_4 \Phi \nu_4^{-1}, -i \nu_4 P, i \nu_4 Q, r, -s, -t), \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega = (-1/2) + (\sqrt{3}/2)i$ and $\nu_3, \nu_4 \in E_7$ on the right hand side are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_3(X, Y, \xi, \eta) &= \left(\begin{pmatrix} \omega^2 \xi_1 & x_3 & \bar{x}_2 \\ \bar{x}_3 & \omega \xi_2 & \omega x_1 \\ x_2 & \omega \bar{x}_1 & \omega \xi_3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \omega \eta_1 & y_3 & \bar{y}_2 \\ \bar{y}_3 & \omega^2 \eta_2 & \omega^2 y_1 \\ y_2 & \omega^2 \bar{y}_1 & \omega^2 \eta_3 \end{pmatrix}, \omega^2 \xi, \omega \eta \right), \\ \nu_4(X, Y, \xi, \eta) &= \left(\begin{pmatrix} -i \xi_1 & x_3 & \bar{x}_2 \\ \bar{x}_3 & i \xi_2 & i x_1 \\ x_2 & i \bar{x}_1 & i \xi_3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} i \eta_1 & y_3 & \bar{y}_2 \\ \bar{y}_3 & -i \eta_2 & -i y_1 \\ y_2 & -i \bar{y}_1 & -i \eta_3 \end{pmatrix}, -i \xi, i \eta \right), (X, Y, \xi, \eta) \in \mathfrak{F}^C, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Then κ_3, κ_4 can be expressed by

$$\kappa_3 = \exp \left(\frac{2\pi i}{3} \text{ad } \kappa \right), \quad \kappa_4 = \exp \left(\frac{2\pi i}{4} \text{ad } \kappa \right),$$

respectively, where $\kappa := (\Phi(-2E_1 \vee E_1, 0, 0, -1), 0, 0, -1, 0, 0) \in \mathfrak{e}_8^C, E_1 \vee E_1 := (1/3)(2E_1 - E_2 - E_3) \sim \in \mathfrak{e}_6^C$. Besides, ν_3, ν_4 can be also expressed by

$$\nu_3 = \exp \left(\frac{2\pi i}{3} \Phi(-2E_1 \vee E_1, 0, 0, -1) \right), \quad \nu_4 = \exp \left(\frac{2\pi i}{4} \Phi(-2E_1 \vee E_1, 0, 0, -1) \right),$$

respectively. Hence it follows from above that $\kappa_3, \kappa_4 \in E_8 \subset E_8^C$ and $(\kappa_3)^3 = (\kappa_4)^4 = 1$, so that κ_3 induces the inner automorphism $\tilde{\kappa}_3$ of order three on E_8 : $\tilde{\kappa}_3(\alpha) = \kappa_3 \alpha \kappa_3^{-1}, \alpha \in E_8$ and κ_4 induces the inner automorphism $\tilde{\kappa}_4$ of order four on E_8 : $\tilde{\kappa}_4(\alpha) = \kappa_4 \alpha \kappa_4^{-1}, \alpha \in E_8$, so are on E_8^C .

Now, we will study the subgroup $(E_8^C)^{\kappa_4}$ of E_8^C :

$$(E_8^C)^{\kappa_4} = \{ \alpha \in E_8^C \mid \kappa_4 \alpha = \alpha \kappa_4 \}.$$

We prove the following lemma needed in the proof of theorem below.

LEMMA 8.1. *The Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{e}_8^C)^{k_4}$ of the group $(E_8^C)^{k_4}$ coincides with the Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{e}_8^C)^{k_3}$ of the group $(E_8^C)^{k_3}$: $(\mathfrak{e}_8^C)^{k_4} = (\mathfrak{e}_8^C)^{k_3}$.*

PROOF. By an argument similar to the proof of $\mathfrak{g}_0 = (\mathfrak{g})^{\gamma_3}$ in the beginning of this section, $\mathfrak{g}_0 = (\mathfrak{g})^{\gamma_4} := \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \tilde{\gamma}_4 X = X\}$ is proved, where $\tilde{\gamma}_4 := \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{4} \text{ad } Z\right)$. Hence, by replacing \mathfrak{g} and γ_3, γ_4 with \mathfrak{e}_8^C and κ_3, κ_4 , respectively, we obtain

$$(\mathfrak{e}_8^C)^{k_4} = \mathfrak{g}_0 = (\mathfrak{e}_8^C)^{k_3},$$

where the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_0 above is the same one obtained in [7, Theorem 5.7]. \square

Now, we determine the structure of the group $(E_8^C)^{k_4}$.

THEOREM 8.2. *The group $(E_8^C)^{k_4}$ is isomorphic to the group $(C^* \times Spin(14, C))/\mathbf{Z}_4$, $\mathbf{Z}_4 = \{(1, 1), (-1, \phi(-1)), (i, \phi(-i)), (-i, \phi(i))\}$: $(E_8^C)^{k_4} \cong (C^* \times Spin(14, C))/\mathbf{Z}_4$.*

PROOF. First, we will prove that the group $(E_8^C)^{k_4}$ coincides with the group $(E_8^C)^{k_3}$. Since E_8^C is the simply connected complex Lie group type E_8 , both of the groups $(E_8^C)^{k_4}$ and $(E_8^C)^{k_3}$ are connected ([3, Preliminaries Lemma 2.2] in [6]), moreover together with $(\mathfrak{e}_8^C)^{k_4} = (\mathfrak{e}_8^C)^{k_3}$ (Lemma 8.1), we have $(E_8^C)^{k_4} = (E_8^C)^{k_3}$.

Here, we define a mapping $\varphi : C^* \times Spin(14, C) \rightarrow (E_8^C)^{k_4}$ by the same mapping defined in the proof of [5, Theorem 5.10] as follows:

$$\varphi(a, \beta) = \phi(a)\beta,$$

where ϕ is defined in [5, Subsection 5.3 (p.45)] and $Spin(14, C)$ is constructed in [5, Proposition 5.8.7].

Therefore, from $(E_8^C)^{k_4} = (E_8^C)^{k_3}$, we have the required isomorphism

$$(E_8^C)^{k_4} \cong (C^* \times Spin(14, C))/\mathbf{Z}_4.$$

\square

8.2. The group $(E_8)^{k_4}$

In this subsection, as for the construction of $Spin(14, C)$, we will give a briefly explain based on [5, Section 5.3], and note that several signs in [5, Section 5.3] are changed as mentioned in the beginning of this section. After that, we will construct the group $Spin(14)$ in E_8 and determine the structure of the group $(E_8)^{k_4}$.

Besides, as for the embedding sequence of the exceptional compact Lie groups: $Spin(8) \subset F_4 \subset E_6 \subset E_7 \subset E_8$, see [7, Theorems 2.7.1, 3.7.1, 4.7.2, 5.7.3] in detail.

We define 14-dimensional C -vector subspaces $(\mathfrak{e}_8^C)_2, (\mathfrak{e}_8^C)_{-2}$ of \mathfrak{e}_8^C by

$$(\mathfrak{e}_8^C)_2 = \left\{ R \in \mathfrak{e}_8^C \mid (\text{ad } \kappa)R = 2R \right\} \\ = \left\{ R = (\Phi, 0, Q, 0, 0, t) \left| \begin{array}{l} \Phi = \Phi(0, 0, \varrho_1 E_1, 0), \varrho_1 \in C, \\ Q = (\xi_2 E_2 + \xi_3 E_3 + F_1(x_1), \eta_1 E_1, 0, \eta), \\ \xi_k, \eta_1, \eta \in C, x_1 \in \mathfrak{C}^C, \\ t \in C \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mathfrak{e}_8^C)_{-2} &= \{R \in \mathfrak{e}_8^C \mid (\text{ad}\kappa)R = -2R\} \\
 &= \left\{ R = (\Phi, P, 0, 0, s, 0) \left| \begin{array}{l} \Phi = \Phi(0, \nu_1 E_1, 0, 0), \nu_1 \in C, \\ P = (\xi_1 E_1, \eta_2 E_2 + \eta_3 E_3 + F_1(y_1), \xi, 0), \\ \xi_1, \eta_k, \xi \in C, y_1 \in \mathfrak{C}^C, \\ s \in C \end{array} \right. \right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

respectively, where $\kappa = (\Phi(-2E_1 \vee E_1, 0, 0, -1), 0, 0, -1, 0, 0) \in \mathfrak{e}_8^C$ is used in previous subsection .

