

# THE FINITE HILBERT TRANSFORM ACTING IN THE ZYGMUND SPACE $L\log L$

GUILLERMO P. CURBERA, SUSUMU OKADA, AND WERNER J. RICKER

ABSTRACT. The finite Hilbert transform  $T$  is a singular integral operator which maps the Zygmund space  $L\log L := L\log L(-1, 1)$  continuously into  $L^1 := L^1(-1, 1)$ . By extending the Parseval and Poincaré-Bertrand formulae to this setting, it is possible to establish an inversion result needed for solving the airfoil equation  $T(f) = g$  whenever the data function  $g$  lies in the range of  $T$  within  $L^1$  (shown to contain  $L(\log L)^2$ ). Until now this was only known for  $g$  belonging to the union of all  $L^p$  spaces with  $p > 1$ . It is established (due to a result of Stein) that  $T$  cannot be extended to any domain space beyond  $L\log L$  whilst still taking its values in  $L^1$ , i.e.,  $T: L\log L \rightarrow L^1$  is optimally defined.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The finite Hilbert transform  $T(f)$  of  $f \in L^1 := L^1(-1, 1)$  is the principal value integral

$$(1.1) \quad T(f)(t) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \int_{-1}^{t-\varepsilon} + \int_{t+\varepsilon}^1 \right) \frac{f(x)}{x-t} dx,$$

which exists for a.e.  $t \in (-1, 1)$  and is a measurable function. It has important applications to aerodynamics and elasticity via the airfoil equation

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \text{p.v.} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(x)}{x-t} dx = g(t), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in (-1, 1),$$

[2], [26], [29], [32], and to problems arising in image reconstruction; see, for example, [17], [30]. We also mention [9], [12], [13], [14], [24], where one-dimensional singular integral operators closely related to the finite Hilbert transform are studied in-depth.

In [18, Ch.11], [27], [32, §4.3] a detailed investigation of the finite Hilbert transform was carried out for  $T$  acting in the spaces  $L^p := L^p(-1, 1)$  whenever  $1 < p < \infty$ . In [4], [5], [6], [7] a study of  $T$  was undertaken when it acts on rearrangement invariant (r.i.) spaces  $X$  on  $(-1, 1)$  having non-trivial Boyd indices  $0 < \underline{\alpha}_X \leq \bar{\alpha}_X < 1$ . This larger class of spaces provides a natural setting for a further study of  $T$  beyond the  $L^p$ -spaces, as is exemplified by two facts. First, that  $T: X \rightarrow X$  is injective if and only if  $L^{2,\infty} \not\subseteq X$  and second, that  $T: X \rightarrow X$  has a non-dense range if and only if  $X \subseteq L^{2,1}$  (for  $X$  separable). Here  $L^{2,1}$  and  $L^{2,\infty}$  are the usual Lorentz spaces, which are r.i. spaces but not  $L^p$ -spaces.

---

*Date:* Tuesday 20<sup>th</sup> December, 2022.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 44A15, 46E30; Secondary 47A53, 47B34.

*Key words and phrases.* Finite Hilbert transform, airfoil equation, Zygmund space  $L\log L$ .

In all investigations so far  $T$  is always considered as a linear operator acting from a r.i. space into *itself*. The point of departure of this paper is to consider  $T$  acting in the classical Zygmund space  $L\log L := L\log L(-1, 1)$ . This is a r.i. space on  $(-1, 1)$  close to  $L^1$  in the sense that  $L^p \subseteq L\log L$  for all  $1 < p < \infty$ , which implies that  $X \subseteq L\log L$  for all r.i. spaces  $X$  with  $0 < \underline{\alpha}_X \leq \bar{\alpha}_X < 1$ . But, the Boyd indices of  $L\log L$  are trivial (both equal 1) and so  $T$  *cannot* map  $L\log L$  into itself; see Section 2. However, it turns out that  $T$  *does* map  $L\log L$  continuously into the strictly larger space  $L^1$  (cf. Theorem 2.1). The aim of this paper is to make an in-depth study of the operator  $T: L\log L \rightarrow L^1$ .

Section 2 is mainly devoted to presenting some preliminary facts concerning Banach function spaces, r.i. spaces and their Boyd indices, the Zygmund space  $L\log L$ , the finite Hilbert transform  $T$  and its action in  $L\log L$ .

Fundamental tools for studying  $T$  are the Parseval formula

$$\int_{-1}^1 fT(g) = - \int_{-1}^1 gT(f),$$

and the Poincaré-Bertrand (or the Hardy-Poincaré-Bertrand, [18, p.57]) formula

$$T(gT(f) + fT(g)) = (T(f))(T(g)) - fg, \quad \text{a.e.},$$

for suitable pairs of functions  $f, g$ . Tricomi, [32, §4.3 (2), (4)], and Love, [22, Corollary], showed that these formulae hold whenever  $f \in L^p$  and  $g \in L^{p'}$ , with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ . The validity of these formulae was extended to the setting of r.i. spaces  $X$ , showing that they hold for all  $f$  in  $X$  and  $g$  in the associate space of  $X$ , provided that  $0 < \underline{\alpha}_X \leq \bar{\alpha}_X < 1$ , [4, Proposition 3.1]. In Section 3 suitable extensions of these formulae, beyond the class  $\bigcup_{1 < p < \infty} L^p = \bigcup_{0 < \underline{\alpha}_X \leq \bar{\alpha}_X < 1} X$  and needed in this paper for the space  $L\log L$ , are established in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2. These theorems provide the means to determine the kernel of the operator  $T: L\log L \rightarrow L^1$ . The arcsine distribution  $x \mapsto 1/\sqrt{1-x^2}$ , for  $x \in (-1, 1)$ , plays an important role in the study of  $T$ . The reason is that

$$T\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}\right)(t) = \text{p.v.} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}(x-t)} dx = 0, \quad t \in (-1, 1),$$

and, moreover, as proved by Tricomi, if  $T(f)(t) = 0$  for a.e.  $t \in (-1, 1)$  with  $f \in \bigcup_{1 < p < \infty} L^p$ , then necessarily  $f$  is a constant multiple of  $1/\sqrt{1-x^2}$ , [32, §4.3 (14)]. For a recent extension of this result we refer to [3]; also [15] is related to this extension. Tricomi's result is extended by showing that the kernel of  $T: L\log L \rightarrow L^1$  is the one-dimensional space spanned by  $1/\sqrt{1-x^2}$  (cf. Theorem 3.4).

As alluded to above, the arcsine distribution pervades the entire theory of the finite Hilbert transform. For instance, it occurs in the definition of an important auxiliary operator  $\widehat{T}$ , given by

$$\widehat{T}(g)(x) := \frac{-1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} T(\sqrt{1-t^2} g(t))(x), \quad \text{a.e. } x \in (-1, 1),$$

which plays a central role in considerations of the inversion of  $T$  in the  $L^p$ -spaces, for  $1 < p < 2$ , where it is known that  $\widehat{T}$  is bounded from  $L^p$  into itself. In Section 4,

via extrapolation theorems of Yano, it is shown that both  $T: L(\log L)^{1+\beta} \rightarrow L(\log L)^\beta$  and  $\widehat{T}: L(\log L)^{1+\beta} \rightarrow L(\log L)^\beta$  are bounded operators for all  $\beta \geq 0$  (cf. Propositions 4.4 and 4.5, respectively). Various features connecting  $T$  and  $\widehat{T}$  in the space  $L\log L$  are presented in Theorem 4.10. In Corollary 4.11 a description of the range space  $T(L\log L)$  is given. Both of these results are crucial for establishing an inversion formula needed for solving the airfoil equation. Tricomi studied the solution of this equation via the Poincaré-Bertrand formula and proved an inversion formula within the class of  $L^p$ -spaces for  $1 < p < \infty$  with  $p \neq 2$ , [18, Ch.11], [27], [32, §4.3 (10)–(12)]. The solution of the airfoil equation was extended to  $T: X \rightarrow X$ , with  $X$  in the larger class of all r.i. spaces whose Boyd indices satisfy  $0 < \underline{\alpha}_X \leq \overline{\alpha}_X < 1/2$  or  $1/2 < \underline{\alpha}_X \leq \overline{\alpha}_X < 1$ , [4, Corollary 3.5]. In the former case,  $T: X \rightarrow X$  is injective and has a closed range with co-dimension 1 and an explicit formula for the range is possible, [4, Theorem 3.3], while in the latter case  $T: X \rightarrow X$  has a one-dimensional kernel and is surjective, [4, Theorem 3.2]. The solution of the airfoil equation  $T(f) = g$ , where  $g$  is a given function in the range of  $T: L\log L \rightarrow L^1$  and  $f \in L\log L$  is to be found, is presented in Theorem 4.14. Note that the range space  $T(L\log L)$  contains  $L(\log L)^2$  (cf. Proposition 4.12(ii)), which properly contains the union of all r.i. spaces  $X$  with non-trivial Boyd indices. Subtleties concerning various properties of the range space  $T(L\log L) \subseteq L^1$  are exposed in Proposition 4.12.

Let  $\mathbb{T}$  denote the circle group,  $F: L^1(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow c_0(\mathbb{Z})$  be the Fourier transform and  $2 \leq p' \leq \infty$ . The following question was raised by R. E. Edwards. *What can be said about the family  $[F, \ell^{p'}(\mathbb{Z})]$  consisting of all functions  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$  having the property that  $F(f\chi_A) \in \ell^{p'}(\mathbb{Z})$  for all Borel sets  $A \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ ?* [11, p.206]. The requirement that  $F(f\chi_A) \in \ell^{p'}(\mathbb{Z})$  for all Borel sets  $A \subseteq \mathbb{T}$  distinguishes  $[F, \ell^{p'}(\mathbb{Z})]$  from the linear space  $F^{-1}(\ell^{p'}(\mathbb{Z}))$ . Indeed, it has the consequence that  $[F, \ell^{p'}(\mathbb{Z})]$  is an *ideal* of functions in  $L^1(\mathbb{T})$ . The Hausdorff-Young inequality ensures that  $L^p(\mathbb{T}) \subseteq [F, \ell^{p'}(\mathbb{Z})]$ . The precise identification of  $[F, \ell^{p'}(\mathbb{Z})]$  is given in [25], where it is shown that  $L^p(\mathbb{T}) \subsetneq [F, \ell^{p'}(\mathbb{Z})]$ , that is, the Fourier transform operator  $F: L^p(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \ell^{p'}(\mathbb{Z})$  is *not* optimally defined but has an extension to the genuinely larger Banach function space  $[F, \ell^{p'}(\mathbb{Z})]$  and this space is optimal; no further extension of  $F$  (with values still in  $\ell^{p'}(\mathbb{Z})$ ) is possible. Given a r.i. space  $X$  over  $(-1, 1)$ , the same problem as above arises. *Identify, if possible, the family  $[T, X]$  of all functions  $f \in L^1$  such that  $T(f\chi_A) \in X$  for all Borel sets  $A \subseteq (-1, 1)$ .* Whenever  $X$  has non-trivial Boyd indices this was achieved in [4], [6], where it was shown that  $[T, X] = X$ , that is,  $T: X \rightarrow X$  is already optimally defined. With  $[T, L^1]$  denoting the space of all functions  $f \in L^1$  such that  $T(f\chi_A) \in L^1$  for all Borel sets  $A \subseteq (-1, 1)$ , it is clear that  $X \subseteq [T, L^1]$  for every r.i. space with non-trivial indices. In Section 5 (due to a result of Stein) we identify  $[T, L^1]$  precisely as the classical Zygmund space  $L\log L$ ; see Theorem 5.6. Consequently, the continuous linear operator  $T: L\log L \rightarrow L^1$  is optimally defined. Noticeable properties of  $T$  needed to establish Theorem 5.6, some giving equivalent conditions for a function to belong to  $L\log L$  in terms of  $T$ , are derived in Proposition 5.1.

In order to keep the presentation of the main results as transparent as possible we have placed some technical results in the final Section 6 (an Appendix).

The first author acknowledges the support of PID2021-124332NB-C21 FEDER/Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación and FQM-262 (Spain).