We define a C -linear mapping $\zeta : \mathfrak{e}_8^C \rightarrow \mathfrak{e}_8^C$ by

$$\zeta(\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t) = (\zeta_1 \Phi \zeta_1^{-1}, i\zeta_1 Q, i\zeta_1 P, -r, t, s),$$

where the C -linear transformation ζ_1 of \mathfrak{B}^C on the right hand side is defined by

$$\zeta_1(X, Y, \xi, \eta) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} i\eta & x_3 & \bar{x}_2 \\ \bar{x}_3 & i\eta_3 & -iy_1 \\ x_2 & -i\bar{y}_1 & i\eta_2 \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{ccc} i\xi & y_3 & \bar{y}_2 \\ \bar{y}_3 & i\xi_3 & -ix_1 \\ y_2 & -i\bar{x}_1 & i\xi_2 \end{array} \right), i\eta_1, i\xi_1),$$

In particular, the restriction of the mapping ζ to $(\mathfrak{e}_8^C)_{-2}$ induces a mapping $(\mathfrak{e}_8^C)_{-2} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{e}_8^C)_2$, so the explicit form of its restriction mapping is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\zeta(\Phi(0, \nu_1 E_1, 0, 0), (\xi_1 E_1, \eta_2 E_2 + \eta_3 E_3 + F_1(y_1), \xi, 0), 0, 0, s, 0) \\
 &= (\Phi(0, 0, \nu_1 E_1, 0), 0, (-\eta_3 E_2 - \eta_2 E_3 + F_1(y_1), -\xi E_1, 0, -\xi_1), 0, 0, s).
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that the restriction of the mapping ζ to $(\mathfrak{e}_8^C)_2$ is also denoted by the same sign.

Moreover, we define a C -linear mapping $\delta : (\mathfrak{e}_8^C)_2 \rightarrow (\mathfrak{e}_8^C)_2$

$$\delta(\Phi(0, 0, \varrho_1 E_1, 0), 0, Q, 0, 0, t) = (\Phi(0, 0, -tE_1, 0), 0, Q, 0, 0, -\varrho_1).$$

We denote the composition mapping $\delta\zeta : (\mathfrak{e}_8^C)_{-2} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{e}_8^C)_2$ of ζ and δ by ζ_δ , then the explicit form of the mapping ζ_δ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\zeta_\delta(\Phi(0, \nu_1 E_1, 0, 0), (\xi_1 E_1, \eta_2 E_2 + \eta_3 E_3 + F_1(y_1), \xi, 0), 0, 0, s, 0) \\
 &= (\Phi(0, 0, -sE_1, 0), 0, (-\eta_3 E_2 - \eta_2 E_3 + F_1(y_1), -\xi E_1, 0, -\xi_1), 0, 0, -\nu_1),
 \end{aligned}$$

in addition, the explicit form of the inverse mapping $\zeta_\delta^{-1} : (\mathfrak{e}_8^C)_2 \rightarrow (\mathfrak{e}_8^C)_{-2}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\zeta_\delta^{-1}(\Phi(0, 0, \varrho_1 E_1, 0), 0, (\xi_2 E_2 + \xi_3 E_3 + F_1(x_1), \eta_1 E_1, 0, \eta), 0, 0, t) \\
 &= (\Phi(0, -tE_1, 0, 0), (-\eta E_1, -\xi_3 E_2 - \xi_2 E_3 + F_1(x_1), -\eta_1, 0), 0, 0, -\varrho_1, 0).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, we define a subgroup $(G_{14})^C$ of E_8^C by

$$(G_{14})^C := \{\beta \in E_8^C \mid (\text{ad}\kappa)\beta = \beta(\text{ad}\kappa), \zeta_\delta \beta R = \beta \zeta_\delta R, R \in (\mathfrak{e}_8^C)_{-2}\}.$$

Then, from [5, Proposition 5.8.7], the group $(G_{14})^C$ is isomorphic to the group $Spin(14, C)$ as the universal covering group of $SO(14, C)$:

$$(G_{14})^C \cong Spin(14, C).$$

In particular, note that $(G_{14})^C$, $(\mathfrak{e}_8^C)_{-2}$ above are denoted by G_{14} , $(V^C)^{14}$ in [5, Proposition 5.8.7], respectively.

We prove the following lemma needed below and later.

LEMMA 8.3. *The C -linear transformation $\tau\lambda_\omega$ satisfies the formula $(\text{ad}\kappa)\tau\lambda_\omega = -\tau\lambda_\omega(\text{ad}\kappa)$ and commutes with the C -linear transformation $\kappa_4: (\tau\lambda_\omega)\kappa_4 = \kappa_4(\tau\lambda_\omega)$, where $\tau\lambda_\omega$ is a composition transformation of τ and λ_ω defined in [3, Preliminaries (p.96)].*

PROOF. First, we denote $\Phi(-2E_1 \vee E_1, 0, 0, -1)$ by Φ_κ only in this lemma: $\Phi_\kappa := \Phi(-2E_1 \vee E_1, 0, 0, -1)$. Then, note that $\tau\lambda\Phi_\kappa = -\Phi_\kappa\tau\lambda$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{ad}\kappa)\tau\lambda_\omega(\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t) \\ &= \text{ad}\kappa(\tau\lambda\Phi\lambda^{-1}\tau, \tau\lambda Q, -\tau\lambda P, -\tau r, -\tau t, -\tau s) \\ &= ([\Phi_\kappa, \tau\lambda\Phi\lambda^{-1}\tau], \Phi_\kappa(\tau\lambda Q) - \tau\lambda Q, -\Phi_\kappa(\tau\lambda P) - \tau\lambda P, 0, 2\tau t, -2\tau s) \\ &= -(\tau\lambda[\Phi_\kappa, \Phi]\lambda^{-1}\tau, \tau\lambda(\Phi_\kappa Q + Q), -\tau\lambda(\Phi_\kappa P - P), 0, -2(\tau t), 2(\tau s)) \\ &= -\tau\lambda_\omega(\text{ad}\kappa)(\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t), \quad (\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t) \in \mathfrak{e}_8^C, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $(\text{ad}\kappa)\tau\lambda_\omega = -\tau\lambda_\omega(\text{ad}\kappa)$. The first half is proved.

The second half is easily proved by doing straightforward computation under the definitions of $\tau\lambda_\omega$ and κ_4 . \square

Here, in order to prove the proposition below, we use the following lemma.

LEMMA 8.4. (1) *For $R \in (\mathfrak{e}_8^C)_{-2}$, the formula $(\tau\lambda_\omega)\zeta_\delta R = \zeta_\delta^{-1}(\tau\lambda_\omega)R$ holds.*

(2) *For $\beta \in Spin(14, C)$, β satisfies the formula $\zeta_\delta^{-1}\beta R' = \beta\zeta_\delta^{-1}R'$, $R' \in (\mathfrak{e}_8^C)_2$.*

PROOF. (1) Under the definition of $\tau\lambda_\omega$ and the mappings $\zeta_\delta, \zeta_\delta^{-1}$ mentioned above, we do straightforward computation of both sides:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tau\lambda_\omega)\zeta_\delta R \\ &= (\tau\lambda_\omega)\zeta_\delta(\Phi(0, \nu_1 E_1, 0, 0), (\xi_1 E_1, \eta_2 E_2 + \eta_3 E_3 + F_1(y_1), \xi, 0), 0, 0, s, 0) \\ &= \tau\lambda_\omega(\Phi(0, 0, -sE_1, 0), 0, (-\eta_3 E_2 - \eta_2 E_3 + F_1(y_1), -\xi E_1, 0, -\xi_1), 0, 0, -\nu_1) \\ &= (\tau\lambda\Phi(0, 0, -sE_1, 0)\lambda^{-1}\tau, \tau\lambda(-\eta_3 E_2 - \eta_2 E_3 + F_1(y_1), -\xi E_1, 0, -\xi_1), 0, 0, \tau\nu_1, 0) \\ &= (\Phi(0, \tau s E_1, 0, 0), (-\tau\xi E_1, \tau\eta_3 E_2 + \tau\eta_2 E_3 - F_1(\tau y_1), -\tau\xi_1, 0), 0, 0, \tau\nu_1, 0), \\ & \zeta_\delta^{-1}(\tau\lambda_\omega)R \\ &= \zeta_\delta^{-1}(\tau\lambda\Phi(0, \nu_1 E_1, 0, 0)\lambda^{-1}\tau, 0, -\tau\lambda(\xi_1 E_1, \eta_2 E_2 + \eta_3 E_3 + F_1(y_1), \xi, 0), 0, 0, -\tau s) \\ &= \zeta_\delta^{-1}(\Phi(0, 0, -\tau\nu_1 E_1, 0), 0, (-\tau\eta_2 E_2 - \tau\eta_3 E_3 - F_1(\tau y_1), \tau\xi_1 E_1, 0, \tau\xi), 0, 0, -\tau s) \\ &= (\Phi(0, \tau s E_1, 0, 0), (-\tau\xi E_1, \tau\eta_3 E_2 + \tau\eta_2 E_3 - F_1(\tau y_1), -\tau\xi_1, 0), 0, 0, \tau\nu_1, 0). \end{aligned}$$

With above, the required formula is proved.

(2) Let $Spin(14, C)$ as the group $(G_{14})^C$. In the formula $\zeta_\delta\beta R = \beta\zeta_\delta R$, $R \in (\mathfrak{e}_8^C)_{-2}$, the required formula is proved by setting $\zeta_\delta R = R'$, $R' \in (\mathfrak{e}_8^C)_2$. \square

PROPOSITION 8.5. *The C -linear transformation $\tau\lambda_\omega$ induces the involutive inner automorphism of the group $Spin(14, C)$: $\tilde{\tau}\lambda_\omega(\beta) = (\tau\lambda_\omega)\beta(\lambda_\omega\tau)$, $\beta \in Spin(14, C)$.*

PROOF. Let $Spin(14, C)$ as the group $(G_{14})^C$. We define a mapping $g : (G_{14})^C \rightarrow (G_{14})^C$ by

$$g(\beta) = (\tau\lambda_\omega)\beta(\lambda_\omega\tau).$$

We will prove $g(\beta) \in (G_{14})^C$. First, it follows from the first half of Lemma 8.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{ad}\kappa)g(\beta) &= (\text{ad}\kappa)(\tau\lambda_\omega)\beta(\lambda_\omega\tau) \\ &= -(\tau\lambda_\omega)(\text{ad}\kappa)\beta(\lambda_\omega\tau) \\ &= -(\tau\lambda_\omega)\beta(\text{ad}\kappa)(\lambda_\omega\tau) \\ &= -(\tau\lambda_\omega)\beta(-\lambda_\omega\tau(\text{ad}\kappa)) \\ &= (\tau\lambda_\omega)\beta(\lambda_\omega\tau)(\text{ad}\kappa) \\ &= g(\beta)(\text{ad}\kappa), \end{aligned}$$

that is, $(\text{ad}\kappa)g(\beta) = g(\beta)(\text{ad}\kappa)$.

Next, as for $\zeta_\delta g(\beta)R = g(\beta)\zeta_\delta R$, $R \in (\mathfrak{e}_8^C)_{-2}$, it follows from Lemma 8.4 (1), (2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_\delta g(\beta)R &= \zeta_\delta(\tau\lambda_\omega\beta\lambda_\omega\tau)R \quad ((\tau\lambda_\omega\beta\lambda_\omega\tau)R \in (\mathfrak{e}_8^C)_{-2}) \\ &= (\tau\lambda_\omega)\zeta_\delta^{-1}(\tau\lambda_\omega)(\tau\lambda_\omega\beta\lambda_\omega\tau)R \\ &= \tau\lambda_\omega\zeta_\delta^{-1}\beta\lambda_\omega\tau R \quad (\lambda_\omega\tau R \in (\mathfrak{e}_8)_2) \\ &= \tau\lambda_\omega\beta\zeta_\delta^{-1}\lambda_\omega\tau R \\ &= \tau\lambda_\omega\beta\tau\lambda_\omega\zeta_\delta R \\ &= (\tau\lambda_\omega\beta\lambda_\omega\tau)\zeta_\delta R \\ &= g(\beta)\zeta_\delta R, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $\zeta_\delta g(\beta)R = g(\beta)\zeta_\delta R$, $R \in (\mathfrak{e}_8^C)_{-2}$.

Hence we have $g(\beta) \in (G_{14})^C$. The proof of this proposition is completed. \square

From Proposition 8.5, we can define a subgroup $(Spin(14, C))^{\tau\lambda_\omega}$ of $Spin(14, C)$:

$$(Spin(14, C))^{\tau\lambda_\omega} = \{\beta \in Spin(14, C) \mid (\tau\lambda_\omega)\beta = \beta(\tau\lambda_\omega)\}.$$

We prove the following lemma needed in the proof of theorem below .

LEMMA 8.6. *The Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{spin}(14, C))^{\tau\lambda_\omega}$ of the group $(Spin(14, C))^{\tau\lambda_\omega}$ is given by*

$$(\mathfrak{spin}(14, C))^{\tau\lambda_\omega} = \{R \in (\mathfrak{g}_{14})^C \mid (\tau\lambda_\omega)R = R\}$$

$$= \left\{ R = (\Phi, P, -\tau\lambda P, r, 0, 0) \left| \begin{array}{l} \Phi = \Phi(D + \tilde{A}(d_1)) \\ \quad + i(\tau_1 E_1 + \tau_2 E_2 + \tau_3 E_3 + F_1(t_1))^\sim, A, -\tau A, \nu), \\ D \in \mathfrak{so}(8), d_1, t_1 \in \mathfrak{C}, \\ \tau_k \in \mathbf{R}, \tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3 = 0, \\ A = \alpha_2 E_2 + \alpha_3 E_3 + F_1(a_1) \in \mathfrak{J}^C, \nu \in i\mathbf{R}, \\ P = (\rho_2 E_2 + \rho_3 E_3 + F_1(p_1), \rho_1 E_1, 0, \rho) \in \mathfrak{P}^C, \\ r \in i\mathbf{R}, \\ i\tau_1 + (2/3)\nu + 2r = 0 \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

where the Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}_{14})^C$ is defined as \mathfrak{g}_{14} in [5, Lemma 5.8.3].

In particular, we have $\dim((\mathfrak{spin}(14, C))^{\tau\lambda\omega}) = (28+16+2+20+1)+24+1-1 = 91$.

PROOF. Let $R = (\Phi(\phi, A, B, \nu), P, Q, r, 0, 0) \in (\mathfrak{g}_{14})^C$, where

$$\phi = D + \tilde{A}_1(d_1) + (\tau_1 E_1 + \tau_2 E_2 + \tau_3 E_3 + F_1(t_1))^\sim, D \in \mathfrak{so}(8, C),$$

$$d_1, t_1 \in \mathfrak{C}^C, \tau_k \in C, \tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3 = 0,$$

$$A = \alpha_2 E_2 + \alpha_3 E_3 + F_1(a_1) \in \mathfrak{J}^C, \alpha_k \in C, a_1 \in \mathfrak{C}^C,$$

$$B = \beta_2 E_2 + \beta_3 E_3 + F_1(b_1) \in \mathfrak{J}^C, \beta_k \in C, b_1 \in \mathfrak{C}^C,$$

$$\nu \in C,$$

$$P = (\rho_2 E_2 + \rho_3 E_3 + F_1(p_1), \rho_1 E_1, 0, \rho) \in \mathfrak{P}^C, \rho_k, \rho \in C, p_1 \in \mathfrak{C}^C,$$

$$Q = (\zeta_1 E_1, \zeta_2 E_2 + \zeta_3 E_3 + F_1(z_1), \zeta_1 E_1, \zeta, 0) \in \mathfrak{P}^C, \zeta_k, \zeta \in C, z_1 \in \mathfrak{C}^C,$$

$$r \in C,$$

$$\tau_1 + (2/3)\nu + 2r = 0.$$

Then it follows from

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau\lambda\omega)R &= (\tau\lambda\omega)(\Phi(\phi, A, B, \nu), P, Q, r, 0, 0) \\ &= (\tau\lambda\Phi(\phi, A, B, \nu)\lambda^{-1}\tau, \tau\lambda Q, -\tau\lambda P, -\tau r, 0, 0) \end{aligned}$$

that

$$\tau\lambda\Phi(\phi, A, B, \nu)\lambda^{-1}\tau = \Phi(\phi, A, B, \nu), \quad \tau\lambda Q = P, \quad -\tau\lambda P = Q, \quad -\tau r = r.$$

Hence, using the formula $\tau\lambda\Phi(\phi, A, B, \nu)\lambda^{-1}\tau = \Phi(-\tau^t\phi\tau, -\tau B, -\tau A, -\tau\nu)$, the required result is obtained. \square

From Lemma 8.6, we have

$$(\mathfrak{spin}(14, C))^{\tau\lambda\omega} \subset \mathfrak{e}_8 = \left\{ R = (\Phi, P, -\tau\lambda P, r, s, -\tau s) \left| \begin{array}{l} \Phi \in \mathfrak{e}_7, P \in \mathfrak{P}^C, \\ r \in i\mathbf{R}, s \in C \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Now, we construct the spinor group $Spin(14)$ in E_8 .