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

The setting of this paper is the measure space  $(-1, 1)$  equipped with its Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  and Lebesgue measure  $|\cdot|$  (restricted to  $\mathcal{B}$ ). Denote by  $L^0 := L^0(-1, 1)$  the space (of equivalence classes) of all  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued measurable functions, endowed with the topology of convergence in measure. The space  $L^p(-1, 1)$  is denoted simply by  $L^p$ , for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .

A *Banach function space* (B.f.s.)  $X$  on  $(-1, 1)$  is a Banach space  $X \subseteq L^0$  satisfying the ideal property, that is,  $g \in X$  and  $\|g\|_X \leq \|f\|_X$  whenever  $f \in X$  and  $|g| \leq |f|$  a.e. The associate space  $X'$  of  $X$  consists of all functions  $g$  satisfying  $\int_{-1}^1 |fg| < \infty$ , for every  $f \in X$ , equipped with the norm  $\|g\|_{X'} := \sup\{\int_{-1}^1 fg : \|f\|_X \leq 1\}$ . The space  $X'$  is a closed subspace of the dual Banach space  $X^*$  of  $X$ . If  $f \in X$  and  $g \in X'$ , then  $fg \in L^1$  and  $\|fg\|_{L^1} \leq \|f\|_X \|g\|_{X'}$ , i.e., Hölder's inequality is available. The second associate space  $X''$  of  $X$  is defined as  $X'' = (X')'$ . The norm in  $X$  is called absolutely continuous if, for every  $f \in X$ , we have  $\|f\chi_A\|_X \rightarrow 0$  whenever  $|A| \rightarrow 0$ . The space  $X$  satisfies the Fatou property if, whenever  $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq X$  satisfies  $0 \leq f_n \leq f_{n+1} \uparrow f$  a.e. with  $\sup_n \|f_n\|_X < \infty$ , then  $f \in X$  and  $\|f_n\|_X \rightarrow \|f\|_X$ . In this paper *all* B.f.s.'  $X$ , as in [1], are assumed to satisfy the Fatou property. In this case  $X'' = X$  and hence,  $f \in X$  if and only if  $\int_{-1}^1 |fg| < \infty$ , for every  $g \in X'$ . Moreover,  $X'$  is a norm-fundamental subspace of  $X^*$ , that is,  $\|f\|_X = \sup_{\|g\|_{X'} \leq 1} |\int_{-1}^1 fg|$  for  $f \in X$ . If  $X$  is separable, then  $X' = X^*$ .

A *rearrangement invariant* (r.i.) space  $X$  on  $(-1, 1)$  is a B.f.s. such that if  $g^* \leq f^*$  with  $f \in X$ , then  $g \in X$  and  $\|g\|_X \leq \|f\|_X$ . Here  $f^* : [0, 2] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is the decreasing rearrangement of  $f$ , that is, the right continuous inverse of its distribution function  $\lambda \mapsto |\{t \in (-1, 1) : |f(t)| > \lambda\}|$  for  $\lambda \geq 0$ . The associate space  $X'$  of a r.i. space  $X$  is again a r.i. space. Every r.i. space on  $(-1, 1)$  satisfies  $L^\infty \subseteq X \subseteq L^1$ .

The family of r.i. spaces includes many classical spaces appearing in analysis, such as the Lorentz  $L^{p,q}$  spaces, [1, Definition IV.4.1], Orlicz  $L^\varphi$  spaces [1, §4.8], Marcinkiewicz  $M_\varphi$  spaces, [1, Definition II.5.7], Lorentz  $\Lambda_\varphi$  spaces, [1, Definition II.5.12], and the Zygmund  $L^p(\log L)^\alpha$  spaces, [1, Definition IV.6.11]. In particular,  $L^p = L^{p,p}$ , for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . The space weak- $L^1$ , denoted by  $L^{1,\infty} := L^{1,\infty}(-1, 1)$ , will play an important role; it is a quasi-Banach space and satisfies  $L^1 \subseteq L^{1,\infty} \subseteq L^0$ , with both inclusions continuous.

The Zygmund space  $L \log L := L \log L(-1, 1)$  consists of all measurable functions  $f$  on  $(-1, 1)$  for which either one of the following two equivalent conditions hold:

$$\int_{-1}^1 |f(x)| \log^+ |f(x)| dx < \infty, \quad \int_0^2 f^*(t) \log\left(\frac{2e}{t}\right) dt < \infty,$$

see [1, Definition IV.6.1 and Lemma IV.6.2]. The space  $L\log L$  is r.i. with absolutely continuous norm (cf. [1, p.247]) given by

$$\|f\|_{L\log L} := \int_0^2 f^*(t) \log\left(\frac{2e}{t}\right) dt, \quad f \in L\log L.$$

It follows that  $L^p \subseteq L\log L$  for all  $1 < p < \infty$ . The associate space of  $L\log L$  is the space  $L_{\text{exp}}$  consisting of all measurable functions  $f$  on  $(-1, 1)$  having exponential integrability; see [1, Definition IV.6.1]. The separability of  $L\log L$  implies that  $(L\log L)^* = (L\log L)' = L_{\text{exp}}$ .

We will also require the family of spaces  $L(\log L)^\alpha$  for  $\alpha > 1$ , consisting of all measurable functions  $f$  on  $(-1, 1)$  for which either one of the following two equivalent conditions hold:

$$\int_{-1}^1 |f(x)|(\log(2 + |f(x)|))^\alpha dx < \infty, \quad \int_0^2 f^*(t) \log^\alpha\left(\frac{2e}{t}\right) dt < \infty.$$

The space  $L(\log L)^\alpha$  is r.i. with absolutely continuous norm given by

$$\|f\|_{L(\log L)^\alpha} := \int_0^2 f^*(t) \log^\alpha\left(\frac{2e}{t}\right) dt, \quad f \in L(\log L)^\alpha;$$

see [1, Definition IV.6.11 and Lemma IV.6.12]. The following inclusions hold:

$$L^p \subseteq L(\log L)^\beta \subseteq L(\log L)^\alpha \subseteq L\log L, \quad 1 < p, 1 < \alpha < \beta.$$

Given a r.i. space  $X$  on  $(-1, 1)$ , the Luxemburg representation theorem ensures that there exists a r.i. space  $\tilde{X}$  on  $(0, 2)$  such that  $\|f\|_X = \|f^*\|_{\tilde{X}}$  for  $f \in X$ , [1, Theorem II.4.10]. The dilation operator  $E_t$  for  $t > 0$  is defined, for each  $f \in \tilde{X}$ , by  $E_t(f)(s) := f(st)$  for  $0 \leq s \leq \min\{2, 1/t\}$  and zero for  $\min\{2, 1/t\} < s \leq 2$ . The operator  $E_t: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  is bounded with  $\|E_{1/t}\|_{\tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}} \leq \max\{t, 1\}$ , [1, Theorem III.5.11]. The *lower* and *upper Boyd indices* of  $X$  are defined, respectively, by

$$\underline{\alpha}_X := \sup_{0 < t < 1} \frac{\log \|E_{1/t}\|_{\tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}}}{\log t} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\alpha}_X := \inf_{1 < t < \infty} \frac{\log \|E_{1/t}\|_{\tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}}}{\log t};$$

see [1, Definition III.5.12]. They satisfy  $0 \leq \underline{\alpha}_X \leq \bar{\alpha}_X \leq 1$ . Note that  $\underline{\alpha}_{L^p} = \bar{\alpha}_{L^p} = 1/p$  for all  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and  $\underline{\alpha}_{L\log L} = \bar{\alpha}_{L\log L} = 1$ , [1, Theorem IV.6.5].

For a r.i. space  $X$ , the boundedness of the finite Hilbert transform  $T: X \rightarrow X$  (which is indicated by simply writing  $T_X$ ) is equivalent to  $X$  having non-trivial Boyd indices, that is,  $0 < \underline{\alpha}_X \leq \bar{\alpha}_X < 1$ , see [20, pp.170–171]. Since  $\underline{\alpha}_{X'} = 1 - \bar{\alpha}_X$  and  $\bar{\alpha}_{X'} = 1 - \underline{\alpha}_X$ , the condition  $0 < \underline{\alpha}_X \leq \bar{\alpha}_X < 1$  implies that  $0 < \underline{\alpha}_{X'} \leq \bar{\alpha}_{X'} < 1$ . Hence,  $T_{X'}: X' \rightarrow X'$  is also bounded. It follows from the Parseval formula for  $T_X$ , (cf. [4, Proposition 3.1(b)]) that the restriction of the dual operator  $T_X^*: X^* \rightarrow X^*$  of  $T_X$  to the closed subspace  $X'$  of  $X^*$  is precisely  $-T_{X'}: X' \rightarrow X'$ .

The operator  $T$  is not continuous on  $L^1$ . However, due to a result of Kolmogorov  $T: L^1 \rightarrow L^{1,\infty}$  is continuous, [1, Theorem III.4.9(b)]. The following result is central to this paper.

**Theorem 2.1.** *The finite Hilbert transform  $T: L\log L \rightarrow L^1$  is a bounded operator.*

*Proof.* The Calderon operator is defined for every measurable function  $f$  on  $(0, \infty)$  by

$$S(f)(t) := \int_0^\infty \min\left(1, \frac{s}{t}\right) f(s) ds = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f(s) ds + \int_t^\infty f(s) \frac{ds}{s}, \quad t > 0;$$

see (4.22) of [1, §III.4, p.133]. Clearly  $0 \leq S(g) \leq S(f)$  whenever  $0 \leq g \leq f$ . We will apply  $S$  to the decreasing rearrangement  $f^*$  of a function  $f$ . For the Hilbert transform  $H$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  it is known that there exists a constant  $c > 0$  satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad (H(f))^*(t) \leq c \cdot S(f^*)(t), \quad t > 0,$$

for all functions  $f$  satisfying the condition  $S(f^*)(1) < \infty$ , [1, Theorem III.4.8].

Given  $f \in L^1$ , note that  $S(f^*)(1) < \infty$  is satisfied because  $f^*: (0, 2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and

$$S(f^*)(1) = \int_0^1 f^*(s) ds + \int_1^2 f^*(s) \frac{ds}{s} \leq \int_0^2 f^*(s) ds = \|f\|_{L^1} < \infty.$$

Observe, for  $0 < t < 2$ , that

$$(2.2) \quad S(f^*)(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f^*(s) ds + \int_t^2 f^*(s) \frac{ds}{s}.$$

Moreover, since  $f \in L^1$ , it follows that  $T(f) = -\chi_{(-1,1)} H(f\chi_{(-1,1)})$ . Then, for each  $0 < t < 2$ , it follows from (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} (T(f))^*(t) &= (-\chi_{(-1,1)} H(f\chi_{(-1,1)}))^*(t) \leq (H(f\chi_{(-1,1)}))^*(t) \\ &\leq c \cdot S(f\chi_{(-1,1)})^*(t) \leq c \cdot S(f^*)(t) = c \cdot \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f^*(s) ds + c \int_t^2 f^*(s) \frac{ds}{s}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating this inequality and applying Fubini's theorem yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(f)\|_{L^1} &= \|(T(f))^*\|_{L^1(0,2)} = \int_0^2 (T(f))^*(t) dt \\ &\leq c \int_0^2 \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f^*(s) ds dt + c \int_0^2 \int_t^2 f^*(s) \frac{ds}{s} dt \\ &= c \int_0^2 f^*(s) \log\left(\frac{2}{s}\right) ds + c \int_0^2 f^*(s) ds \\ &\leq c \int_0^2 f^*(s) \log\left(\frac{2e}{s}\right) ds + c \int_0^2 f^*(s) ds \\ &= c\|f\|_{L\log L} + c\|f\|_{L^1} \leq 2c\|f\|_{L\log L}. \end{aligned}$$

So, whenever  $f \in L\log L$  we can conclude that  $\|T(f)\|_{L^1} \leq 2c\|f\|_{L\log L}$ . Accordingly,  $T: L\log L \rightarrow L^1$  is a bounded operator (with  $\|T\| \leq 2c$ ).  $\square$

For all of the above (and further) facts on r.i. spaces see [1], [20], [21], for example.