THEOREM 8.7. *The group $(Spin(14, C))^{\tau\lambda\omega}$ is isomorphic to the group $Spin(14)$: $(Spin(14, C))^{\tau\lambda\omega} \cong Spin(14)$.*

PROOF. First, since the group $Spin(14, C)$ is the simply connected Lie group, the group $(Spin(14, C))^{\tau\lambda\omega}$ is connected ([3, Preliminaries Lemma 2.2] in [6]). Hence, since both of the groups $(Spin(14, C))^{\tau\lambda\omega}$ and E_8 are connected and $(\mathfrak{spin}(14, C))^{\tau\lambda\omega} \subset \mathfrak{e}_8$, we confirm $(Spin(14, C))^{\tau\lambda\omega} \subset E_8$.

We define a 14-dimensional \mathbf{R} -vector space V^{14} by

$$V^{14} = \{R \in (\mathfrak{e}_8^C)_{-2} \mid (\tau\lambda\omega)\zeta_\delta R = -R\}$$

$$= \left\{ R = (\Phi(0, \nu E_1, 0, 0), (\xi E_1, \eta E_2 - \tau\eta E_3 + F_1(y), \tau\xi, 0), 0, 0, -\tau\nu, 0) \mid \begin{array}{l} \nu \in C, \\ \xi \in C, \\ \eta \in C, \\ y \in \mathfrak{C} \end{array} \right\}$$

with the norm

$$(R, R)_\zeta = \frac{1}{30} B_8(\zeta_\delta R, R) = 4(\tau\nu)\nu + (\tau\eta)\eta + y\bar{y} + (\tau\xi)\xi,$$

where B_8 is the Killing form of \mathfrak{e}_8^C (as for the Killing form B_8 , see [7, Theorem 5.3.2] in detail). Obviously, the group $(Spin(14, C))^{\tau\lambda\omega}$ acts on V^{14} .

Here, let the orthogonal group

$$O(14) = O(V^{14}) = \{\alpha \in \text{Iso}_{\mathbf{R}}(V^{14}) \mid (\alpha R, \alpha R)_\zeta = (R, R)_\zeta\}.$$

We consider the restriction $\alpha|_{V^{14}}$ of $\alpha \in (Spin(14, C))^{\tau\lambda\omega}$ to V^{14} , then we see $\alpha|_{V^{14}} \in O(14) = O(V^{14})$. Indeed, the group $(Spin(14, C))^{\tau\lambda\omega}$ acts on V^{14} , so that we have $\alpha|_{V^{14}} \in \text{Iso}_{\mathbf{R}}(V^{14})$. Moreover, for $R \in V^{14}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha|_{V^{14}} R, \alpha|_{V^{14}} R)_\zeta &= \frac{1}{30} B_8(\zeta_\delta \alpha|_{V^{14}} R, \alpha|_{V^{14}} R) \\ &= \frac{1}{30} B_8(\zeta_\delta \alpha R, \alpha R) \\ &= \frac{1}{30} B_8(\alpha \zeta_\delta R, \alpha R) \\ &= \frac{1}{30} B_8(\zeta_\delta R, R) \\ &= (R, R)_\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we can define a homomorphism $\pi : (Spin(14, C))^{\tau\lambda\omega} \rightarrow O(14) = O(V^{14})$ by

$$\pi(\alpha) = \alpha|_{V^{14}}.$$

Moreover, since the mapping π is continuous and the group $(Spin(14, C))^{\tau\lambda\omega}$ is connected, π induces a homomorphism

$$\pi : (Spin(14, C))^{\tau\lambda\omega} \rightarrow SO(14) = SO(V^{14}).$$

We will determine $\text{Ker } \pi$. First, from the definition of kernel, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker } \pi &= \{\beta \in (Spin(14, C))^{\tau\lambda\omega} \mid \pi(\beta) = 1\} \\ &= \{\beta \in (Spin(14, C))^{\tau\lambda\omega} \mid \beta|_{V^{14}} = 1\} (\subset E_8). \end{aligned}$$

Then let $\beta \in \text{Ker } \pi$. Since it follows from $(\Phi(0, -E_1, 0, 0), 0, 0, 0, 1, 0), i(\Phi(0, E_1, 0, 0), 0, 0, 0, 1, 0) \in V^{14}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\beta(\Phi(0, -E_1, 0, 0), 0, 0, 0, 1, 0) &= (\Phi(0, -E_1, 0, 0), 0, 0, 0, 1, 0), \\ \beta i(\Phi(0, E_1, 0, 0), 0, 0, 0, 1, 0) &= i(\Phi(0, E_1, 0, 0), 0, 0, 0, 1, 0),\end{aligned}$$

note that $\beta \in \text{Iso}_C(\mathfrak{e}_8^C)$, we have $\beta(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0)$. Here, if $\beta(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0)$, we have $\beta(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$. Indeed, it follows from $(\tau\lambda_\omega)\beta = \beta(\tau\lambda_\omega)$ that

$$\begin{aligned}(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1) &= \tau\lambda_\omega(0, 0, 0, 0, -1, 0) = -\tau\lambda_\omega(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0) \\ &= -(\tau\lambda_\omega)\beta(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0) = -\beta(\tau\lambda_\omega)(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0) \\ &= -\beta(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -1) \\ &= \beta(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1),\end{aligned}$$

that is, $\beta(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$. Hence we have $\beta \in E_7 \subset (E_8)_{(0,0,0,0,0,1)}$ ([7, Theorem 5.7.3]).

Moreover, since it follows from $(0, (E_1, 0, 1, 0), 0, 0, 0, 0), i(0, (-E_1, 0, 1, 0), 0, 0, 0, 0) \in V^{14}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\beta(0, (E_1, 0, 1, 0), 0, 0, 0, 0) &= (0, (E_1, 0, 1, 0), 0, 0, 0, 0) \\ \beta i(0, (-E_1, 0, 1, 0), 0, 0, 0, 0) &= i(0, (-E_1, 0, 1, 0), 0, 0, 0, 0),\end{aligned}$$

again note that $\beta \in \text{Iso}_C(\mathfrak{e}_8^C)$, we have $\beta(0, (0, 0, 1, 0), 0, 0, 0, 0) = (0, (0, 0, 1, 0), 0, 0, 0, 0)$ and $\beta(0, (E_1, 0, 0, 0), 0, 0, 0, 0) = (0, (E_1, 0, 0, 0), 0, 0, 0, 0)$, that is, $\beta(0, 0, 1, 0) = (0, 0, 1, 0)$ and $\beta E_1 = E_1$ in \mathfrak{F}^C . Hence we have $\beta \in E_6 \subset (E_7)_{(0,0,1,0)}$ ([7, Theorem 4.7.2]) and β satisfies $\beta E_1 = E_1$.

In addition, since $(0, (0, E_2 - E_3, 0, 0), 0, 0, 0, 0), (0, (0, i(E_2 + E_3), 0, 0), 0, 0, 0, 0) \in V^{14}$, β satisfies $\beta(E_2 - E_3) = E_2 - E_3$ and $\beta(E_2 + E_3) = E_2 + E_3$ in \mathfrak{F}^C by an argument similar to above, together with $\beta E_1 = E_1$ above, we have $\beta E_i = E_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, so we have $\beta \in F_4 \subset (E_6)_E$ ([7, Theorem 3.7.1]), and moreover we see $\beta \in \text{Spin}(8) \subset (F_4)_{E_1, E_2, E_3}$ ([7, Theorem 2.7.1]). Here, we denote $\beta \in \text{Spin}(8)$ by $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) \in SO(8) \times SO(8) \times SO(8)$, then since β_1 satisfies the condition $\beta_1 y = y$ for all $y \in \mathfrak{C}$, we have $\beta_1 = 1$. Hence, from the Principle of triality on $SO(8)$, we have the following

$$\beta = (1, 1, 1) = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \beta = (1, -1, -1) =: \sigma.$$

Hence we have $\text{Ker } \pi \subset \{1, \sigma\}$ and vice versa, so that $\text{Ker } \pi = \{1, \sigma\} \cong \mathbf{Z}_2$.