The definition of  $T$  in (1.1) coincides with that used by Tricomi, [32, §4.3], whereas King uses  $-T$ , [18, Ch.11], and both Jörgens, [16, §13.6], Widom, [33], use  $-iT$ . When

convenient, the operator  $T: L\log L \rightarrow L^1$  will also be denoted by  $T_{\log}$ , where the subscript  $\log$  indicates briefly the domain space  $L\log L$ .

### 3. PARSEVAL AND POINCARÉ-BERTRAND FORMULAE

In this section we present integrability conditions for a pair of functions  $f, g$  which ensure the validity of the Parseval and the Poincaré-Bertrand formulae beyond the class  $\bigcup_{1 < p < \infty} L^p = \bigcup_{0 < \underline{\alpha}_X \leq \overline{\alpha}_X < 1} X$ ; see [21, Proposition 2.b.3]. These are then applied to determine the kernel of  $T_{\log}: L\log L \rightarrow L^1$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let the functions  $f \in L^1$  and  $g \in L\log L$  satisfy  $fT(g\chi_A) \in L^1$ , for every set  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then  $gT(f) \in L^1$  and the following Parseval formula is valid:*

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{-1}^1 fT(g) = - \int_{-1}^1 gT(f).$$

*Proof. Step 1.* Suppose first that  $f \in L^\infty$  and  $g \in L\log L$ , in which case the assumption of the theorem is automatically satisfied. That is,  $fT(g\chi_A) \in L^1$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  (because  $T(g\chi_A) \in L^1$ ; see Theorem 2.1). Fix  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ . The aim is to show that  $(g\chi_A)T(f) \in L^1$  and

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{-1}^1 fT(g\chi_A) = - \int_{-1}^1 (g\chi_A)T(f).$$

Let  $A_n := |g|^{-1}([0, n])$  and  $g_n := g\chi_{A_n}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $(g_n\chi_A)T(f) \rightarrow (g\chi_A)T(f)$  pointwise. Given  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , both of the functions  $f$  and  $g_n\chi_{A \cap B}$  belong to  $L^\infty$  and hence, also to  $L^2$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Applying the Parseval formula for  $L^2$  yields

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{-1}^1 fT(g_n\chi_{A \cap B}) = - \int_{-1}^1 (g_n\chi_{A \cap B})T(f) = - \int_B (g_n\chi_A)T(f), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since  $g_n\chi_{A \cap B} \rightarrow g\chi_{A \cap B}$  in  $L\log L$ , it follows from Theorem 2.1 that  $T(g_n\chi_{A \cap B}) \rightarrow T(g\chi_{A \cap B})$  in  $L^1$ . This and (3.3) imply that

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{-1}^1 fT(g\chi_{A \cap B}) = \lim_n \int_{-1}^1 fT(g_n\chi_{A \cap B}) = - \lim_n \int_B (g_n\chi_A)T(f).$$

So, the sequence  $(\int_B (g_n\chi_A)T(f))_{n=1}^\infty$  is convergent in  $\mathbb{C}$  for every set  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Thus, the pointwise limit function  $(g\chi_A)T(f) = \lim_n (g_n\chi_A)T(f)$  is integrable and

$$(3.5) \quad \lim_n \int_B (g_n\chi_A)T(f) = \int_B (g\chi_A)T(f) \quad \text{in } L^1.$$

This and (3.4), with  $B := (-1, 1)$ , ensure that (3.2) is valid as

$$\int_{-1}^1 fT(g\chi_A) = - \lim_n \int_{-1}^1 (g_n\chi_A)T(f) = - \int_{-1}^1 (g\chi_A)T(f).$$

*Step 2.* Now let  $f \in L^1$  and  $g \in L\log L$  satisfy the assumption of the theorem. Set  $B_n := |f|^{-1}([0, n])$  and  $f_n := f\chi_{B_n}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , in which case  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^1$ . Apply

Kolmogorov's theorem to conclude that  $T(f_n) \rightarrow T(f)$  in measure. So, we can suppose that  $T(f_n) \rightarrow T(f)$  pointwise a.e., by passing to a subsequence, if necessary.

Fix  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ . Apply Step 1 to  $f_n \in L^\infty$  and  $g \in L \log L$  to conclude that  $(g\chi_A)T(f_n) \in L^1$  and

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{-1}^1 f_n T(g\chi_A) = - \int_{-1}^1 (g\chi_A)T(f_n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since  $|f_n T(g\chi_A)| \leq |f T(g\chi_A)| \in L^1$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , dominated convergence ensures that

$$(3.7) \quad \lim_n \int_{-1}^1 f_n T(g\chi_A) = \int_{-1}^1 f T(g\chi_A) \quad \text{in } L^1$$

and that

$$\lim_n \int_{-1}^1 f_n T(g\chi_A) = - \int_{-1}^1 f T(g\chi_A).$$

Hence, it follows from (3.6) that

$$(3.8) \quad \lim_n \int_A g T(f_n) = - \lim_n \int_{-1}^1 f_n T(g\chi_A) = - \int_{-1}^1 f T(g\chi_A).$$

That is, for every set  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ , the sequence  $(\int_A g T(f_n))_{n=1}^\infty$  is convergent in  $\mathbb{C}$ . This fact, together with  $g T(f_n) \rightarrow g T(f)$  a.e., imply that  $g T(f) \in L^1$  and

$$(3.9) \quad \lim_n \int_A g T(f_n) = \int_A g T(f) \quad \text{in } L^1.$$

From (3.8), with  $A := (-1, 1)$ , and (3.9) we can derive the Parseval formula (3.1).  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let the functions  $f \in L^1$  and  $g \in L \log L$  satisfy  $f T(g\chi_A) \in L^1$ , for every set  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ . Then the following Poincaré-Bertrand formula is valid in  $L^0$ :*

$$(3.10) \quad T(g T(f) + f T(g)) = (T(f))(T(g)) - fg.$$

*Proof.* Theorem 3.1 ensures that the functions  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy both  $g T(f) \in L^1$  and the Parseval formula (3.1). Let  $B_n := |f|^{-1}([0, n])$  and  $f_n := f\chi_{B_n}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , as in Step 2 of the proof of Theorem 3.1. Then the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a)  $T(g T(f_n)) \rightarrow T(g T(f))$  in  $L^0$ ,
- (b)  $T(f_n T(g)) \rightarrow T(f T(g))$  in  $L^0$ ,
- (c)  $T(f_n)T(g) \rightarrow T(f)T(g)$  in  $L^0$ , and
- (d)  $f_n g \rightarrow fg$  pointwise and hence, in  $L^0$ .

Indeed, recall from (3.9) that  $\lim_n \int_A g T(f_n) = \int_A g T(f)$  in  $L^1$  and from (3.7), with  $A := (-1, 1)$ , that  $\lim_n \int_{-1}^1 f_n T(g) = \int_{-1}^1 f T(g)$  in  $L^1$ . So, Kolmogorov's theorem ensures (a) and (b), respectively. Moreover, the convergence  $T(f_n) \rightarrow T(f)$  in measure has already been verified in Step 2 of the proof of Theorem 3.1. Hence, (c) holds. Condition (d) is clearly satisfied.

Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The claim is, as an identity in  $L^0$ , that

$$(3.11) \quad T(g T(f_n) + f_n T(g)) = (T(f_n))(T(g)) - f_n g.$$

To verify this we follow the argument used in Step 1 for the proof of Theorem 3.1. Let  $A_k := |g|^{-1}([0, k])$  and  $g_k := g\chi_{A_k}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then (3.5), with  $f_n$  in place of  $f$  and  $A := (-1, 1)$ , implies that  $\lim_k g_k T(f_n) = gT(f_n)$  in  $L^1$ . Then Kolmogorov's theorem yields

$$(3.12) \quad \lim_k T(g_k T(f_n)) = T(gT(f_n)) \quad \text{in } L^0.$$

Since  $g_k \rightarrow g$  in  $L\log L$ , it follows from Theorem 2.1 that  $\lim_k T(g_k) = T(g)$  in  $L^1$  and hence, that  $\lim_k f_n T(g_k) = f_n T(g)$  in  $L^1$  because  $f_n \in L^\infty$ . Kolmogorov's theorem again implies that

$$(3.13) \quad \lim_k T(f_n T(g_k)) = T(f_n T(g)) \quad \text{in } L^0.$$

Now, the functions  $f_n$  and  $g_k$  with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  all belong to  $L^\infty$  and hence, also to  $L^2$ . By the Poincaré-Bertrand formula for  $L^2$  we obtain the identity

$$(3.14) \quad T(g_k T(f_n) + f_n T(g_k)) = (T(f_n))(T(g_k)) - f_n g_k$$

in  $L^1$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore, (3.11) follows from (3.12), (3.13) and (3.14) because

$$\begin{aligned} T(gT(f_n) + f_n T(g)) &= \lim_k T(g_k T(f_n) + f_n T(g_k)) \\ &= \lim_k \left( (T(f_n))(T(g_k)) - f_n g_k \right) = (T(f_n))(T(g)) - f_n g \end{aligned}$$

with both limits existing in  $L^0$ .

Finally we can obtain the Poincaré-Bertrand formula (3.10) from (3.11) by applying (a)–(d) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} T(gT(f) + fT(g)) &= \lim_n T(gT(f_n) + f_n T(g)) \\ &= \lim_n \left( (T(f_n))(T(g)) - f_n g \right) = (T(f))(T(g)) - fg. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 3.3.** *The following Parseval formula*

$$(3.15) \quad \int_{-1}^1 fT(g) = - \int_{-1}^1 gT(f), \quad f \in L^\infty, g \in L\log L,$$

*holds, as does the Poincaré-Bertrand formula (in  $L^0$ )*

$$(3.16) \quad T(gT(f) + fT(g)) = (T(f))(T(g)) - fg, \quad f \in L^\infty, g \in L\log L.$$

*Proof.* The Parseval formula (3.15) was verified in Step 1 of the proof of Theorem 3.1. Moreover, Theorem 3.2 implies that (3.16) is valid. □

The following theorem extends to  $L\log L$  Tricomi's identification of the kernel of  $T$  on  $L^p$ ; see also [4, Theorems 3.2(a) and 3.3(a)] for other r.i. spaces  $X$ . Note, via [1, Theorem IV.6.5], that

$$\bigcup_{1 < p < \infty} L^p = \bigcup_{0 < \underline{\alpha}_X \leq \overline{\alpha}_X < 1} X \subsetneq L\log L.$$

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $f \in L\log L$ . Then  $T(f) = 0$  in  $L^1$  if and only if  $f(x) = c/\sqrt{1-x^2}$ , for some constant  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ .*

*Proof.* That  $T(f)(x) = 0$  for a.e.  $x \in (-1, 1)$ , when  $f(x) = c/\sqrt{1-x^2}$ , is a straightforward verification; see [32, §4.3 (7)].

Let  $f \in L\log L$  satisfy  $T(f)(x) = 0$  for a.e.  $x \in (-1, 1)$ . Set  $g(x) = \sqrt{1-x^2} \in L^\infty$ . Then  $gT(f) = 0$ . Moreover,  $T(g)(x) = -x$  (see [18, (11.57)]). Applying (3.16), with the roles of  $f$  and  $g$  interchanged, yields

$$T(tf(t))(x) = f(x)\sqrt{1-x^2}.$$

But, the function  $T(tf(t))$  is constant because, for a.e.  $x \in (-1, 1)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(tf(t))(x) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \text{p.v.} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{tf(t)}{t-x} dt = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 f(t) dt + \frac{x}{\pi} \text{p.v.} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(t)}{t-x} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 f(t) dt + xT(f)(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 f(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\sqrt{1-x^2}f(x)$  is a constant function. □

*Remark 3.5.* Corresponding to important properties of the arcsine distribution  $1/\sqrt{1-x^2}$  in relation to the operator  $T$ , is the role played by the Marcinkiewicz space  $L^{2,\infty}$ , also known as weak- $L^2$ , [1, Definition IV.4.1], which consists of those functions  $f \in L^0$  satisfying, for some constant  $M > 0$ , the inequality

$$f^*(t) \leq \frac{M}{t^{1/2}}, \quad 0 < t \leq 2.$$

Indeed,  $L^{2,\infty}$  is the *smallest* r.i. space containing the function  $1/\sqrt{1-x^2}$  (whose decreasing rearrangement is the function  $t \mapsto 2/t^{1/2}$  for  $t \in (0, 2)$ ), that is,  $1/\sqrt{1-x^2} \in X$  if and only if  $L^{2,\infty} \subseteq X$ . Hence, as mentioned before,  $T: X \rightarrow X$  is injective if and only if  $L^{2,\infty} \not\subseteq X$ .

#### 4. INVERSION OF THE FINITE HILBERT TRANSFORM ON $L\log L$

The operator  $T$  maps  $L\log L$  continuously into  $L^1$  (cf. Theorem 2.1). We will require the extension of this result for  $T$  acting in the larger class of spaces  $L(\log L)^\alpha$  for  $\alpha \geq 0$ . This is obtained via extrapolation and will be needed for the inversion formula. For the case of  $T_p: L^p \rightarrow L^p$  with  $1 < p < 2$  and the case of  $T_X: X \rightarrow X$  with  $1/2 < \underline{\alpha}_X \leq \bar{\alpha}_X < 1$ , the inversion formula is given in terms of an operator  $\widehat{T}$  (see (4.1)) which satisfies  $\widehat{T}: L^p \rightarrow L^p$  boundedly for  $1 < p < 2$ . We also need to extend the boundedness of  $\widehat{T}$  to the class of spaces  $L(\log L)^\alpha$  for  $\alpha \geq 0$ . As for  $T$ , this is again obtained via extrapolation.

The following is a classical result on extrapolation; see [34, Theorem XII.(4.41)], [10, Theorem 2.1].

**Theorem 4.1** (Yano). *Let  $1 < p_0 < \infty$  and  $S$  be a linear operator that maps  $L^p$  boundedly into  $L^p$  for all  $1 < p < p_0$  and such that there exist constants  $C > 0$  and  $1 < \alpha \leq p_0$  satisfying*

$$\|S\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} \leq \frac{C}{p-1}, \quad p \in (1, \alpha).$$

*Then  $S$  can be extended to  $L \log L$  with  $S: L \log L \rightarrow L^1$  a bounded operator.*

A related result is the following one, [10, Theorem 5.1].

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $p_0, S, C$  and  $\alpha$  satisfy the conditions in Yano's theorem. Suppose, for some  $\gamma \geq 0$ , that  $S: L(\log L)^\gamma \rightarrow L^1$  is bounded. Then*

$$S: L(\log L)^{\gamma+\beta} \rightarrow L(\log L)^\beta$$

*boundedly, for all  $\beta > 0$ .*

In order to apply Yano's theorem to  $T$  we will require the following inequality.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $1 < p < 2$ . The operator norm of  $T: L^p \rightarrow L^p$  satisfies*

$$\|T\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} \leq \frac{3}{p-1}.$$

*Proof.* For each  $1 < p < \infty$ , Pichorides proved in [28] that the Hilbert transform  $H: L^p(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R})$  satisfies

$$\|H\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R})} = \max \left\{ \tan(\pi/(2p)), \cot(\pi/(2p)) \right\}, \quad 1 < p < \infty.$$

We know that  $T: L^p \rightarrow L^p$  boundedly. A result of McLean and Elliott, [23, Theorem 3.4] yields that  $\|T\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} = \|H\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R})}$ . So,

$$\|T\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} = \max \left\{ \tan(\pi/(2p)), \cot(\pi/(2p)) \right\}, \quad 1 < p < \infty.$$

Consequently, for  $1 < p < 2$ , we have  $\|T\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} = \tan(\pi/(2p))$ .

Observe that  $\sin \theta \geq \theta/2$  whenever  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi/3$ . Since  $\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{2p} = \frac{\pi}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) < \frac{\pi}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) < \frac{\pi}{3}$ , it follows that

$$\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2p}\right) = \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{2p}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{2p}\right) = \frac{\pi}{4} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = \frac{\pi(p-1)}{4p}.$$

This implies that

$$\|T\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} = \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2p}\right) = \frac{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2p}\right)}{\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2p}\right)} \leq \frac{4p}{\pi(p-1)} < \frac{8}{\pi(p-1)} < \frac{3}{p-1}.$$

□

Observe that Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.3 provide an alternate (perhaps more abstract) proof of Theorem 2.1. The following more general result follows from Theorem 4.2, with  $\alpha = p_0 = 2$  and  $S = T$ ,  $C = 3$ ,  $\gamma = 1$ , together with Lemma 4.3.

**Proposition 4.4.** *The finite Hilbert transform  $T$  satisfies*

$$T: L(\log L)^{1+\beta} \rightarrow L(\log L)^\beta$$

*boundedly, for every  $\beta \geq 0$ .*

Recall, for  $g \in L^1$ , that one can define pointwise a measurable function  $\widehat{T}(g) \in L^0$  by

$$(4.1) \quad \widehat{T}(g)(x) := \frac{-1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} T(\sqrt{1-t^2} g(t))(x), \quad \text{a.e. } x \in (-1, 1).$$

It is known, for  $1 < p < 2$ , that  $\widehat{T}: L^p \rightarrow L^p$  boundedly, [13, Theorem I.4.2]. In order to apply extrapolation, as was done for  $T$  in Proposition 4.4, we need an explicit upper bound on the operator norms  $\|\widehat{T}\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p}$  for  $p$  near 1, which is not available in [13]. To achieve this we will require some auxiliary facts. The desired result is as follows.

**Proposition 4.5.** *For each  $\beta \geq 0$  the operator*

$$\widehat{T}: L(\log L)^{1+\beta} \rightarrow L(\log L)^\beta$$

*boundedly. In particular,  $\widehat{T}: L \log L \rightarrow L^1$  is bounded.*

Given numbers  $\beta, \gamma \in (0, 1)$  satisfying  $1 < (\beta + \gamma)$  define

$$(4.2) \quad c(\beta, \gamma) := \max \left\{ \frac{1}{1-\beta}, \frac{1}{1-\gamma}, \frac{1}{\beta+\gamma-1} \right\}.$$

The proof of the following technical result is given in the Appendix.

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $\beta, \gamma \in (0, 1)$  satisfy  $1 < (\beta + \gamma)$ . Then*

$$(4.3) \quad \int_{-1}^{\infty} \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^\beta (\xi+1)^\gamma} \leq 6 c(\beta, \gamma)$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dt}{|t-x|^\beta |1-t^2|^\gamma} \leq \frac{24 c(\beta, \gamma)}{(1-x^2)^{|\beta+\gamma-1|}}, \quad x \in (-1, 1).$$

A consequence of the previous inequalities is the following result.

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let  $1 < p < 2$ . For each  $\delta$  such that*

$$\frac{(p-1)}{2} < \delta p < \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, (p-1) \right\}$$

*the norm of the linear operator  $(T + \widehat{T}): L^p \rightarrow L^p$  satisfies*

$$\|T + \widehat{T}\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} \leq \frac{24\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \left( c\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \delta p\right) \right)^{1/p} \left( c\left(\frac{1}{2}, \delta p\right) \right)^{1/p'}.$$

*Proof.* Given  $g \in L^p$  and  $x \in (-1, 1)$  it follows, via  $|a^{1/2} - b^{1/2}| \leq |a - b|^{1/2}$ , that

$$|(T + \widehat{T})(g)(x)| = \frac{1/\pi}{(1-x^2)^{1/2}} \left| \text{p.v.} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{(1-x^2)^{1/2} g(t)}{t-x} dt - \text{p.v.} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{(1-t^2)^{1/2} g(t)}{t-x} dt \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{1/\pi}{(1-x^2)^{1/2}} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{|(1-x^2)^{1/2} - (1-t^2)^{1/2}| \cdot |g(t)|}{|t-x|} dt \\
&\leq \frac{1/\pi}{(1-x^2)^{1/2}} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{|(1-x^2) - (1-t^2)|^{1/2} |g(t)|}{|t-x|} dt \\
&= \frac{1/\pi}{(1-x^2)^{1/2}} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{|t-x|^{1/2} |t+x|^{1/2} |g(t)|}{|t-x|} dt \\
&\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}/\pi}{(1-x^2)^{1/2}} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{|g(t)|}{|t-x|^{1/2}} dt \quad (\text{via } |t+x| \leq 2) \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{2}/\pi}{(1-x^2)^{1/2}} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{(1-t^2)^\delta |g(t)|}{|t-x|^{1/2} (1-t^2)^\delta} dt \\
&\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}/\pi}{(1-x^2)^{1/2}} \left( \int_{-1}^1 \frac{(1-t^2)^{\delta p} |g(t)|^p}{|t-x|^{1/2}} dt \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dt}{|t-x|^{1/2} (1-t^2)^{\delta p'}} \right)^{1/p'}.
\end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is via Hölder's inequality. Now apply (4.4) with  $\beta := 1/2$  and  $\gamma := \delta p'$  to see (as  $\beta + \gamma - 1 = \delta p' - \frac{1}{2}$ ) that

$$\int_{-1}^1 \frac{1}{|t-x|^{1/2} (1-t^2)^{\delta p'}} dt \leq \frac{24 c(\frac{1}{2}, \delta p')}{(1-x^2)^{\delta p' - (1/2)}}.$$

Hence,

$$|(T + \widehat{T})(g)(x)| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2} \left( 24 c(\frac{1}{2}, \delta p') \right)^{1/p'}}{\pi (1-x^2)^{\delta + (1/(2p))}} \left( \int_{-1}^1 \frac{(1-t^2)^{\delta p} |g(t)|^p}{|t-x|^{1/2}} dt \right)^{1/p}.$$

This yields, via Fubini's theorem, that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(T + \widehat{T})(g)\|_{L^p}^p &\leq \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \right)^p \left( 24 c(\frac{1}{2}, \delta p') \right)^{p/p'} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{1}{(1-x^2)^{\delta p + (1/2)}} \left( \int_{-1}^1 \frac{(1-t^2)^{\delta p} |g(t)|^p}{|t-x|^{1/2}} dt \right) dx \\
&= \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \right)^p \left( 24 c(\frac{1}{2}, \delta p') \right)^{p/p'} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{|g(t)|^p}{(1-t^2)^{-\delta p}} \left( \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dx}{|t-x|^{1/2} (1-x^2)^{\delta p + (1/2)}} \right) dt \\
&\leq \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \right)^p \left( 24 c(\frac{1}{2}, \delta p') \right)^{p/p'} \left( 24 c(\frac{1}{2}, \delta p + \frac{1}{2}) \right) \int_{-1}^1 |g(t)|^p dt
\end{aligned}$$

because (4.4), with  $\beta := 1/2$  and  $\gamma := \delta p + (1/2) \in (0, 1)$ , ensures that

$$\int_{-1}^1 \frac{1}{|t-x|^{1/2} (1-x^2)^{\delta p + (1/2)}} dx \leq \frac{24 c(\frac{1}{2}, \delta p + \frac{1}{2})}{(1-t^2)^{1/2 + (\delta p + (1/2)) - 1}} = \frac{24 c(\frac{1}{2}, \delta p + \frac{1}{2})}{(1-t^2)^{\delta p}}.$$

Since  $g \in L^p$  is arbitrary, it follows that

$$\|T + \widehat{T}\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \cdot 24 \left( c(\frac{1}{2}, \delta p + \frac{1}{2}) \right)^{1/p} \left( c(\frac{1}{2}, \delta p') \right)^{1/p'}.$$

□

**Lemma 4.8.** *Let  $1 < p < 3/2$  and set  $\delta := \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{(p-1)}{p}$ . The operator norm of  $T + \widehat{T}$  satisfies*

$$\|T + \widehat{T}\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} \leq \frac{24\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \left(\frac{3}{p-1}\right)^{1/p} 6^{1/p'} \leq \frac{72\sqrt{2}}{p-1}.$$

*Proof.* Note that  $\min\{\frac{1}{2}, p-1\} = p-1$  and that  $\frac{1}{2}(p-1) < \delta p < (p-1)$ , as  $\delta p = \frac{2}{3}(p-1)$ . Moreover,  $\delta$  satisfies  $\frac{1}{2}(p-1) < \delta p < \min\{\frac{1}{2}, (p-1)\}$ . It then follows from Lemma 4.7 and Lemma 6.1 that

$$\|T + \widehat{T}\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} \leq \frac{24\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \left(\frac{3}{p-1}\right)^{1/p} \cdot 6^{1/p'} \leq \frac{72\sqrt{2}}{p-1}.$$

□

It is now possible to establish Proposition 4.5.