Finally, since the group $SO(14)$ is connected and $\text{Ker } \pi$ is discrete, together with $\dim((\mathfrak{spin}(14, C))^{\tau\lambda_\omega}) = 91 = \dim(\mathfrak{so}(14))$ (Lemma 8.6), π is surjective. Thus we have the isomorphism $(\text{Spin}(14, C))^{\tau\lambda_\omega} / \mathbf{Z}_2 \cong SO(14)$.

Therefore the group $(\text{Spin}(14, C))^{\tau\lambda_\omega}$ is isomorphic to the group $\text{Spin}(14)$ as the universal covering group of $SO(14)$:

$$(\text{Spin}(14, C))^{\tau\lambda_\omega} \cong \text{Spin}(14).$$

□

Let the mapping $\phi : C^* \rightarrow E_8^C$ defined in [5, Subsection 5.3 (p.45)]. Then we prove the following lemma needed in the proof of theorem below.

LEMMA 8.8. For $a \in C^*$, the action of $\phi(a)$ on \mathfrak{e}_8^C is given by

$$\phi(a)(\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t) = (\psi(a)\Phi\psi(a)^{-1}, a\psi(a)P, a^{-1}\psi(a)Q, r, a^2s, a^{-2}t),$$

where the action to \mathfrak{B}^C of $\psi(a) \in E_7^C$ on right hand side is defined by

$$\psi(a)(X, Y, \xi, \eta) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} a\xi_1 & x_3 & \bar{x}_2 \\ \bar{x}_3 & a^{-1}\xi_2 & a^{-1}x_1 \\ x_2 & a^{-1}\bar{x}_1 & a^{-1}\xi_3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1}\eta_1 & y_3 & \bar{y}_2 \\ \bar{y}_3 & a\eta_2 & ay_1 \\ y_2 & a\bar{y}_1 & a\eta_3 \end{pmatrix}, a\xi, a^{-1}\eta \right).$$

Moreover, we have the following formula

$$(\tau\lambda_\omega)\phi(a)(\lambda_\omega\tau) = \phi((\tau a)^{-1}).$$

PROOF. As for the first half, its proof is proved in [5, Lemma 5.9]. As for the second half, we first have $(\tau\lambda)\psi(a)(\lambda^{-1}\tau) = \psi((\tau a)^{-1})$. Indeed, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tau\lambda)\psi(a)(\lambda^{-1}\tau)(X, Y, \xi, \eta) \\ &= (\tau\lambda)\psi(a)(-\tau Y, \tau X, -\tau\eta, \tau\xi) \\ &= (\tau\lambda)\left(\begin{pmatrix} -a\tau\eta_1 & -\tau y_3 & -\tau\bar{y}_2 \\ -\tau\bar{y}_3 & -a^{-1}\tau\eta_2 & -a^{-1}\tau y_1 \\ -\tau y_2 & -a^{-1}\tau\bar{y}_1 & -a^{-1}\tau\eta_3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1}\tau\xi_1 & \tau x_3 & \tau\bar{x}_2 \\ \tau\bar{x}_3 & a\tau\xi_2 & a\tau x_1 \\ \tau x_2 & a\tau\bar{x}_1 & a\tau\xi_3 \end{pmatrix}, -a\tau\eta, a^{-1}\tau\xi \right) \\ &= \left(\begin{pmatrix} (\tau a^{-1})\xi_1 & x_3 & \bar{x}_2 \\ \bar{x}_3 & (\tau a)\xi_2 & (\tau a)x_1 \\ x_2 & (\tau a)\bar{x}_1 & (\tau a)\xi_3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} (\tau a)\eta_1 & y_3 & \bar{y}_2 \\ \bar{y}_3 & (\tau a^{-1})\eta_2 & (\tau a^{-1})y_1 \\ y_2 & (\tau a^{-1})\bar{y}_1 & (\tau a^{-1})\eta_3 \end{pmatrix}, (\tau a^{-1})\xi, (\tau a)\eta \right) \\ &= \psi((\tau a)^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using $\tau\lambda_\omega(\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t) = (\tau\lambda\Phi\lambda^{-1}\tau, \tau\lambda Q, -\tau\lambda P, -\tau r, -\tau t, -\tau s)$ and the formula $(\tau\lambda)\psi(a)(\lambda^{-1}\tau) = \psi((\tau a)^{-1})$ shown above, we can obtain the formula $(\tau\lambda_\omega)\phi(a)(\lambda_\omega\tau) = \phi((\tau a)^{-1})$ by doing straightforward computation. □

Now, we determine the structure of the group $(E_8)^{K_4}$.

THEOREM 8.9. The group $(E_8)^{K_4}$ is isomorphic to the group $(U(1) \times Spin(14))/\mathbf{Z}_4, \mathbf{Z}_4 = \{(1, 1), (-1, \phi(-1)), (i, \phi(-i)), (-i, \phi(i))\}$: $(E_8)^{K_4} \cong (U(1) \times Spin(14))/\mathbf{Z}_4$.

PROOF. Let $U(1) := \{a \in C \mid (\tau a)a = 1\} (\subset C^*)$ and $Spin(14) (\subset Spin(14, C))$ as the group $(Spin(14, C))^{\tau\lambda_\omega}$ (Proposition 8.7). Then we can define a mapping $\varphi_{K_4} : U(1) \times Spin(14) \rightarrow (E_8)^{K_4}$ by the restriction of the mapping $\varphi : C^* \times Spin(14, C) \rightarrow (E_8^C)^{K_4}$: $\varphi_{K_4}(a, \beta) = \varphi(a, \beta) = \phi(a)\beta$ (Theorem 8.2).

First, we will prove that φ_{K_4} is well-defined. It is clear $\phi(a) \in (E_8^C)^{K_4}$, so that we have $\phi(a) \in (E_8)^{K_4}$. Indeed, since a satisfies the condition $(\tau a)a = 1$, we have $(\tau\lambda_\omega)\phi(a)(\lambda_\omega\tau) = \phi(a)$ by Lemma 8.8, that is, $\phi(a) \in ((E_8^C)^{K_4})^{\tau\lambda_\omega}$, moreover it

follows from $(\tau\lambda_\omega)\kappa_4 = \kappa_4(\tau\lambda_\omega)$ (Lemma 8.3) and $(E_8^C)^{\tau\lambda_\omega} = E_8$ ([3, Preliminaries (p.96)]) that

$$\phi(a) \in ((E_8^C)^{\kappa_4})^{\tau\lambda_\omega} = ((E_8^C)^{\tau\lambda_\omega})^{\kappa_4} = (E_8)^{\kappa_4}.$$

By an argument similar to above, it follows from Lemma 8.3 that

$$\beta \in Spin(14) = (Spin(14, C))^{\tau\lambda_\omega} \subset ((E_8^C)^{\kappa_4})^{\tau\lambda_\omega} = ((E_8^C)^{\tau\lambda_\omega})^{\kappa_4} = (E_8)^{\kappa_4},$$

that is, $\beta \in (E_8)^{\kappa_4}$. Hence φ_{κ_4} is well-defined. Subsequently, we will prove that φ_{κ_4} is a homomorphism, however since φ_{κ_4} is the restriction of the mapping φ , it is clear.

Next, we will prove that φ_{κ_4} is surjective. Let $\alpha \in (E_8)^{\kappa_4} \subset (E_8^C)^{\kappa_4}$, there exist $a \in C^*$ and $\beta \in Spin(14, C)$ such that $\alpha = \varphi(a, \beta)$ (Theorem 8.2). Moreover, from the condition $(\tau\lambda_\omega)\alpha(\lambda_\omega\tau) = \alpha$, that is, $(\tau\lambda_\omega)\varphi(a, \beta)(\lambda_\omega\tau) = \varphi(a, \beta)$, we have $\varphi((\tau a)^{-1}, (\tau\lambda_\omega)\beta(\lambda_\omega\tau)) = \varphi(a, \beta)$. Indeed, it follows from Lemma 8.8 that

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau\lambda_\omega)\varphi(a, \beta)(\lambda_\omega\tau) &= (\tau\lambda_\omega)\phi(a)\beta(\lambda_\omega\tau) \\ &= (\tau\lambda_\omega)\phi(a)(\lambda_\omega\tau)(\tau\lambda_\omega)\beta(\lambda_\omega\tau) \\ &= \phi((\tau a)^{-1})(\tau\lambda_\omega)\beta(\lambda_\omega\tau) \\ &= \varphi((\tau a)^{-1}, (\tau\lambda_\omega)\beta(\lambda_\omega\tau)) \end{aligned}$$

that $\varphi((\tau a)^{-1}, (\tau\lambda_\omega)\beta(\lambda_\omega\tau)) = \varphi(a, \beta)$.

Hence, since $\text{Ker}\varphi = \{(1, 1), (-1, \phi(-1)), (i, \phi(-i)), (-i, \phi(i))\}$, we have the following

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & \begin{cases} (\tau a)^{-1} = a \\ (\tau\lambda_\omega)\beta(\lambda_\omega\tau) = \beta, \end{cases} & \text{(ii)} \quad & \begin{cases} (\tau a)^{-1} = -a \\ (\tau\lambda_\omega)\beta(\lambda_\omega\tau) = \phi(-1)\beta, \end{cases} \\ \text{(iii)} \quad & \begin{cases} (\tau a)^{-1} = ia \\ (\tau\lambda_\omega)\beta(\lambda_\omega\tau) = \phi(-i)\beta, \end{cases} & \text{(iv)} \quad & \begin{cases} (\tau a)^{-1} = -ia \\ (\tau\lambda_\omega)\beta(\lambda_\omega\tau) = \phi(i)\beta. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Case (i). From $(\tau a)^{-1} = a$, we have $a \in U(1) = \{a \in C^* \mid (\tau a)a = 1\}$. From $(\tau\lambda_\omega)\beta(\lambda_\omega\tau) = \beta$, we have $\beta \in Spin(14)$ (Theorem 8.7). Hence there exist $a \in U(1)$ and $\beta \in Spin(14)$ such that $\alpha = \varphi(a, \beta) = \varphi_{\kappa_4}(a, \beta)$.

Case (ii). From $(\tau a)^{-1} = -a$, we have $(\tau a)a = -1$. However, this case is impossible because of $(\tau a)a > 0$.

Case (iii). From $(\tau a)^{-1} = ia$, we have $(\tau a)a = i$. As in Case (ii), this case is also impossible.

Case (iv). From $(\tau a)^{-1} = -ia$, we have $(\tau a)a = -i$. As in Case (ii), this case is also impossible.

With above, the proof of surjective is completed.

Finally, we will determine $\text{Ker}\varphi_{\kappa_4}$. Since φ_{κ_4} is the restriction of the mapping φ , we have $\text{Ker}\varphi_{\kappa_4} = \text{Ker}\varphi$, that is, $\text{Ker}\varphi_{\kappa_4} = \{(1, 1), (-1, \phi(-1)), (i, \phi(-i)), (-i, \phi(i))\} \cong \mathbf{Z}_4$.

Therefore we have the required isomorphism

$$(E_8)^{\kappa_4} \cong (U(1) \times Spin(14))/\mathbf{Z}_4.$$

□

9. Case 7. The automorphism $\tilde{\varepsilon}_4$ of order four and the group $(E_8)^{\varepsilon_4}$

We define a C -linear transformations ε_4 of \mathfrak{e}_8^C by

$$\varepsilon_4(\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t) = (\nu_4 \Phi \nu_4^{-1}, -\nu_4 P, -\nu_4 Q, r, s, t),$$

where $\nu_4 \in E_7$ on the right hand side is the same one as that defined in previous section. Note that ε_4 is the composition mapping of $\nu_4, \nu \in E_7 \subset E_8$, moreover since ν_4, ν are expressed as elements of E_8 by

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_4 &= \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{4} \text{ad}(\Phi(-2E_1 \vee E_1, 0, 0, -1), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)\right), \\ \nu &= \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{4} \text{ad}(\Phi(0, 0, 0, 6), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)\right), \end{aligned}$$

respectively and together with $[\Phi(-2E_1 \vee E_1, 0, 0, -1), \Phi(0, 0, 0, 6)] = 0$, we have

$$\varepsilon_4 = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{4} \text{ad}(\Phi(-2E_1 \vee E_1, 0, 0, 5), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)\right).$$

Hence it follows from above that $\varepsilon_4 \in E_8$ and $(\varepsilon_4)^4 = 1$, so that ε_4 induces the inner automorphism $\tilde{\varepsilon}_4$ of order four on E_8 : $\tilde{\varepsilon}_4(\alpha) = \varepsilon_4 \alpha \varepsilon_4^{-1}, \alpha \in E_8$.

Now, we will study the subgroup $(E_8)^{\varepsilon_4}$ of E_8 :

$$(E_8)^{\varepsilon_4} = \{\alpha \in E_8 \mid \varepsilon_4 \alpha = \alpha \varepsilon_4\}.$$

The aim of this section is to determine the structure of the group $(E_8)^{\varepsilon_4}$. Before that, we make some preparations. First, in order to prove the proposition below, we use the following proposition and theorem.

PROPOSITION 9.1. *The group $(E_7)^{\nu_4}$ contains a group*

$$\phi_{U(1)}(U(1)) = \left\{ \phi_{U(1)}(\theta) \mid \theta \in U(1) \right\}$$

which is isomorphic to the group $U(1) = \{\theta \in C \mid (\tau\theta)\theta = 1\}$, where $\phi_{U(1)}$ is the restriction of the mapping φ_2 defined in [7, Theorem 4.11.13]. For $\theta \in U(1)$, the mapping $\phi_{U(1)}(\theta) : \mathfrak{P}^C \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}^C$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_{U(1)}(\theta)(X, Y, \xi, \eta) \\ &= \varphi_2\left(\begin{pmatrix} \theta & 0 \\ 0 & \tau\theta \end{pmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 & x_3 & \bar{x}_2 \\ \bar{x}_3 & \xi_2 & x_1 \\ x_2 & \bar{x}_1 & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 & y_3 & \bar{y}_2 \\ \bar{y}_3 & \eta_2 & y_1 \\ y_2 & \bar{y}_1 & \eta \end{pmatrix}, \xi, \eta\right) \\ &= \left(\begin{pmatrix} \theta\xi_1 & x_3 & \bar{x}_2 \\ \bar{x}_3 & (\tau\theta)\xi_2 & (\tau\theta)x_1 \\ x_2 & (\tau\theta)\bar{x}_1 & (\tau\theta)\xi_3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} (\tau\theta)\eta_1 & y_3 & \bar{y}_2 \\ \bar{y}_3 & \theta\eta_2 & \theta y_1 \\ y_2 & \theta\bar{y}_1 & \theta\eta_3 \end{pmatrix}, \theta\xi, (\tau\theta)\eta\right). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. From the definition of $\phi_{U(1)}$, it is clear $\phi_{U(1)} \in E_7$. Moreover, since ν_4 is expressed by $\phi_{U(1)}(-i): \nu_4 = \phi_{U(1)}(-i)$, it is also clear $\nu_4\phi_{U(1)}(\theta) = \phi_{U(1)}(\theta)\nu_4$. Hence we have $\phi_{U(1)}(\theta) \in (E_7)^{\nu_4}$. \square

THEOREM 9.2. ([7, Theorem 4.11.15]) *The group $(E_7)^\sigma$ is isomorphic to the group $(SU(2) \times Spin(12))/\mathbf{Z}_2$, $\mathbf{Z}_2 = \{(E, 1), (-E, -\sigma)\}$: $(E_7)^\sigma \cong (SU(2) \times Spin(12))/\mathbf{Z}_2$.*

PROOF. We define a mapping $\varphi : SU(2) \times Spin(12) \rightarrow (E_7)^\sigma$ by

$$\varphi(A, \beta) = \varphi_2(A)\beta,$$

where φ_2 is defined in [7, Theorem 4.11.13].