*Proof of Proposition 4.5.* Let  $1 < p < \frac{3}{2}$ . It follows from Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.8 that

$$\|\widehat{T}\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} \leq \|T + \widehat{T}\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} + \|T\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} \leq \frac{72\sqrt{2}}{p-1} + \frac{3}{p-1}.$$

This proves Proposition 4.5 by applying Theorem 4.2 with  $S := T$ . □

*Remark 4.9.* The following diagram illustrates the action of  $T$  and  $\widehat{T}$  in various spaces.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} L(\log L)^3 & \hookrightarrow & L(\log L)^2 & \hookrightarrow & L(\log L) \\ & \searrow T & & \searrow T & \searrow T \\ & & L(\log L)^2 & \hookrightarrow & L(\log L) \hookrightarrow L^1 \\ & \nearrow \widehat{T} & & \nearrow \widehat{T} & \nearrow \widehat{T} \\ L(\log L)^3 & \hookrightarrow & L(\log L)^2 & \hookrightarrow & L(\log L) \end{array}$$

We are now in a suitable situation for studying the action of  $T$  and  $\widehat{T}$  on  $L \log L$ .

**Theorem 4.10.** *The following assertions are valid.*

- (i) *The operator  $T_{\log}: L \log L \rightarrow L^1$  is not injective.*
- (ii) *Let  $g \in L \log L$ . Then  $\widehat{T}(g) \in L^1$  and  $T(\widehat{T}(g)) = g$ . Moreover,*

$$\int_{-1}^1 \widehat{T}(g)(x) dx = 0.$$

- (iii) *The operator  $P: L \log L \rightarrow L \log L$  given by*

$$P(f)(x) := \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 f(t) dt \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}, \quad |x| < 1, \quad f \in L \log L,$$

*is a bounded projection satisfying*

$$\|P\|_{L \log L \rightarrow L \log L} \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \right\|_{L \log L}.$$

Furthermore,  $TP: L\log L \rightarrow L^1$  is the zero operator.

(iv) For each  $f \in L\log L$  it is the case that

$$(4.5) \quad f - P(f) = \widehat{T}(T(f)).$$

Moreover,  $\widehat{T}T: L\log L \rightarrow L\log L$  satisfies

$$(4.6) \quad \|\widehat{T}T\|_{L\log L \rightarrow L\log L} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \right\|_{L\log L}.$$

*Proof.* (i) Theorem 3.4 shows that  $T_{\log}^{-1}(\{0\}) = \text{span}\{1/\sqrt{1-x^2}\} \subseteq L\log L$ .

(ii) Let  $w(x) := \sqrt{1-x^2}$  for  $x \in (-1, 1)$ . Since  $|g\chi_A| \leq |g|$ , Proposition 4.5 shows that  $\widehat{T}(g\chi_A) \in L^1$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  and so, via (4.1), also  $(1/w)T(wg\chi_A) = -\widehat{T}(g\chi_A) \in L^1$ . Then Theorem 3.1, with  $f := 1/w$  and  $(gw)$  in place of  $g$ , implies that  $(gw)T(1/w) \in L^1$  (as already known since  $T(1/w) = 0$ ) and, via (3.1), that also  $\int_{-1}^1 (1/w)T(gw) = -\int_{-1}^1 gwT(1/w) = 0$ . This identity yields  $\int_{-1}^1 \widehat{T}(g)(x) dx = 0$ . Finally, apply (3.10) in Theorem 3.2, still with  $f := 1/w$  and  $(gw)$  in place of  $g$ , to obtain

$$T(\widehat{T}(g)) = -T\left((1/w)T(gw)\right) = T\left(gwT(1/w)\right) - T(1/w)T(gw) + (1/w)(gw) = g.$$

(iii) The boundedness of  $P$  follows from

$$\|P(f)\|_{L\log L} \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \right\|_{L\log L} \|f\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \right\|_{L\log L} \|f\|_{L\log L},$$

which in turn implies that  $\|P\|_{L\log L \rightarrow L\log L} \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \right\|_{L\log L}$ . Since  $(1/\pi) \int_{-1}^1 1/\sqrt{1-x^2} dx = 1$ , it follows that  $P$  is a linear projection from  $L\log L$  onto the one-dimensional subspace of  $L\log L$  spanned by  $1/\sqrt{1-x^2}$ .

Let  $f \in L\log L$ . It follows from Theorem 3.4 that

$$(TP)(f) = T(P(f)) = \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 f(t) dt \right) T\left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} \right) = 0.$$

Accordingly,  $TP = 0$ .

(iv) Let  $f \in L\log L$ . Since  $\sqrt{1-t^2} \in L^\infty$ , the Poincare-Bertrand formula (cf. Corollary 3.3) yields

$$T\left(\sqrt{1-t^2} \cdot T(f)(t) + f(t)T(\sqrt{1-s^2})(t)\right)(x) = T(\sqrt{1-t^2})(x)T(f)(x) - \sqrt{1-x^2}f(x)$$

for a.e.  $x \in (-1, 1)$ . So, recalling that  $T(\sqrt{1-s^2})(t) = -t$  for  $t \in (-1, 1)$  (via [32, §4.3 (9)] or [18, (11.57)]) the previous identity gives

$$(4.7) \quad T(\sqrt{1-t^2}T(f) - tf(t))(x) = -xT(f)(x) - \sqrt{1-x^2}f(x), \quad \text{a.e. } |x| < 1,$$

that is, as an equality of functions in  $L^0$ . From the proof of Theorem 3.4 we have that

$$T(tf(t))(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 f(t) dt + xT(f)(x), \quad \text{a.e. } x \in (-1, 1).$$

This and (4.7) give

$$T(\sqrt{1-t^2}T(f)(t))(x) - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 f(t) dt = -\sqrt{1-x^2}f(x)$$

for a.e.  $x \in (-1, 1)$  and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} -\widehat{T}(T(f))(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} T(\sqrt{1-t^2}T(f)(t))(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 f(t) dt \right) - f(x) = P(f)(x) - f(x) \end{aligned}$$

for a.e.  $x \in (-1, 1)$ . This establishes (4.5) as an identity in  $L^0$ .

Since  $T(f) \in L^1$  and  $\widehat{T}: L^1 \rightarrow L^0$ , we have that  $\widehat{T}(T(f)) \in L^0$ . Because  $f - P(f) \in L\log L$ , it follows from (4.5) that  $\widehat{T}(T(f)) \in L\log L$ . So,  $\widehat{T}T: L\log L \rightarrow L^0$  actually takes its values in  $L\log L$  and, being equal to  $I - P$  (cf. (4.5)), it is continuous from  $L\log L$  into itself. The estimate (4.6) follows from (iii) and (4.5).  $\square$

An important consequence of Theorem 4.10 is the following description of the range space  $T(L\log L)$ .

**Corollary 4.11.** *A function  $g \in L^1$  belongs to the range space  $T(L\log L)$  if and only if it satisfies both  $\widehat{T}(g) \in L\log L$  and  $T(\widehat{T}(g)) = g$ . That is,*

$$T(L\log L) = \left\{ g \in L^1 : \widehat{T}(g) \in L\log L, T(\widehat{T}(g)) = g \right\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $g \in L^1$  satisfy  $\widehat{T}(g) \in L\log L$  and  $T(\widehat{T}(g)) = g$ . Then  $g \in T(L\log L)$ .

Conversely, let  $g \in T(L\log L)$ . Then there exists  $h \in L\log L$  such that  $g = T(h)$ . Theorem 4.10(iv) yields

$$\widehat{T}(g) = \widehat{T}(T(h)) = (I - P)(h) \in L\log L.$$

From Theorem 4.10(iii) it follows that  $T(P(h)) = 0$  and so

$$T(\widehat{T}(g)) = T(h - P(h)) = T(h) - T(P(h)) = T(h) = g.$$

$\square$

The description of the range space  $T(L\log L)$  obtained in Corollary 4.11, although precise and useful (as we will soon see), is not a full identification. The next result presents various facts aimed at a better understanding of  $T(L\log L)$ . We highlight statement (ii) which shows that  $L(\log L)^2 \subseteq T(L\log L)$ .

**Proposition 4.12.** *The following assertions hold for the continuous linear operators  $T_{\log}: L\log L \rightarrow L^1$  and  $\widehat{T}: L\log L \rightarrow L^1$ .*

- (i) *The range  $T(L\log L)$  is a proper dense linear subspace of  $L^1$ .*
- (ii)  *$L(\log L)^2$  is included in the range  $T(L\log L)$ .*
- (iii) *The range  $T(L\log L)$  is not included in  $L\log L$ .*
- (iv) *The range  $\widehat{T}(L\log L)$  is not included in  $L\log L$ .*

- (v)  $L\log L$  is not included in the range  $T(L\log L)$ .  
 (vi)  $L\log L$  is not included in the range  $\widehat{T}(L\log L)$ .

*Proof.* (i) In order to exhibit a function  $g \in L^1$  which is not in  $T(L\log L)$ , define the integrable function  $h$  on  $(-1, 1)$  by  $h(t) := 1/(t(\log t)^2)$  for  $t \in (0, 1/2)$  and  $h(t) := 0$  for  $t \in (-1, 1) \setminus (0, 1/2)$ . It follows from Theorem 1(b) and its proof in [19] that  $T(h)$  is not integrable over the subinterval  $(-1/2, 0)$ . So, also  $T(h)(x)/\sqrt{1-x^2}$  is not integrable over  $(-1/2, 0)$  since  $1 < 1/\sqrt{1-x^2} < 2/\sqrt{3}$  for  $x \in (-1/2, 0)$ . Hence,  $T(h)(x)/\sqrt{1-x^2} \notin L^1$ . Now, observe that the function  $g(t) := h(t)/\sqrt{1-t^2}$  belongs to  $L^1$ . To show that  $g \notin T(L\log L)$ , assume, on the contrary, that  $g = T(f)$  for some  $f \in L\log L$ . Applying Corollary 4.11 yields  $\widehat{T}(g) \in L\log L$ , where

$$\widehat{T}(g)(x) = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} T(\sqrt{1-t^2} g(t))(x) = \frac{-T(h)(x)}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}, \quad x \in (-1, 1);$$

see (4.1). This implies that  $T(h)(x)/\sqrt{1-x^2} \in L\log L \subseteq L^1$ ; contradiction. So,  $g \in L^1 \setminus T(L\log L)$  and hence,  $T(L\log L)$  is strictly smaller than  $L^1$ .

Since  $\bigcup_{1 < p \leq \infty} L^p \subseteq L\log L$ , it follows from Theorem 4.10(ii) that  $T(\widehat{T}(g)) = g$  for every  $g \in \bigcup_{1 < p < 2} L^p = \bigcup_{1 < p \leq \infty} L^p$ . Moreover, if  $g \in L^p$  for some  $1 < p < 2$ , then  $\widehat{T}(g) \in L^p \subseteq L\log L$ . Accordingly, Corollary 4.11 implies that  $\bigcup_{1 < p \leq \infty} L^p \subseteq T(L\log L)$  and so  $T(L\log L)$  is dense in  $L^1$ .

(ii) Let  $g \in L(\log L)^2$ . It follows from Proposition 4.5 that  $\widehat{T}(g) \in L\log L$ . Moreover, since  $g \in L\log L$ , it follows from Theorem 4.10(ii) that  $\widehat{T}(T(g)) = g$ . Then Corollary 4.11 implies that  $g \in T(L\log L)$ .

(iii) Suppose that  $T(L\log L) \subseteq L\log L$ . Then  $T: L\log L \rightarrow L\log L$ . To apply the closed graph theorem, consider a sequence  $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $L\log L$  and  $T(f_n) \rightarrow g$  in  $L\log L$ . Theorem 2.1 implies that  $T(f_n) \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^1$ . On the other hand, the continuous inclusion  $L\log L \subseteq L^1$  ensures that  $T(f_n) \rightarrow g$  in  $L^1$ . So,  $g = 0$  in  $L^1$  and hence, also in  $L\log L$ . Accordingly,  $T: L\log L \rightarrow L\log L$  is a closed operator and hence, it is continuous. This is impossible because both of the Boyd indices of  $L\log L$  are 1.

(iv) Assume, by way of contradiction, that  $\widehat{T}(L\log L) \subseteq L\log L$ . Let  $f \in L\log L$  be any function supported in  $(-1/2, 1/2)$ . The claim is that

$$\chi_{(-1/2, 1/2)} T(f) \in L\log L.$$

To see this, note that  $\sqrt{3}/2 < \sqrt{1-x^2} < 1$  for  $x \in (-1/2, 1/2)$ . Then  $f(x)/\sqrt{1-x^2}$  is supported in  $(-1/2, 1/2)$  and belongs to  $L\log L$ . Our assumption implies, via (4.1), that

$$\widehat{T}\left(\frac{f(t)}{\sqrt{1-t^2}}\right)(x) = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} T(f)(x) \in L\log L.$$

Consequently

$$\chi_{(-1/2, 1/2)}(x) T(f)(x) = \left(\chi_{(-1/2, 1/2)}(x) \sqrt{1-x^2}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} T(f)(x) \in L\log L.$$

What has been proved implies that the map

$$T: L\log L(-1/2, 1/2) \rightarrow L\log L(-1/2, 1/2)$$

is well defined. But this cannot be since  $L\log L(-1/2, 1/2)$  has trivial Boyd indices. It follows that  $\widehat{T}(L\log L) \not\subseteq L\log L$ .

(v) Assume, by way of contradiction, that  $L\log L \subseteq T(L\log L)$ . The claim is, in this case, that  $\widehat{T}(L\log L) \subseteq L\log L$ . To see this let  $g \in L\log L$ . By assumption,  $g \in T(L\log L)$ . So, there exists  $f \in L\log L$  satisfying  $T(f) = g$ . It follows from (4.5) that

$$\widehat{T}(g) = f - P(f) \in L\log L.$$

Hence,  $\widehat{T}(L\log L) \subseteq L\log L$  which contradicts part (iv).

(vi) Assume, by way of contradiction, that  $L\log L \subseteq \widehat{T}(L\log L)$ . Then  $T(L\log L) \subseteq T(\widehat{T}(L\log L))$ . Theorem 4.10(ii) implies that  $T(\widehat{T}(L\log L)) = L\log L$ . But, then  $T(L\log L) \subseteq T(\widehat{T}(L\log L)) = L\log L$ , which contradicts part (iii).  $\square$

*Remark 4.13.* (i) Proposition 4.12(i) implies that  $T(L\log L)$  is not closed in  $L^1$ . Consequently, the operator  $T_{\log}$  is not Fredholm.

(ii) As noted in the proof of Proposition 4.12(i) we have that  $\bigcup_{1 < p \leq \infty} L^p \subseteq T(L\log L)$ . The inclusion is actually *proper*. To see this, let  $g \in L\log L \setminus \bigcup_{1 < p \leq \infty} L^p$ . If we had equality, then  $T(g) \in L^q$  for some  $q \in (1, 2)$  and hence,  $\widehat{T}(T(g)) \in L^q$ . Since also  $1/\sqrt{1-x^2} \in L^q$ , it follows that  $\widehat{T}(T(g)) + c/\sqrt{1-x^2}$  belongs to  $L^q$  for all  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then (4.5) implies that  $g \in L^q$ , which contradicts the choice of  $g$ . So  $\bigcup_{1 < p \leq \infty} L^p \subsetneq T(L\log L)$ .

Recall that the airfoil equation is  $T(f) = g$ , that is,

$$(4.8) \quad \frac{1}{\pi} \text{p.v.} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(x)}{x-t} dx = g(t), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in (-1, 1).$$

Given  $g$ , an *inversion formula* is needed to solve this equation for  $f$ . We are now able to obtain such an inversion formula for  $T_{\log}: L\log L \rightarrow L^1$ , via the extended Poincarè-Bertrand formula in Corollary 3.3 and the properties of  $T_{\log}$  presented in Theorem 4.10. and Corollary 4.11.

**Theorem 4.14.** *Let  $g$  belong to the range of  $T_{\log}: L\log L \rightarrow L^1$ . Then all solutions  $f \in L\log L$  of the airfoil equation (4.8) are of the form  $\widehat{T}(g)(x) + c(1-x^2)^{-1/2}$ , for  $|x| < 1$ , that is,*

$$(4.9) \quad f(x) = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} T\left(\sqrt{1-t^2} g(t)\right)(x) + \frac{c}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}, \quad \text{a.e. } |x| < 1,$$

with  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  arbitrary. In particular, (4.9) is satisfied for every  $g \in L(\log L)^2$ .

*Proof.* Given  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  define  $f$  by (4.9). Since  $g \in T(L\log L)$ , Corollary 4.11 implies that  $\widehat{T}(g) \in L\log L$ . Since  $f = \widehat{T}(g) + c/\sqrt{1-x^2}$ , it follows that  $f \in L\log L$ . From Theorem 3.4 we have  $T(c/\sqrt{1-x^2}) = 0$  and so  $T(f) = T(\widehat{T}(g))$ . Again Corollary 4.11 gives  $T(\widehat{T}(g)) = g$  and so  $f$  is a solution of the airfoil equation.

Conversely, let  $f \in L\log L$  satisfy  $T(f) = g$ . It follows from Theorem 4.10(iv) that

$$f = \widehat{T}(T(f)) + P(f) = \widehat{T}(g) + P(f),$$

with  $P(f) = c/\sqrt{1-x^2}$ , where  $c = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 f(t) dt$ . Accordingly,  $f$  has the form (4.9).

If  $g \in L(\log L)^2$ , then  $g \in T(L\log L)$  by Proposition 4.12(ii) and so (4.9) holds.  $\square$

A consequence for  $T_{\log}$ , via properties of the range space  $T(L\log L)$ , is the following one.

**Proposition 4.15.** *The operator  $T_{\log}: L\log L \rightarrow L^1$  is not compact.*

*Proof.* Consider the set  $W := \{\chi_A : A \in \mathcal{B}\}$ . It is a bounded subset of  $L^\infty$  and hence, also a bounded subset of  $L(\log L)^2$ . Since  $\widehat{T}: L(\log L)^2 \rightarrow L\log L$  continuously (cf. Proposition 4.5), it follows that  $\widehat{T}(W) = \{\widehat{T}(\chi_A) : A \in \mathcal{B}\}$  is a bounded subset of  $L\log L$ . Moreover,  $\chi_A \in L\log L$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  and so Theorem 4.10(ii) implies that  $T(\widehat{T}(\chi_A)) = \chi_A$ . Thus,  $W = T(\widehat{T}(W)) \subseteq T(L\log L) \subseteq L^1$ . But,  $W$  is a well known example of a subset of  $L^1$  which is not relatively compact; see [8, Example III.1.2]. Hence,  $T_{\log}: L\log L \rightarrow L^1$  is not a compact operator.  $\square$

## 5. THE OPTIMAL DOMAIN FOR $T$ TAKING VALUES IN $L^1$

In this section we prove that the operator  $T_{\log}: L\log L \rightarrow L^1$  is optimally defined. The approach used for this in the case when  $T: X \rightarrow X$ , with  $X$  a r.i. space having non-trivial Boyd indices, was to explicitly determine the *optimal domain* of  $T$  (with values in  $X$ ), denoted by  $[T, X]$  (cf. [6, §3]), and then to show that  $[T, X]$  is isomorphic with  $X$  itself. This strategy was rather involved and required an in-depth study of  $[T, X]$ ; cf. [4, Theorem 5.3], [6, Theorem]. We will profit from that work in this section.

Define the space of functions

$$(5.1) \quad [T, L^1] := \left\{ f \in L^1 : T(h) \in L^1 \text{ for all } |h| \leq |f| \right\}$$

and the associated functional

$$(5.2) \quad \|f\|_{[T, L^1]} := \sup \left\{ \|T(h)\|_{L^1} : |h| \leq |f| \right\}, \quad f \in [T, L^1].$$

We refer to  $[T, L^1]$  as the *optimal domain* of  $T$  with values in  $L^1$ . Theorem 2.1 implies that the inclusion  $L\log L \subseteq [T, L^1]$  is valid. It is required to show that the opposite containment  $[T, L^1] \subseteq L\log L$  also holds.

To investigate the structure and properties of  $[T, L^1]$ , we begin with the following result, which is modelled on [4, Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 4.3] for the case when  $X$  is a r.i. space with non-trivial Boyd indices.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $f \in L^1$ .*

- (i) *The following conditions are equivalent.*
  - (a)  $f \in [T, L^1]$ .
  - (b)  $\sup_{|h| \leq |f|} \|T(h)\|_{L^1} < \infty$ .

- (c)  $T(f\chi_A) \in L^1$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ .
- (d)  $\sup_{A \in \mathcal{B}} \|T(f\chi_A)\|_{L^1} < \infty$ .
- (e)  $T(\theta f) \in L^1$  for every  $\theta \in L^\infty$  with  $|\theta| = 1$  a.e.
- (f)  $\sup_{|\theta|=1} \|T(\theta f)\|_{L^1} < \infty$ .
- (g)  $fT(g) \in L^1$  for every  $g \in L^\infty$ .
- (ii) Every function  $f \in [T, L^1]$  satisfies the inequalities
 
$$\sup_{A \in \mathcal{B}} \|T(\chi_A f)\|_X \leq \sup_{|\theta|=1} \|T(\theta f)\|_{L^1} \leq \sup_{|h| \leq |f|} \|T(h)\|_{L^1} \leq 4 \sup_{A \in \mathcal{B}} \|T(\chi_A f)\|_{L^1}.$$
- (iii) For every function  $f \in [T, L^1]$  the following Parseval formula holds:

$$(5.3) \quad \int_{-1}^1 fT(g) = - \int_{-1}^1 gT(f), \quad g \in L^\infty.$$

*Proof.* (i) To establish that the conditions (a)–(f) are equivalent, we can adapt the proof of [4, Proposition 4.1] to the case when  $X = L^1$ , after noting that the non-triviality of the Boyd indices of  $X$  is not used in that part of the proof.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (g). Fix  $g \in L^\infty$ . Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  define  $A_n := |f|^{-1}([0, n])$  and set  $f_n := f\chi_{A_n} \in L^\infty$ . Since  $|f_n| \uparrow |f|$  pointwise on  $(-1, 1)$ , monotone convergence yields

$$(5.4) \quad \int_{-1}^1 |f| |T(g)| = \lim_n \int_{-1}^1 |f_n| |T(g)|.$$

Select  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in L^\infty$  with  $|\theta_1| = 1$  and  $|\theta_2| = 1$  pointwise such that  $|f| = \theta_1 f$  and  $|T(g)| = \theta_2 T(g)$  pointwise. Then also  $|f_n| = \theta_1 f_n$  pointwise for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$\int_{-1}^1 |f_n| |T(g)| = \int_{-1}^1 \theta_1 \theta_2 f_n T(g).$$

Noting that both of the bounded functions  $\theta_1 \theta_2 f_n$  and  $g$  belong to  $L^2$ , we can apply the Parseval formula for  $L^2$  to yield

$$\int_{-1}^1 |f_n| |T(g)| = - \int_{-1}^1 gT(\theta_1 \theta_2 f_n).$$

Since  $\theta_1 \theta_2 f_n \in L^\infty$  implies that  $T(\theta_1 \theta_2 f_n) \in L^1$ , it follows that

$$- \int_{-1}^1 gT(\theta_1 \theta_2 f_n) \leq \|g\|_\infty \|T(\theta_1 \theta_2 f_n)\|_{L^1} \leq \|g\|_\infty \sup_{|h| \leq |f|} \|T(h)\|_{L^1}.$$

Then (5.4) implies that

$$\int_{-1}^1 |f| |T(g)| \leq \|g\|_\infty \sup_{|h| \leq |f|} \|T(h)\|_{L^1} < \infty,$$

that is,  $fT(g) \in L^1$ .