Then the mapping φ induces the required isomorphism. As for the \mathbf{R} -linear transformation σ of \mathfrak{B}^C , see [7, Subsection 4.11(p.133)] in detail. \square

PROPOSITION 9.3. *The group $(E_8)^{\varepsilon_4}$ contains the group $(E_7)^{\nu_4}$ which is isomorphic to the group $(U(1) \times Spin(12))/\mathbf{Z}_2$, $\mathbf{Z}_2 = \{(1, 1), (-1, -\sigma)\}$: $(E_8)^{\varepsilon_4} \supset (E_7)^{\nu_4} \cong (U(1) \times Spin(12))/\mathbf{Z}_2$.*

PROOF. Let $\alpha \in (E_7)^{\nu_4}$. Note that $-1 \in z(E_7)$ (the center of E_7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_4\alpha(\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t) &= \varepsilon_4(\alpha\Phi\alpha^{-1}, \alpha P, \alpha Q, r, s, t) \\ &= (\nu_4\alpha\Phi\alpha^{-1}\nu_4^{-1}, -\nu_4\alpha P, -\nu_4\alpha Q, r, s, t) \\ &= (\alpha(\nu_4\Phi\nu_4^{-1})\alpha^{-1}, \alpha(-\nu_4 P), \alpha(-\nu_4 Q), r, s, t) \\ &= \alpha\varepsilon_4(\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t), \quad (\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t) \in \mathfrak{e}_8^C, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $\varepsilon_4\alpha = \alpha\varepsilon_4$. Hence we have $\alpha \in (E_8)^{\varepsilon_4}$, so the first half is proved.

Next, we will move the proof of the second half. Let $U(1) = \{\theta \in C \mid (\tau\theta)\theta = 1\}$ and $Spin(12)$ be constructed in [7, Theorem 4.11.11]. We define a mapping $\varphi_{\nu_4} : U(1) \times Spin(12) \rightarrow (E_7)^{\nu_4}$ by

$$\varphi_{\nu_4}(\theta, \beta) = \phi_{U(1)}(\theta)\beta.$$

Note that φ_{ν_4} is the restriction of the mapping $\varphi : SU(2) \times Spin(12) \rightarrow (E_7)^\sigma$ defined in the proof of Theorem 9.2.

First, we will prove that φ_{ν_4} is well-defined. From Proposition 9.1, we have $\phi_{U(1)}(\theta) \in (E_7)^{\nu_4}$. Since $\varphi_2(A)$ and $\beta \in Spin(12)$ are commutative, we have $\nu_4\beta = \beta\nu_4$ because of $\nu_4 = \phi_{U(1)}(-i) = \varphi_2(\text{diag}(-i, i))$, that is, $\beta \in (E_7)^{\nu_4}$. Hence φ_{ν_4} is well-defined. Subsequently, we will prove that φ_{ν_4} is a homomorphism. However, since the mapping φ_{ν_4} is the restriction of the mapping φ , it is clear.

Next, we will prove that φ_{ν_4} is surjective. Let $\alpha \in (E_7)^{\nu_4}$. Then it follows from $(\nu_4)^2 = (\phi_{U(1)}(-i))^2 = \phi_{U(1)}(-1) = -\sigma$ that $\alpha \in (E_7)^{-\sigma} = (E_7)^\sigma$. Hence there exist $A \in SU(2)$ and $\beta \in Spin(12)$ such that $\alpha = \varphi(A, \beta)$ (Theorem 9.2). Moreover from the condition $\nu_4\alpha\nu_4^{-1} = \alpha$, that is, $\nu_4\varphi(A, \beta)\nu_4^{-1} = \varphi(A, \beta)$, using $\nu_4 = \phi_{U(1)}(-i)$ we have $\varphi\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ -c & d \end{pmatrix}, \nu_4\beta\nu_4^{-1}\right) = \varphi\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \beta\right)$ as $A := \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$.

Thus, since $\text{Ker}\varphi = \{(E, 1), (-E, -1)\}$, we have the following

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ -c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \\ v_4\beta v_4^{-1} = \beta \end{array} \right. \quad \text{or} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ -c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -a & -b \\ -c & -d \end{pmatrix} \\ v_4\beta v_4^{-1} = -\sigma\beta. \end{array} \right.$$

In the latter case, from $v_4\beta = \beta v_4$, we have $\beta = -\sigma\beta$. Hence the latter case is impossible. Indeed, if there exists $\beta \in \text{Spin}(12)$ such that $\beta = -\sigma\beta$. Then apply β^{-1} on both side of $\beta = -\sigma\beta$, we have $1 = -\sigma$. This is contradiction.

In the former case. From the first condition $\begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ -c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SU(2)$, we have

$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & \tau a \end{pmatrix}$, $(\tau a)a = 1$, that is, $a \in U(1)$, and it is trivial that $\beta \in \text{Spin}(12)$. Thus there exist $\theta \in U(1)$ and $\beta \in \text{Spin}(12)$ such that $\alpha = \varphi(\text{diag}(\theta, \tau\theta), \beta) = \varphi_{v_4}(\theta, \beta)$. With above, the proof of surjective is completed.

Finally, we will determine $\text{Ker}\varphi_{v_4}$. Since φ_{v_4} is restriction of the mapping φ , we have $\text{Ker}\varphi_{v_4} = \text{Ker}\varphi$, that is, $\text{Ker}\varphi_{v_4} = \{(1, 1), (-1, -\sigma)\} \cong \mathbf{Z}_2$.

Therefore we have the required isomorphism

$$(E_7)^{v_4} \cong (U(1) \times \text{Spin}(12))/\mathbf{Z}_2$$

□

LEMMA 9.4. (1) The Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{e}_8)^v$ of the group $(E_8)^v$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{e}_8)^v &= \{\text{ad}(R) \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{e}_8) \mid v\text{ad}(R) = \text{ad}(R)v\} \\ &\cong \{R \in \mathfrak{e}_8 \mid vR = R\} \\ &= \{R = (\Phi, 0, 0, r, s, -\tau s) \mid \Phi \in \mathfrak{e}_7, r \in i\mathbf{R}, s \in C\}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have $\dim((\mathfrak{e}_8)^v) = 133 + 1 + 2 = 136$.

(2) The Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{e}_8)^{\varepsilon_4}$ of the group $(E_8)^{\varepsilon_4}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{e}_8)^{\varepsilon_4} &= \{\text{ad}(R) \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{e}_8) \mid \varepsilon_4\text{ad}(R) = \text{ad}(R)\varepsilon_4\} \\ &\cong \{R \in \mathfrak{e}_8 \mid \varepsilon_4R = R\} \\ &= \{R = (\Phi, 0, 0, r, s, -\tau s) \mid \Phi \in (\mathfrak{e}_7)^{v_4} \cong \mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(12), r \in i\mathbf{R}, s \in C\}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have $\dim((\mathfrak{e}_8)^{\varepsilon_4}) = (1 + 66) + 1 + 2 = 70$.

PROOF. By doing straightforward computation, we can prove this lemma. The Lie-isomorphism $(\mathfrak{e}_7)^{v_4} \cong \mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(12)$ follows from the group isomorphism $(E_7)^{v_4} \cong (U(1) \times \text{Spin}(12))/\mathbf{Z}_2$ (Proposition 9.3). □

PROPOSITION 9.5. The group $(E_8)^{\varepsilon_4}$ contains a subgroup

$$\phi_v(SU(2)) = \{\phi_v(A) \in E_8 \mid A \in SU(2)\}$$

which is isomorphic to the group $SU(2) = \{A \in M(2, C) \mid (\tau^t A)A = E, \det A = 1\}$, where ϕ_v is defined in Proposition 7.3.

PROOF. For $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & -\tau b \\ b & \tau a \end{pmatrix} := \exp \begin{pmatrix} -i\nu & -\tau\rho \\ \rho & i\nu \end{pmatrix} \in SU(2)$, where $\begin{pmatrix} -i\nu & -\tau\rho \\ \rho & i\nu \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$, we have $\phi_\nu(A) = \exp(\text{ad}(0, 0, 0, i\nu, \rho, -\tau\rho)) \in (E_8)^{\varepsilon_4}$ (Lemma 9.4 (2)). \square

Now, we determine the structure of the group $(E_8)^{\varepsilon_4}$.