(g)  $\Rightarrow$  (c). Fix  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ . Since  $f \in L^1$ , we can choose a sequence  $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $L^\infty$  such that  $|f_n| \uparrow |f|$  pointwise on  $(-1, 1)$ . It follows that  $f_n \chi_A \rightarrow f \chi_A$  in  $L^1$  and hence, that

$T(f_n \chi_A) \rightarrow T(f \chi_A)$  in measure (via Kolmogorov's theorem). So, we can assume that  $T(f_n \chi_A) \rightarrow T(f \chi_A)$  pointwise a.e. on  $(-1, 1)$ , by passing to a subsequence, if necessary. Next let  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Apply Parseval's formula for  $L^2$ , which is permissible because both  $f_n \chi_A$  and  $\chi_B$  belong to  $L^\infty \subseteq L^2$ , gives

$$\int_B T(f_n \chi_A) = \int_{-1}^1 \chi_B T(f_n \chi_A) = - \int_{-1}^1 (f_n \chi_A) T(\chi_B).$$

Since  $fT(\chi_B) \in L^1$  (by condition (g) with  $g := \chi_B$ ), dominated convergence gives

$$\lim_n \int_{-1}^1 \chi_B T(f_n \chi_A) = - \lim_n \int_{-1}^1 (f_n \chi_A) T(\chi_B) = - \int_{-1}^1 (f \chi_A) T(\chi_B),$$

that is, the sequence  $(\int_B T(f_n \chi_A))_{n=1}^\infty$  is convergent in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Noting that  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  is arbitrary and  $T(f_n \chi_A) \rightarrow T(f \chi_A)$  pointwise a.e. on  $(-1, 1)$ , this implies that  $T(f_n \chi_A) \rightarrow T(f \chi_A)$  in  $L^1$  and, in particular, that  $T(f \chi_A) \in L^1$ . So, (c) is established.

Part (ii) can be proved as for (4.1) in [4], now with  $X = L^1$ ; see p.1846 in [4].

To prove part (iii), fix  $g \in L^\infty$ . Recall first that  $gT(f) \in L^1$  by (a) and that  $fT(g) \in L^1$  by (g). Choose a sequence  $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $L^\infty$  such that  $|f_n| \uparrow |f|$  pointwise on  $(-1, 1)$ . Parseval's formula for  $L^2$  applied to  $f_n, g \in L^\infty \subseteq L^2$  gives  $\int_{-1}^1 f_n T(g) = - \int_{-1}^1 g T(f_n)$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now,  $\lim_n \int_{-1}^1 f_n T(g) = - \int_{-1}^1 f T(g)$  due to dominated convergence as  $fT(g) \in L^1$ . Moreover,  $T(f_n) \rightarrow T(f)$  in the norm of  $L^1$ , which was established in the proof of (g)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) in part (i) (consider the case  $A := (-1, 1)$  there). In particular,  $\lim_n \int_{-1}^1 g T(f_n) = \int_{-1}^1 g T(f)$ . Combining these facts gives (5.3) as

$$\int_{-1}^1 f T(g) = \lim_n \int_{-1}^1 f_n T(g) = - \lim_n \int_{-1}^1 g T(f_n) = - \int_{-1}^1 g T(f).$$

□

**Lemma 5.2.** *For every  $f \in [T, L^1]$ , both  $f(x) \log(1 - x) \in L^1$  and  $f(x) \log(1 + x) \in L^1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f \in [T, L^1]$ . According to Proposition 5.1(i) the function  $fT(g) \in L^1$  for every  $g \in L^\infty$ . So, for  $g = \chi_{(-1,1)}$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{\pi} f(x) \log \left( \frac{1+x}{1-x} \right) = (fT(g))(x) \in L^1.$$

Moreover, the function

$$\left| \chi_{(0,1)}(x) f(x) \log(1 - x) \right| = \left| \chi_{(0,1)}(x) f(x) \log \left( \frac{1+x}{1-x} \right) - \chi_{(0,1)}(x) f(x) \log(1 + x) \right|$$

belongs to  $L^1$  because  $\chi_{(0,1)}(x) f(x) \log(1 + x)$  is bounded on  $(-1, 1)$  as the singularity of  $\log(1 + x)$  is at  $x = -1$ . It follows that  $f(x) \log(1 - x) \in L^1$  because of the identity

$$f(x) \log(1 - x) = \chi_{(0,1)}(x) f(x) \log(1 - x) + \chi_{(-1,0)}(x) f(x) \log(1 - x), \quad x \in (-1, 1),$$

and the fact that  $\chi_{(-1,0)}(x) f(x) \log(1 - x)$  is bounded on  $(-1, 1)$  as the singularity of  $\log(1 - x)$  is at  $x = 1$ .

Similarly we can prove that  $f(x) \log(1 + x) \in L^1$ . □

We can now establish the following result alluded to above.

**Proposition 5.3.** *The space of functions  $[T, L^1] \subseteq L\log L$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f \in [T, L^1]$ . Clearly (5.1) implies that  $|f| \in [T, L^1]$  and so we can assume that  $f \geq 0$ . Of course, also  $T(f) \in L^1$ . We use a result of Stein for the space  $L\log L$ , namely Theorem 3(b) in [31] for the case  $n = 1$  (i.e., in  $\mathbb{R}$ ). In this setting it is routine to check that the non-periodic Riesz transform  $R_1$  given in [31, p.309] is precisely  $-\pi T$  (i.e., the constant  $c = \pi$ ). In order to deduce that  $f \in L\log L$  from Theorem 3(b) in [31], by selecting there  $B_1 = (-1, 1)$  and  $B_2 = (-2, 2)$ , we need to verify that

$$(5.5) \quad \int_{-2}^2 |T(f)(x)| dx < \infty.$$

By hypothesis  $\int_{-1}^1 |T(f)(x)| dx < \infty$  and so it remains to establish that both  $\int_{-2}^{-1} |T(f)(x)| dx < \infty$  and  $\int_1^2 |T(f)(x)| dx < \infty$ . By an application of Fubini's theorem, keeping in mind that  $f \geq 0$  and  $(y - x) \geq 0$  for  $x \in (-2, -1)$  and  $|y| < 1$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-2}^{-1} |T(f)(x)| dx &= \int_{-2}^{-1} \frac{1}{\pi} \text{p.v.} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(y)}{y - x} dy dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 f(y) \left( \text{p.v.} \int_{-2}^{-1} \frac{dx}{y - x} \right) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 f(y) \log \left( \frac{y + 2}{y + 1} \right) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 f(y) \log(y + 2) dy - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 f(y) \log(y + 1) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\log(y + 2)$  is bounded on  $(-1, 1)$ , as its singularity is at  $y = -2$ , the first integral is finite. That the second integral is also finite follows from Lemma 5.2. Consequently,  $\int_{-2}^{-1} |T(f)(x)| dx < \infty$ .

In a similar way it can be shown that  $\int_1^2 |T(f)(x)| dx < \infty$ . Accordingly, (5.5) is satisfied and so  $f \in L\log L$ .  $\square$

The following two lemmas were established in Section 4 of [4] and Section 2 of [5] for the optimal domain  $[T, X]$  whenever  $X$  is a r.i. space having non-trivial Boyd indices. The proofs given there are still valid in our setting, by adapting when necessary, the results used for  $T: X \rightarrow X$  with the corresponding ones already established in this paper for  $T_{\log}: L\log L \rightarrow L^1$ , namely Theorem 3.4 for the kernel of  $T_{\log}$  and Proposition 5.1(iii) for the Parseval type formula valid for  $[T, L^1]$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** *The space  $[T, L^1]$  is a linear lattice for the a.e. pointwise order and, equipped with the norm (5.2), it is a B.f.s. The norm (5.2) is also given by*

$$\|f\|_{[T, L^1]} = \sup \left\{ \|fT(g)\|_{L^1} : g \in L^\infty, \|g\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1 \right\}, \quad f \in [T, L^1].$$

**Lemma 5.5.** *The B.f.s.  $[T, L^1]$  is the largest B.f.s. within  $L^0$ , containing  $L\log L$ , to which  $T_{\log}: L\log L \rightarrow L^1$  admits an  $L^1$ -valued, continuous linear extension.*

We can now answer the question regarding the optimal extension of  $T_{\log}: L\log L \rightarrow L^1$ . Of course, the operator  $T_{\log}$  is extended to  $[T, L^1]$  in the obvious way.

**Theorem 5.6.** *The identity  $[T, L^1] = L\log L$  holds as an order and bicontinuous isomorphism between B.f.s.' and hence,  $T_{\log}: L\log L \rightarrow L^1$  does not admit a continuous linear extension to any strictly larger B.f.s. within  $L^0$ .*

*Proof.* Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 5.3 show that  $L\log L$  and  $[T, L^1]$  are equal as sets.

To prove that  $L\log L$  is continuously included in  $[T, L^1]$ , let  $f \in L\log L$ . For each  $h \in L^0$  satisfying  $|h| \leq |f|$  we have that  $h \in L\log L$  with  $\|h\|_{L\log L} \leq \|f\|_{L\log L}$ . Theorem 2.1 yields  $\|T(h)\|_{L^1} \leq \|T\| \cdot \|h\|_{L\log L} \leq \|T\| \cdot \|f\|_{L\log L}$  and so we can conclude from (5.2) that

$$\|f\|_{[T, L^1]} \leq \|T\| \cdot \|f\|_{L\log L}, \quad f \in L\log L,$$

where  $\|T\|$  denotes  $\|T\|_{L\log L \rightarrow L^1}$ . That is, the natural inclusion  $L\log L \subseteq [T, L^1]$  is continuous.

Since both  $L\log L$  and  $[T, L^1]$  are B.f.s.' (cf. Lemma 5.4) it follows that the natural inclusion  $L\log L \subseteq [T, L^1]$  is an isomorphism.

Lemma 5.5 implies that  $T_{\log}: L\log L \rightarrow L^1$  does not admit a continuous linear extension to any strictly larger B.f.s. within  $L^0$ .  $\square$

*Remark 5.7.* The identification  $[T, L^1] = L\log L$  in Theorem 5.6 implies that each of the equivalent conditions in Proposition 5.1(i) characterizes when a function  $f \in L^1$  actually belongs to  $L\log L$ . In particular, for  $f \in L^1$  we have that

$$f \in L\log L \quad \text{if and only if} \quad T(f\chi_A) \in L^1, \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Since  $L\log L = [T, L^1]$ , this is the same description arising for the optimal domain  $[F, \ell^{p'}(\mathbb{Z})]$ ; see the question of R. E. Edwards mentioned in the Introduction.

It should be noted that there are functions in  $L^1 \setminus L\log L$  (i.e., outside of the domain of  $T_{\log}$ ) which  $T$  nevertheless maps into  $L^1$  (even into  $L\log L$ ).

**Proposition 5.8.** *There exists  $h \in L^1 \setminus L\log L$  such that  $T(h) \in L\log L \setminus T(L\log L)$ .*

*Proof.* According to Proposition 4.12(v) there exists  $g \in L\log L \setminus T(L\log L)$ , in which case  $T(g) \in L^1$ . From Theorem 4.10(ii) it follows that  $T(\widehat{T}(g)) = g$ . The claim is that

$$(5.6) \quad \widehat{T}(g) \notin L\log L.$$

Suppose not. Then  $\widehat{T}(g) \in L\log L$ . Together with  $g = T(\widehat{T}(g))$  this implies that  $g \in T(L\log L)$ . But, this contradicts the choice of  $g$ . So, (5.6) holds.

Set  $h := \widehat{T}(g)$ . Then,  $h \in L^1$  (as  $g \in L\log L$ ) and, from (5.6), we have that  $h \notin L\log L$ . Moreover,

$$T(h) = T(\widehat{T}(g)) = g \in L\log L \setminus T(L\log L).$$

$\square$

*Remark 5.9.* Proposition 5.8 implies that the linear subspace  $\{f \in L^1 : T(f) \in L^1\}$  of  $L^1$  is strictly larger than  $L \log L$ . However, by Theorem 5.6 there must exist  $g \in L^1$  with  $|g| \leq |h|$ , whenever  $h$  is a function as given in Proposition 5.8, such that  $T(g) \notin L^1$ . The difference between the linear space  $\{f \in L^1 : T(f) \in L^1\}$  and the optimal domain  $[T, L^1]$  ( $= L \log L$ ) is that the latter (as  $L \log L$  itself) is a function lattice. That is, it satisfies the ideal property namely,  $f \in [T, L^1]$  and  $|g| \leq |f|$  a.e. imply that  $f \in [T, L^1]$ , whereas the former is not.

## 6. APPENDIX

*Proof of Lemma 4.6.* For the definition of  $c(\beta, \gamma)$  we refer to (4.2). Concerning (4.3), observe that

$$\int_{-1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\xi|^\beta(\xi+1)^\gamma} d\xi = \int_{-1}^0 \frac{1}{|\xi|^\beta(\xi+1)^\gamma} d\xi + \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\xi^\beta(\xi+1)^\gamma} d\xi + \int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{\xi^\beta(\xi+1)^\gamma} d\xi.$$

For the first integral note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^0 \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^\beta|\xi+1|^\gamma} &= \int_0^1 \frac{d\xi}{\xi^\beta(1-\xi)^\gamma} = \left( \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \right) \frac{d\xi}{\xi^\beta(1-\xi)^\gamma} \\ &\leq \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{2^\gamma d\xi}{\xi^\beta} + \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{2^\beta d\xi}{\xi^\gamma} \leq 2^\gamma \int_0^1 \frac{d\xi}{\xi^\beta} + 2^\beta \int_0^1 \frac{d\xi}{\xi^\gamma} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{1-\beta} + \frac{2}{1-\gamma} \leq 4c(\beta, \gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Next we have that

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\xi^\beta(\xi+1)^\gamma} d\xi \leq \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\xi^\beta} d\xi = \frac{1}{1-\beta} \leq c(\beta, \gamma).$$

Finally

$$\int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{\xi^\beta(\xi+1)^\gamma} d\xi \leq \int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{\xi^\beta \xi^\gamma} d\xi = \int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{\xi^{\beta+\gamma}} d\xi = \frac{1}{\beta+\gamma-1} \leq c(\beta, \gamma).$$

Thus

$$\int_{-1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\xi|^\beta(\xi+1)^\gamma} d\xi \leq 6c(\beta, \gamma).$$

Concerning (4.4) we have, for fixed  $x \in (-1, 1)$ , that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{1}{|t-x|^\beta(1-t^2)^\gamma} dt &= \int_{-1}^1 \frac{1}{|t-x|^\beta(1+t)^\gamma(1-t)^\gamma} dt \\ &= \int_{-1}^0 \frac{1}{|t-x|^\beta(1+t)^\gamma(1-t)^\gamma} dt + \int_0^1 \frac{1}{|t-x|^\beta(1+t)^\gamma(1-t)^\gamma} dt \\ &\leq \int_{-1}^0 \frac{1}{|t-x|^\beta(1+t)^\gamma} dt + \int_0^1 \frac{1}{|t-x|^\beta(1-t)^\gamma} dt. \end{aligned}$$

With the substitution  $t - x = \xi(x + 1)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^0 \frac{1}{|t - x|^{\beta}(1 + t)^{\gamma}} dt &= \int_{-1}^{-x/(1+x)} \frac{1 + x}{|\xi|^{\beta}|1 + x|^{\beta}(\xi + 1)^{\gamma}(1 + x)^{\gamma}} d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 + x)^{\beta+\gamma-1}} \int_{-1}^{-x/(1+x)} \frac{1}{|\xi|^{\beta}(\xi + 1)^{\gamma}} d\xi \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(1 + x)^{\beta+\gamma-1}} \int_{-1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\xi|^{\beta}(\xi + 1)^{\gamma}} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the substitution  $t - x = \xi(x - 1)$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{|t - x|^{\beta}(1 - t)^{\gamma}} dt &= \int_{x/(1-x)}^{-1} \frac{x - 1}{|\xi|^{\beta}|x - 1|^{\beta}(\xi + 1)^{\gamma}(1 - x)^{\gamma}} d\xi \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(1 - x)^{\beta+\gamma-1}} \int_{-1}^{x/(1-x)} \frac{1}{|\xi|^{\beta}(\xi + 1)^{\gamma}} d\xi \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(1 - x)^{\beta+\gamma-1}} \int_{-1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\xi|^{\beta}(\xi + 1)^{\gamma}} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (4.3), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{1}{|t - x|^{\beta}(1 - t^2)^{\gamma}} dt &\leq \left( \frac{1}{(1 + x)^{\beta+\gamma-1}} + \frac{1}{(1 - x)^{\beta+\gamma-1}} \right) \int_{-1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\xi|^{\beta}(\xi + 1)^{\gamma}} d\xi \\ &= \frac{(1 - x)^{\beta+\gamma-1} + (1 + x)^{\beta+\gamma-1}}{(1 - x^2)^{\beta+\gamma-1}} \int_{-1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|\xi|^{\beta}(\xi + 1)^{\gamma}} d\xi \\ &\leq \frac{2^{\beta+\gamma} \cdot 6 c(\beta, \gamma)}{(1 - x^2)^{\beta+\gamma-1}} \leq \frac{24 c(\beta, \gamma)}{(1 - x^2)^{\beta+\gamma-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $1 < p < 3/2$  and define  $\delta := \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{(p-1)}{p}$ . Then*

$$(6.1) \quad c\left(\frac{1}{2}, \delta p + \frac{1}{2}\right) < \frac{3}{p-1}$$

and

$$(6.2) \quad c\left(\frac{1}{2}, \delta p'\right) \leq 6.$$

*Proof.* Note that  $\min\{\frac{1}{2}, p-1\} = p-1$  and that  $\frac{1}{2}(p-1) < \delta p < (p-1)$ , as  $\delta p = \frac{2}{3}(p-1)$ . Let us first prove (6.1). Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} c\left(\frac{1}{2}, \delta p + \frac{1}{2}\right) &= \max \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}}, \frac{1}{1 - (\delta p + \frac{1}{2})}, \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} + (\delta p + \frac{1}{2}) - 1} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ 2, \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} - \delta p}, \frac{1}{\delta p} \right\} < \max \left\{ 2, 6, \frac{2}{p-1} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the last inequality holds because  $\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}-\delta p} < 6$ , which is a consequence of the calculation  $\frac{1}{2}-\delta p = \frac{1}{2}-\frac{2}{3}(p-1) = \frac{7-4p}{6} > \frac{1}{6}$  (due to the assumption that  $1 < p < 3/2$ , which implies that  $(7-4p) > 1$ ), and also because  $\delta p > \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$ . Accordingly,  $c(\frac{1}{2}, \delta p + \frac{1}{2}) < 3/(p-1)$  because  $3/(p-1) > 6$  via  $1 < p < 3/2$ . This yields (6.1) as

$$\left(c\left(\frac{1}{2}, \delta p + \frac{1}{2}\right)\right)^{1/p} < \left(\frac{3}{p-1}\right)^{1/p} < \frac{3}{p-1}.$$

Next, since

$$\delta p' = \frac{2(p-1)}{3p} \cdot \frac{p}{p-1} = \frac{2}{3},$$

the inequality (6.2) follows as

$$c\left(\frac{1}{2}, \delta p'\right) = \max\left\{\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{2}}, \frac{1}{1-\delta p'}, \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}+\delta p'-1}\right\} = \max\{2, 3, 6\} = 6.$$

□

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, “Interpolation of Operators”, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.
- [2] H. K. Cheng and N. Rott, *Generalizations of the inversion formula of thin airfoil theory*, J. Rational Mech. Anal. **3** (1954), 357–382.
- [3] R. Coifman and S. Steinerberger, *A remark on the arcsine distribution and the Hilbert transform*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **25** (2019), 2690–2696.
- [4] G. P. Curbera, S. Okada and W. J. Ricker, *Inversion and extension of the finite Hilbert transform on  $(-1, 1)$* , Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **198** (2019), 1835–1860.
- [5] G. P. Curbera, S. Okada and W. J. Ricker, *Extension and integral representation of the finite Hilbert transform in rearrangement invariant spaces* Quaest. Math. **43** (2020), 783–812.
- [6] G. P. Curbera, S. Okada and W. J. Ricker, *Non-extendability of the finite Hilbert transform*, Monatsh. für Math. (4) **195** (2021), 649–657.
- [7] G. P. Curbera, S. Okada and W. J. Ricker, *Fine spectra of the finite Hilbert transform in function spaces*, Advances in Math. **380** (2021), 107597.
- [8] J. Diestel and J.J. Uhl, Jr., “Vector Measures”, Math. Surveys 15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1977.
- [9] R. Duduchava, “Integral Equations in Convolution with Discontinuous Presymbols. Singular Integral Equations with Fixed Singularities, and their Applications to some Problems of Mechanics”, Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig, 1979.
- [10] D. E. Edmunds and M. Krbeč, *Variations on Yano’s Extrapolation Theorem*, Rev. Mat. Complut. **111** (2005), 111–118.
- [11] R. E. Edwards, “Fourier Series, A Modern Introduction”, Vol. 2, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York-Montreal, 1967.
- [12] F. D. Gakhov, “Boundary Value Problems”, Dover Publications, New York, 1990.
- [13] I. Gohberg and N. Krupnik, “One-Dimensional Linear Singular Integral Operators Vol. I. Introduction”, Operator Theory Advances and Applications 53, Birkhäuser, Berlin, 1992.
- [14] I. Gohberg and N. Krupnik, “One-Dimensional Linear Singular Integral Operators Vol. II. General Theory and Applications”, Operator Theory Advances and Applications 54, Birkhäuser, Berlin, 1992.

- [15] Y. C. Huang, *On a localized Parseval identity for the finite Hilbert transform*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **28** (2022), no. 6. Article 83.
- [16] K. Jörgens, “Linear Integral Operators”, Pitman, Boston, 1982.
- [17] A. Katsevich and A. Tovbis, *Finite Hilbert transform with incomplete data: null-space and singular values*, Inverse Problems **28** (2012), 105006, 28 pp.
- [18] F. W. King, “Hilbert Transforms” Vol. I, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.
- [19] H. Kober, *A note on Hilbert’s problem*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **48** (1942), 421–427.
- [20] S. G. Krein, Ju. I. Petunin and E. M. Semenov, “Interpolation of Linear Operators”, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1982.
- [21] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, “Classical Banach Spaces” Vol. II, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.
- [22] E. R. Love, *Repeated singular integrals*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **15** (1977), 99–102.
- [23] W. McLean and D. Elliott, *On the  $p$ -norm of the truncated Hilbert transform*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **38** (1988), 413–420.
- [24] S. Mikhlin and S. Prössdorf, “Singular Integral Operators”, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [25] G. Mockenhaupt and W. J. Ricker, *Optimal extension of the Hausdorff-Young inequality*, J. reine angew. Math. **620** (2008), 195–211.
- [26] N. I. Muskhelishvili, “Singular Integral Equations”, Wolters-Noordhoff Publishing, Groningen, 1967.
- [27] S. Okada and D. Elliott, *The finite Hilbert transform in  $\mathcal{L}^2$* , Math. Nachr. **153** (1991), 43–56.
- [28] S. K. Pichorides, *On the best values of the constants in the theorems of M. Riesz, Zygmund and Kolmogorov*, Studia Math. **44** (1972), 165–179.
- [29] E. Reissner, *Boundary value problems in aerodynamics of lifting surfaces in non-uniform motion*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **55** (1949), 825–850.
- [30] E. Y. Sidky and Xiaochuan Pan, *Recovering a compactly supported function from knowledge of its Hilbert transform on a finite interval*, IEEE Signal Processing Letters **12** (2005), 97–100.
- [31] E. M. Stein, *Note on the class  $L\log L$* , Studia Math. **32** (1969), 305–310.
- [32] F. G. Tricomi, “Integral Equations”, Interscience, New York, 1957.
- [33] H. Widom, *Singular integral equations in  $L_p$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **97** (1960), 131–160.
- [34] A. Zygmund, “Trigonometric Series”, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1959.

FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS & IMUS, UNIVERSIDAD DE SEVILLA, CALLE TARFIA S/N, SEVILLA  
41012, SPAIN

*Email address:* curbera@us.es

112 MARCORN CRESCENT, KAMBAH, ACT 2902, AUSTRALIA

*Email address:* susbobby@grapevine.com.au

MATH.-GEOGR. FAKULTÄT, KATHOLISCHE UNIVERSITÄT EICHSTÄTT-INGOLSTADT, D-85072 EICHSTÄTT,  
GERMANY

*Email address:* werner.ricker@ku.de