THEOREM 9.6. *The group $(E_8)^{\varepsilon_4}$ is isomorphic to the group $(SU(2) \times U(1) \times Spin(12)) / (\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2)$, $\mathbf{Z}_2 = \{(E, 1, 1), (E, -1, -\sigma)\}$, $\mathbf{Z}_2 = \{(E, 1, 1), (-E, 1, -1)\}$: $(E_8)^{\varepsilon_4} \cong (SU(2) \times U(1) \times Spin(12)) / (\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2)$.*

PROOF. We define a mapping $\varphi_{\varepsilon_4} : SU(2) \times U(1) \times Spin(12) \rightarrow (E_8)^{\varepsilon_4}$ by

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon_4}(A, \theta, \beta) = \phi_\nu(A) \varphi_{\nu_4}(\theta, \beta) (= \varphi(A, \varphi_{\nu_4}(\theta, \beta))).$$

Note that this mapping is the restriction of the mapping $\varphi : SU(2) \times E_7 \rightarrow (E_8)^\nu$ defined in the proof of Theorem 7.4.

First, we will prove that φ_{ε_4} is well-defined. However, from Propositions 9.3, 9.5, it is clear that φ_{ε_4} is well-defined. Subsequently, we will prove that φ_{ε_4} is a homomorphism. Since the mapping φ_{ε_4} is the restriction of the mapping φ and φ_{ν_4} is a homomorphism (Proposition 9.3), φ_{ε_4} is a homomorphism.

Next, we will prove that φ_{ε_4} is surjective. Let $\alpha \in (E_8)^{\varepsilon_4}$. Then, since the group E_8 is the simply connected Lie group, both of the groups $(E_8)^{\varepsilon_4}$ and $(E_8)^\nu$ are connected ([3, Preliminaries Lemma 2.2] in [6]), together with $(\mathfrak{e}_8)^{\varepsilon_4} \subset (\mathfrak{e}_8)^\nu$ (Lemma 9.4 (1), (2)), we have $\alpha \in (E_8)^{\varepsilon_4} \subset (E_8)^\nu$. Hence there exist $A \in SU(2)$ and $\delta \in E_7$ such that $\alpha = \varphi(A, \delta)$ (Theorem 7.4). Moreover, from the condition $\varepsilon_4 \alpha \varepsilon_4^{-1} = \alpha$, that is, $\varepsilon_4 \varphi(A, \delta) \varepsilon_4^{-1} = \varphi(A, \delta)$, we have $\varphi(A, \nu_4 \delta \nu_4^{-1}) = \varphi(A, \delta)$. Indeed, from $\varepsilon_4(0, 0, 0, i\nu, \rho, -\tau\rho) = (0, 0, 0, i\nu, \rho, -\tau\rho)$ and $\phi_\nu(A) = \exp(\text{ad}(0, 0, 0, i\nu, \rho, -\tau\rho))$, we have $\varepsilon_4 \phi_\nu(A) \varepsilon_4^{-1} = \phi_\nu(A)$ by a computation similar to that in the proof of Theorem 7.5. In addition, $\varepsilon_4 \delta \varepsilon_4^{-1} = \nu_4 \delta \nu_4^{-1}$ follows from $\delta \in E_7$. Hence it follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_4 \varphi(A, \delta) \varepsilon_4^{-1} &= \varepsilon_4 (\phi_\nu(A) \delta) \varepsilon_4^{-1} \\ &= (\varepsilon_4 \phi_\nu(A) \varepsilon_4^{-1}) (\varepsilon_4 \delta \varepsilon_4^{-1}) \\ &= \phi_\nu(A) (\nu_4 \delta \nu_4^{-1}) \\ &= \varphi(A, \nu_4 \delta \nu_4^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

that $\varphi(A, \nu_4 \delta \nu_4^{-1}) = \varphi(A, \delta)$.

Thus, since $\text{Ker} \varphi = \{(E, 1), (-E, -1)\}$, we have the following

$$\begin{cases} A = A \\ \nu_4 \delta \nu_4^{-1} = \delta \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} A = -A \\ \nu_4 \delta \nu_4^{-1} = -\delta. \end{cases}$$

In the latter case, this case is impossible because of $A \neq O$, where O is the zero matrix. In the former case, $\delta \in (E_7)^{\nu_4}$ follows from the second condition, so that there exist $\theta \in U(1)$ and $\beta \in Spin(12)$ such that $\delta = \varphi_{\nu_4}(\theta, \beta)$ (Proposition 9.3). Hence there

exist $A \in SU(2)$, $\theta \in U(1)$ and $\beta \in Spin(12)$ such that $\alpha = \varphi(A, \theta, \varphi_{v_4}(\theta, \beta)) = \varphi_{\varepsilon_4}(A, \theta, \beta)$. The proof of surjective is completed.

Finally, we will determine $\text{Ker } \varphi_{\varepsilon_4}$. From the definition of kernel, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker } \varphi_{\varepsilon_4} &= \{(A, \theta, \beta) \in SU(2) \times U(1) \times Spin(12) \mid \varphi_{\varepsilon_4}(A, \theta, \beta) = 1\} \\ &= \{(A, \theta, \beta) \in SU(2) \times U(1) \times Spin(12) \mid \varphi(A, \varphi_{v_4}(\theta, \beta)) = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the mapping φ_{ε_4} is the restriction of the mapping φ and together with $\text{Ker } \varphi = \{(E, 1), (-E, -1)\}$ (Theorem 7.4), we will find the elements $(A, \theta, \beta) \in SU(2) \times U(1) \times Spin(12)$ satisfying the following

$$\begin{cases} A = E \\ \varphi_{v_4}(\theta, \beta) = 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} A = -E \\ \varphi_{v_4}(\theta, \beta) = -1. \end{cases}$$

In the former case, from $\text{Ker } \varphi_{v_4} = \{(1, 1), (-1, -\sigma)\}$ (Proposition 9.3), we have the following

$$\begin{cases} A = E \\ \theta = 1 \\ \beta = 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} A = E \\ \theta = -1 \\ \beta = -\sigma. \end{cases}$$

In the latter case, the second condition can be rewritten as $\varphi_{v_4}(\theta, -\beta) = 1$ from $-1 \in z(E_7)$, moreover $-\beta \in Spin(12)$. Hence, as in the former case, we have the following

$$\begin{cases} A = -E \\ \theta = 1 \\ \beta = -1 \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} A = -E \\ \theta = -1 \\ \beta = \sigma. \end{cases}$$

Hence we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker } \varphi_{\varepsilon_4} &= \{(E, 1, 1), (E, -1, -\sigma), (-E, 1, -1), (-E, -1, \sigma)\} \\ &= \{(E, 1, 1), (E, -1, -\sigma)\} \times \{(E, 1, 1), (-E, 1, -1)\} \\ &\cong \mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have the required isomorphism

$$(E_8)^{\varepsilon_4} \cong (SU(2) \times U(1) \times Spin(12)) / (\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2).$$

□

References

- [1] T. Imai and I. Yokota, Simply connected compact simple Lie group $E_{8(-248)}$ of type E_8 , J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 21(1981), 741-762.
- [2] J.A. Jiménez, Riemannian 4-symmetric spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 306 (1988), 715-734.
- [3] T. Miyashita, Realizations of inner automorphisms of order 4 and fixed points subgroups by them on the connected compact exceptional Lie group E_8 , Part I, Tsukuba J. Math. 41-1(2017), 91-166.

- [4] T. Miyashita, Realizations of inner automorphisms of order four and fixed points subgroups by them on the connected compact exceptional Lie group E_8 , Part II, Tsukuba J. Math. 43-1(2019), 1-22.
- [5] T. Miyashita and I. Yokota, 2-graded decompositions of exceptional Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} and group realizations of $\mathfrak{g}_{e\nu}$, \mathfrak{g}_0 , Part III, $G = E_8$, Japanese J. Math. 26-1(2000), 31-50.
- [6] Raševskii, P.K., A theorem on the connectedness of a subgroup of a simply connected Lie group commuting with any of its automorphisms, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc, 30 (1974), 3-22.
- [7] I. Yokota, Exceptional Lie groups, arXiv:math/0902.0431v1[mathDG](2009).

1365-3 BESSHO ONSEN
UEDA CITY
NAGANO PREFECTURE 386-1431
JAPAN
Email address: anarchybin@gmail.com